

EPICUREA

Table of Contents

Charts	25
Principle Judgments	42
Vatican Saying	87
To Herodotus	144
To Pythocles	258
To Menoeceus.....	356
Known Works	397
Letters	749
Prologues	884
The Standard	904
Natural Science	941
Ethics.....	987
The Wise Man.....	1031
Extras	1040

Detailed Table of Contents

CHARTS	17
1. Test of Truth.....	17
2. Attestation & Contestation	18
3. Judgments	18
4. Ideas	19
5. Qualities	19
6. Active Pleasures	20
7. Vices	20
8. Trifold Classification of Desires	20
9. Kinetic vs. Established Pleasure	21
10. Ways of Thinking	21
11. Key Terms.....	23
12. Comprehension List.....	25
13. Cult	29
14. Madmen.....	30
15. Mocking Epithets.....	30
16. Grades	33
PRINCIPLE JUDGMENTS	34
KΔ 1. Contentment & Indestructibility.....	34
Letting Go	35
The Example of the Gods.....	35
Human Weakness	35
KΔ 2. The Limit of Life	36
Death is Nothing to Us.....	36
KΔ 3. The Limit of Pleasure	36
"The Good" is Escaping "The Bad"	37
KΔ 4. The Limit of Pain.....	38
KΔ 5. Practical Virtues.....	39
KΔ 6. Good Relations	40
KΔ 7. Respect & Popularity	40
KΔ 8. Practical Consequences.....	41
KΔ 9. Variance of Pleasures	42
KΔ 10. Practical Benefits.....	43
KΔ 11. The Reason for Study.....	44
KΔ 12. The Benefit of Study	45
KΔ 13. Mental Security	46
KΔ 14. Personal Stability	47
KΔ 15. The Limit of Needs.....	48
KΔ 16. The Limit of Chance	48
KΔ 17. Social & Personal Wellbeing	49
KΔ 18. Practical Satisfaction	50
KΔ 19. Fulfillment of Time	51
KΔ 20. The Experience of Time	52
KΔ 21. Conflicts.....	54
KΔ 22. Managing Evidence	55
KΔ 23. Doubting All Sensations.....	56
KΔ 24. Judging Sensations	57
KΔ 25. Remember the Goal	59
KΔ 26. Unnecessary Desires.....	60
KΔ 27. Benefit of Friendship	61
KΔ 28. Stability from Friendship	62
KΔ 29. Trifold Classification of Desires.....	63
Examples.....	64
KΔ 30. Natural & Unnecessary Desires	65

KΔ 31. Natural Justice	66
KΔ 32. Nonaggression Agreements	67
KΔ 33. Practical Justice	68
KΔ 34. Practical Injustice.....	68
KΔ 35. Consequence of Secrecy.....	69
KΔ 36. General Uniformity of Justice	70
KΔ 37. Pragmatic Justice.....	71
KΔ 38. Contextual Justice.....	73
KΔ 39. Relationship Management	75
KΔ 40. The Complete Life	77
VATICAN SAYINGS.....	79
VS 4. Perception of Pain	79
VS 7. Folly of Concealment.....	80
VS 9. No Need to Live in Need.....	81
VS 10. Infinite Success	81
Context of Quote from Hesiod	83
Alternative Version.....	83
VS 11. Tranquil Activity.....	83
VS 14. No Second Life.....	84
Alternative Version 1.....	85
Alternative Version 2.....	86
Harvest the Day.....	86
VS 15. Appreciating Others.....	86
VS 16. Harmful Temptation	87
VS 17. Harbor of Old Age.....	88
VS 18. Disenchantment from Eros.....	90
VS 19. Regenerating Gratitude	90
VS 21. Persuading Our Nature.....	91
VS 23. Advantages of Friendship	92
VS 24. Source of Dreams	92
VS 25. Natural Abundance.....	93
VS 26. Functional Equivalence.....	94
VS 27. Pleasure in Learning	94
VS 28. Friendship Moderation.....	95
VS 29. Honesty Over Popularity.....	96
VS 30. Deadly Remedy of Birth.....	97
VS 31. Defenseless Against Death	98
VS 32. Benefit of Veneration	99
VS 33. Zeus' Wellbeing	99
VS 34. Use of Friends.....	100
VS 35. Gratitude Over Desire.....	100
VS 36. A Model Life.....	101
VS 37. Natural Vulnerability.....	102
VS 38. Appreciating Life.....	102
VS 39. Balanced Support	103
VS 40. Irony of Determinism.....	104
VS 41. Balanced Living	104
VS 42. Pleasure is the Removal of Pain.....	105
VS 43. Greed is Always Unsuitable	106
VS 44. Self-Sufficiency	107
VS 45. Quiet Confidence.....	108
VS 46. Chase Away Base Habits	109
VS 47. Defiance of Chance.....	110
VS 48. Improving Life's Journey.....	111
VS 51. Managing Passion	112
VS 52. Joy of Friendship.....	114
VS 53. Futility of Resentment	115
VS 54. Authentic Pursuit of Wisdom.....	116
VS 55. Gratitude for the Unalterable Past	117
VS 56. Loyalty & Shared Pain	117
VS 58. Release from Prison.....	118
VS 59. Misconception of Insatiability.....	119
VS 60. Life's Brief Journey.....	119
VS 61. Beautiful Harmony.....	120
VS 62. Avoiding Escalation.....	120
VS 63. Balance	122
VS 64. Prioritize Self-Improvement.....	123
VS 65. Self-Reliance	124
VS 66. Supportive Consideration	124
VS 67. A Free Life.....	125
VS 68. Insatiability's Trap	127
VS 69. Ingratitude's Trap	127
VS 70. Live As Though You Are Seen.....	128
VS 71. Interrogate Your Desires.....	128
VS 73. Learning from Pain	129
VS 74. Learning from Errors.....	130
VS 75. Gratitude for Past Fortune.....	130
VS 76. Individual Benefit.....	131
VS 77. Freedom of Self-Sufficiency	132
VS 78. Noble Priorities	132
VS 79. Be a Peaceful Presence.....	133
VS 80. Guard Against Desires.....	133
VS 81. Futility of Wealth & Praise	134
TO HERODOTUS	136
34b. Hello Herodotus	136
35a. Abridgment.....	136
35b. Prioritizing Summaries.....	137
36a. Focused Memory.....	137
36b. Elementary Mastery	138
36c. A Structured View	139
37a. Purpose of Study.....	139
37b. Clarity in Language	140
38a. Clear Mental Impressions	141
38b. Tools for Interpretation	141
38c. Foundation of Existence	142

39a. Perishability	143
39b. Universal Consistency.....	143
39c. Atomism.....	143
40a. Necessity of Void	144
40b. Qualities: Symptoms vs. Properties	144
40c. Atomic or Aggregate	145
41a. Indestructible Units	146
41b. Space Is Infinite In Extent	146
41c. Matter Is Infinite In Quantity	147
42a. Limit Effects	147
42b. Finite Variety of Atomic Shapes.....	148
42c. Infinite Atoms of Each Shape	148
{43a. Atomic Size Limit}	148
43b. Constant Movement.....	149
43c. Atomic Interaction	149
44a. Vibration (Consequence of Atomic Firmness)	150
44b. {Atomic Qualities}.....	151
45a. The Basics	151
45b. Similar & Dissimilar Cosmoi	152
45c. Infinite Cosmoi.....	152
46a. Films: A Particular Type of Impression.....	153
46b. Total Speed.....	154
47a. Apparent Instantaneity of Films	155
47b. Thinness of Films	157
48a. Films Move Quickly.....	158
48b. Films Stream Off Aggregates	158
48c. Formation of Films	159
48d. Film Correspondence	160
49a. Basis Of Sight & Thought	160
49b. Penetrative Impressions	161
50a. Proportional Impact.....	162
50b. Film Accuracy	163
50c. Non-Attestation & Contestation	164
51a. Image Similarity	164
51b. Logical Additions.....	165
51c. Attestation & Non-Contestation	166
52a. Basis In Reality	166
52b. Origin of Sound.....	167
52c. Sound Waves	168
53a. Direct Correspondence.....	169
53b. Mechanics of Sound	169
53c. Smell	170
54a. Shape, Size, And Weight	171
54b. Dissolutions of Compounds.....	172
54c. Permanence of Atoms	173
55a. Permanent Properties	174
55b. Variations in Atomic Size	175
56a. Limits in Atomic Size	176
56b. Impossibility of Infinite Divisibility	177
57a. Paradox of Infinite Parts	178
57b. Infinite Boundaries	179
58a. Unique Quality Of Visual Limits	180
58b. Absolute Visual Limits.....	181
58c. Minimum of Measurement.....	182
59a. Analogy for Atomic Limits.....	183
59b. Units of Subatomic Measurement.....	184
59c. Unchangeable Parts of Atoms.....	185
60a. Relative Direction	186
60b. Only Relative Direction Exists	187
61a. Size Variation	188
61b. Direction Variation	190
62a. Consistent Atomic Speed.....	191
62b. Speed Disparity.....	192
63a. Soul is Material	193
63b. Finest Part of the Soul	194
63c. Cause of Sensation.....	195
64a. Interrelationship of Body & Soul.....	196
64b. No Life Without Body	197
65a. Soul Requires Body	198
65b. Body Requires Soul.....	199
65c. Death	199
66a. No Sensation Without Body.....	200
{66b. Irrational & Rational Parts}.....	201
{66c. Sleep}.....	202
67a. Incorporeal Existence	202
67b. Soul is Material	203
68a. Mental Examination.....	204
68b. Dependent Existence of Qualities.....	205
69a. Physical Existence of Qualities	206
–69b. Formation of Properties	207
69c. Perception of Properties.....	209
70a. Formation of Symptoms	210
70b. Perception of Symptoms	210
71a. Properties vs. Symptoms	212
71b. Neither Intrinsic nor Extrinsic	213
–72a. Investigating Time.....	214
72b. Indicating Time	216
73a. Considering Time	217
73b. Cosmoi – Dissolution of Compounds.....	219
73c. {Perishability of Cosmoi}.....	220
74a. Shapes of Cosmoi	221
74b. Composition of Cosmoi	222
75a. Adaptive Learning.....	223
75b. Natural Word Formation	225
76a. Purposeful Word Formation	226

76b. Autonomous Motion	228
77a. Dependent Emotions	229
77b. Maintaining Reverence.....	230
77c. Systemic Planetary Mechanics.....	231
78a. Examining Causes	232
78b. Certainty for Essential Causes	233
79a. Uncertainly for Particular Causes.....	234
79b. Precision for Essential Causes.....	235
79c, 80a. Imprecision for Specific Causes.....	236
80b. Essential vs. Specific Causes	238
80c. Accepting Imprecision for Specific Causes	240
81a. The Gods.....	240
81b. Natural Limits to what is Terrible	241
82a. Undisturbedness, defined.....	243
82b. Adhering to Sensations.....	243
82c. Goodbye Herodotus.....	245
83a. Clear View	246
83b. Comprehensive Insight	247
TO PYTHOCLES	250
83d. Hello Pythocles	250
84a. Letter delivered by Cleon.....	250
84b. Reflections for a Blessed Life	250
84c. In Response to Your Request	251
85a. Useful to Many	252
85b. Memorize & Review	253
85c. Our Goal is Undisturbedness	254
86a. Speculative Certainty – The Unique Agreement.....	255
86b. Speculative Uncertainty – Multiple Causes	256
86c. The Call for a Sensible Basis	257
87a. Living Without Disturbance	257
87b. Accepting Multiple Explanations	258
87c. Avoiding Inconsistent Rejection of Theories	259
87d. Full Observations Are Not Always Possible.....	260
88a. Not Contesting Viable Theories	261
88b. Cosmos, defined.....	261
88c. Incomplete Perception of our own Cosmos.....	263
89a. Infinite Cosmoi and the Intermundia.....	264
89b. Formation of a Cosmos.....	265
90a. Against Cosmic Origin and Expansion due to Determinism	267
90b. Formation of Stars	268
91.a The Size of the Sun	270
91.b Scholion: Sun's Proportional Diminishment in Size and Brilliancy	271
92a. Igniting and Extinguishing.....	271
92b. Rotation: of the Sky or of Celestial Bodies.....	273
93a. Changes in the Location of the Sun and Moon.....	274
93b. Air, Fire, or Spiral	275
93c. Multiple Agreements with What Is Evident	276
94a. Phases of the Moon.....	278
94b. Observing the Limits of Observation	279
94c-5a. Moonlight	280
95b. The Manifold Way	281
95c. Appearance of the Moon.....	283
96a. Tracking the Detectible Facts.....	284
96b. Eclipses & Simultaneous Concurrences.....	284
96c. Scholion on Eclipses	286
97a. Regular Orbital Periods.....	286
97b. No Burden on the Gods	287
97c. The Error of Throwing Out Possibilities.....	288
98a. Seasonal Variation in Day Length.....	289
98b. Limits of Observation.....	291
98c-9a. Changes in the Weather	291
99b. Clouds.....	293
99c/100a. Rain.....	294
100b. Thunder.....	295
100c. The Call of What is Apparent	297
101a. Lightning – Friction and Impact	297
101b. Lightning – Crushing and Squeezing.....	298
101c. Lightning – from the Stars through the Clouds.....	299
101d. Lightning – Filtration and Tension	300
102a. Lighting – Ruptures and Emission.....	301
102b. Lighting and Thunder – Sequential Generation.....	302
102c-3a. Lighting and Thunder – Simultaneous Generation	303
103b. Thunderbolt – Ignition of Wind	304
103c. Thunderbolt – Emission of Fire.....	305
104a. Thunderbolt – Explanations without Myth	307
104b. Twisters – through Pushing of Wind.....	308
104c. Twisters – through Condensation of Surrounding Air.....	308
105a. Tornos vs. Waterspouts	309
105b. Earthquakes – from the Intake of Air	310
105c. Earthquakes – from Falling Ground	312
106a. Earthquakes – from the Gathering of Air or Water Underground	313
106b. Hail – General Production	314
107a. Hail – Production of Shape	316
107b. Snow – from Cloud Symmetry or Wind Encirclement	317
107c. Snow – from Even Thinness of Clouds and their Tight Packing.....	319
108a. Snow – from Rubbing of Coagulated Clouds	320
108b. Dew	321
109a. Frost.....	322
109b. Ice.....	323
109c. Rainbows – Cause	324
110a. Rainbow – Shape	326
110b. Halos – Air Moving To or Air Moving From the Moon.....	327
111a. Halos – Still Air Around the Moon	328
111b. Comets – from Fire and Movement	329
111c. Comets – Appearance & Disappearance.....	330

112a. Circumpolar Stars – from Stationary Sky or Rotation of Air	331
112b. Circumpolar Stars – from the Location of Fuel	333
112c-3a. Circumpolar vs. Non-Circumpolar Rotations	334
113b. Even and Uneven Tracks of Air	335
113c. Monocausal Madness & Pointless Astrology	336
114a. Orbital Mechanics – Speed, Direction, & Distance	338
114b. The Charlatan's Shortcut	339
114c. Falling Stars – from Friction with Other Stars	340
115a. Falling Stars – Gathering of Fire	341
115b. Falling Stars – Gathering and Ignition of Wind	342
115c-6a. Predictive Animal Behavior & Divine Providence.....	343
116b. Step Out of Myth	345
116c. Infinity, Criteria, & Multiscale Analysis.....	346
116d. Motivation for Observation	347
TO MENOECEUS.....	348
121c. Hello Menoeceus	348
122a. Universal Exhortation to Philosophy.....	348
122b. Now is the Time for Philosophy and Wellbeing.....	349
122c. Philosophy for All Stages of Life	350
122d. Wellbeing	351
123a. Study and Do What Sustains Living Correctly	352
123b. Indestructibility & Blessedness of the Gods	352
123c. Detectible Knowledge of the Gods	354
123d. Impiety	354
124a. Anticipations and Benefits of the Gods	355
124b. Kinship of Virtue	355
124c. Death is Nothing	356
124d. Freedom from the Longing for Immortality.....	357
125a. Nothing is Terrible	358
125b. Anticipatory Anxiety's Pointlessness	358
125c. The Mutual-Exclusion Principle.....	359
125d. Popular Ambivalence toward Death.....	360
126a. The Twin Victory of the Wise.....	360
126b. Harvesting Quality over Quantity	361
126c. Mimnermus' Foolish Division.....	362
126d. Theognis' Cynical Anti-Natalism	362
127a. Theognis' Bluff	363
127b. Future Contingency	364
127c. Classification of Desires	365
128a. The Guide: Unwavering Observation of Desires	366
128b. The Goal: Avoidance of Pain and Fear	367
128c. Painlessness and Fearlessness	367
128d. The Beginning and the End of Living Blessedly: Pleasure	368
129a. The Standard: Our Experiences & Feelings.....	369
129b. Hedonic Calculus	370
129c. Avoiding Pleasures & Choosing Pains	371
130a. Commensuration and Observation.....	372
130b. Self-Sufficiency & Contentment	373
130c. Pleasure Without Luxury.....	374
131a. Water & Barley Cake.....	375
131b. Hedonic Calibration	376
131c. Calm Fulfillment.....	377
132a. Sober Reasoning.....	378
132b. The Good: Prudence.....	380
132c. Instrumental Virtues	381
133a. The Wise Perspective.....	382
133b. Necessity, Chance, & Personal Responsibility	383
134a. Hopelessness of Determinism	384
134b. Extent of Chance	385
135a. Reason over Chance	387
135b. Godlike Fearlessness	388
KNOWN WORKS.....	389
Testimonials Concerning the Books of Epicurus.....	391
1. Epicurus' 300 Scrolls	391
2. Epicurus Wrote Many Books.....	393
3. Chrysippus' Rivalry	393
4. Lighting A Lamp to Write	393
5. Epicurus Wrote Large Books	394
6. Books in Praise of Friends	394
7. Reading Epicurus Makes Epicureans.....	394
On the Language & Style of Epicurus	394
1. Clarity Only.....	394
2. No Rhetorical "Enhancements".....	395
3. Unconventional Use of Some Terminology.....	395
4. Unconventional Writing Style	397
5. Epicurus condemned Dialogues.....	397
6. Blunt & Unpolished	397
7. Joy & Delight	398
8. Order of Topics in Epicurus' "Symposium".....	398
9. Discoverer of Truth – Speaking of Emotions.....	399
10. Professions are Useless for Wisdom	400
11. Use of Sacred Language	400
12. Chorus of Epicureans Standing on Fixed Criteria	400
1. On Choices & Avoidances	401
1. Untroubledness & Painlessness – Established Pleasures	401
2. Pleasure from Movement	402
3. Pleasure is our Guide from Birth.....	403
4. Stable Pleasure is based in Active Pleasure.....	403
5. Aristotle: All Pleasure is Kinetic.....	403
2. Anaximénēs	403
Excess and Insolence of Kings	404
Alexander Threatens Lampsacus	404
* On Ambiguity.....	405
Errors come from Self-Deception	405
3. Declarations.....	405

Clarification of Terms – The Brief Anger of the Wise Man.....	406
Immediate Understanding.....	406
Natural Generation of Words	407
4. Antidorus.....	407
x.....	407
x.....	407
5. Aristobuolos	408
Friends as Sources of "Security" & "Gaiety".....	408
Four Brothers Practicing Philosophy Together	408
Always Mindful of the Natural Goal & the Best Life.....	409
[6. On the Atoms & the Void].....	409
[7. On the Angle in the Atom].....	410
8. On Touch	410
"Touch is Untrustworthy" – Plato	410
"Touch is Bestial" – Aristotle.....	410
9. On Kingship.....	411
Do Not Study Entertainment	411
Vanity of Political Awareness.....	411
10. On Lifestyles	412
1.0 [Teachings on Ethics]	413
1.1 Pleasures of Body & Mind.....	413
1.2 Avoid Politics.....	413
x.....	414
x.....	414
x.....	414
The Crown of Untroubledness	414
x.....	415
1.3 Avoid Public Service	415
x.....	416
x.....	416
x.....	416
1.4 Politics is not a Science	417
x.....	417
2.1 Observe Customs	417
x.....	418
x.....	418
x.....	418
11. Against Democritus	419
x.....	419
Shared Errors – Shared Forgiveness	419
x.....	419
12. Uncertainties	420
Following the Laws	420
x.....	420
Marriage	421
Children	421
x.....	422
Poetry	423
Aging.....	423
[13. On Fair Dealing].....	424
[14. On Justice & the Other Virtues].....	424
15. On Gifts and Gratitude	424
Learn the Rules of Grammar	424
[16. On Films]	425
17. On Destiny	425
[Divine Assistance]	425
18. The Big Summary.....	425
Time – No Proof Needed	426
19. The Small Summary.....	426
Rejection of Prophecy.....	427
[20. On Love]	429
[21. Eurylochus, Dedicated to Metrodorus]	429
22. On Piety	429
Use of Religious Terminology.....	429
Maintaining Holiness	429
23. Hegesianax	430
Experiencing Emotions	430
24. On Pleasure	431
x.....	431
25. Themista.....	432
x.....	432
x.....	432
x.....	432
x.....	433
{26. Against Theophrastus}.....	433
Leontion's "Against Theophrastus"	433
x.....	434
x.....	435
x.....	435
Book 2	436
x.....	436
x.....	436
27. On the Gods	437
x.....	437
x.....	438
x.....	438
x.....	438
x.....	439
x.....	439
[28. Kallistólas]	439
29. On Criterion, or Canon	439
x.....	440
x.....	440
x.....	440
x.....	441

X	441
X	442
X	442
X	442
X	442
X	443
X	443
X	443
[30. Against the Megarians]	444
31. Metrodorus	445
X	445
Book 1	445
X	445
[32. On Music]	445
[33. Neocles]	445
[34. Judgements about Diseases]	445
[35. On Vision]	446
36. On Holiness.....	446
X	446
X	446
Life of Perfection	446
X	447
Piety: Preserving Immortality and Blessedness	447
X	448
X	448
X	448
X	449
[37. On Theories about Feelings].....	449
38. On Wealth	449
Acquiring & Guarding Wealth	449
Wealth is Sometimes Good	450
Wealth Produces Pleasure.....	450
Consolation for Poverty	450
Suitably Treating The Pain Of Poverty.....	451
Poverty is Bad	451
[39. Polymédēs].....	451
[40. Prognostication]	452
[41. Exhortation to Study Philosophy].....	452
42. On Rhetoric	452
X	452
X	452
X	453
X	454
X	454
X	455
X	455
X	456
X	456
X	457
X	457
X	457
X	458
X	458
X	458
X	459
X	459
X	459
X	460
X	460
Clarity Only	461
X	461
X	461
43. Twelve Elementary Principles.....	462
Color Shifts with Atom Position	462
44. Symposium	462
X	462
X	463
X	463
X	463
X	463
X	464
X	464
Effects of Wine	464
X	465
X	465
X	466
Appropriate Time for Intercourse.....	466
X	467
X	468
X	468
X	468
X	469
X	469
X	469
X	469
The Telos.....	470
X	470
X	471
45. On Fulfillment.....	471
X	472
X	472
X	472
X	472
X	473
X	473
X	474

x	474
x	474
x	474
x	475
x	475
x	476
x	476
x	477
x	477
x	477
x	478
x	478
x	478
"Goodby.....	479
46. Timocrates in three books.....	479
x	479
Epicurus writes to the Royal Court in Asia	479
Book 1	480
Book 3	480
47. On Appearance.....	480
48. On Nature.....	481
Introduction	481
x	481
x	481
x	481
x	481
x	482
x	482
Book 1	482
1.1 Atoms & Void.....	482
"Nature".....	483
1.2 Composites	483
1.3 Symmetric Impacts	483
Book 2	484
2.1 On Time	484
2.2 On Films	484
2.3 Flow of Films	485
2.4 Formation of Films	486
2.5 Speed of Films.....	487
2.6 Dispersal of Films	488
2.7 Obstruction of Films.....	489
2.8 Generation of Films.....	492
2.9 Mechanics of the Films	495
2.10 Films Generated from Films.....	496
2.11 Against Complete Permeability.....	502
2.12 Permeability of Films	503
2.13 False Analogy of Permeability	504
2.14 Summary.....	508
[Book 3 - Vision, Truth And Falsity].....	509
[Book 4 - Sensations & Thought]	509
[Book 5 - Secondary Qualities]	509
Book 6	509
[Book 7 - Direction and Speed].....	510
Book 8	510
Benefit of Virtue	510
[Book 9 - Mortality and Corporeality].....	510
Book 10	510
10.1 Time Not Existing Is Inconceivable	510
10.2 Using Conventional Terms in Forming Judgments	511
10.3 Correspondence.....	512
10.4 Judging from Impressions	513
10.5 Practical Experience of Time	514
10.6 Thought Experiment	515
10.7 Planetary Movements.....	516
10.8 Against Time as a "Measurable Image".....	518
10.9 Man-Made Measurements	519
10.10 The Nature of Time.....	521
10.11 Conceiving Time.....	522
10.12 Speed of Time	525
Book 11	526
11.1 Size of the Sun	526
11.2 [Cosmology].....	528
11.3 Walled Earths.....	529
11.4 Weight of Earth.....	531
11.5 Thought Experiment	531
11.6 Atmospheric Boundary	533
11.7 Relative Observations of the Sun	533
11.8 Use of Instruments	535
11.9 Shallow Implications of Local Predictions	536
11.10 Differentiating Sources	537
11.11 Empiricism, Against Geocentrism	539
11.12 Planetary Balance	541
11.13 Against "A Cosmic Center"	543
11.14 Incompatible Forms	546
Book 12	547
12.1 Shapes of Worlds	547
12.2 Eclipses	547
12.3 Conceptions of Gods.....	549
12.4 Physical Immortal Gods	550
12.5 Madness of Atheists.....	550
Book 13	551
Divine Neutrality.....	551
Book 14	552
14.1 Unnecessary Burden of Endless Inquiry.....	552
14.2 Anaximénēs.....	553

14.3	Democritus	554
14.4	Monism	555
14.5	Platonic "Solids"	556
14.6	Shapes of the Elements	558
14.7	Shape of Fire	559
14.8	Air Pressure & Fire, Errors from Analogy and Inference	561
14.9	Critique of Triangle Theory	563
14.10	Sophistry of Homoeomeria	565
14.11	Empedocles.....	566
14.12	Concession.....	568
14.13	Enthymematic Uproars	569
14.14	Let Them Have Silence	571
Book 15		573
15.1	Future Developments	573
15.2	Homoeomeria by Majority.....	574
15.3	Impressions & Categories	574
15.4	Atomic Size.....	575
15.5	Atomic Expulsion.....	576
15.6	Organization of Study	576
15.7	Qualities from Shape.....	577
15.8	Correct Judgements.....	577
15.9	Homoeomeria, Qualities	578
15.10	Descent into Reasoning	578
[Book 16]		580
[Book 17]		580
[Book 18]		580
[Book 19]		580
[Book 20]		580
Book 21		580
21.1	Imageless Names are Meaningless	580
[Book 22]		582
[Book 23]		582
[Book 24]		582
Book 25		582
25.1	Human Agency.....	582
25.2	Against Rejection of the Soul	583
25.3	Source of Feelings.....	583
25.4	Unity of Soul and Body.....	584
25.5	Thinking Causes Movement.....	585
25.6	The Soul's Feeling.....	586
25.7	Desire & Expectations	586
25.8	Soul's Craving.....	587
25.9	Mechanics of Impressions.....	587
25.10	[Perceptions and Standards]	589
25.11	Against Determinism, Fully Generated Memories	589
25.12	Self-Production of Memories.....	590
25.13	Mental Movements	591
25.14	[Reminders]	592
25.15	General Understanding.....	593
25.16	Practical Reductionism.....	593
25.17	Imagination	594
25.18	Emergence of Awareness	595
25.19	Unified Consciousness	596
25.20	Internal Dialogue vs. Deterministic Monotony	596
25.21	Cause of Movement.....	597
25.22	Careful Studying Student	598
25.23	Silent Memorization.....	599
25.24	Formation of New Ideas.....	600
25.25	Atomic Generation.....	603
25.26	Responsibility	604
25.27	Fundamental Agency	607
25.28	Praise and Blame	610
25.29	Selective Interaction	611
25.30	Against Empty Linguistic Distinctions.....	612
25.31	Emergent Causation.....	613
25.32	[Severed Cause], Respecting Existing Terminology.....	615
25.33	Controlling Natural Impulses	617
25.34	Self-Development	619
25.35	[Character, Passageways]	621
25.36	Overcoming Natural Dispositions	621
25.37	Inconsistency of Determinists.....	623
25.38	Self-Refuting Determinism.....	624
25.39	Anticipated Imprints	626
25.40	False Equivocation of Determinists.....	628
25.41	The Great, Greatly Fallen	631
25.42	Cognitive Dissonance	633
25.43	Causation of Characteristics.....	635
25.44	Autonomy	638
25.45	Leadership towards Improvement.....	639
25.46	The Canon, Increasing Agency	640
25.47	Experiential Certainty.....	643
25.48	Subscriptio	644
[Book 26]		644
[Book 27]		645
Book 28		645
28.1	Labeling Objects Seen by Comprehension	645
28.2	Classifying Ways of Thinking	646
28.3	The Clear Natural Character of a Word.....	648
28.4	Inaccurate Language Shift.....	651
28.5	Impression-Based Interpretations	652
28.6	Void as an Object of Thought.....	654
28.7	Example of the Void.....	655
28.8	Limits of Altering Names	656
28.9	[Reference Practical Outcomes]	659
28.10	[Sensations as a Test for Conceptions]	660

28.11	Review of Previous Language Theories	661
28.12	Metrodorus' Past Writings	663
28.13	"All Errors Come from Language Conventions" (Former Teaching)	665
28.14	Unclear Evidence	667
28.15	Metrodorus' Past Epistemology	667
28.16	Lack of Discernment in Linguistic Conventions	670
28.17	Former Indiscrimination	671
28.18	Appreciating Natural Constraints	672
28.19	Concession to Convention	673
28.20	Respecting Linguistic Associations	675
28.21	Examples of Absurd Language Usage	677
28.22	Guiding Those Who Seek Wellbeing (Defense of Analogy)	678
28.23	Past Errors: Practical vs. merely Linguistic	680
28.24	True Interpretations	683
28.25	Practical Analysis	685
28.26	Suspending Judgment	686
28.27	Judgment's Boundary	687
28.28	Errors of Speculative Judgment	690
28.29	The "Concealed Father" Demonstration	691
28.30	Practical Indication vs. False Equivocation	693
28.31	Specific Objective Judgment	696
28.32	Universal Speculative Judgment	697
28.33	Universal vs. Specific Facts	699
28.34	Invalid Generalizations	702
28.35	Effective Use of the Canon	703
28.36	Actively Exposing Errors	706
28.37	Let This Writing Continue Speaking	707
28.38	Subscriptio	709
[Book 29]		709
[Book 30]		709
[Book 31]		709
Book 32		709
32.1	Detectible Reality of the Gods	709
32.2	Polyaenus' On Limits	710
[Book 33]		711
Book 34		711
34.1	Limit of Instruction & Limit of Doubt	711
34.2	Shifting Forms	712
34.3	Developing Fearlessness	712
34.4	[Distorted Myths, Fulfilled Vengeance]	713
34.5	Mental Attention towards the Unseen	714
34.6	Inductive Consideration of Specific Characteristics	714
34.7	[Nothing would be Terrible]	715
34.8	The Stirring of Opinion into Myths	716
34.9	Active Error Comes from the Self – Passive Error comes from Convention..	716
34.10	Signs as a Partial Indication	717
34.11	Linguistic Indistinction	718
34.12	Mechanism of Thought	719
34.13	Practical Equivalency of the Term "Phlebopalía"	720
34.14	Rejection of the Term "Phlebopalía"	721
34.15	Symmetric Impingement of Films	721
34.16	Mechanism of Sensation	723
34.17	Symmetries in the Passageways of the Films	723
34.18	Interpreting the Unseen with Reasoning	724
34.19	Subscriptio	725
Book 35		725
Benefits from a God		725
[Book 36]		726
[Book 37]		726
Uncertain Book Number		726
Limits vs. Examples		727
Slavish "Wise Men"		727
Marriage		728
On Procreation		729
1. Displaced Variation		729
2. Contraceptive Collision & Dispersion		730
3. Secretion & Passage		732
4. Blocked Reception		734
5. The Nature of a Woman		735
[49. Summary of Objections to the Physicists]		737
[50. Chairedemus]		737
Extra Books		737
List 1		739
List 2		740
"List" 3		740
LETTERS		741
Summary of Letters		742
1. Affectionate Community		742
2. Frankness towards Friends		742
3. "Carry On Well" & "Live Eagerly"		743
4. "Be Healthy" used in Greeting vs. Parting		744
5. Philonides of Laodicea		744
Dated Letters		745
308 BCE Under Caerimus		745
1. Scheduled Days for Light Eating		745
2. General Invitation to Feast – Public Generosity		745
3. Differentiating Types of Poverty		746
4. The Idle Man		747
5. Security through Mutual Generosity		747
6. Festival on the 20th – Oaths without Euphemisms		748
294 BCE Under Olympiodorus		750
Concern for Timocrates		751
292 BCE Under Philippus		751
1. Dispersing Funds		751
3. Lýkos, the Young Student		752

4. Stoa Poikile	752
[288 BCE]	752
285 BCE Under Diotomus	753
Sacred Dining Tables	753
"Regarding Those Who Are Occupied"	753
284 BCE Under Isaeus	754
Hostile Macedonians	754
283 BCE Under Euthius	754
Support for Leonteus.....	755
Civic Illusions vs. True Freedom.....	755
280 BCE Under Telocles	756
Enduring Disturbances from Change without Fear	757
278 BCE Under Democles	758
Those Who Misunderstand Inferences from Sensation	758
277 BCE Under Aristonymus.....	760
Participation in Sacred Practices	760
271 BCE Under Pytharatus.....	761
Collections Of Letters	761
1. Letters to Important Persons	761
Phyrson of Colophon	761
Eudemos.....	761
2. Spurious Letters	762
1. Diotimos the Stoic's Fifty Counterfeit Letters (Prosecution and Execution)..	762
2. Questions Arising from the Desire for Precision.....	762
3. Aelius Theon's Doubt.....	763
To Several Persons	764
3. To Friends Living in Egypt.....	764
4. To Friends Living in Asia	764
Live Unnoticed (Politically)	764
5. To Friends Living in Lampsacus	766
1. Idomeneus & Leonteus: Lampsacenic Aristocracy	766
2. Funds for Lampsacenic Friends	766
3. Discussing Leonteus	767
4. Lampsacenic Youth.....	767
6. To the Philosophers in Mytilene.....	767
1. Established Pleasure.....	767
2. Mina within a Day – for the Shared Table	768
3. Nausiphanes: The Broken Connection with Democritus	768
4. Nausiphanes: Student of Pyrrho, Oppressive Rhetorician, Jellyfish.....	769
To Individual People	771
7. To Athenaeus	771
Guardianship before Self-Navigation.....	771
8. To Anaxarchus	773
Continuous Pleasures vs. Empty Virtues	773
9. To Apelles.....	774
Good to Avoid the Professions	774
Burden of Conventional Education.....	774
10. To Apollonides.....	775
Frank Criticism for the Powerful (If They Ask)	775
11. To Aristoboulos	776
Epicurus reports about the Macedonian Court: Antigonus Gonatas' respect for Zeno of Citium	776
12. To Dositheus.....	776
Sadness is not as Harmful as Cruelty or Rage	776
13. To Hermarchus.....	778
1. Leontion Consorting in the Gardens.....	778
2. Happy, Although in Pain	779
3. Blocking Fortune's Entry Points	780
14. To a Courtesan	781
Metrodorus & Leontion.....	781
15. To Eurylochus	781
Nausiphanes and Praxiphanes: Obstacles, Not Guides.....	781
16. To Herodotus	782
Praise for Herodotus	782
17. To Themista.....	782
1. Wife of Leonteus of Lampsacus.....	782
2. Going Where Themista Wishes	782
3. Themista's Advice	784
4. Discussing Lycus	784
18. To Idomeneus.....	784
1. Praise for Idomeneus.....	784
2. Dangers of Frankness with the Powerful.....	785
3. First-Fruits for Therapy	785
4. Idomeneus' Noble Youth & Fame.....	786
5. Flee the Professions (Stay Alert for Good Opportunities)	787
6. Practical Consequences.....	789
7. Remove Desire (Advice for Supporting Pythocles)	789
8. Self-Sufficiency: A Secure Basis	790
9. Pruning Use	791
10. Insatiability	791
11. Remember Polycrates: Riches Without Refuge.....	792
12. Final Letter to Idomeneus	793
13. Endurance of the Bad	794
14. Gratitude	795
15. Implications of Vice from Opposing Schools	795
16. Unpreventability of Pain.....	796
17. Memory is Not a Passive Image.....	796
18. The Will Is Based In The Body.....	797
19. To Craterus.....	797
20. To Colotes	797
1. Universal Errors of Youth.....	798
2. Reverences (and counter-reverences)	799
3. Only the Wise Are Unshaken	800
4. Use of Oaths	801
21. To Leontion	801

1. Relationship with Metrodorus.....	801
2. Epipoliórkētēs – The Courtesan Connection	801
3. Lord Paeon (Healing Apollo)	802
4. Clapping Uproars	803
5. Asking Leontion	803
6. a Mina in a Day	804
22. To Metrodorus	804
Professing Wisdom.....	804
Biological Primacy.....	805
23. To Mithres	806
1. Helping Idomeneus.....	806
2. Praising the Minister of Lysimachus – Harms of Ignoring Gods & Kings	807
3. Practical Diplomacy	807
4. Collection Agent & Fixed Rate for the Yearly Contribution.....	809
5. Enduring Changes	811
[24. To Mys]	812
To Leonteus.....	812
"The Radiant Letter" against Atheism	812
25. To Polyaenus	814
1. Polyaenus' Graciousness Towards All	814
2. Group Celebration of Anthesteria – Remembering the Gods.....	814
3. Full Assarius	814
4. Differentiating Types of Poverty	815
5. Confession of Faults	815
26. To Pythocles	816
1. Praiseworthy Example	816
2. Not Another Alcibiades.....	816
3. Sail Clear of Public Education	817
4. The Little Epicurean Boat – τὸ Ἐπικούρειον Ἀκάτιον	817
5. Flee the Folly of Limitless Inquiry	818
6. Dismiss Yourself from the Classroom	818
7. The Appeal to Pythocles	818
8. Pleasant Expectations.....	819
27. To Timocrates.....	819
Spreading the Word	819
28. To Phyrson.....	820
1. Consolation for Hegesianax	820
2. "Regarding Those Who Are Occupied"	820
3. Festival Participation	821
29. To Charmides	821
Catharsis.....	821
To Uncertain Persons	822
30. On Occupations.....	822
1. Aristotle: Squanderer, Soldier, Drug-Seller, & Drifter	822
2. Degeneracy of Aristotle	823
3. Aristotle Joined Plato.....	823
4. Protagoras: Basket-Carrier, Scribe, School Teacher & Sophist	823
5. Protagoras Joined Democritus.....	824
6. Protagoras: Inventor of the Shoulder Pad	824
7. Protagoras: A Late Learner	824
31. On Stilpo.....	825
1. Invincible Goods	825
2. Demetrius Protects Stilpo.....	826
3. Some External Goods are Necessary for Wellbeing.....	826
4. Reciprocal Responsibilities of Friendship.....	827
5. Defense of Imperfect Prediction	827
32. To Apia	828
Good Health and Conditions	828
33. Letter From His Last Days.....	829
Composure and Acceptance.....	829
Epicurus' Remarks On Private Issues	831
1. Wisdom Recognized & Appreciated	831
2. Brotherly Love	831
3. Atomic Origins (Neocles & Chairestrate)	832
4. Philosophy at 14	832
5. [Going to Athens]	832
6. Spitting on Pleasure from Extravagance	833
7. Welcoming Sign to the Gardens	834
8. Little Pot of Cheese.....	834
9. Gratitude for the Essentials	834
10. Annual Contribution	835
11. Kronios & Arkephon (sharing meat)	837
12. Remembering Neocles	837
13. Pleasing the Public.....	837
14. Fundamentalist Christian Rejection of Greco-Roman Culture.....	838
15. Ignored by the Powerful	839
16. Sailing to Lampsacus (Dangers during Storm)	839
17. Fellowship Amidst Pain.....	840
18. Preserving Mental Pleasure (while in Bodily Pain).....	840
Regarding Epicurus' Disciples	841
1. Three Ways to Reach the Truth	841
2. Sources of Security & Gaiety	842
3. The Piraeus Affair	843
4. Metrodorus Helps Mithres	843
5. Kronios.....	843
6. Practically Vulgar	844
7. Hopes for Timocrates	845
Regarding the Stoics.....	846
Zenonians in the Stoa of Peisianax	846
Sayings.....	846
1. Serving Philosophy, Gaining Freedom	846
2. Dangerous to Disregard the Body.....	847
3. Natural Riches Possible in Poverty.....	848
4. "Hunger, Thirst, & Cold"	849
5. Cover the Earth in Marble	849

6. Undefined Impulses.....	850
7. Undefined Fears	851
8. Procrastinating Cheer	851
9. "Slaughtered in Advance"	852
10. Never Born Twice	852
11. Meditate on Death	852
12. Easy to Get (and easy to leave behind).....	852
13. Magnificent in Rags	853
14. Disturbed on a Gold Couch.....	853
15. Private Audience.....	854
16. Withdraw into Yourself.....	855
17. Live as if a Good Man were Watching	855
18. Happiness of Zeus – SFOTSE.....	855
19. Those Who Misunderstand Inferences from Sensation	856
20. Focusing on the Good Past	858
21. Foresee the Inevitable	858
22. Giving Favors, Small and Large	858
23. Caution Toward Pleading Enemies	859
24. Details of Property Management	860
Will	861
1. Legal Heirs: Amunomachus & Timocrates	861
2. Beneficiary: Hermarchus.....	862
3. Long Future for the Garden	863
4. House in Melite.....	864
5. Revenues for Memorials and Gatherings.....	865
6. Memorials for Brothers and Polyaeus	867
7. Metrodorus' Son (Epicurus) & Polyaeus' Son.....	867
8. Metrodorus' Daughter	868
9. Support for the Children	868
10. Hermarchus selected as Hegemon	869
11. Dowry for Metrodorus' Daughter	869
12. Nicanor and the rest (Reciprocal Support).....	870
13. Library given to Hermarchus.....	871
14. Human Contingency Clause	871
15. Freeing Slaves	872
Stone Dedications prepared for Tomb	873
Gatherings of the Good and Useful.....	874
PROLOGUES	876
1. Wisdom & the Sage	876
1. Philosophy is Active Reasoning (based on Established Reasoning)	876
2. Authentic Pursuit of Wisdom	876
3. Removing the Pain of the Soul	877
4. Total Certainty.....	878
5. Total Stability.....	878
6. Effort of Sticking to Sensation	878
7. Belief (The Sacred Illness).....	879
8. Only the Wise Know the Wise	879
9. No Philosophy for Non-Greeks.....	880
2. The Arts	880
1. Opposition to the Professions	880
2. Opposition to Studying Literature	881
3. Stuck in Cultural Fictions from Childhood	881
4. Being Cultured is not needed for Philosophy	881
5. Lactantius' Critique: A Full Education is Required	882
6. Art is Defined by its Function	882
7. The Rabble around Fairytales.....	883
8. Gods are not Anthropopathic.....	884
9. Harmful Bait: The Myths of the Poets	884
10. Filling Poems with Asterisks	885
11. Rejecting the Poets and their Myths	885
12. Rejecting the Study of the Professions.....	885
13. Rejecting the Study of Geometry	886
14. Rejecting the Study of Music.....	886
15. Chorus of Epicureans Standing on Fixed Criteria.....	887
3. Philosophers.....	887
1. Rejecting Irony	887
2. Aristotle is the first source for "Leucippus the Philosopher"	888
3. Independent of any School (rejecting Pamphilus the Platonist).....	888
4. Rejecting Determinism, Reviving Atomism.....	889
5. Accepting Aspects of Democritus' Philosophy.....	889
6. Rejecting Aristotle and Phaedo	890
7. Mocking Nausiphanes	891
8. Mocking Epithets (from Plutarch)	891
9. Mocking Epithets (from Laertius)	893
10. Arcesilaus – Criticized.....	894
11. Archelaus and Anaxagoras – Praised.....	895
12. Metrodorus	896
THE STANDARD.....	896
1. On Judgment	896
1. Epicurus' Twofold Division (Physical & Ethical)	896
2. The Sensations are Physical.....	896
3. Learning Methods of Disputation (Not Full Dialectic Training).....	897
4. Archelaus' Twofold Division (Physical & Ethical)	897
5. Certainty in Physics	898
6. Certainty in the Sensations (Physics as the Source of Virtues)	899
7. Rejecting Technical Logic and Abstract Analysis.....	899
8. "Epicurean" – an early Christian Insult	900
9. True & False – Defined	901
10. All Judgment is based in Experience.....	901
2. Sensation	901
1. The Criterion is What Is Apparent	902
2. Appearance and Judgment are Linked (Appearance is Always True)	902
3. Sensory Realism (Opposing Relativism).....	903
4. Correspondence of Appearance and Reality	904
5. Reasoning Analogically with the Data from Each Sense	905

6. What Appears Must Exist	906
7. Changing Appearances	906
8. False Dichotomy of the Skeptics.....	907
9. Correct Example from Sight	908
10. Perceptual Realism	910
11. Physical Realty of Appearances	912
12. Senses Perceive Appearances	913
13. Judgement is the Source of Error	914
14. Attestation (definition).....	915
15. Non-Contestation (definition)	916
16. Example of the Void	917
17. Contestation (definition)	917
18. Non-Attestation (definition).....	918
19. Criterion (general summary)	920
Chart 2. Attestation & Contestation	920
20. The True and Real is What Physically Exists.....	921
21. Rejecting or Partially Accepting the Apparent.....	922
22. Fully Accepting the Apparent	923
x. X pg 183	Error! Bookmark not defined.
x. X.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
x. X.....	924
x. X.....	924
x. X.....	924
x. X.....	925
x. X.....	925
x. X.....	926
x. X.....	926
x. X.....	930
x. X.....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
x. X.....	930
x. X.....	930
x. X.....	931
x. X.....	931
x. X.....	931
x. X.....	931
x. X.....	933
x. X.....	934
3. On Representations & Words.....	934
x. X.....	934
x. X.....	935
x. X.....	935
x. X.....	935
x. X.....	936
x. X.....	936
x. X.....	936
x. X.....	937
x. X.....	937
x. X.....	937
x. X.....	938
x. X.....	938
x. X.....	938
4. The Feelings.....	938
x. X.....	938
x. X.....	938
x. X.....	939
5. Signs	939
x. X.....	939
x. X.....	939
6. On Disputation	939
x. X.....	939
x. X.....	940
NATURAL SCIENCE	941
1. Fundamentally Unchanging.....	941
2. The Atoms	941
x. X	941
x. X	941
x. X	942
x. X	942
x. X	942
x. X	943
3. The Void	943
4. Bodies & their Attributes.....	946
5. Motion.....	946
6. Atomic Swerve	949
7. Aggregation and Dissolution	954
8. Qualities	957
9. Mixture.....	960
10. Change	961
11. Magnetism	961
12. The Universe & its World-Systems	966
13. Planets.....	972
14. Man	973
15. The Soul.....	973
16. Temperaments	975
17. Sensation.....	976
18. Vision.....	977
19. Mirrors	979
20. Hearing.....	979
21. Taste.....	981
22. Sleep & Dreams.....	982
23. Reproduction.....	983
24. The Origin of Human Beings.....	984
25. Linguistics	985
26. Death.....	985
27. Celestial Phenomena.....	988

28. Gods	992
29. Gods (Nature & Form)	997
30. Gods (The Blessed Life)	1001
31. Care & Governance of the World	1008
32. Religion.....	1027
33. Divination	1032
ETHICS.....	1034
1. Chief Good & Evil.....	1045
2. Kinetic Pleasure	1051
3. Katastematic Pleasure	1056
4. Peace of Mind	1061
5. Proper Measurement of Pleasure and Pain	1067
6. Against the School of Aristippos.....	1076
7. Limits of Desires	1078
8. Rational Living	1097
9. Virtues	1108
10. Human Society	1119
11. Security Among Men.....	1126
12. Honor & Glory	1130
THE WISE MAN	1137
1. Studies of the Wise Man	1137
2. Duties	1138
3. Attitude of the Sage	1139
EXTRAS.....	1156
Profiles (Epicureans).....	1156
Metrodorus	1156
Leontion	1156
Leonteus.....	1156
Themista.....	1156
Profiles (General).....	1157
Anniceris.....	1157
Timeline.....	1157
Lamian War Military Service.....	1157
The Mytilene Misadventure	1157
Founding the Garden	1157
Death.....	1158
x.....	1158
Tetrapharmakos	1160
1. Entrepreneurial Epicureans	1160
2. Hermarchus with Experience and Followers.....	1161
3. Inexperienced "Helmsman Navigating from the Book"	1161
4. "The Fourfold Remedy"	1161
5. Clarity vs. Gossip	1162
6. Experience vs. Titles.....	1162
Life of Philonides of Laodicea	1164
1. The Gift of His Teaching, Rising Schools.....	1164
2. Affection for Family, Pressure to Marry.....	1165
3. Freeing his Slaves	1165
4. Departure from Family.....	1166
5. Geometry and Astrology	1166
6. Teachers of Philonides, Commentaries On Epicurus Works	1167
7. First Study with Mathematicians: Eudemos and Dionysodorus.....	1168
8. Study with Epicureans: Timasagoras – Basileides & Thespis – Old Iolaus	1169
9. Joined the School, Multitude of Philologists	1170
10. Eighth Book On Nature, Geometry of the Minima.....	1170
11. Artemon the Epicurean & the Establishment of the Laodicean School	1171
12. Seleucus IV Philopator friendly with Philonides.....	1172
13. Letter of Recommendation to Heliodorus of Antioch.....	1172
14. Heliodorus removed from Seleucid Court	1173
15. Antiochus IV Epiphanes the Epicurean, 125 Brief Synopses of Philonides.....	1173
16. Philonides writes about Epiphanes	1174
17. Demetrius I Soter & The Romans.....	1175
18. Ambassadors of Demetrius I Soter	1176
19. Diplomacy. Diogenes of Babylon, Embassy.....	1176
20. Mission, Counsel, and Difficulty	1177
21. "They Struggle Against, We Examine Together"	1177
22. Full Collection of Epicurus’ Books	1178
23. Epicurean Community at the Seleucid Palace in Antioch.....	1178
24. Success with King Demetrius I Soter	1179
25. "Preservation of A Free Man Zealous for Philosophy"	1179
26. Antiphanes.....	1180
27. Friendly Community.....	1181
28. Living in the Court of Demetrius I Soter, Carneades	1181
29. Zenodorus, Athenian Aspiration	1182
30. Zenodoros, Timasagoras, & Philologists	1182
31. Quotation of Philonides: Return to Laodicea	1183
32. Voyage Back to Syria, Detour to Home	1183
Inscription from Athens	1184
Athenian Citizenship and Honors for the Family	1184
Spondophoroi: the Eumolpidae and the Kerykes	1184
Inscription from Delphi	1185
Praise from Delphians for Philonides' younger Brother Dicaearchus	1185
Privileges for Dicaearchus from Laodicea by-the-sea.....	1185
Antiochus IV Epiphanes & Jerusalem	1187
Negotiation	1187
Advice from Friends.....	1187
Enemies of All	1187
From Egypt, From Sickness	1187
Cultural Misanthropy	1187
Exclusionary Traditions	1188
Epiphanes in the Holy of Holies	1188
Moses & His Legacy	1188
Resolution of Antiochus IV.....	1188
Normalization Efforts.....	1188
Indecisiveness of Antiochus VII Sidetes	1189

Mishneh Torah on 'Epikōrsīm	1189
Mitzvah to Kill an 'Epikōrōs	1189
Commandment to Kill Legally – or by Trickery.....	1190
The Oxyrhynchus Consolation	1190
Incorrect Perspective	1190
Infirm Basis	1191
Correct Perspective	1191
Reason for our Reverence	1191
Honoring Yourself	1192
Fearlessness.....	1192
Comparison.....	1192
Fear.....	1193
Fear's gamble.....	1193
Fearful Expectations	1193
Expectations of Providence	1194
Acting out of Fear	1194
** SANDBOX [REMOVE] **	1194
CONCEPTION LIST	1195
KNOWLEDGE LIST	1196
x BAD VOWELS	1198

1. Test of Truth

[∇]	τὰ Διημαρτημένα	Misinterpretations <i>can result from</i>
[Δ+]	τὸ Προσδοξαζόμενον	The Addition Of Judgement <i>while</i>
{Δ}	Ἐξαιτιολογῶν	Fully Reasoning Into The Causes
[Δ●]	ἡ Ἐπιβλητική Δόξα	Objective Judgment <i>is used for</i>
[Θ]	τὸ Θεωρούμενον	What Is Observed
		<i>including</i>
	τὸ Παρόν	What Is Present
	τὸ Ὁρατόν	What Is Seen
	τὸ Δῆλον	What Is Clear
	τὸ Λαμβανόμενον	What Is Comprehended
		<i>and therefore is</i>
[Θ]	τὸ Μὴ Προσμένον	What Is Not Pending [a basis in direct observation]
[Δ◌]	ἡ Θεωρητική Δόξα	Speculative Judgement <i>is used for</i>
[Ο]	τὸ Μὴ Θεωρούμενον	What Is Not Observed
		<i>including</i>
	τὸ Μὴ Παρόν	What Is Not Present
	τὸ Ἀόρατον	What Is Unseen
	τὸ Ἄδηλον	What Is Unclear
	τὸ Μὴ Λαμβανόμενον	What Is Not Comprehended
		<i>and therefore</i>
{Mo}	τὸ Ἐπιμαρτυρηθησόμενον	What Is To Be Attested <i>which is</i>
[Ο]	τὸ Προσμένον	What Is Pending [a basis in direct observation]
	τὰ Κριτήρια	The Criteria
		<i>for</i>
[α]	τὸ Ἀληθές	What Is True <i>are all</i>
[Α]	αἱ Αἰσθήσεις	Sensations
		<i>including</i>
[Π]	τὰ Πάθη	Experiences <i>(i.e., feelings)</i>
		<i>and</i>
[●Λ]	αἱ Προλήψεις	Anticipations <i>(what is mentally perceived before active consideration)</i>
		<i>and</i>
[Β']	ἡ Ἐπιβολή	Attention <i>to</i>
[Ε]	ἡ Ἐνάργεια	Perceivable Reality <i>including</i>
[Β'δ]	ἡ Ἐπιβολή τῆς Διανοίας	Attention To Mental Perception
[Δ]	ἡ Δόξα	A Judgement <i>is</i>
(¬α)	Οὐκ Ἀληθές	Not True

{¬M}	Μὴ Ἐπιμαρτυρηθῇ	if It Is Not Attested
{W}	Ἀντιμαρτυρηθῇ	or It Is Contested
[A]	ἡ Αἴσθησις	by sensation
[Δ]	ἡ Δόξα	A Judgement is
(α)	Ἀληθές	True
{M}	Ἐπιμαρτυρηθῇ	if It Is Attested
{¬W}	Μὴ Ἀντιμαρτυρηθῇ	or It Is Not Contested
[A]	ἡ Αἴσθησις	by sensation

2. Attestation & Contestation

ATTESTED		CONTESTED		
(M) ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον attested <i>being attested by sensation is sufficient to establish the truth of a judgment as long as there continues to be no contestation</i>	v or	(¬W) μὴ ἀντιμαρτυρούμενον not contested <i>not being contested by sensation is sufficient to establish the truth of a judgment as long as there continues to be no contestation</i>	⇒	(α) TRUE
(¬M) μὴ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον not attested <i>not being attested by sensation is sufficient to establish the falsity of a judgment as long as there continues to be no attestation</i>	Λ and	(W) ἀντιμαρτυρούμενον contested <i>being contested by sensation is sufficient to establish the falsity of a judgment long as there continues to be no attestation</i>	⇒	(O) προσμένον pending <i>(no evidence → unknown)</i>
(M) ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον attested	Λ and	(W) ἀντιμαρτυρούμενον contested <i>being contested by sensation is sufficient to establish the falsity of a judgment long as there continues to be no attestation</i>	⇒	(O) προσμένον pending <i>(conflicting evidence → more observation needed)</i>

3. Judgments

ὁ Ἐπιλογισμός [the act of] inductive consideration	[Δ•] ἡ Ἐπιβλητική Δόξα Objective Judgment
distinguishes (-κ) specific characteristics (unnecessary qualities, i.e., "symptoms") from (κ) universal characteristics (necessary qualities, i.e., "properties")	judgement of directly sensible (-κ) specific characteristics truth-value tested by (M) attestation and (W) contestation from direct practical circumstances
only (κ) universal characteristics provide a valid basis for	

ὁ ἀναλογισμός	[the act of] analogical consideration	[Δ◦] ἡ Θεωρητικὴ Δόξα	Speculative Judgement
		<i>judgement of conceivable</i> (κ) <i>universal characteristics</i>	
	<i>considers the unseen by analogy to the seen</i>	<i>truth-value tested by</i> (M) <i>attestation and</i> (W) <i>contestation</i> <i>from indirect practical consequences</i>	

4. Ideas

AN IDEA IS CORRECT IF IT MATCHES THE ENVIRONMENT		
{Δ●} κατὰ τὸν ἐπιβλητικὸν τρόπον	in an objective way <i>[tests impressions, externally focusing]</i>	ΕΠΙΒΛΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being thrown upon</i>
OR IN		
{Δ◦◦} θεωρητικῶς	in a speculative way <i>[tests mental observability]</i>	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of [mentally] observing</i>
[Δ+] τὸ προσδοξαζόμενον	an addition of judgement	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of addition to seeming</i>
THAT INCLUDES		
[∇] τὰ διημαρτημένα	misinterpretations	ΔΙΗΜΑΡΤΗΜΕΝΟΝ <i>fully missing the mark</i>
RESULTS IN A		
[∇+] ψευδῆς Δόξα	a false Judgment	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ <i>deceiving</i>
WHICH IS A JUDGMENT THAT NOT MATCH THE ENVIRONMENT:		
{¬Δ●} κατὰ τὸν οὐκ ἐπιβλητικὸν τρόπον	not in an objective way	
AND IN ANY		
{¬Δ◦◦} οὐ θεωρητικῶς	not a speculative way	

5. Qualities

ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from [asking] what kind</i>
αἱ ποιότητες περὶ τὰς ἀτόμους	qualities of the atoms	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
τὸ βάρος τὰ βάρη	weight	ΒΑΡΟΣ <i>heavy</i>
ἡ χώρας ποιότης	quality of space	ΧΩΡΑ <i>location</i>
τὸ κενόν	void	ΚΕΝΟΝ <i>emptiness</i>
ἀναφής (ές)	intangible	ΑΝΑΦΗΣ <i>not touchable</i>

Beyond the qualities of void and the atoms themselves, everything is an emergent and transforming quality of their mixture. Qualities are either <i>necessary</i> or <i>unnecessary</i> for an object's existence		
τὰ συμβεβηκότα CONIUNCTA	<i>Necessary</i> Qualities: "PROPERTIES" Weight to stone, Heat to fire, Liquidity to water <i>i.e., characteristics that are ^(κ) universal to the object</i>	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΤΑ <i>to have walked together</i>
τὰ συμπτώματα ĒVENTA	<i>Unnecessary</i> Qualities: "SYMPTOMS" <i>Slavery or Freedom, Poverty or Riches, Peace or War i.e., characteristics that are ^(-κ) not universal to the object</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
This outline is specific to Epicurus. For example, Aristotle uses τὰ συμβεβηκότα, with the sense of τὰ συμπτώματα		

6. Active Pleasures

flavor	sapor	ὁ χυλός	ΧΥΛΟΣ <i>juice</i>	"tasting"
Aphrodisian activities	res Veneriae	τὰ Ἀφροδίσια	ΑΦΡΟΔΙΣΙΑ <i>foam of god</i>	"touching"
listening	audītus	τὸ ἄκροαμα τὰ ἀκροάματα	ΑΚΡΟΑΜΑ <i>hearing</i>	"hearing"
shape	fōrma	ἡ μορφή	ΜΟΡΦΗ <i>form</i>	"seeing"

7. Vices

vices	ἡ κακία		ΚΑΚΙΑ <i>evil</i>	Karneĩskos (U4)
desire	cupīdo	ἡ ἐπιθυμία	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>	SV 71 On Nature 25.7 On Nature 34.3
fear	timor	ὁ φόβος	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>	in multis locis
pride	superbia	ἡ ὕβρις		
crudeness	spurcitia	ἡ ἀσέλγεια		Athenaeus, 12.531D
insolence	petulantia	ἡ ἀναίδεια		
idleness	dēsīdia from dē-sedeō, “to sit apart / be inactive”	ἡ ῥαθυμία		
excess	lūxus	ἡ τρυφή		Athenaeus, 12.531D

8. Trifold Classification of Desires

Φυσικαῖ Ἀναγκαῖαι	Φυσικαῖ Οὐκ Ἀναγκαῖαι	Οὔτε Φυσικαῖ Οὐκ Ἀναγκαῖαι
Natural & Necessary	Natural & Not Necessary	Neither Natural, Nor Necessary

<i>naturally based desires needed for health and wellbeing</i>	<i>naturally based desires not needed for health and wellbeing</i>	<i>desires coming from convention, and not needed for health and wellbeing</i>
<i>Diogenes Laertius, 10.149</i>		
<i>Drinking when Thirsty</i>	<i>Expensive Foods</i>	<i>Crowns and the Dedication of Statues</i>
<i>Torquatus in Cicero's On Ends 1.45</i>		
<i>"the necessary desires are gratified with little trouble or expense"</i>	<i>"natural desires also require but little, since nature's own riches, which suffice to content her, are both easily procured and limited in amount"</i>	<i>"the imaginary desires no bound or limit can be discovered"</i>

9. Kinetic vs. Established Pleasure

ή κατὰ κίνησιν ήδονή pleasure from movement	ή καταστηματική ήδονή established pleasure
"kinetic pleasure" "active pleasure"	"static pleasure" "satisfied pleasure"
joy (ή χαρά) merriment (ή εὐφροσύνη)	undisturbedness (ή ἀταραξία) non-suffering (ή ἀπονία)

10. Ways of Thinking

ΟΙ ΤΡΟΠΟΙ			
OBJECTIVE [Δ●]			
ὁ ἐπιβλητικὸς τρόπος	the objective way	ΕΠΙΒΛΗΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of being thrown upon	focuses on evidence from sensation
φανταστικῶς	in an appearance- based way	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΩΣ characteristic of appearance	focuses on appearances
ὁ πραγματικὸς τρόπος	the practical way	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of result of doing / affair	focuses on immediate surroundings
ὁ νουθετητικὸς τρόπος	the instructive way	ΝΟΥΘΕΤΗΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of setting mind	focuses on correcting a mental error that could turn into a negative practical consequence
ὁ ἐπανορθωτικὸς τρόπος	the corrective way	ΕΠΑΝΟΡΘΩΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of straight upright again upon	focuses on correcting a practical error that has occurred
ὁ παθητὸς τρόπος	the affected way	ΠΑΘΗΤΟΣ experiencing	focuses on how one is affected by external influences
SPECULATIVE [Δ◌]			

ὁ μοναχ ὸς τρόπος	the singular way	ΜΟΝΑΧΟΣ <i>single multiplicity</i>	<i>focuses on the single viable theory about primary qualities that fits with what is apparent</i>
ὁ πλεοναχ ῆς τρόπος	the manifold way	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΗΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>	<i>focuses on multiple viable theories about emergent qualities that fit with what is apparent</i>
θεωρη τικῶς	in a speculative way	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of [mentally] observing</i>	<i>focuses on conceivability</i>
ὁ διαληπ τικὸς τρόπος	the distinguishing way	ΔΙΑΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of taking hold between</i>	<i>focuses distinguishing what is conceivable</i>
περιληπ τικῶς	in a completely comprehensible way	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold around</i>	<i>focuses on inconceivability</i>
ὁ ἐμπεριληπ τικὸς τρόπος	* the completely comprehensible way [of thinking] within	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold around in</i>	<i>focuses on internal inconceivability</i>
ὁ ταπιν ὸς τρόπος	the humble way	ΤΑΠΙΝΟΣ <i>low</i>	<i>focuses on the opportunity for direct observation</i>
ἀνεπιλογ ίστως	in a way without inductive consideration	ΑΝΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΤΩΣ <i>not reasoning action upon</i>	
LOGICAL [Δ]			
ὁ λογικ ὸς τρόπος	the rational way	ΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of reasoning</i>	<i>thinking about conclusions based on given premises or evidence</i>
ὁ ἀντιποιη τικὸς τρόπος	the contradictory way	ΑΝΤΙΠΟΙΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of gathering against</i>	<i>thinking about the errors in an argument</i>
ὁ αἰτιολογ ικὸς τρόπος	the way relating to the study of causes	ΑΙΤΙΟΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of reasoning about blame / cause</i>	<i>thinking about the causes of movement</i>
ὁ παθολογ ικὸς τρόπος	the way relating to the study of experiences	ΠΑΘΟΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of reasoning about experience</i>	<i>thinking about our own feelings and experiences</i>
ὁ ἀναλογισ τικὸς τρόπος	the analogistic way	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of action of reasoning back/again</i>	<i>thinking about what is beyond perception</i>
ὁ ὁμοιο τικὸς τρόπος	the way by similarity	ὍΜΟΙΟΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being similar</i>	<i>thinking about similarities (of the visible to the non-visible)</i>
ὁ διαφορ ικὸς τρόπος	the way by difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of carrying apart</i>	<i>thinking on differences (of the visible to the non-visible)</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισ τικὸς τρόπος	the inductive way	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of action of reasoning upon</i>	<i>thinking based on empirical data</i>

ὁ συλλογιστικὸς τρόπος	the inferential way	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of action of reasoning together</i>	<i>thinking about logical deduction and structured argumentation</i>
OTHER			
ὅσδηποτε τρόπος	whichever way	ΟΣΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite which</i>	<i>relates to any way of thinking</i>
ὁ ἀπὸ τοῦ αὐτοῦ διαστήματος τρόπος	the way [arising] from the same separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>	<i>relates to thinking about ourselves as only atoms and void vs. ourselves as compositions with emergent properties</i>

11. Key Terms

The Standard		
ὁ κανὼν οἱ κανόνες	standard	ΚΑΝΩΝ <i>measuring stick (ruler)</i>
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	crit erion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of dividing</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[E] evidence	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[E] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ἀληθής <i>(ἔς)</i>	^(α) true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not concealed</i>
τάληθές = τὸ ἀληθές τὰ ἀληθῆ	^[α] what is true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not hidden</i>
ἡ ἀλήθεια αἱ ἀλήθειαι	truth	ΑΛΗΘΕΙΑ <i>not hidden</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	^[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
τὸ ἡδόμενον τὰ ἡδόμενα	what pleases	ἩΔΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>sweet</i>
ἡ ἀλγηδὼν αἱ ἀλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ <i>sensed pain</i>
τὸ ἀλγοῦν τὰ ἀλγοῦντα	what causes pain	ΑΛΓΟΥΝ <i>pain</i>
τὸ λυπούμενον τὰ λυπούμενα	what distresses	ΛΥΠΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>vexation</i>
Natural Science		
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning of producing</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
τὸ πᾶν <i>(τοῦ παντός)</i>	<i>(individually)</i> everything, <i>(collectively)</i> the universe	ΠΑΝ <i>all</i>
τὸ σύμπαν τὰ σύμπαντα	the entirety	ΣΥΜΠΑΝ <i>all together</i>

τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ <i>land</i>
ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
ἡ συστροφή αἱ συστροφαί	assemblage	ΣΥΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>twisting together</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἀθροίσματα	^[inorganic] aggregate ^[organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of [being]</i> <i>gathered</i>
Ethics		
ἡ φιλία αἱ φιλίαι	friendship	ΦΙΛΙΑ <i>loving</i>
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἡ αὐτάρκεια αἱ αὐτάρκειαι	self-sufficiency	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΕΙΑ <i>state of</i> <i>self-sufficing</i>
ἡ εὐπορία αἱ εὐπορίαι	resourcefulness	ΕΥΠΟΡΙΑ <i>good passage</i>
ἡ ἐκχώρησις αἱ ἐκχωρήσεις	withdrawal	ΕΚΧΩΡΗΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>location out</i>
ἡ ἡσυχία αἱ ἡσυχίαι	tranquillity	ἩΣΥΧΙΑ <i>stillness</i>
ἡ αἵρεσις αἱ αἰρέσεις	choice	ΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ <i>taking</i>
ἡ δίωξις αἱ διώξεις	pursuit	ΔΙΩΞΙΣ <i>chasing</i>
ἡ φυγή αἱ φυγαί	avoidance	ΦΥΓΗ <i>fleeing</i>
ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction ^[i.e., an act of discrimination]	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ὁ λογισμός οἱ λογισμοί	^[process of] reasoning	ΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>action of collecting</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
κακός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
ἡ ἀσφάλεια αἱ ἀσφάλειαι	stability ^[lit. "not tripping"]	ΑΣΦΑΛΕΙΑ <i>not stumbling</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>beautiful</i>
δικαίως	justly	ΔΙΚΑΙΩΣ <i>custom / right</i>
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
ὁ ἄσωτος οἱ ἄσωτοι	a degenerate	ΑΣΩΤΟΣ <i>not saving</i>
ἡ ὄχλησις αἱ ὀχλήσεις	^[feeling of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>a crowd of people</i>

λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping
	λαμβάνειν τὴν ιδέαν	Epicurus On Nature, Book 28 P.Herc. 1417, fr. H (col. 2a)
λαμβάνόμενος (η, ον)	comprehended	ΛΑΜΒΑΟΜΕΝΟΣ grasping
	Πᾶν [B'δ'] κατ' ἐπιβολὴν {δ} λαμβανόμενον τῇ διανοίᾳ (α) ἀληθές ἐστι	Epicurus Letter to Herodotus Laertius 10.62b
λαμβάνων (ουσα, ον)	comprehending	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ grasping
	τοῦ τέλους μνήμην λαμβάνον	Epicurus On Nature, Book 25 P.Herc. 1056 col. 12 (fr. B 34)
λαβεῖν	to comprehend [already]	ΛΑΒΕΙΝ grasped
	Ἔστι μίαν λαβεῖν φορὰν τὴν ἄνω... καὶ μίαν τὴν κάτω	Epicurus Letter to Herodotus Laertius 10.60b
τὸ λαμβανόμενον τὰ λαμβανόμενα	what is comprehended	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ grasping
ἡ λῆψις αἱ λήψεις	** comprehension	ΛΗΨΙΣ taking hold
λήψεσθαι	to comprehend for oneself [in the future]	ΛΗΨΕΣΘΑΙ taking hold
	Ληψόμεθα τίς ὁ κανὼν	Epicurus On Nature, Book 25 P.Herc. 1191 fr. 121/122
ληπτέος (α, ον)	ought to be comprehended	ΛΗΠΤΕΟΣ taking hold
	ἐκ τοῦ ὑποκειμένου Ληπτέον φορὰν τινα	Epicurus On Nature, Book 11 P.Herc. 1042 col. 9 (column 41)
εἰλημμένος (η, ον)	[intellectually] having been comprehended, [generally] having been acquired	ΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ grasped
	Φεύγεις τὸν βίον ὑπὸ τῶν πολλῶν εἰλημμένον	Epicurus On Nature, Book 28 P.Herc. 1479, fr. L (col. 5)
ἀπολαμβάνειν	to fully acquire	ΑΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping away from
ἡ ἀπόληψις αἱ ἀπολήψεις	intake	ἈΠΟΛΗΨΙΣ taking hold away from
LSJ and Hicks render this word at 105b as "imprisonment," Bailey as "caught up," and Young as "penetrating"		
ἀπειληφέναι	to have fully acquired	ΑΠΕΙΛΗΦΕΝΑΙ grasped away from
	ἡ ὑπὲρ τούτων Χρεία ἀκρίβειαν ἀπειληφέναι	Epicurus Letter to Herodotus Laertius 10.80b
διαλαμβάνειν	to distinguish	ΔΙΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping separation

ἀμφότερα διαλαμβάνεται περὶ τὴν ψυχὴν τὰ Συμπτώματα	"both Symptoms concerning the soul are distinguished"	Epicurus Letter to Herodotus Laertius 10.67b
διαλήψεσθαί	going to distinguish	ΔΙΑΛΗΨΕΣΘΑΙ taking hold separation
Οἰηθῶμεν διαλήψεσθαί τι	"We suspected we were going to distinguish something"	Epicurus Letter to Herodotus Laertius 10.58b
ἡ διάληψις αἱ διαλήψεις	differentiation	ΔΙΑΛΗΨΙΣ taking hold separation
διάληψιν δὲ μερῶν	"differentiation of parts"	Epicurus Letter to Herodotus Laertius 10.58a
ἡ ἀδιαληψία αἱ ἀδιαληψίαι	indiscrimination	ΑΔΙΑΛΗΨΙΑ not taking hold separation
ἡ τῶν ὀνομάτων καὶ πραγμάτων ἀδιαληψία	"the indiscrimination of words vs. [practical] situations"	Epicurus On Nature, Book 28 P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 2 inf.)
διαληπτικός (ή, όν)	[mentally] distinguishing	ΔΙΑΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of taking hold between
λαμβάνειν τινὰ ἑτερότητα τῶν ἀτόμων κατά τινα τρόπον διαληπτικόν	"to comprehend a certain difference of the atoms in a certain [mentally] distinguishing way"	Epicurus On Nature, Book 25 P.Herc. 1056 fr. 5.3 (B 39)
διειλημμένος	having been distinguished	ΔΙΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ grasped through
ὁ διειλημμένος ἡμῖν Χρόνος	"the time that has been distinguished by us"	Epicurus On Nature, Possibly Book 10 P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 47
εὐλαβούμενος (η, ον)	carefully comprehending	ΕΥΛΑΒΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ properly grasped
οὐκ εὐλαβούμενος τοῦτο	"not carefully comprehending this"	Epicurus On Nature, Book 28 P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 10 sup.)
καταλαμβάνειν	to thoroughly comprehend	ΚΑΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ thoroughly grasping
προκαταλαμβάνειν	to thoroughly anticipate	ΠΡΟΚΑΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ before thoroughly grasping
καταλαβεῖν	to thoroughly comprehend [already]	ΚΑΤΑΛΑΒΕΙΝ
καταλαβεῖν τῇ διανοίᾳ	"to thoroughly comprehend with the mind"	Epicurus Letter to Herodotus Laertius 10.78b
ἡ κατάληψις αἱ καταλήψεις	[Stoic Term] thorough comprehension	ΚΑΤΑΛΗΨΙΣ thoroughly taking hold
μεταληπτός (ή, όν)	substitutable	ΜΕΤΑΛΗΠΤΟΣ taken hold change
μεταληπτέος (α, ον)	ought to be substituted	ΜΕΤΑΛΗΠΤΕΟΣ change ought to be taken hold
μεταληπτέον	it is necessary to substitute	
οὔτε διαλέκτους ὥς βελτίους Μεταληπτέον	"Nor is it necessary to substitute ways of speaking as [though they are] better	Epicurus Letter to Herodotus Laertius 10.72b

περιλαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to completely comprehend, [generally] to encompass	ΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping around</i>
τὸ περιλαμβανόμενον τὰ περιλαμβανόμενα	[intellectually] what is completely comprehended, [generally] what is completely encompassed	ΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>grasping around</i>
τὸ περιλαμβανόμενον ὕπὸ τοῦ ὑποκειμένου	<i>"what is completely comprehended [directly] from the original source"</i>	Epicurus <i>On Nature</i> Book 11 <i>P.Herc. 1042 col. 8 (column 40)</i>
συμπεριλαμβανόμενος (η, ον)	completely comprehended together	ΣΥΜΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>grasping around together</i>
τὸ συμπεριλαμβανόμενον	what is completely comprehended together	ΣΥΜΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ
τὸ ἐν τῷ λόγῳ ἐκ τῆς καταφορᾶς συμπεριλαμβανόμενον	<i>"what is completely comprehended together from a descent into [our] reasoning"</i>	Epicurus <i>On Nature</i>, Book 15 <i>P.Herc. 1151 fr. 26</i>
ἡ περίληψις αἱ περιλήψεις	complete comprehension	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold around</i>
ταῖς περιλήψεσι τῶν ἀθρόων	<i>"in [our] complete comprehension of what is aggregated"</i>	Epicurus <i>Letter to Herodotus</i> <i>Laertius 10.56b</i>
τὸ περιληπτόν τὰ περιληπτά	what is completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΟΝ <i>taken hold around</i>
ἐπινοεῖν ἀναλόγως τοῖς περιληπτοῖς	<i>"to objectively conceive analogously to what is completely comprehensible"</i>	Epicurus <i>Letter to Herodotus</i> <i>Laertius 10.40b</i>
τὸ περιειλημμένον τὰ περιειλημμένα	what has been completely comprehended	ΠΕΡΙΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of being grasped around</i>
τὰ αὐτῶν σχημάτων περιειλημμένα	<i>"what has been completely comprehended about their [atomic] shapes"</i>	Epicurus <i>Letter to Herodotus</i> <i>Laertius 10.42b</i>
περιληπτῶς	with complete comprehension	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΩΣ <i>taken hold around</i>
ἐπινοεῖν περιληπτῶς	<i>"to objectively conceive with complete comprehension"</i>	Epicurus <i>Letter to Herodotus</i> <i>Laertius 10.40b</i>
περιληπτός (ή, όν)	completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΟΣ <i>taken hold around</i>
τό μήκος περιληπτόν	<i>"a completely comprehensible length"</i>	Epicurus <i>Letter to Herodotus</i> <i>Laertius 10.46b</i>
περιληπτικός (ή, όν)	relating to what is completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold around</i>
τῶν ἐν περιληπτικῷ τρόπῳ διανοήσεων	<i>"...of mental perceptions in a way relating to what is completely comprehensible..."</i>	Epicurus <i>On Nature</i>, Book 28 <i>P.Herc. 1417, fr.5 (col.2)</i>
περιληπτικῶς	in a completely comprehensible way	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold around</i>

πολλοῖς ἂν Δόξειε... περιληπτικῶς...	* "it might have seemed to many in a completely comprehensible way..."	Epicurus On Nature, Book 28 P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 6 inf.)
ἀπεριλήπτως	without complete comprehension	ΑΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΩΣ <i>not taken hold around</i>
ἀπεριλήπτως Προσδοξάζουσιν	"They add judgment without complete comprehension"	Epicurus On Nature Book 25 P.Herc. 1056 col. 8 (fr. B 30)
ἀπερίληπτος (ος, ον)	not completely comprehensible	ΑΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΟΣ <i>not taken hold around</i>
ἀπεριλήπτοις Προσδοξάζουσιν	"They add judgment to [what is] not completely comprehensible"	idem supra On Nature Book 25 P.Herc. 1056 col. 8 (fr. B 30)
ἐμπεριλαμβάνειν	* to completely comprehend within	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping around in</i>
καὶ ἄλλην καὶ ἄλλην ἐμπεριλαμβάνων	* "completely comprehending one [idea] after another within"	Epicurus On Nature, Book 28 1479, fr. 13 (col. 12 sup. – part 2)
ἐμπεριληπτικός (ή, όν)	* completely comprehensible way within	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold around in</i>
ὁ ἐμπεριληπτικός τρόπος	* "the completely comprehensible way [of thinking] within"	Epicurus On Nature, Book 28 P.Herc. 1479, fr. 8 (col. 5)
ἐμπεριειλημμένος (η, ον)	* completely comprehended within	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>being grasped around in</i>
κατόψεται... ἐμπεριειλημμένα	"to inspect... what is completely comprehended within"	Epicurus Letter to Herodotus Laertius 10.68a
προλαμβάνειν	to anticipate	ΠΡΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping before</i>
ἢ πρόληψις αἱ πρόληψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
ἢ βλεπομένη παρ' ἡμῖν πρόληψις	"the anticipation seen by us"	Epicurus Letter to Herodotus Laertius 10.72a
εἰς τὴν πρόληψιν ἐναρμόττειν	"to fit into the anticipation"	ΚΔ 37
τοῦ κατὰ τὸ δίκαιον συμφέροντος ἢ πρόληψις	"the anticipation of benefit in regards to justice"	ΚΔ 37
μὴ ἀρμόττοντα εἰς τὴν πρόληψιν	"not fitting the anticipation"	ΚΔ 38
"τοῦ θυμωθήσεσθαι" ἢ πρόληψις	"the anticipation of 'becoming angry'"	Philodemus On Anger P.Herc. 182, col. 44 fin. – col. 45
κατὰ τὴν κοινοτέραν πρόληψιν	"according to the more common anticipation"	Philodemus On Anger P.Herc. 182, col. 44 fin. – col. 45
τὸ κατὰ τὴν ιδιότροπον πρόληψιν νοούμενον	"What is conceived according to a particular type of anticipation"	Epicurus On Nature, Possibly Book 10 P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 38
ἐφ' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν ἢ τῆς αἰτίας πρόληψις	"the anticipation of the cause on the basis of our own [movement]"	Epicurus On Nature, Book 25 P.Herc. 1191 fr. 110

τὸ ἐπὶ τῶν πρόληψεων γινόμενον	"what is produced upon the anticipations [i.e. error]"	Epicurus On Nature, Book 28 P.Herc. 1479, fr. 12 (col. 3)
καθάπερ ὀρίζεται Χρόνος εἶναι πρόληψιν	"just as Time is distinguished to exist as an anticipation"	Philodemus On Piety 1.66A.1887
"πρόληψιν" – id est, anteceptam animo rei quandam informationem	"that is, some information in the mind obtained before [thinking]"	Cicero De Natura Deorum, 1.16.43
προειληφώς <i>(υῖα, ὅς)</i>	anticipated [i.e., prior to active thinking]	ΠΡΟΕΙΛΗΦΩΣ <i>before having grasped</i>
μοχθηρί εἰσι Τύποι προειληφότες	"anticipated Impressions in a wretched [state]"	Epicurus On Nature, Book 25 P.Herc. 1191 fr. 114
προειλημμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having already been anticipated	ΠΡΟΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>being having grasped before</i>
τὸ προειλημμένον τὰ προειλημμένα	[*^] what has already been anticipated	ΠΡΟΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΝ <i>being having grasped before</i>
τὸ προειλημμένον κατὰ τὸ ἴδιον ὄνομα	"what has already been anticipated according to a particular word"	Epicurus On Nature, Possibly Book 10 P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 11
συμμεταλαμβάνειν	to partake [lit. "to take a share together"]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping change together</i>
τὸ μέρος συμμεταλαμβάνει	[one's soul] partakes in a part [of the motion that surrounds it]	Epicurus On Nature, Book 25 P.Herc. 1634 fr. 2 (A 18)
συλλαμβάνειν	to comprehend together	ΣΥΛΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping together</i>
συλλαβεῖν	to comprehend together [already]	ΣΥΛΛΑΒΕΙΝ <i>grasped together</i>
συλλαβών <i>(οὔσα, ὄν)</i>	comprehending together [already]	
ὃ συλλαβόντες κατὰ τὸ ἄθρόον σῶμα Προσαγορεύομεν	* "which we refer to while comprehending together in accordance with the aggregated body"	Epicurus Letter to Herodotus, Laertius 10.70b
ὑπολαμβάνειν	to suppose	ΥΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping under</i>
σοφώτερον ὑπελάμβανέ τι	"He supposed something [was] wiser"	Epicurus On Nature, Book 28 P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 7 inf.)
ἡ ὑπόληψις αἱ ὑπολήψεις	supposition	ΥΠΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold under</i>
ἡ ἐναπολήψις αἱ ἐναπολήψεις	integration	ΕΝΑΠΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold from within</i>

13. Cult

Sacrifice	Burnt Offerings	θυσίαι	public	On Piety 1453 Athenaeus. 5.179D Plutarch, Cont. Beat. 1102B Plut. Cont. Beat 1102C Plut. Adv. Col. 1112C Porphyry, De Abst. 1.7-12
-----------	-----------------	--------	--------	---

	<i>Offering to the Dead</i>	ἐναγίσματα	private	<i>On Piety</i> 818
Attendance of Local Calendrical Festivals	<i>Jug Drinking Day</i>	Χοαί	public	<i>On Piety</i> 806 & 1398
	<i>Anthesteria, generally</i>	Ἄνθεστήρια	public	<i>On Piety</i> 865
Festivities	<i>Feasting</i>	εὐωχία	private	<i>On Piety</i> 819 <i>D.L.</i> 10.18
Dedication	<i>Votive Offerings</i>	ἀναθήματα	public	<i>D.L.</i> 10.120
Initiation	<i>Attic Eleusinian Mysteries</i>	τὰ Ἐλευσίνια Μυστήρια	public <i>(restricted)</i>	<i>On Piety</i> 557 & 808 & 1397
Adoration	<i>Honorific Statues, Commemorative Images</i>	ἀγάλματα	public	<i>On Piety</i> 910 <i>Cicero De Nat. Deorum</i> 1.58 <i>Origen Contr. Cels.</i> 7.66 <i>Cicero De Fin.</i> 5.3
Confession	<i>Declaration of Faults</i>	μηνύειν τὰς ἀμαρτίας	private	<i>U160</i>

14. Madmen

Οἱ Μεμνηότες <i>"Μεμήνασι δ' οὗτοι"</i>			
Timocrates of Lampsacus	(fl. c. 290 ^{BCE})	<i>brother of Metrodorus: broke with Epicurus and publicly criticized him.</i>	<i>D.L.</i> 10.6
Timon of Phlius	(fl.c. 280 ^{BCE})	<i>Athenian Comic:</i>	<i>D.L.</i> 10.3
Posidonius the Stoic	(fl. c. 140 ^{BCE})	Politician and Celtologist: <i>criticized Epicurean philosophy</i>	<i>D.L.</i> 10.4
Diotimus the Stoic	(fl. c. 100 ^{BCE})	<i>Plagiarist: accused Epicurus of vice by forging and spreading fifty obscene letters</i>	<i>D.L.</i> 10.3
Dionysius of Halicarnassus	(fl. c. 20 ^{BCE})	<i>Rhetorician and Historian: rejected Epicurean literary theory</i>	<i>D.L.</i> 10.4
Nicolaus of Damascus	(fl. c. 10 ^{CE})	<i>Court Historian of Herod the Great: who attacked Epicurean teachings</i>	<i>D.L.</i> 10.4
Sotion	(fl. c. 30 ^{CE})	<i>Teacher of Seneca: dismissed Epicureanism in favor of eclecticism</i>	<i>D.L.</i> 10.4

15. Mocking Epithets

the Clatterers	οἱ περὶ Πυθαγόραν <i>followers of Pythagoras</i> (c. 530 BCE onward)	Lēkythismoí	οἱ Ληκυθισμοί <i>action of a lekythos</i>
----------------	--	-------------	---

A lekkythos is a clay oil flask – an empty one makes a hollow, clattering noise. Pythagorean teachings were steeped in secret symbols and the esoteric jargon of mystical numerology. The adherents of Pythagoras spoke with words empty of meaning: both the flask and the students make noise but have no actual content.			
the Agitator	Ἡράκλειτος Heraclitus of Ephesus (fl. 500 BCE)	Kykētēs	ὁ Κυκητής wheel
Heraclitus was fundamentally a skeptic, saying: "let us not hazard guesses about the most important matters" (Laertius 9.73) Plato mocked the Heracliteans and their theory of fiery flux by calling them οἱ ῥέοντες "the fluxing ones" (Theaetetus 180c) "The Stoics together with Heraclitus say that matter is wholly and completely changeable and alterable and mutable and fluid." (Aëtius 1.9.2) Epicurus escalates the irony: not just calling Heraclitus a "Fluxor" – but an "Agitator." Epicurus' term alludes to Heraclitus' own metaphor of the kykeōn (a mixed barley drink) which will separate if not stirred (DK B125)			
the Basketbearer	Πρωταγόρας Protagoras (fl. 450 BCE)	Phormophóros	ὁ Φορμοφόρος wicker basket carrying
According to Athenaeus: "in the same letter [On Occupations], Epicurus also says that Protagoras, the sophist, from first being a basket-carrier and firewood-carrier, he later became Democritus' scribe (with Protagoras having been admired by Democritus for some particular invention for carrying firewood)" (Deipnosophists, 8.50, 354C) According to Laertius: "Protagoras was the first to invent the so-called 'Tyle' (on which people carry burdens)" (9.53)			
the Thickskulls	οἱ περὶ Πρωταγόραν followers of Protagoras	Baryengképhaloi	οἱ Βαρυεγκέφαλοι heavy head
Protagoras was a student of Democritus – even though Democritus was about 30 years younger. Epicurus highlights Protagoras' humble origins as a manual laborer, his late start in philosophy, and his misapplication of Democritus' science in founding the "profession" of sophistry.			
the Pretenders	οἱ περὶ Σωκράτη followers of Socrates (430 BCE onward)	Alazoneîai	αἱ Ἀλαζονεῖαι vagrant / boaster
Socrates took the skepticism that was rampant in physical science and applied it to human life. The term "Pretenders" applied to followers of Socrates as a jab at their public posturing and affected ignorance. For example Phaedo of Elis, a close student, and the main speaker in Plato's "Phaedo." Phaedo later returned to his hometown and founded the Elean School of philosophy (teaching that virtue is knowledge, the mind is the sole source of the good, and the soul is immortal). Cicero reports that Epicurus "fouly slandered Phaedo [of Elis], the disciple of Socrates" (DND 1.93)			
the Arbiter of Absurdities	Δημόκριτος Democritus (fl. 420 BCE)	Lērókritos	ὁ Ληρόκριτος judge of nonsense

<i>Democritus was an eliminative materialist (believing that only the atoms and the void really exist) and extreme skeptic, saying: "men know nothing, for truth is in an abyss" (Laertius 9.72) and he denied that any criterion of knowledge exists, holding that "none of the apparent things is a criterion"(9.106)</i> <i>Democritus thought that the gods deliberately communicate with men via the films, and he thought the films have their own perception.</i> <i>Democritus also took a particular interest in zombies.</i> <i>According to Proclus (Commentary on the Republic, 2.113.6)</i> <i>"Stories of people who appeared to have died and then came back to life were collected by many of the ancients including the scientist Democritus in his writings..."</i>			
the Golden One	Πλάτων Plato (fl. c 388 BCE) Ἀριστοκλῆς Aristocles	Chrysoûs	ὁ Χρυσοῦς shining
<i>Born at the end of the golden age – during the great plague of Athens – Plato eventually "created a synthesis of the doctrines of Heraclitus, Pythagoras, and Socrates." (Laertius 3.8)</i> <i>Plato is fundamentally a Skeptic, leaving truth "to the gods" and only hoping for "probable explanations." (Laertius 9.72, Timaeus 29c)</i>			
the Manslayers	οἱ περὶ Ἡρακλείδην followers of Heracleides of Aenus	Androphoníai	αἱ Ἀνδροφονίαι man slaying
<i>A pupil of Plato, Heracleides of Aenus took part in the assassination of the King Cotys I of Thrace around 360 BCE</i>			
the Dionysus-Flatterers	οἱ περὶ Πλάτωνα followers of Plato	Dionysokólakes	οἱ Διονουσοκόλακες Dionysus flatterer
<i>A reference to King Dionysius, the tyrant of Syracuse.</i> <i>Epicurus is ridiculing the Academy's sycophantic pursuit of political influence: especially Plato's own ill-fated attempt to tutor King Dionysius II – who ultimately detained Plato against his will.</i>			
the Enemies of Greece	οἱ Κυζικηνοί the Cyzicenes (350 BCE onward)	Echthroì tês Helládos	οἱ Ἐχθροὶ τῆς Ἑλλάδος hating
<i>the Cyzicenes here refers to the students of Eudoxus of Cnidos (Κνίδος), the mathematical astronomer and associate of Plato. Eudoxus taught at Cyzicus (Κύζικος) and set up a school there. (Cyzicus is on the Sea of Marmara about 35 miles east of Lampsacus.)</i> <i>Eudoxus' teachings on pleasure were similar to Epicurus.</i> <i>Eudoxus died right around when Epicurus was born, but he left many influential pupils in Cyzicus, who also spread their influence from there to Athens.</i>			
the Degenerate	Ἀριστοτέλης Aristotle (fl. 344 BCE)	Ásōtos	ὁ Ἄσωτος not saving
<i>Aristotle wasted his inheritance and then turned to military service and selling drugs – presumably in connection with his peddling of remedies, we also hear of Aristotle: "bathing in a bath of warm oil and then selling the oil." (Laertius 5.16)</i>			
the Grand Wreckers	οἱ Διαλεκτικοί Dialecticians	Polýphthoroi	οἱ Πολύφθοροι having damaged much
<i>Epicurus grouped together the Aristotelians, Academic Skeptics, Megarian logicians, and Stoics as purveyors of fruitless debate that could be destructive to living well.</i> <i>By dubbing all dialecticians "the Grand Wreckers," Epicurus highlighted the deep rift between his philosophy and the logic-oriented approach of the builders of false systems such as the Aristotelians and of the outright destructive skepticism of the Megarians such as Diodorus Cronus.</i>			
the Groaners	οἱ περὶ Θεόφραστον followers of Theophrastus * (fl. 330 BCE)	Barýstonoi	οἱ Βαρύστονοι heavy tone

ὁ ὥκειωμένος	he who is familiar	ΩΙΚΕΙΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>made comfortable dwelling</i>
ὁ προβεβηκώς	he who has advanced	ΠΡΟΒΕΒΗΚΩΣ <i>to have walked forward</i>
ὁ ἀποτελούμενος	he who is fully completing [for himself]	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>completing out</i>
ὁ τετελεσιουργημένος	he who has accomplished [for himself]	ΤΕΤΕΛΕΣΙΟΥΡΓΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>completed work</i>
ὁ σοφός	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>

PRINCIPLE JUDGMENTS

Κύριαι Δόξαι

ΚΔ 1. Contentment & Indestructibility

To **ma-ká-ri-on** kai **á-phthar-ton** **ού-te** **au-to** **prág-ma-ta** **έ-chei** – **ού-te** **ál-lō** **pa-ré-chei**:
hō-ste **ού-te** **or-gaís** **ού-te** **chá-ri-si** **sy-né-che-tai** – **en** **as-the-neí** **gar** **Pân** **to** **toi-ού-ton**

Τὸ μακάριον καὶ **ἄφθαρτον** οὔτε **αὐτὸ πράγματα** ἔχει – οὔτε **ἄλλω** παρέχει:
ὥστε οὔτε **ὀργαῖς** οὔτε **χάρισι** συνέχεται – **ἐν ἀσθενεῖ** γὰρ **Πᾶν** τὸ **τοιούτον**

What is **blessed** and **indestructible** neither holds onto **situations** **itself** – nor gives [*them*] over **to someone else**: and therefore is not kept united **with** **moods** or **with favors** – for everything **like this** is in [*a state of*] **weakness**

One who is content and indestructible does not retain problems and does not cause problems for others – and therefore is not wrapped up in moods or partialities.

κύριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	principle	ΚΥΡΙΟΣ <i>critical / valid</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἡ μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from greatness</i>
τὸ μακάριον τὰ μακάρια	what is blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΝ <i>greatness</i>
μακάριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ <i>greatness</i>
ἄφθαρτος <i>(ον)</i>	indestructible	ΑΦΘΑΡΤΟΣ <i>not decaying</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
παρέχειν	to yield [here, "to give over"]	ΠΑΡΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold beside</i>
ἡ ὀργή αἱ ὀργαί	mood	ΟΡΓΗ <i>reaching out</i>
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	favor [here, "grace"]	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>charming / gracious</i>
συνέχειν	to keep united	ΣΥΝΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding together</i>
ἀσθενής <i>(ές)</i>	weak	ΑΣΘΕΝΗΣ <i>not strength</i>
τὸ πᾶν <i>(τοῦ παντός)</i>	<i>(individually)</i> everything, <i>(collectively)</i> the universe	ΠΑΝ <i>all</i>
τοιούτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιούτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Αποκολοκύντωσης Divi Claudii, 8

Ἐπικούρειος Θεὸς non potest esse: οὔτε Αὐτὸς πράγμα ἔχει τι – οὔτε ἄλλοις παρέχει

an Epicurean God he cannot be: for He himself neither holds onto any situation – nor pours [one] onto others

Seneca partially preserves an alternative version of this **Κύρια Δόξα**, while speaking mockingly of Claudius.

τὸ πράγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
--	-----------	--

The Example of the Gods

Lucretius (fl.c. 59 ^{BCE}), De Rerum Natura 1.48

ómnis enim per sē dívum Nātúra necessest
indeed, it must be by its own ability that the entire Nature of the gods

immortáli évnō súmmā cum páce fruátur
enjoys unending time with the greatest peace,

sēmót^a ab nóstrīs rébus sēiúnctaque lóngē;
removed from our issues and far separated

nam, prīvāta dolór^e ómnī, prīvāta perícłīs,
for, deprived from all pain, deprived from risks,

ípsa súīs póllēns ópibus, níhil índiga nóstrī,
itself powerful in its own resources, wanting nothing from us,

nec béne prōméritīs cápítur nec tángitur írā
it is neither agreeably captured by good deeds, nor touched by anger

Human Weakness

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), On The Gods, Book 3, P.Herc. 152, fr. 9 ⁽⁸⁷⁾

...χρειωδῶν ἡ συμφυλία π[ρὸς τήν] συνανα[σ]τροφὴν αὐτῇ τὰ πάθη παρ[αδίδω]σιν. οὐ γὰρ δυνατόν ἔχειν τὴν συμφυλίαν ἄνε[υ] πάσης ἐπιμειξίας ὄντας. ἀμέλει δὲ κ[αὶ] ἐφ' ἡμῶν τῶν ἀσθενῶν κ[αὶ] πρ[οσ]δεομένων πρ[ὸς τ]ὰ [χρ]ειώδη τ[ῆς] φιλίας [οὐκ ἔτι φτω τὸ λοιπὸν ὑ]ποβλ[]ισ[]τας]...

...χρειωδῶν ἡ Συμφυλία πρὸς τὴν συναναστροφὴν αὐτῇ τὰ πάθη παραδίδωσιν: οὐ γὰρ δυνατόν ἔχειν **τὴν συμφυλίαν ἄνευ πάσης ἐπιμειξίας, ὄντας**

...the similarity [arising] from necessities, in regards to association, gives the feelings over to [that similarly]: for maintaining similarity, while existing [together], is not possible without any mixture [of feelings]

ἀμέλει δὲ, καὶ ἐφ' ἡμῶν, τῶν ἀσθενῶν καὶ προσδεομένων πρὸς τὰ χρειώδη τῆς φιλίας...

indeed, certainly for us, who are weak and have further need for the necessary things of friendship...

Regarding imperfection, Philodemus directly connects human weakness with our need for friendship. While discussing the importance of mutual friendship (ἡ **συμφυλία**) he says that generosity is necessary.

ἡ συμφυλία αἱ συμφυλῖαι	similarity	ΣΥΜΦΥΛΙΑ <i>race / tribe</i> <i>together</i>
--	------------	---

ΚΔ 2. The Limit of Life

ho Thá-na-tos ou-den pros hē-mās: To gar di-a-ly-then a-nais-thē-teí – To d'a-nais-thē-toûn
ou-den pros hē-mās

ὁ Θάνατος **οὐδὲν** πρὸς ἡμᾶς: Τὸ γὰρ διαλυθὲν **ἀναισθητεῖ** – Τὸ δ' ἀναισθητοῦν **οὐδὲν** πρὸς ἡμᾶς

Death is nothing to us: because What has been fully dissolved lacks sensation – and What has no sensation is nothing to us

ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
διαλύειν	to fully dissolve	ΔΙΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>through dissolve</i>
τὸ διαλυθὲν τὰ διαλυθέντα	what has been fully dissolved	ΔΙΑΛΥΘΕΝ <i>through dissolve</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ἀναισθητεῖν	to lack sensation	ΑΝΑΙΣΘΗΤΕΙΝ <i>not sensing</i>
τὸ ἀναισθητοῦν τὰ ἀναισθητοῦντα	what has no sensation	ΑΝΑΙΣΘΗΤΟΥΝ <i>not sensing</i>

Death is Nothing to Us

Lucretius (fl.c. 59 BCE), De Rerum Natura 3.830

nīl *igītur* Mors est ad nos *néque* pértinet **hýlum**

therefore Death is nothing to us and it does not pertain to us at all

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus 2.100

Mortem nihil ad nōs pertinēre: Quod enim dissolūtum sit – Id esse sine sēnsū. Quod, autem, sine sēnsū sit – Id nihil ad nōs pertinēre omnīnō

Death in no way concerns us: What has been dissolved – That is without sensation. What, however, is without sensation – That pertains to us in no way at all

ΚΔ 3. The Limit of Pleasure

Hó-ros toû me-gé-thous tôn hē-do-nôn hē pan-tos toû al-goûn-tos Hy-pe-xaí-re-sis

Ὅρος τοῦ μεγέθους **τῶν ἡδονῶν ἢ** παντός τοῦ ἀλγοῦντος **ὑπεξαίρεσις**

the Limit of the extension of pleasures is the Removal away of all that causes pain

hó-pou d'an To hē-dó-me-non e-nē – kath' hon an chró-non ē: ouk és-ti To al-goûn ē
ly-poú-me-non – ē To sy-nam-phó-te-ron

ὅπου δ' ἂν Τὸ ἡδόμενον ἐνῇ – **καθ'** ὃν ἂν **χρόνον** ᾗ: οὐκ ἔστι Τὸ ἀλγοῦν ἢ λυπούμενον – ἢ Τὸ συναμφότερον

wherever What pleases is present – during that time it exists: there is neither What pains or distresses – or What does both

Pain and pleasure are mutually exclusive at any particular point in the body.

Epicurus is, in part, responding to the teachings of the Cyrenaics, who viewed the removal of pain as a state of calm to which pleasure could then be added.

Epicurus is also responding to Plato who argued for the existence of mixed pleasures (μικταί ἡδοναί) – which Plato imagined contained an aspect of pain.

ὁ ὄρος οἱ ὄροι	limit	ὍΡΟΣ outline, definition
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ largeness
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ sweet
τὸ ἡδόμενον τὰ ἡδόμενα	what pleases	ἩΔΟΜΕΝΟΝ sweet
ἀλγεῖν	to feel pain	ΑΛΓΕΙΝ pain
ἀλγῶν (οὔσα, οὔν)	feeling pain	
ἡ ἀλγηδών αἱ ἀλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ sensed pain
τὸ ἀλγοῦν τὰ ἀλγοῦντα	what causes pain	ΑΛΓΟΥΝ pain
ἐξαιρεῖν	to remove	ΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΝ taking out
ἡ ὑπεξαίρεσις αἱ ὑπεξαίρέσεις	taking out from underneath	ΥΠΕΞΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ taking out from under
ἡ ἀπαλλαγὴ αἱ ἀπαλλαγαί	deliverance	ΑΠΑΛΛΑΓΗ other away
ἡ ἄφοδος αἱ ἀφόδοι	departure	ΑΦΟΔΟΣ path away
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ [seizing]
λυπηρός (ά, όν)	distressing	ΛΥΠΗΡΟΣ vexation
λυπεῖν	to distress	ΛΥΠΕΙΝ vexation
τὸ λυπούμενον τὰ λυπούμενα	what distresses	ΛΥΠΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ vexation
ἀμφότερος (ος, ον)	both	ΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΣ what is on both sides
τὸ συναμφοτέρον τὰ συναμφοτέρα	both [together]	ΣΥΝΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΝ both of the two together

"The Good" is Escaping "The Bad"

Metrodorus, quoted by Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse 1091 A

Τοῦτο αὐτὸ τὸ ἀγαθόν ἐστι: τὸ Φυγεῖν τὸ κακόν – ἐνθα γὰρ τεθήσεται τἀγαθόν οὐκ ἔστιν, ὅταν Μηθὲν ἔτι ὑπεξίη μήτε ἀλγεινὸν μήτε λυπηρόν

This very thing is the good: Escaping the bad – because it is not possible for the good to be placed anywhere, when Nothing painful or distressing is further withdrawing

It is common for people to consider the elimination of pain as the onset of pleasure. In fact, the absence of pain, and the resulting state of painlessness, is precisely what constitutes pleasure.

Full physical contentment is consistently and naturally achieved through our body's internal processes when we have the necessary provisions of food and shelter.

Similarly, full mental contentment can be maintained by recognizing the ease with which physical contentment can be obtained and by cultivating gratitude for this success.

ΚΔ 4. The Limit of Pain

ou chronízei To algoûn **synechôs** en tē sarkí: alla to men Ákron **ton eláχiston chrónon** páresti – To de **mónon** hyperteínon **to hēdómenon** kata sárka ou **pollas hēméras** symbaínei

οὐ χρονίζει Τὸ ἀλγοῦν συνεχῶς ἐν τῇ σαρκί: ἀλλὰ τὸ μὲν Ἄκρον τὸν ἐλάχιστον χρόνον πάρεστι – **Τὸ δὲ μόνον ὑπερτεῖνον τὸ ἡδόμενον κατὰ σάρκα οὐ πολλὰς ἡμέρας** συμβαίνει

What causes pain does not linger **continuously** in the **flesh**: but the highest Point is present **for the most minuscule time** – and That which **merely** exceeds **what pleases** in relation to the **body** does not endure **for many days**

hai de Polychrónioi tôn arrōstiōn **pleonázōn** échousi **to hēdómenon** en tē sarkí éper to algoûn

αἱ δὲ Πολυχρόνιοι τῶν ἀρρωστιῶν πλεονάζον ἔχουσι **τὸ ἡδόμενον ἐν τῇ σαρκὶ ἥπερ τὸ ἀλγοῦν**

and the Long-lasting symptoms of illnesses have **what pleases exceeding** in the **body** **over the painful**

The significance of pain in life is often overestimated – in fact our body's sensory areas generally experience natural pleasure when free from disturbance.

Pain and pleasure are mutually exclusive at any specific point in the body – nevertheless, of course, some parts of the body may experience pain while other parts of the body do not feel pain.

ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
χρονίζειν	to linger	ΧΡΟΝΙΖΕΙΝ
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
πολυχρόνιος <i>(ον)</i>	long-lasting	ΠΟΛΥΧΡΟΝΙΟΣ <i>much time</i>
ἢ πολυχρόνιος αἱ πολυχρόνιοι	long-lasting symptoms	ΠΟΛΥΧΡΟΝΙΟΣ <i>much time</i>
τὸ ἀλγοῦν τὰ ἀλγοῦντα	what causes pain	ΑΛΓΟΥΝ <i>pain</i>
συνεχῶς	continuously	ΣΥΝΕΧΩΣ <i>holding together</i>
ἡ σὰρξ αἱ σάρκες	flesh	ΣΑΡΞ <i>flesh</i>
τὸ ἄκρον τὰ ἄκρα	the highest point	ΑΚΡΟΝ <i>highest</i>
τὸ ἐλάχιστον τὰ ἐλάχιστα	the minimum <i>(the smallest thing)</i>	ΕΛΑΧΙΣΤΟΝ <i>small</i>
ἐλαχύς	minuscule	ΕΛΑΧΥΣ <i>small</i>
ἐλάχιστος <i>(ἐλαχίστη, ἐλάχιστον)</i>	most minuscule	
ἐνεῖναι	to implant	ΕΝΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being inside</i>

παρεῖναι	to be present	ΠΑΡΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being beside</i>
τείνειν	to extend	ΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>extending</i>
ὑπερτείνων	exceeding	ὙΠΕΡΤΕΙΝΩΝ <i>extending over</i>
τὸ ἡδόμενον τὰ ἡδόμενα	what pleases	ἩΔΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>sweet</i>
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	ἩΜΕΡΑ <i>day</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
ἡ ἀρρωστία αἱ ἀρρωστίαι	illness	ΑΡΡΩΣΤΙΑ <i>weakness</i>
τὸ πλέον τὰ πλέω	the greater	ΠΛΕΟΝ <i>more</i>
πλεονάζειν	to exceed	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΖΕΙΝ <i>more</i>
πλεονάζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	exceeding	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΖΩΝ <i>more</i>

ΚΔ 5. Practical Virtues

ouk és-tin hē-dé-ōs Zēn á-neu toû phro-ní-mōs kai ka-lōs kai di-kaí-ōs – *ou-de*
phro-ní-mōs kai ka-lōs kai di-kaí-ōs á-neu toû hē-dé-ōs:

οὐκ ἔστιν ἡδέως Ζῆν ἄνευ τοῦ φρονίμως καὶ καλῶς καὶ δικαίως – οὐδὲ φρονίμως καὶ καλῶς καὶ δικαίως ἄνευ τοῦ ἡδέως

it is **not** possible to live **pleasantly** without [*living*] prudently and correctly and justly – **nor** [*is it possible to live*] prudently and correctly and justly without [*living*] pleasantly

hó-tō de Toû-to mē hy-pár-chei, oukh é-sti Toû-ton hē-dé-ōs zēn

ὅτω δὲ Τοῦτο μὴ ὑπάρχει: οὐχ ἔστι Τοῦτον ἡδέως ζῆν

when This is does not exist **for someone**: it is not possible that **He is living** pleasantly

*The manuscripts have the second instance of **φρονίμως καὶ καλῶς καὶ δικαίως** moved towards the end of the sentence. Most editors keep this as a third instance of **φρονίμως καὶ καλῶς καὶ δικαίως** and also add it back to its original position in brackets.*

ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
ἡδέως	pleasantly	ἩΔΕΩΣ <i>sweet</i>
βιοῦν	to live [to live one's life]	ΒΙΟΥΝ <i>living</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
ἡ φροντίς αἱ φροντίδες	consideration	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΣ <i>mind</i>
φρονεῖν	to consider	ΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>mind</i>
φρονίμως	prudently	ΦΡΟΝΙΜΩΣ <i>mind</i>
καλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	correct / beautiful	ΚΑΛΟΣ <i>lovely</i>
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>lovely</i>
δίκαιος <i>(ᾱ, ον)</i>	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>

δικάζειν	to judge	ΔΙΚΑΖΕΙΝ
δικαίως	justly	ΔΙΚΑΙΩΣ <i>custom / right</i>
υ̐παρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>

ΚΔ 6. Good Relations

hé-ne-ka toû thar-reîn ex an-thrô-pôn: ên, ka-ta phý-sin, a-ga-thón – ex hôn án po-te toûth'

Hoî-ós t'ê pa-ra-skeu-á-zes-thai

ἔνεκα τοῦ θαρρεῖν ἐξ ἀνθρώπων· ἦν, κατὰ φύσιν, ἀγαθόν – ἐξ ὧν ἂν ποτε τοῦθ' οἷός τ' ἦ παρασκευάζεσθαι

for the sake of deriving confidence from people: [Anything], according to nature, is able to be a good – from which at any time Anyone may be able to provide this for themselves

Any means of obtaining protection is a natural good.

ἔνεκα ἐνεκεν	for the sake of	ΈNEKA ΈNEKEN
θαρρεῖν	to be confident	ΘARPEIN <i>taking courage</i>
τὸ θαρρεῖν	being confident [here, "deriving confidence"]	
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ANΘPΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
οἷος <i>(οἶα, οἶον)</i>	such as	ΌΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
παρασκευάζεσθαι	to provide for oneself	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>preparing alongside</i>

ΚΔ 7. Respect & Popularity

ên-do-xoi kai pe-rí-blep-toî Ti-nes e-bou-lê-thê-san ge-nés-thai – tēn ex an-thrô-pôn

as-phá-lei-an hoû-tō no-mí-zon-tes pe-ri-poi-és-ses-thai,

ἔνδοξοι καὶ περίβλεπτοί Τινες ἐβουλήθησαν γενέσθαι – τὴν ἐξ ἀνθρώπων ἀσφάλειαν οὕτω νομίζοντες περιποιήσεσθαι

Some people want to become respected and popular – thinking to preserve for themselves stability from people in this way

hós-te, ei men as-pha-lēs ho tôn toi-ού-tōn Bí-os: A-pé-la-bon to tēs phý-se-ōs a-ga-thón

ὥστε, εἰ μὲν ἀσφαλὴς ὁ τῶν τοιούτων Βίος· Ἀπέλαβον τὸ τῆς φύσεως ἀγαθόν

so that, if the Life of those like this is stable: They fully acquire the good of nature

– ei de mē as-pha-lēs ~ ouk ê-chou-sin hoû hé-ne-ka, ex

ar-chês, ka-ta to tēs phý-se-ōs oi-keî-on, ô-réch-thê-san

εἰ δὲ μὴ ἀσφαλὴς – οὐκ ἔχουσιν οὗ ἔνεκα, ἐξ ἀρχῆς, κατὰ τὸ τῆς φύσεως οἰκεῖον, ὠρέχθησαν

but if it is not stable ~ They do not possess [that] for the sake of which, from the beginning, according to what is naturally compatible, they craved

Power and popularity benefits only as much as they can be used to provide security.

Having either obviously harms mental and personal health to the extent they cause one to be exposed to stress and dangers.

ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἔνδοξος <i>(ον)</i>	respected	ΕΝΔΟΞΟΣ <i>seeming "in"</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
περίβλεπτος <i>(ον)</i>	popular	ΠΕΡΙΒΛΕΠΤΟΣ <i>looking around</i>
βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>wishing</i>
γενέσθαι	to be produced [here, "to become"]	ΓΕΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>came into being</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ἡ ἀσφάλεια αἱ ἀσφάλειαι	stability [lit. "not tripping"]	ΑΣΦΑΛΕΙΑ <i>not stumbling</i>
ἀσφαλής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	stable	ΑΣΦΑΛΗΣ <i>not stumbling</i>
νομίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	thinking	ΝΟΜΙΖΩΝ <i>custom</i>
ποιεῖσθαι	to be made	ΠΟΙΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>gathering</i>
περιποιεῖσθαι	to acquire for oneself	ΠΕΡΙΠΟΙΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>gathering around</i>
Part 2		
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ἀπολαμβάνειν	to fully acquire	ΑΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping away from</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
Part 3		
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [here, "beginning"]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
ὀρέγειν	to grasp for	ΟΡΕΓΕΙΝ <i>reaching</i>

ΚΔ 8. Practical Consequences

ou-de-mi-a Hē-do-nē kath' he-au-tēn ka-kón – al-la Ta ti-nôn hē-do-nôn poi-ē-ti-ka
pol-lap-la-sf-ous e-pi-phē-rei tas och-lē-seis tōn hē-do-nôn

οὐδεμία ἦδονή καθ’ ἑαυτὴν κακόν – ἀλλὰ Τὰ τινῶν ἡδονῶν ποιητικὰ
πολλαπλασίους ἐπιφέρει τὰς οχλήσεις τῶν ἡδονῶν

not even one Pleasure in itself is bad – but Those things that are productive
of some pleasures bring multiple times more disturbances than pleasures

οὐδεὶς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>

κακός (ή, όν)	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἀντιποιητικός (ή, όν)	contradictory	ΑΝΤΙΠΟΙΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of gathering against</i>
ποιητικός (ή, όν)	productive, [or] poetic	ΠΟΙΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of gathering</i>
τὸ ποιητικόν τὰ ποιητικά	what is productive	
πολλάκις	often	ΠΟΛΛΑΚΙΣ <i>many times</i>
πολλαπλασίως	in many ways	ΠΟΛΛΑΠΛΑΣΙΩΣ <i>many fold</i>
πολλαπλάσιος (α, ον)	multiple times more	
ἐπιφέρειν	to apply to	ΕΠΙΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing upon</i>
ὁ ὄχλος οἱ ὄχλοι	crowd	ΟΧΛΟΣ <i>crowd of people</i>
τό ὄχλημα τά ὀχλήματα	[cause of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΜΑ <i>result of a crowd of people</i>
ἡ ὄχλησις αἱ ὀχλήσεις	[feeling of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of a crowd of people</i>

ΚΔ 9. Variance of Pleasures

ei ka-te-pyk-noŭ-to pā-sa Hē-do-nē kai chró-nō kai pe-ri h́o-lon to áth-rois-ma hy-pêr-chen
(ē ta ky-ri-ŏ-ta-ta mé-rē tēs phý-se-ōs) – ouk án po-te di-é-phe-ron al-lē-lōn hai Hē-do-naí

εἰ κατεπυκνοῦτο πᾶσα Ἥδονή καὶ χρόνῳ καὶ περὶ ὅλον τὸ ἄθροισμα ὑπῆρχεν
(ἢ τὰ κυριώτατα μέρη τῆς φύσεως) – οὐκ ἂν ποτε διέφερον ἀλλήλων αἱ Ἥδοναί

if every Pleasure was fully concentrated both in time and existed in the whole organism (or in the most essential parts of its nature) – then Pleasures would never differ from one another

A counterfactual argument.

This statement is in part a response to the view of the *Cyrenaics* – a general grouping of philosophers following *Aristippos* ("the best-horse") of *Kyrénē* (an ancient city in what is now Libya). *Aristippos*, who himself was originally a follower of *Socrates*, thought that:

- (1) pleasures do not differ from one another
- (2) one pleasure is not more or less pleasant than another, and
- (3) any particular pleasure is momentary, unable to be prolonged

This incorrect understanding leads to indiscrimination in choosing pleasures.

In reality, even though pleasure cannot be increased beyond the absence of pain, pleasures are variable in duration (from momentary to continuous) and location (affecting different parts of the body, including the mind) and have different qualities. Therefore, discrimination is required in choosing pleasures.

ἡ πύκνωσις αἱ πυκνώσεις	a concentrating	ΠΥΚΝΩΣΙΣ <i>action of compacting</i>
τὸ πύκνωμα τὰ πυκνώματα	a concentration	ΠΥΚΝΩΜΑ <i>the result of compacting</i>
καταπυκνοῦν	to fully concentrate	ΚΑΤΑΠΥΚΝΟΥΝ <i>thoroughly compacting</i>

τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἄθροίσματα	[inorganic] aggregate [organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of [being]</i> <i>gathered</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
κύριος (α, ον)	essential	ΚΥΡΙΟΣ <i>critical / valid</i>
κυριώτατος (κυριωτάτη, κυριώτατον)	most essential	ΚΥΡΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most critical /</i> <i>valid</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
διαφέρειν	to differ	ΔΙΑΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing through</i>
[no nominative] ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>

ΚΔ 10. Practical Benefits

ei ta Poi-ē-ti-ka tōn pe-ri tous a-śō-tous hē-do-nōn é-ly-e tous phó-bous tēs di-a-noí-as

(τούς te pe-ri me-te-ō-rōn kai tha-ná-tou kai al-gē-dó-nōn – é-ti te to pé-ras tōn

e-pi-thy-mi-ōn kai tōn al-gē-dó-nōn e-dí-das-ken:

εἰ τὰ Ποιητικὰ τῶν περὶ τοὺς ἀσώτους ἡδονῶν ἔλυε τοὺς φόβους τῆς διανοίας (τούς τε περὶ μετεώρων καὶ θανάτου καὶ ἀλγηδόνων – ἔτι τε τὸ πέρας τῶν ἐπιθυμιῶν καὶ τῶν ἀλγηδόνων ἐδίδασκεν:

if what produces those pleasures among degenerates released the fears of mental perception (those about meteorological phenomena and death and pains) and they further taught the limit of desires and of pains:

ouk án po-te Eí-cho-men hó ti Mem-psáí-me-tha au-toís – pan-ta-chó-then ek-plē-rou-mé-nois

tōn hē-do-nōn

οὐκ ἂν ποτε Εἶχομεν ὃ τι Μεμψαίμεθα αὐτοῖς – πανταχόθεν ἐκπληρουμένοις τῶν ἡδονῶν

then we would not ever have had any thing we would blame them for – being fully filled in every place with pleasures

kai ou-da-mó-then ού-te to al-goún ού-te to ly-πού-me-non é-chou-sin – Hó-per es-ti to

ka-kón

καὶ οὐδαμόθεν οὔτε τὸ ἀλγοῦν οὔτε τὸ λυπούμενον ἔχουσιν – Ὅπερ ἐστὶ τὸ κακόν

and in no place would they have either what is in pain or what is distressed – the very thing which is what is bad

Another counterfactual argument. Epicurus implies that *degenerate levels of pleasure are blameworthy on a practical level because they do not remove fear and do not teach the natural limits of desire – but instead lead to pain or distress.*

τὸ ποιητικόν τὰ ποιητικά	what produces	ΠΟΙΗΤΙΚΟΝ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>gathering</i>
ὁ ἄσωτος οἱ ἄσωτοι	a degenerate	ΑΣΩΤΟΣ <i>not saving</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>

ἄθάνατος	immortal	ΑΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not dying</i>
θνητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	mortal	ΘΗΗΤΟΣ <i>died</i>
ό θάνατος οί θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
ή άλγηδών αί άλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ <i>sensed pain</i>
τό πέρας τά πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>extremity</i>
ή έπιθυμία αί έπιθυμιαί	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
διδάσκειν	to teach	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>teaching</i>
μέμφεσθαι	to blame	ΜΕΜΦΕΣΘΑΙ <i>finding fault with</i>
πανταχόθεν	from/on all sides [here, "in every place"]	ΠΑΝΤΑΧΟΘΕΝ <i>from all places</i>
ούδαμόθεν	in no place	ΟΥΔΑΜΟΘΕΝ <i>not any places</i>
πλήρης <i>(ες)</i>	full	ΠΛΗΡΗΣ <i>quality from filling</i>
έκπληροϋν	to fully fill	ΕΚΠΛΗΡΟΥΝ <i>filling out</i>
έκπληρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being fully filled	ΕΚΠΛΗΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>filling out</i>
άλγεῖν	to feel pain	ΑΛΓΕΙΝ <i>pain</i>
άλγών <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	feeling pain	ΑΛΓΩΝ <i>pain</i>
τό άλγοϋν τά άλγοϋντα	what causes pain	ΑΛΓΟΥΝ <i>being in pain</i>
λυπεῖν	to distress	ΛΥΠΕΙΝ <i>vexation</i>
λυπούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being distressed	ΛΥΠΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>vexation</i>
τό λυπούμενον τά λυπούμενα	what is distressed	ΛΥΠΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>vexation</i>
όςπερ <i>(ήπερ, όπερ)</i>	the very thing which	ΌΣΠΕΡ <i>relative pronoun emphatic particle</i>
κακός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
τό κακόν τά κακά	what is bad	ΚΑΚΟΝ

ΚΔ 11. The Reason for Study

ei mē-then hē-mās ai tôn me-te-ô-rôn Hy-pop-sí-ai ē-nóch-loun – kai ai pe-ri tha-ná-tou
(mē-po-te pros hē-mās ē ti) – é-ti te to Mē Ka-ta-no-eîn tous hó-rous tôn al-gē-dó-nōn kai
tôn e-pi-thy-mi-ôn: ouk án Pro-se-de-ó-me-tha phy-si-o-lo-gí-as

**εί μηθέν ήμᾱς αί τῶν μετεώρων Ὑποψίαι ήνώχλουν – καί αί περί θανάτου
(μήποτε πρὸς ήμᾱς ἦ τι) – ἔτι τε τὸ Μή Κατανοεῖν τοὺς ὅρους τῶν άλγηδόνων και
τῶν επιθυμιῶν: οὐκ ἄν Προσεδεόμεθα φυσιολογίας**

if in no way suspicions of meteorological phenomena disturbed us – and
those regarding death (although it would never be anything to us) – and not
understanding the limits of pains and of desires: then we would not also be
in need of natural science

τό μετέωρον τά μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
ή ύποψία αί ύποψίαι	suspicion	ΎΠΟΨΙΑ <i>looking under</i>

ἐνοχλεῖν	to be disturbing to	ΕΝΟΧΛΕΙΝ <i>in a crowd of people</i>
ἀθάνατος	immortal	ΑΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not dying</i>
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
κατανοεῖν	to fully conceive	ΚΑΤΑΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing thoroughly</i>
ὁ ὄρος οἱ ὄροι	limit	ὍΡΟΣ <i>outline, definition</i>
ἡ ἀλγηδών αἱ ἀλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ <i>sensed pain</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
προσδεῖσθαι	to also be in need [of]	ΠΡΟΣΔΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>needing in addition to</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning of producing</i>

KΔ 12. The Benefit of Study

οὐκ ἐν to pho-bou-me-non Lύ-ein hy-per tōn ky-ri-ō-tá-tōn mē ka-tei-dó-ta tís hē toũ
sým-pan-tos Phý-sis (all' hy-pop-teú-on-tá ti tōn ka-ta tous mý-thous) – hō-ste οὐκ ἐν,
á-neu phy-si-o-lo-gí-as, a-ke-raí-ous tas hē-do-nas A-po-lam-bá-nein

οὐκ ἦν τὸ φοβούμενον λύειν ὑπὲρ τῶν κυριωτάτων μὴ κατειδότα τίς ἢ τοῦ
σύμπαντος Φύσις (ἀλλ’ ὑποπτεύοντά τι τῶν κατὰ τοὺς μύθους) – ὥστε οὐκ ἦν,
ἄνευ φυσιολογίας, ἀκεραίους τὰς ἡδονὰς Ἀπολαμβάνειν

It is not possible to dissolve what is feared about the most essential issues while not understanding what the nature of the entirety is (but instead suspected some of those things according to the myths) – therefore it is not possible, without natural science, to fully acquire undiluted pleasures

Epicurus emphasizes that a fundamental understanding of how the universe operates is essential to avoid living in uncertainty and fear.

φοβούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	fearing	ΦΟΒΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
τὸ φοβούμενον τὰ φοβούμενα	what is feared	ΦΟΒΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>fleeing</i>
λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening</i>
κύριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	essential	ΚΥΡΙΟΣ <i>critical / valid</i>
κυριώτατος <i>(κυριωτάτη, κυριώτατον)</i>	most essential	ΚΥΡΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most critical / valid</i>
κατειδώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	"having mentally inspected" ∴ understanding	ΚΑΤΕΙΔΩΣ <i>thoroughly seen</i>
τὸ πᾶν <i>(τοῦ παντός)</i>	<i>(individually)</i> everything, <i>(collectively)</i> the universe	ΠΑΝ <i>all</i>
σύμπας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	entire	ΣΥΜΠΑΣ <i>all together</i>

τὸ σύμπαν τὰ σύμπαντα	the entirety	ΣΥΜΠΑΝ <i>all together</i>
ὑποπτεύειν	to suspect	ὙΠΟΠΤΕΥΕΙΝ <i>looking</i> <i>[suspiciously]</i> <i>under</i>
ὁ μῦθος οἱ μῦθοι	myth	ΜΥΘΟΣ <i>speech / word</i>
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning</i> <i>of producing</i>
κεραννύναι	to dilute	ΚΕΡΑΝΝΥΝΑΙ <i>mixing</i>
ἀκέραιος <i>(ον)</i>	undiluted	ΑΚΕΡΑΙΟΣ <i>not mixing</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
ἀπολαμβάνειν	to fully acquire	ΑΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping away</i> <i>from</i>

ΚΔ 13. Mental Security

ou-then ὁ-phe-los ên tēn ka-ta an-thrō-pous as-phá-lei-an Pa-ra-skeu-â-zes-thai tōn

â-nō-then hy-pōp-tōn ka-the-stō-tōn – kai tōn hy-po gēs – kai hap-lōs tōn en tō a-peí-rō

οὐθὲν ὄφελος ἦν τὴν κατὰ ἀνθρώπους ἀσφάλειαν Παρασκευάζεσθαι τῶν ἄνωθεν ὑπόπτων καθεστώτων – καὶ τῶν ὑπὸ γῆς – καὶ ἀπλῶς τῶν ἐν τῷ ἀπείρῳ

It is in no way a benefit to provide for oneself stability in regards to people when the things above have been established as suspicions – and the things under the earth – and the things absolutely in the infinite

We get nothing by getting protection from others if we still fear the general operations of the universe.

The things above (τὰ ἄνωθεν) and the things under the earth (τὰ ὑπὸ γῆς) are all things in our cosmos (κόσμος) that are difficult for us to closely observe and study – whereas the things in the infinite (τὰ ἐν τῷ ἀπείρῳ) are the things in other cosmoi (κόσμοι) and in the spaces between.

οὐδεὶς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
οὐδέν	in no way	ΟΥΔΕΝ <i>not even one</i>
τὸ ὄφελος τὰ ὀφέλη	benefit	ΟΦΕΛΟΣ <i>helping</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ἡ ἀσφάλεια αἱ ἀσφάλειαι	stability [lit. "not tripping"]	ΑΣΦΑΛΕΙΑ <i>not stumbling</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
παρασκευάζεσθαι	to provide for oneself	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>preparing alongside</i>
ἄνωθεν	from above	ΑΝΩΘΕΝ <i>from above</i>
τὸ ἄνωθεν τὰ ἄνωθεν	what is above	
ὑποπτεύειν	to suspect	ὙΠΟΠΤΕΥΕΙΝ <i>looking</i> <i>[suspiciously]</i> <i>under</i>

ὁ ὑπόπτος οἱ ὑποπτοι	suspicion	ὙΠΟΠΤΟΣ <i>looking under</i>
ἰστάναι	to stand	ἼΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing</i>
καθίστημι	to establish	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΗΜΙ <i>thoroughly standing</i>
καθεστώς <i>(ῶσα)</i>	having been established	ΚΑΘΕΣΤΩΣ <i>thoroughly standing</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	Γῆ <i>land</i>
ἀπλοῦς <i>(ῆ, οῦν)</i>	simple	ἈΠΛΟΥΣ <i>one fold</i>
ἀπλῶς	absolutely	ἈΠΛΩΣ <i>one fold</i>
τὸ ἄπειρον	the infinite	ἈΠΕΙΡΟΝ <i>not limited</i>

ΚΔ 14. Personal Stability

tês as-pha-leí-as tês ex an-thrṓ-pōn ge-no-mé-nēs mé-chri ti-nos dy-ná-mei te e-xe-rei-sti-kê

kai eu-po-rí-ā – ei-li-kri-nes-tá-tê gl̥-ne-tai hē ek tês hē-sy-chí-as kai ek-chō-ré-se-ōs tōn

pol-lōn As-phá-lei-a

τῆς ἀσφαλείας τῆς ἐξ ἀνθρώπων γενομένης μέχρι τινὸς δυνάμει τε ἐξερειστικῇ καὶ εὐπορίᾳ – εἰλικρινεστάτη γίνεται ἡ ἐκ τῆς ἡσυχίας καὶ ἐκχωρήσεως τῶν πολλῶν Ἀσφάλεια

although stability is produced from people to some extent by a resistant force and resourcefulness – the purest stability is produced from tranquillity and a withdrawal from the many

ἡ ἀσφάλεια αἱ ἀσφάλειαι	stability [lit. "not tripping"]	ΑΣΦΑΛΕΙΑ <i>not stumbling</i>
γενόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced [often gnomic, "becoming"]	ΓΕΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>come into being</i>
μέχρι	up to	ΜΕΧΡΙ <i>until</i>
μέχρι τινὸς	to some extent	
ἡ δύναμις αἱ δυνάμεις	force	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>
ἐρείδειν	to prop [cause to lean]	ΕΡΕΙΔΕΙΝ <i>supporting</i>
ὁ ἐπερεισμός	impingement	ΕΠΕΡΕΙΣΜΟΣ <i>action pushing against</i>
ἐξερειστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	resistent	ΕΞΕΡΕΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of pushing action out</i>
ἡ διαπορία αἱ διαπορίαι	uncertainty	ΔΙΑΠΟΡΙΑ <i>passage across</i>
ἡ εὐπορία αἱ εὐπορίαι	resourcefulness	ΕΥΠΟΡΙΑ <i>good passage</i>
κρίνειν	to distinguish	ΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ <i>sunshine</i>
εἰλικρινῆς <i>(ές)</i>	pure	ΕΙΛΙΚΡΙΝΗΣ <i>separated by sunshine</i>
εἰλικρινεστάτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	purest	ΕΙΛΙΚΡΙΝΕΣΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most separated by sunshine</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>

ἡ ἡσυχία αἱ ἡσυχίαι	tranquillity	ἩΣΥΧΙΑ <i>stillness</i>
ἡ χώρα αἱ χώραι	space	ΧΩΡΑ <i>location</i>
ἡ ἐκχώρησις αἱ ἐκχωρήσεις	withdrawal	ΕΚΧΩΡΗΣΙΣ <i>process of location out</i>

ΚΔ 15. The Limit of Needs

ho tēs phý-se-ōs Πλοῦ-tos kai ῥῥ-ri-stai kai eu-πό-ris-τός es-tin – ho de τῶn ke-νόn do-ξῶn
eis ἄ-pei-ron ek-πί-tei

ὁ τῆς φύσεως **Πλοῦτος** καὶ **ῥρι**σται καὶ **εὐπόριστός** ἐστίν – **ὁ** δὲ **τῶν** **κενῶν** **δοξῶν**
εἰς ἄπειρον ἐκπίπτει

the **wealth** of nature is **limited** and **well** **acquirable** – but the [*wealth*] of
empty **judgments** falls out into infinity

*To achieve complete and full satisfaction, our nature only requires a finite and
specific set of accommodations. These accommodations are limited and fully
attainable – but the requirements to satisfy desires not grounded in nature have no
inherent limits.*

ὁ πλοῦτος οἱ πλοῦτοι	wealth	ΠΛΟΥΤΟΣ <i>flowing</i>
ὀρίζ ειν	to set a limit	ὈΡΙΖ ΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
κτᾶ σθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣ ΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
εὐκτη τος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	well procurable	ΕΥΚΤΗ ΤΟΣ <i>acquiring easily</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
εὐπόρι στος <i>(ον)</i>	well acquirable	ΕΥΠΟΡΙ ΣΤΟΣ <i>easily piercing</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑ Ι <i>seeming</i>
ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
ἡ ἀπειρία αἱ ἀπειρίαι	infinity	
πίπτ ειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤ ΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
ἐκπίπτ ειν	to fall out	ΕΚΠΙΠΤ ΕΙΝ <i>falling out</i>

Ὅ τῆς φύσεως πλοῦτος	The wealth from nature
Ὅ τῶν κενῶν δοξῶν πλοῦτος	The wealth from empty judgements

ΚΔ 16. The Limit of Chance

bra-ché-a, so-phō Tý-chē pa-rem-πί-tei ~ ta de μέ-gis-ta kai ky-ri-ό-ta-ta, ho Lo-gis-mos
di-ό-kē-ke – kai ka-ta ton sy-ne-ché chró-non τοῦ βί-ou di-oi-κεῖ kai di-oi-κέ-sei

βραχέα, **σοφῶ** Τύχη παρεμπίπτει – **τὰ** δὲ **μέγιστα** καὶ **κυριώτατα**, ὁ Λογισμὸς
διώκηκε – καὶ **κατὰ τὸν** **συνεχῇ** **χρόνον** **τοῦ βίου** διοικεῖ καὶ διοικῆσει

in a few things, Chance intrudes upon the wise man – but the greatest and most principle things, Reasoning has managed – and for the whole time of life manages and will manage

βραχύς <i>(εἶα, ύ)</i>	short / few	ΒΡΑΧΥΣ <i>brief</i>
τὰ βραχέα	a few things	ΒΡΑΧΥ <i>brief</i>
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
παρεμπίπτειν	to intrude ^[in/upon]	ΠΑΡΕΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beside in falling</i>
τὸ μέγα τὰ μεγάλα	what is great	ΜΕΓΑ <i>large</i>
τὸ μέγιστον τὰ μέγιστα	what is the greatest	
κύριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	principle	ΚΥΡΙΟΣ <i>critical / valid</i>
κυριώτατος <i>(κυριωτάτη, κυριώτατον)</i>	most principle	ΚΥΡΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most critical / valid</i>
τὸ κυριώτατον τὰ κυριώτατα	what is most principle	
ὁ λογισμός οἱ λογισμοί	^[process of] reasoning	ΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>action of collecting</i>
ἡ οἰκονομία αἱ οἰκονομίαι	operation	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΙΑ <i>managing the home</i>
οἰκονομεῖν	to manage [the household]	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΕΙΝ <i>managing the home</i>
διοικεῖν	to manage	ΔΙΟΙΚΕΙΝ <i>the home</i>
διώκηκε	<i>3rd person singular perfect active indicative</i>	
διοικήσει	<i>3rd person singular future active indicative</i>	
συνεχής <i>(ές)</i>	continuous	ΣΥΝΕΧΗΣ <i>holding together</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>

ΚΔ 17. Social & Personal Wellbeing

ho Dí-kai-os a-ta-rak-tó-ta-tos ~ ho d'Á-di-kos pleís-tēs ta-ra-chēs gē-mōn

ὁ Δίκαιος ἀταρακτότατος – ὁ δ’ Ἄδικος πλείστης ταραχῆς γήμων

the Just person is the most undisturbed – but the Unjust is full of the greatest disturbance

δίκαιος <i>(ᾱ, ον)</i>	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
ἄδικος <i>(ον)</i>	unjust	ΑΔΙΚΟΣ <i>not custom / right</i>
ἡ ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ἀτάραχος = ἀτάρακτος <i>(ον)</i>	undisturbed	ΑΤΑΡΑΧΟΣ ΑΤΑΡΑΚΤΟΣ <i>without agitating</i>

ἀταρακτότατος <i>(ον)</i>	most undisturbed	ΑΤΑΡΑΚΤΟΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most without agitating</i>
ἡ ταραχή αἱ ταραχαί	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΗ <i>agitating</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
γέμειν	to be full of	ΓΕΜΕΙΝ <i>filling</i>
γήμων <i>(ον)</i>	full of	ΓΗΜΩΝ <i>filling</i>

ΚΔ 18. Practical Satisfaction

ouk a-paú-xe-ti en tē sar-ki hē Hē-do-nē e-pei-dan há-pax to ka-t'én-dei-an Al-goûn
e-xai-re-thē – al-la mó-non poi-kil-le-tai

**οὐκ ἀπαύξει ἐν τῇ σαρκὶ ἡ Ἡδονὴ ἐπειδὴν ἅπαξ τὸ κατ’ ἔνδειαν Ἄλγοῦν
ἐξαιρεθῇ – ἀλλὰ μόνον ποικίλλεται**

Pleasure is not increased further in the flesh as soon as what Hurts due to
deficiency is removed – but it only diversifies

tēs de di-a-noí-as to pé-ras to ka-ta tēn hē-do-nēn a-pe-gén-nē-sen hē te (τούτων αὐτῶν)
Ek-ló-gi-sis (kai tōn ho-mo-ge-nōn tou-tois – Hó-sa tous me-gís-tous phó-bous
pa-res-keú-a-ze tē di-a-noí-ā)

**τῆς δὲ διανοίας τὸ πέρας τὸ κατὰ τὴν ἡδονὴν ἀπεγέννησεν ἢ τε (τούτων αὐτῶν)
Ἐκλόγισις (καὶ τῶν ὁμογενῶν τούτοις – Ὅσα τοὺς μεγίστους φόβους
παρεσκεύαζε τῇ διανοίᾳ)**

and rational Consideration (of these things and things of the same kind as
those – as many things as used to arrange the greatest fears for our mental
perception) fully produces the mind's limit in regards to pleasure

*The point where the amount of pleasure is at its maximum in the body is the painless
state reached by removing the pains of natural and necessary needs. Wealth can
only vary the means by which we can achieve the very same natural pleasure that a
non-wealthy life achieves.*

*It a common misconception to think that wealth and luxury increase pleasure.
Wealth does not increase pleasure. Attempts to increase pleasure beyond this
maximum point will always fail, and will often involve the introduction of
unnecessary pain and troubles.*

αὐξάνειν	to increase	ΑΥΞΑΝΕΙΝ <i>increasing</i>
ἀπαυξάνειν	to increase further	ΑΠΑΥΞΑΝΕΙΝ <i>increasing away</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
ἡ σὰρξ αἱ σάρκες	flesh	ΣΑΡΞ <i>flesh</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
ἐπειδὴν = ἐπειδὴ ἄν	whenever	ΕΠΕΙΔΑΝ <i>since if</i>
ἅπαξ	once	ἌΠΑΞ <i>one all one</i>
ἐπειδὴν ἅπαξ	as soon as	
ἡ ἔνδεια αἱ ἔνδειαί	lack [here "deficiency"]	ΕΝΔΕΙΑ <i>deficiency</i>
ἀλγεῖν	to feel pain	ΑΛΓΕΙΝ <i>pain</i>
ἀλγῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	feeling pain	ΑΛΓΩΝ <i>pain</i>

ἐξαίρειν	to remove	ΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking out</i>
μόνος <i>(η, ον)</i>	only	ΜΟΝΟΣ <i>single</i>
ποικίλως	in diverse ways	ΠΟΙΚΙΛΩΣ <i>intricate</i>
ποικίλλειν	to diversify	ΠΟΙΚΙΛΛΕΙΝ <i>intricate</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>
ἀπογεννᾶν	to fully generate	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating out</i>
τὸ διαλόγισμα τὰ διαλογίσματα	thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of reasoning through action</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>
ἡ ἐπιλόγισις αἱ ἐπιλογίσεις	[faculty of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΙΣ <i>collecting (reasoning) action upon</i>
ἡ ἐκλόγισις αἱ ἐκλογίσεις	[faculty of] rational consideration	ΕΚΛΟΓΙΣΙΣ <i>collecting (reasoning) action out</i>
ὁμογενής <i>(ές)</i>	of the same kind	ὍΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ <i>same born</i>
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
μέγιστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	greatest	ΜΕΓΙΣΤΟΣ <i>biggest</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>

ἡ τούτων αὐτῶν ἐκλόγισις	the rational examination of these things
<i>The study of the pains arising from the limited natural needs of our body and the corresponding complete pleasures after these needs are met</i>	

ΚΔ 19. Fulfillment of Time

ho **ἄ-peí-ros** *Chró-nos* *í-sēn* *é-chei tēn hē-do-nēn* kai ho **pe-pe-ras-mé-nos** – e-án *Tis au-tēs ta pé-ra-ta ka-ta-me-tré-sē tō lo-gis-mō*

ὁ ἄπειρος Χρόνος ἴσην ἔχει τὴν ἡδονὴν καὶ ὁ πεπερασμένος – **ἐάν Τις αὐτῆς τὰ πέρατα καταμετρήσῃ τῷ λογισμῷ**

infinite and **finite** time have **equal pleasure** – if one fully measures **its limits** by reasoning

Because no pleasure is greater than the absence of bodily and mental pain, complete pleasure is attained by our natural state when not in pain.

More time cannot produce a greater pleasure than this complete pleasure.

ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ἴσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	equal	ΙΣΟΣ <i>equal</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
τὸ πεπερασμένον	what is finite	ΠΕΠΕΡΑΣΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of having finished</i>
πεπερασμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	finite	
τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>
καταμετρεῖν	to fully measure	ΚΑΤΑΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly measure</i>
ὁ λογισμός οἱ λογισμοί	[process of] reasoning	ΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>action of collecting</i>

ΚΔ 20. The Experience of Time

hē men Sarx a-pé-la-be ta pé-ra-ta tēs hē-do-nēs á-pei-ra, kai á-pei-ros au-tēn Chró-nos
pa-re-skeú-a-sen

**ἡ μὲν Σὰρξ ἀπέλαβε τὰ πέρατα τῆς ἡδονῆς ἄπειρα, καὶ ἄπειρος αὐτὴν Χρόνος
παρεσκεύασεν**

the flesh fully acquires the limit of pleasure as infinite, and the Time as
infinite that provides it

hē de Di-á-noi-a, tou tēs sar-kos té-lous kai pé-ra-tos la-boû-sa ton e-pi-lo-gis-mon
– kai tous hy-per tou ai-ō-nos phó-bous ek-lý-sa-sa – ton pan-te-lē bí-on
pa-re-skeú-a-se: kai ou-then é-ti tou a-peí-rou chró-nou pro-se-de-ế-thē

**ἡ δὲ Διάνοια, τοῦ τῆς σαρκὸς τέλους καὶ πέρατος λαβοῦσα τὸν ἐπιλογισμὸν
– καὶ τοὺς ὑπὲρ τοῦ αἰῶνος φόβους ἐκλύσασα – τὸν παντελεῖ βίον
παρεσκεύασε: καὶ οὐθὲν ἔτι τοῦ ἀπείρου χρόνου προσεδεήθη**

but mental Perception, grasping the inductive consideration of the
fulfillment and limit of the flesh – and dispelling fears about eternity –
arranges the complete life: and in no way is it still also in need of infinite
time

all' ou-te é-phy-ge tēn hē-do-nēn – ou-d'hē-ní-ka tēn e-xa-gō-gēn ek tou zēn ta Prág-ma-ta
pa-re-skeú-a-zen hōs el-lei-pou-sá ti tou a-rís-tou bí-ou ka-té-strep-sen

**ἀλλ’ οὔτε ἔφυγε τὴν ἡδονὴν – οὐδ’ (ἡνίκα τὴν ἐξαγωγὴν ἐκ τοῦ ζῆν τὰ Πράγματα
παρεσκεύαζεν) ὥς ἐλλείπουσά τι τοῦ ἀρίστου βίου κατέστρεψεν**

yet [our mental perception] does not avoid pleasure – nor (when Situations
provide the departure from living) does it overturn as though it were leaving
behind something of the best life

*The complete pleasure of being pain free has been frequently achieved throughout
our lives, and having a longer life does not allow us to increase the complete
pleasure that we have already experienced.*

*Understanding this, we are pleased to continue living our full and complete life. But
because our life is full and complete, we understand that continuing to live cannot
improve our lives.*

ἡ σάρξ αἱ σάρκες	flesh	ΣΑΡΞ <i>flesh</i>
ἀπολαμβάνειν	to fully acquire	ΑΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping away from</i>
τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
Part 2		
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
λαβών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	[intellectually] comprehended, [generally] acquired	ΛΑΒΩΝ <i>grasped</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>
ὁ αἰών οἱ αἰῶνες	eternity	ΑΙΩΝ <i>being forever</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
διαλύειν	to fully dissolve	ΔΙΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>fully loosening</i>
ἀπολύειν	to release away	ΑΠΟΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening away</i>
ἐκλύειν	to dispel	ΕΚΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening out</i>
ἐκλύσας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	dispelling	ΕΚΛΥΣΑΣ <i>loosening out</i>
παντελῶς	absolutely completely	ΠΑΝΤΕΛΩΣ <i>all completing</i>
παντελής	absolutely complete	ΠΑΝΤΕΛΗΣ <i>all completing</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
προσδεῖσθαι	to also be in need [of]	ΠΡΟΣΔΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>needing in addition to</i>
Part 3		
φεύγειν	to avoid	ΦΕΥΓΕΙΝ <i>fleeing</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
ἡνίκα	when	
ἡ ἐπαγωγή αἱ ἐπαγωγαί	reference [to observation]	ΕΠΑΓΩΓΗ <i>result of going toward</i>
ἡ ἀγωγή αἱ ἀγωγαί	guidance	ΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going</i>

ἡ ἐξαγωγή αἱ ἐξαγωγαί	departure	ΕΞΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going out</i>
βιοῦν	to live [to live one's life]	ΒΙΟΥΝ <i>living</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
λείπειν	to leave	ΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>leaving</i>
ἐλλείπειν	leaving behind	ΕΛΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>leaving in</i>
ἄριστος (η, ον)	best	ΑΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most fit</i>
καταστρέφειν	to overturn	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly turning</i>

ΚΔ 21. Conflicts

ho ta *ré-ra-ta* *toû bí-ou* Ka-tei-dōs oî-den hōs eu-pó-ris-tón es-ti to al-goûn
ka-t'én-dei-an E-xai-roûn kai to ton hó-lon bí-on pan-te-lê Ka-this-tán – hōs-te ou-den
pros-deî-tai prag-má-tōn a-gō-nas kek-tē-mé-nōn

ὁ τὰ πέρατα τοῦ βίου Κατειδὼς οἶδεν ὡς εὐπόριστόν ἐστι τὸ ἀλγοῦν
κατ’ ἔνδειαν Ἐξαιροῦν καὶ τὸ τὸν ὅλον βίον παντελῇ Καθιστάν – ὥστε οὐδὲν
προσδεῖται πραγμάτων ἀγῶνας κεκτημένων

He who understands the limits of life knows how well acquirable is that
which Removes what causes pain due to lack and that which Establishes the
entire life as absolutely complete – so that in no way does he also have need
of situations involving conflicts

As long as we are not in an environment hostile to human life, we do not need to
engage in competitive struggles against others in order gain all our natural needs.

τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
κατειδὼς (υῖα, ὅς)	"having mentally inspected" ∴ understanding	ΚΑΤΕΙΔΩΣ <i>thoroughly seen</i>
ὁ κατειδὼς	he who understands	ΚΑΤΕΙΔΩΣ
εἰδέναι	to have mentally seen ∴ "to know"	
οἶδεν	3rd person singular perfect indicative active "he has mentally seen" ∴ "he knows"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing</i>
εὐπόριστος (ον)	well acquirable	ΕΥΠΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>easily piercing</i>
τὸ ἀλγοῦν τὰ ἀλγοῦντα	what causes pain	ΑΛΓΟΥΝ <i>being in pain</i>
ἡ ἔνδεια αἱ ἔνδειαί	lack	ΕΝΔΕΙΑ <i>deficiency</i>
ἐξαιρεῖν	to remove	ΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking out</i>
ἐξαιρῶν (οὔσα, οὔν)	removing	

ὅλος (η, ον)	entire	ὍΛΟΣ <i>whole</i>
παντελής (ές)	absolutely complete	ΠΑΝΤΕΛΗΣ <i>all completing</i>
καθιστάναι	to establish	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly standing</i>
καθιστάς (ᾱσα, ἄν)	establishes	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΣ <i>thoroughly standing</i>
οὐδείς (οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
οὐδέν	in no way	ΟΥΔΕΝ <i>not even one</i>
προσδεῖσθαι	to also be in need [of]	ΠΡΟΣΔΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>needing in addition to</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ ἀγωγή αἱ ἀγωγαί	guidance	ΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going</i>
ὁ ἀγών οἱ ἀγῶνες	conflict	ΑΓΩΝ <i>going</i>
κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
κεκτημένος (η, ον)	possessing [here, "involving"]	ΚΕΚΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>acquired</i>

ὁ τὰ πέρατα τοῦ βίου κατειδώς	He who knows life's limits well
τὸ ἀλγοῦν κατ’ ἔνδειαν ἔξαιροῦν	What removes pain due to need

ΚΔ 22. Managing Evidence

to hy-phas-tē-kos Deī tē-los e-pi-lo-gí-zes-thai kai pā-san tēn e-nár-gei-an (e-ph'hēn ta do-xa-zó-me-na A-ná-go-men) → ei de mē, Pán-ta ak-ri-sí-as kai ta-ra-chēs és-tai mes-tá

τὸ ὑφεστηκὸς Δεῖ τέλος ἐπιλογίζεσθαι καὶ πᾶσαν τὴν ἐνάργειαν (ἐφ’ ἣν τὰ δοξαζόμενα Ἀνάγομεν) → εἰ δὲ μὴ, Πάντα ἀκρισίας καὶ ταραχῆς ἔσται μεστά

the established fulfilment is necessary to inductively consider as well as all detectible reality (to which we refer the things that are judged) → otherwise, Everything will be full of indistinction and disturbance

Epicurus transitions from ethics to epistemology in this κύρια δόξα.

Unless our conclusions (τὰ δοξαζόμενα) are tested in reference to external sensations (αἰσθήσεις) and internal sensations (τὰ πάθη) we will go about our lives with added opinions (προσδοξαζόμενα) that do not correspond to reality.

ὑφιστάναι	to be established	ΥΦΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing under</i>
ὑφεστηκώς (υῖα, ός)	established	ΥΦΕΣΤΗΚΩΣ <i>standing under</i>
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
ἐπιλογίζεσθαι	to inductively consider	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>

πᾶς <i>(παῖσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole [here, "all"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[Ε] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
τὸ δοξαζόμενον τὰ δοξαζόμενα	^[Δ] what is judged	ΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of seeming</i>
ἄγειν	to lead	ΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going</i>
ἀνάγειν	to refer	ΑΝΑΓΕΙΝ
ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction [i.e., an act of discrimination]	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
ἡ ἀκρισία αἱ ἀκρισίαι	indistinction	ΑΚΡΙΣΙΑ <i>not dividing</i>
ἡ ταραχή αἱ ταραχαί	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΗ <i>agitating</i>
μεστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	full of	ΜΕΣΤΟΣ <i>filled</i>

τὸ ὑφεστηκὸς τέλος Ἐπιλογίζεσθαι	to inductively consider the established goal
πᾶσα ἡ Ἐνάργεια (ἐφ’ ἦν τὰ Δοξαζόμενα ἀνάγομεν)	all detectible Reality (to which we refer the things that are judged)

ΚΔ 23. Doubting All Sensations

ei Ma-chê pá-sais taís ais-thế-se-sin: oukh Hé-xeis ou-d’has an Phês au-tôn di-e-pseûs-thai
pros tí poi-ού-me-nos tēn a-gō-gēn Kρί-nēs

εἰ Μαχῇ πάσαις ταῖς αἰσθήσεσιν: οὐχ’Ἔξεις οὐδ’ (ἄς ἂν Φῆς αὐτῶν διεψεῦσθαι)
πρὸς τί ποιούμενος τὴν ἀγωγὴν Κρίνης

if You contend **against all sensations**: then You not will have **anything** (which
You could even assert **has thoroughly given a false report about themselves**)
You could judge **while bringing up a reference** to something

*To address the extreme position of some skeptics that all sensations are untrustworthy, Epicurus argues that if **someone rejects all sensations, there is no other standard of judgment to rely on.***

*Anyone who claims to **use logic as a standard for judgment** fails to recognize that the relationship between their logic and reality is inherently based **on their own observations.***

μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>combating</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[Α] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
ψεύδεσθαι	to give a false report	ΨΕΥΔΕΣΘΑΙ <i>deceiving</i>
καταψεύδεσθαι	to give a false report against	ΚΑΤΑΨΕΥΔΕΣΘΑΙ <i>deceiving against</i>
διαψεύδεσθαι	to thoroughly give a false report about	ΔΙΑΨΕΥΔΕΣΘΑΙ <i>deceiving through</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ποιούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	making	ΠΟΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>gathering</i>

ἡ ἄγωγή αἱ ἄγωγαί	guidance	ΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going</i>
κρίνειν	to distinguish	ΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>

ΚΔ 24. Judging Sensations

ei ti-n'Ek-ba-leîs hap-lôs aîs-thē-sin – kai mē Di-ai-rē-seis to dox-a-zó-me-non ka-ta
to pros-mé-non kai to pa-ron é-dē ka-ta tēn aîs-thē-sin kai ta pá-thē kai
pâ-san phan-tas-ti-kēn e-pi-bo-lēn tēs di-a-noí-as: Syn-ta-rá-xeis kai tas loi-pas
ais-thē-seis tē ma-tai-ō dóx-ē – hōs-te to kri-tē-ri-on há-pan Ek-ba-leîs

εἰ **τιν’** Ἐκβαλεῖς **ἀπλῶς** αἰσθῆσιν – καὶ **μὴ** Διαιρήσεις ^[Δ] τὸ **δοξαζόμενον** ^[Ο] **κατὰ**
τὸ προσμένον καὶ ^[Θ] τὸ παρὸν ἤδη κατὰ ^[Α] τὴν αἰσθῆσιν καὶ ^[Π] τὰ πάθη καὶ
πᾶσαν ^[Β'δ] φανταστικὴν ἐπιβολὴν τῆς διανοίας: Συνταράξεις καὶ ^[Α] τὰς λοιπὰς
αἰσθήσεις τῇ **ματαίῳ** δόξῃ – ὥστε ^[Κ] τὸ **κριτήριον** ἅπαν Ἐκβαλεῖς

if You will **absolutely** throw out **any sensation** – and You will **not** differentiate ^[Δ] **what is judged** ^[Ο] about what is still pending versus ^[Θ] **what is actually**
present according to ^[Α] sensation, ^[Π] experiences, and the whole ^[Β'δ]
appearance-based attention of mental perception: then You will confuse ^[Α] **the remaining sensations with pointless judgment** – and thus You will
throw out ^[Κ] **the criteria all together**

ei, de, Be-bai-ō-seis kai to pros-mé-non há-pan en taîs dox-as-ti-kaîs en-noí-ais kai
to mē tēn e-pi-mar-ty-rē-sin: ouk Ek-leíp-seis to di-e-pseus-mé-non – hōs te-tē-rē-kōs
É-sē pâ-san am-phis-bē-tē-sin ka-ta pâ-san krí-sin tou or-thōs ē mē or-thōs

εἰ, δέ, Βεβαιώσεις καὶ ^[Ο] τὸ **προσμένον** ἅπαν ἐν ταῖς δοξαστικαῖς ἐννοίαις καὶ
^[Θ] τὸ μὴ ^[Μ] τὴν ἐπιμαρτύρησιν: οὐκ Ἐκλείψεις τὸ **διεψευσμένον** – ὡς τετηρηκῶς
Ἔσῃ **πᾶσαν ἀμφισβήτησιν κατὰ πᾶσαν κρίσιν** τοῦ ὀρθῶς ἢ **μὴ ὀρθῶς**

also, if You affirm ^[Ο] **what is still pending** [*attestation*] **all together** in internal
conceptions that pertain to judgement along with [*affirming*] ^[Θ] **what is not**
[*still pending*] ^[Μ] **attestation**: then You will not throw out **what thoroughly**
gives a false report – since You will have preserved **all mutual opposition**
regarding all distinction of what is right or **not** right

*If you do not distinguish between your ideas and your sensations and you reject even
one sensation as false, you are rejecting the criteria that you have to understand the
world.*

*Sensations are true per se, but ideas are only true if they accurately reflect our
repeated sensations.*

*The fact that the tower appears to have rounded edges when viewed from various
distances is accurately demonstrated by the senses.*

*The sensation of a round tower is a real sensation. However, after seeing the tower
more closely and from other angles, the issue of its shape changes from what is
pending confirmation (τὸ προσμένον τὴν ἐπιμαρτύρησιν) to what is not pending
confirmation (τὸ μὴ προσμένον τὴν ἐπιμαρτύρησιν).*

ἐκβάλλειν	to throw out	ΕΚΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing out</i>
ἀπλῶς	absolutely	ἌΠΛΩΣ <i>one fold</i>
ἡ αἰσθῆσις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[Α] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
διαιρεῖν	to differentiate	ΔΙΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping through</i>
τὸ προσδοξαζόμενον τὰ προσδοξαζόμενα	^[Δ+] an addition of judgement [i.e., "a theory"]	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of addition</i> <i>to seeming</i>
τὸ δοξαζόμενον τὰ δοξαζόμενα	^[Δ] what is judged	ΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of seeming</i>

τὸ προσμένον τὰ προσμένοντα	^[O] what is still pending <i>[further attestation]</i>	ΤΟ ΠΡΟΣΜΕΝΟΝ <i>remaining further</i>
τὸ παρόν τὰ παρόντα	[Θ] what is present	ΠΑΡΟΝΤΑ <i>existing alongside</i>
ἤδη	actually	
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[Π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
φανταστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	appearance- based	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of appearing</i>
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	^[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
συνταράττειν	to confuse	ΣΥΝΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating together</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining <i>[rest of + gen]</i>	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
μάταιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	pointless	ΜΑΤΑΙΟΣ <i>folly</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	crit ^{er} ion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of dividing</i>
ἅπας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	all together	ἌΠΑΣ <i>all together</i>
Part 2		
βεβαιοῦν	to affirm	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΥΝ <i>firm standing</i>
τὸ προσμένον τὰ προσμένοντα	^[O] what is still pending <i>[further attestation]</i>	ΤΟ ΠΡΟΣΜΕΝΟΝ <i>remaining further</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
δοξαστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	pertaining to judgement	ΔΟΞΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of seeming</i>
ἡ ἔννοια αἱ ἔννοιαι	internal conception	ΕΝΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing in</i>
ἡ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	^[M] attestation	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying upon</i>
ἐγλείπειν = ἐκλείπειν	to disappear <i>[or, of the sun & moon] to be eclipsed</i>	ΕΓΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ ΕΚΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>leaving out</i>
διαψεύδεσθαι	to thoroughly give a false report about	ΔΙΑΨΕΥΔΕΣΘΑΙ <i>deceiving through</i>
τὸ διεψευσμένον τὰ διεψευσμένα	what thoroughly gives a false report	ΔΙΕΨΕΥΣΜΕΝΟΝ <i>deceived through</i>
τηρεῖν	to preserve	ΤΗΡΕΙΝ <i>protecting</i>
τετηρηκώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	having preserved	ΤΕΤΗΡΗΚΩΣ <i>having protected</i>
τετηρηκώς ἔσθι	<i>2nd person singular future perfect periphrastic</i>	
ἡ σβένσις = ἡ σβέσις	extinguishing	ΣΒΕΝΣΙΣ ΣΒΕΣΙΣ <i>cancelling</i>
ἀμφοισβητεῖν	to mutually oppose	ΑΜΦΙΣΒΗΤΕΙΝ <i>cancelling both [sides]</i>

ἀμφισβητῶν (οὔσα, οὖν)	mutually opposing	
ὁ ἀμφισβητῶν οἱ ἀμφισβητοῦντες	mutual opponent	ΑΜΦΙΣΒΗΤΩΝ <i>cancelling</i> <i>both [sides]</i>
ἡ ἀμφισβήτησις αἱ ἀμφισβητήσεις	mutual opposition	ΑΜΦΙΣΒΗΤΗΣΙΣ <i>action of cancelling</i> <i>both [sides]</i>
ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction [i.e., an act of discrimination]	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
ὀρθῶς	{α} rightly	
τὸ ὀρθῶς [εἶναι]	what [exists] rightly = what is right	ΟΡΘΩΣ <i>straight upright</i>

τὸ δοξαζόμενον κατὰ...	what is judged regarding...
τὸ προσμένον ἅπαν ἐν ταῖς δοξαστικαῖς Ἐννοίαις	what is still pending [attestation] all together in internal conceptions that pertain to judgement

ἡ πάσα φανταστική ἐπιβολή τῆς διανοίας	the whole appearance-based attention of mental perception
<i>Because all true thought is based upon impressions from external sources, the use of "appearance-based (φανταστική)" here is only further explaining the object (i.e., basis) of mental focus.</i> <i>The whole phrase is therefore equivalent to <i>the attention of mental perception</i> (ἡ ἐπιβολή τῆς διανοίας)" alone, which Lucretius translates (2.740) as "<i>a casting out of the mind</i> (animī iniectus)."</i> <i>The paraphrases animī iniectus and ἡ επιβολή τῆς διανοίας therefore are equivalent to our modern use of "<i>attention</i>" or "<i>focus</i>."</i>	

ΚΔ 25. Remember the Goal

ei **mē** pa-ra pán-ta kai-ron **E-pa-noí-seis** **hē**-kas-ton **tōn** prat-to-mé-nōn e-pi to té-los tēs phý-se-ōs – al-la Pro-ka-ta-strép-seis (eí-te **phy-gēn** eí-te **dí**-ō-xin poi-ού-me-nos) eis ál-lo ti: **ouk** é-son-taí soi **toῖς** **ló**-gois hai Práx-eis **a-kó**-lou-thoi

εἰ μὴ παρὰ πάντα καιρὸν **Ἐπανοίσεις** ἕκαστον τῶν πραττομένων ἐπὶ τὸ τέλος τῆς φύσεως – ἀλλὰ Προκαταστρέψεις (εἴτε **φυγὴν** εἴτε **δίωξιν** ποιούμενος) εἰς ἄλλο **τι: οὐκ** ἔσονταί **σοι τοῖς λόγους** αἱ Πράξεις **ἀκόλουθοι**

if You will not on every opportunity refer each of the things being accomplished back again to the fulfillment of your nature – but beforehand you overturn (when making an avoidance or pursuit) into something else: then your Activities will not be consistent with your reasonings

If you stop short and turn to some other fulfillment (τέλος) before considering the fulfillment of nature (τὸ τέλος τῆς φύσεως), then the natural goal of mental tranquillity (ἡ ἡσυχία) is forgotten and is replaced by some other goal that is either natural but not necessary (φυσικαὶ καὶ οὐκ ἀναγκαῖαι) or neither natural or necessary (οὔτε φυσικαὶ οὔτ' ἀναγκαῖαι).

πᾶς (πάσα, πᾶν)	whole [here, "every"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
ὁ καιρός οἱ καιροί	opportunity	ΚΑΙΡΟΣ <i>time</i>

φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
ἐπαναφέρειν	to refer back again	ΕΠΑΝΑΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing toward again</i>
ἐπανοίσειν	to refer back again [in the future]	ΕΠΑΝΟΙΣΕΙΝ <i>will bring toward again</i>
ἕκαστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	each <i>(with plural verb)</i>	ἑΚΑΣΤΟΝ <i>each</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
πραττόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being accomplished	ΠΡΑΤΤΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>doing / affair</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
καταστρέφειν	to overturn	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly turning</i>
προκαταστρέφειν	to overturn beforehand	ΠΡΟΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly turning before</i>
ἡ φυγή αἱ φυγαί	avoidance	ΦΥΓΗ <i>fleeing</i>
ἡ δίωξις αἱ διώσεις	pursuit	ΔΙΩΞΙΣ <i>chasing</i>
ποιούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	making	ΠΟΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>gathering</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ πράξις αἱ πράξεις	activity	ΠΡΑΞΙΣ <i>action</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
ἀκόλουθος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	consistent	

ΚΔ 26. Unnecessary Desires

tôn e-pi-thy-mi-ôn, Hó-sai **mē** e-p'al-gou̐n e-pa-ná-gou-sin e-an **mē** sym-plē-rô-sin – **ouk**
ei-sin a-nan-kaî-ai – al-l'eu-di-á-chy-ton tēn ó-rex-in é-chou-sin (hó-tan dys-po-rís-tôn é
blá-bēs a-per-gas-ti-kai dó-xō-sin eî-nai)

τῶν ἐπιθυμιῶν, Ὅσαι μὴ ἐπ’ ἀλγοῦν ἐπανάγουσιν ἐὰν μὴ συμπληρῶσιν – οὐκ
εἰσιν ἀναγκαῖαι – ἀλλ’ εὐδιάχυτον τὴν ὄρεξιν ἔχουσιν (ὅταν δυσπορίστων ἢ
βλάβης ἀπεργαστικαὶ δόξωσιν εἶναι)

of the desires, as many as do **not** lead back to feeling pain if they are not
fulfilled – they do **not** exist as **necessary** – instead they have an impulse that
is well dispersible (**whenever** they seem to be hard to obtain or productive
of harm)

ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὅΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
ἡ ἀλγηδών αἱ ἀλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ <i>sensed pain</i>
ἀλγεῖν	to feel pain	ΑΛΓΕΙΝ <i>pain</i>
ἀλγῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	feeling pain	ΑΛΓΩΝ <i>pain</i>
ἐπάγειν	to bring in	ΕΠΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going on/over</i>

ἐπανάγειν	to lead back	ΕΠΑΝΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going toward again</i>
πλήρης <i>(ες)</i>	full	ΠΛΗΡΗΣ <i>quality from filling</i>
ἐκπληροῦν	to fully fill	ΕΚΠΛΗΡΟΥΝ <i>filling out</i>
συμπληροῦν	to fulfill	ΣΥΜΠΛΗΡΟΥΝ <i>filling together</i>
ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
ἡ χύσις αἱ χύσεις	flow	ΧΥΣΙΣ <i>pouring</i>
εὐδιάχυτος <i>(ον)</i>	well dispersible	ΕΥΔΙΑΧΥΤΟΣ <i>pouring through well</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
ἡ ὄρεξις αἱ ὀρέξεις	impulse	ΟΡΕΞΙΣ <i>impulse</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
δυσμνημόνευτος <i>(ον)</i>	hard to remember	ΔΥΣΜΝΗΜΟΝΕΥΤΟΣ <i>hard thinking</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
δύσποριστος <i>(ον)</i>	hard to obtain	ΔΥΣΠΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>hard to pierce</i>
βλάπτειν	to harm	ΒΛΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>hindering</i>
βλαβησόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	will be harmed	ΒΛΑΒΗΣΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>will hinder</i>
ἡ βλάβη αἱ βλάβαι	harm	ΒΛΑΒΗ <i>hindering</i>
ἡ κατεργασία αἱ κατεργασίαι	outflow	ΚΑΤΕΡΓΑΣΙΑ <i>work thoroughly</i>
οὐ ἀπεργαστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	unproductive	ΟΥ ΑΠΕΡΓΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>not characteristic of working away</i>
ἀπεργαστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive	ΑΠΕΡΓΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of working away</i>
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>

ΚΔ 27. Benefit of Friendship

hôn hē so-phí-a pa-ra-skeu-á-ze-tai eis tēn toû hó-lou bí-ou ma-ka-ri-ó-tē-ta – po-ly

mé-gis-tón es-tin hē tēs phi-lí-as Ktê-sis

ὦν ἡ σοφία παρασκευάζεται εἰς τὴν τοῦ ὅλου βίου μακαριότητα – πολὺ μέγιστόν ἐστιν ἡ τῆς φιλίας Κτῆσις

Of the things that Wisdom provides for the blessedness of one's whole life – by far the greatest thing is the Possession of friendship

ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ἡ σοφία αἱ σοφίαι	wisdom	ΣΟΦΙΑ <i>knowledge</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
ὅλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	entire / whole	ΌΛΟΣ <i>whole</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>

ή μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from greatness</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
μέγιστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	greatest	ΜΕΓΙΣΤΟΣ <i>biggest</i>
φιλεῖν	to love	ΦΙΛΕΙΝ <i>loving</i>
οἱ συμφιλοσοφοῦντες	those who philosophize together	ΣΥΜΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΟΥΝΤΕΣ <i>loving knowledge together</i>
ή φιλία αἱ φιλίαι	friendship	ΦΙΛΙΑ <i>loving</i>
κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
ή κτῆσις αἱ κτήσεις	possession	ΚΤΗΣΙΣ <i>acquiring</i>

ΚΔ 28. Stability from Friendship

hē au-tē Gnō-mē **thar-reîn** te e-poi-ē-sen hy-per tou **mē-then** ai-ō-ni-on eî-nai dei-non ~ **mē-de**
po-ly-chró-ni-on – kai tēn en au-toîs **toîs** hō-ris-mé-nois as-phá-lei-an phi-lí-ais **má-li-sta**
ka-teî-de **syn-te-lou-mé-nēn**

ή αυτή Γνώμη **θαρρεῖν** τε ἐποίησεν ὑπὲρ τοῦ **μηθὲν αἰώνιον εἶναι** δεινὸν ~ **μηδὲ πολυχρόνιον** – καὶ **τὴν** ἐν αὐτοῖς τοῖς ὠρισμένοις **ἀσφάλειαν** **φιλίαις** **μάλιστα** **κατεῖδε συντελουμένην**

the same Discernment that made **[us] confident** regarding what is terrible being **in no way perpetual** ~ **nor even long-lasting** – also thoroughly sees that **stability** in those limited things themselves **is altogether fulfilled** **especially by** friendships

We only require nature's finite list of necessary desires – and the ability to access to what satisfies this list is more secure while maintaining positive social relationships.

ή γνώμη αἱ γνώμαι	discernment	ΓΝΩΜΗ <i>distinguishing</i>
θαρρεῖν	to be confident	ΘΑΡΡΕΙΝ <i>taking courage</i>
ποιῆσαι	to make [already]	ΠΟΙΗΣΑΙ <i>gathered</i>
ἐποίησεν	3rd person singular aorist active indicative	
μηδεῖς <i>(μηδεμία, μηδέν)</i>	no one [modal]	ΜΗΔΕΙΣ <i>not one</i>
αἰώνιος <i>(αἰωνιά, αἰώνιον)</i>	perpetual <i>(moving, multiple)</i>	ΑΙΩΝΙΟΣ <i>characterized by being forever</i>
δεινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	terrible	ΔΕΙΝΟΣ <i>terrifying</i>
ή πολυχρόνιος αἱ πολυχρόνιοι	long-lasting symptoms	ΠΟΛΥΧΡΟΝΙΟΣ <i>characterized by much time</i>
πολυχρόνιος <i>(ον)</i>	long-lasting	
τὸ ὄριον τὰ ὅρια	boundary	ὍΡΙΟΝ <i>separating</i>
ὀρίζειν	to set a limit	ὍΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
ὠρισμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	finite	ὠΡΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>separating</i>
ή ἀσφάλεια αἱ ἀσφάλειαι	stability [lit. "not tripping"]	ΑΣΦΑΛΕΙΑ <i>not stumbling</i>

ἡ φιλία αἱ φιλίαι	friendship	ΦΙΛΙΑ <i>loving</i>
μάλιστα	especially	ΜΑΛΙΣΤΑ <i>very</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
καθορᾶν	to inspect [here, "to thoroughly see"]	ΚΑΘΟΡΑΝ <i>thoroughly looking</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
συντελούμενος (η, ον)	altogether fulfilled	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>completing together</i>

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 1.68

"eādem," inquit, "Scientia cōfirmāvit **animum nē quod aut sempiternum aut diūtūrnūm timeret malum** – quae perspexit **in hōc ipsō vītae spatiō amīcitiae praesidium esse firmissimum**"

"[*it is*] the same Knowledge" [*Epicurus*] says "that strengthened **the mind so that it did not fear an eternal or prolonged evil** – which thoroughly saw that within this very span of life, **the support of friendship is the strongest**"

έν αὐτοῖς τοῖς ὠρισμένοις "*in those limited things themselves*" – referring to *what is terrible (τὸ δεινόν)* which is limited by being *in no way perpetual (μηθὲν αἰώνιον)* and *in no way long-lasting (μηδὲ πολυχρόνιον)* – and therefore meaning "*in the midst of life's limited evils.*"

In contrast to this interpretation, Cicero understood it as a reference to life in general (which is limited by death) – "within this very span of life (in hōc ipsō vītae spatiō)"

ΚΔ 29. Trifold Classification of Desires

tōn E-pi-thy-mi-ōn, Hai mén ei-si phy-si-kai kai <a-nan-kaî-ai – Hai de phy-si-kai kai> ouk a-nan-kaî-ai – Hai de ού-te phy-si-kai ού-te a-nan-kaî-ai (al-la pa-ra ke-nēn δό-xan gi-νό-me-nai)

τῶν Ἐπιθυμιῶν, Αἱ μὲν εἰσι φυσικαὶ καὶ ἀναγκαῖαι – Αἱ δὲ φυσικαὶ καὶ οὐκ ἀναγκαῖαι – Αἱ δὲ οὔτε φυσικαὶ οὔτε ἀναγκαῖαι (ἀλλὰ παρὰ κενὴν δόξαν γινόμεναι)

of the Desires, Some are **natural and necessary** – Some are **natural and not necessary** – and Some are **neither natural nor necessary** (but **created** from empty judgement)

ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμῖαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
φυσικός (ή, όν)	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
ἀναγκαῖος (α, ον)	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
κενός (ή, όν)	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
γινόμενος (η, ον)	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>

Φυσικαὶ	Ἄναγκαῖαι	Φυσικαὶ	Οὐκ Ἄναγκαῖαι	Οὔτε Φυσικαὶ	Οὐκ Ἄναγκαῖαι
----------------	------------------	----------------	----------------------	---------------------	----------------------

Natural & Necessary	Natural & Not Necessary	Neither Natural, Nor Necessary
<i>naturally based desires needed for health and wellbeing</i>	<i>naturally based desires not needed for health and wellbeing</i>	<i>desires coming from convention, and not needed for health and wellbeing</i>
Drinking when Thirsty	Expensive Foods	Crowns and the Dedication of Statues
"the necessary desires are gratified with little trouble or expense"	"natural desires also require but little, since nature's own riches, which suffice to content her, are both easily procured and limited in amount"	"the imaginary desires no bound or limit can be discovered"

Examples

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.149

φυσικὰς καὶ ἀναγκαίᾱς ἡγεῖται ὁ Ἐπίκουρος τὰς ἀλγηδόνας ἀπολυούσας – ὥς ποτὸν ἐπὶ δίψους

natural and necessary Epicurus supposes are those [*desires*] which release away pain – such as drink for thirst

φυσικὰς δὲ οὐκ ἀναγκαίᾱς δὲ τὰς ποικιλούσας μόνον τὴν ἡδονὴν – μὴ ὑπεξαιρουμένας δὲ τὸ ἄλγημα – ὥς πολυτελεῆ σιτία

but natural and not necessary are those which merely diversify pleasure – but without removing away pain – such as extravagant foods

οὔτε δὲ φυσικὰς οὔτ' ἀναγκαίᾱς – ὥς στεφάνους καὶ ἀνδριάντων ἀναθέσεις

but neither natural nor necessary – such as wreaths [*of honor*] and the dedication of statues

ἡγεῖσθαι	to suppose	ἡΓΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>leading</i>
ἡ ἀλγηδὼν αἱ ἀλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ <i>sensed pain</i>
ἀπολύειν	to release away	ΑΠΟΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening away</i>
τὸ ποτὸν τὰ ποτά	a drink	ΠΟΤΟΝ <i>drinking</i>
ἡ δίψα αἱ δίψαι	thirst	ΔΙΨΑ <i>dry / parched</i>
Part 2		
ποικίλλειν	to diversify	ΠΟΙΚΙΛΛΕΙΝ <i>intricate</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
ἐξαιρεῖν	to remove	ΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking out</i>
ἡ ὑπεξαίρεσις αἱ ὑπεξαιρέσεις	the removal away	ὙΠΕΞΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ <i>taking out from under</i>

ὑπεξαιρεῖν	to remove away	ὑΠΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking out from under</i>
ὑπεξαιρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	removing away	
ἡ ἄλγηδών αἱ ἄλγηδόνες	[experience of] pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ <i>sensed pain</i>
τὸ ἄλγημα τὰ ἄλγηματα	[instance of] pain	
ἡ πολυτέλεια αἱ πολυτέλειαι	extravagance	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΑ <i>much completing</i>
πολυτελεῖν	to live extravagantly	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>much completing</i>
πολυτελής <i>(ές)</i>	extravagant	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΗΣ <i>much completing</i>
τὸ σιτίον τὰ σιτία	food	ΣΙΤΙΟΝ <i>grain / provisions</i>
Part 3		
ὁ στέφανος οἱ στέφανοι	wreath	ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟΣ <i>encircling</i>
ὁ ἀνὴρ οἱ ἄνδρες	man	ΑΝΗΡ <i>man</i>
ὁ ἀνδριάς οἱ ἀνδριάντες	statue	ΑΝΔΡΙΑΣ <i>statue of a man</i>
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>
ἡ ἀνάθεσις αἱ ἀναθέσεις	dedication	ΑΝΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>

ΚΔ 30. Natural & Unnecessary Desires

en haís tôn phy-si-kôn e-pi-thy-mi-ôn mē ep'al-goûn de e-pa-na-gou-sôn e-an mē
syn-te-les-thô-sin – hy-pár-chei hē Spou-dē sýn-to-nos: pa-ra ke-nēn dó-xan Haû-tai gí-non-tai
– kai ou para tēn he-au-tôn phy-sin ou di-a-ché-on-tai, al-la pa-ra tēn tou an-thrô-pou
ke-no-do-xí-an

έν αἷς τῶν φυσικῶν ἐπιθυμιῶν μὴ ἐπ’ ἄλγοῦν δὲ ἐπαναγουσῶν ἐὰν μὴ
συντελεσθῶσιν – ὑπάρχει ἡ Σπουδὴ σύντονος: παρὰ κενὴν δόξαν Αὐταὶ γίνονται
– καὶ οὐ παρὰ τὴν ἐαυτῶν φύσιν οὐ διαχέονται, ἀλλὰ παρὰ τὴν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου
κενοδοξίαν

in those natural desires not leading back to feeling pain if not altogether
fulfilled – when intense Eagerness exists: These [*desires*] are produced from
empty judgement – and not because of their own nature that they are not
scattered, but because empty judgment of man

οὐ παρὰ τὴν ἐαυτῶν φύσιν "*not because of their own nature*" – when we develop
intense desires for what *not necessary* (οὐκ ἀναγκαῖον) and also *not easy to get*
(οὐκ εὐπόριστον) – we have a problem that arises *completely from our thoughts*
and *not from any actual need*.

In ΚΔ 26, Epicurus pointed out that all desires that do not bring pain when unfulfilled,
are unnecessary.

In ΚΔ 29, he distinguished between desires that are *natural* and *not necessary*
(φυσικὰ καὶ οὐκ ἀναγκαῖαι) versus those that are neither *not natural* and *not*
necessary (οὔτε φυσικὰ οὐτ’ ἀναγκαῖαι).

Now he reminds us that it is foolish to pursue even *natural* desires if they are *not*
necessary and also *difficult to attain*.

φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
----------------------------------	---------	--

ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμιαί	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
ἀλγῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	feeling pain	ΑΛΓΩΝ <i>pain</i>
ἐπανάγειν	to lead back	ΕΠΑΝΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going toward again</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ἡ σπουδή αἱ σπουδαί	eagerness	ΣΠΟΥΔΗ <i>being eager</i>
σύντονος <i>(ον)</i>	intense	ΣΥΝΤΟΝΟΣ <i>stretching together</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ἡ χύσις αἱ χύσεις	flow	ΧΥΣΙΣ <i>pouring</i>
διαχεῖν	to scatter	ΔΙΑΧΕΙΝ <i>pouring through</i>
ἐκλύειν	to dispel	ΕΚΛΥΕΙΝ <i>dissolving out</i>
διασπείρειν	to disperse	ΔΙΑΣΠΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>scatter through</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ἡ κενοδοξία αἱ κενοδοξίαι	empty judgment	ΚΕΝΟΔΟΞΙΑ <i>empty judgment</i>

ἡ τοῦ ἄνθρωπος κενοδοξία	The empty judgment of man
-------------------------------------	----------------------------------

ΚΔ 31. Natural Justice

to tēs phý-se-ōs Dī-kai-ón es-ti sým-bo-lon toû sym-phé-ron-tos eis to mē bláp-tein
al-lé-lous – mē-de bláp-tes-thai

τὸ τῆς φύσεως Δίκαιόν ἐστι **σύμβολον** τοῦ συμφέροντος εἰς τὸ **μὴ** βλάπτειν **ἀλλήλους** – **μηδὲ** βλάπτεσθαι

what is just by nature exists as what is of mutual interest among what mutually benefits in not harming each other – and not being harmed

ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
δίκαιος <i>(ᾱ, ον)</i>	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
τὸ δίκαιον τὰ δίκαια	what is just	
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
τὸ σύμβολον τὰ σύμβολα	what is of mutual interest	ΣΥΜΒΟΛΟΝ <i>throwing together</i>

συμφέρειν	to be mutually beneficial	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing together</i>
συμφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	mutually benefiting	
τὸ συμφέρον τὰ συμφέροντα	what mutually benefits	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΟΝ <i>bringing together</i>
ἡ βλάβη αἱ βλάβαι	harm	ΒΛΑΒΗ <i>hindering</i>
βλάπτειν	to harm	ΒΛΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>hindering</i>
βλάπτεσθαι	to be harmed	
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>

ΚΔ 32. Nonaggression Agreements

Hó-sa tōn Zō-ōn mē e-dý-na-to syn-thé-kas poi-eís-thai (tas hy-per tou̐ mē bláp-tein ál-lē-la mē-de bláp-tes-thai) – pros taũ-ta, ou-then ên dí-kai-on ou-de á-di-kon

“Όσα τῶν Ζῶων **μὴ** ἐδύνατο **συνθήκας ποιεῖσθαι** (τὰς ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ βλάπτειν **ἄλληλα** **μηδὲ** βλάπτεσθαι) – πρὸς ταῦτα, **οὐθὲν** ἦν **δίκαιον οὐδὲ** ἄδικον

As many among the Living beings as do **not** have the ability to make mutual agreements for themselves (those regarding not harming one other and not being harmed) – in regards to these things, in no way are they just nor unjust

hō-saú-tōs de kai tōn Eth-nōn Hó-sa mē e-dý-na-to é mē e-boú-le-to tás syn-thé-kas poi-eís-thai (tas hy-per tou̐ mē bláp-tein mē-de bláp-tes-thai)

ὥσαύτως δὲ καὶ τῶν Ἐθνῶν“Όσα **μὴ** ἐδύνατο ἢ **μὴ** ἐβούλετο τὰς **συνθήκας ποιεῖσθαι** (τὰς ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ βλάπτειν **μηδὲ** βλάπτεσθαι)

and likewise also As many among the Ethnicities as do **not** have the ability or do **not** want to make mutual agreements for themselves (those regarding not harming and not being harmed)

Justice does not exist between tribes that do not maintain a mutual agreement to neither harm or be harmed.

ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many... ...as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to have the ability"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
ἡ ὑπόθεκη αἱ ὑποθῆκαι	precepts	ΥΠΟΘΗΚΗ <i>placing under</i>
συντιθέναι	to mutually agree	ΣΥΝΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing together</i>
ἡ συνθήκη αἱ συνθῆκαι	mutual agreement	ΣΥΝΘΗΚΗ <i>placing together</i>
ποιεῖσθαι	to be made / to make for themselves	ΠΟΙΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>gathering</i>
ἡ βλάβη αἱ βλάβαι	harm	ΒΛΑΒΗ <i>hindering</i>
βλάπτειν	to harm	ΒΛΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>hindering</i>
βλάπτεσθαι	to be harmed	
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
δίκαιος <i>(ᾱ, ον)</i>	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>

ἄδικος (ον)	unjust	ΑΔΙΚΟΣ <i>not custom / right</i>
ὥσαύτως	likewise	ὥΣΑΥΤΩΣ <i>as self</i>
τὸ ἔθνος τὰ ἔθνη	ethnicity	ΕΘΝΟΣ <i>accustomed</i>
ἡ βούλησις αἱ βουλήσεις	intention	ΒΟΥΛΗΣΙΣ <i>wishing</i>
βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>wishing</i>

ΚΔ 33. Practical Justice

ouk ên ti ka-th'he-au-to Di-kai-o-sý-nē – al-l' en taís me-t'al-lē-lōn sys-tro-phaís kath' ho-pē-lí-kous: δέ-po-te a-ei τό-pous Syn-thē-kē tis hy-per τοῦ mē bláp-tein é bláp-tes-thai

οὐκ ἦν τι καθ’ ἑαυτὸ Δικαιοσύνη – ἀλλ’ ἐν ταῖς μετ’ ἀλλήλων συστροφαῖς καθ’ ὀπηλίκους: δήποτε ἀεὶ τόπους Συνθήκη τις ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ βλάπτειν ἢ βλάπτεσθαι

Justice is **not something** in itself – but instead **in the assemblages** with each other of any magnitude: **in whatever locations** there is **always** some mutual Agreement **regarding not harming or being harmed**

Justice does not exist per se and is not metaphysical or universal. Rather, it exists only among those who are both able and willing to establish and uphold agreements that serve mutual interests.

δίκαιος (ᾱ, ον)	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
τὸ δίκαιον τὰ δίκαια	what is just	
ἡ εὐφροσύνη αἱ εὐφροσύναι	merriment	ΕΥΦΡΟΣΥΝΗ <i>condition of good mind</i>
ἡ δικαιοσύνη αἱ δικαιοσύναι	justice	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣΥΝΗ <i>condition of custom / right</i>
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
ἡ συστροφή αἱ συστροφαί	assemblage	ΣΥΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>twisting together</i>
ὀπηλίκος (η, ον)	of any magnitude	ὀΠΗΛΙΚΟΣ <i>which extent</i>
ὅπωςδῆποτε	in any way	ὅΠΩΣΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite in what way</i>
δήποτε	whatever	ΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite</i>
αἰῖδιος (ος, ον)	eternal <i>(static, singular)</i>	ΑἰῖΔΙΟΣ <i>characterized by forever [heavenly]</i>
ἀεὶ	always	ΑΕΙ <i>forever</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
συντιθέναι	to mutually agree	ΣΥΝΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing together</i>
ἡ συνθήκη αἱ συνθηκαί	mutual agreement	ΣΥΝΘΗΚΗ <i>placing together</i>
βλάπτειν	to harm	ΒΛΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>hindering</i>
βλάπτεσθαι	to be harmed	

ΚΔ 34. Practical Injustice

hē A-di-kí-a ou ka-th'he-au-tēn ka-kón – al-l'en tō ka-ta tēn hy-pop-sí-an phó-bō ei mē Lē-sei
tous hy-per tōn toi-ou-tōn e-phe-stē-kó-tas ko-las-tás

ή Ἀδικία οὐ καθ' ἑαυτὴν κακόν – ἀλλ' ἐν τῷ κατὰ τὴν ὑποψίαν φόβῳ εἰ μὴ Λήσει
τοὺς ὑπὲρ τῶν τοιούτων ἐφεστηκότας κολαστάς

Injustice is not in itself what is bad – but in the fear from the suspicion that one will not evade notice from those instituted as punishers regarding such things

What is bad about injustice lies in the constant worry about facing retribution.

ή δικαιοσύνη αἱ δικαιοσύναι	justice	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣΥΝΗ condition of custom / right
ή ἀδικία αἱ ἀδικίαι	injustice	ΑΔΙΚΙΑ not custom / right
κακός (ή, όν)	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ harmful
τὸ κακόν τὰ κακά	what is bad	ΚΑΚΟΝ harmful
ή ὑποψία αἱ ὑποψίαι	suspicion	ὙΠΟΨΙΑ looking under
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ fleeing
λανθάνειν	to evade notice	ΛΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ to be hidden
λήσειν	to evade notice [in the future]	ΛΗΣΕΙΝ will be hidden
λήσει	3rd person singular future active indicative one will evade notice [from]	
τοιοῦτος (τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ this of this sort
σῆναι	to stand [already]	ΣΤΗΝΑΙ standing
καταστηματικός (ή, όν)	established	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΗΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of thoroughly standing
ἐφιστάναι	to institute	ΕΦΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ standing upon
ἐφεστηκώς (υῖα, ός)	instituted	ΕΦΕΣΤΗΚΩΣ stood upon
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ knowledge
ὁ σοφιστής οἱ σοφισταί	sophist i.e., a man considered wise	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΗΣ considered to have knowledge
ὁ κολαστής οἱ κολασταί	punisher	ΚΟΛΑΣΤΗΣ agent of striking

ΚΔ 35. Consequence of Secrecy

ouk ἔs-ti ton lá-thrē ti Poi-ou̎n-ta (hōn Sy-né-then-to pros al-lē-lous eis to mē
bláp-tein mē-de bláp-tes-thai) pis-teú-ein hó-ti Lē-sei – kán my-ri-á-kis e-pi toú
pa-rón-tos La-thá-nē – mé-chri gar ka-tas-tro-phēs, á-dē-lon ei kai Lē-sei

οὐκ ἔστι τὸν λάθρη τι Ποιοῦντα (ᾧν Συνέθεντο πρὸς ἀλλήλους εἰς τὸ μὴ
βλάπτειν μηδὲ βλάπτεσθαι) πιστεύειν ὅτι Λήσει – κἂν μυριάκις ἐπὶ τοῦ
παρόντος Λαθάνη – μέχρι γὰρ καταστροφῆς, ἄδηλον εἰ καὶ Λήσει

It is not possible for one who evasively brings about something (among those things that they mutually agreed with one another in not harming and not being harmed) to believe that he will evade notice – even if he evades thousands of times up to the present – for until his overturning, it is unclear if he will still evade notice

It is impossible for someone who secretly breaks an agreement to be confident they will avoid detection. This state of anxiety persists until death or exposure – so the moral and psychological consequences of breaking such a compact are significant.

λανθάνειν	to evade notice	ΛΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>to be hidden</i>
λάθρη	evasively	ΛΑΘΡΗ <i>hidden</i>
λήσει	3rd person singular future active indicative one will evade notice [from]	
λαθάνη	3rd person singular aorist active subjunctive one may evade notice [from]	
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ποιοῦν (οὔσα, οὔν)	making	ΠΟΙΟΥΝ <i>gathering</i>
ὁ ποιοῦν οἱ ποιοῦντες	one who brings about	
συντιθέναι	to mutually agree	ΣΥΝΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing together</i>
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
βλάπτειν	to harm	ΒΛΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>hindering</i>
βλάπτεσθαι	to be harmed	
μυρίος (α, ον)	innumerable	ΜΥΡΙΟΣ <i>ants</i>
μυριάκις	innumerable times	
παρών (παρουσα, παρόν)	present	ΠΑΡΩΝ <i>to be beside</i>
τὸ παρόν τὰ παρόντα	^[θ] what is present	ΠΑΡΟΝΤΑ <i>existing alongside</i>
ἡ συστροφή αἱ συστροφαί	assemblage	ΣΥΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>twisting together</i>
ἡ καταστροφή αἱ καταστροφαί	overturning	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>twisting thoroughly</i>
ἄδηλος (ον)	⁽⁰⁾ unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΣ

ΚΔ 36. General Uniformity of Justice

ka-ta men to koi-non – pâ-si to DÍ-kai-on to au-tó: sym-phé-ron gár Ti ên en tê pros
al-lé-lous koi-nō-ní-ā

**κατὰ μὲν τὸ κοινὸν – πᾶσι τὸ Δίκαιον τὸ αὐτό: συμφέρον γάρ Τι ἦν ἐν τῇ πρὸς
ἀλλήλους κοινωνίᾳ**

according to what is general – for all [*people*] what is just is the same:
because Something is mutually benefiting in the partnership with one
another

ka-ta de to í-di-on chō-ras kai hó-sōn dé-po-te ai-tí-ōn – ou pâ-si Sy-né-pe-tai to au-to
DÍ-kai-on eî-nai

**κατὰ δὲ τὸ ἴδιον χώρας καὶ ὅσων δῆποτε αἰτίων – οὐ πᾶσι Συνέπεται τὸ αὐτὸ
Δίκαιον εἶναι**

but according to what is particular of a location and of however many
reasons – it does not follow for all [*people*] that what is just exists as the
same

Although what is just is always based in mutual benefit – it will differ due to specific regional characteristics.

κοινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	general	ΚΟΙΝΟΣ <i>common</i>
τὸ κοινόν τὰ κοινά	what is general	
πᾶς <i>(πάσα, πάν)</i>	whole [here, "all"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
δίκαιος <i>(ᾱ, ον)</i>	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
τὸ δίκαιον τὰ δίκαια	what is just	
συμφέρειν	to be mutually beneficial	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing together</i>
συμφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	mutually benefiting	
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
πρὸς ἀλλήλους	with one another	
ἡ κοινότης αἱ κοινότητες	general quality	ΚΟΙΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from common</i>
ἡ κοινωνία αἱ κοινωνίαι	partnership	ΚΟΙΝΩΝΙΑ <i>common</i>
ἡ ὁμιλία αἱ ὁμιλίαι	association	ὍΜΙΛΙΑ <i>company</i>
Part 2		
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
τὸ ἴδιον τὰ ἴδια	what is particular	
ἡ χώρα αἱ χώραι	space [here, "location"]	ΧΩΡΑ <i>location</i>
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
δήποτε	whatever	ΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite</i>
ὅσος δήποτε	however many	
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause [here, "reason"]	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ἔπεσθαι	to follow	ἘΠΕΣΘΑΙ <i>supporting</i>
συνέπεσθαι	to mutually follow	ΣΥΝΕΠΕΣΘΑΙ <i>supporting together</i>

ΚΔ 37. Pragmatic Justice

to men E-pi-mar-ty-roú-me-non **hó-ti sym-phé-rei** en **taís chreí-ais tês** **pros al-lé-lous**
koi-nō-ní-as (tōn no-mis-thén-tōn **eĩ-nai di-kaí-ōn**) **é**-chei **toú** di-kaí-ou **cha-rak-tē-ra** – e-án te
to au-to **pā-si** **gé-nē-tai** e-án te **mē** to **au-tó**

τὸ μὲν Ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον ὅτι συμφέρει ἐν ταῖς χρείαις τῆς πρὸς ἀλλήλους
κοινωνίας (τῶν νομισθέντων εἶναι δικαίων) ἔχει τοῦ δικαίου χαρακτηῖρα – ἐάν τε
τὸ αὐτὸ πᾶσι γένηται ἐάν τε μὴ τὸ αὐτό

What is attested as being mutually beneficial in the uses of partnership with one another (among the things thought to be just) does have the character of what is just – whether it comes to be the same thing for all or not the same thing

e-an de **nó-mon** **thē-tai** Tis – **mē** A-po-baí-nē de ka-ta to sym-phé-ron **tês** **pros al-lé-lous**
koi-nō-ní-as: ou-ké-ti **toú**-to tēn **toú** dí-kai-ou **phý**-sin **é**-chei

ἐὰν δὲ νόμον θῇται Τις – μὴ Ἀποβαίνει δὲ κατὰ τὸ συμφέρον τῆς πρὸς ἀλλήλους κοινωνίας: οὐκέτι τοῦτο τὴν τοῦ δίκαιου φύσιν ἔχει

then if Someone sets up a law – but it does have a result in accordance with what mutually benefits in partnership with one another: this no longer has the nature of what is just

kan me-ta-píp-tē to ka-ta to dí-kai-on Sym-phé-ron – chró-non dé ti-na eis tēn pró-lēp-sin
e-nar-mót-tē: ou-den êt-ton – e-keî-non ton chró-non – ên dí-kai-on toîs mē phō-naîs
ke-naîs he-au-tous syn-ta-rát-tou-sin (al-l'eis ta prág-ma-ta blé-pou-sin)

κἂν μεταπίπτῃ τὸ κατὰ τὸ δίκαιον Συμφέρον – χρόνον δέ τινα εἰς τὴν πρόληψιν ἐναρμόττῃ: οὐδὲν ἦττον – ἐκείνον τὸν χρόνον – ἦν δίκαιον τοῖς μὴ φωναῖς κεναῖς ἑαυτοὺς συνταράττουσιν (ἀλλ’ εἰς τὰ πράγματα βλέπουσιν)

even if that which mutually benefits in regards to what is just shifts – yet for a certain time it fits into the anticipation: then nevertheless – for that time – it is just to those not confusing themselves with empty statements (but to those looking into the situation)

If a law or action brings mutual benefit: then it is just, regardless of uniformity – if it does not bring mutual benefit, it is not just.

No ordinance or decree makes a law just, but only the fact that it results in a practical and mutual benefit.

Even if circumstances change so that what was once advantageous according to justice is no longer so – it was still just when it was advantageous.

τὸ ἀντιμαρτυρούμενον τὰ ἀντιμαρτυρούμενα	^[W] what is contested	ANTI-MARTYPOYMENON result of <i>testifying</i> <i>against</i>
ἐπιμαρτυρεῖν	to attest	ΕΠΙ-MARTYPEIN <i>testifying upon</i>
τὸ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον τὰ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενα	^[M] what is attested	ΕΠΙ-MARTYPOYMENON result of <i>testifying upon</i>
τὸ συμφέρον τὰ συμφέροντα	what mutually benefits	ΣΥΜ-ΦΕΡΟΝ <i>bringing together</i>
συμφέρειν	to be mutually beneficial	ΣΥΜ-ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing together</i>
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
τὸ χρεών τὰ χρεών	what must happen ^[i.e., "the inevitable"]	ΧΡΕΩΝ <i>necessary</i>
ἡ χρῆσις αἱ χρήσεις	usage	ΧΡΗΣΙΣ <i>the process of necessary</i>
ἡ χρεία αἱ χρεῖαι	use	ΧΡΕΙΑ <i>necessary</i>
^[no nominative] ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
ἡ κοινότης αἱ κοινότητες	general quality	ΚΟΙΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from common</i>
ἡ κοινωνία αἱ κοινωνίαι	partnership	ΚΟΙΝΩΝΙΑ <i>common</i>
νομίζειν	to think	ΝΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
τὸ νομισθέν τὰ νομισθέντα	what is thought	ΝΟΜΙΣΘΕΝ <i>custom</i>
δίκαιος (ᾱ, ον)	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
τὸ δίκαιον τὰ δίκαια	what is just	
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>

ὁ χαρακτήρ οἱ χαρακτήρες	character	ΧΑΡΑΚΤΗΡ <i>engraving</i>
γενέσθαι	to be produced [already]	ΓΕΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>came into being</i>
Part 2		
ὁ νόμος οἱ νόμοι	law	ΝΟΜΟΣ <i>allotting</i>
τιθέναι	to put	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
θεῖναι	to put [here, "to set up"] [already]	ΘΕΙΝΑΙ <i>placed</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
ἀποβαίνειν	to result [here, "to have a result"]	ΑΠΟΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking away</i>
τὸ συμφέρον τὰ συμφέροντα	what mutually benefits	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΟΝ <i>bringing together</i>
οὐκέτι	no longer	
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
Part 3		
μεταπίπτειν	to shift	ΜΕΤΑΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling differently</i>
τὸ συμφέρον τὰ συμφέροντα	what mutually benefits	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΟΝ <i>bringing together</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
ἐναρμόττων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	fitting into	ΕΝΑΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ <i>adapting into</i>
ἐναρμόττειν	to fit into	ΕΝΑΡΜΟΤΤΕΙΝ <i>adapting into</i>
κακός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
ἥττων <i>(ον)</i>	worse	ἝΤΤΟΝ <i>inferior</i>
οὐδέν ἥττον	then nevertheless	
ἐκεῖνος <i>(ἐκεῖνη, ἐκεῖνο)</i>	that	ΕΚΕΙΝΟΣ <i>that there</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ταράττειν	to disturb	ΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating</i>
συνταράττειν	to confuse	ΣΥΝΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating together</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
βλέπειν	to see [here, "to look"]	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>

ΚΔ 38. Contextual Justice

ἐν-θα – mē kai nōn ge-no-mé-nōn tôn pe-ri-es-tó-tōn prag-má-tōn – a-ne-fá-nē mē

har-mót-ton-ta eis tēn pró-lēp-sin ta No-mis-thén-ta dí-kai-a e-p’au-tōn tôn ér-gōn: ouk

ἐν taû-ta dí-kai-a

ἐνθα – μὴ καινῶν γενομένων τῶν περιεστώτων πραγμάτων – ἀνεφάνη μὴ ἁρμόττοντα εἰς τὴν πρόληψιν τὰ Νομισθέντα δίκαια ἐπ’ αὐτῶν τῶν ἔργων: οὐκ ἦν ταῦτα δίκαια

whenever – without the surrounding situations becoming new – the things thought to be just in the tasks themselves display that they not to fit with the anticipation: then it is not possible that they are just

ἐν-θα de – kai-nōn ge-no-mé-nōn tôn prag-má-tōn – ou-ké-ti sy-né-phe-re ta au-ta dí-kai-a
Keí-me-na:

ἐνθα δὲ – καινῶν γενομένων τῶν πραγμάτων – οὐκέτι συνέφερε τὰ αὐτὰ δίκαια Κείμενα:

but whenever – with the situations becoming new – the same things set up as just are no longer mutually beneficial:

en-taû-tha, dê tó-te men ên dí-kai-a (hó-te sy-né-phe-ren eis tēn pros al-lē-lous koi-nō-ní-an tôn sym-po-li-teu-o-mé-nōn) – hý-ste-ron d’ouk ên é-ti dí-kai-a (hó-te mê sy-né-phe-ren)

ἐνταῦθα, δὴ τότε μὲν ἦν δίκαια (ὅτε συνέφερεν εἰς τὴν πρὸς ἀλλήλους κοινωνίαν τῶν συμπολιτευομένων) – ὕστερον δ’, οὐκ ἦν ἔτι δίκαια (ὅτε μὴ συνέφερεν)

in this respect, indeed while at that time they are just (when they are mutually beneficial in the partnership of fellow-citizens with one another) – but afterwards, it is not possible that they are still just (when they are not mutually beneficial)

If what was once considered just does not fit with our anticipation of justice – then what was once considered just was not truly just.

When circumstances do change – actions that were once beneficial and just can become unjust if they no longer provide mutual benefit.

ἐπειδὴν = ἐπειδὴ ἂν	whenever	ΕΠΕΙΔΑΝ since if
ἐνθα	at that point	ΕΝΘΑ
νεωστὶ	just now	ΝΕΩΣΤΙ recent
καινός (ή, όν)	new	ΚΑΙΝΟΣ newly-invented
γενόμενος (η, ον)	produced [often gnomic, "becoming"]	ΓΕΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ come into being
γενόμενος (η, ον)	having become	ΓΕΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ come into being
στήναι	to stand [already]	ΣΤΗΝΑΙ standing
ἵστασθαι	to be placed upright	ΊΣΤΑΣΘΑΙ standing
περίιστασθαι	to place around	ΠΕΡΙΪΣΤΑΣΘΑΙ standing around
περιεστώς	having been placed around ∴ surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΣΤΩΣ stood around
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ result of doing / affair
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ shining
ἀναφαίνειν	to display	ΑΝΑΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ shining out
ἐναρμόττων (ουσα, ον)	fitting into	ΕΝΑΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ adapting into
ἁρμόττειν	to fit	
ἁρμόττων (ουσα, ον)	fitting	ἈΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ adapting

ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
νομισθεῖς	[already] thought	NOMISΘEIS <i>custom</i>
τὸ νομισθέν τὰ νομισθέντα	what is thought	
ἡ δικαιοσύνη αἱ δικαιοσύναι	justice	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣΥΝΗ <i>condition of custom / right</i>
δίκαιος (ᾱ, ον)	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
τὸ ἐνέργημα τὰ ἐνεργήματα	an activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of working within</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
Part 2		
οὐκέτι	no longer	ΟΥΚΕΤΙ <i>not still</i>
συμφέρειν	to be mutually beneficial	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing together</i>
καταστηματικός (ή, όν)	established	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΗΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of thoroughly standing</i>
κεῖσθαι	to be set [up]	ΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting</i>
τὸ κείμενον τὰ κείμενα	what set up	ΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΝ <i>setting</i>
Part 3		
ἐνταῦθα	in this [respect]	ΕΝΤΑΥΘΑ <i>in this</i>
τότε	at that time	ΤΟΤΕ <i>the then</i>
μέν... δέ...	while... yet...	
ὕστερον	afterwards	ΎΣΤΕΡΟΝ <i>next</i>
δὴ τότε μέν... ὕστερον δέ...	indeed <i>while</i> at that time... <i>but</i> afterwards...	
συμφέρειν	to be mutually beneficial	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing together</i>
[no nominative] ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
πρὸς ἀλλήλους	with one another	
ἡ κοινωνία αἱ κοινωνίαι	partnership	ΚΟΙΝΩΝΙΑ <i>common</i>
συμπολιτεύεσθαι	to be a fellow- citizen	ΣΥΜΠΟΛΙΤΕΥΕΣΘΑΙ <i>participating in a polity together</i>
ὁ συμπολιτευόμενος οἱ συμπολιτευόμενοι	fellow-citizen	
ὕστερον	afterwards	ΎΣΤΕΡΟΝ <i>next</i>
συμφέρειν	to be mutually beneficial	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing together</i>

ΚΔ 39. Relationship Management

Ho to mē thar-roûn a-po tōn é-xō-then á-ris-ta sys-tē-sá-me-nos:

Ὁ τὸ μὴ θαρροῦν ἀπὸ τῶν ἔξωθεν ἄριστα συστησάμενος:

He who has best composed himself regarding his lack of confidence from external circumstances:

Hoû-tos ta men dy-na-ta ho-mó-phy-la ka-tes-keu-á-sa-to – ta de mē dy-na-ta, ouk

al-ló-phy-lá

Οὗτος τὰ μὲν δυνατὰ ὁμόφυλα κατεσκευάσατο – τὰ δὲ μὴ δυνατὰ, οὐκ ἀλλόφυλά

is this person who made what is possible of the same kind – but what is not possible, not of a different kind

ge hó-sa de mē-de tou-to dy-na-tos ên: a-ne-pí-meik-tos e-gé-ne-to (kai ex-ē-reí-sa-to hó-sa tou-t' e-ly-si-té-lei prát-tein)

γε ὅσα δὲ μηδὲ τοῦτο δυνατὸς ἦν: ἀνεπίμεικτος ἐγένετο (καὶ ἐξηρείσατο ὅσα τοῦτ' ἔλυσιτέλει πράττειν)

and with as many things as he could not even to do this: he made himself unmixed (and removed as many things as it was profitable to accomplish this)

τὰ ὁμόφυλα & οἱ ὁμόφυλοι	things & people of the same kind	<i>we enjoy them for their own sake</i>
τὰ οὐκ ἀλλόφυλά & οἱ οὐκ ἀλλόφυλοι	things & people not of a different kind	<i>we enjoy them for mutual benefit</i>
τὰ ἀνεπίμεικτα & οἱ ἀνεπίμεικτοι	unmixed things & people	<i>we enjoy not interacting with them</i>

He who best composes himself against external threats makes what he can of the same type as himself – but what he cannot make of the same type, he does not treat as alien – yet from what is not even possible to not treat as alien, he does keep unmixed, removing whatever is beneficial to remove.

θαρρεῖν	to be confident	ΘΑΡΡΕΙΝ <i>taking courage</i>
τὸ θαρρεῖν	being confident	
τὸ μὴ θαρροῦν	not being confident	
ἔξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
τὸ ἔξω τὰ ἔξω	external object	ΕΞΩ <i>outside</i>
τὸ ἔξωθεν τὰ ἔξωθεν	an external source [here, "external circumstance"]	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
ἄριστος (η, ον)	best	ΑΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most fit</i>
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
συνστήσαι συστήσαι	to compose [already]	ΣΥΝΣΤΗΣΑΙ <i>stood together</i>
συστησάμενος	composed himself [regarding]	
Part 2		
οὗτος (αὕτη, τοῦτο)	this	ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
τό δυνατόν τὰ δυνατά	what is possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΝ <i>power</i>
ἡ συμφυλία αἱ συμφυλῖαι	similarity	ΣΥΜΦΥΛΙΑ <i>race / tribe together</i>
ὁμογενής (ές)	of the same kind	ΟΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ <i>same born</i>

ὁμόφυλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	of the same kind	ὍΜΟΦΥΛΟΣ <i>same</i> <i>race / tribe</i>
ἀλλόφυλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	of a different kind	ΑΛΛΟΦΥΛΟΣ <i>other race / tribe</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing</i> <i>alongside</i>
κατασκευάζειν	to construct [here, "to make"]	ΚΑΤΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>preparing</i>
Part 3		
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
μηδὲ x δυνατός ἦν	he cannot do x	
ἐπιτήδειος <i>(α, ον)</i>	suitable	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>towards this here</i>
ἀνεπιτήδειος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	unsuitable	ΑΝΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>not towards this here</i>
ἀνεπίμικτος ἀνεπίμεικτος <i>(ον)</i>	unmixed	ΑΝΕΠΙΜΙΚΤΟΣ ΑΝΕΠΙΜΕΙΚΤΟΣ <i>not towards mixing</i>
γενέσθαι	to be produced [already]	
ἐγένετο	3rd person singular gnomic aorist mid. ind. "he makes himself"	ΓΕΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>came into being</i>
ἐξαιρεῖν	to remove	ΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking out</i>
ἡ λύσις αἱ λύσεις	solution [i.e., explanation]	ΛΥΣΙΣ <i>the process of</i> <i>loosening</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
λυσιτελεῖν	to be profitable	ΛΥΣΙΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>the process of</i> <i>loosening</i> <i>completing</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>

ΚΔ 40. The Complete Life

Hó-soi tēn dy-na-min ēs-chon toû to thar-reîn má-lis-ta ek tôn ho-mor-roú-tôn
pa-ra-skeu-á-sa-sthai (hoû-tō kai e-bí-ō-san me-t'al-lē-lōn hē-dis-ta) to be-bai-ó-ta-ton
pís-tō-ma é-chon-tes

**Ὅσοι τὴν δύναμιν ἔσχον τοῦ τὸ θαρρεῖν μάλιστα ἐκ τῶν ὁμορρούντων
παρασκευάσασθαι (οὕτω καὶ ἐβίωσαν μετ’ ἀλλήλων ἥδιστα) τὸ βεβαιοτάτον
πίστωμα ἔχοντες**

As many people as have the force of providing for themselves the greatest
security from those bordering (and in this way also live their life among one
another most pleasantly) have the most affirmed assurance

kai (plē-res-tá-tēn oi-kei-ó-tē-ta a-po-la-bón-tes) ouk ō-dý-ran-to, hōs pros é-le-on, tēn
toû te-leu-tē-san-tos pro-ka-tas-tro-phēn

**καὶ (πληρεστάτην οἰκειότητα ἀπολαβόντες) οὐκ ὠδύραντο, ὥς πρὸς ἔλεον, τὴν
τοῦ τελευτήσαντος προκαταστροφὴν**

and (having fully acquired the fullest compatibility) they do not mourn, as
though with pity, the prior demise of he who died

Those who are able to create and maintain secure and pleasant relationships with others live more contentedly in the reassurance and comfort of these bonds.

Yet such people also do not experience deep mourning or regret when a friend passes away – because the strength and joy of their relationship provides continued pleasure that outweighs the sorrow of loss.

ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
ἡ δύναμις αἱ δυνάμεις	force	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
θαρρεῖν	to be confident	ΘΑΡΡΕΙΝ <i>taking courage</i>
τὸ θαρρεῖν	being confident	
μάλιστα	especially	ΜΑΛΙΣΤΑ <i>very</i>
ὁ ὄρος οἱ ὄροι	limit	ὍΡΟΣ <i>outline, definition</i>
τὸ ὄριον τὰ ὅρια	boundary	ὍΡΙΟΝ <i>separating</i>
ὀρίζειν	to set a limit	ὍΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
ὁμορρεῖν	to neighbor	ὍΜΟΡΡΕΙΝ <i>the same separating</i>
ὁ ὁμορρῶν οἱ ὁμορροῦντες	neighbor <i>[the one bordering]</i>	ὍΜΟΡΡΩΝ <i>the same separating</i>
ὁ πλησίος οἱ πλησίοι	neighbor	ΠΛΗΣΙΟΣ <i>near</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
βιοῦν	to live <i>[to live one's life]</i>	ΒΙΟΥΝ <i>living</i>
ζῆν	to live <i>[to be alive]</i>	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
ἡδέως	pleasantly	ἩΔΕΩΣ <i>sweet</i>
ἡδιον	more pleasantly	ἩΔΙΟΝ <i>more sweet</i>
ἡδιστα	most pleasantly	ἩΔΙΣΤΑ <i>most sweet</i>
βέβαιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΣ <i>certain</i>
βεβαιότερος	more affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more certain</i>
βεβαιότατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most certain</i>
ἡ πίστις αἱ πίστεις	belief <i>[based on experience]</i>	ΠΙΣΤΙΣ <i>trust</i>
ἡ ἀπιστία αἱ ἀπιστίαι	distrust	ΑΠΙΣΤΙΑ <i>no trust</i>
τὸ πίστωμα τὰ πιστώματα	assurance	ΠΙΣΤΩΜΑ <i>the result of trust</i>
Part 2		
πλήρης <i>(ες)</i>	full	ΠΛΗΡΗΣ <i>quality from filling</i>

πληρέστερος	fuller	ΠΛΗΡΕΣΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more filling</i>
πληρέστατος	fullest	ΠΛΗΡΕΣΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most filling</i>
οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
ἡ οἰκειότης αἱ οἰκειότητες	compatibility	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΤΗΣ <i>appropriate</i>
ἀπολαμβάνειν	to fully acquire	ΑΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping away from</i>
ἀπολαβών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	fully acquired	
ὀδύρεσθαι	to morn	ΟΔΥΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>lamenting</i>
ὁ ἔλεος οἱ ἔλεοι	pity	ΕΛΕΟΣ <i>onomatopoeic</i> <i>"έλελεϋ"</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
τελευταῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	final	ΤΕΛΕΥΤΑΙΟΣ <i>terminating</i>
τελευτᾶν	to die	ΤΕΛΕΥΤΑΝ <i>terminating</i>
ὁ τελευτήσας οἱ τελευτήσαντες	he who died	ΤΕΛΕΥΤΗΣΑΣ <i>terminating</i>
διαστρόφος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	distorted	ΔΙΑΣΤΡΟΦΟΣ <i>twisting</i>
ἡ καταστροφή αἱ καταστροφαί	overturning	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>twisting</i> <i>thoroughly</i>
ἡ προκαταστροφή αἱ προκαταστροφαί	prior demise	ΠΡΟΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΟΦΗ

VATICAN SAYINGS Sententiae Vaticanae

*Also called **Gnomologium Vaticanum Epicureum** and **Ἐπικούρου Γνωμολογία** and **Ἐπικούρου Προσφώνησεις**.*

"The Vatican Sayings" is a collection of 81 short sayings – mainly from Epicurus but also from later Epicureans, likely compiled between the 3rd and 5th centuries AD.

It survives in a 14th-century manuscript in the Vatican Library. (Vaticanus Graecus 1950)

*The Vatican Sayings that have an equivalent in the **Κύριαι Δόξαι** (Essential Judgments) are not included here.*

VS 4. Perception of Pain

Pâ-sa al-gē-dōn eu-ka-ta-phrō-nē-tos: hē gar *śŷn*-to-non, é-chou-sa to po-noûn, *śŷn*-to-mon

é-chei ton *chrō*-non ~ hē de *chro-nī*-zou-sa pe-ri tēn *sár*-ka a-blē-chron é-chei ton *pō*-non

Πᾶσα ἀλγηδὼν **εὐκαταφρόνητος**: ἡ γὰρ **σύντονον**, ἔχουσα **τὸ πονοῦν**, **σύντομον** ἔχει **τὸν χρόνον** ~ ἡ δὲ **χρονίζουσα** περὶ τὴν **σάρκα ἀβληχρόν** ἔχει **τὸν πόνον**

All pain is **well able to be disregarded**: because the **intense** [*pain*], having **what suffers**, has **concise time** ~ but **the long enduring** [*pain*] in the **body** has **non-severe suffering**

πᾶς <i>(πάσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
τὸ ἄλγοῦν τὰ ἄλγοῦντα	what causes pain	ΑΛΓΟΥΝ <i>being in pain</i>
τὸ ἄλγεινόν τὰ ἄλγεινά	what is painful	ΑΛΓΕΙΝΟΝ <i>pain</i>
ἡ ἄλγηδών αἱ ἄλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ <i>the state pain</i>
ἡ φροντίς αἱ φροντίδες	consideration	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΣ <i>mind</i>
καταφρονεῖν <i>+ gen</i>	to disregard	ΚΑΤΑΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>mind down / against</i>
ὁ εὐφρονοῦν οἱ εὐφρονοῦντες	he who considers well	ΕΥΦΡΟΝΟΥΝ <i>good mind</i>
εὐκαταφρόνητος <i>(ον)</i>	well able to be disregarded	ΕΥΚΑΤΑΦΡΟΝΗΤΟΣ <i>good mind down upon</i>
συντείνειν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>stretching together</i>
σύntonος <i>(ον)</i>	intense	ΣΥΝΤΟΝΟΣ <i>stretching together</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἔχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	has	ΕΧΩΝ <i>holding</i>
ἐπίπονος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	tedious	ΕΠΙΠΟΝΟΣ <i>upon toil</i>
ἡ ἀπονία αἱ ἀπονίαι	non-suffering	ΑΠΟΝΙΑ <i>no toil</i>
τό πονοῦν τὰ πονοῦντα	what suffers	ΠΟΝΟΥΝ <i>being in toil</i>
ὁ πόνος οἱ πόνοι	suffering	ΠΟΝΟΣ <i>toil</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
σύντομος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	concise	ΣΥΝΤΟΜΟΣ <i>cut together</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
χρονίζειν	to linger	ΧΡΟΝΙΖΕΙΝ <i>[seizing]</i>
χρονίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	linger	ΧΡΟΝΙΖΩΝ <i>[seizing]</i>
ἡ σὰρξ αἱ σάρκες	flesh	ΣΑΡΞ <i>flesh</i>
ἀβληχρός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	non-severe	ΑΒΛΗΧΡΟΣ <i>not bitter</i>

VS 7. Folly of Concealment

A-di-koûn-ta, la-theîn men dý-sko-lon – pís-tin de la-beîn hy-per tou la-theîn a-dý-na-ton

Ἀδικοῦντα, λαθεῖν μὲν δύσκολον – πίστιν δὲ λαβεῖν ὑπὲρ τοῦ λαθεῖν ἀδύνατον

for one being unjust, to evade notice is discontenting – but to gain confidence about evading notice is impossible

This is a summary of ΚΔ 35 "It is not possible for one who evasively brings about something (among those things that they mutually agreed with one another in not harming and not being harmed) to believe that he will evade notice – even if he evades thousands of times up to the present – for until his overturning, it is unclear if he will still evade notice."

ἡ δικαιοσύνη αἱ δικαιοσύναι	justice	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣΥΝΗ <i>condition of custom / right</i>
ἡ ἀδικία αἱ ἀδικίαι	injustice	ΑΔΙΚΙΑ <i>not custom / right</i>
ἀδικεῖν	to be unjust	ΑΔΙΚΕΙΝ <i>not custom / right</i>
ἀδικοῦν <i>(οὔσα)</i>	being unjust	
λανθάνειν	to evade notice	ΛΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>to be hidden</i>
λαθεῖν	to evade notice [already]	ΛΑΘΕΙΝ <i>hidden</i>
τὸ δυσχερές τὰ δυσχερῆ	what is difficult	ΔΥΣΧΕΡΕΣ <i>hard hand</i>
δύσκολος	discontenting	ΔΥΣΚΟΛΟΣ <i>hard bile</i>
ἡ πίστις αἱ πίστεις	belief [based on experience]	ΠΙΣΤΙΣ <i>trust</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
λαβεῖν	[intellectually] to comprehend [already]	ΛΑΒΕΙΝ <i>grasped</i>
ἀδύνατος <i>(ον)</i>	impossible	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not powerful</i>

Seneca (*fl.* 35 ^{CE}), Epistles 9.13

Pōtest nocentī contingere **ut lateat** – **latendī** Fidēs nōn potest

It is possible **for a wrongdoer to happen to go unnoticed** – but Confidence of **concealment** cannot exist

VS 9. No Need to Live in Need

ka-kon A-nán-kē ~ all' ou-de-mí-a a-nán-kē zēn me-ta a-nán-kēs

κακὸν Ἀνάγκη – **ἀλλ' οὐδεμία ἀνάγκη** **ζῆν μετὰ ἀνάγκης**

Necessity is **bad** – but **there is not any necessity** to live **with necessity**

κακός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
οὐθείς = οὐδείς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one [factual]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
βιοῦν	to live [to live one's life]	ΒΙΟΥΝ <i>living</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>

VS 10. Infinite Success

Attributed to Metrodorus.

Mé-mnē-so hó-ti (**thnē-tós** **hón** **tē phý-sei**, kai la-bón **chró-non** **hō-ris-mé-non**) A-né-bēs toís

pe-ri phý-se-ōs di-a-lo-gis-moís – he-pi tēn a-peí-rí-an kai ton ai-ō-na – kai Ka-teí-des:

"tá t'e-ón-ta, tá t'es-só-me-na, pró t'e-ón-ta"

Μέμνησο ὅτι (θνητός ὢν τῇ φύσει, καὶ λαβὼν χρόνον ὠρισμένον) Ἀνέβης τοῖς
περὶ φύσεως διαλογισμοῖς – ἐπὶ τὴν ἀπειρίαν καὶ τὸν αἰῶνα – καὶ Κατεῖδες:
"τά τ' ἑόντα, τά τ' ἑσσόμενα, πρό τ' ἑόντα"

Recall that (while being mortal by nature, and having finite time) you
ascended with thorough reasonings about nature – regarding infinity and
eternity – and have looked upon: "the things existing, the things that will
exist, and the things existing before"

"declaring the things that exist, the things that will exist, and the things existing
before (εἰρεῦσαι τά τ' ἑόντα τά τ' ἑσσόμενα πρό τ' ἑόντα)" is a quote of line 38 of
Hesiod's Theogony.

μιμνήσκειν	to recall	ΜΙΜΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ thinking "again"
μέμνησο	2nd person singular perfect middle imperative recall	ΜΕΜΝΗΣΟ thought
ἄφθαρτος (ον)	indestructible	ΑΦΘΑΡΤΟΣ not decaying
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ dying
ἀθάνατος	immortal	ΑΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ not dying
θνητός (ή, όν)	mortal	ΘΝΗΤΟΣ died
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ producing
λαβὼν (οὔσα, όν)	[generally] acquired [here, "having"]	ΛΑΒΩΝ grasped
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ [seizing]
ὀρίζειν	to set a limit	ὍΡΙΖΕΙΝ separating
ὠρισμένος (η, ον)	finite	ὦΡΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ separating
διαβαίνειν	pass through	ΔΙΑΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ walking through
ἀναβαίνειν	to ascend	ΑΝΑΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ walking up against
ἀνέβης	2nd person singular aorist active indicative you ascended	ΑΝΕΒΗΣ walked up against
ὁ διαλογισμός οἱ διαλογισμοί	thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ reasoning through action
ἄπειρος (ον)	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ not limited
ἡ ἀπειρία αἱ ἀπειρίαι	infinity	
αἰώνιος (αἰωνιά, αἰώνιον)	perpetual (moving, multiple)	ΑΙΩΝΙΟΣ characterized by being forever
ὁ αἰών οἱ αἰῶνες	eternity	ΑΙΩΝ being forever
ὀρᾷν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ looking
καθοράν	to look upon	ΚΑΘΟΡΑΝ looking thoroughly
κατεῖδες	2nd person singular aorist active indicative you looked upon	ΚΑΤΕΙΔΕΣ thoroughly saw
Quotation		

εἶναι	to be	ΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being</i>
τὸ ὄν τὰ ὄντα	what is existing	ΟΝ <i>being</i>
τὸ ἐσσόμενον τὰ ἐσσόμενα	what will exist	ΕΣΣΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>will be</i>
πρό	before	ΠΡΟ <i>in front of</i>

Context of Quote from Hesiod

Hesiod (fl.c. 700 ^{BCE}), Theogony, 36-40

Τύνη – Μουσάων Ἀρχώμεθα, ταὶ Διὶ πατρὶ

You – with the Muses let us begin, who **to Zeus** *their father*

ὕμνεῦσαι, τέρπουσι μέγαν νόον ἐντὸς Ὀλύμπου,

while siging, they delight **his great mind** within Olympus,

εἰρεῦσαι τὰ τ’ ἐόντα, τὰ τ’ ἐσσόμενα, πρό τ’ ἐόντα,

while telling of **the things** existing, **the things** that will exist, and **the things** existing *before*

φωνῇ ὁμηρεῦσαι: τῶν δ’ ἀκάματος ῥέει Αὐδὴ

with a voice they speak the same way: and *from them* flows *their untiring* Account

ἔκ στομάτων ἡδεῖα:

sweet from their mouths:

Alternative Version

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 ^{CE}), Stromata, 5.138

Μητροδώρου τε καίτοι Ἐπικουρείου γενομένου – ἐνθέως ταῦτά γε εἰρηκότος:

"Μέμνησο, Μενέστρατε, **διότι** (**θνητὸς** φύς, καὶ λαβὼν **βίον ὠρισμένον**, ἀναβάς

τῇ ψυχῇ ἕως ἐπὶ τὸν αἰῶνα καὶ τὴν ἀπειρίαν τῶν πραγμάτων) Κατεῖδες καὶ:

'**τά τ’ ἐσσόμενα, πρό τ’ ἔοντα**'"

although Metrodorus **indeed** became an Epicurean – he said **these things** piously: "Menestratus, recall **that** (**although** having naturally come to exist **as mortal**, and having received a **finite life**, and having ascended **with your soul** up to the eternity and infinity of situations) you have seen also: 'the things that will exist, and **the things** existing *before*.'"

Μενέστρατος	Menestratus	ΜΕΝΕΣΤΡΑΤΟΣ <i>enduring for the army</i>
διότι	since	
φύειν	to naturally come to exist	ΦΥΕΙΝ <i>producing</i>
φύς <i>(φῦσα, φῦν)</i>	having naturally come to exist	
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ἕως ἐπὶ	up to	
τὸ πράγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>

VS 11. Tranquil Activity

tôn pleîs-tôn an-thrô-pôn: to men **hē-sy-chā-zon** Nar-kā ~ to de **ki-nôú-me-non** Lyt-tâ

τῶν πλείστων ἀνθρώπων: τὸ μὲν ἡσυχάζον Ναρκᾶ ~ τὸ δὲ **κινούμενον** Λυττᾶ

with most people: what is tranquil becomes numb – but what moves itself becomes frantic

This is a reminder to always hold in mind the goal of tranquil activity.

We want to be tranquil without becoming listless, and we want to be active without becoming agitated.

πλεῖστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	the greatest <i>[the most]</i>	ΠΛΕΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ἡ ἡσυχία αἱ ἡσυχίαι	tranquillity	ἩΣΥΧΙΑ <i>stillness</i>
ἡσυχάζειν	to be tranquil	ἩΣΥΧΑΖΕΙΝ
ἡσυχάζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	being tranquil	
τὸ ἡσυχάζον τὰ ἡσυχάζοντα	what is tranquil	
ναρκᾶν	to become numb	ΝΑΡΚΑΝ <i>stiffening</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	<i>[general]</i> movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving</i> <i>process</i>
κινεῖν	to move	ΚΙΝΕΙΝ <i>moving</i>
κινούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being moved	ΚΙΝΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>moving</i>
τὸ κινούμενον τὰ κινούμενα	what is moved by itself	
λυττᾶν	to become frantic	ΛΥΤΤΑΝ <i>raging</i>

VS 14. No Second Life

Ge-gó-na-men há-pax – dis de ouk és-ti ge-nés-thai: Deî de, ton ai-ô-na, mē-ké-ti eî-nai

Γεγόναμεν ἅπαξ – δις δὲ οὐκ ἔστι γενέσθαι: Δεῖ δὲ, τὸν αἰῶνα, μηκέτι εἶναι

We have been produced once – but twice it is not possible to be produced: it is necessary, for eternity, to no longer exist

Sy, de, ouk ôñ tēs aú-ri-on ký-ri-os – a-na-bál-lē to chaî-ron?

Σὺ, δὲ, οὐκ ὦν τῆς αὔριον Κύριος – ἀναβάλλη τὸ χαῖρον?

You, however, not being Master of tomorrow – postpone what is joyful?

ho de Bí-os mel-lēs-mô pa-ra-pól-ly-tai – kai heîs Hē-kas-tos hē-môn, as-cho-lou-me-nos, a-po-thnés-kei

ὁ δὲ Βίος μελλησῶ παραπόλλυται – καὶ εἷς ἕκαστος ἡμῶν, ἀσχολούμενος, ἀποθνήσκει

Life is frittered away by procrastination – and each one of us, while being occupied, passes away

We should prepare for our future – while still enjoying cheer and rest.

γενέσθαι	to be produced <i>[already]</i>	ΓΕΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>came into being</i>
ἅπαξ	once	ἌΠΑΞ <i>one all one</i>

δίς	twice	ΔΙΣ two
μηκέτι	no longer	ΜΗΚΕΤΙ not still
αἰώνιος (αἰωνίᾱ, αἰώνιον)	perpetual (moving, multiple)	ΑΙΩΝΙΟΣ characterized by being forever
ὁ αἰών οἱ αἰῶνες	eternity	ΑΙΩΝ being forever
Part 2		
αὔριον	tomorrow	ΑΥΡΙΟΝ dawn
κύριος (α, ον)	principle	ΚΥΡΙΟΣ critical / valid
ὁ κύριος οἱ κύριοι	principle	
βάλλειν	to throw	ΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ throwing
ἀναβάλλειν	to postpone	ΑΝΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ
χαῖρων (ουσα, ον)	rejoicing	ΧΑΙΡΩΝ being cheerful
τὸ χαῖρον	what is joyful	ΧΑΙΡΟΝ joy
Part 3		
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ life
μέλλειν	to be about to do [here, "will be"]	ΜΕΛΛΕΙΝ going to
ὁ μελλησμός οἱ μελλησμοί	procrastination	ΜΕΛΛΗΣΜΟΣ result of "going to"
ἀπολλύναι	to dissolve away	ΑΠΟΛΛΥΝΑΙ destroying away
παραπόλλυσθαι	to be frittered away	ΠΑΡΑΠΟΛΛΥΣΘΑΙ destroying in passing
ἕκαστος (η, ον)	each (with plural verb)	ἙΚΑΣΤΟΝ each
ἡ σχολή αἱ σχολαί	school	ΣΧΟΛΗ leisure
ἡ ἀσχολία αἱ ἀσχολίαι	occupation	ΑΣΧΟΛΙΑ not leisure
ἀσχολούμενος (η, ον)	being occupied	ΑΣΧΟΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ not leisure
ἀποθνήσκειν	to pass away	ΑΠΟΘΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ ΑΠΟΘΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ died away

Alternative Version 1

Plutarch, Non Posse, 27, 1104E

ἧ καὶ προεπισφάττουσιν, Οἱ ταυτὶ λέγοντες" "ἅπαξ ἄνθρωποι Γεγόναμεν – δίς δ' οὐκ ἔστι γενέσθαι: Δεῖ δέ, τὸν αἰῶνα, μηκέτι εἶναι"

by which they slaughter [us] in advance, those who say these things:
"We have been produced as human once – but twice it is not possible to be produced: it is necessary, for eternity, to no longer exist"

καὶ γὰρ τὸ παρὸν ὥς μικρὸν (μᾶλλον δὲ μηδ' ὅτιοῦν) πρὸς τὰ σύμπαν ἀτιμάσαντες: ἀναπόλαυστον Προΐενται, καὶ Ὀλιγωροῦσιν ἀρετῆς καὶ πράξεως – οἷον ἐξαθυμοῦντες καὶ καταφρονοῦντες ἑαυτῶν, ὥς ἐφημέρων καὶ ἀβεβαίων καὶ πρὸς οὐθὲν ἀξιόλογον γεγονότων

for they too, having dishonored **the present as small** (or rather, as nothing at all) **in comparison to the whole**: they let it go **unenjoyed**, and they make light of virtue and action – **as if** disheartened and scorning **themselves**, as **ephemeral** and **unstable** and **born** for nothing worthy

Alternative Version 2

Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Florilegium 16.28

Ἐπικούρου "Γεγόναμεν ἅπαξ – δις οὐκ ἔστι γενέσθαι. Δεῖ δὲ, τὸν αἰνῶνα, μκέτ' εἶναι. Σὺ, δὲ, τῆς αὔριον οὐκ ὦν Κύριος, ἀναβάλλη τὸν καιρόν! ὁ δὲ πάντων Βίος μελλησμῶ παραπόλλυται – καὶ διὰ τοῦτο, ἑκάστος ἡμῶν, ἀσχολούμενος, ἀποθνήσκει"

Of Epicurus "We have been produced **once** – but **twice** it is not possible to be produced: it is necessary, **for eternity, to no longer exist**. You, however, **not being tomorrow's Master**, postpone **cheer**! The life of all is frittered away **by procrastination** – and **because of this**, each of us, **while being occupied**, passes away"

Harvest the Day

Horatius, Carmina 1.11

Tū – nē quaesíerīs – scíre néfās! **quem míhi, quem tíbī**
You – do not seek – it is not to be found! **What to me, what to you**

finem Dī **déderint, Λευκονοή. Nec Babylóniōs**
the Gods will give **as an end, Leuconoë. Nor with Babylonian**

temptáris **númerōs. ut mélius (quídquid érit) páti,**
numbers should you tamper. How much better (whatever will be) to endure,

seu **plúrīs Híemēs** seu **tríbuit Iúppiter últimam,**
whether **more winters** Jupiter grants or the **last,**

(quae nunc **oppósitīs dēbílítat pūmícibus máre**
(which now **with opposing pumice** disables **the Sea**

Tyrrhénum). **Sápiās: vína líquēs et spátíō brévī**
of Tyrrhenia). Be wise: you should filter **the wine** and **in short time**

spem lóngam résecēs! dum lóquimur, fúgerít ínvida
you should cut back **long hope**! While we speak, **in envy** will have fled

áetās: cárpe **díem quam mínimum crédula pósterō**
the age: harvest **the day which expects the least in tomorrow**

VS 15. Appreciating Others

ἔ-thē hós-per ta hē-môn au-tôn í-di-a Ti-mô-men – án te chrē-sta ἔ-chō-men, kai hy-po tōn an-thró-pōn Zē-ló-me-tha – án te **μέ:** **hou-tō** Chrē kai ta tōn pé-las – an e-pi-ei-keís Ô-sin

Ἥθη ὥσπερ τὰ ἡμῶν αὐτῶν ἴδια Τιμῶμεν – ἄν τε χρηστά Ἐχωμεν, καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν ἀνθρώπων Ζηλώμεθα – ἄν τε **μή:** οὐτω Χρὴ καὶ τὰ τῶν πέλας – ἄν ἐπιεικεῖς ᾖσιν

[our] dispositions we honor just as things particular to us ourselves – whether we possess useful ones, and we are admired by people – or not: thus it is necessary also [to honor] the [dispositions] of those nearby – if they are fitting

τὸ ἦθος τὰ ἦθη	disposition	ΗΘΟΣ <i>custom</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ἡ τιμή αἱ τιμαί	honor	ΤΙΜΗ <i>value</i>
τιμᾶν	to honor	ΤΙΜΑΝ <i>value</i>

χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
χρηστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	useful	
χρῆναι	to be necessary	ΧΡΗΝΑΙ
χρή	it is necessary	ΧΡΗ <i>necessary</i>
ό άνθρωπος οι άνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ζηλοῦν	to admire	ΖΗΛΟΥΝ <i>envying</i>
πέλας	nearby	ΠΕΛΑΣ <i>approaching</i>
ό πέλας οι πέλας	he who is nearby	
ή εἰκών αι εἰκόνες	image	ΕΙΚΩΝ <i>portraying</i>
ἐπιεικής <i>(ές)</i>	fitting	ΕΠΙΕΙΚΗΣ <i>portraying upon</i>
ῶσιν	3rd person plural present subjunctive of εἰμί they may be, [if] they are	

Horatius, Satires, 1.3.29-37

Īrācúndior est paúlō – *minus* aptus *acūtīs*

More quick-tempered he is by a little – less suited to the critical

nāribu^s hōr^{um} hómīnum: *rīdérī* póssit *éō* quod

observations [lit. sharp noses] of these men: it is possible to laugh at him because

rustícīus tónsō, *Toga dēfluīt* et *mále* lāxus

in a more rustic way his [hair] is cut, the toga slips and badly loose

in *péde* Cálceus haeret. at est *bónus*: ut *mélior* vir

on his foot his shoe clings. but he is good: as a better man

nōn *álius* quisquam – at *tíbi* ^amícus – at ingénī^{um} íngēns

there is no other – but he is a friend to you – but a great genius

incúltō *látet hoc sub córpore*. dēnique *tē ípsum*

lies under this unrefined body. finally, you yourself

cóncute, *númqua tībī vitiór^{um}* inséverit *ólim*

shake, whether at any time in you any vices [] has planted

Nātúr^a aut *étiam* Consuetúdō *mála*. namque

nature or even bad habit. for

negléctīs *uréndā* *fīlīx* innáscitur *ágrīs*.

in neglected fields, the fern that must be burned grows.

VS 16. Harmful Temptation

Ou-deis, blé-pōn to ka-kon, hai-reĩ-tai au-tó – al-la, de-le-as-theis hōs a-ga-thō pros to

meĩ-zon au-toũ ka-kon, e-thē-reú-thē

Οὐδεῖς, βλέπων τὸ κακὸν, αἰρεῖται αὐτό – ἀλλὰ, δελεασθεὶς ὡς ἀγαθῷ πρὸς τὸ
μεῖζον αὐτοῦ κακὸν, ἐθηρεύθη

No one, seeing what is harmful, chooses it – but, lured as if by a good
towards his greater harm, he is caught

οὐδεῖς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>

βλέπων (ουσα, ον)	seeing	
κακός (ή, όν)	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
τό κακόν τά κακά	what is bad [here, "what is harmful"]	ΚΑΚΟΝ
αίρεϊν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
δελεάζειν	to lure	ΔΕΛΕΑΖΕΙΝ <i>baiting</i>
δελεασθείς (εῖσα, έν)	lured	ΔΕΛΕΑΣΘΕΙΣ <i>baiting</i>
άγαθός (ή, όν)	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
μείζων (ον)	larger	ΜΕΙΖΩΝ <i>greater</i>
θηρεύειν	to catch	ΘΗΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>hunting</i>

VS 17. Harbor of Old Age

ou Né-os ma-ka-ris-tos – al-la Gé-rōn be-bi-ō-kōs ka-lōs:

οὐ Νέος μακαριστός – ἀλλὰ Γέρων βεβιωκῶς καλῶς:

it is not the young who is considered blessed – but the old man who has lived correctly:

ho gar Né-os, ak-mé po-lys hy-po tēs tŷ-chēs (he-te-ro-phro-nōn) plá-ze-tai –

ὁ γὰρ Νέος, ἀκμῇ πολὺς ὑπὸ τῆς τύχης (ἐτεροφρονῶν) πλάζεται –

for the young man, in his prime (while holding opposing opinions) is molded greatly by fortune –

ho de Gé-rōn, ka-thá-per en li-mé-ni, tō gé-rā ka-thōr-mi-ken: ta pró-te-ron dy-sel-pis-toú-me-na tōn a-ga-thōn as-pha-leī ka-ta-kleí-sas chá-ri-ti

ὁ δὲ Γέρων, καθάπερ ἐν λιμένι, τῷ γήρᾳ καθώρμικεν: τὰ πρότερον δυσελπιστούμενα τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀσφαλεῖ κατακλείσας χάριτι

but the old man, just as in a harbor, has fully anchored in old age: while fully enclosing with stable charm those things formerly hard to hope for among the goods

ή νεότης αί νεότητες	youth	ΝΕΟΤΗΣ <i>new</i>
νέος (α, ον)	young	ΝΕΟΣ <i>new</i>
σοφιστικός (ή, όν)	sophistic i.e., considered wise	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>considered to have the characteristic of knowledge</i>
ή μακαριότης αί μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from greatness</i>
μακάριος (α, ον)	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ <i>greatness</i>
μακαριστός (ή, όν)	considered blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>considered to have greatness</i>
ὁ γέρων οἱ γέροντες	old man	ΓΕΡΩΝ <i>old</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>

βιοῦν	to live [to live one's life]	ΒΙΟΥΝ <i>living</i>
βεβιωκώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	having lived	ΒΕΒΙΩΚΩΣ <i>having lived</i>
καλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	correct / beautiful	ΚΑΛΟΣ <i>lovely</i>
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>lovely</i>
Part 2		
ή άκμή αί άκμαί	prime	ΑΚΜΗ <i>peak</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ή τύχη αί τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
φρονεῖν	to consider	ΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>mind</i>
έτεροφρονεῖν	to hold opposing opinions	ΉΤΕΡΟΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>different mind</i>
πλάττειν	to mold	ΠΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>forming</i>
Part 3		
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as thoroughly</i>
ό λιμήν οί λιμένες	harbour	ΛΙΜΗΝ <i>dockyard</i>
ό γέρων οί γέροντες	old man	ΓΕΡΩΝ <i>old</i>
ό συγκαταγεγηρακώς οί συγκαταγεγηρακότες	he who has fully grown old together	ΣΥΓΚΑΤΑΓΕΓΗΡΑΚΩΣ <i>fully having grown old together</i>
τò γήρας τὰ γήρα	old age	ΓΗΡΑΣ <i>grown old</i>
καθορμίζειν	to fully anchor	ΚΑΘΟΡΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>completely anchoring</i>
καθώρμικεν	3rd person singular perfect active indicative he has fully anchored	
πρότερον	earlier	ΠΡΟΤΕΡΟΝ <i>more before</i>
τò πρότερον τὰ πρότερον	what is former	
δυσμνημόνευτος <i>(ον)</i>	hard to remember	ΔΥΣΜΝΗΜΟΝΕΥΤΟΣ <i>hard thinking</i>
ή έλπίς αί έλπίδες	hope	ΕΛΠΙΣ <i>expecting</i>
δυσελπιστειν	to hard to hope for	ΔΥΣΕΛΠΙΣΤΕΙΝ <i>expecting hard</i>
δυσελπιστούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	hard to hope for	
τò δυσελπιστούμενον τὰ δυσελπιστούμενα	what is hard to hope for	
άγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
άσφαλής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	stable	ΑΣΦΑΛΗΣ <i>not stumbling</i>
κλειῖν	to enclose	ΚΛΕΙΕΙΝ <i>shutting</i>
κατακλείειν	to fully enclose	ΚΑΤΑΚΛΕΙΕΙΝ <i>completely shutting</i>
κατακλείσας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	fully enclosing	
χαίρειν	to rejoice [used for "hello/goodbye"]	ΧΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>

χαρίζειν	to give favor	ΧΑΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>action of being cheerful</i>
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	favor [here, "charm"]	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>charming / gracious</i>

VS 18. Disenchantment from Eros

a-phai-rou-**mé-nēs** pro-só-pse-ōs – kai ho-mi-**lī**-as – kai sy-na-na-stro-**phēs**: ek-**lý**-e-tai to
e-rō-ti-kon **πά**-thos

ἀφαιρουμένης προσόψεως – **καὶ ὁμιλίας** – **καὶ συναναστροφῆς**: ἐκλύεται τὸ **ἔρωτικόν** Πάθος

with visual presence **removed** – and association – and intimate acquaintance: the **erotic** feeling is dispelled

The desire for a specific person is dispelled by removing all exposure to them.

ἀφαιρεῖν	to remove	ΑΦΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping away</i>
ἀφαιρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	removed	ΑΦΑΙΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>grasping away</i>
ἡ ὑποψία αἱ ὑποψίαι	suspicion	ΥΠΟΨΙΑ <i>looking under</i>
ἡ πρόσοψις αἱ προσοψεῖς	visual presence	ΠΡΟΣΟΨΙΣ <i>toward looking</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ἡ ὁμιλία αἱ ὁμιλίαι	association	ὍΜΙΛΙΑ <i>company</i>
ἡ συστροφή αἱ συστροφαί	assemblage	ΣΥΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>twisting together</i>
ἡ συναναστροφή αἱ συναναστροφαί	intimate acquaintance	ΣΥΝΑΝΑΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>mutually twisting together</i>
ἡ συνουσία αἱ συνουσίαι	intercourse	ΣΥΝΟΥΣΙΑ <i>being together</i>
ἐκλύειν	to dispel	ΕΚΛΥΕΙΝ <i>dissolving out</i>
ἐκλύεται	3rd person singular present passive indicative it is dispelled	
ἡ ἐρωμένη αἱ ἐρωμέναι	mistress [i.e., female lover]	ΕΡΩΜΕΝΗ <i>having been loving</i>
ἔρωτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	erotic	ΕΡΩΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of loving</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>

VS 19. Regenerating Gratitude

toû ge-go-**nó**-tos a-mné-mōn a-ga-**thoû** – **γέ**-rōn **τέ**-me-ron Ge-**gé**-nē-tai

τοῦ γεγονότος ἀμνήμων ἀγαθοῦ – **γέρων** **τῆμερον** Γεγένηται

when not remembering the good that has come to be – on that day he has come to be an old man

γεγονώς <i>(υἷα, ὅς)</i>	has been produced [here, "has come to be"]	ΓΕΓΟΝΩΣ <i>came into being</i>
μimνήσκειν	to recall	MIMNHISKEIN <i>thinking "again"</i>
μνημονεύειν	to remember	MNHMONEYEIN <i>"addition to" thinking</i>
ἀμνημονεῖν	to not remember	AMNHMONEIN <i>not "addition to" thinking</i>
ἀμνήμων <i>(ον)</i>	not remembering	
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
ὁ γέρων οἱ γέροντες	old man	ΓΕΡΩΝ <i>old</i>
τήμερον <i>[indeclinable adverb]</i>	on that day	ΤΗΜΕΡΟΝ <i>on the day</i> = τῇ ἡμέρᾳ
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
γεγενῆσθαι	to have been produced [here, "has come to be"]	ΓΕΓΕΝΗΣΘΑΙ <i>came into being</i>

VS 21. Persuading Our Nature

ou Bi-as-té-on tēn phý-sin ~ al-la, Peis-té-on:

οὐ Βιαστέον τήν φύσιν ~ ἀλλά, Πειστέον:

One must not force **nature** ~ but instead, must persuade:

Peí-so-men de tas a-nan-kaí-as e-pi-thy-mí-as ek-plē-roûn-tes ~ tás te phy-si-kas, an mē

Bláp-tō-si ~ tas de bla-be-ras pik-rôs e-léng-khon-tes

Πείσομεν δέ τὰς ἀναγκαίᾱς ἐπιθυμίας ἐκπληροῦντες – τὰς τε φυσικὰς, ἂν μὴ Βλάπτωσι ~ τὰς δὲ βλαβεράς πικρῶς ἐλέγχοντες

We will persuade **by fully fulfilling the necessary desires** – and also **the natural**, if they do not harm ~ but **by sharply refuting the harmful ones**

βιάζειν	to force	BIAZEIN <i>violence</i>
βιαστέον	one must force	BIASTEON <i>violence</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
πείθειν	to persuade	ΠΕΙΘΕΙΝ <i>convincing</i>
πειστέον	one must persuade	ΠΕΙΣΤΕΟΝ
Part 2		
πείσομεν	future active indicative 1st person plural we will persuade	
ἀναγκάιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
πλήρης <i>(ες)</i>	full	ΠΛΗΡΗΣ <i>quality from filling</i>

ἐκπληροῦν	to fully fill	ΕΚΠΛΗΡΟΥΝ <i>full out</i>
ὁ φυσικός οἱ φυσικοί	naturalist	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
βλάπτειν	to harm	ΒΛΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>hindering</i>
ή βλάβη αἱ βλάβαι	harm	ΒΛΑΒΗ <i>hindering</i>
βλαβερός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	harmful	ΒΛΑΒΕΡΟΣ
πικρός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	sharp	ΠΙΚΡΟΣ <i>pointed / keen</i>
πικρῶς	sharply	ΠΙΚΡΩΣ <i>pointed / keen</i>
ἐλέγχειν	to refute	ΕΛΕΓΧΕΙΝ <i>confuting [with contrary proof]</i>

VS 23. Advantages of Friendship

pâ-sa Phi-lí-a di' he-aut-ên **hai-re-té** – **ar-chên** de eí-lê-phen a-po **tês** ô-phe-leí-as

πάσα Φιλία δι' έαυτήν αἰρετή – ἀρχήν δέ εὔληφεν από τῆς ώφελείας

every friendship **in itself is worth choosing** – but it has acquired **its foundation** from benefit

πᾶς <i>(παῶσα, παῶν)</i>	whole [here, "all"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
ή φιλία αἱ φιλίαι	friendship	ΦΙΛΙΑ <i>loving</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
αἰρετός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	worth choosing	ΑΙΡΕΤΟΣ <i>taking</i>
ή ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
εἰληφέναι	[generally] to have acquired	ΕΙΛΗΦΕΝΑΙ <i>grasped</i>
ή ώφέλεια αἱ ώφέλειαι	benefit	ΩΦΕΛΕΙΑ <i>helping</i>

VS 24. Source of Dreams

E-nýp-ni-a ouk é-la-che **phý-sin theí-an** – ou-de **man-ti-kên dý-na-min** – al-la gí-ne-tai **ka-ta**

émp-tō-sin ei-dō-lōn

Ἐνύπνια οὐκ ἔλαχε φύσιν θείαν – οὐδὲ μαντικήν δύναμιν – ἀλλὰ γίνεται κατὰ ἔμπτωσιν εἰδώλων

Dreams do not possess **a nature that is divine** – nor **prophetic power** – but they are produced **in accordance with the collision** of images

ὁ ὕπνος οἱ ὕπνοι	sleep	ΥΠΝΟΣ <i>sleep</i>
-----------------------------	-------	------------------------------

τὸ ἐνύπνιον τὰ ἐνύπνια	dream	ΕΝΥΠΝΙΟΝ <i>in sleep</i>
λαγχάνειν	to obtain (by lot)	ΛΑΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>obtaining by lot</i>
ἔλαχε	3rd person singular used for neuter plural aorist active indicative "they obtained" ∴ "they possess"	ΕΛΑΧΕ <i>obtained by lot</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
θεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	divine	ΘΕΙΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
ὁ μάντις οἱ μάντιες	prophet	ΜΑΝΤΙΣ <i>oracle</i>
μαντικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	prophetic	ΜΑΝΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of an oracle</i>
τό δυνατόν τὰ δυνατά	what is possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΝ <i>power</i>
ἡ δύναμις αἱ δυνάμεις	force [here, "power"]	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ περίπτωσις αἱ περιπτώσεις	incident	ΠΕΡΙΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling around</i>
ἡ ἀντίπτωσις αἱ ἀντιπτώσεις	contrary occurrence	ΑΝΤΙΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling against</i>
ἡ ἔμπτωσις αἱ ἐμπτώσεις	collision	ΕΜΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling into</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>

VS 25. Natural Abundance

hē Pe-ní-a – me-trou-mé-nē tō tēs phý-se-ōs té-lei – mé-gas es-ti Plou-tos:

ἡ Πενία – μετρουμένη τῷ τῆς φύσεως τέλει – μέγας ἐστὶ Πλοῦτος:

Poverty – when measured by the fulfillment of nature – is great Wealth:

Plou-tos de – mē ho-ri-zó-me-nos – me-gá-lē es-ti Pe-ní-a

Πλοῦτος δὲ – μὴ ὀριζόμενος – μεγάλη ἐστὶ Πενία

but Wealth – when not limited – is great Poverty

ἡ πενία αἱ πενίαι	poverty	ΠΕΝΙΑ <i>need</i>
μετρεῖν	to measure	ΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>measuring</i>
μετρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	measured	ΜΕΤΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>measuring</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
μέγας <i>(μεγάλη, μέγα)</i>	great	ΜΕΓΑΣ <i>large</i>

ὁ πλοῦτος οἱ πλοῦτοι	wealth	ΠΛΟΥΤΟΣ <i>flowing</i>
ὀρίζειν	to set a limit	ὍΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
ὀρίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	setting a limit [defining]	ὍΡΙΖΩΝ <i>separating</i>
ὀριζόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being limited	ὍΡΙΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>separating</i>

VS 26. Functional Equivalence

Deĩ di-a-la-beĩn hó-ti kai ho polys ló-gos kai ho bra-chys eis to au-to syn-teĩ-nei

Δεῖ διαλαβεῖν ὅτι καὶ ὁ πολὺς λόγος καὶ ὁ βραχύς εἰς τὸ αὐτὸ συντείνει

It is necessary to distinguish that both the long argument and the short contribute to the same thing

δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
διαλαμβάνειν	to distinguish	ΔΙΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i> <i>separation</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning [here, "argument"]	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
βραχύς <i>(εῖα, ύ)</i>	short	ΒΡΑΧΥΣ <i>brief</i>
τείνειν	to extend	ΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>extending</i>
συντείνειν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>stretching</i> <i>together</i>

VS 27. Pleasure in Learning

e-pi men tōn ál-lōn e-pi-tē-deu-má-tōn, mó-lis te-lei-ō-theĩ-sin ho Kar-pos ér-che-tai – e-pi

de phi-lo-so-phil-as, syn-tré-chei tē gnō-sei to Terp-nón:

ἐπὶ μὲν τῶν ἄλλων ἐπιτηδευμάτων, μόλις τελειωθείσιν ὁ Καρπὸς ἔρχεται – ἐπὶ δὲ φιλοσοφίας, συντρέχει τῇ γνώσει τὸ Τερπνόν:

for the other occupations, the fruit comes with difficulty from them when they are completed – but for philosophy, what is delightful runs alongside with knowledge:

ou gar me-ta má-thē-sin A-pó-lau-sis – al-la ha-ma Má-thē-sis kai A-pó-lau-sis

οὐ γὰρ μετὰ μάθησιν Ἀπόλαυσις – ἀλλὰ ἅμα Μάθησις καὶ Ἀπόλαυσις

for the enjoyment is not after learning – but the learning and the benefiting are simultaneous

ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
ἐπιτηδεύειν	to be trained	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΥΕΙΝ <i>pursuing</i> <i>towards</i>
τὸ ἐπιτήδευμα τά ἐπιτηδεύματα	occupation	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΥΜΑ <i>pursuing</i> <i>towards</i>

τὸ μάθημα τὰ μαθήματα	profession	ΜΑΘΗΜΑ <i>the result of learning</i>
μόλις	with difficulty	ΜΟΛΙΣ <i>with exertion</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill [process-focused]	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
τελεσθεῖς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i>	fulfilled	
τελειοῦν	to complete [result-focused]	ΤΕΛΕΙΟΥΝ <i>completing</i>
τελειωθεῖς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i>	completed [already]	
ὁ καρπός οἱ καρποί	fruit	ΚΑΡΠΟΣ <i>harvest</i>
ἔρχεσθαι	to come / go [in general]	ΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>
ἡ φιλοσοφία	philosophy	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΙΑ <i>loving knowledge</i>
συντρέχειν	to run alongside	ΣΥΝΤΡΕΧΕΙΝ <i>running together</i>
γινώσκειν = γιννώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
γνωστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	known	ΓΝΩΣΤΟΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ἡ γνῶσις αἱ γνώσεις	knowledge	
τέρπειν	to delight	ΤΕΡΠΕΙΝ <i>satisfying</i>
τερπνός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	delightful	ΤΕΡΠΝΟΣ <i>satisfying</i>
τὸ τερπνόν τὰ τερπνά	what is delightful	τερπνόν
Part 2		
ᾅμα	[adv] simultaneously [here, "simultaneous"], [prep] together with	ἅΜΑ <i>at once</i>
μανθάνειν	to learn	ΜΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thinking</i>
ἡ μάθησις αἱ μαθήσεις	[the act of] learning	
ἀπολαύειν	to enjoy +gen	ΑΠΟΛΑΥΕΙΝ <i>profiting from</i>
ἡ ἀπόλαυσις αἱ ἀπολαύσεις	enjoyment	ΑΠΟΛΑΥΣΙΣ <i>process of profiting from</i>

VS 28. Friendship Moderation

οὐ-te tous pro-cheí-rous eis phi-lí-an οὐ-te tous ok-nē-rous Do-ki-mas-té-on:

οὔτε τοὺς προχείρους εἰς φίλιαν οὔτε τοὺς ὀκνηροὺς Δοκιμαστέον:

One must approve neither of those overly eager for friendship nor of those hesitant:

Deí de kai pa-ra-kin-dy-neû-sai chá-rin phí-li-as

Δεῖ δὲ καὶ παρακινδυνεῦσαι χάριν φίλιας

but it is indeed necessary to take some risk on account of friendship

ἡ χείρ αἱ χεῖρες	hand	ΧΕΙΡ <i>hand</i>
χείρων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	worse	ΧΕΙΡΩΝ <i>[work done by] hand</i>
πρόχειρος <i>(ον)</i>	overly eager	ΠΡΟΧΕΙΡΟΣ <i>before [work done by] hand</i>
ὁ πρόχειρος οἱ πρόχειροι	the overly eager <i>[man/men]</i>	
ἡ φιλία αἱ φιλίαι	friendship	ΦΙΛΙΑ <i>loving</i>
ὀκνηρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	hesitant	ΟΚΝΗΡΟΣ <i>having the quality of sluggish</i>
ὁ ὀκνηρός οἱ ὀκνηροί	the hesitant <i>[man/men]</i>	
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
δοκιμάζειν	to approve of	ΔΟΚΙΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>examining</i>
δοκιμαστέον	one must approve of	ΔΟΚΙΜΑΣΤΕΟΝ <i>examining</i>
κινδυνεύειν	to take a risk	ΚΙΝΔΥΝΕΥΕΙΝ <i>making a venture</i>
παρακινδυνεύειν	to take some risk	ΠΑΡΑΚΙΝΔΥΝΕΥΕΙΝ <i>partially making a venture</i>
παρακινδυνεῦσαι	to have taken some risk <i>[here, gnomic "to take some risk"]</i>	
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	grace	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>being cheerful</i>
χάριν	on account of prepositional use (with genitive)	ΧΑΡΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>

VS 29. Honesty Over Popularity

par-rhē-sí-ā, gar Ē-gō-ge, chrō-me-nos – phy-si-o-lo-gōn – chrēs-mō-deīn ta sym-phé-ron-ta
pâ-sin an-thrō-pois mâl-lon an bou-loí-mēn (kan Mē-deis mél-lē sy-nē-sein) – ē,
syn-ka-ta-ti-thē-me-nos taís dó-xais, par-poûs-thai ton pyk-non pa-ra-píp-ton-ta pa-ra
tôn pol-lôn é-pai-non

παρρησία, γὰρ Ἐγώγε, **χρώμενος** – **φυσιολογῶν** – χρησµωδεῖν **τὰ** **συµφέροντα**
πᾶσιν **ἀνθρώποις** **μᾶλλον ἂν βουλοίμην** (κᾶν Μηδεῖς μέλλη **συνήσειν**) – **ἤ,**
συγκατατιθέμενος **ταῖς δόξαις,** παρποῦσθαι **τὸν πυκνὸν παραπίπτοντα** **παρὰ**
τῶν πολλῶν ἔπαινον

for, using frankness, indeed I – while studying nature – would more wish to
chant out oracles about what is mutually benefiting to all people (even if no
one is going to put it together) – rather than, while fully assenting to [their]
judgments, to attend to the dense praise that happens to fall from the many

ἡ παρρησία αἱ παρρησίαι	frankness	ΠΑΡΡΗΣΙΑ <i>state of being said alongside</i>
ἐγώγε	I indeed	ΕΓΩΓΕ <i>myself</i>
χρῆναι	to be necessary	ΧΡΗΝΑΙ <i>necessary</i>

χρώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	using	ΧΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning of producing</i>
φυσιολογεῖν	to study nature	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ
φυσιολογῶν	studying nature	
ὁ χρησμός οἱ χρησμοί	oracle [oracular response]	ΧΡΗΣΜΟΣ <i>oracle</i>
χρησμῶδεῖν	to chant out oracles about	ΧΡΗΣΜΩΔΕΙΝ <i>oracle song</i>
τὸ συμφέρον τὰ συμφέροντα	what is mutually benefiting	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΟΝ <i>bringing together</i>
πᾶς <i>(πᾶσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole [here, "all"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
μᾶλλον	more	ΜΑΛΛΟΝ <i>very</i>
βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>wishing</i>
μηδεῖς <i>(μηδεμία, μηδέν)</i>	no one [modal]	ΜΗΔΕΙΣ <i>not one</i>
μέλλειν	to be about to do [here, "is going"]	ΜΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>going to</i>
συνιέναι	to put it together [i.e. understand]	ΣΥΝΙΕΝΑΙ <i>sending together</i>
συνήσειν	to put it together [in the future]	ΣΥΝΗΣΕΙΝ <i>will send together</i>
τιθέναι	to put	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
συνκατατίθεσθαι	to fully assent	ΣΥΝΚΑΤΑΤΙΘΕΣΘΑΙ <i>thoroughly placing together</i>
συνκατατιθέμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	fully assenting	
τὸ δοξαζόμενον τὰ δοξαζόμενα	^[Δ] what is judged	ΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of seeming</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
παρεῖναι	to be present	ΠΑΡΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being beside</i>
παρποῦσθαι = παροῦσθαι	to be for oneself [i.e., to attend]	
πυκνός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	dense	ΠΥΚΝΟΣ <i>compacting</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
παραπίπτειν	to happen to fall	ΠΑΡΑΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling beside</i>
παραπίπτων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	happens to fall	
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
Πολύαινος	Polyaenus	ΠΟΛΥΑΙΝΟΣ <i>much praise</i>
ὁ ἔπαινος οἱ ἔπαινοι	praise	ΕΠΑΙΝΟΣ <i>praise upon</i>

Attributed to Metrodorus.

he-toi-má-zon-taí Ti-nes dia bí-ou ta pros ton bí-on – ou sy-no-rôn-tes hōs pā-sin hē-mîn
tha-ná-si-mon en-ké-chy-tai to tēs ge-né-se-ōs phár-ma-kon

έτοιμάζονται Τινες διὰ βίου τὰ πρὸς τὸν βίον – οὐ συνορῶντες ὡς πᾶσιν ἡμῖν
θανάσιμον ἐγκέχυται τὸ τῆς γενέσεως φάρμακον

Some people make ready through life the things for their life – not fully
seeing that for all of us the remedy of creation that had been poured in is
deadly

"Some people make ready through life the things for their life" i.e., fully preparing
and having things while alive – but not fully using and enjoying those things while
alive.

έτοιμος (ιμη, ῖμον)	made ready	
έτοιμάζειν	to make ready	ΕΤΟΙΜΑΖΕΙΝ preparing
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ life
συνορᾶν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ looking together
συνορῶν (ῶσα)	fully seeing [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΩΝ seen together
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ dying
θανάσιμος (ον)	deadly	ΘΑΝΑΣΙΜΟΣ
ἐγχεῖν	to pour in	ΕΓΧΕΙΝ pouring in
ἐγκέχυται	perfect passive indicative 3rd singular had been poured in	ΕΓΚΕΧΥΤΑΙ poured into
ἡ γένεσις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ action of being born
τὸ φάρμακον τὰ φάρμακα	remedy	ΦΑΡΜΑΚΟΝ drug

VS 31. Defenseless Against Death

pros men tāl-la, Dy-na-ton as-phá-lei-an po-rí-sas-thai – chá-rin de tha-ná-tou, pán-tes
Ἄν-θρῶ-ποι πό-λιν ἀ-τεί-χισ-τον Οἰ-κοῦ-μεν

πρὸς μὲν τᾶλλα, Δυνατὸν ἀσφάλειαν πορίσασθαι – χάριν δὲ θανάτου, πάντες
Ἄνθρωποι πόλιν ἀτείχιστον Οἰκοῦμεν

in regards to other things, it is possible to bring about stability for oneself –
but on account of death, we humans inhabit a city without walls

ἄλλος (η, ο)	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ another
τᾶλλα = τὰ ἄλλα	the other things	
ἡ ἀσφάλεια αἱ ἀσφάλειαι	stability [lit. "not tripping"]	ΑΣΦΑΛΕΙΑ not stumbling
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ piercing
πορίζειν	to bring about	ΠΟΡΙΖΕΙΝ piercing
πορίσασθαι	to bring about for oneself	ΠΟΡΙΣΑΣΘΑΙ piercing
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	grace	ΧΑΡΙΣ being cheerful

χάριν	on account of prepositional use (with genitive)	ΧΑΡΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
ἡ πόλις αἱ πόλεις	city	ΠΟΛΙΣ <i>fortified high place / city</i>
τὸ τεῖχος τὰ τείχη	wall	
ἄτειχιστος <i>(ον)</i>	without walls	ΑΤΕΙΧΙΣΤΟΣ <i>absolutely no walls</i>
ἡ οἰκονομία αἱ οἰκονομίαι	household management	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΙΑ <i>managing the home</i>
οἰκεῖν	to inhabit	ΟΙΚΕΙΝ <i>the home</i>

VS 32. Benefit of Veneration

ho toû so-phoû Se-bas-mos a-ga-thon mé-ga tô se-bo-mé-nō es-tí

ὁ τοῦ σοφοῦ Σεβασμός **ἀγαθὸν μέγα τῷ σεβομένῳ** ἐστί

the Veneration of a wise man is a great good for the venerator

ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
σέβεσθαι	to venerate	ΣΕΒΕΣΘΑΙ <i>revering</i>
ἡ σέβασις αἱ σεβάσεις	venerating	ΣΕΒΑΣΙΣ <i>revering</i>
ὁ σεβασμός οἱ σεβασμοί	veneration	ΣΕΒΑΣΜΟΣ <i>result of revering</i>
ὁ σεβόμενος οἱ σεβόμενοι	venerator	ΣΕΒΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>agent of revering</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
μέγας <i>(μεγάλη, μέγα)</i>	great	ΜΕΓΑΣ <i>large</i>

VS 33. Zeus' Wellbeing

sar-kos Phō-nē "to mē pei-nēn, to mē dip-sēn, to mē rhi-goûn!" – taû-ta gar é-chōn Tis

(kai el-pí-zōn hé-xein) kan Di-i hy-per eu-dai-mo-ní-as ma-ché-sai-to

σαρκὸς Φωνή "τὸ μὴ πεινῆν, τὸ μὴ διψῆν, τὸ μὴ ῥιγοῦν!" – **ταῦτα** γὰρ ἔχων Τις
(καὶ ἐλπίζων ἔξειν) **κἄν Διὶ** ὑπὲρ εὐδαιμονίας **μαχέσαιο**

the statement of the flesh is "not craving, not thirsting, not being cold!" – for someone having (and hoping to have) these things could contend even with Zeus in regard to wellbeing

ἡ σὰρξ αἱ σάρκες	flesh	ΣΑΡΞ <i>flesh</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
πεινᾶν	to crave	ΠΕΙΝΑΝ <i>hungering</i>
τὸ πεινᾶν	craving	

ἡ δίψα αἱ δίψαι	thirst	ΔΙΨΑ <i>dry / parched</i>
διψῆν	to be thirsty	ΔΙΨΗΝ <i>dry / parched</i>
τὸ διψῆν	thirsting	
τὸ ῥίγος τὰ ῥίγη	[the state of] being cold	ῬΙΓΟΣ <i>shivering</i>
ῥιγοῦν	to be cold	ῬΙΓΟΥΝ <i>shivering</i>
τὸ ῥιγοῦν	[the act of] being cold	
ἡ ἐλπίς αἱ ἐλπίδες	hope	ΕΛΠΙΣ <i>expecting</i>
ἐλπίζειν	to hope	ΕΛΠΙΖΕΙΝ <i>expecting</i>
ἐλπίζων	hoping	
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἔξειν	to have [in the future]	ἘΞΕΙΝ <i>will hold</i>
ὁ Ζεύς (τοῦ διός)	Zeus	ΖΕΥΣ <i>sky god / day</i>
ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>combating</i>

VS 34. Use of Friends

oukh ού-tōs chreí-an ἔ-cho-men tēs chreí-as tēs pa-ra tôn phí-lōn – hōs tēs pís-te-ōs tēs pe-ri tēs chreí-as

οὐχ οὕτως **χρεῖαν** ἔχομεν **τῆς** **χρείας** **τῆς** **παρὰ** **τῶν** **φίλων** – ὥς **τῆς** **πίστεως** **τῆς** **περὶ** **τῆς** **χρείας**

we do not **so much** have **use** for the **use** of friends – as much as for the **belief** in regards to their **use**

ἡ χρεία αἱ χρεῖαι	use	ΧΡΕΙΑ <i>necessary</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ὁ φίλος οἱ φίλοι	friend	ΦΙΛΟΣ <i>loving</i>
ἡ πίστις αἱ πίστεις	belief [based on experience]	ΠΙΣΤΙΣ <i>trust</i>

VS 35. Gratitude Over Desire

ou Δεῖ ly-maí-nes-thai ta pa-rón-ta tôn a-pón-tōn e-pi-thy-mí-ā – all' e-pi-lo-gí-zes-thai hó-ti kai taû-ta tôn euk-taî-ōn ên

οὐ Δεῖ **λυμαίνεσθαι** **τὰ** **παρόντα** **τῶν** **ἀπόντων** **ἐπιθυμία** – ἀλλ' **ἐπιλογίζεσθαι** **ὅτι** **καὶ** **ταῦτα** **τῶν** **εὐκταίων** **ἦν**

It is not necessary **to ruin** **what** **is** **present** **by** the **desire** for what is absent – but instead **to inductively consider** **that** **these** **things** **also** **were** among what was wished-for

δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening</i>

ἡ λύμη αἱ λύμαι	ruin	ΛΥΜΗ <i>quality of loosening</i>
λυμαίνεσθαι	to ruin + acc. thing / to bring ruin to + dat. person	ΛΥΜΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>quality of loosening</i>
πάρειναι	to be present	ΠΑΡΕΙΝΑΙ <i>to be beside</i>
παρών <i>(παρουσα, παρόν)</i>	present	ΠΑΡΩΝ <i>to be beside</i>
ἄπειναι	to be absent	ΑΠΕΙΝΑΙ <i>to be away</i>
άπών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	absent	ΑΠΩΝ
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>
ἐπιλογίζεσθαι	to inductively consider	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>
εὔχεσθαι	to wish for	ΕΥΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>praying for good</i>
εὐκταῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	wished-for	ΕΥΚΤΑΙΟΣ <i>praying for good</i>

VS 36. A Model Life

ho E-pi-κού-rou Βί-os, τοῖς τῶν άλ-lōn syn-kri-νό-me-nos – hé-ne-ken hē-me-ρό-tē-tos kai
au-tar-κεί-as – mŷ-thos άν no-mis-thεί-ē

ὁ Ἐπικούρου Βίος, τοῖς τῶν ἄλλων συγκρινόμενος – ἔνεκεν ἡμερότητος καὶ αὐταρκείας – μῦθος ἂν νομισθεῖη

the life of Epicurus, **when compared with those of others** – because of his gentleness and self-sufficiency – might be considered **a myth**

Ἐπίκουρος	Epicurus	ΕΠΙΚΟΥΡΟΣ <i>running toward [to assist]</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction [i.e., an act of discrimination]	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
ἐπικρίνειν	to discriminate	ΕΠΙΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>dividing upon</i>
συγκρίνειν	to compare	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>dividing together</i>
συγκρινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[being] compared	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>dividing together</i>
χάριν	on account of prepositional use (with genitive)	ΧΑΡΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>
ἔνεκεν	because of	ἮΝΕΚΕΝ <i>[unknown]</i>
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	ἭΜΕΡΑ <i>day</i>
ἡ ἡμερότης αἱ ἡμερότητες	gentleness	ἭΜΕΡΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from soft / tame</i>
αὐτοτελῶς	independently	ΑΥΤΟΤΕΛΩΣ <i>self-goal</i>

αὐτάρκης <i>(ες)</i>	self-sufficient	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΗΣ <i>self-sufficing</i>
ἡ αὐτάρκεια αἱ αὐτάρκειαι	self-sufficiency	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΕΙΑ <i>state of self-sufficing</i>
μυθώδης <i>(ης, ες)</i>	mythical	ΜΥΘΩΔΗΣ <i>full of speech / word</i>
ὁ μῦθος οἱ μῦθοι	myth	ΜΥΘΟΣ <i>speech / word</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
νομισθεῖη	3rd singular aorist optative passive might be considered	

VS 37. Natural Vulnerability

as-the-nēs hē Phý-sis es-ti pros to ka-kón – ou pros to a-ga-thón: hē-do-naïs men gar
sô-ze-tai – al-gē-dó-si de di-a-lý-e-tai

ἀσθενής ἡ Φύσις ἐστὶ πρὸς τὸ κακόν – οὐ πρὸς τὸ ἀγαθόν: ἡδοναῖς μὲν γὰρ σῶζεται – ἀλγηδόσι, δέ, διαλύεται

Nature is weak towards what is bad – not towards what is good: for by pleasures it is saved – by pains, however, it is fully dissolved

ἀσθενής <i>(ές)</i>	weak	ΑΣΘΕΝΗΣ <i>not strength</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
κακός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
τὸ κακόν τὰ κακά	what is bad	ΚΑΚΟΝ <i>harmful</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
τὸ ἀγαθόν τὰ ἀγαθά	what is good	ΑΓΑΘΟΝ <i>great</i>
ἡδέως	pleasantly	ἩΔΕΩΣ <i>sweet</i>
ἡδύς <i>(ἡδεῖα, ἡδύ)</i>	pleasant	ἩΔΥΣ <i>sweet</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
διασώζειν	to preserve	ΔΙΑΣΩΙΖΕΙΝ <i>fully saving</i>
σώζειν	to save	ΣΩΖΕΙΝ ΣΩΙΖΕΙΝ <i>saving</i>
τὸ ἀλγοῦν τὰ ἀλγοῦντα	what causes pain	ΑΛΓΟΥΝ <i>being in pain</i>
τὸ ἀλγεινόν τὰ ἀλγεινά	what is painful	ΑΛΓΕΙΝΟΝ <i>pain</i>
ἡ ἀλγηδών αἱ ἀλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ <i>the state pain</i>
λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening</i>
διαλύειν	to fully dissolve	ΔΙΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>fully dissolving</i>

VS 38. Appreciating Life

μικρὸς παντάπασιν – ὧ πολλαὶ Αἰτίαι εὐλογοὶ εἰς ἐξαγωγήν βίου

he is small in all respects – for whom there are many reasonable causes for life's departure

μικρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
τὸ σύμπαν τὰ σύμπαντα	the entirety	ΣΥΜΠΑΝ <i>all together</i>
ἅπας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	all together	ἌΠΑΣ <i>all together</i>
παντάπασιν	in all respects	ΠΑΝΤΑΠΑΣΙΝ <i>all together all</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ή αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning [here, "argument"]	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting</i> <i>(words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
εὐλογος <i>(ον)</i>	reasonable	ΕΥΛΟΓΟΣ <i>reasoning well</i>
ή ἐπαγωγή αἱ ἐπαγωγαί	reference [to observation]	ΕΠΑΓΩΓΗ <i>result of</i> <i>going toward</i>
ή ἀγωγή αἱ ἀγωγαί	guidance	ΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going</i>
ή ἐξαγωγή αἱ ἐξαγωγαί	departure	ΕΞΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going out</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>

VS 39. Balanced Support

ούθ' Ho tēn chreí-an e-pi-zē-tōn di-a pan-tos phí-los – ούθ' Ho mē-dé-po-te sy-náp-tōn:

οὐθ' Ὁ τὴν χρεῖαν ἐπιζητῶν διὰ παντὸς φίλος – οὐθ' Ὁ μηδέποτε συνάπτων:

neither he who always pursues help is a friend – nor he who never connects:

Ho men gar ka-pē-leú-ei tē chá-ri-ti tēn a-moi-bén – Ho de a-po-kóp-tei tēn pe-ri tou
mél-lon-tos eu-el-pis-tí-an

Ὁ μὲν γὰρ καπηλεύει τῇ χάριτι τὴν ἀμοιβήν – Ὁ δὲ ἀποκόπτει τὴν περὶ τοῦ μέλλοντος εὐελπιστίαν

for the one barter[s] [your] grace for a payment – but the other cuts off the good hopefulness about the future

ή χρῆσις αἱ χρήσεις	usage	ΧΡΗΣΙΣ <i>the process of</i> <i>necessary</i>
ή χρεία αἱ χρεῖαι	use [here, "help"]	ΧΡΕΙΑ <i>necessary</i>
ἐπιζητεῖν	to pursue	ΕΠΙΖΗΤΕΙΝ <i>seek after</i>
ἐπιζητῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	pursuing	
πᾶς <i>(πάσα, πάν)</i>	whole [here, "all"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
διὰ παντός	always	
ὁ φίλος οἱ φίλοι	friend	ΦΙΛΟΣ <i>loving</i>

μηδέποτε	never	ΜΗΔΕΠΟΤΕ <i>but not ever</i>
συνάπτειν	to connect	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening together</i>
Part 2		
ὁ/ἡ κάπηλος οἱ/αἱ κάπηλοι	retail dealer	ΚΑΠΗΛΟΣ <i>huckster</i>
καπηλεύειν	to barter [dative] for [accusative]	ΚΑΠΗΛΕΥΕΙΝ <i>huckster</i>
χαῖρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	rejoicing	ΧΑΙΡΩΝ <i>being cheerful</i>
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	grace	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>being cheerful</i>
ἡ ἀμοιβή αἱ ἀμοιβαί	payment	ΑΜΟΙΒΗ <i>exchanging back</i>
ἀντικόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beating against</i>
ἀποκόπτειν	to cut off	ΑΠΟΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beating away</i>
μέλλειν	to be about to do	ΜΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>going to</i>
μέλλων <i>(οὔσα, ον)</i>	being about to do	
τὸ μέλλον τὰ μέλλοντα	the future [lit. "what is about to happen"]	
ἡ ἐλπίς αἱ ἐλπίδες	hope	ΕΛΠΙΣ <i>expecting</i>
ἡ εὐελπιστία αἱ εὐελπισταί	good hopefulness	ΕΥΕΛΠΙΣΤΙΑ <i>expecting good</i>

VS 40. Irony of Determinism

Ho lé-gōn pán-ta kat' a-nán-kēn gí-nes-thai, ou-den en-ka-leîn é-chei tô lé-go-nti mē pán-ta
kat' a-nán-kēn gí-nes-thai – au-to gar Toû-tó, phē-si, kat' a-nán-kēn gí-nes-thai

Ὁ λέγων πάντα κατ' ἀνάγκην γίνεσθαι, οὐδὲν ἐγκαλεῖν ἔχει τῷ λέγοντι μὴ πάντα κατ' ἀνάγκην γίνεσθαι – αὐτὸ γὰρ Τοῦτό, φησι, κατ' ἀνάγκην γίνεσθαι

He who says that all things are produced by necessity, has nothing to accuse the one who says that all things are not produced by necessity – for this very thing, he asserts, is produced by necessity

λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
λέγων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	saying	ΛΕΓΩΝ <i>speaking</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
καλεῖν	to call	ΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning</i>
ἐκκαλεῖν	to call out	ΕΚΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning out</i>
ἐγκαλεῖν	to accuse	ΕΓΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning in</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>

VS 41. Balanced Living

γελᾶν ἅμα Δεῖ καὶ φιλοσοφεῖν καὶ οἰκονομεῖν καὶ τοῖς λοιποῖς οἰκειώμασι
χρῆσθαι – καὶ μηδαμῇ λήγειν – τὰς ἐκ τῆς ὀρθῆς φιλοσοφίας φωνὰς ἀφιέντας

It is necessary simultaneously to laugh, and to philosophize, and to manage
the household, and to use our remaining faculties – and not in any way to
stop – while emitting the statements from the right philosophy

γελᾶν	to laugh	ΓΕΛΑΝ <i>deriding</i>
ἅμα	^[adv] simultaneously, ^[prep] together with	ἈΜΑ <i>at once</i>
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
φιλοσοφεῖν	to philosophize	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΕΙΝ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ἡ φιλοσοφία	philosophy	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΙΑ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ἡ οἰκονομία αἱ οἰκονομίαι	household management	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΙΑ <i>managing the home</i>
οἰκονομεῖν	to manage the household	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΕΙΝ <i>managing the home</i>
τὸ οἰκείωμα τὰ οἰκειώματα	faculty	ΟΙΚΕΙΩΜΑ <i>result of the home</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
οὐδέν	in no way	ΟΥΔΕΝ <i>not even one</i>
οὐθαμῇ = οὐθαμεῖ = οὐδαμοῦ	nowhere	ΟΥΘΑΜΗ <i>not in any place</i>
μηδαμῇ	not in any way	ΜΗΔΑΜΗ ΜΗΔΑΜΗ <i>but not one</i>
ἀπολήγειν	to stop from	ΑΠΟΛΗΓΕΙΝ <i>leaving off away from</i>
λήγειν	to stop	ΛΗΓΕΙΝ <i>leaving off</i>
ὀρθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	right	ΟΡΘΟΣ <i>straight upright</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
ἀφιέναι	to emit	ΑΦΙΕΝΑΙ <i>send away</i>
ἀφίων	emitting	ΑΦΙΩΝ <i>send away</i>

VS 42. Pleasure is the Removal of Pain

ho au-tos Chró-nos kaĩ ge-né-se-ōs tou me-gís-tou a-ga-thou kaĩ a-po-lý-se-ōs toũ ka-kou

ὁ αὐτός Χρόνος καὶ γενέσεως τοῦ μεγίστου ἀγαθοῦ καὶ ἀπολύσεως τοῦ κακοῦ

the time of the creation of the greatest good and of the release away of the
bad is the same

This statement seems to be a critique of Plato's Philebus, which presents pleasure as ἡ γένεσις (process of creation) and therefore accepts the existence of pleasures that are mixed.

ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ἡ γένεσις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ <i>action of being born</i>
μέγιστος <i>(ἡ, ον)</i>	greatest	ΜΕΓΙΣΤΟΣ <i>biggest</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ἡ, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
ἡ λύσις αἱ λύσεις	solution [i.e., explanation]	ΛΥΣΙΣ <i>the process of loosening</i>
ἡ διάλυσις αἱ διαλύσεις	dissolution	ΔΙΑΛΥΣΙΣ <i>fully loosening</i>
ἀπολύειν	to release away	ΑΠΟΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening away</i>
ἡ ἀπόλυσις αἱ ἀπολύσεις	release away	ΑΠΟΛΥΣΙΣ <i>loosening away</i>
κακός <i>(ἡ, όν)</i>	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>

VS 43. Greed is Always Unsuitable

Phi-lar-gy-reîn á-di-ka men a-se-bés – dí-kai-a de ais-chrón:

Φιλαργυρεῖν ἄδικα μὲν ἀσεβές – δίκαια δὲ αἰσchrón:

To love money from unjust means is impious – but from just means is disgraceful:

a-pre-pes gar rhy-pa-rôs phei-des-thai – kai me-ta tou di-kaí-ou

ἀπρεπὲς γὰρ ρυπαρῶς φείδεσθαι – καὶ μετὰ τοῦ δικαίου

for it is unsuitable to be filthily sparing – even with what is just

ἡ φιλία αἱ φιλίαι	friendship	ΦΙΛΙΑ <i>loving</i>
ὁ ἄργυρος οἱ ἄργυροι	silver / money	ΑΡΓΥΡΟΣ <i>silver</i> <i>["shining white"]</i>
ὁ φιλἄργυρος οἱ φιλἄργυροι	the lover of money	ΦΙΛΑΡΓΥΡΟΣ <i>loving silver</i>
φιλαργυρεῖν	to love money	ΦΙΛΑΡΓΥΡΕΙΝ <i>loving silver</i>
ἄδικος <i>(ον)</i>	unjust	ΑΔΙΚΟΣ <i>not</i> <i>custom / right</i>
τὸ ἄδικον τὰ ἄδικα	what is unjust	
ἄδικα	from unjust means	
δίκαιος <i>(ἃ, ον)</i>	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
δίκαια	from just means	
σέβεσθαι	to venerate	ΣΕΒΕΣΘΑΙ <i>revering</i>
ὁ σεβασμός οἱ σεβασμοί	veneration	ΣΕΒΑΣΜΟΣ <i>result of revering</i>

ἀσεβής <i>(ές)</i>	impious	ΑΣΕΒΗΣ <i>not revering</i>
τὸ αἴσχος τὰ αἴσχη	disgrace	ΑΙΣΧΟΣ <i>base / ugly</i>
αἰσχρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	disgraceful	ΑΙΣΧΡΟΣ <i>base / ugly</i>
μεγαλοπρεπής <i>(ές)</i>	magnificent	ΜΕΓΑΛΟΠΡΕΠΗΣ <i>appearing proper</i> <i>[for one who is] great</i>
πρέπειν	to be suitable	ΠΡΕΠΕΙΝ <i>appearing proper</i>
ἀπρεπής	unsuitable	ΑΠΡΕΠΗΣ <i>not appearing proper</i>
ῥυπαρός	filthy	ῚΡΥΠΑΡΟΣ <i>dirty</i>
ῥυπαρῶς	filthily	ῚΡΥΠΑΡΩΣ <i>in a dirty way</i>
φείδεσθαι	to be sparing	ΦΕΙΔΕΣΘΑΙ <i>refraining</i>
ἡ δικαιοσύνη αἱ δικαιοσύναι	justice	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣΥΝΗ <i>condition of custom / right</i>
δίκαιος <i>(ᾱ, όν)</i>	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
τὸ δίκαιον τὰ δίκαια	what is just	

VS 44. Self-Sufficiency

Ho so-phos, eis ta a-nan-kaí-a syn-kri-theis, mál-lon e-pís-ta-tai me-ta-di-dó-nai ē me-ta-lam-bán-ein – tē-li-koû-ton au-tar-keí-as heû-re thē-sau-rón

Ὁ σοφός, εἰς τὰ ἀναγκαῖα συγκριθεῖς, μᾶλλον ἐπίσταται μεταδιδόναι ἢ μεταλαμβάνειν – τηλικούτον αὐταρκείας εὔρε θησαυρόν

The wise man, having adjusted himself with the necessary things, understands more how to give a share than to take a share – so great a treasure of self-sufficiency he discovered

σοφός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	wise	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, όν)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
τὸ ἀναγκαῖον τὰ ἀναγκαῖα	what is necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΝ <i>necessity</i>
συγκρίνειν	to compare	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>dividing together</i>
συγκρινόμενος <i>(η, όν)</i>	[being] compared	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>dividing together</i>
συγκριθεῖς <i>(εἴσα, έν)</i>	[having been] compared [here, "having adjusted himself"]	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΘΕΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
μᾶλλον	more	ΜΑΛΛΟΝ <i>very</i>
ἐπίστασθαι	to understand	ΕΠΙΣΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>standing upon</i>
διδόναι	to give	ΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving</i>
μεταδιδόναι	to give a share	ΜΕΤΑΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving</i>
συμμεταλαμβάνειν	to partake [lit. "to take a share together"]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping change together</i>

μεταλαμβάνειν	to take a share	ΜΕΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping change</i>
τηλικοῦτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	of [such a] magnitude	ΤΗΛΙΚΟΥΤΟΣ <i>extent</i>
ἡ αὐτάρκεια αἱ αὐτάρκειαι	self-sufficiency	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΕΙΑ <i>state of</i> <i>self-sufficing</i>
εὕρίσκειν	to discover	ΕΥΡΙΣΚΕΙΝ <i>finding</i>
ὁ θησαυρός οἱ θησαυροί	treasure	ΘΗΣΑΥΡΟΣ <i>vault / repository</i>

VS 45. Quiet Confidence

ou kom-pous, ou-de phō-nēs er-gas-ti-kous, ou-de tēn pe-ri-má-chē-ton pa-ra toῖs pol-loῖs
pai-deí-an en-dei-kny-mé-nous Phy-si-ol-o-gí-a pa-ras-keu-áz-ei – al-la so-ba-rous kai
au-tár-keis kai e-pi toῖs i-dí-ois a-ga-thoῖs – ouk e-pi toῖs tōn prag-má-tōn mé-ga
phro-noûn-tas

οὐ κομπούς, οὐδὲ φωνῆς ἐργαστικούς, οὐδὲ τήν περιμάχητον παρὰ τοῖς πολλοῖς παιδείαν ἐνδεικνυμένους Φυσιολογία παρασκευάζει – ἀλλὰ **σοβαροὺς** καὶ **αὐτάρκεις** καὶ **ἐπὶ τοῖς ἰδίοις ἀγαθοῖς – οὐκ ἐπὶ τοῖς τῶν πραγμάτων μέγα φρονοῦντας**

Natural science provides neither **men who are boasters**, nor **productive of discourse**, nor **showing themselves to be involved in the education that is much-contested among the public** – but rather **serious** and also **self-sufficient** in their own particular goods – **while not greatly considering things among the [current] situations**

ὁ κόμπος οἱ κόμποι	boaster	ΚΟΜΠΟΣ <i>noise</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement [here, "discourse"]	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
τὸ ἐνέργημα τὰ ἐνεργήματα	an activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>working within</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
ἐργαστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive	ΕΡΓΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>work</i>
μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>combating</i>
περιμάχητος <i>(ον)</i>	much-contested	ΠΕΡΙΜΑΧΗΤΟΣ <i>combating</i> <i>around</i>
τὸ παιδίον τὰ παιδιά	child	ΠΑΙΔΙΟΝ <i>child</i>
ἡ παιδεία αἱ παιδεῖαι	education	ΠΑΙΔΕΙΑ <i>child</i>
δείκνυναι	to prove	ΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing</i>
ἐνδείκνυσθαι	to be indicated in	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΣΘΑΙ <i>showing in</i>
ἐνδεικνύμενος	indicated in [here, middle, "showing oneself to be involved in"]	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>showing in</i>
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning</i> <i>of producing</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing</i> <i>alongside</i>
σοβαρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	serious	ΣΟΒΑΡΟΣ <i>weighty / grave</i>

ἡ αὐτάρκεια αἱ αὐτάρκειαι	self-sufficiency	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΕΙΑ <i>state of</i> <i>self-sufficing</i>
αὐτάρκης <i>(ες)</i>	self-sufficient	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΗΣ <i>self-sufficing</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
ἐτεροφρονεῖν	to hold opposing opinions	ἝΤΕΡΟΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>different mind</i>
φρονεῖν	to consider	ΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>mind</i>
φρονῶν	considering	ΦΡΟΝΩΝ <i>mind</i>

VS 46. Chase Away Base Habits

tas phaú-las sy-nē-theí-as – hós-per án-dras po-nē-rous po-lyn chró-non mé-ga

bláp-san-tas – te-leí-ōs Ek-di-ó-ko-men

τὰς φαύλας συνηθείας – ὥσπερ ἄνδρας πονηροὺς πολὺν χρόνον μέγα βλάψαντας – τελείως Ἐκδιώκομεν

base habits – just as troublesome men who for a long time have greatly harmed [us] – we chase away completely

φαῦλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	base	ΦΑΥΛΟΣ <i>common / easy</i>
ἡ εὐήθεια αἱ εὐήθειαι	sincerity (naivety)	ΕΥΗΘΕΙΑ <i>good character</i>
ἡ συνήθεια αἱ συνήθειαι	habit	ΣΥΝΗΘΕΙΑ <i>character</i> <i>together</i>
ὁ χαρακτήρ οἱ χαρακτῆρες	character	ΧΑΡΑΚΤΗΡ <i>engraving</i>
ὁ άνήρ οἱ ἄνδρες	man	ΑΝΗΡ <i>man</i>
ἡ ἀπονία αἱ ἀπονίαι	non-suffering	ΑΠΟΝΙΑ <i>no toil</i>
τό πονοῦν τὰ πονοῦντα	what suffers	ΠΟΝΟΥΝ <i>being in toil</i>
ὁ πόνος οἱ πόνοι	suffering	ΠΟΝΟΣ <i>toil</i>
πονηρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	troublesome	ΠΟΝΗΡΟΣ <i>toil</i>
δύσκολος	discontenting	ΔΥΣΚΟΛΟΣ <i>hard bile</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
μέγας <i>(μεγάλη, μέγα)</i>	great	ΜΕΓΑΣ <i>large</i>
βλάπτειν	to harm	ΒΛΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>hindering</i>
ἡ βλάβη αἱ βλάβαι	harm	ΒΛΑΒΗ <i>hindering</i>
βλάψας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	[having] harmed	ΒΛΑΨΑΣ <i>hindered</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>

τελείως	completely	
ἐκδιώκειν	chase away	ΕΚΔΙΩΚΕΙΝ <i>chasing away</i>

VS 47. Defiance of Chance

Attributed to Metrodorus.

Pro-ka-teí-lēm-maí se – Ô Tý-chē! – kai pā-san sēn pa-reís-dy-sin e-né-phra-xa

Προκατείλημμαί **σε – ὦ Τύχη! –** καὶ **πᾶσαν σὴν παρείσδυσιν** ἐνέφραξα

I have thoroughly anticipated **you – O Chance! –** and I obstructed **all your infiltration**

kai ou-te soi ou-te al-lē ou-de-mf-ā pe-ri-stá-sei Dó-so-men he-au-tous ek-dó-tous – all'

hó-tan hē-mās to Chre-ōn e-xá-gē:

καὶ οὔτε σοὶ οὔτε ἀλλῇ οὐδεμίᾳ περιστάσει Δώσομεν **ἐαυτοὺς ἐκδότους – ἀλλ' ὅταν ἡμᾶς** τὸ Χρεὼν ἐξάγη:

and neither **to you** nor **to any other circumstance** will we give **ourselves as given up – but whenever** what must happen leads **us** out:

mé-ga pros-ptú-san-tes tō zēn kai toís au-tō ke-nōs pe-ri-plat-to-mé-nois – Á-pi-men ek

toû zēn me-ta ka-loû pai-ō-nos, e-pi-phō-noûn-tes hōs [eû] hē-mîn be-bí-ō-tai!

μέγα προσπτύσαντες τῷ ζῆν καὶ τοῖς αὐτῷ κενῶς περιπλαττομένοις –Ἄπιμεν **ἐκ τοῦ ζῆν μετὰ καλοῦ παιῶνος, ἐπιφωνοῦντες ὥς [εὔ] ἡμῖν βεβίωται!**

having **greatly** spat on living and on those who are enwrapped in it in vain – we go **out of living** with a correct song, exclaiming **that it has been lived [well]** for us!

Version in the Vaticanus Graecus 1950 Manuscript

–Ἄπιμεν **ἐκ τοῦ ζῆν μετὰ καλοῦ πλείονος, ἐπιφωνοῦντες ὥς [εὔ] ἡμῖν βεβίωται**

– we go **out of life** with more **[of the] good**, exclaiming **that it has been lived [well]** for us

καταλαμβάνειν	to thoroughly comprehend	ΚΑΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly grasping</i>
προλαμβάνειν	to anticipate	ΠΡΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping before</i>
ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
προκαταλαμβάνειν	to thoroughly anticipate	ΠΡΟΚΑΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly grasping before</i>
προκατειληφέναι	to have thoroughly anticipated	ΠΡΟΚΑΤΕΙΛΗΦΕΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly grasped before</i>
εὐτυχεῖν	to be prosperous	ΕΥΤΥΧΕΙΝ <i>happening well</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
τὸ τυχόν τὰ τυχόντα	what happens [to come] to be	ΤΥΧΟΝ <i>coming to be</i>
ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
σός <i>(σί, σόν)</i>	your	ΣΟΣ <i>you</i>

ἡ παρέϊ σδυσ ις αἱ παρεισ δύσεις	infiltration	ΠΑΡΕΙΣΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of sinking beside into</i>
ἐμφ ρᾶσαι	to obstruct [already]	ΕΜΦΡΑΣΑΙ <i>blocked in</i>
Part 2		
οὐ δε ίς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one [here, "any one"]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
ἡ περί στα σις αἱ περισ τάσεις	circumstance	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing around</i>
δι δόν αι	to give	ΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving</i>
ἐκ δοτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	given up	ΕΚΔΟΤΟΣ <i>given out</i>
τὸ χρε ών τὰ χρε ών	what must happen [i.e., "the inevitable"]	ΧΡΕΩΝ <i>necessary</i>
ἐξά γει ν	to lead out	ΕΞΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going out</i>
Part 3		
μέ γας <i>(μεγάλη, μέγα)</i>	great	ΜΕΓΑΣ <i>large</i>
μέ γα	greatly	
προσπ τύει ν	spit on	ΠΡΟΣΠΤΥΕΙΝ <i>[onomatopoeic] upon</i>
τὸ ζῆ ν	[the act of] living	
κεν ῶς	in vain	ΚΕΝΩΣ <i>emptiness</i>
πλάτ τει ν	to mold	ΠΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>forming</i>
περιπ λάττει ν	to enwrap	ΠΕΡΙΠΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>forming around</i>
περιπ λαττόμε νος <i>(η, ον)</i>	enwrapped	ΠΕΡΙΠΛΑΤΤΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>forming around</i>
ἀπ ιέν αι	to go way	ΑΠΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going away</i>
καλ ός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	correct / beautiful	ΚΑΛΟΣ <i>lovely</i>
ὁ παι ών οἱ παι ῶνες	song	ΠΑΙΩΝ <i>hymn</i>
ἐπιφ ωνεῖ ν	to exclaim	ΕΠΙΦΩΝΕΙΝ <i>speaking over</i>
βεβ ιωκέ ναι	to have lived [already]	ΒΕΒΙΩΚΕΝΑΙ <i>lived</i>

VS 48. Improving Life's Journey

pei-râs-thai **tên** hy-sté-rân **tês** pro-té-ras **kreít-tō** poi-eîn – **hé-ōs** an en ho-dô Ô-men:

πειρᾶσθαι **τὴν ὑστέραν** τῆς προτέρας **κρείττω** ποιεῖν – **ἕως** ἂν ἐν ὁδῷ ᾤμεν:

[*it is necessary*] to attempt to make **the later** more advantageous than the former – **as long as** we are **on the course**:

e-pei-dan d' e-pì **pé-ras** éi-thō-men – ho-ma-lôs eu-phraí-nes-thai

ἐπειδὴν δ' ἐπὶ **πέρας** Ἔλθωμεν – **ὁμαλῶς** εὐφραίνεσθαι

but **whenever** we come **to the end** – to rejoice **evenly**

πειρ ᾶσθαι	to attempt	ΠΕΙΡΑΣΘΑΙ <i>trail / attempt</i>
ὕστε ρος <i>(α, ον)</i>	after	ΎΣΤΕΡΟΣ <i>next</i>

ὕστερον <i>(ᾱ, ov)</i>	afterwards	ΎΣΤΕΡΟΝ <i>next</i>
ὕστερος <i>(ᾱ, ov)</i>	the latter [lit. "the afterwards"]	substantive use here feminine, agreeing with ὁδός
κρατεῖν	to prevail	ΚΡΑΤΕΙΝ <i>power</i>
κρείττων <i>(ων, ov)</i>	more advantageous	ΚΡΕΙΤΤΩΝ <i>powerful</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἕως	as long as	ἜΩΣ <i>until</i>
ἡ ὁδός αἱ ὁδοί	course	ΟΔΟΣ <i>road</i>
ἐπειδὴν = ἐπειδὴ ἄν	whenever	ΕΠΕΙΔΑΝ <i>since if</i>
τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit [here, "the end"]	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>
ἔρχεσθαι	to come / go [in general]	ΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>
ὁμαλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	even	ὍΜΑΛΟΣ <i>same</i> <i>floor / ground</i>
ὁμαλῶς	evenly	
εὐφραίνειν	to become glad	ΕΥΦΡΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>good mind</i>

VS 51. Managing Passion

Pyn-thá-no-maí, sou, tēn ka-ta sár-ka kí-nē-sin aph-tho-nό-te-ron di-a-keís-thai pros tēn
tōn A-phro-di-sí-ōn én-teu-xin

**Πυνθάνομαί, σου, τήν κατὰ σάρκα κίνησιν ἀφθονώτερον διακεῖσθαι πρὸς τήν
τῶν Ἀφροδισίων ἔντευξιν**

I learn that, for you, the movement according to the flesh is more
abundantly disposed toward an encounter with Aphrodisian activities

Su de, hó-tan mé-te tous nó-mous ka-ta-lý-ēs – mé-te ta ka-lōs é-thē keí-me-na ki-nēs –
mé-te tōn plē-sí-on ti-na lu-pēs – mé-te tēn sár-ka ka-ta-xaí-nēs – mé-te ta a-nang-kaí-a
ka-ta-na-lís-kēs: Khrô, hōs Bouí-lei, tē se-au-toû pro-ai-ré-sei

**Σὺ δέ, ὅταν μῆτε τοὺς νόμους καταλύης – μῆτε τὰ καλῶς ἔθη κείμενα κινής –
μῆτε τῶν πλησίον τινὰ λυπῆς – μῆτε τήν σάρκα καταξαίνης – μῆτε τὰ ἀναγκαῖα
καταναλίσκης: Χρῶ, ὡς Βούλει, τῇ σεαυτοῦ προαιρέσει**

but you, whenever you neither overturn the laws – nor move the customs
that are correctly established – nor distress any of those nearby – nor wear
down the flesh – nor totally expend the necessary things: use, as you want,
your own choice

a-mé-cha-non, mén-toi ge, To mé ouch he-ní gé ti-na toú-tōn su-né-ches-thai: A-phro-dí-si-a gar
ou-dé-po-te ó-nē-sen – a-ga-pē-ton de, mē é-blap-sen

**ἀμήχανον, μέντοι γε, Τὸ μὴ οὐχ ἐνί γέ τινα τούτων συνέχεσθαι: Ἀφροδίσια γὰρ
οὐδέποτε ὦνησεν – ἀγαπητὸν δέ μὴ ἔβλαψεν**

nevertheless, it is unmanageable that certain of these are not constricted by
even a single thing: for Aphrodisian activities never benefited – but they are
welcome if they do not harm

μανθάνειν	to learn	ΜΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thinking</i>
πυνθάνεσθαι	to learn [by asking]	ΠΥΝΘΑΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>learning</i>

ἡ σάρξ αἱ σάρκες	flesh	ΣΑΡΞ <i>flesh</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
ἡ ἀφθονία αἱ ἀφθονίαι	lack of scarcity [i.e., abundance]	ΑΦΘΟΝΙΑ <i>not scarcity / rarity</i>
ἄφθονος (ον)	abundant	ΑΦΘΟΝΟΣ <i>not scarcity / rarity</i>
ἀφθονώτερος (α, ον)	more abundant	ΑΦΘΟΝΩΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more not scarcity / rarity</i>
ἀφθονώτερον	more abundantly	
κεῖσθαι	to be set [up]	ΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting</i>
ὑπόκεισθαι	to underlie	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting under</i>
διακεῖσθαι	to be disposed [towards]	ΔΙΑΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting through</i>
ἀφροδίσιος	Aphrodisian	ΑΦΡΟΔΙΣΙΟΣ <i>of Aphrodite</i>
τὰ ἀφροδίσια	Aphrodisian activities	ΑΦΡΟΔΙΣΙΑ <i>of Aphrodite</i>
ἡ ἔντευξις αἱ έντεύξεις	encounter	ΕΝΤΕΥΞΙΣ <i>meeting within</i>
Part 2		
ὁ νόμος οἱ νόμοι	law	ΝΟΜΟΣ <i>allotting</i>
λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening</i>
διαλύειν	to fully dissolve	ΔΙΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>fully dissolving</i>
καταλύειν	to overturn	ΚΑΤΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly dissolving</i>
καλός (ή, όν)	correct / beautiful	ΚΑΛΟΣ <i>lovely</i>
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>lovely</i>
εἰθισμένος (η, ον)	accustomed	ΕΙΘΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accustomed action</i>
ὁ ἐθισμός οἱ ἐθισμοί	convention	ΕΘΙΣΜΟΣ <i>accustomed action</i>
ἐθίζειν	to accustom	ΕΘΙΖΕΙΝ <i>accustomed action</i>
τὸ ἔθος τὰ ἔθη	custom	ΕΘΟΣ <i>accustomed</i>
κείμενος	situated / lying [here, "established"]	ΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated</i>
κινεῖν	to move	ΚΙΝΕΙΝ <i>moving</i>
πλησίον	nearby	ΠΛΗΣΙΟΝ <i>near</i>
ὁ πλησίος οἱ πλησίοι	neighbor	ΠΛΗΣΙΟΣ <i>near</i>
ὁ πλησίον οἱ πλησίον	the one nearby	
τὸ λυπούμενον τὰ λυπούμενα	what distresses	ΛΥΠΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>vexation</i>
λυπεῖν	to distress	ΛΥΠΕΙΝ <i>vexation</i>

καταξάινειν	to wear down	ΚΑΤΑΞΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly scraping</i>
ἀναγκᾶιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
καταναλίσκειν	to totally expend	ΚΑΤΑΝΑΛΙΣΚΕΙΝ <i>in the state of taking thoroughly up / away</i>
χρη̑σθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
ἡ βούλησις αἱ βουλήσεις	intention	ΒΟΥΛΗΣΙΣ <i>wishing</i>
βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>wishing</i>
προαιρέϊν	to bring forth	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping before</i>
προαιρεῖσθαι	to choose [for oneself]	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>grasping before</i>
ἡ προαίρεσις αἱ προαιρέσεις	choice	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ <i>grasping before</i>
Part 3		
μηχανᾶσθαι	to construct	ΜΗΧΑΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>device</i>
ἀμηχανεῖν	to have no means	ΑΜΗΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>no device</i>
ἀμήχανος <i>(ον)</i>	unmanageable	ΑΜΗΧΑΝΟΣ <i>no device</i>
μέντοι	never-the-less	ΜΕΝΤΟΙ <i>indeed surely</i>
εἷς μία ἓν <i>(ἐνός, μιᾶς, ἐνός)</i>	one	ἓΙΣ ΜΙΑ ἓΝ <i>one</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	οὔΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
συνέχειν	to keep united	ΣΥΝΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding together</i>
συνέχεσθαι	to be constricted	ΣΥΝΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>holding together</i>
οὐδέποτε	never	ΟΥΔΕΠΟΤΕ <i>not even at some point</i>
ὠνεῖν	to benefit	ΩΝΕΙΝ <i>profiting</i>
ἀγαπητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	welcome	ΑΓΑΠΗΤΟΣ <i>beloved / dear</i>
ἡ βλάβη αἱ βλάβαι	harm	ΒΛΑΒΗ <i>hindering</i>
βλάπτειν	to harm	ΒΛΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>hindering</i>

VS 52. Joy of Friendship

hē Philía perichoreúei **tēn oikouménēn**, **kērúttousa** dē **pâsin hēmîn egeíresthai** epì
tòn makarismón

ἡ Φιλία περιχορεύει **τὴν οἰκουμένην**, **κηρύττουσα** δὴ **πᾶσιν ἡμῖν ἐγείρεσθαι ἐπὶ**
τὸν μακαρισμόν

Friendship dances around **the inhabited world**, **proclaiming** indeed **to all of**
us to be awakened to the hailing toward blessing

ἡ φιλία αἱ φιλίαι	friendship	ΦΙΛΙΑ <i>loving</i>
------------------------------	------------	-------------------------------

περιχορεύειν	to dance around	ΠΕΡΙΧΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>chorus dance around</i>
οἰκονομεῖν	to manage the household	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΕΙΝ <i>managing the home</i>
οἰκεῖν	to inhabit	ΟΙΚΕΙΝ <i>the home</i>
ἡ οἰκουμένη αἱ οἰκουμέναι	inhabited world	ΟΙΚΟΥΜΕΝΗ <i>having been the home</i>
κηρύττειν	to proclaim	ΚΗΡΥΤΤΕΙΝ <i>heralding</i>
ἐγείρειν	to awaken	ΕΓΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>rousing / raising</i>
ἐγείρεσθαι	to be awakened	ΕΓΕΙΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>rousing / raising</i>
μακαρίζειν	to bless	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>greatness action</i>
μακάριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ <i>greatness</i>
τὸ μακάριον τὰ μακάρια	what is blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΝ <i>greatness</i>
ἡ μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from greatness</i>
μακαριστός <i>(ῆ, όν)</i>	considered blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>considered to have greatness</i>
ὁ μακαρισμός οἱ μακαρισμοί		ΜΑΚΑΡΙΣΜΟΣ <i>considered to have greatness</i>

VS 53. Futility of Resentment

oudenì Phthonētéon: Agathoì gàr **ouk áxioi phthónou** – Ponēroì dè, **hósô** an **mállon**

eutychôsi – **tosoutô mállon hautoîs** lymáinontai

οὐδενὶ Φθονητέον: Ἀγαθοὶ γὰρ **οὐκ ἄξιοι φθόνου** – Πονηροὶ δέ, **ὅσῳ ἂν μᾶλλον** εὐτυχῶσι – **τοσοῦτῳ μᾶλλον αὐτοῖς** λυμαίνονται

One ought to bear resentment towards **no one**: for the good are **not deserving** of **resentment** – but the troublesome, **in as much as** they may be **more** prosperous – **by so much the greater** they bring ruin **to themselves**

οὐδεὶς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
ἄφθονος <i>(ον)</i>	abundant	ΑΦΘΟΝΟΣ <i>not envy</i>
ἄφθονώτερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	more abundant	ΑΦΘΟΝΩΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more not envy</i>
φθονεῖν	to have resentment [towards]	ΦΘΟΝΕΙΝ <i>scarcity / rarity</i>
φθονητέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	one ought to have resentment [towards]	ΦΘΟΝΗΤΕΟΣ <i>scarcity / rarity</i>
ὁ φθόνος οἱ φθόνοι	resentment	ΦΘΟΝΟΣ <i>scarcity / rarity</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ῆ, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
ἄξιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	deserving	ΑΞΙΟΣ <i>counterbalancing</i>
ὁ πόνος οἱ πόνοι	suffering	ΠΟΝΟΣ <i>toil</i>

πονηρός (ᾱ, ὄν)	troublesome	ΠΟΝΗΡΟΣ <i>toil</i>
ὅσος (η, ον)	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
μᾶλλον	more	ΜΑΛΛΟΝ <i>very</i>
ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
εὐτυχεῖν	to be prosperous	ΕΥΤΥΧΕΙΝ <i>happening well</i>
τοσοῦτος (τοσαύτη, τοσοῦτο)	so long (so great)	ΤΟΣΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this so much</i>
αὐτοτελῶς	independently	ΑΥΤΟΤΕΛΩΣ <i>self-goal</i>
ἐαυτός (ή, ὄν)	oneself	ἘΑΥΤΟΣ <i>own self</i>
λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening</i>
ἡ λύμη αἱ λύμαι	ruin	ΛΥΜΗ <i>quality of loosening</i>
λυμαίνεσθαι	to ruin + acc. thing / to bring ruin to + dat. person	ΛΥΜΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>quality of loosening</i>

VS 54. Authentic Pursuit of Wisdom

ου prospoiēsthai Deī philosophēin ~ all’ ὄντως philosophēin: ou gàr
 Prosdeómetha toû dokeîn hygiáinein – allà toû kat’ alétheian hygiáinein

**οὐ προσποιεῖσθαι Δεῖ φιλοσοφεῖν – ἀλλ' ὄντως φιλοσοφεῖν: οὐ γὰρ
 Προσδεόμεθα τοῦ δοκεῖν ὑγιαίνειν – ἀλλὰ τοῦ κατ' ἀλήθειαν ὑγιαίνειν**

It is necessary **to not pretend to philosophize** ~ but **to really philosophize**: for
 we are not also in need **of seeming to be healthy** – but of being healthy **in truth**

ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
προσποιεῖν	to attach to	ΠΡΟΣΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering in addition</i>
προσποιεῖσθαι	to pretend	ΠΡΟΣΠΟΙΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>gathering in addition</i>
φιλοσοφεῖν	to philosophize	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΕΙΝ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ὄντως	really [adverb]	ΟΝΤΩΣ <i>existing</i>
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
δεῖσθαι	to need	ΔΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>needing</i>
προσδεῖσθαι	to also be in need [of]	ΠΡΟΣΔΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>needing in addition to</i>
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
ὕγιαίνειν	to be healthy	ὙΓΙΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>making good life</i>
ὁ Φιλαληθέστατος	most truth-loving man	ΦΙΛΑΛΗΘΕΣΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most loving what is not hidden</i>
τᾱληθές = τὸ ἀληθές τὰ ἀληθῆ	what is true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not hidden</i>

ἡ ἀλήθεια αἱ ἀλήθειαι	truth	ΑΛΗΘΕΙΑ <i>not hidden</i>
--------------------------	-------	------------------------------

VS 55. Gratitude for the Unalterable Past

Thérapeutéon τὰς συμφορὰς τῇ τῶν ἀπολλυμένων χάριτι – καὶ τῷ γινώσκειν ὅτι
οὐκ ἔστιν ἄπρακτον ποιῆσαι τὸ γεγονός

Θεραπευτέον τὰς συμφορὰς τῇ τῶν ἀπολλυμένων χάριτι – καὶ τῷ γινώσκειν ὅτι
οὐκ ἔστιν ἄπρακτον ποιῆσαι τὸ γεγονός

One must cure misfortunes by the grace of those things dissolving
away – and by coming to know that it is not possible to make what
has been happened undone

ἡ θεραπεία αἱ θεραπείαι	therapy	ΘΕΡΑΠΕΙΑ <i>healing</i>
θεραπεύειν	to cure	ΘΕΡΑΠΕΥΕΙΝ <i>healing</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἡ συμφορά αἱ συμφοραί	misfortune	ΣΥΜΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i> <i>[events]</i> <i>together</i>
ἀπολλύναι	to dissolve away	ΑΠΟΛΛΥΝΑΙ <i>destroying away</i>
τὸ ἀπολλύμενον τὰ ἀπολλύμενα	what is dissolving away	ΑΠΟΛΛΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>destroying away</i>
χαίρειν	to rejoice [used for "hello/goodbye"]	ΧΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	grace	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>being cheerful</i>
γινώσκειν = γιννώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having</i> <i>distinguished</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
τὸ πράγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
ἄπρακτος <i>(ον)</i>	undone	ΑΠΡΑΚΤΟΣ <i>no doing / affair</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τὸ γεγονός τὰ γεγονότα	what has happened	ΓΕΓΟΝΟΣ <i>came into being</i>

VS 56. Loyalty & Shared Pain

algeî mèn ho Sophòs, ou mállon streblóúmenos ᾗ streblouménou τοῦ φίλου –
καὶ hypèr autoῦ tethnéxetai

ἀλγεῖ μὲν ὁ Σοφὸς, οὐ μᾶλλον στρεβλούμενος ᾗ στρεβλουμένου τοῦ φίλου –
καὶ ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ τεθνήσκει

the wise man feels pain, not more when being tortured than when his friend
is being tortured – and he will die on behalf of him

ei gàr proésetai tòn phílon – ho bíos autoῦ pās dí’ apistían synchythésetai kai
anakechaitisménos éstai

εἰ γὰρ προήσεται ὁ φίλον – ὁ βίος αὐτοῦ πᾶς δι' ἀπιστίαν συγχυθήσεται καὶ ἀνακεχαιτισμένος ἔσται

for if he abandons his friend – his whole life will be mixed up through distrust, and he will have been completely thrown off

ἀλγεῖν	to feel pain	ΑΛΓΕΙΝ <i>pain</i>
σοφός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	wise	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
μᾶλλον	more	ΜΑΛΛΟΝ <i>very</i>
στρεβλοῦν	to torture	ΣΤΡΕΒΛΟΥΝ <i>twisting</i>
στρεβλούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being tortured	ΣΤΡΕΒΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>twisting</i>
ὁ φίλος οἱ φίλοι	friend	ΦΙΛΟΣ <i>loving</i>
θνήσκειν	to die	ΘΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ ΘΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ <i>died</i>
Part 2		
προῖέναι προιέναι	to go forward [here, "to abandon"]	ΠΡΟΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going forward</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ἡ πίστις αἱ πίστεις	belief [based on experience]	ΠΙΣΤΙΣ <i>trust</i>
ἡ ἀπιστία αἱ ἀπιστίαι	distrust	ΑΠΙΣΤΙΑ <i>no trust</i>
ἐγχεῖν	to pour in	ΕΓΧΕΙΝ <i>pouring in</i>
συγχεῖν	to mix up	ΣΥΓΧΕΙΝ <i>pouring together</i>
συγχυθήσεσθαι	to be mixed up [in the future]	ΣΥΓΧΥΘΗΣΕΣΘΑΙ <i>will be poured together</i>
χαιτίζειν	to pull by the mane	ΧΑΙΤΙΖΕΙΝ <i>action [of pulling] mane</i>
ἀναχαιτίζειν	to completely throw off	ΑΝΑΧΑΙΤΙΖΕΙΝ <i>action of pulling mane back [i.e., rearing up]</i>
ἀνακεχαιτισμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having completely thrown off	ΑΝΑΚΕΧΑΙΤΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>action of having pulled mane back [i.e., reared up]</i>

VS 58. Release from Prison

Eklytéon heautoùs ek tou perì tà enkýklia kai politikà desmōtēriou

Ἐκλυτέον ἑαυτοὺς ἐκ τοῦ περὶ τὰ ἐγκύκλια καὶ πολιτικὰ δεσμητηρίου

People must release themselves out from the prison of general and political affairs

ἐκλύειν	to dispel [here, "release out"]	ΕΚΛΥΕΙΝ <i>dissolving out</i>
ἑαυτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	oneself	ἘΑΥΤΟΣ <i>own self</i>
ὁ κύκλος οἱ κύκλοι	sphere	ΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>circular</i>

ἐγκύκλιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	general	ΕΓΚΥΚΛΙΟΣ <i>pertaining to in circular</i>
τὸ ἐγκύκλιον τὰ ἐγκύκλια	general [affairs]	ΕΓΚΥΚΛΙΟΝ <i>pertaining to in circular</i>
ἡ πόλις αἱ πόλεις	city	ΠΟΛΙΣ <i>fortified high place / city</i>
πολιτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	political	ΠΟΛΙΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of city</i>
τὸ πολιτικόν τὰ πολιτικά	political [affairs]	
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	<i>crit</i> erion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of dividing</i>
τὸ δεσμωτήριον τὰ δεσμωτήρια	prison	ΔΕΣΜΩΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of binding</i>

VS 59. Misconception of Insatiability

áplēston **ou** Gastér (hósper hoi Polloí phasin) – **all'** hē Dóxa pseudēs hypèr toû

«tês» gastròs aorístou plērómatos

ἄπληστον οὐ Γαστήρ (**ὥσπερ** οἱ Πολλοὶ φασιν) – **ἀλλ'** ἡ Δόξα ψευδῆς ὑπὲρ τοῦ
«τῆς» γαστρὸς **ἀορίστου** πληρώματος

it is **not** the belly **that is insatiable** (as the many assert) – **but** the false
judgment **concerning the** **undefined** fullness of the belly

πλήρης <i>(ες)</i>	full	ΠΛΗΡΗΣ <i>quality from filling</i>
ἄπληστος <i>(ον)</i>	insatiable	ΑΠΛΗΣΤΟΣ <i>not filling</i>
τὸ πλήρωμα τὰ πληρώματα	fullness	ΠΛΗΡΩΜΑ <i>result of filling</i>
ἡ γαστήρ αἱ γαστέρες	belly	ΓΑΣΤΗΡ <i>instrument of eating</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ψευδής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	false	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ <i>deceiving</i>
ἀόριστος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undefined	ΑΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>no border</i>

VS 60. Life's Brief Journey

Pâs – hósper árti gegonòs – ek toû zên apérchetai

Πᾶς – **ὥσπερ** **ἄρτι** γεγονῶς – **ἐκ τοῦ** **ζῆν** ἀπέρχεται

Everyone – **just as** having **only just** been produced – departs from living

πᾶς <i>(πᾶσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole [here, "everyone"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
ἄρτι	only just	ΑΡΤΙ <i>fitting</i>

γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
γεγονώς <i>(υἱᾱ, ὅς)</i>	has been produced	ΓΕΓΟΝΩΣ <i>came into being</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
τὸ ζῆν	[the act of] living	
ἔρχεσθαι	to come / go [in general]	ΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>
ἀπέρχεσθαι	to depart	ΑΠΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving away</i>

VS 61. Beautiful Harmony

kallístē kai hē tōn plēsíon Ópsis – tēs prôtēs syngenéseōs homonoúsēs è kai

pollèn eis toútō poiouménēs spoudén

καλλίστη καὶ ἡ τῶν πλησίον”Οψις – τῆς πρώτης συγγενήσεως ὁμονοούσης ἥ καὶ πολλὴν εἰς τοῦτο ποιουμένης σπουδὴν

most beautiful is even the appearance of those nearby – when our primary kinship has the same views or is also making much eagerness toward this

καλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	correct / beautiful	ΚΑΛΟΣ <i>lovely</i>
κάλλιστος	most beautiful	ΚΑΛΛΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most lovely</i>
ὁ πλησίος οἱ πλησίοι	neighbor	ΠΛΗΣΙΟΣ <i>near</i>
ὁ πλησίον οἱ πλησίον	the one nearby	
ἡ ὄψις αἱ ὄψεις	vision [here, "appearance"]	ΟΨΙΣ <i>eye</i>
πρῶτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
ἡ γένεσις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ <i>action of being born</i>
ἡ συγγέννησις αἱ συγγενήσεις	kinship	ΣΥΓΓΕΝΝΗΣΙΣ <i>action of being born together</i>
ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>same reasoning</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ὁμονοεῖν	to have the same views	ὍΜΟΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing the same</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ποιούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	making	ΠΟΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>gathering</i>
σπουδαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	eager	ΣΠΟΥΔΑΙΟΣ <i>being eager</i>
ἡ σπουδή αἱ σπουδαί	eagerness	ΣΠΟΥΔΗ <i>being eager</i>

VS 62. Avoiding Escalation

ei gàr, katà tò déon, Órgai gínontai toῖς gennésasi pròs tà ékgona – mátaion

dépouthen ésti tò antiteínein – kai mē paraitéisthai syngnómēs tycheîn

εἰ γὰρ, κατὰ τὸ δέον, Ὅργαι γίνονται τοῖς γεννήσασι πρὸς τὰ ἔκγονα – μάταιον δῆπουθέν ἐστι τὸ ἀντιτείνειν – καὶ μὴ παραιτεῖσθαι συγγνώμης τυχεῖν

for if, according to what needed, moods are produced in the parents toward their offspring → then presumably pushing back is pointless – as well as not excusing oneself [in order to] to come to a mutual understanding

ei dè mē katà tò déon, allà alogóteron → geloíon pân tò pròs ékklēsin
«ekkaléin» tèn alogían thumḗ katékhonta – kai mē zēteîn metatheînai kat’ állois
tróπους, eugnomonoúnta

εἰ δὲ μὴ κατὰ τὸ δέον, ἀλλὰ ἀλογώτερον → γελοῖον πᾶν τὸ πρὸς ἑκκλησίαν «ἐκκαλεῖν» τὴν ἀλογίαν θυμῷ κατέχοντα – καὶ μὴ ζητεῖν μεταθεῖναι κατ’ ἄλλους τρόπους, εὐγνωμονοῦντα

but if [a negative mood is produced in the parents] not according to what is needed, but according to what is rather irrational → then it is wholly laughable to call out their irrationality in calling [them] out while [you yourself are] firmly holding onto [it] in anger – and not to seek to change [it] in other ways, while having good sense

δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
τό δέον τὰ δέοντα	what is needed	ΔΕΟΝ <i>needing</i>
ἡ ὀργή αἱ ὀργαί	mood	ΟΡΓΗ <i>reaching out</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
γεννᾶν	to generate	ΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating</i>
γεννήσας	having generated [already]	ΓΕΝΝΗΣΑΣ <i>generating</i>
οἱ γεννήσαντες	the parents [lit. "those who have generated"]	
τό ἔκγονον τὰ ἔκγονα	offspring	ΕΚΓΟΝΟΝ <i>generated out</i>
μάταιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	pointless	ΜΑΤΑΙΟΣ <i>folly</i>
δήπουθεν	presumably	ΔΗΠΟΥΘΕΝ <i>from somewhere</i> <i>indeed</i>
ἀντιτείνειν	to push back	ΑΝΤΙΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>extending</i> <i>against</i>
τὸ ἀντιτείνειν	[the] pushing back	
αἰτεῖν	to beg	ΑΙΤΕΙΝ <i>responsibility</i>
αἰτεῖσθαι	to beg [for oneself]	
παρατεῖσθαι	to excuse oneself	ΠΑΡΑΙΤΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>responsibility</i> <i>beside</i>
τὸ μὴ παρατεῖσθαι	[the] not excusing oneself	
γνωστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	known	ΓΝΩΣΤΟΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ἡ γνώμη αἱ γνώμαι	discernment	ΓΝΩΜΗ <i>distinguishing</i>
ἡ συγγνώμη αἱ συγγνώμαι	mutual understanding	ΣΥΓΓΝΩΜΗ <i>distinguishing</i> <i>together</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
Part 2		
ἄλογος <i>(ον)</i>	unreasoning	ΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>not reasoning</i>

ἀλογώτερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	more unreasoning [here, "rather irrational"]	ΑΛΟΓΩΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more not reasoning</i>
ἡ ἀλογία αἱ ἀλογίαι	irrationality	ΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>non reasoning</i>
γελαῖν	to laugh	ΓΕΛΑΝ <i>deriding</i>
γελοῖος	laughable	ΓΕΛΟΙΟΣ <i>deriding</i>
πᾶς <i>(πᾶσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
καλεῖν	to call	ΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning</i>
ἡ ἐκκλησία αἱ ἐκκλησίαι	*calling out	ΕΚΚΛΗΣΙΑ <i>summoning out</i>
ἐκκαλεῖν	to call out	ΕΚΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning out</i>
ὁ θυμός οἱ θυμοί	anger	ΘΥΜΟΣ <i>spirit</i>
κατέχειν	to firmly hold	ΚΑΤΕΧΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly holding</i>
ζητεῖν	to seek	ΖΗΤΕΙΝ <i>seeking</i>
μετατιθέναι	to change [one's use of]	ΜΕΤΑΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing change</i>
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἡ γνώμη αἱ γνώμαι	discernment	ΓΝΩΜΗ <i>distinguishing</i>
εὐγνώμονεῖν	to have good sense	ΕΥΓΝΩΜΟΝΕΙΝ <i>distinguishing well</i>
εὐγνώμονων <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	having good sense	

VS 63. Balance

és-ti kai en lep-tó-tē-ti ka-tha-ri-ó-tēs – hēs ho A-ne-pi-ló-gis-tos, pa-ra-plé-si-ón ti pás-chei
tô di'-a-o-ris-tí-an ek-píp-ton-ti

ἔστι καὶ ἐν λεπτότητι καθαριότης – ἥς ὁ Ἀνεπιλόγιστος, παραπλήσιόν τι πάσχει
τῷ δι' ἀοριστίαν ἐκπίπτοντι

there is indeed a clarity in subtlety [*i.e., in simple living*] – he who does not fully inductively consider this, experiences something nearly equivalent to he who falls out through indefiniteness [*i.e., to one who falls into the limitlessness of extravagant living*]

[Long and Sedley] There can be refinement even on slender means, and one who fails to take account of it is in a similar position to someone who goes astray through ignoring limits.

[Peter Saint-Andre] There is an elegance in simplicity, and one who is thoughtless resembles one whose feelings run to excess.

The manuscript's reading (which appears to be "ἐν λεπτότητι καθάριος") is somewhat difficult – which has led many editors to make changes. Usener changed "ἐν λεπτότητι καθαριότης" (a purity in subtlety) to "ἐν λιτότητι μεθόριος" (a boundary in simplicity).

In *The Double Indictment* Lucian has Zeus complain "I myself have to do any number of tasks that are almost impossible to carry out **on account of their subtlety** (**ὕπὸ λεπτότητος**)."
(*Double Indictment*, section 2)

[Bailey] Frugality too has a limit, and the man who disregards it is in like case with him who errs through excess.

[Inwood and Gerson] There is also a proper measure for parsimony, and he who does not reason it out is as badly off as he who goes wrong by total neglect of limit.

λεπτομερής <i>(ές)</i>	of a subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΗΣ <i>fine/thin part</i>
ἡ λεπτομέρεια αἱ λεπτομέρειαι	subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΕΙΑ <i>fine/thin part</i>
ἡ λεπτότης αἱ λεπτότητες	subtlety	ΛΕΠΤΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of being fine/thin</i>
καθαρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	clear	ΚΑΘΑΡΟΣ <i>cleaning</i>
ἡ κάθαρσις αἱ καθάρσεις	clarification	ΚΑΘΑΡΣΙΣ <i>cleansing</i>
ἡ καθαριότης αἱ καθαριότητες	clarity	ΚΑΘΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>cleaning</i>
ἐπιλογίσασθαι	to inductively consider	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΑΣΘΑΙ <i>collecting (reasoning) action upon</i>
ἀνεπιλόγιστος <i>(ον)</i>	not inductively considering	ΑΝΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΤΟΣ <i>not reasoning action upon</i>
ὁ ἀνεπιλόγιστος οἱ ἀνεπιλόγιστοι	he who does not inductively consider	
ὁ πλησίος οἱ πλησίοι	neighbor	ΠΛΗΣΙΟΣ <i>near</i>
παραπλήσιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	nearly equivalent	ΠΑΡΑΠΛΗΣΙΟΣ <i>near alongside</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
πάσχειν	to experience	ΠΑΣΧΕΙΝ <i>enduring</i>
ἀόριστος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undefined	ΑΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>no border</i>
ἡ ἀοριστία αἱ ἀοριστίαι	indefiniteness	ΑΟΡΙΣΤΙΑ <i>no border</i>
ἐκπίπτειν	to fall out	ΕΚΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling out</i>
ἐκπίπτων	falling out	ΕΚΠΙΠΤΩΝ <i>falling out</i>
ὁ ἐκπίπτων οἱ ἐκπίπτοντες	he who falls out	

VS 64. Prioritize Self-Improvement

a-ko-lou-theîn Deĩ ton pa-ra tôn ál-lōn É-pai-non au-tó-ma-ton – Hē-mās de ge-nés-thai
pe-ri tēn hē-môn i-a-treí-an

ἀκολουθεῖν Δεῖ τὸν παρὰ τῶν ἄλλων Ἐπαινον αὐτόματον – Ἡμᾶς δὲ γενέσθαι περὶ τὴν ἡμῶν ἰατρεῖαν

It is necessary that the Praise from others follows as automatic – while we become *[involved]* about our own healing

ἡ ἀκολουθία αἱ ἀκολουθίαι	conformity	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΙΑ <i>following</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
Πολύαινος	Polyaenus	ΠΟΛΥΑΙΝΟΣ <i>much praise</i>
ὁ ἔπαινος οἱ ἔπαινοι	praise	ΕΠΑΙΝΟΣ <i>praise upon</i>
ἐαυτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	oneself	ἘΑΥΤΟΣ <i>own self</i>
τὸ αὐτόματον τὰ αὐτόματα	what is automatic	ΑΥΤΟΜΑΤΟΝ <i>self thinking</i>
αὐτόματος <i>(η, ον)</i>	automatic	ΑΥΤΟΜΑΤΟΣ <i>self thinking</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ὁ ἱατρός οἱ ἱατροί	doctor	ΙΑΤΡΟΣ <i>curing</i>
ἡ ἱατρικὴ αἱ ἱατρικαί	medicine	ΙΑΤΡΙΚΗ <i>characteristic of curing</i>
ἡ ἱατρεία αἱ ἱατρεῖαι	healing	ΙΑΤΡΕΙΑ <i>curing</i>

VS 65. Self-Reliance

má-tai-ón es-ti pa-ra the-ón Ai-teís-thai *há* Tis he-au-tô cho-rē-gê-sai hi-ka-nós es-ti

μάταιόν ἐστι παρὰ θεῶν Αἰτεῖσθαι ἅ Τis ἐαυτῷ χορηγῆσαι ἱκανός ἐστι

it is pointless to beg from the gods for what One is sufficiently [able] to supply for oneself

ματαιῖζειν	to speak vainly	ΜΑΤΑΙΖΕΙΝ <i>vain action</i>
μάταιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	pointless	ΜΑΤΑΙΟΣ <i>folly</i>
θεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	divine	ΘΕΙΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
αἰτεῖν	to beg	ΑΙΤΕΙΝ <i>responsibility</i>
αἰτεῖσθαι	to beg [for oneself]	
ἐαυτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	oneself	ἘΑΥΤΟΣ <i>own self</i>
χορηγεῖν	to supply [lit. "to lead a chorus"]	ΧΟΡΗΓΕΙΝ <i>chorus leading</i>
χορηγῆσαι	to supply [already]	
ἱκανῶς	sufficiently	ἸΚΑΝΩΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>
ἱκανός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	sufficient	ἸΚΑΝΟΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>

VS 66. Supportive Consideration

Συμπαθῶμεν τοῖς φίλοις – οὐ θρηνοῦντες ἀλλὰ φροντίζοντες

Let us sympathize with friends – not by lamenting but by being considerate

τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience (feeling)	ΠΑΘΟΣ enduring
πάσχειν	to experience	ΠΑΣΧΕΙΝ enduring
συμπαθεῖν	to sympathize	
ὁ φίλος οἱ φίλοι	friend	ΦΙΛΟΣ loving
θρηνεῖν	to lament	ΘΡΗΝΕΙΝ wailing
θρηνῶν (οὔσα, οὔν)	lamenting	ΘΡΗΝΩΝ wailing
καταφρονεῖν + gen	to disregard	ΚΑΤΑΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ mind down / against
φιλοφρονούμενος (η, ον)	affectionate	ΦΙΛΟΦΡΟΝΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ loving mind
ὁ εὐφρονοῦν οἱ εὐφρονοῦντες	he who considers well	ΕΥΦΡΟΝΟΥΝ good mind
ἡ φροντίς αἱ φροντίδες	consideration	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΣ mind
φρονίμως	prudently	ΦΡΟΝΙΜΩΣ mind
φρονεῖν	to consider	ΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ mind
φροντίζειν	to be considerate	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΖΕΙΝ mind action

VS 67. A Free Life

e-leú-the-ros βί-ος ou dý-na-tai kté-sas-thai chré-ma-ta pol-la – dia to to prâg-ma mē
rhâ-di-on eî-nai chō-ris thē-tef-as óch-lōn ē dy-nas-tōn (al-là sy-ne-cheî da-psi-leí-ā pán-ta
kék-tē-tai)

ἐλεύθερος βίος οὐ δύναται κτήσασθαι χρήματα πολλά – διὰ τὸ τὸ πρᾶγμα «μὴ»
ῥάδιον εἶναι χωρὶς θητείας ὄχλων ἢ δυναστῶν (ἀλλὰ συνεχεῖ δαψιλείᾳ πάντα
κέκτῃται)

a free life is not able to procure many possessions – because of the fact that
this situation does not easily exist without the service to crowds or masters
(although it does procure all things in continuous lavishness)

an dé pou kai Tý-cheî chrē-má-tōn pol-lōn – kai taû-ta rhâ-dí-ōs an eis tēn tou
plē-sí-on eú-noi-an di-a-me-tré-sai

ἂν δέ που καὶ Τύχη χρημάτων πολλῶν – καὶ ταῦτα ῥαδίως ἂν εἰς τὴν τοῦ
πλησίον εὖνοιαν διαμετρήσαι

but if perhaps he should also happen upon much property – even these
things he could easily measure out onto the good-will of his neighbor

ἡ ἐλευθερία αἱ ἐλευθερίαι	freedom	ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΙΑ from a tribe (with the rights and responsibilities of a free man)
ἐλεύθερος (α, ον)	free	ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΟΣ
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ life
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ power

κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
ἡ χρεΐα αἱ χρεΐαι	use	ΧΡΕΙΑ <i>necessary</i>
τὸ χρῆμα τὰ χρήματα	property [here, "possession"]	ΧΡΗΜΑ <i>necessary</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ἡ πραγματεία αἱ πραγματεΐαι	effort	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΕΙΑ <i>state of the result of doing / affair</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ῥαδίως ῥαιδίως	easily	ῚΡΑΙΔΙΩΣ <i>easily</i>
ῥάδιος ῥαιδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	easy	ῚΡΑΙΔΙΟΣ <i>easily</i>
ἡ χώρα αἱ χώραι	space	ΧΩΡΑ <i>location</i>
χωρίς	apart from, without	ΧΩΡΙΣ <i>location</i>
ἡ θητεία αἱ θητεΐαι	service	ΘΗΤΕΙΑ <i>serving</i>
τό ὄχλημα τά ὀχλήματα	[cause of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΜΑ <i>result of a crowd of people</i>
ἡ ὄχλησις αἱ ὀχλήσεις	[feeling of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of a crowd of people</i>
ὁ ὄχλος οἱ ὄχλοι	crowd	ΟΧΛΟΣ <i>crowd of people</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
ὁ δυναστής οἱ δυνασταί	master	ΔΥΝΑΣΤΗΣ <i>power</i>
τὸ συνεχές τὰ συνεχῆ	continuity	ΣΥΝΕΧΕΣ <i>holding together</i>
συνεχής <i>(ές)</i>	continuous	ΣΥΝΕΧΗΣ <i>holding together</i>
ἡ δαψιλεία αἱ δαψιλεΐαι	lavishness	ΔΑΨΙΛΕΙΑ <i>abundant, lavish</i>
κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
Part 2		
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
τὸ χρῆμα τὰ χρήματα	property	ΧΡΗΜΑ <i>necessary</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ῥάδιος ῥαιδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	easy	ῚΡΑΙΔΙΟΣ <i>easily</i>
ῥαδίως ῥαιδίως	easily	ῚΡΑΙΔΙΩΣ <i>easily</i>
πλησίον	nearby	ΠΛΗΣΙΟΝ <i>near</i>
ὁ πλησίος οἱ πλησίοι	neighbor	ΠΛΗΣΙΟΣ <i>near</i>

ὁ πλησίον οἱ πλησίον	the one nearby	
ἡ εὖνοια αἱ εὐνοίαι	good will	ΕΥΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing well</i> <i>[looking upon positively]</i>
καταμετρεῖν	to fully measure	ΚΑΤΑΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly measure</i>
μετρεῖν	to measure	ΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>measuring</i>
διαμετρεῖν	to measure out [i.e., to distribute]	ΔΙΑΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>measuring across</i>

VS 68. Insatiability's Trap

Ou-den **hi-ka-non** – **hō** **o-lí-gon** to Hi-ka-**nón**

Οὐδὲν ἱκανὸν – ὧ ὀλίγον τὸ ἱκανόν

Nothing **is sufficient** – **for him to whom** what is sufficient **is little**

οὐδείς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
ἱκανός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	sufficient	ΊΚΑΝΟΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>
ὀλίγος <i>(η, ον)</i>	few, short [of time]	ΟΛΙΓΟΣ <i>little</i>

VS 69. Ingratitude's Trap

to **tēs** psy-khês **a-chá-ris-ton** lích-non e-poí-ē-se **to zō-on** eis á-pei-ron **tôn** en di-ái-tē
poi-kil-**má-tōn**

τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ἀχάριστον **Λίχνον** ἐποίησε **τὸ ζῶον** **εἰς ἄπειρον** **τῶν ἐν διαίτῃ**
ποικιλμάτων

the **ungrateful** greed **of the soul** makes **the living being** [*go*] into an infinity of
diverse things **in the way living**

ἡ ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	grace	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>being cheerful</i>
ἀχάριστος <i>(ον)</i>	ungrateful	ΑΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>not being cheerful</i>
λίχνος <i>(ον)</i>	greedy	ΛΙΧΝΟΣ <i>licking up</i>
τὸ λίχνον τὰ λίχνα	what is greedy [here, "greed"]	
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἐποίησε	3rd singular gnomic aorist active indicative	
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
εἰς ἄπειρον	into infinity	
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>

ἡ δίαιτα αἱ δίαται	way of living	ΔΙΑΙΤΑ <i>through the responsibility</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ποικίλως	in diverse ways	ΠΟΙΚΙΛΩΣ <i>intricate</i>
ποικίλλειν	to diversify	ΠΟΙΚΙΛΛΕΙΝ <i>intricate</i>
τὸ ποίκιλμα τὰ ποικίλματα	diverse things	

VS 70. Live As Though You Are Seen

Mē-dén soi en bí-ō prach-thēi-ē Ho phō-bon pa-ré-xei soi ei gnōs-thē-se-tai tō plē-sí-on

Μηδέν σοι ἐν βίῳ πραχθεῖη Ὁ φόβον παρέξει σοι εἰ γνωσθήσεται τῷ πλησίον

Let nothing be accomplished by you in life which will yield fear to you if it will come to be known to one nearby

οὐδεῖς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
μηδεῖς <i>(μηδεμία, μηδέν)</i>	no one [modal]	ΜΗΔΕΙΣ <i>not one</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
πραχθεῖη	3rd singular gnomic aorist passive optative	
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
παρέχειν	to yield	ΠΑΡΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold beside</i>
γινώσκειν = γιγνώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
γνωσθήσεται	3rd singular future passive indicative will come to be known	
ὁ πλησίος οἱ πλησίοι	neighbor	ΠΛΗΣΙΟΣ <i>near</i>
ὁ πλησίον οἱ πλησίον	the one nearby	

VS 71. Interrogate Your Desires

pros pá-sas tas e-pi-thy-mí-as Pro-sak-té-on to e-pe-rō-tē-ma tou-to:

πρὸς πάσας τὰς ἐπιθυμίας Προσακτέον τὸ ἐπερώτημα τοῦτο:

One must apply this interrogation to all the desires:

Τί μοι γε-νή-σε-ται – an te-les-thē To ka-ta e-pi-thy-mí-an e-pi-zē-tou-me-non; kai Τί – ean mē te-les-thē;

Τί μοι γενήσεται – ἂν τελεσθῇ Τὸ κατὰ ἐπιθυμίαν ἐπιζητούμενον; καὶ Τί – ἐὰν μὴ τελεσθῇ;

What will be produced for me – while that which is pursued according to desire might be fulfilled? and What – if it might not be fulfilled?

ὁ θυμός οἱ θυμοί	anger	ΘΥΜΟΣ <i>spirit</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμιαί	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
προσακτεῖν	to apply	ΠΡΟΣΑΚΤΕΙΝ <i>applying towards</i>
προσακτέον	one must apply	
τὸ ἐπερώτημα τὰ ἐπερώτηματα	interrogation	ΕΠΕΡΩΤΗΜΑ <i>asking toward</i>
Part 2		
γίνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
γενήσεται	3rd singular future middle indicative it will be produced	
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
τελεσθῇ	3rd singular aorist passive subjunctive might be fulfilled	
ἐπιζητεῖν	to pursue	ΕΠΙΖΗΤΕΙΝ <i>seek after</i>
τὸ ἐπιζητούμενον τὰ ἐπιζητούμενα	that which is pursued	

VS 73. Learning from Pain

kai to Ge-nés-thai ti-nas al-gē-dó-nas peri sô-ma ly-si-te-leî pros phy-la-kēn tôn
ho-mo-ei-dôn

καὶ τὸ Γενέσθαι **τινάς ἀλγηδόνας** **περὶ σῶμα** λυσιτελεῖ **πρὸς φυλακὴν τῶν ὁμοειδῶν**

even the production **of some pains** around the **body** is profitable **for the guarding of others that appear the same**

γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
γενέσθαι	to be produced [already]	ΓΕΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>came into being</i>
τὸ γίνεσθαι	the production	
τὸ ἀλγεινόν τὰ ἀλγεινά	what is painful	ΑΛΓΕΙΝΟΝ <i>pain</i>
ἡ ἀλγηδών αἱ ἀλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ <i>the state pain</i>
σωματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	corporeal	ΣΩΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of body</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
λυσιτελεῖν	to be profitable	ΛΥΣΙΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>the process of loosening completing</i>
ἡ φυλακή αἱ φυλακαί	guarding	ΦΥΛΑΚΗ <i>watching</i>
ὁμογενής <i>(ές)</i>	of the same kind	ὍΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ <i>same born</i>
ὁμοειδής <i>(ές)</i>	appearing the same	ὍΜΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing same</i>

en phi-lo-ló-gō sy-zē-tē-sei: plei-on ē-ny-sen ho Hēt-tē-theis – kath' ho pro-sé-ma-then

έν φιλολόγῳ συζητήσει: **πλείον** ἤνυσεν ὁ Ἡττηθεὶς – **καθ'** ὃ προσέμαθεν

in a discussion devoted to study: the one overcome accomplishes more – in accordance with that which he also learned

ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ φιλοσοφία	philosophy	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΙΑ <i>loving knowledge</i>
φιλόλογος <i>(ον)</i>	devoted to study	ΦΙΛΟΛΟΓΟΣ <i>loving reasoning</i>
ζητεῖν	to seek	ΖΗΤΕΙΝ <i>seeking</i>
ἡ συζήτησις αἱ συζητήσεις	discussion	ΣΥΖΗΤΗΣΙΣ <i>action of</i> <i>seeking together</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ἀνύειν	to accomplish	ΑΝΥΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
ἤνυσεν	3rd singular aorist active indicative	
κακός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
ἥττων <i>(ον)</i>	worse	ἩΤΤΟΝ <i>inferior</i>
ἡττᾶσθαι	to be overcome	
ἡττηθεὶς	overcome	ἩΤΤΗΘΕΙΣ <i>inferior</i>
μανθάνειν	to learn	ΜΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thinking</i>
προσμανθάνειν	to also learn	ΠΡΟΣΜΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thinking in addition</i>

VS 75. Gratitude for Past Fortune

eis ta pa-rō-chē-kó-ta a-ga-tha a-khá-ris-tos Phō-nē hē lé-gou-sa:

"té-los Hó-ra mak-rou bí-ou"

εἰς τὰ παρωχηκότα ἀγαθὰ ἀχάριστος Φωνὴ ἡ λέγουσα:
"τέλος Ὅρα μακροῦ βίου"

the ungrateful statement toward the good things that have passed by is the one saying: "Look at the fulfillment of a long life"

ἔρχεσθαι	to come / go	ΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>
παρέρχεσθαι	to pass by	ΠΑΡΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving beyond</i>
παρωχηκώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	passed by	ΠΑΡΩΙΧΗΚΩΣ ΠΑΡΩΧΗΚΩΣ <i>moved beyond</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
ἀχάριστος <i>(ον)</i>	ungrateful	ΑΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>not being</i> <i>cheerful</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>

λέγων (ουσα, ον)	saying	ΛΕΓΩΝ <i>speaking</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [here, "to look at"]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
μακρός (ἄ, ὄν)	distant [here, "long"]	ΜΑΚΡΟΣ <i>long-reaching</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	life / lifestyle	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>

VS 76. Individual Benefit

toi-oú-tos Εἶ, gē-rá-skōn, ho-poi-on E-gō pa-rai-nō – kai Di-ég-nō-kas ho-poi-on esti to
he-au-tō phi-lo-so-phê-sai ~ kai hoi-on to tē Hel-lā-di: Syn-chaí-rō soi

τοιούτος Εἶ, γηράσκων, ὅποιον Ἐγὼ παραινῶ – καὶ Διέγνωνκας ὅποιόν ἐστι τὸ
ἐαυτῷ φιλοσοφῆσαι ~ καὶ οἷον τὸ τῇ Ἑλλάδι: Συγχαίρω σοι

You are, while growing old, **just as the kind I advise** – and you have come to
thoroughly know **the kind of thing it is to philosophize for oneself** ~ and **what**
it is to [do so] for Greece: I rejoice **together with you**

τοιούτος (τοιαύτη, τοιούτον)	like this [here, "just as"]	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
εἶναι	to be	ΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being</i>
εἶ	2nd person singular present indicative active you are	
συγκатаγῆράσκειν	to fully grow old together	ΣΥΓΚΑΤΑΓΗΡΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>fully growing old together</i>
γῆράσκειν	to grow old	ΓΗΡΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>growing old</i>
γῆράσκων (ουσα, ον)	growing old	
ὅποιος	the kind of [thing]	ὍΠΟΙΟΣ <i>that gathering</i>
ἐπαινεῖν	to praise	ΕΠΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>praising upon</i>
παραινεῖν	to advise	ΠΑΡΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>praising alongside</i>
γινώσκειν = γινγνώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
διαγινγνώσκειν	to come to thoroughly know	ΔΙΑΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished through</i>
διέγνωνκας	2nd person singular perfect indicative active you have come to thoroughly know	ΔΙΕΓΝΩΚΑΣ
ἐαυτός (ή, ὄν)	oneself	ἘΑΥΤΟΣ <i>own self</i>
φιλοσοφεῖν	to philosophize	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΕΙΝ <i>loving knowledge</i>
οἷος (οἷα, οἷον)	such as ["what"]	ὍΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
ἡ Ἑλλάς	Greece	ἙΛΛΑΣ <i>Greek</i>
χαίρειν	to rejoice [used for "hello/goodbye"]	ΧΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>
χαῖρων (ουσα, ον)	rejoicing	ΧΑΙΡΩΝ <i>being cheerful</i>

τὸ χαῖρον τὰ χαῖροντα	what is joyful	ΧΑΙΡΟΝ <i>being cheerful</i>
ἡ χαρά αἱ χαραί	joy	ΧΑΡΑ <i>being cheerful</i>
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	grace	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>being cheerful</i>
ἄχαριστος (ov)	ungrateful	ΑΧΑΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>not being cheerful</i>
χαρίζειν	to give favor	ΧΑΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>action of being cheerful</i>
συγχαίρειν	to rejoice together	ΣΥΓΧΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>joy together</i>

VS 77. Freedom of Self-Sufficiency

tēs au-tar-keí-as Kar-pos mé-gis-tos E-leu-the-rí-a

τῆς αὐταρκείας Καρπὸς μέγιστος Ἐλευθερία

the greatest fruit of self-sufficiency is freedom

ἡ αὐτάρκεια αἱ αὐτάρκειαι	self-sufficiency	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΕΙΑ <i>state of self-beginning</i>
ὁ καρπός οἱ καρποί	fruit	ΚΑΡΠΟΣ <i>harvest</i>
μέγιστος (η, ov)	greatest	ΜΕΓΙΣΤΟΣ <i>biggest</i>
ἡ ἐλευθερία αἱ ἐλευθερίαι	freedom	ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΙΑ

VS 78. Noble Priorities

Ho gen-naî-os pe-ri so-phí-an kaì phi-lí-an má-lis-ta gíg-ne-tai – hōn, to men esti thnē-ton

a-ga-thōn, to de a-thá-na-ton

Ὁ γενναῖος περὶ σοφίαν καὶ φιλίαν μάλιστα γίγνεται – ὧν, τὸ μὲν ἐστὶ θνητὸν ἀγαθόν, τὸ δὲ ἀθάνατον

the noble man comes to be especially about wisdom and friendship – of which, the one is a mortal good – but the other is immortal

γεννᾶν	to generate	ΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating</i>
γεννήσας	having generated [already]	ΓΕΝΝΗΣΑΣ <i>generating</i>
οἱ γεννήσαντες	the parents	
γενναῖος	noble	ΓΕΝΝΑΙΟΣ <i>belonging to generating [i.e., with lineage]</i>
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ἡ σοφία αἱ σοφίαι	wisdom	ΣΟΦΙΑ <i>knowledge</i>
ὁ φίλος οἱ φίλοι	friend	ΦΙΛΟΣ <i>loving</i>
ἡ φιλία αἱ φιλίαι	friendship	ΦΙΛΙΑ <i>loving</i>
μάλιστα	especially	ΜΑΛΙΣΤΑ <i>very</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>

θνητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	mortal	ΘΗΤΟΣ <i>died</i>
άθάνατος	immortal	ΑΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not dying</i>
άγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>

VS 79. Be a Peaceful Presence

Ho a-tá-ra-chos he-au-tô – kai he-té-rō a-ó-chlē-tos

‘Ο άτάραχος έαυτῷ – καί έτέρω άόχλητος

He who is undisturbed within himself – is also not irritating to another

ή ταραχή αι ταραχαί	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΗ <i>agitating</i>
άτάραχος = άτάρακτος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undisturbed	ΑΤΑΡΑΧΟΣ ΑΤΑΡΑΚΤΟΣ <i>without agitating</i>
ή άταραξία αι άταραξιαί	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ό όχλος οι όχλοι	crowd	ΟΧΛΟΣ <i>crowd of people</i>
άόχλητος <i>(ον)</i>	not irritating	ΑΟΧΛΗΤΟΣ <i>without a crowd of people</i>

VS 80. Guard Against Desires

né-ō, sō-tē-rí-as Moî-ra tēs hē-li-kí-as té-rē-sis – kai phy-la-kē tôn pán-ta mo-ly-nón-tōn

kata tās e-pi-thy-mí-as tas oi-strō-deis

νέω, σωτηρίας Μοῖρα τῆς ήλικίας τήρησις – καί φυλακή τῶν πάντα μολυνόντων
κατά τās έπιθυμίας τās οιστρώδεις

to a young man, a Portion of salvation is the preservation of that stage of life – and the guarding from all that is defiling according to the desires that are raging

νέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	young	ΝΕΟΣ <i>new</i>
ό νέος οί νέοι	young man	ΝΕΟΣ <i>new</i>
ή άσωτία αι άσωτίαι	degeneracy	ΑΣΩΤΙΑ <i>not saving</i>
ή σωτηρία αι σωτηρίαι	salvation	ΣΩΤΗΡΙΑ <i>saving</i>
τό μόριον τά μόρια	a portion [as part of a whole]	ΜΟΡΙΟΝ <i>receiving one's portion</i>
ή μοῖρα αι μοῖραι	a portion [as assigned share]	ΜΟΙΡΑ <i>receiving one's portion</i>
ή ήλικία αι ήλικιαί	age <i>(stage of life)</i>	ΉΛΙΚΙΑ <i>comrade</i>
τηρεῖν	to preserve	ΤΗΡΕΙΝ <i>protecting / watching</i>
ή τήρησις αι τηρήσεις	the preservation	ΤΗΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of protecting / watching</i>

ἡ φυλακή αἱ φυλακαί	guarding	ΦΥΛΑΚΗ <i>watching</i>
μολύνειν	to defile	ΜΟΛΥΝΕΙΝ <i>polluting</i>
μολύνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	defiling	
τὸ μολῦνον τὰ μολῦνοντα	what is defiling	
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
πνευματώδης <i>(ες)</i>	wind-like	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΩΔΗΣ <i>quality of result of breathing</i>
οἰστρώδης <i>(ες)</i>	raging	ΟΙΣΤΡΩΔΗΣ <i>quality of being frenzied</i>

VS 81. Futility of Wealth & Praise

ou lŷ-ei tēn tēs psy-chēs ta-ra-chēn (ou-de tēn a-xi-ó-lo-gon a-po-gen-nâ kha-ran) ou-te
 Ploû-tos hy-pár-chōn ho mé-gis-tos – ou-th' hē pa-ra tois pol-loís Ti-mē kai Pe-rí-blep-sis –
 ou't' ál-lo Ti tōn pa-ra tās a-di-o-rís-tous ai-tí-as

οὐ λύει τὴν τῆς ψυχῆς ταραχὴν (οὐδὲ τὴν ἀξιόλογον ἀπογεννᾷ χαρὰν) οὔτε
 Πλοῦτος ὑπάρχων ὁ μέγιστος – οὔθ' ἡ παρὰ τοῖς πολλοῖς Τιμὴ καὶ Περίβλεψις –
 οὔτ' ἄλλο τι τῶν παρὰ τὰς ἀδιορίστους αἰτίας

the greatest Wealth that exits does not dissolve the disturbance of the soul
 (or fully generate joy that is notable) – nor does Honor among the many or
 Admiration – nor any other thing among those from the undefined causes

λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening</i>
ἡ ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ἡ ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ἡ ταραχή αἱ ταραχαί	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΗ <i>agitating</i>
ἄξιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	deserving	ΑΞΙΟΣ <i>counterbalancing</i>
φιλόλογος <i>(ον)</i>	devoted to study	ΦΙΛΟΛΟΓΟΣ <i>loving reasoning</i>
ἀξιόλογος <i>(ον)</i>	notable	ΑΞΙΟΛΟΓΟΣ <i>counterbalancing reasoning</i>
ἀπογεννᾶν	to fully generate	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating out</i>
ἡ χαρά αἱ χαραί	joy	ΧΑΡΑ <i>being cheerful</i>
ὁ πλοῦτος οἱ πλοῦτοι	wealth	ΠΛΟΥΤΟΣ <i>flowing</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	existing [already]	ΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under</i>
μέγιστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	greatest	ΜΕΓΙΣΤΟΣ <i>biggest</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
τιμᾶν	to honor	ΤΙΜΑΝ <i>value</i>
ἡ τιμή αἱ τιμαί	honor	ΤΙΜΗ <i>value</i>

ή ἐπιβλέψις αἱ ἐπιβλέψεις	observation	ΕΠΙΒΛΕΨΙΣ <i>looking upon</i>
ή περίβλεψις αἱ περιβλέψεις	admiration	ΠΕΡΙΒΛΕΨΙΣ <i>looking around</i>
ἀόριστος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undefined	ΑΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>no border</i>
ἀδιόριστος <i>(ον)</i>	undefined	ΑΔΙΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>no border through</i>
ή αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>

34b. Hello Herodotus

Ἐπίκουρος Ἡροδότῳ, Χαίρειν!

Epicurus to Herodotus, Hello!

Ἐπίκουρος	Epicurus	ΕΠΙΚΟΥΡΟΣ <i>running toward</i> <i>[to assist]</i>
διδόναι	to give	ΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving</i>
Ἡρόδοτος	Herodotos	ἩΡΟΔΟΤΟΣ <i>given by Hera</i>
χαίρειν	to rejoice [used for "hello/goodbye"]	ΧΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>

35a. Abridgment

τοῖς μὴ δυναμένοις, ὧς Ἡρόδοτε, ἕκαστα τῶν περὶ φύσεως ἀναγεγραμμένων ἡμῖν
ἐξακριβοῦν – μηδὲ τὰς μείζους τῶν συντεταγμένων βίβλους διαθερεῖν: ἐπιτομὴν
τῆς ὅλης πραγματείας εἰς τὸ κατασχεῖν τῶν ὀλοσχερωτάτων γε δοξῶν τὴν
μνήμην ἱκανῶς αὐτοῖς Παρεσκεύασα – ἵνα παρ’ ἐκάστους τῶν καιρῶν, ἐν τοῖς
κυριωτάτοις βοηθεῖν αὐτοῖς Δύνωνται, καθ’ ὅσον ἂν Ἐφάπτωνται τῆς περὶ
φύσεως θεωρίας)

for those who are not able, Herodotus, to examine each of the things
written out by us about nature – nor inspect the larger books of what has
been organized: I prepared a summary of the whole effort for retaining the
memory of the most comprehensive judgements sufficiently for themselves
– so that on each occasion, They might be able to provide help for
themselves on the most decisive [judgements], to the extent that They
engage with the observation of nature

[Bailey] For those who are unable, Herodotus, to work in detail through all that
I have written about nature, or to peruse the larger books which I have
composed, I have already prepared at sufficient length an epitome of the
whole system, that they may keep adequately in mind at least the most
general principles in each department, in order that as occasion arises they
may be able to assist themselves on the most important points, in so far as
they undertake the study of nature.

ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
τὰ ἀναγεγραμμένα	things written out	ΑΝΑΓΕΓΡΑΜΜΕΝΑ <i>written again</i>
ἡ βίβλος αἱ βιβλοι	book	ΒΙΒΛΟΣ <i>papyrus</i>
αἱ μείζονες βίβλοι	larger books	
τὰ συντεταγμένα	things organized	ΣΥΝΤΕΤΑΓΜΕΝΑ <i>having arranged together</i>
αἱ μείζονες τῶν συντεταγμένων βίβλοι	larger books of the things organized	
ἡ ἐπιτομή αἱ ἐπιτομαί	summary	ΕΠΙΤΟΜΗ <i>to cut upon</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ πραγματεία αἱ πραγματεῖαι	effort	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΕΙΑ <i>state of the result of doing / affair</i>

ἡ ἐπιτομή τῆς ὅλης πραγματείας	summary of the whole effort	
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
τὸ κυριώτατον τὰ κυριώτατα	most decisive thing	ΚΥΡΙΩΤΑΤΑ <i>most lordly, masterly</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	<i>[the act of mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
ἡ περὶ φύσεως θεωρία	observation of nature	

35b. Prioritizing Summaries

καὶ Τοὺς προβεβηκότας δὲ ἱκανῶς ἐν τῇ τῶν ὅλων ἐπιβλέψει, τὸν τύπον τῆς ὅλης πραγματείας τὸν κατεστοιχειωμένον δεῖ μνημονεύειν – τῆς γὰρ ἀθρόας ἐπιβολῆς πυκνὸν Δεόμεθα – τῆς δὲ κατὰ μέρος οὐχ ὁμοίως

and Those who have advanced sufficiently in the observation of the whole, must remember the impression of the whole work that has been reduced [to the fundamentals] – for We frequently have need ^[B'] of attention for the aggregate – but not similarly ^[B'] of [attention] for [the details of] each part

[Bailey] But those also who have made considerable progress in the survey of the main principles ought to bear in mind the scheme of the whole system set forth in its essentials. For we have frequent need of the general view, but not so often of the detailed exposition.

A summary is more often needed than the details. Fix in your mind on the main points of the whole subject more frequently than you review the specific details.

ὁ προβεβηκώς οἱ προβεβηκότες	he who has advanced	ΠΡΟΒΕΒΗΚΩΣ <i>to have walked forward</i>
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἡ ἐπιβλέψις αἱ ἐπιβλέψεις	observation	ΕΠΙΒΛΕΨΙΣ <i>looking upon</i>
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ <i>imprinting</i>
ὁ τύπος τῆς ὅλης πραγματείας	imprint of the whole effort	
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
κατὰ μέρος	for [the details of] each part	
ἡ κατὰ μέρος ἐπιβολή	our attention for [the details of] each part	
ἀθρόος <i>(α, ον)</i>	aggregated	ΑΘΡΟΟΣ <i>in groups</i>
τὸ ἀθρόον τὰ ἀθρόα	aggregate	

36a. Focused Memory

Βαδιστέον, μὲν οὖν, καὶ ἐπ’ ἐκεῖνα συνεχῶς: ἐν δὲ τῇ μνήμῃ τὸ τοσοῦτο Ποιητέον – ἀφ’ οὗ ἢ τε κυριωτάτῃ Ἐπιβολῇ ἐπὶ τὰ πράγματα ἔσται: καὶ δὴ καὶ τὸ κατὰ μέρος Ἀκρίβωμα πᾶν ἐξευρήσεται, τῶν ὁλοσχερωτάτων τύπων εὖ περιειλημμένων καὶ μνημονευομένων

additionally, It is necessary to proceed, of course, towards those [observations of the condensed system] continuously: and It is necessary make this much [effort] in memory – from which [your] most principle Attention will exist for [your] situations: and then every precise Detail for [the details of] each part [of your observations] will be discovered, with the most comprehensive impressions well understood and remembered

[Bailey] Indeed it is necessary to go back on the main principles, and constantly to lx in one’s memory enough to give one the most essential comprehension of the truth. And in fact the accurate knowledge of details will be fully discovered, if the general principles in the various departments are thoroughly grasped and borne in mind;

We should return continually to the main principles – and memorize them to gain a solid understanding of the facts. We have a greater ability to discover details accurately once the general outlines are well understood.

ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ gathering
κύριος (α, ον)	principle	ΚΥΡΙΟΣ critical / valid
ἡ κυριωτάτη ἐπιβολή	most essential attention	
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ result of doing / affair
ἡ ἀκρίβεια αἱ ἀκρίβειαι	precision	ΑΚΡΙΒΕΙΑ state of pointed
τὸ ἀκρίβωμα τὰ ἀκριβώματα	precise detail	ΑΚΡΙΒΩΜΑ result of pointed
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ part
κατὰ μέρος	for [the details of] each part	
τὸ κατὰ μέρος ἀκρίβωμα	precise detail for each part	
ὅλοσχερής (ές)	comprehensive	ΟΛΟΣΧΕΡΗΣ to hold the whole
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ imprinting
οἱ ὅλοσχερώτατοι τύποι	most comprehensive impressions	

36b. Elementary Mastery

ἐπεὶ καὶ, τῷ τετελεσιουργημένῳ, τοῦτο Κυριώτατον τοῦ παντὸς ἀκριβώματος γίνεται: τὸ ταῖς ἐπιβολαῖς ὀξέως δύνασθαι χρῆσθαι ἐκάστων πρὸς ἀπλᾶ στοιχειώματα καὶ φωνὰς συναγομένων

since, for the one who is accomplished, this is the most important Thing produced by total accuracy: to be able to quickly use [our] attentions with each thing referenced by simple component principles and statements

[Bailey] for even in the case of one fully initiated the most essential feature in all accurate knowledge is the capacity to make a rapid use of observation and mental apprehension, and this can be done if everything is summed up in elementary principles and formulae.

Simplicity is a feature of a mature student. Being able to reference elementary facts helps keep a clear view of what we know.

ὁ τετελεσιουργημένος	he who has accomplished	ΤΕΤΕΛΕΣΙΟΥΡΓΗΜΕΝΟΣ completed work
τὸ ταῖς ἐπιβολαῖς ὀξέως δύνασθαι χρῆσθαι	the ability to quickly use attentions	

γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἅπλοῦς <i>(ἦ, οὔν)</i>	simple	ἈΠΛΟΥΣ <i>one fold</i>
τὸ στοιχείωμα τὰ στοιχειώματα	component [principle]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΩΜΑ <i>result of a row</i> <i>(in a series)</i>
τὸ στοιχεῖον τὰ στοιχεῖα	component [for facts] or, element [for things]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΟΝ <i>instance of a row</i> <i>(in a series)</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
ἀπλᾶ στοιχειώματα καὶ φωναί	simple elementary principle and statements	

36c. A Structured View

οὐ γὰρ Οἶόν τε **τὸ πύκνωμα τῆς συνεχοῦς τῶν ὅλων περιοδείας** εἶδέναι – **μὴ δυνάμενον διὰ βραχεῶν φωνῶν ἅπαν ἐμπεριλαβεῖν ἐν αὐτῷ** (**τὸ καὶ κατὰ μέρος ἂν ἐξακριβωθέν**)

for It is not possible to know the concentration of a continuous full course of the whole – when [that concentration] is not able to encompass in short statements everything within it (which would also be a precise detail for each part)

[Bailey] For it is not possible for anyone to abbreviate the complete course through the whole system, if he cannot embrace in his own mind by means of short formulae all that might be set out with accuracy in detail.

We need to be able to remember the basics of all our studies in organized and simple terms – this enables us to understand all the details more accurately.

ἡ πύκνωσις αἱ πυκνώσεις	a concentrating	ΠΥΚΝΩΣΙΣ <i>action of compacting</i>
τὸ πύκνωμα τὰ πυκνώματα	a concentration	ΠΥΚΝΩΜΑ <i>the result of compacting</i>
ἡ περιοδεία αἱ περιοδεῖαι	[making] a full course	ΠΕΡΙΟΔΕΙΑ <i>process around the path</i>
ἡ συνεχῆς τῶν ὅλων περιοδεία	[making] a continuous full course of the whole	
τὸ πύκνωμα τῆς συνεχοῦς τῶν ὅλων περιοδείας	concentration of a continuous full course of the whole	
εἶδέναι	<i>to have mentally seen ∴</i> "to know"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing</i>
βραχύς <i>(εἶα, ὕ)</i>	short	ΒΡΑΧΥΣ <i>brief</i>
αἱ βραχεῖαι φωναί	short statements	
τὸ ἐξακριβωθέν τὰ ἐξακριβωθέντα	precise detail	ΕΞΑΚΡΙΒΩΘΕΝ <i>fully pointed</i>
τὸ ἂν ἐξακριβωθέν	would be a precise detail precise	
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
κατὰ μέρος	for each part	

37a. Purpose of Study

ὅθεν, δὴ πᾶσι χρησίμης οὔσης τοῖς ὥκειωμένοις φυσιολογία τῆς τοιαύτης ὁδοῦ, (παρεγγυῶν τὸ συνεχές ἐνέργημα ἐν φυσιολογία καὶ τοιούτῳ μάλιστα ἐγγαληνίζων τῷ βίῳ) Ἐποίησά σοι καὶ τοιαύτην τινὰ ἐπιτομὴν καὶ στοιχείωσιν τῶν ὅλων δοξῶν

therefore, certainly since such a course is useful to all who are familiar with natural science, I (while recommending continuous activity in natural science and pacifying myself particularly with such a life) have also made for you such a type of summary and elementary-exposition of all judgements

[Bailey] Wherefore since the method I have described is valuable to all those who are accustomed to the investigation of nature, I who urge upon others the constant occupation in the investigation of nature, and I find my own peace chiefly in a life so occupied, have composed for you another epitome on these lines, summing up the first principles of the whole doctrine

Epicurus advises that we always pursue our studies – but with a sense of tranquillity.

ὁ ὥκειωμένος	he who is familiar	ΩΚΕΙΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>made comfortable dwelling</i>
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning of producing</i>
ἡ ὁδός αἱ ὁδοί	course	ΟΔΟΣ <i>road</i>
ἡ τοιαύτη ὁδός	such a course	ΤΟΙΑΥΤΗ ΟΔΟΣ
τὸ ἐνέργημα τὰ ἐνεργήματα	an activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of working within</i>
τὸ συνεχές ἐνέργημα	continuous activity	
ἐγγαληνίζειν	to pacify oneself	ΕΓΓΑΛΗΝΙΖΕΙΝ <i>causing calm within</i>
This verb is only used by Epicurus, and only used here – but we can understand the general meaning because it clearly comes from the noun:		
ἡ γαλήνη	peace	ΓΑΛΗΝΗ
ἡ τοιαύτη τις ἐπιτομή	such a type of summary	
ἡ στοιχείωσις τῶν ὅλων δοξῶν	elementary exposition of all judgments	

37b. Clarity in Language

πρῶτον μὲν οὖν τὰ ὑποτεταγμένα τοῖς φθόγγοις, ὧς Ἡρόδοτε, Δεῖ εἰληφέναι: ὅπως ἂν τὰ δοξαζόμενα ἢ ζητούμενα ἢ ἀπορούμενα Ἐχωμεν, εἰς ταῦτα ἀναγαγόντες ἐπικρίνειν – καὶ μὴ ἄκριτα Πάντα ἡμῖν «ἶη» – εἰς ἅπειρον ἀποδεικνύουσιν, ἢ κενοὺς φθόγγους Ἐχωμεν

first of all, Herodotus, It is necessary to understand what is assigned to expressions: in order that, We may have what is judged, investigated, or uncertain to test by referring to these [expressions] – so that Everything does not go untested by us – leading into infinity, or Us having empty expressions [i.e., using meaningless words]

[Bailey] First of all, Herodotus, we must grasp the ideas attached to words, in order that we may be able to refer to them and so to judge the inferences of opinion or problems of investigation or refutation, so that we may not either leave everything uncertain and go on explaining to infinity or use words devoid of meaning.

We must understand the meaning of words to test opinions, inquiries, or problems – always ensuring our proofs are meaningful and not endlessly unverified.

τὰ ὑποτεταγμένα	what is assigned (subordinated things)	ΥΠΟΤΕΤΑΓΜΕΝΑ <i>having arranged under</i>
ὁ φθόγγος οἱ φθόγγοι	[verbal] expression	ΦΘΟΓΓΟΣ <i>uttering</i>
τὰ ὑποτεταγμένα τοῖς φθόγγοις	what is assigned to expressions	
τὰ δοξαζόμενα	what is judged (our understanding of information)	ΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΑ <i>to seem</i>
τὰ ζητούμενα	what is investigated (difficulty with getting information)	ΖΗΤΟΥΜΕΝΑ <i>to search</i>
τὰ ἀπορούμενα	what is uncertain (difficulty with understanding information)	ΑΠΟΡΟΥΜΕΝΑ <i>to be confused</i>

38a. Clear Mental Impressions

ἀνάγκη γὰρ, τὸ πρῶτον ἐννόημα καθ’ ἕκαστον φθόγγον βλέπεσθαι, καὶ μηθὲν ἀποδείξεως προσδεῖσθαι – εἴπερ Ἔξομεν τὸ ζητούμενον ἢ ἀπορούμενον καὶ δοξαζόμενον ἐφ’ ὃ ἀνάξομεν

Seeing [for ourselves] the primary internal conception for each word, and in no way needing proof, is necessary – if We are to have [something] to which we will refer what is investigated, uncertain, or judged

[Bailey] For this purpose it is essential that the first mental image associated with each word should be regarded, and that there should be no need of explanation, if we are really to have a standard to which to refer a problem of investigation or reflection or a mental inference.

We need to be able to mentally visualize exactly what we are talking about. If we ensure that the primary meaning of each term is clear – then we have a solid foundation for evaluating problems, opinions, and inquiries.

τὸ ἐννόημα τὰ ἐννοήματα	internal conception	ENNOHMA <i>result of seeing within</i>
τὸ πρῶτον ἐννόημα	the primary thought	
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
τὸ πρῶτον ἐννόημα βλέπειν	seeing the primary thought	
ἢ ἀπόδειξις αἱ ἀποδείξεις	proof	ΑΠΟΔΕΙΞΙΣ <i>from pointing out</i>

38b. Tools for Interpretation

ἔτι τε, ^[A] τὰς αἰσθήσεις δεῖ πάντως τηρεῖν: καὶ ἀπλῶς ^[B'] τὰς παρούσας ἐπιβολὰς (εἴτε διανοίας εἴθ’ ὅτου δήποτε τῶν κριτηρίων) ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ ^[Γ] τὰ ὑπάρχοντα πάθη – ὅπως ἂν καὶ ^[O] τὸ προσμένον καὶ ^[O] τὸ ἄδηλον Ἐχωμεν οἷς σημειωσόμεθα

also, Preserving ^[A] the sensations in every way is necessary: and absolutely [preserving] ^[B'] the present attentions (whether of [mental] perception or of any of the criteria) and likewise ^[Γ] the existing experiences [i.e., our current feelings] – so that We may also have signs by which we will interpret both ^[O] what is still pending [further attestation] and ^[O] what is unclear

[Bailey] And besides we must keep all our investigations in accord with our sensations, and in particular with the immediate apprehensions whether of the mind or of any one of the instruments of judgment, and likewise in accord with the feelings existing in us, in order that we may have indications whereby we may judge both the problem of sense perception and the unseen.

Sensations are the basis of understanding. We need to focus on our immediate senses and feelings as our basis of understanding, in order to further understand what is unclear or unseen.

ή αἴσθησις αἰ αἰσθήσεις	[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ perceiving process
ή ἐπιβολή αἰ ἐπιβολαί	[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ throwing upon
παρών (παρουσα, παρόν)	present	ΠΑΡΩΝ to be beside
ή διάνοια αἰ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ seeing through
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ seeing through
ή ἐπίνοια αἰ ἐπινοίαι	[objective] concept	ΕΠΙΝΟΙΑ seeing upon
αἰ παροῦσαι ἐπιβολαί διανοίας	present attentions of perception	
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	criterion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ instrument of dividing
αἰ παροῦσαι ἐπιβολαί ὅτου τῶν κριτηρίων	present attentions of any of the standards	
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ beginning under (before)
ὑπάρχων (ουσα, ον) ὑπάρχοντες (ουσαι, οντα)	existing [already]	ὙΠΑΡΧΩΝ beginning under (before)
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	[Γ] experience (feeling)	ΠΑΘΟΣ enduring
τὰ ὑπάρχοντα πάθη	already existing experiences	
τὸ προσμένον τὰ προσμένοντα	[O] what is still pending [further attestation]	ΤΟ ΠΡΟΣΜΕΝΟΝ remaining further
τὸ ἄδηλον τὰ ἄδηλα	[O] what is unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΝ not clear

38c. Foundation of Existence

ταῦτα Δεῖ διαλαβόντας συνορᾶν ἤδη [O] περὶ τῶν ἀδήλων: πρῶτον μὲν, ὅτι Οὐδὲν γίνεται ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὄντος: Πᾶν γὰρ ἐκ παντὸς ἐγίνετ’ ἂν – σπερμάτων γε οὐθὲν προσδεόμενον

after [we] have grasped these things, It is now necessary to fully [mentally] see [O] what is unclear: first then, that Nothing is produced from what does not exist: for Everything would be produced from everything – and would in no way also need seeds

[Bailey] Having made these points clear, we must now consider things imperceptible to the senses. First of all, that nothing is created out of that which does not exist: for if it were, everything would be created out of everything with no need of seeds.

First and foremost: nothing can come from something that does not exist. If matter could arise from nonexistence, anything could come from nothing.

ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
συνορᾶν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>
τὸ ἀόρατον τὰ ἀόρατα	^[0] the unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΝ <i>not looking</i>
τὸ ἄδηλον τὰ ἄδηλα	^[0] what is unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΝ <i>not clear</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τὸ μὴ ὄν τὰ μὴ ὄντα	what does not exist	ΜΗ ΟΝ <i>not existing</i>

39a. Perishability

καὶ εἰ ἐφθείρετο δὲ τὸ Ἀφανιζόμενον **εἰς τὸ μὴ ὄν**: πάντα ἂν ἀπωλώλει τὰ Πράγματα – **οὐκ ὄντων εἰς ἃ** διελύετο

and if what Disappears was also destroyed **into what does not exist**: all Things would have perished – **without [anything] existing into which** they could fully dissolve

^[Bailey] And again, if that which disappears were destroyed into that which did not exist, all things would have perished, since that into which they were dissolved would not exist.

Matter cannot disintegrate into nonexistence. If something disappearing were completely destroyed into nonexistence, then everything would ultimately perish

τὸ ἀφανιζόμενον τὰ ἀφανιζόμενα	what disappears	ΑΦΑΝΙΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of not appearing</i>
<i>This refers to objects that are completely destroyed to the point that the matter composing them is no longer visible – such as sugar dissolving in water.</i>		

39b. Universal Consistency

καὶ μὴν καὶ, τὸ Πᾶν αἰεὶ **τοιούτων ἦν – οἷον νῦν** ἐστὶ – καὶ **αἰεὶ τοιούτων** ἔσται: Οὐθὲν γάρ ἐστιν **εἰς ὃ** μεταβαλεῖ: **παρὰ γὰρ τὸ πᾶν** Οὐθὲν ἐστὶν (ὃ ἂν εἰσελθὼν **εἰς αὐτὸ τὴν μεταβολὴν** ποιήσαιτο)

and indeed, the Universe has always been **such** – **as** it is **now** – and it will **always** be **such**: for there is Nothing **into which** it can transform: because there is Nothing **outside of the universe** (which could enter **into it** and make **a transformation**)

^[Bailey] Furthermore, the universe always was such as it is now, and always will be the same. For there is nothing into which it changes: for outside the universe there is nothing which could come into it and bring about the change.

The universe is consistent in its ultimate composition because there is nothing outside of everything that could enter everything and cause it to change.

τὸ πᾶν <i>(τοῦ παντός)</i>	<i>(individually)</i> everything, <i>(collectively)</i> the universe	ΠΑΝ <i>all</i>
μεταβάλλειν	to transform	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing in change</i>

39c. Atomism

ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ {**τούτο** καὶ ἐν τῇ *Μεγάλῃ Ἐπιτομῇ* Φησι κατ’ ἀρχὴν καὶ ἐν τῇ *ἁ Περι Φύσεως*} τὸ Πᾶν ἐστὶ **σώματα** καὶ **κενόν**: **σώματα** μὲν γὰρ **ὥς ἔστιν**, αὐτὴ ἡ Αἴσθησις ἐπὶ πάντων μαρτυρεῖ – καθ’ ἣν, ἀναγκαῖον τὸ Ἄδηλον **τῷ λογισμῷ** τεκμαίρεσθαι, ὥσπερ προεῖπον

but indeed {He also says **this** in the *Larger Epitome* near the beginning and in his First Book *On Nature*} the Universe exists **as bodies** and **as void**: **that bodies exist**, Sensation itself in all [cases] testifies – using this [sensation], the Unseen must be inferred **by reasoning**

[Bailey] Moreover, the universe is bodies and space: for that bodies exist, sense itself witnesses in the experience of all men, and in accordance with the evidence of sense we must of necessity judge of the imperceptible by reasoning, as I have already said.

For what we cannot directly sense, we infer with reasoning from what we can directly sense. Our sensations are the toolkit our reason uses to function.
The universe is fundamentally matter and void. Everything that exists is made up of bodies and space. We know bodies exist because we can see and feel them, and we must use our senses to understand things we cannot directly see, such as the void.

40a. Necessity of Void

Τόπος δὲ εἰ μὴ ἦν, **ὄν** 'κενόν' καὶ 'χώραν' καὶ 'ἀναφῇ φύσιν' Ὀνομάζομεν – οὐκ ἂν εἶχε τὰ Σώματα **ὅπου** ἦν, οὐδὲ **δι'** οὗ ἐκινεῖτο: καθάπερ φαίνεται **κινούμενα**

but if the location did not exist, **which** We call 'void' 'space' and 'intangible nature' – Bodies would not have **anywhere** to be, or [anything] through **which** they move: just as they are seen **moving**

[Bailey] And if there were not that which we term void and place and intangible existence, bodies would have nowhere to exist and nothing through which to move, as they are seen to move.

ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
τὸ κενόν	void	KENON <i>emptiness</i>
ἡ χώρα αἱ χώραι	space	ΧΩΡΑ <i>location</i>
ἀναφῆς <i>(ές)</i>	intangible	ΑΝΑΦΗΣ <i>not touchable</i>
ἡ ἀναφῆς φύσις	intangible nature	

40b. Qualities: Symptoms vs. Properties

παρὰ δὲ ταῦτα, Οὐθὲν **οὐδ'** ἐπινοηθῆναι δύναται (**οὔτε περιληπτῶς οὔτε ἀναλόγως τοῖς περιληπτοῖς**) ὥς καθ’ ὅλας φύσεις λαμβανόμενα, καὶ μὴ ὥς τὰ **τούτων** "**συμπτώματα**" ἢ "**συμβεβηκότα**" λεγόμενα

beyond these things, Nothing **at all** is able to be objectively conceived (either **with complete comprehension** or **analogously to what is completely comprehensible**) as being comprehend **according to whole natures**, and not as what are called **the "symptoms" of these** or **"properties"**

[Bailey] And besides these two, nothing can even be thought of either by conception or on the analogy of things conceivable such as could be grasped as whole existences and not spoken of as the accidents or properties of such existences.

Beyond atoms and void, nothing is able to be conceived that has a wholly complete nature in-and-of-itself. Everything else is an emergent characteristic that comes to exist from arrangements of atoms and void.

The inseparable qualities (i.e., properties) of the atoms are permanent. The inseparable qualities of a compound exist as long as the compound exists. The separable qualities (i.e., symptoms) of the atoms (e.g., their location) are impermanent. The separable qualities of a compound do not even exist for as long as the compound exists.

περιληπτῶς	with complete comprehension	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΩΣ <i>taken hold around</i>
τὸ περιληπτόν τὰ περιληπτά	what is completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΟΝ <i>taken hold around</i>
ἀναλόγως	analogously	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΩΣ <i>reasoning again</i>
ἀναλόγως τοῖς περιληπτοῖς	analogously to the comprehensible	
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
λαμβάνόμενος (η, ον)	comprehended	ΛΑΜΒΑΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>grasping</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from [asking] what kind</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	[temporary for its compound] symptom (separable quality)	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
συμπίπτειν	to be symptomatic	ΣΥΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling together</i>
συμβεβηκῶς (υῖα, ός)	having endured	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΩΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	"that which has endured" property (inseparable quality)	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked together</i>

40c. Atomic or Aggregate

καὶ μὴν καὶ, τῶν {τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ Περὶ Φύσεως καὶ τῇ ιδ' καὶ ιε' καὶ τῇ Μεγάλῃ Ἐπιτομῇ} σωμάτων Τὰ μὲν ἐστὶ συγκρίσεις – Τὰ δ' ἐξ ὧν αἱ συγκρίσεις πεποιήνται

and indeed, {this is also in the first [book] On Nature and in the 14th and 15th and in the *Larger Epitome*} Some bodies are compounds – but Some [are bodies] out of which compounds are made

[Bailey] Furthermore, among bodies some are compounds, and others those of which compounds are formed.

Some bodies are combinations of atoms – but some bodies are simply the atoms themselves.

ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction [i.e., an act of discrimination]	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
ἡ σύνκρισις = ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΝΚΡΙΣΙΣ ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
τὰ ἐξ ὧν αἱ συγκρίσεις πεποιήνται	things from which the compounds are formed	

Ταῦτα δέ ἐστιν **ἄτομα** καὶ **ἀμετάβλητα**: εἴπερ μὴ μέλλει Πάντα εἰς τὸ μὴ ὄν φθαρήσεσθαι – ἀλλ’ **ἰσχύοντα** ὑπομένειν ἐν ταῖς διαλύσεσι τῶν συγκρίσεων, **πλήρη τὴν φύσιν** ὄντα: **οἷα** δὴ οὐκ ἔχοντα **ὅπη** ἢ **ὅπως** διαλυθήσεται – ὥστε **τὰς ἀρχὰς ἀτόμους** Ἀναγκαῖον εἶναι **σωμάτων φύσεις**

These [objects] exist as **indivisible** and **non-transformable**: if Everything is not going to be destroyed **into nonexistence** – but to endure, **prevailing in the dissolutions of compounds**, while existing as **full in nature**: **such that** they indeed do not have **anywhere** or **any way** they will be fully dissolved – It is therefore necessary that **the foundations** exist as **indivisible physical objects**

[Bailey] And these latter are indivisible and unalterable (if, that is, all things are not to be destroyed into the nonexistent, but something permanent is to remain behind at the dissolution of compounds): they are completely solid in nature, and can by no means be dissolved in any part. So it must needs be that the first beginnings are indivisible corporeal existences.

There are objects that cannot be divided or changed. This must be true because everything has not been permanently destroyed and turned into nothing. These objects are strong enough to remain when objects break apart – because they are totally solid and cannot be dissolved. So, the basic building blocks of everything are physically indivisible.

ἄτομος <i>(ον)</i>	indivisible	ATOMA <i>not cut</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ATOMON <i>not cut</i>
τὰ ἀμετάβλητα	what is non-transformable	AMETABΛHTA <i>not thrown change</i>
ἰσχύων <i>(ισχύουσα, ισχύον)</i>	prevailing	ΙΣΧΥΩΝ <i>strength</i>
πλήρης <i>(ες)</i>	full	ΠΛΗΡΗΣ <i>quality from filling</i>
διαλύειν	to fully dissolve	ΔΙΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>through dissolve</i>
διαλυθήσεσθαι	to be about to be dissolved	
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation <i>[in time]</i>	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>

41b. Space Is Infinite In Extent

ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ, τὸ Πᾶν **ἄπειρόν** ἐστί: Τὸ γὰρ πεπερασμένον **ἄκρον** ἔχει – τὸ δὲ ἼΑκρον **παρ’ ἑτερόν τι** θεωρεῖται <τὸ δὲ Πᾶν οὐ **παρ’ ἑτερόν τι** θεωρεῖται> ὥστε, οὐκ ἔχον **ἄκρον**, **πέρας** οὐκ ἔχει – **πέρας** δὲ οὐκ ἔχον: **ἄπειρον** ἂν εἴη καὶ οὐ **πεπερασμένον**

but indeed, the Universe is **infinite**: for What is finite has **a highest point** – and a Boundary is observed **relative to something different**, <however the Universe is not observed **relative to anything different**> therefore, not having **an boundary**, it does not have **a limit** – and what does not have **a limit**: would be **infinite** and **not finite**

[Bailey] Moreover, the universe is boundless. For that which is bounded has an extreme point: and the extreme point is seen against something else. So that as it has no extreme point, it has no limit; and as it has no limit, it must be boundless and not bounded.

The universe is infinite. Anything finite has an end, and an end is only recognized by comparing it to something else. Since there is nothing else to compare the universe to, it must be boundless and infinite.

ἄπειρος <i>(ov)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
τὸ ἄπειρον πᾶν	the infinite universe	
τὸ πεπερασμένον	what is finite	ΠΕΠΕΡΑΣΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of having finished</i>
τὸ ἄκρον τὰ ἄκρα	the highest point	ΑΚΡΟΝ <i>highest</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἑτέρᾳ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [other / another]	ἕτερος <i>other</i>
τὸ πέρασ τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>

41c. Matter Is Infinite In Quantity

καὶ μὴν καὶ, τῷ πλήθει τῶν σωμάτων – ἄπειρόν ἐστι τὸ Πᾶν – καὶ τῷ μεγέθει τοῦ κενοῦ

and indeed, in the number of objects – the Universe is infinite – and in the extension of the void

[Bailey] Furthermore, the infinite is boundless both in the number of the bodies and in the extent of the void.

πλήρης <i>(ες)</i>	full	ΠΛΗΡΗΣ <i>quality from filling</i>
τὸ πλῆθος τὰ πλήθη	[large] number	ΠΛΗΘΟΣ <i>fullness</i>
τὸ πλῆθος τῶν σωμάτων	the number of objects	
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τοῦ κενοῦ	the size of the void	

42a. Limit Effects

εἴ τε γὰρ ᾗν τὸ Κενὸν ἄπειρον, τὰ δὲ Σώματα ὠρισμένα: οὐθαμοῦ ἂν ἔμενε τὰ Σώματα, ἀλλ’ ἐφέρετο κατὰ τὸ ἄπειρον κενὸν διεσπαρμένα – οὐκ ἔχοντα τὰ ὑπερείδοντα καὶ στέλλοντα κατὰ τὰς ἀνακοπὰς – εἴ τε τὸ Κενὸν ᾗν ὠρισμένον: οὐκ ἂν εἶχε τὰ ἄπειρα Σώματα ὅπου ἐνέστη

* for if the Void were infinite, but Bodies were finite: Bodies would stay nowhere, but would be carried through the infinite void while scattered – not having [other bodies] supporting and arranging [them] through their collisions – if the Void were finite: the infinite Bodies would not have anywhere to be

[Bailey] For if on the one hand the void were boundless, and the bodies limited in number, the bodies could not stay anywhere, but would be carried about and scattered through the infinite void, not having other bodies to support them and keep them in place by means of collisions. But if, on the other hand, the void were limited, the infinite bodies would not have room wherein to take their place.

If the void were infinite and atoms were finite – the atoms would be scattered throughout the infinite void. On the other hand, if the void were finite and bodies were infinite – there would not be enough space for all the atoms to exist.

τὸ ὑπερείδον τὰ ὑπερείδοντα	what supports	ὑΠΕΡΕΙΔΟΝ <i>appearing over</i>
ὑπερείδων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	supporting	

τὸ στέλλον τὰ στέλλοντα	what arranges	ΣΤΕΛΛΟΝ <i>making ready</i>
στέλλων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	arranging	

42b. Finite Variety of Atomic Shapes

πρὸς τε τούτοις, Τὰ ἄτομα τῶν σωμάτων καὶ Μεστά (ἐξ ὧν καὶ αἱ Συγκρίσεις γίνονται καὶ εἰς ἃ διαλύονται) ἀπερίληπτά ἐστι ταῖς διαφοραῖς τῶν σχημάτων: οὐ γὰρ Δυνατὸν γενέσθαι τὰς τοσαύτας διαφορὰς ἐκ τῶν αὐτῶν σχημάτων περιειλημμένων

besides these [points], the indivisible and full Things in objects (out of which Compounds are produced and into which they thoroughly dissolve) are not completely comprehensible in the [amount of] differences of their shapes: for It is not possible for so many differences to arise from what HAS been completely comprehended about their [atomic] shapes

[Bailey] Besides this the indivisible and solid bodies, out of which too the compounds are created and into which they are dissolved, have an incomprehensible number of varieties in shape: for it is not possible that such great varieties of things should arise from the same atomic shapes, if they are limited in number.

The amount of different atomic shapes is indefinite (incomprehensibly large) – but not infinite. However the amount of each atomic shape is infinite.

μεστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	full	ΜΕΣΤΟΣ <i>filled</i>
τὸ μεστόν τὰ μεστά	what is full	
γίνεσθαι = γίνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἀπερίληπτος <i>(ας, ον)</i>	not completely comprehensible	ΑΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΟΣ <i>not taken hold around</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
τὰ ἀπερίληπτά ταῖς διαφοραῖς τῶν σχημάτων	what is incomprehensible in the differences of shapes	
τὸ περιειλημμένον τὰ περιειλημμένα	what has been completely comprehended	ΠΕΡΙΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of being grasped around</i>

42c. Infinite Atoms of Each Shape

καὶ καθ’ ἐκάστην δὲ σχημάτισιν: ἀπλῶς ἄπειροί εἰσιν αἱ Ὅμοιαι – ταῖς δὲ διαφοραῖς οὐχ ἀπλῶς ἄπειροι, ἀλλὰ μόνον ἀπερίληπτοι

and regarding each shape: the Similar [atoms] are absolutely infinite – but in their differences they are not absolutely infinite, but only incomprehensible

[Bailey] And so in each shape the atoms are quite infinite in number, but their differences of shape are not quite infinite , but only incomprehensible in number.

There is an infinite number of each shape of atom – but the number of different shapes of atoms is not infinite (though it is incalculably large).

{43a. Atomic Size Limit}

{οὐδὲ γάρ Φησιν ἐνδοτέρω εἰς ἄπειρον τὴν τομὴν τυγχάνειν, (λέγει) δέ ἐπειδὴ αἱ Ποιότητες μεταβάλλονται – εἰ μέλλει Τις μὴ καὶ τοῖς μεγέθεσιν ἀπλῶς εἰς ἄπειρον αὐτάς ἐκβάλλειν}

{for [Epicurus] states that division [of atoms] does not happen further into infinity, even though (as he says) the Qualities are transformed – unless Someone is also going to extend those [atoms] absolutely into infinity in [terms of] size}

This scholion notes that, despite the variety that we see in qualities (including the disappearance of qualities), we should not think that objects can continue to be divided forever (although objects can be divided to the point of no longer being visible). The same line of thinking would also have us posit that atoms are infinitely large.

τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ATOMON <i>not cut</i>
ἡ τομή αἱ τομαί	division	TOMH <i>cut</i>

43b. Constant Movement

κινοῦνται τε συνεχῶς αἱ Ἄτομοι τὸν αἰῶνα {φησὶ δὲ ἐνδοτέρω καὶ ἰσοταχῶς αὐτάς κινεῖσθαι – τοῦ κενοῦ τὴν εἷξιν ὁμοίαν παρεχομένου καὶ τῇ κουφοτάτῃ καὶ τῇ βαρυτάτῃ}

the Atoms move continuously forever {further he says that they move with equal speed – with the void offering the same yielding both to the lightest [atom] and to the heaviest [atom]}

[Bailey] And the atoms move continuously for all time, some of them falling straight down, others swerving, and others recoiling from their collisions.

ἡ τομή αἱ τομαί	division	TOMH <i>cut</i>
ἄτομος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	indivisible	ATOMA <i>not cut</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ATOMON <i>not cut</i>
ἡ ἄτομος αἱ ἄτομοι	atom	ATOMOS <i>not cut</i>
ἰσοταχῶς	with equal speed	ISOTAXΩS <i>equal fast</i>
ἡ εἷξις	yielding	EIEIS <i>giving way</i>
κουῖφος <i>(η, ον)</i>	light	κουῖφος <i>nimble</i>
κουφότατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	lightest	
ἡ κουφοτάτη [ἄτομος]	the lightest [atom]	
τὸ βάρος τὰ βάρη	weight	BAPOS <i>heavy</i>
βαρύτατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	heaviest	BAPYTATOS <i>heaviest</i>
ἡ βαρυτάτη [ἄτομος]	the heaviest [atom]	

43c. Atomic Interaction

καὶ, Αἱ μὲν εἰς μακρὰν ἀπ’ ἀλλήλων διστάμεναι – Αἱ δὲ αὐτοῦ τὸν παλμὸν ἴσχουσιν (ὅταν τύχῃσι τῇ περιπλοκῇ κεκλειμέναι ἢ στεγαζόμενοι παρὰ τῶν πλεκτικῶν)

* also, Some [atoms] stand apart at a distance from each other – while Others retain their vibration (when they happen to have been enclosed by intertangling or are covered by entangling [atoms])

[Bailey] And of the latter, some are borne on, separating to a long distance from one another, while others again recoil and recoil, whenever they chance to be checked by the interlacing with others, or else shut in by atoms interlaced around them.

Atoms move at the same speed, either freely or vibrating in an aggregate. Some atoms move far apart from each other, while others just oscillate in one place when they get entangled or are enclosed by a mass of other atoms shaped for entangling.

ὁ παλμός οἱ παλμοί	vibration	ΠΑΛΜΟΣ pulse
ἡ περιπλοκή αἱ περιπλοκαί	intertangling	ΠΕΡΙΠΛΟΚΗ weave around
κλειῖν	to enclose	ΚΛΕΙΕΙΝ shutting
κεκλειμένος (η, ον)	having been enclosed	
στεγαζόμενος (η, ον)	covered	ΣΤΕΓΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ shelter
πλεκτικός (η, ον)	entangling	ΠΛΕΚΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of weaving
ἡ πλεκτική [ἄτομος]	tangled atom	

44a. Vibration
(Consequence of Atomic Firmness)

ἢ τε γὰρ τοῦ κενοῦ Φύσις, ἡ διορίζουσα ἐκάστην αὐτήν, τοῦτο παρασκευάζει (τὴν ὑπέρεισιν οὐχ οἷα τε οὔσα ποιεῖσθαι) – ἢ τε Στερεότης ἡ ὑπάρχουσα αὐταῖς κατὰ τὴν σύγκρουσιν τὸν ἀποπαλμὸν ποιεῖ (ἐφ’ ὅποσον ἂν ἡ Περιπλοκή τὴν ἀποκατάστασιν ἐκ τῆς συγκρούσεως διδῷ). Ἀρχὴ δὲ τούτων οὐκ ἔστιν, αἰδίων τῶν ἀτόμων οὐσῶν καὶ τοῦ κενοῦ

firstly, the Nature of the void, which separates every single [atom], prepares this [atomic movement] (not being able to make [any] support) – secondly, the firmness which already exists in [the atoms] creates a rebound upon [their] mutual impact (to the extent that the Intertangling allows reestablishment from the mutual impact). a [beginning] Foundation of these things does not exist, since the atoms and the void are eternal

[Bailey] For on the one hand the nature of the void which separates each atom by itself brings this about, as it is not able to afford resistance, and on the other hand the hardness which belongs to the atoms makes them recoil after collision to as great a distance as the interlacing permits separation aner the collision. And these motions have no beginning, since the atoms and the void are the cause.

Vibration occurs because there is space between atoms in aggregates – each atom is separated by void, which cannot resist their movement. The solidity of the atom causes it to rebound after a collision (even if only a very short distance), when trapped by a mass of entangling atoms. There is no beginning to this process since both atoms and void exist forever.

ἡ στερεότης αἱ στερεότητες	firmness	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΤΗΣ condition from making stiff
ἡ κροῦσις αἱ κρούσεις	impact	ΚΡΟΥΣΙΣ hitting
ἡ σύγκρουσις αἱ συγκρούσεις	mutual impact	ΣΥΓΚΡΟΥΣΙΣ hitting together
ἡ ὑπέρεισις αἱ ὑπερέψεις	support (supportive resistance)	ΥΠΕΡΕΙΣΙΣ going under

ἡ περιπλοκή αἱ περιπλοκαί	intertangling	ΠΕΡΙΠΛΟΚΗ <i>weave around</i>
ὁ παλμός	vibration	ΠΑΛΜΟΣ <i>pulse</i>
ὁ ἀποπαλμός	rebound	ΑΠΟΠΑΛΜΟΣ <i>pulsing off</i>
<i>one step in the vibration (ὁ παλμός) process</i>		
ἡ περιπλοκή αἱ περιπλοκαί	intertangling	ΠΕΡΙΠΛΟΚΗ <i>weave around</i>
ἡ ἀποκατάστασις αἱ ἀποκαταστάσεις	reestablishment	ΑΠΟΚΑΤΑΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>placed thoroughly back</i>
<i>another step in the vibration (ὁ παλμός) process</i>		
ἀποπαλμοί καὶ ἀποκαταστάσεις	reboundings and reestablishments	<i>description of the vibration process</i>

44b. {Atomic Qualities}

{Φησὶ δ’ ἐνδοτέρω, **μηδὲ Ποιότητά τινα** περὶ τὰς ἀτόμους **εἶναι** πλὴν σχήματος καὶ μεγέθους καὶ βάρους – τὸ δὲ **Χρῶμα** παρὰ τὴν θέσιν τῶν ἀτόμων **ἀλλάττεσθαι**, ἐν ταῖς **Δώδεκα Στοιχειώσεσίν** φησι – πᾶν τε Μέγεθος μὴ εἶναι περὶ αὐτάς: οὐδέποτε, γοῦν, Ἄτομος ὥφθη **αἰσθῆσει**}

{He says within [his books], that **no Quality at all** for the atoms **exists** except shape, size, and weight – that **Color varies** with the position of the atoms, he states in the *Twelve Elementary Principles* – and that concerning them every Size does not exist: never, at least, has an Atom ever been perceived **by sensation**}

Atoms have no qualities except shape, size, and weight. Color varies with the arrangement of the atoms.

ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from [asking] what kind</i>
αἱ ποιότητες περὶ τὰς ἀτόμους	qualities of the atoms	
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
τὸ βάρος τὰ βάρη	weight	ΒΑΡΟΣ <i>heavy</i>
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>
ἡ θέσις τῶν ἀτόμων	the position of the atoms	
τὸ χρῶμα τὰ χρώματα	color	ΧΡΩΜΑ <i>skin color</i>

45a. The Basics

ἡ τοσαύτη δὴ Φωνή, τούτων πάντων μνημονευομένων, τὸν ἱκανὸν τύπον ὑποβάλλει **τῆς τῶν ὄντων φύσεως ἐπινοίαις**

such a Statement, with all these things being remembered, establishes a sufficient *[mental]* impression for objective concepts of the nature of existing things

[Bailey] These brief sayings, if all these points are borne in mind, afford a succinct outline for our understanding of the nature of existing things.

ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
--------------------	-----------	-------------------------

τὸ μνημονευόμενον τὰ μνημονευόμενα	what is remembered	ΜΝΗΜONEYOMENON <i>result of "addition to" thinking</i>
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ <i>imprinting</i>
ὁ ἰκανὸς τύπος	a sufficient imprint	
ἡ ἐπίνοια αἱ ἐπινοίαι	[objective] concept	ΕΠΙΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
τῆς τῶν ὄντων φύσεως ἐπινοίαι	conceptions about the nature of things	

45b. Similar & Dissimilar Cosmoi

ἀλλὰ μὲν, καὶ Κόσμοι ἄπειροί εἰσιν: οἳ θ’ **ὅμοιοι τούτῳ** καὶ **ἀνόμοιοι** – αἵ τε γὰρ Ἄτομοι (**ἄπειροι** οὔσαι ὡς **ἄρτι ἀπεδείχθη**) φέρονται καὶ πορρωτάτῳ

but indeed, there are also infinite Cosmoi: both those **similar to this one** and **dissimilar** – for the Atoms (being **infinite** as has **just** been **thoroughly** shown) move even further on

[Bailey] Furthermore, there are inlnite worlds both like and unlike this world of ours. For the atoms being inlnite in number, as was proved already, are borne on far out into space.

Since the number of atoms is infinite they continue to spread out into space and form infinite worlds – some worlds are like ours and other worlds are unlike ours.

ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
οἱ κόσμοι ἄπειροί	infinite cosmoi	
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
οἱ ὅμοιοι κόσμοι	similar cosmoi	
ἀνόμοιος <i>(ον)</i>	dissimilar	ΑΝΟΜΟΙΟΣ <i>not similar</i>
οἱ ἀνόμοιοι κόσμοι	dissimilar cosmoi	
ἡ ἄτομος αἱ ἄτομοι	atom	ΑΤΟΜΑ <i>not cut</i>
αἱ ἄπειροι ἄτομοι	infinite atoms	
αἱ ὅμοιαι ἄτομοι	similar atoms	
αἱ ἀνόμοιοι ἄτομοι	dissimilar atoms	

45c. Infinite Cosmoi

οὐ γὰρ κατανήλωνται αἱ τοιαῦται Ἄτομοι ἐξ ὧν ἂν γένοιτο κόσμος (ἢ ὑφ’ ὧν ἂν ποιηθείη) – οὔτ’ εἰς ἓνα οὔτ’ εἰς πεπερασμένους (οὔθ’ ὅσοι **τοιοῦτοι** οὔθ’ ὅσοι **διάφοροι τούτοις**) – ὥστε οὐδὲν τὸ Ἐμποδοστατήσόν ἐστι πρὸς τὴν ἀπειρίαν τῶν κόσμων

for such Atoms from which a cosmos could come into being (or by which it could be made) would not all have been totally expended – neither in one [cosmos] nor in a finite amount (whether on those **alike** or on those **different from these**) – so that in no way does an Obstacle exist for the infinity of cosmoi

[Bailey] For those atoms, which are of such nature that a world could be created out of them or made by them, have not been used up either on one world or on a limited number of worlds, nor again on all the worlds which are alike, or on those which are diferent from these. So that there nowhere exists an obstacle to the inlnite number of the worlds.

καταναλίσκειν	to totally expend	ΚΑΤΑΝΑΛΙΣΚΕΙΝ <i>in the state of taking thoroughly up / away</i>
καταναλωθῆναι	to be consumed	ΚΑΤΑΝΑΛΩΘΗΝΑΙ <i>in the state of being taken thoroughly up / away</i>
τὸ ἐμποδοστατήσον τὰ ἐμποδοστατήσαντα	obstacle	ΕΜΠΟΔΟΣΤΑΤΗΣΟΝ <i>standing in [the way of] foot</i>

46a. Films: A Particular Type of Impression

καὶ μὴν καὶ, Τύποι ὁμοιοσχήμονες τοῖς στερεμνίοις εἰσὶ – λεπτότησιν ἀπέχοντες μακρὰν τῶν φαινομένων: οὔτε γὰρ Συστάσεις ἀδυνατοῦσιν ἐν τῷ περιέχοντι γίνεσθαι τοιαῦται – οὔτ’ Ἐπιτηδειότητες πρὸς κατεργασίας τῶν κοιλωμάτων καὶ λεπτοτήτων γίνεσθαι – οὔτε Ἀπόρροιαὶ τὴν ἐξῆς θέσιν καὶ βάσιν διατηροῦσαι (ἦνπερ καὶ ἐν τοῖς στερεμνίοις εἶχον): τούτους δὲ τοὺς τύπους 'εἶδωλα' Προσαγορεύομεν

and indeed, impressions exist in a similar shape as solid objects – although they are far different from what is apparent in [their] subtlety: for neither are Compositions such as this unable to be produced in the surrounding [air] – nor [are] Supplies [unable] to be produced for an outflow with cavities and subtlety – nor [are] Effluences [unable to be produced] fully preserving [their] position in succession and order (as they also had in the hard objects): these are the impressions which We refer to as 'films'

[Bailey] Moreover, there are images like in shape to the solid bodies, far surpassing perceptible things in their subtlety of texture. For it is not impossible that such emanations should be formed in that which surrounds the objects, nor that there should be opportunities for the formation of such hollow and thin frames, nor that there should be effluences which preserve the respective position and order which they had before in the solid bodies: these images we call idols.

Impressions (τύποι) are emitted by objects – the flow of atoms through an object creates an imprint in the air, which travels through the air, and can make an impression upon us. Imprints that maintain the same position and order of the object that emitted them are known as films (εἶδωλα, idols, phantoms).

Air is a mix of void and atoms. Atoms continually travel through objects and are released from their surfaces into the air. This forms patterns that persist through the air. When these physical patterns encounter our bodies, they produce various sensations. When these physical patterns produce sensations with continuity, we know they correspond to their source-object.

ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ <i>imprinting</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ὁμοιοσχήμων <i>(ον)</i>	similar shape	ὍΜΟΙΟΣΧΗΜΩΝ <i>similar form</i>
ἡ στερεότης αἱ στερεότητες	firmness	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from making stiff</i>
στερεός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	firm	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΣ <i>stiff</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>

ἡ λεπτότης αἱ λεπτότητες	subtlety	ΛΕΠΤΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of being fine/thin</i>
ἀπέχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	distant / different	ΑΠΕΧΩΝ <i>holding away</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
ἀδυνατεῖν	to be unable	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΕΙΝ <i>not powerful</i>
ἡ ἐπιτηδειότης αἱ ἐπιτηδειότητες	supply	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from being suitable towards</i>
ἡ κατεργασία αἱ κατεργασίαι	outflow	ΚΑΤΕΡΓΑΣΙΑ <i>work thoroughly</i>
τὸ κοῖλωμα τὰ κοιλώματα	cavity	ΚΟΙΛΩΜΑΤΑ <i>concave</i>
ἡ ἀπόρροια αἱ ἀπόρροιαι	effluence	ΑΠΟΡΡΟΙΑΙ <i>flow off</i>
ἐξῆς	in succession	ἜΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>
ἡ βάσις αἱ βάσεις	order	ΒΑΣΙΣ <i>process of going</i>
τηρεῖν	to preserve	ΤΗΡΕΙΝ <i>protecting / watching</i>
διατηρεῖν	to fully preserve	ΔΙΑΤΗΡΕΙΝ <i>completely protecting / watching</i>
διατηρῶν <i>(οὔσα, ὦν)</i>	fully preserving	
αἱ διατηροῦσαι ἀπόρροιαι	the preserving effluences	
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>

46b. Total Speed

καὶ μὴν καὶ, ἡ διὰ τοῦ **κενοῦ** **Φορὰ** (**κατὰ** **μηδεμίαν** **ἀπάντησιν** **τῶν** **ἀντικοψόντων** **γινομένη**) **πᾶν** **μῆκος** **περιληπτὸν** **ἐν** **ἀπερινοήτῳ** **χρόνῳ** **συντελεῖ**: **βράδους** γὰρ καὶ **τάχους** Ἀντικοπή καὶ Οὐκαντικοπή **ὁμοίωμα** λαμβάνει

and indeed, the Transmission [of something] **through the void** (while happening **without any clash of something colliding against**) altogether fulfills **every completely comprehensible length in a non-completely conceivable** [amount of] **time**: for [it is] Collision and Non-collision [that] take on **a similarity to slowness and speed**

[Hicks] Furthermore, so long as nothing comes in the way to offer resistance, motion through the void accomplishes any imaginable distance in an inconceivably short time. For resistance encountered is the equivalent of slowness, its absence the equivalent of speed.

Without any resistance, movement through the void can cover massive distances in an instant.

τὸ κενόν	void	ΚΕΝΟΝ <i>emptiness</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἡ ἀπάντησις αἱ ἀπαντήσεις	clash	ΑΠΑΝΤΗΣΙΣ <i>action against all</i>
τὸ ἀντικοψόν τὰ ἀντικοψά	what collides against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΨΟΝ <i>beat against</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τό μῆκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ <i>length</i>
τὸ περιληπτόν τὰ περιληπτά	what is completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΟΝ <i>taken hold around</i>
περιληπτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΟΣ <i>taken hold around</i>
ἀπερινόητος <i>(ον)</i>	not completely conceivable	ΑΠΕΡΙΝΟΗΤΟΣ <i>not capable of seeing around</i>
τὸ νόημα τὰ νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
ἡ ἐπίνοια αἱ ἐπινοίαι	[objective] concept	ΕΠΙΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing upon</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
βραδύς <i>(εῖα, ύ)</i>	slow	ΒΡΑΔΥΣ <i>slow</i>
τό βράδος = ἡ βραδύτης αἱ βραδύτητες	slowness	ΒΡΑΔΥΤΗΣ <i>condition of being slow</i>
τὸ τάχος τὰ τάχη	speed	ΤΑΧΟΣ <i>swift</i>
ἡ ἀντικοπή αἱ ἀντικοπαί	collision [against]	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΗ <i>beating against</i>
ἀνतिकόπτειν	to collide against	
ἡ οὐκἀντικοπή αἱ οὐκἀντικοπαί	non-collision	ΟΥΚΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΗ <i>not beating against</i>
τό ὁμοίωμα τὰ ὁμοιώματα	similarity	ὍΜΟΙΩΜΑ <i>result of [being] similar</i>

47a. Apparent Instantaneity of Films

οὐ μὴν, οὐδ’ **ἅμα** κατὰ τοὺς **διὰ λόγου** θεωρητοὺς χρόνους αὐτὸ τὸ φερόμενον Σῶμα ἐπὶ τοὺς πλείους τόπους ἀφικνεῖται – **ἀδιανόητον** γάρ. καὶ Τοῦτο συναφικνούμενον ἐν αἰσθητῷ χρόνῳ ὅθεν **δήποθεν** τοῦ **ἀπείρου** – οὐκ, ἐξ οὗ ἂν Περιλάβωμεν **τὴν φορὰν, τόπου** Ἔσται **ἀφιστάμενον**: **ἀντικοπή** γὰρ **ὅμοιον** Ἔσται (κἂν **μέχρι τοσούτου τὸ τάχος** τῆς **φορᾶς** **μὴ ἀνतिकόπτον** Καταλίπωμεν) – **χρήσιμον** δὴ καὶ **τοῦτο** Κατασχεῖν **τὸ στοιχεῖον**

however, during the [minute] times observable through reasoning, a Frame [of film] that is being carried does not itself arrive at multiple locations simultaneously – for [such instantaneity] is [mentally] imperceptible. although This [frame of film] does indeed arrive as a whole in sensible time from somewhere in infinite [space] – [the frame's location] will not [really] be different from the location from which We would completely comprehend [its] transmission, *{i.e., even if a body moves so fast that it visually appears to arrive at multiple places at once, it is mentally perceivable that a body does not arrive at multiple places at once}*.

because {being in multiple locations simultaneously} will be similar to a collision (even if up to this point We leave undisputed the speed of [its] transmission as not colliding) – indeed, it is useful also to hold [in mind] this component [fact]

[Hicks] Not that if we consider the minute times perceptible by reason alone, the moving body itself arrives at more than one place simultaneously (for this too is inconceivable) – although in time perceptible to sense it does arrive simultaneously, however different the point of departure from that conceived by us. For if [this motion] changed its direction – that would be equivalent to its meeting with resistance (even if up to that point we allow nothing to impede the rate of its flight). This is an elementary fact which in itself is well worth bearing in mind.

[DeWitt] It certainly must not be thought, however, that the moving mass also arrives at the same time at the greater distances in units of time discernible only by reason, for it is unthinkable, and this [the moving mass], arriving suddenly at a perceptible moment out of the infinite [that is, out of the invisible], will be inseparable from the spot where we shall first discern the motion, for it [the fact of its becoming visible] will be equivalent to retardation, even if down to this point we leave the velocity of the motion unimpeded. It is worth while to grasp this principle too.

Past a certain rate, speeds appear to be similarly instantaneous. However, moving body does not reach more than one place at the same time – this is impossible (and impossible to imagine).

Even if a frame of film moves so fast that it visually appears to arrive at multiple places at once, it is mentally perceivable that it cannot arrive at multiple places at once. Instead, we know the frame will follow a path that is physically viable – and therefore also mentally comprehensible

A moving body cannot occupy multiple places at once when we think about time rationally. However, when considering perceptible time (observable motion), the body moves continuously from some point in the infinite and remains connected to the place from which we can understand its motion. The key point is the continuity and connectedness of the moving body with the space it moves through, making its motion comprehensible. Consider a torch that is brought into a room and fills it with light – the light seems to fill the room instantly, although really it had to fill the room in a physical sequence – nevertheless the source remains the same.

θεωρητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	observable	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>
φερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing</i>
τò σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body [here, "frame"]	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
πλείστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most / greatest	ΠΛΕΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most filling</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater [here, "multiple"]	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ό τόπος οί τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
άφικνεῖσθαι	to arrive	ΑΦΙΚΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>towards arriving</i>
τò νόημα τὰ νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
ή διάνοια αί διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>

ἀπερινόητος (ον)	not completely conceivable	ΑΠΕΡΙΝΟΗΤΟΣ <i>not capable of seeing around</i>
ἀδιανόητος (ον)	[mentally] imperceptible	ΑΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΟΣ <i>not capable of seeing through</i>
διανοητός	[mentally] perceptible	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΟΣ <i>capable of seeing through</i>
συναφικνούμενος (η, ον)	to arrive as a whole	ΣΥΝΑΦΙΚΝΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>towards arriving together</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
τὸ αἰσθητήριον τὰ αἰσθητήρια	sense-organ	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of perceiving</i>
αἰσθητός (ῆ, όν)	(A) sensible	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΟΣ <i>perceiving</i>
ἄπειρος (ον)	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
περιλαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to completely comprehend, [generally] to encompass	ΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping around</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἀφιστάμενος (η, ον)	different	ΑΦΙΣΤΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>standing away</i>
ἀντικόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beating against</i>
ἀντικόπτων (οὔσα, ον)	colliding [against]	
ἡ ἀντικοπή αἱ ἀντικοπαί	collision [against]	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΗ <i>beating against</i>
ἡ οὐκἀντικοπή αἱ οὐκἀντικοπαί	non-collision	ΟΥΚΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΗ <i>not beating against</i>
ὅμοιος (α, ον)	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
τὸ τάχος τὰ τάχη	speed	ΤΑΧΟΣ <i>swift</i>
καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly leave</i>
χρήσιμος (ον)	useful	ΧΡΗΣΙΜΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
τὸ στοιχείωμα τὰ στοιχειώματα	component [principle]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΩΜΑ <i>result of a row (in a series)</i>
τὸ στοιχεῖον τὰ στοιχεῖα	component [for facts] or, element [for things]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΟΝ <i>instance of a row (in a series)</i>

47b. Thinness of Films

εἴθ’ ὅτι τὰ Εἶδωλα ταῖς λεπτότησιν ἀνυπερβλήτοις κέχρηται Οὐθὲν ἀντιμαρτυρεῖ τῶν φαινομένων – ὅθεν καὶ τάχη ἀνυπέρβλητα ἔχει (πάντα πόρον σύμμετρον ἔχοντα πρὸς τῷ ἀπείρῳ αὐτῶν) μηθὲν ἀντικόπτειν (ἢ ὀλίγα ἀντικόπτειν: πολλαῖς δὲ καὶ ἀπείροις, εὐθύς ἀντικόπτειν τι)

accordingly, Nothing among visible things contests that Films are endowed with unsurpassable subtlety – from which [subtlety, Films] also have unsurpassable speeds (having every symmetric passageway in relation to [their] infinite nature) colliding against nothing (or colliding against a few things: for among many and infinite [atoms], [films] are immediately colliding against something)

[Bailey] Next, nothing among perceptible things contradicts the belief that the images have unsurpassable fineness of texture. And for this reason they have also unsurpassable speed of motion, since the movement of all their atoms is uniform, and besides nothing or very few things hinder their emission by collisions, whereas a body composed of many or infinite atoms is at once hindered by collisions.

The extreme subtlety of the films is not contradicted by any observed facts. Because they are so thin, they can move very quickly and easily through void spaces. Their constant flow faces little to no resistance – unlike larger groupings of atoms that encounter much more resistance to their movement.

ἡ λεπτότης αἱ λεπτότητες	subtlety	ΛΕΠΤΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of being fine/thin</i>
ἀνυπέρβλητος <i>(ov)</i>	unsurpassable	ΑΝΥΠΕΡΒΛΗΤΟΣ <i>not thrown over</i>
ἡ λεπτότης ἀνυπέρβλητος	unsurpassable subtlety	
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
τὸ τάχος τὰ τάχη	speed	ΤΑΧΟΣ <i>swift</i>
τὸ τάχος ἀνυπέρβλητον	unsurpassable speed	
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
σύνμετρος = σύμμετρος <i>(ov)</i>	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure together</i>
πᾶς πόρος σύμμετρος	every proportionate passage	
ἀντικόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beating against</i>

48a. Films Move Quickly

πρός τε τούτοις, ὅτι ἡ Γένεσις τῶν εἰδώλων ἅμα νοήματι συμβαίνει

in addition to these things, the Creation of films occurs simultaneously with conception

[Bailey] Besides this, nothing contradicts the belief that the creation of the idols takes place as quick as thought.

ἡ γένεσις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ <i>action of being born</i>
ἅμα	[adv] simultaneously, [prep] together with	ἅμα <i>at once</i>
τὸ νόημα τὰ νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
ἡ ἐπίνοια αἱ ἐπινοίαι	[objective] concept	ΕΠΙΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing upon</i>

48b. Films Stream Off Aggregates

καὶ γὰρ ῥεῦσις ἀπὸ τῶν σωμάτων τοῦ ἐπιπολῆς συνεχῆς (οὐκ ἐπίδηλος τῇ μειώσει, διὰ τὴν ἀνταναπλήρωσιν) σῶζουσα τὴν ἐπὶ τοῦ στερεμνίου θέσιν καὶ τάξιν τῶν ἀτόμων ἐπὶ πολὺν χρόνον (εἰ καὶ ἐνίστε συγχεομένη ὑπάρχει)

for the Flowing [of atoms] from the surface of objects is continuous (not noticeable by shrinking, due to [its] replenishment) preserving the position and arrangement of atoms in the solid [object] for a long time (although indeed sometimes it exists as mixed)

[Bailey] For the flow of atoms from the surface of bodies is continuous, yet it cannot be detected by any lessening in the size of the object because of the constant filling up of what is lost. The flow of images preserves for a long time the position and order of the atoms in the solid body, though it is occasionally confused.

Particles constantly stream off from the surface of objects, but we do not see those objects get smaller because other particles take their place. These particles mostly retain the same position and arrangement as when they were part of the solid body, although sometimes they are altered while traveling.

ἡ ῥεῦσις αἱ ῥεῦσεις	flowing	ῥΕΥΣΙΣ action of <i>flowing</i>
ἡ ἐπιπολή αἱ ἐπιπολαί	surface	ΕΠΙΠΟΛΗ <i>being [πέλ] upon</i>
συνεχής <i>(ές)</i>	continuous	ΣΥΝΕΧΗΣ <i>holding together</i>
ἡ συνεχής ῥεῦσις	continuous flowing	
ἐπίδηλος <i>(ον)</i>	noticeable	ΕΠΙΔΗΛΟΣ <i>shine upon</i>
ἡ μείωσις αἱ μείωσεις	shrinking	ΜΕΙΩΣΙΣ action of <i>reducing</i>
ἡ ἀνταναπλήρωσις αἱ ἀνταναπλήρωσεις	replenishment	ΑΝΤΑΝΑΠΛΗΡΩΣΙΣ action of <i>filling</i> <i>again in place of</i>
σώζων <i>(σώζουσα, σώζον)</i>	preserving	ΣΩΙΖΩΝ <i>saving</i>
ἡ σώζουσα ῥεῦσις	preservative flowing	
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>placing</i>
ἡ τάξις αἱ τάξεις	arrangement	ΤΑΞΙΣ <i>ordered</i>
ἡ θέσις καὶ τάξις	position and arrangement	
συγχεόμενος <i>(μένη, μενον)</i>	mixed	ΣΥΓΧΕΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>together mixed</i>
ἡ συγχεομένη ῥεῦσις	mixed flowing	

48c. Formation of Films

καὶ Συστάσεις ἐν τῷ περιέχοντι ὀξεῖαι διὰ τὸ μὴ δεῖν κατὰ βάθος τὸ συμπλήρωμα γίνεσθαι (καὶ ἄλλοι δὲ Τρόποι τινὲς γεννητικοὶ τῶν τοιούτων φύσεων εἰσίν)

and swift Compositions [of films] are produced in the surrounding environment because there is no need for deeply filling all up (and there are also some other Ways that are generative of such natures)

[Bailey] Moreover, compound idols are quickly formed in the air around, because it is not necessary for their substance to be filled in deep inside: and besides there are certain other methods in which existences of this sort are produced.

Films form very quickly in the air because they are created by streaming or reflecting off the surface of objects. Additionally, films can also be formed by passing through the entire object.

ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
τὸ περιέχον τὰ περιέχοντα	surrounding environment	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΟΝ <i>hold around</i>

ὀξύς <i>(ὀξεῖ, ὀξύ)</i>	<i>[of objects]</i> swift, <i>[of people]</i> keen	ΟΞΥΣ <i>sharp</i>
τὸ συμπλήρωμα τὰ συμπληρώματα	filling all up	ΣΥΜΠΛΗΡΩΜΑ <i>filling together</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>

48d. Film Correspondence

Οὐθὲν γὰρ τούτων ἀντιμαρτυρεῖται ^[A] ταῖς αἰσθήσεσιν: ἂν βλέπη Τίς τινα τρόπον ^[E] τὰς ἐναργείας (ἵνα καὶ τὰς συμπαθείας ἀπὸ τῶν ἔξωθεν πρὸς ἡμᾶς) ἀνοίσει

None of these things is contested ^[A] by the sensations: if Someone sees the certain way [sensation] will bring up ^[E] detectible realities (and so also the correspondences from external sources towards us)

[Bailey] For not one of these beliefs is contradicted by our sensations, if one looks to see in what way sensation will bring us the clear visions from external objects, and in what way again the corresponding sequences of qualities and movements.

Films preserve a general correspondence between objects and the observer – and it is through a continuity of films that we develop confidence in their accurate correspondence with their source-object.

ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	to contest	ANTIMARTYPEIN <i>testifying against</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρόμενος <i>(α, ον)</i>	contested	
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
ἐναργής <i>(ές)</i>	detectible	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΣ <i>within clear</i>
τὸ ἐνάργημα τὰ ἐναργήματα	^[E] detectible fact	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of being within clear</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[E] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
ἡ συμπάθεια αἱ συμπάθειαι	correspondence	ΣΥΜΠΑΘΕΙΑ <i>experience together</i>
τὸ περιέχον τὰ περιέχοντα	surrounding environment	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΟΝ <i>hold around</i>
τὸ ἔξωθεν τὰ ἔξωθεν	an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
ἀνοίσειν	to bring up <i>(in the future)</i>	ΑΝΟΙΣΕΙΝ <i>[will carry] up</i>

49a. Basis Of Sight & Thought

Δεῖ δὲ καὶ νομίζειν – ἐπεισιόντος τινὸς ἀπὸ τῶν ἔξωθεν – τὰς μορφὰς ὁρᾶν Ἡμᾶς καὶ διανοεῖσθαι

It is also necessary to think that – by something entering [us] from external sources – We see and [mentally] perceive [their] shapes

[Bailey] Now we must suppose too that it is when something enters us from external objects that we not only see but think of their shapes.

We are able to see and think about objects and their qualities when films streaming off of external objects contact and enter our bodies.

νομίζεῖν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>
ἡ μορφή αἱ μορφαί	shape	ΜΟΡΦΗ <i>form</i>

49b. Penetrative Impressions

οὐ γὰρ ἂν ἐναποσφραγίσαιτο τὰ Ἔξω τὴν ἑαυτῶν φύσιν τοῦ τε χρώματος καὶ τῆς μορφῆς διὰ τοῦ ἀέρος τοῦ μεταξύ ἡμῶν τε κάκείνων – οὐδὲ διὰ τῶν ἀκτίνων, ἢ ὠνδήποτε ῥευμάτων ἀφ’ ἡμῶν πρὸς ἐκεῖνα παραγινομένων, οὕτως ὡς τύπων τινῶν ἐπεισιόντων ἡμῖν ἀπὸ τῶν πραγμάτων: ὁμοχρόων τε καὶ ὁμοιομόρφων κατὰ τὸ ἐναρμόττον μέγεθος εἰς τὴν ὄψιν ἢ τὴν διάνοιαν, ὡκέως ταῖς φοραῖς χρωμένων

for external Objects would not imprint inside [us] their own nature of both color and shape through the air that is between us and them – either through rays, or through flows of any kind originating from us to them, nearly so well as with certain impressions entering into us from the situation: [impressions] of the same color and similarly formed [entering us] according to the size that fits into [our] vision or [mental] perception, while quickly using their transmissions

[Bailey] For external objects could not make on us an impression of the nature of their own colour and shape by means of the air which lies between us and them, nor again by means of the rays or effluences of any sort which pass from us to them – nearly so well as if models, similar in color and shape, leave the objects and enter according to their respective size either into our sight or into our mind;

External things cannot stamp an impression of their color and shape on us through the air between them merely through rays or flows. Instead, we see and think when actual films from the objects themselves, which match the color and shape of the source-object, enter our senses.

ἐναποσφραγίζειν	to imprint inside	ΕΝΑΠΟΣΦΡΑΓΙΖΕΙΝ <i>seal away within</i>
τὸ ἔξωθεν τὰ ἔξωθεν	what is external	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
ἔξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
τὰ ἔξω	external objects	ΕΞΩ <i>outside</i>
ἡ ἀκτίς αἱ ἀκτῖνες	ray	ΑΚΤΙΣ <i>beam</i>
τὸ χρῶμα τὰ χρώματα	color	ΧΡΩΜΑ <i>skin color</i>
ἡ μορφή αἱ μορφαί	shape	ΜΟΡΦΗ <i>form</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
ἡ ῥεῦσις αἱ ῥεῦσεις	flowing	ῬΕΥΣΙΣ <i>action of flowing</i>
τὸ ῥεῦμα τὰ ῥεύματα	flow	ῬΕΥΜΑ <i>result of flowing</i>
παραγινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	originating from	ΠΑΡΑΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>come into being alongside</i>
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ <i>imprinting</i>

ἐπεισιών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	entering into	ΕΠΕΙΣΙΩΝ <i>going upon</i>
ή πραγματεία αί πραγματεῖαι	effort	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΕΙΑ <i>state of the result of doing / affair</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ὁμόχρους <i>(ον)</i>	same color	ὍΜΟΧΡΟΟΣ <i>same color</i>
ὁμοιόμορφος <i>(ον)</i>	similarly formed	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΟΡΦΟΣ <i>similar form</i>
ἐναρμόττων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	fitting into	ΕΝΑΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ <i>adapting into</i>
ή ὄψις αἱ ὄψεις	vision	ΟΨΙΣ <i>eye</i>
ή διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ὠκέως	quickly	ΩΚΕΩΣ <i>quick</i>
ή φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>

50a. Proportional Impact

εἴτα, διὰ ταύτην τὴν αἰτίαν, τοῦ ἑνὸς καὶ συνεχοῦς τὴν φαντασίαν ἀποδιδόντων – καὶ τὴν συμπάθειαν ἀπὸ τοῦ ὑποκειμένου σωζόντων – κατὰ τὸν ἐκεῖθεν σύμμετρον ἐπερισμόν, ἐκ τῆς κατὰ βάθος ἐν τῷ στερεμνίῳ τῶν ἀτόμων πάλσεως

accordingly, because of this, while the [Transmissions] are demonstrating the appearance of a single continuous thing – they are also preserving the correspondence [of qualities] from the original source – according to the symmetric impingement [of the transmissions upon us] from that location, [coming] out of the vibration of the atoms deep in that solid object

[Bailey] moving along swiftly, and so by this means reproducing the image of a single continuous thing and preserving the corresponding sequence of qualities and movements from the original object as the result of their uniform contact with us, kept up by the vibration of the atoms deep in the interior of the concrete body.

Films create a continuous impression because they maintain a connection with their original object. Films move faster than the speed of sight: because these films move very fast, they look like a single continuous object and stay connected to their original object. This happens in part because the atoms inside solid object are constantly vibrating.

ή αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ή φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ὁ φαντασμός οἱ φαντασμοί	what appears	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΟΣ <i>process of appearing</i>
ἀποδιδούς <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i> ἀποδιδόντες	demonstrating	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΥΣ <i>giving away</i>
ή συμπάθεια αἱ συμπάθειαι	correspondence	ΣΥΜΠΑΘΕΙΑ <i>experience together</i>
τὸ ὑποκείμενον τὰ ὑποκείμενα	original source	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of situated under</i>
ἐκεῖθεν	from that location <i>(thence)</i>	ΕΚΕΙΘΕΝ <i>from over there</i>

σύνμετρος = σύμμετρος <i>(ον)</i>	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure together</i>
ὁ ἐπερισμός	impingement	ΕΠΕΡΙΣΜΟΣ <i>action pushing against</i>
ὁ σύμμετρος ἐπερισμός	proportionate impingement	
ὁ παλμός οἱ παλμοί	vibration	ΠΑΛΜΟΣ <i>pulse</i>

50b. Film Accuracy

καὶ ἦν ἂν λάβωμεν **φαντασίαν** ἐπιβλητικῶς **τῇ διανοίᾳ** ἢ τοῖς αἰσθητηρίοις (**εἴτε μορφῆς** **εἴτε συμβεβηκότων**) – Μορφή ἐστὶν αὕτη τοῦ στερεμνίου, γινομένη κατὰ τὸ **ἐξῆς** **πύκνωμα** ἢ **ἐγκατάλειμμα τοῦ εἰδώλου**

and **that appearance** We receive in an objectively focusing way **with [our mental] perception** or **with [our] sense-organs** (whether **of shape** or **of properties**) – is itself the Form of the hard object, coming about **from concentration [of the film] in succession** or **[mere] residue of the film**

[Bailey] And every image which we obtain by an act of apprehension on the part of the mind or of the sense-organs, whether of shape or of properties, this image is the shape or the properties of the concrete object, and is produced by the constant repetition of the image or the impression it has left.

We accurately perceive the impressions of films. This is true both for concentrations of films and mere residues of films.

ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ἐπιβλητικῶς	in an objectively focusing way	ΕΠΙΒΛΗΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of being thrown upon</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
τὸ αἰσθητήριον τὰ αἰσθητήρια	sense-organ	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of perceiving</i>
ἡ μορφή αἱ μορφαί	shape	ΜΟΡΦΗ <i>form</i>
ἡ μορφῆς φαντασία	the appearance of form	<i>i.e., an image</i>
τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	<i>"that which has endured"</i> property <i>(inseparable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
ἡ συμβεβηκότων φαντασία	appearance of properties	
ἐξῆς	in succession	ἙΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
ἡ πύκνωσις αἱ πυκνώσεις	a concentrating	ΠΥΚΝΩΣΙΣ <i>action of compacting</i>
τὸ πύκνωμα τὰ πυκνώματα	a concentration	ΠΥΚΝΩΜΑ <i>the result of compacting</i>
τὸ ἐξῆς πύκνωμα τοῦ εἰδώλου	concentration of the film in succession	
τὸ ἐγκατάλειμμα τὰ ἐγκαταλείμματα	[mere] residue	ΕΓΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΜΜΑ <i>the result of fully thoroughly leaving behind</i>

τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
τὸ ἐγκατάλειμμα τοῦ εἰδώλου	the residue of the film	
στερεός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	firm	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΣ <i>stiff</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
ἡ τοῦ στερεμνίου μορφή	the form of the hard [object]	

50c. Non-Attestation & Contestation

τὸ δὲ Ψεῦδος καὶ τὸ Διημαρτημένον ^[Δ+] ἐν τῷ προσδοξαζομένῳ αἰεὶ ἐστὶν <ἐπὶ τοῦ προσμένοντος> ^{M} ἐπιμαρτυρηθήσεσθαι ἢ ^{-W} μὴ ἀντιμαρτυρηθήσεσθαι – εἴτ’ ^{-M} οὐκ ἐπιμαρτυρουμένου <ἢ ^{W} ἀντιμαρτυρουμένου>

^[-α] What is false and What is thoroughly mistaken always exist ^[Δ+] in an addition of judgment <regarding what is still pending> ^{M} to be attested or ^{-W} not to be contested – and subsequently is ^{-M} not attested <or ^{W} is contested>

[Bailey] Now falsehood and error always lie in the addition of opinion with regard to what is waiting to be confirmed or not contradicted, and then is not confirmed or is contradicted.

Errors and miscalculations do not come from sensation, but from judgment. When we affirm facts that need to be checked – and then these facts are later proven wrong or contradicted.

τὸ ψεῦδος τὰ ψεύδη	^[-α] what is false	ΨΕΥΔΟΣ <i>falsehood / lie</i>
τὸ διημαρτημένον τὰ διημαρτημένα	what is thoroughly mistaken	ΔΙΗΜΑΡΤΗΜΕΝΟΝ <i>fully missing the mark</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
τὸ προσδοξαζόμενον τὰ προσδοξαζόμενα	^[Δ+] an addition of judgement ^[i.e., "a theory"]	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of addition to seeming</i>
προσμένων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	still pending	ΠΡΟΣΜΕΝΩΝ <i>remaining further</i>
τὸ προσμένον τὰ προσμένοντα	^[Ο] what is still pending <i>[further attestation]</i>	ΤΟ ΠΡΟΣΜΕΝΟΝ <i>remaining further</i>
ἐπιμαρτυρεῖν	to attest	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying upon</i>
τὸ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον τὰ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενα	^[M] what is attested	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of testifying upon</i>
ἐπιμαρτυρηθήσεσθαι	^{M} to be attested <i>(in the future)</i>	
τὸ οὐκ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον	^[-M] what is not attested	
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρηθήσεσθαι	^{W} to be contested <i>(in the future)</i>	
τὸ ἀντιμαρτυρούμενον τὰ ἀντιμαρτυρούμενα	^[W] what is contested	

51a. Image Similarity

ἢ τε γὰρ Ὅμοιότης τῶν φαντασμῶν (οἶονεὶ ἐν εἰκόνι λαμβανομένων ἢ καθ’ ὕπνους γινομένων ἢ κατ’ ἄλλας τινὰς ἐπιβολὰς τῆς διανοίας ἢ τῶν λοιπῶν κριτηρίων) οὐκ ἂν ποτε ὑπῆρχε τοῖς 'οὔσί' τε καὶ 'ἀληθέσι' προσαγορευόμενοις – εἰ μὴ ἦν τινα καὶ Τοιαῦτα πρὸς ᾗ Παραβάλλομεν

in fact, the Similarity of what appears (whether comprehend in an image or occurring through sleep or through certain other attentions of [mental] perception or of the rest of the criteria) would never at anytime occur with the things that are referred to as 'existing' and 'true' – unless those very [films] to which We compare also existed

[Bailey] For the similarity between the things which exist, which we call real and the images received as a likeness of things and produced either in sleep or through some other acts of apprehension on the part of the mind or the other instruments of judgment, could never be, unless there were some effluences of this nature actually brought into contact with our senses.

We know things exist because we sense them. Our thoughts and dreams come from impressions of existing objects. The impressions that we see (or dream or imagine) would never look like real and true things unless we had contact with actual objects. The similarity of mental impressions to real and existing things would never arise at any time unless we had those real things for direct comparison.

ἡ ὁμοιότης αἱ ὁμοιότητες	similarity	ὍΜΟΙΟΤΗΣ condition of being similar
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ state of appearing
ὁ φαντασμός οἱ φαντασμοί	what appears	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΟΣ process of appearing
λαμβάνόμενος (η, ον)	comprehended	ΛΑΜΒΑΟΜΕΝΟΣ grasping
ἡ εἰκὼν αἱ εἰκόνες	image	ΕΙΚΩΝ portraying
ὁ ὕπνος οἱ ὕπνοι	sleep	ὙΠΝΟΣ sleep
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ seeing through
τινες ἐπιβολαί τῆς διανοίας	some attentions to perception	
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	criterion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ instrument of dividing
τινες ἐπιβολαί τῶν λοιπῶν κριτηρίων	some attentions of the other standards	
ἀληθής (ές)	(α) true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ not concealed
προσαγορευόμενος (η, ον)	being referred to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΟΜΕΝΟΣ speaking publicly toward
παραβάλλειν	to compare	ΠΑΡΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ throwing in across

51b. Logical Additions

τὸ δὲ Διημαρτημένον οὐκ ἂν ὑπῆρχεν εἰ μὴ Ἐλαμβάνομεν καὶ ἄλλην τινὰ κίνησιν ἐν ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς – συνημμένην μὲν τῇ φανταστικῇ ἐπιβολῇ – διάληψιν δὲ ἔχουσαν {καθ’ ἣν τὸ Ψεῦδος γίνεται}

What is thoroughly mistaken would not exist if We did not also comprehend a certain other movement in us ourselves – connected to our appearance-based attention – but having a differentiation {through which Error is produced}

[Bailey] And error would not exist unless another kind of movement too were produced inside ourselves, closely linked to the apprehension of images, but differing from it;

Mental impressions are necessary for thinking. Mistakes happen because we feel another kind of movement inside us (thinking) that is linked to, but different from, the impressions we receive from external sources.

τὸ διημαρτημένον τὰ διημαρτημένα	what is thoroughly mistaken	ΔΙΗΜΑΡΤΗΜΕΝΟΝ <i>fully missing the mark</i>
τὸ κίνημα τὰ κινήματα	[specific] movement	ΚΙΝΗΜΑ <i>result of moving</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
τις κίνησις ἐν ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς	some movement within us ourselves	
συνημμένος (α, ον)	connected	ΣΥΝΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>joined together</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασῖαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ὁ φαντασμός οἱ φαντασμοί	what appears	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΟΣ <i>process of appearing</i>
φανταστικός (ή, όν)	appearance- based	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of appearing</i>
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἡ φανταστική ἐπιβολή	our appearance- based attention	
ἡ διάληψις αἱ διαλήψεις	differentiation	ΔΙΑΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold separation</i>

51c. Attestation & Non-Contestation

κατὰ δὲ ταύτην, ἐὰν μὲν ^[-M] μὴ ἐπιμαρτυρηθῇ ἢ ^[W] ἀντιμαρτυρηθῇ, τὸ Ψεῦδος γίνεται – ἐὰν δὲ ^[M] ἐπιμαρτυρηθῇ ἢ ^[-W] μὴ ἀντιμαρτυρηθῇ, τὸ Ἀληθές

and regarding this [mental activity of judgment in us], if it ^[-M] is not attested or ^[W] is contested, Falsity is produced – but if it ^[M] is attested or ^[-W] is non contested, Truth [is produced]

[Bailey] and it is owing to this, supposing it is not confirmed, or is contradicted, that falsehood arises; but if it is confirmed or not contradicted, it is true.

^[Δ] Opinions about ^[Α] perceptions can be ^(-α) false, but ^[Α] the perceptions themselves are always true ^(α) – they must be ^(α) real because they physically affect us. However, we need to ^[Δ] think about and judge these "honest" ^[Α] reports of our sensations to figure out the extent that they do, in fact, accurately correspond to ^[Θ] external objects and circumstances.

A ^[Δα] true opinion is established by the full correspondence of that ^[Δ] opinion to ^[Θ] external objects and their circumstances. If our ^[Δ] opinion is ^(-M) not attested by evidence or is ^{W} contested by evidence, it is ^(-α) false; but if our opinion is ^{M} attested by evidence or at least is ^(-W) not contested by evidence, ^(α) it is true.

An example of something ^(-W) not contested by evidence is the existence of extraterrestrial life – which is rightfully considered ^(α) to exist, despite ^{M} not being attested by ^[Θ] direct evidence.

52a. Basis In Reality

καὶ ταύτην οὖν σφόδρα γε δεῖ τὴν δόξαν Κατέχειν – ἵνα μήτε τὰ Κριτήρια ἀναιρῇται τὰ κατὰ τὰς ἐναργείας – μήτε τὸ Διημαρτημένον, ὁμοίως βεβαιούμενον, πάντα συνταράττη

firmly Maintaining this judgment is indeed very necessary – so that the criteria according to ^[Ε] detectible reality are not confuted – and What is thoroughly mistaken would not, while being similarly affirmed, confuse everything

[Bailey] Therefore we must do our best to keep this doctrine in mind, in order that on the one hand the standards of judgment dependent on the clear visions may not be undermined, and on the other error may not be as firmly established as truth and so throw all into confusion.

Ideas not based on sensation have no basis in reality. We must avoid rejecting the clear evidence of our senses and we must reject thoughts not based in our sense – otherwise we will confuse reality with our own ideas.

σφόδρα	very	ΣΦΟΔΡΑ <i>vehement</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
κατέχειν	to firmly hold	ΚΑΤΕΧΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly holding</i>
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	<i>crit</i> erion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of dividing</i>
ἀναιρεῖν	to confute	ΑΝΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping back</i>
ἀναιρεῖσθαι	to be confuted	
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[E] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
τὸ διημαρτημένον τὰ διημαρτημένα	what is thoroughly mistaken	ΔΙΗΜΑΡΤΗΜΕΝΟΝ <i>fully missing the mark</i>
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
βεβαιούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>firm standing</i>
συνταράττειν	to confuse	ΣΥΝΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating together</i>

52b. Origin of Sound

ἀλλὰ μὲν καὶ, τὸ Ἀκούειν γίνεται **ρεύματος φερομένου ἀπὸ τοῦ φωνούντος ἢ ἡχοούντος ἢ ψοφούντος ἢ ὅπωςδήποτε ἀκουστικὸν πάθος παρασκευάζοντος**

but indeed, Hearing is produced **because a flow is carried** off of what is **speaking** or **echoing** or **making noise** or in any way producing **an auditory experience**

[Bailey] Moreover, hearing, too, results when a current is carried o from the object speaking or sounding or making a noise, or causing in any other way a sensation of hearing.

Sound is a current perpetuated through air. Hearing happens when a flow of sound travels from an object to us.

ἀκούειν	to hear	ΑΚΟΥΕΙΝ <i>listening</i>
τὸ ἀκούειν	hearing	
τὸ ρεῦμα τὰ ρεύματα	flow	ῚΡΕΥΜΑ <i>result of flowing</i>
φερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing</i>
φωνῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	speaking	ΦΩΝΩΝ <i>speaking</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
ἡχῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	echoing	ΗΧΩΝ <i>sound</i>
ψοφῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	making noise	ΨΟΦΩΝ <i>noise</i>

ὅπωςδήποτε	in any way	ὍΠΩΣΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite</i> <i>in what way</i>
ἀκουστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	auditory	ΑΚΟΥΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>hearing</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>

52c. Sound Waves

τὸ δὲ Ρεῦμα τοῦτο εἰς ὁμοιομερεῖς ὄγκους διασπείρεται, ἅμα ^[1] τινὰ διασώζοντας συμπάθειαν πρὸς ἀλλήλους καὶ ^[2] ἐνότητα ιδιότροπον, διατείνουσαν πρὸς τὸ ἀποστεῖλαν καὶ τὴν ἐπαίσθησιν τὴν ἐπ’ ἐκείνου ὡς τὰ πολλὰ ποιοῦσαν – εἰ δὲ μή γε, τὸ ἔξωθεν μόνον ἔνδηλον παρασκευάζουσαν

this Flow is dispersed into homoioméric [*i.e., similarly composed*] particles, which simultaneously preserves ^[1] a certain correspondence with one another and ^[2] a particular type of unity, which extends [back] towards the object that sent [the flow of sound] and [this particular unity] usually makes the focused sensation [of specific sounds] in that [recipient of the flow] – otherwise, it only makes [the presence of] an external source fully clear

^[Bailey] Now this current is split up into particles, each like the whole, which at the same time preserve a correspondence of qualities with one another and a unity of character which stretches right back to the object which emitted the sound: this unity it is which in most cases produces comprehension in the recipient, or, if not, merely makes manifest the presence of the external object.

Sound waves are composed of particles. Currents of sound break into tiny particles that have a direct connection with the object that produced the sound. This connection allows us to understand the source of the sound or at least allows us to understand that there is something, external to us, that is making a sound.

τὸ ρεῦμα τὰ ρεύματα	flow	ῚΡΕΥΜΑ <i>result of flowing</i>
ἡ ὁμοιομέρεια αἱ ὁμοιομέρειαι	homoeomeria	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΕΡΕΙΑ <i>state of</i> <i>similar parts</i>
ὁμοιομερής <i>(ές)</i>	"similarly composed" homoiomeric	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΕΡΗΣ <i>similar parts</i>
ὁ ὄγκος οἱ ὄγκοι	particle	ΟΓΚΟΣ <i>bulk</i>
διασπείρειν	to disperse	ΔΙΑΣΠΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>scatter through</i>
διασπείρεσθαι	to be dispersed	
διασώζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	preserving	ΔΙΑΣΩΙΖΩΝ <i>fully saving</i>
ἡ συμπάθεια αἱ συμπάθειαι	correspondence	ΣΥΜΠΑΘΕΙΑ <i>experience together</i>
ἡ ἐνότης αἱ ἐνότητες	unity	ΕΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from</i> <i>oneness</i>
ιδιότροπος <i>(ον)</i>	particular type of	ΙΔΙΟΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>particular way</i>
διατείνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	extending	ΔΙΑΤΕΙΝΩΝ <i>stretching across</i>
ἀποστέλλειν	to send away	ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready away</i>
ἀποστεῖλας <i>(α, αν)</i>	having sent away	
τὸ ἀποστεῖλαν τὰ ἀποστείλαντα	the object that sent	ΑΠΟΣΤΕΙΛΑΝ <i>result of</i> <i>sending away</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[Α] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>

ἡ ἐπαίσθησις αἱ ἐπαίσθησεις	focused sensation	ΕΠΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>upon perceiving</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
τὸ αἰσθητήριον τὰ αἰσθητήρια	sense-organ	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of perceiving</i>
ποιῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	making	ΠΟΙΩΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἔξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
τὸ ἔξωθεν τὰ ἔξωθεν	an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
ἔνδηλος <i>(ον)</i>	^(θ) fully clear	ΕΝΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear within</i>
παρασκευάζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	providing [here, "makes"]	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΩΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>

53a. Direct Correspondence

ἄνευ γὰρ ἀναφερομένης τινὸς ἐκεῖθεν συμπαθείας οὐκ ἂν γένοιτο ἡ τοιαύτη Ἐπαίσθησις

for, without some correspondence being carried back from that location [that made the sound], a focused Sensation such as this would not occur

[Bailey] For without the transference from the object of some correspondence of qualities, comprehension of this nature could not result.

Hearing is based on material transmission from object to observer. Without the transmission of a certain connection between the parts from the object, we could not have the sensation of hearing.

ἀναφερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried back	ΑΝΑΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing back</i>
ἐκεῖθεν	from that location <i>(thence)</i>	ΕΚΕΙΘΕΝ <i>from over there</i>
ἡ συμπάθεια αἱ συμπάθειαι	correspondence	ΣΥΜΠΑΘΕΙΑ <i>experience together</i>
ἡ ἐπαίσθησις αἱ ἐπαίσθησεις	focused sensation	ΕΠΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>upon perceiving</i>

53b. Mechanics of Sound

οὐκ αὐτὸν οὖν Δεῖ νομίζειν τὸν Ἀέρα ὑπὸ τῆς προιεμένης φωνῆς ἢ καὶ τῶν ὁμογενῶν σχηματίζεσθαι – πολλὴν γὰρ ἔνδειαν ἔξει Τοῦτο πάσχων ὑπ’ ἐκείνης – ἀλλ’ εὐθύς τὴν γινομένην πληγὴν ἐν ἡμῖν (ὅταν φωνὴν Ἀφίωμεν) τοιαύτην ἔκθλιψιν ὄγκων τινῶν ρεύματος πνευματώδους ἀποτελεστικῶν ποιεῖσθαι (Ἡ τὸ πάθος τὸ ἀκουστικὸν ἡμῖν παρασκευάζει)

therefore, It is not necessary to think that the air itself is shaped by an emitted statement and [sounds] of the same kind – for this [air] will have a great lack of being affected by that [sound] – but [It is necessary to consider that] the strike produced directly inside us (when We emit a statement) makes such a squeezing out of certain particles that produce a wind-like flow (Which produces an auditory experience in us)

[Bailey] We must not then suppose that the actual air is molded into shape by the voice which is emitted or by other similar sounds – for it will be very far from being so acted upon by it – but that the blow which takes place inside us, when we emit our voice, causes at once a squeezing out of certain particles, which produce a stream of breath, of such a character as to afford us the sensation of hearing.

The void can never affect anything and the void cannot be affected by anything in any way. Air, which is a mixture of atoms and void, can affect and be affected. Nevertheless, we should not think that the air itself is shaped by the voice or sound. Instead, when we make a sound, we make a movement of particles that flow in current. This current producing the sensation of hearing when it contacts an organ that can hear.

νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	AHP <i>morning mist</i>
προιέμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	emitted	ΠΡΟΙΕΜΕΝΟΣ <i>throw before</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
ὁμογενής <i>(ές)</i>	of the same kind	ὍΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ <i>same born</i>
σχηματίζειν	to assume a shape	ΣΧΗΜΑΤΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>forming</i>
σχηματίζεσθαι	to be shaped	
ἡ ἔνδεια αἱ ἔνδειαί	lack	ΕΝΔΕΙΑ <i>deficiency</i>
πάσχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	affected [by]	ΠΑΣΧΩΝ <i>suffering</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἴα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΟΥΣ ΕΥΟΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
ἡ πληγή αἱ πληγαί	strike	ΠΛΗΓΗ <i>to hit</i>
ἀφιέναι	to emit	ΑΦΙΕΝΑΙ <i>send away</i>
ἡ θλίψις αἱ θλίψεις	a crushing	ΘΛΙΨΙΣ <i>process of squeezing</i>
ἡ ἔκθλιψις αἱ ἔκθλιψεις	a squeezing out	ΕΚΘΛΙΨΙΣ <i>process of squeezing out</i>
ὁ ὄγκος οἱ ὄγκοι	particle	ΟΓΚΟΣ <i>bulk</i>
τὸ ῥεῦμα τὰ ῥεύματα	flow	ῚΡΕΥΜΑ <i>result of flowing</i>
πνευματώδης <i>(ες)</i>	wind-like	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΩΔΗΣ <i>quality of result of breathing</i>
ἀποτελεστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive [here, "that produce"]	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of completing out</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἀκουστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	auditory	ΑΚΟΥΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of hearing</i>

53c. Smell

καὶ μὴν καὶ, **τὴν ὁσμὴν** Νομιστέον, ὥσπερ καὶ **τὴν ἀκοήν**, οὐκ ἂν ποτε οὐθὲν **πάθος ἐργάσασθαι**, εἰ μὴ Ὅγκοι τινὲς ἦσαν **ἀπὸ τοῦ πράγματος ἀποφερόμενοι**, **σύμμετροι** πρὸς τοῦτο τὸ **αἰσθητήριον** κινεῖν: οἱ μὲν Τοῖοι **τεταραγμένως** καὶ **ἀλλοτρίως** – οἱ δὲ Τοῖοι **ἀταράχως** καὶ **οἰκείως** ἔχοντες

and indeed, It is necessary to think that **smell**, like **sound**, **would never produce any experience** unless certain Particles existed **that are carried away** from those situations, which are **symmetric [in proportion]** for moving **that sense-organ**: [*and according to their proportions*] Some [*particles move the sense organ*] **with disturbance** and **in a foreign way** – while Others do so **without disturbance** and **compatibly**

[Bailey] Furthermore, we must suppose that smell too, just like hearing, could never bring about any sensation, unless there were certain particles carried o from the object of suitable size to stir this sense-organ, some of them in a manner disorderly and alien to it, others in a regular manner and akin in nature.

Smell, like sound, would not produce any sensation for us, if particles from their source-objects did not reach our nose or ears. Some particles feel strange or disruptive (when they contact a part of our body that can sense the contact), while other particles are calming and comforting (when they contact).

ἡ ὀσμή αἱ ὀσμαί	smell	ΟΣΜΗ <i>odor</i>
ἡ ἀκοή αἱ ἀκοαί	sound	ΑΚΟΗ <i>hearing</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἐργάζεσθαι	to be working	ΕΡΓΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>work</i>
ἐργάσασθαι	to accomplish	
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
ἀποφερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried away	ΑΠΟΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing out</i>
ὁ ὄγκος οἱ ὄγκοι	particle	ΟΓΚΟΣ <i>bulk</i>
σύμμετρος = σύμμετρος <i>(ον)</i>	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure together</i>
τὸ αἰσθητήριον τὰ αἰσθητήρια	sense-organ	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of</i> <i>perceiving</i>
τεταραγμένως	with disturbance	ΤΕΤΑΡΑΓΜΕΝΩΣ <i>having been</i> <i>agitated</i>
ἄλλότριος <i>(ία, ιον)</i>	foreign	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΟΣ <i>different other</i>
ἄλλοτρίως	in a foreign way	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΩΣ <i>different other</i>
ἡ ταραχή αἱ ταραχαί	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΗ <i>agitating</i>
ἡ ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ἀτάραχος = ἀτάρακτος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undisturbed	ΑΤΑΡΑΧΟΣ ΑΤΑΡΑΚΤΟΣ <i>without agitating</i>
ἀταράχως	without disturbance	ΑΤΑΡΑΧΩΣ <i>without agitating</i>
οἰκείως	compatibly	ΟΙΚΕΙΩΣ <i>appropriate</i>

54a. Shape, Size, And Weight

καὶ μὴν καὶ, **τὰς Ἀτόμους** Νομιστέον **μηδεμίαν ποιότητα τῶν φαινομένων προσφέρεσθαι** πλὴν **σχήματος** καὶ **βάρους** καὶ **μεγέθους** (καὶ Ὅσα ἐξ ἀνάγκης **σχήματος συμφυῇ** ἐστὶ)

and indeed, It is not necessary to think that the Atoms bring forward any qualities of what is apparent except shape, weight, size (and However many [qualities] that are necessarily inherent to shape)

[Bailey] Moreover, we must suppose that the atoms do not possess any of the qualities belonging to perceptible things, except shape, weight, and size, and all that necessarily goes with shape.

Atoms do not have any of the qualities except shape, weight, and size, and the properties that come with shape.

ἄτομος <i>(ον)</i>	indivisible	ATOMA <i>not cut</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ATOMON <i>not cut</i>
ἡ ἄτομος αἱ ἄτομοι	atom	ATOMOS <i>not cut</i>
ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from</i> <i>[asking] what</i> <i>kind</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
προσφέρεσθαι	to bring forward <i>[for oneself]</i>	ΠΡΟΣΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing as well</i>
πλὴν	besides	
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
τὸ βάρος τὰ βάρη	weight	ΒΑΡΟΣ <i>heavy</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	<i>[natural]</i> necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against</i> <i>constraint</i>
ἐξ ἀνάγκης	necessarily	
συμφυῆς <i>(ές)</i>	inherent	ΣΥΜΦΥΗΣ <i>growing</i> <i>together</i>

54b. Dissolutions of Compounds

Ποιότης γὰρ πᾶσα μεταβάλλει – αἱ δὲ Ἄτομοι οὐδὲν μεταβάλλουσιν: ἐπειδήπερ, δεῖ Τι ὑπομένειν ἐν ταῖς διαλύσεσι τῶν συγκρίσεων στερεὸν καὶ ἀδιάλυτον (Ὁ τὰς μεταβολὰς – οὐκ εἰς τὸ μὴ ὄν ποιήσεται οὐδ’ ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὄντος – ἀλλὰ κατὰ μεταθέσεις ἐν πολλοῖς, τινῶν δὲ καὶ προσόδους καὶ ἀφόδους)

for every Quality transforms – but the Atoms do not transform at all: since indeed, Something must endure firm and indissoluble in the dissolutions of the compounds (Which will make transformations – not into what does not exist or from what does not exist – but mostly through rearrangements, and also through additions and departures [of the atoms])

[Bailey] For every quality changes; but the atoms do not change at all, since there must needs be something which remains solid and indissoluble at the dissolution of compounds, which can cause changes; not changes into the nonexistent or from the non-existent, but changes effected by the shining of position of some particles, and by the addition or departure of others.

All compositions and their qualities are fully changeable, but atoms and their qualities are not changeable. When composite bodies break apart, there must be something solid and unbreakable left behind, which allows for subsequent changes of compositions and qualities. The atoms themselves cannot transform, add or subtract anything from their form.

ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from [asking] what kind</i>
τὰ ἀμετάβλητα	what is non-transformable	ΑΜΕΤΑΒΛΗΤΑ <i>not thrown change</i>
μεταβάλλειν	to transform	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing in change</i>
ἡ ἄτομος αἱ ἄτομοι	atom	ΑΤΟΜΟΣ <i>not cut</i>
ὑπομένειν	to endure	ὙΠΟΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying under</i>
ἡ λύσις αἱ λύσεις	solution [i.e., explanation]	ΛΥΣΙΣ <i>the process of loosening</i>
ἡ διάλυσις αἱ διαλύσεις	dissolution	ΔΙΑΛΥΣΙΣ <i>fully loosening</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
ἡ στερεότης αἱ στερεότητες	firmness	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from making stiff</i>
στερεός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	firm	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΣ <i>stiff</i>
ἀδιάλυτος <i>(ον)</i>	indissoluble	ΑΔΙΑΛΥΤΟΣ <i>not fully loose</i>
ἡ μετάβασις αἱ μεταβάσεις	[spatial] extension	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΣΙΣ <i>stepping beyond</i>
ἡ μεταβολή αἱ μεταβολαί	transformation	ΜΕΤΑΒΟΛΗ <i>threw in a change</i>
ἡ μετάθεσις αἱ μεταθέσεις	rearrangement	ΜΕΤΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>placing change</i>
ἡ πρόσοδος αἱ προσόδοι	addition	ΠΡΟΣΟΔΟΣ <i>path toward</i>
ἡ ἄφοδος αἱ ἀφόδοι	departure	ΑΦΟΔΟΣ <i>path away</i>

54c. Permanence of Atoms

ὅθεν, Ἀναγκαῖον τὰ Μετατιθέμενα ἀφθαρτα εἶναι – καὶ τὴν τοῦ μεταβάλλοντος φύσιν οὐκ ἔχοντα – ὅγκους δὲ καὶ σχηματισμοὺς ἰδίους (Ταῦτα γὰρ καὶ ἀναγκαῖον ὑπομένειν)

therefore, It is necessary that the rearranged Things exist as indestructible – and do not have the nature of what transforms – but [exist as] particles and configurations of their own (for It is necessary that these endure)

[Bailey] For this reason it is essential that the bodies which shin their position should be imperishable and should not possess the nature of what changes, but parts and configuration of their own. For thus much must needs remain constant.

Changes in the emergent qualities of matter do not come from or go into nothingness, but occur through rearranging, adding or removing atoms. These atoms are indestructible and unchangeable, each with its own permanent size and shape.

ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
μετατιθέμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	rearranged	ΜΕΤΑΤΙΘΕΜΕΝΟΣ <i>placing change</i>
τὸ μετατιθέμενον τὰ μετατιθέμενα	what is rearranged	

ἄφθαρτος (ον)	indestructible	ΑΦΘΑΡΤΟΣ <i>not decaying</i>
μεταβάλλειν	to transform	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing in change</i>
μεταβάλλων (ουσα, ον)	transforming	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΩΝ <i>throwing in change</i>
τὸ μεταβάλλον τὰ μεταβάλλοντα	what transforms	
ὁ ὄγκος οἱ ὄγκοι	particle	ΟΓΚΟΣ <i>bulk</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ὁ σχηματισμός οἱ σχηματισμοί	configuration	ΣΧΗΜΑΤΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of action of forming</i>
ὑπομένειν	to endure	ΥΠΟΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying under</i>

55a. Permanent Properties

καὶ γὰρ ἐν τοῖς παρ’ ἡμῖν μετασχηματιζομένοις κατὰ τὴν περιαίρεσιν, τὸ Σχῆμα ἐνυπάρχον λαμβάνεται – αἱ δὲ Ποιότητες οὐκ ἐνυπάρχουσαι ἐν τῷ μεταβάλλοντι (ὥσπερ ἐκεῖνο καταλείπεται) ἀλλ’ ἐξ ὅλου τοῦ σώματος ἀπολλύμεναι – ἱκανὰ οὖν τὰ ὑπολειπόμενα Ταῦτα τὰς τῶν συγκρίσεων διαφορὰς ποιεῖν: ἐπειδὴπερ ὑπολείπεσθαι γέ Τίνα Ἀναγκαῖον καὶ μὴ εἰς τὸ μὴ ὄν φθεῖρεσθαι

for even in things transfigured in our presence by the stripping away [of their material], a Shape is [still] comprehended as integral – while (in comparison to the way that [a shape] is left undisputed) the Qualities [are] not integral in what transforms but are dissolved away from the whole body – therefore These remaining [atoms] are sufficient to make the differences [of qualities] in [subsequent] compounds: since indeed, It is necessary for certain Things to remain and not to be destroyed into nonexistence

[Bailey] For even in things perceptible to us which change their shape by the withdrawal of matter it is seen that shape remains to them, whereas the qualities do not remain in the changing object, in the way in which shape is left behind, but are lost from the entire body. Now these particles which are left behind are sufficient to cause the differences in compound bodies, since it is essential that some things should be left behind and not be destroyed into the non-existent.

The ^[1] inseparable qualities (i.e., properties) of the atoms are indeed permanent, nevertheless the ^[2] inseparable qualities of a compound exist only as long as the compound exists and the ^[3] separable qualities (i.e., symptoms) of a compound do not exist for as long as the compound exists – and finally, the ^[4] separable qualities of the atoms (e.g., their location) are impermanent.

With the destruction of an object, most qualities may all disappear, but the qualities of shape, weight, and form will always remain with the matter that composed that destroyed object.

ὁ σχηματισμός οἱ σχηματισμοί	configuration	ΣΧΗΜΑΤΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of action of forming</i>
τὸ μετασχηματιζόμενον τὰ μετασχηματιζόμενα	what is transfigured	ΜΕΤΑΣΧΗΜΑΤΙΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>change form</i>
μετασχηματιζόμενος (η, ον)	transfiguring	
ἡ περιαίρεσις αἱ περιαιρέσεις	stripping away	ΠΕΡΙΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ <i>taking around</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ὑπάρχων (ουσα, ον) ὑπάρχοντες (ουσαι, οντα)	existing [already]	ΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>

ένυπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	integral	ΕΝΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before) in</i>
ένυπάρχοντα		
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from</i> <i>[asking] what kind</i>
μεταβάλλων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	transforming	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΩΝ <i>throwing in change</i>
τὸ μεταβάλλον τὰ μεταβάλλοντα	what transforms	
καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly leave</i>
καταλείπεσθαι	to be left undisputed	
διαλύειν	to fully dissolve	ΔΙΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>fully dissolve</i>
ἀπολλύναι	to dissolve away	ΑΠΟΛΛΥΝΑΙ <i>destroying away</i>
ἀπόλλυσθαι	to be dissolved away	
ὑπολειπόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	remaining	ΥΠΟΛΕΙΠΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>leave under</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
ὑπολείπειν	to leave remaining	ΥΠΟΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>leave under</i>
ὑπολείπεσθαι	to be remaining	
φθείρειν	to destroy	ΦΘΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>damaging</i>
φθείρεσθαι	to be destroyed	

55b. Variations in Atomic Size

ἀλλὰ μὴν, οὐδὲ Δεῖ νομίζειν **πᾶν Μέγεθος ἐν ταῖς ἀτόμοις ὑπάρχειν** (ἵνα μὴ τὰ Φαινόμενα ἀντιμαρτυρῇ) **Παραλλαγὰς δέ τινας μεγεθῶν Νομιστέον εἶναι**: βέλτιον γὰρ καὶ **τούτου προσόντος** τὰ **κατὰ τὰ πάθη** καὶ **τὰς αἰσθήσεις** Γινόμενα ἀποδοθήσεται

however, It is not necessary to think that **every Size exists** among the atoms (otherwise what is apparent would contest) instead, It is necessary to think that **certain Varieties of sizes do exist**: for the Things that happen **according to experiences** and **sensations** will be demonstrated in a better way **with this [size variation] being present**

[Bailey] Moreover, we must not either suppose that every size exists among the atoms, in order that the evidence of phenomena may not contradict us, but we must suppose that there are some variations of size. For if this be the case, we can give a better account of what occurs in our feelings and sensations.

We should not think that atoms come in every possible size, as this would be contradicted by experience – but we must admit that atoms do have many different sizes.

ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>

ἀντιμαρτυρόμενος (α, ον)	contested	
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
βελτίων (ων, ον)	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ <i>greater</i>
βέλτιον	in a better way	
προσών (οὔσα, όν)	[being] present	ΠΡΟΣΩΝ <i>existing towards</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience (<i>feeling</i>)	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[Α] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
γινόμενος (η, ον) γινόμενοι (αι, α)	happening	ΓΙΓΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>birthing</i>
τὸ γινόμενον τὰ γινόμενα	what happens	ΓΙΓΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>birthing</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
ἀποδιδούς (οὔσα, όν) ἀποδιδόντες	demonstrating	
ἀποδοθήσασθαι	to about to be demonstrated	ΑΠΟΔΟΘΗΣΕΣΘΑΙ <i>will be fully given</i>

56a. Limits in Atomic Size

πᾶν δὲ Μέγεθος ὑπάρχειν οὔτε **χρήσιμόν** ἐστὶ πρὸς τὰς τῶν ποιότητων διαφοράς: **ἀφίχθαι** τε ἄμ’ Ἐδει καὶ πρὸς ἡμᾶς **ὀρατὰς Ἀτόμους** – “Ὁ οὐ θεωρεῖται γινόμενον – οὔθ’ **ὅπως ἂν γένοιτο ὀρατὴ Ἄτομος**” Ἔστιν ἐπινοῆσαι

but every Size [of atom] existing is also not **useful** for [producing] the differences of qualities: and It would also at the same time have been necessary for **visible Atoms to arrive among us** – Which are not observed to be produced – nor is It possible to objectively conceive **how a visible Atom would be produced**

[Bailey] But the existence of atoms of every size is not required to explain the differences of qualities in things, and at the same time some atoms would be bound to come within our ken and be visible; but this is never seen to be the case, nor is it possible to imagine how an atom could become visible.

Thinking that atoms exist in every and all sizes is not necessary to explain the differences in qualities of things. If atoms existed in every and all sizes, some atoms would be big enough to see – which is contrary to evidence and also inconceivable.

τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
χρήσιμος (ον)	useful	ΧΡΗΣΙΜΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from [asking] what kind</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>

ἀφικνεῖσθαι	to arrive	ΑΦΙΚΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>come towards</i>
ἀφῖχθαι	to have arrived	
ὄρατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	visible	ὈΡΑΤΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρεῖσθαι	to be observed	
ή θεωρία αί θεωρίαι	<i>[the act of mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of</i> <i>envisioning</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
ή ἄτομος αί ἄτομοι	atom	ΑΤΟΜΟΣ <i>not cut</i>
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἐπινοῆσαι	to think about <i>[already]</i>	

56b. Impossibility of Infinite Divisibility

πρὸς δὲ τούτοις, οὐ Δεῖ νομίζειν ἐν τῷ ὠρισμένῳ σώματι ἀπείρους Ὅγκους εἶναι – οὐδ’ ὀπηλίλους οὖν: ὥστε, οὐ μόνον ^[1] τήν εἰς ἄπειρον Τομήν ἐπὶ τοὔλαττον Ἀναιρετέον (ἵνα μὴ πάντα ἀσθενῇ Ποιῶμεν, κὰν ταῖς περιλήψεσι τῶν ἀθρόων εἰς τὸ μὴ ὄν ἀναγκαζώμεθα τὰ ὄντα θλίβοντες καταναλίσκειν), ἀλλὰ καὶ ^[2] τήν μετάβασιν μὴ Νομιστέον γίνεσθαι ἐν τοῖς ὠρισμένοις εἰς ἄπειρον μηδ’ ἐπὶ τοὔλαττον

also regarding these [topics], It is not necessary to think that infinite Particles exist in a finite body – nor [particles] of any magnitude whatsoever: therefore, not only ^[1] is It necessary to confute the Division into infinity into something smaller (in order that We do not make everything weak, and in [our] complete comprehension of what is aggregated, be forced to totally expend what exists by crushing [it] into non-existence), but also ^[2] It is not necessary to think that a [spatial] extension is produced within the finite [atoms] into infinity, not into something [ever] smaller

[Bailey] Besides this we must not suppose that in a limited body there can be infinite parts or parts of every degree of smallness. Therefore, we must not only do away with division into smaller and smaller parts to infinity, in order that we may not make all things weak, and so in the composition of aggregate bodies be compelled to crush and squander the things that exist into the non-existent, but we must not either suppose that in limited bodies there is a possibility of continuing to infinity in passing even to smaller and smaller parts.

Infinite parts cannot exist in a finite object. We should not think that a finite body can have an infinite number of parts, no matter how small the parts. This means we must reject the idea of dividing things into smaller and smaller parts forever, as it would make everything too weak and destroy atoms, turning them into nothing. We also cannot believe that atoms can transform into nothingness.

νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
ὠρισμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	finite	ΩΡΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>limit</i>
ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
ὁ ὄγκος οἱ ὄγκοι	particle	ΟΓΚΟΣ <i>bulk</i>
ὀπηλίκος <i>(η, ον)</i>	of any magnitude	ὈΠΗΛΙΚΟΣ <i>which extent</i>
τὸ ἄπειρον	the infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΝ <i>not limited</i>
εἰς ἄπειρον	into infinity	

ἡ τομή αἱ τομαί	division	ΤΟΜΗ cut
τοῦλαττον τούλάττα	something smaller	ΤΟ ΕΛΑΤΤΟΝ light weight
ἀναιρεῖν	to confute	ΑΝΑΙΡΕΙΝ grasping back
ἀσθενής (ές)	weak	ΑΣΘΕΝΗΣ not strength
ποιεῖν	*to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ gathering
λήψεσθαι	to be comprehended [in the future]	ΛΗΨΕΣΘΑΙ taking hold
ἡ περίληψις αἱ περιλήψεις	complete comprehension	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΨΙΣ taking hold around
τὸ ἀθρόον τὰ ἀθρόα	what is aggregated	ΑΘΡΟΟΝ gathered
ἀθρόος (α, ον)	aggregated	
ἀναγκάζειν	to force	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΖΕΙΝ necessary
ἀναγκάζεσθαι	to be forced	
θλίβειν	to crush	ΘΛΙΒΩΝ squeezing
θλίβων (ουσα, ον) θλίβοντες	crushing	
καταναλίσκειν	to totally expend	ΚΑΤΑΝΑΛΙΣΚΕΙΝ in the state of taking thoroughly up / away
ἡ μετάβασις αἱ μεταβάσεις	[spatial] extension	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΣΙΣ stepping beyond
ἡ μετάβασις εἰς ἄπειρον ἐπὶ τοῦλαττον	extension into infinity into what is smaller	
ἡ μεταβολή αἱ μεταβολαί	transformation	ΜΕΤΑΒΟΛΗ threw in a change
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being

57a. Paradox of Infinite Parts

οὔτε γὰρ ὅπως (ἐπειδὴν ἀπαξ Τίς εἶπη ὅτι ἄπειροι Ὅγκοι ἐν τινι ὑπάρχουσιν, ἢ ὀπηλικοί οὓν) Ἔστι νοῆσαι ὅπως ἂν ἔτι τοῦτο πεπερασμένον εἴη τὸ Μέγεθος: πηλικοί γάρ τινες δῆλον ὡς οἱ ἄπειροί εἰσιν Ὅγκοι – καὶ οὗτοι ὀπηλικοί ἂν ποτε ᾤσιν – ἄπειρον ἂν ἦν καὶ τὸ Μέγεθος

for in no way (whenever Someone once says that infinite Particles exist in something, or [particles] of any magnitude whatsoever) is It possible to conceive in what way this [thing's] Size might still be finite: for clearly these infinite Particles must be of some magnitude – and any size they may be – the Size [of something composed of them] would also be infinite

[Bailey] For if once one says that there are infinite parts in a body or parts of any degree of smallness, it is not possible to conceive how this should be, and indeed how could the body any longer be limited in size? (For it is obvious that these infinite particles must be of some size or other; and however small they may be, the size of the body too would be infinite.)

A finite object cannot have infinite parts. If we say that there are an infinite number of tiny particles in anything, we cannot imagine how it could still be limited in size. An infinite amount of particles, no matter how small they are, would form an aggregate that would be infinitely large.

ἄπειρος <i>(ov)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
ὁ ὄγκος οἱ ὄγκοι	particle	ΟΓΚΟΣ <i>bulk</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὑΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
ὀπηλίκος <i>(η, ov)</i>	of any magnitude	ὀΠΗΛΙΚΟΣ <i>which extent</i>
πηλίκος <i>(η, ov)</i>	of some magnitude	ΠΗΛΙΚΟΣ <i>extent</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
νοῆσαι	to conceive [already]	ΝΟΗΣΑΙ <i>seeing</i>
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>
ἡ ἐπίνοια αἱ ἐπινόαι	[objective] concept	ΕΠΙΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing upon</i>
νομίζειν	to think	ΝΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
τὸ πεπερασμένον	what is finite	ΠΕΠΕΡΑΣΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of having finished</i>
πεπερασμένος <i>(η, ov)</i>	finite	
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
δῆλος <i>(η, ov)</i>	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
δῆλον	clearly	
ἔνδηλος <i>(ov)</i>	^(θ) fully clear	ΕΝΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear within</i>
τὸ ἄπειρον	the infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΝ <i>not limited</i>
ἄπειρος <i>(ov)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>

57b. Infinite Boundaries

ἄκρον τε ἔχοντος τοῦ πεπερασμένου διαληπτόν: εἰ μὴ καὶ καθ’ ἑαυτὸ θεωρητόν – οὐκ ἔστι μὴ οὐ καὶ τὸ ἐξῆς τούτου τοιοῦτον Νοεῖν καὶ οὕτω – κατὰ τὸ ἐξῆς εἰς τοῦμπροσθεν βαδίζοντα εἰς τὸ ἄπειρον ὑπάρχειν – καὶ τὸ τοιοῦτον ἀφικνεῖσθαι τῇ ἐννοίᾳ

because what is finite has a highest point that is [mentally] distinguishable: even if it is not observable by itself – it is impossible not to also Conceive that what is in succession to it [is] of the same kind [i.e., another boundary] and therefore – because [our conception of a boundary] proceeds to exist according what is in succession towards what is ahead into infinity – such a [correct view of the infinite] also arrives by internal conception

[Hicks] And, in the next place, since what is finite has an extremity which is distinguishable, even if it is not by itself observable, it is not possible to avoid thinking of another such extremity next to this. Nor can we help thinking that in this way, by proceeding forward from one to the next in order, it is possible by such a progression to arrive in thought at infinity.

[Bailey] And again, since the limited body has an extreme point, which is distinguishable, even though not perceptible by itself, you cannot conceive that the succeeding point to it is not similar in character, or that if you go on in this way from one point to another, it should be possible for you to proceed to infinity marking such points in your mind.

Because all finite objects have a discernible boundary, it is impossible not to conceive that whatever bounds the last object must be of a similar nature (being surrounded, in turn, by something else). Proceeding in this manner (with the mind progressing from one boundary to the next, endlessly) brings the concept of infinity to our minds.

τὸ ἄκρον τὰ ἄκρα	the highest point	ΑΚΡΟΝ <i>highest</i>
τὸ πεπερασμένον	what is finite	ΠΕΠΕΡΑΣΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of having finished</i>
πεπερασμένος <i>(ἡ, ον)</i>	finite	
τὸ περιληπτόν τὰ περιληπτά	what is completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΟΝ <i>taken hold around</i>
διαληπτός <i>(ῆ, όν)</i>	[mentally] distinguishable	ΔΙΑΛΗΠΤΟΣ <i>taking hold between</i>
τὸ διαληπτόν τὰ διαληπτά	what is distinguishable [mentally]	
θεωρητός <i>(ῆ, όν)</i>	observable	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>
ἐξῆς	in succession	ἘΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
τὸ ἐξῆς τὰ ἐξῆς	what is in succession	
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
τοὔμπροσθεν τᾶμπροσθεν	what is ahead	ΤΟΥΜΠΡΟΣΘΕΝ = ΤΟ ΕΜΠΡΟΣΘΕΝ <i>in before</i>
βαδίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i> βαδίζοντες <i>(ουσαι, οντα)</i>	proceeding	ΒΑΔΙΖΩΝ
εἰς ἄπειρον	into infinity	
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ἀφικνεῖσθαι	to arrive	ΑΦΙΚΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>arrive from</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ἡ ἐπίνοια αἱ ἐπινοίαι	[objective] concept	ΕΠΙΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἡ ἔννοια αἱ ἔννοιαι	internal conception	ΕΝΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing in</i>

58a. Unique Quality Of Visual Limits

τό τε ἐλάχιστον τὸ ἐν τῇ αἰσθήσει Δεῖ κατανοεῖν: ὅτι οὔτε τοιοῦτόν ἐστιν οἷον τὸ τὰς μεταβάσεις ἔχον, οὔτε πάντη πάντως ἀνόμοιον – ἀλλ’ ἔχον μὲν τινα κοινότητα τῶν μεταβατῶν, διάληψιν δὲ μερῶν οὐκ ἔχον

It is necessary to fully conceive **the minimum in sensation: it is neither just like** that which [we see] has **[spatial] extensions, nor all-in-all dissimilar** – because, although it has **some general quality of what extends**, it does not have **differentiation of parts**

[Bailey] We must notice also that the least thing in sensation is neither exactly like that which admits of progression from one part to another, nor again is it in every respect wholly unlike it, but it has a certain affinity with such bodies, yet cannot be divided into parts.

In one way, that smallest thing you can see is like everything else you can see – it has extension (dimension) – but in another way that smallest thing you can see is unlike everything else that you can see because only one single part is visible in the smallest thing – and everything else we can see does have other parts.

τὸ ἐλάχιστον τὰ ἐλάχιστα	the minimum <i>(the smallest thing)</i>	ΕΛΑΧΙΣΤΟΝ <i>small</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving</i> <i>process</i>
κατανοεῖν	to fully conceive	ΚΑΤΑΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i> <i>thoroughly</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive ^[mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>
ἡ μετάβασις αἱ μεταβάσεις	^[spatial] extension	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΣΙΣ <i>stepping beyond</i>
τὸ μεταβατῶν τὰ μεταβατῶντα	what extends	
ἀνόμοιος <i>(ον)</i>	dissimilar	ΑΝΟΜΟΙΟΣ <i>not similar</i>
ἡ κοινότης αἱ κοινότητες	general quality	ΚΟΙΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from</i> <i>common</i>
ἡ μεταβολή αἱ μεταβολαί	transformation	ΜΕΤΑΒΟΛΗ <i>threw in</i> <i>a change</i>
μεταβάλλειν	to transform	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing in</i> <i>change</i>
ἡ διάληψις αἱ διαλήψεις	differentiation	ΔΙΑΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold</i> <i>separation</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>

58b. Absolute Visual Limits

ἀλλ’ ὅταν, διὰ τὴν τῆς κοινότητος προσεμφέρειαν, Οἰηθῶμεν διαλήψεσθαι τι αὐτοῦ – Τὸ μὲν ἐπιτάδε, Τὸ δὲ ἐπέκεινα – Τὸ ἴσον ἡμῖν δεῖ προσπίπτειν

but, due to this resemblance of this general quality, whenever we believe we were going to distinguish something in it – This here, That there – It must be that What is equal falls upon us

but, due to this resemblance of this general quality [of spatial extension that exists between regular objects and visible minima], whenever we believe we were going to distinguish some [other part] in it [that was considered to the smallest visible unit] – This [part] here, That [part] there – It must be [the case that] What is equal [to what was considered to be the visible minima] falls upon us

^[Bailey] But when on the analogy of this resemblance we think to divide off parts of it, one on the one side and another on the other, it must needs be that another point like the first meets our view.

If you are trying to look at the smallest object you can see – and then you see another "part" of that thing – then that part (which will be half of the original object-of-focus) is now the smallest thing you can see. Ultimately, you will see something that has the common resemblance to other objects: extension (dimension) – but cannot be further divided while also staying within your vision!

ἡ κοινότης αἱ κοινότητες	general quality	ΚΟΙΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from common</i>
ἡ προσεμφέρεια αἱ προσεμφέρειαι	resemblance	ΠΡΟΣΕΜΦΕΡΕΙΑ <i>bringing in toward</i>
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
οἰήσασθαι	to have believed	
ἡ διάληψις αἱ διαλήψεις	differentiation	ΔΙΑΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold separation</i>
διαλήψεσθαι	going to distinguish	ΔΙΑΛΗΨΕΣΘΑΙ <i>taking hold separation</i>
ἐπιτάδε	here	ΕΠΙΤΑΔΕ <i>upon these</i>
ἐπέκεινα	there	ΕΠΕΚΕΙΝΑ <i>upon those</i>
ἴσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	equal	ΙΣΟΣ <i>equal</i>
προσπίπτειν	to fall upon	ΠΡΟΣΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling toward</i>

58c. Minimum of Measurement

ἐξῆς τε θεωροῦμεν ταῦτα, ἀπὸ τοῦ πρώτου καταρχόμενοι, καὶ οὐκ ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ – οὐδὲ μέρεσι μερῶν ἀπτόμενα – ἀλλ’ ἢ ἐν τῇ ιδιότητι τῇ ἑαυτῶν τὰ μεγέθη καταμετροῦντα – τὰ πλείω πλεῖον καὶ τὰ ἐλάττω ἔλαττον

and We observe **these in succession**, beginning indeed **with the first**, and not in the same **[space]** – nor **parts touching [any other] part** – but **fully measuring size according to [their own] particularity** – **a greater [amount measuring a] greater [size] and a lesser [amount measuring a] lesser [size]**

and We observe **these [visible minima] in succession** beginning indeed **with the first [visible minima]**, and **[we do] not [observe them] in the same [space as another]** – nor **[do we observe them] touching [any] parts with [any other] part** – but **[we observe visible minima] fully measuring size according to [their own] particularity [of being visibly indivisible]** – **a greater [amount of visible minima measuring a] greater [size object] and a lesser [amount of visible minima measuring a] lesser [size object]**

[Hicks] In fact, we see these minima one after another, beginning with the first, and not as occupying the same space; nor do we see them touch one another's parts with their parts, but we see that by virtue of their own peculiar character (i.e. as being unit indivisibles) they afford a means of measuring magnitudes there are more of them, if the magnitude measured is greater; fewer of them, if the magnitude measured is less.

[Yonge] In this way, we examine them successively, from the first to the last, not by themselves, more as composed of parts in juxtaposition, but only in their extent; in other words, we consider, the magnitudes by themselves, and in an abstract manner, inasmuch as they measure, the greater a greater extent, and the smaller a smaller extent

[Bailey] And we look at these points in succession starting from the first, not within the limits of the same point nor in contact part with part, but yet by means of their own proper characteristics measuring the size of bodies, more in a greater body and fewer in a smaller.

Visual limits are only visible in sequence – we see these tiny points one after another, starting from the first, not in the same space or intermixing with each other. Instead, we use their unique quality of indivisible (yet visible) extension as a basis of minute size measurements.

ἐξῆς	in succession	ἔΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
-------------	----------------------	--

θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
τὸ πρῶτον τὰ πρῶτα	the first	ΠΡΩΤΟΝ <i>first</i>
καταρχεῖν	to begin	ΚΑΤΑΡΧΕῖν
καταρχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i> καταρχόμενοι <i>(αι, α)</i>	beginning	
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἅπτειν	to touch	ἈΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening</i>
ἅπτόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i> ἅπτόμενοι <i>(αι, α)</i>	touching	
ἡ ιδιότης αἱ ιδιότητες	particularity	ΙΔΙΟΤΗΣ <i>particular</i>
ιδιότροπος <i>(ον)</i>	particular type of	ΙΔΙΟΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>particular way</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
καταμετρεῖν	to fully measure	ΚΑΤΑΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly measure</i>
καταμετρῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i> καταμετροῦντες <i>(οὔσαι, οὔντα)</i>	fully measuring	
τὸ πλεόν τὰ πλέω	the greater	ΠΛΕΟΝ <i>more</i>
τὸ ἐλάττον τὰ ἐλάττω	the lesser	ΕΛΑΤΤΟΝ <i>less</i>

59a. Analogy for Atomic Limits

ταύτη τῇ ἀναλογίᾳ Νομιστέον καὶ τὸ ἐν τῇ ἀτόμῳ ἐλάχιστον κεχρηῆσθαι – μικρότητι γὰρ Ἐκεῖνο δῆλον ὡς διαφέρει τοῦ κατὰ τὴν αἴσθησιν θεωρουμένου – ἀναλογία δὲ τῇ αὐτῇ κέχρηται: ἐπείπερ καὶ ὅτι μέγεθος ἔχει ἡ ἄτομος, κατὰ τὴν ἐνταῦθα ἀναλογίαν Κατηγορήσαμεν – μικρόν τι μόνον μακρὰν ἐκβαλόντες

It is necessary to think that the minimum in the atom also follows this analogy – although clearly in minuteness It differs from what is observed by sensation – but it follows the same analogy: since We have also fully indicated that the atom has size, according to the analogy in this respect – we are only extending a small thing a long way

[Bailey] Now we must suppose that the least part in the atom too bears the same relation to the whole; for though in smallness it is obvious that it exceeds that which is seen by sensation, yet it has the same relations. For indeed we have already declared on the ground of its relation to sensible bodies that the atom has size, only we placed it far below them in smallness.

The smallest part of an atom follows the same pattern as the the smallest visible units – and supplies a base unit of atomic measurement.

ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
ἡ ἄτομος αἱ ἄτομοι	atom	ΑΤΟΜΟΣ <i>not cut</i>
τὸ ἐλάχιστον τὰ ἐλάχιστα	the minimum <i>(the smallest thing)</i>	ΕΛΑΧΙΣΤΟΝ <i>small</i>

ἡ μικρότης αἱ μικρότητες	minuteness	ΜΙΚΡΟΤΗΣ <i>little</i>
δῆλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
δῆλον	clearly	
διαφέρειν	to differ	ΔΙΑΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing through</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	observed	ΘΕΩΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
ἐνταῦθα	in this [respect]	ΕΝΤΑΥΘΑ <i>in this</i>
κατηγορεῖν	to fully indicate	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly speaking publicly</i>
κατηγορήσαι	to fully indicate [already]	
μικρόν <i>(ά, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΝ <i>little</i>
μόνος <i>(η, ον)</i>	only	ΜΟΝΟΣ <i>single</i>
μόνον	only	
μακράν	by a long way	ΜΑΚΡΑΝ <i>long-reaching</i>
μικρόν τι, μόνος μακράν	a small thing, only by a long way	
μεταβάλλειν	to transform	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing in change</i>
ἐκβάλλειν	to throw out	ΕΚΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing out</i>
ἐκβαλῶν <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i> ἐκβαλόντες <i>(οὔσαι, όντα)</i>	throwing out [here, "extending"]	

59b. Units of Subatomic Measurement

ἔτι τε, **τὰ ἐλάχιστα** καὶ **ἀμιγῇ πέρατα** **Δεῖ νομίζειν τῶν μηκῶν** – **τὸ καταμέτρημα**
ἐξ αὐτῶν πρώτων τοῖς μείζοσι καὶ ἐλάττοσι παρασκευάζοντα – **τῇ, διὰ λόγου,**
θεωρίᾳ ἐπὶ τῶν ἀοράτων

also, It is necessary to think of [atomic] minima as pure limits of length as well – providing from themselves as primary [units] the full measurement for the larger and for the smaller [atoms] – by observation, through reasoning, of what is unseen

[Bailey] Further, we must consider these least indivisible points as boundary-marks, providing in themselves as primary units the measure of size for the atoms, both for the smaller and the greater, in our contemplation of these unseen bodies by means of thought.

τὸ ἐλάχιστον τὰ ἐλάχιστα	the minimum <i>(the smallest thing)</i>	ΕΛΑΧΙΣΤΟΝ <i>small</i>
ἀμιγῆς <i>(ές)</i>	pure	ΑΜΙΓΗΣ <i>not mixed</i>
τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>

νομίζουν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
τὸ μῆκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ <i>extent</i>
τὸ καταμέτρημα τὰ καταμετρήματα	full measurement	ΚΑΤΑΜΕΤΡΗΜΑ <i>thoroughly measuring</i>
πρῶτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
μείζων <i>(ον)</i>	larger	ΜΕΙΖΩΝ <i>greater</i>
ἐλάττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	smaller	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>lesser</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
παρασκευάζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	providing	
ἀναλόγως	analogously	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΩΣ <i>reasoning again</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	<i>[the act of mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
τὸ ἀόρατον τὰ ἀόρατα	^[0] the unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΝ <i>not looking</i>
τὸ ἄδηλον τὰ ἄδηλα	^[0] what is unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΝ <i>not clear</i>

59c. Unchangeable Parts of Atoms

ἡ γὰρ Κοινότης ἢ ὑπάρχουσα **αὐτοῖς** πρὸς τὰ ἀμετάβολα **ικανὴ τὸ μέχρι τούτου** συντελέσαι – **συμφόρησιν** δὲ **ἐκ** τούτων **κίνησιν** ἔχόντων **οὐχ οἷόν τε** γίνεσθαι

for the general Quality [of extension] that exists **in those** [visible minima] with the untransformables [i.e., atomic minima] is **sufficient** to altogether fulfil **this** [comparison of measurement by minima] up to this [point] – but that [atomic minima] are produced **by** [their] **accumulation** from them **having** [any] **movement** is **not possible**

[Bailey] For the affinity which the least parts of the atom have to the homogeneous parts of sensible things is sufficient to justify our conclusion to this extent but that they should ever come together as bodies with motion is quite impossible.

The parts of the atoms are unchanging and motionless. Unlike the atoms themselves, the parts of atoms (including their minima) do not have motion and cannot form by accumulation.

ἡ κοινότης αἱ κοινότητες	general quality	ΚΟΙΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from common</i>
ἡ μετάβασις αἱ μεταβάσεις	[spatial] extension	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΣΙΣ <i>stepping beyond</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i> ὑπάρχοντες <i>(ουσai, οντα)</i>	existing [already]	ΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ἡ μεταβολή αἱ μεταβολαί	transformation	ΜΕΤΑΒΟΛΗ <i>threw in a change</i>

τὸ ἀμετάβολον τὰ ἀμετάβολα	the untransformable	
ἱκανός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	sufficient	ἸΚΑΝΟΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>
ἀποτελεσματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of completing out</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
ἡ συμφόρησις αἱ συμφόρησεις	accumulation	ΣΥΜΦΟΡΗΣΙΣ <i>carrying together</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>

60a. Relative Direction

καὶ μὴν καὶ, τοῦ ἀπείρου ὡς μὲν ἀνωτάτω καὶ κατώτατω οὐ Δεῖ κατηγορεῖν τὸ ἄνω ἢ κάτω: Ἵσμεν, μέντοι, Τὸ ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς (ὅθεν ἂν Στῶμεν) εἰς ἄπειρον ἄγειν – ὃν μηδέποτε φανεῖσθαι Τοῦτο ἡμῖν (ἢ τὸ Ὑποκάτω τοῦ νοηθέντος εἰς ἄπειρον) ἅμα ἄνω τε εἶναι καὶ κάτω πρὸς τὸ αὐτό τοῦτο γὰρ Ἀδύνατον διανοηθῆναι

and indeed, It is not necessary to thoroughly indicate what is above or below in the infinite as highest or lowest: We know, nevertheless, that What is overhead (from wherever we may stand) leads into infinity – and It (just like What is below in thought into infinity) will never be apparent to us as existing both above and below in relation to that same [spot we are standing], for [this] is impossible to perceive

[Bailey] Furthermore, in the infinite we must not speak of 'up' or 'down' as though with reference to an absolute highest or lowest – and indeed we must say that, though it is possible to proceed to infinity in the direction above our heads from wherever we take our stand, the absolute highest point will never appear to us – nor yet can that which passes beneath the point thought of to infinity be at the same time both up and down in reference to the same thing for it is impossible to think this.

[Hicks] Further, we must not assert 'up' or 'down' of that which is unlimited, as if there were a zenith or nadir. As to the space overhead, however, if it be possible to draw a line to infinity from the point where we stand, we know that never will this space – or, for that matter, the space below the supposed standpoint if produced to infinity – appear to us to be at the same time 'up' and 'down' with reference to the same point; for this is inconceivable.

[Yonge] Moreover, we must not say (while speaking of the infinite), that such or such a point is the highest point of it, or the lowest. For height and lowness must not be predicated of the infinite. We know, in reality, that if, wishing to determine the infinite, we conceive a point above our head, this point, whatever it may be, will never appear to us to have the character in question otherwise, that which would be situated above the point so conceived as the limit of the infinite, would be at the same moment, and by virtue of its relation to the same point, both high and low; and this is impossible to imagine.

There is no ultimate direction because the universe has no center. We should not describe the infinite as having an ultimate "up" or "down" because these terms do not apply to something without limits. Nevertheless, relative direction does still exists in infinite space.

ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
ἀνωτάτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	highest	ΑΝΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>the most above</i>
ἀνωτάτω	highest	

κάτω	below	ΚΑΤΩ <i>below</i>
τὸ κάτω τὰ κάτω	what is below	
κατώτατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	lowest	ΚΑΤΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>the most below</i>
κατώτατω	lowest	
τὸ κατώτατω τὰ κατώτατω	what is lowest	
κατηγορεῖν	to fully indicate	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly speaking publicly</i>
ἄνω	above	ΑΝΩ <i>above</i>
τὸ ἄνω τὰ ἄνω	what is above	
εἰδέναι	<i>to have mentally seen ∴</i> "to know"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing</i>
ἴσμεν	we know	ΙΣΜΕΝ <i>knowing</i>
ἡ κεφαλή αἱ κεφαλαί	head	ΚΕΦΑΛΗ <i>head</i>
τὸ ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς τὰ ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς	what is overhead	
ἵστασθαι	to be placed upright	ΊΣΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>standing</i>
στήναι	to stand [already]	ΣΤΗΝΑΙ <i>standing</i>
φαίνεσθαι	to be apparent	ΦΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>shining</i>
φανεῖσθαι	to be apparent [in the future]	
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ὑποκάτω	down below	
τὸ ὑποκάτω τὰ ὑποκάτω	what is [down] below	ΥΠΟΚΑΤΩ <i>under below</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
νοηθεῖς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i> νοηθέντες <i>(εἶσαι, ἐντα)</i>	conceived	ΝΟΗΘΕΙΣ <i>seeing</i>
τὸ νόημα τὰ νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
τὸ ἐννόημα τὰ ἐννόηματα	internal conception	ΕΝΝΟΗΜΑ <i>result of seeing within</i>
ἀδύνατος <i>(ον)</i>	impossible	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not powerful</i>
ἀδυνατεῖν	to be unable	
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>
διανουθῆναι	to perceive [already]	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>saw through</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>

60b. Only Relative Direction Exists

ὥστε, ᾿Εστι **μίαν** λαβεῖν **φορὰν τὴν ἄνω**, **νοουμένην** εἰς ἄπειρον, καὶ **μίαν τὴν κάτω** – ἂν καὶ μυριάκις **πρὸς τοὺς πόδας τῶν ἐπάνω** **τὸ παρ’ ἡμῶν** Φερόμενον **εἰς τοὺς ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς ἡμῶν τόπους** ἀφικνῆται – ἢ **ἐπὶ τὴν κεφαλὴν τῶν ὑποκάτω** **τὸ παρ’ ἡμῶν** κάτω Φερόμενον. ἢ γὰρ ὅλη Φορὰ: **οὐθὲν ἦττον** Ἐκατέρᾳ **ἐκατέρᾳ ἀντικειμένη ἐπ’ ἄπειρον** νοεῖται

therefore, it is possible to comprehend **one transmission that is above** and **one that is below**, **[both] conceived into infinity** – even if What is brought **from us towards the feet of those above** arrives innumerable times **at the locations above our heads** – and [the same for] What is being carried downward **from us towards the head of those below**. for the whole Motion: Each [direction] is conceived **no less contrary into infinity than the other**

[Bailey] So that it is possible to consider as one single motion that which is thought of as the upward motion to infinity and as another the downward motion, even though that which passes from us into the regions above our heads arrives countless times at the feet of beings above and that which passes downwards from us at the head of beings below; for none the less the whole motions are thought of as opposed, the one to the other, to infinity.

We can think of two arrows, one going up and one going down, moving in opposite directions forever – despite whatever other relative interactions occur – one arrow can be correctly said to be moving "up" (relative to us), and the other arrow can be correctly said to be moving "down" (relative to us).

εἷς μία ἓν <i>(ένός, μιᾶς, ένός)</i>	one	ἕΙΣ ΜΙΑ ἘΝ <i>one</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
λαβεῖν	[intellectually] to comprehend [already]	ΛΑΒΕΙΝ <i>grasped</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
τὸ ἄνω τὰ ἄνω	what is above	ΑΝΩ <i>above</i>
νοούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	conceived	ΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
εἰς ἄπειρον	into infinity	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
τὸ κάτω τὰ κάτω	what is below	ΚΑΤΩ <i>below</i>
μυρίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	innumerable	ΜΥΡΙΟΣ <i>ants</i>
μυριάκις	innumerable times	
ὁ πούς οἱ πόδες	foot	ΠΟΥΣ <i>foot</i>
ὁ ἐπάνω οἱ ἐπάνω	someone above	ΕΠΑΝΩ <i>above upon</i>
φερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>spot</i>
ἀφικνεῖσθαι	to arrive	ΑΦΙΚΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>towards arriving</i>
τὸ ὑποκάτω τὰ ὑποκάτω	what is [down] below	ὙΠΟΚΑΤΩ <i>under below</i>
ὁ ὑποκάτω οἱ ὑποκάτω	someone [down] below	
ἀντικείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	contrary	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated against</i>
νοεῖσθαι	to be conceived	ΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing</i>

καὶ μὴν καὶ, **ἰσοταχεῖς** Ἀναγκαῖον τὰς Ἀτόμους εἶναι – ὅταν διὰ τοῦ κενοῦ **εἰσφέρωνται** **μηθενὸς ἀντικόπτοντος**: οὔτε γὰρ τὰ βαρέα **θάπτον** οἰσθήσεται τῶν **μικρῶν** καὶ **κούφων**, (ὅταν γε δὴ Μηδὲν ἀπαντᾷ **αὐτοῖς**) οὔτε τὰ μικρὰ τῶν **μεγάλων** – Πάντα **πόρον σύμμετρον** ἔχοντα (ὅταν Μηθὲν μηδὲ **ἐκείνοις** ἀντικόπτῃ)

and indeed, It is necessary for **the atoms to be equally fast** – **whenever they are carried through the void with nothing colliding against**: for in no way will the heavy [Atoms] be carried **swifter than the small** and **light [atoms]**, (certainly whenever Nothing encounters **them**) nor [will] the small [Atoms be swifter] **than the large ones** – All having **a symmetric passageway** (whenever Nothing also collides against **them**)

[Bailey] Moreover, the atoms must move with equal speed, when they are borne onwards through the void, nothing colliding with them. For neither will the heavy move more quickly than the small and light, when, that is, nothing meets them nor again the small more quickly than the great, having their whole course uniform, when nothing collides with them either.

When atoms move through empty space without any obstacles, they all travel at the same speed – regardless of their size and weight.

ἰσοταχής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	equally fast	ΙΣΟΤΑΧΗΣ <i>equally swift</i>
ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
ἢ ἄτομος αὶ ἄτομοι	atom	ΑΤΟΜΟΣ <i>not cut</i>
τὸ κενόν	void	ΚΕΝΟΝ <i>emptiness</i>
εἰσφέρειν	to carry in	ΕΙΣΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing into</i>
εἰσοίσειν	to carry in [in the future]	ΕΙΣΟΙΣΕΙΝ <i>will carry into</i>
διαφέρειν	to differ	ΔΙΑΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing through</i>
ἀντικόπτων <i>(οὔσα, ον)</i> ἀντικόπτοντες <i>(οὔσαι, οντα)</i>	colliding against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΟΝΤΟΣ <i>strike against</i>
τὸ βαρύ τὰ βαρέα	heavy thing	ΒΑΡΥ <i>heavy</i>
θάπτον	more swiftly	ΘΑΤΤΟΝ <i>swift</i>
θάπτων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	swifter	
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
οἴσειν	to carry [in the future]	ΟΙΣΕΙΝ <i>will carry</i>
οἰσθῆσθαι	to be carried [in the future]	
τὸ μικρόν τὰ μικρά	small thing	ΜΙΚΡΟΝ <i>little</i>
τὸ κοῦφον τὰ κούφα	light things	ΚΟΥΦΟΝ <i>nimble</i>
ἀπαντᾶν	to encounter	ΑΠΑΝΤΑΝ <i>meeting away</i>
τὸ μέγα τὰ μεγάλα	what is great	ΜΕΓΑ <i>large</i>
μέγας <i>(μεγάλη, μέγα)</i>	great [here, "large"]	ΜΕΓΑΣ <i>large</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
σύνμετρος = σύμμετρος <i>(ον)</i>	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure together</i>

ἀντικόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beating against</i>
--------------------	--------------------	--

61b. Direction Variation

οὐθ’ ἢ ἄνω οὐθ’ ἢ εἰς τὸ πλάγιον διὰ τῶν κρούσεων Φορά, οὐθ’ ἢ κάτω διὰ τῶν ἰδίων βαρῶν: ἐφ’ ὅποσον γὰρ ἂν κατίσχη Ἑκάτερον – ἐπὶ τοσοῦτο ἅμα νοήματι τὴν φοράν σχήσει, ἕως ἄν τι ἀντικόψη ἢ ἔξωθεν ἢ ἐκ τοῦ ἰδίου βάρους πρὸς τὴν τοῦ πλήξαντος δύναμιν

neither the upward nor the sideways Transmission from impacts [is swifter], nor is the downward [transmission swifter] through those particular weights [of the atoms]: as far as Each [transmission] fully maintains itself – for so long it maintains its transmission as quick as conception, until Something collides against [it], either from an external source or from its particular [counter] weight against the force of an impact

[Bailey] Nor is the motion upwards or sideways owing to blows quicker, nor again that downwards owing to their own weight. For as long as either of the two motions prevails, so long will it have a course as quick as thought, until something checks it either from outside or from its own weight counteracting the force of that which dealt the blow.

Atoms move at the same rate regardless of their direction. When contact occurs, the natural weight of the atoms plays a role in how they respond to collisions, offsetting the force and influencing their motion.

πλάγιος <i>(ον)</i>	sideways	ΠΛΑΓΙΟΣ <i>oblique</i>
εἰς τὸ πλάγιον	sideways	
ἢ κρούσις αἱ κρούσεις	impact	ΚΡΟΥΣΙΣ <i>strike</i>
ἢ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
κάτω	below / after	ΚΑΤΩ <i>below</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ιδιότροπος <i>(ον)</i>	particular type of	ΙΔΙΟΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>particular way</i>
τὸ βάρος τὰ βάρη	weight	ΒΑΡΟΣ <i>heavy</i>
ὅποσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as much as	ὍΠΟΣΟΣ <i>some / certain</i> <i>which</i>
κατέχειν	to firmly hold	ΚΑΤΕΧΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>holding</i>
κατασχεῖν	to have firmly held	ΣΧΕΙΝ <i>will hold</i>
τοσοῦτος <i>(τοσαύτη, τοσοῦτο)</i>	so long <i>(so great)</i>	ΤΟΣΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this so much</i>
τὸ νόημα τὰ νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
ἢ ἐπίνοια αἱ ἐπινοίαι	[objective] concept	ΕΠΙΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing upon</i>
σχεῖν	to hold [here gnomic aorist, "to maintain"]	ΣΧΕΙΝ <i>will hold</i>
ἀντικόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beats against</i>
τὸ ἔξωθεν τὰ ἔξωθεν	an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
ἔξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>

τό πλήξαν τό πλήξαν	impact	ΠΛΗΞΑΝ <i>strike</i>
ή δύναμις αί δυνάμεις	force	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>

62a. Consistent Atomic Speed

ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ, κατὰ τὰς συγκρίσεις, θάπτων Ἑτέρα ἑτέρας φορηθήσεται – τῶν ἀτόμων ἰσοταχῶν οὐσῶν: τῷ ἐφ’ ἓνα τόπον φέρεσθαι τὰς ἐν τοῖς ἀθροίσμασιν Ἀτόμους κατὰ τὸν ἐλάχιστον συνεχῇ χρόνον – εἰ καὶ μὴ ἐφ’ ἓνα, κατὰ τοὺς λόγῳ θεωρητοὺς χρόνους, ἀλλὰ πυκνὸν Ἀντικόπτουσιν – ἕως ἂν ὑπὸ τὴν αἴσθησιν τὸ Συνεχὲς τῆς φορᾶς γίνηται

but indeed, regarding compounds, One [compound] will be transmitted more swiftly than another [compound] – even though their atoms are equal in speed: as [a result] of the Atoms in the aggregates being carried to one location in the minimum continuous [*i.e., directly perceptible*] time – even if, in the times observable by reasoning, [they are] not [transmitted] to one [single location], but They collide frequently against [each other] – until a Continuity of [their] motion comes to exist within [the realm of] sensation

[Bailey] Moreover, it will be said that in compound bodies too one atom is faster than another, though as a matter of fact all are equal in speed this will be said because even in the least period of continuous time all the atoms in aggregate bodies move towards one place, even though in moments of time perceptible only by thought they do not move towards one place but are constantly jostling one against another, until the continuity of their movement comes under the ken of sensation.

Atoms move at the same rate if they are moving alone in one direction or if they are vibrating in a compound – the atoms that compose a compound are moving at equal speeds in many different directions.

However, in a compound, the atoms collide and change direction so quickly that we can only sense their group movement (or lack of movement). As we can see, compounds can move faster than other compounds (or appear still).

ή σύγκρισις αί συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
θάπτων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	more swift	ΘΑΤΤΩΝ <i>swift</i>
ή φορά αί φόραι	transmission	
φορεῖν	to transmit	ΦΟΡΕΙΝ <i>carrying</i>
φορηθήσασθαι	to be transmitted [in the future]	ΦΟΡΗΘΗΣΕΣΘΑΙ <i>will be carried</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
φέρεσθαι	to be carried	ΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἀθροίσματα	[inorganic] aggregate [organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of [being]</i> <i>gathered</i>
τὸ ἀθρόον τὰ ἀθρόα	what is aggregated	ΑΘΡΟΟΝ <i>gathered</i>
ή ἄτομος αἱ ἄτομοι	atom	ΑΤΟΜΟΣ <i>not cut</i>
τὸ ἐλάχιστον τὰ ἐλάχιστα	the minimum <i>(the smallest thing)</i>	ΕΛΑΧΙΣΤΟΝ <i>small</i>
συνεχῆς <i>(ές)</i>	continuous	ΣΥΝΕΧΗΣ <i>holding together</i>
τὸ συνεχές τὰ συνεχῇ	continuity	

ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
θεωρητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	observable	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>
τὸ πύκνωμα τὰ πυκνώματα	a concentration	ΠΥΚΝΩΜΑ <i>the result of</i> <i>compacting</i>
πυκνὸν = πυκνῶς	frequently	ΠΥΚΝΩΣ <i>compacting</i>
ἀντικόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beating against</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>

62b. Speed Disparity

^[Δ+] τὸ γὰρ Προσδοξαζόμενον περὶ τοῦ ἀοράτου – ὡς ἄρα καὶ οἱ διὰ λόγου θεωρητοὶ Χρόνοι τὸ συνεχές τῆς φορᾶς ἔξουσιν – οὐκ ἀληθές ^(-α) ἔστιν ἐπὶ τῶν τοιούτων: ἐπεὶ τό γε ^{δ} θεωρούμενον Πᾶν ἢ ^[B'δ] κατ' ἐπιβολὴν ^{δ} λαμβανόμενον τῇ διανοίᾳ ^(α) ἀληθές ἔστι

for ^[Δ+] the Addition of judgment concerning the unseen – that the [minute] Times observable through reasoning will indeed also have continuity of transmission *[for compounds and their atoms]* – is not true ^(-α) in such cases: since Everything ^{δ} observed or ^{δ} comprehended ^[B'δ] through our attention to [mental] perception is ^(α) true

^[Bailey] For the addition of opinion with regard to the unseen, that the moments perceptible only by thought will also contain continuity of motion, is not true in such cases; for we must remember that it is what we observe with the senses or grasp with the mind by an apprehension that is true.

The chief function of the ^[B'δ]"Attention To Mental Perception" (ἡ Ἐπιβολή τῆς Διανοίας) must be in the field of memory. If Epicurus were to regard memory as an event purely internal to the mind, the whole empirical foundation of knowledge would crumble, since our memories might be nothing more than our own inventions. The objectivity of memory is rescued by the assurance that the mind, like the sense-organs, draws its images from outside.

Of course, the ^[B'δ]"Attention To Mental Perception" (ἡ Ἐπιβολή τῆς Διανοίας) is not in itself sufficient to explain the phenomenon of memory, for it does not enable us to distinguish acts of memory from acts of mere imagination.

^[Sedley] "If what Epicurus has stated about the motion of atoms in a moving body is correct, it follows that either appearances deceive when they tell us that the parts of a moving body all move in one direction, or that appearances do not deceive but that in this particular case analogy between the visible and the invisible is invalid."

Only what we can directly observe with both our senses and mind is always true. The motions of a compound take place in observable time, and the motions of the atoms take place in mentally perceptible time.

Viewing a compound as only an aggregate of atoms is too reductionist. It is contrary to sensation to say that the motion of a compound body is only the sum of the motions of its component atoms – just as it is inconceivable that only the atomic motions in an object alone are real and the motion of the compound object itself is delusion.

The motion (or lack of motion) of compound objects is a reality – even though the compound object itself is determined by the collisions of its component atoms.

ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
προσμένων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	still pending	ΠΡΟΣΜΕΝΩΝ <i>remaining further</i>

τὸ προσμένον τὰ προσμένοντα	^[0] what is still pending <i>[further attestation]</i>	ΤΟ ΠΡΟΣΜΕΝΟΝ <i>remaining further</i>
τὸ προσδοξαζόμενον τὰ προσδοξαζόμενα	^[Δ+] an addition of judgement ^[i.e., "a theory"]	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of addition</i> <i>to seeming</i>
τὸ ἀόρατον τὰ ἀόρατα	^[0] the unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΝ <i>not looking</i>
τὸ ἄδηλον τὰ ἄδηλα	^[0] what is unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΝ <i>not clear</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
θεωρητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	observable	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>
συνεχής <i>(ές)</i>	continuous	ΣΥΝΕΧΗΣ <i>holding together</i>
τὸ συνεχές τὰ συνεχῆ	continuity	
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἔξειν	to have ^[in the future]	ἔξειν <i>will hold</i>
ἀληθής <i>(ές)</i>	^(α) true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not concealed</i>
θεωρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	observed	ΘΕΩΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
λαμβάνόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	comprehended	ΛΑΜΒΑΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>grasping</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	^[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ἀληθής <i>(ές)</i>	^(α) true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not concealed</i>

63a. Soul is Material

μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα, Δεῖ συνορᾶν (ἀναφέροντα ἐπὶ τὰς αἰσθήσεις καὶ τὰ πάθη – οὕτω γὰρ ἡ βεβαιότατη Πίστις ἔσται) ὅτι ἡ Ψυχὴ σῶμά ἐστι λεπτομερές, παρ’ ὅλον τὸ ἄθροισμα παρεσπαρμένον, προσεμφερέστατον δὲ πνεύματι θερμοῦ τινα κρᾶσιν ἔχοντι· καὶ, πῆ μὲν, τούτῳ προσεμφερές, πῆ δὲ, τούτῳ

after these things, It is necessary to [mentally] fully see (while referring to the sensations and experiences – for in this way the most affirmed Belief will exist) that the Soul is of a subtle composition, spread out across the whole aggregate, most closely resembling [cool] wind {i.e., pneumatic} that has a certain mixture of heat {i.e., thermal}: indeed, in one way, it is closely resembling to the one, and in another way, [it is closely resembling] to the other

^[Bailey] Next, referring always to the sensations and the feelings, for in this way you will obtain the most trustworthy ground of belief, you must consider that the soul is a body of fine particles distributed throughout the whole structure, and most resembling wind with a certain admixture of heat, and in some respects like to one of these and in some to the other.

From a reductionist angle, life is only a circumstantial characteristic of certain aggregates. However, we can use our senses and feelings to understand that the soul is a physical (and therefore real) thing made of tiny particles spread throughout the body. The soul has thermal (hot & fast) and pneumatic (cold & slow) characteristics – which can mix and form aerial (moderate) characteristics.

ὁρᾶν	to see ^[mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
-------------	---------------------------------	-------------------------------

συνορᾶν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>
τὸ ἄόρατον τὰ ἄόρατα	^[0] the unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΝ <i>not looking</i>
ἀναφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	referring	ΑΝΑΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing back</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
βεβαιωτάτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most certain</i>
ἡ πίστις αἱ πίστεις	belief [based on experience]	ΠΙΣΤΙΣ <i>trust</i>
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
λεπτομερής <i>(ές)</i>	of a subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΗΣ <i>fine/thin part</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἀθροίσματα	^[inorganic] aggregate ^[organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of [being]</i> <i>gathered</i>
παρεσπαρμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	spread out	ΠΑΡΕΣΠΑΡΜΕΝΟΣ <i>scatter beside</i>
τό προσεμφερέστατον τά προσεμφερέστατα	what most closely resembling	ΠΡΟΣΕΜΦΕΡΕΣΤΑΤΟΝ <i>most toward</i> <i>bringing in</i>
προσεμφερέστατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most closely resembling	
προσεμφερής	closely resembling	ΠΡΟΣΕΜΦΕΡΗΣ <i>toward bringing in</i>
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
θερμός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	hot	ΘΕΡΜΟΣ <i>warm</i>
ἡ κρᾶσις αἱ κράσεις	mixture	ΚΡΑΣΙΣ <i>weaving</i>
πῇ μὲν... πῇ δὲ	in one way... in another way	ΠΗΙ <i>by which way</i>

^[1] Thermal Element	 τό Θερμόν	Calor, Vapor
^[2] Pneumatic Element	 τό Πνευματικόν	Ventus, Aura
^[1 & 2] Aerial Mix	 τό Ἀερώδες	Aer
^[3] Unnamed Element	τό Ἀκατονόμαστον	Nominis Experts

63b. Finest Part of the Soul

ἔστι δὲ τὸ τρίτον Μέρος, **πολλὴν παραλλαγὴν** εἰληφὸς **τῇ λεπτομερείᾳ καὶ αὐτῶν τούτων** – **συμπαθὲς δὲ τούτῳ μᾶλλον καὶ τῷ λοιπῷ ἀθροίσματι**: **τοῦτο δὲ πᾶν** αἱ Δυνάμεις τῆς ψυχῆς δηλοῦσι, καὶ τὰ Πάθη, καὶ αἱ Εὐκινήσiai, καὶ αἱ Διανοήσεις – καὶ **ῶν** στερόμενοι, Θνήσκομεν

and the third Part exists, having acquired **great variety in subtle compositions even [compared to]** those [thermal and pneumatic elements] themselves – and **because of this [reason, the third part is]** **more harmonious also with the remaining aggregate**: and the Forces of the soul make **all this** clear, as well as our Experiences, Agilities, and [mental] Perceptions – and when we are deprived **of these**, We die

[Hicks] But, again, there is the third part which exceeds the other two in the fineness of its particles and thereby keeps in closer touch with the rest of the frame. And this is shown by the mental faculties and feelings, by the ease with which the mind moves, and by thoughts, and by all those things the loss of which causes death.

The finest part of the soul is highly sensitive and closely connected to the body. This is shown by our mental abilities, feelings, quick thoughts – all everything else that we lose when we die.

τρίτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	third	ΤΡΙΤΟΣ <i>third</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>
εἰληφώς <i>(υἱᾶ, ὅς)</i>	[intellectually] comprehended, [generally] acquired	ΕΙΛΗΦΩΣ <i>grasped</i>
λεπτομερής <i>(ές)</i>	of a subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΗΣ <i>fine/thin part</i>
ἡ λεπτομέρεια αἱ λεπτομέρειαι	subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΕΙΑ <i>fine/thin part</i>
συμπαθής <i>(ές)</i>	harmonious	ΣΥΜΠΑΘΗΣ <i>together experiencing</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, ὄν)</i>	remaining [rest of + <i>gen</i>]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἄθροίσματα	[inorganic] aggregate [organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of action of [being] gathered</i>
ἡ δύναμις αἱ δυνάμεις	force	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>
ἡ ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
τὸ ἄδηλον τὰ ἄδηλα	^[ο] what is unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΝ <i>not clear</i>
ἐνδηλος <i>(ον)</i>	^(θ) fully clear	ΕΝΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear within</i>
δηλοῦν	to make clear	ΔΗΛΟΥΝ <i>clear</i>
ἡ εὐκίνησία αἱ εὐκίνησiai	agility	ΕΥΚΙΝΗΣΙΑ <i>good movement</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ δiάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
στερούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	deprived	ΣΤΕΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>deprived</i>
θνήσκειν	to die	ΘΗΗΣΚΕΙΝ ΘΗΗΣΚΕΙΝ <i>died</i>

63c. Cause of Sensation

καὶ μὴν, ὅτι ἔχει ἡ Ψυχή τῆς αἰσθήσεως τὴν πλείστην αἰτίαν Δεῖ κατέχειν

And indeed, It is necessary to firmly maintain that the Soul is the greatest cause of sensation

[Bailey] Further, you must grasp that the soul possesses the chief cause of sensation.

ἡ ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving</i> <i>process</i>
πλεῖστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	the greatest [the most]	ΠΛΕΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
κατέχειν	to firmly hold [here, "to firmly maintain"]	ΚΑΤΕΧΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>holding</i>

64a. Interrelationship of Body & Soul

οὐ μὴν εἰλήφει ἂν **ταύτην**, εἰ μὴ **ὑπὸ τοῦ λοιποῦ ἄθροίσματος** ἐστεγάζεται πως· τὸ δὲ λοιπὸν Ἄθροισμα, παρασκευάσαν **ἐκείνη τὴν αἰτίαν ταύτην**, μετεἶληφε καὶ αὐτὸ **τοιούτου συμπτώματος παρ’ ἐκείνης** – οὐ, μέντοι, **πάντων ὧν** ἐκείνη κέκτῃται

nevertheless [the Soul] would not have acquired [sensation], unless it was in some way covered by the remaining aggregate: while the remaining Aggregation, having provided that cause [of protection] to that [soul], also itself partook of this same symptom with that [soul] – not, however, of all [symptoms] which that [soul] possesses

^[Bailey] yet it could not have acquired sensation, unless it were in some way enclosed by the rest of the structure. And this in its turn having afforded the soul this cause of sensation acquires itself too a share in this contingent capacity from the soul. Yet it does not acquire all the capacities which the soul possesses.

The soul can exist and operate only because it is enclosed within the body. The body also gets the ability to exist and operate from the soul.

εἰληφώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	^[intellectually] comprehended, ^[generally] acquired	ΕΙΛΗΦΩΣ <i>grasped</i>
εἰληφέναι	to have acquired	ΕΙΛΗΦΕΝΑΙ <i>grasped</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἀθροίσματα	^[inorganic] aggregate ^[organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of [being]</i> <i>gathered</i>
στεγάζειν	to cover	ΣΤΕΓΑΖΕΙΝ <i>covering</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing</i> <i>alongside</i>
παρασκευάζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	providing	
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
μετέχειν	to partake	ΜΕΤΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold among</i>
μετεἰληφέναι	to have partaken	ΜΕΤΕΙΛΗΦΕΝΑΙ <i>taken among</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
κτᾷσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
κεκτῆσθαι	{to have procured already} to possess	ΚΕΚΤΗΣΘΑΙ <i>acquired</i>

διὸ, ἀπαλλαγείσης τῆς **Ψυχῆς**, οὐκ ἔχει **τὴν αἴσθησιν**: οὐ γὰρ αὐτὸ ἐν ἑαυτῷ **ταύτην** ἐκέκτητο **τὴν δύναμιν** – ἀλλ’ ἕτερον, ἅμα συγγεγεννημένον αὐτῷ **παρεσκεύαζεν** – “Ὁ, διὰ τῆς συντελεσθείσης **περὶ αὐτὸ** **δυνάμεως**, κατὰ τὴν **κίνησιν**, **σύμπτωμα αἰσθητικὸν** εὐθὺς ἀποτελοῦν **ἑαυτῷ** – ἀπεδίδου κατὰ τὴν **ὁμοούρησιν καὶ συμπάθειαν καὶ ἐκείνῳ**, καθάπερ Εἶπον

therefore, when the Soul has already been removed away [from the body], it does not have **sensation**: for that [soul] had not possessed **this force** **intrinsically** – but provides **something different, inherent together with [the body]** – Which [existence of the two together], through the force within itself which has altogether fulfilled, in accordance with its movement, directly bringing to fulfillment **for itself a perceptible symptom** – and fully gives [that symptom] **to the [body]** in accordance with [its] proximity and correspondence [of movement], just as I said

[Bailey] and therefore when the soul is released from the body, the body no longer has sensation. For it never possessed this power in itself, but used to afford opportunity for it to another existence, brought into being at the same time with itself and this existence, owing to the power now consummated within itself as a result of motion, used spontaneously to produce for itself the capacity of sensation and then to communicate it to the body as well, in virtue of its contact and correspondence of movement, as I have already said.

The soul must mix with the body to produce life and sensation. When the soul leaves the body, the body and the soul both lose the ability to feel – because they never had this power on their own.

ἀπαλλάττειν	to remove away	ΑΠΑΛΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>changing away</i>
ἀπαλλάξαι	to remove away [already]	
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
κεκτῆσθαι	{to have procured already} to possess	ΚΕΚΤΗΙΣΘΑΙ <i>acquired</i>
ἡ δύναμις αἱ δυνάμεις	force	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>
συγγεγονέναι	to have been inherent	ΣΥΓΓΕΓΟΝΕΝΑΙ <i>having been born together</i>
συγγεγεννημένος <i>(ἡ, ον)</i>	having been inherent	ΣΥΓΓΕΓΕΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>having been born together</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
συντελεσθείσης	having altogether fulfilled	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΣΘΕΙΣΗΣ <i>completing together</i>
τὸ κίνημα τὰ κινήματα	[specific] movement	ΚΙΝΗΜΑ <i>result of moving</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
αἰσθητικός <i>(ἡ, όν)</i>	[directly] perceptible	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of perceiving</i>

ἰθύς εὐθύς <i>(εἶα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΟΥΣ ΕΥΟΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
ἀποτελοῦν	to bring to fulfillment	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΟΥΝ <i>completing away</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate [here, "fully gives"]	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
ἀποδιδούς <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i> ἀποδιδόντες	demonstrating	
ἡ ὁμούρησις αἱ ὁμούρησεις	proximity	ὍΜΟΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>state of being together with</i>
ἡ συμπάθεια αἱ συμπάθειαι	correspondence	ΣΥΜΠΑΘΕΙΑ <i>experience together</i>

65a. Soul Requires Body

διὸ, δὴ καὶ ἐνυπάρχουσα, ἡ Ψυχὴ οὐδέποτε ἄλλου τινὸς μέρους ἀπηλλαγμένου ἀναισθητεῖ – ἀλλ’ ὅ ἂν καὶ ταύτης ξυναπόληται τοῦ στεγάζοντος λυθέντος (εἴθ’ ὅλου εἴτε καὶ μέρους τινός) – ἐάν περ διαμένη, ἔξει **τὴν αἴσθησιν**

therefore, while also existing **within [the body]**, the Soul never lacks sensation, **even when some other part has been removed** [along with that part of the body] – but Whatever [portions] of this [soul] might also perish **when its [bodily] covering is loosened** (whether **in whole** or also **in part**) – if [the soul] persists [in the body], it will have **sensation**

[Bailey] Therefore, so long as the soul remains in the body, even though some other part of the body be lost, it will never lose sensation; nay more, whatever portions of the soul may perish too, when that which enclosed it is removed either in whole or in part, if the soul continues to exist at all, it will retain sensation.

[Hicks] Hence, so long as the soul is in the body, it never loses sentience through the removal of some other part. The containing sheath may be dislocated in whole or in part, and portions of the soul may thereby be lost; yet in spite of this the soul, if it manage to survive, will have sentience.

Life can persist if a part of the body is injured (i.e., loosened in part) or suffers amputation (i.e., loosened in whole).

ἐνυπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i> ἐνυπάρχοντα	integral	ΕΝΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under (before) in</i>
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἀπηλλαγμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been removed	ΑΠΗΛΛΑΓΜΕΝΟΣ <i>removing away from</i>
ἀναισθητεῖν	to lack sensation	ΑΝΑΙΣΘΗΤΕΙΝ <i>not sensing</i>
ξυναπολέσθαι	to perish together	ΞΥΝΑΠΟΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>being destroyed together</i>
τὸ στεγάζον τὰ στεγάζοντα	what covers	ΣΤΕΓΑΖΟΝ <i>covering</i>
λυθείς <i>(εἶσα, έν)</i>	loosened	ΛΥΘΕΙΣ <i>sever</i>
διαμένειν	to persist	ΔΙΑΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying through</i>

τὸ δὲ λοιπὸν ἄθροισμα – διαμένον καὶ ὅλον καὶ κατὰ μέρος – οὐκ ἔχει τὴν αἴσθησιν, ἐκείνου ἀπηλλαγμένου (ὅσον ποτέ ἐστι) τὸ συντεῖνον τῶν ἀτόμων πλῆθος εἰς τὴν τῆς ψυχῆς φύσιν

but the remaining Aggregate – although persisting as a whole or in part – does not possess sensation, once it has lost the number of atoms contributing towards the nature of the soul (however many there is)

[Bailey] On the other hand the rest of the structure, though it continues to exist either as a whole or in part, does not retain sensation, if it has once lost that sum of atoms, however small it be, which together goes to produce the nature of the soul.

The rest of the body, even if it remains whole or in part, loses all feeling once the atoms that make up the soul are gone.

λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining [rest of + <i>gen</i>]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἄθροίσματα	[inorganic] aggregate [organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of [being]</i> <i>gathered</i>
διαμένειν	to persist	ΔΙΑΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying through</i>
διαμένων	persisting	
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἀπηλλαγμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been removed	ΑΠΗΛΛΑΓΜΕΝΟΣ <i>removing</i> <i>away from</i>
συντείνειν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>stretching</i> <i>together</i>
συντείνων	contributing	
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
τὸ πλῆθος τὰ πλήθη	[large] number	ΠΛΗΘΟΣ <i>fullness</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>

65c. Death

καὶ μὴν καὶ, λυομένου τοῦ ὅλου ἄθροίσματος, ἡ Ψυχὴ διασπείρεται καὶ οὐκέτι ἔχει τὰς αὐτὰς δυνάμεις, οὐδὲ κινεῖται, ὥσπερ οὐδ’ αἴσθησιν κέκτηται

and indeed, when the whole aggregate is dissolved, the Soul is dispersed and no longer possesses the same forces, and no longer moves – therefore it no longer possesses sensation

[Bailey] Moreover, if the whole structure is dissolved, the soul is dispersed and no longer has the same powers nor performs its movements, so that it does not possess sensation either.

When the entire body is broken up, the soul is scattered and loses its powers and movements, so it cannot feel anymore.

διαλύειν	to fully dissolve	ΔΙΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>fully dissolving</i>
λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening</i>
λυόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being dissolved	

τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἄθροίσματα	^[inorganic] aggregate ^[organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ result of action of [being] gathered
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ blowing
διασπείρειν	to disperse	ΔΙΑΣΠΕΙΡΕΙΝ scatter through
διασπείρεσθαι	to be dispersed	
ἡ δύναμις αἱ δυνάμεις	force	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ power
κινεῖν	to move	ΚΙΝΕΙΝ moving
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	movement	
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ perceiving process
κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ acquiring
κεκτῆσθαι	{to have procured already} to possess	ΚΕΚΤΗΣΘΑΙ acquired

66a. No Sensation Without Body

οὐ γὰρ οἶόν τε Νοεῖν αὐτὸ αἰσθανόμενον, μὴ ἐν τούτῳ τῷ συστήματι καὶ ταῖς κινήσεσι ταύταις χρώμενον – ὅταν τὰ στεγάζοντα καὶ Περιέχοντα μὴ τοιαῦτα ᾗ (ἐν οἷς νῦν οὔσα ἔχει ταύτας τὰς κινήσεις)

for it is not possible to Conceive of that same [soul] as sensing, while not in that structure and [not] making use of those movements – whenever the Coverings and Surroundings are not the same (in which it now exists while having these movements)

^[Hicks] For we cannot think of it as sentient, except it be in this composite whole and moving with these movements; nor can we so think of it when the sheaths which enclose and surround it are not the same as those in which the soul is now located and in which it performs these movements.

^[Bailey] For it is impossible to imagine it with sensation, if it is not in this organism and cannot effect these movements, when what encloses and surrounds it is no longer the same as the surroundings in which it now exists and performs these movements.

A soul cannot feel or sense anything if it is not in the same body it grew up with.

νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ seeing
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ perceiving process
αἰσθανόμενος	sensing	
τὸ σύστημα τὰ συστήματα	structure	ΣΥΣΤΗΜΑ standing together
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	^[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ moving process
χρώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	using	ΧΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ necessary
στεγάζειν	to cover	ΣΤΕΓΑΖΕΙΝ covering
στεγάζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i> στεγάζοντες <i>(ουσαι, οντα)</i>	covering	ΣΤΕΓΑΖΩΝ covering
στεγαζόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	covered	ΣΤΕΓΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ shelter

περιέχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ <i>holding around</i>
τὸ περιέχον τὰ περιέχοντα	surrounding environment	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΟΝ <i>hold around</i>

{66b. Irrational & Rational Parts}

{Λέγει, ἐν ἄλλοις, καὶ ἐξ ἀτόμων **Αὐτὴν συγκεῖσθαι λειοτάτων καὶ στρογγυλωτάτων** – **πολλῷ τινι διαφερουσῶν τῶν τοῦ πυρός**: καὶ τὸ μὲν **Τι ἄλογον** αὐτῆς, ὃ **τῷ λοιπῷ** παρεσπάρθαι **σώματι** – τὸ δὲ **λογικὸν** ἐν τῷ **θώρακι**, ὥς **δῆλον** ἔκ τε τῶν φόβων καὶ τῆς χαρᾶς}

{He says, in other [locations], that **the [Soul]** is compounded from the smoothest and roundest atoms – **by a large measure in a certain respect differing from those of fire**: and that a Part of it is **unreasoning**, which is spread out **through the remaining body** – but the **rational** [part is] **in the chest**, as is **clear** both from fears and from joy}

[Hicks] [He says elsewhere that the soul is composed of the smoothest and roundest of atoms – far superior in both respects to those of fire: that part of it is irrational, this being scattered over the rest of the frame – while the rational part resides in the chest, as is manifest from our fears and our joy

Life is part irrational (feeling) and part rational (thinking). The soul is made of the smoothest and roundest atoms. The irrational part of the soul is spread throughout the body, while the rational part is in the chest, shown by emotions like fear and joy. Sleep happens when the scattered parts of the soul either gather together or escape through the body's pores.

τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
συγκεῖσθαι	to be compounded	ΣΥΓΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting together</i>
λεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	smooth	ΛΕΙΟΣ <i>smooth</i>
λειότατοι <i>(αι, α)</i>	smoothest	ΛΕΙΟΤΑΤΟΙ <i>most smooth</i>
στρογγύλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	round	ΣΤΡΟΓΓΥΛΟΣ <i>droplet</i>
στρογγυλωτάτοι <i>(αι, α)</i>	roundest	ΣΤΡΟΓΓΥΛΩΤΑΤΟΙ <i>most like a droplet</i>
διαφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	differing	ΔΙΑΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing through</i>
ἀναφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	referring	ΑΝΑΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing back</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἄλογος <i>(ον)</i>	unreasoning	ΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>not reasoning</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining <i>[rest of + gen]</i>	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
παρεσπάρθαι	to be spread out	ΠΑΡΕΣΠΑΡΘΑΙ <i>scatter beside</i>
παρεσπαρμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	spread out	
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
λογικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	rational	ΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of reasoning</i>
ὁ θώραξ οἱ θώρακες	chest	ΘΩΡΑΞ <i>breastplate</i>

δῆλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
ἡ χαρά αἱ χαραί	joy	ΧΑΡΑ <i>being cheerful</i>

{66c. Sleep}

{**“Υπνον τε γίνεσθαι τῶν τῆς ψυχῆς μερῶν (τῶν παρ’ ὅλην τὴν σύγκρισιν παρεσπαρμένων, ἐγκατεχομένων ἢ διαφορουμένων) – εἴτα συμπιπτόντων τοῖς ἐπερεισμοῖς: τό τε σπέρμα ἀφ’ ὅλων τῶν σωμάτων φέρεσθαι**

{[Epicurus also says that] Sleep is produced when the parts of the soul (which are spread out throughout the whole compound, [either] compacted or disseminated) – accordingly fall into each other from their mutual pressing: for Seeds [of the soul] emanate from the entirety of [our] bodies}

[Hicks] that sleep occurs when the parts of the soul (which have been scattered all over the composite organism) are held fast in it or dispersed, and afterwards collide with one another by their impacts. The semen is derived from the whole of the body.]

[Yonge]... for particles emanate from all bodies

Sleep occurs when the parts of the soul, which are spread throughout the body (either gathering together or staying dispersed) eventually fall together.

ὁ ὕπνος οἱ ὕπνοι	sleep	ὙΠΝΟΣ <i>sleep</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
παρεσπαρμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	spread out	ΠΑΡΕΣΠΑΡΜΕΝΟΣ <i>scatter beside</i>
ἐγκατεχόμενος	compactcd	ΕΓΚΑΤΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>holding fully in</i>
διαφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	disseminated	ΔΙΑΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing through</i>
συμπίπτων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	to fall into each other	ΣΥΜΠΙΠΤΩΝ <i>falling together</i>
ὁ ἐπερεισμός οἱ ἐπερεισμοί	mutual pressing	ΕΠΕΡΕΙΣΜΟΣ <i>pressing upon</i>
τὸ σπέρμα τὰ σπέρματα	seed	ΣΠΕΡΜΑ <i>seed</i>

67a. Incorporeal Existence

ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ, Τόδε γε δεῖ προσκατανοεῖν: **ὅ τι "τὸ ἀσώματον" Λέγομεν κατὰ τὴν πλείστην ὁμιλίαν τοῦ ὀνόματος ἐπὶ τοῦ καθ’ ἑαυτὸ νοηθέντος – ἅν καθ’ ἑαυτὸ δὲ οὐκ ἔστι νοῆσαι τὸ ἀσώματον, πλὴν τοῦ κενοῦ**

but indeed, This too must be fully conceived: **that which** We we call "the incorporeal" in the most [common] association of the word [is] applied to what is conceived as intrinsic – while is not possible to conceive the incorporeal as intrinsic, except as void

[Bailey] Furthermore, we must clearly comprehend as well, that the incorporeal in the general acceptance of the term is applied to that which could be thought of as such as an independent existence. Now it is impossible to conceive the incorporeal as a separate existence, except the void.

It is generally agreed that the "incorporeal," although it does not have a physical existence, is something that "exists" independent from objects. However – empty space is the only thing we can think of as truly incorporeal.

νοεῖν	to conceive	NOEIN <i>seeing</i>
κατανοεῖν	to fully conceive	KATANOEIN <i>seeing thoroughly</i>
προσκατανοεῖν	to also fully conceive	ΠΡΟΣΚΑΤΑΝΟΕΙΝ <i>addition to seeing thoroughly</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
τὸ ἀσώματον τὰ ἀσώματα	the incorporeal	ΑΣΩΜΑΤΟΝ <i>not body</i>
πλεῖστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	the greatest [the most]	ΠΛΕΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most</i>
ἡ ὁμιλία αἱ ὁμιλῖαι	association	ὍΜΙΛΙΑ <i>company</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
νοηθεῖς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i> νοηθέντες <i>(εἶσαι, ἐντα)</i>	conceived	ΝΟΗΘΕΙΣ <i>seeing</i>
νοῆσαι	to conceive [already]	ΝΟΗΣΑΙ <i>seeing</i>
τὸ κενόν	void	KENON <i>emptiness</i>

67b. Soul is Material

τὸ δὲ Κενὸν οὔτε ποιῆσαι οὔτε παθεῖν δύναται, ἀλλὰ **κίνησιν** μόνον **δι’ ἑαυτοῦ** **τοῖς σώμασι** παρέχεται – ὥστε Οἱ λέγοντες **ἀσώματον εἶναι τὴν Ψυχὴν** **ματαῖζουσιν** – οὐθὲν γὰρ ἂν ἐδύνατο ποιεῖν οὔτε πάσχειν, εἰ ἦν **τοιαύτη**: **νῦν δ’ ἐναργῶς ἀμφοτέρα ταῦτα διαλαμβάνεται περὶ τὴν ψυχὴν τὰ Συμπτώματα.**

But the Void neither produces nor experiences [anything], but only yields **movement through itself to bodies** – therefore, Those who claim that **the Soul is incorporeal** speak vainly – for it would be able to neither produce nor experience [anything] if it were **of that sort**: but **now both these Symptoms concerning the soul are detectibly distinguished***

**i.e., one's soul is observed to initiate its own movement and also to be affected by the environment*

[Bailey] and the void can neither act nor be acted upon, but only provides opportunity of motion through itself to bodies. So that those who say that the soul is incorporeal are talking idly. For it would not be able to act or be acted on in any respect, if it were of this nature. But as it is, both these occurrences are clearly distinguished in respect of the soul.

The void can never affect anything and cannot be affected by anything in any way – it just allows objects to move through it. The soul is corporeal: because the soul can both act and be acted upon, which is impossible for empty space.
Acting and being acted upon are "symptoms" (i.e., separable qualities) of the soul, because – although the soul can act and be acted upon – such activities are not necessarily a quality of the soul at any given time.

τὸ κενόν	void	KENON <i>emptiness</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>

κατέχειν	to firmly hold	ΚΑΤΕΧΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly holding</i>
παρέχειν	to yield	ΠΑΡΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold beside</i>
τὸ ἀσώματον τὰ ἀσώματα	the incorporeal	ΑΣΩΜΑΤΟΝ <i>not body</i>
ἀσώματος <i>(ον)</i>	incorporeal	
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ματαῖζειν	to speak vainly	ΜΑΤΑΙΖΕΙΝ <i>vain action</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
πάσχειν	to experience	ΠΑΣΧΕΙΝ <i>enduring</i>
ἐναργής <i>(ές)</i>	detectible	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΣ <i>within clear</i>
τὸ ἐνάργημα τὰ ἐναργήματα	^[Ε] detectible fact	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of being within clear</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργείαι	^[Ε] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
ἐναργῶς	detectibly	ΕΝΑΡΓΩΣ
ἀμφότερος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	both	ΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>what is on both sides</i>
διαλαμβάνειν	to distinguish	ΔΙΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping separation</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>

68a. Mental Examination

ταῦτα οὖν πάντα τὰ διαλογίσματα τὰ περὶ ψυχῆς ἀνάγων Τις ἐπὶ τὰ πάθη καὶ τὰς αἰσθήσεις, μνημονεύων τῶν ἐν ἀρχῇ ῥηθέντων: ἰκανῶς κατόψεται, τοῖς τύποις, ἐμπεριειλημμένα – εἰς τὸ κατὰ μέρος ἀπὸ τούτων, ἐξακριβοῦσθαι βεβαίως

therefore, Someone referring all these thorough reasonings about the soul back to [the standards of] the experiences and the sensations, while remembering what was said originally: [He] will, using these [mental] impressions, [be able to] sufficiently mentally inspect [those reasonings that are] completely comprehended within – [in order] to affirmatively be made fully precise from these [mental impressions] according to [the details of] each part

[Bailey] Now if one refers all these reasonings about the soul to the standards of feeling and sensation and remembers what was said at the outset, he will see that they are sufficiently embraced in these general formulae to enable him to work out with certainty on this basis the details of the system as well.

By linking all reasoning to experiences and sensations – while recalling the foundational points about the atoms – one can examine and confirm details accurately.

λογικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	rational	ΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of reasoning</i>
τό διαλόγισμα τὰ διαλογίσματα	a thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of reasoning through action</i>
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>

ἀνάγων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	referring back	ΑΝΑΓΩΝ <i>leading back</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[Α] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
μνημονεύειν	to remember	ΜΝΗΜONEYΕΙΝ <i>"addition to" thinking</i>
μνημονεύων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	remembering	ΜΝΗΜONEYΩΝ <i>"addition to" thinking</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἐν ἀρχῇ	originally	ΕΝ ΑΡΧΗ <i>in the first order</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
ῥηθῆναι	to be said [already]	ῚΡΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>said</i>
ῥηθείς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i> ῥηθέντες <i>(εἶσαι, ἐντα)</i>	said	ῚΡΗΘΕΙΣ <i>said</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ῚΟΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
καθορᾶν	to inspect [mentally]	ΚΑΘΟΡΑΝ <i>thoroughly looking</i>
κατόψεσθαι	to be inspected [in the future]	ΚΑΤΟΨΕΣΘΑΙ <i>thoroughly will see</i>
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ <i>imprinting</i>
ἐμπεριλαμβάνειν	[*] to completely comprehend <i>within</i>	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping around in</i>
ἐμπεριειλημμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[*] completely comprehended <i>within</i>	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>being grasped around in</i>
ἐξακριβοῦν	to make fully precise	ΕΞΑΚΡΙΒΟΥΝ <i>fully pointed</i>
ἐξακριβοῦσθαι	to be made fully precise	
βεβαιοτάτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most certain</i>
βεβαιούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>firm standing</i>
βεβαίως	affirmatively	

68b. Dependent Existence of Qualities

ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ, τὰ Σχήματα καὶ τὰ Χρώματα καὶ τὰ Μεγέθη καὶ τὰ Βάρη καὶ Ὅσα ἄλλα κατηγορεῖται σώματος (ὥσανεὶ συμβεβηκότα ἢ πᾶσιν, ἢ τοῖς ὁρατοῖς, καὶ κατὰ τὴν αἴσθησιν αὐτὴν γνωστά) οὐθ’ ὥς καθ’ ἑαυτάς εἰσι φύσεις δοξαστέον: οὐ γὰρ δυνατόν ἐπινοῆσαι τοῦτο

but indeed, Shapes and Colors and Sizes and Weights and Whatever else are attributed to body (as though [those things are] permanent properties either to all [bodies], or [just] to visible [bodies], and are known according to sensation itself) cannot be judged as intrinsically existing natures: for it is not possible to objectively conceive this

[Bailey] Moreover, as regards shape and colour and size and weight and all other things that are predicated of body, as though they were concomitant properties either of all things or of things visible or recognizable through the sensation of these qualities, we must not suppose that they are either independent existences (for it is impossible to imagine that)

Shapes, colors, sizes, weights (and other qualities that are known through our senses) do not exist independently apart from their object of origin. However they do exist – even though their physical existence is dependent.

τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
τὸ χρῶμα τὰ χρώματα	color	ΧΡΩΜΑ <i>skin color</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
τὸ βάρος τὰ βάρη	weight	ΒΑΡΟΣ <i>heavy</i>
κατηγορεῖν	to fully indicate	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly speaking publicly</i>
κατηγορεῖσθαι	to be attributed	
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	<i>"that which has endured"</i> property <i>(inseparable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
ὁρατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	visible	ὈΡΑΤΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
τὸ ὁρατόν τὰ ὁρατά	visible thing	
αἰσθητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	^(A) sensible	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΟΣ <i>perceiving</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
γινώσκειν = γιννώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
γνωστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	known	ΓΝΩΣΤΟΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
δοξαστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able to be judged	ΔΟΞΑΣΤΟΣ <i>seeming</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing upon</i>

69a. Physical Existence of Qualities

οὔτε ὅλως ὡς οὐκ εἰσίν – οὔθ’ ὡς ἕτερ’ Ἄττα προσυπάρχοντα τούτῳ ἀσώματα – οὔθ’ ὡς μόρια τούτου· ἀλλ’ ὡς τὸ ὅλον Σῶμα ^[κ] καθόλου ἐκ τούτων πάντων τὴν ἑαυτοῦ φύσιν ἔχον αἰδίων

nor [can it be judged] that those [qualities] entirely do not exist – nor as if [they could be] Some different incorporeal [quality] inherent to that [body] – nor as portions of that [body]: but as the whole Body ^[κ] universally possessing its nature in a way that lasts from all these things

^[Hicks] nor to be some other and incorporeal entities cleaving to body, nor again to be parts of body. We must consider the whole body in a general way to derive its permanent nature from all of them,

[Bailey] Moreover, as regards shape and colour and size and weight and all other things that are predicated of body, as though they were concomitant properties either of all things or of things visible or recognizable through the sensation of these qualities, we must not suppose that they are either independent existences (for it is impossible to imagine that) nor that they absolutely do not exist. (as when, for instance, a larger structure is put together out of the parts which compose it, whether the first units of size or other parts smaller than itself, whatever it is), but only, as I say, that it owes its own permanent existence to all of them.

Qualities are physical manifestations of bodies. The whole body gets its enduring nature from its enduring qualities – such as a stone from weight, fire from heat, and water from liquidity. Although these qualities do not have an independent existence separate from atoms and void – they nevertheless do have an existence.

ἅττα	some	ΑΤΤΑ <i>is an alternative form of</i>
τινά	certain	ΤΙΝΑ
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [other / another]	ἕτερος <i>other</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὑπαρχεῖν <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i> ὑπάρχοντες <i>(ουσαι, οντα)</i>	existing [already]	ὑπαρχων <i>beginning under (before)</i>
προσυπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i> προσυπάρχοντες <i>(ουσαι, οντα)</i>	inherent	προσυπαρχων <i>addition to / toward beginning under (before)</i>
τὸ ἀσώματον τὰ ἀσώματα	the incorporeal	ΑΣΩΜΑΤΟΝ <i>not body</i>
ἡ μοῖρα αἱ μοῖραι	a portion [as assigned share]	ΜΟΙΡΑ <i>receiving one's portion</i>
τὸ μόριον τὰ μόρια	a portion [as part of a whole]	ΜΟΡΙΟΝ <i>receiving one's portion</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
καθόλου	^(κ) universally	ΚΑΘΟΛΟΥ <i>completely</i>
αἰδῖος <i>(αἰδία, αἰδῖον)</i>	lasting	ΑΙΔΙΟΣ <i>always</i>
αἰδῖον	in a way that lasts	
τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	<i>"that which has endured"</i> property <i>(inseparable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked together</i>

–69b. Formation of Properties

οὐχ οἷον δὲ εἶναι συμπεφορημένον – ὥσπερ ὅταν ἐξ αὐτῶν τῶν ὀγκων μεῖζον ἄθροισμα συστήῃ (ἤτοι τῶν πρώτων ἢ τῶν τοῦ ὅλου μεγεθῶν τοῦδέ τινος ἐλαττόνων) – ἀλλὰ μονον, ὡς λέγω, ἐκ τούτων ἀπάντων τὴν ἑαυτοῦ φύσιν ἔχον αἰδῖον

nor is it **such a thing** that has been put together – in the same way as when a larger Aggregate is composed **from the very particles themselves** (either from the first [particles] or [just] from smaller sizes [of particles] **of this whole particular [aggregate]**) – but only, as I say, having **its lasting nature** from all of these

nor [can a quality be judged as if] it is **such a thing** that has [come about by having] been put together {i.e., as though "little parts of a quality" could "come together to form a full quality"} – in the same way as when a larger Aggregation is composed from the very particles themselves (either from the first [particles] {i.e., atoms} or [just] from smaller sizes [of particles] in that particular whole [aggregation]) – but only, as I say, having **its lasting nature** from all of these [particles that have come together]

nor [can a quality be judged as if] it is **such a thing** that has [come about by having] been put together {i.e., as though "little parts of a quality" could "come together to form a full quality"} – in the same way as when a larger Aggregation is set together from the very particles themselves (either from the first [particles] {i.e., atoms} or [just] from smaller sizes [of particles] of this whole particular [aggregation]) – but only, as I say, having **its enduring nature** from all of these

[Bailey] nor that they are some other kind of incorporeal existence accompanying body, nor that they are material parts of body, rather we should suppose that the whole body in its totality owes its own permanent existence to all these, yet not in the sense that it is composed of properties brought together to form it.

[Hicks] nor to be some other and **incorporeal entities cleaving to body**, nor again to be parts of body. We must consider the whole body in a general way to derive its permanent nature from all of them. Though it is not, as it were, formed by grouping them together in the same way as when from the particles themselves a larger aggregate is made up, whether these particles be primary or any magnitudes whatsoever less than the particular whole. All these qualities, I repeat, merely give the body its own permanent nature.

A compound's enduring nature comes from its inseparable qualities (qualities that are permanent to that body because they are a necessary quality of that body – such as weight to stone, heat to fire, liquidity to water).
However, these qualities are not formed by simply assembling them from "smaller pieces of other qualities." (e.g., "particles of heat" do not form fire, "particles of liquidity" do not form water). These inseparable qualities are not separate entities, or even parts of the compound – but these inseparable qualities come about when the compound is formed (e.g., when a compound of water is formed, it has the inseparable quality of liquidity).

φορεῖν	to transmit	ΦΟΡΕΙΝ <i>carrying</i>
συμφορεῖν	to put together	ΣΥΜΦΟΡΕΙΝ <i>carrying together</i>
συμπεφορημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been put together	ΣΥΜΠΕΦΟΡΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>carried together</i>
ὁ ὄγκος οἱ ὄγκοι	particle	ΟΓΚΟΣ <i>bulk</i>
μεῖζων <i>(ον)</i>	larger	ΜΕΙΖΩΝ <i>greater</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἀθροίσματα	^[inorganic] aggregate ^[organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of [being]</i> <i>gathered</i>
τὸ πρῶτον τὰ πρῶτα	the first	ΠΡΩΤΟΝ <i>first</i>
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
συνστήσαι	to compose ^[already]	ΣΥΝΣΤΗΣΑΙ <i>stood together</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
μικρός <i>(ᾱ, ὄν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
ἐλάττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	smaller	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>lesser</i>
αἰδιος <i>(αἰδία, αἰδιον)</i>	lasting	ΑΙΔΙΟΣ <i>always</i>

καὶ ἐπιβολὰς μὲν ἔχοντα **ιδίας** πάντα ταῦτά ἐστι καὶ **διαλήψεις**:
συμπαρακολουθοῦντος δὲ τοῦ Ἀθρόου καὶ οὐθαμῇ ἀποσχιζομένου – ἀλλὰ κατὰ
τὴν ἀθρόαν ἔννοιαν τοῦ σώματος κατηγορίαν εἰληφότος

and all these [inseparable qualities] indeed have [their] own particular attention {i.e., mode of being perceived} and differentiation: as long as the Aggregate follows along together [with the qualities] and is nowhere detached – but rather having acquired a full [categorical] indication of a body according to [our] aggregated internal conception

[Hicks] They all have their own characteristic modes of being perceived and distinguished, but always along with the whole body in which they inhere and never in separation from it; and it is in virtue of this complete conception of the body as a whole that it is so designated.

[Bailey] All these properties have their own peculiar means of being perceived and distinguished, provided always that the aggregate body goes along with them and is never wrested from them, but in virtue of its comprehension as an aggregate of qualities acquires the predicate of body.

Different qualities are perceived separately and through different senses. These qualities can only be perceived and identified along with the whole object they belong to, never separately. The complete idea of the object comes from the combination of all these perceptions.

τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	"that which has endured" property (inseparable quality)	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἴδιος (α, ον)	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ἡ διάληψις αἱ διαλήψεις	differentiation	ΔΙΑΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold separation</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
συμπαρακολουθῶν (οὔσα, οὔν)	following along together	ΣΥΜΠΑΡΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΩΝ <i>following alongside together</i>
ἀθρόος (α, ον)	aggregated	ΑΘΡΟΟΣ <i>in groups</i>
τὸ ἀθρόον τὰ ἀθρόα	aggregate	
οὐθαμῇ = οὐθαμεῖ = οὐδαμοῦ	nowhere	ΟΥΘΑΜΗ <i>not in any place</i>
ἀποσχίζειν	to detach	ΑΠΟΣΧΙΖΕΙΝ <i>splitting away</i>
ἀποσχιζόμενος (η, ον)	being detached	
τὸ ἀθρόον τὰ ἀθρόα	what is aggregated	ΑΘΡΟΟΝ <i>gathered</i>
ἡ ἐπίνοια αἱ ἐπινοίαι	[objective] concept	ΕΠΙΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἡ ἔννοια αἱ ἔννοιαι	internal conception	ΕΝΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing in</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
κατηγορεῖν	to fully indicate	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly speaking publicly</i>
ἡ κατηγορία αἱ κατηγορίαι	full [categorical] indication	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΙΑ <i>thoroughly speaking publicly</i>

εἰληφώς (υῖα, ός)	[intellectually] comprehended, [generally] acquired	ΕΙΛΗΦΩΣ <i>grasped</i>
-----------------------------	--	----------------------------------

70a. Formation of Symptoms

καὶ μὴν καὶ, **τοῖς σώμασι** συμπίπτει πολλάκις – καὶ οὐκ **αἰδίων** παρακολουθεῖν...
οὐτ’ ἐν τοῖς ἀοράτοις καὶ οὔτε ἀσώματα

and indeed, [there are also separable qualities] that are often symptomatic to **bodies** – although not [always] also following along [with bodies] **as lasting...** either in the unseen *{i.e., the atoms}* or in the incorporeal *{i.e., the void}*

[Bailey] Furthermore, there often happen to bodies and yet do not permanently accompany them accidents: of which we must suppose neither that they ⁽¹⁾do not exist at all, nor that they ⁽²⁾have the nature of a whole body, nor that ⁽³⁾they can be classed among unseen things, nor as ⁽⁴⁾incorporeal.

[Hicks] Again, qualities often attach to bodies without being permanent concomitants. They are not to be classed among invisible entities nor are they incorporeal.

[Yonge] Bodies often possess other attributes which are not eternally inherent in them, but which nevertheless, cannot be ranged among the incorporeal and invisible things.

Objects often have temporary qualities that are not permanent parts of them. These characteristics do exist, but they are not like whole bodies, invisible things, or incorporeal entities.

τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
συμπίπτειν	to be symptomatic	ΣΥΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling together</i>
συμπίπτων (ουσα, ον)	to fall into each other	
πολλάκις	often	ΠΟΛΛΑΚΙΣ <i>many times</i>
αἰδῖος (αἰδία, αἰδίων)	lasting	ΑΙΔΙΟΣ <i>always</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
συμπαρακολουθῶν (οὔσα, οὔν)	following along together	ΣΥΜΠΑΡΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΩΝ <i>following alongside together</i>
παρακολουθεῖν	to follow along	ΠΑΡΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following beside</i>
τὸ ἀόρατον τὰ ἀόρατα	^[ο] the unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΝ <i>not looking</i>
τὸ ἀσώματον τὰ ἀσώματα	the incorporeal	ΑΣΩΜΑΤΟΝ <i>not body</i>

70b. Perception of Symptoms

ὥστε δὴ κατὰ τὴν πλείστην φορὰν τούτῳ τῷ ὀνόματι χρώμενοι **φανερὰ**
Ποιοῦμεν τὰ συμπτώματα: οὔτε **τὴν τοῦ ὅλου φύσιν ἔχειν** (ὃ συλλαβόντες κατὰ
τὸ ἀθρόον σῶμα Προσαγορεύομεν) – οὔτε **τὴν τῶν αἰδίων παρακολουθούντων**
(**ῶν ἄνευ Σῶμα οὐ δυνατόν νοεῖσθαι**) – **κατ’ ἐπιβολὰς, δ’ ἂν τινας**
παρακολουθοῦντος τοῦ ἀθρόου ἕκαστα προσαγορευθεῖη

therefore, **by using this word** in accordance with the most extensive transmission *{i.e., by using "symptoms" with its most common meaning}*, We make **the symptoms evident**: they do not have ^[1] **the nature of the whole** (which We refer to **while comprehending together** in accordance with the aggregated body) – nor [do symptoms have] ^[2] **the lasting [nature] of those [properties] that follow along together** *{always with that type of body}* (without which a Body is not able to be conceived) – but according to our attentions, certain things could be called by this name [of "symptoms"], **while the aggregate is following along** [with that symptom]

[Hicks] Hence, using the term 'accidents' in the commonest sense, we say plainly that 'accidents' have not the nature of the whole thing to which they belong, and to which, conceiving it as a whole, we give the name of body, nor that of the permanent properties without which body cannot be thought of. And in virtue of certain peculiar modes of apprehension into which the complete body always enters, each of them can be called an accident.

[Bailey] So that when according to the most general usage we employ this name, we make it clear that accidents have neither ^[1]the nature of the whole, which we comprehend in its aggregate and call body, nor ^[2]that of the qualities which permanently accompany it, without which a given body cannot be conceived. But as the result of certain acts of apprehension, provided the aggregate body goes along with them, they might each be given this name

Symptoms (separable qualities) are not permanent constituents an object – these temporary qualities do not have the nature of the whole body or its properties (inseparable qualities).

πλεῖστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	the greatest <i>[the most]</i>	ΠΛΕΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
χρῶμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	using	ΧΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
φανερὸς <i>(ᾱ, ὄν)</i>	evident	ΦΑΝΕΡΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality <i>(characteristic)</i>	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from gathering</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	<i>"that which has endured"</i> property <i>(inseparable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
συλλαβεῖν	to comprehend together <i>[already]</i>	ΣΥΛΛΑΒΕΙΝ <i>grasped together</i>
συλλαβών <i>(οὔσα, ὄν)</i>	comprehending together <i>[already]</i>	
ἄθροος <i>(α, ον)</i>	aggregated	ΑΘΡΟΟΣ <i>in groups</i>
προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
προσαγορευθῆναι	to be referred to <i>[already]</i>	
αἰδῖος <i>(αἰδία, αἰδῖον)</i>	lasting	ΑΙΔΙΟΣ <i>always</i>

συμπακολουθῶν (οὔσα, οὖν)	following along together	ΣΥΜΠΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΩΝ <i>following alongside together</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
παρακολουθεῖν	to follow along	ΠΑΡΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following beside</i>
παρακολουθῶν (οὔσα, οὖν)	following along	
δυνατός (ή, όν)	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
νοεῖσθαι	to be conceived	ΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing</i>
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>

71a. Properties vs. Symptoms

ἀλλ’ ὅτε δήποτε Ἐκαστα **συμβαίνοντα** θεωρεῖται – οὐκ **αἰδίων** τῶν Συμπτωμάτων **παρακολουθούντων**: καὶ οὐκ Ἐξελατέον ἐκ τοῦ ὄντος ^[E] ταύτην τὴν ἐνάργειαν, ὅτι οὐκ ἔχει ^[1] τὴν τοῦ ὅλου φύσιν (ᾧ συμβαίνει – ὃ δὴ καὶ "σῶμα" Προσαγορεύομεν) οὐδὲ ^[2] τὴν τῶν **αἰδίων** παρακολουθούντων

but [*only*] whenever Each of those [*symptoms*] is observed enduring [*with its compound*] – because Symptoms do not follow along in a way that lasts: [but] It is not necessary to expel ^[E] this detectible reality from what exists, [just] because [the symptom] does not have ^[1] the nature of the whole (with which [the symptom] endures – which indeed We refer to as "a body") nor [does the symptom have] ^[2] that [nature] of those [properties] that follow along [*with the body*] in a way that lasts

^[Hicks] But only as often as they are seen actually to belong to it, since such accidents are not perpetual concomitants. There is no need to banish from reality this clear evidence that the accident has not the nature of that whole--by us called body--to which it belongs, nor of the permanent properties which accompany the whole.

^[Bailey] , but only on occasions when each one of them is seen to occur, since accidents are not permanent accompaniments. And we must not banish this clear vision from the realm of existence because it does not possess the nature of the whole to which it is joined nor that of the permanent accompaniments,

Symptoms (separable qualities) are not essential constituents of body – they are temporary characteristics that are only occasionally part of the body. Nevertheless, these qualities are real – as they are physically based in a body. Even though they are not permanent parts of that body or among its essential properties.

τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	<i>"that which has endured"</i> property (inseparable quality)	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
συμβαίνων (ουσα, ον)	enduring [with its compound]	
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρεῖσθαι	to be observed	
αἰδιος (αἰδία, αἰδιον)	lasting	ΑΙΔΙΟΣ <i>ever</i>
αἰδιον	in a way that lasts	
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom (separable quality)	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
παρακολουθεῖν	to follow along	ΠΑΡΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following beside</i>

παρακολουθῶν (οὔσα, οὖν)	following along	
ἐξελαύνειν	to expel	ΕΞΕΛΑΥΝΕΙΝ <i>driving out of</i>
ἐναργής (ές)	detectible	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΣ <i>within clear</i>
τὸ ἐνάργημα τὰ ἐναργήματα	^[E] detectible fact	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of being within clear</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεΐαι	^[E] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>

71b. Neither Intrinsic nor Extrinsic

οὐδ’ αὖ, καθ’ αὐτὰ νομιστέον – οὐδέ γάρ Τοῦτο διανοητόν: οὐτ’ ἐπὶ τούτων οὐτ’ ἐπὶ τῶν αἰδίων συμβεβηκότων – ἀλλ’ ὅπερ καὶ φαίνεται, Συμπτώματα πάντα «κατὰ» τὰ σώματα νομιστέον, καὶ οὐκ αἰδίων παρακολουθοῦντα, οὐδ’ αὖ φύσεως καθ’ ἑαυτὰ τάγμα ἔχοντα: ἀλλ’ ὄν τρόπον αὐτὴ ἡ Αἴσθησις τὴν ιδιότητα ποιεῖ θεωρεῖται

nor, again, is It necessary to think that [Qualities exist] *intrinsically* – for this is *not mentally perceptible*: neither for these [symptoms] nor for the properties [existing] *in a way that lasts [with the compound]* – but just as they appear, all Symptoms must be thought of according to the bodies, and not following along [with the body] *in a way that lasts [as permanent accompaniments]*, nor having an *intrinsic arrangement of nature {i.e., having of independent existence}*: but [those Qualities] are observed [to exist exactly] *in the way that Sensation itself gathers [each's] particularity*

^[Bailey] nor must we suppose that such contingencies exist independently (for this is inconceivable both with regard to them and to the permanent properties), but, just as it appears in sensation, we must think of them all as accidents occurring to bodies, and that not as permanent accompaniments, or again as having in themselves a place in the ranks of material existence; rather they are seen to be just what our actual sensation shows their proper character to be.

Qualities do not have an independent existence – they do not exist independently apart from their object of origin. Separable qualities are temporary characteristics of bodies (and may not last as long as long as their source-object).

Separable qualities (symptoms) are not part of the body's essential nature – in contrast to inseparable qualities (properties) which last as long as the source-object lasts.

We can understand qualities as they appear through our senses, recognizing their role as attributes of bodies. Qualities are neither intrinsic (they do not exist in-and-of-themselves) nor extrinsic (they cannot be separated from their source-object and still exist).

νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>
διανοηθῆναι	to perceive [already]	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>saw through</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
διανοητός (ἡ, ὄν)	[mentally] perceptible	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΟΣ <i>saw through</i>
αἰδῖος (αἰδία, αἰδίων)	lasting	ΑΙΔΙΟΣ <i>always</i>
αἰδίων	in a way that lasts	
συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>

συμβαίνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	enduring [with its compound]	
συμβεβηκώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	having endured	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΩΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	"that which has endured" property <i>(inseparable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
φαίνεσθαι	to be apparent	ΦΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>shining</i>
φανεῖσθαι	to be apparent [in the future]	
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
παρακολουθεῖν	to follow along	ΠΑΡΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following beside</i>
παρακολουθῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	following along	
τὸ τάγμα τὰ τάγματα	arrangement	ΤΑΓΜΑ <i>ordering</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ἡ ιδιότης αἱ ιδιότητες	particularity	ΙΔΙΟΤΗΣ <i>particular</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality <i>(characteristic)</i>	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from gathering</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>

–72a. Investigating Time

καὶ μὴν καὶ, **τόδε** γε Δεῖ προσκατανοῆσαι σφοδρῶς: τὸν γὰρ δὴ Χρόνον οὐ ζητητέον ὥσπερ καὶ τὰ λοιπά (**ὅσα** ἐν ὑποκειμένῳ Ζητοῦμεν, ἀνάγοντες ἐπὶ τὰς βλεπομένας παρ' ἡμῖν **αὐτοῖς** ^[•Λ] **προλήψεις**): ἀλλ' αὐτὸ τὸ Ἐνάργημα, καθ' ὃ τὸν "**πολὺν**" ἢ "**ὀλίγον**" **χρόνον** Ἀναφωνοῦμεν, **συγγενικῶς τοῦτο περιφέροντες ἀναλογιστέον**

and indeed, It is necessary to also fully conceive **this very thing** attentively: Time should not to be sought **in the same way** as the other [qualities] (**which** We investigate **in [their] original source**, while referring back to the ^[•Λ] **anticipations seen by us for those [qualities]**): but the ^[E] detectible Fact itself, **according to which** We declare **time as "long"** or "**short**," ought to be analogized **by us congenitally linking this [attribute of duration with time]**

^[Bailey] Moreover, you must firmly grasp this point as well: we must not look for time, as we do for all other things which we look for in an object (by referring them to the general conceptions which we perceive in our own minds) – **but we must take the direct intuition**, in accordance with which we speak of 'a long time' or 'a short time' **and examine it**, **applying our intuition to time as we do to other things.**

^[Hicks] There is another thing which we must consider carefully. We must not investigate time as we do the other accidents which we investigate in a subject, namely, by referring them to the preconceptions envisaged in our minds; **but we must take into account the plain fact itself**, in virtue of which we speak of time as long or short, **linking to it in intimate connection this attribute of duration.** **{{linking this attribute of duration to [time] in intimate connection}}**

Time is a characteristic of movement. We cannot investigate time by perceiving it directly – therefore we cannot investigate time in the same way we investigate other qualities, which we seek in their source-object (i.e., we look for red in an object that has the quality of red), but we must investigate time as a quality of movement itself.

We must realize that time is not something we can investigate in the same way we investigate other qualities that we can experience as characteristics of objects (and which we can refer to what we already know). Rather, we should pay attention to how we actually sense time in everyday life and reference these direct observations of motion as an analogy for the nature of time for use applications in scientific considerations.

νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
κατανοεῖν	to fully conceive	ΚΑΤΑΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing thoroughly</i>
προσκατανοεῖν	to also fully conceive	ΠΡΟΣΚΑΤΑΝΟΕΙΝ <i>addition to seeing thoroughly</i>
σφοδρός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	attentive	ΣΦΟΔΡΟΣ <i>intense</i>
σφοδρῶς	attentively	ΣΦΟΔΡΩΣ <i>intensely</i>
ζητεῖν	to seek	ΖΗΤΕΙΝ <i>seeking</i>
ζητητός <i>(ή, ὄν)</i>	sought	ΖΗΤΗΤΟΣ <i>sought</i>
ζητητέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	ought to be sought	ΖΗΤΗΤΕΟΣ <i>should be sought</i>
τὸ ὑποκείμενον τὰ ὑποκείμενα	original source	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of situated under</i>
ἀνάγων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	referring back	ΑΝΑΓΩΝ <i>leading back</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
βλεπόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	seen	ΒΛΕΠΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>having been looking</i>
ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	^[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
ἡ βλεπομένη πρόληψις	the seen anticipation	
ἐναργής <i>(ές)</i>	detectible	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΣ <i>within clear</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[Ε] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
τὸ ἐνάργημα τὰ ἐναργήματα	^[Ε] detectible fact	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of being within clear</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ὀλίγος <i>(η, ον)</i>	few, short ^[of time]	ΟΛΙΓΟΣ <i>little</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ἡ ἀναφωνήσις αἱ ἀναφωνήσεις	declaration	ΑΝΑΦΩΝΗΣΙΣ <i>speaking up</i>
ἀναφωνεῖν	to declare	ΑΝΑΦΩΝΕΙΝ <i>speaking up</i>
συγγεγονέναι	to have been inherent	ΣΥΓΓΕΓΟΝΕΝΑΙ <i>having been born together</i>
συγγεγεννημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been inherent	ΣΥΓΓΕΓΕΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>having been born together</i>
συγγενής <i>(ές)</i>	akin	ΣΥΓΓΕΝΗΣ <i>born with</i>

συγγενικῶς	congenitally	ΣΥΓΓΕΝΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of born with</i>
διαφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	differing	ΔΙΑΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing through</i>
ἀναφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	referring	ΑΝΑΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing back</i>
περιφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	linking making known	ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing around</i>
ἀναλογίζεσθαι	to analogize	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>reasoning action again</i>
ἀναλόγως	analogously	
ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
ἀναλογικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	analogous	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being reasoned again</i>
ἀναλογιστέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	ought to be analogized	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΤΕΟΣ <i>ought to be reasoning action again</i>
<i>[conceiving the unseen by analogy to the seen]</i>		

72b. Indicating Time

καὶ οὔτε **διαλέκτους** ὥς **βελτίους** **Μεταληπτέον**, ἀλλ’ αὐταῖς ταῖς **ὑπαρχούσαις** **κατ’ αὐτοῦ** **Χρηστέον** – οὔτε **ἄλλο τι** **κατ’ αὐτοῦ** **Κατηγορητέον** (**ὥς τὴν αὐτὴν οὐσίαν ἔχοντος** **τῷ ἰδιώματι** **τούτῳ**, καὶ γὰρ **τοῦτο** **ποιοῦσί** **Τινες**) – ἀλλὰ μόνον **ᾧ Συμπλέκομεν τὸ ἴδιον τοῦτο** καὶ **Παραμετροῦμεν** **μάλιστα** **Ἐπιλογιστέον**

and It is also not necessary to substitute *ways of speaking* as *[though they are]* better, but It is necessary to use *the existing [ways of speaking]* about *[time]* – nor is It necessary to fully indicate *anything else* about *[time]* (*as though [anything else] has the same existence as this idiom {i.e., the word "time"},* for Some people indeed do *this*) – but It is most necessary to inductively consider only *[the phenomena]* with which We entangle *the particular feature [of time duration]* and comparatively measure it

Hicks] We need not adopt any fresh terms as preferable, but should employ the usual expressions about it – nor need we predicate anything else of time (as if this something else contained the same essence as is contained in the proper meaning of the word 'time,' for this also is done by some) – We must chiefly reflect upon that to which we attach this peculiar character of time, and by which we measure it.

[Bailey] Neither must we search for expressions as likely to be better, but employ just those which are in common use about it. Nor again must we predicate of time anything else as having the same essential nature as this special perception, as some people do, but we must turn our thoughts particularly to that only with which we associate this peculiar perception and by which we measure it.

We should use the common expressions for time and not invent new ones. This is because we must not attribute other qualities to time that it does not have – but focus on understanding and measuring it as it is commonly perceived. It is important to focus on the specific way we inherently understand and describe time, rather than trying to add anything else to its meaning.

ἡ διάλεκτος αἱ διάλεκτοι	way of speaking	ΔΙΑΛΕΚΤΟΣ <i>speaking across</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
βελτίων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ <i>greater</i>

μεταληπτός (ή, όν)	substitutable	ΜΕΤΑΛΗΠΤΟΣ <i>taken hold change</i>
μεταληπτός (α, ον)	ought to be substituted	ΜΕΤΑΛΗΠΤΕΟΣ <i>change ought to be taken hold</i>
μεταληπτέον	it is necessary to substitute	
ύπάρχων (ουσα, ον) ύπάρχοντες (ουσαι, οντα)	existing [already]	ΎΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
χρησθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
χρηστός (ή, όν)	useful	
χρηστέος (α, ον)	ought to be used	
χρηστέον	it is necessary to use	
κατηγορεῖν	to fully indicate	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly speaking publicly</i>
κατηγορητέον	it is necessary to fully indicate	
ή ούσία αί ούσίαι	existence	ΟΥΣΙΑ <i>being</i>
ή ιδιότης αί ιδιότητες	particularity	ΙΔΙΟΤΗΣ <i>particular</i>
τό ιδίωμα τά ιδιώματα	idiom	ΙΔΙΩΜΑ <i>particular</i>
ἴδιος (α, ον)	particular	
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
πλεκτικός (η, ον)	entangling	ΠΛΕΚΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of weaving</i>
συμπλέκειν	to entangle with	ΣΥΜΠΛΕΚΕΙΝ <i>weaving together</i>
καταμετρεῖν	to fully measure	ΚΑΤΑΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly measure</i>
παραμετρεῖν	to comparatively measure	ΠΑΡΑΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>measuring alongside</i>
ό συλλογισμός	inference	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning action together</i>
τό διαλόγισμα τά διαλογίσματα	a thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of reasoning through action</i>
άναλογιστέος (α, ον)	ought to be analogized	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΤΕΟΣ <i>ought to be reasoning action again</i>
ό έπιλογισμός οί έπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>
έπιλογιστέος (α, ον)	out to be inductively considered	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΤΕΟΣ <i>ought to be action upon reasoning</i>
έπιλογιστέον	it is necessary to inductively consider	

καὶ γὰρ Τοῦτο οὐκ ἀποδείξεως προσδεῖται, ἀλλ’ ἐπιλογισμοῦ: ὅτι ταῖς ἡμέραις καὶ ταῖς νυξὶ Συμπλέκομεν καὶ τοῖς τούτων μέρεσιν – ὡσαύτως δὲ καὶ τοῖς πάθεσι καὶ ταῖς ἀπαθείαις (καὶ κινήσεσι καὶ στάσεσιν): ἴδιόν τι σύμπτωμα περὶ ταῦτα, πάλιν, αὐτὸ τοῦτο Ἐννοοῦντες καθ’ ὃ "Χρόνον" Ὀνομάζομεν {Φησὶ δὲ τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ δευτέρᾳ Περὶ Φύσεως καὶ ἐν τῇ Μεγάλῃ Ἐπιτομῇ}

for This is not also in need of proof, but [only] of inductive consideration: that We entangle [the quality of time] with days and with nights and with their parts – and likewise also with experiences and with absence of experiences (with both movements and stationary positions): because We are internalizing, in turn, that particular symptom [of duration] regarding these [circumstances] as this very [quality] according to which We use the word "Time" {He also says this in the second book *On Nature* and in the *Larger Epitome*}

[Bailey] For indeed this requires no demonstration, but only reflection, to show that it is with days and nights and their divisions that we associate it and likewise also with internal feelings or absence of feeling, and with movements and states of rest; in connection with these last again we think of this very perception as a peculiar kind of accident, and in virtue of this we call it time.

Only observation and reflection are needed to understand time. We recognize time by associating it with our experiences of the day progressing and by movement.

ἢ ἀπόδειξις αἱ ἀποδείξεις	proof	ΑΠΟΔΕΙΞΙΣ <i>from pointing out</i>
δεῖ	it is necessary	ΔΕΙ <i>needing</i>
δεῖσθαι	to need	
προσδεῖσθαι	to also be in need [of]	ΠΡΟΣΔΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>needing in addition to</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	ἩΜΕΡΑ <i>day</i>
ἡ νύξ αἱ νύκτες	night	ΝΥΞ <i>night</i>
συμπλέκειν	to entangle	ΣΥΜΠΛΕΚΕΙΝ <i>weaving together</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἡ ἀπάθεια αἱ ἀπάθειαι	absence of experience	ΑΠΑΘΕΙΑ <i>not experiencing</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
ἡ στάσις αἱ στάσεις	stationary position	ΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>
ἐννοεῖν	to internalize	ΕΝΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing within</i>

έννοῶν <i>(έννοοῦσα, έννοοῦν)</i> έννοοῦντες <i>(έννοοῦσαι, έννοοῦντα)</i>	internalizing	ΕΝΝΟΩΝ
προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
ὀνομάζειν	to use the word	ΟΝΟΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>naming</i>

73b. Cosmoi – Dissolution of Compounds

ἐπί τε τοῖς προειρημένοις τοὺς κόσμους Δεῖ (καὶ πᾶσαν σύγκρισιν πεπερασμένην τὸ ὁμοειδὲς τοῖς θεωρουμένοις πυκνῶς ἔχουσαν) νομίζειν γεγονέναι ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀπείρου· πάντων τούτων ἐκ συστροφῶν ἰδίων ἀποκεκριμένων (καὶ μειζόνων καὶ ἐλαττόνων) – καὶ, πάλιν, διαλύεσθαι Πάντα (τὰ μὲν θᾶπτον, τὰ δὲ βραδύτερον – καὶ, τὰ μὲν ὑπὸ τῶν τοιῶνδε, τὰ δὲ ὑπὸ τῶν τοιῶνδε) τοῦτο πάσχοντα

moreover, in addition to what has been previously mentioned, It is necessary that the Cosmoi (as well as every [other] finite compound [which] has the same appearance as what is frequently observed) be considered to have come into being from the infinite: because all of these (both larger and smaller) had been distinguished [out of the infinite] by particular conglomer assemblages [of atoms] – and, in turn, [It is necessary for] all [these compound] Things to be dissolved (some more swiftly, others more slowly – indeed, some things by some specific [causes], and other things by other specific [causes]) [because they are all] affected by this [dissolution]

[Bailey] And in addition to what we have already said we must believe that worlds, and indeed every limited compound body which continuously exhibits a similar appearance to the things we see, were created from the infinite, and that all such things, greater and less alike, were separated off from individual agglomerations of matter; and that all are again dissolved, some more quickly, some more slowly, some suffering from one set of causes, others from another.

Worlds (as well as every other compound) emerge out of the indistinct infinite void and infinite amount of atoms as distinct and finite aggregates. They are formed from groups of atoms and will eventually break apart due to various different causes – some quickly and some very slowly.

λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
εἰρήσθαι	to have been said	ΕΙΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>spoke</i>
εἰρημένος	having been said [already]	
προειρημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	previously mentioned	ΠΡΟΕΙΡΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>spoke before</i>
τὸ προειρημένον τὰ προειρημένα	what has been previously mentioned	
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction [i.e., an act of discrimination]	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
ἡ διάκρισις αἱ διακρίσεις	separating apart	ΔΙΑΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing apart</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	criterion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of dividing</i>

τὸ πεπερα σμένον	what is finite	ΠΕΠΕΡΑΣΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of having finished</i>
πεπερα σμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	finite	
προσεμ φερής	closely resembling	ΠΡΟΣΕΜΦΕΡΗΣ <i>toward bringing in</i>
ἀνόμοιο ς <i>(ον)</i>	dissimilar	ΑΝΟΜΟΙΟΣ <i>not similar</i>
ὅμοιο ς <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
ὅμοιο μερής <i>(ές)</i>	"similarly composed" homoio meric	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΕΡΗΣ <i>similar parts</i>
ὅμοιόμορ φος <i>(ον)</i>	similarly formed	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΟΡΦΟΣ <i>similar form</i>
ὁμοει δής <i>(ές)</i>	appearing the same	ὍΜΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing same</i>
τὸ ὁμοει δές	same appearance	
θεωρ ούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	observed	ΘΕΩΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>
πυκν ὸν = πυκν ῶς	frequently	ΠΥΚΝΩΣ <i>compacting</i>
νομί ζειν	to think	ΝΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
γίνε σθαι = γίνγν εσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τὸ ἄπει ρον	the infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΝ <i>not limited</i>
ἡ συστ ροφή αἱ συστ ροφαί	assemblage	ΣΥΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>twisting together</i>
ἴδιο ς <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ἡ σύγκρι σις αἱ συγκρί σεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
ἀποκεκ ριμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	had been distinguished	ΑΠΟΚΕΚΡΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>divided away from</i>
μείζω ν <i>(ον)</i>	larger	ΜΕΙΖΩΝ <i>greater</i>
ἐλάττω ν <i>(ων, ον)</i>	smaller	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>lesser</i>
διαλύ ειν	to fully dissolve	ΔΙΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>through dissolve</i>
διαλύ εσθαι	to be dissolved	
ταχύ ς <i>(εῖτα, ύ)</i>	swift	ΤΑΧΥΣ <i>swift</i>
θάττω ν <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	swifter	ΘΑΤΤΩΝ <i>swifter</i>
θαῖττω ν	more swiftly	
βραδύ ς <i>(εῖτα, ύ)</i>	slow	ΒΡΑΔΥΣ <i>slow</i>
βραδύτε ρος <i>(α, ον)</i>	slower	ΒΡΑΔΥΤΕΡΟΝ <i>slower</i>
βραδύτε ρον	more slowly	
πάσχω ν <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	affected [by]	ΠΑΣΧΩΝ <i>suffering</i>

73c. {Perishability of Cosmoi}

{**δῆλον**, οὖν, ὡς **καὶ φθαρτούς** Φησι **τούς Κόσμους** – **μεταβαλλόντων τῶν μερῶν**:
καὶ ἐν ἄλλοις τὴν Γῆν τῷ ἀέρι ἐποχεῖσθαι}

{[it is] **clear**, therefore, He states that **the Cosmoi [are] also perishable** –
because [their] parts are transforming: and in other [places in his books he
says that] **the Earth is carried along by the air**}

Worlds are perishable because their parts can change. Epicurus also mentions that our cosmos is carried through space by the air. The air in space is filled with "oceans" of atoms (and the waves and wakes that originate when objects pass through such atomic "oceans"). Our earth (as well as all the planets in our cosmos) is supported by, and carried along in, this atomic ocean.

δηλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
φθαρτός	perishable	ΦΘΑΡΤΟΣ <i>being destroyed</i>
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
μεταβάλλειν	to transform	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing in change</i>
μεταβάλλων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	transforming	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΩΝ <i>throwing in change</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ <i>land</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
ὁ ὄχος οἱ ὄχοι	carriage, cart, chariot	ΟΧΟΣ <i>riding</i>
ὀχεῖν	to carry	ΟΧΕΙΝ <i>riding</i>
ἐποχεύειν	to carry upon	ΕΠΟΧΕΥΕΙΝ <i>riding upon</i>
ἐποχεῖσθαι	to be carried along	

74a. Shapes of Cosmoi

ἔτι δὲ καὶ τοὺς κόσμους οὐτε ἐξ ἀνάγκης Δεῖ νομίζειν ἓνα σχηματισμὸν ἔχοντας – ἀλλὰ καὶ διαφόρους αὐτοὺς {ἐν τῇ ιβ΄ *Περὶ Φύσεως* Αὐτός φησιν: οὓς μὲν γὰρ σφαιροειδεῖς, καὶ ὥσειδεῖς ἄλλους, καὶ ἀλλοιοσχήμονας ἐτέρους – οὐ, μέντοι, πᾶν σχῆμα ἔχειν – οὐδὲ ζῶα εἶναι ἀποκριθέντα ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀπείρου}

yet also, It should not necessarily be thought that the Cosmoi have [just] one configuration – but they are also different {in the 12th book *On Nature*, He himself says: some are spherical, others egg-shaped, and others differently shaped – they do not, however, have every shape – nor are [the Cosmoi] living beings that are distinguished from the infinite}

[Bailey] And further we must believe that these worlds were neither created all of necessity with one configuration nor yet with every kind of shape.

Cosmoi are spherical, oval, and very many other shapes – nevertheless they are not formed in every possible shape.

ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	^[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
ἐξ ἀνάγκης	necessarily	
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
εἷς μία ἓν <i>(ἐνός, μιᾶς, ἐνός)</i>	one	ἓΙΣ ΜΙΑ ἓΝ <i>one</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>

ὁ σχηματισμός οἱ σχηματισμοί	configuration	ΣΧΗΜΑΤΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of</i> <i>action of forming</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
διάφορος <i>(ον)</i>	different	
δώδεκα	twelve	ΔΩΔΕΚΑ <i>two (and) ten</i>
ιβ'	12	ΙΒ' <i>ten (and) two</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ὅμοειδής <i>(ές)</i>	similarly formed	ὍΜΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing same</i>
σφαιροειδής <i>(ές)</i>	spherical	ΣΦΑΙΡΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing sphere</i>
ὠοειδής <i>(ές)</i>	oval	ΩΙΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>egg appearing</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ἄλλοιοσχήμων <i>(ον)</i>	differently shaped	ΑΛΛΟΙΟΣΧΗΜΩΝ <i>holding differently</i> <i>[form]</i>
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις = ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΝΚΡΙΣΙΣ ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
ἀποκεκριμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	had been distinguished	ΑΠΟΚΕΚΡΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>divided</i> <i>away from</i>
ἀποκριθείς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i>	distinguished [already]	ΑΠΟΚΡΙΘΕΙΣ <i>dividing</i> <i>away from</i>
ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>

74b. Composition of Cosmoi

[...] οὐδὲ γὰρ ἂν ἀποδείξειεν Οὐδεὶς ὥς <έν> μὲν τῷ τοιούτῳ (καὶ οὐκ ἂν Ἐμπεριελήφθη) τὰ τοιαῦτα Σπέρματα ἐξ ὧν ζῶά τε καὶ φυτὰ καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ πάντα <τὰ> θεωρούμενα συνίσταται – ἐν δὲ τῷ τοιούτῳ οὐκ ἂν ἐδυνήθη {ῥασαύτως, δὲ καὶ ἐντραφῆναι τὸν αὐτὸν δὲ τρόπον καὶ ἐπὶ γῆς Νομιστέον}

[furthermore, we must believe that in all worlds there are living creatures and plants and all the other things that we see produced in this world] for Nobody could fully prove (nor could it be completely comprehended within) that in one particular [world there are] the particular Seeds from which living beings, plants, and all other [mentally] observed things are composed – whereas in another similar [world], [similar seeds] would not have the force [to exist] {likewise, It is necessary to consider [those similar living beings, plants, and all other observed things] being nourished in the same way as on earth}

[Bailey] Furthermore, we must believe that in all worlds there are living creatures and plants and other things we see in this world; for indeed no one could prove that in a world of one kind there might or might not have been included the kinds of seeds from which living things and plants and all the rest of the things we see are composed, and that in a world of another kind they could not have been.

Some worlds have a composition like ours, other worlds have a composition unlike ours. In worlds similar to ours, there are similar living creatures, plants, and everything else that exists in our world. The seeds needed for those living beings and plants to form and grow are not only found in just one world, but in all others similar to it. Those living beings and plants are nourished in the same way as they are on Earth.

δείκνυναι	to prove	ΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing</i>
ἀποδεικνύναι	to fully prove	ΑΠΟΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing away</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
οὐθείς = οὐδείς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one [factual]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
ἐμπεριλαβεῖν	to completely comprehended within [already]	
ἐμπεριληφθῆναι	to be completely comprehended within [already]	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΛΗΦΘΗΝΑΙ <i>grasped around in</i>
τὸ σπέρμα τὰ σπέρματα	seed	ΣΠΕΡΜΑ <i>seed</i>
τὸ φυτόν τὰ φυτά	plant	ΦΥΤΟΝ <i>growing</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[mentally] observed	
συνστήσαι	to compose [already]	ΣΥΝΣΤΗΣΑΙ <i>stood together</i>
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
συνίστασθαι	to be composed	
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
δυνηθῆναι	to have the force [already]	
τρέφειν	to nurture	ΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>raising</i>
ἐντρέφεσθαι	to be nurtured in	ΕΝΤΡΕΦΕΣΘΑΙ <i>raising in</i>
ἐντραφῆναι	to be nurtured in [already]	ΕΝΤΡΑΦΗΝΑΙ <i>raised in</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	Γῆ <i>land</i>

75a. Adaptive Learning

ἀλλὰ μὲν, Ὑποληπτέον καὶ **τὴν Φύσιν πολλὰ** καὶ **παντοῖα** ὑπὸ αὐτῶν τῶν **πραγμάτων διδαχθῆναι τε καὶ ἀναγκασθῆναι** – τὸν δὲ **Λογισμὸν τὰ** ὑπὸ ταύτης **παρεγγυηθέντα ὕστερον ἐπακριβοῦν καὶ προσεξευρίσκειν, ἐν μὲν τισὶ θᾶπτον, ἐν δὲ τισὶ βραδύτερον** – καὶ ἐν μὲν τισὶ **περίοδους καὶ χρόνους** **μείζους λαμβάνειν ἐπιδόσεις**, ἐν δὲ τισὶ καὶ **ἐλάττους**

but indeed, It is also necessary to suppose that [human] Nature is taught numerous and diverse things by the situations themselves and that it is also forced [to do many things] – and that [human] Reasoning afterwards further makes more precise and elaborates the things already recommended by these [situations], in some cases more swiftly, in others more slowly – and in some periods and times [human reasoning] acquires larger advancements, but also smaller [advancements] in other [periods]

[Bailey] Moreover, we must suppose that human nature too was taught and constrained to do many things of every kind merely by circumstances; and that later on reasoning elaborated what had been suggested by nature and made further inventions, in some matters quickly, in others slowly, at some epochs and times making great advances, and lesser again at others.

Human nature is shaped by events and circumstances – afterwards, reasoning fine-tunes this nature. Humans learn and adapt through experiences, with reason developing and expanding on these lessons. The speed of this progress varies over time.

ἐμπεριλαμβάνειν	* to completely comprehend within	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping around in
ἐμπεριειλημμένος (η, ον)	*completely comprehended within	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ being grasped around in
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ result of doing / affair
διδάσκειν	to teach	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΕΙΝ teaching
ἀναγκάζειν	to force	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΖΕΙΝ necessary
ὁ συλλογισμός	inference	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ reasoning action together
τό διαλόγισμα τὰ διαλογίσματα	a thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ result of reasoning through action
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ process of reasoning action upon
ὁ λογισμός οἱ λογισμοί	[process of] reasoning	ΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ action of collecting
παραγγέλλειν	to recommend	ΠΑΡΑΓΓΥΑΝ sending a message across
παρεγγυηθείς (εἶσα, ἐν)	recommended [already]	ΠΑΡΕΓΓΥΗΘΕΙΣ sending a message across
ὕστερον	afterwards	ΎΣΤΕΡΟΝ next
ἐξακριβοῦν	to make fully precise	ΕΞΑΚΡΙΒΟΥΝ fully pointed
ἐπακριβοῦν	to make more precise	ΕΠΑΚΡΙΒΟΥΝ pointed upon
εὕρισκειν	to discover	ΕΥΡΙΣΚΕΙΝ finding
προσεξευρίσκειν	to elaborate	ΠΡΟΣΕΞΕΥΡΙΣΚΕΙΝ finding in addition from
ταχύς (εἶα, ύ)	swift	ΤΑΧΥΣ swift
θάπτων (ουσα, ον)	swifter	ΘΑΤΤΩΝ swifter
θάπτον	more swiftly	
βραδύς (εἶα, ύ)	slow	ΒΡΑΔΥΣ slow
βραδύτερος (α, ον)	slower	ΒΡΑΔΥΤΕΡΟΝ slower
βραδύτερον	more slowly	
ἡ περίοδος αἱ περίοδοι	[the completion of] a full course, [of time] period	ΠΕΡΙΟΔΟΣ result around the path

ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
μείζων <i>(ον)</i>	larger	ΜΕΙΖΩΝ <i>greater</i>
λαμβάνειν	^[intellectually] to comprehend, ^[generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
ἡ ἐπίδοσις αἱ ἐπιδόσεις	advancements	ΕΠΙΔΟΣΙΣ <i>giving in addition</i>
ἐλάττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	smaller	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>lesser</i>

75b. Natural Word Formation

ὁθεν καὶ τὰ Ὄνόματα ἐξ ἀρχῆς μὴ θέσει γενέσθαι – ἀλλ’ αὐτὰς τὰς Φύσεις τῶν ἀνθρώπων καθ’ ἕκαστα ἔθνη ἴδια πάσχουσας πάθη – καὶ ἴδια λαμβανούσας φαντάσματα ἰδίως – τὸν ἀέρα ἐκπέμπειν στελλόμενον ὑφ’ ἐκάστων τῶν παθῶν καὶ τῶν φαντασμάτων (ὥς ἂν ποτε καὶ ἡ παρὰ τοὺς τόπους τῶν ἐθνῶν Διαφορὰ ᾗ)

and so [It is necessary to fundamentally comprehend that] Words were also not produced from the beginning by [purposeful] positioning – but rather the very Natures of people according to each ethnicity were affected by particular experiences – and comprehending particular mental impression in a particular way – [human nature] emitted the air brought up by each of [their] experiences and [their] mental impressions (as there may also be a Difference depending on the locations of the ethnicities)

[Bailey] And so names too were not at first deliberately given to things, but men's natures according to their different nationalities had their own peculiar feelings and received their peculiar impressions, and so each in their own way emitted air formed into shape by each of these feelings and impressions, according to the differences made in the different nations by the places of their abode as well.

Words and names for things were not created on purpose or by agreement but arose by natural human impulse. Primitive people made sounds based on their feelings and experiences, and these sounds varied depending on the region and culture they lived in.

τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation ^[in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἐν ἀρχῇ	originally	ΕΝ ΑΡΧΗ <i>in the first order</i>
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position ^[here, "positioning"]	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person ^(human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
τὸ ἔθνος τὰ ἔθνη	ethnicity	ΕΘΝΟΣ <i>accustomed</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ιδίως	in a particular way	
πάσχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	affected [by]	ΠΑΣΧΩΝ <i>suffering</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>

λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
λαμβάνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	comprehending	
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασῖαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ὁ φαντασμός οἱ φαντασμοί	what appears	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΟΣ <i>process of appearing</i>
τὸ φάντασμα τὰ φαντάσματα	mental impression	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΑ <i>result of appearing</i>
ιδίως	particularly	ΙΔΙΩΣ <i>particular</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>air</i>
ἐκπέμπειν	to emit	ΕΚΠΕΜΠΕΙΝ <i>conveying out</i>
ἀποστέλλειν	to send away	ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready away</i>
στέλλειν	to send	ΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready</i>
στελλόμενον	being sent	ΣΤΕΛΛΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of making ready</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>

76a. Purposeful Word Formation

ὕστερον, δὲ κοινῶς καθ’ ἕκαστα ἔθνη τὰ ἴδια τεθῆναι – πρὸς τὸ τὰς Δηλώσεις ἦττον ἀμφιβόλους γενέσθαι ἀλλήλοις καὶ συντομωτέρας δηλουμένας: τινὰ δὲ καὶ οὐ συνωρώμενα πράγματα εἰσφέροντας τοὺς Συνειδότας, παρεγγυῆσαί τινας φθόγγους – ἀναγκασθέντας ἀναφωνῆσαι τοὺς δὲ τῷ λογισμῷ ἐλομένους – κατὰ τὴν πλείστην αἰτίαν οὕτως ἐρμηνεῦσαι

afterwards, [It is necessary to fundamentally comprehend that] particular Things were generally established according to each ethnicity – so that [their] Clarifications might become less ambiguous to one another and more concisely clear: and Those who knew well [how to clarify with their expressions] also introduced certain situations that [were] not [mentally] fully seen, while recommending certain expressions [for the situations they introduced] – they were [sometimes] compelled to declare [certain expressions] but [other times] they chose [expressions] by reasoning – interpreting [situations] in that way according to [their] greatest cause [i.e., according to their primary purpose of communicating clearly]

[Bailey] And then later on by common consent in each nationality special names were deliberately given in order to make their meanings less ambiguous to one another and more briefly demonstrated. And sometimes those who were acquainted with them brought in things hitherto unknown and introduced sounds for them, on some occasions being naturally constrained to utter them, and on others choosing them by reasoning in accordance with the prevailing mode of formation, and thus making their meaning clear.

Although many words arose naturally, some were invented for precision, and others for newly discerned mental concepts.

Different races automatically created their specific words to make communication clearer and more concise among themselves. Afterward, educated people introduced – either instinctively or logically – new terms for things that were not previously understood.

ὕστερον	afterwards	ὙΣΤΕΡΟΝ <i>next</i>
ἡ κοινότης αἱ κοινότητες	general quality	ΚΟΙΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from</i> <i>common</i>
κοινῶς	generally	
τὸ ἔθνος τὰ ἔθνη	ethnicity	ΕΘΝΟΣ <i>accustomed</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
δῆλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
ἡ δήλωσις αἱ δηλώσεις	clarification	ΔΗΛΩΣΙΣ <i>action of making</i> <i>clear</i>
ἀμφίβολος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	ambiguous	ΑΜΦΙΒΟΛΟΣ <i>throwing both</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
συντομός	concise	ΣΥΝΤΟΜΟΣ <i>cut together</i>
συντομωτέρως	more concisely	
δῆλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
δηλούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	clarified	
συνορᾶν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>
συνορώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	fully seen [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seen together</i>
τὸ πράγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
φερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing</i>
ἀναφερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried back	ΑΝΑΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing back</i>
εἰσφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	introducing	ΕΙΣΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing into</i>
εἰδέναι	<i>to have mentally seen ∴</i> "to know"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing</i>
συνειδώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	knowing well	ΣΥΝΕΙΔΩΣ <i>seen</i> <i>together</i>
ὁ συνειδώς οἱ συνειδότες	he who knew well	
παρεγγυᾶν	to recommend	ΠΑΡΕΓΓΥΑΝ <i>entrust besides</i>
παρεγγυῆσαί	to recommend [already]	
ὁ φθόγγος οἱ φθόγγοι	[verbal] expression	ΦΘΟΓΓΟΣ <i>uttering</i>
ἀναγκάζειν	to force	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΖΕΙΝ <i>necessary</i>
ἀναγκάσαι	to force [already]	
ἀναγκασθεῖς <i>(εῖσα, έν)</i>	forced [here, "compelled"]	
ἡ ἀναφωνήσις αἱ ἀναφωνήσεις	declaration	ΑΝΑΦΩΝΗΣΙΣ <i>speaking up</i>
ἀναφωνεῖν	to declare	
ὁ λογισμός οἱ λογισμοί	[process of] reasoning	ΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>action of</i> <i>collecting</i>

αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
ἐλόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	chosen [already]	ΕΛΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>took</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ἐρμηνεύειν	to interpret	ΕΡΜΗΝΕΥΕΙΝ <i>Hermes nod,</i> <i>interpreting sign</i>
ἐρμηνεύσαι	to interpret [already]	

76b. Autonomous Motion

καὶ μὴν <καὶ, τὴν> ἐν τοῖς μετεώροις **φορὰν** καὶ **τροπὴν** καὶ **ἔκλειψιν** καὶ **ἀνατολὴν** καὶ **δύσιν** καὶ τὰ **σύστοιχα** **τούτοις** – **μήτε** **λειτουργοῦντός** **τινος** **νομίζειν** **Δεῖ** **γενέσθαι** καὶ **διατάπτοντος**, **ἧ** **διατάξοντος** – καὶ ἅμα **τὴν πᾶσαν** **μακαριότητα** **ἔχοντος** **μετ’ ἀφθαρσίας**

and indeed, [regarding] **the transmission** in meteorological phenomena, and **the turning**, and **disappearance**, and **rising**, and **setting**, and **components related to these** – It is necessary to think that **they are produced** neither **by** someone who is administrating and who is [currently] arranging, nor [by someone] who will [in the future] be arranging [those components of meteorological phenomena] – [all] while also simultaneously possessing **total blessedness** in accordance with [their] indestructibility

[Bailey] Furthermore, the motions of the heavenly bodies and their turnings and eclipses and risings and settings, and kindred phenomena to these, must not be thought to be due to any being who controls and ordains or has ordained them and at the same time enjoys perfect bliss together with immortality.

Just as matter is not created – events are not controlled. All evidence demonstrates that meteorological events like star movements, solstices, and eclipses happen naturally, without the control or command of any being. Also, it is not possible to imagine any being that, while controlling all the events in the universe, is also relaxed and at ease.

τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
ἡ φορὰ αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἡ τροπή αἱ τροπαί	turning	ΤΡΟΠΗ <i>diverting</i>
ἡ ἔκλειψις αἱ ἐκλείψεις	a disappearance [or, of the sun & moon] an eclipse	ΕΚΛΕΙΨΙΣ <i>leaving out</i>
ἡ ἀνατολή αἱ ἀνατολαί	rising	ΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ <i>lifting up</i>
ἡ δύσις αἱ δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>sinking</i>
τὸ στοιχείωμα τὰ στοιχειώματα	component [principle]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΩΜΑ <i>result of a row</i> <i>(in a series)</i>
τὸ στοιχεῖον τὰ στοιχεῖα	component [for facts] or, element [for things]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΟΝ <i>instance of a row</i> <i>(in a series)</i>
τὸ σύστοιχον τὰ σύστοιχα	related component	ΣΥΣΤΟΙΧΟΝ <i>together</i> <i>in a row</i>
λειτουργεῖν	to administrate [to serve the state]	ΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΕΙΝ <i>council-room</i> <i>worker</i>
λειτουργῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	administrating	

νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
γενέσθαι	to be produced [already]	ΓΕΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>came into being</i>
διατάττειν	to arrange	ΔΙΑΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering across</i>
διατάττων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	arranging	
διατάξων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	will be arranging	ΔΙΑΤΑΞΩΝ <i>will order across</i>
ἡ μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from greatness</i>
ἡ ἀφθαρσία αἱ ἀφθαρσίαι	indestructibility	ΑΦΘΑΡΣΙΑ <i>not destroyed</i>

77a. Dependent Emotions

οὐ γὰρ συμφωνοῦσιν ^[1ext.] Πραγματεῖαι καὶ ^[1int.] Φροντίδες καὶ ^[2] Ὅργαι καὶ ^[3] Χάριτες **μακαριότητι** – ἀλλ’ ἐν ^[1] ἀσθενείᾳ καὶ ^[2] φόβῳ καὶ ^[3] προσδεήσει τῶν πλησίων Ταῦτα γίνεται – μήτε, αὖ, **πῦρ ἅμα ὄντα συνεστραμμένον, τὴν μακαριότητα κεκτημένα, κατὰ βούλησιν τὰς κινήσεις ταύτας λαμβάνειν**

for ^[1ext.] Efforts, ^[1int.] Considerations, ^[2] Moods, and ^[3] Graces do not harmonize **with blessedness** – but these Things arise in ^[1] weakness, ^[2] fear, and ^[3] additional need from those nearby – nor, once more, **as they are only entangled fire**, [should we believe that meteorological phenomena], **while possessing blessedness, acquire [their] movements voluntarily**

^[Bailey] (for trouble and care and anger and kindness are not consistent with a life of blessedness, but these things come to pass where there is weakness and fear and dependence on neighbors). Nor again must we believe that they, which are but fire agglomerated in a mass, possess blessedness, and voluntarily take upon themselves these movements.

^[Usener] **μήτε, αὖ, πυρὸς Ἀνάμματα συνεστραμμένου**

nor, once more, [should we believe that] **Conflagrations of entangled fire**

Emotions such as concern, anxiety, anger, and kindness are signs of weakness, fear, and dependence – all such feelings do not fit with a state of contentment befitting a god.

Celestial bodies, which are just masses of fire, do not experience awareness or feelings – nor do they move by their own will.

From one possible interpretation Epicurus is connecting: (1) external efforts with (physical) weakness as well as internal concerns with (mental) weakness – connecting (2) moods with fear – and connecting (3) Favors with additional need from those nearby.

ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
συμφωνεῖν	to harmonize	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΕΙΝ <i>speaking together</i>
ἡ πραγματεία αἱ πραγματεῖαι	effort	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΕΙΑ <i>state of the result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ φροντίς αἱ φροντίδες	consideration	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΣ <i>mind</i>
ἡ ὀργή αἱ ὀργαί	mood	ΟΡΓΗ <i>reaching out</i>
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	grace	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>being cheerful</i>
ἡ μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from greatness</i>

ἡ ἀσθένεια αἱ ἀσθένειαι	weakness	ΑΣΘΕΝΕΙΑ <i>not strong</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
ἡ δέησις αἱ δεήσεις	a need	ΔΕΗΣΙΣ <i>to be necessary</i>
ἡ προσδεήσις αἱ προσδεήσεις	an additional need	ΠΡΟΣΔΕΗΣΙΣ <i>to be necessary in addition</i>
πλησίον	nearby	ΠΛΗΣΙΟΝ <i>near</i>
ὁ πλησίος οἱ πλησίοι	neighbor	ΠΛΗΣΙΟΣ <i>near</i>
ὁ ὁμορρῶν οἱ ὁμορροῦντες	neighbor [the one bordering]	ὍΜΟΡΡΩΝ <i>the same separating</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
τὸ ἀνάμμα τὰ ἀνάμματα	conflagration	ΑΝΑΜΜΑ <i>lighting up</i>
συνεστραμμένος (η, ον)	entangled	ΣΥΝΕΣΤΡΑΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>having twisted together</i>
κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
κεκτημένος (η, ον)	having possessed	ΚΕΚΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>acquired</i>
ἡ βούλησις αἱ βουλήσεις	intention	ΒΟΥΛΗΣΙΣ <i>wishing</i>
κατὰ βούλησιν	voluntarily	
τὸ κίνημα τὰ κινήματα	[specific] movement	ΚΙΝΗΜΑ <i>result of moving</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>

77b. Maintaining Reverence

ἀλλὰ πᾶν τὸ σέμνωμα τηρεῖν κατὰ πάντα ὀνόματα φερόμενον ἐπὶ τὰς τοιαύτας ἐννοίας – ἵνα μηδ’ ὑπεναντίαι ἐξ αὐτῶν <γίνωνται> τῷ σεμνώματι Δόξαι· εἰ δὲ μή, τὸν μέγιστον τάραχον ἐν ταῖς ψυχαῖς αὐτὴ ἡ Ὑπεναντιότης παρασκευάσει

but [It is necessary] to preserve all the reverence being carried by every word toward such internal conceptions [as contentment and indestructibility] – so Judgments that are contrary to this reverence do not <arise> from those [words]: if not, the Contradiction itself will provide the greatest disturbance in [our] souls

[Bailey] But we must preserve their full majestic significance in all expressions which we apply to such conceptions, in order that there may not arise out of them opinions contrary to this notion of majesty. Otherwise this very contradiction will cause the greatest disturbance in men's souls.

We must maintain the complete profound significance of terms such as "content" and "immortality" to avoid creating conflicting conceptions – because these contradictory notions and principles can greatly trouble our minds. Holding conflicting conceptions regarding the meanings of words ruins our clear mental access to what they indicate.

σεμνοῦν	to revere	ΣΕΜΝΟΥΝ <i>august / exalted</i>
τὸ σέμνωμα τὰ σεμνώματα	reverence	

διατηρεῖν	to fully preserve	διατηρεῖν <i>completely protecting / watching</i>
τηρεῖν	to preserve	ΤΗΡΕΙΝ <i>protecting / watching</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
φερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing</i>
ἡ ἔννοια αἱ ἔννοιαι	internal conception	ΕΝΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing in</i>
ὑπεναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	contrary	ὙΠΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>over against</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ὁ ταραχος οἱ ταραχοι	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΟΣ <i>agitating</i>
ἡ ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ἡ ὑπεναντιότης αἱ ὑπεναντιότητες	contradiction	ὙΠΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΤΗΣ <i>over against</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
παρασκευάσειν	to be going to provide	

77c. Systemic Planetary Mechanics

ὁθεν, δὴ κατὰ τὰς ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἐναπολήψεις τῶν συστροφῶν τούτων ἐν τῇ τοῦ κόσμου γενέσει Δεῖ δοξάζειν καὶ τὴν ἀνάγκην ταύτην καὶ περίοδον συντελεῖσθαι

therefore, certainly, It is necessary to judge that both the natural necessity [of meteorological phenomena] and a completion of a full course [of their regular movements] are mutually fulfilled according to the integrations of these [meteorological] assemblages from the beginning during the creation of [our] cosmos

[Bailey] Therefore we must believe that it is due to the original inclusion of matter in such agglomerations during the birth-process of the world that this law of regular succession is also brought about.

Astronomical phenomena occur based on the interaction of masses that were integrated during the formation of our cosmos. This initial organization established the patterns of movement in our cosmos that still govern meteorological bodies today.

ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
ἡ λῆψις αἱ λῆψεις	comprehension	ΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold</i>
ἡ ἐναπολήψις αἱ ἐναπολήψεις	integration	ΕΝΑΠΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>will grasp from within</i>
ἡ συστροφή αἱ συστροφαί	assemblage	ΣΥΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>twisting together</i>
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
ἡ γένεσις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ <i>action of being born</i>

ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
ἡ περίοδος αἱ περίοδοι	[the completion of] a full course, [of time] period	ΠΕΡΙΟΔΟΣ <i>result around the path</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
συντελεῖσθαι	to be altogether fulfilled	

78a. Examining Causes

καὶ μὴν καὶ, τὸ τὴν ὑπὲρ τῶν κυριωτάτων αἰτίαν ἐξακριβῶσαι φυσιολογίας ἔργον εἶναι Δεῖ νομίζειν – καὶ τὸ Μακάριον ἐνταῦθα πεπτωκέναι: καὶ ἐν τῷ τίνες Φύσεις αἱ θεωρούμεναι κατὰ τὰ μετέωρα ταυτί, καὶ Ὅσα συντείνει πρὸς τὴν εἰς τοῦτο ἀκρίβειαν

and indeed, It is necessary to consider that making the cause about the most essential things fully precise is the task of natural science – and What is blessed in this respect has already settled: both in regard to [understanding] what the [essential] Natures that are observed in these meteorological phenomena, and Whatever else contributes towards precision in this [examination]

[Bailey] Furthermore, we must believe that to discover accurately the cause of the most essential facts is the function of the science of nature, and that blessedness for us in the knowledge of celestial phenomena lies in this and in the understanding of the nature of the existences seen in these celestial phenomena, and of all else that is akin to the exact knowledge requisite for our happiness.

The proper role natural philosophy is to thoroughly understand the fundamental causes of natural phenomena (the atoms and the void). We have a basis of contentment and security in our knowledge of these fundamental causes.

We must differentiate between fundamental and general essential causes verses particular specific causes for all phenomena we see. While we investigate how a particular phenomenon can occur with various specific causes, it is most important that we understand the essential causes behind such a phenomenon.

κύριος (α, ον)	principle	ΚΥΡΙΟΣ <i>critical / valid</i>
κυριώτατος (κυριωτάτη, κυριώτατον)	most essential	ΚΥΡΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most critical / valid</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ἐξακριβοῦν	to make fully precise	ΕΞΑΚΡΙΒΟΥΝ <i>fully pointed</i>
ἐξακριβῶσαι	to make fully precise [already]	
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning of producing</i>
τὸ ἐνέργημα τὰ ἐνεργήματα	an activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of working within</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
ἡ μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from greatness</i>

μακάριος (α, ον)	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ <i>greatness</i>
τὸ μακάριον τὰ μακάρια	what is blessed	
ἐνταῦθα	in this [respect]	ΕΝΤΑΥΘΑ <i>in this</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
πεπτωκέναι	to have settled	ΠΕΠΤΩΚΕΝΑΙ <i>fallen</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	<i>[the act of mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of</i> <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρητός (ή, όν)	observable	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρούμενος (η, ον)	observed	ΘΕΩΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
συντείνειν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>stretching</i> <i>together</i>
τὸ ἀκρίβωμα τὰ ἀκριβώματα	precise detail	ΑΚΡΙΒΩΜΑ <i>result of pointed</i>
ἡ ἀκρίβεια αἱ ἀκρίβειαι	precision	ΑΚΡΙΒΕΙΑ <i>state of pointed</i>

78b. Certainty for Essential Causes

ἔτι τε, οὐ Τὸ πλεοναχῶς ἐν τοῖς τοιοῦτοις εἶναι, καὶ τὸ Ἐνδεχόμενον καὶ ἄλλως πως ἔχειν – ἀλλ’ ἀπλῶς μὴ εἶναι ἐν ἀφθάρτῳ καὶ μακαρία φύσει τῶν διάκρισιν ὑποβαλλόντων ἢ τάραχον μηθέν· καὶ Τοῦτο Καταλαβεῖν τῇ διανοίᾳ ἔστιν ἀπλῶς εἶναι

also, [It is necessary to consider that] What exists in several ways does not exist in such [essential] things, nor the Possibility of also being in some other way – but that nothing of what suggests [any] separating apart or [any] disturbance is included absolutely in an indestructible and blessed nature: and to thoroughly Comprehend with the mind that This [reality] exists is absolutely possible

[Bailey] in knowing too that what occurs in several ways or is capable of being otherwise has no place here but that nothing which suggests doubt or alarm can be included at all in that which is naturally immortal and blessed. Now this we can ascertain by our mind is absolutely the case.

πολύς (ή, ύ)	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
πλέων (ων, ον)	more	ΠΛΕΩΝ <i>flowing</i>
πλεοναχῶς	in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
τὸ πλεοναχῶς τὰ πλεοναχῶς	what exists in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
ἐνδεχόμενος (η, ον)	possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting in</i>
τὸ ἐνδεχόμενον τὰ ἐνδεχόμενα	possibility [of]	
ἡ ἀφθαρσία αἱ ἀφθαρσίαι	indestructibility	ΑΦΘΑΡΣΙΑ <i>not destroyed</i>
ἀφθαρτός (ός, όν)	indestructible	
τὸ μακάριον τὰ μακάρια	what is blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΝ <i>greatness</i>
μακάριος (α, ον)	blessed	

ἡ διάλυσις αἱ διαλύσεις	dissolution	ΔΙΑΛΥΣΙΣ <i>fully loosening</i>
ἡ διάληψις αἱ διαλήψεις	differentiation	ΔΙΑΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold separation</i>
ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction [i.e., an act of discrimination]	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
ἡ διάκρισις αἱ διακρίσεις	separating apart	ΔΙΑΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing apart</i>
βάλλειν	to throw	ΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing</i>
μεταβάλλειν	to transform	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing in change</i>
παραβάλλειν	to compare	ΠΑΡΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing in across</i>
ὑποβάλλειν	to suggest	ΥΠΟΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing under</i>
ὑποβάλλων (ουσα, ον)	suggesting	
ὁ τάραχος οἱ τάραχοι	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΟΣ <i>agitating</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
καταλαμβάνειν	to thoroughly comprehend	ΚΑΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly grasping</i>
καταλαβεῖν	to thoroughly comprehend [already]	ΚΑΤΑΛΑΒΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly grasped</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ἔστιν ἀπλῶς εἶναι	it is absolutely possible	<i>"absolutely it is [possible] to exist"</i>

Specific meteorological phenomena can have multiple possible explanations because their general causes vary – in contrast, the most fundamental causes (the atoms and the void) are consistent and certain.

Similarly, the essential natures of contentment and indestructibility are consistent and certain – they cannot allow for the inclusion or mixing of anything foreign while still existing as those essential natures .

79a. Uncertainly for Particular Causes

τὸ δ’ ἐν τῇ ἱστορίᾳ Πεπτωκός τῆς δύσεως καὶ ἀνατολῆς καὶ τροπῆς καὶ ἐκλείψεως – καὶ Ὅσα συγγενῇ τούτοις – μὴθὲν ἔτι πρὸς τὸ μακάριον τὰς γνώσεις συντείνειν

but [It is necessary to consider that] **What has fallen** within the examination of a setting, rising, turning, or an eclipse – or **Whatever else is akin to these** – **contributes nothing further** to what is blessed of knowledge

[Bailey] But what falls within the investigation of risings and settings and turnings and eclipses, and all that is akin to this, is no longer of any value for the happiness which knowledge brings.

Studying the movement of specific meteorological phenomena does not further contribute to the contentment already gained from studying the essential atomic causes of such phenomena.

ἡ ἱστορία αἱ ἱστορίαι	examination	ἹΣΤΟΡΙΑ <i>inquiring</i>
--	-------------	------------------------------------

πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
πεπτωκώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	having fallen	ΠΕΠΤΩΚΩΣ <i>fell</i>
ή δύσις αί δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of sinking</i>
ή άνατολή αί άνατολαί	a rising	ΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ <i>lifting up</i>
ή τροπή αί τροπαί	turning	ΤΡΟΠΗ <i>diverting</i>
ή έκλειψις αί έκλείψεις	a disappearance [or, of the sun & moon] an eclipse	ΕΚΛΕΙΨΙΣ <i>leaving out</i>
συγγενής <i>(ές)</i>	akin	ΣΥΓΓΕΝΗΣ <i>born with</i>
μακάριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ <i>greatness</i>
τό μακάριον τά μακάρια	what is blessed	
γινώσκειν = γιγνώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
γνωστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	known	ΓΝΩΣΤΟΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ή γνῶσις αί γνώσεις	knowledge	ΓΝΩΣΙΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
συντείνειν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>stretching together</i>

79b. Precision for Essential Causes

άλλ’ όμοίως **τούς φόβους έχειν**, **τούς ταῦτα Κατειδότας**, **τίνες δ’** **αί φύσεις άγνοοῦντας** **καί τίνες** **αί** **κυριώταται αίτιαί** – **καί** **εἰ** **μή** **Προσήδειςαν** **ταῦτα** – **τάχα** **δὲ** **καί** **πλείους**: **όταν** **τό** **Θάμβος** **έκ** **τῆς** **τούτων** **προσκατανοήσεως** **μή** **δύνηται** **τήν** **λύσιν** **λαμβάνειν** **καί** **τήν** **περί** **τῶν** **κυριωτάτων** **οἰκονομίαν**

but likewise, [It is necessary to consider that] People who understand these [specific meteorological phenomena], but do not also know what their [fundamental] natures are as well as what their most essential causes are, [still] have those fears in the same way – just as if They were not already familiar with these [specific meteorological phenomena] – perhaps even greater [fears]: when [their] Amazement from the additional full conception of these things is not able to comprehend the solution and operation of the most essential things

[Bailey] but persons who have perceived all this, but yet do not know what are the natures of these things and what are the essential causes, are still in fear, just as if they did not know these things at all indeed, their fear may be even greater, since the wonder which arises out of the observation of these things cannot discover any solution or realize the regulation of the essentials.

People who know about (and even those who can predict) risings, settings, eclipses and other meteorological events – but do not understand the fundamental workings of nature (the essential causes) – may feel as much fearful uncertainty as those who do not even consider such investigations. Unless their investigations also explain the underlying reasons for these phenomena, their fearful uncertainty might even be greater. They may, for example, instead consider these events to be the work of gods.

ό φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
όρᾶν	to see [mentally]	’ΟΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>

καθορᾶν	to inspect [mentally]	ΚΑΘΟΡΑΝ <i>thoroughly looking</i>
εἰδέναι	<i>to have mentally seen</i> ∴ "to know"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>seen</i>
κατειδέναι	"to have mentally inspected" ∴ to understand	ΚΑΤΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly seen</i>
κατειδώς <i>(υἷα, ός)</i>	"having mentally inspected" ∴ understanding	
ό κατειδώς οἱ κατειδότες	he who understands	
προσειδέναι	"to also have mentally seen" ∴ to also be familiar with	ΠΡΟΣΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing in addition</i>
γινώσκειν = γιγνώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
γνωστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	known	ΓΝΩΣΤΟΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ή γνῶσις αἱ γνώσεις	knowledge	
άγνοεῖν	to not know	ΑΓΝΟΕΙΝ <i>not distinguishing</i>
άγνοῶν <i>(άγνοοῦσα, άγνοοῦν)</i>	not knowing	
τό νόημα τά νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
τό έννόημα τά έννοήματα	internal conception	ΕΝΝΟΗΜΑ <i>result of seeing within</i>
ή κατανόησις αἱ κατανοήσεις	full conception	ΚΑΤΑΝΟΗΣΙΣ <i>thoroughly seeing</i>
ή προσκατανόησις αἱ προσκατανοήσεις	additional full conception	ΠΡΟΣΚΑΤΑΝΟΗΣΙΣ <i>addition to thoroughly seeing</i>
κυριώτατος <i>(κυριωτάτη, κυριώτατον)</i>	most essential	ΚΥΡΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most critical / valid</i>
ή αίτία αἱ αίτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
τάχα	perhaps	ΤΑΧΑ <i>quickly</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
τό θάμβος τά θάμβη	amazement	ΘΑΜΒΟΣ <i>astonishing</i>
ή διάλυσις αἱ διαλύσεις	dissolution	ΔΙΑΛΥΣΙΣ <i>fully loosening</i>
ή λύσις αἱ λύσεις	solution [i.e., explanation]	ΛΥΣΙΣ <i>the process of loosening</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
ή οἰκονομία αἱ οἰκονομίαι	operation	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΙΑ <i>managing the home</i>

79c, 80a. Imprecision for Specific Causes

διό δὴ κἂν πλείους αίτίας Εὐρίσκωμεν τροπῶν καὶ δύσεων καὶ ἀνατολῶν καὶ ἐκλείψεων καὶ τῶν τοιουτοτρόπων – ὥσπερ καὶ ἐν τοῖς κατὰ μέρος γινομένοις: ἦν οὐ Δεῖ νομίζειν τὴν ὑπὲρ τούτων Χρείαν ἀκρίβειαν μὴ ἀπειληφέναι (Ὅση πρὸς τὸ ἀτάραχον καὶ μακάριον ἡμῶν συντείνει)

therefore indeed, We may even discover **more causes of turnings, settings, risings, eclipses, and such things** – just as [we find] **in the [writings we] produced for [the details of] each part:** [nevertheless] It is not necessary to think that **[our] need regarding these things has not fully acquired precision** (as Much as contributes to what is undisturbed and blessed)

[Bailey] And for this very reason, even if we discover several causes for turnings and settings and risings and eclipses and the like, as has been the case already in our investigation of detail. we must not suppose that our inquiry into these things has not reached sufficient accuracy to contribute to our peace of mind and happiness.

We can give (1) *essential explanations* for *a specific cause*, but in some cases we cannot give (2) *specific explanations* for *a specific cause*. Even when the *specific causes* for a phenomenon remain uncertain, the *essential causes* for that phenomenon can be fully understood.

ή αίτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
εὕρίσκειν	to discover	ΕΥΡΙΣΚΕΙΝ <i>finding</i>
ή τροπή αἱ τροπαί	turning	ΤΡΟΠΗ <i>diverting</i>
ή δύσις αἱ δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of sinking</i>
ή ἀνατολή αἱ ἀνατολαί	a rising	ΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ <i>lifting up</i>
ή ἔκλειψις αἱ ἐκλείψεις	a disappearance [or, of the sun & moon] an eclipse	ΕΚΛΕΙΨΙΣ <i>leaving out</i>
τὸ τοιοῦτοτρόπος τὰ τοιοῦτοτρόπα	such a thing	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>such a kind of way</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
κατὰ μέρος	for [the details of] each part	
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
νομίζειν	to think	ΝΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
χρήσιμος <i>(ον)</i>	useful	ΧΡΗΣΙΜΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
ή χρεία αἱ χρεῖαι	use	ΧΡΕΙΑ <i>necessary</i>
ή ἀκρίβεια αἱ ἀκρίβειαι	precision	ΑΚΡΙΒΕΙΑ <i>state of pointed</i>
ἀπολαμβάνειν	to fully acquire	ΑΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping away from</i>
ἀπειληφέναι	to have fully acquired	ΑΠΕΙΛΗΦΕΝΑΙ <i>grasped away from</i>
ή ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ἀτάραχος = ἀτάρακτος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undisturbed	ΑΤΑΡΑΧΟΣ ΑΤΑΡΑΚΤΟΣ <i>without agitating</i>
τὸ ἀτάραχον τὰ ἀτάραχα	what is undisturbed	
ή μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from greatness</i>
μακάριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ <i>greatness</i>
τὸ μακάριον τὰ μακάρια	what is blessed	

συντείνειν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>stretching together</i>
-------------------	---------------	---

80b. Essential vs. Specific Causes

ὥστε, παραθεωροῦντας ποσαχῶς παρ’ ἡμῖν τὸ Ὅμοιον γίνεται – Αἰτιολογητέον ὑπέρ τε τῶν μετεώρων καὶ παντὸς τοῦ ἀδήλου· καταφρονοῦντας τῶν οὔτε ^[1a] τὸ μοναχῶς ἔχον ἢ γινόμενον γνωρίζοντων, οὔτε ^[1b] τὸ πλεοναχῶς συμβαῖνον – ^[2] τὴν ἐκ τῶν ἀποστημάτων φαντασίαν παριδόντων – ἔτι τε ἀγνοούντων καὶ ^[3a] ἐν ποίοις οὐκ ἔστιν ἀταρακτῆσαι <καὶ ^[3b] ἐν ποίοις ὁμοίως ἀταρακτῆσαι>

thus, while we are investigating how a Similar [specific phenomenon] among us occurs in several ways – It is necessary to reason into the [essential] causes regarding [such] meteorological phenomena as well as ^[0] everything unclear: while we disregard those who do not acknowledge [the difference between] ^[1a] what exists or is produced in only one way, versus ^[1b] what endures in several ways – while they [also] overlook ^[2] [the fact that] the appearance [of such astrological phenomena] arises out of the distance [between the phenomena and ourselves] – and also [those who] do not know ^[3a] in which things It is *not* possible to be undisturbed <and ^[3b] in which things similarly [it is possible] to be undisturbed>

^[Bailey] So we must carefully consider in how many ways a similar phenomenon is produced on earth, when we reason about the causes of celestial phenomena and all that is imperceptible to the senses; and we must despise those persons who do not recognize either what exists or comes into being in one way only, or that which may occur in several ways in the case of things which can only be seen by us from a distance, and further are not aware under what conditions it is impossible to have peace of mind.

When investigating the causes of meteorological phenomena, we should consider how similar events happen on Earth. We should disregard people who cannot distinguish between events with multiple possible causes and events with only one cause – as we should disregard people who do not appreciate the nuances of viewing objects from a distance – just as we should also disregard people who do not understand what investigations provide a basis for fostering peace of mind.

παραβάλλειν	to compare	ΠΑΡΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing in across</i>
ζητεῖν	to seek	ΖΗΤΕΙΝ <i>seeking</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
παραθεωρεῖν	to investigate	ΠΑΡΑΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>observing alongside</i>
ποσαχῶς	in various ways	ΠΟΣΑΧΩΣ <i>how many ways</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
αἰτιολογεῖν	to reason into the [essential] causes	ΑΙΤΙΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>collecting blame</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
δῆλος <i>(ῆ, ον)</i>	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
ἐνδηλος <i>(ον)</i>	^(θ) fully clear	ΕΝΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear within</i>
ἄδηλος <i>(ον)</i>	⁽⁰⁾ unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΣ <i>not clear</i>

τὸ ἄδηλον τὰ ἄδηλα	[0] what is unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΝ <i>not clear</i>
ἡ φροντίς αἱ φροντίδες	consideration	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΣ <i>mind</i>
φρονεῖν	to consider	ΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>mind</i>
καταφρονεῖν <i>+ gen</i>	to disregard	ΚΑΤΑΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>mind</i> <i>down / against</i>
μοναχῶς	in only one way	ΜΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>one manner</i>
γινώσκειν = γιγνώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having</i> <i>distinguished</i>
γνωστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	known	
ἡ γνῶσις αἱ γνώσεις	knowledge	
γνωρίζειν	to acknowledge	ΓΝΩΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>causing knowing</i>
πλέων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	more	ΠΛΕΩΝ <i>flowing / more</i>
πλεοναχῶς	in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	"that which has endured" property <i>(inseparable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked</i> <i>together</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
συμβαίνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	enduring [with its compound]	
τὸ συμβαῖνον τὰ συμβαίνοντα	what endures	
τὸ σύστημα τὰ συστήματα	structure	ΣΥΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing together</i>
τὸ ἀπόστημα τὰ ἀποστήματα	distance	ΑΠΟΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing away</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
ιδεῖν	to have seen [mentally]	ΙΔΕΙΝ <i>seen</i>
παριδεῖν	to overlook [already]	ΠΑΡΙΔΕΙΝ <i>seen across</i>
παριδών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	overlooking [already]	
ὁ παριδών οἱ παριδόντες	those who overlook [already]	
ἄγνοεῖν	to not know	ΑΓΝΟΕΙΝ <i>not distinguishing</i>
ἄγνοῶν <i>(ἀγνοοῦσα, ἀγνοοῦν)</i>	not knowing	
ὁ ἀγνοῶν οἱ ἀγνοοῦντες	those who do not know	
ἀτάραχος = ἀτάρακτος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undisturbed	ΑΤΑΡΑΧΟΣ ΑΤΑΡΑΚΤΟΣ <i>without agitating</i>
ἡ ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ἀταρακτεῖν	to be undisturbed	ΑΤΑΡΑΚΤΕΙΝ <i>without agitating</i>
ἀταρακτῆσαι	to be undisturbed [already]	

ἂν, οὖν, Οἰώμεθα καὶ ὥδι πως ἐνδεχόμενον αὐτὸ γίνεσθαι ^{καὶ ἐν οἷς ὁμοίως ἐστὶν ἀταρακτῆσαι} — αὐτὸ τὸ ὅτι πλεοναχῶς γίνεται γνωρίζοντες: ὥσπερ κἂν ὅτι ὥδι πως γίνεται εἶδωμεν, ἀταρακτῆσομεν

therefore, even if we believe that [a specific phenomenon] is possible to be produced in some particular way ^{and [it is] among the things where it is equally possible to be undisturbed} — then when we acknowledge that it is produced in several ways: we will be undisturbed, just as if we were to see that it is produced in some particular way

[Hicks] If then we think that an event could happen in one or other particular way ... out of several, we shall be as tranquil when we recognize that it actually comes about in more ways than one as if we knew that it happens in this particular way.

We need to accept the reality that there are varied possibilities for causes of a specific phenomenon. If we understand that a phenomenon can happen in multiple ways, and that any of these ways do not cause us fear, we will be just as calm as if we knew the exact cause of a particular phenomenon.

Accepting this uncertainty for specific causes promotes mental well-being just as much as our foundational certainty for essential causes.

οἷεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
ὥδι πως	in some particular way	ΩΔΙ ΠΩΣ <i>manner like somehow</i>
ἐνδεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting in</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
πλέων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	more	ΠΛΕΩΝ <i>flowing / more</i>
πλεοναχῶς	in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
γνωρίζειν	to acknowledge	ΓΝΩΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>distinguishing [transformation]</i>
γνωρίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	acknowledging	
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
ἰδεῖν	to have seen [mentally]	ΙΔΕΙΝ <i>seen</i>
ἀταρακτεῖν	to be undisturbed	ΑΤΑΡΑΚΤΕΙΝ <i>without agitating</i>
ἀταρακτῆσειν	to be undisturbed [in the future]	

81a. The Gods

ἐπὶ δὲ τούτοις, ὅλως ἅπασιν ἐκεῖνο Δεῖ κατανοεῖν: ὅτι Τάραχος ὁ κυριώτατος ταῖς ἀνθρωπίναις ψυχαῖς γίνεται ἐν τῷ ταῦτά τε μακάρια δοξάζειν «εἶναι» καὶ ἄφθαρτα – καὶ ὑπεναντίας ἔχειν τούτῳ βουλήσεις ἅμα καὶ πράξεις καὶ αἰτίας

and in addition to these things, It is entirely necessary for all to fully conceive this: that the most essential Disturbance in human souls is produced by [people] judging these [astrological phenomena themselves] to be blessed and indestructible – and at the same time [thinking that these astrological phenomena] have intentions, activities, and causes contrary to this

[Bailey] And besides all these matters in general we must grasp this point, that the principal disturbance in the minds of men arises because they think that these celestial bodies are blessed and immortal, and yet have wills and actions and motives inconsistent with these attributes.

Humans feel the greatest anxiety by not understanding how natural phenomena relate to fundamental questions, such as question regarding the gods – often incorrectly believing that meteorological phenomena are gods, or are signs from gods.

Many people mix up their concepts by incorrectly assigning other thoughts and actions to the gods that contradict their divine natures of total contentedness and indestructibility.

νοεῖν	to conceive	NOEIN <i>seeing</i>
κατανοεῖν	to fully conceive	KATANOEIN <i>seeing thoroughly</i>
ὁ τάραχος οἱ τάραχοι	disturbance	τάραχος <i>agitating</i>
κυριώτατος <i>(κυριωτάτη, κυριώτατον)</i>	most essential	ΚΥΡΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most critical / valid</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ἀνθρώπινος <i>(η, ον)</i>	human (adj.)	
ἡ ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
μακάριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ <i>greatness</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	
ἄφθαρτος <i>(ον)</i>	indestructible	ΑΦΘΑΡΤΟΣ <i>not decaying</i>
ὑπεναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	contrary	ΥΠΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>over against</i>
ἡ βούλησις αἱ βουλήσεις	intention	ΒΟΥΛΗΣΙΣ <i>wishing</i>
ἡ πράξις αἱ πράξεις	activity	ΠΡΑΞΙΣ <i>action</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>

81b. Natural Limits to what is Terrible

καὶ ἐν τῷ ^[1] αἰώνιόν τι δεινὸν ἀεὶ προσδοκᾶν ἢ ὑποπεύειν κατὰ τοὺς μύθους – εἴ τε καὶ ^[2] αὐτὴν τὴν ἀναισθησίαν τὴν ἐν τῷ τεθνάναι φοβουμένους, ὥσπερ οὔσαν κατ’ αὐτούς – καὶ ἐν τῷ μὴ δόξαις ταῦτα πάσχειν, ἀλλ’ ἀλόγῳ γέ τι παραστάσει: ὅθεν, μὴ ὀρίζοντας τὸ δεινὸν – τὴν ἴσην ἢ καὶ ἐπιτεταμένην **ταραχὴν λαμβάνειν τῷ εἰκαίως δοξάζοντι ταῦτα**

and [the most essential Disturbance in human souls is produced] in always suspecting or being concerned by ^[1] some perpetual terrible thing according to the myths – even if they also fear ^[2] the very lack of sensation in having died, as if [sensation] existed for them [when dead] – and by [them] experiencing these things not through judgement, but rather through a certain unreasoning representation: therefore, because they do not set a limit to what is terrible – they acquire the same or even more extended trouble [compared] to someone who is randomly judging these things

[Bailey] and because they are always expecting or imagining some everlasting misery, such as is depicted in legends – or even fear the loss of feeling in death as though it would concern them themselves – and, again, because they are brought to this pass not by reasoned opinion, but rather by some irrational **representation** (and therefore, as they do not know the limits of pain – they suffer a disturbance equally great or even more extensive than if they had reached this belief by opinion.

Great anxiety is caused by [1] the fear of an eternally terrible existence (as some religions and myths predict) and [2] the fear of nonexistence. These fears arise from irrational and conflicting beliefs rather than reasoned understanding – but looking into such topics without a conviction in *essential causes* may result in more anxiety than never looking into the causes and explanations of meteorological phenomena at all.

αἰώνιος <i>(αἰωνιᾶ, αἰώνιον)</i>	perpetual <i>(moving, multiple)</i>	ΑΙΩΝΙΟΣ <i>characterized by being forever</i>
δεινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	terrible	ΔΕΙΝΟΣ <i>terrifying</i>
ή δόξα αί δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
προσδοκᾶν	to expect	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΚΑΝ <i>seeming</i>
ὑποπτεύειν	to suspect	ὙΠΟΠΤΕΥΕΙΝ <i>looking [suspiciously] under</i>
ό μῦθος οί μῦθοι	myth	ΜΥΘΟΣ <i>speech / word</i>
ή αἰσθησις αί αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ή αναισθησία αί αναισθησίαι	lack of sensation	ΑΝΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΑ
θνήσκειν	to die	ΘΗΗΣΚΕΙΝ ΘΗΗΣΚΕΙΝ <i>died</i>
τεθνάναι	to have died <i>[already]</i>	ΤΕΘΝΑΝΑΙ <i>died</i>
φοβεῖν	to frighten	ΦΟΒΕΙΝ <i>fleeing</i>
φοβούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	fearing	ΦΟΒΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
ή δόξα αί δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἄλογος <i>(ον)</i>	unreasoning	ΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>not reasoning</i>
ή στάσις αί στάσεις	stationary position	ΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i>
ή σύστασις αί συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
ή αποκατάστασις αί αποκαταστάσεις	reestablishment	ΑΠΟΚΑΤΑΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>placed thoroughly back</i>
ή παράστασις αί παραστάσεις	representation	ΠΑΡΑΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>placed next to</i>
όρίζειν	to set a limit	ὍΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
όρίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	setting a limit	
δεινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	terrible	ΔΕΙΝΟΣ <i>terrifying</i>
τò δεινόν τὰ δεινά	what is terrible	
ἴσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	equal	ΙΣΟΣ <i>equal</i>
τείνειν	to extend	ΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>extending</i>
ἐπιτεταμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[more] extended	ΕΠΙΤΕΤΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>toward stretched</i>
ή ταραχή αί ταραχαί	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΗ <i>agitating</i>

λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
εἰκαῖος (α, ον)	random	ΕΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>haphazardly</i>
εἰκαίως	randomly	
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
δοξαστός (ή, όν)	able to be judged	ΔΟΞΑΣΤΟΣ <i>seeming</i>
δοξάζων (ουσα, ον)	judging	ΔΟΞΑΖΩΝ <i>seeming</i>

82a. Undisturbedness, defined

ἡ δὲ Ἀταραξία ^[1] τὸ τούτων πάντων ἀπολελύσθαι καὶ συνεχῇ μνήμην ἔχειν ^[2a] τῶν ὅλων καὶ ^[2b] κυριωτάτων

Undisturbedness is ^[1] being released away from all those [*fears of unending terrible experiences*] and having a continuous memory ^[2a] of the whole and ^[2b] of most essential things

[Yonge] And the real freedom from this kind of trouble consists in being emancipated from all these things, and in preserving the recollection of all the principles which we have established, especially of the most essential of them.

[Bailey] But peace of mind is being delivered from all this, and having a constant memory of the general and most essential principles.

Mental tranquillity (ἡ ἡσυχία) comes from being free from such fears as endless suffering and ceasing to exist (as well as being from the need to explain specific explanations for specific causes) – through always being mindful of our essential explanations of the general operation of the entire universe as a whole as well as through our general understanding of the universe's fundamental essential causes.

ἡ ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening</i>
διαλύειν	to fully dissolve	ΔΙΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>fully loosening</i>
ἀπολύειν	to release away	ΑΠΟΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening away</i>
ἀπολελύσθαι	to have been released away	ΑΠΟΛΕΛΥΘΑΙ <i>loosened away</i>
συνεχής (ές)	continuous	ΣΥΝΕΧΗΣ <i>holding together</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
κυριώτατος (κυριωτάτη, κυριώτατον)	most essential	ΚΥΡΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most critical / valid</i>

82b. Adhering to Sensations

ὁθεν, ^[Γ] τοῖς πάθεσι Προσεκτέον τοῖς παροῦσι καὶ ^[Α] ταῖς αἰσθήσεσι – κατὰ μὲν τὸ κοινὸν ταῖς κοιναῖς, κατὰ δὲ τὸ ἴδιον ταῖς ἰδίαις – καὶ πάσῃ τῇ παρουσίᾳ καθ’ ἕκαστον ^[Κ] τῶν κριτηρίων ^[Ε] ἐναργεῖα, ἂν γὰρ τούτοις Προσέχωμεν: τὸ ὁθεν ὁ τάραχος καὶ ὁ φόβος ἐγένετο Ἐξαιτιολογήσομεν ὀρθῶς – καὶ Ἀπολύσομεν (ὑπέρ τε μετεώρων αἰτιολογοῦντες καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν τῶν ἀεὶ παρεμπιπτόντων) ὅσα φοβεῖ τοὺς λοιποὺς ἐσχάτως

therefore, it is necessary to pay attention ^[n]to the experiences that are present and ^[A] to the sensations – [paying attention] to general [experiences and sensations] for general [causes], and to [our] particular [experiences and sensations] for [our] particular [causes] – indeed [it is necessary to pay attention] to all present ^[E]detectible reality according to each ^[K]of the criteria, for if We pay attention to these: We will rightly fully reason into the causes from where disturbance and fear were being produced – and (by us reasoning into the causes concerning meteorological phenomena and the remaining things that are always intruding) We will release away whatever extremely frightens the rest [of the public]

therefore, It is necessary to pay attention ^[n]to the experiences that are present and ^[A] to the sensations – to general for the general, and to the particular for the particular – and indeed to all present ^[E]detectible reality according to each ^[K]of the criteria, for if We pay attention to these: We will rightly fully reason into the causes from where disturbance and fear were being produced – and (by us reasoning into the causes concerning meteorological phenomena and the remaining things that are always intruding) We will release away whatever extremely frightens the rest

[Bailey] Wherefore we must pay attention to internal feelings and to external sensations in general and in particular, according as the subject is general or particular, and to every immediate intuition in accordance with each of the standards of judgment. For if we pay attention to these, we shall rightly trace the causes whence arose our mental disturbance and fear, and, by learning the true causes of celestial phenomena and all other occurrences that come to pass from time to time, we shall free ourselves from all which produces the utmost fear in other men.

We must pay close attention to our ^[n]feelings and ^[A]sense perceptions – both general and individual – and consider all ^[E]clear evidence from our ^[K]standards of truth. By doing this, we can ^{α}correctly ^{Δ}identify and eliminate the causes of disturbance and fear, understand celestial phenomena, and free ourselves from the fears that trouble most people.

ὅθεν	therefore	Ὅθεν from which
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[n] experience (feeling)	Παθος enduring
κατέχειν	to firmly hold	ΚΑΤΕΧΕΙΝ thoroughly holding
μετέχειν	to partake	ΜΕΤΕΧΕΙΝ hold among
προσέχειν	to pay attention	ΠΡΟΣΕΧΕΙΝ hold towards
παρών (παρουσα, παρόν)	present	ΠΑΡΩΝ to be beside
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	Αἴσθησις perceiving process
ἡ κοινότης αἱ κοινότητες	general quality	ΚΟΙΝΟΤΗΣ condition from common
κοινῶς	generally	
κοινός (ή, όν)	general	
ἴδιος (α, ον)	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ particular
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	criterion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ instrument of dividing
ἐναργής (ές)	detectible	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΣ within clear
τὸ ἐνάργημα τὰ ἐναργήματα	^[E] detectible fact	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΜΑ result of being within clear
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[E] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ within clear
τὸ ὅθεν	where from	

ὁ τάραχος οἱ τάραχοι	disturbance	τάραχος <i>agitating</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
φοβεῖν	to frighten	ΦΟΒΕΙΝ <i>fleeing</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
αἰτιολογεῖν	^{Δ} to reason into the [essential] causes	ΑΙΤΙΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>collecting blame</i>
αἰτιολογῶν	reasoning into the [essential] causes	
ἐξαιτιολογεῖν	^{Δ} to fully reason into the [essential] causes	ΕΞΑΙΤΙΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>fully collecting</i> <i>blame</i>
ἐξαιτιολογήσειν	^{Δ} to fully reason into the [essential] causes <i>[in the future]</i>	ΕΞΑΙΤΙΟΛΟΓΗΣΕΙΝ <i>will be fully</i> <i>collecting blame</i>
ὀρθῶς	^{α} rightly	ΟΡΘΩΣ <i>straight upright</i>
ἀπολύειν	to release away	ΑΠΟΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening away</i>
ἀπολελύσθαι	to have been released away	ΑΠΟΛΕΛΥΣΘΑΙ <i>loosened away</i>
ἀπολύσειν	to release away <i>[in the future]</i>	ΑΠΟΛΥΣΕΙΝ <i>will loosen away</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining <i>[rest of + gen]</i>	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
ἐμπίπτειν	to fall in	ΕΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling in</i>
παρεμπίπτειν	to intrude ^[in/upon]	ΠΑΡΕΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beside in falling</i>
παρεμπίπτων	intruding	
ἔσχατος <i>(έσχάτη, έσχατον)</i>	extreme	ΕΣΧΑΤΟΣ <i>last</i>
ἐσχάτως	extremely	

82c. Goodbye Herodotus

Ταῦτά, σοι ὦ Ἡρόδοτε, ἔστι κεφαλαιωδέστατα ὑπὲρ τῆς τῶν ὅλων φύσεως: ἐπιτετμημένα – ὥστ’ ἐὰν γένηται δυνατός ὁ Λόγος οὗτος κατασχεθεῖς μετ’ ἀκριβείας

These things are, for you Herodotus, the most pivotal concerning the nature of the whole: although they are cut short – so that this Reasoning is made able to be firmly maintained with precision

[Bailey] Here, Herodotus, is my treatise on the chief points concerning the nature of the general principles, abridged so that my account would be easy to grasp with accuracy.

κεφαλαιώδης	pivotal	ΚΕΦΑΛΑΙΩΔΗΣ <i>quality of being</i> <i>head-like</i>
--------------------	---------	---

κεφαλαιωδέστατος	most pivotal	ΚΕΦΑΛΑΙΩΔΕΣΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most related to head-like</i>
τὸ κεφαλαιωδέστατον τὰ κεφαλαιωδέστατα	what is most pivotal	
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ἐπιτέμνειν	to cut short	ΕΠΙΤΕΜΝΕΙΝ <i>cutting upon</i>
ἐπιτετμημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	cut short	
τὸ ἐπιτετμημένον τὰ ἐπιτετμημένα	what has been cut short	
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
γενέσθαι	to be produced [already]	ΓΕΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>came into being</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
γενέσθαι δυνατός	to be made able [already]	
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
κατέχειν	to firmly hold	ΚΑΤΕΧΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly holding</i>
κατασχεθῆναι	to be firmly maintained [already]	ΚΑΤΑΣΧΕΘΗΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly held</i>
κατασχεθείς <i>[εἶσα, έν]</i>	firmly maintained [already]	
ἡ ἀκρίβεια αἱ ἀκρίβειαι	precision	ΑΚΡΙΒΕΙΑ <i>state of pointed</i>

83a. Clear View

Οἶμαι ἐὰν μὴ καὶ πρὸς ἅπαντα βαδίσῃ Τις τῶν κατὰ μέρος ἀκριβομάτων – ἀσύμβλητον αὐτὸν πρὸς τοὺς λοιποὺς ἀνθρώπους ἀδρότητα Λήψεσθαι: καὶ γὰρ καὶ καθαρὰ ἀφ’ ἑαυτοῦ Ποιήσει πολλὰ τῶν κατὰ μέρος ἐξακριβουμένων (κατὰ τὴν ὅλην πραγματείαν ἡμῖν) – καὶ αὐτὰ Ταῦτα, ἐν μνήμῃ τιθέμενα, συνεχῶς βοηθήσει

I believe that even if someone is not proceeding towards all these [most pivotal topics] through the precise details according to each part – He will [nevertheless] acquire unmatched fullness in comparison to the rest of humanity: for indeed He will also by himself make many of the fully precise details clear according to each part (in accordance with our whole effort) – and these [Topics] themselves, when they are placed in memory, will continuously provide support

[Bailey] I think that, even if one were unable to proceed to all the detailed particulars of the system, he would from this obtain an unrivaled strength compared with other men. For indeed he will clear up for himself many of the detailed points by reference to our general system, and these very principles, if he stores them in his mind, will constantly aid him.

Even if someone is not exploring every exact detail relating to the topics mentioned in this letter – just by keeping the general ideas above in mind, one nevertheless can understand these topics better than most people. Although Epicurus explained these ideas more fully in his books, students can also figure out many further details on their own just from the basis of this letter.

οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
----------------	------------	-----------------------------------

βαδίζειν	proceed	ΒΑΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>walking</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
κατὰ μέρος	for [the details of] each part	
ἡ ἀκρίβεια αἱ ἀκρίβειαι	precision	ΑΚΡΙΒΕΙΑ <i>state of pointed</i>
τὸ ἀκρίβωμα τὰ ἀκριβώματα	precise detail	ΑΚΡΙΒΩΜΑ <i>result of pointed</i>
ἐξακριβούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[made] fully precise	ΕΞΑΚΡΙΒΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>fully pointed</i>
τὸ ἐξακριβωθέν τὰ ἐξακριβωθέντα	fully precise detail	
ἀσύμβλητος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	unmatched	ΑΣΥΜΒΛΗΤΟΣ <i>not thrown in together</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining [rest of]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ἡ ἀδρότης αἱ ἀδρότητες	fullness	ἈΔΡΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from fullness</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
λήψεσθαι	to be acquired	ΛΗΨΕΣΘΑΙ <i>will grasp</i>
καθαρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	clear	ΚΑΘΑΡΟΣ <i>cleaning</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ποιήσειν	going to make	
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ πραγματεία αἱ πραγματεῖαι	effort	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΕΙΑ <i>state of the result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
βοηθεῖν	to provide support	ΒΟΗΘΕΙΝ <i>helping</i>
βοηθήσειν	going to provide support	

83b. Comprehensive Insight

τοιαῦτα γάρ Ἔστιν, ὥστε καὶ τοὺς κατὰ μέρος ἤδη ἐξακριβοῦντας ἱκανῶς ἢ καὶ τελείως: εἰς τὰς τοιαύτας ἀναλύοντας ἐπιβολάς τὰς πλείστας τῶν περιοδειῶν ὑπὲρ τῆς ὅλης φύσεως ποιεῖσθαι – Ὅσοι δὲ μὴ παντελῶς τῶν ἀποτελουμένων εἰσὶν: ἐκ τούτων καὶ κατὰ τὸν ἄνευ φθόγγων τρόπον – τὴν, ἅμα νοήματι, περίοδον τῶν κυριωτάτων πρὸς γαληνισμόν ποιοῦνται

for these [Topics] are like this, that even those who are already sufficiently or even completely making each part fully precise: in such resolving attentions, they make for themselves many full-courses into the whole of nature – but Those who are not absolutely completely among those who are fully completing: from these things and in this way without [verbal] expressions – they make for themselves, along with [their] conception, the full-courses of what is most essential in regards to pacification

for these [most pivotal topics] are such, that even those [students] who are already sufficiently or even completely making each part fully precise: in such resolving attentions [i.e., by their problem-solving focus on these topics], they make for themselves more full-courses into the whole of nature – but Those [students] who are not absolutely completely among those who are fully produced [as a mature student]: from these things [that are covered in this letter] and in this way without [verbal] expressions [i.e., through personal reading and not through lectures] – they [still] make for themselves, along with [their] conception, the [completion of] full-courses of what is most essential in regards to pacification

[Bailey] For such is their character that even those who are at present engaged in working out the details to a considerable degree, or even completely, will be able to carry out the greater part of their investigations into the nature of the whole by conducting their analysis in reference to such a survey as this. And as for all who are not fully among those on the way to being perfected, some of them can from this summary obtain a hasty view of the most important matters without oral instruction so as to secure peace of mind.

Our tranquillity is supported upon the confident foundation that is our understanding of the fundamental realities of nature. The principles of these fundamental truths, which are contained in this letter, are useful for everyone: those who are already well-versed in the details can use this survey of the principles to review their understanding of physical science – while those less experienced can quickly grasp the main ideas in this survey to form this foundation of peace of mind for themselves.

The topics of natural science in this letter are so crucial that even those who are already very precise (or even completely accurate) in every necessary detail still use their problem-solving focus to make many full journeys into the entire universe using their understanding of the fundamental realities of nature. However, even those who are not fully complete in their studies can still make the same journey – through personal reading (rather than group lectures).

Through these readings – and through our understanding of these readings – we can achieve the confidence and security that is the foundation for peace of mind.

τὸ τοιοῦτον τὰ τοιαῦτα	what is like this <i>(such a thing)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΝ <i>this of this sort</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
κατὰ μέρος	for [the details of] each part	
ἡ ἀκρίβεια αἱ ἀκρίβειαι	precision	ΑΚΡΙΒΕΙΑ <i>state of pointed</i>
ἐξακριβοῦν	to make fully precise	ΕΞΑΚΡΙΒΟΥΝ <i>fully pointed</i>
ἐξακριβῶν <i>(ῶσα, οῦν)</i>	making fully precise	
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
τελείως	completely	
παντελῶς	absolutely completely	ΠΑΝΤΕΛΩΣ <i>all completing</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill <i>[process-focused]</i>	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
τελειοῦν	to fulfill <i>[result-focused]</i>	ΤΕΛΕΙΟΥΝ <i>completing</i>
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing out</i>
ἀποτελούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being fully produced	
ὁ ἀποτελούμενος	he who is fully completing	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>completing out</i>
λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening</i>
διαλύειν	to fully dissolve	ΔΙΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>fully loosening</i>
ἀπολύειν	to release away	ΑΠΟΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening away</i>

ἀναλύειν	to resolve	ΑΝΑΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening again</i>
ἀναλύων	resolving	
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ἡ ὁδός αἱ ὁδοί	course	ΟΔΟΣ <i>road</i>
ἡ περιοδεία αἱ περιοδεῖαι	[making] a full course	ΠΕΡΙΟΔΕΙΑ <i>process around the path</i>
ἡ περίοδος αἱ περίοδοι	[the completion of] a full course, [of time] period	ΠΕΡΙΟΔΟΣ <i>result around the path</i>
ὁ φθόγγος οἱ φθόγγοι	[verbal] expression	ΦΘΟΓΓΟΣ <i>uttering</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
τὸ νόημα τὰ νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
κυριώτατος <i>(κυριωτάτη, κυριώτατον)</i>	most essential	ΚΥΡΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most critical / valid</i>
τὸ κυριώτατον τὰ κυριώτατα	what is most essential	
ἐγγαληνίζειν	to pacify oneself	ΕΓΓΑΛΗΝΙΖΕΙΝ <i>causing stillness within</i>
ἡ γαλήνη αἱ γαληναί	peace	ΓΑΛΗΝΗ <i>stillness</i> <i>[of the sea]</i>
ὁ γαληνισμός οἱ γαληνισμοί	pacification	ΓΑΛΗΝΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of stillness</i> <i>[of the sea]</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>

The Summary about Astronomical Phenomena
ή περι Μετεώρων Έπιτομή

83d. Hello Pythocles

Έπίκουρος Πυθοκλεΐ, Χαίρειν!

Epicurus to Pythocles, Hello!

Έπίκουρος	Epicurus	ΕΠΙΚΟΥΡΟΣ <i>running toward</i> <i>[to assist]</i>
Έμπεδοκλῆς	Empedocles	ΕΜΠΕΔΟΚΛΗΣ <i>steadfast glory</i>
Πυθοκλῆς	Pythocles	ΠΥΘΟΚΛΗΣ <i>Pythian glory</i> <i>i.e., Apollo's fame</i>
χαίρειν	to rejoice [used for "hello/goodbye"]	ΧΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>

84a. Letter delivered by Cleon

ἦνεγκέ μοι Κλέων ἐπιστολὴν παρὰ σοῦ: ἐν ᾗ φιλοφρονούμενός τε περὶ ἡμᾶς
Διετέλεις (ἀξίως τῆς ἡμετέρας περὶ σεαυτὸν σπουδῆς)

Cleon brought me a letter from you: in which You continued to be affectionate toward us (deservedly for our eagerness concerning you)

[Bailey] Cleon brought me a letter from you in which you continue to express a kindly feeling towards me, which is a just return for my interest in you,

φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
ένεγκεῖν	to carry [already]	ΕΝΕΓΚΕΙΝ <i>brought</i>
Κλέων	Cleon	ΚΛΕΩΝ <i>glory</i>
ή ἐπιστολή αἱ ἐπιστολαί	letter [i.e., a sent message]	ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ <i>equipping upon</i>
ὁ φιλόσοφος οἱ φιλόσοφοι	philosopher	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΟΣ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ὁ εὐφρονοῦν οἱ εὐφρονοῦντες	he who considers well	ΕΥΦΡΟΝΟΥΝ <i>good mind</i>
φρονεῖν	to consider	ΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>mind</i>
φιλοφρονούμενος (η, ον)	affectionate	ΦΙΛΟΦΡΟΝΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>loving mind</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
διατελεῖν	to continue	ΔΙΑΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly completing</i>
ἄξιος (α, ον)	deserving	ΑΞΙΟΣ <i>counterbalancing</i>
ἀξίως	deservedly	
σπουδαῖος (α, ον)	eager	ΣΠΟΥΔΑΙΟΣ <i>being eager</i>
σπουδαίως	eagerly	ΣΠΟΥΔΑΙΩΣ
ή σπουδή αἱ σπουδαί	eagerness	ΣΠΟΥΔΗ <i>being eager</i>

84b. Reflections for a Blessed Life

καὶ οὐκ ἀπιθάνως Ἐπειρῶ μνημονεύειν τῶν εἰς μακάριον βίον συντεινόντων
διαλογισμῶν

and You were not unpersuasively trying to remember the thorough
reasoning contributing to the blessed life

Ἐδέου τε σεαυτῷ περὶ τῶν μετεώρων σύντομον καὶ εὐπερίγραφον διαλογισμὸν
ἀποστεῖλαι – ἵνα ῥαδίως Μνημονεύης

and also You needed to send out for a concise and well outlined thorough
reasoning concerning meteorological phenomena for yourself – so that You
might easily remember

and you attempt with some success to recall the arguments which lead to a
life of blessedness. You ask me to send you a brief argument about the
phenomena of the sky in a short sketch, that you may easily recall it to mind.

πιθανός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	persuasive	ΠΙΘΑΝΟΣ <i>probable</i>
ἀπιθάνως	unpersuasively	ΑΠΙΘΑΝΩΣ <i>not probable</i>
πειρᾶν	to try	ΠΕΙΡΑΝ <i>experiment</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
μνημονεύειν	to remember	ΜΝΗΜΟΝΕΥΕΙΝ <i>"addition to" thinking</i>
μακάριος <i>(α, όν)</i>	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ <i>greatness</i>
συντείνειν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>stretching together</i>
τό διαλόγισμα τὰ διαλογίσματα	a thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of reasoning through action</i>
ὁ διαλογισμός οἱ διαλογισμοί	thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning through action</i>
Part 2		
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
ἐδέου	you were in need	
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
σύντομος <i>(ος, όν)</i>	concise	ΣΥΝΤΟΜΟΣ <i>cut together</i>
γράφειν	to compose	ΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing</i>
εὐπερίγραφος <i>(ον)</i>	well outlined	ΕΥΠΕΡΙΓΡΑΦΟΣ <i>writing around well</i>
ἀποστελλεῖν	to send away [here, "to send out"]	ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready away</i>
ῥάδιος ῥαιδιος <i>(α, όν)</i>	easy	ῬΑΙΔΙΟΣ <i>easily</i>
ῥαδίως ῥαιδίως	easily	ῬΑΙΔΙΩΣ <i>easily</i>

84c. In Response to Your Request

τὰ γὰρ ἐν ἄλλοις ἡμῖν γεγραμμένα δυσμνημόνευτα εἶναι καίτοι ὥς Ἔφης
(συνεχῶς αὐτὰ Βαστάζεις) – Ἡμεῖς δὲ ἡδέως τέ σου τὴν δέησιν ἀπεδεξάμεθα, καὶ
ἐλπίσιν ἡδείαις Συνεσχέθημεν

for indeed you say that the things written by us in other places are hard to
remember (even though You continuously carry them) – but We pleasantly
accepted your request, and We were kept united by pleasant hopes

[Bailey] For you say that what I have written in my other works is hard to remember, even though, as you state, you constantly have them in your hands. I was glad to receive your request and felt constrained to answer it by pleasant expectations for the future

ἀναγεγραμμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been written out	ΑΝΑΓΕΓΡΑΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>written again</i>
γεγραμμένος	having been written	ΓΕΓΡΑΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>written</i>
τὸ μνημονευόμενον τὰ μνημονεύόμενα	what is remembered	ΜΝΗΜONEYΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of "addition to" thinking</i>
δυσμνημόνευτος <i>(ον)</i>	hard to remember	ΔΥΣΜΝΗΜONEYΤΟΣ <i>hard thinking</i>
καίτοι	and indeed	ΚΑΙΤΟΙ
συνεχῶς	continuously	ΣΥΝΕΧΩΣ <i>holding together</i>
βαστάζειν	to carry	ΒΑΣΤΑΖΕΙΝ <i>lifting</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
ἡδέως	pleasantly	ἩΔΕΩΣ <i>sweet</i>
ἡδύς <i>(ἡδεῖα, ἡδύ)</i>	pleasant	ἩΔΥΣ <i>sweet</i>
δέεσθαι	to be in need	ΔΕΕΣΘΑΙ <i>needing</i>
ἡ δέησις αἱ δεήσεις	request	ΔΕΗΣΙΣ <i>needing</i>
δέχεσθαι	to recieve	ΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting</i>
ἀποδέχεσθαι	to accept	ΑΠΟΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting from away</i>
ἡ ἐλπίς αἱ ἐλπίδες	hope	ΕΛΠΙΣ <i>expecting</i>
συνέχειν	to keep united	ΣΥΝΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding together</i>

85a. Useful to Many

γράψαντες, οὖν, **τὰ λοιπὰ πάντα**, Συντελοῦμεν ἅπερ ἤξιώσας:

having written, then, **all that [was] remaining**, We will altogether fulfill **the very things** You expected:

πολλοῖς καὶ ἄλλοις ἐσόμενα χρήσιμα τὰ διαλογίσματα ταῦτα – καὶ μάλιστα τοῖς νεωστὶ φυσιολογίας γνησίου γευομένοις – καὶ τοῖς εἰς ἀσχολίας βαθυτέρας τῶν ἐγκυκλίων τινὸς ἐμπεπλεγμένοις

these thorough reasonings will be useful to many others as well – and especially to those who are just now having a taste of genuine natural science – and to those entangled in the deeper occupations of some type of general affairs

[Bailey] Therefore, as I have finished all my other writings I now intend to accomplish your request, feeling that these arguments will be of value to many other persons as well, and especially to those who have but recently tasted the genuine inquiry into nature, and also to those who are involved too deeply in the business of some regular occupation

γράφειν	to compose	ΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing</i>
γράψας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	having written	ΓΡΑΨΑΣ <i>wrote</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining [rest of + gen]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>

συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
ἄξιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	deserving	ΑΞΙΟΣ <i>counterbalancing</i>
ἀξιοῦν	to expect	ΑΞΙΟΥΝ <i>counterbalancing</i>
Part 2		
ἐσόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[about] to be	ΕΣΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>will be</i>
χρήσιμος <i>(ον)</i>	useful	ΧΡΗΣΙΜΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
τὸ ἐπιλόγισμα τὰ ἐπιλογίσματα	an inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of reasoning action upon</i>
τό διαλόγισμα τὰ διαλογίσματα	a thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of reasoning through action</i>
νῶστι	just now	ΝΕΩΣΤΙ <i>new</i>
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning of producing</i>
γνήσιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	genuine	ΓΝΗΣΙΟΣ <i>belonging to one's race (i.e. legitimate)</i>
γεύεσθαι	to have a taste of	ΓΕΥΕΣΘΑΙ <i>feeding</i>
γευόμενος	having a test of	ΓΕΥΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>feeding</i>
ἡ σχολή αἱ σχολαί	school	ΣΧΟΛΗ <i>leisure</i>
ἡ ἀσχολία αἱ ἀσχολίαι	occupation	ΑΣΧΟΛΙΑ <i>not leisure</i>
ἡ βαθύτης αἱ βαθύτητες	deepness	ΒΑΘΥΤΗΣ <i>deep</i>
τὸ βάθος τὰ βάθη	depth	ΒΑΘΟΣ <i>deep</i>
βαθύς <i>(εῖα, ύ)</i>	deep	ΒΑΘΥΣ <i>deep</i>
βαθύτερος	deeper	ΒΑΘΥΤΕΡΟΣ <i>deep</i>
ὁ κύκλος οἱ κύκλοι	sphere	ΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>circular</i>
ἐγκύκλιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	general	ΕΓΚΥΚΛΙΟΣ <i>pertaining to in circular</i>
τὸ ἐγκύκλιον τὰ ἐγκύκλια	general [affairs]	ΕΓΚΥΚΛΙΟΝ <i>pertaining to in circular</i>
πλεκτικός <i>(η, ον)</i>	entangling	ΠΛΕΚΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of weaving</i>
πεπλεγμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	entangled	ΠΕΠΛΕΓΜΕΝΟΣ <i>wove</i>
ἐμπεπλεγμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	entangled in	ΕΜΠΕΠΛΕΓΜΕΝΟΣ <i>wove in</i>

85b. Memorize & Review

καλῶς, δὴ, αὐτὰ Διάλαβε – καὶ, διὰ μνήμης ἔχων: ὀξέως αὐτὰ Περίοδευε μετὰ τῶν λοιπῶν ὧν ἐν τῇ Μικρᾷ Ἐπιτομῇ πρὸς Ἡρόδοτον Ἀπεστείλαμεν

indeed, **correctly** Distinguish **them** now – and, having them **in memory**:
Review **them keenly** along with the rest of the things We sent **in the Small Summary** to Herodotos

[Bailey] Therefore lay good hold on it, keep it in mind, and go through it all keenly, together with the rest which I sent in the small epitome to Herodotus.

καλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	correct / beautiful	ΚΑΛΟΣ <i>lovely</i>
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>lovely</i>
διαλαμβάνειν	to distinguish	ΔΙΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping separation</i>
ή μνήμη αί μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
όξύς <i>(όξει, όξύ)</i>	<i>[of objects]</i> swift, <i>[of people]</i> keen	ΟΞΥΣ <i>sharp</i>
περιοδεύειν	review	ΠΕΡΙΟΔΕΥΕΙΝ <i>going around</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining <i>[rest of + gen]</i>	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
μικρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
ή έπιτομή αί έπιτομαί	summary	ΕΠΙΤΟΜΗ <i>to cut upon</i>
Ήρόδοτος	Herodotos	ΉΡΟΔΟΤΟΣ <i>given by Hera</i>
άποστέλλειν	to send away	ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready away</i>

85c. Our Goal is Undisturbedness

πρῶτον μέν, οὖν, μή ἄλλο τι τέλος έκ τῆς περι μετεώρων γνώσεως (εἴτε κατά συναφήν λεγομένων εἴτε αὐτοτελῶς) νομίζειν εἶναι ἥπερ ἀταραξίαν καί πίστιν βέβαιον – καθάπερ καί ἐπὶ τῶν λοιπῶν

first of all, then, *[it is necessary]* not to think that **the fulfillment** from the knowledge concerning meteorological phenomena (**whether in union** with what is spoken **or independently**) **to be anything other than** **undisturbedness** and **affirmed belief** – just as **in the case of the remaining** *[topics]* also

[Bailey] First of all then we must not suppose that any other object is to be gained from the knowledge of the phenomena of the sky, whether they are dealt with in connection with other doctrines or independently, than peace of mind and a sure confidence, just as in all other branches of study.

τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
ή γνώσις αί γνώσεις	knowledge	ΓΝΩΣΙΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ή συναφή αί συναφαί	union	ΣΥΝΑΦΗ <i>joining together</i>
αὐτοτελῶς	independently	ΑΥΤΟΤΕΛΩΣ <i>self-goal</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
ή ἀταραξία αί ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ή πίστις αί πίστεις	belief <i>[based on experience]</i>	ΠΙΣΤΙΣ <i>trust</i>

βεβαιότατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most certain</i>
βέβαιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΣ <i>certain</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as</i> <i>thoroughly</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining [rest of + <i>gen</i>]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>

86a. Speculative Certainty – The Unique Agreement

μήτε **τὸ ἀδύνατον** καὶ παραβιάζεσθαι – μήτε **ὁμοίαν** κατὰ πάντα τὴν θεωρίαν ἔχειν:

[*it is necessary*] also not to distort **what is impossible** – nor [*is it necessary*] to have **a similar observation in all respects**:

[Bailey] We must not try to force an impossible explanation, nor employ a method of inquiry like our reasoning

ἢ τοῖς περὶ βίων λόγοις, ἢ τοῖς κατὰ τὴν τῶν ἄλλων φυσικῶν προβλημάτων κάθαρσιν: οἷον ὅτι ^[1] τὸ Πᾶν **σῶμα** καὶ ἀναφής φύσις ἐστίν, ἢ ὅτι ^[2] Ἄτομα **στοιχεῖα** – καὶ πάντα τὰ Τοιαῦτα δὴ ὅσα **μοναχὴν** ἔχει **τοῖς φαινομένοις συμφωνίαν**

either **with the reasonings** about lifestyles, or according to the clarification of the other natural problems: such as that ^[1] the Universe exists **as body** and **intangible nature**, or that ^[2] Atoms are **elements** – and all such Things indeed as have **singular agreement with what appears**

either about the modes of life or with respect to the solution of other physical problems: witness such propositions as that 'the universe consists of bodies and the intangible,' or that 'the elements are indivisible,' and all such statements in circumstances where there is only one explanation which harmonizes with phenomena.

ἀδύνατος <i>(ον)</i>	impossible	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not powerful</i>
παραβιάζεσθαι	to distort	ΠΑΡΑΒΙΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>violence alongside</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
τὸ θεώρημα τὰ θεωρήματα	<i>[a mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΗΜΑ <i>result of envisioning</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	<i>[the act of mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
Part 2		
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ἐπιβάλλειν	to objectively focus	ΕΠΙΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing upon</i>
τὰ ἀμετάβλητα	what is non-transformable	ΑΜΕΤΑΒΛΗΤΑ <i>not thrown change</i>
τὸ πρόβλημα τὰ προβλήματα	problem	ΠΡΟΒΛΗΜΑ <i>thrown before</i>
καθαίρειν	to clarify	ΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleansing</i>

ἡ κάθαρσις αἱ καθάρσεις	clarification	ΚΑΘΑΡΣΙΣ <i>cleansing</i>
τὸ πᾶν <i>(τοῦ παντός)</i>	<i>(individually)</i> everything, <i>(collectively)</i> the universe	ΠΑΝ <i>all</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body [here, "frame"]	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
ἀναφής <i>(ές)</i>	intangible	ΑΝΑΦΗΣ <i>not touchable</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
τὸ στοιχεῖον τὰ στοιχεῖα	component ^[for facts] or, element ^[for things]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΟΝ <i>instance of a row</i> <i>(in a series)</i>
τοιούτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
μοναχός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	singular	ΜΟΝΑΧΟΣ <i>single multiplicity</i>
ἀποφαινόμενος <i>(ομένη, όμενον)</i>	representing	ΑΠΟΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining away</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
συμφωνεῖν	to harmonize	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΕΙΝ <i>speaking together</i>
τὸ σύμφωνον τὰ σύμφωνα	agreement [with]	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΟΝ <i>speaking together</i>
ἡ συμφωνία αἱ συμφωνίαι	agreement	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΙΑ <i>speaking together</i>

86b. Speculative Uncertainty – Multiple Causes

Ὅπερ ἐπὶ τῶν μετεώρων οὐχ ὑπάρχει – ἀλλὰ Ταῦτά γε **πλεοναχὴν** ἔχει: **καὶ τῆς** γενέσεως **αἰτίαν** – **καὶ τῆς οὐσίας, ταῖς αἰσθήσεσι σύμφωνον, κατηγορίαν**

the very Thing [*i.e., speculative certainty*] which does not exist concerning the meteorological phenomena – but These indeed have a multiplicity: both in their cause of creation – and in the full indication of their existence, that is in harmony with sensations

[Bailey] For this is not so with the things above us: they admit of more than one cause of coming into being – and more than one account of their nature which harmonizes with our sensations.

ὅσπερ <i>(ἡπερ, ὅπερ)</i>	the very thing which	ὍΣΠΕΡ <i>relative pronoun</i> <i>emphatic particle</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
τὸ πλεόν τὰ πλέω	the greater	ΠΛΕΟΝ <i>more</i>
πλεοναχῶς	in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
τὸ πλεοναχῶς τὰ πλεοναχῶς	what exists in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
ἡ πλεοναχή αἱ πλεοναχαί	multiplicity	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΗ <i>more multiplicity</i>

ἡ γένεσις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ <i>action of being born</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ἡ οὐσία αἱ οὐσίαι	existence	ΟΥΣΙΑ <i>being</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
σύμφωνος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	in harmony	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΟΣ <i>speaking together</i>
ἡ κατηγορία αἱ κατηγορίαι	full [categorical] indication	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΙΑ <i>thoroughly speaking publicly</i>

86c. The Call for a Sensible Basis

οὐ γὰρ κατὰ ἀξιώματα **κενὰ** καὶ νομοθεσίας Φυσιολογητέον – ἀλλ’ ὥς Τὰ φαινόμενα ἐκκαλεῖται

for One ought **not** to study nature according to **empty** axioms and **conventional rules** – but as apparent things call out

[Bailey] For we must not conduct scientific investigation by means of empty assumptions and arbitrary principles, but follow the lead of phenomena:

ἄξιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	deserving	ΑΞΙΟΣ <i>counterbalancing</i>
ἀξιοῦν	to expect	ΑΞΙΟΥΝ <i>counterbalancing</i>
τὸ ἀξίωμα τὰ ἀξιώματα	axiom [i.e., assumption]	ΑΞΙΩΜΑ <i>counterbalancing</i>
ὁ νόμος οἱ νόμοι	law	ΝΟΜΟΣ <i>allotting</i>
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>
ἡ νομοθεσία αἱ νομοθεσίαι	conventional rules	ΝΟΜΟΘΕΣΙΑ <i>convention place</i>
ὁ ἐθισμός οἱ ἐθισμοί	convention	ΕΘΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of being accustomed</i>
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning of producing</i>
φυσιολογεῖν	to study nature	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ
φυσιολογητέον	one ought to study nature	
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
καλεῖν	to call	ΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning</i>
ἐκκαλεῖν	to call out	ΕΚΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning out</i>

87a. Living Without Disturbance

οὐ γὰρ **ἤδη** ἀλογίας καὶ **κενῆς** δόξης ὁ Βίος ἡμῶν ἔχει **χρεῖαν** – ἀλλὰ τοῦ ἀθορύβως Ἡμᾶς ζῆν

for our Lifestyle **no longer** has **a use** for irrationality and for **empty** judgment – but **for us** to live **without disturbance**

[Bailey] for our life has not now any place for irrational belief and groundless imaginings, but we must live free from trouble.

ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ ἀλογία αἱ ἀλογίαι	irrationality	ΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>non reasoning</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ἡ χρεία αἱ χρεῖαι	use	ΧΡΕΙΑ <i>necessary</i>
ὁ θόρυβος οἱ θόρυβοι	uproar	ΘΟΡΥΒΟΣ <i>noise</i>
ἀθορύβως	without disturbance	ΑΘΟΡΥΒΩΣ <i>no noise</i>
βιοῦν	to live [to live one's life]	ΒΙΟΥΝ <i>living</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>

87b. Accepting Multiple Explanations

Πάντα μὲν οὖν γίνεται ἀσείστως **κατὰ πάντων** **κατὰ πλεοναχόν τρόπον** – **ἐκκαθαιρομένων συμφώνως τοῖς φαινομένοις** – ὅταν **Τις τὸ πιθανολογούμενον ὑπὲρ αὐτῶν** δεόντως καταλίπη

Everything indeed goes on unshakably **for each thing** in accordance the manifold way [*of thinking about multiple explanations*] – **as long as they are made fully clear harmoniously with what is apparent** – whenever Someone fittingly leaves undisputed **what is persuasively argued** about them

[Bailey] Now all goes on without disturbance as far as regards each of those things which may be explained in several ways so as to harmonize with what we perceive, when one admits, as we are bound to do, probable theories about them.

[Hicks] All things go on uninterruptedly, if all be explained by the method of plurality of causes in conformity with the facts, so soon as we duly understand what may be plausibly alleged respecting them.

τὸ πᾶν <i>(τοῦ παντός)</i>	<i>(individually)</i> everything, <i>(collectively)</i> the universe	ΠΑΝ <i>all</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἀσείστως	unshakably	ΑΣΕΙΣΤΩΣ <i>not shaking</i>
τὸ πλέον τὰ πλέω	the greater	ΠΛΕΟΝ <i>more</i>
πλεοναχῶς	in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
πλεοναχός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	several [here, "manifold"]	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΟΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἡ κάθαρσις αἱ καθάρσεις	clarification	ΚΑΘΑΡΣΙΣ <i>cleansing</i>
ἡ καθαριότης αἱ καθαριότητες	clarity	ΚΑΘΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>cleaning</i>
καθαρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	clear	ΚΑΘΑΡΟΣ <i>cleaning</i>
καθαίρειν	to clarify	ΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleansing</i>

προσεκκαθαίρειν	*to further make fully clear	ΠΡΟΣΕΚΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleaning further out</i>
παρεκκαθαίρειν	*to make fully clear as well	ΠΑΡΕΚΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleansing out alongside</i>
ἐκκαθαίρειν	*to make fully clear	ΕΚΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleaning out</i>
ἐκκαθαιρόμενος (η, ον)	*being made fully clear	ΕΚΚΑΘΑΙΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>cleaning out</i>
συμφωνεῖν	to harmonize	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΕΙΝ <i>speaking together</i>
τὸ σύμφωνον τὰ σύμφωνα	in harmony [with]	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΟΝ <i>speaking together</i>
συμφώνως	harmoniously	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΩΣ <i>speaking together</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
πιθανός (ή, όν)	persuasive	ΠΙΘΑΝΟΣ <i>probable</i>
ἀπιθάνως	unpersuasively	ΑΠΙΘΑΝΩΣ <i>not probable</i>
πιθανολογεῖν	to persuasively argue	ΠΙΘΑΝΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>probable reasoning</i>
τὸ πιθανολογούμενον τὰ πιθανολογούμενα	the thing being persuasively argued	ΠΙΘΑΝΟΛΟΓΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>probable reasoning</i>
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
δεόντως	fittingly	ΔΕΟΝΤΩΣ <i>needing</i>
καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly leave</i>

87c. Avoiding Inconsistent Rejection of Theories

ὅταν δέ Τις τὸ μὲν ἀπολίπη τὸ δὲ ἐκβάλῃ – ὁμοίως σύμφωνον ὄν τῷ φαινομένῳ: δῆλον ὅτι καὶ ἐκ παντὸς ἐκπίπτει φυσιολογήματος – ἐπὶ δὲ τὸν μῦθον καταρρεῖ

but whenever someone leaves an [explanation] undisputed but throws out another – even though it is likewise in harmony with what is apparent: it is clear that he falls away from every scientific proposition – and sinks down into myth

[Bailey] But when one accepts one theory and rejects another, which harmonizes as well with the phenomenon, it is obvious that he altogether leaves the path of scientific inquiry and has recourse to myth.

καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly leave</i>
ἐκβάλλειν	to throw out	ΕΚΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing out</i>
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
σύμφωνος (ος, ον)	in harmony	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΟΣ <i>speaking together</i>
φαινόμενος (η, ον)	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
δῆλος (η, ον)	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
πᾶς (πᾶσα, πᾶν)	whole	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
ἐκπίπτειν	to fall out	ΕΚΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling out</i>
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning of producing</i>

τό φυσιολόγημα τὰ φυσιολογήματα	scientific proposition	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΗΜΑ <i>result of reasoning of producing</i>
ὁ μῦθος οἱ μῦθοι	myth	ΜΥΘΟΣ <i>speech / word</i>
ἡ ῥεῦσις αἱ ῥεῦσεις	flowing	ῬΕΥΣΙΣ <i>action of flowing</i>
καταρρεῖν	sinks into [here "sinks down"]	ΚΑΤΑΡΡΕΙΝ <i>flowing down</i>

87d. Full Observations Are Not Always Possible

Σημεῖα δ’ ἐπὶ τῶν ἐν τοῖς μετεώροις συντελουμένων φέρει τῶν παρ’ ἡμῖν τινα
 φαινομένων – ἃ θεωρεῖται, ἧ ὑπάρχει:

Signs for what is altogether fulfilled in the meteorological phenomena carry
 some of what is apparent among us – which ARE observed, in so far as they
 exist:

[Bailey] Now we can obtain indications of what happens above from some of
 the phenomena on earth: for we can observe how they come to pass,

[Hicks] Some phenomena within our experience afford evidence by which we
 may interpret what goes on in the heavens. We see how the former really
 take place,

καὶ οὐ τὰ ἐν τοῖς μετώροις Φαινόμενα – Ταῦτα γὰρ Ἐνδέχεται πλεοναχῶς
 γίνεσθαι

but not what is apparent in meteorological phenomena – for it is possible for
 these to be produced in several ways

[Bailey] though we cannot observe the phenomena in the sky: for they may be
 produced in several ways.

[Hicks] but not how the celestial phenomena take place, for their occurrence
 may possibly be due to a variety of causes.

τὸ σημεῖον τὰ σημεῖα	sign	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ <i>state of indication</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
τὸ συντελούμενον τὰ συντελούμενα	what is altogether fulfilled	
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
ἧ	insofar as	Ἥ <i>in/for which</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
Part 2		
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>

πλεοναχῶς	in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>

88a. Not Contesting Viable Theories

τὸ, μέντοι, φάντασμα ἐκάστου Τηρητέον – καὶ, ἐπὶ τὰ συναπτόμενα τούτῳ Διαιρετέον, Ἄ οὐκ ἀντιμαρτυρεῖται τοῖς παρ’ ἡμῖν γινομένοις πλεοναχῶς συντελεῖσθαι

the mental impression of each, nevertheless, one must preserve – and, in regards to the [theories] connected with it, one must differentiate those that are not contested by what is produced among us to be altogether fulfilled in several ways

[Bailey] Yet we must never desert the appearance of each of these phenomena, and further, as regards what is associated with it, must distinguish those things whose production in several ways is not contradicted by phenomena on earth.

μέντοι	never-the-less	MENTOI <i>indeed surely</i>
φανταστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	appearance-based	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of appearing</i>
φανταστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	capable of appearing	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
ή φαντασία αί φαντασῖαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
τὸ φάντασμα τὰ φαντάσματα	mental impression	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΑ <i>result of appearing</i>
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ <i>imprinting</i>
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ἕκαστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	each <i>(with plural verb)</i>	ἙΚΑΣΤΟΝ <i>each</i>
τηρεῖν	to preserve	ΤΗΡΕΙΝ <i>protecting / watching</i>
τηρητέον	one must preserve	
συνάπτων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	connecting	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΩΝ <i>fastening together</i>
διαιρεῖν	to differentiate	ΔΙΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping through</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
πλεοναχῶς	in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>

88b. Cosmos, defined

Κόσμος ἐστὶ περιοχὴ τις οὐρανοῦ, ἄστρα τε καὶ γῆν καὶ πάντα τὰ φαινόμενα περιέχουσα – οὗ λυομένον Πάντα τὰ ἐν αὐτῷ σύγχουσιν λήψεται

a Cosmos is a certain surrounding of sky, that surrounds celestial bodies and earth and all apparent things – and when [a cosmos] is dissolved all the things in it will come to have disorder

A world is a circumscribed portion of sky, containing heavenly bodies and an earth and all the heavenly phenomena, whose dissolution will cause all within it to fall into confusion:

ἀποτομήν ἔχουσα ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀπείρου, καὶ καταλήγουσα ἐν πέρατι: ἢ ἀραιῶ ἢ πυκνῶ – καὶ ἢ ἐν περιαγομένῳ ἢ ἐν στάσιν ἔχοντι – καὶ στρογγύλην ἢ τρίγωνον ἢ οἷαν δήποτε [ἔχουσα] περιγραφήν

having a cut-off from the infinite, and completely stopping in a limit: either in one rarefied or dense – and either in a revolving one or in one having a stationary position – and [having] a round or triangular or whatever sort of outline

it is a piece cut off from the infinite and ends in a boundary either rare or dense, either revolving or stationary: its outline may be spherical or three cornered, or any kind of shape.

ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ arranging / adorning
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ holding
ἡ περιοχὴ αἱ περιοχαί	the surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΟΧΗ holding around
περιέχων (ουσα, ον)	surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ holding around
οὐρανομήκης (ες)	sky-high	ΟΥΡΑΝΟΜΗΚΗΣ extent of heaven
ὁ οὐρανός οἱ οὐρανοί	sky	ΟΥΡΑΝΟΣ heaven
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ star
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ land
πᾶς (πάσα, πᾶν)	whole	ΠΑΣ all
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ result of shining
ἡ τομή αἱ τομαί	division	ΤΟΜΗ cut
ἡ ἀποτομή αἱ ἀποτομαί	separation	ΑΠΟΤΟΜΗ cut away
ἄπειρος (ον)	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ not limited
καταλήγειν	to completely stop	
καταλήγων	completely stopping	
τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ finished
ἀραιός (ά, όν)	rarefied	ΑΡΑΙΟΣ spread out thin
πυκνός (ή, όν)	dense	ΠΥΚΝΟΣ compacting
Part 2		
λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ loosening
λυόμενος (η, ον)	being dissolved	
ἐγχεῖν	to pour in	ΕΓΧΕΙΝ pouring in
συγχεῖν	to mix up	ΣΥΓΧΕΙΝ pouring together

ἡ χύσις αἱ χύσεις	flow	ΧΥΣΙΣ <i>pouring</i>
διαχεῖν	to scatter	ΔΙΑΧΕΙΝ <i>pouring through</i>
ἡ σύγχυσις αἱ σύγχυσεις	disorder	ΣΥΓΧΥΣΙΣ <i>pouring together</i>
λήψεσθαι	to be acquired [here, "come to have"]	ΛΗΨΕΣΘΑΙ <i>will grasp</i>
περιάγειν	to revolve	ΠΕΡΙΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going around</i>
ἡ στάσις αἱ στάσεις	stationary position	ΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i>
ἔχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	has	ΕΧΩΝ <i>holding</i>
στρογγύλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	round	ΣΤΡΟΓΓΥΛΟΣ <i>droplet</i>
τὸ τρίγωνον τὰ τρίγωνα	triangle	ΤΡΙΓΩΝΟΝ <i>three corner</i>
τρίγωνος	triangular	ΤΡΙΓΩΝΟΣ <i>three corner</i>
οἷος <i>(οἷα, οἷον)</i>	such as ["what"]	ΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
δήποτε	whatever	ΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite</i>
γράφειν	to compose	ΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing</i>
εὐπερίγραφος <i>(ον)</i>	well outlined	ΕΥΠΕΡΙΓΡΑΦΟΣ <i>writing around well</i>
ἡ περιγραφή αἱ περιγραφαί	outline	ΠΕΡΙΓΡΑΦΗ <i>writing around</i>

88c. Incomplete Perception of our own Cosmos

πανταχῶς γὰρ ἐνδέχεται: τῶν γὰρ φαινομένων Οὐδὲν ἀντιμαρτυρεῖ τῷδε τῷ κόσμῳ – ἐν ᾧ λήγον οὐκ ἔστι καταλαβεῖν

for this [*variety in the types of endings of the cosmos*] is possible in all ways: for Nothing of what is apparent contests for this cosmos here – in which it is not possible to thoroughly perceive a stopping

[Bailey] For all such conditions are possible, seeing that no phenomenon is evidence against this in our world, in which it is not possible to perceive an ending.

All these alternatives are possible: they are contradicted by none of the facts in this world, in which an extremity can nowhere be discerned.

πανταχόθεν	from/on all sides	ΠΑΝΤΑΧΘΕΝ <i>from all places</i>
πανταχῶς	in all ways	ΠΑΝΤΑΧΩΣ <i>from all</i>
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
οὐθείς = οὐδεῖς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one [factual]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
λήγειν	to stop	ΛΗΓΕΙΝ <i>leaving off</i>

λήγων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	stopping	ΛΗΓΩΝ <i>leaving off</i>
τὸ λῆγον τὰ λήγοντα	a stopping	ΛΗΓΟΝ <i>leaving off</i>
καταλαβεῖν	to thoroughly comprehend [here, "to thoroughly perceive"]	ΚΑΤΑΛΑΒΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>grasped</i>

89a. Infinite Cosmoi and the Intermundia

ὅτι δὲ **καὶ τοιοῦτοι Κόσμοι εἰσὶν ἄπειροι τὸ πλῆθος** ἔστι καταλαβεῖν

that **Cosmoi such as this are also infinite in number** is able to be thoroughly comprehended

καὶ ὅτι καὶ ὁ τοιοῦτος δύναται Κόσμος γίνεσθαι καὶ ἐν κόσμῳ καὶ μετακοσμίῳ – ὃ λέγομεν μεταξύ κόσμων διάστημα: ἐν πολυκένῳ τόπῳ – καὶ οὐκ ἐν μεγάλῳ εἰλικρινεῖ καὶ κενῷ (καθάπερ Τινὲς φασιν)

and **that such a Cosmos also has the force to be produced both in a cosmos and in the intermundia – which** we say is **the separation intervening between cosmoi: in a location with much void – but not in a large [location that is totally] pure and empty (as** some people say)

[Bailey] And that such worlds are infinite in number we can be sure, and also that such a world may come into being both inside another world and in an interworld, by which we mean a space between worlds; it will be in a place with much void, and not in a large empty space quite void,

τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging /</i> <i>adorning</i>
ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
τὸ πλῆθος τὰ πλήθη	[large] number	ΠΛΗΘΟΣ <i>fullness</i>
καταλαβεῖν	to thoroughly comprehend [already]	ΚΑΤΑΛΑΒΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>grasped</i>
Part 2		
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
κατακοσμεῖν	to arrange	ΚΑΤΑΚΟΣΜΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly order</i>
μετακοσμεῖν	to rearrange	ΜΕΤΑΚΟΣΜΕΙΝ <i>beyond/</i> <i>change order</i>
τὸ μετακόσμιον	the intermundia	ΜΕΤΑΚΟΣΜΙΟΝ <i>change/</i> <i>beyond order</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
μεταξύ	intervening [between]	ΜΕΤΑΞΥ <i>among with</i>
τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing</i> <i>between</i>
τὸ κενόν	void	ΚΕΝΟΝ <i>emptiness</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>

πολυκενός	with much void <i>[not <u>totally</u> void – i.e., also with <u>some matter</u>]</i>	ΠΟΛΥΚΕΝΟΣ <i>much emptiness</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ό τόπος οί τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
μέγας <i>(μεγάλη, μέγα)</i>	great [here, "large"]	ΜΕΓΑΣ <i>large</i>
είλικρινής <i>(ές)</i>	pure	ΕΙΛΙΚΡΙΝΗΣ <i>separated by sunshine</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as thoroughly</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>

89b. Formation of a Cosmos

έπιτηδείων τινών **σπερμάτων** **ρύέντων** **άφ’** **ένός** **κόσμου** **ή** **μετακοσμίου** – **ή** **άπό** **πλειόνων**:

with certain **suitable** seeds flowing **from one** **cosmos** or **the intermundia** – or **from several** [*cosmoi*]:

[Bailey] this occurs when seeds of the right kind have rushed in from a single world or interworld, or from several:

κατά **μικρόν** **προσθέσεις** **τε** **καί** **διαρθρώσεις** **καί** **μεταστάσεις** **ποιούντων** **έπ’** **άλλον** **τόπον** – **έάν** **ούτω** **Τύχη** – **καί** **έπαρδεύσεις** **έκ** **τών** **έχόντων** **έπιτηδείως** – **έως** **τελειώσεως** **καί** **διαμονής** (**έφ’** **όσον** **τά** **ύποβληθέντα** **Θεμέλια** **τήν** **προσδοχήν** **δύναται** **ποιεΐσθαι**)

little by little making **additions** and **joinings** and **transpositions** to another location – **as it may happen in this way** – and **irrigations** from what **suitably** possess [*seeds*] – **as long as** there is perfection and continuance (**for as long as** its foundations **that have been laid down** have the force to gather **the reception** [*of the seeds*])

[Bailey] little by little they make junctions and articulations, and cause changes of position to another place, as it may happen, and produce irrigations of the appropriate matter until the period of completion and stability, which lasts as long as the underlying foundations are capable of receiving additions.

έπιτήδειος <i>(α, ον)</i>	suitable	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>towards this here</i>
τò σπέρμα τά σπέρματα	seed	ΣΠΕΡΜΑ <i>seed</i>
ρέϊν	to flow	’ΡΕΙΝ <i>flowing</i>
ρύεις <i>(ρύεισα, ρυέν)</i>	flowing	’ΡΥΕΙΣ <i>flowing</i>
εἷς μία ἔν <i>(ένός, μιᾶς, ένός)</i>	one	’ΕΙΣ ΜΙΑ ’ΕΝ <i>one</i>
ό κόσμος οί κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
τò μετακόσμιον	the intermundia	ΜΕΤΑΚΟΣΜΙΟΝ <i>change/ beyond order</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater [here, "several"]	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
Part 2		
μικρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>

κατὰ μικρόν κατὰ μεικρόν	little by little	
τιθέναι	to put	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
ἡ μετάθεσις αἱ μεταθέσεις	rearrangement	ΜΕΤΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>placing change</i>
ἡ πρόσθεσις αἱ προσθέσεις	addition	ΠΡΟΣΘΕΣΙΣ <i>placing toward</i>
ἡ διάρθρωσις αἱ διαρθρώσεις	joining	ΔΙΑΡΘΡΩΣΙΣ <i>action of joint through</i>
ἡ στάσις αἱ στάσεις	stationary position	ΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i>
ἡ μετάστασις αἱ μεταστάσεις	transposition	ΜΕΤΑΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>change standing</i>
ποιῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	making	ΠΟΙΩΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἄλλος <i>(ἡ, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
ἡ ἐπάρδευσις αἱ ἐπαρδεύσεις	irrigation	ΕΠΑΡΔΕΥΣΙΣ <i>process of watering upon</i>
ἔχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	has	ΕΧΩΝ <i>holding</i>
τὰ ἔχοντα τὸ ἔχον	what possesses	
ἐπιτήδειος <i>(α, ον)</i>	suitable	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>towards this here</i>
ἐπιτηδείως	suitably	
ἕως	as long as	ἜΩΣ <i>until</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
ἡ τελείωσις αἱ τελειώσεις	perfection	ΤΕΛΕΙΩΣΙΣ <i>result of the process of completing</i>
μένειν	to remain	ΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying</i>
ἡ μονή αἱ μονα	[state of] remaining [in a position]	ΜΟΝΗ <i>staying</i>
ἡ διαμονή αἱ διαμονα	continuance	ΔΙΑΜΟΝΗ <i>staying through</i>
ἡ στάσις αἱ στάσεις	stationary position	ΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i>
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>
ὅσος <i>(ἡ, ον)</i>	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
τὸ πρόβλημα τὰ προβλήματα	problem	ΠΡΟΒΛΗΜΑ <i>thrown before</i>
ὑποβάλλειν	to suggest	ὙΠΟΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing under</i>
ὑποβληθεῖς	suggested / laid down [already]	ὙΠΟΒΛΗΘΕΝ <i>thrown under</i>
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>

τὸ θεμέλιον τὰ θεμέλια	foundation [in space]	ΘΕΜΕΛΙΟΝ <i>placing base</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
δεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	receiving	ΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting</i>
ἡ παραδοχή αἱ παραδοχαί	reception	ΠΑΡΑΔΟΧΗ <i>accepted across</i>
ἡ προσδοχή αἱ προσδοχαί	reception	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΧΗ <i>accepted before</i>
ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
ποιεῖν	to make [here, "to gather"]	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>

90a. Against Cosmic Origin and Expansion due to Determinism

οὐ γὰρ ἄθροισμὸν Δεῖ μόνον γενέσθαι οὐδὲ δῖνον ἐν ᾧ ἐνδέχεται κόσμον γίνεσθαι **κενῷ** – **κατὰ τὸ δοξαζόμενον, "ἐξ ἀνάγκης,"** **Αὔξεσθαί τε ἕως ἂν ἐτέρῳ προσκρούσῃ** – **καθάπερ τῶν φυσικῶν καλουμένων** **φησὶ Τίς** **(Τοῦτο γὰρ μαχόμενόν ἐστι τοῖς φαινομένοις)**

for it is **not only** necessary that **an aggregation** or a **whirl** is **produced** in which it is possible for **a cosmos to be produced in the void** – according to what is **judged, "by necessity,"** and then it would be increased **until it strikes against another [cosmos]** – as one of the so-called **naturalists** says (for this is **contending with what is apparent**)

[Bailey] For it is not merely necessary for a gathering of atoms to take place, nor indeed for a whirl and nothing more to be set in motion, as is supposed, by necessity, in an empty space in which it is possible for a world to come into being, nor can the world go on increasing until it collides with another world, as one of the so- called physical philosophers says. For this is a contradiction of phenomena.

[Hicks] For it is not enough that there should be an aggregation or a vortex in the empty space in which a world may arise, as the necessitarians hold, and may grow until it collide with another, as one of the so- called physicists says. For this is in conflict with facts.

ὁ ἄθροισμός οἱ ἄθροισμοί	aggregation	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of action of [being] gathered</i>
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
μόνος <i>(η, ον)</i>	only	ΜΟΝΟΣ <i>single</i>
μόνον	only	
γενέσθαι	to be produced	ΓΕΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>came into being</i>
ἡ δίνησις αἱ δινήσεις	a whirling	ΔΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>process of vortex</i>
ὁ δῖνος οἱ δῖνοι	whirl	ΔΙΝΟΣ <i>vortex</i>
ἐνδέχ εσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγν εσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>

δοξαζόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being judged	ΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeming</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	^[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against</i> <i>constraint</i>
αὐξάνειν	to increase	ΑΥΞΑΝΕΙΝ <i>increasing</i>
ἕως	as long as	ἔΩΣ <i>until</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾳ, ἕτερον)</i>	different ^[other / another]	ἕτερος <i>other</i>
προσκρούειν	to strike against	ΠΡΟΣΚΡΟΥΕΙΝ <i>bumping towards</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as</i> <i>thoroughly</i>
φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>producing</i>
ὁ φυσικός οἱ φυσικοί	naturalist	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>producing</i>
καλεῖν	to call	ΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning</i>
καλούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being called	ΚΑΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>summoning</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>combating</i>
μαχόμενος	contending	ΜΑΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>combating</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of</i> <i>shining</i>

90b. Formation of Stars

“Ἡλῖός τε καὶ Σελήνη καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ Ἄστροι **οὐ**», καθ’ ἑαυτὰ **γενόμενα**, ὕστερον **ἐμπεριλαμβάνετο** ὑπὸ τοῦ κόσμου «καὶ **ὅσα** γε **δὴ** Σώζει» – ἀλλ’ εὐθύς διεπλάττετο καὶ **αὔξησιν** ἐλάμβανεν

the Sun and the Moon and the remaining celestial Bodies **were not**, **having been produced** by themselves, **afterwards completely taken within** by the **cosmos** ‘together with **as many [stars] as indeed** [*the cosmos*] preserves’ – but they were thoroughly molded and received **their increase directly** [*from the cosmos*]

[Bailey] Sun and moon and the other stars were not created by themselves and subsequently taken in by the world, but were fashioned in it from the first and gradually grew in Size

[Hicks] The sun and moon and the stars generally were not of independent origin and later absorbed within our world, [such parts of it at least as serve at all for its defence]; but they at once began to take form and grow

«ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ Γῆ καὶ Θάλαττα» κατὰ προσκρίσεις καὶ δινήσεις **λεπτομερῶν τινων φύσεων**: ἥτοι **πνευματικῶν** ἢ **πυροειδῶν** ἢ **τὸ συναμφότερον** – καὶ γὰρ **ταῦτα οὕτως** ἢ Αἴσθησις ὑποβάλλει

‘and **similarly** the Earth and the Sea’ according to accretions and whirlings of certain natures of a subtle composition: either **in a windy way** or **in a fiery way** or **both together** – for Sensation suggests **these things in this way**

[Bailey] by the aggregations and whirlings of bodies of minute parts, either windy or fiery or both, for this is what our sensation suggests.

[Hicks] [and so too did earth and sea] by the accretions and whirling motions of certain substances of finest texture, of the nature either of wind or fire, or of both; for thus sense itself suggests.

ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ <i>sunshine</i>
ἡ σελήνη αἱ σελῆναι	moon	ΣΕΛΗΝΗ <i>shining light</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining <i>[rest of + gen]</i>	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
ἑαυτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	oneself	ἑΑΥΤΟΣ <i>own self</i>
γενόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΕΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>come into being</i>
ὕστερον	afterwards	ὙΣΤΕΡΟΝ <i>next</i>
ἐμπεριλαμβάνειν	** to completely comprehend within <i>[here, "to completely take within"]</i>	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping around in</i>
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
σώζειν	to preserve	ΣΩΖΕΙΝ <i>saving</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἶα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΘΥΣ ΕΥΘΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
πλάττειν	to mold	ΠΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>forming</i>
διαπλάττειν	to thoroughly mold	ΔΙΑΠΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>forming through</i>
αὐξάνειν	to increase	ΑΥΞΑΝΕΙΝ <i>increasing</i>
ἡ αὔησις αἱ αὐδήσεις	increase	ΑΥΞΗΣΙΣ <i>process of increasing</i>
λαμβάνειν	<i>[intellectually]</i> to comprehend, <i>[generally]</i> to acquire <i>[here, "to receive"]</i>	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
Part 2		
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ <i>land</i>
ἡ θάλαττα αἱ θάλατται	sea	ΘΑΛΑΤΤΑ <i>salt / sea</i>
ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction <i>[i.e., an act of discrimination]</i>	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
ἡ διάκρισις αἱ διακρίσεις	separating apart	ΔΙΑΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing apart</i>
ἡ πρόσκρισις αἱ προσκρίσεις	accretion <i>[i.e., growth by assimilation]</i>	ΠΡΟΣΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing in addition</i>
ὁ δῖνος οἱ δῖνοι	whirl	ΔΙΝΟΣ <i>vortex</i>
ἡ δίνησις αἱ δινήσεις	a whirling	ΔΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>process of vortex</i>
λεπτομερής <i>(ές)</i>	of a subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΗΣ <i>fine/thin part</i>

ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ἥτοι	either	ΗΤΟΙ <i>or you</i>
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
πνευματώδης <i>(ες)</i>	wind-like	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΩΔΗΣ <i>quality of result of breathing</i>
πνευματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	windy	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of result of breathing</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
ἡ πυραμῖς αἱ πυραμίδες	pyramid	ΠΥΡΑΜΙΣ <i>fire-shaped</i>
ὁμοειδής <i>(ές)</i>	appearing the same	ὍΜΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing same</i>
πυροειδής <i>(ές)</i>	fiery	ΠΥΡΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>fire appearing</i>
τὸ συναμφότερον τὰ συναμφότερα	both [together]	ΣΥΝΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΝ <i>both of the two together</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ὑποβάλλειν	to suggest	ὙΠΟΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing under</i>

91.a The Size of the Sun

τὸ δὲ Μέγεθος ἡλίου, τε καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν ἄστρων, ^[1] κατὰ μὲν τὸ πρὸς ἡμᾶς, **τηλικοῦτόν** ἐστὶν **ἡλίκον** φαίνεται... * ...^[2] κατὰ δὲ τὸ καθ’ αὐτό: ἥτοι ^[2a] **μεῖζον** τοῦ ὁρωμένου ἢ ^[2b] **μικρῶ** ἔλαττον ἢ ^[2c] **τηλικοῦτον** τυγχάνει

the Size of the sun, and of the remaining celestial bodies, is **as big as** it appears to be **in magnitude** ^[1] according to what relates to us... * ...but ^[2] according to what it is in-and-of-itself: [the Size of the sun] happens to be either ^[2a] **larger** than what is seen, or ^[2b] **slightly smaller**, or ^[2c] **of the magnitude** [it appears]

οὕτω, γὰρ καὶ τὰ παρ’ ἡμῖν, Πυρὰ, **ἐξ ἀποστήματος**, **θεωρούμενα** κατὰ τὴν αἴσθησιν θεωρεῖται

Fires, **when they are considered** according to perception **from a distance**, are observed **in the same way** for us

^[Bailey] The size of sun (and moon) and the other stars is for us what it appears to be; and in reality it is either (slightly) greater than what we see or slightly less or the same size: for so too fires on earth when looked at from a distance seem to the senses. And every objection at this point will easily be dissipated, if we pay attention to the clear vision, as I show in my books about nature.

τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ <i>sunshine</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining [rest of + gen]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
πηλίκος <i>(η, ον)</i>	of some magnitude	ΠΗΛΙΚΟΣ <i>extent</i>
ὀπηλίκος <i>(η, ον)</i>	of any magnitude	ὍΠΗΛΙΚΟΣ <i>which extent</i>
τηλικοῦτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	of [such a] magnitude	ΤΗΛΙΚΟΥΤΟΣ <i>extent</i>

ήλικος	as big as	ΉΛΙΚΟΣ <i>extent</i>
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing</i> <i>between</i>
τὸ ἀπόστημα τὰ ἀποστήματα	distance	ΑΠΟΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing away</i>
μείζων <i>(ον)</i>	larger	ΜΕΙΖΩΝ <i>greater</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ΏΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
μικρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
ἐλάττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	smaller	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>lesser</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	observed	

91.b Scholion: Sun's Proportional Diminishment in Size and Brilliancy

{ Τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ ια΄ *Περὶ Φύσεως*, "εἰ γάρ" Φησί "**τὸ μέγεθος** διὰ τὸ διάστημα ἀπεβεβλήκει: **πολλῷ μᾶλλον** ἂν **τὴν χροάν** – ἄλλο γὰρ **τούτῳ συμμετρώτερον** Διάστημα **οὐθέν** ἐστι" }

This is also in the 11th book of *On Nature*, He says "if [*the sun*] had lost *its size* due to the space in-between: then [*it would have lost*] *its coloring [i.e., brilliancy]* *even more* – for [some] other [*larger or smaller*] Space in-between is *in no way more symmetric [in proportion]* to this [*mutual diminishment in brightness and size*]"

βάλλειν	to throw	ΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing</i>
ἐκβάλλειν	to throw out	ΕΚΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing out</i>
ἀποβάλλειν	to throw off [here, "to lose"]	ΑΠΟΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing away</i>
τὸ χρῶμα τὰ χρώματα	color	ΧΡΩΜΑ <i>skin color</i>
ἡ χροά αἱ χροαί	coloring	ΧΡΟΑ <i>skin color</i>
σύνμετρος = σύμμετρος <i>(ον)</i>	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure together</i>
συμμετρότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	more symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more measured</i> <i>together</i>

92a. Igniting and Extinguishing

Ἀνατολὰς καὶ **Δύσεις** ἡλίου καὶ **σελήνης** καὶ **τῶν λοιπῶν ἄστρων** καὶ **κατὰ ἄναψιν** **γίνεσθαι** **δύνασθαι** καὶ **κατὰ σβέσιν** – **τοιαύτης οὔσης περιστάσεως καὶ καθ’** **ἐκατέρους τοὺς τόπους** – **ὥστε** τὰ Προειρημένα ἀποτελεῖσθαι: Οὐδέν γὰρ **τῶν φαινομένων** ἀντιμαρτυρεῖ

[*It is possible that*] *the Risings* and *the Settings* of the sun, and of the moon, and of the other celestial bodies have the force to be produced both by igniting and by extinguishing – with circumstances such as this also existing in accordance with each one of the locations – so that the previously mentioned things are fully produced: for None of what is apparent contests [*this*]

[Bailey] The risings and settings of the sun, moon, and other heavenly bodies may be due to kindling and extinction, the composition of the surrounding matter at the places of rising and setting being such as to lead to these results: for nothing in phenomena is against it.

And the rising and setting of the sun, moon, and stars may be due to kindling and quenching, provided that the circumstances are such as to produce this result in each of the two regions, east and west: for no fact testifies against this.

«καὶ» κατ’ ἐκφάνειάν τε ὑπὲρ γῆς καὶ πάλιν ἐπιπροσθέτησιν τὸ Προειρημένον δύναιτ’ ἂν συντελεῖσθαι: Οὐδὲ γάρ Τι τῶν φαινομένων ἀντιμαρτυρεῖ

and the previously mentioned [rising and settings of celestial bodies] would have the force to be mutually fulfilled in accordance with their appearing out above the earth and then in turn by the additional interposition [of the earth in front of them]: for Nothing of what is apparent contests [this other theory]

[Bailey] Or again, the effect in question might be produced by their appearance over the top of the earth, and again the interposition of the earth in front of them: for once more nothing in phenomena is against it.

ἡ ἀνατολή αἱ ἀνατολαί	a rising	ΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ lifting up
ἡ δύσις αἱ δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ process of sinking
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ sunshine
ἡ σελήνη αἱ σελῆναι	moon	ΣΕΛΗΝΗ shining light
λοιπός (ή, όν)	remaining [rest of + gen]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ what is left over
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ star
ἄπτειν	to touch	ἈΠΤΕΙΝ fastening
ἀνάπτειν	to fasten to {or, "to ignite"}	ΑΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ thoroughly fastening
ἡ ἀναψις αἱ ἀναψεις	igniting	ΑΝΑΨΙΣ intertwining up
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ power
ἡ σβένσις = ἡ σβέσις	extinguishing	ΣΒΕΝΣΙΣ ΣΒΕΣΙΣ cancelling
τοιούτος (τοιαύτη, τοιούτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ this of this sort
ὢν (οὔσα, όν)	being	ΩΝ being
ἡ περίστασις αἱ περιστάσεις	circumstance	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΣΙΣ standing around
ἐκάτερος (ἐκατέρα, ἐκάτερον)	each one	ἘΚΑΤΕΡΟΣ
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ a place
προειρημένος (η, ον)	previously mentioned	ΠΡΟΕΙΡΗΜΕΝΟΣ spoke before
ἀποτελεῖσθαι	to be fully produced	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ completing out
οὐθεῖς = οὐδεῖς (οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)	no one [factual]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ not even one
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ result of shining

ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	to contest	ANTIMARTYPEIN testifying against
Part 2		
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ shining
ἡ ἐκφάνεια αἱ ἐκφάνειαι	appearing out	ΕΚΦΑΝΕΙΑ shining out
ὑπὲρ	above	ὙΠΕΡ over / beyond
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ land
πάλιν	again [here, "in turn"]	ΠΑΛΙΝ back
τιθέναι	to put	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ placing
ἡ ἐπιπροσθέτησις αἱ ἐπιπροσθετήσεις	additional interposing	ΕΠΙΠΡΟΣΘΕΤΗΣΙΣ process of setting upon in addition
προειρημένος (η, ον)	previously mentioned	ΠΡΟΕΙΡΗΜΕΝΟΣ spoke before
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ power
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ completing together
συντελεῖσθαι	to be altogether fulfilled [here, "to be mutually fulfilled"]	
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	to contest	ANTIMARTYPEIN testifying against

92b. Rotation: of the Sky or of Celestial Bodies

τάς τε κινήσεις αὐτῶν οὐκ ἀδύνατον μὲν γίνεσθαι κατὰ τὴν τοῦ ὅλου οὐρανοῦ δίνην –

[it is] not impossible for the movements of those [celestial bodies] to be produced from the rotation of the whole sky –

ἢ τούτου μὲν στάσιν – αὐτῶν δὲ δίνην – κατὰ τὴν ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἐν τῇ γενέσει τοῦ κόσμου ἀνάγκην, ἀπογεννηθεῖσαν ἐπ’ ἀνατολῇ:

or alternatively with a stationary position of this [sky] – and from the rotation of [the celestial bodies] themselves – according to [natural] necessity from the beginning at genesis of the world, that was fully generated toward rising:

εἴτα τῇ θερμασίᾳ, κατὰ τινα ἐπινέμησιν τοῦ πυρὸς αἰεὶ ἐπὶ τοὺς ἐξῆς τόπους ἰόντος

then [they continue to rise] by heat, from a certain allotment of fire which is going on always to those successive places

[Bailey] Their motions may not impossibly be due to the revolution of the whole heaven, or else it may remain stationary, and they may revolve owing to the natural impulse towards the east, which was produced at the beginning of the world by an excessive heat owing to a spreading of the fire which is always moving on to the regions nearest in succession.

[Hicks] And their motions may be due to the rotation of the whole heaven, or the heaven may be at rest and they alone rotate according to some necessary impulse to rise, implanted at first when the world was made and this through excessive heat, due to a certain extension of the fire which always encroaches upon that which is near it

ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ moving process
--------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------

ἀδύνατος <i>(ον)</i>	impossible	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not powerful</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ὅλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	entire / whole	ὅλος <i>whole</i>
ὁ οὐρανός οἱ οὐρανοί	sky	ΟΥΡΑΝΟΣ <i>heaven</i>
ὁ δῖνος οἱ δῖνοι	whirl	ΔΙΝΟΣ <i>vortex</i>
ἡ δίνησις αἱ δινήσεις	a whirling	ΔΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>process of vortex</i>
ἡ δίνη αἱ δίναι	rotation	ΔΙΝΗ <i>vortex</i>
Part 2		
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	οὗτος <i>this</i>
ἡ στάσις αἱ στάσεις	stationary position	ΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time] [here, "beginning"]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἡ γένεσις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ <i>action of being born</i>
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
ἀπογεννᾶν	to fully generate	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating out</i>
ἀπογεννηθῆναι	to be fully generated [already]	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>generating out</i>
ἀπογεννηθείς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i>	fully [been] generated [already]	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΗΘΕΙΣ <i>generating out</i>
ἡ ἀνατολή αἱ ἀνατολαί	a rising	ΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ <i>lifting up</i>
Part 3		
εἴτα	next	ΕΙΤΑ <i>hereafter</i>
θερμός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	hot	ΘΕΡΜΟΣ <i>warm</i>
ἡ θερμασία αἱ θερμασίαι	heat	ΘΕΡΜΑΣΙΑ <i>state of warm</i>
ἡ ψυχρασία αἱ ψυχρασίαι	coldness	ΨΥΧΡΑΣΙΑ <i>state of cold</i>
προσνέμειν	to allot	ΠΡΟΣΝΕΜΕΙΝ <i>assigning upon</i>
ἡ ἐπινέμησις αἱ ἐπινέμησεις	allotment	ΕΠΙΝΕΜΗΣΙΣ <i>process of assigning upon</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
ἐξῆς	in succession	ἔξῃς <i>possessing</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>

τροπὰς ἡλίου καὶ σελήνης Ἐνδέχεται μὲν γίνεσθαι κατὰ λόξωσιν οὐρανοῦ – οὕτω τοῖς χρόνοις κατηναγκασμένου

It is possible for the shifting courses of the sun and moon to be produced from the tilting of the sky – with [the sky] being forced back in this way in its times [i.e., slating in different directions in different seasons]

[Bailey] The tropics of sun and moon may be caused owing to an obliquity of the whole heaven, which is constrained into this position in the successive seasons;

ιέναι	to go	ΙΕΝΑΙ going
ιών	going	ΙΩΝ going
ἡ τροπή αἱ τροπαί	[the action of] shifting course	ΤΡΟΠΗ turning
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ sunshine
ἡ σελήνη αἱ σεληῖναι	moon	ΣΕΛΗΝΗ shining light
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ accepting in
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
ἡ λόξωσις αἱ λοξώσεις	tiling	ΛΟΞΩΣΙΣ process of slating
ὁ οὐρανός οἱ οὐρανοί	sky	ΟΥΡΑΝΟΣ heaven
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ [seizing]
ἀναγκάζειν	to force	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΖΕΙΝ necessary
καταναγκάζειν	to force back	ΚΑΤΑΝΓΚΑΖΕΙΝ necessary against
κατηναγκασμένος	having been forced back	ΚΑΤΗΝΑΓΚΑΣΜΕΝΟΣ necessary against

93b. Air, Fire, or Spiral

ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ κατὰ ἀέρος Ἀντέξωσιν – ἢ καὶ ὕλης αἰεὶ ἐπιτηδείας ἔχομενοῖς ἐμπιπραμένης – τῆς δὲ καταλιπούσης

similarly, they would hold firm by air – or also with them having always suitable material that is ignited – but that stays remaining

[Bailey] or equally well by an outward impulsion of a current of air, or because the appropriate material successively catches fire, as the former fails;

[Hicks] Again, they may equally be due to the contrary pressure of the air or, it may be, to the fact that either the fuel from time to time necessary has been consumed in the vicinity or there is a dearth of it.

ἢ καὶ ἐξ ἀρχῆς τοιαύτην δίνην κατεληθῆναι τοῖς ἄστροις τούτοις: ὥσθ’ οἷόν τιν’ ἔλικα κινεῖσθαι

or also [it is possible] that from the beginning such a rotation to have been thoroughly acquired by these celestial bodies: so as to be moved in a certain such kind of spiral

[Bailey] or again, from the beginning this particular form of revolution may have been assigned to these stars, so that they move in a kind of spiral.

[Hicks] Or even because such a whirling motion was from the first inherent in these stars so that they move in a sort of spiral.

ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ similar
--------	-----------	-------------------

ὁ ἀήρ (τοῦ ἀέρος)	air	AHP <i>morning mist</i>
ἔχειν	to have	EXEIN <i>holding</i>
ἀντέχειν	to hold firm	ANTEXEIN <i>holding against</i>
ἀντέξωσιν	3rd plural aorist subjunctive active They would hold firm	
ἡ ὕλη αἱ ὕλαι	material	ΎΛΗ <i>forest, wood → raw material → matter</i>
ἀεὶ	always	AEI <i>forever</i>
ἐπιτήδειος (α, ον)	suitable	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>towards this here</i>
ἔχειν	to have	EXEIN <i>holding</i>
ἐχόμενος (η, ον)	holding on to	EXOMENOS <i>holding</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
ἐμπιμπράναι	to ignite	ΕΜΠΙΜΠΡΑΝΑΙ <i>kindling into</i>
ἐμπιπραμένος	being ignited	ΕΜΠΙΠΡΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>kindling into</i>
καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/ undisputed *[here, "to stay remaining"]	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly leave</i>
Part 2		
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time] [here, "beginning"]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
τοιοῦτος (τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ὁ δῖνος οἱ δῖνοι	whirl	ΔΙΝΟΣ <i>vortex</i>
ἡ δίνη αἱ δίνειαι	rotation	ΔΙΝΗ <i>vortex</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
καταλαμβάνειν	to thoroughly comprehend [here, "to thoroughly acquire"]	ΚΑΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly grasping</i>
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
οὔτος (αὕτη, τοῦτο)	this	ΎΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
οἶος (οἶα, οἶον)	such as	ΎΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
ὁ ἑλιξ οἱ ἑλικες	spiral	ΉΛΙΞ <i>coil</i>
κινεῖσθαι	to be moved	ΚΙΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>

93c. Multiple Agreements with What Is Evident

πάντα γὰρ Τὰ τοιαῦτα καὶ Τὰ **τούτοις συγγενῇ οὐθενὶ τῶν ἐναργημάτων** διαφωνεῖ – ἐάν, Τις **ἀεὶ, ἐπὶ τῶν τοιούτων μερῶν, ἐχόμενος τοῦ δυνατοῦ, εἰς τὸ σύμφωνον τοῖς φαινομένοις ἕκαστον τούτων** δύνηται ἐπάγειν – **μὴ φοβούμενος τὰς ἀνδραποδώδεις ἀστρολόγων τεχντείας**

for all such things and those [akin to these](#) disagree with none of the detectible facts – as long as, regarding parts [*of the cosmos*] such as this, someone always, while holding on to what is possible, is able to bring in each of these into harmony with what is apparent – while not fearing the slavish contrivances of astrologers

[Bailey] For all these and kindred explanations are not at variance with any clear-seen facts, if one always clings in such departments of inquiry to the possible and can refer each point to what is in agreement with phenomena without fearing the slavish artifices of the astronomers.

[Hicks] For all such explanations and the like do not conflict with any clear evidence, if only in such details we hold fast to what is possible, and can bring each of these explanations into accord with the facts, unmoved by the servile artifices of the astronomers.

πᾶς <i>(παῖσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole [here, "all"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	’ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
συγγενής <i>(ές)</i>	akin	ΣΥΓΓΕΝΗΣ <i>born with</i>
οὐδείς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
τὸ ἐνάργημα τὰ ἐναργήματα	^[E] detectible fact	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of being within clear</i>
συμφωνεῖν	to harmonize	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΕΙΝ <i>speaking together</i>
διαφωνεῖν	to disagree	ΔΙΑΦΩΝΕΙΝ <i>speaking apart</i>
ἀεὶ	always	ΑΕΙ <i>forever</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἐχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	holding on to	ΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>holding</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
τό δυνατόν τὰ δυνατά	what is possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΝ <i>power</i>
σύμφωνος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	in harmony	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΟΣ <i>speaking together</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ἕκαστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	each <i>(with plural verb)</i>	’ΕΚΑΣΤΟΝ <i>each</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
ἐπάγειν	to bring in	ΕΠΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going on/over</i>
φοβούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	fearing	ΦΟΒΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
τὸ ἀνδράποδον τὰ ἀνδράποδα	slave <i>Andrápodon is generally used in contrast with Tetrápodon, "quadruped" (i.e., livestock)</i>	ΑΝΔΡΑΠΟΔΟΝ <i>man-footed</i>
ἀνδραποδώδης <i>(ες)</i>	slavish	ΑΝΔΡΑΠΟΔΩΔΗΣ <i>man-footed</i>
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>

ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ὁ ἀστρολόγος οἱ ἀστρολόγοι	astrologer	ΑΣΤΡΟΛΟΓΟΣ <i>star reasoning</i>
ἡ πραγματεία αἱ πραγματεῖαι	effort	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΕΙΑ <i>state of</i> <i>the result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
ἡ τέχνη αἱ τέχναι	art	ΤΕΧΝΗ <i>technique</i>
ἡ τεχνιτεία αἱ τεχνιτεῖαι	contrivance	ΤΕΧΝΙΤΕΙΑ <i>state of</i> <i>technique / art</i>

94a. Phases of the Moon

Κενώσεις τε σελήνης καὶ, **πάλιν**, Πληρώσεις καὶ **κατὰ στροφὴν τοῦ σώματος τούτου** δύναιντ’ ἂν γίνεσθαι – καὶ **κατὰ σχηματισμούς ἀέρος ὁμοίως** – ἔτι τε καὶ **κατὰ προσθετήσεις**

Emptyings [*i.e., wanings*] of the moon and, **in turn**, its Fillings [*i.e., waxings*] would also be able to be produced **from the twisting** of its body – and **similarly from configurations of air** – and also from interposings [*of other bodies*]

[Bailey] The wanings of the moon and its subsequent waxings might be due to the revolution of its own body, or equally well to successive conformations of the atmosphere, or again to the interposition of other bodies;

καὶ **κατὰ πάντας τρόπους καθ’ οὓς** καὶ Τὰ **παρ’ ἡμῖν** φαινόμενα ἐκκαλεῖται **εἰς τὰς** τούτου τοῦ εἴδους **ἀποδόσεις**

and in all ways [*of thinking*] **by which** the things apparent **among us** are called out **for the explanations** of this appearance

[Bailey] they may be accounted for in all the ways in which phenomena on earth invite us to such explanations of these phases;

[Hicks] In short, it may happen in any of the ways in which the facts within our experience suggest such an appearance to be explicable.

ΚΕΝός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ἡ Κένωσις αἱ ΚΕΝώσεις	emptying	ΚΕΝΩΣΙΣ <i>process of emptiness</i>
ἡ σελήνη αἱ σελῆναι	moon	ΣΕΛΗΝΗ <i>shining light</i>
πάλιν	again [here, "in turn"]	ΠΑΛΙΝ <i>back</i>
πλήρης <i>(ες)</i>	full	ΠΛΗΡΗΣ <i>quality from filling</i>
τὸ πλήρωμα τὰ πληρώματα	fullness	ΠΛΗΡΩΜΑ <i>result of filling</i>
ἡ πλήρωσις αἱ πληρώσεις	filling	ΠΛΗΡΩΣΙΣ <i>process of filling</i>
καταστρέφειν	to overturn	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly turning</i>
ἡ συστροφή αἱ συστροφαί	assemblage	ΣΥΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>twisting together</i>
ἡ στροφή αἱ στροφαί	twisting	ΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>twisting</i>
ὁ ἑλιξ οἱ ἑλικες	spiral	ἙΛΙΞ <i>coil</i>
ὁ δῖνος οἱ δῖνοι	whirl	ΔΙΝΟΣ <i>vortex</i>

ἡ δίνησις αἱ δινήσεις	a whirling	ΔΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>process of vortex</i>
ἡ δίνη αἱ δίναι	rotation	ΔΙΝΗ <i>vortex</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	ΏΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ὁ σχηματισμός οἱ σχηματισμοί	configuration	ΣΧΗΜΑΤΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of action of forming</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
τιθέναι	to put	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
ἡ ἐπιπροσθέτησις αἱ ἐπιπροσθετήσεις	additional interposing	ΕΠΙΠΡΟΣΘΕΤΗΣΙΣ <i>process of setting upon in addition</i>
ἡ προσθέτησις αἱ προσθετήσεις	interposing	ΠΡΟΣΘΕΤΗΣΙΣ <i>setting addition</i>
Part 2		
πᾶς <i>(πᾶσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole [here, "every"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ἐκκαλεῖν	to call out	ΕΚΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning out</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	ΏΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form [here, "appearance"]	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
ἡ ἐπίδοσις αἱ ἐπιδόσεις	advancements	ΕΠΙΔΟΣΙΣ <i>given in addition</i>
ἡ διάδοσις αἱ διάδοσεις	exchange	ΔΙΑΔΟΣΙΣ <i>given through</i>
ἡ δόσις αἱ δόσεις	gift / inheritance	ΔΟΣΙΣ <i>given</i>
ἡ ἀπόδοσις αἱ ἀποδόσεις	explanation	ΑΠΟΔΟΣΙΣ <i>given away</i>

94b. Observing the Limits of Observation

ἐὰν μή τις, τὸν μοναχῇ τρόπον κατηγαπηκῶς: τοὺς ἄλλους κενῶς ἀποδοκιμάζη ἢ οὐ τεθεωρηκῶς τί δυνατόν ἀνθρώπῳ θεωρῆσαι καὶ τί ἀδύνατον – καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ἀδύνατα θεωρεῖν ἐπιθυμῶν

unless Someone, having fully welcomed a way [of thinking] in singularity, in vain disapproves of the others – not having observed what is possible for a person to observe and what is impossible – and because of this desiring to observe what is impossible [to observe]

[Bailey] provided only one does not become enamoured of the method of the single cause and groundlessly put the others out of court, without having considered what it is possible for a man to observe and what is not, and desiring therefore to observe what is impossible.

[Hicks] But one must not be so much in love with the explanation by a single way as wrongly to reject all the others from ignorance of what can, and what cannot, be within human knowledge, and consequent longing to discover the indiscoverable

μόνος <i>(η, ον)</i>	only	ΜΟΝΟΣ <i>single</i>
μοναχός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	singular	ΜΟΝΑΧΟΣ <i>single multiplicity</i>
μοναχῇ	in singularity	ΜΟΝΑΧΗ ΜΟΝΑΧΗ <i>single multiplicity</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἀγαπητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	welcome	ΑΓΑΠΗΤΟΣ <i>beloved / dear</i>
καταγαπαῖν	to fully welcome	ΚΑΤΑΓΑΠΑΝ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>beloved / dear</i>
κατηγαπηκώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	having fully welcomed	ΚΑΤΗΓΑΠΗΚΩΣ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>beloved / dear</i>
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
κενῶς	in vain	ΚΕΝΩΣ <i>emptiness</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
δοκιμάζειν	to approve of	ΔΟΚΙΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>examining</i>
ἀποδοκιμάζειν	to disapprove of	ΑΠΟΔΟΚΙΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>examining away</i>
τεθεωρηκώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	observed	ΤΕΘΕΩΡΗΚΩΣ <i>envisioned</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
ἀδύνατος <i>(ον)</i>	impossible	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not powerful</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμῖαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
ἐπιθυμεῖν	to desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΕΙΝ <i>toward spirit</i>
ἐπιθυμῶν	desiring	

94c-5a. Moonlight

ἔτι τε, Ἐνδέχεται «μέν» **τὴν σελήνην ἐξ ἑαυτῆς ἔχειν τὸ φῶς** – Ἐνδέχεται δὲ **ἀπὸ τοῦ ἡλίου**

further, it is possible for **the moon to have light from herself** – but it is also possible [*that the moon gets its light*] **from the sun**

[Bailey] Next the moon may have her light from herself or from the sun.

καὶ γὰρ, **παρ’ ἡμῖν** θεωρεῖται Πολλὰ μὲν **ἐξ ἑαυτῶν** ἔχοντα – πολλὰ δὲ **ἀφ’ ἑτέρων**

for indeed, **among us**, many things are observed having [*light*] **out of themselves** – and many things [*are observed to have their source of light*] **from other things**

[Bailey] For on earth too we see many things shining with their own, and many with reflected light.

[Hicks] for in our own experience we see many things which shine by their own light and many also which shine by borrowed light.

ἐνδέχ εσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
ἡ σελήνη αἱ σελῆναι	moon	ΣΕΛΗΝΗ <i>shining light</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
τὸ φῶς τὰ φῶτα	light	ΦΩΣ <i>shine</i>
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ <i>sunshine</i>
Part 2		
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
ἐαυτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	oneself	ἘΑΥΤΟΣ <i>own self</i>
ἔχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	has	ΕΧΩΝ <i>holding</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [other / another]	ἘΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>

95b. The Manifold Way

καὶ Οὐθὲν ἐμποδοστατεῖ **τῶν ἐν τοῖς μετεώροις φαινομένων** – ἐάν **Τις τοῦ πλεοναχοῦ τρόπου αἰεὶ μνήμην** ἔχη – καὶ, **τὰς ἀκολουθούς αὐτοῖς ὑποθέσεις, ἅμα, καὶ αἰτίας** συνθεωρῇ:

and nothing of what is apparent among meteorological things stands in the way [of these explanations] – if one always keeps the memory of the manifold way [of thinking] – and, at the same time, he mutually observes the hypotheses consistent with them and the causes:

[Bailey] Nor is any celestial phenomenon against these explanations, if one always remembers the method of manifold causes and investigates hypotheses and explanations consistent with them,

[Hicks] And none of the celestial phenomena stand in the way, if only we always keep in mind the method of plural explanation and the several consistent assumptions and causes

καὶ μὴ **ἀναβλέπων εἰς τὰ ἀνακόλουθα ταῦτ’** ὀγκοῖ **ματαίως** – καὶ **καταρρέπη ἄλλοτε ἄλλως ἐπὶ τὸν μοναχὸν τρόπον**

and he does not look toward what [hypotheses] do not follow [what is apparent] while pointlessly puffing these up – and then sink down at another time in another way into the singular way [of thinking]

[Bailey] and does not look to inconsistent notions and emphasize them without cause and so fall back in different ways on different occasions on the method of the single cause.

[Hicks] instead of dwelling on what is inconsistent and giving it a false importance so as always to fall back in one way or another upon the single explanation.

τὸ ἀνδράποδον τὰ ἀνδράποδα	slave	ΑΝΔΡΑΠΟΔΟΝ <i>man-footed</i>
ἰστάναι	to stand	ἼΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing</i>

ἐνποδίζειν = ἐμποδίζειν	to hinder	ΕΝΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ ΕΜΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>in foot</i>
ἐμποδοστατεῖν	to stand in the way	ΕΜΠΟΔΟΣΤΑΤΕΙΝ <i>standing in [the way of] foot</i>
κωλύειν	to prevent	ΚΩΛΥΕΙΝ <i>preventing</i>
ἰσχύανειν	to restrain	ΙΣΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>restraining</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
μετέωρος <i>(ον)</i>	meteorological	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΣ <i>rising beyond</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
πλεοναχῶς	in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
πλεοναχῆς <i>(ές)</i>	manifold	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΗΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἀεὶ	always	ΑΕΙ <i>forever</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἔχη	3rd sg present subjunctive active "[if] one keeps"	
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
ἀκόλουθος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	consistent	
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>
ἡ ὑπόθεσις αἱ ὑποθέσεις	hypothesis	ΎΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ <i>placing under</i>
ἄμα	[adv] simultaneously, [prep] together with	ἌΜΑ <i>at once</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
συνθεωρεῖν	to mutually observe	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning together</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
ἀναβλέπειν	to look toward	ΑΝΑΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking up</i>
ἀναβλέπων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	looking toward	
Part 2		
ἀνακόλουθος	not following	ΑΝΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΟΣ <i>not following</i>
τὸ ἀνακόλουθον τὰ ἀνακόλουθα	what does not follow	ΑΝΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΟΝ
ὁ ὄγκος οἱ ὄγκοι	particle	ΟΓΚΟΣ <i>bulk</i>
ὀγκοῦν	to puff up	ΟΓΚΟΥΝ <i>bulk</i>
μάταιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	pointless	ΜΑΤΑΙΟΣ <i>folly</i>

ματαιίως	pointlessly	ΜΑΤΑΙΩΣ <i>folly</i>
ρέπειν	to sink	ῚΡΕΠΕΙΝ <i>collapsing</i>
καταρρέπειν	to sink down	ΚΑΤΑΡΡΕΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly collapsing</i>
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
ἄλλοτε	at anther time	ΑΛΛΟΤΕ <i>another</i>
ἄλλως	in another way	ΑΛΛΩΣ <i>another</i>
μοναχός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	singular	ΜΟΝΑΧΟΣ <i>single multiplicity</i>

95c. Appearance of the Moon

ή δέ”Εμφασις τοῦ προσώπου ἐν αὐτῇ δύναται μὲν γίνεσθαι καὶ κατὰ παραλλαγὴν μερῶν καὶ κατ’ ἐπιπροσθέτησιν – καὶ ὅσοι ποτ’ ἂν Τρόποι θεωροῖντο τὸ σύμφωνον τοῖς φαινομένοις κεκτημένοι

and the Presentation of the surface on the [moon] is able to be produced both according to the variety of its parts and according to interposition [of what is between the moon and us] – and whatever Ways might be observed as having an accord with what is apparent

[Bailey] The impression of a face in the moon may be due to the variation of its parts or to interposition or to any one of many causes which might be observed, all in harmony with phenomena.

ή απόφασις αὶ ἀποφάσεις	assertion	ΑΠΟΦΑΣΙΣ <i>affirming out</i>
ή ἔμφασις αὶ ἐμφάσεις	presentation	ΕΜΦΑΣΙΣ <i>affirming in</i>
ὁ τύπος οὶ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ <i>imprinting</i>
τὸ πρόσωπον τὰ πρόσωπα	surface	ΠΡΟΣΩΠΟΝ <i>towards the eye</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ή παραλλαγή αὶ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ή πρόσθεσις αὶ προσθέσεις	addition	ΠΡΟΣΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing toward</i>
ή ἐπιπρόσθεσις αὶ ἐπιπροσθέσεις	interposition	ΕΠΙΠΡΟΣΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing toward upon</i>
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	᾽ΟΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
ὁ τρόπος οὶ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
σύμφωνος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	in harmony	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΟΣ <i>speaking together</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
κτᾷσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>

ΚΕΚΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ (η, ον)	having possessed	ΚΕΚΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>acquired</i>
------------------------------	------------------	--------------------------------------

96a. Tracking the Detectible Facts

ἐπὶ πάντων γὰρ τῶν μετεώρων, τὴν τοιαύτην ἵχνευσιν οὐ προετέον:

regarding all meteorological things, [*investigative*] tracking such as this [*i.e., using the manifold way of thinking*] must not be abandoned:

[Bailey] For in the case of all celestial phenomena this process of investigation must never be abandoned

[Hicks] For in all the celestial phenomena such a line of research is not to be abandoned; for, if you fight against clear evidence, you never can enjoy genuine peace of mind

ἦν γὰρ τις ἢ μαχόμενος τοῖς ἐναργήμασιν – οὐδέποτε μὴ δυνήσεται ἀταραξίας γνησίου μεταλαβεῖν

for if someone is *contending with detectible facts* – he will *never* be able to take a share of *genuine undisturbedness*

[Bailey] for if one is in opposition to clear-seen facts, he can never have his part in true peace of mind.

πᾶς (πάσα, πᾶν)	whole [here, "everyone"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
μετέωρος (ον)	meteorological	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΣ <i>rising beyond</i>
τοιοῦτος (τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ἡ ἵχνευσις αἱ ἵχνεύσεις	[investigative] tracking	ΙΧΝΕΥΣΙΣ <i>process of footprint</i>
προῖέναι προιέναι	to go forward [here, "to abandon"]	ΠΡΟΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going forward</i>
προετέον	must be abandoned	ΠΡΟΕΤΕΟΝ <i>going forward</i>
Part 2		
ἦν = ἐάν	if	ΗΝ ΕΑΝ
εἶναι	to be	ΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being</i>
ἥ	3rd singular present subjunctive	
μαχόμενος	contending	ΜΑΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>combating</i>
τὸ ἐνάργημα τὰ ἐναργήματα	^[E] detectible fact	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of being within clear</i>
οὐδέποτε	never	ΟΥΔΕΠΟΤΕ <i>not even at some point</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
ἡ ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
γνήσιος (α, ον)	genuine	ΓΝΗΣΙΟΣ <i>belonging to one's race (i.e. legitimate)</i>
μεταλαμβάνειν	to take a share	ΜΕΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping change</i>

96b. Eclipses & Simultaneous Concurrences

”Ἐκλειψις ἡλίου καὶ σελήνης δύναται μὲν γίνεσθαι καὶ κατὰ σβένσιν – καθάπερ καὶ παρ’ ἡμῖν Τοῦτο θεωρεῖται γιγνόμενον

an Eclipse of the sun and of the moon is able to be produced also by extinguishing – just as This is also observed being produced among us

καὶ ἤδη καὶ κατ’ ἐπιπροσθέτησιν ἄλλων τινῶν: ἢ γῆς – ἢ ἀοράτου τινὸς ἐτέρου τοιούτου

and furthermore [an eclipse can be produced] also by the additional interposing of certain other things: either of the Earth – or of something else unseen like it

καὶ, ὧδε, τοὺς οἰκείους ἀλλήλοις τρόπους Συνθεωρητέον καὶ τὰς ἅμα συγκυρήσεις τινῶν – ὅτι οὐκ Ἀδύνατον γίνεσθαι

and, in this way, One must also mutually observe the ways compatible to each and the simultaneous concurrences of certain things – [understanding] that it is not impossible [for those concurrences] to be produced

ἡ ἔκλειψις αἱ ἐκλείψεις	a disappearance [or, of the sun & moon] an "eclipse"]	ΕΚΛΕΙΨΙΣ <i>leaving out</i>
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ <i>sunshine</i>
ἡ σελήνη αἱ σεληῖναι	moon	ΣΕΛΗΝΗ <i>shining light</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ σβένσις = ἡ σβέσις	extinguishing	ΣΒΕΝΣΙΣ ΣΒΕΣΙΣ <i>cancelling</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as</i> <i>thoroughly</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[being] produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
Part 2		
ἤδη	actually	
ἡ πρόσθεσις αἱ προσθέσεις	addition	ΠΡΟΣΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i> <i>toward</i>
ἡ ἐπιπρόσθεσις αἱ ἐπιπροσθέσεις	interposition	ΕΠΙΠΡΟΣΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i> <i>toward upon</i>
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ἌΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	Γῆ <i>land</i>
ὁ οὐρανός οἱ οὐρανοί	sky	ΟΥΡΑΝΟΣ <i>heaven</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [here "else"]	ἍΛΕΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
τοιούτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this/it <i>(such as this/it)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
Part 3		
ὧδε	in this way	ὩΔΕ <i>this</i>
οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another [here, "each"]	ἈΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>

ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
συνθεωρεῖν	to mutually observe	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning together</i>
ἅμα	^[adv] simultaneously, ^[prep] together with	ἈΜΑ <i>at once</i>
κυρεῖν	to happen upon	ΚΥΡΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
ἡ συγκύρησις αἱ συγκυρήσεις	concurrence	ΣΥΓΚΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>process of happening together</i>
ἀδύνατος <i>(ον)</i>	impossible	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not powerful</i>

96c. Scholion on Eclipses

{ ἐν δὲ τῇ ιβ΄ Περὶ Φύσεως ταῦτα λέγει – καὶ πρὸς "Ἦλιον ἐκλείπειν σελήνης ἐπισκοτούσης, Σελήνην δὲ τοῦ τῆς γῆς σκιάσματος – ἀλλὰ καὶ κατ’ ἀναχώρησιν"

{ [Epicurus] also says these things in the 12th [book] On Nature – and additionally [he says there that] "the Sun is eclipsed by the occulting moon, and the Moon [is eclipsed] by the earth's shadow – but also in accordance with its withdrawal"

τοῦτο δὲ καὶ Διογένης ὁ Ἐπικούρειος ἐν τῇ α΄ τῶν Ἐπιλέκτων }

and Diogenes the Epicurean [cited] this in the first book of his Epilecta }

ἡ ἔκλειψις αἱ ἐκλείψεις	a disappearance [or, of the sun & moon] an eclipse	ΕΚΛΕΙΨΙΣ <i>leaving out</i>
ἐγλείπειν = ἐκλείπειν	to disappear [or, of the sun & moon] to be eclipsed	ΕΓΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ ΕΚΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>leaving out</i>
ἡ σελήνη αἱ σεληῖναι	moon	ΣΕΛΗΝΗ <i>shining light</i>
ἐπισκοτῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	occulting	ΕΠΙΣΚΟΤΩΝ <i>darkness upon</i>
τὸ σκίασμα τὰ σκιάσματα	shadow	ΣΚΙΑΣΜΑ <i>result of reflected image</i>
ἡ ἀναχώρησις αἱ ἀναχωρήσεις	withdrawal	ΑΝΑΧΩΡΗΣΙΣ <i>process of place / location back</i>
Part 2		
Διογένης	Diogenes	ΔΙΟΓΕΝΗΣ <i>born from Zeus</i>
Ἐπικούρειος <i>(α, ον)</i>	Epicurean	ΕΠΙΚΟΥΡΕΙΟΣ <i>running toward [to assist]</i>
ἡ διάλεκτος αἱ διάλεκτοι	way of speaking	ΔΙΑΛΕΚΤΟΣ <i>speaking across</i>
τὰ Ἐπιλέκτα	Epilecta i.e., "The Selections "	ΕΠΙΛΕΚΤΑ <i>speaking upon</i>

97a. Regular Orbital Periods

ἔτι τε Τάξις περιόδου καθάπερ Ἔνια καὶ παρ’ ἡμῖν τῶν τυχόντων γίνεται λαμβανέσθω

further, let the [ordered] Arrangement of [the completion of] a full course be comprehended in the same way as even some of the things that happen among us are produced

[Bailey] Next the regularity of the periods of the heavenly bodies must be understood in the same way as such regularity is seen in some of the events that happen on earth.

ἡ τάξις αἱ τάξεις	arrangement	ΤΑΞΙΣ <i>ordered</i>
ἡ περίοδος αἱ περίοδοι	[the completion of] a full course, [of time] period	ΠΕΡΙΟΔΟΣ <i>result around the path</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as thoroughly</i>
ἔνιοι <i>(αι, α)</i>	some	ΕΝΙΟΙ <i>one</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
τὸ τυχόν τὰ τυχόντα	what happens [to come] to be	ΤΥΧΟΝ <i>coming to be</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>

97b. No Burden on the Gods

καὶ ἡ θεία Φύσις **πρὸς ταῦτα μηδαμῇ** προσαγέσθω – ἀλλ’, **ἀλειτούργητος**
διατηρεῖσθω καὶ ἐν τῇ πάσῃ μακαριότητι

and the divine Nature is **not in any way** to be brought forward **into these matters** – rather, let it be preserved **free from administration** and **in all blessedness**

[Bailey] And do not let the divine nature be introduced at any point into these considerations, but let it be preserved free from burdensome duties and in entire blessedness

It appears that Pythocles was very eager to dismiss the gods from the workings of nature (as Epicurus recommends) – but went too far by dismissing the existence of the gods (as Epicurus does not recommend).

*In response to the issue of atheism, Epicurus wrote the so-called "Radiant Letter" to Leonteus (**radiant in the sense of light-giving**) – and the topic started with the issue of **Pythocles' questioning the existence of the gods** (U152)*

θεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	divine	ΘΕΙΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
μηδαμῇ	not in any way	ΜΗΔΑΜΗ ΜΗΔΑΜΗΙ <i>but not one</i>
ἐπάγειν	to bring in	ΕΠΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going on/over</i>
προσάγειν	to bring forward	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going toward</i>
λειτουργεῖν	to administrate [to serve the state]	ΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΕΙΝ <i>council-room worker</i>
ἀλειτούργητος <i>(ον)</i>	free from administration	ΑΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΗΤΟΣ <i>not council-room worker</i>

διατηρεῖν	to fully preserve	διατηρεῖν <i>completely protecting / watching</i>
πᾶς <i>(πάσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole [here, "all"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
ἡ μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from greatness</i>

97c. The Error of Throwing Out Possibilities

ὥς εἰ Τοῦτο μὴ πραχθήσεται: ἅπανα ἢ τῶν μετεώρων Αἰτιολογία ματαία ἔσται

for if this [*manifold way of thinking*] will **not** be accomplished: the whole reasoning into the *causes of meteorological things* will be *pointless*

[Bailey] For if this principle is not observed, the whole discussion of causes in celestial phenomena is in vain

καθάπερ τισὶν ἤδη ἐγένετο: οὐ δυνατοῦ τρόπου ἐφασμένοις, εἰς δὲ τὸ μάταιον ἐκπεσοῦσι – τῷ καθ’ ἓνα τρόπον μόνον οἶεσθαι γίνεσθαι – τοὺς δ’ ἄλλους πάντας τοὺς κατὰ τὸ ἐνδεχόμενον ἐκβάλλειν

just as has actually happened to some: who, not having taken hold of a possible way, fall out into what is pointless – by believing it to be produced in only one way – and by throwing out all the other ways which are in accordance with what is possible

[Bailey] as it has already been for certain persons who have not clung to the method of possible explanations, but have fallen back on the useless course of thinking that things could only happen in one way, and of rejecting all other ways in harmony with what is possible,

εἷς τε τὸ ἀδιανόητον φερομένους καὶ τὰ φαινόμενα ἃ δεῖ σημεῖα ἀποδέχεσθαι μὴ δυναμένους συνθεωρεῖν

but with those [*who only allow for a single theory*] being carried into what is [*mentally*] imperceptible and not being able to mutually observe the apparent things which are necessary to accept as signs

[Bailey] being driven thus to what is inconceivable and being unable to compare earthly phenomena, which we must accept as indications.

πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
πραχθήσεται	3rd person singular future passive indicative will be accomplished	
ἅπας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	all together	ἈΠΑΣ <i>all together</i>
μετέωρος <i>(ον)</i>	meteorological	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΣ <i>rising beyond</i>
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning of producing</i>
αἰτιολογεῖν	to reason into the [essential] causes	ΑΙΤΙΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>collecting blame</i>
ἡ αἰτιολογία αἱ αἰτιολογίαι	reasoning into the [essential] causes	ΑΙΤΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ
μάταιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	pointless	ΜΑΤΑΙΟΣ <i>folly</i>
εἶναι	to be	ΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being</i>
ἔσται	3rd person singular future middle active	
Part 2		

καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as thoroughly</i>
ἤδη	actually	
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced [here, "to happen"]	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
ό τρόπος οί τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἄπτειν	to touch	ἌΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening</i>
ἐφάπτειν	to take hold of	ΕΦΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>intertwining upon</i>
ἐφαψάμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	taken hold of	
ἐκπίπτειν	to fall out	ΕΚΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling out</i>
εἷς μία ἓν <i>(ένός, μιᾶς, ένός)</i>	one	ἜΙΣ ΜΙΑ ἘΝ <i>one</i>
μόνος <i>(η, ον)</i>	only	ΜΟΝΟΣ <i>single</i>
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
πᾶς <i>(πάσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole [here, "all"]	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
ένδεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting in</i>
ἐκβάλλειν	to throw out	ΕΚΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing out</i>
Part 3		
ἄδιανόητος <i>(ον)</i>	[mentally] imperceptible	ΑΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΟΣ <i>not capable of seeing through</i>
φερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing</i>
τό φαινόμενον τά φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
τό σημείον τά σημεία	sign	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ <i>state of indication</i>
ἀποδέχεσθαι	to accept	ΑΠΟΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting from away</i>
δυνάμενος <i>(μένη, μενον)</i>	having the force [here, "being able"]	ΔΥΝΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>power</i>
συνθεωρεῖν	to mutually observe	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning together</i>

98a. Seasonal Variation in Day Length

Μήκη νυκτῶν καὶ ἡμερῶν **παραλλάττοντα** καὶ **παρὰ τὸ ταχείας ἡλίου κινήσεις**
γίνεσθαι **καὶ, πάλιν, βραδείας ὑπὲρ γῆς** –

the **varying** Lengths of nights and days [*can happen*] even from the
production of the **sun's swift movements** **and, in turn, slow** [*movements*]
over the earth –

i.e., the sun may move at different speeds at different times of the year

[Hicks] The variations in the length of nights and days may be due to the swiftness and again to the slowness of the sun’s motion in the sky, owing to the variations in the length of spaces traversed

[Bailey] The successive changes in the length of nights and days may be due to the fact that the sun’s movements above the earth become fast and then slow again because he passes across regions of unequal length

«καὶ» παρὰ τὸ μήκη τόπων παραλλάττοντα καὶ τόπους τινὰς περαιοῦν τάχιον ἢ καὶ βραδύτερον (ὥς καὶ παρὰ ἡμῖν Τίνα θεωρεῖται) – οἷς συμφώνως Δεῖ λέγειν ἐπὶ τῶν μετεώρων

and also [can happen] from the varying lengths of locations and [the sun] comes over certain places more quickly or even more slowly (as some things are observed also among us) – with these [observations] it is necessary to speak harmoniously about meteorological matters

i.e., the sun may move at the same rate, but travels over various distances at different times of the year

[Hicks] and to his accomplishing some distances more swiftly or more slowly, as happens sometimes within our own experience; and with these facts our explanation of celestial phenomena must agree

[Bailey] or because he traverses some regions more quickly or more slowly, (or again to the quicker or slower gathering of the fires that make the sun), as we observe occurs with some things on earth, with which we must be in harmony in speaking of celestial phenomena.

τὸ μῆκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ length
ἡ νύξ αἱ νύκτες	night	ΝΥΞ night
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	ἩΜΕΡΑ day
ἀπαλλάττειν	to remove away	ΑΠΑΛΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ changing away
παραλλάττειν	to vary	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ changing across
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ changing across
παραλλάττων (ουσα, ον)	varying	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΤΤΩΝ changing across
ταχύς (εἶα, ύ)	swift	ΤΑΧΥΣ swift
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἩΛΙΟΣ sunshine
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ moving process
γενέσθαι	to be produced [already]	ΓΕΝΕΣΘΑΙ came into being
τὸ γίνεσθαι	the production	
πάλιν	again [here, "in turn"]	ΠΑΛΙΝ back
βραδύς (εἶα, ύ)	slow	ΒΡΑΔΥΣ slow
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ land
διέναι		
Part 2		
τὸ μῆκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ extent
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ a place
περαιοῦν	to come over	ΠΕΡΑΙΟΥΝ boundary

βραδύτερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	slower	ΒΡΑΔΥΤΕΡΟΝ <i>slower</i>
βραδύτερον	more slowly	ΒΡΑΔΥΤΕΡΟΝ <i>slower</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
σύμφωνος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	in harmony	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΟΣ <i>speaking together</i>
συμφώνως	harmoniously	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΩΣ <i>speaking together</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
μετέωρος <i>(ον)</i>	meteorological	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΣ <i>rising beyond</i>

98b. Limits of Observation

Οἱ δὲ τὸ ἔν λαμβάνοντες τοῖς τε φαινομένοις μάχονται – καὶ τὸ ἧ δυνατόν ἀνθρώπῳ θεωρῆσαι διαπεπτώκασιν

but those who take "the one" [*i.e., a single explanation*] fight with the things that appear – and have fallen away from the extent to which it is possible for a human to observe

[Bailey] But those who assume one cause fight against the evidence of phenomena and fail to ask whether it is possible for men to make such observations.

λαμβάνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	comprehending	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ <i>grasping</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>combating</i>
ἧ	insofar as [here, "extent to which"]	ἧ <i>in/for which</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
ἐκπίπτειν	to fall out	ΕΚΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling out</i>
διαπίπτειν	to fall away from	ΔΙΑΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling through</i>

98c-9a. Changes in the Weather

Ἐπισημασίαι δύνανται γίνεσθαι καὶ κατὰ συγκυρήσεις καιρῶν – καθάπερ ἐν τοῖς ἐμφανέσι παρ’ ἡμῖν ζῷοις –

Indications [*of the change in weather*] can happen even from concurrences of opportunities – just as in living beings revealed among us –

[Bailey] Signs of the weather may occur owing to the coincidence of occasions, as happens with animals we can all see on earth,

[Hicks] The signs in the sky which betoken the weather may be due to mere coincidence of the seasons, as is the case with signs from animals seen on earth

καὶ παρ’ ἑτεροιώσεις ἀέρος καὶ μεταβολάς – ἀμφότερα γὰρ Ταῦτα οὐ μάχεται τοῖς φαινομένοις

and from alterations and transformations of air – for both these do not contend with what is apparent

[Bailey] and also through alterations and changes in the atmosphere. For both these are in accordance with phenomena.

[Hicks] or they may be caused by changes and alterations in the air. For neither the one explanation nor the other is in conflict with facts,

ἐπὶ δὲ ποίοις – παρὰ τοῦτο ἢ τοῦτο – τὸ Αἴτιον γίνεται οὐκ ἔστι συνιδεῖν

but concerning what kind of [circumstances] the cause is produced – beyond this or that – it is not possible to fully see

[Bailey] But under what circumstances the cause is produced by this or that, we cannot perceive.

τὸ σημεῖον τὰ σημεία	sign	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ <i>state of indication</i>
ἡ ἐπισημασία αἱ ἐπισημασίαι	indication <i>this word is used in the plural with the meaning of "indications [of the change in weather]"</i>	ΕΠΙΣΗΜΑΣΙΑ <i>indication upon</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced [here, "to happen"]	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
κυρεῖν	to happen upon	ΚΥΡΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
ἡ συγκύρησις αἱ συγκυρήσεις	concurrence	ΣΥΓΚΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>process of happening together</i>
ὁ καιρός οἱ καιροί	opportunity	ΚΑΙΡΟΣ <i>time</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as thoroughly</i>
ἐμφαίνειν	to reveal	ΕΜΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining in</i>
ἐμφανής <i>(ές)</i>	revealed	ΕΜΦΑΝΗΣ <i>shining in</i>
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῷα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
Part 2		
ὁμοίως	similarly	ὍΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾳ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [here "else"]	ἘΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
ἡ ἑτεροίωσις αἱ ἑτεροιώσεις	alteration	ἘΤΕΡΟΙΩΣΙΣ <i>process of different</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
ἡ μεταβολή αἱ μεταβολαί	transformation	ΜΕΤΑΒΟΛΗ <i>threw in a change</i>
ἀμφοτέρος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	both	ΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>what is on both sides</i>
μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>combating</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
Part 3		
ποιός <i>(α, ον)</i>	what kind of	ΠΟΙΟΣ <i>gathering</i>

τὸ αἷτιον τὰ αἷτια	what is the cause	ΑΙΤΙΟΝ <i>responsibility</i>
συνορᾶν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>

99b. Clouds

Νέφη δύναται γίνεσθαι καὶ συνίστασθαι καὶ παρὰ πιλῆσεις ἀέρος πνευμάτων συνώσει – καὶ παρὰ περιπλοκὰς ἀλληλούχων ἀτόμων καὶ ἐπιτηδείων εἰς τὸ τοῦτο τελέσαι – καὶ κατὰ ῥευμάτων συλλογὴν ἀπὸ τε γῆς καὶ ὑδάτων

Clouds are able to pe produced and to be composed even from condensations of air through the compression of [cool] winds – and from intertanglings of interconnected atoms that are also suitable to fulfill this – and also from a gathering of flows from both earth and waters

[Bailey] Clouds may be produced and formed both by the condensation of the atmosphere owing to compression by winds and by the interlacing of atoms clinging to one another and suitable for producing this result, and again by the gathering of streams from earth and the waters:

τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
ἡ πῖλησις αἱ πιλῆσεις	condensation	ΠΙΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of felting</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
πνευματώδης <i>(ες)</i>	wind-like	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΩΔΗΣ <i>quality of result of breathing</i>
πνευματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	windy	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of result of breathing</i>
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
συνωθεῖν	to compress	ΣΥΝΩΘΕΙΝ <i>pushing together</i>
ἡ συνώσις αἱ συνώσεις	compression	ΣΥΝΩΣΙΣ <i>pushing together</i>
ἡ περιπλοκή αἱ περιπλοκαί	an intertangling	ΠΕΡΙΠΛΟΚΗ <i>weave around</i>
ἡ ἀλληλουχία αἱ ἀλληλουχίαι	interconnectedness	ΑΛΛΗΛΟΥΧΙΑ <i>holding each other</i>
ἀλληλοῦχος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	interconnected	ΑΛΛΗΛΟΥΧΟΣ <i>holding each other</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
ἀνεπιτήδειος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	unsuitable	ΑΝΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>not towards this here</i>
ἐπιτήδειος <i>(α, ον)</i>	suitable	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>towards this here</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
ἡ ῥεῦσις αἱ ῥεῦσεις	flowing	ῚΡΕΥΣΙΣ <i>action of flowing</i>
τὸ ῥεῦμα τὰ ῥεύματα	flow	ῚΡΕΥΜΑ <i>result of flowing</i>

ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>
ὁ συλλογισμός	inference	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning action together</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ συλλογή αἱ συλλογαί	a gathering	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΗ <i>collecting together</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ <i>land</i>
τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water	ΥΔΩΡ <i>rain / water</i>

99c/100a. Rain

καὶ κατ’ ἄλλους δὲ τρόπους πλείους, αἱ τῶν τοιούτων Συστάσεις οὐκ ἄδυνατοῦσι συντελεῖσθαι

and according to several other ways, the Compositions of such things [*i.e., clouds*] are not unable to be altogether produced

[Bailey] and there are several other ways in which the formation of such things may not impossibly be brought about.

ἤδη δ’, ἀπ’ αὐτῶν – ἥ μὲν θλιβομένων ἥ δὲ μεταβαλλόντων – Ὑδατα δύναται συντελεῖσθαι

actually, from [*clouds*] – insofar as they are being crushed and insofar as they are transforming – Rains are able to be altogether produced

[Bailey] And from them again rain may be produced if they are squeezed in one part or changed in another,

ἔτι τε, πνευμάτων καταφορᾷ ἀπὸ ἐπιτηδείων τόπων, καὶ δι’ ἀέρος κινουμένου, βιαιοτέρας ἐπαρδεύσεως γινομένης ἀπὸ τινων ἀθροισμάτων ἐπιτηδείων εἰς τὰς τοιαύτας ἐπιπέμψεις

or also, by descent of winds from suitable locations, and through moving air, with a more forceful irrigation being produced from certain suitable aggregates, into such downpours

ἔτι τε (πνευμάτων καταφορᾷ ἀπὸ ἐπιτηδείων τόπων καὶ δι’ ἀέρος κινουμένου) βιαιοτέρας ἐπαρδεύσεως γινομένης ἀπὸ τινων ἀθροισμάτων ἐπιτηδείων εἰς τὰς τοιαύτας ἐπιπέμψεις

or also (by descent of winds from suitable locations and through moving air) with a more forceful irrigation being produced from certain suitable aggregates into such downpours

[Bailey] or again by a downward current of wind moving through the atmosphere from appropriate places, a more violent shower being produced from certain conglomerations of atoms suited to create such downfalls.

ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater [here, "several"]	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
τοιούτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this/it <i>(such as this/it)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>

ἀδυνατεῖν	to be unable	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΕΙΝ <i>not powerful</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill [here "to altogether produce"]	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
Part 2		
ἤδη	actually	
ἧ	insofar as [here, "extent to which"]	ἧ <i>in/for which</i>
θλίβειν	to crush	ΘΛΙΒΩΝ <i>squeezing</i>
θλιβόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being crushed	ΘΛΙΒΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>squeezing</i>
μεταβάλλων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	transforming	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΩΝ <i>throwing in change</i>
τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water [here, "rain"]	ὕδωρ <i>rain / water</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
Part 3		
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
ἡ καταφορά αἱ καταφοραί	descent	ΚΑΤΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying thoroughly / down</i>
ἐπιτήδειος <i>(α, ον)</i>	suitable	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>towards this here</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
κινούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being moved	ΚΙΝΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>moving</i>
παραβιάζεσθαι	to distort	ΠΑΡΑΒΙΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>violence alongside</i>
βιάζειν	to force	ΒΙΑΖΕΙΝ <i>violence</i>
βίαιος	forceful	ΒΙΑΙΟΣ <i>violence</i>
βιαιότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	more forceful	ΒΙΑΙΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more violence</i>
ἡ ἐπάρδευσις αἱ ἐπαρδεύσεις	irrigation	ΕΠΑΡΔΕΥΣΙΣ <i>process of watering upon</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἄθροίσματα	[inorganic] aggregate [organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of action of [being] gathered</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ἐκπέμπειν	to emit	ΕΚΠΕΜΠΕΙΝ <i>conveying out</i>
πέμπειν	to send	ΠΕΜΠΕΙΝ <i>conveying</i>
ἡ ἐπιπέμψις αἱ ἐπιπέμψεις	*downpour	ΕΠΙΠΕΜΨΙΣ <i>process of conveying upon</i>

Βροντὰς ἐνδέχεται γίνεσθαι καὶ κατὰ πνεύματος ἐν τοῖς κοιλώμασι τῶν νεφῶν ἀνείλησιν – καθάπερ ἐν τοῖς ἡμετέροις ἀγγείοις

Thunders may be produced even from a rolling back of wind in the cavities of the clouds – just as in our vessels

[Bailey] Thunder may be produced by the rushing about of wind in the hollows of the clouds, as happens in vessels on earth,

καὶ παρὰ πυρὸς, πεπνευματωμένου, βόμβον ἐν αὐτοῖς

and from fire when, having been filled with air, there is a blasting out in them

[Bailey] or by the reverberation of fire filled with wind inside them, or by the rending and tearing of clouds

καὶ κατὰ ῥήξεις δὲ νεφῶν καὶ διαστάσεις – καὶ κατὰ παρατρίψεις νεφῶν καὶ κατάξεις πῆξιν εἰληφότων κρυσταλλοειδῇ

and [thunders may be produced] from ruptures and expansions of clouds – and also from friction and scrapings of clouds having acquired an ice-like coagulation

[Bailey] or by the friction and bursting of clouds when they have been congealed into a form like ice:

ἡ βροντή αἱ βρονταί	thunder	BRONTH roaring
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ accepting in
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ breath result
τὸ κοίλωμα τὰ κοιλώματα	cavity	ΚΟΙΛΩΜΑΤΑ concave
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ fog
εἰλεῖν ^{or} εἴλιν	to roll up	ΕΙΛΕΙΝ rolling up
ἡ ἀνείλησις αἱ ἀνειλήσεις	a rolling back	ΑΝΕΙΛΗΣΙΣ process of rolling back up
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ just as thoroughly
ἡμέτερος	our	ἩΜΕΤΕΡΟΣ belong to us
τὸ ἀγγεῖον τὰ ἀγγεῖα	vessel	ΑΓΓΕΙΟΝ instrument of going / carrying
Part 2		
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ fire
πνευματικός (ή, όν)	windy	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of result of breathing
πνευματώδης (ες)	wind-like	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΩΔΗΣ quality of result of breathing
πνευματοῦν	to fill with air	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΟΥΝ result of breathing
πεπνευματωμένος (η, ον)	[having been] filled with air	ΠΕΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΩΜΕΝΟΣ result of having breathed
ὁ βόμβος οἱ βόμβοι	blasting out	ΒΟΜΒΟΣ booming

Part 3		
ἡ ῥῆξις αἱ ῥήξεις	a rupture	ῬΗΞΙΣ <i>wreaking</i>
ἡ διάστασις αἱ διαστάσεις	expansion	ΔΙΑΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing across</i>
ἐνδιατρίβειν	to occupy oneself with	ΕΝΔΙΑΤΡΙΒΕΙΝ <i>rubbing through in</i>
ἡ παρατρίψις αἱ παρατρίψεις	friction	ΠΑΡΑΤΡΙΨΙΣ <i>rubbing beside</i>
ἡ κατάξις αἱ καταξεις	scraping	ΚΑΤΑΞΙΣ <i>fracture</i>
ἡ πήξις αἱ πήξεις	coagulation	ΠΗΞΙΣ <i>affixing together</i>
εἰληφώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	[intellectually] comprehended, [generally] acquired	ΕΙΛΗΦΩΣ <i>grasped</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
κρυσταλλοειδής <i>(ές)</i>	ice-like	ΚΡΥΣΤΑΛΛΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing crystal / ice</i>

100c. The Call of What is Apparent

καὶ τὸ ὅλον καὶ τοῦτο τὸ μέρος πλεοναχῶς γίνεσθαι λέγειν ἐκκαλεῖται τὰ Φαινόμενα

What is apparent calls out **to say that both** the whole *[topic of meteorological occurrences]* **and** this part *[on the topic of thunder]* are produced in several ways

^[Bailey] phenomena demand that we should say that this department of celestial events, just like them all, may be caused in several ways.

ὅλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	entire / whole	ὍΛΟΣ <i>whole</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
πλεοναχῶς	in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
ἐκκαλεῖν	to call out	ΕΚΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning out</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>

101a. Lightning – Friction and Impact

καὶ Ἀστραπαὶ δ’ ὡσαύτως γίνονται κατὰ πλείους τρόπους:

and Lightnings likewise are produced in several ways:

^[Bailey] And lightnings too are produced in several ways:

καὶ γὰρ, κατὰ παράτριψιν καὶ σύγκρουσιν νεφῶν ὁ, πυρὸς ἀποτελεστικός, Σχηματισμός, ἐξολισθαίνων, ἀστραπήν γεννᾷ

for indeed, from friction and the mutual impact of clouds, a Configuration which is productive of fire, while sliding out, generates lightning

[Bailey] for both owing to the friction and collision of clouds a conformation of atoms which produces fire slips out and gives birth to the lightning,

ὁ ἀστρολόγος οἱ ἀστρολόγοι	astrologer	ΑΣΤΡΟΛΟΓΟΣ <i>star reasoning</i>
ἡ ὄψις αἱ ὄψεις	vision	ΟΨΙΣ <i>eye</i>
ἡ ἀστραπή αἱ ἀστραπαί	lightning	ΑΣΤΡΑΠΗ <i>star viewing</i>
ὡσαύτως	likewise	ὩΣΑΥΤΩΣ <i>as self</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater [here, "several"]	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
Part 2		
ἡ παρατρίψις αἱ παρατρίψεις	friction	ΠΑΡΑΤΡΙΨΙΣ <i>rubbing beside</i>
ἡ σύγκρουσις αἱ συγκρούσεις	mutual impact	ΣΥΓΚΡΟΥΣΙΣ <i>hitting together</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
ἀποτελεστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of completing out</i>
ὁ σχηματισμός οἱ σχηματισμοί	configuration	ΣΧΗΜΑΤΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of action of forming</i>
ἐξολισθαίνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	sliding	ΕΞΟΛΙΣΘΑΙΝΩΝ <i>slipping out</i>
γεννᾶν	to generate	ΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating</i>

101b. Lightning – Crushing and Squeezing

καὶ κατ’ ἐκριπισμὸν ἐκ τῶν νεφῶν – ὑπὸ πνευμάτων τῶν τοιούτων σωμάτων ἃ τὴν λαμπηδόνα ταύτην παρασκευάζει

and [lighting may be produced] by a blowing-out from the clouds – from winds of such bodies which produce this flash

[Bailey] and owing to wind bodies which give rise to this flash are dashed from the clouds:

καὶ κατ’ ἐκπιασμόν, θλίψεως τῶν νεφῶν γινομένης εἴθ’ ὑπ’ ἀλλήλων – εἴθ’ ὑπὸ πνευμάτων

and by a squeezing-out, with a crushing of the clouds being produced either by each [other] – or by winds

[Bailey] or compression may be the cause, when clouds are squeezed either by one another or by the wind.

ὁ ἐκριπισμός οἱ ἐκριπισμοί	blowing out	ΕΚΡΙΠΙΣΜΟΣ <i>fan / agitate out</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
τοιούτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this/it <i>(such as this/it)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>

τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body [here, "frame"]	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
λαμπρός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	radiant	ΛΑΜΠΡΟΣ <i>shining</i>
ἡ λαμπηδών αἱ λαμπηδόνες	a flash	ΛΑΜΠΗΔΩΝ <i>shining</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing</i> <i>alongside</i>
Part 2		
ὁ ἐκπιασμός οἱ ἐκπιασμοί	squeezing out	ΕΚΠΙΑΣΜΟΣ <i>pressing out</i>
θλίβειν	to crush	ΘΛΙΒΩΝ <i>squeezing</i>
ἡ θλίψις αἱ θλίψεις	a crushing	ΘΛΙΨΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>squeezing</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another [here, "each"]	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>

101c. Lightning – from the Stars through the Clouds

καὶ κατ’ ἐμπερίληψιν δὲ τοῦ ἀπὸ τῶν ἄστρων κατεσπαρμένου φωτός – εἴτα συνελαυνομένου ὑπὸ τῆς κινήσεως νεφῶν τε καὶ πνευμάτων – καὶ διεκπίπτοντος διὰ τῶν νεφῶν

and also [*lighting may be produced*] by an envelopment of the light thoroughly scattered from the celestial bodies – then being driven together by the movement of clouds and winds – and then falling out through the clouds

[Bailey] Or again it may be that the light scattered abroad from the heavenly bodies is taken in by the clouds, and then is driven together by the movement of the clouds and wind, and falls out through the clouds;

ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
ἡ περίληψις αἱ περιλήψεις	complete comprehension	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold around</i>
ἡ ἐμπερίληψις αἱ ἐμπεριλήψεις	envelopment	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold around in</i>
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
διασπείρειν	to disperse	ΔΙΑΣΠΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>scatter through</i>
κατεσπαρμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	thoroughly scattered	ΚΑΤΕΣΠΑΡΜΕΝΟΣ <i>thoroughly scatter</i>
τὸ φῶς τὰ φῶτα	light	ΦΩΣ <i>shine</i>
εἴτα	next	ΕΙΤΑ <i>hereafter</i>
ἐξελαύνειν	to expel	ΕΞΕΛΑΥΝΕΙΝ <i>driving out of</i>
συνελαύνειν	to drive together	ΣΥΝΕΛΑΥΝΕΙΝ <i>driving together</i>
συνελαυνόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being driven together	ΣΥΝΕΛΑΥΝÓΜΕΝΟΣ <i>driving together</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>

τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath</i> result
ἐκπίπτειν	to fall out	ΕΚΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling out</i>
διεκπίπτειν	to fall out through	ΔΙΕΚΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling out through</i>
διεκπίπτων (ουσα, ον)	falling out through	ΔΙΕΚΠΙΠΤΩΝ <i>falling out through</i>

101d. Lightning – Filtration and Tension

ἢ κατὰ διήθησιν <διὰ> τῶν νεφῶν τοῦ λεπτομερεστάτου φωτός – ἧ ἀπὸ τοῦ πυρὸς Νέφη συνειλέχθαι (καὶ τὰς βροντὰς ἀποτελεῖσθαι κατὰ τὴν τούτου κίνησιν)

or [*lighting may be produced*] by a filtration of the most subtle light through the clouds – insofar as the clouds have been rolled up together from the fire (and thunders are fully produced according to the movement [*of the fire*])

[Bailey] or else light composed of most subtle particles may filter through the clouds, whereby the clouds may be set on fire by the flame and thunder produced by the movement of the fire.

καὶ κατὰ τὴν τοῦ πνεύματος ἐκπύρωσιν – τὴν γινομένην διὰ τε συντονίαν φορᾶς καὶ διὰ σφοδρὰν κατείλησιν

and [*lighting may be produced*] by the wind's ignition – which is produced both through the tension of transmission and through a thoroughly intense rolling

[Bailey] Or the wind may be fired owing to the strain of motion and its violent rotation,

ἡ διήθησις αἱ διηθήσεις	filtration	ΔΙΗΘΗΣΙΣ <i>process of sieving through</i>
λεπτομερής (ές)	of a subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΗΣ <i>fine/thin part</i>
λεπτομερέστατος (η, ον)	of a most subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΕΣΤΑΤΟΣ <i>fine/thin part</i>
τὸ φῶς τὰ φῶτα	light	ΦΩΣ <i>shine</i>
ἧ	insofar as	ἧ <i>in/for which</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
εἰλεῖν ^{or} εἴλειν	to roll up	ΕΙΛΕΙΝ <i>rolling up</i>
συνειλέχθαι	to have been rolled up together	ΣΥΝΕΙΛΕΧΘΑΙ <i>rolling up together</i>
ἡ βροντή αἱ βρονταί	thunder	ΒΡΟΝΤΗ <i>roaring</i>
ἀποτελεῖσθαι	to be fully produced	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>completing out</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
Part 2		
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath</i> result
ἡ ἐκπύρωσις αἱ ἐκπυρώσεις	ignition	ΕΚΠΥΡΩΣΙΣ <i>process of fire out</i>
ἡ ἀτονία αἱ ἀτονίαι	laziness	ΑΤΟΝΙΑ <i>not vigorous</i>

ἡ συντονία αἱ συντονίαι	tension	ΣΥΝΤΟΝΙΑ <i>vigorous together</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
σφοδρῶς	attentively	ΣΦΟΔΡΩΣ <i>intensely</i>
σφοδρός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	attentive [here, "intense"]	ΣΦΟΔΡΟΣ <i>intense</i>
εἰλεῖν ^{or} εἴλιν	to roll up	ΕΙΛΕΙΝ <i>rolling up</i>
ἡ κατείλῃσις αἱ κατειλήσεις	a thorough rolling up	ΚΑΤΕΙΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of thoroughly rolling up</i>
ἡ συνώσις αἱ συνώσεις	compression	ΣΥΝΩΣΙΣ <i>pushing together</i>

102a. Lighting – Ruptures and Emission

καὶ κατὰ ῥήξεις δὲ νεφῶν ὑπὸ πνευμάτων – ἔκπτωσίν τε πυρὸς ἀποτελεστικῶν
ἀτόμων – καὶ τὸ τῆς ἀστραπῆς φάντασμα ἀποτελουσῶν

and [lighting may be produced] by ruptures of clouds due to the winds – and
by emission of atoms productive of fire – with [that emission] also fully
producing the impression of lightning

[Bailey] or clouds may be rent by wind and atoms fall out which produce fire
and cause the appearance of lightning.

καὶ κατ’ ἄλλους δὲ πλείους τρόπους ῥηδίως ἔσται καθορᾶν – ἐχόμενον ἀεὶ τῶν
φαινομένων – καὶ τὸ τούτοις ὁμοιον δυνάμενον συνθεωρεῖν

and by several other ways it will be easy to inspect – while one always holds
on to what is apparent – and is able to mutually observe what is similar to
these things

[Bailey] And several other methods may easily be observed, – if one clings
always to phenomena and can compare what is akin to these things.

ἡ ῥῆξις αἱ ῥήξεις	a rupture	ῬΗΞΙΣ <i>wreaking</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
ἡ ἔμπτωσις αἱ ἐμπτώσεις	collision	ΕΜΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling into</i>
ἡ ἔκπτωσις αἱ ἐκπτώσεις	emission	ΕΚΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling out</i>
ἡ ἐκβολή αἱ ἐκβολαί	expulsion	ΕΚΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing out</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
ἀποτελεστικός <i>(ή, ὄν)</i>	productive	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of completing out</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
ἡ ἀστραπή αἱ ἀστραπαί	lightning	ΑΣΤΡΑΠΗ <i>star viewing</i>
τὸ φάντασμα τὰ φαντάσματα	mental impression [here, just "the impression"]	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΑ <i>result of appearing</i>
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing out</i>
ἀποτελῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	fully produces	

Part 2		
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater [here, "several"]	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ῥάδιος ῥαιδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	easy	ῚΡΑΙΔΙΟΣ <i>easily</i>
ῥαδίως ῥαιδίως	easily	ῚΡΑΙΔΙΩΣ <i>easily</i>
καθορᾶν	to inspect [mentally]	ΚΑΘΟΡΑΝ <i>thoroughly looking</i>
ἐχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	holding on to	ΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>holding</i>
ἀεὶ	always	ΑΕΙ <i>forever</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
δυνάμενος <i>(μένη, μενον)</i>	having the force	ΔΥΝΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>power</i>
συνθεωρεῖν	to mutually observe	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning together</i>

102b. Lighting and Thunder – Sequential Generation

προτερεῖ δὲ Ἀστραπὴ βροντῆς ἐν τοιᾷδὲ τινι περιστάσει νεφῶν – καὶ διὰ τὸ, ὅμα τῷ τὸ πνεῦμα ἐμπίπτειν, ἐξωθεῖσθαι τὸν ἀστραπῆς ἀποτελεσματικὸν σχηματισμὸν – ὕστερον δὲ, τὸ Πνεῦμα, ἀνελιλούμενον, τὸν βόμβον ἀποτελεῖν τοῦτον

Lightning precedes thunder in certain a circumstance of clouds such as this – either because of the fact that, simultaneously with the wind falling in, the Configuration productive of lightning is elected – while afterwards, the wind, being rolled back, fully produces this blasting out

[Bailey] Lightning precedes thunder in such a conformation of the clouds, either because at the moment when the wind dashes in, the formation of atoms which gives rise to lightning is driven out, but afterwards the wind whirls about and produces the reverberation;

πρότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	former	ΠΡΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>before [contrast]</i>
προτερεῖν	to precede	ΠΡΟΤΕΡΕΙΝ <i>before [contrast]</i>
ἡ ἀστραπή αἱ ἀστραπαί	lightning	ΑΣΤΡΑΠΗ <i>star viewing</i>
ἡ βροντή αἱ βρονταί	thunder	ΒΡΟΝΤΗ <i>roaring</i>
τοιόσδι = τοιόσδε <i>(τοιᾷδε, τοιόνδε)</i>	like this here (such a kind as this)	ΤΟΙΟΣΔΙ ΤΟΙΟΣΔΕ <i>of this sort here</i>
ἡ περίσταςις αἱ περιστάσεις	circumstance	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing around</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
ἅμα	^[adv] simultaneously, ^[prep] together with	ἌΜΑ <i>at once</i>
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
ἐμπίπτειν	to fall in	ΕΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling in</i>

ἐξωθεῖν	to eject	ΕΞΩΘΕΙΝ <i>pushing out</i>
ἐξωθεῖσθαι	to be ejected	ΕΞΩΘΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>pushing out</i>
ἀποτελεσματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of completing out</i>
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing out</i>
ὁ σχηματισμός οἱ σχηματισμοί	configuration	ΣΧΗΜΑΤΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of action of forming</i>
ὕστερον	afterwards	ΎΣΤΕΡΟΝ <i>next</i>
ἡ ἀνείλσις αἱ ἀνειλήσεις	a rolling back	ΑΝΕΙΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of rolling back up</i>
ἀνειλούμενος	being rolled back	ΑΝΕΙΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>rolling back up</i>
ὁ βόμβος οἱ βόμβοι	blasting out	ΒΟΜΒΟΣ <i>booming</i>

102c-3a. Lighting and Thunder – Simultaneous Generation

καὶ κατ’ ἔμπτωσιν δὲ ἀμφοτέρων ἅμα: τῷ τάχει συντονωτέρῳ κεχρηῆσθαι πρὸς ἡμᾶς τὴν Ἀστραπὴν – ὕστερεῖν δὲ τὴν Βροντὴν

or [lightning and thunder occur] by a collision of both simultaneously: [it will be easy to inspect] lightning making use of the more intense speed toward us – but thunder comes after

(καθάπερ ἐπ’ ἐνίων, ἐξ ἀποστήματος θεωρουμένων, καὶ πληγὰς τινὰς ποιουμένων)

(just as in the case of some things, when observed from a distance, also making certain strikes)

[Bailey] or because they both dash out at the same moment, but lightning moves at a higher speed towards us, and thunder comes after, as in the case of some things seen at a distance and producing blows

ἡ ἔμπτωσις αἱ ἐμπτώσεις	collision	ΕΜΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling into</i>
ἀμφότερος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	both	ΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>what is on both sides</i>
ἅμα	[adv] simultaneously, [prep] together with	ἌΜΑ <i>at once</i>
τὸ τάχος τὰ τάχη	speed	ΤΑΧΟΣ <i>swift</i>
συντείνειν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>stretching together</i>
σύντονος <i>(ον)</i>	intense	ΣΥΝΤΟΝΟΣ <i>stretching together</i>
συντονώτερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	more intense	ΣΥΝΤΟΝΩΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more stretching together</i>
χρηῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
κεχρηῆσθαι	to have been using	ΚΕΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>was necessary</i>
ὕστερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	after	ΎΣΤΕΡΟΣ <i>next</i>

ὕστερ εῖν	to come after	ὙΣΤΕΡΕΙΝ <i>next</i>
ἡ βροντή αἱ βρονταί	thunder	ΒΡΟΝΤΗ <i>roaring</i>
Part 2		
καθά περ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as</i> <i>thoroughly</i>
εἷς μία ἓν <i>(ἐνός, μιᾶς, ἐνός)</i>	one	ἜΙΣ ΜΙΑ ἘΝ <i>one</i>
οἱ ἔνιοι	some people	ΕΝΙΟΙ <i>one</i>
ἔνιοι <i>(αι, α)</i>	some	ΕΝΙΟΙ <i>one</i>
τὸ ἀπό στημα τὰ ἀπο στήματα	distance	ΑΠΟΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing away</i>
θεωρ εῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρ ούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	observed	
ἡ πληγή αἱ πληγαί	strike	ΠΛΗΓΗ <i>to hit</i>
ποι ούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	making	ΠΟΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>gathering</i>

103b. Thunderbolt – Ignition of Wind

Κεραυνὸς ἐνδέχεται γίνεσθαι καὶ **κατὰ πλείονας πνευμάτων συλλογὰς**:

a Thunderbolt is possibly produced also **by greater gatherings of winds**:

καὶ **κατείλῃσιν ἰσχυράν – τε ἐκπύρῳσιν καὶ κατάρρηξιν μέρους**

by their thoroughly strong rolling up – and by their ignition and complete rupture **of a part**

καὶ ἔκπτωσιν ἰσχυροτέραν αὐτοῦ ἐπὶ τοὺς κάτω τόπους – τῆς ῥήξεως γινομένης διὰ τὸ τοὺς ἐξῆς τόπους πυκνοτέρους εἶναι διὰ πύλησιν νεφῶν

and by a stronger emission **of it toward the lower locations** – with a rupture being produced **because the succeeding locations are denser through the condensation of clouds**

[Bailey] Thunderbolts may occur because there are frequent gatherings of wind, which whirls about and is fanned into a fierce flame, and then a portion of it breaks off and rushes violently on the places beneath, the breaking taking place because the regions approached are successively denser owing to the condensation of clouds,

ἡ βροντή αἱ βρονταί	thunder	ΒΡΟΝΤΗ <i>roaring</i>
ἡ ἀστραπή αἱ ἀστραπαί	lightning	ΑΣΤΡΑΠΗ <i>star viewing</i>
ὁ κεραυνός οἱ κεραυνοί	thunderbolt	ΚΕΡΑΥΝΟΣ <i>thunderbolt</i>
ἐνδέ χεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
γίνε σθαι = γίγνε σθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>

ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ συλλογή αἱ συλλογαί	a gathering	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΗ <i>collecting together</i>
Part 2		
εἰλεῖν ^{or} εἴλειν	to roll up	ΕΙΛΕΙΝ <i>rolling up</i>
ἡ ἀνειλῆσις αἱ ἀνειλήσεις	a rolling back	ΑΝΕΙΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>rolling back up</i>
ἡ κατεῖλσις αἱ κατειλήσεις	a thorough rolling up	ΚΑΤΕΙΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>thoroughly</i> <i>rolling up</i>
ἰσχυρός <i>(ἀ, ὄν)</i>	strong	ΙΣΧΥΡΟΣ <i>strength</i>
ἡ ἐκπύρωσις αἱ ἐκπυρώσεις	ignition	ΕΚΠΥΡΩΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>fire out</i>
ἡ κατάρρηξις αἱ καταρρήξεις	complete rupture	ΚΑΤΑΡΡΗΞΙΣ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>wreaking</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
Part 2		
ἡ ἔκπτωσις αἱ ἐκπτώσεις	emission	ΕΚΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling out</i>
ἰσχυρότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	stronger	ΙΣΧΥΡΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more</i> <i>strength</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
ἡ ῥῆξις αἱ ῥήξεις	a rupture	ῚΡΗΞΙΣ <i>wreaking</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
ἐξῆς	in succession	ῚΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
πυκνός <i>(ή, ὄν)</i>	dense	ΠΥΚΝΟΣ <i>compacting</i>
πυκνότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	denser	ΠΥΚΝΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more</i> <i>compacting</i>
ἡ πύλησις αἱ πιλήσεις	condensation	ΠΙΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>felting</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>

103c. Thunderbolt – Emission of Fire

καὶ κατ’ αὐτὴν δὲ τὴν τοῦ πυρὸς ἔκπτωσιν ἀνειλουμένου – καθὰ καὶ Βροντὴν ἐνδέχεται γίνεσθαι:

and [*a Thunderbolt is possibly produced*] even by the very emission of the fire being rolled back – just as thunder too can be produced:

[Bailey] or as the result of the actual outburst of the whirling fire, in the same way that thunder may be produced,

πλείονος γενομένου καὶ πνευματωθέντος ἰσχυρότερον – καὶ ῥήξαντος τὸ νέφος διὰ τὸ μὴ δύνασθαι ὑποχωρεῖν εἰς τὰ ἐξῆς – τῷ πύλησιν γίνεσθαι (τὸ μὲν Πολὺ πρὸς ὄρος τι ὑψηλόν, ἐν ᾧ μάλιστα Κεραυνοὶ πίπτουσιν) αἰετὶ πρὸς ἄλληλα

with more [*fire*] being produced and being filled with air more strongly – and with it rupturing the cloud because [*the fire is*] not able to recede into the next [*parts of the cloud*] – since condensation takes place always on each [*cloud*] (while the greater part [*of the condensation occurs*] toward some lofty limit, in which Thunderbolts especially fall)

[Bailey] when the fire becomes too great and is too violently fanned by wind and so breaks through the cloud, {removed by Bailey and Usener} because it cannot retreat to the next regions owing to the constant condensation of clouds one on the other.

τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
ἡ ἔκπτωσις αἱ ἐκπτώσεις	emission	ΕΚΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling out</i>
ἀνειλούμενος	being rolled back	ΑΝΕΙΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>rolling back up</i>
καθὰ	just as	
ἡ βροντή αἱ βρονταί	thunder	ΒΡΟΝΤΗ <i>roaring</i>
ἐνδέχεται	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
Part 2		
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
γενόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΕΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>come into being</i>
πνευματοῦν	to fill with air	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΟΥΝ <i>result of breathing</i>
πνευματωθεὶς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i>	filled with air [already]	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΩΘΕΙΣ <i>result of breathing</i>
ἰσχυρότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	stronger	ΙΣΧΥΡΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more strength</i>
ἡ ῥῆξις αἱ ῥήξεις	a rupture	ῬΗΞΙΣ <i>wreaking</i>
ῥήγνυναι	to rupture	ῬΗΓΝΥΝΑΙ <i>wreaking</i>
ῥήξας	ruptured [already]	ῬΗΞΑΣ <i>wreaking</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
ἡ χώρα αἱ χῶραι	space	ΧΩΡΑ <i>location</i>
ὑποχωρεῖν	to recede	ΥΠΟΧΩΡΕΙΝ <i>location under</i>
ἐξῆς	in succession	ἘΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
ἡ πύλησις αἱ πυλήσεις	condensation	ΠΙΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of felting</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ὁ ὄρος οἱ ὄροι	limit	ὍΡΟΣ <i>outline, definition</i>
ὑψηλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	high, lofty	ΥΨΗΛΟΣ <i>height</i>
μάλιστα	especially	ΜΑΛΙΣΤΑ <i>very</i>
ὁ κεραυνός οἱ κεραυνοί	thunderbolt	ΚΕΡΑΥΝΟΣ <i>thunderbolt</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
ἀεὶ	always	ΑΕΙ <i>forever</i>
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another [here, "each"]	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>

καὶ κατ’ ἄλλους δὲ τρόπους πλείονας ἐνδέχεται Κεραυνὸς ἀποτελεῖσθαι

and also in several other ways a Thunderbolt can be fully produced

[Bailey] And thunderbolts may be produced in other ways too.

μόνον ὁ Μῦθος ἀπέστω – ἀπέσται δέ, ἐάν τις, καλῶς τοῖς φαινομένοις ἀκολουθῶν, περὶ τῶν ἀφανῶν σημειῶται

only the Myth must be absent – but it will be absent, if someone, correctly following what is apparent, infers from signs concerning the invisible

[Bailey] Only superstition must be excluded, as it will, if one successfully follows the lead of seen phenomena to gain indications about the invisible.

ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater [here, "several"]	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
δέχεσθαι	to recieve	ΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting</i>
ὁ κεραυνός οἱ κεραυνοί	thunderbolt	ΚΕΡΑΥΝΟΣ <i>thunderbolt</i>
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing out</i>
Part 2		
μόνος <i>(η, ον)</i>	only	ΜΟΝΟΣ <i>single</i>
ὁ μῦθος οἱ μῦθοι	myth	ΜΥΘΟΣ <i>speech / word</i>
εἶναι	to be	ΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being</i>
ἀπεῖναι	to be absent	ΑΠΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being away</i>
ἀπέστω	3rd person singular present imperative active "it must be absent"	
ἀπέσται	3rd person singular future indicative middle "it will be absent"	
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>lovely</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
τὸ ἄφανες τὰ ἄφανη	invisible thing	ΑΦΑΝΕΣ <i>not appearing</i>
ἀφανής <i>(ές)</i>	invisible	ΑΦΑΝΗΣ <i>not appearing</i>
τὸ σημεῖον τὰ σημεῖα	sign	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ <i>state of indication</i>
σημειοῦν	to infer from signs	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΥΝ <i>state of indication</i>
σημειοῦσθαι	to infer [for oneself] from signs	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΥΣΘΑΙ <i>state of indication</i>
σημειῶται	3rd person singular present subjunctive middle [if] he infers [for himself] from signs	

Πρηστήρας Ἐνδέχεται γινεσθαι καὶ κατὰ κάθεσιν νέφους εἰς τοὺς κάτω τόπους
στυλοειδῶς (ὑπὸ πνεύματος ἀθρόου ὠσθέντος) – καὶ διὰ τοῦ πνεύματος πολλοῦ
φερομένου, ἅμα καὶ τὸ νέφος εἰς τὸ πλάγιον ὠθοῦντος τοῦ ἐκτὸς πνεύματος

It is possible for Twisters to arise even by the setting of a cloud into the
lower regions in a column-like way (having been pushed by a compact wind)
– and through a great volume of wind being carried, while also at the same
time the wind from outside is pushing the cloud sideways

[Bailey] Cyclones may be produced either by the driving down of a cloud into
the regions below in the form of a pillar, because it is pushed by the wind
gathered inside it and is driven on by the violence of the wind, while at the
same time the wind outside impels it sideways;

ὁ πρηστήρ οἱ πρηστήρες	twister	ΠΡΗΣΤΗΡ <i>instrument of blowing/burning</i>
ἐνδέχ εσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
γίν εσθαι = γίν εσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ καθέσις αἱ καθέσεις	settling	ΚΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of down</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
κάτω	below / after	ΚΑΤΩ <i>below</i>
ὁμοειδής (ές)	appearing the same	ὍΜΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing same</i>
στυλοειδής (ές)	column-like	ΣΤΥΛΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing standing / column</i>
στυλοειδῶς	in a column-like way	
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
ἀθρόος (α, ον)	aggregated	ΑΘΡΟΟΣ <i>in groups</i>
ὠθεῖν	to push	ΩΘΕΙΝ <i>striking</i>
ὠσθέντος	aorist passive participle genitive singular neuter of [a cloud] having been pushed	
ὠθοῦντος	present active participle genitive singular neuter while the [wind] is pushing	
πολύς (ή, ύ)	many / long [here, "a great volume of"]	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
φερόμενος (η, ον)	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing</i>
ἅμα	[adv] simultaneously, [prep] together with	ἌΜΑ <i>at once</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
πλάγιος (ον)	sideways	ΠΛΑΓΙΟΣ <i>oblique</i>
ἐκτός	from outside	ΕΚΤΟΣ <i>out</i>

104c. Twisters – through Condensation of Surrounding Air

καὶ κατὰ περίστασιν δὲ πνεύματος εἰς κύκλον: ἀέρος τινὸς ἐπισυνωθουμένου
ἄνωθεν – καὶ ῥύσεως πολλῆς πνευμάτων γινομένης (καὶ οὐ δυναμένης εἰς τὰ
πλάγια διαρρηῆναι διὰ τὴν πέριξ τοῦ ἀέρος πίλησιν)

and also [*it is possible for twisters to arise*] from the encirclement of wind into a sphere: with some air being compressed upon from above – and with much outflow of winds being produced (and not having the force to flow through into the sideways [*winds*] because of the condensation of the air all-around)

[Bailey] or by wind forming into circular motion, while mist is simultaneously thrust down from above; or when a great rush of wind takes place and cannot pass through sideways owing to the surrounding condensation of the atmosphere.

ἡ περίστασις αἱ περιστάσεις	circumstance [here, "encirclement"]	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing around</i>
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
ὁ κύκλος οἱ κύκλοι	sphere	ΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>circular</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
ἡ συνώσις αἱ συνώσεις	compression	ΣΥΝΩΣΙΣ <i>pushing together</i>
συνωθεῖν	to compress	ΣΥΝΩΘΕΙΝ <i>pushing together</i>
ἐπισυνωθεῖν	to compress upon	ΕΠΙΣΥΝΩΘΕΙΝ <i>pushing together upon</i>
ἐπισυνωθούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being compressed upon	ΕΠΙΣΥΝΩΘΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>pushing together upon</i>
ἄνωθεν	from above	ΑΝΩΘΕΝ <i>from above</i>
τὸ ρεῦμα τὰ ρεύματα	flow	ῚΡΕΥΜΑ <i>result of flowing</i>
ἡ ρεῦσις αἱ ρεῦσεις	flowing	ῚΡΕΥΣΙΣ <i>action of flowing</i>
ἡ ρύσις αἱ ρύσεις	outflow	
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long [here, "much"]	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
δυνάμενος <i>(μένη, μενον)</i>	having the force [here, "being able"]	ΔΥΝΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>power</i>
πλάγιος <i>(ον)</i>	sideways	ΠΛΑΓΙΟΣ <i>oblique</i>
ρεῖν	to flow	ῚΡΕΙΝ <i>flowing</i>
ἀπορρεῖν	to flow out	ΑΠΟΡΡΕΙΝ <i>flow away</i>
διαρρεῖν	to flow through	ΔΙΑΡΡΕΙΝ <i>flow through</i>
πέριξ	all around	ΠΕΡΙΞ <i>around</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
ἡ πύλησις αἱ πύλησεις	condensation	ΠΥΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of felting</i>

105a. Tornados vs. Waterspouts

καὶ ἕως μὲν γῆς τοῦ πρηστῆρος καθιεμένου, Στρόβιλοι γίνονται – ὥς ἂν καὶ ἡ Ἀπογέννησις κατὰ τὴν κίνησιν τοῦ πνεύματος γίνηται – ἕως δὲ θαλάττης, Δῖνοι ἀποτελοῦνται

and as long as the twister is set down on the earth, Tornadoes are produced – so that its Generation likewise comes to be according to the movement of the wind – but as long as [the twister is set down] on the sea, Waterspouts are fully produced

[Bailey] And when the spout is let down on to the land, whirlwinds are produced in all the various ways in which their creation may occur owing to the movement of the wind, but if it reaches the sea it produces waterspouts.

ἕως	as long as	ἕως until
ἢ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	Γῆ land
ὁ πρηστήρ οἱ πρηστῆρες	twister	ΠΡΗΣΤΗΡ instrument of blowing/burning
ἰέναι	to put into motion	ἴΕΝΑΙ sending
καθιέναι	to set down	ΚΑΘΙΕΝΑΙ sending down
καθιέμενος (η, ον)	being set down	ΚΑΘΙΕΜΕΝΟΣ sending down
ὁ στρόβιλος οἱ στρόβιλοι	tornado	ΣΤΡΟΒΙΛΟΣ twisting
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
ἢ γένεις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ action of being born
ἢ συγγένησις αἱ συγγενήσεις	kinship	ΣΥΓΓΕΝΝΗΣΙΣ action of being born together
ἢ γένεις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ action of being born
ἢ ἀπογέννησις αἱ ἀπογεννήσεις	generation	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΗΣΙΣ action of being born away
ἢ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ moving process
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ breath result
ἢ θάλαττα αἱ θάλατται	sea	ΘΑΛΑΤΤΑ salt / sea
ἢ δῖνα αἱ δῖναι	whirlwind	ΔΙΝΑ vortex
ἢ δίνησις αἱ δινήσεις	a whirling	ΔΙΝΗΣΙΣ process of vortex
τὸ δινεῦμα τὰ δινεύματα	whirling [motion]	ΔΙΝΕΥΜΑ vortex
ὁ δῖνος οἱ δῖνοι	whirl [here, "waterspout"]	ΔΙΝΟΣ vortex
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ completing out

105b. Earthquakes – from the Intake of Air

Σεισμούς Ἐνδέχεται γίνεσθαι καὶ κατὰ πνεύματος ἐν τῇ γῇ ἀπόληψιν – καὶ παρὰ μικροὺς ὄγκους αὐτῆς παράθεσιν καὶ συνεχῇ κίνησιν (Ὁ τὴν κράδανσιν τῇ γῇ παρασκευάζει)

It is possible for Earthquakes to be produced by an intake of wind into the earth – and by a [dislocating] juxtaposition of its small particles and by this continuous movement (which provides the quaking to the earth)

[Bailey] Earthquakes may be brought about both because wind is caught up in the earth, so that the earth is dislocated in small masses and is continually shaken, and that causes it to sway.

[Young] Earthquakes may arise from the wind penetrating into the interior of the earth, or from the earth itself receiving incessantly the addition of exterior particles, and being in incessant motion as to its constituent atoms, being in consequence disposed to a general vibration.

καὶ τὸ πνεῦμα τοῦτο ἢ ἕξωθεν ἐμπεριλαμβάνει – ᾗ ἐκ τοῦ πίπτειν [εἰς] ἐδάφη εἰς ἀντροειδεῖς τόπους τῆς γῆς, ἐκπνευματοῦντα τὸν πεπιλημένον ἀέρα

and either [the earth] completely takes this wind within from an external source – or from [parts of the earth] falling into the ground in earth's cavernous locations, while it vents out the condensed air

[Bailey] This wind it either takes into itself from outside, or else because masses of ground fall in into cavernous places in the earth and fan into wind the air that is imprisoned in them.

ἀσεισ τως	unshakably	ΑΣΕΙΣΤΩΣ <i>not shaking</i>
ὁ σεισμός οἱ σεισμοί	earthquake	ΣΕΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of shaking</i>
ἐνδέχ ε σθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίνν ε σθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τὸ πνεῦ μ α τὰ πνεύ μ ατα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ <i>land</i>
ἡ πρόλ η ψις αἱ προλ η ψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
ἡ περίλ η ψις αἱ περιλ η ψεις	complete comprehension	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold around</i>
ἀπολαμβάνειν	to fully acquire	ΑΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping away from</i>
ἡ ἀπόλ η ψις αἱ ἀπολ η ψεις	intake	ἈΠΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold away from</i>
<i>LSJ and Hicks render this word here (105b) as "imprisonment," Bailey as "caught up," and Young as "penetrating"</i>		
μικρός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
ὁ ὄγκος οἱ ὄγκοι	particle	ΟΓΚΟΣ <i>bulk</i>
παρ α τιθέναι	to juxtapose	ΠΑΡΑΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing alongside</i>
ἡ παρ α θήσεις αἱ παρ α θέσεις	juxtaposition	ΠΑΡΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing alongside</i>
συνεχ ής <i>(ές)</i>	continuous	ΣΥΝΕΧΗΣ <i>holding together</i>
ἡ κίν η σις αἱ κιν η σεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
ὁ παλμός οἱ παλμοί	vibration	ΠΑΛΜΟΣ <i>pulse</i>
ἡ κρ α δανσις αἱ κρ α δάνσεις	quaking	ΚΡΑΔΑΝΣΙΣ <i>shaking</i>
παρ α σκευ α ζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
Part 2		

ἔξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
ἐμπεριλαμβάνειν	✱ to completely comprehend within [here, "to completely take within"]	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping around in</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
τὸ ἔδαφος τὰ ἐδάφη	ground	ΕΔΑΦΟΣ <i>bottom / surface</i>
στυλοειδής (ές)	column-like	ΣΤΥΛΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing standing / column</i>
ἀντροειδής (ές)	cavernous	ΑΝΤΡΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>cave appearing</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
πνευματοῦν	to fill with air	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΟΥΝ <i>result of breathing</i>
ἐκπνευματοῦν	to vent out [air]	ΕΚΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΟΥΝ <i>result of breathing out</i>
ἐκπνευματοῦν (οὔσα, οὔν)	venting out [air]	
ἡ πύλησις αἱ πύλησεις	condensation	ΠΙΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of felting</i>
πιλεῖν	to condense	ΠΙΛΕΙΝ <i>felting</i>
πεπιλημένος	condensed	ΠΕΠΙΛΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>felted</i>
ὁ ἀήρ (τοῦ ἀέρος)	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>

105c. Earthquakes – from Falling Ground

«καὶ» κατ’ αὐτήν δὲ τὴν διάδοσιν **τῆς κινήσεως**, **ἐκ τῶν πτώσεων ἐδαφῶν πολλῶν**, καὶ **πάλιν ἀνταπόδοσιν** (ὅταν πυκνώμασι σφοδροτέροις τῆς γῆς Ἀπαντήση) Ἐνδέχεται **Σεισμοὺς ἀποτελεῖσθαι**

and it is possible for Earthquakes to be fully produced by the exchange of the movement itself, from the falling of much ground, and in turn from its countershock – (whenever [that falling ground] encounters the earth's more intense concentrations)

[Bailey] And again, earthquakes may be brought about by the actual spreading of the movement which results from the fall of many such masses of ground and the return shock, when the first motion comes into collision with more densely packed bodies of earth.

ἡ διάδοσις αἱ διάδοσεις	exchange	ΔΙΑΔΟΣΙΣ <i>given through</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
ἡ ἔκπτωσις αἱ ἐκπτώσεις	emission	ΕΚΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling out</i>
ἡ πτώσις αἱ πτώσεις	falling	ΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling</i>
τὸ ἔδαφος τὰ ἐδάφη	ground	ΕΔΑΦΟΣ <i>bottom / surface</i>
πολύς (ή, ύ)	many / long [here, "much"]	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
πάλιν	again [here, "in turn"]	ΠΑΛΙΝ <i>back</i>
ἡ ἀπόδοσις αἱ ἀποδόσεις	explanation	ΑΠΟΔΟΣΙΣ <i>given away</i>

ἡ ἀνταπόδοσις αἱ ἀνταποδόσεις	countershock	ΑΝΤΑΠΟΔΟΣΙΣ <i>given away in return</i>
τὸ πύκνωμα τὰ πυκνώματα	a concentration	ΠΥΚΝΩΜΑ <i>the result of compacting</i>
σφοδρός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	attentive [here, "intense"]	ΣΦΟΔΡΟΣ <i>intense</i>
σφοδρότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	more intense	ΣΦΟΔΡΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more intense</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ <i>land</i>
ἀπαντᾶν	to encounter	ΑΠΑΝΤΑΝ <i>meeting away</i>
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
ὁ σεισμός οἱ σεισμοί	earthquake	ΣΕΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of shaking</i>
ἀποτελεῖσθαι	to be fully produced	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>completing out</i>

106a. Earthquakes – from the Gathering of Air or Water Underground

καὶ κατ’ ἄλλους δὲ πλείους τρόπους τὰς Κινήσεις ταύτας τῆς γῆς γίνεσθαι:

and by multiple other ways [it is possible for] these movements of earth to be produced:

[Bailey] There are also many other ways in which these motions of the earth may be caused.

τὰ δὲ Πνεύματα συμβαίνει γίνεσθαι κατὰ χρόνον ἄλλοφυλίας τινὸς ἀεὶ καὶ κατὰ μικρὸν παρεισδυομένης – καὶ καθ’ ὕδατος ἀφθόνου συλλογὴν

Winds continue to be produced over time by some foreign matter infiltrating always and little by little – and by a gathering of abundant water

[Bailey] The winds may be produced when from time to time some alien matter is continually and gradually forcing its way in, or owing to the gathering of a vast quantity of water.

τὸ δὲ λοιπὸν, Πνεύματα γίνεται καὶ ὀλίγων πεσόντων εἰς τὰ πολλὰ κοιλώματα – διαδόσεως τούτων γινομένης

for the rest, Winds also are fully produced when a few [currents of air] have fallen into the many cavities – with an exchange of these [currents] taking place

[Bailey] The other winds arise when a few (currents of air) fall into many hollow spaces, and cause a spreading of wind.

ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
ἀποτελεῖσθαι	to be fully produced	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>completing out</i>
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater [here, "multiple"]	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	’ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ <i>land</i>

Part 2		
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	"that which has endured" property (inseparable quality)	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure [here, "to continue"]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ὁμόφυλος (η, ον)	of the same kind	ὍΜΟΦΥΛΟΣ <i>same race / tribe</i>
ἀλλόφυλος (η, ον)	of a different kind	ΑΛΛΟΦΥΛΟΣ <i>other race / tribe</i>
ἡ ἀλλοφυλία αἱ ἀλλοφυλῖαι	foreign matter	ΑΛΛΟΦΥΛΙΑ <i>other race / tribe</i>
μικρός (ά, όν)	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
κατὰ μικρόν	little by little	
ἡ δύσις αἱ δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of sinking</i>
δύειν	to sink	ΔΥΕΙΝ <i>sinking</i>
ἡ παρείσδυσις αἱ παρεισδύσεις	infiltration	ΠΑΡΕΙΣΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of sinking beside into</i>
παρεισδύειν	to infiltrate	ΠΑΡΕΙΣΔΥΕΙΝ <i>sinking beside into</i>
παρεισδυόμενος (η, ον)	infiltrating [itself]	ΠΑΡΕΙΣΔΥΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>sinking beside into</i>
τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water	ὙΔΩΡ <i>rain / water</i>
ἄφθονος (ον)	abundant	ΑΦΘΟΝΟΣ <i>not envy</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ συλλογή αἱ συλλογαί	a gathering	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΗ <i>collecting together</i>
Part 3		
λοιπός (ή, όν)	remaining [here, "the rest"]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
ὀλίγος (η, ον)	few, short [of time]	ΟΛΙΓΟΣ <i>little</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
πεσών (οὔσα, όν)	having fallen upon	ΠΕΣΩΝ <i>fell</i>
πολύς (ή, ύ)	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
τὸ κοίλωμα τὰ κοιλώματα	cavity	ΚΟΙΛΩΜΑΤΑ <i>concave</i>
ἡ διάδοσις αἱ διάδοσεις	exchange	ΔΙΑΔΟΣΙΣ <i>given through</i>
οὗτος (αὕτη, τοὔτο)	this	ὍΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
γινόμενος (η, ον)	produced [here, "taking place"]	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>

Hail is produced by a stronger coagulation: by an encirclement of certain wind-like [forces] everywhere – and by a partitioning

[Bailey] Hail is produced both by a powerful congelation, when certain windy bodies form together from all sides and split up:

[Hicks] Hail is caused by the firmer congelation and complete transformation, and subsequent distribution into drops, of certain particles resembling wind:

καὶ τῆξιν μετριωτέραν ὕδατοιδῶν τινων – ὁμοῦ ῥῆξιν ἅμα τὴν τε σύνωσιν αὐτῶν ποιουμένην καὶ τὴν διάρρηξιν – πρὸς τὸ κατὰ μέρη συνίστασθαι πηγνύμενα καὶ κατὰ ἀθρότητα

and [hail can be produced] by a more moderate melting of certain watery [aggregates] – together simultaneously by their rupture that produces both a compression and a tearing apart – toward being formed as they coagulate part by part and by their aggregatedness

[Bailey] also by a more moderate congelation of watery bodies and their simultaneous division, which causes at one and the same time their coagulation and separation, so that they cling together as they freeze in their separate parts as well as in their whole masses.

ἡ χάλαζα αἱ χαλάζαι	hail	ΧΑΛΑΖΑ <i>sleet</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill [here, "to produce"]	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
ἡ πῆξις αἱ πήξεις	coagulation	ΠΗΞΙΣ <i>affixing together</i>
ἰσχυρότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	stronger	ΙΣΧΥΡΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more strength</i>
αὐτόθεν	from that place	ΑΥΤΟΘΕΝ <i>from self</i>
ἐκεῖθεν	from that location <i>(thence)</i>	ΕΚΕΙΘΕΝ <i>from over there</i>
ἄνωθεν	from above	ΑΝΩΘΕΝ <i>from above</i>
ἐξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
πανταχόθεν	from/on all sides	ΠΑΝΤΑΧΟΘΕΝ <i>from all places</i>
πάντοθεν	from everywhere	ΠΑΝΤΟΘΕΝ <i>from all</i>
πνευματώδης <i>(ες)</i>	wind-like	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΩΔΗΣ <i>quality of result of breathing</i>
ἡ περίστασις αἱ περιστάσεις	circumstance [here, "encirclement"]	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing around</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἡ καταμέρισις αἱ καταμερίσεις	a partitioning	ΚΑΤΑΜΕΡΙΣΙΣ <i>process of thoroughly parting</i>
Part 2		
ἡ τῆξις αἱ τήξεις	melting	ΤΗΞΙΣ <i>thawing</i>
μετρεῖν	to measure	ΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>measuring</i>
μέτριος <i>(η, ον)</i>	moderate	ΜΕΤΡΙΟΣ <i>measuring</i>
μετριώτερος	more moderate	ΜΕΤΡΙΩΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more measuring</i>
τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water	ὙΔΩΡ <i>rain / water</i>
ἀντροειδής <i>(ές)</i>	cavernous	ΑΝΤΡΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>cave appearing</i>

ὕδατοειδής	watery	ΥΔΑΤΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>water appearing</i>
ὅμοϋ	together	ὍΜΟΥ
ἡ ῥῆξις αἱ ῥήξεις	a rupture	ῬΗΞΙΣ <i>wreaking</i>
ἄμα	^[adv] simultaneously, ^[prep] together with	ἌΜΑ <i>at once</i>
ἡ συνώσις αἱ συνώσεις	compression	ΣΥΝΩΣΙΣ <i>pushing together</i>
ποιούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	making <i>[here, "produces"]</i>	ΠΟΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>gathering</i>
ἡ κατάρρηξις αἱ καταρρήξεις	complete rupture	ΚΑΤΑΡΡΗΞΙΣ <i>thoroughly wreaking</i>
ἡ διάρρηξις αἱ διαρρήξεις	a tearing apart	ΔΙΑΡΡΗΞΙΣ <i>wreaking through</i>
κατὰ μέρη	part by part	
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
συνίστασθαι	to be composed <i>[here, "to be formed"]</i>	
πήγνυναι	to coagulate	ΠΗΓΝΥΝΑΙ <i>affixing together</i>
πηγνύμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being coagulated	ΠΗΓΝΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>affixing together</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἄθροίσματα	^[inorganic] aggregate ^[organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of action of [being] gathered</i>
ὁ ἄθροισμός οἱ ἄθροισμοί	aggregation	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of action of [being] gathered</i>
ἡ ἀθρότης αἱ ἀθρότητες	aggregatedness	ΑΘΡΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from being gathered</i>
	take note (from above)	
ἡ πήξις αἱ πήξεις	coagulation	ΠΗΞΙΣ <i>affixing together</i>
ἡ τήξις αἱ τήξεις	melting	ΤΗΞΙΣ <i>thawing</i>

107a. Hail – Production of Shape

ἡ δὲ Περιφέρεια **οὐκ** ἀδυνάτως μὲν ἔχει γίνεσθαι **πάντοθεν** τῶν ἄκρων ἀποτηκομένων

the rounded Surface [of hail] is **not** impossibly produced by the highest parts melting away from every side

καὶ **ἐν τῇ** συστάσει **πάντοθεν** (ὡς λέγεται) **κατὰ μέρη** – ὁμαλῶς περισταμένων εἴτε ὕδατοειδῶν τινων, εἴτε πνευματοδῶν

and [the rounded surface of hail can be produced] at their composition from every side (as they say) part by part – by the melting around evenly either of certain watery [aggregates], or of those like [cool] wind

[Bailey] Their circular shape may possibly arise because the corners melt off all round or because at their conformation bodies, whether watery or windy, come together evenly from all directions part by part, as is alleged.

ἡ περιφέρεια αἱ περιφέρειαί	circumference <i>[here, "rounded surface"]</i>	ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΕΙΑ <i>bringing around</i>
ἀδυνατεῖν	to be unable	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΕΙΝ <i>not powerful</i>

ἀδυνάτως	impossibly	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΩΣ <i>not powerful</i>
ἔχειν	to have [here, "it is {Adv.} to"]	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
πανταχόθεν	from/on all sides	ΠΑΝΤΑΧΟΘΕΝ <i>from all places</i>
πάντοθεν	from everywhere [here, "from every side"]	ΠΑΝΤΟΘΕΝ <i>from all</i>
ἄκρος <i>(α, ον)</i>	highest	ΑΚΡΟΣ <i>highest</i>
τήκεσθαι	to melt	ΤΗΚΕΣΘΑΙ <i>melt down / pine away</i>
ἀποτήκειν	to melt away	ΑΠΟΤΗΚΕΙΝ <i>melt down away</i>
ἀποτηκόμενος	melting away	ΑΠΟΤΗΚΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>melt down away</i>
Part 2		
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ὀμαλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	even	ὈΜΑΛΟΣ <i>same floor / ground</i>
ὀμαλῶς	evenly	
περιϊστάναι	to transfer around	ΠΕΡΙΪΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing around</i>
περιιστάμενος	transferring around [here, "melting around"]	ΠΕΡΙΙΣΤΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>standing around</i>
ὑδατοειδής	watery	ΥΔΑΤΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>water appearing</i>
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath result</i>
πνευματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	windy	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of result of breathing</i>
πνευματώδης <i>(ες)</i>	wind-like	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΩΔΗΣ <i>quality of result of breathing</i>

107b. Snow – from Cloud Symmetry or Wind Encirclement

Χιόνα δὲ Ἐνδέχεται συντελεῖσθαι καὶ ὕδατος λεπτοῦ ἐκχεομένου ἐκ τῶν νεφῶν διὰ πόρων συμμετρίας

It is possible for Snow to be produced by subtle water pouring out from the clouds through the symmetry of the passageways

[Bailey] Snow may be produced when fine particles of rain are poured out of the clouds owing to the existence of pores of suitable shape

καὶ θλίψεως ἐπιτηδείων νεφῶν αἰὲς ὑπὸ πνεύματος σφοδρᾶς – εἴτα τούτου πῆξις ἐν τῇ φορᾷ λαμβάνοντος (διὰ τινὰ ἰσχυρὰν ἐν τοῖς κατώτερον τόποις τῶν νεφῶν ψυχρασίας περίστασιν)

and by a crushing of suitable clouds always under an intense [cool] wind – and then by this [water] acquiring a coagulation in its transmission (because of a certain strong encirclement of coldness in the lower locations of the clouds)

[Bailey] and the strong and constant compression by winds of clouds of the right kind; and then the water is congealed in its descent owing to some conformation of excessive coldness in the clouds in the lower regions.

ή χιών αἱ χιόνες	snow	ΧΙΩΝ snowy
ἐνδέχ ^ε σθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ accepting in
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill [here, "to produce"]	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ completing together
τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water	ὙΔΩΡ rain / water
λεπτομερής (ές)	of a subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΗΣ fine/thin part
λεπτός (ή, όν)	subtle	ΛΕΠΤΟΣ fine/thin
ή χύσις αἱ χύσεις	flow	ΧΥΣΙΣ pouring
ἐκχεῖν	to pour out	ΕΚΧΕΙΝ pouring out
ἐκχεόμενος	pouring out	ΕΚΧΕΟΜΕΝΟΣ pouring out
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ fog
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ piercing
ή συμμετρία αἱ συμμετρίαι	symmetry [of physical proportion]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΙΑ measure together
θλίβειν	to crush	ΘΛΙΒΩΝ squeezing
ή ἔκθλιψις αἱ ἔκθλιψεις	a squeezing out	ΕΚΘΛΙΨΙΣ process of squeezing out
Part 2		
ή θλίψις αἱ θλίψεις	a crushing	ΘΛΙΨΙΣ process of squeezing
ἐπιτήδειος (α, ον)	suitable	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ towards this here
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ breath result
σφοδρός (ά, όν)	attentive [here, "intense"]	ΣΦΟΔΡΟΣ intense
οὗτος (αὕτη, τοὔτο)	this	ΟΥΤΟΣ this
ή πήξις αἱ πήξεις	coagulation	ΠΗΞΙΣ affixing together
ή φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ carrying
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping
λαμβάνων (ουσα, ον)	acquiring	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ grasping
ἰσχυρός (ά, όν)	strong	ΙΣΧΥΡΟΣ strength
κάτω	below / after	ΚΑΤΩ below
κατώτερος (α, ον)	lower	ΚΑΤΩΤΕΡΟΣ below
κατώτερον	lower (adv)	
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ a place
ή θερμασία αἱ θερμασίαι	heat	ΘΕΡΜΑΣΙΑ state of warm
ή ψυχρασία αἱ ψυχρασίαι	coldness	ΨΥΧΡΑΣΙΑ state of cold

ἡ περίστασις αἱ περιστάσεις	circumstance [here, "encirclement"]	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing around</i>
--	--	---

107c. Snow – from Even Thinness of Clouds and their Tight Packing

καὶ κατὰ πῆξιν δ’ ἐν τοῖς νέφεσιν ὁμαλῇ ἀραιότητι ἔχουσιν – τοιαύτη Πρόεσις ἐκ τῶν νεφῶν γίνοιτο ἂν πρὸς ἀλλήλα θλιβομένων ὕδατοιδῶν τινων συμπαρακειμένων (Ἄ, οἶονεῖ, σύνωσιν ποιούμενα, χάλαζαν ἀποτελεῖ – ὁ μάλιστα γίνεται ἐν τῷ ἔαρι)

and [*it is possible for snow to be produced*] also *by coagulation in the clouds having an even thinness* – such an issuing forth [*as snow*] *from the clouds* might be produced *with certain watery [aggregates] being crushed toward each other while being placed close together* (which, *as it were*, making *a compression*, fully produce *hail* – this is produced *especially in spring*)

[Bailey] *Or else owing to congelation in clouds of uniform thinness an exudation of this kind might arise from watery clouds lying side by side and rubbing against one another: for they produce hail by causing coagulation, a process most frequent in the atmosphere.*

[Hicks] *Or again, by congelation in clouds which have uniform density a fall of snow might occur through the clouds which contain moisture being densely packed in close proximity to each other (and these clouds produce a sort of compression and cause hail, and this happens mostly in spring)*

ἡ πῆξις αἱ πήξεις	coagulation	ΠΗΞΙΣ <i>affixing together</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
ὁμαλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	even	ὍΜΑΛΟΣ <i>same</i> <i>floor / ground</i>
ἀραιός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	rarefied	ΑΡΑΙΟΣ <i>spread out thin</i>
ἡ ἀραιότης αἱ ἀραιότητες	thinness	ΑΡΑΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from being</i> <i>spread out thin</i>
ἔχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	has	ΕΧΩΝ <i>holding</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this/it <i>(such as this/it)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
προῖέναι προιέναι	to go forward	ΠΡΟΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going forward</i>
ἡ πρόεσις αἱ προέσεις	issuing forth	ΠΡΟΕΣΙΣ <i>going forward</i>
ἡ ἀπόστασις αἱ ἀποστάσεις	emanation	ΑΠΟΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>placed away</i>
ἡ διέκδυσις αἱ διεκδύσεις	emergence	ΔΙΕΚΔΥΣΙΣ <i>action of escaping</i> <i>through</i>
ἡ κατεργασία αἱ κατεργασίαι	outflow	ΚΑΤΕΡΓΑΣΙΑ <i>work thoroughly</i>
ἡ ἀπόρροια αἱ ἀπόρροiai	effluence	ΑΠΟΡΡΟΙΑΙ <i>flow off</i>
ἡ ἐκπτώσις αἱ ἐκπτώσεις	emission	ΕΚΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling out</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another [here, "each"]	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
θλίβειν	to crush	ΘΛΙΒΩΝ <i>squeezing</i>
θλιβόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being crushed	ΘΛΙΒΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>squeezing</i>
ὕδατοιδής	watery	ὙΔΑΤΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>water appearing</i>

κεῖσθαι	to be set [up]	ΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting</i>
κείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	situated / lying [or, "established"]	ΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated</i>
συμπαρακείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being placed close together	ΣΥΜΠΑΡΑΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated beside together</i>
οἷονεῖ	as it were	ὍΙΟΝΕΙ <i>such as if</i>
ἡ συνώσις αἱ συνώσεις	compression	ΣΥΝΩΣΙΣ <i>pushing together</i>
ποιούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	making [here, "produces"]	ΠΟΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>gathering</i>
ἡ χάλαζα αἱ χαλάζαι	hail	ΧΑΛΑΖΑ <i>sleet</i>
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing out</i>
μάλιστα	especially	ΜΑΛΙΣΤΑ <i>very</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τὸ ἔαρ τὰ ἔαρα	spring	ΕΑΡ <i>juice</i>

108a. Snow – from Rubbing of Coagulated Clouds

καὶ κατὰ τρίψιν δὲ νεφῶν πῆξιν εἰληφότων: ἀπόπαλσιν ἂν λαμβάνοι τὸ τῆς χιόνος τοῦτο᾽Αθροισμα

and [it is possible for snow to be produced] by the rubbing of clouds that have acquired coagulation: this Aggregate of snow would receive a shaking away [from the clouds, and then fall]

[Bailey] Or else, owing to the friction of congealed clouds, these nuclei of snow may find occasion to break off.

καὶ κατ’ ἄλλους δὲ τρόπους ἐνδέχεται χιόνα συντελεῖσθαι

and Snow can be produced also in other ways

[Bailey] And there are many other ways in which snow may be produced.

ἐνδιετρίβειν	to occupy oneself with	ΕΝΔΙΕΤΡΙΒΕΙΝ <i>rubbing through in</i>
ἡ παρατρίψις αἱ παρατρίψεις	friction	ΠΑΡΑΤΡΙΨΙΣ <i>rubbing beside</i>
ἡ τρίψις αἱ τρίψεις	rubbing	ΤΡΙΨΙΣ <i>rubbing</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
ἡ πῆξις αἱ πήξεις	coagulation	ΠΗΞΙΣ <i>affixing together</i>
εἰληφώς <i>(υῖα, ὅς)</i>	[intellectually] comprehended, [generally] acquired	ΕΙΛΗΦΩΣ <i>grasped</i>
ἡ φλεβοπαλία αἱ φλεβοπαλῖαι	arterial pulsation "phlebopalía"	ΦΛΕΒΟΠΑΛΙΑ <i>vein shaking</i>
ἡ ἀπόπαλσις αἱ ἀποπάλσεις	shaking away	ΑΠΟΠΑΛΣΙΣ <i>shaking away</i>
ἡ χιών αἱ χιόνες	snow	ΧΙΩΝ <i>snowy</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	ὍΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>

τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἄθροίσματα	[inorganic] aggregate [organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of [being]</i> <i>gathered</i>
Part 2		
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill [here, "to produce"]	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i> <i>together</i>

108b. Dew

Δρόσος συντελεῖται **καὶ κατὰ σύνοδον πρὸς ἄλληλα ἐκ τοῦ ἀέρος τῶν τοιούτων**
(ἽΑ τῆς τοιαύτης ὑγρασίας ἀποτελεστικὰ γίνεται)

Dew is produced **by a gathering toward one another** from the air of such **[particles]** (which become **productive** of such moisture)

[Bailey] Dew may be produced both when such particles as are productive of this kind of moisture issue from the atmosphere and meet one another,

καὶ κατὰ φορὰν δὲ ἢ ἀπὸ νοτερῶν τόπων ἢ ὕδατα κεκτημένων (ἐν οἷς τόποις μάλιστα Δρόσος συντελεῖται) – εἴτα, σύνοδον τούτων εἰς τὸ αὐτὸ λαβόντων καὶ ἀποτελέσιν ὑγρασίας, καὶ πάλιν φορὰν ἐπὶ τοὺς κάτω τόπους

and also **by a transmission** either from damp locations or from locations possessing water (in which locations Dew is especially produced) – next, with these **[particles]** both having acquired **a gathering into the same place** and **[having acquired] a production of moisture**, and in turn **a transmission to the locations below**

[Bailey] and also when particles rise from moist regions or regions containing water, in which dew is most naturally produced, and then meet together and cause moisture to be produced, and afterwards fall back on the ground below,

καθάπερ ὁμοίως καὶ παρ’ ἡμῖν ἐπὶ πλειόνων τοιαῦτά Τίνα συντελεῖται

just as similarly also **among us** such things are produced **in multiple cases**

[Bailey] as (is) frequently (seen) to be the case in phenomena on earth as well.

ἡ δρόσος αἱ δρόσοι	dew	ΔΡΟΣΟΣ <i>dew</i>
ἡ ἄφοδος αἱ ἀφόδοι	departure	ΑΦΟΔΟΣ <i>path away</i>
ἡ πάροδος αἱ πάροδοι	passage	ΠΑΡΟΔΟΣ <i>course beside</i>
ἡ σύνοδος αἱ σύνοδοι	gathering	ΣΥΝΟΔΟΣ <i>path together</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this/it <i>(such as this/it)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ἡ ὑγρασία αἱ ὑγρασίαι	moisture	ΥΓΡΑΣΙΑ <i>state of moist</i>
ἀποτελεστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>completing out</i>
Part 2		
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>

νοτερός <i>(ᾱ, ὄν)</i>	damp	ΝΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>damp</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water [here, in plural]	ὙΔΩΡ <i>rain / water</i>
κεκτημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having possessed	ΚΕΚΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>acquired</i>
μάλιστα	especially	ΜΑΛΙΣΤΑ <i>very</i>
ἡ δρόσος αἱ δρόσοι	dew	ΔΡΟΣΟΣ <i>dew</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
εἴτα	next	ΕΙΤΑ <i>hereafter</i>
ἡ σύνοδος αἱ σύνοδοι	gathering	ΣΥΝΟΔΟΣ <i>path together</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
λαβών <i>(οὔσα, ὄν)</i>	[intellectually] comprehended, [generally] acquired	ΛΑΒΩΝ <i>grasped</i>
συντελεῶν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
ἡ ἀποτελέσεις αἱ ἀποτελέσεις	production	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΣΙΣ <i>completing away</i>
ἡ ὑγρασία αἱ ὑγρασίαι	moisture	ὙΓΡΑΣΙΑ <i>state of moist</i>
πάλιν	again [here, "in turn"]	ΠΑΛΙΝ <i>back</i>
κάτω	below	ΚΑΤΩ <i>below</i>
Part 3		
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as thoroughly</i>
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater [here, "multiple"]	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>

109a. Frost

«Πάχνη δὲ συντελεῖται» τῶν δρόσων τούτων πῆξίν τινα ποιὰν λαβόντων διὰ
περίστασίν τινα ἀέρος ψυχροῦ

«Frost is produced» with these dews having acquired a certain kind of
coagulation through a certain encirclement of cold air

[Bailey] [And frost is produced by a change] in the dew-particles, when such
particles as we have described undergo a definite kind of congelation owing
to the neighborhood of a cold atmosphere.

παχύς <i>(εῖα, ύ)</i>	thick	ΠΑΧΥΣ <i>packed / dense</i>
ἡ πάχνη αἱ πάχναι	frost	ΠΑΧΝΗ <i>packed / dense</i>

συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
ἡ δρόσος αἱ δρόσοι	dew	ΔΡΟΣΟΣ <i>dew</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	’ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
ἡ πῆξις αἱ πήξεις	coagulation	ΠΗΞΙΣ <i>affixing together</i>
ποῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	what kind of [here, "kind of"]	ΠΟΙΟΣ <i>gathering</i>
λαβών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	[generally] acquired	ΛΑΒΩΝ <i>grasped</i>
ἡ περίσταςις αἱ περιστάσεις	circumstance [here, "encirclement"]	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing around</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>air</i>
ἡ ψυχρασία αἱ ψυχρασίαι	coldness	ΨΥΧΡΑΣΙΑ <i>state of cold</i>
ψυχρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	cold	ΨΥΧΡΟΣ <i>cold</i>

109b. Ice

Κρύσταλλος συντελεῖται καὶ κατ’ ἔκθλιψιν μὲν τοῦ περιφεροῦς σχηματισμοῦ ἐκ τοῦ ὕδατος – σύνωσιν δὲ τῶν σκαληνῶν καὶ ὀξυγωνίων τῶν ἐν τῇ ὕδατι ὑπαρχόντων

Ice is produced by a squeezing-out of a rounded configuration from the water – and by a compression of the scalene and acute-angled [configurations] existing in the water

[Bailey] Ice is caused both by the squeezing out from the water of particles of round formation and the driving together of the triangular and acute-angled particles which exist already in the water,

καὶ κατὰ ἔξωθεν δὲ τῶν τοιούτων πρόσκρισιν – Ἄ, συνελασθέντα, πῆξιν τῷ ὕδατι παρεσκεύασε (ποσὰ τῶν περιφερῶν ἐκθλίψαντα)

and by an accretion of such things from an external source – which, having been driven together, provided coagulation to the water (having squeezed out some of the rounded parts)

[Bailey] and again by the addition from without of particles of this kind, which when driven together produce a congelation in the water, by squeezing out a certain number of the round particles.

κρυσταλλοειδής <i>(ές)</i>	ice-like	ΚΡΥΣΤΑΛΛΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing crystal / ice</i>
ὁ κρύσταλλος οἱ κρύσταλλοι	ice	ΚΡΥΣΤΑΛΛΟΣ <i>crystal / ice</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
ἡ ἔκθλιψις αἱ ἔκθλιψεις	a squeezing out	ΕΚΘΛΙΨΙΣ <i>process of squeezing out</i>
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
προσεμφερής	closely resembling	ΠΡΟΣΕΜΦΕΡΗΣ <i>toward bringing in</i>
περιφερής <i>(ές)</i>	rounded	ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΗΣ <i>bringing around</i>
ὁ σχηματισμός οἱ σχηματισμοί	configuration	ΣΧΗΜΑΤΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of action of forming</i>

τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water	ὕδωρ <i>rain / water</i>
συνωθεῖν	to compress	ΣΥΝΩΘΕΙΝ <i>pushing together</i>
ἡ συνώσις αἱ συνώσεις	compression	ΣΥΝΩΣΙΣ <i>pushing together</i>
σκαληνός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	scalene [of triangles with unequal sides, opposite of isosceles]	ΣΚΑΛΗΝΟΣ <i>having the quality of limping</i>
ἡ ὀξύδερκία αἱ ὀξύδερκίαι	sharp perception	ΟΞΥΔΕΡΚΙΑ <i>sharp seeing</i>
τὸ τρίγωνον τὰ τρίγωνα	triangle	ΤΡΙΓΩΝΟΝ <i>three corner</i>
ὀξυγώνιος <i>(ον)</i>	acute-angled	ΟΞΥΓΩΝΙΟΣ <i>sharp corner</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	existing [already]	ὕπαρχων <i>beginning under</i>
Part 2		
ἔξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ἡ πρόσκρισις αἱ προσκρίσεις	accretion [i.e., growth by assimilation]	ΠΡΟΣΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing in addition</i>
συνελεύειν	to drive together	ΣΥΝΕΛΑΥΝΕΙΝ <i>driving together</i>
συνελασθεῖς <i>(εἶσα, έν)</i>	driven together	ΣΥΝΕΛΑΣΘΕΙΣ <i>driving together</i>
ἡ πήξις αἱ πήξεις	coagulation	ΠΗΞΙΣ <i>affixing together</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
πόσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	how much / how far [here, "some"]	ΠΟΣΟΣ <i>some / certain</i>
θλίβειν	to crush	ΘΛΙΒΩΝ <i>squeezing</i>
ἡ ἔκθλιψις αἱ ἔκθλιψεις	a squeezing out	ΕΚΘΛΙΨΙΣ <i>process of squeezing out</i>
ἐκθλίψας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	squeezed out [already]	ΕΚΘΛΙΨΑΣ <i>squeezing out</i>

109c. Rainbows – Cause

Ἴρις γίνεται **κατά πρόσλαμψιν [ὑπὸ] τοῦ ἡλίου** πρὸς ἀέρα **ὕδατοιδῇ** –

the Rainbow is produced **by an illumination from the sun** upon watery air

[Bailey] The rainbow is caused by light shining from the sun on to watery atmosphere:

ἢ **κατά πρόσφυσιν ἰδίαν τοῦ τε φωτὸς καὶ τοῦ ἀέρος** – Ἥ **τὰ τῶν χρωμάτων τούτων ἰδιώματα** ποιήσει (εἴτε πάντα εἴτε **μονοειδῶς**)

or **by a particular clinging of both the light and the air** – which will make the **particularities** of these colors (either **all together** or **separately**)

or else by a peculiar union of light and air, which can produce the special qualities of these colours whether all together or separately;

ἀφ’ οὗ, πάλιν ἀπολάμποντος, τὰ Ὅμοροῦντα τοῦ ἀέρος χρῶσιν ταύτην λήπεται (**οἷαν** Θεωροῦμεν) **κατά πρόσλαμψιν πρὸς τὰ μέρη**

and from this [colored light], in turn while shining forth, what borders on the air will acquire this tinge (such as we observe) by illumination upon the parts

[Bailey] from it as it reflects back again the neighbouring regions of the air can take the tint which we see, by means of the shining of the light on to its various parts.

ἡ ἴρις αἱ ἴριδες	rainbow	ἸΡΙΣ rainbow (Goddess Iris)
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
λαμπρός (ἀ, ὄν)	radiant	ΛΑΜΠΡΟΣ shining
ἡ πρόσλαμψις αἱ προσλάμψεις	illumination	ΠΡΟΣΛΑΜΨΙΣ shining toward
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ sunshine
ὁ ἀήρ (τοῦ ἀέρος)	air	ΑΗΡ morning mist
ὕδατοειδής	watery	ὙΔΑΤΟΕΙΔΗΣ water appearing
Part 2		
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ producing
ἡ πρόσφυσις αἱ προσφύσεις	clinging	ΠΡΟΣΦΥΣΙΣ producing toward
ἴδιος (α, ον)	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ particular
τὸ φῶς τὰ φῶτα	light	ΦΩΣ shine
ὁ ἀήρ (τοῦ ἀέρος)	air	ΑΗΡ air
τὸ χρῶμα τὰ χρώματα	color	ΧΡΩΜΑ skin color
τὸ ἰδίωμα τὰ ιδιώματα	idiom [here, "particularity"]	ΙΔΙΩΜΑ particular
πᾶς (πᾶσα, πᾶν)	whole	ΠΑΣ all
πάντα	all together	ΠΑΝΤΑ all
μονοειδῶς	separately	ΜΟΝΟΕΙΔΩΣ appearing single
Part 3		
πάλιν	again [here, "in turn"]	ΠΑΛΙΝ back
ἀπολάμπειν	to shine forth	ΑΠΟΛΑΜΠΕΙΝ shining away
ἀπολάμπων	shining forth	ΑΠΟΛΑΜΠΩΝ shining away
ὁμορεῖν	to border on	ὍΜΟΡΕΙΝ same outline / definition
ὁμορῶν	bordering on	
τὸ ὁμοροῦν τὰ ὁμοροῦντα	what borders on	
ἡ χρῶσις αἱ χρώσεις	tinge	ΧΡΩΣΙΣ skin color
λαμβάνειν	[generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping
οἶος (οἶα, οἶον)	such as	ὍΙΟΣ of this sort
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ envisioning
ἡ πρόσλαμψις αἱ προσλάμψεις	illumination	ΠΡΟΣΛΑΜΨΙΣ shining toward

τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
---------------------	------	----------------------

110a. Rainbow – Shape

τὸ δὲ τῆς περιφερείας τοῦτου Φάντασμα γίνεται διὰ τὸ τὸ διάστημα πάντοθεν ἴσον ὑπὸ τῆς ὀψεως θεωρεῖσθαι,

the Impression of this rounded surface [*of the rainbow*] comes about because of the observation by vision of the separation as equal from every side

[Bailey] The appearance of its round shape is caused because it is perceived by our sight at equal distance from all its points,

[Hicks] The circular shape which it assumes is due to the fact that the distance of every point is perceived by our sight to be equal;

ἢ σύνωσιν τοιαύτην λαμβανουσῶν τῶν ἐν τῷ ἀέρι ἀτόμων – ἢ ἐν τοῖς νέφεσιν ἀπὸ τοῦ αὐτοῦ ἀέρος) ἀποφερομένων ἀτόμων περιφέρειάν τινα καθίεσθαι τὴν σύγκρισιν ταύτην

or [*impression of the rounded surface of the rainbow comes about*] with the atoms in the air acquiring such a compression – or with the atoms being carried away in the clouds from the same air for this compound [*i.e., the rainbow*] to set down a certain circumference

[Bailey] or else because the atoms in the air or those in the clouds which are derived from the same air, are pressed together in this manner, and so the combination spreads out in a round shape.

[Hicks] or it may be because, the atoms in the air or in the clouds and deriving from the sun having been thus united, the aggregate of them presents a sort of roundness

ἡ περιφέρεια αἱ περιφέρειαί	circumference [here, "rounded surface"]	ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΕΙΑ <i>bringing around</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
τὸ φάντασμα τὰ φαντάσματα	[mental] impression	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΑ <i>result of appearing</i>
τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>
πάντοθεν	from everywhere [here, "from every side"]	ΠΑΝΤΟΘΕΝ <i>from all</i>
ἴσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	equal	ΙΣΟΣ <i>equal</i>
ἡ ὄψις αἱ ὀψεις	vision	ΟΨΙΣ <i>eye</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρεῖσθαι	to be observed	
Part 2		
ἡ συνώσις αἱ συνώσεις	compression	ΣΥΝΩΣΙΣ <i>pushing together</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
λαμβάνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	acquiring	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ <i>grasping</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>air</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>

ἀποφέρειν	to carry away	ΑΠΟΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing away</i>
ἀποφερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried away	ΑΠΟΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing away</i>
καθιέναι	to set down	ΚΑΘΙΕΝΑΙ <i>sending down</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>

110b. Halos – Air Moving To or Air Moving From the Moon

Ἦλως **περὶ τὴν σελήνην γίνεται καὶ πάντοθεν ἀέρος προσφερομένου πρὸς τὴν σελήνην**

a Halo **around the moon** is produced **with the air being carried forward from every side toward the moon**

[Bailey] A halo round the moon is caused either when air is carried towards the moon from all sides,

ἢ **τὰ ἀπ’ αὐτῆς ρεύματα ἀποφερόμενα ὁμαλῶς ἀναστέλλοντος – ἐπὶ τοσοῦτον ἐφ’ ὅσον κύκλῳ περιστῆσαι τὸ νεφοειδὲς τοῦτο καὶ μὴ τὸ παράπαν διακρίναι,**

or [*a halo is produced*] with [*the air*] holding back **the flows being carried away** from the [*moon*] evenly – to such an extent as to set around in a circle and not at all **separate this cloud-like [formation]**

[Bailey] or when the air checks **the effluences carried from the moon so** equably that it forms them into this cloudy ring all round without any gaps or differences,

ἡ ἄλως αἱ ἄλwes	halo [of sun or moon]	ἌΛΩΣ
ἡ σελήνη αἱ σελῆναι	moon	ΣΕΛΗΝΗ <i>shining light</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
πάντοθεν	from everywhere [here, "from every side"]	ΠΑΝΤΟΘΕΝ <i>from all</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>air</i>
προσφέρειν	to bring forward	ΠΡΟΣΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing as well</i>
προσφέρεσθαι	to bring forward [for oneself]	ΠΡΟΣΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing as well</i>
προσφερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried forward	ΠΡΟΣΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing as well</i>
Part 2		
τὸ ρεῦμα τὰ ρεύματα	flow	ῚΡΕΥΜΑ <i>result of flowing</i>
ἀποφέρειν	to carry away	ΑΠΟΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing away</i>
ἀποφερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried away	ΑΠΟΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing away</i>
ὁμαλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	even	ὍΜΑΛΟΣ <i>same</i> <i>floor / ground</i>
ὁμαλῶς	evenly	
ἀποστέλλειν	to send away	ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready away</i>
ἀναστέλλειν	to hold back	ΑΝΑΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready</i> <i>up/back</i>
ἀναστέλλων	holding back	
τοσοῦτος <i>(τοσαύτη, τοσοῦτο)</i>	so long <i>(so great)</i>	ΤΟΣΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this so much</i>

ἐπὶ τοσοῦτον	to such an extent	
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὅσος <i>however great</i>
ἐφ’ ὅσον	in so far as [here, only "as"]	
ἐπὶ τοσοῦτον ἐφ’ ὅσον	to such an extent as	
ὁ κύκλος οἱ κύκλοι	sphere	ΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>circular</i>
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
περιστάναι	to place/set around	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
περιστῆσαι	to place/set around [already]	
νεφοειδής	cloud-like	ΝΕΦΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>cloud appearing</i>
τὸ παράπαν	at all	ΠΑΡΑΠΑΝ <i>beside all</i>
μὴ τὸ παράπαν	not at all	ΠΑΡΑΠΑΝ
διακρίνειν	to thoroughly separate	ΔΙΑΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>dividing through</i>
διακρίναι	to thoroughly separate [already]	ΔΙΑΚΡΙΝΑΙ

111a. Halos – Still Air Around the Moon

ἢ καὶ τὸν πέριξ αὐτῆς **ἀέρα ἀναστέλλοντος** **συμμέτρως** πάντοθεν – εἰς τὸ **περιφερὲς τὸ περὶ αὐτὴν καὶ παχυμερὲς περιστῆσαι**

or [*a halo is produced*] also with [*the air*] holding back the air all around the [*moon*] symmetrically from every side – so as to set around what is rounded around the [*moon*] and thick-in-parts

[Bailey] or else when it checks the air round the moon uniformly on all sides so as to make that which encircles it round and thick in texture.

(Ὅ γίνεται **κατὰ μέρη τινὰ** – ἥτοι **ἔξωθεν** βιασαμένου τινὸς ρεύματος – ἢ τῆς **θερμασίας ἐπιτηδείων πόρων ἐπιλαμβανομένης**: **εἰς τὸ τοῦτο ἀπεργάσασθαι**)

(which is produced in certain parts – either with some flow from an external source having forced [*the air*] – or with the heat seizing upon suitable passageways so as to accomplish this)

[Bailey] This comes to pass in different parts either because some current outside forces the air or because heat blocks the passages in such a way as to produce this effect.

πέριξ	all around	ΠΕΡΙΞ <i>around</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>air</i>
ἀναστέλλειν	to hold back	ΑΝΑΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready up/back</i>
ἀναστέλλων	holding back	
σύνμετρος = σύμμετρος <i>(ον)</i>	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure together</i>
συμμέτρως	symmetrically	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΩΣ
πάντοθεν	from everywhere [here, "from every side"]	ΠΑΝΤΟΘΕΝ <i>from all</i>
περιφερής <i>(ές)</i>	rounded	ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΗΣ <i>bringing around</i>

παχύς <i>(εἶα, ύ)</i>	thick	ΠΑΧΥΣ <i>packed / dense</i>
λεπτομερής <i>(ές)</i>	of a subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΗΣ <i>fine/thin part</i>
παχυμερής <i>(ές)</i>	thick in parts	ΠΑΧΥΜΕΡΗΣ <i>packed / dense part</i>
περιστάναι	to place/set around	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
περιστῆσαι	to place/set around [already]	
Part 2		
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἤτοι	either	ΗΤΟΙ <i>or you</i>
τὸ ἔξωθεν τὰ ἔξωθεν	an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
ἔξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
βιάζειν	to force	ΒΙΑΖΕΙΝ <i>violence</i>
βιασάμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having forced	ΒΙΑΣΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>violence</i>
τὸ ῥεῦμα τὰ ῥεύματα	flow	ῚΡΕΥΜΑ <i>result of flowing</i>
ἡ θερμασία αἱ θερμασίαι	heat	ΘΕΡΜΑΣΙΑ <i>state of warm</i>
ἐπιτήδειος <i>(α, ον)</i>	suitable	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>towards this here</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
ἐπιλαμβάνειν	to seize upon	ΕΠΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping upon</i>
ἐπιλαμβανόμενος	seizing upon	ΕΠΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>grasping upon</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
ἐργαστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive	ΕΡΓΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of work</i>
ἀπεργάζειν	to accomplish	ΑΠΕΡΓΑΖΕΙΝ <i>work off (to completion)</i>

111b. Comets – from Fire and Movement

Κομῆται-άστέρες γίνονται ἤτοι πυρὸς ἐν τόποις τισὶ διὰ χρόνων τινῶν ἐν τοῖς μετεώροις (συντρεφομένου περιστάσεως) γινομένης

Comets-stars are produced either with fire arising in certain locations over a certain time in the meteorological region (with the circumstance being nurtured together)

[Bailey] Comets occur either when fire is collected together in certain regions at certain intervals of time in the upper air because some gathering of matter takes place,

ἢ ἰδίαν τινὰ κίνησιν διὰ χρόνων τοῦ οὐρανοῦ ἴσχοντος ὑπὲρ ἡμᾶς – ὥστε τὰ τοιαῦτα Ἄστρο ἀναφανῆναι

or with the sky over us retaining a particular movement through time – so that such celestial bodies are displayed

[Bailey] or when at certain intervals the heaven above us has some peculiar movement, so that stars of this nature are revealed, or

ὁ κομήτης οἱ κομήται	comet	ΚΟΜΗΤΗΣ <i>agent of hair</i>
ὁ ἀστήρ οἱ ἀστέρες	star	ΑΣΤΗΡ <i>star</i>
ὁ κομήτης ἀστήρ οἱ κομήται ἀστέρες	comet-star	
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἥτοι	either	ΗΤΟΙ <i>or you</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
μετέωρος <i>(ον)</i>	meteorological	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΣ <i>rising beyond</i>
συντρέφειν	to nurture together	ΣΥΝΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>raising together</i>
συντρεφόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being nurtured together	ΣΥΝΤΡΕΦΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>raising together</i>
ἡ περίστασις αἱ περιστάσεις	circumstance	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing around</i>
Part 2		
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	<i>[general]</i> movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
ὁ οὐρανός οἱ οὐρανοί	sky	ΟΥΡΑΝΟΣ <i>heaven</i>
ἴσχειν	to retain	ΙΣΧΕΙΝ <i>keeping back</i>
ἴσχων	retaining	ΙΣΧΩΝ <i>keeping back</i>
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
ἀναφαίνειν	to display	ΑΝΑΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining out</i>

111c. Comets – Appearance & Disappearance

ἢ Αὐτὰ ἐν χρόνοις τισὶν ὀρμῆσαι διὰ τина περίστασιν – καὶ εἰς τοὺς καθ’ ἡμᾶς τόπους ἐλθεῖν: καὶ ἐκφανῇ γενέσθαι

or that those [*comets*] themselves at certain times rush forth due to some circumstance – and come into our regions: and become manifest

[Bailey] when they themselves at certain seasons start to move on account of some gathering of matter and come into the regions within our ken and appear visible.

τήν τε ἀφάνισιν τούτων γίνεσθαι παρὰ τὰς ἀντικειμένας ταύταις αἰτίας

and [*one must understand that*] the disappearance of these occurs contrary to the causes opposed to these

[Bailey] And their disappearance occurs owing to the opposite causes to these.

ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ὀρμᾶν	to rush	’ΟΡΜΑΝ <i>setting in motion</i>

ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
ἐλθεῖν	to come / go [once, completed]	ΕΛΘΕΙΝ <i>moved</i>
ἄφανής (ές)	invisible	ΑΦΑΝΗΣ <i>not appearing</i>
ἐμφανής (ές)	revealed	ΕΜΦΑΝΗΣ <i>shining in</i>
ἐκφανής	manifest	ΕΚΦΑΝΗΣ <i>appearing out</i>
Part 2		
ἄφανής (ές)	invisible	ΑΦΑΝΗΣ <i>not appearing</i>
ἡ ἀφάνισις αἱ ἀφανίσεις	disappearance	ΑΦΑΝΙΣΙΣ <i>process of not appearing</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced [here, "occurs"]	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἀντικείμενος (η, ον)	contrary	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated against</i>
οὗτος (αὕτη, τοῦτο)	this	ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>

112a. Circumpolar Stars – from Stationary Sky or Rotation of Air

Epicurus alludes to Homer's formulaic description (which is present in both the Iliad and the Odyssey) of the Great Bear constellation "turning in its place."

In the Iliad, the passage is describing the artwork that Hephaistos forged on the shield of Achilles:

Il. 18.483 **ἐν μὲν γαῖαν** Ἔτευξ', **ἐν δ' οὐρανόν,** **ἐν δὲ θάλασσαν,**
and He fashioned the earth upon it, and upon it the sky, and upon it the sea

ἡέλιόν τ' ἀκάμαντα – **σελήνην τε πλήθουσαν,**
and the tireless sun – and the moon at full,

ἐν δὲ τὰ τείρεα πάντα (**τά τ' Οὐρανὸς** ἐστεφάνωται)
and upon it all the constellations (with which the Sky is crowned)

Πληϊάδας θ' Ὕαδας τε τό τε σθένος Ὠρίωνος
And the Pleiades, and the Hyades, and the strength of Orion,

Ἄρκτόν θ' (ἣν καὶ Ἄμαξαν' ἐπὶ κλησὶν Καλέουσιν –
and the Bear (which they also call by the name 'the Wagon'–

ἣ τ' αὐτοῦ στρέφεται καὶ **τ' Ὠρίωνα** δοκεύει,
which turns in its place and watches Orion,

οἷη δ' ἄμμορός ἐστι **λοετρῶν Ὠκεανοῖο)**
and alone is without a share of the baths of Ocean)

In the Odyssey, the passage is describing Odysseus setting sail – after Zeus, through Hermes, commanded Calypso to release him:

γηθόσυνος δ' οὔρω – πέτασ' ἱστία δῖος Ὀδυσσεύς.

Glad at the fair wind – godlike Odysseus spread the sails.

αὐτάρ, ὁ πηδαλίῳ ἰθύνετο – τεχνηέντως

and then, he steered with the rudder – skillfully

ἤμενος, οὐδέ οἱ ὕπνος ἐπὶ βλεφάροισιν ἔπιπτεν

Sitting there, nor for him did Sleep fall upon the eyelids,

Πληιάδας τ' ἐσορῶντι – καὶ ὀψὲ δύνοντα Βοώτην

as he watched the Pleiades – and late setting Boötes

Ἄρκτον θ' (ἣν καὶ Ἄμαξαν' ἐπὶ κλησιν Καλέουσιν –

and the Bear (which they also call by the name 'the Wagon'–

ἣ τ' αὐτοῦ στρέφεται καὶ τ' Ὠρίωνα δοκεύει,

which turns in its place and watches Orion,

οἷη δ' ἄμμορός ἐστι λοετρῶν Ὠκεανοῖο)

and alone is without a share of the baths of Ocean)

τινὰ Ἄστρο "στρέφεται αὐτοῦ" – Ὁ συμβαίνει οὐ μόνον τῷ τὸ μέρος τοῦτο τοῦ κόσμου ἐστάναι, περὶ ὃ τὸ λοιπὸν στρέφεται (καθάπερ Τινές φασιν) – ἀλλὰ καὶ τῷ δίνην ἀέρος ἔγκυκλον αὐτοῖς περιεστάναι – Ἡ κωλυτικὴ γίνεται τοῦ περιπολεῖν (ὥς καὶ τὰ Ἄλλα)

Some celestial bodies "turn in their place" – which continues not only because this part of the cosmos standing, around which the rest turns (just as some assert) – but also because a circular rotation of air has stood around them – which becomes preventive of [their] wandering around (like the other [stars])

[Bailey] Some stars ‘revolve in their place’(as Homer says), which comes to pass not only because this part of the world is stationary and round it the rest revolves, as some say, but also because a whirl of air is formed in a ring round it, which prevents their moving about as do the other stars:

τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστροα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
καταστρέφειν	to overturn	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly turning</i>
ἢ συστροφὴ αἱ συστροφαί	assemblage	ΣΥΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>turning together</i>
ἢ στροφὴ αἱ στροφαί	twisting	ΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>turning</i>
στρέφειν	to turn [of celestial bodies, "to revolve"]	ΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>turning</i>
αὐτοῦ	in its place / in their place	
συμβαίνειν	to endure [here, "to continue"]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
μόνος (η, ον)	only	ΜΟΝΟΣ <i>single</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
οὔτος (αὕτη, τοῦτο)	this	ΟὔΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
ἰστάναι	to stand	ἸΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing</i>
λοιπός (ή, όν)	remaining [here, "the other"]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as thoroughly</i>

φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
ὁ δῖνος οἱ δῖνοι	whirl	ΔΙΝΟΣ <i>vortex</i>
ἡ δίνησις αἱ δινήσεις	a whirling	ΔΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>process of vortex</i>
ἡ δίνη αἱ δίναι	rotation	ΔΙΝΗ <i>vortex</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>air</i>
ὁ κύκλος οἱ κύκλοι	sphere	ΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>circular</i>
ἡ κύκησις αἱ κυκήσεις	agitation <i>[of confusion]</i>	ΚΥΚΗΣΙΣ <i>process of circular</i>
τὸ ἐγκύκλιον τὰ ἐγκύκλια	general [affairs]	ΕΓΚΥΚΛΙΟΝ <i>pertaining to in circular</i>
ἐγκύκλιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	general	ΕΓΚΥΚΛΙΟΣ <i>pertaining to in circular</i>
ἔγκυκλος <i>(ον)</i>	circular	ΕΓΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>in circular</i>
περιῤατάναι	to transfer around <i>[here, "to stand around"]</i>	ΠΕΡΙῤΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing around</i>
κωλύειν	to prevent	ΚΩΛΥΕΙΝ <i>preventing</i>
κωλυτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	preventive	ΚΩΛΥΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of preventing</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
πολεῖν	to wander	ΠΟΛΕΙΝ <i>go about / haunt</i>
περιπολεῖν	to wander around	ΠΕΡΙΠΟΛΕΙΝ <i>go about / haunt around</i>
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>

112b. Circumpolar Stars – from the Location of Fuel

ἢ καὶ διὰ τὸ ἐξῆς μὲν αὐτοῖς ὕλην ἐπιτηδεῖαν μὴ εἶναι – ἐν δὲ τοῦτῳ τῷ τόπῳ, ἐν ᾧ κείμενα θεωρεῖται

or also in the succeeding [location] suitable material does not exist for those [circumpolar stars] – but [suitable material does exist] in this location, in which they are observed to be situated

[Bailey] or else it is because there is not a succession of appropriate fuel for them, but only in this place in which they are seen fixed.

καὶ κατ’ ἄλλους δὲ πλείονας τρόπους Τοῦτο δυνατόν συντελεῖσθαι – ἐάν Τις δύνηται τὸ σύμφωνον τοῖς φαινομένοις συλλογίζεσθαι

and by multiple other ways this is able to be altogether fulfilled – if someone is able to infer what is in harmony with the things that are apparent

[Bailey] And there are many other ways in which this may be brought about, if one is able to infer what is in agreement with phenomena.

ἐξῆς	in succession	ἜΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
τὸ ἐξῆς τὰ ἐξῆς	what is in succession [here, "the succeeding location"]	

ἡ ὕλη αἱ ὕλαι	material	ὙΛΗ <i>forest, wood → raw material → matter</i>
ἐπιτήδειος <i>(α, ον)</i>	suitable	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>towards this here</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	ὍΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
κείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	situated / lying	ΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
Part 2		
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater [here, "multiple"]	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
συντελεῖσθαι	to be altogether fulfilled	
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
σύμφωνος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	in harmony	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΟΣ <i>speaking together</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
συλλογίζεσθαι	to infer	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>reasoning action together</i>

112c-3a. Circumpolar vs. Non-Circumpolar Rotations

Τινὰ τῶν ἄστρον πλανᾶσθαι (εἰ οὕτω, ταῖς κινήσεσι χρώμενα, συμβαίνει), Τινὰ δὲ μὴ [κινεῖσθαι] Ἐνδέχεται:

that some of the celestial bodies wander (if they continue in this way, using these movements), but some do not wander, is possible:

[Bailey] That some of the stars should wander in their course, if indeed it is the case that their movements are such,while others do not move in this manner,

μὲν καὶ παρὰ τὸ κύκλῳ κινούμενα, ἐξ ἀρχῆς οὕτω κατηναγκάσθαι – ὥστε Τὰ μὲν κατὰ τὴν αὐτὴν δίνην φέρεσθαι, ὁμαλὴν οὖσαν – Τὰ δὲ κατὰ τὴν ἄμα τισὶν ἀνομαλίαις χρωμένην

and even apart from the [fact of their] moving in a circle, [it is possible for celestial bodies] to have been forced in such a way from the beginning – so that some are carried by the same rotation that exists as even – while others [are carried] by a [rotation] working together with certain unevennesses

[Bailey] may be due to the reason that from the first as they moved in their circles they were so constrained by necessity that some of them move along the same regular orbit, and others along one which is associated with certain irregularities:

τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
ἡ πλάνη αἱ πλάναι	error	ΠΛΑΝΗ <i>roaming</i>
πλανᾶν	to wander	ΠΛΑΝΑΝ <i>roaming</i>

ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
χρώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	using	ΧΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure [here, "to continue"]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
κινεῖσθαι	to be moved	ΚΙΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
Part 2		
ὁ κύκλος οἱ κύκλοι	sphere	ΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>circular</i>
κινούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being moved	ΚΙΝΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>moving</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time] [here, "beginning"]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἀναγκάζειν	to force	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΖΕΙΝ <i>necessary</i>
καταναγκάζειν	to force back	ΚΑΤΑΝΓΚΑΖΕΙΝ <i>necessary against</i>
ἡ δίνη αἱ δίναι	rotation	ΔΙΝΗ <i>vortex</i>
φέρεισθαι	to be carried	ΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing</i>
ὀμαλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	regular	ὈΜΑΛΟΣ <i>same</i> <i>floor / ground</i>
ἅμα	[adv] simultaneously, [prep] together with	ἈΜΑ <i>at once</i>
ἡ ἀνωμαλία αἱ ἀνωμαλίαι	unevenness	ΑΝΩΜΑΛΙΑ <i>not same</i> <i>floor / ground</i>
χρώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	using [here, "working"]	ΧΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>necessary</i>

113b. Even and Uneven Tracks of Air

Ἐνδέχεται δὲ καὶ, καθ’ οὓς τόπους φέρεται οὗ μὲν Παρεκτάσεις ἀέρος εἶναι ὀμαλεῖς (ἐπὶ τὸ αὐτὸ συνωθούσας κατὰ τὸ ἐξῆς ὀμαλῶς τε ἐκκαούσας) – οὗ δὲ ἀνωμαλεῖς οὕτως – ὥστε τὰς θεωρουμένας Παραλλαγὰς συντελεῖσθαι

It is possible also, in the locations through which [a celestial body] is carried, Tracks of air exist as even (with them compressing to the same point by burning out in succession evenly) – but in other [locations, the tracks are] uneven in this way – so that the observed Varieties are produced

[Bailey] or it may be that among the regions to which they are carried in some places there are regular tracts of air which urge them on successively in the same direction and provide flame for them regularly, while in other places the tracts are irregular, so that the aberrations which we observe result.

ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
τείνειν	to extend	ΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>extending</i>
ἡ παρεκτασις αἱ παρεκτάσεις	track	ΠΑΡΕΚΤΑΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>extending out</i> <i>beside</i>

ἡ ὑπερείσις αἱ ὑπερείσεις	projection	ῙΠΕΡΕΙΣΙΣ <i>going beyond</i>
ἡ διάστασις αἱ διαστάσεις	expansion	ΔΙΑΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing across</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>air</i>
ὁμαλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	even	ῬΜΑΛΟΣ <i>same</i> <i>floor / ground</i>
ἡ συνώσις αἱ συνώσεις	compression	ΣΥΝΩΣΙΣ <i>pushing together</i>
συνωθεῖν	to compress	ΣΥΝΩΘΕΙΝ <i>pushing together</i>
συνωθῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	compressing	ΣΥΝΩΘΩΝ <i>pushing together</i>
ἐξῆς	in succession	ἜΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
ὁμαλῶς	evenly	ῬΜΑΛΩΣ <i>same</i> <i>floor / ground</i>
ἐκκαίειν	to burn out	ΕΚΚΑΙΕΙΝ <i>burning out</i>
ἐκκαύσας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	burn out [already]	ΕΚΚΑΥΣΑΣ
ἡ ἀνωμαλία αἱ ἀνωμαλῖαι	unevenness	ΑΝΩΜΑΛΙΑ <i>not same</i> <i>floor / ground</i>
ἀνώματος <i>(η, ον)</i>	uneven	ΑΝΩΜΑΛΟΣ <i>not same</i> <i>floor / ground</i>
οὕτως = οὕτω	thus / in this way	ῬΥΤΩΣ ῬΥΤΩ <i>in this way</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	observed	
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγῖαι	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill [here, "to produce"]	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i> <i>together</i>
συντελεῖσθαι	to be produced	

113c. Monocausal Madness & Pointless Astrology

τὸ δὲ **μίαν αἰτίαν τοῦτων** Ἀποδιδόναι, **πλεοναχῶς τῶν φαινομένων ἐκκαλουμένων**, **μανικόν** – καὶ **οὐ καθηκόντως** **πραττόμενον ὑπὸ τῶν τήν ματαίαν ἀστρολογίαν ἐζηλωκότων καὶ εἰς τὸ κενὸν αἰτίας τινῶν ἀποδιδόντων** – ὅταν **τὴν θεῖαν φύσιν** **μηθὰ μὴ λειτουργιῶν** Ἀπολύωσι

but to demonstrate **one cause of these things**, with the phenomena being called out in **several ways**, is insane – and **inappropriately** being done **by those who have admired pointless astrology and who demonstrate the causes of certain things** into the void [*i.e., in vain*] – **whenever** they do **not in any way** release away **the divine nature** from administrating

[Bailey] But to assign a single cause for these occurrences, when phenomena demand several explanations, is madness, and is quite wrongly practiced by persons who are partisans of the foolish notions of astrology, by which they give futile explanations of the causes of certain occurrences, and all the time do not by any means free the divine nature from the burden of responsibilities.

εἷς μία ἓν <i>(ἓνός, μιᾶς, ἓνός)</i>	one	ἜΙΣ ΜΙΑ ἘΝ <i>one</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
πλεοναχῶς	in several ways	ΠΛΕΟΝΑΧΩΣ <i>more multiplicity</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ἐκκαλεῖν	to call out	ΕΚΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning out</i>
ἐκκαλούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	calling out	ΕΚΚΑΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>summoning out</i>
ὁ μάντις οἱ μάντιες	prophet	ΜΑΝΤΙΣ <i>oracle</i>
μαντικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	prophetic	ΜΑΝΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of an oracle</i>
μανικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	insane	ΜΑΝΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of madness</i>
καθήκειν	to be appropriate	ΚΑΘΗΚΕΙΝ <i>having come according to</i>
καθηκόντως	appropriately	ΚΑΘΗΚΟΝΤΩΣ <i>having come according to</i>
οὐ καθηκόντως	inappropriately	
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
πραττόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being accomplished [here, "being done"]	ΠΡΑΤΤΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>doing / affair</i>
ματαίως	pointlessly	ΜΑΤΑΙΩΣ <i>folly</i>
μάταιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	pointless	ΜΑΤΑΙΟΣ <i>folly</i>
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]</i>
ὁ ἀστρολόγος οἱ ἀστρολόγοι	astrologer	ΑΣΤΡΟΛΟΓΟΣ <i>star reasoning</i>
ἡ ἀστρολογία αἱ ἀστρολογίαι	astrology	ΑΣΤΡΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>star reasoning</i>
ζηλοῦν	to admire	ΖΗΛΟΥΝ <i>envying</i>
ἐξηλκῶς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	having admired	ΕΖΗΛΩΚΩΣ <i>envying</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
τὸ κενόν	void	ΚΕΝΟΝ <i>emptiness</i>
εἰς τὸ κενόν	in vain	
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
ἀποδιδούς <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i> ἀποδιδόντες	demonstrating	
θεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	divine	ΘΕΙΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>

ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
μηδαμῇ μηθαμῇ	not in any way	ΜΗΔΑΜΗ ΜΗΘΑΜΗ <i>but not one</i>
λειτουργεῖν	to administrate [to serve the state]	ΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΕΙΝ <i>council-room worker</i>
ἀλειτούργητος (ον)	free from administration	ΑΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΗΤΟΣ <i>not council-room worker</i>
ἡ λειτουργία αἱ λειτουργίαι	administrating	ΛΕΙΤΟΥΡΓΙΑ <i>council-room worker</i>
ἀπολύειν	to release away	ΑΠΟΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening away</i>

114a. Orbital Mechanics – Speed, Direction, & Distance

τινά ἄστρα ὑπολειπόμένά τινων θεωρεῖσθαι συμβαίνει – καὶ παρὰ τὸ βραδύτερον συμπεριφέρεσθαι (τὸν αὐτὸν κύκλον περιμόντα)

It continues to be observed that certain celestial bodies remain behind others – either because of being carried around together more slowly (although around the same sphere)

[Bailey] That some stars should be seen to be left behind by others is caused because though they move round in the same orbit they are carried along more slowly,

[Hicks] That certain stars are seen to be left behind by others may be because they travel more slowly, though they go the same round as the others;

καὶ παρὰ τὸ τὴν ἐναντίαν κινεῖσθαι (ἀντισπώμενα ὑπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς δίνης)

or [some stars remain behind others] because of being moved in the opposing motion (while being pulled against by the same rotation)

[Bailey] and also because they really move in the opposite direction though they are dragged back by the same revolution:

[Hicks] or it may be that they are drawn back by the same whirling motion and move in the opposite direction;

καὶ παρὰ τὸ περιφέρεσθαι – Τὰ μὲν διὰ πλείονος τόπου, τὰ δὲ δι’ ἐλάττονος (τὴν αὐτὴν δῖναν περικυκλοῦντα)

or [some stars remain behind others] because of being carred around – with some [stars being carried] through larger locations but other [stars being carried] through smaller [locations] (while they [all] encircle the same rotation)

[Bailey] also because some are carried round through a greater space and some through a lesser, though all perform the same revolution.

[Hicks] or again it may be that some travel over a larger and others over a smaller space in making the same revolution.

τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
ὑπολειπόμενος (η, ον)	remaining	ΥΠΟΛΕΙΠΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>leave under</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρεῖσθαι	to be observed	
συμβαίνειν	to endure [here, "to continue"]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>

βραδύς <i>(εἶα, ύ)</i>	slow	ΒΡΑΔΥΣ <i>slow</i>
βραδύτερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	slower	ΒΡΑΔΥΤΕΡΟΝ <i>slower</i>
βραδύτερον	more slowly	ΒΡΑΔΥΤΕΡΟΝ <i>slower</i>
συμπεριφέρειν	to carry around together	ΣΥΜΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing together</i> <i>upon</i>
συμπεριφέρεσθαι	to be carried around together	ΣΥΜΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing together</i> <i>upon</i>
περιάγειν	to revolve	ΠΕΡΙΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going</i> <i>around</i>
ὁ κύκλος οἱ κύκλοι	sphere	ΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>circular</i>
προῖέναι προιέναι	to go forward	ΠΡΟΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going forward</i>
προῖών προιών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	going forward	ΠΡΟΙΩΝ <i>going forward</i>
περιῖέναι περιέναι	to go around	ΠΕΡΙΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going around</i>
περιῖών περιών	going around	ΠΕΡΙΙΩΝ <i>going around</i>
Part 2		
έναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>
κινεῖσθαι	to be moved	ΚΙΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>
ὁ διασπασμός οἱ διασπασμοί	dispersal	ΔΙΑΣΠΑΣΜΟΣ <i>pulling through</i>
άντισπᾶν	to pull against	ΑΝΤΙΣΠΑΝ <i>pulling against</i>
άντισπώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being pulled against	
ή δίνη αἱ δίναι	rotation	ΔΙΝΗ <i>vortex</i>
Part 3		
περιφέρειν	to carry around	ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing together</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater [here, "larger"]	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
έλάττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	smaller	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>lesser</i>
ὁ κύκλος οἱ κύκλοι	sphere	ΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>circular</i>
περικυκλοῦν	to encircle	ΠΕΡΙΚΥΚΛΟΥΝ <i>circular around</i>
περικυκλῶν	encircling	ΠΕΡΙΚΥΚΛΩΝ

114b. The Charlatan's Shortcut

τὸ δὲ ἀπλῶς Ἀποφαίνεσθαι περὶ τούτων καθήκόν ἐστι – τοῖς τερατεύεσθαί τι πρὸς τοὺς πολλοὺς βουλομένοις

but the giving of an explanation in only one way regarding these things is appropriate – for those wanting to speak marvelously about something to the many

[Bailey] But to give a single explanation of these occurrences is only suitable to those who wish to make a show to the many.

ἀπλῶς	absolutely [here, "in only one way"]	ἈΠΛΩΣ <i>one fold</i>
ἀναφαίνειν	to display	ΑΝΑΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining out</i>
ἀποφαινόμενος <i>(ομένη, όμενον)</i>	representing	ΑΠΟΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining away</i>
ἀποφαίνειν	to represent	ΑΠΟΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining away</i>
ἀποφαίνεσθαι	^[middle] to give an explanation	ΑΠΟΦΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>shining away</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	’ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
καθήκειν	to be appropriate	ΚΑΘΗΚΕΙΝ <i>having come according to</i>
καθήκων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	appropriate	ΚΑΘΗΚΩΝ <i>having come according to</i>
τερατεύεσθαι	to speak marvelously about	ΤΕΡΑΤΕΥΕΣΘΑΙ <i>portent / marvel</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
βουλόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	wanting	ΒΟΥΛΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>council</i>

114c. Falling Stars – from Friction with Other Stars

οί λεγόμενοι "Αστέρες **ἐκπίπτειν**" καὶ **παρὰ μέρος** **κατὰ παράτριψιν** **ἐαυτῶν** **δύνανται** **συντελεῖσθαι** – καὶ **παρὰ ἔκπτωσιν** **οὔ ἂν** ἡ Ἐκπνευμάτωσις γένηται **(καθάπερ καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν ἀστραπῶν Ἐλέγομεν)**

what are called "falling stars" can be produced **in part by their own friction** – and **by an emission wherever** the exhalation is produced (**just as** we were saying **in the case of lightning**)

^[Bailey] What are called falling stars may be produced in part by the rubbing of **star against star,** and by the falling out of the fragments wherever an outburst of wind occurs, as we explained in the case of lightning-flashes:

^[Hicks] Falling stars, as they are called, may in some cases be due to the mutual **friction of the stars themselves, in** other cases to the expulsion of certain parts when that mixture of fire and air takes place which was mentioned when we were discussing lightning

^[Young] Falling stars may be particles detached from the stars, or fragments resulting from their collision; they may also be produced by the fall of substances which are set on fire by the action of the wind;

λεγόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being said	ΛΕΓΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>speaking</i>
ὁ ἀστήρ οἱ ἀστέρες	star	ΑΣΤΗΡ <i>star</i>
ἐκπίπτειν	to fall out	ΕΚΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling out</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἡ τρίψις αἱ τρίψεις	rubbing	ΤΡΙΨΙΣ <i>rubbing</i>
ἡ παρατρίψις αἱ παρατρίψεις	friction	ΠΑΡΑΤΡΙΨΙΣ <i>rubbing beside</i>
τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>

συντελεῖσθαι	to be altogether fulfilled [here, "to be produced"]	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>completing together</i>
ἡ ἔκπτωσις αἱ ἐκπτώσεις	emission	ΕΚΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling out</i>
οὓ	where	’ΟΥ <i>where</i>
οὓ ἄν	wherever	’ΟΥ ΑΝ <i>where ever</i>
πνευματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	windy	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of result of breathing</i>
πνευματώδης <i>(ες)</i>	wind-like	ΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΩΔΗΣ <i>quality of result of breathing</i>
ἐκπνεύματουν	to vent out [air]	ΕΚΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΟΥΝ <i>result of breathing out</i>
ἡ ἐκπνευμάτωσις αἱ ἐκπνευματώσεις	exhalation	ΕΚΠΝΕΥΜΑΤΩΣΙΣ <i>process of result of breathing out</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as thoroughly</i>
ἡ ἀστραπή αἱ ἀστραπαί	lightning	ΑΣΤΡΑΠΗ <i>star viewing</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>

115a. Falling Stars – Gathering of Fire

καὶ κατὰ σύνοδον δὲ ἀτόμων **πυρὸς ἀποτελεστικῶν** – **συμφυλίας γενομένης εἰς τὸ τοῦτο τελεῖσθαι** ~ καὶ κατὰ κίνησιν **οὓ ἄν** ἡ Ὅρμη ἐξ ἀρχῆς κατὰ τὴν σύνοδον γένηται

and ["*falling stars*" can be produced] by a gathering of atoms productive of fire – with a similarity [of their fiery material] produced in fulfilling this [phenomenon] – and by the movement [of this material], wherever the onset is produced from the beginning according to the gathering [of atoms productive of fire]

[Bailey] or else by the meeting of atoms productive of fire, when a gathering of kindred material occurs to cause this, and a movement in the direction of the impulse which results from the original meeting;

ἡ σύνοδος αἱ σύνοδοι	gathering	ΣΥΝΟΔΟΣ <i>path together</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
ἀποτελεστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of completing out</i>
ἡ συμφυλία αἱ συμφυλῖαι	similarity	ΣΥΜΦΥΛΙΑ <i>race / tribe together</i>
γενόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΕΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>come into being</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
οὓ	where	’ΟΥ <i>where</i>

οὐ̃ ἄν	wherever	ΟΥ̃ ΑΝ <i>where ever</i>
ὀρμᾶν	to rush	ΟΡΜΑΝ <i>setting in motion</i>
ἡ ὀρμή αἱ ὀρμαί	onset	ΟΡΜΗ <i>setting in motion</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time] [here, "beginning"]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>

115b. Falling Stars – Gathering and Ignition of Wind

καὶ κατὰ πνευμάτων δὲ συλλογὴν ἐν πυκνώμασιν τισιν ὀμιχλοιδέσι – καὶ ἐκπύρωσιν τούτων διὰ τὴν κατείλησιν:

and ["*falling stars*" can be produced] by a gathering of winds in certain fog-like concentrations – and by an ignition of these from their thorough rolling up:

εἴτ' ἐπέκρηξιν <ἐκ> τῶν περιεχόντων – καὶ ἐφ' ὃν ἂν τόπον ἡ Ὅρμη γένηται τῆς φορᾶς, εἰς τοῦτον φερομένων

then by an outburst from its surroundings – and to whatever location the Onset of the transmission is produced, with [*the winds*] being carried into this location

[Bailey] or else by a gathering of wind in certain dense and misty formations, and its ignition as it whirls round, and then its bursting out of what encloses it and its rush towards the spot to which the impulse of its flight tends.

καὶ ἄλλοι δὲ Τρόποι εἰς τοῦτο τελέσαι ἀμύθητοί εἰσιν

and there are other Ways to fulfill this that are non-mythological

[alternative] and the other Ways to fulfill this are beyond telling [*i.e., countless*]

[Bailey] And there are other ways in which this result may be brought about, quite free from superstition.

[Hicks] And there are other ways in which this can be brought about without recourse to myths

[Young] In short, this phenomenon also may admit a great number of explanations.

τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath</i> result
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ συλλογή αἱ συλλογαί	a gathering	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΗ <i>collecting together</i>
τὸ πύκνωμα τὰ πυκνώματα	a concentration	ΠΥΚΝΩΜΑ <i>the result of compacting</i>
ὁμοειδής (ές)	appearing the same	ΟΜΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing same</i>
ὀμιχλοειδής (ές)	fog-like	ΟΜΙΧΛΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>fog-appearing</i>
ἡ ἐκπύρωσις αἱ ἐκπυρώσεις	ignition	ΕΚΠΥΡΩΣΙΣ <i>process of fire out</i>
οὗτος (αὕτη, τοῦτο)	this	ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
ἡ κατείλησις αἱ κατειλήσεις	a thorough rolling up	ΚΑΤΕΙΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of thoroughly rolling up</i>
Part 2		

ἡ ἐπέκρηξις αἱ ἐπεκρήξεις	outburst	ΕΠΕΚΡΗΞΙΣ process of breaking out upon
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ holding
περιέχειν	to surround	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΕΙΝ holding around
περιέχων (ουσα, ον)	surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ holding around
τὸ περιέχων τὰ περιέχοντες	what surrounds [here, "the surrounding"]	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ holding around
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ a place
ἡ ὀρμή αἱ ὀρμαί	onset	ὈΡΜΗ setting in motion
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ carrying
φερόμενος (η, ον)	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ bringing
Part 3		
ἄλλος (η, ο)	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ another
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ completing
ὁ μῦθος οἱ μῦθοι	myth	ΜΥΘΟΣ speech / word
ἀμύθητος (ον)	beyond telling / ^[or] non-mythological	ΑΜΥΘΗΤΟΣ not speech / word
An uncommon word, Demosthenes uses it with the meaning "unspeakably many" but it seems Epicurus is using it here with the sense of "non-mythological"		

115c-6a. Predictive Animal Behavior & Divine Providence

αἱ δ’ Ἐπισημασίαι αἱ γινόμεναι ἐπὶ τισι ζῴοις κατὰ συγκύρημα γίνονται τοῦ καιροῦ – οὐ γὰρ τὰ Ζῶα ἀνάγκην τινὰ προσφέρεται τοῦ ἀποτελεσθῆναι χειμῶνα

the Indications [of the change in weather] that are produced by certain living beings are produced according to a coincidence of occurrence – for living beings do not bring forward any necessity for winter to be fully produced

[Bailey] The signs of the weather which are given by certain animals result from mere coincidence of occasion. For the animals do not exert any compulsion for winter to come to an end

οὐδὲ κάθηται τις θεία Φύσις παρατηροῦσα τὰς τῶν ζῶων τούτων ἐξόδους – κάπειτα τὰς ἐπισημασίας ταύτας ἐπιτελεῖ

nor is some divine nature situated while watching closely the departures of these living beings – and then bringing these indications [of the change in weather] to completion

[Bailey] nor is there some divine nature which sits and watches the outgoings of these animals and then fulfills the signs they give.

οὐδὲ γὰρ ᾠν εἰς τὸ τυχὸν ζῶον (κᾶν εἰ "μικρὸν χαριέστερον εἶη") τοιαύτη Μωρία ἐμπέσοι – μὴ ὅτι εἰς παντελῇ εὐδαιμονίαν κεκτημένον

for not into [whatever] animal happens to exist (even if "it is a little bit more graceful") would such Folly fall – let alone into an [animal] having possessed absolutely complete wellbeing

[Bailey] For not even the lowest animal, although ‘a small thing gives the greater pleasure,’ would be seized by such foolishness, much less one who was possessed of perfect happiness.

[Hicks] For such folly as this would not possess the most ordinary being if ever so little enlightened, much less one who enjoys perfect felicity.

[Young] Nor can such folly as this occur to any being who is even moderately comfortable, much less to one which is possessed of perfect happiness.

[Bailey] Obviously an allusion to a proverb, "the smaller the trifle, the greater the joy."

Consider Menander's line from his Γνώμαι Μονόστιχοι (Single-line Maxims) DCLP 61311, line 1: "Ὡς μέγα τὸ μικρόν ἐστιν, ἐν καιρῷ δοθέν." How great a small thing is, when given at the right moment."

σημαίνειν	to indicate	ΣΗΜΑΙΝΕΙΝ making indication
τὸ σημεῖον τὰ σημεῖα	sign	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ state of indication
ἡ ἐπισημασία αἱ ἐπισημασίαι	indication	ΕΠΙΣΗΜΑΣΙΑ indication upon
this word is used in the plural with the meaning of "indications [of the change in weather]"		
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ animal
κυρεῖν	to happen upon	ΚΥΡΕΙΝ happening
ἡ συγκύρησις αἱ συγκυρήσεις	concurrence	ΣΥΓΚΥΡΗΣΙΣ process of happening together
τὸ συγκύρημα τὰ συγκυρήματα	coincidence	ΣΥΓΚΥΡΗΜΑ result of being happening together
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
ὁ καιρός οἱ καιροί	opportunity [here, "occurrence"]	ΚΑΙΡΟΣ time
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ up against constraint
προσφέρεισθαι	to bring forward [for oneself]	ΠΡΟΣΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ bringing as well
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ completing
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ completing out
ἀποτελεῖσθαι	to be fully produced	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ completing out
χειμάζειν	to distress	ΧΕΙΜΑΖΕΙΝ winter storm
ὁ χειμῶν οἱ χειμῶνες	winter	ΧΕΙΜΩΝ winter storm
Part 2		
κάθησθαι	to be situated / seated	ΚΑΘΗΣΘΑΙ thoroughly sat
θεῖος (α, ον)	divine	ΘΕΙΟΣ [divine entity]
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ producing
τηρεῖν	to preserve	ΤΗΡΕΙΝ protecting / watching

παρατηρεῖν	to watch closely	ΠΑΡΑΤΗΡΕΙΝ <i>protecting / watching beside</i>
παρατηρῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	watching closely	ΠΑΡΑΤΗΡΩΝ <i>protecting / watching beside</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
ἡ σύνοδος αἱ σύνοδοι	gathering	ΣΥΝΟΔΟΣ <i>path together</i>
ἡ ἔξοδος αἱ ἔξοδοι	departure	ΕΞΟΔΟΣ <i>path out</i>
κᾶπειτα	then	ΚΑΠΕΙΤΑ <i>and after that</i>
τελεῖν [process-focused]	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
τελειοῦν [result-focused]	to complete	ΤΕΛΕΙΟΥΝ <i>completing</i>
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing out</i>
ἐπιτελεῖν	to bring to completion	ΕΠΙΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
Part 3		
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
τὸ τυχόν τὰ τυχόντα	what happens to come about	ΤΥΧΟΝ <i>happening</i>
τυχών	happening to be/exist	ΤΥΧΩΝ <i>happening</i>
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
μικρός <i>(ἄ, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
μεικρόν μικρόν	a little bit	
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	grace	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>being cheerful</i>
χαρίεις <i>(χαρίεσσα, χαρίεν)</i>	graceful	ΧΑΡΙΕΙΣ <i>being cheerful</i>
χαριέστερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	more graceful	ΧΑΡΙΕΣΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more being cheerful</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ἡ μωρία αἱ μωρίαί	folly, foolishness	ΜΩΡΙΑ <i>dull / foolish</i>
ἐμπίπτειν	to fall in	ΕΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling in</i>
μὴ ὅτι	<i>[idiom meaning]</i> "let alone"	
παντελής <i>(ές)</i>	absolutely complete	ΠΑΝΤΕΛΗΣ <i>all completing</i>
ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονιαί	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
κεκτημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having possessed	ΚΕΚΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>acquired</i>

ταῦτα δὲ πάντα, Πυθόκλεις, μνημόνευσον: κατὰ πολύ, τε γὰρ τοῦ μύθου ἐκβήση – καὶ τὰ ὁμογενῇ τοῦτοις συνορᾷν δυνήση

remember all these things, Pythocles: for, to a great extent, you will step out of myth – and you will be able to fully [mentally] see the things of the same kind as these

[Bailey] All these things, Pythocles, you must bear in mind; for thus you will escape in most things from superstition and will be enabled to understand what is akin to them.

Πυθοκλῆς	Pythocles	ΠΥΘΟΚΛΗΣ <i>Pythian glory</i> <i>i.e., Apollo's fame</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
μνημονεύειν	to remember	ΜΝΗΜONEYΕΙΝ <i>"addition to"</i> <i>thinking</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ὁ μῦθος οἱ μῦθοι	myth	ΜΥΘΟΣ <i>speech / word</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
ἀναβαίνειν	to ascend	ΑΝΑΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking</i> <i>up against</i>
ἐκβαίνειν	to step out of	ΕΚΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking out</i>
ὁμογενής <i>(ές)</i>	of the same kind	ὍΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ <i>same born</i>
τὸ ὁμογενές τὰ ὁμογενῇ	what is of the same kind	
συνορᾷν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>

116c. Infinity, Criteria, & Multiscale Analysis

μάλιστα δὲ σεαυτὸν ἀπόδος εἰς τὴν τῶν ἀρχῶν καὶ ἀπειρίας καὶ τῶν συγγενῶν τοῦτοις θεωρίαν – ἔτι τε, κριτηρίων καὶ παθῶν, καὶ οὗ ἔνεκεν ταῦτα Ἐκλογιζόμεθα

especially give yourself over to the observation of beginnings and of infinity, and of the things akin to these – and further, of criteria and of experiences, and [of the reasons] for the sake of which we reason out these things

[Bailey] And most of all give yourself up to the study of the beginnings and of infinity and of the things akin to them, and also of the criteria of truth and of the feelings, and of the purpose for which we reason out these things.

Ταῦτα, γὰρ, μάλιστα συνθεωρούμενα, ῥαδίως τὰς περὶ τῶν κατὰ μέρος αἰτίας συνορᾷν ποιήσει

for, these things, especially when they are being mutually observed, will easily make [you] fully [mentally] see the causes about things for [the details of] each part

[Bailey] For these points when they are thoroughly studied will most easily enable you to understand the causes of the details.

μάλιστα	especially	ΜΑΛΙΣΤΑ <i>very</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate [here, "to give over"]	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>

ἀπόδος	2nd person singular gnomic aorist active imperative "demonstrate"	
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time] [here, "beginning"]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἄπειρος (ον)	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
ἡ ἀπειρία αἱ ἀπειρίαι	infinity	
συγγενής (ές)	akin	ΣΥΓΓΕΝΗΣ <i>born with</i>
οὗτος (αὕτη, τοῦτο)	this	ὅυτος <i>this</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	[the act of mental] observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ act of <i>envisioning</i>
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	<i>crit</i> erion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of</i> <i>dividing</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience (<i>feeling</i>)	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἕνεκα ἕνεκεν	for the sake of	ἕνεκα ἕνεκεν
οὗ ἕνεκεν	[idiom meaning] [a reason] for the sake of which = "why"	
οὗτος (αὕτη, τοῦτο)	this	ὅυτος <i>this</i>
λογίζεσθαι	to reason	ΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>action of collecting</i>
ἐπιλογίζεσθαι	to inductively consider	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>process of reasoning</i> <i>action upon</i>
ἐκλογίζεσθαι	to reason out	ΕΚΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>process of reasoning</i> <i>action out</i>
Part 2		
μάλιστα	especially	ΜΑΛΙΣΤΑ <i>very</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
συνθεωρεῖν	to mutually observe	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning together</i>
συνθεωρούμενος (η, ον)	being mutually observed	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>envisioning together</i>
ῥαδίως ῥαιδίως	easily	ῥαιδίως <i>easily</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
κατὰ μέρος	for [the details of] each part	
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
συνορᾶν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>
ποιεῖν	to make [here, "to gather"]	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>

116d. Motivation for Observation

Οἱ δὲ **ταῦτα** μὴ καταγαπήσαντες – **ἧ μάλιστα** οὔτε <ἄν> **αὐτὰ ταῦτα** **καλῶς** συνθεωρήσαιεν – οὔτε **οὗ ἕνεκεν** **Δεῖ θεωρεῖν ταῦτα** περιποιήσαντο

but those [*people*] have not fully welcomed **these things** – especially insofar as they neither **correctly** have mutually observed **these very things** – nor have they gathered around for themselves [*any reason*] for the sake of **which it is necessary to observe these things**

[Bailey] But those who have not thoroughly taken these things to heart could not rightly study them in themselves, nor have they made their own the reason for observing them.

καταγαπαῖν	to fully welcome	ΚΑΤΑΓΑΠΑΝ <i>thoroughly beloved / dear</i>
καταγαπήσας <i>(σασα, αν)</i>	having fully welcomed	ΚΑΤΑΓΑΠΗΣΑΣ <i>thoroughly beloved / dear</i>
ἥ	insofar as	ἥ <i>in/for which</i>
μάλιστα	especially	ΜΑΛΙΣΤΑ <i>very</i>
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>lovely</i>
συνθεωρεῖν	to mutually observe	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning together</i>
ἔνεκα ἐνεκεν	for the sake of	ἔνεκα ἐνεκεν
οὐ ἐνεκεν	<i>[idiom meaning]</i> [<i>a reason</i>] for the sake of which = "why"	
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
ποιεῖσθαι	to be made / to make for themselves	ΠΟΙΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>gathering</i>
περιποιεῖν	to gather around	ΠΕΡΙΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering around</i>
περιποιεῖσθαι	to gather around for themselves	ΠΕΡΙΠΟΙΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>gathering around</i>

TO MENOECEUS

121c. Hello Menoeceus

Ἐπίκουρος **Μενοικεῖ**, Χαίρειν!

Epicurus to Menoeceus, Hello!

Ἐπίκουρος	Epicurus	ΕΠΙΚΟΥΡΟΣ <i>running toward [to assist]</i>
μένειν	to remain	ΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying</i>
οἰκεῖν	to inhabit	ΟΙΚΕΙΝ <i>the home</i>
Μενοικεύς	Menoeceus	ΜΕΝΟΙΚΕΥΣ <i>staying [steadfast at] home</i>
χαίρειν	to rejoice <i>[used for "hello/goodbye"]</i>	ΧΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>

122a. Universal Exhortation to Philosophy

μήτε νέος Τις ὧν, μελλέτω φιλοσοφεῖν – **μήτε**, γέρων ὑπάρχων, κοπιᾷτω φιλοσοφῶν

One should **not**, while young, delay to philosophize – **nor**, when he is as an old man, grow weary while philosophizing

Let no one when young delay to study philosophy, nor when he is old grow weary of his study,

οὔτε γὰρ ἄωρος Οὐδείς ἐστιν **οὔτε** πάρωρος πρὸς τὸ κατὰ ψυχὴν ὑγιαῖνον

for no one is too early **nor** too late for what is healthy concerning the soul

For no one can come too early or too late to secure the health of his soul.

ὁ νέος οἱ νέοι	young man	ΝΕΟΣ <i>new</i>
νέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	young	ΝΕΟΣ <i>new</i>
φιλοσοφεῖν	to philosophize	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΕΙΝ <i>loving knowledge</i>
φιλοσοφῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	philosophizing	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΩΝ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ὁ γέρων οἱ γέροντες	old man	ΓΕΡΩΝ <i>old</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	existing [already]	ΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under</i>
ἡ ἀντικοπή αἱ ἀντικοπαί	collision [against]	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΗ <i>beating against</i>
ἡ οὐκἀντικοπή αἱ οὐκἀντικοπαί	non-collision	ΟΥΚΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΗ <i>not beating against</i>
ἀντικόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beating against</i>
κοπιᾷν	to grow weary	ΚΟΠΙΑΝ <i>beating / toiling</i>
Part 2		
ἡ ὥρα αἱ ὥραι	period of time	ὉΡΑ <i>the time / season</i>
ἄωρος <i>(ον)</i>	too early	ΑΩΡΟΣ <i>not the time / season</i>
πάρωρος <i>(ον)</i>	too late	ΠΑΡΩΡΟΣ <i>beyond the time / season</i>
οὐδείς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ὑγιαίνειν	to be healthy	ΥΓΙΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>making good life</i>
τὸ ὑγιαῖνον τὰ ὑγιαίνοντα	what is healthy	ΥΓΙΑΙΝΟΝ <i>making good life</i>

122b. Now is the Time for Philosophy and Wellbeing

Ὁ δὲ λέγων ἢ μήπω τοῦ φιλοσοφεῖν ὑπάρχειν Ὁραν – ἢ παρεληλυθέναι τὴν Ὁραν – ὅμοιός ἐστιν τῷ λέγοντι, πρὸς εὐδαιμονίαν, ἢ μὴ παρεῖναι τὴν Ὁραν, ἢ μηκέτι εἶναι

but he who says either that the hour does not yet exist for philosophizing – or that the hour has passed by – is similar to the one saying, in regards to wellbeing, that the hour is either not present, or no longer exists

And the man who says that the age for philosophy has either not yet come or has gone by is like the man who says that the age for happiness is not yet come to him, or has passed away,

λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
λέγων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	saying	ΛΕΓΩΝ <i>speaking</i>
μήπω	not yet	ΜΗΠΩ <i>not yet</i>
υ̐πάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ἡ ὥρα αἱ ὥραι	"hour" [used for any period of time]	ὨΡΑ <i>the time / season</i>
παρέρχεσθαι	to pass by	ΠΑΡΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving beyond</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
παρεῖναι	to be present	ΠΑΡΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being beside</i>
μηκέτι	no longer	ΜΗΚΕΤΙ <i>not still</i>

122c. Philosophy for All Stages of Life

ὥστε, Φιλοσοφητέον – καὶ νέῳ καὶ γέροντι:

therefore, it is necessary to philosophize – both for *[him while]* young and for *[him while]* while old:

τῷ μὲν ὅπως γηράσκων Νεάζῃ τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς διὰ τὴν χάριν τῶν γεγονότων

for him while growing old so that he may at the same time be young in the good things through the grace of what has been produced

τῷ δὲ ὅπως νέος ἅμα καὶ παλαιὸς ἦ διὰ τὴν ἀφοβίαν τῶν μελλόντων

and for him while young so that he may simultaneously also be ancient through the fearlessness of what is about to be

Wherefore both when young and old a man must study philosophy, that as he grows old he may be young in blessings through the grateful recollection of what has been, and that in youth he may be old as well, since he will know no fear of what is to come.

φιλοσοφεῖν	to philosophize	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΕΙΝ <i>loving knowledge</i>
φιλοσοφητέον	it is necessary to philosophize	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΗΤΕΟΝ <i>loving knowledge</i>
νέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	young	ΝΕΟΣ <i>new</i>
ὁ γέρων οἱ γέροντες	old man	ΓΕΡΩΝ <i>old</i>
Part 2		
ὅπως	so that	ὍΠΩΣ <i>in what way</i>
τῷ μὲν ὅπως... τῷ δὲ ὅπως...	so that for him... and that for him...	
γηράσκειν	to grow old	ΓΗΡΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>growing old</i>
γηράσκων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	growing old	

ὅπωςδήποτε	in any way	ὍΠΩΣΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite</i> <i>in what way</i>
Part 3		
νέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	young	ΝΕΟΣ <i>new</i>
νεάζειν	to be young	
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
ή χάρις αί χάριτες	grace	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>being cheerful</i>
γεγονώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	has been produced	ΓΕΓΟΝΩΣ <i>came into being</i>
ἅμα	^[adv] simultaneously, ^[prep] together with	ἌΜΑ <i>at once</i>
παλαιός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	old / ancient	ΠΑΛΑΙΟΣ <i>long ago</i>
εἶναι	to be	ΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being</i>
ἥ	3rd singular present subjunctive "it may be"	
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
φοβεῖν	to frighten	ΦΟΒΕΙΝ <i>fleeing</i>
ή ἀφοβία αί ἀφοβίαι	fearlessness	ΑΦΟΒΙΑ <i>not fleeing</i>
μέλλειν	to be about to do	ΜΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>going to</i>
μέλλων <i>(οὔσα, ον)</i>	being about to do	

122d. Wellbeing

μελετᾶν, οὖν, Χρή **τὰ ποιοῦντα τήν εὐδαιμονίαν** – εἴπερ, παρούσης μὲν αὐτῆς, **πάντα** Ἔχομεν – ἀπούσης, δὲ **πάντα** Πράττομεν **εἰς τὸ ταύτην ἔχειν**

It is necessary, **then**, to study **the things that produce wellbeing** – **since** **indeed**, with [wellbeing] present, we have **everything** – but, with [wellbeing] absent, we accomplish **everything in order to have it**

We must then meditate on the things that make our happiness, seeing that when that is with us we have all, but when it is absent we do all to win it.

μελετᾶν	to study	ΜΕΛΕΤΑΝ <i>caring</i>
χρή	it is necessary	ΧΡΗ <i>necessary</i>
ποιῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	making [here, "that produce"]	ΠΟΙΩΝ <i>gathering</i>
ή εὐδαιμονία αί εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as</i> <i>thoroughly</i>
εἴπερ	since indeed	ΕΙΠΕΡ <i>just as if</i>
παρών <i>(παρουσα, παρόν)</i>	present	ΠΑΡΩΝ <i>to be beside</i>
ἀπών <i>(ἄπουσα, ἄπον)</i>	absent	ΑΠΩΝ
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>

ἀ δέ σοι συνεχῶς Παρήγγελλον – ταῦτα καὶ Πράττε καὶ Μελέτα, στοιχεῖα τοῦ καλῶς ζῆν ταῦτ’ εἶναι διαλαμβάνων

the things that I recommended continuously to you – Do these things and Study, while distinguishing them to be the components of living correctly

The things which I used unceasingly to commend to you, these do and practice, considering them to be the first principles of the good life.

συνεχῶς	continuously	ΣΥΝΕΧΩΣ <i>holding together</i>
τὸ ἀγγεῖον τὰ ἀγγεῖα	vessel	ΑΓΓΕΙΟΝ <i>instrument of going / carrying</i>
παραγγέλλειν	to recommend	ΠΑΡΑΓΓΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>sending a message across</i>
μελετᾶν	to study	ΜΕΛΕΤΑΝ <i>caring</i>
τὸ στοιχείωμα τὰ στοιχειώματα	component [principle]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΩΜΑ <i>result of a row (in a series)</i>
τὸ στοιχεῖον τὰ στοιχεῖα	component ^[for facts] or, element ^[for things]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΟΝ <i>instance of a row (in a series)</i>
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>lovely</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
διαλαμβάνειν	to distinguish	ΔΙΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping separation</i>
διαλαμβάνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	distinguishing	ΔΙΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ <i>grasping separation</i>

123b. Indestructibility & Blessedness of the Gods

πρῶτον μὲν, τὸν θεὸν ζῶον, ἀφθαρτον καὶ μακάριον νομίζων (ὡς ἡ κοινὴ τοῦ Θεοῦ Νόησις ὑπεγράφη) μηθὲν μήτε τῆς ἀφθαρσίας ἀλλότριον μήτε τῆς μακαριότητος ἀνοίκειον αὐτῷ Πρόσαπτε

first, thinking of a god as a living being, indestructible and blessed (as the general Concept of god has been outlined) You should attribute to him nothing foreign to indestructibility nor incompatible with blessedness

πᾶν δὲ τὸ φυλάττειν αὐτοῦ δυνάμενον τὴν μετὰ ἀφθαρσίας μακαριότητα περὶ αὐτὸν Δόξαζε

and You should judge everything of his as having the force to guard the blessedness around him that is accompanied by his indestructibility

First of all believe that god is a being immortal and blessed, even as the common idea of a god is engraved on men’s minds, and do not assign to him anything alien to his immortality or ill-suited to his blessedness: but believe about him everything that can uphold his blessedness and immortality.

πρῶτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
θεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	divine	ΘΕΙΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>

τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
ἄφθαρτος <i>(ον)</i>	indestructible	ΑΦΘΑΡΤΟΣ <i>not decaying</i>
μακάριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ <i>greatness</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
νομίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	thinking	NΟΜΙΖΩΝ <i>custom</i>
κοινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	general	ΚΟΙΝΟΣ <i>common</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ή νόησις αἱ νοήσεις	concept	ΝΟΗΣΙΣ <i>seeing</i>
γράφειν	to compose	ΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing</i>
ή υπογραφή αἱ υπογραφαί	example	ΥΠΟΓΡΑΦΗ <i>writing under</i> <i>(i.e, to copy)</i>
υπογράφειν	to outline	ΥΠΟΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing under</i>
ή ἀφθαρσία αἱ ἀφθαρσίαι	indestructibility	ΑΦΘΑΡΣΙΑ <i>not destroyed</i>
ή άλλοφυλία αἱ άλλοφυλῖαι	foreign matter	ΑΛΛΟΦΥΛΙΑ <i>other race / tribe</i>
ἀλλότριος <i>(ία, ιον)</i>	foreign	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΟΣ <i>different other</i>
ή μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from</i> <i>greatness</i>
οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
οἰκείως	compatibly	ΟΙΚΕΙΩΣ <i>appropriate</i>
ή οἰκειότης αἱ οἰκειότητες	compatibility	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΤΗΣ <i>appropriate</i>
ή οἰκειότета αἱ οἰκειότета	greater compatibility	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΤΕΡΑΤΑ <i>more appropriate</i>
ἀνοίκειος	incompatible	ΑΝΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>not appropriate</i>
ή ἀφή αἱ ἀφαί	touch	ἈΦΗ <i>fastening</i>
ἄπτειν	to touch	ἈΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening</i>
συνάπτειν	to connect	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening together</i>
προσάπτειν	to attribute	ΠΡΟΣΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening toward</i>
Part 2		
ή φυλακή αἱ φυλακαί	guarding	ΦΥΛΑΚΗ <i>watching</i>
φυλάττειν	to guard	ΦΥΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>watching</i>
δυνάμενος <i>(μένη, μενον)</i>	having the force	ΔΥΝΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>power</i>
ή ἀφθαρσία αἱ ἀφθαρσίαι	indestructibility	ΑΦΘΑΡΣΙΑ <i>not destroyed</i>
ή μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from</i> <i>greatness</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>

Θεοὶ, μὲν γὰρ, εἰσὶν: ἐναργὴς γὰρ αὐτῶν ἐστὶν ἡ Γνώσις·

Gods, indeed, exist: for our Knowledge of them is detectible

οἷους δ’ αὐτοὺς οἱ Πολλοὶ νομίζουσιν, οὐκ εἰσὶν – οὐ γὰρ φυλάττουσιν αὐτοὺς οἷους νοοῦσιν

but such as the many think them [to be], they are not – for [people] do not keep [their idea of] the [gods] such as they conceive [them]

For gods there are, since the knowledge of them is by clear vision. But they are not such as the many believe them to be: for indeed they do not consistently represent them as they believe them to be.

ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ [divine entity]
τὸ ἐνάργημα τὰ ἐναργήματα	^[E] detectible fact	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΜΑ result of being within clear
ἐναργής (ἐς)	detectible	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΣ within clear
ἡ γνώσις αἱ γνώσεις	knowledge	ΓΝΩΣΙΣ distinguishing
οἶος (οἶα, οἶον)	such as	Οἶος of this sort
πολύς (ή, ύ)	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ much
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN custom
φυλάττειν	to guard [here, "to keep"]	ΦΥΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ watching
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ seeing
νοοῦσιν	3rd person plural present indicative active "they conceive"	

123d. Impiety

ἀσεβὴς δὲ οὐχ ὁ τοὺς τῶν πολλῶν θεοὺς ἀναιρῶν – ἀλλ’ ὁ τὰς τῶν πολλῶν δόξας θεοῖς προσάπτων

impious is not he who confutes the gods of the many – but he who attributes the judgments of the many to the gods

and the impious man is not he who popularly denies the gods of the many, but he who attaches to the gods the beliefs of the many.

ὁ σεβασμός οἱ σεβασμοί	veneration	ΣΕΒΑΣΜΟΣ result of revering
ἀσεβής (ἐς)	impious	ΑΣΕΒΗΣ not revering
πολύς (ή, ύ)	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ much
ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ [divine entity]
ἀναιρεῖν	to confute	ΑΝΑΙΡΕΙΝ grasping back
ἀναιρῶν (οὔσα, οὔν)	confuting	ΑΝΑΙΡΩΝ grasping back
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ seeming
προσάπτειν	to attribute	ΠΡΟΣΑΠΤΕΙΝ fastening toward

προσάπτων	attributing	ΠΡΟΣΑΠΤΩΝ <i>fastening toward</i>
------------------	-------------	---

124a. Anticipations and Benefits of the Gods

οὐ γὰρ προλήψεις εἰσὶν ἀλλ' ὑπολήψεις ψευδεῖς αἱ τῶν πολλῶν ὑπὲρ θεῶν Ἀποφάσεις

for the Assertions of the many about the gods are not anticipations but false suppositions

ἔνθεν αἱ μέγισταὶ βλάβαι [τοῖς κακοῖς] ἐκ θεῶν ἐπάγονται καὶ ὠφέλεια [τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς]

according to which [denials] the greatest Harms [to the bad] and Benefits [to the good] are brought in from the gods

For the statements of the many about the gods are not conceptions derived from sensation, but false suppositions, according to which the greatest misfortunes befall the wicked and the greatest blessings (the good) by the gift of the gods.

ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
ἡ ὑπόληψις αἱ ὑπολήψεις	supposition	ΥΠΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold under</i>
ψευδής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	false	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ <i>deceiving</i>
ἡ ἀπόφασις αἱ ἀποφάσεις	assertion	ΑΠΟΦΑΣΙΣ <i>affirming out</i>
Part 2		
ἔξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
ἐνθεν	according to which	ΕΝΘΕΝ <i>from in</i>
μέγιστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	greatest	ΜΕΓΙΣΤΟΣ <i>biggest</i>
ἡ βλάβη αἱ βλάβαι	harm	ΒΛΑΒΗ <i>hindering</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
κακός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
ἐπάγειν	to bring in	ΕΠΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going on/over</i>
ἡ ὠφέλεια αἱ ὠφέλεια	benefit	ΩΦΕΛΕΙΑ <i>helping</i>

124b. Kinship of Virtue

ταῖς γὰρ ἰδίαις οἰκειούμενοι διὰ παντὸς ἀρεταῖς τοὺς ὁμοίους ἀποδέχονται – πᾶν τὸ μὴ τοιοῦτον ὥς ἀλλότριον νομίζοντες

for, by making themselves accustomed always to their particular virtues, they accept the similar – while thinking everything not such as this as foreign

for men being accustomed always to their own virtues welcome those like themselves, but regard all that is not of their nature as alien.

ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ΣΥΝΟΙΚΕΙΟΥΝ	to integrate	ΣΥΝΟΙΚΕΙΟΥΝ <i>appropriate together</i>

οἰκείοϋν	to be accustomed	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΥΝ <i>appropriate</i>
οἰκειούμενος	making themselves accustomed	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
διὰ παντός	always	
ἡ ἀρετὴ αἰ ἀρεταί	virtue	ΑΡΕΤΗ <i>excellence</i>
ὅμοιος (α, ον)	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
ἀποδέχεσθαι	to accept	ΑΠΟΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting from away</i>
τοιοῦτος (τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ἀλλότριος (ία, ιον)	foreign	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΟΣ <i>different other</i>
νομίζειν	to think	ΝΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
νομίζων (ουσα, ον)	thinking	ΝΟΜΙΖΩΝ <i>custom</i>

124c. Death is Nothing

Συνέθιζε δὲ ἐν τῷ νομίζειν μηδὲν πρὸς ἡμᾶς εἶναι τὸν θάνατον

You should grow accustomed in the thinking that death is nothing to us

ἐπεὶ πᾶν Ἀγαθὸν καὶ Κακὸν ἐν αἰσθήσει – στέρησις δέ ἐστιν αἰσθήσεως ὁ Θάνατος

since every good and bad is in sensation – but the deprivation of sensation is Death

Become accustomed to the belief that death is nothing to us. For all good and evil consists in sensation, but death is deprivation of sensation.

τὸ ἔθος τὰ ἔθη	custom	ΕΘΟΣ <i>accustomed</i>
ἐθίζειν	to accustom	ΕΘΙΖΕΙΝ <i>accustomed action</i>
συνεθίζειν	to grow accustomed	ΣΥΝΕΘΙΖΕΙΝ <i>accustomed action together</i>
νομίζειν	to think	ΝΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
οὐθεὶς = οὐδεὶς (οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)	no one [factual]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
μηθεὶς = μηδεὶς (μηδεμία, μηδέν)	no one [modal]	ΜΗΔΕΙΣ <i>not one</i>
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
ἀγαθός (ή, όν)	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
κακός (ή, όν)	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἰ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
στερούμενος (η, ον)	deprived	ΣΤΕΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>deprived</i>
ἡ στέρησις αἰ στερήσεις	deprivation	ΣΤΕΡΗΣΙΣ <i>deprived</i>

ὄθεν, Γνώσις ὀρθή τοῦ μηθὲν εἶναι πρὸς ἡμᾶς τὸν θάνατον ἀπολαυστὸν ποιεῖ τὸ τῆς ζωῆς θνητόν:

therefore, the right knowledge of death being nothing to us makes the mortality of life enjoyable:

οὐκ ἄπειρον προστιθεῖσα χρόνον – ἀλλὰ τὸν τῆς ἀθανασίας ἀφελομένη πόθον

not by adding infinite time – but by removing the longing for immortality

And therefore a right understanding that death is nothing to us makes the mortality of life enjoyable, not because it adds to it an infinite span of time, but because it takes away the craving for immortality.

ἐνθεν	according to which	ΕΝΘΕΝ <i>from in</i>
ὅθεν	therefore	ὍΘΕΝ <i>from which</i>
ἡ γνώσις αἱ γνώσεις	knowledge	ΓΝΩΣΙΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ὀρθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	right	ΟΡΘΟΣ <i>straight upright</i>
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
ἀπολαύειν	to enjoy ^{+gen}	ΑΠΟΛΑΥΕΙΝ <i>profiting from</i>
ἡ ἀπόλαυσις αἱ ἀπολαύσεις	enjoyment	ΑΠΟΛΑΥΣΙΣ <i>process of profiting from</i>
ἀπολαυστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	enjoyable	ΑΠΟΛΑΥΣΤΟΣ <i>profiting from</i>
ποιεῖν	to make <i>[here, "to gather"]</i>	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
ἡ ζωή αἱ ζωαί	living	ΖΩΗ <i>animal</i>
θνητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	mortal	ΘΝΗΤΟΣ <i>died</i>
Part 2		
ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
προστιθέναι	to add	ΠΡΟΣΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing toward</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
ἡ ἀθανασία αἱ ἀθανασίαι	immortality	ΑΘΑΝΑΣΙΑ <i>state of not dying</i>
ἀφαιρεῖν	to remove	ΑΦΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping away</i>
ἀφελόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	removing	ΑΦΕΛΟΜΕΝΟΣ
ἡ ὄρεξις αἱ ὀρέξεις	impulse	ΟΡΕΞΙΣ <i>impulse</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμιαί	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
ὁ πόθος οἱ πόθοι	longing	ΠΟΘΟΣ <i>yearning</i>

Οὐθὲν γάρ ἐστιν ἐν τῷ ζῆν δεινὸν τῷ κατεληφότι γνησίως τὸ μηδὲν ὑπάρχειν ἐν τῷ μὴ ζῆν δεινόν

Nothing is terrible in living for the one who has genuinely comprehended that nothing exists as terrible in not living

For there is nothing terrible in life for the man who has truly comprehended that there is nothing terrible in not living.

οὐθείς = οὐδείς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one [factual]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
οὐδέν	in no way	ΟΥΔΕΝ <i>not even one</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
δεινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	terrible	ΔΕΙΝΟΣ <i>terrifying</i>
καταλαμβάνειν	to thoroughly comprehend	ΚΑΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly grasping</i>
γνήσιος <i>(σ, ον)</i>	genuine	ΓΗΗΣΙΟΣ <i>belonging to one's race (i.e. legitimate)</i>
γνησίως	genuinely	
μηθείς = μηδείς <i>(μηδεμία, μηδέν)</i>	no one [modal]	ΜΗΔΕΙΣ <i>not one</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ΎΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>

125b. Anticipatory Anxiety's Pointlessness

ὥστε, μάταιος ὁ λέγων δεδιέναι τὸν θάνατον – οὐχ ὅτι λυπήσει παρών – ἀλλ’ ὅτι λυπεῖ μέλλων

therefore, he is pointless who says that he is terrified of death – not because it will distress while present – but because it distresses while impending

Ὁ γὰρ παρὼν οὐκ ἐνοχλεῖ – προσδοκώμενον κενῶς λυπεῖ

for what is not disturbing when present – distresses in vain while being expected

So that the man speaks but idly who says that he fears death not because it will be painful when it comes, but because it is painful in anticipation. For that which gives no trouble when it comes is but an empty pain in anticipation.

μάταιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	pointless	ΜΑΤΑΙΟΣ <i>folly</i>
λέγων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	saying	ΛΕΓΩΝ <i>speaking</i>
δεδιέναι	to be terrified	ΔΕΔΙΕΝΑΙ <i>terror</i>
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
λυπεῖν	to distress	ΛΥΠΕΙΝ <i>vexation</i>
παρών <i>(παρουσα, παρόν)</i>	present	ΠΑΡΩΝ <i>to be beside</i>
μέλλειν	to be about to do [here, "to be impending"]	ΜΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>going to</i>
Part 2		

ἐνοχλεῖν	to be disturbing to	ΕΝΟΧΛΕΙΝ <i>in a crowd of people</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
προσδοκᾶν	to expect	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΚΑΝ <i>seeming toward</i>
προσδοκώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being expected	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΚΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeming toward</i>
κενῶς	in vain	ΚΕΝΩΣ <i>emptiness</i>

125c. The Mutual-Exclusion Principle

τὸ φρικωδέστατον, οὖν, τῶν κακῶν – ὁ Θάνατος – οὐθέν πρὸς ἡμᾶς:

thus, what is most horrifying of the bad things – Death – is nothing to us:

ἐπειδήπερ ὅταν μὲν ἡμεῖς ὦμεν, ὁ Θάνατος οὐ πάρεστιν – ὅταν δὲ ὁ Θάνατος παρῇ, τόθ’ ἡμεῖς οὐκ ἐσμέν

precisely because whenever we exist, death is not present – but whenever death is present, then we do not exist

οὔτε, οὖν, πρὸς τοὺς ζῶντάς, ἐστίν – οὔτε πρὸς τοὺς τετελευτηκότας: ἐπειδήπερ, περὶ οὓς μὲν, οὐκ ἔστιν – οἱ δ’ οὐκέτι εἰσίν

neither, therefore, with respect to the living, does [death] exist – nor with respect to the dead: precisely because, as to the former, it does not exist – and the latter no longer exist

So death, the most terrifying of ills, is nothing to us, since so long as we exist, death is not with us; but when death comes, then we do not exist. It does not then concern either the living or the dead, since for the former it is not, and the latter are no more.

φρικώδης <i>(φρικῶδες)</i>	horrifying	ΦΡΙΚΩΔΗΣ <i>full of shudder / horror</i>
φρικωδέστερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	more horrifying	ΦΡΙΚΩΔΕΣΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more full of shudder / horror</i>
φρικωδέστατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most horrifying	ΦΡΙΚΩΔΕΣΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most full of shudder / horror</i>
κακός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
οὐθεῖς = οὐδεῖς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one [factual]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
Part 2		
ἐπειδήπερ	precisely because	ΕΠΕΙΔΗΠΕΡ <i>since indeed certainly</i>
ὅταν	whenever	’ΟΤΑΝ <i>whenever</i>
παρεῖναι	to be present	ΠΑΡΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being beside</i>
παρῇ	3rd person singular present subjunctive active	
τόθ’ = τότε	at that time	ΤΟΤΕ <i>the then</i>
Part 3		

ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
ζῶν <i>(ῶσα, ῶν)</i>	living	ΖΩΝ <i>animal</i>
ὁ ζῶν οἱ ζῶντες	he who is living	
τελευτᾶν	to die	ΤΕΛΕΥΤΑΝ <i>terminating</i>
ὁ τελευτήσας οἱ τελευτήσαντες	he who died	ΤΕΛΕΥΤΗΣΑΣ <i>terminating</i>
ὁ τετελευτηκώς οἱ τετελευτηκότες	he who has died	ΤΕΤΕΛΕΥΤΗΚΩΣ <i>having terminated</i>
ἐπειδήπερ	precisely because	ΕΠΕΙΔΗΠΕΡ <i>since indeed</i> <i>certainly</i>
οὐκέτι	no longer	ΟΥΚΕΤΙ <i>not still</i>

125d. Popular Ambivalence toward Death

ἀλλ’ οἱ Πολλοὶ τὸν θάνατον ὅτε μὲν ὡς μέγιστον τῶν κακῶν φεύγουσιν – ὅτε δὲ ὡς ἀνάπαυσιν τῶν ἐν τῷ ζῆν <κακῶν αἰροῦνται>

but the Many sometimes avoid death as the greatest of what is bad – but sometimes they choose [it] as a respite from what is bad in living

But the many at one moment shun death as the greatest of evils, at another (yearn for it) as a respite from the (evils) in life.

πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
ὅτε	sometimes	’ΟΤΕ
μέγιστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	greatest	ΜΕΓΙΣΤΟΣ <i>biggest</i>
τὸ κακόν τὰ κακά	what is bad	ΚΑΚΟΝ <i>harmful</i>
φεύγειν	to avoid	ΦΕΥΓΕΙΝ <i>fleeing</i>
ἡ ἀνάπαυσις αἱ ἀναπάυσεις	respite	ΑΝΑΠΑΥΣΙΣ <i>ceasing up again</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	’ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>

126a. The Twin Victory of the Wise

ὁ δὲ Σοφὸς οὔτε παραιτεῖται τὸ ζῆν – οὔτε φοβεῖται τὸ μὴ-ζῆν:

but the wise man neither excuses himself from living – nor fears not-living:

οὔτε γὰρ αὐτῷ προσίσταται τὸ Ζῆν – οὔτε δοξάζεται κακόν εἶναί τι τὸ Μὴ-ζῆν

for living neither offends him – nor is not-living judged to be something bad

(But the wise man neither seeks to escape life) nor fears the cessation of life, for neither does life offend him nor does the absence of life seem to be any evil.

ὁ σοφός	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
----------------	----------	----------------------------------

παραιτῆσθαι	to excuse oneself [from]	ΠΑΡΑΙΤΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>responsibility beside</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
ἡ ἀφοβία αἱ ἀφοβίαι	fearlessness	ΑΦΟΒΙΑ <i>not fleeing</i>
φοβεῖν	to frighten	ΦΟΒΕΙΝ <i>fleeing</i>
φοβεῖσθαι	to fear	ΦΟΒΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>fleeing</i>
Part 2		
καθιστάναι	to establish	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly standing</i>
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
ἀποκαθιστάναι	to reinstate	ΑΠΟΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly standing back</i>
προσιστάσθαι	to offend	ΠΡΟΣΙΣΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>standing toward</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>

126b. Harvesting Quality over Quantity

ὥσπερ δὲ τὸ σιτίον οὐ τὸ πλεῖστον πάντως, ἀλλὰ τὸ ἥδιον, αἰρεῖται – οὕτω καὶ χρόνον οὐ τὸν μήκιστον, ἀλλὰ τὸν ἥδιον, καρπίζεται

just as [the wise man] chooses for himself food not that is absolutely the most, but the most pleasant – thus also the time that he harvests for himself is not the longest, but the most pleasant

And just as with food he does not seek simply the larger share and nothing else, but rather the most pleasant, so he seeks to enjoy not the longest period of time, but the most pleasant.

ἐπειδὴπερ	precisely because	ΕΠΕΙΔΗΠΕΡ <i>since indeed certainly</i>
ὥσπερ	just as	ὍΣΠΕΡ <i>certainly as</i>
τὸ σιτίον τὰ σιτία	food	ΣΙΤΙΟΝ <i>grain / provisions</i>
πλεῖστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	the greatest <i>[the most]</i>	ΠΛΕΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most</i>
πάντως	absolutely / in every way	ΠΑΝΤΩΣ <i>all</i>
ἥδιον	more pleasantly	ἩΔΙΟΝ <i>more sweet</i>
ἥδιστα	most pleasantly	ἩΔΙΣΤΑ <i>most sweet</i>
ἥδιστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most pleasant	ἩΔΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most sweet</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
αἰρεῖσθαι	to choose for oneself	ΑΙΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>taking</i>
οὕτως = οὕτω	thus / in this way	ΟΥΤΩΣ ΟΥΤΩ <i>in this way</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
τό μήκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ <i>length</i>

μήκιστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	the longest, of greatest length	ΜΗΚΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most length</i>
ὁ καρπός οἱ καρποί	fruit	ΚΑΡΠΟΣ <i>harvest</i>
καρπίζειν	to harvest	ΚΑΡΠΙΖΕΙΝ
καρπίζεσθαι	to harvest for oneself	ΚΑΡΠΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ

126c. Mimnermus' Foolish Division

ὁ δὲ Παραγγέλλων **τὸν μὲν Νέον καλῶς ζῆν**, **τὸν δὲ Γέροντα καλῶς καταστρέφειν**, **εὐήθης** ἐστίν:

but the one recommending **the young to live correctly**, and the old man **to come to an end correctly**, is naïve:

οὐ μόνον διὰ τὸ τῆς ζωῆς **ἀσπαστόν** – **ἀλλὰ καὶ διὰ τὸ τήν αὐτὴν εἶναι μελέτην τοῦ καλῶς ζῆν καὶ τοῦ καλῶς ἀποθνήσκειν**

not only because living is welcome – but also because living correctly and passing away correctly is the same study

Editors suggest the poet Mimnermus (*Μίμνερμος*, fl. c. 630 ^{BCE})

And he who counsels the young man to live well, but the old man to make a good end, is foolish, not merely because of the desirability of life, but also because it is the same training which teaches to live well and to die well.

τὸ ἀγγεῖον τὰ ἀγγεῖα	vessel	ΑΓΓΕΙΟΝ <i>instrument of going / carrying</i>
παραγγέλλειν	to recommend	ΠΑΡΑΓΓΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>sending a message across</i>
παραγγέλλων <i>(ουσα, ουν)</i>	recommending	ΠΑΡΑΓΓΕΛΛΩΝ <i>sending a message across</i>
νέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	young	ΝΕΟΣ <i>new</i>
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>lovely</i>
ὁ γέρων οἱ γέροντες	old man	ΓΕΡΩΝ <i>old</i>
καταστρέφειν	to overturn [here, "to come to an end"]	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly turning</i>
εὐήθης	naïve	ΕΥΗΘΗΣ <i>good custom</i>
Part 2		
μόνος <i>(η, ον)</i>	only	ΜΟΝΟΣ <i>single</i>
ἡ ζωή αἱ ζωαί	living	ΖΩΗ <i>animal</i>
ἀσπαστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	welcome [i.e., wanted to be received]	ΑΣΠΑΣΤΟΣ <i>pulling</i>
ἡ μελέτη αἱ μελέται	study [i.e., practice / training]	ΜΕΛΕΤΗ <i>caring</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
ἀποθνήσκειν	to pass away	ΑΠΟΘΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ ΑΠΟΘΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ <i>died away</i>

126d. Theognis' Cynical Anti-Natalism

πολύ δὲ χείρων καὶ ὁ Λέγων: καλὸν μὴ Φῦναι, "φύντα δ' ὅπως ὤκιστα πύλας Ἄϊδαο Περῆσαι"

and much worse also is the one saying: it is proper not to be produced, "but having been produced, to have passed through the gates of Hades as quickly as possible"

Yet much worse still is the man who says it is good not to be born but ‘once born make haste to pass the gates of Death’.

Theognis of Megara, Elegies, lines 425-428:

Πάντων μὲν μὴ Φῦναι ἐπιχθονίοισιν ἄριστον

Of all things, for mortals upon the earth, to not be born is the best

μηδ' Ἑσιδεῖν αὐγὰς ὀξέος ἡελίου:

nor even to behold the rays of the sharp sun:

φύντα δ' ὅπως ὤκιστα πύλας Ἄϊδαο Περῆσαι

but, having been produced, to have passed through the gates of Hades as quick as possible

καὶ Κεῖσθαι, πολλὴν γῆν ἐπαμυσάμενον

and to lie there, the great earth heaped up over oneself

πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
χείρων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	worse	ΧΕΙΡΩΝ <i>[work done by] hand</i>
λέγων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	saying	ΛΕΓΩΝ <i>speaking</i>
καλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	correct / beautiful [here, "proper"]	ΚΑΛΟΣ <i>lovely</i>
φῦναι	to produce [already]	ΦΥΝΑΙ <i>produced</i>
φueίς <i>(φueίσα, έν)</i>	produced [already]	ΦΥΕΙΣ
ὅπως	so that	ὍΠΩΣ <i>in what way</i>
ὠκύτατος <i>(ώκυτάτη, ώκύτατον)</i>	quickest	ΩΚΙΣΤΑ <i>quick</i>
ή πύλη αί πύλαι	gate	ΠΥΛΗ <i>door</i>
ὁ Ἄιδης <i>(τοῦ Ἀΐδαο)</i>	Hades	ἈΙΔΗΣ <i>not seen</i>
περῆσαι	to have passed through	ΠΕΡΗΣΑΙ <i>finished</i>

127a. Theognis' Bluff

εἰ, μὲν γάρ, πεποιθώς, τοῦτό Φησιν – πῶς οὐκ ἀπέρχεται ἐκ τοῦ ζῆν;

if, indeed, after having been persuaded, he asserts this – why does he not depart from living?

ἐν ἐτοίμῳ γάρ αὐτῷ Τοῦτ' ἐστίν – εἴπερ ἦν βεβουλευμένον αὐτῷ βεβαίως

for this is at the ready for him – if indeed it had been decided by him affirmatively

εἰ δὲ μωκῳμένος: μάταιος ἐν τοῖς οὐκ ἐπιδεχομένοις

but if [*he is*] *mocking*: [*he is*] *pointless* among those not accepting [*his words*]

For if he says this from conviction why does he not pass away out of life? For it is open to him to do so, if he had firmly made up his mind to this. But if he speaks in jest, his words are idle among men who cannot receive them.

πείθειν	to persuade	ΠΕΙΘΕΙΝ <i>convincing</i>
πεποιθώς <i>(πεποιθυῖα, πεποιθός)</i>	having been persuaded	ΠΕΠΟΙΘΩΣ <i>convinced</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
ἀπέρχεσθαι	to depart	ΑΠΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving away</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
Part 2		
ἐτοιμάζειν	to make ready	ἘΤΟΙΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing</i>
ἐτοῖμος <i>(ίμη, ἵμον)</i>	made ready	ἘΤΟΙΜΟΣ <i>preparing</i>
ἐν ἐτοίμῳ	at the ready	
εἵπερ	since indeed [here, "if indeed"]	ΕΙΠΕΡ <i>just as if</i>
ἡ βούλησις αἱ βουλήσεις	intention	ΒΟΥΛΗΣΙΣ <i>wishing</i>
βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>wishing</i>
ἡ βουλή αἱ βουλαί	decision	ΒΟΥΛΗ <i>deliberating</i>
βουλεύειν	to decide	ΒΟΥΛΕΥΕΙΝ <i>deliberating</i>
βεβουλευμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	had been decided	ΒΕΒΟΥΛΕΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>deliberating</i>
βεβαιούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>firm standing</i>
βεβαίως	affirmatively	ΒΕΒΑΙΩΣ <i>firm standing</i>
Part 3		
μωκᾶσθαι	to mock	ΜΩΚΑΣΘΑΙ <i>ridiculing</i>
μωκῶμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	mocking	ΜΩΚΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>ridiculing</i>
μάταιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	pointless	ΜΑΤΑΙΟΣ <i>folly</i>
ἀναδεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	taking upon [itself]	ΑΝΑΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting</i> <i>back/again</i>
ἐνδεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting in</i>
ἐπιδεχόμενος	accepting	ΕΠΙΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting upon</i>

127b. Future Contingency

Μνημονευτέον δὲ ὡς τὸ μέλλον οὔτε πάντως ἡμέτερον – οὔτε πάντως οὐχ ἡμέτερον: ἵνα μήτε πάντως Προσμένωμεν ὡς ἐσόμενον – μήτε Ἀπελπίζωμεν ὡς πάντως οὐκ ἐσόμενον

One must remember that the future is neither absolutely ours – nor absolutely not ours: so that we neither absolutely keep waiting as if it is about to be – nor do we despair as if it is absolutely not about to be

We must then bear in mind that the future is neither ours, nor yet wholly not ours, so that we may not altogether expect it as sure to come, nor abandon hope of it, as if it will certainly not come.

ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	MNHMH thinking
μνημονεύειν	to remember	MNHMONEYEIN "addition to" thinking
μνημονευτέον	one must remember	MNHMONEYTEON "addition to" thinking
μέλλειν	to be about to do	MEΛΛEIN going to
μέλλων (οὔσα, ον)	being about to do	
τὸ μέλλον τὰ μέλλοντα	the future [lit., "what is about to be"]	
πᾶς (πᾶσα, πᾶν)	whole	ΠΑΣ all
ἡμέτερος	our	ἩΜΕΤΕΡΟΣ belong to us
πάντως	absolutely / in every way	ΠΑΝΤΩΣ all
μένειν	to remain	MENEIN staying
προσμένειν	to keep waiting	ΠΡΟΣΜENEIN staying toward
ἐσόμενος (η, ον)	[about] to be	ΕΣΟΜΕΝΟΣ will be
ἡ ἐλπίς αἱ ἐλπίδες	hope	ΕΛΠΙΣ expecting
ἀπελπίζειν	to despair	ΑΠΕΛΠΙΖΕΙΝ expecting away

127c. Classification of Desires

Ἀναλογιστέον δὲ ὥς, τῶν ἐπιθυμιῶν: Αἱ μὲν εἰσι φυσικαί, Αἱ δὲ κεναί – καὶ τῶν φυσικῶν: Αἱ μὲν ἀναγκαῖαι, Αἱ δὲ φυσικαὶ μόνον

One must analogize that, of the desires: some are natural, but others empty – and of the natural [desires]: some are necessary, but others only natural

τῶν δὲ ἀναγκαίων: αἱ μὲν πρὸς εὐδαιμονίαν εἰσὶν ἀναγκαῖαι – αἱ δὲ πρὸς τὴν τοῦ σώματος ἀοχλησίαν, αἱ δὲ πρὸς αὐτὸ τὸ ζῆν

and of the necessary [desires]: some are necessary for wellbeing – but others for the body's non-irritation, and others for life itself

We must consider that of desires some are natural, others vain, and of the natural some are necessary and others merely natural; and of the necessary some are necessary for happiness, others for the repose of the body, and others for very life.

ἀναλογίζεσθαι	to analogize	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ reasoning action again
ἀναλογιστέον	one must analogize	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΤΕΟΝ reasoning action again
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ toward spirit

φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
μόνος <i>(η, ον)</i>	only	ΜΟΝΟΣ <i>single</i>
Part 2		
ή εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
ἀόχλητος <i>(ον)</i>	not irritating	ΑΟΧΛΗΤΟΣ <i>without a crowd of people</i>
ή ἀόχλησία αἱ ἀόχλησίαι	non-irritation	ΑΟΧΛΗΣΙΑ <i>without a crowd of people</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>

128a. The Guide: Unwavering Observation of Desires

τούτων γὰρ ἀπλανὴς Θεωρία πᾶσαν αἵρεσιν καὶ φυγὴν ἐπανάγειν οἶδεν ἐπὶ τὴν τοῦ σώματος ὑγίειαν καὶ τὴν τῆς ψυχῆς ἀταραξίαν – ἐπεὶ Τοῦτο τοῦ μακαρίως ζῆν ἐστι τέλος

for an unwavering observation of these [desires] knows how to lead every choice and avoidance back to the body's health and the soul's undisturbedness – since this is the fulfillment of living blessedly

The right understanding of these facts enables us to refer all choice and avoidance to the health of the body and (the soul’s) freedom from disturbance, since this is the aim of the life of blessedness.

οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	’ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
ή πλάνη αἱ πλάναι	error	ΠΛΑΝΗ <i>roaming</i>
πλανᾷν	to wander	ΠΛΑΝΑΝ <i>roaming</i>
ἀπλανής <i>(ές)</i>	unwavering	ΑΠΛΑΝΗΣ <i>not roaming</i>
ή θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	<i>[the act of mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
ή αἵρεσις αἱ αἰρέσεις	choice	’ΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ <i>taking</i>
ή φυγή αἱ φυγαί	avoidance	ΦΥΓΗ <i>fleeing</i>
ἐπανάγειν	to lead back	ΕΠΑΝΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going toward again</i>
εἰδέναι	<i>to have mentally seen ∴</i> "to know"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>seen</i>
εἰδέναι	<i>to have mentally seen ∴</i> "to know"	
οἶδεν	3rd person singular perfect indicative active <i>"has mentally seen" ∴</i> "knows"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>

σημαίνειν	to indicate	ΣΗΜΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>making indication</i>
τὸ σημεῖον τὰ σημεία	sign	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ <i>state of indication</i>
ὕγιαίνειν	to be healthy	ΎΓΙΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>making good life</i>
τὸ ὕγιαῖνον τὰ ὕγιαίνοντα	what is healthy	ΎΓΙΑΙΝΟΝ <i>making good life</i>
ἡ ὑγίεια αἱ ὑγίαιαι	health	ΎΓΙΕΙΑ <i>state of good life</i>
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ἡ ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ἡ μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from greatness</i>
μακαρίως	blessedly	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΩΣ <i>greatness</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>

128b. The Goal: Avoidance of Pain and Fear

τούτου γὰρ χάριν πάντα Πράττομεν: ὅπως μήτε Ἀλγῶμεν – μήτε Ταρβῶμεν

for the sake of this we do everything: so that we do not feel pain – and we are not afraid

For it is to obtain this end that we always act, namely, to avoid pain and fear.

ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	grace	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>being cheerful</i>
πᾶς <i>(πάσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish [here, "to do"]	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
ὅπως	so that	ὍΠΩΣ <i>in what way</i>
ἀλγεῖν	to feel pain	ΑΛΓΕΙΝ <i>pain</i>
δεινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	terrible	ΔΕΙΝΟΣ <i>terrifying</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
φοβεῖν	to frighten	ΦΟΒΕΙΝ <i>fleeing</i>
ταρβεῖν	to be afraid	ΤΑΡΒΕΙΝ <i>fearing</i>

128c. Painlessness and Fearlessness

ὅταν δὲ ἅπαξ τοῦτο περὶ ἡμᾶς γένηται: λύεται πᾶς ὁ τῆς ψυχῆς Χειμών – οὐκ ἔχοντος τοῦ ζώου βαδίζειν ὡς πρὸς ἐνδέον τι – καὶ ζητεῖν ἕτερον ᾧ τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς καὶ τοῦ σώματος ἀγαθὸν συμπληρώσεται

whenever this once comes to be for us: the whole winter storm of the soul is dissolved – with the living being not having to proceed as though toward something lacking – and [with it not having] to seek something else by which the good of the soul and body will be fulfilled

And when this is once secured for us, all the tempest of the soul is dispersed, since the living creature has not to wander as though in search of something that is missing, and to look for some other thing by which he can fulfill the good of the soul and the good of the body.

ὅταν	whenever	ὍΤΑΝ <i>whenever</i>
ἅπαξ	once	ἌΠΑΞ <i>one all one</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced [here, "to come to be"]	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἀπολύειν	to release away	ΑΠΟΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening away</i>
λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening</i>
ἡ ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
χειμάζειν	to distress	ΧΕΙΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>winter storm</i>
ὁ χειμῶν οἱ χειμῶνες	winter [here, "winter storm"]	ΧΕΙΜΩΝ <i>winter storm</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
βαδίζειν	proceed	ΒΑΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>walking</i>
ἡ ἔνδεια αἱ ἔνδειαι	lack	ΕΝΔΕΙΑ <i>deficiency</i>
ἐνδεής <i>(ἡς, ἐς)</i>	lacking	ΕΝΔΕΗΣ <i>deficiency</i>
ζητεῖν	to seek	ΖΗΤΕΙΝ <i>seeking</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρῳ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [here "else"]	ἜΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ῆ, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
συμπληροῦν	to fulfill	ΣΥΜΠΛΗΡΟΥΝ <i>filling together</i>

128d. The Beginning and the End of Living Blessedly: Pleasure

τότε γὰρ ἡδονῆς χρεῖαν ἔχομεν: ὅταν ἐκ τοῦ μὴ παρ εἶναι τὴν Ἥδονην Ἀλγῶμεν –
«ὅταν δὲ μὴ Ἀλγῶμεν» οὐκέτι τῆς ἡδονῆς Δεόμεθα

for at that time we have use for pleasure: whenever we feel pain from pleasure not being present – but whenever we do not feel pain, we no longer have need for pleasure

καὶ διὰ τοῦτο τὴν Ἥδονην ἀρχὴν καὶ τέλος λέγομεν εἶναι τοῦ μακαρίως ζῆν

and because of this we say that pleasure is the beginning and the end [i.e., the fulfilment] of living blessedly

For it is then that we have need of pleasure, when we feel pain owing to the absence of pleasure; (but when we do not feel pain), we no longer need pleasure. And for this cause we call pleasure the beginning and end of the blessed life. For we recognize pleasure as the first good innate in us,

τόθ' = τότε	at that time	TOTE <i>the then</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἰ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
ἡ χρεία αἰ χρεῖται	use	ΧΡΕΙΑ <i>necessary</i>
παρεῖναι	to be present	ΠΑΡΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being beside</i>
ἀλγεῖν	to feel pain	ΑΛΓΕΙΝ <i>pain</i>
ὅταν	whenever	ὍΤΑΝ <i>whenever</i>
οὐκέτι	no longer	ΟΥΚΕΤΙ <i>not still</i>
δεῖσθαι	to need	ΔΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>needing</i>
Part 2		
ἡ ἀρχή αἰ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time] [here, "beginning"]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
μακαρίως	blessedly	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΩΣ <i>greatness</i>
ζῆν	to live 0[to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>

129a. The Standard: Our Experiences & Feelings

ταύτην γὰρ ἀγαθόν, πρῶτον, καὶ συγγενικόν Ἔγνωμεν

for we have come to know **this [pleasure]** as good, primary, and congenital

καὶ ἀπὸ ταύτης Καταρχόμεθα πάσης αἵρέσεως καὶ φυγῆς: καὶ ἐπὶ ταύτην καταντῶμεν – **ὡς κανόνι τῷ πάθει πᾶν ἀγαθὸν κρίνοντες**

and **from this [pleasure]** we make a beginning of every choice and avoidance: and **to this [pleasure]** we arrive – **while we distinguish every good by feeling [i.e., by our experience]** as a standard

and from pleasure we begin every act of choice and avoidance, and to pleasure we return again, using the feeling as the standard by which we judge every good.

ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
πρῶτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
συγγενής <i>(ές)</i>	akin	ΣΥΓΓΕΝΗΣ <i>born with</i>
συγγενικῶς	congenitally	ΣΥΓΓΕΝΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of born with</i>

συγγενικός (ή, όν)	congenital	ΣΥΓΓΕΝΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of born with</i>
είδέναι	<i>to have mentally seen .:</i> "to know"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing</i>
γινώσκειν = γιγνώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
ἔγνωμεν	1st person plural aorist indicative active "we have come to know"	
υὔάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
Part 2		
κατάρχεσθαι	make a beginning	ΚΑΤΑΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>thoroughly beginning</i>
ή αἵρεσις αί αἰρέσεις	choice	ΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ <i>taking</i>
ή φυγή αί φυγαί	avoidance	ΦΥΓΗ <i>fleeing</i>
καταντᾶν	come down to [i.e., arrive]	ΚΑΤΑΝΤΑΝ <i>thoroughly opposite [i.e., face-to-face]</i>
ό κανών οί κανόνες	standard	ΚΑΝΩΝ <i>measuring stick (ruler)</i>
τό πάθος τά πάθη	^[π] experience (feeling)	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
κρίνειν	to distinguish	ΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>

129b. Hedonic Calculus

καὶ ἐπεὶ πρῶτον ἀγαθόν Τοῦτο καὶ σύμφυτον: διὰ τοῦτο καὶ οὐ πᾶσαν ἡδονὴν αἰρούμεθα – ἀλλ’ ἔστιν ὅτε πολλὰς ἡδονὰς ὑπερβαίνομεν – ὅταν πλεῖον ἡμῖν τὸ Δυσχερὲς ἐκ τούτων ἔπῃται

and since this [*pleasure is the*] primary good and [*is*] innate: because of this we do not choose every pleasure – instead, there are [*times*] when we step over many pleasures – whenever what is more difficult for us follows from those [*pleasures*]

καὶ πολλὰς ἀλγηδόνας ἡδονῶν κρείττους Νομίζομεν: ἐπειδὴν μείζων ἡμῖν Ἡδονὴ παρακολουθῇ – πολὺν χρόνον ὑπομείνασι τὰς ἀλγηδόνας

and we consider many pains more advantageous than pleasures: whenever a larger pleasure follows along for us – after having endured the pains for a long time

And since pleasure is the first good and natural to us, for this very reason we do not choose every pleasure, but sometimes we pass over many pleasures, when greater discomfort accrues to us as the result of them: and similarly we think many pains better than pleasures, since a greater pleasure comes to us when we have endured pains for a long time.

ἐπειδήπερ	precisely because	ΕΠΕΙΔΗΠΕΡ <i>since indeed certainly</i>
ἐπεὶ	since	ΕΠΕΙ
πρῶτος (η, ον)	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
ἀγαθός (ή, όν)	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>

τὸ φυτόν τὰ φυτά	plant	ΦΥΤΟΝ <i>growing</i>
σύμφυτος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	innate	ΣΥΜΦΥΤΟΣ <i>growing together</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
μεταβαίνειν	to move [to another place]	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking change</i>
ὑπερβαίνειν	to step over	ΥΠΕΡΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking beyond</i>
ὅταν	whenever	ΌΤΑΝ <i>whenever</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater [here, "larger"]	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
δυσχερής <i>(ές)</i>	difficult	ΔΥΣΧΕΡΗΣ <i>hard hand</i>
τὸ δυσχερές τὰ δυσχερῆ	what is difficult	ΔΥΣΧΕΡΕΣ <i>hard hand</i>
ἔπεσθαι	to follow	ΈΠΕΣΘΑΙ <i>supporting</i>
Part 2		
ἡ ἀλγηδών αἱ ἀλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ <i>the state pain</i>
κρατεῖν	to prevail	ΚΡΑΤΕΙΝ <i>power</i>
κρείττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	more advantageous	ΚΡΕΙΤΤΩΝ <i>powerful</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
ἐπειδὴν = ἐπειδὴ ἄν	whenever	ΕΠΕΙΔΑΝ <i>since if</i>
μείζων <i>(ον)</i>	larger	ΜΕΙΖΩΝ <i>greater</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
παρακολουθεῖν	to follow along	ΠΑΡΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following beside</i>
παρακολουθῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	following along	
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ὑπομένειν	to endure	ΥΠΟΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying under</i>

129c. Avoiding Pleasures & Choosing Pains

πᾶσα οὐν Ἡδονή, διὰ τὸ φύσιν ἔχειν οἰκείαν, ἀγαθόν – οὐ πᾶσα μέντοι αἰρετή

so every Pleasure, because it has a nature compatible [with us], is good – nevertheless not every [pleasure] is worth choosing

καθάπερ καὶ Ἀλγηδὼν πᾶσα κακόν – οὐ πᾶσα δὲ αἰεὶ φευκτὴ, πεφυκυῖα

just as every Pain is bad – but not every [pain] always is to-be-avoided, having naturally come to exist

Every pleasure then because of its natural kinship to us is good, yet not every pleasure is to be chosen: even as every pain also is an evil, yet not all are always of a nature to be avoided.

ἢ ἡδονή αἰ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ sweet
ἢ φύσις αἰ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ producing
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ holding
οἰκεῖτος (α, ον)	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ appropriate
ἀγαθός (ή, όν)	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ great
μέντοι	never-the-less	ΜΕΝΤΟΙ indeed surely
αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ taking
αἰρετός (ή, όν)	worth choosing	ΑΙΡΕΤΟΣ taking
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ just as thoroughly
ἢ ἀλγηδών αἰ ἀλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ the state pain
κακός (ή, όν)	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ harmful
ἀεὶ	always	ΑΕΙ forever
φεύγειν	to avoid	ΦΕΥΓΕΙΝ fleeing
φευκτός (ή, όν)	to-be-avoided	ΦΕΥΚΤΟΣ
φύειν	to naturally come to exist	ΦΥΕΙΝ producing
πεφυκώς (υῖα, ός)	having naturally come to exist	ΠΕΦΥΚΩΣ produced

130a. Commensuration and Observation

τῇ, μέντοι, συμμετρήσει καὶ συμφερόντων καὶ ἀσυμφόρων βλέψει ταῦτα πάντα κρίνειν Καθήκει

nevertheless, it is appropriate to distinguish all these [pleasures and pains] by commensuration and by looking at what is mutually benefiting and disadvantageous

Χρώμεθα γὰρ τῷ μὲν ἀγαθῷ κατὰ τινας χρόνους ὥς κακῷ – τῷ δὲ κακῷ, τοῦμπαλιν, ὥς ἀγαθῷ

for we use the good at certain times as [if it were] bad – but [we use] the bad, conversely, as [if it were] good

Yet by a scale of comparison and by the consideration of advantages and disadvantages we must form our judgment on all these matters. For the good on certain occasions we treat as bad, and conversely the bad as good.

μέντοι	never-the-less	ΜΕΝΤΟΙ indeed surely
μετρεῖν	to measure	ΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ measuring

ἢ συμμετρήσις αἱ συμμετρήσεις	commensuration [i.e., measuring by comparison]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΗΣΙΣ <i>measuring together process</i>
συμφέρειν	to be mutually beneficial	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing together</i>
συμφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	mutually benefiting	
σύμφορος <i>(ον)</i>	advantageous	ΣΥΜΦΟΡΟΣ <i>carrying together</i>
ἀσύμφορος <i>(ον)</i>	disadvantageous	ΑΣΥΜΦΟΡΟΣ <i>not carrying together</i>
ἢ ἐπιβλέψις αἱ ἐπιβλέψεις	observation	ΕΠΙΒΛΕΨΙΣ <i>looking upon</i>
ἢ περίβλεψις αἱ περιβλέψεις	admiration	ΠΕΡΙΒΛΕΨΙΣ <i>looking around</i>
ἢ βλεψις αἱ βλέψεις	[the act of] looking	ΒΛΕΨΙΣ <i>looking</i>
κρίνειν	to distinguish	ΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
καθήκων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	appropriate	ΚΑΘΗΚΩΝ <i>having come according to</i>
καθήκειν	to be appropriate	ΚΑΘΗΚΕΙΝ <i>having come according to</i>
Part 2		
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
κακός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
πάλιν	again [here, "in turn"]	ΠΑΛΙΝ <i>back</i>
τοὔμπαλιν	conversely	ΤΟΥΜΠΑΛΙΝ = τὸ + ἔμπαλιν <i>the [thing] back in</i>

130b. Self-Sufficiency & Contentment

καὶ τὴν αὐτάρκειαν δὲ ἀγαθὸν μέγα Νομίζομεν: οὐχ ἵνα πάντως τοῖς ὀλίγοις
Χρώμεθα – ἀλλ’ ὅπως, ἐὰν μὴ ἔχωμεν τὰ πολλὰ, τοῖς ὀλίγοις Ἀρκώμεθα
(πεπεισμένοι γνησίως ὅτι ἡδιστα πολυτελείας ἀπολαύουσιν – οἱ ἥκιστα ταύτης
δεόμενοι)

and we think that self-sufficiency is a great good: not that we always use few things – but so that, if we do not have many things, we are content with few things (being genuinely convinced that they enjoy extravagance most pleasantly – those least in need of it)

And again independence of desire we think a great good — not that we may at all times enjoy but a few things, but that, if we do not possess many, we may enjoy the few in the genuine persuasion that those have the sweetest pleasure in luxury who least need it,

ἢ αὐτάρκεια αἱ αὐτάρκειαι	self-sufficiency	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΕΙΑ <i>state of self-sufficing</i>
μέγας <i>(μεγάλη, μέγα)</i>	great	ΜΕΓΑΣ <i>large</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>

πάντως	absolutely / in every way [here, "always"]	ΠΑΝΤΩΣ <i>all</i>
ὀλίγος (η, ον)	few, short [of time]	ΟΛΙΓΟΣ <i>little</i>
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
ὥπως	so that	ὍΠΩΣ <i>in what way</i>
τὸ ἀρκοῦν τὰ ἀρκοῦντα	what is sufficient	
ἀρκεῖν	to be sufficient	ΑΡΚΕΙΝ <i>sufficing</i>
ἀρκεῖσθαι	to be content	ΑΡΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>sufficing</i>
πείθειν	to persuade	ΠΕΙΘΕΙΝ <i>convincing</i>
πεπεισμένος (η, ον)	[being] convinced	ΠΕΠΕΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>convinced</i>
γνήσιος (α, ον)	genuine	ΓΝΗΣΙΟΣ <i>belonging to one's race</i> <i>(i.e. legitimate)</i>
γνησίως	genuinely	
ἥδιον	more pleasantly	ἜΔΙΟΝ <i>more sweet</i>
ἥδιστα	most pleasantly	ἜΔΙΣΤΑ <i>most sweet</i>
πολυτελεῖν	to live extravagantly	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>much completing</i>
ἡ πολυτέλεια αἱ πολυτέλειαι	extravagance	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΑ <i>much completing</i>
ἡ ἀπόλαυσις αἱ ἀπολαύσεις	enjoyment	ΑΠΟΛΑΥΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>profiting from</i>
ἀπολαύειν	to enjoy ^{+gen}	ΑΠΟΛΑΥΕΙΝ <i>profiting from</i>
ἥττων (ον)	worse	ἝΤΤΟΝ <i>inferior</i>
ἥττον	to a lesser extent	ἝΤΤΟΝ <i>inferior</i>
ἥκιστος (η, ον)	least	ἝΚΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most inferior</i>
ἥκιστα	least	
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
δεῖσθαι	to need	ΔΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>needing</i>
δεόμενος (η, ον)	in need of	ΔΕΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>needing</i>

130c. Pleasure Without Luxury

καὶ ὅτι τὸ μὲν φυσικὸν πᾶν **εὐπόριστόν** ἐστὶ – τὸ δὲ κενὸν **δυσπόριστον**

and that everything natural **is well-acquirable** – but the **empty** is hard to **obtain**

οἱ τε λιτοὶ Χυλοὶ **ἴσην πολυτελεῖ διαίτη τὴν ἡδονὴν** ἐπιφέρουσιν – **ὅταν** ἅπαν τὸ **Ἄλγοῦν κατ’ ἔνδειαν** ἐξαιρεθῇ

and plain flavors bring **pleasure equal** to an extravagant way of living – **whenever** all the pain from lack has been removed

and that all that is natural is easy to be obtained, but that which is superfluous is hard. And so plain savours bring us a pleasure equal to a luxurious diet, when all the pain due to want is removed;

φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
ό πόρος οί πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
εύπόριστος <i>(ον)</i>	well acquirable	ΕΥΠΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>easily piercing</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
δύσποριστος <i>(ον)</i>	hard to obtain	ΔΥΣΠΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>hard to pierce</i>
Part 2		
λιτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	plain	ΛΙΤΟΣ <i>simple</i>
ό χυλός οί χυλοί	flavor	ΧΥΛΟΣ <i>juice</i>
ἴσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	equal	ΙΣΟΣ <i>equal</i>
ή πολυτέλεια αί πολυτέλειαι	extravagance	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΑ <i>much completing</i>
πολυτελεῖν	to live extravagantly	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>much completing</i>
πολυτελής <i>(ές)</i>	extravagant	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΗΣ <i>much completing</i>
ή αίτία αί αίτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ή δίαιτα αί δίαιται	way of living	ΔΙΑΙΤΑ <i>through the responsibility</i>
ή ήδονή αί ήδοναί	pleasure	ΉΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
έπιφέρειν	to apply to	ΕΠΙΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing upon</i>
όταν	whenever	ΌΤΑΝ <i>whenever</i>
ἅπας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	all [together]	ΑΠΑΣ <i>all together</i>
άλγῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	feeling pain	ΑΛΓΩΝ <i>pain</i>
ή ένδεια αί ένδειαι	lack	ΕΝΔΕΙΑ <i>deficiency</i>
έξαιρειν	to remove	ΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking out</i>

131a. Water & Barley Cake

καί Μᾶζα καί ὕδωρ **τήν άκροτάτην άποδίδωσιν ήδονήν** – **έπειδάν ένδέων** **Τις άύτᾱ** προσενέγκηται

both barley-cake and water give out **the highest pleasure** – **whenever** someone **lacking** brings **them** forward for oneself

and bread and water produce the highest pleasure, when one who needs them puts them to his lips.

ή μᾶζα αί μᾶζαι	barley-cake	ΜΑΖΑ <i>kneading</i>
τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water	ΥΔΩΡ <i>rain / water</i>

τὸ ἄκρον τὰ ἄκρα	the highest point	ΑΚΡΟΝ <i>highest</i>
ἀκρότατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	the highest	ΑΚΡΟΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most highest</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate [here, "to give out"]	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
ἐπειδὴν = ἐπειδὴ ἂν	whenever	ΕΠΕΙΔΑΝ <i>since if</i>
ἡ ἔνδεια αἱ ἔνδειαί	lack	ΕΝΔΕΙΑ <i>deficiency</i>
ἐνδεής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	lacking	ΕΝΔΕΗΣ <i>deficiency</i>
προσφέρειν	to bring forward	ΠΡΟΣΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing as well</i>
προσφέρεισθαι	to bring forward [for oneself]	ΠΡΟΣΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing as well</i>

131b. Hedonic Calibration

τὸ Συνεθίζειν οὖν ἐν ταῖς ἀπλαῖς καὶ οὐ πολυτελέσι διαίταις καὶ ὑγείας ἐστὶ συμπληρωτικὸν – καὶ πρὸς τὰς ἀναγκαίας τοῦ βίου χρήσεις ἄοκνον ποιεῖ τὸν ἄνθρωπον

therefore to grow accustomed to simple and not to extravagant ways of living is completive of health – and makes the person unhesitating for the necessary tasks of life

καὶ τοῖς πολυτελέσιν, ἐκ διαλειμμάτων, προσερχομένοις κρεῖττον ἡμᾶς Διατίθῃσι – καὶ πρὸς τὴν τύχην ἀφόβους Παρασκευάζει

and this [habituation] positions us more advantageously in approaching, at intervals, extravagant things – and also makes [us] fearless toward chance

To grow accustomed therefore to simple and not luxurious diet gives us health to the full, and makes a man alert for the needful employments of life, and when after long intervals we approach luxuries disposes us better towards them, and fits us to be fearless of fortune.

τὸ ἔθος τὰ ἔθη	custom	ΕΘΟΣ <i>accustomed</i>
ἐθίζειν	to accustom	ΕΘΙΖΕΙΝ <i>accustomed action</i>
συνεθίζειν	to grow accustomed	ΣΥΝΕΘΙΖΕΙΝ <i>accustomed action together</i>
ἀπλῶς	absolutely	ἈΠΛΩΣ <i>one fold</i>
ἀπλοῦς <i>(ῆ, οὔν)</i>	simple	ἈΠΛΟΥΣ <i>one fold</i>
ἡ πολυτέλεια αἱ πολυτέλειαί	extravagance	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΑ <i>much completing</i>
πολυτελεῖν	to live extravagantly	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>much completing</i>
πολυτελής <i>(ές)</i>	extravagant	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΗΣ <i>much completing</i>
ἡ δίαιτα αἱ δίαιται	way of living	ΔΙΑΙΤΑ <i>through the responsibility</i>
τὸ ὑγιαῖνον τὰ ὑγιαίνοντα	what is healthy	ὙΓΙΑΙΝΟΝ <i>making good life</i>
ἡ ὑγίεια αἱ ὑγίειαί	health	ὙΓΙΕΙΑ <i>state of good life</i>
τὸ πλήρωμα τὰ πληρώματα	fullness	ΠΛΗΡΩΜΑ <i>result of filling</i>

συμπληροῦν	to fulfill	ΣΥΜΠΛΗΡΟΥΝ <i>filling together</i>
συμπληρωτικός (ή, όν)	completive	ΣΥΜΠΛΗΡΩΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of filling together</i>
ἀναγκαῖος (α, ον)	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ἡ χρῆσις αἱ χρήσεις	usage [here, "task"]	ΧΡΗΣΙΣ <i>the process of necessary</i>
ὁ ὄκνος οἱ ὄκνοι	hesitation	ΟΚΝΟΣ <i>sluggish</i>
ὀκνηρός (ά, όν)	hesitant	ΟΚΝΗΡΟΣ <i>having the quality of sluggish</i>
ἄοκνος (ον)	unhesitating	ΑΟΚΝΟΣ <i>not sluggish</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
Part 2		
πολυτελής (ές)	extravagant	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΗΣ <i>much completing</i>
λείπειν	to leave	ΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>leaving</i>
τὸ διάλειμμα τὰ διαλείμματα	interval	ΔΙΑΛΕΙΜΜΑ <i>leaving through</i>
ἀνέρχεται	ascends	ΑΝΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving toward</i>
ἐπισηρχόμενος (η, ον)	coming in afterward	ΕΠΙΣΕΡΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>moving upon into</i>
προσέρχεται	to approach	ΠΡΟΣΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving toward</i>
προσηρχόμενος (η, ον)	approaching	ΠΡΟΣΕΡΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ
κρατεῖν	to prevail	ΚΡΑΤΕΙΝ <i>power</i>
κρείττων (ων, ον)	more advantageous	ΚΡΕΙΤΤΩΝ <i>powerful</i>
κρείττον	more advantageously	
τιθέναι	to put	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
διατιθέναι	to position	ΔΙΑΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing through</i>
ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
ἄφοβος (ος, ον)	not dreadful [here, "fearless"]	ΑΦΟΒΟΣ <i>not fleeing [in fear]</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide [here, "to also make"]	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>

131c. Calm Fulfillment

ὅταν οὖν λέγωμεν ἡδονὴν τέλος ὑπάρχειν – οὐ τὰς τῶν ἀσώτων ἡδονὰς καὶ τὰς ἐν ἀπολαύσει κειμένας λέγομεν (ὥς, Τινες, ἀγνοοῦντες καὶ οὐχ ὁμολογοῦντες ἢ κακῶς ἐκδεχόμενοι, νομίζουσιν)

therefore whenever we say that pleasure exists as the fulfillment – we are not speaking of the pleasures of the degenerates nor of those lying in enjoyment (as some, not knowing and not agreeing or receiving it poorly, think)

ἀλλὰ τὸ μήτε ἀλγεῖν κατὰ σῶμα – μήτε ταραττεσθαι κατὰ ψυχὴν

but [we are speaking about] not feeling pain with respect to the body – and not being disturbed with respect to the soul

When, therefore, we maintain that pleasure is the end, we do not mean the pleasures of profligates and those that consist in sensuality, as is supposed by some who are either ignorant or disagree with us or do not understand, but freedom from pain in the body and from trouble in the mind.

ὅταν	whenever	ὅταν <i>whenever</i>
λέγειν	to say	λέγειν <i>speaking</i>
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἡδονή <i>sweet</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	τέλος <i>goal</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὑπάρχειν <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ὁ ἄσωτος οἱ ἄσωτοι	a degenerate	ἄσωτος <i>not saving</i>
ἡ ἀπόλαυσις αἱ ἀπολαύσεις	enjoyment	ἀπόλαυσις <i>process of profiting from</i>
κεῖσθαι	to be set [up]	κεῖσθαι <i>setting</i>
κείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	situated / lying down	κείμενος <i>situated</i>
ἀγνοεῖν	to not know	ἀγνοεῖν <i>not distinguishing</i>
ἀγνοῶν <i>(ἀγνοοῦσα, ἀγνοοῦν)</i>	not knowing	
ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὁμολογεῖν <i>same reasoning</i>
ὁμολογῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	agreeing	
κακός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	bad	κακός <i>harmful</i>
κακῶς	badly [here, "poorly"]	κακῶς <i>harmful</i>
ἐνδεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	possible	ἐνδεχόμενος <i>accepting in</i>
ἐκδεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	receiving	ἐκδεχόμενος <i>accepting out</i>
νομίζειν	to think	νομίζειν <i>custom</i>
ἀλγεῖν	to feel pain	ἀλγεῖν <i>pain</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	σῶμα <i>body</i>
ταραχώδης <i>(ης, ες)</i>	disturbing	ταραχώδης <i>agitating</i>
ταράττεσθαι	to be disturbed	ταράττεσθαι <i>agitating</i>

οὐ γὰρ Πότοι καὶ Κῶμοι **συνείροντες** – οὐδ’ Ἀπολαύσεις **παίδων** καὶ **γυναικῶν** –
– οὐδ’ **ἰχθύων** καὶ **τῶν ἄλλων** (**ὅσα** φέρει πολυτελὴς Τράπεζα) **τὸν ἡδὺν** γεννᾷ
βίον

for it is **not** drinking-bouts and parties **strung together** – nor the enjoyment
of slaves and **women** – nor of fish and of the other things (as many as an
extravagant table brings) that generate **the pleasant life**

ἀλλὰ νήφων Λογισμὸς – καὶ **τὰς αἰτίας ἐξερευνῶν** **πάσης αἰρέσεως** καὶ **φυγῆς** –
καὶ **τὰς δόξας ἐξελαύνων** (**ἐξ ὧν** **πλεῖστος** **τὰς ψυχὰς** καταλαμβάνει Θόρυβος)

but [it is] **sober** reasoning [that generates the pleasant life] – and **searching**
out the causes of every choice and **avoidance** – and **expelling** the judgments
(from which **the greatest** uproar thoroughly grasps **souls**)

For it is not continuous drinkings and revelings, nor the satisfaction of lusts,
nor the enjoyment of fish and other luxuries of the wealthy table, which
produce a pleasant life, but sober reasoning, searching out the motives for
all choice and avoidance, and banishing mere opinions, to which are due the
greatest disturbance of the spirit.

ὁ πότος οἱ πότοι	a drinking-bout	ΠΟΤΟΣ <i>drinking</i>
ὁ κῶμος οἱ κῶμοι	a party	ΚΩΜΟΣ <i>festival / carousal</i>
συνείρειν	to be strung together	ΣΥΝΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>connecting together</i>
συνείρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	strung together	
ἀπολαύειν	to enjoy ^{+gen}	ΑΠΟΛΑΥΕΙΝ <i>profiting from</i>
ἡ ἀπόλαυσις αἱ ἀπολαύσεις	enjoyment	ΑΠΟΛΑΥΣΙΣ <i>process of profiting from</i>
ὁ παῖς οἱ παῖδες	child / slave	ΠΑΙΣ <i>son</i>
ἡ γυνή αἱ γυναῖκες	woman	ΓΥΝΗ <i>wife</i>
ὁ ἰχθύς οἱ ἰχθύες	fish	ΙΧΘΥΣ <i>fish</i>
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ΑΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
πολυτελεῖν	to live extravagantly	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>much completing</i>
πολυτελής <i>(ές)</i>	extravagant	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΗΣ <i>much completing</i>
ἡ τράπεζα αἱ τράπεζαι	table	ΤΡΑΠΕΖΑ <i>three feet "tri-pod"</i>
ἡδύς <i>(ἡδεῖα, ἡδύ)</i>	pleasant	ἩΔΥΣ <i>sweet</i>
γεννᾶν	to generate	ΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
Part 2		
νήφειν	to be sober	ΝΗΦΕΙΝ <i>not drunk</i>
νήφων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	sober	
ὁ λογισμός οἱ λογισμοί	[process of] reasoning	ΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>action of collecting</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>

ἡ ἔρευνα αἱ ἔρευναι	search	ΕΡΕΥΝΑ <i>searching</i>
διερευνᾶν	to investigate	ΔΙΕΡΕΥΝΑΝ <i>searching through</i>
ἡ διερεύνησις αἱ διερευνήσεις	investigation	ΔΙΕΡΕΥΝΗΣΙΣ <i>searching through</i>
ἐξερευνᾶν	to search out	ΕΞΕΡΕΥΝΑΝ <i>searching out</i>
ἐξερευνῶν	searching out	ΕΞΕΡΕΥΝΩΝ <i>searching out</i>
ἡ αἵρεσις αἱ αἰρέσεις	choice	ΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ <i>taking</i>
ἡ φυγή αἱ φυγαί	avoidance	ΦΥΓΗ <i>fleeing</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἐξελαύνειν	to expel	ΕΞΕΛΑΥΝΕΙΝ <i>driving out of</i>
πλεῖστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	the greatest <i>[the most]</i>	ΠΛΕΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most</i>
ἡ ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
καταλαμβάνειν <i>[here, "to thoroughly grasp"]</i>	to thoroughly comprehend	ΚΑΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly grasping</i>
ὁ θόρυβος οἱ θόρυβοι	uproar	ΘΟΡΥΒΟΣ <i>noise</i>

132b. The Good: Prudence

τούτων δὲ πάντων, Ἀρχή – καὶ τὸ μέγιστον Ἀγαθὸν – Φρόνησις

but of all these, the Beginning – and the greatest Good – is Prudence

Of all this the beginning and the greatest good is prudence.

διὸ καὶ φιλοσοφίας τιμώτερον ὑπάρχει Φρόνησις

therefore Prudence exists as more valued than philosophy

Wherefore prudence is a more precious thing even than philosophy:

ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time] [here, "beginning"]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
μέγιστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	greatest	ΜΕΓΙΣΤΟΣ <i>biggest</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
ὁ εὐφρονοῦν οἱ εὐφρονοῦντες	he who considers well	ΕΥΦΡΟΝΟΥΝ <i>good mind</i>
φρονεῖν	to consider	ΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>mind</i>
ἡ φρόνησις αἱ φρονήσεις	prudence	ΦΡΟΝΗΣΙΣ <i>process of mind</i>
Part 2		
διὸ	therefore	ΔΙΟ <i>because of</i>
ἡ φιλοσοφία	philosophy	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΙΑ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ἡ τιμή αἱ τιμαί	honor	ΤΙΜΗ <i>value</i>
τιμιώτερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	more valued [i.e., held in honor]	ΤΙΜΙΩΤΕΡΟΣ <i>value</i>

υ̐πάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ἡ φρόνησις αἱ φρονήσεις	prudence	ΦΡΟΝΗΣΙΣ <i>process of <i>mind</i></i>

132c. Instrumental Virtues

ἐξ ἧς, αἱ λοιπαὶ πᾶσαι πεφύκασιν Ἄρεταί: **διδάσκουσα ὥς οὐκ** ἔστιν **ἡδέως Ζῆν** ἄνευ τοῦ φρονίμως καὶ καλῶς καὶ δικαίως – **οὐδὲ** φρονίμως καὶ καλῶς καὶ δικαίως› ἄνευ τοῦ ἡδέως

from this [*prudence*], all the remaining Virtues have naturally come to exist: *because it teaches that* it is **not** possible to live *pleasantly* without [*living*] prudently and *correctly* and *justly* – **nor** [*is it possible to live*] *prudently* and *correctly* and *justly* without [*living*] *pleasantly*

for from prudence are sprung all the other virtues, and it teaches us that it is not possible to live pleasantly without living prudently and honorably and justly, (nor, again, to live a life of prudence, honor, and justice) without living pleasantly.

συμπεφύκασι γὰρ αἱ Ἄρεταὶ **τῷ ζῆν ἡδέως** – καὶ τὸ ζῆν ἡδέως **τούτων** ἔστιν **ἀχώριστον**

for the Virtues have naturally come to exist together *with living pleasantly* – and living pleasantly *is inseparable* from them

for the virtues are by nature bound up with the pleasant life, and the pleasant life is inseparable from them

λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
φύειν	to naturally come to exist	ΦΥΕΙΝ <i>producing</i>
ἡ ἀρετή αἱ ἀρεταί	virtue	ΑΡΕΤΗ <i>excellence</i>
διδάσκειν	to teach	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>teaching</i>
διδάσκων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	teaching	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΩΝ <i>teaching</i>
ἡδύς <i>(ἡδεῖα, ἡδύ)</i>	pleasant	ἭΔΥΣ <i>sweet</i>
ἡδέως	pleasantly	ἭΔΕΩΣ <i>sweet</i>
ζῆν	to live 0[to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
φρονεῖν	to consider	ΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>mind</i>
ἡ φροντίς αἱ φροντίδες	consideration	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΣ <i>mind</i>
ἡ φρόνησις αἱ φρονήσεις	prudence	ΦΡΟΝΗΣΙΣ <i>process of <i>mind</i></i>
φρονίμως	prudently	ΦΡΟΝΙΜΩΣ <i>mind</i>
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>lovely</i>
δικαίως	justly	ΔΙΚΑΙΩΣ <i>custom / right</i>
Part 2		
φύειν	to naturally come to exist	ΦΥΕΙΝ <i>producing</i>
συμφύειν	to naturally come to exist together	ΣΥΜΦΥΕΙΝ <i>producing together</i>

ἡ ἀρετή αἱ ἀρεταί	virtue	ΑΡΕΤΗ <i>excellence</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
ἡδέως	pleasantly	ἭΔΕΩΣ <i>sweet</i>
ἀχώριστος (ον)	inseparable	ΑΧΩΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>no location</i>

133a. The Wise Perspective

ἐπεὶ **τίνα** Νομίζεις εἶναι κρείττονα τοῦ καὶ περὶ θεῶν ὅσια δοξάζοντος – καὶ περὶ θανάτου διὰ παντὸς ἀφόβως ἔχοντος – καὶ τὸ τῆς φύσεως ἐπιλεγολισμένου τέλος;

since **whom** do you think **to be superior** to the one judging **pious** [*things*] about the **gods** – and holding [*himself*] **always fearlessly** about death – and having inductively considered **the fulfillment of nature**?

for indeed who, think you, is a better man than he who holds reverent opinions concerning the gods, and is at all times free from fear of death, and has reasoned out the end ordained by nature?

καὶ τὸ μὲν τῶν ἀγαθῶν Πέρασ ὥς ἔστιν εὐσυμπλήρωτόν τε καὶ εὐπόριστον διαλαμβάνοντος – τὸ δὲ τῶν κακῶν ὥς ἡ χρόνους ἢ πόνους ἔχει βραχεῖς;

and also [*whom do you think to be superior to the one*] distinguishing that the Limit of good things as being well-fillable and also well-acquirable – but also [*distinguishing that*] the [*limit*] of bad things as having either [*brief*] times or brief sufferings?

He understands that the limit of good things is easy to fulfill and easy to attain, whereas the course of ills is either short in time or slight in pain;

τὴν δὲ ὑπὸ **τινων** δεσπότην εἰσαγομένην πάντων, ἐγγελῶντος εἰμαρμένην;

but [*whom do you think to be superior to the one*] laughing at **destiny**, which is introduced **by some** as mistress of all things;

he laughs at (destiny), whom some have introduced as the mistress of all things.

ἐπεὶ	since	ΕΠΕΙ
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
κρατεῖν	to prevail	ΚΡΑΤΕΙΝ <i>power</i>
κρείττων (ων, ον)	more advantageous [here, "superior"]	ΚΡΕΙΤΤΩΝ <i>powerful</i>
ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
ἱερός (ά, όν)	sacred	ἹΕΡΟΣ <i>holy</i>
ὅσιος (α, ον)	pious	ΌΣΙΟΣ <i>devout</i>
δοξάζων (ουσα, ον)	judging	ΔΟΞΑΖΩΝ <i>seeming</i>
ὁ θάνατος οἱ θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
διὰ παντός	always	
ἄφοβος (ος, ον)	not dreadful [or, "fearless"]	ΑΦΟΒΟΣ <i>not fleeing</i> <i>[in fear]</i>
ἀφόβως	fearlessly	ΑΦΟΒΩΣ <i>not fleeing</i> <i>[in fear]</i>

ἔχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	has [here, "holding"]	ΕΧΩΝ <i>holding</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ἐπιλογίζεσθαι	to inductively consider	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>
ἐπιλελογισμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having inductively considered	ΕΠΙΛΕΛΟΓΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>process of having reasoned action upon</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
Part 2		
τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>
συμπληροῦν	to fulfill	ΣΥΜΠΛΗΡΟΥΝ <i>filling together</i>
συμπληρωτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	completive	ΣΥΜΠΛΗΡΩΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of filling together</i>
εὐσυμπλήρωτος <i>(ον)</i>	well fillable	ΕΥΣΥΜΠΛΗΡΩΤΟΣ <i>filling well together</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
εὐπόριστος <i>(ον)</i>	well acquirable	ΕΥΠΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>easily piercing</i>
διαλαμβάνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	distinguishing	ΔΙΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ <i>grasping separation</i>
κακός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	bad	ΚΑΚΟΣ <i>harmful</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ὁ πόνος οἱ πόνοι	suffering	ΠΟΝΟΣ <i>toil</i>
βραχύς <i>(εἴᾱ, ύ)</i>	short [here, "brief"]	ΒΡΑΧΥΣ <i>brief</i>
Part 3		
ἡ ἐρωμένη αἱ ἐρωμέναι	mistress [i.e., female lover]	ΕΡΩΜΕΝΗ <i>having been loving</i>
ἡ δεσπότις αἱ δεσπότιδες	mistress [i.e., female master]	ΔΕΣΠΟΤΙΣ <i>[female] house master</i>
εἰσάγειν	to lead into	ΕΙΣΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going into</i>
εἰσαγόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being lead into [here, mid., "introduced"]	ΕΙΣΑΓΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>going into</i>
γελᾶν	to laugh	ΓΕΛΑΝ <i>deriding</i>
γελοῖος	laughable	ΓΕΛΟΙΟΣ <i>deriding</i>
ἐγγελᾶν	to laugh at	ΕΓΓΕΛΑΝ <i>deriding at</i>
ἐγγελῶν <i>(ῶσα, ῶν)</i>	laughing at	ΕΓΓΕΛΩΝ <i>deriding at</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἡ εἰμαρμένη αἱ εἰμαρμέναι	destiny	ΕΙΜΑΡΜΕΝΗ <i>having been parted</i>

133b. Necessity, Chance, & Personal Responsibility

ᾧ, "Α μὲν κατ' ἀνάγκην ἐστίν"Α δὲ ἀπὸ τύχης,"Α δὲ παρ' ἡμᾶς:

of things, some exist by necessity, some from chance, and some from us:

(He thinks that with us lies the chief power in determining events, some of which happen by necessity) and some by chance, and some are within our control;

διὰ τὸ τὴν μὲν ἀνάγκην ἀνυπεύθυνον εἶναι – τὴν δὲ τύχην ἄστατον ὁρᾶν – τὸ δὲ παρ’ ἡμᾶς ἀδέσποτον – ὧ καὶ τὸ μεμπτὸν καὶ τὸ ἐναντίον παρακολουθεῖν πέφυκεν

because necessity is not accountable – and he sees chance as unsteady – whereas what is from us is without a master [i.e., under our control] – [it is] to this that it naturally comes about that both the blameworthy and the opposing [i.e., praise] follow along

for while necessity cannot be called to account, he sees that chance is inconstant, but that which is in our control is subject to no master, and to it are naturally attached praise and blame.

ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
Part 2		
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἶα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΟΥΣ ΕΥΟΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
ἡ εὖθυνα αἱ εὖθυναί	a setting straight	ΕΥΘΥΝΑ <i>well straight</i>
<i>In Athens: αἱ εὖθυναί = audits, the legal procedure at the end of a magistrate's term, when he had to give an account of his conduct and finances.</i>		
ἀνυπεύθυνος <i>(ον)</i>	not accountable	ΑΝΥΠΕΥΘΥΝΟΣ <i>not under well straight</i>
ἐνποδίζειν = ἐμποδίζειν	to hinder	ΕΝΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ ΕΜΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>in foot</i>
ἰστάναι	to stand	ΊΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing</i>
ἄστατος <i>(ον)</i>	unsteady	ΑΣΤΑΤΟΣ <i>not standing</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ΌΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
ἡ δεσπότις αἱ δεσποτίδες	mistress [i.e., female master]	ΔΕΣΠΟΤΙΣ <i>[female] house master</i>
ἀδέσποτος <i>(ον)</i>	without a master	ΑΔΕΣΠΟΤΟΣ <i>not house master</i>
μεμπτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	blameworthy	ΜΕΜΠΤΟΣ <i>blaming</i>
ἐναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
παρακολουθεῖν	to follow along	ΠΑΡΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following beside</i>
φύειν	to naturally come to exist	ΦΥΕΙΝ <i>producing</i>

134a. Hopelessness of Determinism

ἐπεὶ κρεῖττον ἦν τῷ περὶ θεῶν μύθῳ κατακολουθεῖν – ἢ τῇ τῶν φυσικῶν εἰμαρμένῃ δουλεύειν

since it is more advantageous to follow along with myth about the gods – than to be a slave to the destiny of natural things

For, indeed, it were better to follow the myths about the gods than to become a slave to the destiny of the natural philosophers:

Ὁ μὲν γὰρ ἐλπίδα παραιτήσεως ὑπογράφει θεῶν διὰ τιμῆς – Ἡ δὲ ἀπαραίτητον ἔχει τὴν ἀνάγκην

for the one [i.e., myth] outlines a hope of excusal through the honoring of the gods – but the other [i.e., destiny] holds necessity as unexcusing

for the former suggests a hope of placating the gods by worship, whereas the latter involves a necessity which knows no placation.

ἐπεὶ	since	ΕΠΕΙ
κρείττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	more advantageous	ΚΡΕΙΤΤΩΝ <i>powerful</i>
ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
ὁ μῦθος οἱ μῦθοι	myth	ΜΥΘΟΣ <i>speech / word</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
κατακολουθεῖν	to follow along	ΚΑΤΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly following</i>
φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
ἡ εἰμαρμένη αἱ εἰμαρμέναι	destiny	ΕΙΜΑΡΜΕΝΗ <i>having been parted</i>
δουλεύειν	to be a slave	ΔΟΥΛΕΥΕΙΝ <i>slave</i>
Part 2		
ἡ ἐλπίς αἱ ἐλπίδες	hope	ΕΛΠΙΣ <i>expecting</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
αἰτεῖν	to beg	ΑΙΤΕΙΝ <i>responsibility</i>
ἡ παραιτήσις αἱ παραιτήσεις	excusal	ΠΑΡΑΙΤΗΣΙΣ <i>process of aside responsibility</i>
ἡ ὑπογραφή αἱ ὑπογραφαί	example	ΥΠΟΓΡΑΦΗ <i>writing under (i.e, to copy)</i>
ὑπογράφειν	to outline	ΥΠΟΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing under</i>
ἡ τιμή αἱ τιμαί	honor [here, "the honoring"]	ΤΙΜΗ <i>value</i>
ἀπαραίτητος <i>(ον)</i>	unexcusing	ΑΠΑΡΑΙΤΗΤΟΣ <i>not aside responsibility</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>

134b. Extent of Chance

τὴν δὲ τύχην οὔτε θεόν (ὥς οἱ Πολλοὶ νομίζουνσιν) ὑπολαμβάνων – Οὐθὲν γὰρ ἀτάκτως θεῷ πράττεται

but [he] supposes chance is not a god (as the many think) – for nothing is done disorderly by god

As to chance, he does not regard it as a god as most men do (for in a god’s acts there is no disorder),

οὔτε <πάντων> ἀβέβαιον αἰτίαν – <οὐκ> οἶεται μὲν γὰρ ἀγαθὸν ἢ κακὸν ἐκ ταύτης πρὸς τὸ μακαρίως ζῆν ἄνθρωποις δίδοσθαι

nor [does he suppose chance to be] an uncertain cause of everything – for he does not believe that either good or evil is given from [chance] to men for living blessedly

nor as an uncertain cause (of all things) for he does not believe that good and evil are given by chance to man for the framing of a blessed life,

ἀρχὰς μέντοι μεγάλων ἀγαθῶν ἢ κακῶν ὑπὸ ταύτης χορηγεῖσθαι

nevertheless [he does suppose that] the beginnings of great goods or [great] evils are supplied by [chance]

but that opportunities for great good and great evil are afforded by it.

ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ happening
ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ [divine entity]
πολύς (ή, ύ)	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ much
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN custom
ὑπολαμβάνειν	to suppose	ῚΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping under
ὑπολαμβάνων (ουσα, ον)	supposing	ῚΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ grasping under
οὐθείς = οὐδείς (οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)	no one [factual]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ not even one
ἡ τάξις αἱ τάξεις	arrangement	ΤΑΞΙΣ ordered
ἀτάκτως	disorderly	ΑΤΑΚΤΩΣ not ordered
ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ [divine entity]
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ doing / affair
Part 2		
βέβαιος (α, ον)	affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΣ certain
ἀβέβαιος	uncertain	ΑΒΕΒΑΙΟΣ not certain
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ responsibility
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ perceive
ἀγαθός (ή, όν)	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ great
μακάριος (α, ον)	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ greatness
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ breathing / hot
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ man face
διδόναι	to give	ΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ giving

δίδοσθαι	to be given	ΔΙΔΟΣΘΑΙ <i>giving</i>
Part 3		
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time] [here, "beginning"]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
μέντοι	never-the-less	ΜΕΝΤΟΙ <i>indeed surely</i>
μέγας <i>(μεγάλη, μέγα)</i>	great	ΜΕΓΑΣ <i>large</i>
χορηγεῖν	to supply [lit. "to lead a chorus"]	ΧΟΡΗΓΕΙΝ <i>chorus leading</i>
χορηγεῖσθαι	to be supplied	ΧΟΡΗΓΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>chorus leading</i>

135a. Reason over Chance

κρεῖττον εἶναι Νομίζει εὐλογίστως ἀτυχεῖν – ἢ ἀλογίστως εὐτυχεῖν

He thinks it is **more advantageous** to be unfortunate with *[the use of]* good reason – than to be prosperous **without** *[the use of]* reason

He therefore thinks it better to be unfortunate in reasonable action than to prosper in unreason.

βέλτιον γάρ, ἐν ταῖς πράξεσι, τὸ καλῶς κριθὲν κμὴ ὀρθωθῆναι – ἢ τὸ μὴ καλῶς κριθὲν ὀρθωθῆναι διὰ ταύτην

for *[he thinks]* it is better, in *[one’s]* activities, that what has been correctly distinguished not be set right – rather than that what has not been correctly distinguished be set right **because of** *[chance]*

For it is better in a man’s actions that what is well chosen (should fail, rather than that what is ill chosen) should be successful owing to chance.

κρείττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	more advantageous	ΚΡΕΙΤΤΩΝ <i>powerful</i>
νομίζειν	to think	ΝΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἀνεπιλογίστως	in a way without inductive consideration	ΑΝΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΤΩΣ <i>not reasoning</i> <i>action upon</i>
ὁ λογισμός οἱ λογισμοί	[process of] reasoning	ΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>action of collecting</i>
εὐλογίστως	with <i>[the use of]</i> good reason	ΕΥΛΟΓΙΣΤΩΣ <i>well reasoning</i> <i>action</i>
ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
ἀτυχεῖν	to be unfortunate	ΑΤΥΧΕΙΝ <i>not happening</i>
ἀλογίστως	without <i>[the use of]</i> reason	ΑΛΟΓΙΣΤΩΣ <i>not happening</i> <i>action</i>
εὐτυχεῖν	to be prosperous	ΕΥΤΥΧΕΙΝ <i>happening well</i>
βελτίων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ <i>greater</i>
ἡ πράξις αἱ πράξεις	activity	ΠΡΑΞΙΣ <i>action</i>
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>lovely</i>

κρίνειν	to distinguish	ΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
κριθείς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i>	distinguished	ΚΡΙΘΕΙΣ <i>separating</i>
ὀρθῶς	^{α} rightly	ΟΡΘΩΣ <i>straight upright</i>
ὀρθοῦν	to set right	ΟΡΘΟΥΝ <i>straight upright</i>
ὀρθωθῆναι	to be set right [already]	ΟΡΘΩΘΗΝΑΙ <i>straight upright</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	’ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>

135b. Godlike Fearlessness

ταῦτα, οὖν, καὶ τὰ τούτοις συγγενῇ Μελέτα (πρὸς σεαυτὸν, ἡμέρας καὶ νυκτὸς, «καὶ» πρὸς τὸν ὅμοιον σεαυτῷ) καὶ οὐδέποτε, οὐθ’ ὕπαρ οὐτ’ ὄναρ, Διαταραχθήση – Ζήση δὲ ὡς θεὸς ἐν ἀνθρώποις

therefore, study **these things** and **the things** akin to them (by yourself, day and night, and with one similar to yourself) and you will **never**, neither in wakefulness nor **in a dream**, be thoroughly disturbed – but you will live **as a god** among humans

Meditate therefore on these things and things akin to them night and day by yourself; and with a companion like to yourself, and never shall you be disturbed waking or asleep, but you shall live like a god among men.

οὐθὲν γὰρ ἔοικε θνητῷ ζῶψι ζῶν Ἄνθρωπος ἐν ἀθανάτοις ἀγαθοῖς

for in no way like a mortal living being is a person living in immortal goods

for a person living in immortal goods in no way is like a mortal living being

For a man who lives among immortal blessings is not like a mortal being.

συγγενής <i>(ές)</i>	akin	ΣΥΓΓΕΝΗΣ <i>born with</i>
μελετᾶν	to study	ΜΕΛΕΤΑΝ <i>caring</i>
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	’ΗΜΕΡΑ <i>day</i>
ἡ νύξ αἱ νύκτες	night	ΝΥΞ <i>night</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	’ΟΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
τὸ ὅμοιον τὰ ὅμοια	what is similar	
οὐδέποτε	never	ΟΥΔΕΠΟΤΕ <i>not even</i> <i>at some point</i>
ὁ ὕπνος οἱ ὕπνοι	sleep	’ΥΠΝΟΣ <i>sleep</i>
τὸ ἐνύπνιον τὰ ἐνύπνια	dream	ΕΝΥΠΝΙΟΝ <i>in sleep</i>
ὕπαρ	in wakefulness	’ΥΠΑΡ <i>sleep</i>
ὄναρ	in a dream	ΟΝΑΡ <i>dream</i>
ταράττειν	to disturb	ΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating</i>
συνταράττειν	to confuse	ΣΥΝΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating together</i>
διαταράττειν	to thoroughly disturb	ΔΙΑΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating through</i>

ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>
ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
Part 2		
οὐδείς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
οὐθέν	in no way	ΟΥΘΕΝ <i>not even one</i>
ἔοικα	1st person singular perfect indicative active "it is like"	ΕΟΙΚΑ <i>yielding</i>
θνητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	mortal	ΘΗΤΟΣ <i>died</i>
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
ζῶν <i>(ῶσα, ῶν)</i>	living	ΖΩΝ <i>animal</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ἀθάνατος	immortal	ΑΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not dying</i>

KNOWN WORKS

Fragments from Unknown Works

1.	Περὶ Αἰρέσεων καὶ Φυγῶν	On Choices and Avoidances	
*	Περὶ Ἀμφιβολίας	On Ambiguity	
2.	Ἀναξιμένης	Anaximénēs	<i>"Kingly Power"</i>
3.	Ἀναφωνήσεις	Declarations	
4.	Ἀντίδωρος, Α Β	Antidorus, in 2 Books	<i>"Given in return"</i>
5.	Ἀριστόβουλος	Aristobuolos	<i>"Best Counsel"</i>
6.	Περὶ Ἀτόμων καὶ Κενοῦ	On the Atoms and the Void	
7.	Περὶ τῆς ἐν τῇ Ἄτομῳ Γωνίας	On the Angle in the Atom	
8.	Περὶ Ἀφῆς	On Touch	
9.	Περὶ Βασιλείας	On Kingship	
10.	Περὶ Βίων, Δ	On Lifestyles, in 4 Books	
11.	Πρὸς Δημόκριτον	Against Democritus	<i>"Chosen by the People"</i>
12.	Διαπορίαι	Uncertainties	
13.	Περὶ Δικαιοπραγίας	On Fair Dealing	
14.	Περὶ Δικαιοσύνης καὶ τῶν ἄλλων Ἀρετῶν	On Justice and the Other Virtues	
15.	Περὶ Δώρων καὶ Χάριτος	On Gifts and Gratitude	
16.	Περὶ Εἰδώλων	On Films	
17.	Περὶ Εἰμαρμένης	On Destiny	
18.	Μεγάλη Ἐπιτομή	The Big Summary	
19.	Μικρὰ Ἐπιτομή	The Small Summary	
20.	Περὶ Ἔρωτος	On Love	
21.	Εὐρύλοχος πρὸς Μητρόδωρον	Eurylochos, for Metrodorus	
22.	Περὶ Εὐσεβείας	On Piety	
23.	Ἥγησιάναξ	Hegesianax	<i>"Leader of Kings"</i>
24.	Περὶ Ἥδονῆς	On Pleasure	
25.	Θεμίστα	Themista	<i>"Order-keeper"</i>

26.	Πρὸς Θεόφραστον	Against Theophrastus	<i>"Godly Phrased"</i>
27.	Περὶ Θεῶν	On the Gods	
28.	Καλλιστόλας	Kallistólas	<i>"Beautiful Armament"</i>
29.	Περὶ Κριτηρίου ἢ Κανῶν	On the Criterion or The Canon	
30.	Πρὸς τοὺς Μεγαρικούς	Against the Megarians	
31.	Μητρόδωρος, Ε	Metrodorus, in 5 Books	<i>"Mother's Gift"</i>
32.	Περὶ Μουσικῆς	On Music	
33.	Νεοκλῆς πρὸς Θεμίσταν	Neocles, for Themista	<i>"New Glory"</i>
34.	Περὶ Νόσων Δόξαι πρὸς Μίθρην	Judgments about Diseases, for Mithres	
35.	Περὶ τοῦ Ὁραῖν	On Vision	
36.	Περὶ Ὁσιότητος	On Holiness	
37.	Περὶ Παθῶν Δόξαι πρὸς Τιμοκράτην	On Theories about Feelings, against Timocrates	
38.	Περὶ Πλούτου	On Wealth	
39.	Πολυμήδης	Polymédēs	<i>"Abundant in Thought"</i>
40.	Προγνωστικόν	Prognostication	
41.	Προτρεπτικός	Exhortation	
42.	Περὶ Ῥητορικῆς	On Rhetoric	
43.	Στοιχειώσεις Δώδεκα	Twelve Elementary Principles	
44.	Συμπόσιον	Symposium	
45.	Περὶ Τέλους	On Fulfillment	
46.	Τιμοκράτης, Γ	Timocrates, in 3 Books	<i>"Honorable Authority"</i>
47.	Περὶ Φαντασίας	On Appearance	
48.	Περὶ Φύσεως, ΑΖ	On Nature, in 37 Books	
49.	Ἐπιτομή τῶν πρὸς τοὺς Φυσικούς	Summary of Objections to the Physicists	
50.	Χαιρέδημος	Chairedemus	<i>"Joy of the People"</i>

1. Epicurus' 300 Scrolls

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), *Lives*, 10.26

Diogenes Laertius was the compiler of the "Lives and Opinions of Eminent Philosophers" and a poet.

γέγονε δὲ πολυγرافώτατος ὁ Ἐπίκουρος – πάντας ὑπερβαλλόμενος πλήθει βιβλίων: Κύλινδροι μὲν γὰρ πρὸς τοὺς τριακοσίους εἰσὶ

Epicurus became the most prolific writer – surpassing all in the number of books: for his Scrolls indeed exist to three hundred [in number]

γέγραπται δὲ Μαρτύριον ἔξωθεν ἐν αὐτοῖς οὐδέν – ἀλλ’ αὐτοῦ εἰσὶν Ἐπικούρου Φωναί...

And there is no external Testimony written in them – but they are the very Words of Epicurus...

καὶ τὰ Συγγράμματα μὲν Ἐπικούρω τσαῦτα καὶ τηλικαῦτα – ὧν τὰ βέλτιστά ἐστι Τάδε:

And the writings of Epicurus are so many and so great – of which These are the best:

- | | |
|------------------------------------|---|
| • Περὶ Φύσεως, ΛΖ | On Nature, in thirty-seven books |
| • Περὶ Ἀτόμων Καὶ Κενοῦ | On the Atoms and the Void |
| • Περὶ Ἔρωτος | On Love |
| • Ἐπιτομὴ τῶν πρὸς τοὺς Φυσικούς | Summary of Objections to the Physicists |
| • Πρὸς Τοὺς Μεγαρικούς | Against the Megarians |
| • Διαπορίαι | Uncertainties |
| • Κύριαι Δόξαι | Principal Doctrines |
| • Περὶ Αἰρέσεων Καὶ Φυγῶν | On Choices and Avoidances |
| • Περὶ Τέλους | On Fulfillment |
| • Περὶ Κριτηρίου, ἢ Κανῶν | On the Criterion, or The Canon |
| • Χαιρέδημος | Chairedemus |
| • Περὶ Θεῶν | On the Gods |
| • Περὶ Ὁσιότητος | On Holiness |
| • Ἥγησιάνναξ | Hegesianax |
| • Περὶ Βίων, Τέσσαρα | On Lifestyles, in four books |
| • Περὶ Δικαιοπραγίας | On Fair Dealing |
| • Νεοκλῆς Πρὸς Θεμίσταν | Neocles, Dedicated to Themista |
| • Συμπόσιον | Symposium |
| • Εὐρύλοχος Πρὸς Μητροδωρον | Eurylochus, Dedicated to Metrodorus |
| • Περὶ τοῦ Ὁραῖν | On Vision |
| • Περὶ τῆς ἐν τῇ Ἄτομῳ Γωνίας | On the Angle in the Atom |
| • Περὶ Ἀφῆς | On Touch |
| • Περὶ Εἰμαρμένης | On Destiny |
| • Περὶ Παθῶν Δόξαι Πρὸς Τιμοκράτην | On Theories about Feelings |
| • Προγνωστικόν | Prognostication |
| • Προτρεπτικός | Exhortation to Study Philosophy |
| • Περὶ Εἰδώλων | On Films |
| • Περὶ Φαντασίας | On Appearance |
| • Ἀριστόβουλος | Aristobuolos |

- **Περὶ Μουσικῆς** On Music
- **Περὶ Δικαιοσύνης καὶ τῶν ἄλλων Ἀρετῶν** On Justice and Other Virtues
- **Περὶ Δώρων Καὶ Χάριτος** On Gifts and Gratitude
- **Πολυμήδης** Polymédēs
- **Τιμοκράτης γ΄** Timocrates, in three books
- **Μητρόδωρος ε΄** Metrodorus, in five books
- **Ἀντίδωρος β΄** Antidorus, in two books
- **Περὶ Νόσων Δόξαι πρὸς Μίθρην** Judgments about Diseases, Dedicated to Mithres
- **Καλλιστόλας** Kallistólas
- **Περὶ Βασιλείας** On Kingship
- **Ἀναξιμένης** Anaximénēs
- **Ἐπιστολαί** Letters

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 1.16 (Prologue)

πολλὰ δὲ Ζήνων – πλείω Ξενοφάνης – πλείω Δημόκριτος – πλείω Ἀριστοτέλης – πλείω Ἐπικούρου – πλείω Χρύσιππος

Zeno [wrote] many [books] – Xenophanes even more – Democritus even more – Aristotle even more – Epicurus even more – and Chrysippus even more

3. Chrysippus' Rivalry

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 7.181 (Chrysippus)

καὶ Ἀπολλόδωρος δ' ὁ Ἀθηναῖος ἐν τῇ "Συναγωγῇ τῶν δογμάτων," βουλόμενος παριστάνειν ὅτι τὰ Ἐπικούρου (οἰκεία δυνάμει γεγραμμένα καὶ ἀπαράθετα ὄντα) μυρίω πλείω ἐστὶ τῶν Χρυσίππου βιβλίων, φησὶν οὕτως αὐτῇ τῇ λέξει:

Apollodorus of Athens, in his "Collection of Doctrines" wanting to show that the works of Epicurus (written by his own efforts and not copied) were innumerable more numerous than the books of Chrysippus, said in these very words:

"εἰ γάρ τις ἀφέλοι τῶν Χρυσίππου βιβλίων ὅσ' ἀλλότρια παρατίθεται – κενὸς αὐτῷ ὁ Χάρτης καταλείψεται!"

"indeed if Someone were to remove from Chrysippus' books all that was cited from elsewhere – his papyrus would be left empty!"

Souda (epsilon 2404)

The Souda is a large encyclopedia, probably written in the 900's ^{CE}

Συγγράμματα δ' αὐτοῦ πλεῖστα

His writings are the most numerous

4. Lighting A Lamp to Write

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 ^{CE}), Discourses on Epictetus, 1.20.19

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 ^{CE}) is known for his historical works, particularly those on Alexander the Great. He was also a devoted student of the Epictetus.

τί δὲ καὶ λύχνον Ἄπτεις [Ἐπικούρε] – καὶ Πονεῖς ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν – καὶ τηλικαῦτα βιβλία Γράφεις;

why [Epicurus] do You even light a lamp – and Labor for us – and Write so many books?

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 ^{CE}), Discourses on Epictetus, 2.20.9

Ἄνθρωπε, τί ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν Φροντίζεις; τί δι' ἡμᾶς ἅ Ἀγρυπνεῖς;

Man, why do You bother yourself about us? why do you keep up a vigil on our account?

τί λύχνον Ἄπτεις; τί Ἐπανίστασαι; τί τηλικαῦτα βιβλία Συγγράφεις;

why do You light a lamp? why do You rise up? why do You write so many books?

μὴ Τις ἡμῶν ἐξαπατηθῇ περὶ θεῶν ὡς ἐπιμελουμένων ἀνθρώπων – ἢ μὴ Τις ἄλλην οὐσίαν ὑπολάβῃ τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ ἢ ἡδονῇ;

lest Anyone among us be deceived regarding the gods that they take care of human beings – nor lest Anyone suppose another essence of the good [exists] other than pleasure?

εἰ γὰρ οὕτω Ταῦτα ἔχε – βαλὼν – Κάθευδε!

If this is indeed so – after you have throw [it] away – Go to sleep!

5. Epicurus Wrote Large Books

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 46.1

Lucius Seneca the Younger was a Roman statesman, Stoic philosopher, playwright, and advisor to Emperor Nero. He quotes Epicurus frequently in his "Moral Letters to Lucilius."

librum tuum quem mihi prōmiserās Accēpī... ..Quī quam disseŕtus fuerit – ex hōc Intellegās licet: leuis mihi vīsus est – cum esset nec meī nec tuī corporis sed Quī, prīmō aspectū, aut Titi Līuī aut Epicūrī posset uidērī. tantā autem dulcēdine mē Tenuīt et Trāxit: ut illum sine ullā dīlātiōne Perlegerim

I received your book that you had promised me... ..how eloquent It was – You can understand from this: it seemed light to me – since it belonged neither to my body [of work] nor yours, but It could, at first glance, seem to belong to either Titus Livius or Epicurus. nevertheless, it held and drew me with such sweetness: that I read through it without any delay

6. Books in Praise of Friends

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), An Recte Dictum Sit Latenter Esse Vivendum, 3.1129A

Lucius Plutarchus was a Greek historian, Platonist philosopher, and biographer known for his work "Parallel Lives." He lived in Chaeronea (Boeotia), where he was also involved in local political and priestly duties.

τί δ’ αἰ τοσαῦται Μυριάδες στίχων ἐπὶ Μητρόδωρον – ἐπ’ Ἀριστόβουλον – ἐπὶ Χαιρέδημον – γραφόμεναι καὶ συντασσόμεναι φιλοπόνως; ἵνα μηδ’ ἀποθανόντες λάθωσιν;

what are the many Myriads of lines about Metrodorus – about Aristobuolos – about Chairedemus – being written and composed industriously for? So that even having died they may not escape notice?

7. Reading Epicurus Makes Epicureans

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 2.3,8

Platōnem reliquōsque Sōcraticōs (et deinceps eōs quī ab hīs profectī sunt) legunt Omnēs – etiam quī Illa aut nōn adprobant aut nōn studiōsissimē cōnsectantur: Epicūrum autem et Metrodōrum nōn ferē praeter suōs Quisquam in manūs sūmit

Everyone reads Plato and the rest of the Socratic school (and those who successively have originated from them) – even Those who do not accept or are not enthusiastically following: while scarcely Anyone beyond their own [adherents] takes in hand Epicurus and Metrodorus

On the Language & Style of Epicurus

1. Clarity Only

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.13

Κέχρηται δὲ **λέξει κυρία κατὰ τῶν πραγμάτων** – ἦν, ὅτι **ἰδιωτάτη** ἔστιν – Ἀριστοφάνης, **ὁ γραμματικὸς**, αἰτιᾶται

He makes use of the **principle terminology** in accordance with the situations – **which**, because It is **very particular** – Aristophanes, **the grammarian**, criticizes [*him for it*]

σαφής δ' ἦν οὕτως ὥς καὶ ἐν τῷ **Περὶ Ῥητορικῆς**, Ἀξιοῖ **μηδὲν ἄλλο ἢ σαφήνειαν** ἀπαιτεῖν

and He was **so clear** that **even in his** *On Rhetoric*, He expects **nothing else** other than **requiring clarity**

ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
κύριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	principle [here, "standard"]	ΚΥΡΙΟΣ <i>critical / valid</i>
κύριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	principle	ΚΥΡΙΟΣ <i>critical / valid</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular [here, "idiosyncratic"]	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ἀξιοῦν	to expect	ΑΞΙΟΥΝ <i>counterbalancing</i>

2. No Rhetorical "Enhancements"

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 1.5.14

"sed existimo te sicut nostrum Triarium minus ab eo [Epicuro] delectari, quod ista Platonis Aristoteli Theophrasti orationis ornamenta neglexerit. nam illud quidem adduci uix possum, ut ea quae senserit ille tibi non uera uideantur"

(Torquatus to Cicero) "I think that you, like our friend Triarius, are displeased with Epicurus because he neglected the rhetorical embellishments of Plato, Aristotle, and Theophrastus. I find it hard indeed to figure out why you think his opinions untrue."

"Vide quantum, inquam, fallare, Torquate. oratio me istius philosophi non offendit: nam et complectitur uerbis quod uolt et dicit plane quod intellegam – et tamen ego a philosopho, si afferat eloquentiam, non asperner; si non habeat, non admodum flagitem. re mihi non aequae satis facit, et quidem locis pluribus"

(Cicero to Torquatus) "You will see, Torquatus" said I, "how mistaken you are. I am not annoyed by this philosopher's style. He is straightforward, expressing simple and plain concepts in a way that is easy to understand; though I do not despise eloquence in a philosopher either – but if he doesn't have it, I do not insist on it. It's in the contents where he does not satisfy me, and in many places."

3. Unconventional Use of Some Terminology

Aulus Gellius, Attic Nights, 2.9.1

Aulus Gellius (fl. 165 CE) was a Roman politician and the compiler of the "Attic Nights" which has many quotes from lost works.

Quod idem Plūtarchus ēvidentī calumniā verbum ab Epicūrō dictum insectātus sit: In eōdem librō idem Plūtarchus eundem Epicūrum reprehendit, quod verbō ūsus sit parum propriō et aliēnae significātiōnis.

How the same Plutarch, with obvious captiousness, criticized the use of a word by Epicurus: In the same book [of Homer], Plutarch also finds fault a second time with Epicurus for using an inappropriate word and giving it an incorrect meaning.

Ita enim scripsit Epicurus "Ὅρος τοῦ μεγέθους τῶν ἡδονῶν ἢ παντὸς τοῦ ἀλγοῦντος ὑπεξαίρεσις."

Now Epicurus wrote as follows "The utmost height of pleasure is the removal of everything that pains."

Nōn inquit 'παντὸς τοῦ ἀλγοῦντος' sed 'παντὸς τοῦ ἀλγεινοῦ' dīcere oportuit – dētractiō enim significandī est dolōris, nōn, inquit, dolentis.

[Plutarch] declares that [Epicurus] ought not to have said "of everything that pains (**ἀλγοῦντος**)" but "of everything that is painful (**ἀλγεινοῦ**)" – for it is the removal of pain (**doloris**), he explains, that should be indicated, not of that which causes pain (**dolentis**).

nimis minute ac prope etiam subfrigide Plutarchus in Epicuro accusando λεξιθηρεῖ – has enim curas vocum verborumque elegantias non modo non sectatur Epicurus, sed etiam insectatur.

Plutarch, in his accusation against Epicurus, is overly meticulous and rather cold, practicing text-hunting (**λεξιθηρεῖ**) – for these concerns of eloquence in words and phrases Epicurus not only does not seek out (**sectatur**) but actually attacks (**insectatur**).

ἡ τοῦ ἀλγοῦντος ὑπεξαίρεσις	detractio dolentis	<i>centers on the individual who is experiencing pain</i>
ἡ τοῦ ἀλγεινοῦ ὑπεξαίρεσις	detractio doloris	<i>centers on the experience of pain itself (Plutarch prefers this)</i>

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.4.12

Itaque hoc frequenter dici solet a uobis non intellegere nos quam dicat Epicurus uoluptatem...

You Epicureans frequently say to us that we do not correctly understand what pleasure might be which Epicurus refers to...

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2 (5) 15

Satisne igitur videor vim verborum tenere, an sum etiam nunc vel Graece loqui vel Latine docendus?

Do I seem to grasp the force of the words well enough, or must I still be taught to speak either Greek or Latin?

et tamen vide, ne, si ego non intellegam quid Epicurus loquatur, cum Graece, ut videor, luculenter sciam, sit aliqua culpa eius, qui ita loquatur, ut non intellegatur.

And even supposing that I do not understand what Epicurus says, still I believe I really have a very clear knowledge of Greek, so that perhaps it is partly his fault for using such unintelligible language.

quod duobus modis sine reprehensione fit, si aut de industria facias, ut Heraclitus, 'cognomento qui σκοτεινός perhibetur, quia de natura nimis obscure memoravit', aut cum rerum obscuritas, non verborum, facit ut non intellegatur oratio, qualis est in Timaeo Platonis.

Obscurity may be excused for two reasons: it might be intentional, like with Heraclitus "The surname of the Obscure who bore, So dark his philosophic lore" or the obscurity may be due to the abstruseness of the subject and not of the style – an instance of this is Plato's Timaeus.

Epicurus autem, ut opinor, nec non volt, si possit, plane et aperte loqui, nec de re obscura, ut physici, aut artificiosa, ut mathematici – sed de illustri et facili et iam in uolgis peruagata loquitur.

but Epicurus, as far as I can tell, neither refuses to speak in a simple and explicit manner whenever he can, nor does he speak here about an obscure subject, such as physics, or an artificial and technical subject, like mathematics – but he speaks of something evident, easy, and already widespread among the the crowd.

4. Unconventional Writing Style

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1 (31) 85

in hāc ita expositā sententiā, sunt Quī existiment quod Ille inscitiā plānē loquendī fēcerat – fēcisse cōsultō: dē homine minimē vafrō, male Existimant

(Cotta speaking about Epicurus' first Principal Doctrine)

in this statement presented in this way, there are Those who believe that what He composed from ignorance in clearly speaking – he had done deliberately: They incorrectly judge regarding the man that is not at all clever

5. Epicurus condemned Dialogues

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.6.18

dum dialecticam contemnit Epicurus – quae ūna continet omnem et perspiciendī, quid in quāque rē sit, scientiam et iūdicandī, quale sit quidque, et ratiōne ac viā disputandī – ruit in dicendō, ut mihi quidem vidētur, nec ea quae docēre vult ullā arte distinguit.

while Epicurus scorns dialectic – which alone contains all knowledge both of seeing what each thing is and of judging what sort of thing something is, and of reasoning and the method of discussion – he, as it seems to me, collapses in speaking, and he distinguishes none of the things he wishes to teach by any method.

6. Blunt & Unpolished

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.9.27

Contemnit enim disserendī ēlegantiam, cōfūsē loquitur

For he despises the elegance of discourse, he speaks in disorder

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Divination, 2.50.18

Epicūrum, quem hebetem et rudem dīcere solent Stoicī

Epicurus, whom the Stoics usually describe as blunt and uncultivated

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Brutus, 1.44.123

[T. Albucius] fuit Athēnīs adūlescēns, perfectus Epicūreus ēvāsērat: minimē aptum ad dicendum genus

Titus Albucius grew up in Athens and left there a perfect Epicurean: typically lacking the capacity for eloquence

Titus Albucius (fl. around 100 BCE) was a Roman orator and Praetor who was educated in Athens. He faced multiple legal challenges in Rome, ultimately being convicted of extortion (Repetundae Pecuniae). Following his conviction, he went into exile and settled in Athens.

Aelius Theon (fl.c. 75 CE), Preliminary Exercises, 2.168

Aelius Theon wrote "Preliminary Exercises" (Progymnasmata), a training manual for orators.

Ἐπιμελητέον δὲ καὶ τῆς συνθέσεως τῶν ὀνομάτων πάντα διδάσκοντα ἐξ ὧν Διαφεύξονται τὸ κακῶς συντιθέναι – καὶ μάλιστα δὲ τὴν ἔμμετρον καὶ ἔνρυθμον λέξιν – ὡς τὰ πολλὰ τῶν Ἡγησίου τοῦ ῥήτορος, καὶ τῶν Ἀσιανῶν καλουμένων ῥητόρων, καὶ τινὰ τῶν Ἐπικούρου:

It is also necessary to take care of teaching everything in the composition of words from which [students] will escape composing poorly – and especially the metrical and rhythmical style – as many [works] of Hegesias the rhetor, and [the works] of the so-called Asiatic rhetors, and certain [works] of Epicurus

οἷά που καὶ πρὸς Ἰδομενέα Γράφει "ὦ, πάντα τάμὰ κινήματα τερπνὰ νομίσας ἐκ νέου" καὶ τῶν περιφερομένων δ' ὡς ἐκείνου (Ἡμεῖς δ' οὐδέπω καὶ νῦν αὐτὰ Εὐρίσκομεν ἐν τοῖς συγγράμμασιν αὐτοῦ) "Λέγε δὴ μοι, Πολύαινε – Συναπέριμεν – μεγάλη Χαρὰ γένηται. ὡς Χαρῶ! ὡς Τέρψωμαι!"

such as He apparently writes to Idomeneus "O, [you who] perceived all my movements enjoyable from youth" and of those circulating as his (We have not yet even now found these in his writings) "Tell me, Polyaeus – when We journey together – that great Joy arises. how I rejoice! how I delight!"

τὰ οὖν τοιαῦτα καὶ τελέως ἐστὶν ἐπίμεμπτα – καὶ πρόδηλον ἔχει τὴν κακίαν τῆς συνθέσεως: συγγνώμης δ' ἄξιον ὅταν εἰς ἐκεῖνά Τις ἐμπέσῃ ποτὲ τὰ μέτρα – Ἄπερ ἔχει ὁμοιότητα πρὸς τὸ πεζόν (οἷόν ἐστι τὸ ἱαμβικόν)

such things therefore are completely blameworthy – and evidently possess the badness of composition: but worthy of pardon when Someone occasionally falls into those meters – Which have a similarity to prose (such as the iambic)

Writers preferring the older Attic style (which they viewed as more concise and pure) often labeled other writers using a later style as being too ornate, elaborate, effeminate and Eastern (the East was generally associated with wealth and easy living). Note that Theon admits he does not have a citation for what he quotes.

ἐμός (ή, όν)	my	ΕΜΟΣ <i>mine</i>
ή κίνησης αί κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
τὸ κίνημα τὰ κινήματα	[specific] movement	ΚΙΝΗΜΑ <i>result of moving</i>
τερπνός (ή, όν)	delightful	ΤΕΡΠΝΟΣ <i>satisfying</i>
τέρπειν	to delight	ΤΕΡΠΕΙΝ <i>satisfying</i>
χαίρειν	to rejoice [used for "hello/goodbye"]	ΧΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>
ή χαρά αί χαραί	joy	ΧΑΡΑ <i>being cheerful</i>

8. Order of Topics in Epicurus' "Symposium"

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), The Learned Banqueters, 5.187C

Athenaeus of Naucratis (fl.c. 200 CE), wrote Deipnosophistai "The Dinner Experts" or "The Learned Banqueters" a long comedic dialogue filled with many literary references.

Πάλιν Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ Συμποσίῳ ζητεῖ περὶ δυσπεψίας ὥστ' οἰωνίσασθαι, εἴθ' ἐξῆς περὶ πυρετῶν. τὴν μὲν γὰρ ἐπιτρέχουσαν τῇ λέξει ἀρρυθμίαν τί δεῖ καὶ λέγειν;

Epicurus poses questions in his Symposium about indigestion as a means of obtaining omens, and then immediately after this discusses fevers. Why should I even mention the rhythmlessness that permeates his style?

9. Discoverer of Truth – Speaking of Emotions

Cleomedes, Lectures on Astronomy, 2.1

Cleomedes (fl. perhaps around 200 CE) was a Stoic philosopher and astronomer who wrote Kyklikē Theōria Meteōrōn "The Cyclical Theory of Meteors," which is also known as "Lectures on Astronomy" or "The Heavens."

Οὕτω καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ὡς δὴ τις ὦν ἀλαζονεύεται, ἑαυτὸν γε ἐν τοῖς φιλοσόφοις καταριθμεῖν ἐπιχειρῶν – καὶ οὐ μόνον γε, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰ πρωτεῖα φέρεσθαι διαβεβαιούμενος καὶ ταύτη γε καὶ τοῦ Θερσίτου θρασύτερον ἑαυτὸν ἀποφαίνων.

So Epicurus boasts as if he were someone significant, trying to count himself among the philosophers – and not only that, but he insists on taking the lead, showing himself to be even bolder than Thersites.

Ἐκεῖνος μὲν γὰρ μόνον ὡς ἀριστεύς καὶ ἴσος τοῖς βασιλεῦσιν ἀλαζονεύεται, οὐκέτι δὲ καὶ τὰ πρωτεῖα ἀπονέμει ἑαυτῷ, οὗτος δὲ ὑπὸ πολλῆς τῆς σοφίας καὶ ἐπιστήμης μόνος ἀνευρηκέναι τὴν ἀλήθειαν διαβεβαιοῦται καὶ διὰ τοῦτο καὶ τὰ πρωτεῖα φέρεσθαι ἀξιοῖ.

For the latter boasted only of being the best and equal to kings, not claiming the foremost place for himself, but this man, under the pretense of great wisdom and knowledge, asserts that he alone has discovered the truth and therefore deserves the leading position.

Ὡστε πολὺ ἂν τις δικαιότερόν μοι ἐδόκει πρὸς αὐτὸν εἰπεῖν "Θερσίτ' ἀκριτόμουθε, λιγὺς περ ἐὼν ἀγορητής, ἰσχεο!" (Homer, Iliad 2.246-247) Οὐδὲ γὰρ "λιγὺν" ἔγωγε τοῦτον ἂν τὸν Θερσίτην (Epicurum) καθάπερ ὁ Ὀδυσσεὺς ἐκείνον, εἵποιμι.

That is why I would believe it to be quite wrong for someone to say to him: "Babbling Thersites, clear orator though you are, be silent!" For I would not also call this Thersites (Epicurus) "clear" as Odysseus does the Homeric one,

ἐπεὶ γε πρὸς τοῖς ἄλλοις καὶ τὰ κατὰ τὴν ἐρμηνείαν αὐτῷ διεφθορότα ἐστί, σαρκὸς εὐσταθῆ καταστήματα λέγοντι καὶ τὰ περὶ ταύτης πιστὰ ἐλπίσματα, καὶ λίπασμα ὀφθαλμῶν τὸ δάκρυον ὀνομάζοντι καὶ ἱερὰ ἀνακραυγάσματα καὶ γαργαλισμοὺς σώματος καὶ ληκήματα καὶ ἄλλας τοιαύτας κακὰς ἄτας.

when on top of everything else his mode of expression is also elaborately corrupt. He speaks of "tranquil conditions of flesh" and "the confident expectations regarding it" and describes a tear as a "glistening of the eyes" and speaks of "sacred ululations" and "titillations of the body" and "debaucheries" and other such dreadful horrors.

ὦν τὰ μὲν ἐκ χαμαιτυπείων ἂν τις εἶναι φήσειε, τὰ δὲ ὅμοια τοῖς λεγομένοις ἐν τοῖς Δημητρίοις ὑπὸ τῶν Θεσμοφοριαζουσῶν γυναικῶν, τὰ δὲ ἀπὸ μέσης τῆς προσευχῆς καὶ τῶν ἐπ' αὐταῖς προσαιτούντων Ἰουδαϊκά τινα καὶ παρακεχαραγμένα καὶ κατὰ πολὺ τῶν ἐρπετῶν ταπεινότερα.

Some of these expressions might be said to be from the brothels, others similar to the things being said at the Demetria by the Thesmophoria-observing women, still others, from the middle of the prayers and from those begging in them, are both distinctly something Jewish and much lower than the reptiles.

All the criticized phrases include a "-ματα" superlative (and a "-ματος") whose usage rose in later Greek. This feature that is present in the quotes might be, in part, what Cleomedes critiques.

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), *Against the Grammarians*, 1.1-5

Sextus Empiricus, was a Pyrrhonist philosopher, who accordingly wrote about many other philosophers with skepticism.

τὴν πρὸς τοὺς ἀπὸ τῶν μαθημάτων ἀντίρρῃσιν κοινότερον μὲν διατεθεῖσθαι δοκοῦσιν Οἷ τε περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον καὶ Οἱ ἀπὸ τοῦ Πύρρωνος ᾠ οὐκ ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς δέ διαθέσεως

both those around Epicurus and those around Pyrrho seem more commonly inclined to the opposition toward those from the professions – but not from the same standpoint

ἀλλ’ Οἱ μὲν περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον ὡς τῶν μαθημάτων μηδέν συνεργούντων πρὸς σοφίας τελείωσιν – ἥ (ὥς Τινες εἰκάζουσι) τοῦτο προκάλυμμα τῆς ἑαυτῶν ἀταιδευσίας εἶναι Νομίζοντες (ἐν πολλοῖς γὰρ ἀμαθῆς Ἐπίκουρος ἐλέγχεται ᾠ οὐδὲ ἐν ταῖς κοιναῖς ὁμιλίαις καθαρεύων)

Those around Epicurus [*oppose the professions, on the grounds*] that the professions contribute nothing to the perfection of wisdom – or (as some conjecture) thinking this is a cover for their own lack of education (for in many areas Epicurus is refuted as stupid – not even speaking correctly in common conversations)

τὸ μάθημα τὰ μαθήματα	profession	ΜΑΘΗΜΑ <i>the result of learning</i>
ἡ ὁμιλία αἱ ὁμιλῖαι	association [here, "conversation"]	ὍΜΙΛΙΑ <i>company</i>

11. Use of Sacred Language

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), *De Natura Deorum*, 2.17.46

Hic quam volet Epicūrus iocētur – Homō nōn aptissimus ad iocandum – minimēque resipiēns patriam

(Balbus) Let Epicurus joke as much as he wishes – a Man not very well-suited to joking – and hardly having the flavor of his fatherland

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), *De Natura Deorum*, 1.44.123

at etiam liber est Epicūrī De Sanctitātē? Lūdīmur ab homine nōn tam facētō quam ad scrībendī licentiam liberō.

(Cotta) There is even a book by Epicurus On Holiness? We are played by a man not so much witty as free with the license to write.

quae enim potest esse Sanctitās – sī Dīī humana nōn cūrant?

what can Holiness exist – if the Gods do not care for humans?

quae autem animāns Nātūra nihil cūrāns?

what is a living Nature that cares about nothing?

12. Chorus of Epicureans
Standing on Fixed Criteria

Dionysius of Halicarnassus (fl. 20 ^{BCE}), *On the Composition of Words*, 24.188

Dionysius of Halicarnassus was a historian, classicist, and teacher of rhetoric – as well as one of the rumor-spreading madmen (**Οἱ Μεμνηότες**) mentioned by Diogenes Laertius

Ἐπικουρείων δὲ χορόν, οἷς Οὐδὲν μέλει **τούτων**, Παραιτούμεθα. τὸ γὰρ "οὐκ **ἐπιπόνου τοῦ γράφειν** ὄντως" ὥς αὐτὸς Ἐπίκουρος λέγει "**τοῖς μὴ στοχαζομένοις τοῦ πυκνὰ μεταπίπτοντος κριτηρίου!**" **πολλῆς ἀργίας** ἦν καὶ **σκαιότητος** **ἀλεξιφάρμακον**

We reject the chorus of Epicureans, for whom none of these things matter. The [idea] that "the tediousness of composing does not exist" as Epicurus himself says "for those not aiming at frequently shifting criterion!" was a counter-remedy for much idleness and awkwardness

Any attempt to build perfect understanding will collide with the shifting and relative nature of reality.

ἐπίπονος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	tedious	ΕΠΙΠΟΝΟΣ <i>upon toil</i>
γράφειν	to compose	ΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing</i>
στοχαζόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	aiming at	ΣΤΟΧΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>target</i>
πυκνός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	dense	ΠΥΚΝΟΣ <i>compacting</i>
πυκνὰ	frequently	
μεταπίπτειν	to shift	ΜΕΤΑΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling differently</i>
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	criterion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of</i> <i>dividing</i>

1. On Choices & Avoidances
Περὶ Αἱρέσεων καὶ Φυγῶν

[U1]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.27

...Κύριαι Δόξαι. **Περὶ Αἱρέσεων καὶ Φυγῶν. Περὶ Τέλους...**

...Principal Doctrines. *On Choices and Avoidances. On Fulfillment...*

1. Untroubledness & Painlessness – Established Pleasures

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.136

Διαφέρεται δὲ πρὸς τοὺς Κυρηναϊκοὺς περὶ τῆς ἡδονῆς: Οἱ μὲν γὰρ **τὴν καταστηματικὴν** οὐκ ἐγκρίνουσι, μόνην δὲ **τὴν ἐν κινήσει** – Ὁ δὲ **ἀμφοτέραν: ψυχῆς καὶ σώματος** (ὥς φησιν ἐν τῷ **Περὶ Αἱρέσεως καὶ Φυγῆς** καὶ ἐν τῷ **Περὶ Τέλους** καὶ ἐν τῷ πρώτῳ **Περὶ Βίων** καὶ ἐν τῇ πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Μυτιλήνῃ φιλοσόφους ἐπιστολῇ)

[Epicurus] differs from the Cyrenaics with regard to pleasure: [the Cyrenaics] do not include that [pleasure] which is established, but only that which consists in motion – He [admits] both: [pleasure] of mind and of body (as he states in his work *On Choice and Avoidance* and in that *On the Ethical End* and in the first book of his work *On Human Life* and in the epistle to his philosopher friends in Mytilene)

[U2]

ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ Διογένης ἐν τῇ ἐπτακαιδεκάτῃ τῶν Ἐπιλέκτων καὶ Μητρόδωρος, ἐν τῷ Τιμοκράτει, λέγουσιν οὕτω "...**νοουμένης δὲ ἡδονῆς τῆς τε κατὰ κίνησιν καὶ τῆς καταστηματικῆς**"

and similarly both Diogenes [of Tarsus] in the seventeenth book of his *Epilecta* and Metrodorus, in his *Timocrates*, speak in this way "...while pleasure is considered both according to motion and as established"

Diogenes of Tarsus (Διογένης ὁ Ταρσεύς) was an Epicurean philosopher (2nd century ^{BCE}). We know he wrote "Selections (Ἐπιλέκτα)," which was at least 20 books long – as well as an "Epitome of Epicurus' Ethical Doctrines."

Timocrates of Lampsacus (Τιμοκράτης) was Metrodorus's older brother. He left the school due to Epicurus' understanding that pleasure was the telos – and wrote a book "Delights (Εὐφράντα)" slandering the school.

ὁ δ’ Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Αἰρέσεων* οὕτω λέγει "ἡ μὲν γὰρ Ἀταραξία καὶ Ἀπονία καταστηματικαὶ εἰσιν ἡδοναί – ἡ δὲ Χαρὰ καὶ ἡ Εὐφροσύνη κατὰ κίνησιν ἐνεργείᾳ βλέπονται"

Epicurus in *On Choice* speaks in this way "Undisturbedness and Non-suffering exist as established pleasures – but Joy and Merriment are seen from movement through activity"

ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἭΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
τὸ σύστημα τὰ συστήματα	structure	ΣΥΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing together</i>
καθιστάναι	to establish	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly standing</i>
καταστηματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	established	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΗΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of thoroughly standing</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
κινεῖν	to move	ΚΙΝΕΙΝ <i>moving</i>
ἡ ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ἡ ἀπονία αἱ ἀπονίαι	non-suffering	ΑΠΟΝΙΑ <i>no toil</i>
ἡ χαρά αἱ χαραί	joy	ΧΑΡΑ <i>being cheerful</i>
ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
ἡ φροντίς αἱ φροντίδες	consideration	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΣ <i>mind</i>
φρονεῖν	to consider	ΦΡΟΝΕΙΝ <i>mind</i>
ἡ εὐφροσύνη αἱ εὐφροσύναι	merriment	ΕΥΦΡΟΣΥΝΗ <i>condition of good mind</i>
τὸ ἐνέργημα τὰ ἐνεργήματα	an activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of working within</i>
ἡ ἐνέργεια αἱ ἐνεργεiai	activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΕΙΑ <i>working within</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>

2. Pleasure from Movement

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), *De Finibus*, 2.16

sin autem voluptātem putat adiungendam eam quae sit "in mōtū" – sic enim appellat hanc dulcem "in mōtū" – illam nihil dolentis "in stabilitāte," quid Tendit?

but if He thinks that pleasure ought to be joined with that which is "in motion" – for in this way He calls this sweet one "in motion" – that of no pain, [he calls] "in stability," what is He aiming at?

3. Pleasure is our Guide from Birth

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.31

Quam multa vitiosa! summum enim bonum et malum vagiēns puer utrā voluptāte diiūdicābit? 'stante' an 'movente'? (quoniam, sī dis placet, ab Epicūrō loquī discimus.)

What a mass of fallacies! Indeed, how will a crying newborn judge the highest good and evil? 'static' or 'in movement'? (Inasmuch as, heaven help us, we learn our language from Epicurus.)

4. Stable Pleasure is based in Active Pleasure

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.75

tum enim eam ipsam vīs, quam modo ego dīxī, et nōmen impōnis "in mōtū" ut sit et faciat aliquam varietātem – tum aliam quandam summam voluptātem, quō addī nihil possit, eam tum adesse, cum dolor omnis absit: eam 'stabilem' appellās

for at one moment you mean by it the feeling that I have just defined, and this you entitle "in motion" as it produces a definite change of feeling – but at another moment you say it is quite a different feeling, to which nothing can be added, when all pain is gone: this you call 'stable' pleasure

5. Aristotle: All Pleasure is Kinetic

Aristotle, Eudēmeian Ethics, 8.1249a

Καὶ περὶ ἡδονῆς δ' εἴρηται ποῖόν τι καὶ πῶς ἀγαθόν, καὶ ὅτι τὰ τε ἀπλῶς ἡδέα καὶ καλὰ καὶ τὰ τε ἀπλῶς ἀγαθὰ ἡδέα.

We have also spoken about the nature of pleasure and the manner in which it is a good, and have said that things pleasant absolutely are also fine and that things good absolutely are also pleasant.

οὐ γίνεται δὲ ἡδονὴ μὴ ἐν πράξει – διὰ τοῦτο ὁ ἀληθῶς εὐδαίμων καὶ ἥδιστα ζήσει, καὶ τοῦτο οὐ μάτην οἱ ἄνθρωποι ἀξιοῦσιν

Pleasure does not occur except in action – on this account the truly happy man will also live most pleasantly, and it is not without reason that people think this.

ἐπεὶ δ' ἐστὶ τις ὁρος καὶ τῷ ἰατρῷ, πρὸς ὃν ἀναφέρων κρίνει τὸ ὑγιεινὸν σῶματι καὶ μὴ – καὶ πρὸς ὃν μέχρι ποσοῦ ποιητέον ἕκαστον καὶ εὖ ὑγιαῖνον, εἰ δὲ ἔλαττον ἢ πλεόν, οὐκέτι: οὕτω καὶ τῷ σπουδαίῳ περὶ τὰς πράξεις καὶ αἰρέσεις τῶν φύσει μὲν ἀγαθῶν οὐκ ἐπαινετῶν δὲ δεῖ τινα εἶναι ὅρον καὶ τῆς ἕξεως καὶ τῆς αἰρέσεως καὶ περὶ φυγῆς

But since a doctor has a certain standard by referring to which he judges the body healthy or not – and in relation to which each thing up to a certain point ought to be done and is wholesome, but if less is done, or more, it ceases to be wholesome, so in regard to actions and choices of things good by nature but not laudable a virtuous man ought to have a certain standard both of character and of choice and avoidance

2. Anaximénēs

Ἀναξιμένης

...*Περὶ βασιλείας. Αναξιμένης. Ἐπιστολαί...*

...*On Kingship. Anaximénēs. Letters...*

Usener: Perhaps the title was 'Anaximénēs On Kingship.' You might believe that the book was thus titled Anaximénēs' 'Changes of Kings.' Plentiful and favorable subject matter was provided for debating the miserable life of kings.

Excess and Insolence of Kings

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), *The Learned Banqueters*, 12.531D

Ἀναξιμένης δ’ ἐν τῷ ἐπιγραφομένῳ Βασιλέων Μεταλλαγαὶ περὶ τοῦ Στράτωνος τὰ αὐτὰ ἱστορήσας διημιλλῆσθαι φησιν αὐτὸν Νικοκλεῖ τῷ τῆς ἐν Κύπρῳ Σαλαμῖνος βασιλεύσαντι ἐσπουδακóτι περὶ τρυφὴν καὶ ἀσέλγειαν – ἀποθανεῖν τ’ ἀμφοτέρους βιαίως

Anaximénēs, in his book entitled the *Reverses of Kings*, giving the same account of Strátonos, says that he was always endeavouring to rival Nicocles, who was the king of Salamis in Cyprus, and who was exceedingly devoted to excess and insolence – and that they both came to a violent end

*Both Strátonos and Nicocles probably died during the revolt of satraps around 361 ^{BCE}, twenty years before Epicurus was born. The author of this book *Reverses of Kings* would be Anaximénēs of Lampsacus (fl. 340 ^{BCE}, about 40 years before Epicurus). Anaximénēs studied under Diogenes the Cynic, joined Alexander's Persian campaigns, and wrote about Homer, history, and rhetoric.*

Alexander Threatens Lampsacus

Pausanias, *Description of Greece*, 6.18.3,4

Pausanias (fl. 150 ^{CE}) was a Greek geographer archaeologist. He wrote that Anaximénēs stopped Alexander from attacking Lampsacus.

Λαμψακηνῶν τὰ βασιλέως τοῦ Περσῶν φρονησάντων ἢ καὶ αἰτίαν φρονῆσαι λαβόντων, ὁ Ἀλέξανδρος ἄτε ὑπερζέων ἐς αὐτοὺς τῇ ὀργῇ κακῶν ἠπεῖλει τὰ μέγιστα ἐργάσασθαι: οἱ δὲ ἄτε θέοντες περὶ γυναικῶν τε καὶ παίδων καὶ αὐτῆς πατρίδος ἀποστέλλουσιν Ἀναξιμένην ἱκετεύειν, Ἀλεξάνδρῳ τε αὐτῷ καὶ ἔτι Φιλίππῳ πρότερον γεγονότα ἐν γνώσει.

The people of Lampsacus favored the cause of the Persian king, or were suspected of doing so, and Alexander, boiling over with rage against them, threatened to treat them with utmost rigor. As their wives, their children, and their country itself were in great danger, they sent Anaximénēs to intercede for them, because he was known to Alexander himself and had been known to Philip before him.

[Pausanias] Προσῆει τε ὁ Ἀναξιμένης, καὶ τὸν Ἀλέξανδρον, πεπυσμένον καθ’ ἥντινα αἰτίαν ἦκοι, κατομόσασθαι φασιν ἐπονομάζοντα θεοὺς τοὺς Ἑλλήνων ἢ μὴν αὐτοῦ ταῖς δεήσεσιν ὅποσα ἐστὶν ἐναντία ἐργάσασθαι. ἔνθα δὲ εἶπεν Ἀναξιμένης 'χαρίσασθαι μοι τήνδε ὦ βασιλεῦ τὴν χάριν, ἐξανδραποδίσασθαι μὲν γυναῖκας καὶ τέκνα Λαμψακηνῶν, καταβαλεῖν δὲ καὶ ἐς ἔδαφος τὴν πόλιν πᾶσαν, τὰ δὲ ἱερὰ τῶν θεῶν σφισιν ἐμπρῆσαι'. ὁ μὲν ταῦτα ἔλεγεν, Ἀλέξανδρος δὲ οὔτε πρὸς τὸ σόφισμα ἀντιμηχανήσασθαι τι εὐρίσκων καὶ ἐνεχόμενος τῇ ἀνάγκῃ τοῦ ὄρκου συγγνώμην ἔνεμεν οὐκ ἐθέλων Λαμψακηνοῖς.

Anaximénēs approached, and when Alexander learned for what cause he had come, they say that he swore by the gods of Greece, whom he named, that he would verily do the opposite of what Anaximénēs asked. Thereupon Anaximénēs said, "Grant me, O king, this favour. Enslave the women and children of the people of Lampsacus, raze the whole city even to the ground, and burn the sanctuaries of their gods." Such were his words; and Alexander, finding no way to counter the trick, and bound by the compulsion of his oath, unwillingly pardoned the people of Lampsacus.

Darius III was defeated by Alexander the same year Metrodorus of Lampsacus was born (when Epicurus was 15). So this event would have occurred a little earlier – before the birth of Metrodorus, when Polyaeus of Lampsacus was a child.

Perhaps instead Epicurus' work was a polemic against Anaximénēs of Miletus and his monism. Anaximénēs of Miletus (flourished 545 ^{BCE}, about 250 years before Epicurus) believed that air (ἀήρ) can change into water, earth, and fire – and into everything else – by getting thicker or thinner.

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 2.3

Ἀναξιμένης Εὐρυστράτου Μιλήσιος ἤκουσεν Ἀναξιμάνδρου. ἔνιοι δὲ καὶ Παρμενίδου φασὶν ἀκοῦσαι αὐτόν. οὗτος **ἀρχὴν ἀέρα** εἶπε καὶ **τὸ ἄπειρον**. κινεῖσθαι δὲ τὰ ἄστρο οὐχ ὑπὸ γῆν, ἀλλὰ περὶ γῆν. κέχρηταί τε λέξει ἰάδι ἀπλῇ καὶ ἀπερίττω. Καὶ γεγένηται μὲν, καθά φησιν Ἀπολλόδωρος, περὶ τὴν Σάρδεων ἄλωσιν, ἐτελεύτησε δὲ τῇ ἐξηκοστῇ τρίτῃ Ὀλυμπιάδι. Γεγόνασι δὲ καὶ ἄλλοι δύο Λαμψακηνοί, ῥήτωρ καὶ ἱστορικός, ὃς ἀδελφῆς υἱὸς ἦν τοῦ ῥήτορος τοῦ τὰς Ἀλεξάνδρου πράξεις γεγραφότος.

Anaximénēs, the son of Eurystratus, a native of Miletus, was a pupil of Anaximander. According to some, he was also a pupil of Parmenides. He took for his **first principle, air** or **that which is unlimited**. He held that the stars move round the earth but do not go under it. He writes simply and unaffectedly in the Ionic dialect. According to Apollodorus he was contemporary with the taking of Sardis and died in the 63rd Olympiad. There have been two other men named Anaximénēs, both of Lampsacus, the one a rhetorician who wrote on the achievements of Alexander, the other, the nephew of the rhetorician, who was a historian.

[U3]

* On Ambiguity

Περὶ Ἀμφιβολίας

Errors come from Self-Deception

Epicurus, *On Nature*, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 5 inf.)

| P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 6 sup.)

καταγέλαστον γὰρ [δὴ] τι καὶ τοῦτ' ἐστὶν ὅταμ... ...ψε[ῦδος]... ...μ[ὴ ἔ]τερο[ν]... ...μεταφορὰς ποι[εῖν φωνῶ]ν ἐπὶ τὰ ἀγνω[στα] ὑ[πὸ τῶν γν]ωστῶν, ἀλλὰ διὰ τὰς αὐ[τ]ῶμ πλάνας ἃς λέγ[ο]μεν ἐν το[ῖς] Περὶ Ἀμ[φ]ιβολίας ἡμῖν ἀναγεγραμ|μένοις. ἀλλὰ γὰρ τί τούτων ἡμῖν τῶν ἀνθρώπων; πολλαχοῦ γὰρ διώρισται μέχρι πόσου φροντιστέον αὐτῶν ἅς ποτ' ἂν ἔχῃσι καθ' ἡμῶν δόξας, καὶ μέχρι πόσου τε καὶ ἐμ ποίωι λόγον οὐδένα ἐκτέον. αἰ[εῖ] δὲ τῶν εὐπαγῶν ἔνεκα πρὸς εὐδαιμονίαν καὶ τῶν κατασκευαζομένων τοὺς λόγους π[οι]οῦν τέον, ἐπειδὴ [π]ερ... ...τόμ β[ί]ον...

καταγέλαστον γὰρ δὴ τι καὶ Τοῦτ' ἐστὶν ὅταμ... ...Ψεῦδος... ...μὴ ἕτερον... ...μεταφορὰς ποιεῖν φωνῶν ἐπὶ τὰ ἀγνωστα ὑπὸ τῶν γνωστῶν – ἀλλὰ διὰ τὰς αὐτῶν πλάνας ἃς λέγομεν ἐν τοῖς *Περὶ Ἀμφιβολίας* ἡμῖν ἀναγεγραμμένοις.

for This too is indeed **something thoroughly ridiculous**, **whenever...**
...Falsity... ...**not different...** ...**[not because other people are]** making transfers of statements onto unknown **[objects of thought]** from known **[objects]** – but because of their own mistakes, which we discuss in the **[books]** that have been written by us **[entitled] *On Ambiguity***...

[Sedley] For another absurdity is when... [not because] others transfer words from the class of that which is knowable to denote that which is unknowable, but because of their own errors, which we point out in our work *On Ambiguity*.

3. Declarations

Αἱ Ἀναφωνήσεις

ἡ ἀναφωνήσις		ΑΝΑΦΩΝΗΣΙΣ
αἱ ἀναφωνήσεις	declaration	speaking up

Clarification of Terms – The Brief Anger of the Wise Man

Philodemus (fl.c. 70^{BCE}), On Anger, P.Herc. 182, col. 44 fin. – col. 45

Philodemus of Gádara was a student of Zeno of Sidon.

“Ὁ τε ἐπιθυμῶν τῆς κολάσεως οὕτω[ι] παρίσταθ' ὥς πρὸς αἰρετὸν δι' αὐτὸ τὴν τιμωρίαν – εἴ γε καὶ συνκαταδύνειν προαιρεῖται, σοφὸν δὲ μανία καὶ διανοεῖσθαι παριστάμενον ὥς πρὸς τοιοῦτο τὴν κόλασιν... ἀρέσκει δὲ καὶ τοῖς Καθηγεμόσιν οὐ τὸ κατ[ᾶ] τὴν πρόληψιν [τι] αὐτὴν θυμωθήσεσθαι τὸν σο[φ]όν, ἀλλὰ τὸ κατὰ τὴν κοι[ν]οτέραν. καὶ γὰρ ὁ Ἐπίκου[ρ]ος ἐν ταῖς Ἀναφωνήσεσιν [δ]ιασαφεῖ [τό] τε θυμωθήσεσθαι καὶ [τὸ] μετρίως· καὶ ὁ Μητρόδω[ρ]ος, εἰ κυρ[ί]ως λέγει, τὸ [τοῦ] σοφοῦ θυμὸς συνεμφα[ίνε]ι τὸ λίαν βραχέως.

“Ὁ τε ἐπιθυμῶν τῆς κολάσεως οὕτω παρίσταθ' ὥς πρὸς αἰρετὸν δι' αὐτὸ τὴν τιμωρίαν – εἴ γε καὶ συνκαταδύνειν προαιρεῖται, Σοφὸν δὲ μανία καὶ διανοεῖσθαι παριστάμενον ὥς πρὸς τοιοῦτο τὴν κόλασιν... Ἀρέσκει δὲ καὶ τοῖς Καθηγεμόσιν οὐ τὸ κατὰ τὴν πρόληψιν ταύτην θυμωθήσεσθαι τὸν σοφόν, ἀλλὰ τὸ κατὰ τὴν κοινωτέραν.

He who is desirous of punishment is inclined towards vengeance chosen for its own sake – if indeed he also chooses to completely sink down together [along with the object of his vengeance], but it is madness to even consider a wise man being inclined towards such a punishment... and It is acceptable to our Founders not that the wise man gets angry according to this anticipation [of "rage"], but (that he gets angry) according to the more general [anticipation of "anger"].

[McOskey] And the person who desires punishment is thus inclined toward vengeance, as if toward a thing choice-worthy in itself, even if he is choosing to drown himself together with (his victim), but it is insanity even to imagine a sage being inclined to punishment as if it were such a thing... But also the Founders accept the idea that the wise man will be enraged not according to that preconception, but according to the more general one.

καὶ γὰρ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἐν Ταῖς Ἀναφωνήσεσιν διασαφεῖ "τό τε θυμωθήσεσθαι" καὶ "τὸ μετρίως." καὶ ὁ Μητρόδωρος, εἰ κυρίως λέγει, "τὸ τοῦ σοφοῦ θυμὸς" συνεμφαίνει "τὸ λίαν βραχέως."

For Epicurus, in the *Declarations* clarifies both "getting angry" and "moderation." And Metrodorus, if he speaks with authority, also shows "the anger of the wise man" to be "very brief."

[McOskey] In fact, Epicurus makes clear in his *First Appellations* both that the sage "will experience rage" and (will experience it) "in moderation" and Metrodorus, if he says "the rage of the wise man" in its proper sense, shows also that he feels it "very briefly."

[McOskey] "*Philodemus means that the Founders understood thymos not in the sense in which Philodemus' opponents use it, 'rage,' but in a more general one, as a synonym for 'anger' generally.*

The Anaphōnéseis is mentioned only here, and this is its only fragment. Sedley argued that these Anaphōnéseis should be identified with the 'natural' and primal meanings of words."

Immediate Understanding

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 8 (col. 4)

...φύσις ἐνταῦθα κατὰ γε τὴν δόξαν τὴν αὐτοῦ τοῦ πρώτου οἰομένου ἐννοεῖται καὶ ἅμα καὶ ποτὲ καὶ ἐνταῦθα τὸ κενόν· οὕτως οὖν, καὶ τοῦτο νοεῖται ἐν ἐκίνοισι ταῖς λέξεσιν ἅς ἐν τῷ περὶ τῶν πρώτων γινωσκόντων αὐτοὺς Γεγράφαμεν· εἴτα δ' ἀναλαβόντες αὐθις τὸ βιβλίον – ἠκριβώσαμεν... ...καὶ μακροτέρως...

...Φύσις, ἐνταῦθα, κατὰ γε τὴν δόξαν τὴν αὐτοῦ τοῦ πρώτου οἰομένου καὶ ἅμα καὶ ποτὲ καὶ ἐνταῦθα τὸ κενόν· οὕτως οὖν, καὶ τοῦτο νοεῖται ἐν ἐκίνοισι ταῖς λέξεσιν ἅς ἐν τῷ περὶ τῶν πρώτων γινωσκόντων αὐτοὺς Γεγράφαμεν· εἴτα δ' ἀναλαβόντες αὐθις τὸ βιβλίον – ἠκριβώσαμεν... ...καὶ μακροτέρως...

...the Nature [of the void exists], in this respect, according to the judgment of the one who was originally suspecting at once, at some time and in this respect that the void [exists]. thus, This [nature of the void] too is conceived in those terms which We have composed in the work concerning those first [men] knowing those [words]. but then, [when we] took up [our] book again – We have made precise... ...and more extensively...

Natural Generation of Words

Demetrius Laco (fl.c. 90 BCE), On Textual Criticism, P.Herc. 1012, col. 67, lines 7 – 9

Demetrius Laco (fl.c. 90 BCE) was an Epicurean who wrote about geometry, poetry, music, meteorology, and the nature of the gods.

φύσει τὰς πρῶτας τῶν ὀνομάτων Ἀναφωνήσεις γεγονέναι λέγομεν

We say that the first Declarations of words came into being by nature

[U4]

4. Antidorus
in two books
Ἀντίδωρος β΄

*This may be referring to Antidorus of Cyme.

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...Μητρόδωρος ε΄. Ἀντίδωρος β΄. Περί Νόσων Δόξαι πρὸς Μίθρην...

...Metrodorus, in five books. Antidorus, in two books. Judgments about Diseases, Dedicated to Mithres...

X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 32, p. 1126A

Εἰ γὰρ Πρὸς Ἀντίδωρον ἢ Βίωνα τὸν σοφιστὴν γράφων (Colotes) ἐμνήσθη νόμων καὶ πολιτείας καὶ διατάξεως, οὐκ ἂν τις εἶπεν αὐτῷ "μέν', ὦ ταλαίπωρ', ἀτρέμα σοῖς ἐν δεμνίοις περιστέλλων τὸ σαρκίδιον, ἐμοὶ δὲ περὶ τούτων οἰκονομικῶς καὶ πολιτικῶς θεβιωκότες ἐγκαλείτωσαν." [Euripides, Orestes, 258] εἰσὶ δὲ τοιοῦτοι πάντες οἷς Κωλώτης λελοιδόρηκεν.

If, (Colotes) had directed a book against Antidorus or the sophist Bión, regarding laws and government and ordinances, no one would have retorted, "Oh poor wretch, lie still in your blankets, and cover your miserable flesh; accuse me of these things only after having real-life experience managing a household and political service." But such are exactly whom Colotes has insulted.

Antidorus of Cumae was an Ancient Greek grammarian who lived during the time of Epicurus.

X

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.8

...καὶ Ἀντίδωρον "Ξαννίδωρον"

...[Epicurus used to call] Antidorus "wood-giver"

σαννυρίζειν	to mock	ΣΑΝΝΥΡΙΖΕΙΝ to poke repeatedly
-------------	---------	--------------------------------------

5. Aristobuolos
Ἀριστόβουλος

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...Περὶ Φαντασίας. Ἀριστόβουλος. Περὶ Μουσικῆς...

...On Appearance. Aristobuolos. On Music...

Friends as Sources of "Security" & "Gaiety"

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 22.1103A

Ἐπικούρῳ καὶ Μητρόδωρος καὶ Πολύαινος καὶ Ἀριστόβουλος "ἐκθάρσημα" καὶ "γῆθος" ἦσαν – ὧν τοὺς πλείστους θεραπεύων νοσοῦντας, ἢ καταθρηνῶν ἀποθνήσκοντας, Διετέλεσε

to Epicurus, Metrodorus, Polyaeus, and Aristobuolos were "sources of security" and "gaiety" – He persisted tending for most of them as they were sick, and he mourned as they were dying

These are both uncommon words and are both closely associated with Epicurus.		
τὸ ἐκθάρσημα τὰ ἐκθάρσηματα	source of confidence	ΕΚΘΑΡΣΗΜΑ result of holding firmly out
τὸ γῆθος τὰ γήθη	source of gaiety	ΓΗΘΟΣ joy
It seems to be a shortened version of the more common:		
ἡ γηθοσύνη αἱ γηθοσύναι	gladness	ΓΗΘΟΣΥΝΗ condition of joy

Four Brothers Practicing Philosophy Together

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.3

συνεφιλοσόφουν δ' αὐτῷ προτρεψαμένῳ καὶ οἱ ἀδελφοὶ τρεῖς ὄντες, Νεοκλῆς Χαιρέδημος Ἀριστόβουλος – καθά φησι Φιλόδημος ὁ, Ἐπικούρειος ἐν τῷ δεκάτῳ τῆς τῶν Φιλοσόφων συντάξεως

at his instigation Neocles, Chairedemus, and Aristobuolos, who were his three brothers, practiced philosophy together – according to Philodemus the Epicurean, in the tenth book of [Philodemus'] comprehensive work On Philosophers

Epicurus titles many of his book with the name of an individual. He dedicated books to his brothers, Neocles, Chairedemus, and Aristobuolos – and he wrote other books that contained the praise of his friends, such as Eurylochus, Hegesianax, Themista, and Metrodorus.

In contrast, he also wrote books that contained criticism of others, such as Antidorus and Timocrates.

[Usener] It seems to be along these lines that the Epicurean Karneîskos, wrote a book about Philísta (Φιλίστα), of which some tattered remains were preserved in the Herculaneum papyrus. Along with the title **Καρνεΐσκου Φιλίστα**, this work reveals that a certain Philísta was presented as a model for wisely regulating life, giving instructions on how to live, discussing questions, and refuting the opinions of others.

If you follow these traces of imitation, you will understand how it could happen that praises of Metrodorus or Themista by Epicurus could grow into both numerous and large volumes.

Always Mindful of the Natural Goal & the Best Life

Karneîskos, Philísta, P.Herc. 1027, fr. 192, col. 11, 12

Karneîskos (fl. around 285 BCE) was an Epicurean philosopher and disciple of Epicurus.

...Ἀλλὰ γόη[τες πάν]τε[ς ε]ὐρίσκοντα[ι] κατὰ τὸν ὑφηγημένον τρό[πον] ἀποθεω[ρο]ύ[μ]ενοι, μακρὰν ἀ[πέ]χοντες τοῦ τῶν δεόντων λέγειν περὶ φιλίας ἢ τῶν λοιπῶν ὅσα συντεί[ν]ει πρὸς τὸ βιώσαι μακαρίως. ὅθεν δὴ καὶ Φιλίσταν καλῶς ἐκ μαιρακίου κατακοσμηθένθ' ὑπὸ τοιοῦτου [ε]ἰ[δ]ον λόγ[ο]υ μέχρι τε[λευτῆς, ὥσπερ] καὶ δ[εό]ν[τως τὸν οὐ]δεμίαν πω [κακίαν λα]βόντα... καὶ αἰεὶ μεμνημένον, καθ' ὃν ἔζη χρόνον, τοῦ φυσικοῦ τέλους καὶ οὐθὲν ἐλλιπόντα τοῦ ἀρίστου βίου παρὰ τὸ μὴ τυχεῖ[ν] τῷ[ν] παρὰ τοῖς πολλοῖ[ς] περιβλέπτων, ἐπὶ δὲ τοῦ παρόντος οὐθὲν ἔχοντα δυσχερὲς οὐδ' ε[ἰ]ς τὸν λοιπὸν αἰῶνα σχ[ή]σοντα...

...ἄλλα **Γόητες** Πάντες εὐρίσκονται – **κατὰ τὸν ὑφηγημένον τρόπον** ἀποθεωρούμενοι – **μακρὰν ἀπέχοντες τοῦ τῶν δεόντων λέγειν περὶ φιλίας (ἢ τῶν λοιπῶν** Ὅσα συντείνει πρὸς τὸ βιώσαι **μακαρίως)**

...but All are found to be **Charlatans** – while being looked upon **according to the outlined method** – while keeping **far away** from saying the necessary things about friendship (or from the other things That contribute to living happily)

ὅθεν δὴ καὶ **Φιλίσταν** **καλῶς** ἐκ **μαιρακίου** **κατακοσμηθένθ'** ὑπὸ **τοιοῦτου** **Εἶδον** **λόγου** **μέχρι τελευτῆς** – ὥσπερ καὶ **δεόντως** **τὸν οὐδεμίαν** **πω** **κακίαν** **λαβόντα...**

from which indeed I also saw **Philísta** properly adorned from youth by such a reasoning [i.e., by Epicurus' philosophy] until death – and just as is proper for one who had not yet taken on any vices...

καὶ αἰεὶ μεμνημένον, καθ' ὃν ἔζη χρόνον, τοῦ φυσικοῦ τέλους: καὶ οὐθὲν ἐλλιπόντα τοῦ ἀρίστου βίου – παρὰ τὸ μὴ τυχεῖν τῶν παρὰ τοῖς πολλοῖς περιβλέπτων – ἐπὶ δὲ τοῦ παρόντος, οὐθὲν ἔχοντα δυσχερὲς, οὐδ' εἰς τὸν λοιπὸν αἰῶνα σχήσοντα...

and always mindful, throughout the time in which she lived, of the natural goal: and falling short in no way of the best life – except for not obtaining the things conspicuous among the many – and in the present, [she] has nothing unpleasant, nor will have for the remaining perpetuity

It seems that Philísta was raised in the Epicurean tradition. Karneîskos address a certain "Zōpyrus" and writes about how good a person Philísta was. He also goes on to discuss the topic of depression after the death of a friend.

αἰώνιος (αἰωνιά, αἰώνιον)	perpetual (moving, multiple)	ΑΙΩΝΙΟΣ characterized by being forever
-------------------------------------	---------------------------------	---

[6. On the Atoms & the Void]
Περὶ Ἀτόμων καὶ Κενοῦ

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.27

...Περὶ Φύσεως ΛΖ. **Περὶ Ἀτόμων καὶ Κενοῦ. Περὶ Ἑρωτος...**

...On Nature in thirty-seven books. **On the Atoms and the Void. On Love...**

Περὶ τῆς ἐν τῇ Ἄτομῳ Γωνίας

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...Περὶ τοῦ Ὅραν. **Περὶ τῆς ἐν τῇ Ἄτομῳ Γωνίας**. Περὶ Ἀφῆς...

...On Vision. **On the Angle in the Atom**. On Touch...

8. On Touch

Περὶ Ἀφῆς

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...Περὶ τῆς ἐν τῇ Ἄτομῳ Γωνίας. **Περὶ Ἀφῆς**. Περὶ Εἰμαρμένης...

...On the Angle in the Atom. **On Touch**. On Destiny...

"Touch is Untrustworthy" – Plato

Plato (fl.c. 388 BCE), Republic, 7.523E

τί δὲ δὴ; τὸ μέγεθος αὐτῶν καὶ τὴν σμικρότητα ἢ Ὅψις ἄρα ἱκανῶς ὀρᾷ – καὶ οὐδὲν αὐτῇ διαφέρει, ἐν μέσῳ Τινὰ αὐτῶν κεῖσθαι ἢ ἐπ' ἐσχάτῳ;

Well then, what? does Sight sufficiently see the largeness and smallness [of one's fingers] – and does it in no way make a difference for [sight], whether a certain one of them lies in the middle or at the edge [of the hand]?

καὶ ὡσαύτως, πάχος καὶ λεπτότητα, ἢ μαλακότητα καὶ σκληρότητα, ἢ Ἀφή;

and likewise, does Touch [perceive] thickness and subtlety – or softness and hardness?

καὶ αἱ ἄλλαι Αἰσθήσεις ἄρ' οὐκ ἐνδεῶς τὰ τοιαῦτα δηλοῦσιν;

and do not the other Senses also adequately reveal such things?

ἢ ὥδε ποιεῖ Ἐκάστη αὐτῶν: πρῶτον μὲν, ἢ ἐπὶ τῷ σκληρῷ τεταγμένη Αἴσθησις ἠνάγκασται καὶ ἐπὶ τῷ μαλακῷ τετάχθαι – καὶ παραγγέλλει τῇ ψυχῇ ὡς ταῦτὸν σκληρόν τε καὶ μαλακὸν αἰσθανομένη;

or does Each of them act in this way: first, the Sense assigned to hardness – is necessarily also assigned to softness – and it reports to the soul that it is perceiving the same thing as both hard and soft?

[James Adam] It should be remembered that touch does not always report that an object is both hard and soft, but only when it feels the object is hard in relation to one thing and soft in relation to another, and similarly in other cases. This limitation is expressed by αἰσθανομένη, and explains its emphatic position.

Plato quickly follows this with praise for mathematics and geometry.

"Touch is Bestial" – Aristotle

Aristotle (fl. 344 BCE), Nikomacheian Ethics, 1118b.1

κοινοτάτη δὲ τῶν αἰσθήσεων καθ' ἣν ἡ Ἀκολασία: καὶ Δόξειεν ἂν δικαίως ἐπονείδιστος εἶναι – ὅτι οὐχ ἢ ἄνθρωποί ἔσμεν ὑπάρχει, ἀλλ' ἢ ζῶα – τὸ δὴ τοιούτοις χαίρειν καὶ μάλιστα ἀγαπᾶν θηριῶδες

Indeed, [*Touch is*] **the most common of the sensations** through which Intemperance [*exists*]: and It would **rightly** seem to be **shameful** – because it does not exist [*for us*] **in so far as We we are humans**, but [*to the extent that we are*] **animals** – therefore to enjoy and love **these things** most of all is **bestial**

καὶ γὰρ, αἱ Ἐλευθεριώταται τῶν διὰ τῆς ἀφῆς ἡδονῶν ἀφῆρηνται – οἷον Αἱ ἐν τοῖς γυμνασίοις διὰ τρίψεως καὶ τῆς θερμασίας γινόμεναι.

indeed, the most Noble of the pleasures **through touch** have been set apart – such as Those **arising in the gymnasia** through rubbing and warming.

οὐ γὰρ περὶ πᾶν τὸ σῶμα ἢ τοῦ ἀκολάστου Ἀφή – ἀλλὰ περὶ τινὰ μέρη

for the Touch **of the unrestrained** is **not about the entire body** – but [*only*] around certain parts

9. On Kingship

Περὶ Βασιλείας

Diogenes Laertius (fl. c. 220 CE), 10.28

...Καλλιστόλας. **Περὶ Βασιλείας. Ἀναξιμένης...**

...Kallistólas. *On Kingship*. Anaximénēs...

[U5]

Do Not Study Entertainment

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), *Non Posse*, 1095C

Ἐπίκουρος... προβλήμασι μουσικοῖς καὶ κριτικῶν φιλολόγοις ζητήμασιν οὐδὲ παρὰ πότον διδοὺς χώραν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοῖς φιλομούσοις τῶν βασιλέων παραινῶν στρατηγικὰ διηγήματα καὶ φορτικὰς βωμολοχίας ὑπομένειν μᾶλλον ἐν τοῖς συμποσίοις ἢ λόγους περὶ μουσικῶν καὶ ποιητικῶν προβλημάτων περαιομένους. ταυτὶ γὰρ ἐτόλμησε γράφειν ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Βασιλείας*.

Epicurus... does not allow **room for musical problems** or **critical philological inquiries** [even] **with a drink**, but actually advises a cultivated monarch to put up with recitals of stratagems and with vulgar buffooneries at his drinking parties sooner than with the discussion of problems in music and poetry. Such is what he is presumed to have written in his book *On Kingship*.

[U6]*

Vanity of Political Awareness

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), *Against Colotes*, 33.1127A

Καὶ οὐ τοῦτο δεινόν ἐστι, ὅτι τοσοῦτων ὄντων φιλοσόφων μόνοι σχεδὸν ἀσύμβολοι τῶν ἐν ταῖς πόλεσιν ἀγαθῶν κοινωνοῦσιν ἀλλ’ ὅτι καὶ τραγωδιῶν ποιηταὶ καὶ κωμωδιῶν αἰεὶ τι πειρῶνται χρήσιμον παρέχεσθαι καὶ λέγειν ὑπὲρ νόμων καὶ πολιτείας: οὗτοι δέ, κἂν γράφωσι, γράφουσι περὶ πολιτείας ἵνα μὴ πολιτευώμεθα – καὶ περὶ ῥητορικῆς ἵνα μὴ ῥητορεύωμεν – καὶ περὶ βασιλείας ἵνα φεύγωμεν τὸ συμβιοῦν βασιλεῦσι

And it is not the greatest indignity, that, of so many sects of philosophers as have been extant, they alone should enjoy the benefits that are in cities, without having ever contributed to them any thing of their own; but far worse is it that, while there are not even any tragical or comical poets who do not always endeavor to do or say some good thing or other in defense of the laws and policy, these men, if peradventure they write, write of policy, that we may not concern ourselves in the government of the commonweal – of rhetoric, that we may not perform an act of eloquence – and of royalty, that we may shun living with kings.

What is grave is not so much that among so many philosophers these alone (one might say) enjoy the advantages of civilized life without paying their share; it is that poets, both tragic and comic, are always trying to convey some useful lesson and take the side of law and government; whereas these men, if they write about such matters at all, write on government to deter us from taking part in it, on oratory to deter us from public speaking, and about kingship to make us shun the company of kings.

Epameinondas (fl. 375 BCE) was an elite Theban, statesman, and general whose victories notably weakened Spartan dominance and led to the brief period of Theban hegemony. Despite his significant impact, the effects of his reforms were short-lived and helped set the stage for the rise of Macedonian power under Alexander the Great.

Τοὺς δὲ πολιτικούς ἄνδρας ἐπὶ γέλῳτι καὶ καταλύσει τῆς δόξης ὀνομάζουσιν, ὡς τὸν Ἐπαμεινώνδαν "ἐσχικέναι τι" λέγοντες ἀγαθὸν καὶ τοῦτο δὲ "μικρόν" – οὕτωςι τῷ ῥήματι φράζοντες! αὐτὸν δὲ "Σιδηροῦν Σπλάγχνον" ἀποκαλοῦντες καὶ πυνθανόμενοι τί παθὼν ἐβάδιζε διὰ τῆς Πελοποννήσου μέσης καὶ οὐ πιλίδιον ἔχων οἴκοι καθῆτο δηλαδὴ περὶ τὴν τῆς γαστρὸς ἐπιμέλειαν ὅλος καθεστώς. ἃ δὲ Μητρόδωρος ἐν τῷ περὶ Φιλοσοφίας ἐξορχούμενος πολιτείαν γέγραφεν οὐκ ὧμην δεῖν παρεῖναι λέγει δέ, ὅτι "τῶν σοφῶν τινες ὑπὸ δαψιλείας τύφου οὕτω καλῶς συνείδον τοῦργον αὐτῆς, ὥστ' οἷχονται φερόμενοι πρὸς τὰς αὐτάς Λυκούργῳ καὶ Σόλῳνι ἐπιθυμίας κατὰ τοὺς περὶ βίων λόγους καὶ ἀρετῆς."

Nor do they ever name any of those great personages who have intermeddled in civil affairs, but only to scoff at them and abolish their glory. Thus they say that Epameinondas had "something of good" but "very little" – for that is the very word they use! They moreover call him "iron-hearted," and ask what ailed him that he went marching his army through all Peloponnesus, and why he did not rather keep himself quiet at home with a night-cap on his head, employed only in cherishing and making much of his belly. But methinks I ought not in this place to omit what Metrodorus writes in his book of Philosophy, when, utterly abjuring all meddling in the management of the state, he said thus "Some, through an abundance of vanity and arrogance, have so deep an insight into the business of it, that in treating about the precepts of good life and virtue, they suffer themselves to be carried away with the very same desires as were Lycurgus and Solon."

They mention statesmen only to deride them and belittle their fame, for instance Epameinondas, who they say had but "one good thing about him," and even that "small" (for this is their expression), and dubbing the man himself "iron-guts" and asking what possessed him to go walking across the Peloponnese and not sit at home with a nice felt cap on his head, wholly concerned (we must suppose) with the care and feeding of his belly. And Metrodorus' frivolous dismissal of the state in his work On Philosophy should not, I believe, be allowed to pass unnoticed. "Certain sages," he says, "in their prodigality of conceit, have been so well able to detect the function of the state that in their discourse about ways of life and about virtue they go flying off after the same desires as Lycurgus and Solon."

σιδηροῦς <i>–οῦν</i>	made of iron	ΣΙΔΗΡΟΥΣ <i>iron</i>
τὸ σπλάγχνον	guts	ΣΠΛΑΓΧΝΟΝ <i>entrails of a sacrifice</i>
σιδηροῦς σπλάγχνος	iron guts	ΣΙΔΗΡΟΥΣ ΣΠΛΑΓΧΝΟΣ

10. On Lifestyles

in four Books

Περὶ Βίων Δ

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.27

...Ἠγησιάνᾱξ. **Περὶ Βίων, τέσσαρα. Περὶ Δικαιοπραγίας...**

...Hegesianax. **On Lifestyles, in four books. On Fair Dealing...**

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.30

τὸ δὲ ἠθικὸν (ἔχει) τὰ περὶ αἰρέσεως καὶ φυγῆς ἔστι δὲ ἐν ταῖς *Περὶ Βίων βίβλοις* καὶ ἐπιστολαῖς καὶ τῷ *Περὶ Τέλους*.

His ethical doctrine deals with choice and avoidance, which may be found in the books *On Lifestyles*, in the letters, and in the book *On Fulfillment*.

[U7]

1.1 Pleasures of Body & Mind

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.136

Ὁ δὲ ἀμφοτέραν: ψυχῆς καὶ σώματος (ὥς φησιν ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Αἰρέσεως* καὶ *Φυγῆς* καὶ ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Τέλους* καὶ ἐν τῷ πρώτῳ *Περὶ Βίων* καὶ ἐν τῇ πρὸς τοὺς ἐν *Μυτιλήνῃ φιλοσόφους ἐπιστολῇ*)

[*Epicurus admits*] both: [pleasure] of mind and of body (as he states in his work *On Choice and Avoidance* and in that *On the Ethical End* and in the first book of his work *On Human Life* and in the Letter to the Philosophers in Mytilene)

[U8]

1.2 Avoid Politics

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.119

Οὐδὲ πολιτεύσεται [ὁ σοφός], ὡς ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ *Περὶ Βίων*. οὐδὲ τυραννεύσειν.

Nor will [the wise man] take part in politics, as is stated in the first book *On Life*; nor will he make himself a tyrant.

[The Sage] will not get involved in politics, as he relates in his first book *On Lifestyles*, nor will he make himself a tyrant.

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Letters to Atticus, 14.20,5

Epicuri mentionem facis et audes dicere "μὴ πολιτεύεσθαι."

You mention Epicurus and dare to say "stay out of politics."

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Letters to Friends, 7.12 (February, 53 ^{BCE})

Mirabar quid esset, quod tu mihi litteras mittere intermisisses: indicavit mihi Pansa meus Epicureum te esse factum. O castra praeclara! quid tu fecisses, si te Tarentum et non Samarobrivam misissem? iam tum mihi non placebas, cum idem tuebare quod Zeius, familiaris meus. sed quonam modo ius civile defendes, cum omnia tua causa facias, non civium? Ubi porro illa erit formula fiduciae 'UT INTER BONOS BENE AGIER OPORTET'?

I was wondering what had made you [Caius Trebatius Testa] cease writing to me. My friend Pansa [Caius Vibius Pansa] has informed me that you have become an Epicurean! What a wonderful camp yours must be! What would you have done if I had sent you to Tarentum instead of Samobriva? I was already a little doubtful about you, when I found you supporting the same doctrine as my friend Selius! But on what ground will you support the principles of civil law, if you act always in your own interest and not in that of your fellow citizens? What, too, is to become of the legal formula in cases of trust, "as should be done among honest men"?

Quis enim est, qui facit nihil nisi sua causa? quod ius statues 'COMMVNI DIVIDVND0,' quom commune nihil possit esse apud eos, qui omnia voluptate sua metiuntur? quo modo autem tibi placebit 'IOVEM LAPIDEM IVRARE,' cum scias Iovem iratum esse nemini posse? quid fiet porro populo Ulubrano, si tu statueris πολιτεύεσθαι non oportere? qua re si plane a nobis deficis, moleste fero, sin

Pansae adsentari commodumst, ignosco; modo scribe aliquando ad nos quid agas et a nobis quid fieri aut curari velis.

For who can be called honest who does nothing except on his own behalf? What principle will you lay down "in dividing a common property" when nothing can be "common" among men who measure all things by their own pleasure? How, again, can you ever think it right to swear by Jupiter lapis, when you know that Jupiter cannot be angry with anyone? What is to become of the people of Ulubrae, if you have decided that it is not right to take part in civic business? Wherefore, if you are really and truly a pervert from our faith, I am much annoyed; but if you merely find it convenient to humor Pansa, I forgive you. Only do write and tell us how you are, and what you want me to do or to look after for you.

x

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On the Laws, 1.13.39

Sibi autem indulgentes et corpori deseruientes, atque omnia quae sequantur in uita quaeque fugiant uoluptatibus et doloribus ponderantes, etiam si uera dicant – nihil enim opus est hoc loco litibus – in hortulis suis iubeamus dicere, atque etiam ab omni societate rei publicae (cuius partem nec norunt ullam neque umquam nosse uoluerunt) paulisper facessant rogemus.

To those, however, indulgent and subservient to the body, and weighing all things that follow in life and that they seek or avoid according to pleasures and pains, even if they speak truths – for there is no need for disputes here – in their small gardens let us allow them to speak, and even from all association with the state (of which part they neither know any nor ever wished to know) let us ask that they withdraw for a while.

x

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1127D

Εἴπερ οὖν οἱ νόμους καὶ πολιτείας ἀναιροῦντες τὸν βίον ἀναιροῦσι τὸν ἀνθρώπινον, Ἐπίκουρος δὲ καὶ Μητρόδωρος τοῦτο ποιοῦσι, τοὺς μὲν συνήθεις ἀποτρέποντες τοῦ τὰ κοινὰ πράττειν – τοῖς δὲ πράττουσιν ἀπεχθανόμενοι τοὺς δὲ πρώτους καὶ σοφωτάτους τῶν νομοθετῶν κακῶς λέγοντες τῶν δὲ νόμων παρακελευόμενοι περιφρονεῖν, ἐὰν μὴ προσῇ φόβος πληγῆς καὶ κολάσεως: οὐκ οἶδα τί τηλικοῦτο κατέψευσται τῶν ἄλλων ὁ Κωλώτης – ἡλίκον ἀληθῶς τῶν Ἐπικούρου λόγων καὶ δογμάτων κατηγόρηκεν.

If then those who abolish the laws, governments, and policies of men subvert and destroy human life, and if Metrodorus and Epicurus do this, dissuading their friends from doing common things – being hostile to those who are actively engaged, and speaking ill of the foremost and wisest lawmakers, urging to despise the laws, unless there is present a fear of strike and chastisement: I do not see that Colotes has falsely attributed against the other philosophers – as much as he has alleged true ones against the writings and doctrines of Epicurus.

x

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1127A

Οὗτοι δέ, κἄν γράφωσι, γράφουσι περὶ πολιτείας ἵνα μὴ πολιτευώμεθα, καὶ περὶ ῥητορικῆς ἵνα μὴ ῥητορεύωμεν, καὶ περὶ βασιλείας ἵνα φεύγωμεν τὸ συμβιοῦν βασιλεῦσι.

...But these men, if they write about such matters at all, write on government to deter us from taking part in it, on oratory to deter us from public speaking, and about kingship to make us shun the company of kings.

Οὐδείς οὖν ἔπαινος ἄξιός ἂν γένοιτο τῶν ἐπὶ ταῦτα τὰ πάθη τὰ θηριώδη νόμους θεμένων καὶ πολιτείας καὶ ἀρχὰς καὶ νόμων διάταξιν. ἀλλὰ τίνες εἰσὶν οἱ ταῦτα συγγέοντες καὶ καταλύοντες καὶ ἄρδην ἀναιροῦντες; οὐχ οἱ **πολιτείας** ἀφιστάντες **αὐτοὺς** καὶ **τοὺς πλησιάζοντας**; οὐχ οἱ "τὸν τῆς ἀταραξίας στέφανον ἀσύμβλητον εἶναι ταῖς μεγάλαις ἡγεμονίαις" λέγοντες;

No sufficient praise therefore or equivalent to their deserts can be given those who, for the restraining of such bestial passions, have set down laws, established policy and government of state, instituted magistrates and ordained good and wholesome laws. But who are they that utterly confound and abolish this? Are they not those who withdraw **themselves** and **their followers** from the government? Are they not those who say that "the crown of untroubledness is incompatible with great positions of leadership"?

x

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Advice about Keeping Well, 135B

Οὐ γὰρ ἀσφαλὲς οὐδὲ ῥάδιον οὐδὲ πολιτικὸν οὐδ' ἀνθρωπικὸν ἀλλ' ὀστρέου τινὸς ζωῇ προσεοικὸς ἢ στελέχους τὸ ἀμετάστατον τοῦτο καὶ κατηναγκασμένον ἐν τροφαῖς καὶ ἀποχαῖς καὶ κινήσεσι καὶ ἡσυχίαις εἰς ἐπίσκιόν τινα βίον καὶ σχολαστὴν καὶ μονότροπόν τινα καὶ ἄφιλον καὶ ἄδοξον ἀπωτάτω πολιτείας καθίσασιν ἑαυτοὺς καὶ συστείλασιν· οὐ "κατὰ γε τὴν ἐμήν" ἔφη, "γνώμην."

For it is not safe, nor easy, nor befitting a citizen or a man, but like the life of an oyster or the trunk of a tree—this immutability and forced compliance in the matter of food and abstinence, movement and rest; it is fitting only for men who have reduced and restricted themselves to a retired, idle, solitary, friendless, and inglorious life, far removed from the duties of citizenship. 'No,' said he 'it fits not with my opinion.'

For it is a life neither safe, easy, politic, nor like a man, but more like the life of an oyster or the trunk of a tree, to live so without any variety, and in restraint as to our meat, abstinence, motion, and rest; casting ourselves into a gloomy, idle, solitary, unsociable, and inglorious way of living, far remote from the administration of the state, at least (I may say) in my opinion.

Οὐ γὰρ ἀργίας ὦνιον ἢ ὑγίεια καὶ ἀπραξίας, ἃ γε δὴ μέγιστα κακῶν ταῖς νόσοις πρόσεστι, καὶ οὐδὲν διαφέρει τοῦ τὰ ὄμματα τῷ μὴ διαβλέπειν καὶ τὴν φωνὴν τῷ μὴ φθέγγεσθαι φυλάττοντος ὁ τὴν ὑγίειαν ἀχρηστία καὶ ἡσυχία σώζειν οἰόμενος·

For health is not to be purchased by idleness and inactivity, which are the greatest evils attendant on sickness, and the man who thinks to conserve his health by uselessness and ease does not differ from him who guards his eyes by not seeing, and his voice by not speaking.

For health is not to be purchased by sloth and idleness, for those are chief inconveniences of sickness; and there is no difference between him who thinks to enjoy his health by idleness and quiet, and him who thinks to preserve his eyes by not using them, and his voice by not speaking.

1.3 Avoid Public Service

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Advice about Keeping Well, 135C

Πρὸς οὐδὲν γὰρ ἑαυτῷ χρήσαιτ' ἂν τις ὑγιαίνειντι κρεῖττον ἢ πρὸς πολλὰς καὶ φιλανθρώπους πράξεις. ἥκιστα δὴ τὴν ἀργίαν ὑγιεινὸν ὑποληπτέον, εἰ τὸ τῆς ὑγείας τέλος ἀπόλλυσι, καὶ οὐδ' ἀληθὲς ἐστὶ τὸ μᾶλλον ὑγιαίνειν τοὺς ἡσυχίαν ἄγοντας·

For a man in good health could not devote himself to any better object than to numerous humane activities. Least of all is it to be assumed that laziness is healthful, if it destroys what health aims at; and it is not true either that inactive people are more healthy.

For such a man's health will not be any advantage to him in the performance of many things he is obliged to do as a man. Idleness can never be said to conduce to health, for it destroys the very end of it. Nor is it true that they are the most healthful that do least.

Οὔτε γὰρ Ξενοκράτης μᾶλλον διυγίαινε Φωκίωνος οὔτε Δημητρίου Θεόφραστος, Ἐπίκουρόν τε καὶ τοὺς περὶ Ἐπίκουρον οὐδὲν ὤνησε πρὸς τὴν ὑμνουμένην "σαρκὸς εὐστάθειαν" ἡ πάσης φιλοτιμίαν ἐχούσης πράξεως ἀπόδρασις. ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐτέραις ἐπιμελείαις διασωστέον ἐστὶ τῷ σώματι τὴν κατὰ φύσιν ἔξιν, ὥς παντὸς βίου καὶ νόσον δεχομένου καὶ ὑγίειαν.

For Xenocrátēs did not keep in better health than Phōkíōnos, nor Theophrastus than Demetrius, and the running away from every activity that smacked of ambition did not help Epicurus and his followers at all to attain their much-talked-of condition of "perfect bodily health." But we ought, by attention to other details, to preserve the natural constitution of our bodies, recognizing that every life has room for both disease and health.

For Xenocrátēs was not more healthful than Phōkíōnos, or Theophrastus than Demetrius. It signified nothing to Epicurus or his followers, as to that so much talked of "good habit of body" that they declined all business, though it were never so honorable. We ought to preserve the natural constitution of our bodies by other means, knowing every part of our life is capable of sickness and health.

x

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 90.35

Non de ea philosophia loquor, quae ciuem extra patriam posuit, extra mundum deos, quae uirtutem donauit uoluptati.

The philosophy I speak of is not the one which takes the citizen out of public life and the gods out of [our] world, and hands virtue over to pleasure.

x

Commentary on Lucan, Pharsalia (The Civil War), 2.380

Epicurus cum dicat propter uoluptatem omnia esse facienda, excludit ab officiis actuque ciuili sapientem et sibi tantum oportere uiuere adfirmat.

Epicurus, saying that everything is done for the sake of pleasure, dissuades the Sage from duty and political activity, and asserts that he need only live for himself.

[U9]

x

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), On Leisure (to Serenus), 3.2

Duae maxime et in hac re dissident sectae Epicureorum et Stoicorum sed utraque ad otium diuersa uia mittit, Epicurus ait "non accedet ad rem publicam sapiens nisi si quid interuenerit." Zenon ait "accedet ad rem publicam nisi si quid inpedierit." alter otium ex proposito petit, alter ex causa.

The two most prominent sects, the Epicureans and the Stoics, disagree especially in this matter, but each sends [its followers] to leisure by a different path, Epicurus says "The Sage will not engage in public affairs except in an emergency." Zeno says "He will engage in public affairs unless something prevents him." One seeks leisure purposefully, the other as a consequence.

[U10]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1674 col. 27 (32)

Κα[τ'] οὐδ[έτερο]ν γὰρ τ[ρό]πον τῶν ἐ[κ]κειμένων τέχνην ἔστιν εἰπεῖν τὴν πολιτικὴν ῥητορικὴν: ὅτι μὲν γὰρ οὐκ ἔχε[ι] τὴν μεθοδικὴν παράδοσιν καὶ τὴν τὸ ἐστηκὸς προσφερομένην, ὁμολογοῦσιν – ὅτι [δ'] οὐδὲ τὴν παρατήρησιν (τῇ[ν τοῦ] ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πολὺ κα[ί] κατὰ τὸ εὐλογον στοχαζομένην) – Ἐπίκουρ[ος] ἐν τῷ πρώτῳ Π[ερὶ] Βίων καὶ ἐν τῷ Περὶ τῆς Ῥητορ[ικῆς] ποιεῖ φα[νερὸν] καὶ Μ[ητροδωρ[ος ἐν] τῷ Πρὸς τοὺς ἀπὸ Φυσ[ιολογί]ας Λέγο[ν]τ[ας] Ἀγα[θοὺς εἶ]να[ι] Ῥήτορας

Κατ' οὐδέτερον γὰρ τρόπον τῶν ἐκκειμένων τέχνην ἔστιν εἰπεῖν τὴν πολιτικὴν ῥητορικὴν: ὅτι μὲν γὰρ οὐκ ἔχει τὴν μεθοδικὴν παράδοσιν καὶ τὴν τὸ ἐστηκὸς προσφερομένην, ὁμολογοῦσιν – ὅτι δ' οὐδὲ τὴν παρατήρησιν (τὴν τοῦ ὡς ἐπὶ τὸ πολὺ καὶ κατὰ τὸ εὐλογον στοχαζομένην) – Ἐπικούρος ἐν τῷ πρώτῳ Περὶ Βίων καὶ ἐν τῷ Περὶ τῆς Ῥητορικῆς ποιεῖ φανερόν καὶ Μητροδωρος ἐν τῷ Πρὸς τοὺς ἀπὸ Φυσιολογίας Λέγοντας Ἀγαθοὺς εἶναι Ῥήτορας

[Chandler] For in neither one of the two senses which have been set out is it possible to say that **political rhetoric** is an **art**: for they are in agreement that it does not possess methodical transmission which contributes to stable knowledge – but that it doesn't [even possess] **observation** (which aims to achieve its goal most of the time with a reasonable chance of success) – Epicurus makes clear **in the first book of *On Ways of Living*** and in his *On Rhetoric*, as does Metrodorus in his *Against Those Who Say That From The Study Of Nature Come Good Orators*.

[U11]

x

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1674 col. 57

οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' ἵνα τῆς πολλῆς καὶ παρὰ πολλ[ῶν] α[ὐτοῦς] Ἀνα[πα]ύσωμεν ἐρ[ε]ύνης κα[ί] ἐρωτήσεως: οὐκ ἐν τῷ Συμποσίω[ι] λέγομεν οὐδ' ἐν τοῖς Περὶ Βίων – οὐδὲ κελεύομεν αὐτὸν ψῆφον ἐμ πελάγει ζητεῖν – ἀλλ' ὅπου φαμέν καὶ δι' ὧν φαμεν, δηλοῦσθαι τὸ τὴν Σοφιστ[ικ]ὴν Ῥητορικὴν τέχνην ὑπάρχειν Παρατεθείκαμεν – ἃ κἂν δεικνύηται μηκύνοντα τὴν ἡμετέραν κ[ρί]σιν, ἀλλὰ χρείαν γ' ἔχει λ[όγου] τυχεῖν

οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' ἵνα τῆς πολλῆς καὶ παρὰ πολλῶν αὐτοῦς Ἀναπαύσωμεν ἐρεύνης καὶ ἐρωτήσεως: οὐκ ἐν τῷ Συμποσίῳ λέγομεν οὐδ' ἐν τοῖς Περὶ Βίων – οὐδὲ κελεύομεν αὐτὸν ψῆφον ἐν πελάγει ζητεῖν – ἀλλ' ὅπου φαμέν καὶ δι' ὧν φαμεν, δηλοῦσθαι τὸ τὴν Σοφιστικὴν Ῥητορικὴν τέχνην ὑπάρχειν Παρατεθείκαμεν – ἃ κἂν δεικνύηται μηκύνοντα τὴν ἡμετέραν κρίσιν, ἀλλὰ χρείαν γ' ἔχει λόγου τυχεῖν

* however, We relieve **them** from **much questioning** and from **many inquires**: We do not say that [a direct quote on the topic] is in the *Symposium* nor in [*the works*] *On Lives* – nor do We order **him** to **seek a pebble** in the sea – but through what [we have cited] and through what we claim, We [already] have set forth that *Sophistic Rhetoric* is shown to exist as an art – [general quotes] which, even though they can be shown to enlarge our judgment, nevertheless **still require having a reasoning** {i.e., giving an explanation}

[Chandler] However, in order to relieve them from having to ask a lot of questions from a lot of people, we say that it is not in the Symposium nor in the On Ways of Life, nor are we telling them to look for a needle in a haystack. But we have already cited where and on what grounds we say that the fact that sophistic rhetoric is an art is revealed – even if the (citations) are shown to strengthen our own judgement, yet they require an argument for all the members of our philosophical school.

Below [U49] Philodemus shows that Sophistic Rhetoric is the practice of composing arguments together and conducting lectures.

[U12]

2.1 Observe Customs

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.31.877-898

...Φύ[σιν τήν κατὰ] τὸ κρ[ιτήριον ποι]ομένη[ν ὀρθῶς ἐπι]νοίαν ὡ[φελείας νομί]ζεσθαι. [ἵνα δὲ μὴ] ἐκτείνω [τὸν λόγον,] πάλιν· "ἡμ[εῖς θεοῖς] θύωμέν" φησιν "ὅσι]ως καὶ καλῶς οὗ [καθ]ήκει κα[ὶ κ]αλῶς πάντα πράττωμεν [κα]τὰ τοὺς νόμους, μ[η]θὲ[ν] ταῖς δόξαις α[ὐ]τοὺς ἐν τοῖς περὶ τῶν ἀρίστων κ[αί] σεμοντάτων διαταράττοντε[ς]. ἔτι] δὲ καὶ δίκαιο[ι θύω]μεν ἀφ' ἧς ἔλε[γον δό]ξης. οὕτω γὰρ [ἐν]δέχεται φύσ[ιν θνη]τὴν ὁμοίω[ς τῷ Δι]ὶ νῆ Δία [διά]γειν, [ὥς φαί]νεται." κἀν [τοῖς Πε]ρὶ Βίων δὲ π[ερὶ τῆς] προσκυνή[σεως...]

...Φύσιν τήν κατὰ τὸ κριτήριοι ποιούμενην ὀρθῶς ἐπίνοιαν ὠφελείας νομίζεσθαι. ἵνα δὲ μὴ ἐκτείνω τὸν λόγον, πάλιν· "ἡμεῖς θεοὶς θύωμέν" φησιν "ὁσίως καὶ καλῶς οὗ καθήκει καὶ καλῶς πάντα πράττωμεν κατὰ τοὺς νόμους, μηθὲν ταῖς δόξαις αὐτοὺς ἐν τοῖς περὶ τῶν ἀρίστων καὶ σεμοντάτων διαταράττοντες. ἔτι δὲ καὶ δίκαιοι θύωμεν ἀφ' ἧς ἔλεγον δόξης. οὕτω γὰρ ἐνδέχεται φύσιν θνητὴν ὁμοίως τῷ Διὶ νῆ Δία διάγειν, ὥς φαίνεται." κἀν τοῖς Περὶ Βίων δὲ περὶ τῆς προσκυνήσεως...

[Obbink] ...To conceive of their nature as accurately constituting the notion of benefit according to the epistemological standard. And, lest I extend my discussion, again "Let us sacrifice to the [gods]" [Epicurus] says "devoutly, and fittingly on the proper days, and let us fittingly perform all the acts of worship in accordance with the laws, in no way disturbing ourselves with opinions in matters concerning the most excellent and august of beings. Moreover, let us sacrifice justly, on the view that I was giving. For in this way it is possible for mortal nature, by Zeus, to live [like Zeus], as it seems." And concerning obeisance in *On Lifestyles*...

[U13]*

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.26.730-751

[Ὁ δ'] Ἐπίκουρος φανή[σεται] καὶ τετηρηκῶς [ἅπαν]τα καὶ τοῖς φί[λοις τ]ηρεῖν παρεν[γνησηκ]ῶς, οὐ μόνον [διὰ τ]οὺς νόμους – ἀλλὰ διὰ φυσικὰς [αἰτίας,] προσεύχεσθαι γὰρ ἐν τῷ Περὶ [Βίων] οἰκεῖον εἶναι [ἡμεῖ]ν φησιν, οὐχ ὥς [δυσ]μενῶν τῶν [θεῶν] εἰ μὴ ποιή[σομεν], ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὴν ἐπίνοιαν τῶν ὑπερβαλλουσῶν [δυνά]μει καὶ σπου[δαίότ]ητι φύσεων [ἵνα τὰς] τε τελ[λ]ε[ιότη]τας [γινώσκω]μεν καὶ τοῖς νόμοις [συμπερι]φοράς.

Ὁ δ' Ἐπίκουρος φανήσεται καὶ τετηρηκῶς ἅπαντα καὶ τοῖς φίλοις τηρεῖν παρεγγηγηκῶς, οὐ μόνον διὰ τοὺς νόμους – ἀλλὰ διὰ φυσικὰς αἰτίας. προσεύχεσθαι γὰρ ἐν τῷ Περὶ Βίων οἰκεῖον εἶναι ἡμῖν φησιν, οὐχ ὥς δυσμενῶν τῶν θεῶν εἰ μὴ ποιήσομεν, ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὴν ἐπίνοιαν τῶν ὑπερβαλλουσῶν δυνάμει καὶ σπουδαιότητι φύσεων ἵνα τὰς τε τελειότητας γινώσκωμεν καὶ τοῖς νόμοις συμπεριφοράς.

[Obbink] Furthermore, it will appear that Epicurus loyally observed all the forms of worship and enjoined his friends to observe them, not only because of the laws – but for physical [causes] as well. For in *On Lifestyles* he says that to pray is natural for us, not because the gods would be hostile if we did not pray, but in order that, according to the understanding of beings surpassing in power and excellence, we may realize our fulfillments and social conformity with the laws.

[U14]

X

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.119

Οὐδὲ κυνιεῖν, ὥς ἐν τῇ δευτέρᾳ Περὶ βίων, οὐδὲ πτωχεύσειν.

[The Sage] does not behave like a Cynic, [As Epicurus says] in the second book *On Lifestyles*, nor becomes a beggar.

[U15]

X

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.119

Ἀλλὰ καὶ πηρωθέντα τὰς ὄψεις μὴ ἐξάξειν αὐτὸν τοῦ βίου, ὥς ἐν τῇ αὐτῇ φησι.

Even if one's eyes are blinded, he should not remove himself from life, as stated in the same [source].

* But also, one who has lost **their sight** should not take **themselves** out of life, as he says in the same place.

11. Against Democritus
Πρὸς Δημόκριτον

This may not have been stand-alone work, but only a section of On Nature.

X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1100A

καὶ μὴν, ἀπὸ δόξης γίνεσθαι τινὰς ἡδονὰς Ἐπίκουρος ὡμολόγει: τί δ' οὐκ ἔμελλεν Αὐτὸς – οὕτω σπαργῶν περιμανῶς, καὶ σφαδάζων πρὸς δόξαν;

and indeed, Epicurus admitted that **certain pleasures arise** from reputation: and **what** would he himself **not** have been likely to do – **being so frenziedly puffed up**, and **twitching** for the sake of fame?

ὥστε μὴ μόνον ἀπολέγεσθαι τοὺς καθηγητὰς, μηδὲ Δημοκρίτῳ (τῷ τὰ δόγματα ῥήμασιν αὐτοῖς ἀφαιρουμένῳ) ζυγομαχεῖν περὶ συλλαβῶν καὶ κεραιῶν – σοφὸν δὲ μηδένα φάναι πλὴν αὐτοῦ γεγονέναι καὶ τῶν μαθητῶν?

so that **he not only rejected the founders [of philosophy]**, **nor only contended with Democritus** (the one from whom was taken the doctrines word-for-word) over syllables and diacritical marks – but also [*Epicurus used*] to say that **no one had become wise** except himself and his students?

[U16]

Shared Errors – Shared Forgiveness

Philodemus (fl. c. 70 BCE), On Frank Criticism, P.Herc. 1471 fr. 20 (col. 123)

...Φωναῖ[ς μετρίαις θερα]πεύων, διὰ δὲ τῇ[ν προθυμία]ν αὐτῶν καὶ τήν, [εἴ γ' ἐ]δυνήθησαν, ὠφελίαν ἡμῶν, ἔτι δὲ τῇ[ν] μεριζομένην συγγ[ν]ώ[μ]ην ἐν οἷς διέπεσον, ὡς ἐν τ[ε] τοῖς πρὸς Δημόκρ[ι]τον ἴσταται διὰ τέλους ὁ Ἐπίκουρος κ[αὶ πρὸς] Ἡρακ[λ]εῖδην...

...φωναῖς μετρίαις θεραπεύων, διὰ δὲ τὴν προθυμίαν αὐτῶν καὶ τὴν (εἴ γ' ἔδυνήθησαν) ὠφελίαν ἡμῶν – ἔτι δὲ, τὴν μεριζομένην συγγνώμην ἐν οἷς Διέπεσον, ὡς ἐν τε τοῖς πρὸς Δημόκριτον ἴσταται διὰ τέλους ὁ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ πρὸς Ἡρακλείδην...

...attending to them **with moderate statements**, because of their eagerness and (if indeed they were able) **their benefit to us** – and further, **the shared pardon** regarding the things in which they erred: just as Epicurus maintains to the end [*i.e., consistently*] in both his writings *Against Democritus* and *Against Heracleides*...

Heracleides of Pontus (fl. 350 BCE) was a pupil of Plato, playwright, and astronomer.

[U17]*

X

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 1.82

Fac enim sic animum interire ut corpus: num igitur aliquis dolor aut omnino post mortem sensus in corpore est? nemo id quidem dicit, etsi Democritum insimulat Epicurus, Democritii negant.

For let the soul perish as the body: is there any pain, or indeed any feeling at all in the body after death? No one, indeed, asserts that; though Epicurus charges Democritus with saying so; but the disciples of Democritus deny it.

Ne in animo quidem igitur sensus remanet; ipse enim nusquam est – ubi igitur malum est, quoniam nihil tertium est? an quod ipse animi discessus a corpore non fit sine dolore? ut credam ita esse, quam est id exiguum! sed falsum esse arbitror, et fit plerumque sine sensu, non numquam etiam cum voluptate, totumque hoc leve est, quaecumque est; fit enim ad punctum temporis.

No sense, therefore, remains in the soul; for the soul is nowhere – where, then, is the evil? for there is nothing but these two things. Is it because the mere separation of the soul and body cannot be effected without pain? but even should that be granted, how small a pain must that be! Yet I think that it is false; and that it is very often unaccompanied by any sensation at all, and sometimes even attended with pleasure: but certainly the whole must be very trifling, whatever it is, for it is instantaneous.

12. Uncertainties

Διαπορίαι

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.27:

...Πρὸς Τοὺς Μεγαρικούς. Διαπορίαι. Κύριαι Δόξαι...

...Against the Megarians. [Uncertainties](#). Principal Doctrines...

[U18]

Following the Laws

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Against Colotes, 34.1127D

“Οτι δ’ οὐ νομοθέταις ἀλλὰ νόμοις ἐπολέμουν, ἔξεστιν ἀκούειν Ἐπικούρου: ἐρωτᾷ γὰρ αὐτὸν [ἐν ταῖς Διαπορίαις](#)

But that they made war not against the lawgivers but against the laws themselves, one may hear and understand from Epicurus. For [in his *Uncertainties*](#), he asks himself:

"εἰ πράξει τινὰ ὁ σοφός, ὧν οἱ νόμοι ἀπαγορεύουσιν, εἰδὼς ὅτι λήσει"

"whether a wise man, will do any thing that the laws forbid, being assured that it will not be known."

καὶ ἀποκρίνεται "οὐκ [εὖοδον](#) τὸ ἀπλοῦν ἐστὶ [κατηγόρημα](#)" τουτέστι 'πράξω μὲν οὐ βούλομαι δ' ὁμολογεῖν.'

and he answers "that is not so easy to determine simply" that is, ‘I will not act, but I do not wish to admit it either.’

× "The simple (minded) [set-up](#) is not [easy](#) (to navigate)"

x

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 2.9.28

Ex eo quod [eam uoluptatem](#), quam omnes gentes [hoc nomine](#) appellant, uidetur [amplexari](#) saepe [uehementius](#), in magnis interdum uersatur angustiis – ut hominum conscientia remota nihil tam turpe sit quod uoluptatis causa non uideatur esse facturus.

Because he seems often **more vehement in embracing the pleasure** which all people call **by that name**, he sometimes finds himself in great difficulties – so that, with human conscience set aside, there seems to be nothing so disgraceful that he would not appear to do it for the sake of pleasure.

He often seems unduly eager to approve of pleasure in the common definition of term, and this occasionally lands him in a very awkward position – it conveys the impression that that no action is so base that he wouldn't do it for the sake of pleasure, as long as a guarantee of secrecy was provided.

[U19]

Marriage

[=U94] Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.119

καὶ **μη{δὲ}** καὶ **γαμήσειν** καὶ **τεκνοποιήσειν τὸν Σοφόν**, ὡς Ἐπίκουρος **ἐν ταῖς Διαπορίαις** – καὶ **ἐν τοῖς Περί Φύσεως**, **κατὰ περίστασιν** δέ **ποτε βίου γαμήσειν** καὶ **διατραπήσεσθαι Τίνας**

and **the wise Man is not even going to marry** and **sire children**, as Epicurus says in his '*Uncertainties*' – and [*he says*] in '*On Nature*,' that [*some wise men*] **will marry at some point** according to circumstance in life and **Some will refuse [to marry]**

γαμεῖν	to marry	ΓΑΜΕΙΝ <i>marriage</i>
γαμήσειν	going to marry	
τεκνοποιεῖν	to sire children	ΤΕΚΝΟΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>child gathering</i>
τεκνοποιήσειν	going to sire children	
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ἡ διαπορία αἱ διαπορίαι	uncertainty	ΔΙΑΠΟΡΙΑ <i>doubting across</i>
"Διαπορίαι"	"Uncertainties" a book by Epicurus	
ἡ στάσις αἱ στάσεις	stationary position	ΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
ἡ περίστασις αἱ περιστάσεις	circumstance	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing around</i>
διατρέπειν	to refuse	ΔΙΑΤΡΕΠΕΙΝ <i>turning thoroughly</i>

Children

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, Πρὸς Ἐπίκουρον 1 - 10

Ἐπινοεῖ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ὅτι φύσει ἐσμέν κοινωνικοί, ἀλλ’ ἅπαξ **ἐν τῷ κελύφει** θεῖς τὸ ἀγαθὸν ἡμῶν οὐκέτι δύναται ἄλλο οὐδὲν εἰπεῖν. πάλιν γὰρ ἐκείνου λίαν κρατεῖ ὅτι "οὐ δεῖ **ἀπεσπασμένον οὐδὲν τῆς** τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ **οὐσίας** οὔτε θαυμάζειν οὔτ’ ἀποδέχεσθαι" καὶ **καλῶς** αὐτοῦ κρατεῖ.

Even Epicurus perceives that we are by nature social, but having once placed our good **in the husk** [in the body] he is no longer able to say anything else. For again, he strongly says that "it is necessary to not marvel at nor accept **anything apart from the property** of the good" and he is right in maintaining this.

Even Epicurus is sensible that we are by nature sociable beings; but having once placed our good in the mere outward shell, he can say nothing afterwards inconsistent with that; for again, he strenuously maintains that "we ought not to admire or accept anything separated from the nature of good" and he is in the right to maintain it.

Πῶς οὖν ὑπονοητικοί ἐσμεν, οἷς μὴ φυσικὴ ἔστι πρὸς τὰ ἔγγονα φιλοστοργία; διὰ τί ἀποσυμβουλευεῖς τῷ σοφῷ τεκνοτροφεῖν; τί φοβῇ μὴ διὰ ταῦτα εἰς λύπας ἐμπέσῃ; διὰ γὰρ τὸν μῦν τὸν ἔσω τρεφόμενον ἐμπίπτει; τί οὖν αὐτῷ μέλει, ἂν μυΐδιον μικρὸν ἔσω κατακλαίῃ αὐτοῦ;

How then are we concerned [for them], if we have no natural affection to our children? Why then do you advise the wise man not to bring up children? Why are you afraid that he may thus fall into trouble? For does he fall into trouble on account of the mouse which is nurtured in the house? What does he care if a tiny little mouse *inside* [the house] cries out to him?

But how, then, arise any affectionate anxieties, unless there be such a thing as natural affection towards our offspring? Then why do you, Epicurus, dissuade a wise man from bringing up children? Why are you afraid that upon their account he may fall into anxieties? Does he fall into any for a mouse, that feeds within his house? What is it to him, if a little mouse bewails itself there?

Ἄλλ' οἶδεν, ὅτι, ἂν ἅπαξ γένηται παιδίον, οὐκέτι ἐφ' ἡμῖν ἐστι μὴ στέργειν μηδὲ φροντίζειν ἐπ' αὐτῷ. διὰ τοῦτο φησὶν οὐδὲ πολιτεύσεσθαι τὸν νοῦν ἔχοντα: οἶδεν γὰρ τίνα δεῖ ποιεῖν τὸν πολιτευόμενον: ἐπεῖτοι εἰ ὡς ἐν μυΐαις μέλλεις ἀναστρέφεσθαι, τί κωλύει;

But [Epicurus] knows that if once a child is born, it is no longer in our power not to love it nor care about it. For this reason, Epicurus says, that a man who has any sense also does not engage in political matters; for he knows what a man must do who is engaged in such things; for indeed, if you intend to behave among men as you would *among a swarm of flies*, what hinders you?

But Epicurus knew that, if once a child is born, it is no longer in our power not to love and be solicitous for it. On the same grounds he says that a wise man will not engage himself in public business, knowing very well what must follow. If men are only so many flies, why should he not engage in it?

Ἄλλ' ὅμως εἰδὼς ταῦτα τολμᾷ λέγειν ὅτι "μὴ ἀναιρώμεθα τέκνα." ἀλλὰ πρόβατον μὲν οὐκ ἀπολείπει τὸ αὐτοῦ ἔγγονον οὐδὲ λύκος, ἄνθρωπος δ' ἀπολείπει; τί θέλεις; μωροὺς ἡμᾶς εἶναι ὡς τὰ πρόβατα; οὐδ' ἐκεῖνα ἀπολείπει. θηριώδεις ὡς τοὺς λύκους; οὐδ' ἐκεῖνοι ἀπολ εἰπουσιν.

But Epicurus, who knows this, ventures to say that "we should not bring up *children*." But a sheep does not desert its own offspring, nor yet a wolf; and shall a man desert his child? What do you mean? that we should be as silly as sheep? but not even do they desert their offspring: or as savage as wolves, but not even do wolves desert their young.

And does he, who knows all this, dare to say "we should not raise *children*"? Not even a sheep, or a wolf, deserts its offspring; and shall man? What would you have, that we should be as silly as sheep? Yet even these do not desert their offspring. Or as savage as wolves? Neither do these desert them.

Ἄγε, τίς δέ σοι πείθεται ἰδὼν παιδίον αὐτοῦ κλαῖον ἐπὶ τὴν γῆν πεπτωκός; ἐγὼ μὲν οἶμαι ὅτι εἰ καὶ ἐμαντεύσατο ἡ μήτηρ σου καὶ ὁ πατήρ, ὅτι μέλλεις ταῦτα λέγειν, οὐκ ἂν σε ἔρριψαν.

Well, who would follow your advice, if he saw his child weeping after falling on the ground? For my part I think that even if your mother and your father had been told by an oracle that you would say what you have said, they still would not have cast you away.

Pray, who would mind you, if he saw his child fallen upon the ground and crying? For my part, I am of opinion that your father and mother, even if they could have foreseen that you would have been the author of such doctrines, would not have thrown you away.

Epicurus uoluptatis assertor, quamquam Metrodorus discipulus eius Leontion habuerit uxorem, raro dicit sapienti ineunda coniugia, quia multa incommoda admixta sunt nuptiis.

Epicurus, champion of pleasure, though his disciple Metrodorus had Leontion as his wife, he said the wise rarely enter into marriage, seeing that marriage entails many nuisances.

Et quomodo diuitiae et honores et corporum sanitates et cetera quae indifferentia nominamus, nec bona nec mala sunt sed uelut in meditullio posita usu et euentu uel bona uel mala fiunt – ita et uxores sitas in bonorum malorumque confinio.

And just as riches, honors, bodily health, and other things which we call indifferent, are neither good nor bad, but stand "midway" so to speak, and become good and bad according to the use and issue – in this way, wives stand on the borderline of good and bad.

Graue autem esse uiro sapienti uenire in dubium, utrum bonam an malam ducturus sit.

It is, moreover, a serious matter for a Sage to ponder whether he is going to marry a good or a bad woman.

[U20]

Poetry

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1095C

Καίτοι τᾶλλα μὲν ἡμῖν ὥς ἐπῆλθεν εἴρηται μουσικὴν δ’ ὅσας ἡδονὰς καὶ χάριτας οἷας φέρουσιν ἀποστρέφονται καὶ φεύγουσι, βουλόμενος οὐκ ἂν τις ἐκλάθοιτο, δι’ ἀτοπίαν ὧν Ἐπίκουρος λέγει.

So far I have mentioned their views just as they happened to occur to me, but no one could forget, even if he wished, their rejection and avoidance of music with the great pleasures and exquisite delight it brings; the absurd discrepancy of Epicurus' statements sees to that.

Φιλοθέωρον μὲν ἀποφαίνων τὸν σοφὸν ἐν ταῖς Διαπορίαις καὶ χαίροντα παρ’ ὄντινοῦν ἕτερον ἀκροάμασι καὶ θεάμασι Διονυσιακοῖς, προβλήμασι μουσικοῖς καὶ κριτικῶν φιλολόγοις ζητήμασιν οὐδὲ παρὰ πότον διδοὺς χώραν.

On the one hand, he declares in his *Uncertainties* that the Sage is a lover of spectacles and yields to none in the enjoyment of theatrical recitals and shows; but on the other, he does not allow room for musical problems or critical philological inquiries [even] with a drink.

Ἄλλὰ καὶ τοῖς φιλομούσοις τῶν βασιλέων παραινῶν στρατιωτικὰ διηγήματα καὶ φορτικὰς βωμολοχίας ὑπομένειν μᾶλλον ἐν τοῖς συμποσίοις ἢ λόγους περὶ μουσικῶν καὶ ποιητικῶν προβλημάτων περαιομένους. ταυτὶ γὰρ ἐτόλμησε γράφειν ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Βασιλείας*.

But he actually advises a cultivated monarch to put up with recitals of stratagems and with vulgar buffooneries at his drinking parties sooner than with the discussion of problems in music and poetry. Such is what he is presumed to have written in his book *On Kingship*.

[U21]*

Aging

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse 1094E

Ὅτι τοίνυν αἱ τοῦ σώματος ἡδοναὶ καθάπερ οἱ ἐτησῖαι μαραίνονται μετὰ τὴν ἀκμὴν καὶ ἀπολήγουσιν, οὐ λέληθε τὸν Ἐπίκουρον:

Now it has not escaped Epicurus that bodily pleasures, like the Etesian winds, after reaching their full force, slacken and fail.

Διαπορεῖ γοῦν, εἰ γέρων ὁ σοφὸς ὦν καὶ μὴ δυνάμενος πλησιάζειν ἔτι ταῖς τῶν καλῶν ἀφαῖς χαίρει καὶ ψηλαφήσειν. οὐ ταύτᾳ μὲν τῷ Σοφοκλεῖ διανοούμενος, ἀσμένως ἐκφυγόντι τὴν ἡδονὴν ταύτην, ὥσπερ ἄγριον καὶ λυττῶντα δεσπότην.

Thus he raises the problem, if the old wise man, not being able to have intercourse, still enjoys the touches and caresses of the beautiful. In this he is not of the same mind as Sophocles, who was as glad to have got beyond reach of this pleasure as of a savage and furious master.

[13. On Fair Dealing]
Περὶ Δικαιοπραγίας

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.27

...**Περὶ Βίων, Τέσσαρα. Περὶ Δικαιοπραγίας. Νεοκλῆς Πρὸς Θεμίσταν...**

...*On Lifestyles, in four books. On Fair Dealing. Neocles, Dedicated to Themista...*

[14. On Justice & the Other Virtues]
Περὶ Δικαιοσύνης καὶ τῶν ἄλλων Ἀρετῶν

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...**Περὶ Μουσικῆς. Περὶ Δικαιοσύνης καὶ τῶν ἄλλων Ἀρετῶν. Περὶ Δώρων Καὶ Χάριτος...**

...*On Music. On Justice and Other Virtues. On Gifts and Gratitude...*

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), de finibus 2.16, 51

Istorum uerborum, quae perraro appellantur ab Epicuro: sapientiae fortitudinis iustitiae temperantiae.

Those words, which are very rarely used by Epicurus: wisdom, courage, justice, temperance.

15. On Gifts and Gratitude
Περὶ Δώρων καὶ Χάριτος

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...**Περὶ Δικαιοσύνης καὶ τῶν ἄλλων Ἀρετῶν. Περὶ Δώρων Καὶ Χάριτος. Πολυμήδης...**

...*On Justice and Other Virtues. On Gifts and Gratitude. Polymédēs...*

[U22]

Learn the Rules of Grammar

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Grammarians, 1.49

Πλὴν διττῆς οὐσης γραμματικῆς ^[1] τῆς μὲν τὰ στοιχεῖα καὶ τὰς τούτων συμπλοκάς διδάξειν ἐπαγγελλομένης καὶ καθόλου τέχνης τινὸς οὐσης τοῦ γράφειν τε καὶ ἀναγινώσκειν ^[2] τῆς δὲ βαθυτέρας παρὰ ταύτην δυνάμεως· οὐκ ἐν ψιλῇ γραμμάτων γνώσει κειμένης, ἀλλὰ κὰν τῷ ἐξετάζειν τὴν εὗρεσιν αὐτῶν καὶ τὴν φύσιν, ἔτι δὲ τὰ ἐκ τούτων συνεστῶτα λόγου μέρη καὶ εἴ τι τῆς αὐτῆς ιδέας θεωρεῖται, πρόκειται – νῦν ἀντιλέγειν οὐ τῇ προτέρᾳ. συμφώνως γὰρ κατὰ πάντας ἐστὶ χρειώδης.

However, with the study of grammar being **twofold** ^[1] the one promising to teach **the elements** and **their combinations** and being in general an art of **writing** and **reading**, and ^[2] the other being, **in comparison**, a **deeper** ability: not consisting merely in the bare knowledge of letters but also in the investigation of their discovery and their nature, and in addition the parts of speech composed of letters and all other matters of the same kind – it is not our purpose now to invalidate the first; for that it is useful is agreed by all men.

Ἐν οἷς θετέον καὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον, εἰ καὶ δοκεῖ τοῖς ἀπὸ τῶν μαθημάτων διεχθραίνειν· ἐν γοῦν τῷ περὶ Δώρων καὶ Χάριτος ἱκανῶς πειρᾶται διδάσκειν ὅτι ἀναγκαῖόν ἐστι τοῖς σοφοῖς μανθάνειν **γράμματα**. καὶ ἄλλως, εἵπαιμεν ἂν ἡμεῖς, οὐ σοφοῖς μόνον ἀλλὰ καὶ πᾶσιν ἀνθρώποις.

and amongst them we must place Epicurus, although he seems to be bitterly hostile to the Professors; in his book *On Gifts and Gratitude* he definitely tries to prove that it is necessary **for the wise** to learn **grammar**. Necessary, as we should say, not for the wise only but for all men.

[16. On Films]

Περὶ Εἰδώλων

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...Προτρεπτικός. **Περὶ Εἰδώλων**. *Περὶ Φαντασίας*...

...Exhortation to Study Philosophy. *On Films*. *On Appearance*...

[17. On Destiny]

Περὶ Εἰμαρμένης

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...Περὶ Ἀφῆς. **Περὶ Εἰμαρμένης**. *Περὶ Παθῶν Δόξαι πρὸς Τιμοκράτην*...

...On Touch. *On Destiny*. *On Theories about Feelings, against Timocrates*...

[U23]

[Divine Assistance]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.37.1061

...Κὰν τ[ὼι γε Π]ερὶ τῆς Εἰμ[αρμέ]νης ὑπὲρ τ[ῆς] ἐκ[εῖ]νων συνεργί[ας] ἀποφαίνεται.

...Κὰν τῷι γε **Περὶ τῆς Εἰμαρμένης ὑπὲρ τῆς ἐκείνων συνεργίας ἀποφαίνεται**

And in his book *On Destiny* there is an exposition concerning the assistance provided by them

[18. The Big Summary]

Μεγάλη Ἐπιτομή

[U24]

ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ {τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ Μεγάλῃ Ἐπιτομῇ Φησι κατ’ ἀρχὴν καὶ ἐν τῇ ἁ Περι Φύσεως} τὸ Πᾶν ἐστὶ σώματα καὶ κενόν: σώματα μὲν γὰρ ὥς ἔστιν, αὐτὴ ἡ Αἴσθησις ἐπὶ πάντων μαρτυρεῖ – καθ’ ἣν, ἀναγκαῖον τὸ Ἄδηλον τῷ λογισμῷ τεκμαίρεσθαι, ὥσπερ προεῖπον

but indeed {He also says this in the Big Summary near the beginning and in his First Book *On Nature*} the Universe exists as bodies and as void: that bodies exist, Sensation itself in all [cases] testifies – using this [sensation], the Unseen must be inferred by reasoning

τεκμαίρεσθαι	to judge [from indications]	ΤΕΚΜΑΙΡΕΣΘΑΙ definitive sign [of boundary]
--------------	--------------------------------	--

[U25]

καὶ μὴν καὶ, τῶν {τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ Περι Φύσεως καὶ τῇ ιδ’ καὶ ιε’ καὶ τῇ Μεγάλῃ Ἐπιτομῇ} σωμάτων Τὰ μὲν ἐστὶ συγκρίσεις – Τὰ δ’ ἐξ ὧν αἱ συγκρίσεις πεποίηται

and indeed, {this is also in the first [book] *On Nature* and in the 14th and 15th and in the Big Summary} Some bodies are compounds – but Some [are bodies] out of which compounds are made

[U26]

Time – No Proof Needed

καὶ γὰρ Τοῦτο οὐκ ἀποδείξεως προσδεῖται, ἀλλ’ ἐπιλογισμοῦ: ὅτι ταῖς ἡμέραις καὶ ταῖς νυξὶ Συμπλέκομεν καὶ τοῖς τούτων μέρεσιν – ὡσαύτως δὲ καὶ τοῖς πάθεσι καὶ ταῖς ἀπαθείαις (καὶ κινήσεσι καὶ στάσεσιν): ἴδιόν τι σύμπτωμα περὶ ταῦτα, πάλιν, αὐτὸ τοῦτο Ἐννοοῦντες – καθ’ ὃ "Χρόνον" Ὀνομάζομεν {Φησὶ δὲ τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ δευτέρᾳ Περι Φύσεως καὶ ἐν τῇ Μεγάλῃ Ἐπιτομῇ}

for This also is not in need of proof, but [only] of consideration: that We entangle [the quality of time] with days and with nights and with their parts – and likewise also with experiences and with absence of experiences (with both movements and stationary positions): because We internalize, in turn, that particular symptom [of duration] regarding these [circumstances] as this very [quality] – according to which We use the word "Time" {He says this in both the second book *On Nature* and in the *Larger Epitome*}

[Hicks] "No further proof is required we have only to reflect that we attach the attribute of time to days and nights and their parts – and likewise to feelings [of pleasure and pain] and to neutral states, to states of movement and states of rest, conceiving a peculiar accident of these to be this very characteristic which we express by the word 'time.'"

[Bailey] "For indeed this requires no demonstration, but only reflection, to show that it is with days and nights and their divisions that we associate it – and likewise also with internal feelings or absence of feeling, and with movements and states of rest; in connection with these last again we think of this very perception as a peculiar kind of accident, and in virtue of this we call it time."

[U27]

19. The Small Summary
Μικρὰ Ἐπιτομή

The Small Summary is usually considered to be the letter to Herodotus – however the quote below is not preserved in the letter to Herodotus.

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.135

μαντικήν δ' ἅπασαν ἐν ἄλλοις Ἀναιρεῖ – ὡς καὶ ἐν τῇ *Μικρᾷ Ἐπιτομῇ*, καί φησι:

in other [*writings, Epicurus*] rejects all prophecy – as in the *Small Summary*,
he also says:

"Μαντική οὐσα ἀνύπαρκτος – εἰ δὲ καὶ ὑπαρκτή: οὐδὲν πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἡγητέα τὰ
γινόμενα"

"Prophecy is non-existent – but even if existent: what comes [*from it*] ought
to supposed as nothing to us"

τοσαῦτα καὶ περὶ τῶν βιωτικῶν – καὶ ἐπὶ πλείῳ διείλεκται ἀλλαχόθι

[*he says*] this much about things pertaining to lifestyle – and he has
conversed to a larger [*extent*] elsewhere

ὁ μάντις οἱ μάντεις	prophet	ΜΑΝΤΙΣ <i>oracle</i>
μαντικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	prophetic	ΜΑΝΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of an oracle</i>
ή μαντική αἱ μαντικάί	prophecy	ΜΑΝΤΙΚΗ <i>characteristic of an oracle</i>
ἄπας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	all together	ἌΠΑΣ <i>all together</i>
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ἈΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
ἀναιρεῖν	to confute	ΑΝΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping back</i>
μικρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
ή ἐπιτομή αἱ ἐπιτομαί	summary	ΕΠΙΤΟΜΗ <i>to cut upon</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
Part 2		
ή μαντική αἱ μαντικάί	prophecy	ΜΑΝΤΙΚΗ <i>characteristic of an oracle</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ἀνύπαρκτος <i>(ον)</i>	non-existent	ΑΝΥΠΑΡΚΤΟΣ <i>not beginning before</i>
ὑπαρκτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	existent	ΥΠΑΡΚΤΟΣ <i>beginning before</i>
οὐδεῖς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
δήπουθεν	presumably	ΔΗΠΟΥΘΕΝ <i>from somewhere indeed</i>
ἡγεῖσθαι	to suppose	ἩΓΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>leading</i>
ἡγητέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	ought to be supposed	ἩΓΗΤΕΟΣ <i>leading</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
Part 3		
τοσοῦτος <i>(τοσαύτη, τοσοῦτο)</i>	so long [here, "so much"]	ΤΟΣΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this so much</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
βιωτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	pertaining to lifestyle	ΒΙΩΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of life</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ἐπὶ πλείω	to a larger [extent]	
λέγεσθαι	to be said	ΛΕΓΕΣΘΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
διαλέγεσθαι	to converse	ΔΙΑΛΕΓΕΣΘΑΙ <i>speaking across</i>
ή ἀλλαγή αἱ ἀλλαγαί	change	ΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>other</i>
ἄλλαχόθι	elsewhere	ΑΛΛΑΧΟΘΙ <i>at a place other</i>

Prophecy does not exist. However, even if the predictions of diviners were real and sufficiently unambiguous to be actionable – those predictions still could not add anything to our life: because they cannot add to our already existing state of total pleasure (which we already easily achieve by fulfilling our natural and necessary needs).

Consider Torquatus (De Finibus 1.72) "Should [Epicurus], like Plato, have wasted his days studying music, geometry, arithmetic and astronomy? Those subjects start from false premises and so cannot be true. And even if they were true, they have no bearing on whether we live more pleasantly."

[20. On Love]

Περὶ Ἔρωτος

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.27

...Περὶ Ἀτόμων καὶ Κενοῦ. Περὶ Ἔρωτος. Ἐπιτομή τῶν πρὸς τοὺς Φυσικοὺς...

...On the Atoms and the Void. On Love. Summary of Objections to the Physicists...

[21. Eurylochus, Dedicated to Metrodorus]

Εὐρύλοχος Πρὸς Μητρόδωρον

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...Συμπόσιον. Εὐρύλοχος Πρὸς Μητρόδωρον. Περὶ τοῦ Ὁράν...

...Symposium. Eurylochus, Dedicated to Metrodorus. On Vision...

22. On Piety

Περὶ Εὐσεβείας

Use of Religious Terminology

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.14.115

(Cotta) At etiam De Sanctitate, De Pietate aduersus deos libros scripsit Epicurus. at quo modo in his loquitur? ut Ti. Coruncanium aut P. Scaeuolam pontifices maximos te audire dicas, non eum qui sustulerit omnem funditus religionem – nec manibus, ut Xerxes, sed rationibus – deorum immortalium templa et aras euerterit.

(Cotta) It is true that Epicurus wrote books On Sanctity and On Piety towards the gods. But what does he actually say? You would imagine that you were listening to the high priests such as Coruncianus or Scaevola and not to the man who destroyed the whole foundation of religious faith – not by by force, as Xerxes did, but by deliberation – and overturned the altars and the temples of the gods.

Quid est enim cur deos ab hominibus colendos dicas, cum dei non modo homines non colant – sed omnino nihil curent, nihil agant?

How can you say that mankind should revere the gods, if the gods not only have no care for man – but they care for nothing whatsoever, doing nothing?

Maintaining Holiness

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.14.123

(Cotta) **At etiam liber est Epicuri *De Sanctitate*. Iudimur ab homine non tam faceto quam ad scribendi licentiam libero! quae enim potest esse sanctitas – si di humana non curant?**

(Cotta) But there even is a book by Epicurus *On Sanctity*. In this book the reader is fooled by a man who wrote not so much with irony as with wild abandon! For how is holiness able to exist – if the gods do not care for humans?

23. Hegesianax
Ἡγησιάνναξ

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.27

...Περὶ Ὁσιότητος. Ἡγησιάνναξ. Περὶ Βίων, Τέσσαρα...

...On Holiness. *Hegesianax*. On Lifestyles, in four books...

Experiencing Emotions

[=U120] Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1101A

τοῖς ἀναιροῦσι λύπας καὶ δάκρυα καὶ στεναγμούς ἐπὶ ταῖς τῶν φίλων τελευταῖς, Μάχονται καὶ Λέγουσι

to those who remove distress and tears and sighs over the deaths of friends, They oppose and say that

"τὴν εἰς τὸ ἀπαθὲς καθεστῶσαν ἀλυπίαν ὑφ' ἑτέρου κακοῦ μείζονος ὑπάρχειν – ὠμότητος ἢ δοξοκοπίας ἀκράτου καὶ λύσσης – διὸ, Πάσχειν τι βέλτιον εἶναι: καὶ Λυπεῖσθαι καὶ – νῆ Δία! – Λιπαίνειν τοὺς ὀφθαλμούς καὶ Τήκεσθαι"

"impassivity established in apathy exists from another greater evil – of cruelty or of unrestrained vanity and of rage – therefore, to Experience something is better: and to be Distressed and – by Zeus! – to Anoint the eyes and to Melt"

καὶ – ὅσα δὴ παθαινόμενοι καὶ γράφοντες – ὕγροί Τινες εἶναι καὶ φιλικοὶ δοκοῦσι!

indeed – while experiencing and writing such things – They seem to be moist [i.e., tipsy] and friendly!

ταῦτα γὰρ ἐν ἄλλοις τε πολλοῖς Ἐπικούρου εἶρηκε – καὶ περὶ τῆς Ἡγησιάννακτος τελευτῆς πρὸς Δωσίθεον τὸν πατέρα γράφων καὶ Φύρσωνα τὸν ἀδελφόν, τοῦ τεθνηκότος: ἔναγχος γὰρ κατὰ τύχην τὰς ἐπιστολὰς Διῆλθον αὐτοῦ...

for Epicurus has said these things in many other contexts – and also while writing about the death of Hegesianax to Dositheus, the father, and to Phyrson [of Colophon], the brother of the deceased: for just recently, by chance, I went through his letters...

τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience (feeling)	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἡ ἀπάθεια αἱ ἀπάθειαι	absence of experience	ΑΠΑΘΕΙΑ <i>not experiencing</i>
ἀπαθής (ές)	apathetic	ΑΠΑΘΗΣ <i>not experiencing</i>
τὸ ἀπαθές τὰ ἀπαθῆ	apathy "the apathetic state"	ΑΠΑΘΕΣ <i>not experiencing</i>
καταστηματικός (ή, όν)	established	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΗΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of thoroughly standing</i>

καθιστά ναι	to establish	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΑ ΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly standing</i>
λυπηρός <i>(ἄ, όν)</i>	distressing	ΛΥΠΗΡΟΣ <i>vexation</i>
ή λύπη αί λύπαι	distress	ΛΥΠΗ <i>vexation</i>
ή άλυπία αί άλυπίαι	impassivity	ΑΛΥΠΙΑ <i>no vexation</i>
λυπεῖσθαι	to be distressed	ΛΥΠΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>vexation</i>
ή άπονία αί άπονίαι	non-suffering	ΑΠΟΝΙΑ <i>no toil</i>
ή άταραξία αί άταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ύπάρ χ _{ειν}	to exist	ΎΠΑΡΧ ΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ή ώμότης αί ώμότητες	cruelty	ΩΜΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from being savage / raw</i>
ή δόξα αί δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑ Ι <i>seeming</i>
ή δοξοκοπία αί δοξοκοπίαι	vanity	ΔΟΞΟΚΟΠΙΑ <i>striving toward seeming</i>
κρατ εῖν	to prevail	ΚΡΑΤ ΕΙΝ <i>power</i>
ἄκρατος <i>(ον)</i>	unrestrained	ΑΚΡΑΤΟΣ <i>no power</i>
ή λύσσα αί λύσσαι	rage	ΛΥΣΣΑ <i>fury / frenzy</i>
πάσ χ _{ειν}	to experience	ΠΑΣΧ ΕΙΝ <i>enduring</i>
άγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
βελτίων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ <i>greater</i>
λιπαίν ειν	to anoint	ΛΙΠΑΙΝ ΕΙΝ <i>fat / oil</i>
ό όφθαλμός οί όφθαλμοί	eye	ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΣ <i>eye chamber</i>
κατ' όφθαλμούς	directly observable	
τήκ εσθαι	to melt	ΤΗΚΕΣΘΑΙ <i>melt down / pine away</i>

24. On Pleasure

Περὶ Ἡδονῆς

x

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Divination, 2.27.59

Nos autem ita leues atque inconsiderati sumus, ut si mures corroserint aliquid, quorum est opus hoc unum, monstrum putemus?... nam si ista sequimur, quod Platonis Politian, nuper apud me, mures corroserunt de re publica debui pertimescere? aut si Epicuri *De Voluptate* liber rosus esset – putarem **annonam** in macello **cariorem** fore?

But are we simple and thoughtless enough to think it a portent for mice to gnaw something, when gnawing is their one business in life?... Hence, if one follows this type of reasoning, the fact that, recently at my house, mice gnawed my Plato's Republic, should I have been terrified about the [Roman] state? Or what if my book by Epicurus *On Pleasure* had been eaten – should I have thought that **the yearly produce** in the market will be **more expensive**?

25. Themista
Θεμίστα

X

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 1.21.67

In uestris disputationibus historia muta est, numquam audiui in Epicuri schola Lycurgum Solonem Miltiadem Themistoclem Epaminondam nominari, qui in ore sunt ceterorum omnium philosophorum... nonne melius est de his aliquid quam tantis uoluminibus de **Themista** loqui?

In your discourses, history is mute. In the school of Epicurus, I never heard one mention of Lycurgus, Solon, Miltiades, Themistocles, Epaminondas, who are always on the lips of the other philosophers... Would it not be better to talk of these than to devote those bulky volumes to **Themista**?

[U28]*

X

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Against Lucius Calpurnius Piso, 26.62

Inrisa est **abs te** paulo ante M. Pisonis cupiditas **triumphandi**... ea contemnis quae "illi idiotae" ut tu appellas, **praeclara** duxerunt... tu tantis nationibus subactis, tantis rebus gestis minime fructum laborum tuorum, praemia periculorum, uirtutis insignia contemnere debuisti. neque uero contempsisti, sis licet **Themista** sapientior...

A short while ago Marcus Piso's desire **for a triumph** was **absurd to you**... and you despise the things which "those idiots" as you are pleased to call them, deemed **glorious**... You, who have conquered nations so mighty, and done deeds so spirited, ought to have been the last to despise the fruit of your labors, the rewards of your risks, and the decoration due to your heroism. Nor indeed did you despise them, even if [you are] wiser than **Themista**...

After his praetorship, Marcus Pupius Piso Frugi Calpurnianus was assigned the province of Spain as proconsul, and when he returned to Rome in 69 BCE, he was given a triumph. Lucretius was around 30 years old at the time.

X

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Against Lucius Calpurnius Piso, 25.60

Vertes te ad alteram scholam; disseres de triumpho "quid tandem habet iste currus, quid vincti ante currum duces, quid simulacra oppidorum, quid aurum, quid argentum, quid legati in equis et tribuni, quid clamor militum, quid tota illa pompa? **inania** sunt ista, mihi crede, delectamenta paene puerorum, captare plausus, vehi per urbem, conspici velle. quibus ex rebus nihil est quod **solidum** tenere, nihil quod referre **ad voluptatem corporis** possis."

Turn to another school, and then speak thus of a triumph "What is the meaning of that chariot? What is the use of those generals bound in front of the chariot? and of the images of towns? and of the gold? and of the silver? and of the lieutenants on horseback? and of the tribunes? What avail all the shouts of the soldiery? and all that procession? To hunt for applause, to be carried through the city, to wish to be gazed upon? Those are mere trifles, believe me, things to please children. There is nothing in all those things which you can grasp as solid, nothing which you can refer to [as causing] pleasure to the body."

x

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Against Lucius Calpurnius Piso, 27.65

Fac huius odi tanti ac tam universi periculum, si audes. instant post hominum memoriam apparatissimi magnificentissimique ludi, quales non modo numquam fuerunt, sed ne quo modo fieri quidem posthac possint possum ullo pacto suspicari. da te populo, committe ludis. sibilum metuis? ubi sunt vestrae scholae?

Make an experiment of this excessive and universal hatred if you dare. The most carefully prepared and magnificent games within the memory of man are just at hand, games such as not only never have been exhibited, but such that we cannot form a conception how it will be possible for any like them ever to be exhibited for the future. Trust yourself to the people, join in the games. Are you afraid of hisses? Where are all the precepts of your schools?

Ne acclametur times? ne id quidem est curare philosophi. manus tibi ne adferantur? "dolor enim est malum" ut tu disputas; existimatio, dedecus, infamia, turpitudine verba atque ineptiae! sed de hoc non dubito: non audebit accedere ad ludos. convivium publicum non dignitatis causa inibit, nisi forte ut cum P. Clodio, hoc est cum amoribus suis, cenet, sed plane animi sui causa – ludos nobis 'idiotis' relinquet.

Are you afraid that there will be no acclamations raised in your honor? Surely it does not become a philosopher to regard even such a thing as that. You are afraid that violent hands may be laid on you? "Indeed, pain is evil" as you assert. The opinion which men entertain of you, disgrace, infamy, baseness – these are all empty words, mere trifles! But about this I have no question: he will never dare to come near the games. He will attend the public banquet not out of regard for his dignity (unless, perchance, for the purpose of supping with Publius Clodius, that is to say, with those men who love him) but merely for the sake of gratifying his appetite – the games he will leave to us 'idiots.'

{26. Against Theophrastus}

in at least two books

Πρὸς Θεόφραστον

Did both Leontion and Epicurus write "Against Theophrastus" – or just Leontion?

Leontion's "Against Theophrastus"

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.93 (Cotta speaking)

...quandō quidem haec esse in deō propter pulchritūdinem Vultis. Istīsne fidentēs somniīs – nōn modo Epicūrus et Mētrōdōros et Hermarchos contrā Pythagoram, Plātōnem, Empedoclēmque dīxērunt – sed meretrīcula etiam Leontion contrā Theophrastum scribere ausa est? Scītō, illa quidem sermōne et Atticō – sed tamen, tantum Epicūrī hortus habuit licentiae! (et soletis querī – Zēnō quidem etiam litīgābat) quid dīcam Albucium?

...since indeed you want **these [parts of the body]** to exist in god because of **beauty**. Believing in these dreams **[of anthropomorphic gods]** – **not only** Epicurus, Metrodorus, and Hermarchus spoke **against** Pythagoras, Plato, and Empedocles – **but even that little harlot** Leontion dared to write **against Theophrastus**? Mind you, she indeed **[wrote]** **even in the Attic diction** – but nevertheless, **only the garden of Epicurus** had **these liberties**! (you all are also accustomed **to complain** – **indeed**, Zeno **even** used to litigate) **why** need I mention **Albucius**?

[Rackham] ...Since your school holds that god possesses bodily parts because of their beauty. Was it dreams like these that not only encouraged Epicurus and Metrodorus and Hermarchus to contradict Pythagoras, Plato and Empedocles, but actually emboldened a loose woman like Leontion to write a book refuting Theophrastus? Her style no doubt is the neatest of Attic, but all the same! such was the license that prevailed in the Garden of Epicurus. And yet you are touchy yourselves, indeed Zeno actually used to invoke the law. I need not mention Albucius.

Titus Albucius (praetor c. 105 ^{BCE}) was a Roman Epicurean who studied in Athens and was well known in Rome for his interest in Greek literature generally – and Epicurus specifically. Cicero remarked that he was "nearly a Greek."

He unsuccessfully accused Mucius Scaevola, the augur, of maladministration in his province. In turn, he was accused of the same offense by Gaius Julius Caesar (the elder) in 103 ^{BCE} and was condemned.

Although he faced satire from Lucilius and criticism from Cicero, Albucius' commitment to the Greek language and philosophy was present throughout his life. He continued his philosophical endeavors during his exile in Athens.

Our Zeno of Sidon took the Diotimus the Stoic (fl. c. 100 ^{BCE}) to court – Diotimus was accusing Epicurus of vice by forging and spreading fifty obscene letters.

nām Phaedrō nihil ēlegantius, nihil hūmānius, sed stomachābātur Senex sī quid asperius dīxeram – cum Epicūrus Aristotelem uexārit contumēliōsissimē – Phaedōnī Socraticō turpissimē male dīxerit.

nothing could be **more elegant**, nothing **more humane than** Phaedrus, but the old man was offended if I said something **rather harsh** – although Epicurus treated **Aristotle with the most contempt** – **Phaedo**, the **disciple of Socrates**, he **fouly** slandered.

Mētrōdorī sōdālīs suī frātre, Timocratem, quia nescīo quid in philosophiā dissentīret, tōtīs volūminibus concīderit: in Dēmocritum ipsum, quem secūtus est, fuerit ingrātus – Nausiphānem, magistrum suum (ā quō nōn nihil didicerat) tam male accēperit

[Epicurus] also pelted **Timocrates**, the **brother of his companion Metrodorus**, **with whole volumes** because he disagreed **for some reason** in philosophy: he was ungrateful even to Democritus himself, **whom** he followed – **Nausiphanes**, **his teacher** (from whom he had learned **something**) he treated **very badly**

x

Pliny, Inquiry on Nature, Preface 28 - 30

Ego, plane meis adici posse multa confiteor, nec his solis, sed et omnibus quos edidi, ut obiter caveam 'istos Homeromastigas' (ita enim verius dixerim) quoniam audio et Stoicos et dialecticos, Epicureos quoque (nam de grammaticis semper expectavi) parturire adversus libellos quos de grammatica edidi, et subinde abortus facere iam decem annis – cum celerius etiam elephantī pariant!

I plainly confess that **many things can be added to my works**, not only **to these alone**, but also **to all that** I have published, so that in passing I may insure myself against **'those scourges of Homer'** (that would be the more correct term) as I am informed that both the **Stoics** and the **dialecticians**, and even **Epicureans** (for I have always expected [this] **regarding the grammarians**) **they are laboring against the booklets** I published on **grammar**, and **for ten years now** **they've had miscarriages** – while even elephants give birth more quickly!

Homēromastix		
Homēromastigēs		HOMEROMASTIX
	an editor	ΟΜΗΡΟΜΑΣΤΙΞ
ὁ Ὅμηρομάστιξ		Homer whipper
οἱ Ὅμηρομάστιγες		

Ceu vero nesciam **adversus Theophrastum** (**hominem in eloquentia tantum ut nomen 'divinum'** inde invenerit) **scripsisse etiam feminam et proverbium inde natum "suspensio arborem eligendi."**

As if indeed I did not know that against Theophrastus (a man so distinguished in eloquence he derived the name 'divine' from it) a woman even did the writing and from there a proverb was born "choosing a tree for hanging."

This proverb can probably be interpreted that Theophrastus is the tree, and Leontion, by choosing to write against (from Pliny's perspective) such an eloquent man as Theophrastus, was "choosing a tree to hang herself on." That is, she was "destroying her reputation" specifically, and the garden generally, by writing her book.

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), [Ad Contubernales], P.Herc. 1005, col. 5/6

...τῶν βαθυτέρων ὡς ἐν [δέ]χεται φανότατα πέφρασ[θαι] καὶ νοεῖ ταυτό παν[ταχῇ]ι. ὧδε ποῦ δυνησό[μεθ' ἐκ βυβλί]ων σοφά[τατ' ἐ]ξενεγκεῖν; ἐ[πι]ιδεῖ[κνυ]ται δὲ τὴν κατοχὴν [ὅλων ἐν τοῖ]ς βυβλίοις, τα[ράττων καὶ] θρυλῶν ὅτι Λε[όν]τιον καὶ ἑτέρα «ἐταῖρα» τις ἐν τῇ πραγματείᾳ μνημονεύεται – καὶ Νικίδιον ἦν Ἰδομενέως ἐρωμένη, Λεοντέως δὲ Μαμμά[ρι]ον, Ἑρμάρχου δὲ Δημη[τρ]ία – καὶ τοῖος ἦν Πυθο[κλ]έους πι[αιδ]αγωγὸς Πολύ[αινος]...

...τῶν βαθυτέρων, ὡς Ἐνδέχεται φανότατα πέφρασθαι – καὶ Νοεῖ ταυτό πανταχῇ: ὧδε, ποῦ Δυνησόμεθ' ἐκ βυβλίων σοφώτατ' ἐξενεγκεῖν; Ἐπιδείκνυται δὲ τὴν κατοχὴν ὅλων ἐν τοῖς βυβλίοις, ταράττων καὶ θρυλῶν ὅτι Λεόντιον καὶ ἑτέρα Ἐταῖρα τις ἐν τῇ πραγματείαί μνημονεύεται, καὶ Νικίδιον ἦν Ἰδομενέως ἐρωμένη, Λεοντέως δὲ Μαμμάριον, Ἑρμάρχου δὲ Δημητρία – καὶ τοῖος ἦν Πυθοκλέους παιδαγωγὸς Πολύαινος...

...regarding deeper matters, how They are able to have been expressed most clearly – He also perceives this everywhere: so, where will We be able to extract the wisest [insights] from books? while He displays [his mental] retention of everything within the books, he [also] stirs up and chatters that Leontion and some other Courtesan are mentioned in the work, and that the lover of Idomeneus was Nikídion, and of Leonteus was Mammáριον, and of Hermarchus was Dēmētría – and such a kind also was Pythoclês' tutor Polyaeus ...

The "other companion" is probably Themista. Nikídion was the lover of Idomeneus, Mammáριον was the lover of Leonteus, Demetria was the lover of Hermarchus , and Polyaeus was the tutor of Pythocles.

X

Pliny, Inquiry on Nature, 35.144

Theorus **Se Inungentem**, idem **Ab Oreste Matrem et Aegisthum Interfici**, **Bellumque Iliacum pluribus tabulis**, quod est **Romae in Philippi Porticibus**, et **Cassandram**, quae est in **Concordiae Delubro**, **Leontium** (Epicuri) **Cogitantem**, **Demetrium Regem**...

[The painter] Theorus [painted] a "*Man Anointing Himself*," and also "*Mother and Aegisthus killed by Orestes*," and the "*Trojan War*" in a series of pictures which is now in Philippus' Portico at Rome and a "*Cassandra*," which is in the Shrine of Concordia, a "*Leontion* (of Epicurus) *Contemplating*," "*Demetrius the King*" [more paintings are listed]...

The Temple of Concord (Concordiae Delubrum) was a Roman temple at the western end of the Forum, near the Capitoline Hill, dedicated to Concordia, the goddess of harmony. It symbolized reconciliation between the patricians and plebeians.

The Demetrius mentioned as "Demetrium regem" (King Demetrius) in this passage is most likely Demetrius I Poliorcetes, Epicurus' contemporary.

[U29]

Book 2

x

Aëtius (fl. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.15,9

Ἐπίκουρος καὶ Ἀρίσταρχος τὰ ἐν τῷ σκότῳ σώματα χροάν οὐκ ἔχειν.

* Epicurus and Arístarchos [say] that objects in the dark do not have color.

Arístarchos was born in Samos in 310 BCE (when Epicurus was 40 years old) and became a student of the Lyceum.

[U30]

x

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1110B - D

Ὅτι δὲ τούτοις πρὸς πᾶν ἔστι χρῆσθαι τὸ καλούμενον καὶ νομιζόμενον πικρὸν, γλυκὺ, καθαρτικὸν, ὑπνωτικὸν, φωτεινόν: ὥς οὐδενὸς ἔχοντος αὐτοτελεῖ ποιότητα καὶ δύναμιν, οὐδὲ δρῶντος μᾶλλον ἢ πάσχοντος, ὅταν ἐγγένηται τοῖς σώμασιν, ἄλλην δ' ἐν ἄλλοις διαφορὰν καὶ κρᾶσιν λαμβάνοντος – οὐκ ἄδηλόν ἐστιν.

Because it is possible to use these [arguments in support of relative impressions of the senses] for everything that is called and considered bitter, sweet, cathartic, soporific, and illuminating: as none of these have any self-sufficient quality and power or are active rather than passive when they enter into [our] bodies, but takes on other forms and mixtures in different [bodies] – this is not obscure.

Αὐτὸς γὰρ οὖν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ δευτέρῳ τῶν Πρὸς Θεόφραστον "οὐκ εἶναι" λέγων "τὰ χρώματα συμφυῇ τοῖς σώμασιν – ἀλλὰ γεννᾶσθαι κατὰ ποιᾶς τινος τάξεις καὶ θέσεις πρὸς τὴν ὄψιν" οὐ μᾶλλον φησι κατὰ τοῦτον τὸν λόγον ἀχρωμάτιστον σῶμα εἶναι ἢ χρῶμα ἔχον.

Epicurus himself in the second book of his *Reply to Theophrastus* says that "colors are not intrinsic to bodies – but a result of certain arrangements and positions relative to the eye" is asserting by this reasoning that body is no more colorless than colored.

* "colors are not inherent in objects – but are generated according to some specific orders and positions in relation to sight."

Ἀνωτέρῳ δὲ κατὰ λέξιν ταῦτα γέγραφεν "ἀλλὰ καὶ χωρὶς τούτου τοῦ μέρους, οὐκ οἷδ' ὅπως δεῖ τὰ ἐν τῷ σκότει ταῦτ' ὄντα φῆσαι χρώματα ἔχειν – καίτοι πολλάκις ἀέρος ὁμοίως σκοτώδους περιεχυμένου: οἱ μὲν αἰσθάνονται χρωμάτων διαφορᾶς, οἱ δ' οὐκ αἰσθάνονται δι' ἀμβλύτητα τῆς ὀψεως! ἔτι δ' εἰσελθόντες εἰς σκοτεινὸν οἶκον, οὐδεμίαν ὄψιν χρώματος ὁρῶμεν – ἀναμείναντες δὲ μικρὸν, ὁρῶμεν."

* Previously, however, he has written these things *verbatim* "But even without this part, I do not know how it is necessary to say that *those things which are in the dark* have *colors* – and yet, often, *with similarly dark air surrounding*: some people have perception of *differences of colors*, while others do not have a perception *due to the dimness of vision*! Moreover, having entered *into a dark room*, we see *no sight of color* – but having waited a little, we see."

Οὐ, μᾶλλον οὖν, ἔχειν ἢ μὴ ἔχειν χρῶμα ῥηθήσεται τῶν σωμάτων ἕκαστον. εἰ δὲ τὸ χρῶμα πρὸς τι, καὶ τὸ λευκὸν ἔσται πρὸς τι καὶ τὸ κυανοῦν – εἰ δὲ ταῦτα, καὶ τὸ γλυκὺ καὶ τὸ πικρόν: ὥστε, κατὰ πάσης ποιότητος, ἀληθῶς τὸ "μὴ μᾶλλον εἶναι ἢ μὴ εἶναι" κατηγορεῖσθαι – τοῖς γὰρ οὕτω πάσχουσιν ἔσται τοιοῦτον, οὐκ ἔσται δὲ τοῖς μὴ πάσχουσι.

Therefore, it will not be said that *each of the objects has* or *does not have color*. But if color exists with respect *to something*, then both white and blue will exist with respect *to something* – and if these, then also sweet and bitter: therefore, *according to every quality, it is truly said* that "it is no more to be than not to be" – for it will be *like this for those affected in this way*, but it will not be *for those not affected*.

Therefore no body will any more be said to have colour than not. If colour is relative, white and blue will be relative – and if these, then also sweet and bitter: so that of every characteristic we can truly say "It is no more this than it is not this" for to those affected in a certain way the thing will be this, but not to those not so affected.

27. On the Gods

Περὶ Θεῶν

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.271

...Χαιρέδημος. Περὶ Θεῶν. Περὶ Ὁσιότητος...

...Chairedemus. *On the Gods*. *On Holiness*...

x

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1102 B - D

...Μᾶλλον δὲ ὅλως ἀτερπὲς αὐτῷ καὶ λυπηρόν: ὑποκρίνεται γὰρ εὐχὰς καὶ προσκυνήσεις (οὐδὲν δεόμενος) διὰ τὸν φόβον τῶν πολλῶν καὶ φθέγγεται φωνὰς ἐναντίας οἷς φιλοσοφεῖ: καὶ θύων – μὲν ὡς μαγεῖρω – παρέστηκε τῷ ἱερεῖ σφάττοντι. θύσας δ', ἅπεισι λέγων τὸ Μενάνδρειον "ἔθουον οὐ προσέχουσιν οὐδὲν μοι θεοῖς."

Rather, [a festival] is entirely *unpleasant* and *painful to [Epicurus]*: (although needing *nothing*) he feigns *prayers* and *acts of worship* because of the fear of the many, and he speaks *words contrary to what* he philosophizes: and while sacrificing – as if *by a cook* – he stands *by the slaughtering priest*. And, having sacrificed, he goes away while quoting *the Menandrian line* "I sacrifice *to gods who pay no attention to me at all*."

Οὕτω γὰρ Ἐπίκουρος οἶεται δεῖν σχηματίζεσθαι καὶ μὴ φθονεῖν μηδ' ἀπεχθάνεσθαι τοῖς πολλοῖς οἷς χαίρουσιν ἕτεροι πράττοντες, αὐτοὺς δυσχεραίνοντας. "πᾶν γὰρ ἀναγκαῖον Πρᾶγμ' ἀνιηρόν ἔφυ" κατὰ τὸν Εὐήνον – ἧ καὶ τοὺς Δεισιδαίμονας, οὐ χαίροντας ἀλλὰ φοβουμένους, οἷονται θυσίαις καὶ τελεταῖς ὁμιλεῖν.

For in this way Epicurus thinks that it is necessary *to conform oneself* and *not to envy* nor *to be hostile to the many things which* others rejoice when practicing, *while they find it troublesome themselves*. "every necessary Thing is *difficult*" according to Εὐένης [Páros] – *whereby* they also think that *the Superstitious, not while rejoicing* but *while fearing, engage with sacrifices* and *rituals*.

μηδὲν **ἐκείνων** Αὐτοὶ διαφέροντες, εἴ γε δὴ διὰ **φόβον** **ταῦτά** δρῶσιν, οὐδ' **ἐλπίδος χρηστῆς ὅσον ἐκεῖνοι** μεταλαγχάνοντες – ἀλλὰ μόνον δεδιότες καὶ **ταραπτόμενοι** μὴ **φανεροὶ** γένωνται, **τοὺς πολλοὺς** παραλογιζόμενοι καὶ **φενακίζοντες** – ἐφ' οὓς καὶ τὰ **Περὶ Θεῶν** καὶ **Ὀσιότητος** αὐτοῖς Βιβλία **συντέτακται** "**ἐλικτὰ** κούδὲν **ὕγιές**, ἀλλὰ πᾶν **πέριξ**" **ἐπαμπεχομένοις** καὶ **ἀποκρυπτομένοις** διὰ **φόβον** ᾧς ἔχουσι **δόξας**.

Themselves [the Epicureans] differing in no way from the others, if indeed they do the same things because of fear, while not sharing as much good hope as those [the rest of the public] – but only fearing and being troubled lest they become exposed, while deceiving and cheating the many – for whom also the Books *On the Gods* and *Piety* have been composed by them, "twisting and nothing sound, but everything around" who cover up and conceal in fear the opinions they hold.

[Euripides, *Andromeda*, 448] "**ἐλικτὰ** κούδὲν **ὕγιές**, ἀλλὰ **πᾶν** **πέριξ** φρονοῦντες."

× "Twisted and unsound [thoughts] – but thinking everything around."

[U31]

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), *On the Gods*, Book 3, P.Herc. 152 fr. 5

...Διαστέλλεται δὲ καὶ [πε]ρὶ τούτων ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ **Περὶ Θεῶν** – διό περ καὶ ἐν οἷς **τὸ σάρκινον φθορᾶς εἶναι δεκτικὸν** λέγει...

...Epicurus makes a distinction for these [the gods] in the book *On the Gods* – In which he also affirms that what is made of flesh is susceptible to decay...

[U32]

Philodemus defends our school against charges of impiety by citing a passage from Epicurus' On the Gods about how, although all flesh is susceptible to decay, the gods can exist as material compounds.

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), *On Piety*, 1.7.190

[Ἐπι]κούρῳ δ' ἐν [τῷ Περὶ Θεῶν] "τὸ μὴ [τῇ φύσει] τὴν α[ἰ]σ[θανομένην] σύγκρισιν έχον, σύμφω[νον τῷ θείῳ] – καὶ τὸ μὴ τῆς [φύσεως ὄν] μετεχού[σης τῶ]ν ἀλγῆδό[νων, ὥστ' ἐξ ἀν]άγκης μα[λακίας πολλ]λὰς ποῆσαι. [ἡ θεία φύ]σις οὐσα, [φαίνεται] καὶ τις [δαιμόνιος]

Ἐπικούρῳ δ' ἐν τῷ Περὶ Θεῶν "τὸ μὴ τῇ φύσει τὴν αἰσθανομένην σύγκρισιν έχον, σύμφωνον τῷ θείῳ – καὶ τὸ μὴ τῆς φύσεως ὄν μετεχούσης τῶν ἀλγῆδόνων, ὥστ' ἐξ ἀνάγκης μαλακίας πολλὰς ποῆσαι. ἡ θεία φύσις οὐσα, φαίνεται καὶ τις δαιμόνιος"

and according to Epicurus in *On the Gods* "that which does not have in its nature a sensitive constitution is consistent with divinity – and [divine nature appears to be] that which is not of the nature that partakes of pains, so that it necessarily creates many weaknesses – and to be a kind of divinity."

Oratio obliqua with the neuter article and participle is common in Epicurus and Philodemus.

[U33]

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), *On Piety*, 1.44.1255

...Καὶ τὸ [ἀλλότρι]ον δι[ια]λῦ[σαι, τε] καὶ [κο]σμή[σαι τὸ] κ[ατι]σχῶν [πᾶν – οὐ]δ[ὲ κ]ωλυόμε[νον] ταῦτα πράτ[τειν ἐν] τῷ Περὶ Θεῶν [φησιν.]

...Καὶ τὸ ἀλλότριον διαλῦσαί, τε καὶ κοσμήσαι τὸ κατισχϋον πᾶν – οὐδὲ κωλυόμενον ταῦτα πράττειν ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Θεῶν* φησιν

※ ...And [the gods] dissolve the unfamiliar, and organize all that prevails – not being hindered in doing these things, [he mentions] in *On the Gods*.

[Obbink] ...And to dispel what is foreign to its nature, and to marshal all [its] overpowering strength – nor in *On the Gods* [does he say] anything conflicting with one's doing these things.

[U34]

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.14.381

...[Εν] τῷ Περὶ Θε[ῶν ἀν]αμφιλέκτως [τίθε]ται τὸ τήν...

...Ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Θεῶν* ἀναμφιλέκτως τίθεται τὸ τήν...

[Obbink] ...In his book *On the Gods* it is indisputably set forth that the...

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.36.1040 –37.1060

ἔν τε γὰρ τ[ῷ Περὶ] Θεῶν ποία [τίς ἐσ]τίν αἰτία ν[εμέσεως] καὶ σωτηρία[ς ἀνθρώ]ποις διὰ τοῦ Θε[οῦ κα]ταλειπτέον ὑπ[ο]γράφει διὰ πλεό[νων]. Ἐν τε τῷ τρε[ῖσκα]ι δεκάτῳ, περ[ὶ τῆς] οἰκειότητος ἣ[ν πρὸς] τινας ὁ Θεὸς ἔχ[ει καὶ] τῆς ἀλλοτρι[ότητος.] ἐν δὲ τῷ πέ[μπτῳ καὶ] τριακ[οσ]τῷ [ι, φησι], σὺν τῷ τι [ταύ]της τῆς ὠφελ[ίας] διασ[α]φεῖν, κ[α]ὶ διό[τι νομι]ζον...

ἔν τε γὰρ τῷ Περὶ Θεῶν ποία τίς ἐστίν Αἰτία νεμέσεως καὶ σωτηρίας ἀνθρώποις διὰ τοῦ θεοῦ καταλειπτέον, Ὑπογράφει διὰ πλειόνων – ἔν τε τῷ τρεῖσκαδεκάτῳ, περὶ τῆς οἰκειότητος ἣν πρὸς τινας ὁ Θεὸς ἔχει καὶ τῆς ἀλλοτριότητος. ἐν δὲ τῷ πέμπτῳ καὶ τριακοστῷ, Φησι, σὺν τῷ τι ταύτης τῆς ὠφελίας, διασαφεῖν, καὶ διότι Νομίζον...

and in the [book] *Concerning the Gods*, what kind of Cause for vengeance and security exists through a god that must be thoroughly left behind for men, [Epicurus] writes with many [details]. and in the thirteenth [book *On Nature, Epicurus writes*] regarding the familiarity that a God has with certain [people] and regarding his alienation. and in the five-and-thirtieth [i.e., *Book 35 On Nature, Epicurus*] says that he clarifies, along with a little bit of this benefit, also why It is believed that...

[28. Kallistólas]

Καλλιστόλας

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...*Περὶ Νόσων Δόξαι πρὸς Μίθρην. Καλλιστόλας. Περὶ Βασιλείας...*

...*Judgements about Diseases, Dedicated to Mithres. Kallistólas. On Kingship...*

29. On Criterion, or Canon

Περὶ Κριτηρίου, ἢ Κανών

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...*Περὶ Τέλους. Περὶ Κριτηρίου, ἢ Κανών. Χαιρέδημος...*

...*On Fulfillment. On the Criterion, or The Canon. Chairedemus...*

A. Ἐπικούρου δέ με ὀρᾷς μαθητὴν ὄντα τοῦ σοφοῦν – παρ’ ᾧ ἐν δὺ’ ἔτεσιν καὶ μηνσὶν οὐχ ὅλοις δέκα τάλαντ’ ἐγώ σοι κατεπύκνωσα τέτταρα.

A. You see me here as a pupil of the wise Epicurus – from whom in two years and ten months or less, I condensed for you four talents.

B. τοῦτο δὲ τί ἐστίν; εἰπέ μοι.

B. What is this? tell me.

A. καθήγισα. μάγειρος ἦν κάκεϊνος – οὐκ ᾔδει, θεοί, ποῖος μάγειρος: "ἡ φύσις πάσης τέχνης ἀρχέγονον ἐστ’, ἀρχέγονον, ὠλιθήριε! οὐκ ἔστιν οὐδὲ ἐν νοεῖν σοφώτερον! πᾶν τ’ εὐχερὲς τὸ πρᾶγμα τοῦ λόγου τριβὴν ἔχοντι τούτου – πολλὰ γὰρ συμβάλλεται!"

I have initiated. And that one was a cook – he did not know, oh gods, what kind of a cook: "Nature is the origin of every art, the origin, wretched man! There is not even one thing wiser to think of! Every act is easy for the one having experience of this reasoning – then many things come together!"

A. διόπερ μάγειρον ὅταν ἴδῃς ἀγράμματον μὴ Δημόκριτόν τε πάντα διανεγνωκότα (μᾶλλον δὲ κατέχοντα!) καταγέλα ὥς κενοῦ – καὶ τὸν Ἐπικούρου Κανόνα? μινθώσας, ἄφες! ὥς ἐκ διατριβῆς τοῦτο δεῖ γάρ εἰδέναι: τίν’ ἔχει διαφορὰν πρῶτον (ᾧ βέλτιστε σύ) γλαυκίσκος ἐν χειμῶνι καὶ θέρει πάλιν ποῖος περὶ δύσιν Πλειάδος συνειδέναι ἰχθὺς ὑπὸ τροπᾶς τ’ ἐστὶ χρησιμώτατος!

And therefore, when you see a cook who is illiterate, not understanding everything by Democritus (he must remember him as well!) laugh at him as empty – and [he does not understand] the Canon of Epicurus? Having scrutinized [the cook], discharge [him]! For it is necessary to know this from practice: first, how great the difference (my friend) the glaukískos has in winter and again in summer – what kind of fish is most useful to recognize around the setting of the Pleiades and under the solstices!

ὁ γλαυκίσκος

"little gleaming fish"
(possibly) anchovy

ΓΛΑΥΚΙΣΚΟΣ
gleaming little

Ἀρκτέον δὴ ἀπὸ τῆς πρώτης ὀλίγα προειπόντα περὶ τῆς διαιρέσεως τῆς κατ’ αὐτὸν φιλοσοφίας. Διαιρεῖται τοίνυν εἰς τρία: τὸ τε Κανονικόν, καὶ Φυσικόν, καὶ Ἠθικόν – τὸ μὲν οὖν Κανονικόν ἐφόδους ἐπὶ τὴν πραγματείαν ἔχει, καὶ ἔστιν ἐν ἐνὶ τῷ ἐπιγραφομένῳ Κανών.

We ought to begin at first with a few things said beforehand concerning the division philosophy according to him. It is divided into three: the Canon, Physics, and Ethics – the Canon forms the introduction, and is contained in the one book titled *Canon*.

Ἀρίστων δὲ φησιν ἐν τῷ Ἐπικούρου Βίῳ τὸν Κανόνα γράψαι αὐτὸν ἐκ τοῦ Ναυσιφάνους Τρίποδος – οὗ καὶ ἀκοῦσαί φησιν αὐτόν – ἀλλὰ καὶ Παμφίλου τοῦ Πλατωνικοῦ ἐν Σάμῳ.

Aríston says in his Life of Epicurus that [Epicurus] wrote *The Canon* from the Tripod of Nausiphanes – to whom [Aríston] says [Epicurus] even listened – but also to Pamphílos the Platonist in Samos.

Peripatetic Ariston of Khîos (fl. c. 225 ^{BCE}) or Stoic Ariston of Khîos (fl. c. 260 ^{BCE}) or Aristo of Alexandria?

X

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), *De Finibus*, 1.19.63

(Torquatus) Tum vero, **si stabilem scientiam rerum tenebimus, servata illa – quae quasi delapsa de caelo est ad cognitionem omnium – regula ad quam omnia iudicia rerum dirigentur: numquam ullius oratione victi, sententia desistemus.**

(Torquatus) Indeed if we will hold a stable knowledge of things, with that being preserved – which has fallen as though from heaven for the knowledge of all – the rule to which all judgments of things will be directed: then never, having been convinced by anyone's speech, will we abandon our knowledge

X

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), *De Natura Deorum*, 1.16.43

(Velleius) **Ea qui consideret (quam inconsulte ac temere dicantur) venerari Epicurum et in eorum ipsorum numero (de quibus haec quaestio est) habere debeat!**

(Velleius) Whoever considers these things (how rashly and recklessly they are said) ought to revere Epicurus and hold him in the number of those themselves (about whom this question exists)!

Solus enim vidit, primum, esse deos quod in omnium animis eorum notionem inpressisset ipsa natura. Quae est, enim, gens aut quod genus hominum quod non habeat, sine doctrina, anticipationem quandam deorum?

For he alone saw, first, that gods exist because into the minds of all [men] nature herself had impressed a notion of them. In fact, what nation or race of men exists that does not have, without teaching, some anticipation of the gods?

ea nōtiō nōtiōnēs	notion	NOTIO the result of becoming familiar
ea anticipātiō anticipātiōnēs	anticipation	ANTICIPATIO the result of taking before

Quam appellat "πρόληψιν" Epicurus – id est, anteceptam animo rei quandam informationem – sine qua nec intellegi quicquam nec quaeri nec disputari potest. quouis rationis vim atque utilitatem ex illo caelesti Epicuri de Regula et Iudicio volumine accepimus.

Such [a notion] Epicurus calls "prōlēpsis" – that is, some information in the mind obtained before [thinking] – without which nothing is able to be understood, questioned or disputed. We have received the strength and benefit of this reasoning from that celestial volume of Epicurus on the Rule and Judgment.

ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	[•^] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ taking hold before
anteceptus (a, um)	obtained before [thinking]	ANTECEPTUS taken before
ea infōrmātiō infōrmātiōnēs	information	INFORMATIO making into shape

antecepta infōrmātiō	information obtained before [thinking]
--	---

X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1118A

Οὐ γὰρ Κωλώτῃ μὲν ὁ ἄρτος ἄρτος ἐφαίνετο καὶ χόρτος ὁ χόρτος ὅτι τοὺς 'διοπετεῖς' ἀνεγνώκει Κανόνας (ὁ δὲ Σωκράτης ὑπὸ ἀλαζονείας ἄρτου μὲν ὡς χόρτου, χόρτου δὲ ὡς ἄρτου φαντασίαν ἐλάμβανε). δόγμασι γὰρ ἡμῶν καὶ λόγοις οὗτοι χρῶνται βελτίοσιν οἱ σοφοί – ὁ δ' αἰσθάνεσθαι καὶ τυποῦσθαι πρὸς τὰ φαινόμενα κοινόν ἐστι πάθος ἀλόγοις περαινόμενον αἰτίαις.

Bread did not appear to Colotes as bread and grass as grass because he had studied the 'heaven-sent' *Canons* (Socrates by false pretense perceived an appearance of bread as grass and grass as bread). For these wise men use teachings and arguments superior to ours – but perceiving and being affected by appearances is a common experience that is determined by irrational causes.

X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1123F

Εἰ γὰρ οὐ κραιπαλῶντες οὐδὲ φαρμακῶντες ἄνθρωποι καὶ παρακόπτοντες – ἀλλὰ νήφοντες καὶ ὑγιαίνοντες καὶ γράφοντες περὶ ἀληθείας καὶ Κανόνων καὶ Κριτηρίων ἐν τοῖς ἐναργεστάτοις πάθεσι καὶ κινήμασι τῆς αἰσθήσεως, ἢ 'τὸ ἀνύπαρκτον ἀληθές' ἢ 'ψεῦδος καὶ ἀνύπαρκτον' ἡγοῦνται 'τὸ ἀληθές' – οὐκ εἰ περὶ πάντων ἡσυχάζουσιν, ἀλλ' εἴ τισιν ὅλως ἄνθρωποι συγκατατίθενται, θαυμάζειν ἄξιον!

For if men are neither intoxicated nor on drugs and raving – but rather are sober and healthy and writing about truth and Standards and Measurements in the clearest experiences and movements of sensations, if [such sober men] consider either 'the non-existent is true' or 'the false and non-existent is the truth' – then not if they are at peace about everything, but if the men agree in entirely anything, is worthy of wonder!

X

Alciphron (fl. fortasse 160 CE), Letters, Book 4, Letter 17 (2.2) – section 2

(Leontion scribentem ad Lamiam facit) Μέχρι τίνος ὑπομενεῖ τις τὸν φιλόσοφον τοῦτον; ἐχέτω τὰ "Περὶ Φύσεως" καὶ τὰς Κυρίας Δόξας καὶ τοὺς διεστραμμένους Κανόνας – ἐμὲ δὲ ἀφέτω τὴν φυσικῶς κυρίαν ἐμαυτῆς, ἀστομάχητον καὶ ἀνύβριστον.

(Leontion depicted as writing to Lamia) How long can one suffer this philosopher? Let him keep that "On Nature," the *Principal Judgements*, those twisted *Canons* – let me retain my natural mastery over myself, unchallenged and uninsulted.

Alciphron (fl. fortasse 160 CE), Letters, Book 4, Letter 17 (2.2) – section 7

...Κολακεύειν αὐτὸν καὶ καθυμνεῖν τὰς ὑπηνέμους αὐτοῦ Δόξας...

...To flatter him and to sing the praises of the sheltered *Judgements* of his...

X

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 2.23.21

εἴτα τηλικαύτῃ Δύναμις οὔσα καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς ἄλλοις ἐπιτεταγμένη, παρελθοῦσα ἡμῖν λεγέτω κράτιστον εἶναι τῶν ὄντων τὴν σάρκα – οὐδὲ εἰ αὐτὴ ἡ Σὰρξ ἑαυτὴν ἔλεγεν εἶναι κράτιστον, ἡνέσχετο ἂν τις αὐτῆς

then, being **so great** a Power and **set over all the rest**, let [the power of the will] come forward and let it say **to us** that **the most excellent of all things is the flesh** – not even if the Flesh itself declared that **it itself is the most excellent**, would anyone be tolerant **of this**

νῦν δὲ τί ἐστίν, Ἐπίκουρε, τὸ **ταῦτα** ἀποφαινόμενον; τὸ περὶ **Τέλους** συγγεγραφός, τὸ **τὰς Φυσικάς**; τὸ περὶ **Κανόνος**; τὸ τὸν **πώγωνα** καθεικός;

but now which is it, Epicurus, which declares **these things**? Which one wrote about *The End*, which one wrote **on Nature**? Which one wrote about the *Canon*? Which one let grow **the beard**?

Τὸ γράφον, ὅτε ἀπέθνησκεν, ὅτι "**τὴν τελευταίαν** ἄγοντες **ἅμα καὶ μακαρίαν ἡμέραν**;" ἢ Σάρξ ἢ ἡ Προαίρεσις;

Which one wrote, when it was dying, that it "was spending **the last and at the same time also a blessed day**?" was it the Flesh or the Will?

εἴτα **τούτου τι κρεῖσσον** ἔχειν Ὁμολογεῖς! καὶ οὐ Μαίνῃ; οὕτως **τυφλὸς ταῖς ἀληθείαις καὶ κωφὸς** Εἶ;

then You agree to having **something [i.e., the will] superior** to this [i.e., the flesh]! are You not insane? Are you so **blind and deaf to the truths**?

[U35]

x

Diogenes Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.31 sup.

Τὴν διαλεκτικὴν ὥς **παρέλκουσαν** ἀποδοκιμάζουσιν, ἀρκεῖν γὰρ **τοὺς Φυσικοὺς χωρεῖν** κατὰ **τοὺς τῶν πραγμάτων φθόγγους**. **ἐν**, τοίνυν, **τῷ Κανόνι**, λέγων ἐστὶν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος **κριτήρια τῆς ἀληθείας εἶναι τὰς** ^[1]**αἰσθήσεις** καὶ ^[2]**προλήψεις** καὶ τὰ ^[3]**πάθη**, οἱ δ' Ἐπικούρειοι καὶ ^[4]**τὰς φανταστικάς ἐπιβολὰς τῆς διανοίας**

* [Epicureans] reject **dialectic** as **misleading**, [saying that] it is sufficient for **the Physicists to proceed** according to the [common] words **for things**. Therefore, In *The Canon*, Epicurus affirms **the standards of truth** are the ^[1]**sensations** and the ^[2]**preconceptions** and the ^[3]**feelings**, and the Epicureans [also affirm] the ^[4]**impression-based focus of the mind**

[U36]

x

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.31 fin.

Λέγει δὲ καὶ **ἐν τῇ πρὸς Ἡρόδοτον Ἐπιτομῇ** καὶ **ἐν ταῖς Κυρίαις Δόξαις**, "**πᾶσα γάρ**" φησὶν "**αἴσθησις ἄλογός** ἐστὶ καὶ **μνήμης οὐδεμιᾶς δεκτικὴ** – οὕτε γὰρ **ὑφ' αὐτῆς** οὕτε **ὑφ' ἑτέρου** κινηθεῖσα, δύναται **τι προσθεῖναι ἢ ἀφελεῖν**."

As he also says in the *Letter to Herodotus* and in the *Primary Judgements*, he says "Every sensation is **devoid of reason** and **capable of no memory** – for neither when moved **by itself** nor **by another**, is [a sensation] able to add **anything** or take [anything] away."

x

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.32

οὐδὲ ἔστι Τὸ δυνάμενον **αὐτὰς** διελέγξαι: οὕτε γὰρ ἡ ὁμογένεια Αἴσθησις **τὴν ὁμογενῇ διὰ τὴν ἰσοσθένειαν** – οὐθ' ἡ ἀνομογένεια **τὴν ἀνομογένειαν** – **οὐ** γὰρ **τῶν αὐτῶν** εἰσι **κριτικάί**

nor is there Anything able refute **them**: for neither does the like Sensation [refute] **the like** [sensation] through equal force – nor the unlike [sense refute] **the unlike** – for they are **not critical of the same things**

οὔτε, μὴν, Λόγος – πᾶς γὰρ Λόγος ἀπὸ τῶν αἰσθήσεων ἥρτηται! οὔθ’ ἡ ἑτέρα **τὴν ἑτέραν**, **πάσαις** γὰρ προσέχομεν – καὶ Τὸ **τὰ ἐπαισθήματα δ’ ὑφεστάναι**, πιστοῦται **τὴν τῶν αἰσθήσεων ἀλήθειαν**

* indeed, neither [can] Reason [refute the senses] – for all Reason has been held up **by the sensations**! Nor [does] one [sense refute] **another**, for we cling **to all** – and the Fact that **the impressions are established**, confirms **the truth of the senses**

ὑφιστάναι

to be established

ὕΦΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ
standing under

ὑφέστηκε δὲ τό τε Ὅρᾶν **ἡμᾶς** καὶ Ἀκούειν, ὥσπερ τὸ ἀλγεῖν, ὅθεν καὶ **περὶ τῶν ἀδήλων ἀπὸ τῶν φαινομένων** χρὴ Σημειοῦσθαι: καὶ γὰρ καὶ Ἐπίνοιαί **πᾶσαι ἀπὸ τῶν αἰσθήσεων** γεγόνασιν – **κατὰ τε** ^[1]**περίπτωσιν** καὶ ^[2]**ἀναλογίαν** καὶ ^[3]**ὁμοιότητα** καὶ ^[4]**σύνθεσιν** – **συμβαλλομένου τι καὶ τοῦ λογισμοῦ**

* Seeing and Hearing have been established **for us**, just like feeling pain, where Taking signs **from what is visible** is also necessary for unseen things: for indeed, all Thoughts have arisen **from the sensations** – according to ^[1]incident, ^[2]analogy, ^[3]similarity, and ^[4]synthesis – **with reasoning** also **throwing in something**

ἡ περίπτωσις αἱ περιπτώσεις	incident	ΠΕΡΙΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling around</i>
ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning</i> <i>back/again</i>
ἡ ὁμοιότης αἱ ὁμοιότητες	similarity	ὍΜΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of</i> <i>being similar</i>
ἡ σύνθεσις αἱ συνθέσεις	synthesis	ΣΥΝΘΕΣΙΣ <i>to put together</i>

τά τε τῶν μαινομένων Φαντάσματα καὶ τὰ κατ’ ὄναρ ἀληθῆ – **κινεῖ γάρ** – Τὸ δὲ **μὴ ὄν, οὐ κινεῖ**

the mental impressions of maniacs and [the Mental impressions] **in dreams** are **real** – because they move [the mind] – and What does not exist, does not move

[30. Against the Megarians]
Πρὸς Τοὺς Μεγαρικούς

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.27

...Ἐπιτομή τῶν πρὸς τοὺς Φυσικούς. Πρὸς Τοὺς Μεγαρικούς. Διαπορίαι...

...Summary of Objections to the Physicists. *Against the Megarians.*
Uncertainties...

After the death of his teacher Socrates, Eukleídēs of Mégara founded a school in Mégara (a city 25 miles west of Athens and 30 miles east of Corinth), where many students of Socrates took refuge with him.

The school formed into a group of thinkers known for studying logical puzzles and paradoxes (such as 'the Horns,' 'the Sorites,' and 'the Covered Father'). Eukleídēs was interested in the dilemmas of logic and criticized the use of analogy.

According to Diogenes Laértios, Eukleídēs declared that "the good is one – though it is called by many names: sometimes wisdom, sometimes god, sometimes mind, and so forth." Eventually the Stoics would take much inspiration from this school.

31. Metrodorus
in five books
Μητρόδωρος ε΄

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...Τιμοκράτης γ΄. Μητρόδωρος ε΄. Αντίδωρος θ΄...

...Timocrates, in three books. Metrodorus, in five books. Antidorus, in two books...

X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), An Recte Dictum Sit Latenter Esse Vivendum, 1129A

τί δ΄ αἱ τοσαῦται Μυριάδες στίχων ἐπὶ Μητρόδωρον – ἐπ’ Ἀριστόβουλον – ἐπὶ Χαιρέδημον – γραφόμεναι καὶ συντασσόμεναι φιλοπόνως; ἵνα μὴδ’ ἀποθανόντες λάθωσιν;

what are the many Myriads of lines about Metrodorus – about Aristobuolos – about Chairedemus – being written and composed industriously for? So that even having died they may not escape notice?...

Book 1

[U37]

X

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.23

ἦν δὲ καὶ ἀκατάπληκτος πρὸς τε τὰς ὀχλήσεις καὶ τὸν θάνατον, ὥς Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ πρώτῳ Μητροδώρῳ φησί

[Metrodorus] also was not struck down by troubles and death, as Epicurus says in the first book of his Metrodorus memoirs

[32. On Music]
Περὶ Μουσικῆς

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...Ἀριστόβουλος. Περὶ Μουσικῆς. Περὶ Δικαιοσύνης καὶ τῶν ἄλλων Ἀρετῶν...

...Aristobuolos. On Music. On Justice and Other Virtues...

[33. Neocles]
Dedicated to Themista
Νεοκλῆς Πρὸς Θεμίσταν

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...Περὶ Δικαιοπραγίας. Νεοκλῆς, Πρὸς Θεμίσταν. Συμπόσιον...

...On Fair Dealing. Neocles, Dedicated to Themista. Symposium...

[34. Judgements about Diseases]
Dedicated to Mithres
Περὶ Νόσων Δόξαι, πρὸς Μίθρην

...**Ἀντίδωρος β΄**. **Περὶ Νόσων Δόξαι πρὸς Μίθρην**. **Καλλιστόλας**...

...Antidorus, in two books. *Judgements about Diseases, Dedicated to Mithres*. *Kallistólas*...

[35. On Vision]

Περὶ τοῦ Ὁρᾶν

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...**Εὐρύλοχος** **Πρὸς Μητρόδωρον**. **Περὶ τοῦ Ὁρᾶν**. **Περὶ τῆς ἐν τῇ Ἄτομῳ Γωνίας**...

...Eurylochus, Dedicated to Metrodorus. *On Vision*. *On the Angle in the Atom*...

36. On Holiness

Περὶ Ὁσιότητος

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.27

...**Περὶ Θεῶν**. **Περὶ Ὁσιότητος**. **Ἡγησιάνναξ**...

...On the Gods. *On Holiness*. *Hegesianax*...

X

[=U30] Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), *Non Posse*, 1102C

...τὰ **Περὶ Θεῶν** καὶ **Ὁσιότητος** αὐτοῖς **Βιβλία** συντέτακται "**ἐλικτὰ** **κούδεν ὑγιές**, **ἀλλὰ Πᾶν** **πέριξ**" **ἐπαμπεχομένοις** καὶ **ἀποκρυπτομένοις** **διὰ φόβον** **ᾗς ἔχουσι δόξας**

...the Books *About the Gods* and *Piety* have been composed by them "twisting and in no way sound, but Everything around" who cover up and conceal in fear the judgements they hold

X

[=U27] Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), *De Natura Deorum*, 1.41.115

(Cotta) **at etiam** ***De Sanctitate***, ***De Pietate*** **aduersus deos** **libros** scripsit Epicurus – **at quo modo** in his loquitur? **ut** **Ti. Coruncanium** **aut** **P. Scaeuolam** **pontifices maximos** **te audire** **Dicas**...

(Cotta) indeed Epicurus wrote books *On Sanctity* and *On Reverence* towards the gods – but in what manner does he actually speak in them? so that You might say that you were listening to the high priests Coruncianus or Scaevola...

[U38]

Life of Perfection

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), *On Piety*, 1.44.1258

Κα[λεῖ] δὲ καὶ τὸν τ[ελεειό]τητος [βίον "ἢ]διστον καὶ μ[ακαρι]ώτατον" ἐν [τῶι] Περὶ Ὁσιότητ[ος – καὶ Κ]αταξιοῖ πᾶ[ν τὸ μι]αρόν φυλάτ[τεσθαι νοήσ]εως συ[νορω]μένης τὰ[ς καλὰς] διαθέσεις – [τοῦ πάν]τα γ' οἶκε[ιοῦν τὰ] γιν[ό]μεν[α ἢ μὲν] πρ[ὸς] μακ[αριότητα] καὶ ἀπληρισμένα [γ' ἔ]χε[ιν]

Καλεῖ δὲ καὶ τὸν τελειότητος βίον "ἡδιστον καὶ μακαριώτατον" ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Ὀσιότητος* – καὶ Καταξιοῖ πᾶν τὸ μιὰρὸν φυλάττεσθαι νοήσεως συννορωμένης τὰς καλὰς διαθέσεις – τοῦ πάντα γ' οἰκιοῦν τὰ γινόμενα ἡμῖν πρὸς μακαριότητα καὶ ἀπηρτισμένα γ' ἔχειν

He also calls the life of perfection "the most pleasant and most happy" in the work *On Holiness* – and He considers it necessary that all that is base is guarded by our mind paying attention to good attitudes – for making familiar all that happens to us for having happiness and particularly for having [it be] adequate

[Obbink] And in *On Holiness* he calls the life of perfection "the most pleasant and most blessed" – and instructs us to guard against all defilement, with our intellect comprehensively viewing the best psychosomatic dispositions – for the sake of fitting all that happens to us to blessedness and especially having it in good order.

x

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.39.1127

[Ἐγὼ] δὲ τούναντίον – [οὐχ] ἡγοῦμαι [τόνδε τὸν] τρόπον [διὰ τὰ τοι] αὐτ' ἄνο[σίως ἐ]ξελέσθαι τὴν πᾶσαν ὀσιότητα σὺν τῷ τ[ὰ κοι]νὰ φυλάττειν ὥ[ς] δ' Οἱ λεγόμε[νοι δει]σιδαίμονες εἰ[ς] ἀνυ]πέρβλητον ἀ[σέβει]αν ἐκβάλλειν

Ἐγὼ δὲ τούναντίον – οὐχ ἡγοῦμαι τόνδε τὸν τρόπον διὰ τὰ τοιαῦτ' ἄνοσίως ἐξελέσθαι τὴν πᾶσαν ὀσιότητα σὺν τῷ τὰ κοινὰ φυλάττειν (ὥς δ' Οἱ λεγόμενοι δεισιδαίμονες) εἰς ἀνυπέρβλητον ἀσέβειαν ἐκβάλλειν

[I think] the opposite – I do not consider this manner [of thinking] regarding these things unlawfully eliminates all holiness along with the protection of the state and (as Those called superstitious think) extends [us] into unparalleled impiety

[Obbink] But I by contrast – do not think that this manner on account of these things impiously does away with the whole [notion of] holiness together with the preservation of common beliefs and that (as those who are said to be religious think) it hurls us into unsurpassable impiety.

Piety: Preserving Immortality and Blessedness

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.40.1138

[ὁσιος] γὰρ Ὁ τὴν ἀθαν[ασίαν] κα[ὶ] τ[ὴν] ἄκραν μακα[ριότητα] αὐτοῦ θε[οῦ] σφ[ύζων σὺν] ἅπασι[ν] τ[οῖς συ]ναπτομ[έ]νο[ις] ἡμῖν – α[σεβ]ῆς δὲ περ[ὶ] θε[ο]ν Ὅς ἐκά[τε]ρον [ἐξορ]ίζει

ὁσιος γὰρ Ὁ τὴν ἀθανασίαν καὶ τὴν ἄκραν μακαριότητα τοῦ θεοῦ σφύζων (σὺν ἅπασιν τοῖς συναπτομένοις ἡμῖν) – ασεβῆς δὲ περὶ θεόν Ὅς ἐκάτερον ἐξορίζει

pious is the He who preserves the immortality and the supreme blessedness of god (along with all those things connected to us) – but impious towards god [is he] Who banishes either one

[Obbink] For pious is the person who preserves the immortality and consummate blessedness of God (together with all the things included by us) – but impious is the person who banishes either [blessedness or immortality] where God is concerned.

Ὁ δ' [ἐπινο]ῶν χωρὶς ὀργῆ[ς καὶ] χάριτος ἀσθενούσης τὰς ἐξ αὐτοῦ παρασκε[υὰς] τῶν ἀ[γα]θῶν κα[ὶ] τῶν κακ]ῶν ἀπο[φαί]νεται' [αὐτὸν τ]ῶν ἀνθρω[πειῶν] μὴ δ[ε] νὸ[ς] προσ]δεῖσθαι...

Ὁ δ' ἐπινοῶν χωρὶς ὀργῆς καὶ χάριτος ἀσθενούσης τὰς ἐξ αὐτοῦ παρασκευὰς τῶν ἀγαθῶν καὶ τῶν κακῶν ἀποφαίνεται' αὐτὸν τῶν ἀνθρωπείων μηδενὸς προσδεῖσθαι...

He who deliberates without anger and without weakening of favor on the procurement from [god] for both benefits and harms shows [god] to be in need of nothing from humans...

[Obbink] And the person who sees also that the good and ill [sent us by God] come without any unhealthy anger or benevolence – declares that God has no need of human things...

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.8.205

Γράψας [δέ] καὶ Περί Ὀσιότητος ἄλλο βιβλίον, κὰν τούτῳ διασαφεῖ τὸ (μὴ μόνον ἀφθάρτως, ἀλλὰ κ[αὶ κα]τὰ συντέλε[ιαν] ἔ[ν] καὶ ταύ[τὸν συν]εχῶ[ς ὑπάρχον καθ' "ὁ]μύλ[ιαν ἐνότητας]" προσαγορεύε[σθαι] – τὰς μὲν ἐκ [τῶν ὄντων] τῶν αὐτῶ[ν ἀποτε]λεῖσθαι, τὰ[ς δ' ἐκ τῶν] ὁμοίων

Γράψας δὲ καὶ *Περὶ Ὀσιότητος ἄλλο βιβλίον*, κὰν τούτῳ διασαφεῖ τὸ (μὴ μόνον ἀφθάρτως, ἀλλὰ καὶ κατὰ συντέλειαν ἐν καὶ ταυτόν συνεχῶς ὑπάρχον) καθ' "ὁμύλιαν ἐνότητας" προσαγορεύεσθαι – τὰς μὲν ἐκ τῶν ὄντων τῶν αὐτῶν ἀποτελεῖσθαι, τὰς δ' ἐκ τῶν ὁμοίων

[Epicurus] also wrote another book *On Holiness*, an in it he makes clear that it [the nature of the gods] (which exists continuously as one and the same, not merely in an imperishable manner, but also according to an influx of provisions) is called "an association [of unity]" – both those [unities] composed from the same things, and those from the similar things

[Obbink] And having written another book *On Holiness*, in it too he makes clear that not only that thing which exists indestructibly, but also (that which) continually exists in perfection as one and the same entity: are termed in the common usage "[unified] entities" – some of which [entities] are perfected out of the same elements, and others from similar elements.

εἷς μία ἓν <i>(ἐνός, μιᾶς, ἐνός)</i>	one	ἜΙΣ ΜΙΑ ἘΝ <i>one</i>
ἡ ἐνότης αἱ ἐνότητες	unity	ΕΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of oneness</i>
ἡ ὁμοιότης αἱ ὁμοιότητες	similarity	ὍΜΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of being similar</i>

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.13.347

στοιχ[εῖω]σις ὁ[μοίων οὐ]σα, φαίνο[ι]τ' ἂν Ἐνότης, δύναται γὰρ ἐκ τῆς ὁμοιότητος ὑπάρχουσι διαιώνιον ἔχειν τὴν τελείαν εὐδαιμονίαν – ἐπειδὴ περ οὐχ ἦττον ἐκ τῶν αὐτῶν ἢ τῶν ὁμοίων στοιχείων Ἐνότητες ὑποτελεῖσθαι δύνανται (καὶ ὑπὸ τοῦ Ἐπικούρου καταλείπονται, καθάπερ ἐν τῷ Περί Ὀσιότητος, αὐτότατα)

στοιχείωσις ὁμοίων οὔσα, φαίνοιτ' ἂν Ἐνότης, δύναται γὰρ ἐκ τῆς ὁμοιότητος ὑπάρχουσι διαιώνιον ἔχειν τὴν τελείαν εὐδαιμονίαν – ἐπειδὴ περ οὐχ ἦττον ἐκ τῶν αὐτῶν ἢ τῶν ὁμοίων στοιχείων Ἐνότητες ὑποτελεῖσθαι δύνανται (καὶ ὑπὸ τοῦ Ἐπικούρου καταλείπονται, καθάπερ ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Ὀσιότητος*, αὐτότατα)

with the composition of similar [elements] that exist, a Unity emerges, for it is able to possess out of similarities eternal perfect wellbeing – since no less from the same or similar elements can Unities be composed (and are left undisputed by Epicurus as the same things, just as [he says] in *On Holiness*)

[Obbink] Its constitution out of things similar would obviously be a unified entity: for it is possible [for beings constituted] out of similarity for ever to have perfect happiness – since [unified] entities can be formed no less out of identical than out of similar elements ([and both kinds of entity] are recognized by Epicurus as [being] exactly the same things, for example in his book *On Holiness*.)

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.13.364

τ[ὸ δ]ὲ μηδεμίαν ὑπε[**ναν**]τιολογίαν εἶναι παρα[**ιτη**]τέον Ὑποδεικνύειν: Εἴωθε, τοίνυν, [π^ά]νθ' ὁμῶς Ταῦτα φύ[**σι**]ν ἀποτελεῖσθαι λέγειν – τὰ Πολλὰ [μέ]ν ἐπειδάν ἐκ τῆς ὁμοίων [καὶ ἄλ]λων [ἐπι]συνκρί[**σεως** ἄ]τόμ[ων γέ]νηται...

τὸ δὲ μηδεμίαν ὑπεναντιολογίαν εἶναι παραιτητέον Ὑποδεικνύειν: Εἴωθε, τοίνυν, **πάνθ' ὁμῶς Ταῦτα φύσιν ἀποτελεῖσθαι** λέγειν – τὰ Πολλὰ μὲν ἐπειδάν **ἐκ τῆς ὁμοίων καὶ ἄλλων ἐπισυνκρίσεως ἀτόμων γένηται**...

Proving that **there is no logical contradiction must be declined**: accordingly, [Epicurus] used to say that **all These things are equally brought to completion by nature** – indeed many Things come into existence **from the aggregation of similar and different atoms**...

[Obbink] The demonstration that this involves no contradiction may be passed over: Therefore he was wont to say that nature brought all these things to completion alike – and that for the most part many things come about [when they are formed] from an aggregation of various similar particles...

[U41]

X

⬠ [=U88] *Uncertain Author, P.Herc. 1111*

...[Καὶ ἄλ]ληι κ^{άν} [τῶι *Περὶ Ὅ*]σιότητ[ος, κ^{άν} τῶι] δωδεκά[τ^{ωι} καὶ] τρεῖσκα[ι^{δε}κάτ^{ωι}] *Περὶ* Φύσε[ως, κ^{άν} τῶι] πρώτ^ω[ι τῶν *Περὶ*] Τιμοκράτους.

...Καὶ ἄλληι κ^{άν} τῶι *Περὶ Ὅ*σιότητος, κ^{άν} τῶι δωδεκάτ^{ωι} καὶ τρεῖσκαιδεκάτ^{ωι} *Περὶ* Φύσεως, κ^{άν} τῶι πρώτ^{ωι} τῶν *Περὶ* Τιμοκράτους.

...In other places, such as in *On Holiness*, and *in the 12th and 13th [books] On Nature*, and in the first of [his books] *On Timocrates*.

[37. On Theories about Feelings]

against Timocrates

Περὶ Παθῶν Δόξαι, πρὸς Τιμοκράτην

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...*Περὶ Εἰμαρμένης. *Περὶ Παθῶν Δόξαι πρὸς Τιμοκράτην. Προγνωστικόν*...*

...*On Destiny. On Theories about Feelings, against Timocrates. Prognostication*...

38. On Wealth

Περὶ Πλούτου

[U42]*

Acquiring & Guarding Wealth

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Vices and the Opposite Virtues, Book 9, On Property Management, P.Herc. 1424, col. 12, line 5 -17

Διαλεξόμεθα, τοίνυν, οὐχ ὥς ἐν οἴκ^ωι καλῶ[ς] ἔστιν βιοῦν – ἀλλ' ὥς ἴστασθαι δεῖ περὶ χρημάτων κτήσεώς τε καὶ φυλακῆς: περὶ [ἄ], τὴν οἰκονομίαν καὶ τὸν οἰκονομικὸν ἰδίως νοεῖσθαι Συμβέβηκεν, οὐδὲν διαφερόμενοι πρὸς τοὺς ἕτερα τοῖς ὀνόμασιν ὑποτάττειν προαιρ[ο]ύμενους, καὶ περὶ τῆς φιλοσόφ^{ωι}ι δεούσης κτήσεως, [οὐ] τῆς ὅτ^{ωι}ιδή[**ῆ**]ποτε

Διαλεξόμεθα, τοίνυν, οὐχ ὥς ἐν οἴκ^ωι καλῶς ἔστιν βιοῦν – ἀλλ' ὥς ἴστασθαι δεῖ περὶ χρημάτων κτήσεώς τε καὶ φυλακῆς: περὶ ἄ, τὴν οἰκονομίαν καὶ τὸν οἰκονομικὸν ἰδίως νοεῖσθαι Συμβέβηκεν, οὐδὲν διαφερόμενοι πρὸς τοὺς ἕτερα τοῖς ὀνόμασιν ὑποτάττειν προαιρούμενους, καὶ περὶ τῆς φιλοσόφ^{ωι}ι δεούσης κτήσεως, οὐ τῆς ὅτ^{ωι}ιδήποτε

We will explore, therefore, not **how to live well** at home – but **how it is necessary to be positioned** in regards to the acquisition and guarding of **wealth**: regarding which, It has come to be that **economic [management]** and **economical [principles] are perceived distinctly** – making no distinction towards those who choose to submit **different things to these names**, and concerning the possession required **by philosophy**, not just of any sort [of possession]

[Tsouna] We shall discuss, then, not **how one can live well** at home – but what attitude one must take up both with regard to the acquisition and the preservation of wealth, concerning which **property management** and the **property-management expert** are in fact conceived specifically, (and we shall do so) without contending at all with those who prefer to make other meaning underlie the terms and, moreover, discussing the acquisition (of property) that is appropriate for the philosopher, [not] for just anyone.

Wealth is Sometimes Good

Philodemus (fl. c. 70 BCE), On Wealth, P.Herc. 163, col. 19⁽⁵⁰⁾

...κα[ι τ]ὸ πάντως κατ' ἐναντιό[τ]ητα τῷ πλού[τ]ωι τ[ῇ]ν πενίαν τίθεσθαι: καὶ τὸ μὴν [ἐν]ί[σ]τε ἀγαθὸν λέγεσθαι τὸν πλοῦτον καὶ μ[έ]γα – σὺν ταῖς καὶ πρὸς π[ε]ν[ί]αν... Ἐπικούρου λέγοντος ἀδρὰν...

...καὶ τὸ πάντως κατ' ἐναντιότητα τῷ πλούτῳ τὴν πενίαν τίθεσθαι: καὶ τὸ μὴν ἐνίστε ἀγαθὸν λέγεσθαι τὸν πλοῦτον καὶ μέγα – σὺν ταῖς καὶ πρὸς πενίαν... Ἐπικούρου λέγοντος ἀδρὰν...

...and always Placing **poverty** in opposition to **wealth**: and Saying that **wealth [is] sometimes good** and **significant** – particularly in the [context] of **poverty**... Epicurus asserts strongly...

Wealth Produces Pleasure

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.17.55

(Cicero ad Torquatum) **tenuit permagnam Sextilius hereditatem – unde (si secutus esset eorum sententiam Qui honesta et recta emolumentis omnibus et commodis anteponerent) nummum nullum attigisset!**

(Cicero to Torquatus) [*Publius*] Sextilius [*Rufus*] held **a very large inheritance** – from which (if he had followed **the opinion of those Who place honorable and upright things above all benefits and advantages**) he would not have come in contact with **a single coin!**

num igitur Eum postea Censes anxio animo aut sollicito fuisse? nihil minus. contraque, illa hereditate dives ob eamque rem laetus

Do You think, then, that he was later **with an anxious or worried mind?** Not at all. On the contrary, he was **wealthy from that inheritance** and **happy** because of it

magni enim Aestimabat pecuniam: non modo non contra leges, sed etiam legibus partam – quae quidem vel cum periculo est quaerenda vobis – est enim Effectrix multarum et magnarum voluptatum

for He valued **the money highly**: [*which was*] **not only not acquired** contrary to the laws, but indeed **by the laws** – **which according to you all** ought to be sought indeed **even with danger** – for it is **the Producer of many** and **great pleasures**

[U43]*

Consolation for Poverty

Philodemus (fl. c. 70 BCE), On Wealth, P.Herc. 163, col. 16⁽⁴⁷⁾

...[Επ]ίκου[ρος τή]ν φι[λοσο]φ[ία][ν ἐ]ν τῇ τῶν [ἀγαθῶ]ν [ἀ]να[πλ]ώσει – τὴν γὰρ πε[νί]αν [τ]ο[ῦ] Καθηγ[ε]μόνος ἀγαθὸν [ε]ἶναι νομίζοντ[ος] ἐ[ν τῶι] δευτέρ[ωι]... ...[τὴν πενί]αν ὀνομάζοντες οὐκ ἐκωλύοντο λέγειν κακὸν ἔχε[ιν], ἀ[λλ]’ οὐ [π]αραμυθεῖσθαι τοὺς ἐπὶ ταύτῃ λυπούμενου[ς] ἐδύνατο Μητρόδωρος, οὐ δὴ [ὁ] τοὺς ἐπὶ κακῶι μό[ν]ον λυπούμενους, ἀλλὰ τε καὶ τοὺς ὥς ἐπὶ κα[κ]ῶι [λυπούμενους]...

...Ἐπίκουρος τὴν φιλοσοφίαν ἐν τῇ τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἀναπλώσει – τὴν γὰρ πενίαν τοῦ Καθηγεμόνος ἀγαθὸν εἶναι νομίζοντος ἐν τῶι δευτέρωι...

...Epicurus [considers] philosophy in [the context of] the fulfillment of goods – with [our] Leader considering poverty to be good in a secondary [role]...

..."τὴν πενίαν" ὀνομάζοντες, οὐκ ἔκωλύοντο λέγειν κακὸν ἔχειν: ἀλλ' οὐ παραμυθεῖσθαι τοὺς ἐπὶ ταύτῃ λυπούμενους ἐδύνατο Μητρόδωρος: οὐ δὴ τοὺς ἐπὶ κακῶι μόνον λυπούμενους – ἀλλὰ τε καὶ τοὺς ὥς ἐπὶ κακῶι λυπούμενους...

...using the word "poverty," They were not prevented from saying it contains what is bad: but Metrodorus was able to console those distressed because of it – not only those grieving because of what is bad – but also those grieving as if because of what is bad...

[U44]*

Suitably Treating The Pain Of Poverty

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Wealth, P.Herc. 163, col. 9 (40)

...καὶ θαρ[ρ]ούντως Εἰ[π]ε, δὴ καὶ καθάπερ ἔφησε Πολύαινος "σ[υμμ]έτρως ἐθεράπευεν [τὸ ἀλ]γος" – καὶ το[ῦ]το ποεῖ, κ[αθ]άπερ εἶπεν Ἐπίκουρος, [ὅτ]α ν παρῇι ποτέ πεσώ[ν]... ὁ Σο[φ]ὸς εἰς πενίαν, μόνον [τρέ]πεται... ...[τοῖς ἐπι]λογ[ισμοῖς] πλοῦτον ἀγα[θὸν]... ...λέγοντες...

...καὶ θαρρούντως Εἶπε, δὴ καὶ καθάπερ ἔφησε Πολύαινος "συμμέτρως ἐθεράπευεν τὸ ἄλγος" – καὶ τοῦτο ποεῖ, καθάπερ εἶπεν Ἐπίκουρος, ὅταν παρῇι ποτέ πεσῶν... ὁ Σοφὸς εἰς πενίαν, μόνον τρέπεται...

...and indeed He confidently said, and just as Polyaenus declared "he was suitably treating the pain" – and this occurs, just as Epicurus said, whenever the Wiseman sometimes happens to fall into poverty, he only is turned...

...τοῖς ἐπιλογισμοῖς πλοῦτον ἀγαθὸν... ...λέγοντες...

...Saying... ...through the arguments, that wealth is a good...

[U45]*

Poverty is Bad

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Wealth, P.Herc. 163, col. 14 (45), lines 31 - 40

...διὰ [ταῦτ]α [τῶν] Ἐπι[κ]ουρείων λέγονταί [τι]νες ὑ[πέρ] τοῦ κακὸν εἶνα[ι τή]ν πε[νί]αν ἐπιλογισ[μ]ο[ῖς] χρῆσθαι τοιούτοις]. ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἔν τε ἄλλοις πο[λλοῖς] φησι τὴν πενία[ν κ]ακὸν εἶναι – καὶ ἐν [τύχαις] δ' ἐτέραις [σ]υναφθεῖσα[ν], μὴ φέρε[σθαι]...

...διὰ ταῦτα τῶν Ἐπικουρείων λέγονταί Τινες ὑπὲρ τοῦ κακὸν εἶναι τὴν πενίαν ἐπιλογισμοῖς χρῆσθαι τοιούτοις

...Because of these things, Some of the Epicureans are said to use such types of reasoning in support of poverty being bad

ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἔν τε ἄλλοις πολλοῖς φησι τὴν πενίαν κακὸν εἶναι – καὶ, ἐν τύχαις δ' ἐτέραις συναφθεῖσαν, μὴ φέρεσθαι...

Epicurus says in many other [books] that poverty is bad – and, when combined with different chance [circumstances], not to be endured...

...*Περὶ Δώρων Καὶ Χάριτος. Πολυμήδης. Τιμοκράτης γ'...*

...*On Gifts and Gratitude. Polymédēs. Timocrates, in three books...*

[40. Prognostication]
Προγνωστικόν

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.28

...*Περὶ Παθῶν Δόξαι Πρὸς Τιμοκράτην. Προγνωστικόν. Προτρεπτικός...*

...*On Theories about Feelings, against Timocrates. Prognostication. Exhortation to Study Philosophy...*

[41. Exhortation to Study Philosophy]
Προτρεπτικός

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.28:

...*Προγνωστικόν. Προτρεπτικός. Περὶ Εἰδώλων...*

...*Prognostication. Exhortation to Study Philosophy. On Films...*

42. On Rhetoric
Περὶ Ῥητορικῆς

x

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), *Against Colotes*, 1127A

Οὗτοι δέ, κἄν γράφωσι, γράφουσι περὶ πολιτείας ἵνα μὴ πολιτευώμεθα, καὶ περὶ ῥητορικῆς ἵνα **μὴ ῥητορεύωμεν**, καὶ περὶ βασιλείας ἵνα φεύγωμεν τὸ συμβιοῦν βασιλεῦσι.

...But these men, if they write [about such matters at all], write on government to deter us from taking part in it, on oratory to **deter us from public speaking**, and about kingship to make us shun the company of kings.

Marcus Fabius Quintilianus (fl. 75 ^{CE}) was a Roman orator and politician, who wrote "Institutio Oratoria" or "The Institutes of Oratory," a detailed twelve-volume treatise on rhetoric crafted around 95 ^{CE}. The work extensively discusses rhetorical methods and the training of orators. His notable pupils included Pliny the Younger, and Emperor Vespasian honored him with a consulship.

x

Quintilian, *Institutio Oratoria*, 2.15 - 17

Agnon quidem detraxit **sibi** inscriptione ipsa **fidem**, qua rhetorices accusationem professus est. nam de Epicuro, qui **disciplinas omnes** fugit, nihil miror! hi complura dicunt sed ex paucis locis ducta; itaque potentissimis eorum breviter occurram, ne in infinitum quaestio evadat.

Agnon renders himself suspect by the very title of his book in which he proclaims that he is going to indict rhetoric. As to [the statements of] Epicurus, who flees **all training**, they cause me no surprise! These gentlemen talk a great deal, but the arguments on which they base their statements are few. I will therefore select the most important of them and will deal with them briefly, to prevent the discussion lasting to all eternity.

Prima iis argumentatio ex materia est: omnes enim artes aiunt habere materiam, quod est verum; rhetorices nullam esse propriam, quod esse falsum in sequentibus probabo.

Their first contention is based on the subject-matter: for they assert that all arts have their own subject-matter (which is true) and go on to say that rhetoric has none, which I shall show in what follows to be false.

[U46]*

Art has a definite set of external principles which can be imparted from teacher to student. Ability, in contrast, is the result of personal practice and experience.

Keeping in mind that rhetors called their own instruction books "technai" "technical [manuals]" – questioning to what extent rhetoric is an "art / techne" cuts to the base of the whole profession because it asks: to what extent do these "technical manuals" actually have any repeatable and teachable technique ("art / techne") at all?

Philodemus informs us that some Epicureans in Rhodes argued that Epicurus thought that no form of rhetoric was an art. However, most of the students in Athens, following Zeno of Sidon, disagreed and argued that Epicurus thought that the study of the principles of composition is in fact an art (but they all agreed that Epicurus thought that forensic and deliberative oratory, as well as political science, are not arts).

Below, Philodemus argues that when the verb "estí" is combined with the genitive in order to indicate the method by which something is achieved, it doesn't necessarily suggest exclusivity of the method. Additional clarification would be required from the author to unambiguously state that it is the sole method used. Philodemus notes that since Epicurus does not include an adjective such as "only," it should not be implicitly assumed by the reader. Philodemus references normal usage of Greek as a guide to understand Epicurus' intent.

x

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1672, col. 18

οὕτως τοίνυν, εἰπόντος Ἐπικούρου διότι "πολλῆς ἐστ[ι]ν ἡ ῥητορικὴ τριβῆς καὶ συνηθείας" οὐχ ὑπ[α]κουστέο[ν] τὸ "μόνης" – ἀλλὰ μό[von τ]ὸ "[δεῖ]ται πολλῆς τριβῆς κα[ὶ] συν[η]θείας." τὸ γὰρ "ἔστιν" ἐπὶ τοῦ "δεῖται" τίθησιν, ὅταν οὕτως ὁμειλῶμεν – ἡ συνηθ[ε]ία τὸ γε μετριώτατ[ο]ν ὑπὸ [τ]ῶν λέξεων σημαίνεται, καὶ οὐχ[ὶ] θάτερον μόνον αἰε[ὶ] συνόλω[ς] σημαίνεσθ[αι] ῥητέον... ...ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἢ καὶ διὰ τέχνης καὶ τριβῆς (καὶ) οὐδ' ὅλως ἐκ τριβῆς καὶ συνηθείας...

οὕτως τοίνυν, εἰπόντος Ἐπικούρου διότι "πολλῆς ἐστιν ἡ ῥητορικὴ τριβῆς καὶ συνηθείας" οὐχ ὑπακουστέον τὸ "μόνης" – ἀλλὰ μόνον τὸ "δεῖται πολλῆς τριβῆς καὶ συνηθείας." τὸ γὰρ "ἔστιν" ἐπὶ τοῦ "δεῖται" τίθησιν, ὅταν οὕτως ὁμειλῶμεν – ἡ συνηθεία τὸ γε μετριώτατον ὑπὸ τῶν λέξεων σημαίνεται, καὶ οὐχὶ θάτερον μόνον αἰεὶ συνόλως σημαίνεσθαι ῥητέον... ...ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἢ καὶ διὰ τέχνης καὶ τριβῆς (καὶ) οὐδ' ὅλως ἐκ τριβῆς καὶ συνηθείας...

[Chandler] So in this way, when Epicurus said that "rhetoric is (the result) of much practice and familiarity" one should not understand the word "*alone*," but only the meaning "*requires much practice and familiarity*." For he writes the word "is" in place of "*requires*," just as we do when we speak in ordinary conversation, where by the standards of common parlance the most normal (meaning) is meant by the words; and one should not insist that the other meaning only is all the time meant in general... ✱ ...for the sake of the expression which this man has used, I say that it is unclear whether Epicurus means [that ⁽¹⁾rhetoric comes about] from familiarity and practice alone [or ⁽²⁾rhetoric comes about] not entirely from practice and familiarity [but also art]

Therefore, given that Epicurus said "rhetoric is of much practice and habit" this should not be considered to mean "[rhetoric] **only** [requires much practice and habit]" – but only that "[rhetoric] does require much practice and habit." For "is" means "requires," when we speak **in this way** – habit indicates **the most regular** [usage] **by these words**, and it must not be said that **it signifies** only **the other** [meaning of exclusivity] altogether... ✱ ...Epicurus, whether through skill and effort, or not at all from habitual practice...

Philodemus advocates for an interpretation of "estí" which he asserts is the most common in this specific context. While Philodemus acknowledges the potential for the sentence to be understood in the manner preferred by "the Rhodian," he contends that in cases of ambiguity like these, ordinary language stands as the only reliable criterion.

Another question to consider is whether requiring "practice" (tribé) and "habit" (synéatheia) inherently exclude sophistic rhetoric (composing arguments together and conducting lectures) from being considered an art. Philodemus says that they do not, as the founders recognized that some arts demand significant practice.

[U47]*

X

Metrodorus, On Poems Book 1, quoted by Philodemus, On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1672 col. 22

Πότερον οὖν (1) τὴν [ῥη]τορικὴν δύναμιν λέγει[ν] τις βλέπων ἐπὶ τὴν διάγνωσιν τοῦ ὁ πρακτέον ἐστὶν τῷ μέλλοντι εὐδαίμον[ε]ι εἶναι τε καὶ ἔσεσθαι – καὶ ἂ [ου πρ]ακτέον, καὶ ταύτην φησὶν ἀ[π]ὸ φ[υσι]ολογίας παραγείνεσθαι, [ῥ]αὶ (2) τὴν πολιτικὴν ἐμπειρ[ι]αν καθ' ἣν ἐκ τριβῆς καὶ ἱστορίας τῶν πόλεως πραγμάτων συνορώη ἂν τις οὐ κακῶς τὰ πλήθει συμφέροντα;

Πότερον οὖν ⁽¹⁾ τὴν ῥητορικὴν δύναμιν λέγειν τις βλέπων ἐπὶ τὴν διάγνωσιν τοῦ ὁ πρακτέον ἐστὶν τῷ μέλλοντι εὐδαίμονει εἶναι τε καὶ ἔσεσθαι – καὶ ἂ ου πρακτέον, καὶ ταύτην φησὶν ἀπὸ φυσιολογίας παραγείνεσθαι, ἥ καὶ ⁽²⁾ τὴν πολιτικὴν ἐμπειρίαν καθ' ἣν ἐκ τριβῆς καὶ ἱστορίας τῶν πόλεως πραγμάτων συνορώη ἂν τις οὐ κακῶς τὰ πλήθει συμφέροντα;

Is one then to speak of ⁽¹⁾ rhetorical power by being aware of the discernment of what ought to be done for the one intending to be and to continue being fortunate – and what should not be done, and say that this comes from natural philosophy, or [is one to speak of] the ⁽²⁾ political experience through which a man, from the practice and history of city affairs, could not badly [i.e., well] observe what is beneficial for the masses?

[Chandler] So does one speak of ⁽¹⁾ rhetorical capability by looking to the distinction of what must be done and must [not] be done by the man who intends to be, and to continue to be, successful, and say that this (capability) derives from the science of nature, or does one speak of ⁽²⁾ political experience in accordance with which one is likely to observe from practice and research in the affairs of a city that which is advantageous to the people?

Philodemus cites Metrodorus' statements from the first book of "De Poematis," where he says that Metrodorus states clearly that civic rhetoric is not a science but rather grounded in experience and observation. It seems Metrodorus is addressing a certain vagueness about what is meant by "rhetorical advances."

Philodemus appears mainly focused on how Metrodorus highlights the empirical and practical elements crucial for success in politics. The term "political experience (politikè empeiría)" and its definition as deriving "from the experience and history of the city's affairs" strongly imply that Metrodorus does not view political activity as an art, but as practical knowledge gained through active political engagement.

[U48]*

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1672 col. 12

Οὐδὲ πολιτικ[όν] καὶ δικανικὸν ἐρε[ῖν] ἑαυτὸν λαμβάνειν μέρος. ὧ[δε] γὰρ ῥητῶς "τὴν ῥητορικὴν" λέγει κα[ὶ] πρὸς τὸν ἐπαγγελλόμεν[ον] σοφιστὴν πάντ' ἔχειν τὰ μέρη. διόπερ οὐκ ἀπόχωρήσαντος, γελοίως ὁ Ἐπίκουρός ἐστ[ι] τῇ μεταβάσει κεκρημένος

Οὐδὲ πολιτικὸν καὶ δικανικὸν ἐρεῖν ἑαυτὸν λαμβάνειν μέρος. ὧδε γὰρ ῥητῶς "τὴν ῥητορικὴν" λέγει καὶ πρὸς τὸν ἐπαγγελλόμενον σοφιστὴν πάντ' ἔχειν τὰ μέρη. διόπερ οὐκ ἀπόχωρήσαντος, γελοίως ὁ Ἐπίκουρός ἐστι τῇ μεταβάσει κεκρημένος

[Chandler] For one will say that [Epicurus] does not accept a political and judicial branch. For he **explicitly** says "rhetoric" when replying to the sophist who claims he possess all the branches. Therefore since he does not retract, Epicurus has made use of the analogy in a humorous fashion.

Neither in politics nor in law does he claim a role for himself. He declares **unequivocally** "rhetoric" when directed at the sophist who avowed that he encompasses all elements. Therefore, not having retreated, Epicurus employs the shift humorously.

Philodemus reminds us that the speaker in Epicurus' Symposium used the term "rhetoric" and meant by that all the categories which the youth claimed to possess. The claim is erroneous, so Epicurus has used a metabasis (shift from one point to another) with ironic intent.

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1672, col. 16, line 10

Φ[ήσ]ει δὲ πρὸς τὸ συστήσαι, διότ[ι] δὴ, τέχνη κατ’ Ἐπικούρον [ἐ]στίν ἡ ῥητορικὴ – τοῦθ ἡμεῖς παρετίθεμεν, λέγ[ο]ντες· εἰ μὲν [δὴ] τε[] [ι]βὴν αὐτὴν ἐνόμι[ζεν] εἶναι καὶ συν[ήθ]ε[ι]α[ν] [οὐκ] ἂν τὸ "δοκεῖ" προσέ[θηκεν. οἱ] δὲ ἔφησαν οὐ δηλοῦ[σθαι] τὸ λεγόμενον ὑφ' ἡμῶν, [ῆ][τοι] μὴ τοῦτο δηλοῦσθ[αι] μ[όν]ον. εἰ μὲν τὸ πρῶτον, οὐ συν[ι]εμ[εν] ἡμεῖς Ἑλληνιστὶ ο[] [ι]α[λεγ]ομένων· εἰ δὲ τὸ δεύτεχ[] ο[ν, δι]ὰ τίν αἰτίφ[ι] αὐτοὶ χρῶν[ται] ἄμ[φι]βόλοις λέξεσιν [ἐν τοῖς πρὸς] ἡμᾶς ἐλέγχου[σιν;]

Φήσει δὲ πρὸς τὸ συστήσαι, διότι δὴ, τέχνη κατ’ Ἐπικούρον ἐστίν ἡ ῥητορικὴ – τοῦθ ἡμεῖς παρετίθεμεν, λέγοντες· εἰ μὲν δὴ τε[] ιβὴν αὐτὴν ἐνόμιζεν εἶναι καὶ συνήθειαν οὐκ ἂν τὸ "δοκεῖ" προσέθηκεν. οἱ δὲ ἔφησαν οὐ δηλοῦσθαι τὸ λεγόμενον ὑφ' ἡμῶν, ἥτοι μὴ τοῦτο δηλοῦσθαι μόνον. εἰ μὲν τὸ πρῶτον, οὐ συνίεμεν ἡμεῖς Ἑλληνιστὶ ο[] ιαλεγομένων· εἰ δὲ τὸ δεύτεχ[] ον, διὰ τίν αἰτίφ[ι] αὐτοὶ χρῶνται ἀμφιβόλοις λέξεσιν ἐν τοῖς πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἐλέγχουσιν;

[Chandler] He [will speak] **for the purpose of organizing** (an argument), because [if] rhetoric is [not] an **art according to Epicurus** – then we have shown it is by saying if he considered it to be a practice and familiarity he would [not have added] the word "seems." But they said that our argument was not made clear by us, or at least this point alone is not made clear. If the former is the case, then we do not understand people who speak Greek; if the latter, then why do they themselves use ambiguous terms in their refutations [in response to] us?

[U49]

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1674 col. 23, line 34 – col. 24, line 7

τῶν τε περὶ τὸ [ν'Ε]πίκουρ[ον] ἀποφ[αι]νομένων τέχνην [εἶν]αι τὴν σοφιστικὴν τ[οῦ] λόγου [σ]υγγράφειν καὶ ἐ[πι]δείξει[ς] ποιεῖσθαι, τοῦ δὲ δίκας λέγειν καὶ δημηγορεῖν οὐκ εἶναι τέχνην

τῶν τε περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον ἀποφαινομένων "τέχνην" εἶναι "τὴν σοφιστικὴν" τοῦ λόγου συγγράφειν καὶ ἐπιδείξεις ποιεῖσθαι, τοῦ δὲ δίκας λέγειν καὶ δημηγορεῖν οὐκ εἶναι τέχνην

[Chandler] Since Epicurus and his followers reveal that **sophistic** is **an art of writing** speeches and **composing** display pieces, and is not an art **of pleading** cases and **addressing the people**.

...while those around Epicurus show that "sophistic" is **composing together** arguments and **conducting** lectures, whereas **pleading** in courts and **demagoguery** is not an art.

ἡ τέχνη αἱ τέχναι	art	ΤΕΧΝΗ <i>technique</i>
σοφιστικός <i>(ῆ, ὄν)</i>	sophistic i.e., considered wise	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>considered to have</i> <i>the characteristic of</i> <i>knowledge</i>

ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]
συγγράφειν	to compose together	ΣΥΓΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ writing together
ἡ ἐπίδειξις αἱ ἐπιδείξεις	lecture	ΕΠΙΔΕΙΞΙΣ upon pointing out

Above, Philodemus has quoted Epicurus as saying "rhetoric is of much practice and habit."

x

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric, P.Herc. 1427, col. 7, line 9

Τοῖς δ' ἡμετέροις μεμπτέον ἂν εἴη καὶ περὶ τὸ πλεονέκτερον τοῖς γέ τοις τοιούτοις ὅσοι καὶ τὴν σοφιστικὴν ῥητορικὴν οὐκ εἶναι τέχνην διελήφασιν καὶ τούτου συστατικούς λόγους πεποιήκασιν. εἰ γὰρ Ἐπικουρος καὶ Μητρόδωρος ἔτι δ' Ἑρμαρχὸς ἀποφαίνονται τέχνην ὑπάρχειν τὴν τ[οι]αύτην (ὡς ἐν τοῖς ἐξῆς ὑπομνήσομεν) οἱ τούτοις ἀντιγράφοντες οὐ πάνυ τι μακρὰν τῆς τῶν πατραλοίων καταδίκης ἀφεστήκασιν.

For our own people, it would indeed be necessary to censure even more those of such a kind as have decided that sophistic rhetoric is not an art – and have made arguments supporting this. If indeed Epicurus, Metrodorus, and also Hermarchus declare that such is indeed an art (as we will remind in what follows) those who write against them are not far removed from condemnation as patricides.

[Chandler] Our people might have to be censured and particularly all those sort of people who assume that sophistic rhetoric too is not an art – and have composed confirmatory arguments of this view. For if Epicurus and Metrodorus and moreover Hermarchus declare such a practice to be an art (as we shall record in what comes next) then those who write against them are not very from being condemned as parricides.

[Hubbell] Those Epicureans are to be censured who assume that sophistic is not an art, and thus run counter to the teachings of Epicurus, Metrodorus and Hermarchus , as we shall show later. Such Epicureans are almost guilty of parricide.

x

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1674, col. 56, line 4

κἂν ἔχη τις καθ' ὑπόθεσιν διὰ παντὸς πείθοσαν τοὺς πολλοὺς δύναντιν – οὐκ ἀγαθῶν ἀλλὰ κακῶν μεγάλων αἰτίαν εἶναι ταύτην. καὶ τούτων ἕκαστον κατὰ σοφιστικὴν (ὡς νομίζει) ποικίλως, οἷεται κατ' ἄκρας ἀποδεδειχέναι τὸ παρ' Ἐπικούρου ἵστασθαι τοὺς ἢ πάσαν τὴν ῥητορικὴν ἢ μέρος αὐτῆς ἐν τεχνον ἀποφαινομένους.

κἂν ἔχη τις καθ' ὑπόθεσιν διὰ παντὸς πείθουσιν τοὺς πολλοὺς δύναντιν – οὐκ ἀγαθῶν ἀλλὰ κακῶν μεγάλων αἰτίαν εἶναι ταύτην. καὶ τούτων ἕκαστον κατασκευάσας (ὡς νομίζει) ποικίλως, οἷεται κατ' ἄκρας ἀποδεδειχέναι τὸ παρ' Ἐπικούρου ἵστασθαι τοὺς ἢ πάσαν τὴν ῥητορικὴν ἢ μέρος αὐτῆς ἐν τεχνον ἀποφαινομένους.

[Chandler] ...And that even if someone, for the sake of argument, has always an ability to persuade the majority – this is a cause not of good things but great evils. And having constructed each one of these [arguments] in an artful way (so he thinks), he supposes he has shown thoroughly that: those who demonstrate that either the whole of rhetoric or a branch of it is technical are adopting a position contrary to Epicurus.

Under a certain assumption, if someone has a power at all times persuasive over many – this [is] the cause not of goods things of great harms, and having fully crafted in various ways each of these [arguments] (as he believes), he presumes to have shown extensively that: those who are revealing either all rhetoric or a part of it to be art stand [contrary] to Epicurus.

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1674, col. 56, line 18

[Ἐγ]ὼ δ' [ἀ]μέλει κα[ἰ] τῷ[ν] ἀκουστῶν μὲν ἄγ[α]μ[αι] τοῦ σχολάζοντος Ἀθήνησιν ἀ[ν]δρός· ὦ[ν] ὅ[ν] μὲ[ν] [ἐ]ν τῷ[ι] Συμ[πο]σο[σί]ωι Π[ε]ρ[ι] τῆς Ῥητορικῆς ἔφη [συγ]γεγράφθαι – π[ιστε]ύ[ε]ι[ν] [ε]ἰ Χρὴ τοῖς λέγουσιν, ο[ὗ]δ' ἴσως φιλοσόφοις περ[ὶ] [φί]λοσόφων πιστεύ[ε]ι[ν] – [Ὁ δ'] οὐκ ἔ[φη] γινώσκειν [ὅ]που λέγουσιν οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον

Ἐγὼ δ' ἀμέλει καὶ τῶν ἀκουστῶν μὲν ἄγαμαι τοῦ σχολάζοντος Ἀθήνησιν ἀνδρός· ὦν ὅ μὲν ἐν τῷ Συμποσίωι Περὶ τῆς Ῥητορικῆς ἔφη συγγεγράφθαι – πιστεύειν εἰ Χρὴ τοῖς λέγουσιν, οὐδ' ἴσως φιλοσόφοις περὶ φιλοσόφων πιστεύειν – Ὁ δ' οὐκ ἔφη γινώσκειν ὅπου λέγουσιν οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον

* Yet I certainly wonder at what is heard from the man who [currently] has leisure in Athens. One of them says [it] was written in [Epicurus'] Symposium on Rhetoric – if It is necessary to trust in the speakers, or [it is] not [necessary] to believe the philosophers about philosophers – yet He denies knowing where those [originally] around Epicurus said [this]

[Chandler] I, of course, am amazed at the students of the man who lectures at Athens. One of them said that he believed that Epicurus wrote about rhetoric in the *Symposium*, if one should trust those who speak – not perhaps as philosophers, about philosophers – the other said he did not know where Epicurus and his followers say (this).

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1672, col. 15, line 3

Ὁ δ' ἐπαγγελλ[ό]μενος ρητὰς παρατε[θ]ή[σε]σθαι διαλέκτους οὐ λιπαρεῖν ὀφείλει τοῦτ' ἐγδέχ[ε]σθαι τοὺς ἀκο[ύ]οντας ὃ βούλεται – καὶ ἄλλων δηλουμένων. καὶ δὴ γὰρ [δ]η [ὡ]ς οὗ φησι τῇ[ι] "ὑπο[σ]τελ[λ]όμενον τὸν" Ἐ[πί]κουρον λ[έ]γ[ε]ι[ν] δ[ι]αλέκ[τ]ωι συνκατατίθ[ε]σθαι τέ[χ]νην εἶν[αι] τῇ[ν] ῥητορικὴν: α[ὐ]τοῦ [τοῦ]τό τε δὴ καὶ σαφῶς λ[έ]γοντος καὶ ἐν ἄλλοις – τέχνην εἶν[αι] κατὰ τὴν ἀλήθειαν[ν]. ὃ σ[ι]ε[ρ]ασμὸν τοῦ ῥήτορος καμ[α] εἶν[αι] [οὐ] μανικόν;

Ὁ δ' ἐπαγγελλόμενος ρητὰς παρατεθήσεσθαι διαλέκτους οὐ λιπαρεῖν ὀφείλει τοῦτ' ἐγδέχεσθαι τοὺς ἀκούοντας ὃ βούλεται – καὶ ἄλλων δηλουμένων. καὶ δὴ γὰρ δη οὗ φησι τῇ "ὑποστελλόμενον τὸν" Ἐπίκουρον λέγειν διαλέκτῳ συνκατατίθεσθαι τέχνην εἶναι τὴν ῥητορικὴν: αὐτοῦ τοῦτό τε δὴ καὶ σαφῶς λέγοντος καὶ ἐν ἄλλοις – τέχνην εἶναι κατὰ τὴν ἀλήθειαν. ὃ σ[ι]ε[ρ]ασμὸν τοῦ ῥήτορος καμ[α] εἶν[αι] οὐ μανικόν;

[Chandler] The man who claims he is going to quote arguments word for word must not insist that his audience accept (the meaning) that he wants – if other (interpretations) are revealed too. For in fact, he says that with the term "hesitating" Epicurus does not mean by the term that he agrees with those who say that rhetoric is without method, especially since in other places it is clearly revealed to be an art in reality. Is it not madness to call this an allusion to the rhetor?

The Rhodesian must have made the argument that Epicurus' use of the term "hesitating" in his Symposium supported the conclusion that no part of rhetoric is an art, even sophistic rhetoric (composing arguments together and conducting lectures).

[U50]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1674, col. 43, line 25

νῦν ἐπ' ἐκεῖνο κεῖν[ο] βαδίζωμεν, ὅτι τέχνην οὐχ ἡμεῖς λέγομεν τὴν σοφιστικὴν – οἱ δὲ τὴν αἵ[ρ]εσιν κτίσαντες ἡμῶν ἀντιφωνοῦσιν: καὶ τὴν πολιτικὴν ἱστορίαν τινὰ καὶ παρατήρησιν συνησκημένην οὐχ ἡμεῖς. ἀλλὰ κάκεῖνο παραθήσομ[εν] αἱ[ι] ὅπου καὶ δι' ὧν ἔφα[ί]νον [το] [Ζ]ήνων ταῦτα διασαφεῖσθαι.

νῦν ἐπ' ἐκεῖνο βαδίζωμεν, ὅτι τέχνην οὐχ ἡμεῖς λέγομεν τὴν σοφιστικὴν – οἱ δὲ τὴν αἵρεσιν Κτίσαντες ἡμῶν ἀντιφωνοῦσιν: καὶ τὴν πολιτικὴν ἱστορίαν τινὰ καὶ παρατήρησιν συνησκημένην οὐχ ἡμεῖς. ἀλλὰ κάκεῖνο παραθήσομεναι ὅπου καὶ δι' ὧν ἐφαίνον το Ζήνων ταῦτα διασαφεῖσθαι.

[Chandler] [But] Now let us proceed to the claim that it is not we who say that sophistic is an art, but that the Founders of our School stated it in the course of their polemics, and (they who said) that political rhetoric is a certain research and practiced observation, not we. But I shall also set out **where** and **how** Zeno said **these things were made clear**.

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1674, col. 44

Ὁ τοίνυν Ἐπίκουρος ἐν [τ]ῷ Περὶ τῆς Ῥητορικῆς ὅτι μὲν διατελε[τ] λέγων "τὰ διδα[σ]καλεῖα τῶν ῥητορικῶν" καὶ "το[ὺς] ἐκ τῶν διδα[σ]καλείων" [κ]αὶ "τὰς δυνάμεις τᾶ[ς] ἐκ τῶν διδασκαλ[ε]ῖων" – πρὸς δὲ τούτοις "τ[ὰς] ἐκ τῶν διδασκαλείων [εὐ]μορφίας", καὶ διότι κ[αὶ] "πρα[γ]ματεῖαν αὐτῶν" καὶ "τὰς παρ[α]δόσεις καὶ παραγγελίας περὶ τε λόγου καὶ ἐνθυμημάτων [κα]ὶ τῶν ἄλλων," καὶ τάν[α]λ[ο]γα πάντα το[ύ]τοις τί ἂν λέγοι [τις]; [ἀ]λλὰ μὴν καὶ Ἑρμα[ρ]χος ἐπὶ Μενεκλέου[ς] [ἐ]ν τινὶ πρὸς Θεοφείδην ἐπιστολῇ [υ] τὴν αὐτῇ [ν] ἔχε[ι] γνώμην.

Ὁ τοίνυν Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ Περὶ τῆς Ῥητορικῆς ὅτι μὲν διατελεῖ λέγων "τὰ διδασκαλεῖα τῶν ῥητορικῶν" καὶ "τοὺς ἐκ τῶν διδασκαλείων" καὶ "τὰς δυνάμεις τὰς ἐκ τῶν διδασκαλείων" – πρὸς δὲ τούτοις "τὰς ἐκ τῶν διδασκαλείων εὐμορφίας", καὶ διότι καὶ "πραγματεῖαν αὐτῶν" καὶ "τὰς παραδόσεις καὶ παραγγελίας περὶ τε λόγου καὶ ἐνθυμημάτων καὶ τῶν ἄλλων," καὶ τάνάλογα πάντα τούτοις τί ἂν λέγοι τις; ἀλλὰ μὴν καὶ Ἑρμαρχος ἐπὶ Μενεκλέους ἔν τινι πρὸς Θεοφείδην ἐπιστολῇ τὴν αὐτὴν ἔχει γνώμην.

[Chandler] Well then, what could one say of the fact that Epicurus in his *On Rhetoric* continually mentions "those who come from the schools," and "the capabilities that come from the schools" – and in addition "the symmetries that come from the schools," and "the teachings of the rhetoricians," and "their business," and "the teaching and instruction concerning both speech and enthymemes and the rest," and everything analogous to these things? Furthermore Hermarchus too, in a letter addressed to Theopheidēs in the archonship of Menekleús, has the same opinion.

[U51]*

X

Ammianus Marcellinus, Res Gestae, 30.4, 3

Ammianós Markellínos (fl. 370 CE) was a Greek, an officer in the army of Emperor Julian, and author of Res Gestae, a history of Rome from 100 CE to 378 CE.

Hanc professionem oratorum forensium "πολιτικῆς μορίου εἰδωλον" id est civilitatis particulae umbram vel adulationis partem quartam esse definit amplitudo Platonis – Epicurus autem "κακοτεχνίαν" nominans inter artes numerat malas.

This forensic oratory profession the rich genius of Plato defines as "*politikês moríou eídōlon* (an image of a part of politics)" that is, the shadow of a small part of the science of government or as the fourth part of flattery – but Epicurus counts it among evil arts, calling it "*kakotechnía* (a vile technique)."

ἡ **κακοτεχνία**
αἱ **κακοτεχνίαι**

harmful art

KAKOTEXNIA
bad technique

[U52]*

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1674 col. 28

{[Πολλὰ δ' ἔστι τε τεκμήρια τοῦ τὴν πολιτικὴν ῥητορικὴν στοχάζεσθαι το]} ...ὥς ἐπὶ τὸ [πολύ] καὶ κατὰ τὸ εὖλογον, καὶ δῆτα καὶ φέρεται πρὸς τῶν περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον. λέγω δὲ τὸ τῶν πολλῶν ἀόριστον καὶ τοῖς αὐτοῖς ὅτε μὲν πειθόμενον ὅτε δὲ προσκόπτον.

...ὥς ἐπὶ τὸ πολὺ καὶ κατὰ τὸ εὖλογον, καὶ δῆτα καὶ φέρεται πρὸς τῶν περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον. λέγω δὲ τὸ τῶν πολλῶν ἀόριστον καὶ τοῖς αὐτοῖς ὅτε μὲν πειθόμενον ὅτε δὲ προσκόπτον.

[Chandler] { *too fragmentary* } ...for the most part and with a reasonable chance of success and it is certainly taken from Epicurus and his followers. I mean the uncertainty of many (in that) it is sometimes believed by, and sometimes gives offence to, the same people...

[U53]

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 3, P.Herc. 1506, col. 50, line 16 - line 32

[Ἀλλὰ μ]ὴν οὐχ ἔνε[κα] τοῦ κοινοποιῆσαι μόνον τὸ τεκμήριον εἰρῆσθαι τοῦτο νομιστέον πρὸς ἡμῶν, ἀλλὰ ταῖς ἀληθείαις – καὶ πλανῶνται πάντες οἱ σοφισταῖς ἀργύριον ἀναλίσκοντες. Ὅταν γὰρ ἀκούσωσι[ν αὐ]τῶν ἐν ταῖς δεῖξεσι[ι] καὶ ταῖς πανηγύρεσι, [φησ]ὶν [ὁ] Ἐπίκουρος, κα[ὶ ψυ]χαγωγηθῶσι διὰ τ[ὸ μ]ὴ [εἶ]ναι περὶ συ[μ]βολ[αί]ου τινὸς τὸν λόγον[ν μηδὲ τῶ]ν συμφερόν[των – ὁ ἐ]ν τ[αῖς] ἐκκλη[σι]αῖς [κ]αὶ τοῖς δικαστηρίοις [γί]νεται.

Ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐχ ἔνεκα τοῦ κοινοποιῆσαι μόνον τὸ τεκμήριον εἰρῆσθαι τοῦτο νομιστέον πρὸς ἡμῶν, ἀλλὰ ταῖς ἀληθείαις – καὶ πλανῶνται πάντες οἱ σοφισταῖς ἀργύριον ἀναλίσκοντες. Ὅταν γὰρ ἀκούσωσιν αὐτῶν ἐν ταῖς δεῖξεσι καὶ ταῖς πανηγύρεσι, φησὶν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος, καὶ ψυχαγωγηθῶσι διὰ τὸ μὴ εἶναι περὶ συμβολαίου τινὸς τὸν λόγον μηδὲ τῶν συμφερόντων – ὁ ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις καὶ τοῖς δικαστηρίοις γίνεται.

But one should not consider that this was said merely to make the argument common knowledge to us, but rather for the sake of truths – all those who spend money on sophists are in error. For whenever they listen to [sophistic orators] at displays and festivals, Epicurus says, they are entertained because the speech is not about a treaty or agreements – as happens in the assemblies and law courts...

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 3, P.Herc. 1506, col. 50, line 33 – col. 51, line 21

Ἐν μὲν γὰρ[ρ τούταις] ὑπὲρ τοῦ ἐνκειμέ[νου] διαλεγόμενοι κινδ[υ]νεύοντες ὅταν ἐκκλησιάζωσιν, ὅταν δὲ δι[κάζωσιν,] τὸν ὄρκον φοβο[ύ]μενοι προσέχουσι τὸν νοῦν τοῖς λεγομένοις, ἐν δὲ ταῖς πανηγύρεσι καὶ δεῖξεσι τῶν σοφιστῶν οὐθὲν οὐθ' ὑπὲρ [ὄρ]κου φ[ρο]ντίζον[τες - οὐ γὰρ ὁ]μωμόκασιν ὁ[ρθῶς] κ[ρίν]ειν. οὐθ' ὑπὲρ τῶ[ν λεγομ]ένων, εἰ συμφέρει [τῇ πόλει] ἢ μή - οὐ γὰρ ἐστι[ν] ὑπ[ὲρ πο]λέμου καὶ [εἰρ]ήνης ὁ λόγος, ὑπὲρ ὧν ἀναγκαῖόν ἐστιν ἀτταδήποτε ψηφίσασθαι· ἐὰν δὲ καὶ ὑπὲρ πολέμου καὶ εἰρήνης ἦ γ' ἢ καὶ ἄλλου τινὸς ὧν ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλη[σίαι]ς [β]ουλευόνται, οὐ περὶ κατεπε[ὶ]γνός τινος [ἦ] οὐδενός ἐστιν ἐν τῷ παρόντι ὁ λόγος.

Ἐν μὲν γὰρ τούταις ὑπὲρ τοῦ ἐνκειμένου διαλεγόμενοι κινδυνεύοντες ὅταν ἐκκλησιάζωσιν, ὅταν δὲ δικάζωσιν, τὸν ὄρκον φοβούμενοι προσέχουσι τὸν νοῦν τοῖς λεγομένοις – ἐν δὲ ταῖς πανηγύρεσι καὶ δεῖξεσι τῶν σοφιστῶν οὐθὲν οὐθ' ὑπὲρ ὄρκου φροντίζοντες, οὐ γὰρ ὁμωμόκασιν ὀρθῶς κρινεῖν. οὐθ' ὑπὲρ τῶν λεγομένων, εἰ συμφέρει τῇ πόλει ἢ μή, οὐ γὰρ ἐστὶν ὑπὲρ πολέμου καὶ εἰρήνης ὁ λόγος, ὑπὲρ ὧν ἀναγκαῖόν ἐστιν ἀτταδήποτε ψηφίσασθαι· ἐὰν δὲ καὶ ὑπὲρ πολέμου καὶ εἰρήνης ἦ γ' ἢ καὶ ἄλλου τινὸς ὧν ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλησίαις βουλευόνται, οὐ περὶ κατεπείγοντός τινος ἢ οὐδενός ἐστιν ἐν τῷ παρόντι ὁ λόγος.

...For in [law courts], as the saying goes, they risk their neck whenever they serve as assemblymen, and whenever they judge cases, they pay attention to what's being said because they fear their oath – but in the assemblies and displays of the sophists, they do not care at all, either about an oath (because they have not sworn to judge correctly) nor about whether what's being said is beneficial to the city or not (because the speech is not about war and peace, about which we must sometimes vote, or if it does happen to be about war and peace or some other thing that they deliberate in their assemblies, the speech at that moment is not about anything pressing at all).

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 3, P.Herc. 1506, col. 51, line 25 – col. 52, line 7

Ὡστε σφ[ό]δρα ἔξωι ὄντες τοῦ ἀγω[νιᾶν ἀκούουσιν ἐν [τα]ῖς πανηγύρεσιν – ὅταν δ' οὐ[τ]ως ἀκού[ω]σι, τοῖς μὲν λεγομένοις[ς οὐ πρ]οσέχ[ο]υ[ς]ιν, [πότε]ρα συμ[φ]έροντα ἢ [ο]ύ συμ[φ]έροντα καὶ τὸ σύνολον [ἀ]ληθῆ ἢ οὐκ ἀληθῆ, ὑπ' αὐτοῦ δὲ τοῦ ἤχου καὶ τῶν περιόδων καὶ τῶν παρίσων καὶ ἀντιθέτων καὶ ὁμοιοτελεῦτων ψυχαγωγούμενοι ἤδη προσεδόκησαν, εἰ οὕτωι λά[λο]ι ἦσαν, καὶ ἐν ἐκκλησίαις καὶ δικαστηρίοις εἰ[ῶ] ἀπ[ι]αλλάττειν οὐ συνορῶ[ν]τες, ὅτι οὐδ' ἂν ἡ[ν]έ[σχο]ντ[ο], εἰ ἐν ἐκκλησίαις κ[αί] δικαστηρίωι [οὔ]τωι λαλοῦντος ἤκουον· ὅθεν ἦλθον ἐπὶ τὸ ἀναλίσκειν μισθὸν τοῖς σοφισταῖς. [Εἴ]τ' εὐθύς γινώσκουσ[ιν] ὅτι ἀπολωλέκασι τὸ ἀργύριον.

Ὡστε σφόδρα ἔξωι ὄντες τοῦ ἀγωνιᾶν ἀκούουσιν ἐν ταῖς πανηγύρεσιν – ὅταν δ' οὕτως ἀκούωσι, τοῖς μὲν λεγομένοις οὐ προσέχουσιν, πότερα συμφέροντα ἢ οὐ συμφέροντα καὶ τὸ σύνολον ἀληθῆ ἢ οὐκ ἀληθῆ, ὑπ' αὐτοῦ δὲ τοῦ ἤχου καὶ τῶν περιόδων καὶ τῶν παρίσων καὶ ἀντιθέτων καὶ ὁμοιοτελεῦτων ψυχαγωγούμενοι ἤδη προσεδόκησαν, εἰ οὕτωι λάλοι ἦσαν, καὶ ἐν ἐκκλησίαις καὶ δικαστηρίοις εἰ ἀπαλλάττειν οὐ συνορῶντες, ὅτι οὐδ' ἂν ἡνέσχοντο, εἰ ἐν ἐκκλησίαις καὶ δικαστηρίωι οὕτωι λαλοῦντος ἤκουον· ὅθεν ἦλθον ἐπὶ τὸ ἀναλίσκειν μισθὸν τοῖς σοφισταῖς. Εἴτ' εὐθύς γινώσκουσιν ὅτι ἀπολωλέκασι τὸ ἀργύριον.

Therefore, they are entirely outside of competition while they listen at the festivals – but whenever they listen in this way [sc. to a display oration at a panegyris (national assembly or festival)], they do not pay attention to whether what is being said is beneficial or not, and, on the whole, true or not, but, entertained by the sound itself, the periods, balanced clauses, antitheses, and rhymes, they already expect to acquit themselves well, if they should speak like that, both in assemblies and in courtrooms, because they do not understand that they would not tolerate it if they heard someone speaking like that in an assembly or courtroom, on which grounds they came to hire sophists. Then they immediately recognize that they have wasted their money.

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 3, P.Herc. 1506, col. 52, line 8

Οὐθὲν γάρ, οἶμαι, αὐτοῖς συντελεῖται πρὸς τὸ πρᾶγμα ἀλλ' ἢ διαβολή καὶ ἀγωνία· διαβολή μὲν ὥς γεγυμνασμένοις ῥητορεύειν, καὶ κατὰ τρόπον μὲν ἀπαλλάττοντας κατὰ τῇ ἐν τῷ λέγειν ιδέαν δοκεῖν παρακρούεσθαι τοὺς δικαστάς, μὴ κατὰ τρόπον δὲ ἀποχωροῦντας διὰ κενῆς ἀργύριον ὑπολαμβάνεσθαι σοφιστὶ ἀνηλωκέναι· ἀγωνία δὲ περὶ αὐτῶν τούτων ἐνθυμουμένοις καὶ μᾶλλον ἔτι περὶ τοῦ πῶς ἐν τῷ λόγῳ δόξει ἀπαλλάττειν ἢ περὶ τοῦ μὴ δόξη παρακρούεσθαι τοὺς δικαστάς. Ταῦτά τε δὴ αὐτοῖς περίεστιν ἐκ τοῦ πράγματος καὶ ἅμα συνδέσμοις ἐπεσθαι προσεχῶς καὶ πτώσεσιν ἐν τοῖς λεγομένοις μῆτε τοῖς ὑφ' αὐτῶν ἀναγγελλομένοις παρακολουθεῖν μῆτε τοῖς ἐτέρων.

Οὐθὲν γάρ, οἶμαι, αὐτοῖς συντελεῖται πρὸς τὸ πρᾶγμα ἀλλ' ἢ διαβολή καὶ ἀγωνία· διαβολή μὲν ὥς γεγυμνασμένοις ῥητορεύειν, καὶ κατὰ τρόπον μὲν ἀπαλλάττοντας κατὰ τὴν ἐν τῷ λέγειν ιδέαν δοκεῖν παρακρούεσθαι τοὺς δικαστάς, μὴ κατὰ τρόπον δὲ ἀποχωροῦντας διὰ κενῆς ἀργύριον ὑπολαμβάνεσθαι σοφιστὶ ἀνηλωκέναι· ἀγωνία δὲ περὶ αὐτῶν τούτων ἐνθυμουμένοις καὶ μᾶλλον ἔτι περὶ τοῦ πῶς ἐν τῷ λόγῳ δόξει ἀπαλλάττειν ἢ περὶ τοῦ μὴ δόξη παρακρούεσθαι τοὺς δικαστάς. Ταῦτά τε δὴ αὐτοῖς περίεστιν ἐκ τοῦ πράγματος καὶ ἅμα συνδέσμοις ἐπεσθαι προσεχῶς καὶ πτώσεσιν ἐν τοῖς λεγομένοις μῆτε τοῖς ὑφ' αὐτῶν ἀναγγελλομένοις παρακολουθεῖν μῆτε τοῖς ἐτέρων.

* { Indeed, I believe, nothing is achieved by them in the matter but only defamation and anxiety; defamation insofar as trained to speak rhetorically, and in a manner, they seem to alienate the judges through their way of speaking, not properly withdrawing, thinking they have wasted money on sophistry; [they have] anxiety, however, concerning these considerations, and more so about how they might seem convincing in their speech rather than appearing not to convince the judges. These very issues are beset upon them by the situation, and they must meticulously follow the connections and downfalls in the statements, neither adhering to reports by themselves nor by others. }

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric, Book 2, P.Herc. 1674, col. 10, line 24

Φησὶν ἐν τῷ Περὶ Ῥητορικῆς Ἐπίκουρος[ος], ὅτι τοῖς διατριβικοῖς οἱ π[ροσ]ιόντες ἀπατῶντα[ι] κ[αί] οὐκ ἀπεικόντως διὰ τοιαύτην αἰτίαν – ὅταν γὰρ ἀκούωσιν ἐν ταῖς δεξι[αῖς] καὶ πανηγύρεσιν τῶν μ[η]τέ περὶ [λε]ξ[ε]ως συμβου[λ]ῃς μ[η]τέ περὶ τίνος τῶν τ[ῆ]ς π[ο]λ[ι]τείας δόλει συμ[φ]ερόντων[ιν]...

Φησὶν ἐν τῷ *Περὶ τῆς Ῥητορικῆς* Ἐπίκουρος, ὅτι τοῖς διατριβικοῖς οἱ προσιόντες ἀπατῶνται καὶ **οὐκ ἀπεικότως διὰ τοιαύτην αἰτίαν** – ὅταν γὰρ ἀκούωσιν ἐν ταῖς δείξεσιν καὶ πανηγύρεσιν τῶν μῆτε περὶ συμβουλῆς μῆτε περὶ τίνος τῶν τῇ πόλει συμφερόντων...

[Chandler] Epicurus in his *On Rhetoric* says that those who attend the professors of the schools are deceived, and **not unreasonably for the following reason** – for whenever they listen to speeches at displays and panegyrics, because [the speech] is neither concerned with deliberation [or] anything which is of advantage to the state...

* Epicurus states in *On Rhetoric* that those engaging in academic exercises, the participants deceive [themselves] and **not without justification for such a reason** – whenever they hear [speeches] at demonstrations and festivals that are neither about advice nor about anything of benefit to the city...

[U54]

Clarity Only

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.13

Κέχρηται δὲ **λέξει κυρίᾳ κατὰ τῶν πραγμάτων – ἦν, ὅτι ἰδιωτάτῃ** Ἔστιν – Ἀριστοφάνης, **ὁ γραμματικός, αἰτιᾶται**

He makes use of **standard terminology in his efforts** – **which**, because It is **very idiosyncratic** – Aristophanes, **the grammarian**, criticizes [*him for it*]

σαφὴς δ’ ἦν οὕτως ὥς καὶ ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Ῥητορικῆς*, Ἀξιοῖ **μηδὲν ἄλλο ἢ σαφήνειαν ἀπαιτεῖν**

and He was **so clear** that **even in his *On Rhetoric***, He expects **nothing else** other than **requiring clarity**

[U55]

X

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric, Book 2, P.Herc. 1672, col 21, line 22

Δηλοῖ δὲ καὶ [Ἔ]ρμαρχος, δι' ὧν παρεθέμε[θ]α, μετὰ δὲ τῆς πάσης [ἐ]ξεργασίας Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ Περὶ τῆς Ῥητορικῆς τελείοθ(ι)ς ἀνεπιμείκτους [δ]ιδάσκων τὰς δυ[ν]άμεις κ[αὶ] συνεργούσας μὲν οὐθὲ[ν] εἷς γε τὴν ἕξιν τὴν πολ[ι]τικὴν τὰς διατριβάς, πολλάκις δὲ καὶ μεταβαλλού[σ]σας(ι), ἃ προφέρεσθαι νῦν ἐμ μέσῳ κείμενα πᾶσιν μά[ταρ()]ον ησα()[νετ]αι καὶ [περ]ιττόν.

Δηλοῖ δὲ καὶ Ἑρμαρχος, δι' ὧν παρεθέμεθα, μετὰ δὲ τῆς πάσης ἐξεργασίας Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ Περὶ τῆς Ῥητορικῆς τελείοθ(ι)ς ἀνεπιμείκτους διδάσκων τὰς δυνάμεις καὶ συνεργούσας μὲν οὐθὲν εἷς γε τὴν ἕξιν τὴν πολιτικὴν τὰς διατριβάς, πολλάκις δὲ καὶ μεταβαλλού[σ]σας(ι), ἃ προφέρεσθαι νῦν ἐμ μέσῳ κείμενα πᾶσιν **μάταρ()ον** ησα()νεται καὶ **περιττόν**. ✱

[Chandler] Hermarchus too, by what we have cited, shows this, as does Epicurus with his entire treatment in *On Rhetoric* by teaching that the capabilities are completely independent of one another and that the schools contain nothing for the political faculty, but often even change it, things which it seems **pointless** and **superfluous** to offer now when they lie exposed for everyone.

X

Maximus Planoúdēs, Commentary for Hermogenes On Stasis:

Máximos Planoúdēs (fl. 1300) was a Byzantine monk and translator.

Ὁ δὲ γε Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Ῥητορικῆς* αὐθαδέστερον οἶμαι λέγων φησὶν αὐτὸς μόνος εὐρηκέναι τέχνην πολιτικῶν λόγων. τοὺς δὲ ἄλλους ἀποσκορακίζων ῥήτορας ἑαυτῷ πως μαχόμενα λέγει. φύσις γὰρ ἐστὶν ἡ κατορθοῦσα λόγους, τέχνη δὲ οὐδεμία.

Epicurus, in his treatise *On Rhetoric* is seen to speak quite arrogantly, stating that he alone has discovered the art of political speeches. He ridicules the other orators, claiming that they are only contesting against him. According to him, it is nature that succeeds in creating speeches, not any craft.

Philodemus frequently mentions Plato's Gorgias, where rhetoric is portrayed mainly as a tool for persuasion, disconnected from genuine truth. Epicureans who agree with Philodemus' interpretation of Epicurus might see Plato's critical stance on rhetoric in this work as suggestive that that Epicurus held a more nuanced view – and was less adversarial toward certain educational facets falling under the realm of "rhetoric" – specifically the study of the principles of composition.

43. Twelve Elementary Principles

Στοιχειώσεις Δώδεκα

[U56]

Color Shifts with Atom Position

Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Laertius 10.44b

Φησὶ δ, ἐνδοτέρω, **μηδὲ ποιότητά τινα** περὶ τὰς **ἀτόμους** εἶναι **πλὴν σχήματος** καὶ **μεγέθους** καὶ **βάρους** – τὸ δὲ **Χρῶμα** παρὰ τὴν θέσιν τῶν ἀτόμων **ἀλλάττεσθαι**, **ἐν ταῖς Δώδεκα Στοιχειώσεσιν** Φησι

He says, moreover, that there is **no quality at all** for the atoms except shape, size, and **weight** – that **Color varies** with the position of the atoms, He states in the *Twelve Elementary Principles*

τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
τὸ βάρος τὰ βάρη	weight	ΒΑΡΟΣ <i>heavy</i>

44. Symposium

Συμπόσιον

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.28

...**Νεοκλῆς** **Πρὸς Θεμίσταν**. **Συμπόσιον**. **Εὐρύλοχος** **Πρὸς Μητρόδωρον**...

...*Neocles, Dedicated to Themista*. *Symposium*. *Eurylochus, Dedicated to Metrodorus*...

x

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Quaestiones Convivales, 1.0.1

Τὸ δ' ὅλως ἀμνημονεῖν τῶν ἐν οἴνῳ μὴ μόνον τῷ φιλοποιῷ λεγομένῳ μάχεσθαι τῆς τραπέζης, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν φιλοσόφων τοὺς ἐλλογιμωτάτους ἀντιμαρτυροῦντας ἔχειν (Πλάτωνα καὶ Ξενοφῶντα καὶ Ἀριστοτέλην καὶ Σπεύσιππον, Ἐπίκουρόν τε καὶ Πρύτανιν καὶ Ἱερώνυμον καὶ Δίωνα τὸν ἐξ Ἀκαδημείας, ὡς ἄξιόν τινος σπουδῆς πεποιημένους ἔργον ἀναγράψασθαι **λόγους παρὰ πότον** γενομένων).

But to deliver over to oblivion all sort of discourse that merry meetings do usually produce is not only repugnant to that endearing quality that most allow to an entertainment, but against the known practice of the greatest philosophers (for Plato, Xenophon, Aristotle, Speusippus, Epicurus, Prytanis, Hieronymus, Dion the Academic, have thought it a worthy and noble employment to deliver down to us those **discourses** they had **over drinks**).

X

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 186E

Ἡμεῖς δὲ νῦν **περὶ τῶν Ὀμηρικῶν συμποσίων** λέξομεν. ἀφορίζει γὰρ αὐτῶν ὁ ποιητὴς **χρόνους**, πρόσωπα, αἰτίας. τοῦτο δὲ ὀρθῶς ἀπεμάξατο ὁ Ξενοφῶν καὶ Πλάτων, οἳ κατ’ ἀρχὰς τῶν ξυγγραμμάτων ἐκτίθενται τὴν αἰτίαν τοῦ συμποσίου καὶ τίνες οἱ παρόντες. Ἐπίκουρος δὲ **οὐ τόπον, οὐ χρόνον** ἀφορίζει, **οὐ προλέγει οὐδέν**. δεῖ οὖν μαντεύσασθαι πῶς ποτ’ ἄνθρωπος ἐξαπίνης ἔχων κύλικα προβάλλει ζητήματα καθάπερ ἐν διατριβῇ λέγων.

We will now talk **about the Homeric symposia**. In these, namely, the poet distinguishes **times**, persons, and occasions. This feature Xenophon and Plato rightly copied, for at the beginning of their treatises they explain the occasion of the symposium, and who are present. But Epicurus specifies **no place, no time**; he has **no introduction whatsoever**. One has to guess, therefore, how it comes about that a man with cup in hand suddenly propounds questions as though he were lecturing before a class.

X

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 187B

Ἦτι δὲ ὁ μὲν Ὅμηρος ἡλικίαις εἰσάγει διαφέροντας καὶ ταῖς προαιρέσεσι τοὺς κεκλημένους – Νέστορα καὶ Αἴαντα καὶ Ὀδυσσέα – τὸ μὲν καθόλου σύμπαντας τῆς ἀρετῆς ἀντεχομένους, εἶδει δὲ διαφόροις ὁδοῖς ὠρμηκότας ἐπ’ αὐτήν. ὁ δ’ Ἐπίκουρος ἅπαντας εἰσήγαγε **προφῆτας ἀτόμων**, καὶ ταῦτ’ ἔχων παραδείγματα τὴν τε τοῦ Ποιητοῦ τῶν συμποσίων ποικιλίαν καὶ **τὴν Πλάτωνός τε καὶ Ξενοφώντος χάριν**.

Homer introduces guests who differ in their ages and views of life – Nestor, Ajax, Odysseus – all of whom, speaking generally, strive after excellence, but have set out in specifically diverse paths to find it. Epicurus on the other hand, introduced none but **prophets of atoms**, although he had before him these as his models, such as the variety of symposia of the Poet, and the **charm of Plato** and Xenophon as well.

ὁ προφήτης οἱ προφῆται	prophet	ΠΡΟΦΗΤΗΣ <i>to say before</i>
οἱ προφῆται ἀτόμων	prophets of atoms	

X

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 177B

Ἐπίκουρος δὲ **συμπόσιον φιλοσόφων μόνων** πεποιήται.

Epicurus, however, portrayed **a symposium of only philosophers**.

X

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 179D

Καὶ πρὸ τοῦ θοινᾶσθαι δὲ ἃ δεῖ ποιεῖν ἡμᾶς διδάσκει πάλιν Ὅμηρος, ἀπαρχὰς τῶν βρωμάτων νέμειν τοῖς θεοῖς... ἅπερ καὶ Πλάτων φυλάσσει κατὰ τὸ συμπόσιον... παρὰ δ' Ἐπικούρῳ οὐ σπονδή, οὐκ ἀπαρχὴ θεοῖς – ἀλλ' ὥσπερ Σημωνίδης ἔφη περὶ τῆς ἀκόσμου γυναικός: "ἄθυστα δ' ἱρὰ πολλάκις κατεσθίει."

Again, Homer tells us what we are to do before we begin to eat, namely, we are to offer as first portions of the food to the gods... all of which Plato also retains in his symposium... But with Epicurus there is no libation, no preliminary offering to the gods – on the contrary, it is like what Simonides says of the lawless woman: "Oftentimes she eats up the offerings before they are consecrated."

Simonides of Ceos (fl.c. 515 BCE) was a lyric poet. He is credited for introducing Ω, Η, Ξ, and Ψ as new letters for the revised Greek alphabet.

X

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 182A

Ἐν δὲ τῷ Ἐπικούρου Συμποσίῳ κολάκων ἐστὶν ἄγυρις ἀλλήλους ἐπαινούντων – τὸ δὲ Πλάτωνος πλήρες ἐστὶ μυκτηριστῶν ἀλλήλους τωθαζόντων... παρὰ δ' Ὁμήρῳ κεκρότηται τὰ σώφρονα συμπόσια.

In the Symposium of Epicurus there is an assemblage of flatterers praising one another – while the symposium of Plato is full of men who turn their noses up in jeers at one another... In Homer, on the other hand, only sober symposia are organized.

[U57]

X

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 187C

Πάλιν Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ Συμποσίῳ ζητεῖ περὶ δυσπεψίας ὥστ' οἰωνίσασθαι, εἴθ' ἐξῆς περὶ πυρετῶν. τὴν μὲν γὰρ ἐπιτρέχουσαν τῇ λέξει ἄρρυθμίαν τί δεῖ καὶ λέγειν;

Epicurus poses questions in his Symposium about indigestion as a means of obtaining omens, and then immediately after this discusses fevers. Why should I even mention the rhythmlessness that permeates his style?

[U58]

Effects of Wine

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1109E

Ὅρα δ' ἃ, περὶ τοῦ οἴνου τῆς θερμότητος ἐν τῷ Συμποσίῳ, Πολύαινον αὐτῷ διαλεγόμενον Ἐπίκουρος πεποίηκε, λέγοντος γάρ "οὐ φης εἶναι, ὦ Ἐπίκουρε, τὰς ὑπὸ τοῦ οἴνου διαθερμασίας;" (ὑπέλαβέ τις) "οὐ τὸ καθόλου θερμαντικὸν ἀποφαίνεσθαι τὸν οἶνον εἶναι." (καὶ μετὰ σμικρόν) "φαίνεται μὲν γὰρ τὸ καθόλου οὐκ εἶναι 'θερμαντικὸς ὁ οἶνος' – τοῦδε δέ τινος ὁ τοσοῦτος εἶναι θερμαντικὸς ἂν ῥηθείη."

Observe what, regarding the heat of the wine in the Symposium, Epicurus has [portrayed] Polyaeus conversing with him, as he says "Do you deny, Epicurus, the absolute heating effect caused by wine?" (Someone interrupts) "it is not a universal fact that wine seems fully warming." (And a little later) "For the universal fact does not seem to be that 'wine is warming' – but that a certain quantity for a certain person may be said to be warming."

Consider the discussion that Epicurus holds in his Symposium with Polyaeus about the heat in wine, when Polyaeus says "Do you, Epicurus, deny the existence of the warmth produced by wine?" (Some one interrupted) "It does not appear that wine is unconditionally productive of heat." (And a little later) "It seems that wine is not unconditionally productive of heat, but wine of a certain quantity might be said to produce heat for a given person."

[Alternative] (ὑπέλαβε) "τί δεῖ τὸ καθόλου θερμαντικὸν ἀποφαίνεσθαι τὸν οἶνον εἶναι;"

[Alternative] (he replies) "what need is there to generalize that wine is warming?"

[U59]

x

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1109F – 1110A

Καὶ πάλιν, αἰτίαν ὑπειπὼν – θλίψεις τε καὶ διασπορὰς ἀτόμων, ἐτέρων δὲ συμμίξεις καὶ παραζεύξεις αἰτιασάμενος – ἐν τῇ πρὸς τὸ σῶμα καταμίζει τοῦ οἴνου, ἐπιλέγει "διὸ δὴ καθόλου μὲν οὐ ῥητέον τὸν οἶνον εἶναι θερμαντικόν – τῆς δὲ τοιαύτης φύσεως καὶ τῆς οὕτω διακειμένης: θερμαντικὸν τὸν τοσοῦτον – ἢ (τῆσδε) τὸν τοσοῦτον εἶναι ψυκτικόν!"

⌘ And again, having hinted at a cause – he attributes ^[1] compressions and dispersals of [some] atoms and of others their ^[2] mixings and joinings – in the blending of the wine with the body, he states "therefore it should generally not be said that wine is warming – but of such a nature and of an arrangement in such a way that: this much is warming – but (in another case) this much is cooling!"

Again, after assigning as one cause ^[1] the crowding and dispersal of atoms, and as another, the ^[2] mixture and alignment of these with others, when the wine is mingled with the body, he adds in conclusion "Thus, one should not generalize that wine is productive of heat – but only say that a particular amount produces heat for a particular body in a particular condition, or that such an amount results in chilling for another!"

"Ἐνεῖσι γὰρ καὶ τοιαῦται ἐν τῷ τοιούτῳ ἀθροίσματι φύσεις, ἐξ ὧν ἂν ψυχρὸν συσταίῃ – εἰς δέον τε ἐτέραις παραζυγεῖσθαι: ψυχρασίας φύσιν ἀποτελέσειαν – ὅθεν ἐξαπατῶμενοι οἱ μὲν ψυκτικὸν τὸ καθόλου φασὶν εἶναι τὸν οἶνον, οἱ δὲ θερμαντικόν"

⌘ "For there are such natures in such a conglomerate [as wine], from which coldness might be composed – and if in proper measure yoked with other [elements]: they would achieve a nature of cooling – therefore, while some are deceived and say that the universal fact is that wine is generally cooling, others [say it is] warming."

"For in an aggregate such as wine there are also certain natural substances of such a sort that coolness might be formed of them, or such that, when aligned with others, they would produce a real coolness. Hence, deceived by this, some generalize that wine is cooling, others, that it is heating."

[U60]

x

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1110A

Ὅ δὴ λέγων ἐξηπατῆσθαι τοὺς πολλοὺς, τὸ θερμαῖνον θερμαντικὸν ἢ τὸ ψῦχον ψυκτικὸν ὑπολαμβάνοντας, εἰ μὴ νομίζοι "τὸ μὴ μᾶλλον εἶναι τοῖον ἢ τοῖον" ἕκαστον ἀκολουθεῖν οἷς εἴρηκεν, αὐτὸς ἐξηπάτηται.

If then the man who asserts that the majority are deceived in supposing that what heats is heating or what cools is cooling should refuse to recognize "Everything is no more this than that" as a conclusion from his premises, he is himself deceived.

Προστίθῃσι δ' ὅτι "πολλάκις οὐδ' ἦλθεν εἰς τὸ σῶμα **θερμαντικὴν** ἐπιφέρων ἢ **ψυκτικὴν δύναμιν** ὁ οἶνος – ἀλλὰ **κινηθέντος τοῦ ὄγκου** καὶ **γενομένης** τῶν σωμάτων **μεταστάσεως**: αἱ ποιοῦσαι **τὸ θερμὸν** ἄτομοι νῦν μὲν συνῆλθον εἰς ταυτό καὶ παρέσχον **ὑπὸ πλήθους θερμότητα** καὶ **πύρωσιν** τῷ σώματι – νῦν δ' ἐκπεσοῦσαι κατέψυξαν."

* He adds that "Often the wine did not come **into the body** bringing either a **heating** or **cooling power** – but **with the mass having been moved** and a **displacement** of the objects **having occurred**: the atoms that produce **heat** now indeed came together and **by the multitude** provided **heat** and **inflammation** to the body – but now having fallen out, they cooled."

He proceeds to add "And often the wine does not even possess the property of heating or cooling as it enters the body – rather, the bodily mass is so set in motion that the corpuscles shift their position: the **heat**-producing atoms are at one time concentrated, becoming numerous enough to impart warmth and heat to the body, but at another time are driven out, producing a chill."

x

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), *Quaestiones Convivales*, 3.5, 652A

Διείλεκται δὲ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος **ἐν τῷ Συμποσίῳ πολλοὺς λόγους**, ὧν τὸ Κεφάλαιόν ἐστιν, ὡς Ἐγῶμαι, τοιόνδε: Φησὶ γὰρ **οὐκ εἶναι θερμὸν αὐτοτελῶς τὸν Οἶνον**: ἀλλ' **ἔχειν τινὰς ἀτόμους ἐν αὐτῷ θερμασίας ἀποτελεσματικὰς** – **ἐτέρας δ' αὖ ψυχρότητος**

Epicurus also discussed **in the Symposium many arguments**, the main point of them, as I understand it, as follows: for He says that **Wine is not hot in itself**: **but has certain atoms in itself productive of heat** – and **others again of coldness**

ὧν τὰς μὲν ἀποβάλλειν ὅταν εἰς τὸ σῶμα παραγένηται – **τὰς δὲ προσλαμβάνειν ἐκ τοῦ σώματος**, ὡς ἂν ἔχῃσι **κράσεως ἡμῖν ἢ φύσεως ὁμιλῆσαι**: ὡς **Τοὺς μὲν ἐκθερμαίνεσθαι**, **Τοὺς δὲ τούναντίον πάσχειν μεθυσκομένους**

[Epicurus says that] **some of these [atoms of wine] are shed whenever [wine] is in the body** – but **other [atoms] are taken along from the body in addition [to the wine]**, depending on how they may be suited to interact **with our constitution or nature**: so that **Some [people] are warmed** – but **Others experience the opposite while they are intoxicated**

[U61]

Appropriate Time for Intercourse

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), *Quaestiones Convivales*, 653B

περὶ καιροῦ συνουσίας: **Νεανίσκοι τινές, οὐ πάλαι τοῖς παλαιοῖς λόγοις προσπεφοιτηκότες** – **ἐσπάραττον τὸν Ἐπίκουρον, ὡς οὐ καλὸν οὐδ' ἀναγκαῖον ἐμβεβληκότα λόγον περὶ καιροῦ συνουσίας εἰς τὸ Συμπόσιον**

about the proper time for intercourse: some Youngsters, not long acquainted **with the ancient discussions**, were tearing apart **Epicurus**, as **though he had introduced a discussion that was neither good nor necessary** about proper time for intercourse in the *Symposium*

ἡ οὐσία αἱ οὐσίαι	existence	ΟΥΣΙΑ <i>being</i>
ἡ συνουσία αἱ συνουσίαι	intercourse	ΣΥΝΟΥΣΙΑ <i>being together</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning [here, "discussion"]	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>

μιμνήσκεσθαι γὰρ Ἀφροδισίων ἄνδρα πρεσβύτερον ἐν δείπνῳ μαιρακίων παρόντων – καὶ διαπορεῖν πότερον μετὰ δείπνον ἢ πρὸ δείπνου Χρηστέον, ἐσχάτης ἀκολασίας εἶναι

for an older man to make mention of Aphrodisian activities at a dinner-party with youths present – and to be in doubt whether it is necessary before dinner or after dinner is [they said] the extreme of licentiousness

πρὸς ταῦθ' οἱ μὲν τὸν Ξενοφῶντα παρέλαβον ὡς ἀπάγοντα τοὺς συμπότας μετὰ δείπνον οὐχὶ πεζοὺς ἀλλ' ἐφ' ἵππων ἐπὶ συνουσίας πρὸς τὰς γυναῖκας. Ζώπυρος δ' ὁ ἰατρός, εὖ μάλα τοῖς Ἐπικούρου λόγοις ἐνωμιληκῶς, οὐκ ἔφη προσέχοντας αὐτοὺς ἀνεγνωκέναι τὸ Ἐπικούρου Συμπόσιον

at this, some among our company brought up Xenophon, who, so to speak, took his guests home after dinner, not on foot, but on horseback, for intercourse with their wives. And Zopyrus the Physician, who was very well acquainted with the works of Epicurus, did not say that that they were attentively reading the *Symposium* of Epicurus

οὐ γὰρ ὥσπερ ἐξ ἀρχῆς τιнос καὶ καταστάσεως τοῦτο πρόβλημα ποιησάμενον εἶτα λόγους ἐπ' αὐτῷ περαίνειν – ἀλλὰ τοὺς νέους ἀνιστάντα μετὰ δείπνον εἰς περίπατον ἐπὶ σωφρονισμῷ διαλέγεσθαι, καὶ ἀνακρούειν ἀπὸ τῶν ἐπιθυμιῶν – ὡς αἰεὶ μὲν ἐπισφαλοῦς εἰς βλάβην τοῦ πράγματος ὄντος (κάκιστα δὲ τοὺς παρὰ πότον καὶ ἐδωδὴν χρωμένους) αὐτῷ διατιθέντος

for it is not as if, from some principle and foundation, [Epicurus] made this problem and then completed arguments upon it – but rather he made the young men rise after dinner for a walk to converse regarding moderation, and to push back away from desires – with [the discussion] being arranged by him that it is always risky regarding the harm of the circumstance (but especially for those engaging during drinking and eating)

"εἰ δὲ δὴ καὶ προηγουμένως" εἶπεν "ἐζητεῖτο περὶ τούτου – πότερον οὐδ' ὅλως ἐσκέφθαι καλῶς εἶχε τὸν φιλόσοφον περὶ συνουσίας καιροῦ καὶ ὥρας; ἢ βέλτιον μὲν ἐν καιρῷ καὶ μετὰ λογισμοῦ τὰ τοιαῦτα πράττειν; τὸν δὲ καιρὸν ἄλλως μὲν ἐπισκοπεῖν οὐκ ἄτοπον – ἐν δὲ συμποσίῳ καὶ περὶ τράπεζαν αἰσχρόν;"

"indeed, even if previously" he said "the inquiry had been about this – would it not be entirely proper that the philosopher considered the proportion and time for intercourse? is it not better to do such things at the right time and with reason? or is it not inappropriate to examine the right occasion [for intercourse] at another [event] – but shameful to do so during a symposium or around the table?"

x

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), *Quaestiones Convivales*, 653F

πρὸς τοῦτο πληγέντες, οἱ Νεανίσκοι σιωπῇ κατέκειντο: τῶν δ' ἄλλων τὸν Ζώπυρον ἀξιούντων τοὺς περὶ τούτου λόγους Ἐπικούρου διελθεῖν, ἔφη τῶν μὲν κατὰ μέρος οὐκ ἀκριβῶς μνημονεύειν, οἶεσθαι δὲ τὸν ἄνδρα τὰς ἐκ τῆς συνουσίας πληγὰς δεδιέναι – διὰ τὸν τῶν σωμάτων παλμὸν εἰς ταραχὴν καὶ σάλον ἐν τῷ τοιοῦτῳ βαδιζόντων

struck by this, the young men sat down in silence. with the rest of the company asking Zopyrus to go through the reasonings of Epicurus about this, and he replied that he did not remember accurately in detail, but thought that [Epicurus] feared the afflictions resulting from intercourse – due to the pulsation of the bodies moving in the disturbance and agitation in such a state of movement

καθόλου μὲν γὰρ ἐξ ἔδρας τὰ σώματα μεθιστάναι – πλήκτην ὄντα καὶ κινητικὸν ταραχῆς τὸν ἄκρατον: ἂν δ' οὕτως ἔχοντα τὸν ὄγκον ἡμῶν γαλήνη μὴ παραλάβῃ καὶ ὕπνος, ἀλλ' ἕτεραι διὰ τῶν Ἀφροδισίων κινήσεις – ἐκθλιβομένων καὶ μοχλευομένων τῶν μάλιστα συνδεῖν καὶ κολλᾶν τὸ σῶμα πεφυκότων: Κίνδυνός ἐστιν ἀνέδραστον γίγνεσθαι τὸν ὄγκον, ὥσπερ ἐκ θεμελίων γιγνόμενον

for generally [wine] moves our body from its position – because it is impactful and the extreme of kinetic disturbances: and if calmness and sleep do not take possession of our body when it is in this condition [of being full of wine or food], but instead different movements in line with Aphrodisian activities – then those things which are especially natural for connecting and joining together the body are pressed out and dislodged: there is a Danger that the mass will be become unsupported, as though becoming [shifted] from its foundation

οὐδὲ γὰρ εὖ ρεῖν, τηνικαῦτα, τὴν γονὴν, σφηνώσεως διὰ τὴν πλησμονὴν οὕσης, ἀλλὰ βίᾳ καὶ συμπεφυρμένην ἀποσπᾶσθαι: διὸ, χρῆναί φησιν ὁ Ἄνὴρ ὅταν Ἕσυχία γένηται περὶ τὸ σῶμα (καὶ λωφήσωσιν αἱ τῆς τροφῆς Ἀναδόσεις καὶ τὰ Ῥεύματα διεξιούσης καὶ φευγούσης) τὰ τοιαῦτα πράττειν – πρὶν ἑτέρας αὖ πάλιν τροφῆς ἐνδεὲς γενέσθαι τὸ Σῶμα)

for, at that time [of being full of wine or food], our seed does not flow well, due to the [internal] constriction from the fullness, but rather it is pulled out with difficulty while being mixed together: consequently, [Epicurus] says it is necessary to perform such actions [only] when tranquillity comes about for the body (and the Assimilation of nourishment and the Flows of processing and removal have ceased) – before the Body again becomes in need of [more] nourishment

X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Quaestiones Convivales, 654B

Σκοπῶμεν οὖν εἰ δοκεῖ πότερον ἐμμελῶς καὶ προσηκόντως ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἢ παρὰ πᾶν δίκαιον ἀφαιρεῖ τὴν Ἀφροδίτην τῆς νυκτός

Let us then examine if it seems appropriate whether Epicurus fittingly and property, or contrary to all justice, removes Aphrodite from the night

X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Quaestiones Convivales, 655A

καὶ μὴν οὐδὲ τὸ Σῶμα βλάπτειτ' ἂν ὑπὸ τῆς συνουσίας μᾶλλον, ὥς Ἐπίκουρος οἶεται, μετὰ τὸ δεῖπνον – ἂν γε μὴ (μεθύων Τις ἢ ῥηγνύμενος ὑπὸ πλησμονῆς) ἄπτηται καὶ βεβαρημένος: ἀμέλει γὰρ, οὕτως, ἐπισφαλὲς τὸ Πρᾶγμα καὶ βλαβερόν

surely the Body would not suffer greater harm by intercourse, as Epicurus thinks, after dinner – provided that Someone is not (while drunk or bursting from overindulgence) engaged and weighed down: for certainly, in that case, the Circumstance is precarious and harmful

αὐτοῦ μαλακοῦ γεγονότος καὶ τῆς ψυχῆς παρεστῶσης διὰ χρόνου ποιῆται τὴν ἔντευξιν: οὔτε ταραχὴν ἀπεργάζεται μεγάλην διὰ τὸν ὄγκον, οὔτ' ἄλλο τι ὧν ἐπήγαγεν – ἢ Ψῦξις ἢ Μετάθεσις ἐξ ἔδρας ἀτόμων – φησιν Ἐπίκουρος.

[as long as] he arranges the encounter after some time while the [body] has become relaxed and the soul remains present: he neither causes a great disturbance because of the mass, nor [does he cause] anything else that would have come about – neither a Chilling nor a Change from the position of the atoms – as Epicurus claims

[U62]

X

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.118 fin

[Usener]

Συνουσίῃ ὦνησε μὲν οὐδέποτε – ἀγαπητὸν δ' εἰ μὴ ἔβλαψε

Intercourse never is advantageous – but it is welcome if it has not harmed

Συνουσίαν δέ Φασιν **ὄνησαι μὲν οὐδέποτε** – **ἀγαπητὸν** δέ εἰ μὴ καὶ ἔβλαψε

They say that **although Intercourse never is advantageous** – but **it is desirable** if it has not also harmed

ἀγαπητός (ή, όν)	desirable	ΑΓΑΠΗΤΟΣ <i>love worthy</i>
----------------------------	-----------	---------------------------------------

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Instructor, 2.10

Titus Flavius Clemens "Klēmēs of Alexandria" was a Christian theologian who wrote the Paedagogus. Although a Christian work, it also includes elements of ancient philosophy and references to Homer.

εὔ γοῦν Τίς εἰρηκέναι φέρεται **"Συνουσία ὦνησε μὲν οὐδένα – ἀγαπητὸν δέ εἰ μὴ ἔβλαψεν"**

at least Someone is reported to have well said that "Intercourse has benefited **no one** – but **it is welcome** if it has not harmed"

x

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.52

Porphyry was a native speaker of Aramaic, student of Plotinus, and one of the founders of Neoplatonism. He is known of his anti-Christian polemics and books on logic.

Οὐδὲν δὲ θαυμαστὸν τοὺς πολλοὺς οἶεσθαι εἰς ὑγίειαν συντελεῖν τὴν κρεοφαγίαν – τῶν γὰρ αὐτῶν ἦν καὶ **τὰς ἀπολαύσεις οἶεσθαι ὑγείας εἶναι τηρητικὰς καὶ τὰ Ἀφροδίσια**, ὄνησαι μὲν οὐδένα τινά – ἀγαπητὸν δέ εἰ μὴ ἔβλαψεν.

It is not surprising that **the public thinks meat-eating contributes to health** – for they are just people who think that **enjoyment** and **Aphrodisian activities** preserve **health**, whereas these things have never profited anyone – but one must be content if it has not harmed

x

Galen (fl. 170 CE), Art of Medicine 24.1

Claudius Galenus of Pergamon was a Greek physician, surgeon. He was the doctor of Marcus Aurelius, Commodus, & Septimius Severus.

...Ἀφροδισίων δὲ, κατὰ μὲν Ἐπίκουρον: οὐδεμία Χρήσις ὑγιεινή

...but **regarding Aphrodisian activities, according to Epicurus: no Use is healthy**

x

Galen (fl. 170 CE), comment on The Epidemics of Hippocrates 3.1.4, Art of Medicine 17

Τίς γὰρ ἦν ἀνάγκη γράφειν... εἰρηκέναι... Ἐπίκουρον, μηδέποτε μὲν ὠφελεῖν Ἀφροδισίων χρήσιν, ἀγαπητὸν δ' εἰ μὴ βλάψειεν;

* Why then was there a need to write... what Epicurus has said, that the use of Aphrodisian activities has never been beneficial – but one must be content if it did not harm?

[U63]

x

[Usener] οὐδὲ μὴν τηρήσειν ἐν μέθῃ [τὸν Σοφόν] φησὶν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ Συμποσίῳ

in fact, Epicurus says in the *Symposium* that [the wise man] will not stay guarded in drunkenness

[Henderson, Dorandi] οὐδὲ μὴν ληρήσειν ἐν μέθῃ [τὸν Σοφόν] φησὶν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῷ Συμποσίῳ

in fact, Epicurus says in the *Symposium* that [the wise man] will not be foolish in drunkenness

τηρεῖν	to preserve (here, "to stay guarded")	ΤΗΡΕΙΝ protecting / watching
ληρεῖν	to be foolish	ΛΗΡΕΙΝ trash / trifle
ἡ μέθη αἱ μέθαι	drunkenness	ΜΕΘΗ mead
τό μέθυ τά μέθυα	wine	

[U64]

The Telos

^{*} Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric, VH² VII 184

...καὶ ζῶιον ἔμψυχον διὰ ζωγραφίας ἀποτελεῖν ἔσται. Ταῦτα μὲν οὖν τοῦτον ὠικονομήθη τὸν τρόπον – παρ’ Ἐπικούρῳ δὲ τῶν λόγων ὁ Τύπος ἐστὶν ὡς ἐκ διαλόγου συνθεῖναι τοιοῦτος: "πρῶτον, Διομολογησώμεθα τί ἐστὶν οὗ χάριν ἅπαντα Πράττομεν..."

«...καὶ ζῶιον ἔμψυχον διὰ ζωγραφίας ἀποτελεῖν ἔσται.» Ταῦτα μὲν οὖν τοῦτον ὠικονομήθη τὸν τρόπον – παρ’ Ἐπικούρῳ δὲ τῶν λόγων ὁ Τύπος ἐστὶν ὡς ἐκ διαλόγου συνθεῖναι τοιοῦτος: "πρῶτον, Διομολογησώμεθα τί ἐστὶν οὗ χάριν ἅπαντα Πράττομεν..."

«...and to create a living being through realistic writing will be possible.»
These things, therefore, were arranged in that way – while for Epicurus the Impression from his discourse is constructed like this by means of a dialogue: "first, let Us agree on what it is for the sake of which We do everything..."

ἡ ζωγραφία αἱ ζωγραφαί	[*] realistic writing	ΖΩΓΡΑΦΙΑ life writing
this is an uncommon term, and is typically associated with painting		
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ manner / direction
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ imprinting

[U65]

X

^[=U49] Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1674, col. 56, line 18

[Ἐγ]ὼ δ' [ἀ]μέλει κα[ὶ] τῷ[ν] ἀκουστῶν μὲν ἄγ[α]μ[α]ι τοῦ σχολάζοντος Ἀθήγησιν ἀ[ν]δρός· ὧ[ν] Ὁ[] μὲ[ν] [ἐ]ν τῷ[ι Συμ]πο[σίω]ι Π[ε]ρ[ὶ] τῆς] Ῥητορικῆς ἔφη] [συγ]γεγράφθαι – π[ι]στεύ[ε]ι[ν] [ε]ἰ Χρὴ τοῖς λέγουσιν, ο[ὗ]δ' ἴσως φιλοσόφοις περ[ὶ] [φί]λοσόφων πιστεύ[ε]ι[ν] – [Ὁ δ] ' οὐκ ἔ[φη] γινώσκειν [ὅ]που λέγουσιν ο[ὐ] περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον

Ἐγὼ δ' ἀμέλει καὶ τῶν ἀκουστῶν μὲν ἄγαμαι τοῦ σχολάζοντος Ἀθήνησιν
ἀνδρός· ὧν Ὁ μὲν ἐν τῷ Συμποσίῳ Περί τῆς Ῥητορικῆς ἔφη συγγεγράφθαι –
πιστεύειν εἰ Χρὴ τοῖς λέγουσιν, οὐδ' ἴσως φιλοσόφοις περὶ φιλοσόφων
πιστεύειν – Ὁ δ' οὐκ ἔφη γινώσκειν ὅπου λέγουσιν οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον

* Yet I certainly wonder at what is heard from the man who [currently] has
leisure in Athens. One of them says [it] was written in [Epicurus'] Symposium
on Rhetoric – if It is necessary to trust in the speakers, or [it is] not
[necessary] to believe the philosophers about philosophers – yet He denies
knowing where those [originally] around Epicurus said [this]

[Chandler] I, of course, am amazed at the students of the man who lectures at
Athens. One of them said that he believed that Epicurus wrote about
rhetoric in the *Symposium*, if one should trust those who speak – not
perhaps as philosophers, about philosophers – the other said he did not
know where Epicurus and his followers say (this).

x

[= U11] Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric Book 2, P.Herc. 1674 col. 57

οὐ μὴν ἀλλ' ἵνα τῆς πολλῆς καὶ παρὰ πολλῶν αὐτοῦς Ἀναπαύσωμεν ἐρεύνης καὶ
ἐρωτήσεως· οὐκ ἐν τῷ Συμποσίῳ λέγομεν οὐδ' ἐν τοῖς Περί Βίων – οὐδὲ
κελεύομεν αὐτὸν ψῆφον ἐμ πελάγει ζητεῖν – ἀλλ' ὅπου φαμέν καὶ δι' ὧν φαμεν,
δηλοῦσθαι τὸ τὴν Σοφιστικὴν Ῥητορικὴν τέχνην ὑπάρχειν Παρατεθείκαμεν – ἃ
κἂν δεικνύηται μηκύνοντα τὴν ἡμετέραν κρίσιν, ἀλλὰ χρεῖαν γ' ἔχει λόγου
τυχεῖν

* however, We relieve them from much questioning and from many
inquires: We do not say that [a direct quote on the topic] is in the
Symposium nor in [the works] *On Lives* – nor do We order him to seek a
pebble in the sea – but through what [we have cited] and through what we
claim, We [already] have set forth that *Sophistic Rhetoric* is shown to exist as
an art – [with the quotations given above] which, even though they can be
shown to enlarge our judgment, nevertheless still require having a reasoning
{i.e., giving an explanation}

Above [U49] Philodemus shows that *Sophistic Rhetoric* is the practice of composing
arguments together and conducting lectures.

45. On Fulfillment
Περὶ Τέλους

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.27...Περὶ Αἰρέσεων καὶ Φυγῶν. Περὶ Τέλους. Περὶ
Κριτηρίου, ἢ Κανῶν...

...On Choices and Avoidances. On Fulfillment. On the Criterion, or The
Canon...

[=U6] Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.30

τὸ δὲ ἠθικὸν [ἔχει] τὰ περὶ αἰρέσεως καὶ φυγῆς – ἔστι δὲ ἐν ταῖς Περί Βίων
βίβλοις καὶ ἐπιστολαῖς καὶ τῷ Περὶ Τέλους

but the ethical Part [concerns] things relating to choice and avoidance – and
it is in the books *On Lifestyles*, in the letters, and in the book *On Fulfillment*

τὸ τέλος
τὰ τέλη

fulfillment

ΤΕΛΟΣ
goal

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.18.41

in eo quidem libro qui continet omnem disciplinam tuam... totusque liber, qui est *De Summo Bono*, refertus et uerbis et sententiis talibus.

indeed in that book which contains all your teaching... and the entire book, which is about *On the Highest Good*, is filled with such words and sentiments

x

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), *Tusculanae Disputationes*, 3.19.44

haec Epicuro confitenda sunt, aut ea quae modo expressa ad verbum dixi, tollenda de libro – vel totus liber potius abiciendus – est enim confertus voluptatibus

these things must be admitted by Epicurus, or what I just expressed verbatim must be removed from the book – or rather the whole book should be thrown out – for it is packed with pleasures

x

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), *De Finibus*, 2.7 [20]

duae sunt enim Res quoque, ne tu verba solum putes: unum est sine dolore esse, alterum cum voluptate. Vos, ex his tam dissimilibus rebus, non modo nomen unum – nam id facilius paterer – sed etiam rem unam ex duabus facere conamini, quod fieri nullo modo potest! hic, qui utrumque probat, ambobus debuit uti: sicut facit re, neque tamen dividit verbis

for there are indeed two Things, lest you think there are only [two] words: One is to be without pain, the other is to be with pleasure. You all, from such dissimilar things as these, try not only to make one name – for that I might more easily tolerate – but also to make one thing out of two, which in no way can be done! He, who approves of both [circumstances], ought to use each [description]: as he does in fact, and yet he does not divide them with words

cum, enim, eam ipsam voluptatem, quam eodem nomine Omnes appellamus, Laudat locis plurimis: audet dicere ne suspicari quidem se ullum bonum seiunctum ab illo Aristippeo genere voluptatis – atque ibi hoc dicit, ubi omnis eius est Oratio *De Summo Bono*

indeed, when in many places He praises that very pleasure, which We all call by the same name: he dares to say that he does not even suspect that there is any good separate from that kind of pleasure characteristic of Aristippos – and he says this there, where all of his Discourse is about the *Highest Good*

x

[=U34] Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), *Discourses on Epictetus*, 2.23.21

εἴτα τηλικαύτη Δύναμις οὕσα καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς ἄλλοις ἐπιτεταγμένη, παρελθοῦσα ἡμῖν λεγέτω κράτιστον εἶναι τῶν ὄντων τὴν σάρκα – οὐδὲ εἰ αὐτὴ ἡ Σὰρξ ἑαυτὴν ἔλεγεν εἶναι κράτιστον, ἡνέσχετο ἂν Τις αὐτῆς

then, being so great a Power and set over all the rest, let [the power of the will] come forward and let it say to us that the most excellent of all things is the flesh – not even if the Flesh itself declared that it itself is the most excellent, would Anyone be tolerant of this

νῦν δὲ τί ἐστίν, Ἐπίκουρε, τὸ ταῦτα ἀποφαινόμενον; τὸ περὶ Τέλους συγγεγραφός, τὸ τὰς Φυσικάς; τὸ περὶ Κανόνος; τὸ τὸν πώγωνα καθεικός;

but now which is it, Epicurus, which declares these things? Which one wrote about *The End*, which one wrote *On Nature*? Which one wrote about the *Canon*? Which one let grow the beard?

Τὸ γράφον, ὅτε ἀπέθνησκεν, ὅτι "τὴν τελευταίαν ἄγοντες ἅμα καὶ μακαρίαν ἡμέραν;" ἡ Σάρξ ἢ ἡ Προαίρεσις;

Which one wrote, when it was dying, that it "was spending the last and at the same time also a blessed day?" was it your Flesh or your Choice?

εἴτα τούτου τι κρεῖσσον ἔχειν Ὁμολογεῖς! καὶ οὐ Μαίνει; οὕτως τυφλὸς ταῖς ἀληθείαις καὶ κωφὸς Εἶ;

then you agree to having something [*i.e., choice*] superior to this [*i.e., the flesh*]! are you not insane? Are you so blind and deaf to the truths?

προαιρεῖν	to bring forth	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping before</i>
προαιρεῖσθαι	to choose [for oneself]	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>grasping before</i>
ἡ προαίρεσις αἱ προαιρέσεις	choice	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ <i>grasping before</i>

[U66]

x

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.137 fin

ἀποδείξει δὲ χρῆται τοῦ τέλος εἶναι τὴν ἡδονήν: τῷ τὰ ζῶα, ἅμα τῷ γεννηθῆναι, τῇ μὲν εὐαρεστεῖσθαι, τῷ δὲ πόνῳ προσκρούειν, φυσικῶς καὶ χωρὶς λόγου. αὐτοπαθῶς οὖν Φεύγομεν τὴν ἀλγηδόνα: ἵνα καὶ ὁ Ἡρακλῆς – καταβιβρωσκόμενος ὑπὸ τοῦ χιτῶνος – βοᾷ, δάκνων, ἰύζων:

ἀμφὶ δ, ἔστενον Πέτραι: Λοκρῶν τ' ὄρειοι Πρῶνες, Εὐβοίας τ' Ἄκραι

and he uses as proof that pleasure is the complete fulfilment: through the fact that that living beings, starting right when they are born, either [act] by being satisfied or by recoiling from pain, naturally and without reasoning: therefore, We flee from pain instinctively: so even Heracles – being dissolved by his robe – cries out, while gnawing and wailing:

and around, the Rocks lamented: both the Locrian mountainous Headlands, and the Euboean Heights
Sophocles, Trachiniae (The Women of Trachis), 786-87

In myth, Heracles was burned to death by putting on a tunic ("the shirt of Nessus") which his confused second wife Deianeira coated with the blood of the centaur Nessus. Nessus, in turn, had been poisoned by Heracles with an arrow coated with the poisonous blood of the Lernaean Hydra.

[U67]

x

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 12.67, 546E

οὐ μόνος δ' Ἀρίστιππος καὶ οἱ ἀπ' αὐτοῦ τὴν κατὰ κίνησιν ἡδονὴν ἡσπάζοντο, ἀλλὰ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ οἱ ἀπὸ τούτου – καὶ ἵνα μὴ "τοὺς καταγισμοὺς" λέγω καὶ "τὰ ἐπεντρώματα" (ἅπερ πολλάκις προφέρεται ὁ Ἐπίκουρος) καὶ "τοὺς γαργαλισμοὺς" καὶ "τὰ νύγματα" – ἃ ἐν τῷ Περί Τέλους εἵρηκεν, τούτων μνησθήσομαι

not only Aristippos and those around him embraced pleasure from motion, but also Epicurus and those around him – and lest I mention "the excitements" and "the delicacies" (the very things which Epicurus often brings up) and "the titillations" and "the pinches" – which he has spoken about in *On the Goal*, I will make mention of these

ή αιγίς αἰ αιγίδες	hurricane, the Aegis (of Athena)	ΑΙΓΙΣ <i>shaking / goat</i>
ὁ καταιγισμός	excitement <i>(gust of passion)</i>	ΚΑΤΑΙΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>full shaking action</i>
τὸ ἐπέντρωμα τὰ ἐπεντρώματα	delicacy <i>(dessert)</i>	ΕΠΕΝΤΡΩΜΑ <i>over nibble in</i>
ὁ γαργαλισμός	titillation <i>(tickling)</i>	ΓΑΡΓΑΛΙΣΜΟΣ <i>tickle action</i>
τὸ νύγμα τὰ νύγματα	pinch <i>(nibble)</i>	ΝΥΓΜΑ <i>a sting</i>

X

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 7.11, 280A

κάν, τῷ **Περὶ Τέλους** δέ Φησιν οὕτω πως "οὐ γὰρ"Εγωγε δύναμαι νοῆσαι **τάγαθόν** – ἀφαιρῶν μὲν **τὰς διὰ χυλῶν ἡδονάς**, ἀφαιρῶν δὲ **τὰς δι' Ἀφροδισίων**, ἀφαιρῶν δὲ **τὰς δι' ἀκροαμάτων**, ἀφαιρῶν δὲ καὶ **τὰς διὰ μορφῆς κατ' ὄψιν ἡδείας κινήσεις**"

again, in the work *On Fulfillment*, He speaks in such a way "for I myself am not able to conceive **the good** – removing **the pleasures** from flavor, or removing **those** from Aphrodisian activities, or removing **those** from auditory experiences, or removing **those pleasurable movements** from form in accordance with appearance"

X

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 7.8, 278F

καὶ γὰρ, οὐκ ἐγκαλυπτόμενος, ὁ Ἐπίκουρος λέγει, ἀλλὰ μεγάλη τῇ φωνῇ "οὐ γὰρ"Εγωγε δύναμαι νοῆσαι **τάγαθόν** – ἀφελῶν μὲν **τὴν διὰ χυλῶν**, ἀφελῶν δὲ **τὴν δι' Ἀφροδισίων ἡδονήν**." οἶται γὰρ οὕτως ὁ Σοφὸς **καὶ τὸν ἀσώτων βίον ἀνεπίληπτον** εἶναι – εἴπερ **αὐτῷ** Προσγένοιτο τὸ **ἀδεές** καὶ **ἴλεων**! διὸ καὶ οἱ τῆς κωμωδίας Ποιηταὶ, κατατρέχοντές που **τῆς ἡδονῆς καὶ ἀκρασίας**, **Ἐπικούρους** καὶ **βοηθοὺς** βοῶσιν

and indeed Epicurus, while not hiding himself, says loudly "I myself am not able to conceive **the good** – if I removed **the pleasure** from flavor, and removed **the pleasure** from Aphrodisian activities." For this wise man believes that **even the life of profligates** can be **irreproachable** – if It should be **safe** and **favorable for one of them**! Therefore, the Poets of comedy, who are perhaps disdainful of **pleasure and intemperance**, call out **the Epicureans** and **[their] followers**

The version quoted by Diogenes Laertius (below) is slightly contracted.

X

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.6

"Ἐν τε τῷ **Περὶ Τέλους** **γράφειν οὕτως**: "οὐ γὰρ ἔγωγε"Εχω **τί** νοήσω **τάγαθόν** – ἀφαιρῶν μὲν **τὰς διὰ χυλῶν ἡδονάς**, ἀφαιρῶν δὲ **τὰς δι' Ἀφροδισίων**, καὶ **τὰς δι' ἀκροαμάτων**, καὶ **τὰς διὰ μορφῆς**"

[Epicurus] writes in this way in *On Fulfillment*: "for I myself do not possess what I would consider to be **the good** – if I remove **the pleasures** from flavor, and remove **those** from Aphrodisian activities, and **those** from auditory experiences, and **those** from form"

X

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.41

quid Tergiversamur, Epicure, nec fatemur **eam nos dicere voluptatem – quam** Tu idem, cum **os** perfricuisti, soles dicere? sunt haec **tua verba** necne? **in eo quidem libro qui continet omnem disciplinam tuam** (Fungar enim iam **interpretis munere**, ne Quis **me** putet **finger**)

why do We hesitate, Epicurus, and not admit that **we are talking about that pleasure – which** You yourself, when you have wiped **your mouth**,* are accustomed to talk about? Are these **your words** or not? Indeed, **in that book which contains all your teachings** (I will now perform **the duty of an interpreter**, lest Anyone may think **I am inventing**)

In Roman rhetoric, **perfricare os or **perfricare frontem** (to wipe one’s mouth or forehead) symbolized wiping away a blush – and wiping away any embarrassment or restraint – allowing one to speak boldly or shamelessly.*

Dicis haec "nec equidem Habeo **quod** intellegam **bonum illud** – detrahens **eas voluptates** quae **sapore** percipiuntur, detrahens **eas** quae **rebus** percipiuntur **Veneriis**, detrahens **eas** quae **auditu e cantibus**, detrahens **eas** etiam quae **ex formis** percipiuntur **oculis suavis motiones** – sive quae aliae voluptates **in toto homine** gignuntur **quolibet sensu...**"

You say this "nor indeed do I have **that which** I might understand **as good** – removing **those pleasures** that are perceived **by taste**, removing **those** that are perceived **in Venereal activities**, removing **those from listening to songs**, and even removing **those** that are perceived **from forms by the eyes as sweet movements** – or whatever other pleasures are generated **in the entire person by any sense...**"

"...nec vero ita dici potest **mentis laetitiam solam** esse **in bonis** – **laetantem** enim **mentem** ita Novi: **spe eorum omnium quae** supra dixi – fore ut **natura** Is potiens **dolore** careat" atque Haec quidem **his verbis**, Quivis ut intellegat **quam voluptatem** norit **Epicurus**

"...nor, in truth, can it be said that **joy of the mind alone** exists **among the good things** – for I know **the mind rejoices** in this way: **by the hope of [enjoying] all those things that** I mentioned above – so that it will happen that He is **naturally** able to be free **from pain**" and indeed these things [he-said] **in these words**, so that Anyone may understand **what pleasure Epicurus knows**

X

Cicero (fl. 66^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.46

non enim **verbo** solum Posuit **voluptatem**, sed explanavit **quid diceret**: "**saporem**" inquit "et **corporum complexum** et **ludos** atque **cantus** et **formas eas quibus oculi iucunde moveantur**"

for He did not define **pleasure** merely **in a word**, but explained **what he meant**: "**taste**" he said "and **the embrace of bodies, games and music**, and **those forms by which the eyes are pleasantly moved**"

X

Cicero (fl. 66^{BCE}), De Finibus, 2.7

(Torquatus) "**istam voluptatem**" inquit "Epicurus ignorat?"

(Cicero) "**Non semper**" inquam "nam interdum nimis etiam novit, quippe qui **testificetur ne intellegere quidem se posse ubi sit aut quod sit ullum bonum praeter illud, quod cibo et potione et aurium delectatione et obscena voluptate capiatur** – an Haec **ab eo** non dicuntur?"

(Torquatus) "**this pleasure**" he asked "Epicurus does not know?"

(Cicero) "Not always" I said "for sometimes he knows all too well, since he testifies that **he cannot even understand where** or **what any good is**, except that which is found in food, drink, in pleasure of the ears, and in obscene pleasure – or is This not said by him?"

X

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.20

cum, enim, **eam ipsam voluptatem, quam eodem nomine** Omnes appellamus, Laudat locis plurimis: audet dicere **ne suspicari quidem se ullum bonum seiunctum ab illo Aristippeo genere voluptatis** – atque ibi **hoc** dicit, ubi omnis eius est Oratio *De Summo Bono*

indeed, when in many places He praises that very pleasure, which We all call by the same name: he dares to say that **he does not even suspect that there is any good separate** from that kind of pleasure characteristic of Aristippos – and he says **this** there, where all of his Discourse is about the *Highest Good*

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.23

Nemo nostrum **istius generis asotos iucunde** putat vivere – mundos, elegantis, optimis cocis, pistoribus, piscatu, aucupio, venatione, his omnibus exquisitis – vitantes cruditatem "quibus Vinum defusum e pleno sit **chrysizon**" ut ait Lucilius "cui **Nihil dum sit**, Vis et Sacculus abstulerit" – **adhibentis ludos et quae sequuntur, illa, quibus detractis** clamat Epicurus **se nescire quid sit bonum**

None of us thinks that **debauched people of that kind** live pleasantly – [but instead] **clean, elegant people, with the best cooks, bakers, fish, fowl, hunting-games, with all these exquisite things – avoiding rawness,** "for whom Wine poured from a full vessel is **golden**" as Lucilius says "for whom there is **Nothing**, if Strength and Money are taken away" – **employing games** and **those things which follow, those things, when removed,** Epicurus shouts that **he does not know what the good is**

Cicero seems to be paraphrasing Lucilius here and adapts the poet's language to support his own argument and setting.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.29

hoc vero non videre, maximo argumento esse: voluptatem illam – qua sublata neget Se intellegere omnino **quid sit bonum (eam autem ita persequitur: Quae palato percipiatur, Quae auribus; cetera Addit, quae si Appelles, Honos praefandus sit) – hoc igitur, quod "solum bonum,"** severus et gravis Philosophus novit, idem non videt **ne expetendum quidem esse, quod eam voluptatem hoc eodem auctore non Desideremus, cum dolore** Careamus

he truly **does not see the greatest argument** is: **that this [kinetic] pleasure – which, when removed,** He denies that he understands at all **what the good is (but he nevertheless pursues it in this way: That which is perceived by the palate, That [which is perceived] by the ears; He adds other things, which, if You mention, Honor must [also] be proclaimed) – this [kinetic pleasure],** therefore, **which** the severe and serious Philosopher knows to be "**the sole good,**" he likewise does not see that **it should not even be sought,** because, **according to this same author,** We do not long for **that pleasure** when We are free from pain

X

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.30

hanc in motu voluptatem – sic enim has suaves et quasi dulces voluptates Appellat... interdum **ita** laudat **ut quid praeterea sit bonum** neget **se posse ne suspicari quidem**

this pleasure in motion – for in this way indeed He calls these pleasant and almost sweet pleasures... sometimes he praises in such a way that he denies he can even understand what else might be good

X

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.64

cetera illa adhibebat – quibus demptis negat Se Epicurus intellegere quid sit Bonum

[Thorius] added those other things – without which Epicurus denies that He understands what the Good is

Lucius Thorius Balbus was a moneyer who was from from Lanuvium (20 miles southeast of Rome), where there was a cult of Juno Sospita.



X

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.111

nullam enim Novistis nisi profectam a corpore et redeuntem ad corpus animi voluptatem. non Arbitror te velle similem esse Epicureorum reliquorum – quos pudeat quarundam Epicuri vocum – quibus Ille testatur se ne intellegere quidem ullum bonum quod sit seiunctum a delicatis et obscenis voluptatibus: quas, quidem, non erubescens, persequitur omnis nominatim!

(Cotta) for You know no pleasure of the soul except what originates from the body and returns to the body. I do not think you wish to be like the rest of the Epicureans – who may be shamed by certain words of Epicurus – in which He declares that he does not even understand any good that is separated from [both] refined and obscene pleasures: which, indeed, without feeling shame, he pursues them all with precise identification!

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Against Lucius Calpurnius Piso, 28.69

Epicurum diserte dicere existimare: Dicit autem, Opinor, se nullum bonum intellegere posse demptis corporis voluptatibus. quid multa?

[Piso] thinks that Epicurus speaks eloquently: indeed, He says, I believe, that he cannot understand any good if bodily pleasures are removed – why [say] more?

[U68]

X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1089D

ὁθεν Αὐτοί μοι δοκοῦσι τούτων αἰσθόμενοι τῶν ἀτοπιῶν, εἰς "τὴν ἀπονίαν" καὶ "τὴν εὐστάθειαν" ὑποφεύγειν "τῆς σαρκός" – ὡς ἐν τῷ ταύτην ἐπινοεῖν περί τινος ^[1] ἐσομένην καὶ ^[2] γεγενημένην τοῦ ἡδέως ζῆν ὄντος: "τὸ" γὰρ "εὐσταθές σαρκὸς Κατάστημα" καὶ "τὸ" περὶ ταύτης "πιστὸν" Ἐλπισμα" τὴν ἀκροτάτην χαρὰν καὶ βεβαιωτάτην ἔχειν τοῖς ἐπιλογίζεσθαι δυναμένοις

because They seem to me to be aware of these absurdities, they retreat to "non-suffering" and "health of the body" – as in conceiving this [state] about certain things in relation to living pleasantly both ^[1] existing in the future and ^[2] having already been: because the "stable Condition of the body" and "the sure Expectation" regarding [this stable condition] holds the greatest and most certain joy for those capable of considering

“Ορα δὴ, πρῶτον, μὲν, οἷα Ποιοῦσι: "τὴν" εἶθ' "ἡδονὴν" ταύτην εἶτ' "ἀπονίαν" ἢ "εὐστάθειαν" ἄνω καὶ κάτω μετερῶντες ἐκ τοῦ σώματος εἰς τὴν ψυχὴν – εἶτα πάλιν ἐκ ταύτης εἰς ἐκεῖνο!

See then, first of all, what They are doing: transferring either this "non-suffering" or "painlessness" or "stability" back and forth from the body to the soul – then back again from the [soul] into the [body]!

X

Aulus Gellius, Attic Nights, 9.5.2

Epicurus uoluptatem summum bonum esse ponit – eam tamen ita definit "σαρκὸς εὐσταθὲς Κατάστημα"

Epicurus places pleasure as the highest good – yet he defines it in this way: "the stable Condition of the body"

ἡ σὰρξ αἱ σάρκες	flesh	ΣΑΡΞ flesh
ΕΥΣΤΑΘΕΣ		
καταστηματικός (ῆ, όν)	established	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΗΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of thoroughly standing
ΚΑΤΑΣΤΗΜΑ		

[U69]

X

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.42

atque haec quidem his verbis, Quivis ut intellegat quam voluptatem norit Epicurus, deinde paulo infra: "saepe Quaesivi" inquit "ex is Qui appellabantur sapientes, quid haberent quod in bonis relinquerent – si illa detraxissent – nisi si vellent voces inanis fundere"

and this indeed [is] in [his] words, just a little below, so that Anyone may understand what kind of pleasure Epicurus recognizes: "I often asked" he says "from those Who were called wise men, what would they have that they could leave remaining among the good things – if they had taken those [physical pleasures] away – unless they just wanted to pour out empty words"

"nihil ab is potui cognoscere – Qui, si virtutes ēbullīre volent et sapientias, nihil aliud dicent nisi eam viam qua efficiantur eae Voluptates quas supra dixi." Quae secuntur in eadem sententia sunt, totusque Liber, qui est de summo bono, refertus est et verbis et sententiis talibus

"I could learn nothing from them – Who, if they want to babble on about virtues and wisdoms, will say nothing other than that way by which those Pleasures I mentioned above are achieved." What follows is in the same opinion, and the whole Book, which is about the highest good, is full of such words and ideas

X

hanc se tuus Epicurus **omnino ignorare** dicit: **quam** aut **qualem esse** velint Qui **honestate** summum bonum metiantur – si enim **ad honestatem omnia** referant neque **in ea voluptatem** dicant **inesse**, Ait "**eos voce inani sonāre**" – his enim **ipsis verbis** utitur – **neque intellegere nec videre** sub hanc vocem "**honestatis**" **quae sit subicienda sententia**

Your Epicurus says that **he completely does not understand this**: what thing or **what quality it is that** Those who measure the highest good **by honor** want – for if they refer **everything to honor** and do not say that **pleasure is contained within it**, He says that "**they are making a sound with an empty word**" – for he uses **those exact words** – and [Epicurus says that he] **neither understands nor sees what concept should be placed under this word "honor"**

ut enim consuetudo Loquitur: Id solum dicitur **honestum** Quod est **populari fama gloriosum** – "Quod" inquit "**quamquam voluptatibus quibusdam est saepe iucundius**, tamen expetitur **propter voluptatem**"

indeed It is said, **according to common usage**: only That is called **honorable** which is **glorious according to popular opinion** – "which" [Epicurus] says "although it is **often more pleasant than certain pleasures**, is nevertheless sought **for the sake of pleasure**"

[U70]

"Goodby

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 546F

κάν τῷ **Περὶ Τέλους** δὲ πάλιν Φησὶν "Τιμητέον **τὸ καλὸν** καὶ **τὰς ἀρετὰς** καὶ **τὰ τοιουτότροπα** – ἐὰν **ἡδονὴν** παρασκευάζῃ – ἐὰν δὲ μὴ παρασκευάζῃ, '**χαίρειν**' Ἑατέον" – σαφῶς **ὑπουργὸν ἐν τούτοις ποιῶν τὴν ἀρετὴν τῆς ἡδονῆς καὶ θεραπαίνης τάξιν ἐπέχουσιν**

and **in the work On Fulfillment** he again says "It is necessary to honor **what is correct** and **the virtues** and **such things** – if they provide **pleasure** – but if they do not, It is necessary **to tell them 'goodbye'**" – clearly making **virtue a servant to pleasure** in these matters and **holding the rank of an attendant**

[U71]

46. Timocrates
in three books
Τιμοκράτης, Γ

x

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.93

cum Epicurus Aristotelem vexarit contumeliosissime – **Phaedoni Socratico turpissime male dixerit** – **Metrodori sodalis sui fratrem, Timocraten** (quia **nescioquid in philosophia** dissentiret) **totis voluminibus** conciderit

(Cotta) while Epicurus insulted **Aristotle** in the most shameful manner – he was slanderous **toward Socrates' pupil Phaedo** in the most disgraceful way – **the brother of his own companion Metrodorus, Timocrates** (because he disagreed with him about **something in philosophy**) he attacked **in entire volumes**

Epicurus writes to the Royal Court in Asia

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1126C

Ἐπίκουρος, μὲν γάρ, εἰς Ἀσίαν ἐξέπεμπε τοὺς Τιμοκράτει λαιδορησομένους, καὶ τῆς βασιλικῆς ἐξελῶντας αὐλῆς τὸν ἄνθρωπον, ὅτι Μητροδώρῳ προσέκρουσεν, ἀδελφὸς ὢν – καὶ Ταῦτ’ ἐν τοῖς βιβλίοις γέγραπται τοῖς ἐκείνων!

Epicurus, in fact, sent men into Asia to rail at Timocrates, and to expel the man from the royal court – because he had clashed with Metrodorus, despite being his brother – and This is written in those books of theirs!

If the event with Timocrates occurred before the year 301 ^{BCE}, the "royal court in Asia" was probably the court of Antigonus I Monophthalmus.

Lysimachus, Séleucus I, and Ptolemy I Soter allied against and defeated Antigonus I (in the Battle of Ipsus in 301 ^{BCE} – when Epicurus was 40 years old). Lysimachus married Arsinoe II as his second wife – the daughter of Ptolemy I Soter.

Therefore, if the event with Timocrates occurred after the year 301 ^{BCE}, the "royal court in Asia" was probably the court of court of Lysimachus. Laertius records that Epicurus had positive correspondence with Lysimachus' minister. Lysimachus ended up killing his own son Agathocles in 284 ^{BCE} (from his first marriage to Nicaea – the daughter of Antipater).

In response, Séleucus I killed his former ally Lysimachus (in the battle of Corupedium in 281 ^{BCE} – when Epicurus was 60 years old). Therefore, by then, the "royal court in Asia" was the court of Séleucus I.

Ptolemy II Philadelphus, married his sister Arsinoe II after Lysimachus' death. Later on, around 268 ^{BCE} (after Epicurus had passed away) Colotes would dedicate to the treatise "On the Point that Conformity to the Views of the Other Philosophers Actually Makes It Impossible to Live" to Ptolemy II.

[U72]

Book 1

Uncertain Author, VH² 10.201, fr. 44

...[κάν τῶι] πρώτῳ[ι τῶν Περὶ] Τιμοκρ[άτους]...

...κάν τῶι πρώτῳι τῶν Περὶ Τιμοκράτους...

...and in the first of those books On Timocrates...

[U73]

Book 3

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.23

Γέγονε δὲ ἀγαθὸς πάντα – καθὰ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ἐν προηγουμέναις γραφαῖς μαρτυρεῖ καὶ ἐν τῷ τρίτῳ Τιμοκράτους

and [Metrodorus] became good in all respects – just as Epicurus also testifies in his previous writings and in the third [book] On Timocrates

47. On Appearance
Περὶ Φαντασίας

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.28

...Περὶ Εἰδώλων. Περὶ Φαντασίας. Ἀριστόβουλος...

...On Films. On Appearance. Aristobuolos...

ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασῖαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ state of appearing
----------------------------	------------	-----------------------------------

Περὶ Φύσεως ΛΖ

Introduction

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.27

...*Περὶ Φύσεως, ΛΖ, Περὶ Ἀτόμων Καὶ Κενοῦ*...

...*On Nature, in thirty-seven books, On the Atoms and the Void*...

X

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.30

...διαιρεῖται, τοίνυν, εἰς τρία: τό τε Κανονικόν, καὶ Φυσικόν, καὶ Ἠθικόν... τὸ δὲ Φυσικόν τὴν περὶ φύσεως θεωρίαν πᾶσαν, καὶ ἔστιν ἐν ταῖς *Περὶ Φύσεως* βίβλοις ἑπτὰ καὶ τριάκοντα καὶ ταῖς ἐπιστολαῖς κατὰ στοιχεῖον

...[Epicurus' Philosophy], therefore, is divided into three: the Canonical, the Physical, and the Ethical.... The Physical [part is] the entire theory about nature, and exists in the thirty-seven books *On Nature* and in the letters according to [their] basic components [*i.e., in an abridged form*]

X

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.7

...ἐν ταῖς ἑπτὰ καὶ τριάκοντα βίβλοις ταῖς *Περὶ Φύσεως* τὰ πλεῖστα ταῦτά λέγειν καὶ ἀντιγράφειν, ἐν αὐταῖς, ἄλλοις τε – καὶ Ναυσιφάνει τὰ πλεῖστα

[Timocrates also says that Epicurus] in the thirty-seven books *On Nature* says mostly the same things and that he writes, in them, against others – and for the most part against Nausiphanes

Nausiphanes supported "science-based political rhetoric" as well as reductionist skepticism.

In support of science-based political rhetoric, Philodemus quotes him as saying, "honor depends on winning a reputation for cleverness in politics... the wise man is he who can persuade his hearers – and this power of persuasion belongs to the man of science."

In support of reductionist skepticism, Philodemus quotes Nausiphanes as saying, "of those things which appear to exist, nothing exists more than it does not exist."

X

Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Laertius 10.35

τοῖς μὴ δυναμένοις, ὧς Ἡρόδοτε, ἕκαστα τῶν περὶ φύσεως ἀναγεγραμμένων ἡμῖν ἐξακριβοῦν – μηδὲ τὰς μείζους τῶν συντεταγμένων βιβλούς διαθρεῖν...

for those who are not able, Herodotus, to examine each of the things written by us about nature – nor inspect the larger books of what has been organized...

X

Epicurus, Letter to Pythocles, Laertius 10.84

Ἐδέου τε σεαυτῷ περὶ τῶν μετεώρων σύντομον καὶ εὐπερίγραφον διαλογισμὸν ἀποστεῖλαι – ἵνα ῥαδίως μνημονεύης: τὰ γὰρ ἐν ἄλλοις ἡμῖν γεγραμμένα δυσμνημόνευτα εἶναι, καὶ τοι, ὡς ἔφης, συνεχῶς αὐτὰ βαστάζεις

You needed a brief and easily described discourse about celestial phenomena sent to you – so that you might easily remember: for the things that have been written by us in other works are difficult to remember, and indeed, just as you said, you continuously carry them

X

Epicurus, Letter to Pythocles, Laertius 91

καὶ πᾶν δὲ εἰς τοῦτο τὸ μέρος Ἔνσθημα ῥαδίως διαλυθήσεται – ἐάν τις τοῖς ἐναργήμασι προσέχη – ὅπερ ἐν τοῖς Περὶ Φύσεως βιβλίοις Δείκνυμεν

and every Objection to this part easily will be resolved – if one attends to what is evident – which We demonstrate in the books *On Nature*

X

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On Hippocrates' Nature of Man 1.1, note 15

Τινὲς δ’ οὐχ ἔν, ἀλλὰ πλείονα βιβλία τῆς θεωρίας ἐποίησαντο ταύτης [τῆς περὶ φύσεως], Ἔνιοι δὲ καὶ πάνυ πολλά – καθά περ Ἐπίκουρος: ἄρχεται γὰρ καὶ Αὐτός, ὥσπερ οὖν καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι πάντες, ἀπὸ τοῦ ζητῆσαι πότερον ἔν τι καὶ ἀπλοῦν ἐστίν, οὗ τὴν φύσιν εὐρεῖν ἐπιχειροῦμεν – ἢ σύνθετον ἔκ τινων ἐαυτοῦ προτέρων ἀπλῶν, ἃ περ Οἱ μετ’ αὐτοῦς τοὺς παλαιοὺς εἰθίσθησαν ὀνομάζειν "στοιχεῖα"

Some people have written not [just] one, but several books on this theory [concerning nature], and Some even [wrote] very many [books] – just like Epicurus: for even He himself begins, just as all the others, from the question whether [it is] a single and simple thing, whose nature we attempt to discover – or composed from certain prior simple [parts] of itself, which indeed Those after the ancient philosophers were accustomed to call "components"

τὸ στοιχεῖον	component [for facts]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΟΝ
τὰ στοιχεῖα	or, element [for things]	instance of a row (in a series)

[U74]

Book 1

1.1 Atoms & Void

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1114A

ἐν ἀρχῇ δὲ τῆς πραγματείας Ὑπειπὼν "τὴν τῶν ὄντων φύσιν σώματα εἶναι καὶ κενόν" – ὥς, μιᾶς οὐσης εἰς δύο Πεποιήται τὴν διαίρεσιν: ὧν Θάτερον ὄντως μὲν οὐδὲν ἐστίν – ὀνομάζεται δ’ ὑφ’ ὑμῶν "ἀναφές" καὶ "κενόν" καὶ "ἀσώματον"

while He proposes in the beginning of [his] treatise that "the nature of existence is bodies and void" – so that, while it is [actually] one He has made the division it into two [parts]: One of them is actually nothing – but termed by your company "intangible" and "void" and "incorporeal"

[U75]*

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 1.333

ὁ δὲ Ἐπίκουρος ἀδιαφόρως τὴν τε τῶν σωμάτων καὶ τὴν τοῦ κενοῦ φύσιν "ὄλον" τε καὶ "πᾶν" προσαγορεύειν εἴωθεν – ὅτε μὲν γάρ φησιν ὅτι "ἡ τῶν ὄλων Φύσις σώματά ἐστι καὶ κενόν"

But Epicurus is accustomed to indifferently call [the nature] of bodies and the nature of the void "whole" and "all" – for sometimes he says that "the Nature of the whole is bodies and void"

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), *De Natura Deorum*, 2.82

(Cotta) **Sunt, autem, Qui omnia naturae nomine appellant – ut Epicurus, qui ita diuidit "omnium quae sint naturam esse corpora et inane, quaeque his accident"**

(Cotta) There are, however, Those who call everything by the name of nature – like Epicurus, who divides it like this "the nature of all things that exist is bodies and void, and whatever happens to these"

[U76]

"Nature"

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), *Against Colotes*, 1112E

Ἐπικούρου δὲ λέγοντος "ἡ τῶν ὄντων Φύσις σώματά ἐστι καὶ τόπος" – πότερον οὕτως ἀκούομεν, ὥς ἄλλο τι "τὴν φύσιν" παρὰ "τὰ ὄντα" βουλομένου λέγειν – ἢ "τὰ ὄντα" δηλοῦντος ἕτερον δὲ μηδέν; ὥσπερ ἀμέλει καὶ "κενοῦ φύσιν" αὐτὸ "τὸ κενόν" καὶ νῆ Δία "τὸ πᾶν" "παντὸς φύσιν" ὀνομάζειν εἴωθε;

When Epicurus says "the Nature of things is bodies and location" – do we understand this as him meaning something other than "nature" apart from "existing things" – or [is he] explaining "existing things" and nothing different? Just as he customarily names "the nature of the void" as "the void" itself and indeed, by Zeus, "the all" as "the nature of all"?

Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Laertius 10.39

τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ Μεγάλῃ Ἐπιτομῇ Φησι κατ' ἀρχὴν καὶ ἐν τῇ Ἀ Περι Φύσεως "τὸ Πᾶν ἐστι σώματα καὶ κενόν"

He also says this in the *Larger Epitome* near the beginning and in his First Book *On Nature* "the Universe exists as bodies and as void"

[U77]

1.2 Composites

Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Laertius 10.40

καὶ μὴν καὶ, τῶν {τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ Περι Φύσεως καὶ τῇ ιδ' καὶ ιε' καὶ τῇ Μεγάλῃ Ἐπιτομῇ} σωμάτων Τὰ μὲν ἐστι συγκρίσεις – Τὰ δ' ἐξ ὧν αἱ συγκρίσεις πεποιήνται

and indeed, {this is also in the first [book] *On Nature* and in the 14th and 15th and in the *Larger Epitome*} Some bodies are compounds – but Some [are bodies] out of which compounds are made

[U78]

1.3 Symmetric Impacts

Epicurus, *On Nature*, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 23 ⁽¹⁶⁾

...Epicurus, *On Nature*, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 23 ⁽¹⁶⁾

...ἀ[να]γκαῖον αὐταῖς ὑπάρχειν κατὰ τὰς πρὸς[ς] ἀλλήλας κρούσεις – ὥς ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ γραφῇ εἴρηται – οὐθὲν ἦττον παρὰ τὰς [έξ] ἡμῶ[ν], τ[ίς] σ[υμ]μετρ[ίᾳ] αὐτ[αῖς] γίννε[σθαι]...

...Ἀναγκαῖον αὐταῖς ὑπάρχειν κατὰ τὰς πρὸς ἀλλήλας κρούσεις – ὥς ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ γραφῇ εἴρηται: οὐθὲν ἦττον παρὰ τὰς ἐξ ἡμῶν τις Συμμετρία αὐταῖς γίννεσθαι...

...It is necessary for [sensations] to exist according to the mutual impacts [of the films and of ourselves] – as has been said in the first writing: a certain Symmetry is produced not to a lesser extent for [the sensations] than in relation to the [impacts] from us...

[U79]

Book 2

2.1 On Time

[=U26] Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Laertius 10.73

καὶ γὰρ Τοῦτο οὐκ ἀποδείξεως προσδεῖται, ἀλλ' ἐπιλογισμοῦ: ὅτι ταῖς ἡμέραις καὶ ταῖς νυξὶ Συμπλέκομεν καὶ τοῖς τούτων μέρεσιν – ὡσαύτως δὲ καὶ τοῖς πάθεσι καὶ ταῖς ἀπαθείαις (καὶ κινήσεσι καὶ στάσεσιν): ἴδιόν τι σύμπτωμα περὶ ταῦτα, πάλιν, αὐτὸ τοῦτο Ἐννοοῦντες – καθ' ὃ "Χρόνον" Ὀνομάζομεν {Φησὶ δὲ τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ δευτέρᾳ Περὶ Φύσεως καὶ ἐν τῇ Μεγάλῃ Ἐπιτομῇ}

for This also is not in need of proof, but [only] of consideration: that We entangle [the quality of time] with days and with nights and with their parts – and likewise also with experiences and with absence of experiences (with both movements and stationary positions): because We internalize, in turn, that particular symptom [of duration] regarding these [circumstances] as this very [quality] – according to which We use the word "Time" {He says this in both the second book *On Nature* and in the *Larger Epitome*}

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), *Outlines of Pyrrhonism*, 3.137

Ἐπίκουρος δέ, καθὼς Δημήτριος ὁ Λάκων, φησὶ [χρόνον εἶναι] "σύμπτωμα συμπτωμάτων – παρεπόμενον ἡμέραις τε καὶ νυξὶ καὶ ὥραις καὶ πάθεσι, καὶ ἀπαθείαις καὶ κινήσεσι καὶ μοναῖς."

Epicurus, according to Demetrius the Lacedaemonian, claims [that time is] "a symptoms of symptoms [i.e., a separable characteristic of a separable characteristic] – accompanying days and nights and hours and experiences, as well as non-experiences and movements and stillness."

2.2 On Films

Plato, Timaeus "The first of the organs fabricated were light-bearing eyes which [the gods] fixed in place for the following reason: they contrived to create a body from fire which does not burn but provides a gentle light kindred to the light of each day. So they caused the pure fire within us which is brother of this light of day, to flow through the eyes, and they compressed the whole eye, but especially the center, to be smooth and dense, so as to retain all the coarser fire, and filter through only this kind of pure fire by itself. Then if ever there is daylight surrounding this stream of vision, like meets with like, joins together and establishes a single kindred body along a straight line from the eyes to wherever the stream from within is obstructed by the outside objects on which it impinges." [45B-C]

Epicurus, *On Nature*, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 col. 14

...οὐκ ἔστιν εἶδωλα, καθάπερ λέγω· οὐ γὰρ μοναχαὶ ἐν τούτῳ τῷ τρόπῳ, λέγω δὲ τῷ προειρημένῳ[ι, ᾧ] ποστ[άσεις] [ἐν] τοῖς σ[ώμασιν, ὡς] εἴρητ[αι]...

...οὐκ ἔστιν Εἶδωλα, καθάπερ λέγω – οὐ γὰρ μοναχαὶ ἐν τούτῳ τῷ τρόπῳ. Λέγω δὲ τῷ προειρημένῳ: Ἀποστάσεις ἐν τοῖς σώμασιν, ὡς εἴρηται...

...[they say] the Films do not exist, as I say [they do] – nor [are these emanations] singular in this manner [of their formation]. but I speak concerning what was previously mentioned: that [there are] Emanations in bodies, as has been said...

μόνος (η, ον)	only	MONOS single
------------------	------	-----------------

μοναχός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	singular	MONAXOS <i>single</i> <i>multiplicity</i>
ή στάσις αί στάσεις	stationary position	ΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i>
ή σύστασις αί συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i> <i>together</i>
ή απόστασις αί αποστάσεις	emanation	ΑΠΟΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>placed away</i>

2.3 Flow of Films

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 col. 37

...άφ' όλης ρε[ύσ]ηι πρό[ς στε]ρέμνιά τ[ινα] σώ[ματα] [τά] είδωλ[α]...

...άφ' όλης ρεύση προς στερέμνιά τινα Σώματα τὰ είδωλα...

...certain Bodies would flow from the whole towards hard objects as films...

τὸ ρεῦμα τὰ ρεύματα	flow	ῥΕΥΜΑ <i>result of flowing</i>
ρεῖν	to flow	ῥΕΙΝ <i>flowing</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from</i> <i>making hard</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 col. 38

...καί ἐπὶ τοῦ προσώπου τήν όμοιομορφίαν τοῦ σ[ώμα]τος [δ]ιασώζουσ[ιν] ἕως [ἄν] ἀπαντήσά[ν τι] [σ]τρέψῃι τινὰς ἄ[ς] ὁ διασπασμ[ός κ]αταστήσῃ εἰς ἄ[λλο φ]οράς είδος...

...καί ἐπὶ τοῦ προσώπου τήν όμοιομορφίαν τοῦ σώματος διασώζουν – ἕως ἂν ἀπαντήσῃ Τι στρέψῃ τινὰς ἄς ὁ Διασπασμός καταστήσῃ εἰς ἄλλο φορᾶς είδος...

...and [the films] thoroughly preserve a similar shape of [their source] body on its surface – until Something encounters and turns aside some [of its emanations] which the Dispersal might establish into another form of transmission...

[Maso] ...and on the surface they preserve the identity of the body’s shape until something they encounter changes the direction of some [atoms], and the separation thereof induces a different kind of movement...

[Maso] Since the mechanical error in the transmission of the images is caused by the interference of a foreign body or movement, the first step is to ensure the ‘continuity’ of the transmission. Indeed, truth is directly connected to that which has specific natural consistency and constitutive definition that allow it to prevent or attenuate interferences.

τὸ πρόσωπον τὰ πρόσωπα	surface	ΠΡΟΣΩΠΟΝ <i>towards the eye</i>
όμοιόμορφος <i>(ον)</i>	similarly formed	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΟΡΦΟΣ <i>similar form</i>
ή όμοιομορφία αί όμοιομορφίαι	similar shape	
διασώζειν	to thoroughly preserve	ΔΙΑΣΩΖΕΙΝ <i>saving through</i>
ἀπαντᾷν	to encounter	ΑΠΑΝΤΑΝ <i>meeting away</i>
ὁ διασπασμός οἱ διασπασμοί	dispersal	ΔΙΑΣΠΑΣΜΟΣ <i>pulling through</i>
ή φορά αί φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>

τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
---------------------	------	---------------------------

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1010 column 4

...[τ]ὴν τοια[ύ]την φύ[σιν] εἶναι, ἀπείρων [δὲ] γτῶ[ν οὐθέν] ν πῶ τῶν σ[χημάτων]... τῇ σ[υμμετρίαι πρὸς τὰ φαινό]με[να ἢ δέ] ἀπειρία – ὥστ[ε μα]κρὰν ἀπ[όστα]σιν[ν ἀ]πέχειν τῇ [ἀλληλο]υχίᾳ[ι] τῆς...

...τὴν τοιαύτην φύσιν εἶναι, ἀπείρων ὄντων Οὐθέν πῶ τῶν σχημάτων... τῇ συμμετρίᾳ πρὸς τὰ φαινόμενα: ἢ δὲ Ἀπειρία – ὥστε μακρὰν ἀπόστασιν ἀπέχειν τῇ ἀλληλουχίᾳ τῆς...

...such a nature exists, yet Nothing of the infinite existing shapes... in symmetry [of proportion] to what is apparent: but the Infiniteness [of the emanations] – in as much as a great emanation is restricted due to the interconnectedness of the...

τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
σύμμετρος = σύμμετρος <i>(ον)</i>	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure together</i>
ἡ συμμετρία αἱ συμμετρίαι	symmetry [of physical proportion]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΙΑ <i>measure together</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ὁ σχηματισμός οἱ σχηματισμοί	configuration	ΣΧΗΜΑΤΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of action of forming</i>
ἡ ἀπόστασις αἱ ἀποστάσεις	emanation	ΑΠΟΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>placed away</i>
ἀπέχειν	to restrict	ΑΠΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding away</i>
ἡ ἀλληλουχία αἱ ἀλληλουχίαι	interconnectedness	ΑΛΛΗΛΟΥΧΙΑ <i>holding each other</i>

2.4 Formation of Films

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 col. 80

...χύ[σ]εως συ[σστη], ὥστ[ε] καὶ στε[ρε]μένιό[ν] τι συστήναι τὸ ἄ[λλο] δῆποτε ὅπ[ου] δῆποτε [τό]που· ποῦ [γὰρ] το[σ]ούτου πλήθους ὁμοιον οἷς γ' ἄλ[λ]ως [συστ]α[ί]η τ[ὸ] πλ[ῆθ]ος...

...χύσεως συστή, ὥστε καὶ Στερέμνιον Τι συστήναι τὸ ἄλλο δῆποτε, ὅπου δῆποτε τόπου – ποῦ γὰρ τοσούτου πλήθους ὁμοιον οἷς γ' ἄλλως συσταίη τὸ Πλήθος...

...[in that case anything] may compose itself by the flow [of atoms], so that even some hard Object could form as any thing whatsoever, in any place whatsoever – for, where [is there] something similar in such a large number [of atoms] with which the large Number [of films] could otherwise be composed...?

ἡ χύσις αἱ χύσεις	flow	ΧΥΣΙΣ <i>pouring</i>
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
τὸ πλῆθος τὰ πλήθη	[large] number	ΠΛΗΘΟΣ <i>fullness</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 col. 92sup

...[έ]ν παρόδωι προ[σθεω]ρήσαιμεν· καὶ [έστι] [κ]αὶ κατὰ τὸ[ν] ἐκτο[πι]στικὸν τρό[πον] τῇ[ς γε]νέσεως [αὐ]τῶν εὖ [δη]λόνως – ἢ [δ]ὲ ἀπειρ[ία] ἢ [πι]ροειρη[μ]ένῃ π[ο]νᾷ[ται] ἅμα νοήμ[α]τ[ι] – θεωρεῖν ὅτι σ[υνίστα]ιτ' ἂν εἰδ[ωλα,] καὶ κατὰ τὴν ἀ[πειρίαν αὐτῶ]ν οὐκ ἀδύ[νατόν ἐστι]ν...

...έν παρόδω Προσθεωρήσαιμεν· καὶ Ἔστι καὶ κατὰ τὸν ἐκτοπιστικὸν τρόπον τῆς γενέσεως αὐτῶν εὖ δηλόνως – ἢ δὲ Ἀπειρία ἢ προειρημένη πονᾶται ἅμα νοήματι. Θεωρεῖν ὅτι συνίσταίτ' ἂν Εἶδωλα καὶ κατὰ τὴν ἀπειρίαν αὐτῶν οὐκ ἀδύνατόν ἐστιν...

...We may also observe in [their] passage. and It is also clearly evident according to the expelling way of their creation – indeed, the previously mentioned Infinity may be worked through [i.e., understood] along with [our] conception [of it]. [Mentally] observing that the Films would be composed even according to their infinity is not impossible...

ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
ἡ ἄφοδος αἱ ἀφόδοι	departure	ΑΦΟΔΟΣ <i>path away</i>
ἡ πάροδος αἱ πάροδοι	passage	ΠΑΡΟΔΟΣ <i>course beside</i>
προσθεωρεῖν	to also observe	ΠΡΟΣΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envision further</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
ἐξωστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	ejecting	ΕΞΩΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of pushing out</i>
ἐκτοπιστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	expelling	ΕΚΤΟΠΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of out of place</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἡ γένεσις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ <i>action of being born</i>
ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
ἡ ἀπειρία αἱ ἀπειρίαι	infinity	
τὸ νόημα τὰ νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
ἀδύνατος <i>(ον)</i>	impossible	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not powerful</i>

2.5 Speed of Films

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 col. 1 (column 93sup)

...περὶ δὲ τῆς κατὰ τὴν φορὰν ὑπαρχούσης ταχυτῆτ[ος] νῦν λέγειν ἐπιχ[εῖρ]ήσομεν· πρῶτον μὲν γὰρ ἡ λεπτότης, μακρὰν τῆς ἀπὸ τῶν αἰσθήσεων λεπτότητος ἀπέ[χο]υσσα, ταχυτῆτα τῶν εἰδώλων κατὰ τ[ῆ]ν [φ]ο[ρ]ὰν ἀνυπέρβλ[η]τον [έ]νδε[ι]κνυται...

...περὶ δὲ τῆς κατὰ τὴν φορὰν ὑπαρχούσης ταχυτῆτος νῦν λέγειν Ἐπιχειρήσομεν: πρῶτον μὲν γὰρ ἡ Λεπτότης – μακρὰν τῆς ἀπὸ τῶν αἰσθήσεων λεπτότητος ἀπέχουσα – ταχυτῆτα τῶν εἰδώλων κατὰ τὴν φορὰν ἀνυπέρβλητον ἐνδείκνυται...

...but We will venture to speak now about the speed that exists in the transmission [of the films]: first, their Subtlety – because it is far different than the subtlety [derived] from the senses – is indicated in the unsurpassable speed of the films in regards to their transmission...

ἢ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i> ὑπάρχοντες <i>(ουσαι, οντα)</i>	existing [already]	ὙΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
ἐγχειρεῖν	to attempt	ΕΓΧΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>in hand</i>
ἐπιχειρεῖν	to venture	ΕΠΙΧΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>upon hand</i>
ἡ λεπτότης αἱ λεπτότητες	subtlety	ΛΕΠΤΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of being</i> <i>fine/thin</i>
ἀπέχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	distant / different	ΑΠΕΧΩΝ <i>holding away</i>
ἀνυπέρβλητος <i>(ον)</i>	unsurpassable	ΑΝΥΠΕΡΒΛΗΤΟΣ <i>not thrown over</i>
ἀποδεικνύναι	to fully prove	ΑΠΟΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing away</i>
ἐνδείκνυσθαι	to be indicated in	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΣΘΑΙ <i>showing in</i>

2.6 Dispersal of Films

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 col. 2 (column 94sup)

...πολλὰ καὶ ἅ[πειρα] ἦν φορούμεν[α πάν]τως κοῦφα· εἰ δ' [ὕ]περ βαλλόντως [κοῦ]φα, δηλόνως καὶ υπερβαλλόντως ταχεῖα κατὰ τὴν φοράν· εἴτ[α] εἰ τὸ μὲν ὅ[λο]ν ἰσοταχεῖς εἰσιν αἱ ἄτομοι, λέγειν ἔδει ῥο[ῦ]ν ἕτερον [ἐ]τέρου τῷ ἐφ' ἓνα τόπον φ[έρεσθαι] περαιοῦν συνεχέστερο[ν], καὶ μὴ πυκνὸν εἰς τοὺς ἐναντίους [τό] π[ο]υ[ς] ἀναφερό[μενον]...

...πολλὰ, καὶ ἅπειρα ἦν φορούμενα, **πάντως κοῦφα** – εἰ δ' **ὑπερβαλλόντως κοῦφα** – δηλόνως **καὶ υπερβαλλόντως ταχεῖα κατὰ τὴν φοράν**: εἴτα, εἰ **τὸ μὲν ὅλον ἰσοταχεῖς** εἰσιν αἱ ᾿Ατομοι, λέγειν ᾿Εδει **Ῥοῦν ἕτερον ἐτέρου, τῷ ἐφ' ἓνα τόπον φέρεσθαι, περαιοῦν συνεχέστερον, καὶ μὴ πυκνὸν εἰς τοὺς ἐναντίους, τοὺς ἀναφερόμενον...**

...many, even infinite [Films] are carried, [being] absolutely lightweight – but if [being] exceedingly lightweight – clearly also [they are] exceedingly swift in their transmission: then, if the Atoms as a whole are equally fast, It would have been necessary to say that, **in being carried** to one place, **a different Stream comes over more continuously than another**, and not frequently into those opposing, which... what is carried back...

κοῦφος <i>(η, ον)</i>	lightweight	κοῦφος <i>nimble</i>
ἰσοταχής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	equally fast	ΙΣΟΤΑΧΗΣ <i>equally swift</i>
τὸ ρεῦμα τὰ ρεύματα	flow	ῚΡΕΥΜΑ <i>result of flowing</i>
ὁ ῥόος οἱ ῥόοι	stream	ῚΡΟΟΣ <i>current</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
περαιοῦν	to come over	ΠΕΡΑΙΟΥΝ <i>boundary</i>
συνεχής <i>(ές)</i>	continuous	ΣΥΝΕΧΗΣ <i>holding together</i>
τὸ πύκνωμα τὰ πυκνώματα	a concentration	ΠΥΚΝΩΜΑ <i>the result of compacting</i>
πυκνὸν = πυκνῶς	frequently	ΠΥΚΝΩΣ <i>compacting</i>
ἐναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>

The atoms that compose the films move at the same rate, but some are obstructed in their path.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 94inf

...δέ τις σύνκρι[σις] τοῦτο πράττω[υσα,] τ[ᾶ]ς καλουμένας κλάσει[ς] τῶν μερῶν...

...δέ τις Σύγκρισις, τοῦτο πράττουσα, τὰς καλουμένας κλάσεις τῶν μερῶν...

...yet a certain Compound, performing this [action, arranges] the classes of parts being called...

ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
καλεῖν	to call	ΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning</i>
καλούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being called	ΚΑΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>summoning</i>
ἡ κλάσις αἱ κλάσεις	class	ΚΛΑΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 col. 101sup

...ὥστε μὴ [δια]βα[ί]νειν ταχέως [καί] τὰ μόρια τοῦ[του αὐ]τὰς παρασκευ[άζειν] τινὰς του[τ]...

...ὥστε μὴ διαβαίνειν ταχέως, καὶ τὰ Μόρια τούτου αὐτὰς παρασκευάζειν τινὰς τούτ[ων]...

...so that [they do] not pass through quickly, and the Portions of this [instead] prepare certain ones of them...

συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
διαβαίνειν	pass through	ΔΙΑΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking through</i>
τὸ μόριον τὰ μόρια	a portion [as part of a whole]	ΜΟΡΙΟΝ <i>receiving one's portion</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 1 (column 102in)

...ὥστ[ε τῇ φύσει τήν] ἀλληλουχίαν τοῦ ἐξωτάτου χιτῶνος εἶναι τοιαύτην, τῶν ἐν τ[ῷ] ἐναπειλημμένῳ ἔνδοθεν...

...ὥστε τῇ φύσει, τήν Ἀλληλουχίαν τοῦ ἐξωτάτου χιτῶνος εἶναι τοιαύτην, τῶν ἐν τῷ ἐναπειλημμένῳ ἔνδοθεν...

...so that by nature, the Interconnectedness of the outermost covering is such that, with [atoms] in the enveloped [layers] from inside...

ἡ ἀλληλουχία αἱ ἀλληλουχίαι	interconnectedness	ΑΛΛΗΛΟΥΧΙΑ <i>holding each other</i>
ἐξώτατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	outermost	ΕΞΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>the most out of</i>
ὁ χιτῶν οἱ χιτῶνες	covering	ΧΙΤΩΝ <i>tunic</i>
ἐναπειλημμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	enveloped	ΕΝΑΠΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>grasped away in</i>

...[οὐθέν πω ἀπ]είρων, ὥστε μὴ ν[ομ]ίζειν κατὰ τὴν σ[ύστ]ασιν τοῦ εἰδ[ώ]λου ἐκ [σ]υνκλάσ[εως τινὸς α]ὐτὰ τ[οὺς σκεδασμοὺς] λαμβ[άνειν] ἀλ[λ'] ὅτ[αν καὶ πρ[ὸς] στερέμνιον [τι προ]σκ[ρ]οῦ[σα]ν τὴν σ[κέδ]ασιν λαμβάνειν...

...οὐθέν πω ἀπείρων – ὥστε μὴ νομίζουν, κατὰ τὴν σύστασιν τοῦ εἰδώλου, ἐκ συνκλάσεως τινὸς αὐτὰ τοὺς σκεδασμοὺς λαμβάνειν – ἀλλ', ὅταν καὶ πρὸς στερέμνιον τι προσκρούσαν, τὴν σκέδασιν λαμβάνειν...

...in no way yet of the infinite [shapes] – so as not to think that, according to the composition of the film, [the films] themselves receive dispersions from some fragmentation – but rather, whenever [the films] also strike against some firm object, they receive the dispersement...

Films do not fragment because of their composition but only disperse when they collide with firm objects. This dispersion is caused by external impacts, not internal flaws.

ἄπειρος (ος, ον)	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΩΝ <i>not limited</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
ἡ σύγκλασις αἱ συγκλάσεις	fragmentation	ΣΥΓΚΛΑΣΙΣ <i>breaking together</i>
ὁ σκεδασμός οἱ σκεδασμοί	dispersion	ΣΚΕΔΑΣΜΟΣ <i>an act of scattering</i>
ἡ σκέδασις αἱ σκέδασεις	dispersement	ΣΚΕΔΑΣΙΣ <i>general process of scattering</i>
στερεός (ά, όν)	firm	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΣ <i>stiff</i>
ἡ στερεότης αἱ στερεότητες	firmness	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from making stiff</i>
στερεός (ά, όν)	firm	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΣ <i>stiff</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
προσκρούσας (α, αν)	striking against	ΠΡΟΣΚΡΟΥΣΑΣ <i>hitting upon</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 2 (column 103inf)

...[ἀ]λλὰ μᾶλλον ὥ[σ]τε μὴ ἀνतिकόπτει[ων] ἢ [ι] ἀρτίως ἀντικ[ό]πτοντα εἰς τοὺς ἐναντίους τόπους ἢ οὓς ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἐφέρετο τὴν ἀναχώ[ρη]σιν ποιεῖν...

...ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον, ὥστε μὴ ἀνतिकόπτειν, ἢ ἀρτίως ἀνतिकόπτοντα, εἰς τοὺς ἐναντίους τόπους ἢ οὓς ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἐφέρετο, τὴν ἀναχώρησιν ποιεῖν...

...but rather, given that [the flows] do not collide against, or are only just colliding against, the opposing locations or [those locations] which [the Film] was heading from the beginning, making a withdrawal [i.e., naturally shifting toward a path with less resistance]...

ἀνतिकόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beating against</i>
ἀνतिकόπτων (οὔσα, ον)	colliding [against]	

ἐναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
ἡ ἀναχώρησις αἱ ἀναχωρήσεις	withdrawal	ΑΝΑΧΩΡΗΣΙΣ <i>place / location back</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 3 (column 104inf)

...νυνὶ μηκέτι **τῷ** τοῦτο μὴ ἔχειν ἄ**λλ**α σύνεγγυς ἐληλυθέναι, μὴ οἷον καταλιποῦσαι τὴν παρ’ ἀ**λ**ή**λ**ων...

...**νυνὶ** **μηκέτι** **τῷ** **Τοῦτο** **μὴ ἔχειν** **Ἄλλα** **σύνεγγυς ἐληλυθέναι** – **μὴ οἷον** **καταλιποῦσαι** **τὴν παρ'** **ἀλλήλων**...

...now, no longer in regard to that, this [Film] does not have other [Atoms] that have come nearby – not as if [the Fragmentations] leave behind a [connection] for one another...

σύνεγγυς	nearby	ΣΥΝΕΓΓΥΣ <i>near together</i>
καταλιπών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	leaving behind	ΚΑΤΑΛΙΠΩΝ <i>thoroughly leaving</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 col. 3 (column 105sup)

...[θ]έσιν καὶ τάξιν, ἀλλὰ μόνον ταύταις προσχωρήσασαι ὧν [πρ]ότερον διαστήματα εἶ**χ**ον, καὶ οἶονεὶ ἐκ τοῦ κατεναντίον αὐταῖς ἔχειν τὸ σὼ**μ**α ἐ**ν** τῇ φύσει τι σχετ**ι**κὸ**ν** καὶ οὐπω προσκροῦσας... ...τῷ στερεμνίω **λ**αβεῖν τ**ι**να συνί**ζ**ησιν· καὶ ὅταν, οὖν δὴ, Φημί, πρὸς στερέμνιόν τ**ι** προσκροῦσαν...

...**θέσιν καὶ τάξιν**, ἀλλὰ μόνον ταύταις προσχωρήσασαι ὧ**ν** **πρότερον διαστήματα** **Εἶχον** – καὶ οἶονεὶ, ἐκ τοῦ **κατεναντίον αὐταῖς**, **ἔχειν τὸ Σῶμα ἐν τῇ φύσει τι σχετικὸν** καὶ οὐπω προσκρούσας... ...**τῷ στερεμνίω λαβεῖν τινὰ συνίζησιν**: καὶ ὅταν, οὖν δὴ, Φημί, πρὸς στερέμνιόν **τι προσκροῦσαν**...

...position and arrangement, but [*because the Compounds of the films*] have already advanced only towards those [*positions and arrangements*] whose separation They previously held – and as if, from what is opposing them, the Body has something stable in nature and [*the Stream*] is not yet striking against... ...acquiring a certain settlement for the hard object: and whenever, therefore, I say, [*a Film*] strikes against a certain hard object...

ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>
ἡ τάξις αἱ τάξεις	arrangement	ΤΑΞΙΣ <i>ordered</i>
ἡ θέσις καὶ τάξις	position and arrangement	
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
χωρεῖν	to advance	ΧΩΡΕΙΝ <i>place / location</i>
προσχωρεῖν	to advance toward	ΠΡΟΣΧΩΡΕΙΝ
προσχωρήσας <i>(ήσασα, ήσαν)</i>	advanced toward [already]	
τὸ σύστημα τὰ συστήματα	structure	ΣΥΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing together</i>
τὸ ἀπόστημα τὰ ἀποστήματα	distance	ΑΠΟΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing away</i>

τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>
τὸ κατεναντίον τὰ κατεναντία	what is opposing	ΚΑΤΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΝ <i>against down in</i>
κατεναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
σχετικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	stable	ΣΧΕΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being retentive</i>
ὁ ῥόος οἱ ῥόοι	<i>stream</i>	ῬΟΟΣ <i>current</i>
προσκρούσας <i>(α, αν)</i>	striking against	ΠΡΟΣΚΡΟΥΣΑΣ <i>hitting upon</i>
στερεός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	firm	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΣ <i>stiff</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
λαβεῖν	[generally] to acquire [already]	ΛΑΒΕΙΝ <i>grasped</i>
ἡ συνίζησις αἱ συνιζήσεις	settlement	ΣΥΝΙΖΗΣΙΣ <i>sitting together</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	<i>film</i>	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 4 (column 105inf)

...ἡ σύν[κρ]ιαις ἐγ[έ]νετο τοῦ π[ερα]ίνουñ ταχέως εἰς [μα]κρ[ο]ύς τόπους· ὥστ[ε,] Φημί, καὶ περὶ τὰ εἶδ[ωλ]α ἀναγκαῖον τ[ο]ιαύτην...

...ἡ Σύγκρισις ἐγένετο τοῦ περανοῦñ ταχέως εἰς μακροὺς τόπους: ὥστε, Φημί καὶ περὶ τὰ εἶδωλα Ἀναγκαῖον τοιαύτην...

...the Compound is made with it quickly accomplishing [its course] into distant locations: therefore, I also say regarding the films that It is necessary for such a [compound]...

ἡ σύνκρισις = ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΝΚΡΙΣΙΣ ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>
περαίνειν	to accomplish	ΠΕΡΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>finished</i>
μακρὰν	by a long way	ΜΑΚΡΑΝ <i>long-reaching</i>
μακρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	distant	ΜΑΚΡΟΣ <i>long-reaching</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>

2.8 Generation of Films

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 5 (column 106inf)

...ὥσ[τε μ]ῃ [εἶναι τὰ στε]ρ[έ]μν[ια] οἷς ἂν [μ]εγίστη ἐπιτ[ολῇ]ς ἀλληλουχία ὑπάρχη[ι σ]ύμμετρ[α] εἰς ὃ λέγω εἶδος, τάπε[()]ντῶντα πολλά κ[αί] παντοῖα οὐκ ὀλίγα [ῆ]σαν δι' αὐτό...

...ὥστε μὴ εἶναι τὰ Στερέμνια οἷς ἂν μεγίστη ἐπιτολῇς Ἀλληλουχία ὑπάρχη
σύμμετρα εἰς ὃ Λέγω εἶδος: Τάπελθόντα πολλά καὶ παντοῖα – οὐκ ὀλίγα ἦσαν δι' αὐτό...

...therefore the hard Objects in which the greatest Interconnectedness superficially exists do not exist as symmetric [in proportion] to the form I speak of: the many and varied kinds of [films] that went away – there were not [just] a few [films] because of the...

στερεός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	firm	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΣ <i>stiff</i>
ἡ στερεότης αἱ στερεότητες	firmness	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from making stiff</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
πέλεσθαι	to take place	ΠΕΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>taking place</i>
ἡ ἐπιπολή αἱ ἐπιπολαί	surface	ΕΠΙΠΟΛΗ <i>taken place upon</i>
ἐπιπολῇς	superficially	
ἡ ἀλληλουχία αἱ ἀλληλουχίαι	interconnectedness	ΑΛΛΗΛΟΥΧΙΑ <i>holding each other</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
σύνμετρος = σύμμετρος <i>(ον)</i>	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure together</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
εἰδέειναι	<i>to have mentally seen .:</i> "to know"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
ἀπιέναι	to go way	ΑΠΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going away</i>
τὸ ἀπελθόν = <i>τάπελθόν</i> τὰ ἀπελθόντα = <i>τάπελθόντα</i>	what went away	ΑΠΕΛΘΟΝ <i>went away</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	<i>film</i>	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
τοιός <i>(τοιᾶ, τοῖον)</i>	like this <i>(of such a kind)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
παντοῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	all kinds	ΠΑΝΤΟΙΟΣ <i>all of this sort</i>
ὀλίγος <i>(η, ον)</i>	few, short [of time]	ΟΛΙΓΟΣ <i>little</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 6 (column 107inf)

...[μᾶλλον]ν [τῇ αὐτῇ] ῆι θέσ[ει] κ[αί] τάξ[ει] ἤπερ τὰ στερέμνια διὰ τὴν ἀφθονίαν· πολλάκις γὰρ τοιαῦτα ἀπαντᾷ σώματα ἃ τὴν...

...μᾶλλον τῇ αὐτῇ θέσει καὶ τάξει ἤπερ τὰ Στερέμνια διὰ τὴν ἀφθονίαν –
πολλάκις γὰρ τοιαῦτα ἀπαντᾷ Σώματα ἃ τὴν...

...[regarding transmission over a distance, the films maintain] with the same position and arrangement more so than hard Objects, due to their lack of scarcity [i.e., due to the abundance of the films] – for often such Bodies encounter those things which the...

ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>
ἡ τάξις αἱ τάξεις	arrangement	ΤΑΞΙΣ <i>ordered</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
ἡ ἀφθονία αἱ ἀφθονίαι	lack of scarcity [i.e., abundance]	ΑΦΘΟΝΙΑ <i>not scarcity</i>
πολλάκις	often	ΠΟΛΛΑΚΙΣ <i>many times</i>
ἀπαντᾷν	to encounter	ΑΠΑΝΤΑΝ <i>meeting away</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 7 (column 108inf)

...μηχανᾶ]σθαι, ἔ[τι τε τὴν συν]κρίσει ἀναλ[ογίαν] ἔχοντος οἶαν [εἰ]ῖρηκα· τί γὰρ οὐκ ἂν μηχανηθεῖ μορφῆς εἶδος ὃ τὴν...

...**μηχανᾶσθαι**, ἔτι τε, **τὴν συγκρίσει ἀναλογίαν**, **ἔχοντος οἶαν** Εἶρηκα – **τί** γὰρ οὐκ ἂν μηχανηθεῖ μορφῆς Εἶδος, ὃ **τὴν**...

※...moreover, **to construct an analogy** for the compound, of **[a compound]** having the kind [] **which** I have mentioned – for **what** Form of shape could not be constructed, which [...] **the**...

μηχανᾶσθαι	to construct	ΜΗΧΑΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>device</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
ἡ μορφή αἱ μορφαί	shape	ΜΟΡΦΗ <i>form</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
εἰδέναι	<i>to have mentally seen ∴</i> "to know"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 8 (column 109inf)

...προειρημένη[ν τα]χυτῆτα ἔχουσα[ν] συσσω[ώξεσ]θαι· αἱ γὰρ ἐν[δο]θεν θέσ[εις] καὶ τάξεις, καθ' ἃς [ἐμ]ψυχον τόδε τι...

...**προειρημένην**, **ταχυτῆτα ἔχουσαν συσσωῶζεσθαι**. γὰρ ἐνδοθεν **Θέσεις** καὶ **Τάξεις**, **καθ' ἃς ἔμψυχον Τόδε τι**...

...the previously mentioned [interconnectedness], having high speeds [that are] **preserved together**. indeed, the inner Positions and Arrangements, according to which this particular animate Thing...

λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
εἰρῇσθαι	to have been said	ΕΙΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>spoke</i>
εἰρημένος	having been said [already]	
προειρημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	previously mentioned	ΠΡΟΕΙΡΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>spoke before</i>
τὸ τάχος τὰ τάχη	speed	ΤΑΧΟΣ <i>swift</i>

ἡ ταχύτης αἱ ταχύτητες	high speed	TAXYTHS <i>condition from quickly</i>
σώζειν	to preserve	ΣΩZEIN <i>saving</i>
συσσώζειν	to preserve together	ΣΥΣΣΩZEIN <i>saving together</i>
συσσώζεσθαι	to be preserved together	
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕSIS <i>process of placing</i>
ἡ τάξις αἱ τάξεις	arrangement	ΤΑΞIS <i>ordered</i>
ἔμψυχος (ος, ον)	animate	ΕΜΨΥΧΟΣ <i>in soul</i>

2.9 Mechanics of the Films

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 9 (column 110inf) | P.Herc. 1149 col. 4 (column 111sup)

...[οὐδ]ὲ κωλυόμε[να] [δ]ηλ[ό]νως ὑπὸ τῆς εἰς [βά]θος παραλλαγῆς· τὸ δὲ περὶ τὰ εἶδωλα οὕτως ἔχειν φάσκειν [ο]ύθὲν | ἀντιμαρτυρεῖ [το]ῖς φαινομένοις· [κατ]αφὰ νὲς οἷν πάλιν γί[νετ]αι ὅτι τὰ εἶδωλα ταχυτῆτά τινα ἀνυπέρβλητον κέκτηται κατὰ τὴν [φο]ράν· καὶ ἐν τοιοῦ τωι δέ τινι τρόπωι ἔσται περὶ τῆς ταχυτῆτος τῶν εἰδώλων[ν] [ἀπ]όδειξιν ποιήσασ[θαι·] ἐπειδὴ γὰ[ρ]... [τα]χὺν οὐ μόν[ον καὶ] κουφότητα...

...οὐδὲ κωλυόμενα δηλόνως ὑπὸ τῆς εἰς βάθος παραλλαγῆς – Τὸ δὲ περὶ τὰ εἶδωλα, οὕτως, ἔχειν φάσκειν οὐθὲν ἀντιμαρτυρεῖ τοῖς φαινομένοις: καταφανές, οὔν, πάλιν Γίνεται ὅτι τὰ Εἶδωλα ταχυτῆτά τινα ἀνυπέρβλητον κέκτηται κατὰ τὴν φοράν – καὶ ἐν τοιούτῳ δέ τινι τρόπῳ, Ἔσται περὶ τῆς ταχυτήτος τῶν εἰδώλων ἀπόδειξιν ποιήσασθαι – ἐπειδὴ γὰρ ταχὺν οὐ μόνον, καὶ κουφότητα...

...nor are they clearly prevented by the variety in depth – therefore, This [explanation] regarding the films has nothing to allege that contests what is apparent: thus, It again becomes fully evident that the Films possess a certain unsurpassable high speed in their transmission – and in such a specific way, that It will be possible to provide a demonstration concerning the swiftness of the films – since not only the high speed [of the films], but also [their] lightness...

κωλύειν	to prevent	ΚΩΛΥΕΙΝ <i>preventing</i>
κωλυόμενος (ομένη, όμενον)	being prevented	
δῆλος (η, ον)	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
τὸ βάθος τὰ βάθη	depth	ΒΑΘΟΣ <i>deep</i>
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
φάσκειν	to allege	ΦΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>affirming</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
φανερὸς (ᾶ, όν)	evident	ΦΑΝΕΡΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
τὸ καταφανές τὰ καταφανῆ	what is fully evident	ΚΑΤΑΦΑΝΕΣ <i>thoroughly appearing</i>

καταφανής <i>(ές)</i>	fully evident	
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ή ταχύτης αἱ ταχύτητες	high speed	ΤΑΧΥΤΗΣ <i>condition from quickly</i>
ἀνυπέρβλητος <i>(ον)</i>	unsurpassable	ΑΝΥΠΕΡΒΛΗΤΟΣ <i>not thrown over</i>
κτᾶσθαι	to procure [here, "to possess"]	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
ή φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ή ἀπόδειξις αἱ ἀποδείξεις	demonstration	ΑΠΟΔΕΙΞΙΣ <i>from pointing out</i>
ποιεῖν	to make [here, "to provide"]	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ταχύς <i>(εῖα, ύ)</i>	swift	ΤΑΧΥΣ <i>swift</i>
τὸ κοῦφον τὰ κούφα	light things	ΚΟΥΦΟΝ <i>nimble</i>
ή κουφότης αἱ κουφότητες	lightness	ΚΟΥΦΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from nimble</i>

2.10 Films Generated from Films

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1010 column 17

...[φ]α[ν]ερ[όν] ὡς κα[ί] το[ῖς εἰδώλοις] ὑπάρ[χει καί] αὕτη ἡ δύναμις· εἰ μὲν γάρ τὸ στερέμνιον μόνον ἡδύνατο τὰς ἐξώσεις πο[ιεῖν,] [τὸ δ'] ἐξω[λ]ον μ[ή,] ἦν ἂν κατὰ | τὸν ἐξωστικὸν τρόπον τὰ στερέμνια μ[ό]γον ταχέω[ς] [δ]ύγασθα[ι] φέρεσθαι, [τ]ὰ δ' εἶδωλα μή, κα[τά] γε τὸν ἐξωστ[ι]κόν, κατὰ μέ[ν]τ[ι]οι τὸ ἐπιλαμ[β]α[ν]όμε[νον] εὐθύς ἐ[τοίμου] κεν[ο]ῦ διὰ τὰς συνι[ζ]ήσεις τὰς ἐ[ῖς σ]τε[ν]ότητα καὶ [λ]επτότη[τα] καὶ μικρότ[η]τα.

{expanded fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 10 (column 111inf) | P.Herc. 1149 col. 5 (column 112sup)

...[ἀέ]ρα ἐξω[θεῖν] [δυνα]τὸν περαιοῖ, φα[ν]ερὸν ὡς καὶ τοῖς εἰδώλοις ὑπάρχει καὶ [α]ὕτη ἡ δύναμις· εἰ μὲν γάρ τὸ στερέμνιον μόνον ἡδύνατο τὰς | ἐξώσεις πι[οιεῖσθαι], τὸ δ' εἶδωλο[ν μή], ἦν ἂν κατὰ τὸν ἐ[ξ]ωστικὸν τρόπον τὰ στερέμνια μόνον ταχέως δύνασθαι φέρεσ[θαι], [τ]ὰ δὲ εἶδωλα μή, κατὰ γε τὸν ἐξωστ[ι]κό[ν], κα[τ]ὰ μέντοι τὸ περιλαμβανόμενον εὐθύ[ς ἐξ] ἐτ[οίμου] κενοῦ διὰ τ[ἀς] συνιζήσεις τὰς ἐ[ῖς σ]τενότητα καὶ λεπτ[ό]τητα καὶ μι[κρ]ότη[τα]α...

...**ἀέρα** ἐξωθεῖν Δυνατὸν, περαιοῖ – Φανερόν ὡς **καὶ τοῖς εἰδώλοις** ὑπάρχει καὶ αὕτη ἡ Δύναμις· εἰ μὲν γάρ τὸ Στερέμνιον μόνον ἡδύνατο **τὰς ἐξώσεις** ποιεῖσθαι – τὸ δ' Εἶδωλον μή – ἦν ἂν **κατὰ τὸν ἐξωστικὸν τρόπον** τὰ Στερέμνια μόνον ταχέως δύνασθαι φέρεσθαι – τὰ δὲ εἶδωλα μή, **κατὰ γε τὸν ἐξωστικόν**: **κατά, μέντοι, τὸ περιλαμβανόμενον εὐθύς ἐξ ἐτοίμου κενοῦ διὰ τὰς συνιζήσεις τὰς εἰς στενότητα καὶ λεπτότητα καὶ μικρότητα...**

...It has the force to eject **air**, [while] carrying [the air] over – and It is evident that this same Force also exists **even in the films**: for if only a hard Object had the force to produce **ejections** – but a Film did not [have the force to produce ejections] – then only hard Objects would have the force to be quickly carried **in the ejecting way** – but the films would not [have the force to be quickly carried], at least **[not] in the ejecting [way]**: however, in what is **completely encompassed around immediately from the ready void**, through the settlements **into narrowness, subtlety, and minuteness...**

ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
------------------------------------	-----	-----------------------------------

ἐξωθεῖν	to eject	ΕΞΘΕΙΝ <i>pushing out</i>
ἡ ἔξωσις αἱ ἐξώσεις	ejections	ΕΞΩΣΙΣ <i>pushing out</i>
τὸ ἐξωστικόν τὰ ἐξωστικά	what is ejected	ΕΞΩΣΤΙΚΟΝ <i>pushing out</i>
ἐξωστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	ejecting	ΕΞΩΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of pushing out</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
περαιοῦν	to carry over	ΠΕΡΑΙΟΥΝ <i>boundary</i>
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
φανερός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	evident	ΦΑΝΕΡΟΣ <i>shining</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ἡ δύναμις αἱ δυνάμεις	force	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἡ ἔξωσις αἱ ἐξώσεις	ejections	ΕΞΩΣΙΣ <i>pushing out</i>
ἐξωστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	ejecting	ΕΞΩΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of pushing out</i>
τὸ τάχος τὰ τάχη	speed	ΤΑΧΟΣ <i>swift</i>
ταχέως	quickly	ΤΑΧΕΩΣ <i>quickly</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
φέρεσθαι	to be carried	ΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing</i>
τὸ περιλαμβανόμενον τὰ περιλαμβανόμενα	[generally] what is completely encompassed	ΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>grasping around</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἶτα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΟΥΣ ΕΥΟΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
ἐτοιμάζειν	to make ready	ΕΤΟΙΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing</i>
ἐτοῖμος <i>(ίμη, ἱμον)</i>	made ready	
τὸ κενόν	void	ΚΕΝΟΝ <i>emptiness</i>
ἡ συνίησις αἱ συνιζήσεις	settlement	ΣΥΝΙΖΗΣΙΣ <i>sitting together</i>
ἡ στενότης αἱ στενότητες	narrowness	ΣΤΕΝΟΤΗΣ <i>narrow</i>
ἡ λεπτότης αἱ λεπτότητες	subtlety	ΛΕΠΤΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of being fine/thin</i>

ή μικρότης αἱ μικρότητες	minuteness	ΜΙΚΡΟΤΗΣ <i>little</i>
-----------------------------	------------	---------------------------

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 11 (column 112inf)

...πῶς οὐχί; ἐπεὶ δὴ καὶ τοῦτον ἔχει τὸν τρόπον τῆς ταχυτήτος, Νομιστέον αὐτοῖς ὑπάρχειν καὶ...

{expanded fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1010 column 18 | column 19 sup.

...ἐπειδὴ δὲ καὶ τὸ εἶδωλον δυνατὸν ἐστὶν ἐξωθεῖν πολλὰ σώματα, [ἐτι] μᾶλλον ἢ [περ κ]α[ὶ α]ὐ[τὰ τ]ὰ στερέμ[ια τ]οῦτο πράτ[τειν], πῶς οὐχί, ἐπειδὴ καὶ [τ]οῦτον ἔχ[ε]ι τὸν τ[ρό]πον τῆ[ς] ταχυτήτος, νομ[ισ]τέο[ν] αὐτοῖς ὑπ[άρ]χ[ειν] καὶ τοῦ [τον τὸν τρόπον;] εἰ καὶ τιν[α] κατ[ὰ] [τὰ σώμ]ατ[α ἔχει] [τὸν τ]ρόπον το[ῦτο]ν [ᾧ]ν περ[ι]ᾶ[ν]τα[ι], καὶ ἡ τῶν ε[ἰ]δώλων φύσις, [ὥς]π[ερ] λέγω, κατὰ | τὸν ἐξωστικὸν τῶν ἀπαντῶντων [ν] σωμάτων [ν] [τ]ρόπον φέρεσθαι [δ]ύ[ναται·] ὁ τρόπος [δ' ἐστὶ] κ[αὶ τῶν οὐ]ρίων π[ο]υ· ἐπε[ὶ]δὴ δὲ περι[φο]ρούμενα...

...ἐπειδὴ δὲ καὶ τὸ Εἶδωλον δυνατὸν ἐστὶν ἐξωθεῖν **πολλὰ σώματα**, ἔτι μᾶλλον ἥπερ καὶ αὐτὰ τὰ Στερέμνια **τοῦτο** πράττειν – πῶς οὐχί; ἐπειδὴ καὶ **τοῦτον** ἔχει **τὸν τρόπον τῆς ταχυτήτος**, Νομιστέον **αὐτοῖς** ὑπάρχειν καὶ **τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον**. εἰ καὶ **τινα κατὰ τὰ σώματα ἔχει τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον** ὅταν περαιῶνται – καὶ ἡ τῶν εἰδώλων Φύσις, ὥσπερ Λέγω, **κατὰ τὸν ἐξωστικὸν τῶν ἀπαντῶντων σωμάτων τρόπον** φέρεσθαι δύναται – ὁ Τρόπος δ' ἐστὶ καὶ **τῶν ὀρίων που**· ἐπειδὴ δὲ περιφορούμενα...

...since Film also has the force to eject **many bodies**, even more so than hard Objects themselves can accomplish **this** – how [could it] not [be this way]? since [the films] indeed possesses **this way of high speed**, It must be considered that **this way [of ejection]** also exists **for the [films]**. indeed, if **certain [examples] among bodies have this way [of movement]** when they are being carried over [through the void] – then the Nature of the films, as I say, is also able to be carried **according to the ejecting way of the encountering bodies** – this Way [of ejection from encountering another body] is also, in a sense, of boundaries [i.e., concerning their surfaces]. And since [the films] are being transmitted around...

τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
ἐξωθεῖν	to eject	ΕΞΩΘΕΙΝ <i>pushing out</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
ἡ πράξις αἱ πράξεις	activity	ΠΡΑΞΙΣ <i>action</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἡ ταχύτης αἱ ταχύτητες	high speed	ΤΑΧΥΤΗΣ <i>condition from quickly</i>
νομίζειν	to think	ΝΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
περαιοῦν	to come over	ΠΕΡΑΙΟΥΝ <i>boundary</i>
ἡ ἔξωσις αἱ ἐξώσεις	ejections	ΕΞΩΣΙΣ <i>pushing out</i>
ἐξωστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	ejecting	ΕΞΩΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of pushing out</i>

ἀπαντᾶν	to encounter	ΑΠΑΝΤΑΝ <i>meeting away</i>
ἀπαντῶν <i>(ῶσα, ῶν)</i>	encountering	
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
φέρεσθαι	to be carried	ΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing</i>
δύνασθαι	to be able	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>having power</i>
ὀρίζειν	to set a limit	ὈΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
ὀρίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	setting a limit	
τὸ ὄριον τὰ ὄρια	boundary	ὈΡΙΟΝ <i>separating</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
φορεῖν	to transmit	ΦΟΡΕΙΝ <i>carrying</i>
φορούμενος	being transmitted	
περιφορούμενος <i>(μένη, μενον)</i>	being transmitted around	ΠΕΡΙΦΟΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>carrying around</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 12 (column 113inf)

...κρίσεις ἢ τὰς σφό[δρα] μικ[κ]ὰς ἐξωθεῖ[ν] δυνάμενα αὐτ[αῖς] καὶ αὐτοῖς εὐοδί[αν] παρασκευάζειν, π[ῶς] οὐκ εὐοδον τὸ λ[έ]γε[ι]ν...

{expanded fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1010 column 19 fin. | column 20 sup.

...προσκρο[ύ]σ[αντα] πρ[ὸς] τὰς ἐλαχίστας συγκρ[ι]σεις ἢ τὰ[ς] σφόδ[ρ]α μικρ[ᾶ]ς ἐξωθεῖν [δ]υ[ν]άμ[ε]να αὐτ[αῖ]ς καὶ ἑα[υτο]ῖς εὐδ[ι]άν παρα[σ]κευά[ζε]ιν, π[ῶ]ς οὐκ εὐοδον τὸ λέγειν ὡς καὶ ταῖς τῶν εἰδώλων φύσεσιν ἔν[ε]ι σί τινε[ς] συμμέτρως ἔχουσαι στερεμ[ν]ίους δυνάμεις;

...προσκρούσαντα πρὸς τὰς ἐλαχίστας συγκρίσεις ἢ τὰς σφόδρα μικρὰς, ἐξωθεῖν δυνάμενα, αὐταῖς καὶ ἑαυτοῖς εὐδῖαν παρασκευάζειν. πῶς οὐκ εὐοδον τὸ Λέγειν ὡς καὶ ταῖς τῶν εἰδώλων φύσεσιν ἔνεισί τινες συμμέτρως ἔχουσαι στερεμνίους Δυνάμεις;

...[because the films are] striking against the most minuscule compounds or [at least] the very small [ones, the films] have the force to eject [these compounds], to provide a good situation [for movement] for other [compounds] and for themselves. how is it not good progress [for this topic] to Say that certain Forces are also present in symmetrical [proportions] within [their] hard [source] objects for the nature of the films [to generate]?

κρούειν	to strike	ΚΡΟΥΕΙΝ <i>bumping</i>
προσκρούειν	to strike against	ΠΡΟΣΚΡΟΥΕΙΝ <i>bumping towards</i>
προσκρούων <i>(ούουσα, ούον)</i>	striking against	
τὸ ἐλάχιστον τὰ ἐλάχιστα	the minimum <i>(the smallest thing)</i>	ΕΛΑΧΙΣΤΟΝ <i>small</i>
ἐλαχύς	minuscule	ΕΛΑΧΥΣ <i>small</i>
ἐλάχιστος <i>(ἐλαχίστη, ἐλάχιστον)</i>	most minuscule	
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
σφόδρα	very	ΣΦΟΔΡΑ <i>vehement</i>

μικκός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΚΟΣ
έξωθείν	to eject	ΕΞΩΘΕΙΝ <i>pushing out</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
δυνάμενος <i>(μένη, μενον)</i>	having the force	ΔΥΝΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>power</i>
ή εύδία αί εύδίαι	good progress	ΕΥΔΙΑ <i>good day</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing</i> <i>alongside</i>
ή εύοδία αί εύοδίαι	good progress	ΕΥΟΔΙΑ <i>good path</i>
εϋοδος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	progressing well	
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
τό λέγειν	[the] saying	
ή φύσις αί φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
τό είδωλον τά είδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from</i> <i>appearing</i>
σύνμετρος = σύμμετρος <i>(ον)</i>	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure</i> <i>together</i>
συμμέτρως	symmetrically	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΩΣ
στερεός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	firm	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΣ <i>stiff</i>
τό στερεμνίον τά στερεμνία	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>hard</i>
στερεμνίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	hard	
ή δύναμις αί δυνάμεις	force	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 13 (column 114inf)

...[μήκος περιλαμβάνο]υσαι έν ταίς έξώσεσιν καί ού δυγ[ά]μεναι έν τρόπωι τινί πρός τάς μικράς προσπίπτειν· καθ' ά...

{expanded fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 col. 6 (column 115sup)

...{[πι]αντας οϋ[ν] τοϋς [τρό]πους οϋς θεωροϋ[με]ν τας ταχυτήτας [ϋπ]αρχούσας σώμα[σί τισι]ν εϋ έπι[β]λέ[πομε]ν ότι καί τὰ
εί[δωλ]α δύν[αται] τα[χέω]ς εις μακροϋς [τόπ]ους περαιοϋν· [δεϊ δ' έ]πιβλέ[πε]ιν [ότι κατά] την αύτήν [έμ]πτω[σι]ν ραϊδίως
[διά π]α[ν]τός π[όρου] τοίς είδώλοις διέκδυσιν ούκ άλόγως έ[χ]ει}

{expanded fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1010 columns 20 fin., 21, 22 sup.

γῆ[ν δὲ προσα]σπά[ζον]τ[αί] πο[ρ]ω, καὶ [τὴν] μετα[λλ]αγὴν ἐκ τῆς ἐξ [ἀρ]χῆς [ἀ]πορρueίσης μορ[φ]ῆς λαμβάνουσης(*)
[ἐ]ξώ[σε]ις αὐτῶν ποιοῦντα[ι δι]αδ[ι]ϋ[γ]τ[α] μ[έν] π[ρὸς σ]υγκρίσεις, [εἰ] καὶ... [three lines missing] ...[σ]τερέμνι[α, μάλλ]ον ἢ περ
[αὐτὰ τὰ] στερέμνι[α] μέγα μήκος περιλαμβάνουσαι έν ταίς έξώσεσιν καί ο[ϋ]δ[ι] συν[ά]μεναι έ[ν τ]ρό[π]ω[ι τινί πρ]ὸς [τὰς μ]ικράς
προσ[πι]ττειν· καθ' ἅ[π]τασ οὔν τοῦ[ς] τ[ρόπ]ου[ς] οὔ[τε] θεωροῦ[μεν τ]ᾶς [τα]χυ[τῆ]τας ὑπ[α]ρχού[σα]ς σώμα[σί τ]ισιν [εϋ
έπι]βλέπ[ομεν] ὅ[τι καὶ τὰ] είδωλ[α δύν]α[ι]τ[αι τ]αχ[έ]ω[ς εις μά]κ[ρο]ϋ[ς τ]ό[πους] π[ερ]αιοῦν· δεϊ δ' [ἐ]πι[β]λέπε[ι]ν ὅτ[ι]
κ[ατὰ] την αύ[τή]ν έμπττωσ[ι]ν ραϊδί[ως] διὰ παντός πόρου τοίς είδώλοις διέκδυσιν ούκ άλόγως έ[χ]ει} [έν]ε[τι]να[ι]...

γῆν δὲ προσασπάζονται που, καὶ τὴν μεταλλαγὴν ἐκ τῆς, ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἀπορρueίσης,
μορφῆς λαμβάνουσης ἐξώσεις αὐτῶν ποιοῦνται – διαδύνα μὲν πρὸς συγκρίσεις,
εἰ καὶ...

[because certain forces] are somehow cleaving onto the earth, and they make an exchange out of the shape [of the film] that, flowing out from the beginning, encompasses the ejections of these [objects] – [with the films] thoroughly having the force for [moving other] compounds, if indeed...

...στερέμνια, μάλλον ἤπερ αὐτά τὰ στερέμνια μέγα μήκος περιλαμβάνουσαι ἐν ταῖς ἐξώσεσιν – καὶ οὐ δυνάμεναι, ἐν τρόπῳ τινί, πρὸς τὰς μικρὰς προσπίπτειν; καθ' ἅπαντας οὖν τοὺς τρόπους οὓς θεωροῦμεν τὰς ταχύτητας ὑπαρχούσας σώμασί τισιν, εὖ Ἐπιβλέπομεν ὅτι καὶ τὰ Εἶδωλα δύναται ταχέως εἰς μακροὺς τόπους περαιοῦν· Δεῖ δ' ἐπιβλέπειν ὅτι, κατὰ τὴν αὐτὴν ἔμπτωσιν, ῥαιδίως διὰ παντὸς πόρου τοῖς εἰδώλοις Διέκδυσιν, οὐκ ἀλόγως, ἔχει ἐνεῖναι...

...hard objects, even more than [the compounds of the films] encompass in their ejections the hard objects themselves at great length – and [yet] they do not have the force, in any way, to fall upon [other] small [compounds]? therefore, in all the ways that We observe high speeds existing for certain bodies, We fully and easily see that even the Films are able to quickly come over to distant locations. It is necessary fully see how, in accordance with the same collision, the Emergence through every passageway easily has, not unreasonably, to exist for the films within...

ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	Γῆ land
ἀσπάζεσθαι	to cleave	ΑΣΠΑΖΟΜΑΙ [welcoming eagerly]
προσασπάζεσθαι	to cleave onto	ΠΡΟΣΑΣΠΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ [welcoming eagerly] further/toward
ἀλλάσσω	to alter	ΑΛΛΑΣΣΩ changing
ἡ μεταλλαγή αἱ μεταλλαγαί	exchange	ΜΕΤΑΛΛΑΓΗ changing change
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ first order
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
ἀπορρεῖν	to flow out	ΑΠΟΡΡΕΙΝ flow away
ἀπορρυεῖς (υεῖσα, υέν)	flowing out	
ἡ μορφή αἱ μορφαί	shape	ΜΟΡΦΗ form
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ power
διαδύνασθαι	to thoroughly have the force	ΔΙΑΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ fully power
δυνάμενος (μένη, μενον)	having the force	ΔΥΝΑΜΕΝΟΣ power
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ dividing together
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ object from making hard
τὸ μήκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ length
περιλαμβάνειν	to completely comprehend	ΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping around
περιλαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to completely comprehend, [generally] to encompass	ΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping around
ἡ ἔξωσις αἱ ἐξώσεις	ejections	ΕΞΩΣΙΣ pushing out
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ manner / direction

μικρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
προσπίπτειν	to fall upon	ΠΡΟΣΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling toward</i>
ἅπας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	all together	ἅΠΑΣ <i>all together</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
ἡ ταχύτης αἱ ταχύτητες	high speed	ΤΑΧΥΤΗΣ <i>condition from quickly</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὑΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
ἐπιβλέπειν	to fully see	ΕΠΙΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking upon</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
ταχέως	quickly	ΤΑΧΕΩΣ <i>quickly</i>
μακρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	distant	ΜΑΚΡΟΣ <i>long-reaching</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
περαιοῦν	to come over	ΠΕΡΑΙΟΥΝ <i>boundary</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
ἡ ἔμπτωσις αἱ ἐμπτώσεις	collision	ΕΜΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling into</i>
ἡ κρούσις αἱ κρούσεις	impact	ΚΡΟΥΣΙΣ <i>strike</i>
ῥαδίως ῥαιδίως	easily	ῥΑΙΔΙΩΣ <i>easily</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
ἡ διέκδυσις αἱ διεκδύσεις	emergence	ΔΙΕΚΔΥΣΙΣ <i>action of escaping through</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἄλογος <i>(ον)</i>	(of things) unreasoning, (of men) unreasonable	ΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>not reasoning</i>
ἀλόγως	unreasonably	ΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>not reasoning</i>

2.11 Against Complete Permeability

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 col. 7 (column 116sup)

...πρ[ὸς τοῖς στε]ρεμνίοις καὶ τὰς αὐτὰς διαστάσεις εἰς βάθος εἰληφός, πλὴν οὐχὶ τῷ ἐκ σωμάτων πολλῶν ε[ἰς] βάθος πε[ποιήσ]θα[ι, ἀλ]λὰ τῷ [τὴν] τοῦ [ἐνδ]οθεν [κενο]ῦ διὰ[σπ]ασιν τὴν [α]ὐτὴν ἔχειν, λέγειν τολμῶσιν ἀλόγως πως ὡς διὰ τὴν λεπτότητα ῥαδίως δι[ὰ π]αντ[ὸς] πόρου [περ]αι[οῦν ἔδει], οὐδ' ἐν[θ]υ[μούμενοι]...

...πρὸς τοῖς στερεμνίοις καὶ τὰς αὐτὰς διαστάσεις εἰς βάθος εἰληφός – πλὴν οὐχὶ τῷ ἐκ σωμάτων πολλῶν εἰς βάθος πεποιῆσθαι – ἀλλὰ τῷ τὴν τοῦ ἔνδοθεν κενοῦ διάστασιν τὴν αὐτὴν ἔχειν. λέγειν Τολμῶσιν ἀλόγως, πως, ὥς διὰ τὴν λεπτότητα ῥαδίως διὰ παντὸς πόρου περαιοῦν ἔδει, οὐδ' ἐνθυμούμενοι...

...while [the film] even acquired the same expansions in depth in relation to the hard objects [that were its source] – except with [the film] having been made deeply not by many bodies – but by having the same dimensions of the inner void. They unreasonably dare to say that, somehow, because of the subtlety [of the films], it would be necessary [for the films] to easily pass through every passageway, without [those who say this] reflecting that...

τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
ἡ στάσις αἱ στάσεις	stationary position	ΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i>
ἡ διάστασις αἱ διαστάσεις	expansion	ΔΙΑΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing across</i>
τὸ βάθος τὰ βάθη	depth	ΒΑΘΟΣ <i>deep</i>
εἰληφέναι	to have comprehended	ΕΙΛΗΦΕΝΑΙ <i>grasped</i>
εἰληφώς <i>(υῖα, ὅς)</i>	[intellectually] comprehended, [generally] acquired	ΕΙΛΗΦΩΣ <i>grasped</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
τὸ ἔνδοθεν τὰ ἔνδοθεν	the inner part "what is from within"	ΕΝΔΟΘΕΝ
τὸ κενόν	void	ΚΕΝΟΝ <i>emptiness</i>
τολμᾶν	to dare	ΤΟΛΜΑΝ <i>courage</i>
ἀλόγως	unreasonably	ΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>not reasoning</i>
ἡ λεπτότης αἱ λεπτότητες	subtlety	ΛΕΠΤΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of being fine/thin</i>
ῥάδιος ῥαίδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	easy	ῥΑΙΔΙΟΣ <i>easily</i>
ῥαδίως ῥαιδίως	easily	ῥΑΙΔΙΩΣ <i>easily</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
περαιοῦν	to come over	ΠΕΡΑΙΟΥΝ <i>boundary</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμιαί	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
ἐνθυμεῖσθαι	to reflect upon	ΕΝΘΥΜΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>spirit in</i>
ἐνθυμούμενος <i>(ουμένη, ούμενον)</i>	reflecting upon	

2.12 Permeability of Films

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1010 column 24

...{συνίζησιν ἰσχυράν, περαιοῦν διὰ τῶν τοίχων καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν συγκρο(*)σεων} [τῷ]ν ε(*)τερ[εμνί]ων· τ[οῦ]το [δ'] αὐ[τῶν] αἰ αἰσθήσει[ς] ἐ[πι]μαρτυροῦσ[ιν]· οὐθ' ἐν γὰρ ἄ[ν] μᾶλλον ξνδοθέν τ[ι] πολ[ύ]κενον, [δ]μ[οι]ον δὲ φ[ύ]σε[ι] τῷδε μὴ πολυκένωι δύν[αι]το, διὰ τῷ[ν] τ[οιχ]ῶν [περ]αιοῦ[ν], τ[ὴν] ἐξ[ἧς] θέσιν δι[α]σώζε[ι]ν [πρὸς στ]ερέ[μνι]ο[ν].

{added to fragment below}

.....{συνίζησιν ἰσχυράν, περαιοῦν διὰ τῶν τοίχων καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν συγκρο(*)σεων} τῶν στερεμνίων· τοῦτο δ' αὐταὶ αἰ αἰσθήσεις ἐπιμαρτυροῦσιν· οὐδὲν γὰρ ἂν μᾶλλον ἔνδοθεν τι πολύκενον, ὅμοιον [δέ] φύσει[ι τῷδε] μὴ πο[λυ]κένωι, δύναιτο, [διὰ τῶν τοί]χων [πε]ραιοῦν, τή[ν] ἐξῆς θέσιν διασώζει[ν] [πρ]ὸς στερέμνιον.

...συνίζησιν ἰσχυράν, περαιοῦν διὰ τῶν τοίχων καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν συγκρίσεων τῶν στερεμνίων – τοῦτο δ' αὐταὶ αἰ Αἰσθήσεις ἐπιμαρτυροῦσιν: οὐδὲν γὰρ ἂν μᾶλλον ἔνδοθεν Τι πολύκενον, ὅμοιον δὲ φύσει τῷδε μὴ πολυκένω, δύναιτο, διὰ τῶν τοίχων περαιοῦν, τὴν ἐξῆς θέσιν διασώζειν πρὸς στερέμνιον

...[films are not able to form] a settlement that prevails [i.e., maintain a stable form], and carry over through the walls and through the remaining compounds of hard objects – this is attested by the Sensations themselves: for in no way would Something [that is] more internally porous, but similar in nature to [something] non-porous, have the force to come over through the walls, to thoroughly preserve its successive position against a hard object [and be seen on the other side]

ἡ συνίζησις αἰ συνιζήσεις	settlement	ΣΥΝΙΖΗΣΙΣ <i>sitting together</i>
ἰσχύειν	to prevail	ΙΣΧΥΕΙΝ
ἰσχύων <i>(ισχύουσα, ισχύον)</i>	prevailing	ΙΣΧΥΩΝ <i>strength</i>
ἰσχυρός <i>(ἄ, όν)</i>	prevails	
περαιοῦν	to carry over	ΠΕΡΑΙΟΥΝ <i>boundary</i>
ὁ τοῖχος οἱ τοῖχοι	wall	ΤΟΙΧΟΣ <i>mound</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining [rest of + gen]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἰ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἰ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ἐπιμαρτυρεῖν	to attest	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying upon</i>
τὸ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον τὰ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενα	^[M] what is attested	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of testifying upon</i>
τὸ ἔνδοθεν τὰ ἔνδοθεν	the inner part "what is from within"	ΕΝΔΟΘΕΝ
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
πολύκενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	porous "containing much void"	ΠΟΛΥΚΕΝΟΣ <i>much emptiness</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
περαιοῦν	to come over	ΠΕΡΑΙΟΥΝ <i>boundary</i>
ἐξῆς	in succession	ἘΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
ἡ θέσις αἰ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>
σώζειν	to preserve	ΣΩΖΕΙΝ <i>saving</i>
διασώζειν	to thoroughly preserve	ΔΙΑΣΩΖΕΙΝ <i>saving through</i>

{ρύ[κ ἔστι] συμ[φυῆ] τα[ῦτα] δῆ, φημί, τού[του, ἀ]λλά [μόν]ον τ[ῶν] [ἐ]πιτηδ[ε]ίων μὴ ἐχόντων μορφοειδῆ σχηματισμὸν ἕνα τ[ὶ]νὰ φύσει[ι], ἀλλὰ π[ολ]λοὺς καὶ [ἄλ]λο[υ]ς ἄλλως,} λέγω δ' οἷον πυρὸς, καὶ πνεύματος καὶ τῶν τοιουτοτρόπων· ταῦτα γάρ, ἐν ἄλλωι τρόπωι τῇ[ν] λεπτομέρειαν ἔχοντ[α] ἥπερ ἐν ᾧ α[ἰ ἔξ]ωθεν μ[ἐ]ν ἀλληλοῦ[χο]ι [φύσεις, ἔνδοθ]ε[ν] [δὲ] π[ολ]ύ[κε] [νο]ι, δύνα[νται τὰς δ]ιεκδύσεις [διὰ τῶν] στερεμ[νίων φ]ύ[σ]εω[ν] λαμβ[άνε]ιν· οἷς δ[ι]έ[

{added to the expanded fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2

P.Herc. 1010 (columns 24fin, 25),

P.Herc. 993 col. 14 (column 117inf), P.Herc. 1149 col. 9 (column 118sup),

P.Herc. 1010 (columns 26, 27sup), P.Herc. 1149 col. 10 (column 119sup)

{ρύ[κ ἔστι] συμ[φυῆ] τα[ῦτα] δῆ, φημί, τού[του, ἀ]λλά [μόν]ον τῶν [ἐ]πιτηδ[ε]ίων μὴ ἐχόντων μορφοειδῆ σχηματισμὸν ἕνα τ[ὶ]νὰ φύσει[ι], ἀλλὰ π[ολ]λοὺς καὶ [ἄλ]λο[υ]ς ἄλλως,} [λέ]γω δ' οἷον [πυρὸς καὶ] πνεύμα[τος καὶ τ]ῶν τοιουτο[τρόπων]· ταῦτα γάρ, ἐν ἄλλωι τρόπωι | τὴν λεπτομέρειαν ἔχ[ο]ντα ἥπερ ἐν ᾧ αἰ ἔξωθεν μὲν [ἀ]λλη[λοῦ]χοι φύσεις, [ἐ]νδοθε[ν δὲ] πολύκενοι, δύ[να]νται τὰς [διεκδύσεις δ]ιὰ τῶν στερεμνί[ω]ν φύσε[ω]ν λαμβάνειν· οἷς δῆ, φημί, βλ[έ]ποντες [ἐ]τι τῶν εἰδώλων αὐτὸ τοῦτ[ο ἐ]γχει[ρο]ῦσιν κατ[α]δοξά[ζε]ιν διὰ τὴν ὁμωνυμ[ί]α[ν τὴν δῆ] τῆς λε[πτότητος] {τὴν διαφορὰν αὐτῶν οὐ προσθεωρ[ο]ῦντες [missing word] μ[ὴ]τε αὐτὰ μᾶλλον[ν] τὰς διαδύσει[ς δ]ύνασθαι ποιεῖσ[θα]ι διὰ τῶν στε[ρεμ]ν[νίων] φύσεω[ν] συνβέβη[κ]εν ἥπ[ερ] τὰς ἀντιτυπεῖς διὰ τῶν [χειρῶ]ν συγκρίσεις, ἂν μὴ [τι]ς τὸν τρόπον [τ]ῆς δ[ια]δύσεω[ς,] [ὄν] ἡμ[εῖς] εἰρήκαμεν, δ[ε]ικνύη δυ[νατὸ]ν αὐτοῖς [ὑπάρ]χ[ειν ὄντα]}. {δεῖ οὖν, ὥσπερ εἶρη[κ]α, καὶ τὴν εἰς τοῦτο τὸ εἶδος γεγονυῖ[α]ν οἰκονομίαν ἡμῖν ἐπιβλέπειν· ἔστι γάρ τι σύντομ[ον] πρὸς τὸ γνῶν[αι] καὶ τ[ὴν] [παρα]λλαγὴν}}

οὐκ ἔστι **συμφυῆ** Ταῦτα δῆ, **Φημί, τούτου, ἀλλὰ μόνον τῶν ἐπιτηδείων μὴ ἐχόντων μορφοειδῆ σχηματισμὸν ἕνα τινὰ φύσει – ἀλλὰ, πολλοὺς καὶ ἄλλους ἄλλως, λέγω δ' οἷον πυρὸς καὶ πνεύματος καὶ τῶν τοιουτοτρόπων**: Ταῦτα γάρ, **ἐν ἄλλω τρόπῳ τὴν λεπτομέρειαν ἔχοντα ἥπερ ἐν ᾧ** αἰ ἔξωθεν μὲν ἀλληλοῦχοι **Φύσεις, ἔνδοθεν δὲ πολύκενοι, Δύνανται τὰς διεκδύσεις διὰ τῶν στερεμνίων φύσεων λαμβάνειν· οἷς δῆ, Φημί**: βλέποντες ἔτι τῶν εἰδώλων **αὐτὸ τοῦτο Ἐγχειροῦσιν καταδοξάζειν διὰ τὴν ὁμωνυμίαν – τὴν δὲ τῆς λεπτότητος τὴν διαφορὰν αὐτῶν οὐ Προσθεωροῦντες**

I say, These [abilities of traveling through hard objects] are not **inherent to [film]**, but only **[inherent] to suitable things not having a certain singular shaped configuration by nature – rather, [these abilities are inherent to things having] many other [shapes] also**. I mean, for instance, **[particles] of fire and of [cool] wind and such types of things**: for These [hot and cold particles], because they have **a subtle composition** in a different **manner than that in which** [their] external interconnected Natures [exist], but **[with their nature also being] porous within**, have the force to acquire **their emergence** through the **natures of hard objects**. indeed, I say **to these [people]**: while they are still observing the films, they attempt to thoroughly judge **this very thing [i.e., transfer of temperature]** through the sameness of names – in fact, They are not also observing **the difference in the subtlety of these things**

μήτε Αὐτὰ **μᾶλλον τὰς διαδύσεις** δύνασθαι ποιεῖσθαι διὰ τῶν **στερεμνίων φύσεων** συνβέβηκεν **ἥπερ τὰς ἀντιτυπεῖς** διὰ τῶν **χειρῶν συγκρίσεις**. ἂν μὴ **Τις τὸν τρόπον τῆς διαδύσεως, ὄν** Ἡμεῖς εἰρήκαμεν, δεικνύη **δυνατὸν αὐτοῖς ὑπάρχειν ὄντα**. **Δεῖ οὖν, ὥσπερ Εἶρηκα, καὶ τὴν εἰς τοῦτο τὸ εἶδος γεγονυῖαν οἰκονομίαν ἡμῖν ἐπιβλέπειν**: ἔστι γάρ **Τι σύντομον πρὸς τὸ γνῶναι καὶ τὴν παραλλαγὴν...**

These [hot and cold particles] are not **more** able to make **penetrations** through the **nature of hard objects** **than** they [are able to] endure **their rebounding** through the compounds of [our] hands. unless Someone proves that **this way of penetration, which** We ourselves have described, **is able to exist for [films]**. then It is necessary, just as I have said, **for us** to also fully see the internal management **[in ways of movement]** that is produced in this form: for there is Something **concise** for understanding **even the variety...**

συμφυής (ές)	inherent	ΣΥΜΦΥΗΣ <i>growing together</i>
τὸ ἐπιτήδειον τὰ ἐπιτήδεια	suitable things	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΝ <i>suitable upon</i>
ἡ μορφή αἱ μορφαί	shape	ΜΟΡΦΗ <i>form</i>
μορφοειδής (ής, ές)	shaped	ΜΟΡΦΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>seen form</i>

ὁ σχηματισμός οἱ σχηματισμοί	configuration	ΣΧΗΜΑΤΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of action of forming</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
τὸ πνεῦμα τὰ πνεύματα	[cool] wind	ΠΝΕΥΜΑ <i>breath</i> <i>result</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
τὸ τοιουτοτρόπος τὰ τοιουτοτρόπα	such a type of thing	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>such a kind of way</i>
λεπτομερής <i>(ές)</i>	of a subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΗΣ <i>fine/thin part</i>
ἡ λεπτομέρεια αἱ λεπτομέρειαι	subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΕΙΑ <i>fine/thin part</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἡ λεπτομέρεια αἱ λεπτομέρειαι	subtle composition	ΛΕΠΤΟΜΕΡΕΙΑ <i>fine/thin part</i>
<i>(ή, τό)</i> ὁ ἐξωθεν	external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
ἡ ἀλληλουχία αἱ ἀλληλουχίαι	interconnectedness	ΑΛΛΗΛΟΥΧΙΑ <i>holding each other</i>
ἀλληλοῦχος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	interconnected	ΑΛΛΗΛΟΥΧΟΣ <i>holding each other</i>
πολύκενος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	porous "containing much void"	ΠΟΛΥΚΕΝΟΣ <i>many emptinessness</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
ἡ διέκδυσις αἱ διεκδύσεις	emergence	ΔΙΕΚΔΥΣΙΣ <i>action of escaping through</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	Film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
ἡ χείρ αἱ χεῖρες	hand	ΧΕΙΡ <i>hand</i>
ἐγχειρεῖν	to attempt	ΕΓΧΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>in hand</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
καταδοξάζειν	to thoroughly judge	ΚΑΤΑΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly seeming</i>
ἡ ὁμωνυμία αἱ ὁμωνυμίαι	the same name	ὍΜΩΝΥΜΙΑ <i>same name</i>
ἡ λεπτότης αἱ λεπτότητες	subtlety	ΛΕΠΤΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of being fine/thin</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>

θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
προσθεωρεῖν	to also observe	ΠΡΟΣΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>observing further</i>
Part 2		
συνβαίνειν = συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ <i>imprinting</i>
ἡ ἀντιτυπία αἱ ἀντιτυπίαι	rebounding	ΑΝΤΙΤΥΠΙΑ <i>to imprint against</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
ἡ δύσις αἱ δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of sinking</i>
ἡ διαδύσις αἱ διαδύσεις	penetration	ΔΙΑΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of sinking through</i>
δείκνυναι	to prove	ΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
γεγονώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	having been produced	ΓΕΓΟΝΩΣ <i>came into being</i>
ἡ οἰκονομία αἱ οἰκονομίαι	household management [here, "internal management"]	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΙΑ <i>managing the home</i>
ἐπιβλέπειν	to fully see	ΕΠΙΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking upon</i>
σύντομος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	concise	ΣΥΝΤΟΜΟΣ <i>cut together</i>
γινώσκειν = γιννώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
γνωστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	known	ΓΝΩΣΤΟΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
γνῶναι	to know [already]	
τὸ γνῶναι	understanding	
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1010 columns 26, 27sup

...δή, φημί, β[λ]έ[π]οντες καὶ τῶν εἰδώ[ω]ν ταῦτό τοῦτο ἐγγχειροῦσιν [κ]ατ[α]δο[ξ]άζε[ιν] [διὰ τὴν ὁμ]ων[υ]μίαν τὴν δὴ τῆς λεπτότητος, {τὴν διαφορὰν αὐτῶν οὐ προσθεωρ[ο]ῦντες [missing word] μ[ή]τε αὐτὰ μᾶλλο[ν] τὰς διαδύσει[ς] δ[ύ]νασθαι ποιεῖσ[θα]ι διὰ τῶν στε[ρεμ]ν[ί]ων φύσεω[ν] συνβέβη[κ]εν ἥπ[ερ] τὰς ἀντιτυπεῖς διὰ τῶν [χειρῶ]ν συγκρίσεις, ἂν μὴ [τι]ς τὸν τρόπον [τι]ῆς δι[α]δύσεω[ς], [ὄν] ἡμ[εῖς] εἰρήκαμεν, δι[ε]κνύη δυ[νατὸ]ν αὐτοῖς [ὑπάρ]χ[ειν ὄντα]. }

{added to expanded fragment above}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 15 (column 118inf) | P.Herc. 1149 col. 10 (column 119sup)

...[προσθε]ω[ροῦ]ντες· ἡ δὲ γὰρ ~~αἱ~~ [missing word] μ[ή]τε αὐ[τ]ὰ μ[ᾶ]λλον τ[ὰς] δι[α]δύσεις δύ[ν]ασθαι [πο]ι[εῖ]σθαι διὰ τῶν [σ]τερεμνίων φύσεων συμβέβηκεν ἥπερ τὰς ἀντιτυπεῖς | διὰ τῶν χειρῶν συνκρίσεις, ἐὰν μὴ τις τὸν τρόπον τῆς διαδύσεως, ὃν ἡμεῖς εἰρήκαμεν, δεικνύη δυνατὸν αὐτοῖς ὑπάρχειν ὄντ[α]. {δεῖ οὖν, ὥσπερ εἴρη[κ]α, καὶ τὴν εἰς τοῦτο τὸ εἶδος γεγονυτ[α]ν οἰκονομίαν ἡμ[ῖ]ν ἐπιβλέπειν· ἔστι γάρ τι σύντομ[ον] πρὸς τὸ γνῶν[αι] καὶ τ[ῆ]ν [παραλλαγὴν]}...

{also added to the same expanded fragment above}

{δε[τι] οὐ[ν], ὥσ[πε]ρ εἴρηκα, καὶ τὴν [εἰς] τοῦτο τὸ εἶδος [ν]εργοῦτα[ν] ο[ι]τι[ν]α[ν]ομ[ια]ν ἡμῖν [ἐ]πιβλ[έπει]ν· ἔστι [γά]ρ [τι
σύντομ]ον [π]ρὸς τὸ γνῶν[α]ι κ[αὶ] [τὴν παραλ]αγῆν}...

{expanded fragment above}

2.14 Summary

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1010 column 28

...{τε[τι] τὴν γένεσιν αὐτῶν ἅμα νοήματι συμβέβηκεν [ἀπ]οτελεῖσθαι καὶ ὅ[τι] τ[α]ς φορὰς ἀνυ[περ]βλήτους τοῖς τά[χε]σιν
[κε]κτῆσθαι· τ[ὰ δ'] ἀρμόττοντα ἐξῆς τούτ[ου]ς ῥηθῆ[ν]αι ἐν τοῖς μετὰ ταῦτα διέξ[ιμε]ν}...

{expanded fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 993 col. 16 (column 119inf) | 1149 col. 11 (column 120)

...τρόπ[ος] [...] ὥστ[ε] π[α]ραθεωρ[εῖ]ν· ἀποδ[ε]δεικτα[ι] οὖν ἡμῖν καὶ ὅτι ἔστι τι[νὰ] εἰδῶλα καὶ ὅ[τι] τὴν γένεσιν αὐτῶν
ἄμανοήματι | συμβέβηκεν ἀποτελεῖσθαι καὶ ἔτι τὰς φορὰς ἀνυπερβλήτους τοῖς τάχεσιν κεκτῆσθαι· τὰ δ' ἀρμόττοντα [ἐ]ξῆς
τούτοις ῥηθῆναι ἐν τοῖς μετὰ ταῦτα διέξιμεν}

...τῷ τρόπῳ ὥστε παραθεωρεῖν· Ἀποδέδεικται, οὖν, ἡμῖν καὶ ὅτι ⁽¹⁾ ἔστι τινὰ
Εἶδωλα, καὶ ὅτι ⁽²⁾ τὴν γένεσιν αὐτῶν ἅμα νοήματι συμβέβηκεν ἀποτελεῖσθαι,
καὶ ἔτι ⁽³⁾ τὰς φορὰς ἀνυπερβλήτους τοῖς τάχεσιν κεκτῆσθαι· τὰ δ' ἀρμόττοντα
ἐξῆς τούτοις ῥηθῆναι ἐν τοῖς μετὰ ταῦτα Διέξιμεν

...so as to investigate in this way. therefore, It has already been fully proved
to us that ⁽¹⁾ certain Films exist, and that ⁽²⁾ their creation is fully produced
simultaneously enduring with conception, and also that ⁽³⁾ [the films] possess
transmissions unsurpassable in speed. in those [books] after these, We will
fully go through those [topics] fitting in succession to these [topics] that
were spoken...

[Sedley] Thus we have proved ⁽¹⁾ that images (εἶδωλα) exist, ⁽²⁾ that they have
the property of being generated as quick as thought, and ⁽³⁾ that they have
motions unsurpassed in speed. In what follows ['in the following books'] we
will go through the topics which belong in sequence after these ones.

ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
παραθεωρεῖν	to investigate	ΠΑΡΑΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>observing alongside</i>
δείκνυναι	to prove	ΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing</i>
ἀποδεικνύναι	to fully prove	ΑΠΟΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing away</i>
ἀποδεδειχθαι	to have been fully proved [already]	ΑΠΟΔΕΔΕΙΚΤΑΙ <i>fully shown</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
ἡ γένεσις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ <i>action of being born</i>
τὸ νόημα τὰ νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>

ἀποτελεσματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of completing out</i>
ἀποτελεῖσθαι	to be fully produced	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>completing out</i>
ή φορά αί φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἀνυπέρβλητος <i>(ον)</i>	unsurpassable	ΑΝΥΠΕΡΒΛΗΤΟΣ <i>not thrown over</i>
τὸ τάχος τὰ τάχη	speed	ΤΑΧΟΣ <i>swift</i>
κτᾷσθαι	to procure [here, "to possess"]	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
ἐναρμόττων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	fitting into	ΕΝΑΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ <i>adapting into</i>
ἀρμόττων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	fitting	ΑΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ
ἐξῆς	in succession	ἙΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
διεξεῖναι	to fully go through	ΔΙΕΞΕΙΝΑΙ <i>to go across out of</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1149 subscriptio

Ἐπικούρου *Περὶ Φύσεως Β*

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 2, P.Herc. 1010 subscriptio

Ἐπικούρο[υ] *Περὶ Φύσεως Β*

Epicurus, On Nature, *Book 2*

[Book 3 - Vision, Truth And Falsity]

[U80]

[Book 4 - Sensations & Thought]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Περὶ Θανάτου, P.Herc. 807

...οὐτ'Ἐ[πίκο]υ[ρος λέ]γει γέν[έν τῶι τε]τάρῳι Περὶ Φ[ύσεως]...

οὐτ'Ἐπίκουρος λέγει γέν *έν τῶι τετάρῳι Περὶ Φύσεως*

...nor does Epicurus say, in *Book 4 of On Nature*...

[Book 5 - Secondary Qualities]

Book 6

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.38.1077

...[όμοι]ως καὶ [έν τῶι] ἔκτω[ι πι]ερὶ [τοῦ δι]κάζεσ[θαι]... ...[κ]αὶ εὐόρκους [καὶ δι]καίους ταῖς ἀ[ρ]ίσταις διαδόσε[σι] κινεῖσθαι καὶ παρ' αὐτοὺς καὶ παρ' ἐκείνους...

...όμοίως καὶ έν τῷ ἔκτῳ περὶ τοῦ δικάζεσθαι... ...καὶ εὐόρκους καὶ δικαίους ταῖς ἀρίσταις διαδόσεσι κινεῖσθαι καὶ παρ' αὐτοὺς καὶ παρ' ἐκείνους...

[Obbink] ...similarly in Book 6 concerning adjudication... ...and that **those who are oath-keeping and just are moved by the most virtuous exchanges** both from their own selves and from those...

[Obbink] ...similarly in Book 6 [*On Nature*] concerning forensic speaking... ...and [he says] that oath-keeping and just people are moved by the best transmissions both from their own selves and from [the gods]...

δίκαιος <i>(ᾱ, ον)</i>	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
δικάζειν	to judge	ΔΙΚΑΖΕΙΝ
δικάζεσθαι	to legally plead one's cause	ΔΙΚΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ
περὶ τοῦ δικάζεσθαι	concerning legally defending oneself	
ἢ διάδοσις αἱ διάδοσεις	exchange	ΔΙΑΔΟΣΙΣ <i>given through</i>

[Book 7 - Direction and Speed]

Book 8

Benefit of Virtue

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.38.1082

[κ]αὶ εὐόρκους [καὶ δι]καίους ταῖς ἀ[ρ]ίσταις διαδόσε[σι] κινεῖσθαι καὶ παρ' αὐτοὺς καὶ παρ' ἐκείνους. [κ]αὶ παρα[πι]λησίως ἐν τῷ ὀγδόῳ. καὶ τὴν ὠφελίαν τ[ι]θενται [τὸν αὐ]τὸν τ[ρ]όπον, [ὄνπερ] Πολύ[αι]ος ἐ[ν τῷ] πρώ[τῳ] Πρ[ὸς Τὸ Πε]ρὶ Φιλοσοφί[ας Ἀρισ]τοτ[έ]λους τοτ[έ]λους [τὴν τοῦ]των [τ]ῶν ἀ[γαθῶν] αἰτίαν ἡμε[ῖν ἀπε]φήν[α]τ' εἶνα[ι] τ[ὴν] θείαν φύσιν

...καὶ εὐόρκους καὶ δικαίους ταῖς ἀρίσταις διαδόσεσι κινεῖσθαι καὶ παρ' αὐτοὺς καὶ παρ' ἐκείνους. καὶ παραπλησίως ἐν τῷ ὀγδόῳ. καὶ τὴν ὠφελίαν τίθενται τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον, ὄνπερ Πολύαιος ἐν τῷ πρώτῳ Πρὸς Τὸ Περί Φιλοσοφίας Ἀριστοτέλους τὴν τούτων τῶν ἀγαθῶν αἰτίαν ἡμῖν ἀπεφήνατ' εἶναι τὴν θείαν Φύσιν

...and that those who are oath-keeping and just are moved by the most virtuous influences both from their own selves and from those. And similarly in book 8; and they define the notion of benefit in the same way as Polyaeus in the first book of his *Against Aristotle's On Philosophy* declared [his opinion] that divine Nature is the cause for us of these goods.

[Obbink] ...and [he says] that oath-keeping and just people are moved by the most virtuous repercussions both from their own selves and from [the gods]. And similarly in book 8 [*On Nature*]; and [the kathēgemónes] define the notion of benefit [for humans] in the same way as Polyaeus in the first book of his *Against Aristotle's On Philosophy* declared [his opinion] that divine Nature is the cause for us of these goods.

[Book 9 - Mortality and Corporeality]

Book 10

10.1 Time Not Existing Is Inconceivable

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 2 & 3

...οὐ[τοι]οῦτον εἶναι οὐδ' οἷον ὅλως τῶν ὄντων τις ἔνια. [οὐ δεῖ], φημί, ζητεῖν ταῦτα διάνοιαν, ἀλλὰ μόνον τις, ἐπεὶ... [αὐ]τὸν οὐδ' ἐδυνάμενον νοεῖσθαι ὅτι χρόνος οὐκ ἂν εἴη ποτέ, ἀλλ' εὐθύς ἐπιβλεπόμενον ὅτι τοῦτο τι νοεῖ ἐξ ἀν[ἀγ]κης...

...οὔΤοιοῦτον εἶναι – οὐδ' οἷον ὅλως τῶν ὄντων τις ἔνια. οὐ Δεῖ, Φημί, ζητεῖν ταῦτα Διάνοιαν, ἀλλὰ μόνον τις, ἐπεὶ... ...αὐτὸν οὐδὲ δυνάμενον νοεῖσθαι ὅτι Χρόνος οὐκ ἂν εἴη ποτέ, ἀλλ' εὐθύς ἐπιβλεπόμενον ὅτι τοῦτό τι Νοεῖ ἐξ ἀνάγκης...

...Such a thing does **not** exist – **nor** [*is such an incorrect idea*] entirely like some of the things that do exist. I say, it is not necessary for [*mental*] Perception to seek these things, but only some... since... ...[he] himself is not even able to conceive that Time would never exist, but [*with him*] directly fully seeing that He conceives this particular thing out of [*natural*] necessity...

τοῖος <i>(τοῖᾶ, τοῖον)</i>	like this <i>(of such a kind)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	’ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
τό τοιοῦτον τά τοιαῦτα	such a thing	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΝ <i>self of this sort</i>
οὐτοιοῦτον = οὔτοι οὔτον	not such a thing	ΟΥΤΟΙ ’ΟΥΤΟΝ <i>not of this sort</i> <i>self</i>
οἷος <i>(οἰᾶ, οἷον)</i>	such as	’ΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
ὅλως	entirely	’ΟΛΩΣ <i>whole</i>
ζητεῖν	to seek	ΖΗΤΕΙΝ <i>seeking</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ δiάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
δυνάμενος <i>(μένη, μενον)</i>	(of men) able (of objects) having the force	ΔΥΝΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>power</i>
νοεῖσθαι	to be conceived	ΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ιθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἷα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΟΥΣ ΕΥΟΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
ἐπιβλέπειν	to fully see	ΕΠΙΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking upon</i>
ἐπιβλέπων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	fully seeing	
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against</i> <i>constraint</i>

10.2 Using Conventional Terms in Forming Judgments

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 5

...{μν}μνη διὰ λέξε[ως ἐθισ]μούς, ὧ πρὸς θε[ῶν, ὅ]τι ταῦθ' ὀρᾷς θη..[μ..] κατὰ δόξας ἰών· [πα]ντοδαπῶς {πως} γινομένων
πραγμάτ[ων τί]ς ταραττοὶ [ᾶ]ν ἀεὶ γὰρ[ρ] ὄν[τ]α κεν[ᾶ]...

...μνήμη διὰ λέξεως ἐθισμούς – ὦ, πρὸς θεῶν! – ὅτι ταῦθ' Ὀρᾷς θῆμα... κατὰ δόξας ἰών παντοδαπῶς πως γινομένων πραγμάτων: Τίς ταραττοὶ ἄν – ἀεὶ γὰρ ὄντα κενὰ...

...[to such an extent produces *linguistic*] conventions by [your] memory through [*the use of*] a term – **oh, by gods!** – that You see *these* [*conventions*] as an established thing... [*with you*] proceeding according to [your] judgements as [*practical*] situations arise in various ways: Anyone could disturb [*those practical situations*] – for they are **always empty**...

ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
------------------------------------	--------	---------------------------------

λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
τὸ ἔθνος τὰ ἔθνη	ethnicity	ΕΘΝΟΣ <i>accustomed</i>
ὁ ἐθισμός οἱ ἐθισμοί	convention	ΕΘΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of being accustomed</i>
ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
τό θῆμα τά θήματα	the established thing	ΘΗΜΑ <i>putting</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
παντοδαπός <i>(ἡ, όν)</i>	varied	ΠΑΝΤΟΔΑΠΟΣ <i>all countries</i>
παντοδαπῶς	variously	
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
τὸ πράγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
συνταράττειν	to confuse	ΣΥΝΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating together</i>
ταράττειν	to disturb	ΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating</i>

10.3 Correspondence

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 11

...οὐ γὰρ τοιοῦ[τοις τισίν] ἐγχειρήμασι π[ρὸς διά]νοιαν λόγοι γίνοντ[αι], ἀλλ' ὅταν τόδε τ[ὸ] προειλημμένον δεικνύῃ τις κατὰ τὸ ἴδι[ον] ὄνομα, εἴτα ἐξ ἀποστή[μι]ατος κάτ[ω] προσυ[πο]μένοντο[ς]...

...οὐ γὰρ ^[1] τοιούτοις τισίν ἐγχειρήμασι πρὸς διάνοιαν Λόγοι γίνονται – ἀλλ' ^[2] ὅταν ^[•Λ] τόδε τὸ προειλημμένον δεικνύῃ Τις κατὰ τὸ ἴδιον ὄνομα – εἴτα, ἐξ ἀποστήματος κάτω προσυπομένοντος...

...for Reasonings do not come to exist ^[1] from such attempts directed toward thought – but rather ^[2] whenever Someone demonstrates ^[•Λ] that anticipated thing according to a particular word – then, with it further enduring afterwards from a distance...

ἡ χεῖρ αἱ χεῖρες	hand	ΧΕΙΡ <i>hand</i>
ἐγχειρεῖν	to attempt	ΕΓΧΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>in hand</i>
τὸ ἐγχείρημα τὰ ἐγχειρήματα	attempt	ΕΓΧΕΙΡΗΜΑ <i>result of being in hand</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τὸ προειλημμένον τὰ προειλημμένα	^[•Λ] what has already been anticipated	ΠΡΟΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΝ <i>being having grasped before</i>
δεικνύναι	to prove	ΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing</i>

ἴδιος (α, ον)	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
τὸ ἀπόστημα τὰ ἀποστήματα	distance	ΑΠΟΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing away</i>
κάτω	below / after	ΚΑΤΩ <i>below</i>
προσυπομένων (α, ον)	further enduring	ΠΡΟΣΥΠΟΜΕΝΩΝ <i>toward enduring</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 12

...[οὐ]δέ τις [έσ]τι τόδε τι ἄλλο νομίζειν δίκαιος· καὶ μά[λ]α εὖ, ἔφη, μοὶ δο[κ]εῖς ἅπαντα τὰ προ[ειρη]μένα διειλέχθαι, [καὶ οὐ]κ ἀνυπόπτου...

...οὐδέ Τίς ἐστι **τόδε τι ἄλλο** νομίζειν δίκαιος – καὶ "**μάλα εὖ**"Ἔφη "**μοὶ Δοκεῖς ἅπαντα τὰ προειρημένα** διειλέχθαι, καὶ **οὐκ ἀνυπόπτου[τος]**..."

...nor is Anyone just in thinking of **this [i.e., "time"]** as something else – and, He said "You seem **to me** to have discussed **all that has been previously mentioned very well**, and **[you are]** not unsuspected [regarding]..."

νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
δίκαιος (ᾱ, ον)	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
εἰρήσθαι	to have been said	ΕΙΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>spoke</i>
τὸ προειρημένον τὰ προειρημένα	what has been previously mentioned	ΠΡΟΕΙΡΗΜΕΝΟΝ <i>spoke before</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
διειλέχθαι	to discuss [already]	ΔΙΕΙΛΕΧΘΑΙ <i>had spoken through</i>
ἀνύποπτος (ος, ον)	without [a reason for] suspicion	ΑΝΥΠΟΠΤΟΣ <i>not looking [suspiciously] under</i>
ἀνυπόπτευτος (ος, ον)	unsuspected	ΑΝΥΠΟΠΤΕΥΤΟΣ <i>not looking [suspiciously] under</i>

10.4 Judging from Impressions

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 15

...[μ]ατος τεκμα[ιρόμ]ενοι, τὰς δὲ γινομ[έ]νας φαντασίας ἡμῶν περὶ μεγέθους χρόνου μὴ συμφώνους τῷ πλήθει τοῦ [παν]τὸς ὡς ψευδε[ί]ς, μηδὲ πρὸς] τὸ ἄλλο μέγε[θο]ς προστυ[πουμένας· τ]ουτ[ο]νὶ ἔφη...

...[σχῆμ]ατος τεκμαιρόμενοι – **τὰς δὲ γινομένας φαντασίας ἡμῶν** περὶ **μεγέθους χρόνου μὴ συμφώνους τῷ** **πλήθει τοῦ παντός** **ὡς ψευδεῖς** – **μηδὲ πρὸς τὸ ἄλλο μέγεθος** **προστυπουμένας· τουτονὶ**Ἔφη...

...because they are judging [from] **the shape** – but [they are judging] **the appearance produced in us as false** regarding the extension of time not in harmony with the [large] number of all [things] – but [they are] not [judging the images that] **are making a further impression** in relation to the other extension [of time]. He said that that **this [masc. sg.]** here...

τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
--------------------------------------	-------	---

τεκμαίρεσθαι	to judge [from indications]	ΤΕΚΜΑΙΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>definitive sign</i> <i>[of boundary]</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τὸ γινόμενον τὰ γινόμενα	what is produced	
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of</i> <i>appearing</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
συμφωνεῖν	to harmonize	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i> <i>together</i>
σύμφωνος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	in harmony	
τὸ πλῆθος τὰ πλήθη	[large] number	ΠΛΗΘΟΣ <i>fullness</i>
τὸ πᾶν <i>(τοῦ παντός)</i>	<i>(individually)</i> everything, <i>(collectively)</i> the universe	ΠΑΝ <i>all</i>
ψευδής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	false	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ <i>deceiving</i>
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ <i>imprinting</i>
προστυπεῖν	to make a further impression	ΠΡΟΣΤΥΠΕΙΝ <i>toward/further</i> <i>imprinting</i>
οὗτοσί <i>(αὐτηί, τουτί)</i>	this here	’ΟΥΤΟΝΙ <i>this here</i>

10.5 Practical Experience of Time

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 17

...[ἔ]χομέν [τινα φαν]τα[σ]ίαν τ[ῶ]ν ἡμερῶν καὶ νυκτῶν, καθ' ἣμ μῆκός τι νο[ο]ῦμεν περὶ αὐτάς [κατα]μετρητικὸν [πασ]ῆς κεινήσεως· οὐ [γάρ] θέλομεν αὐτο[ῖ] ταῖς] αὐταῖς μετ[ρεῖσθαι] τὸ[ν χρό]νὸν ὥς [ἡμερῶ]ν [καί] νυκ[τῶν]...

...Ἐχομέν **τινα φαντασίαν τῶν ἡμερῶν καὶ νυκτῶν, καθ' ἣν μῆκός τι** Νοοῦμεν **περὶ αὐτάς καταμετρητικὸν πάσης κινήσεως** – οὐ γὰρ Θέλομεν αὐτοὶ **ταῖς αὐταῖς** **μετρεῖσθαι τὸν χρόνον ὥς ἡμερῶν καὶ νυκτῶν...**

...[their argument is that] We possess **a certain appearance of days and nights**, according to **which** We conceive **a certain length [of time]** that fully **measures all movement relating to those [days and nights]** – for We ourselves do not want to measure **time by those same [standards]** as **days and nights...**

ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of</i> <i>appearing</i>
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	ἭΜΕΡΑ <i>day</i>
ἡ νύξ αἱ νύκτες	night	ΝΥΞ <i>night</i>
τὸ μῆκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ <i>extent</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
τὸ καταμέτρημα τὰ καταμετρήματα	full measurement	ΚΑΤΑΜΕΤΡΗΜΑ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>measuring</i>

καταμετρητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	fully measuring	ΚΑΤΑΜΕΤΡΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>pertaining to thoroughly measuring</i>
ή κίνησις αί κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
θέλειν	to want	ΘΕΛΕΙΝ <i>wanting</i>
μετρεῖν	to measure	ΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>measuring</i>
μετρεῖσθαι	to be measured	

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 19 & 20

...[τῷ] χρόνῳ(*) πῶ[ς] ἅμα [λαμβάν]εσθαι τ[ὰ] συ[να]μφότερα, ἴδὸν πο[λὺν χρόν]ον μετρεῖ[σθαι καὶ τὸ]ν δια...|**κον καὶ το...** εἰ ἄρα σοι [περὶ] τα[ῦτα] ἐκ τῆς ἐκκειμ[ένης] λέξεως ὁ λόγος ἦ, οὐδ' ἂν ὀκνήσα[ι]μι οὐ χρόνον εἶναι τὰς ἡμέρας φῆσαι καὶ τὰς νύ[κτας οὐ]δ' ἄμετρον...

...τῷ χρόνῳ πῶς ἅμα λαμβάνεσθαι τὰ συναμφότερα, τὸν πολὺν χρόνον μετρεῖσθαι καὶ τὸν δια...| ...εἰ, ἄρα, σοι περὶ ταῦτα ἐκ τῆς ἐκκειμένης λέξεως ὁ Λόγος ἦ – οὐδ' ἂν Ὀκνήσαιμι οὐ χρόνον εἶναι τὰς ἡμέρας φῆσαι καὶ τὰς νύκτας, οὐδ' ἄμετρον...

...[it is necessary to understand] how both together may be comprehended in time at once, [and how] a long time is measured and [how] a [short time is measured] through...| ...if, indeed, your Reasoning about these things is from this term [of "time" that has been] set forth – I would not hesitate at all to declare that time is the days and the nights, nor [is time] immeasurable...

λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
ἀμφοτέρος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	both	ΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>what is on both sides</i>
τὰ συναμφότερα	both together	ΣΥΝΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΝ <i>both of the two together</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
μετρεῖσθαι	to be measured	ΜΕΤΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>measuring</i>
ἀντικείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	contrary	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated against</i>
ἐκκείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	set forth	ΕΚΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated out</i>
ή λέξις αί λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]</i>
ὀκνεῖν	to hesitate	ΟΚΝΕΙΝ <i>hesitating</i>
ή ἡμέρα αί ἡμέραι	day	ἩΜΕΡΑ <i>day</i>
ή νύξ αί νύκτες	night	ΝΥΞ <i>night</i>
ἄμετρος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	immeasurable	ΑΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>without measure</i>

10.6 Thought Experiment

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 22, 23

...τοῦτο μὲν [ὁμολογοῦ]μεν, τὸ δ' ἐναν[τί]ον κατασκευάζομεν ἵνα δὴ ἡμῖν ἀμφοτέρωι οἱ λόγοι περὶ [ἔ]καστον ὑπάρχωσιν· ἀλλὰ προφά[σει]ς οἰόμεθ[α εἶναι] τῶ[ν] τάσ[δε τὰς] | [τὸ]ν οὐ νοοῦμεν[ον χρό]νον δὴ ὁπότεν [ὕμ]ᾱς εἵπωμεν νοεῖν, τὸν χρόνον ὥσαν[εἰ συ]μβεβηκός τινι [φαντ]ασία[ι τῇ] τῶν...

...**τοῦτο** μὲν Ὅμολογοῦμεν, **τὸ δ' ἐναντίον** Κατασκευάζομεν ἵνα δὴ **ἡμῖν** ἀμφότεροι οἱ Λόγοι **περὶ ἕκαστον** ὑπάρχωσιν. ἀλλὰ **προφάσεις** Οἰόμεθα **εἶναι τῶν**: **τάσδε τὰς τὸν οὐ νοοῦμενον χρόνον** – δὴ, ὁπότεν Ὑμᾶς Εἵπωμεν **νοεῖν τὸν χρόνον** ὥσανεὶ **συμβεβηκός τινι φαντασίᾳ τῇ τῶν**...

...We agree on **this**, but We construct **what is opposing** [*i.e., a contrary argument*] so that indeed both Reasonings may exist **for us** concerning each [topic]. but we believe [these reasonings] exist as presumptions of those [people]: **these particular** [presumptions in which] time is not correctly conceived – indeed, whenever We say **You all** conceive time as if [it was] a property [inseparably linked] **with a certain** appearance of those...

ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>same reasoning</i>
ἐναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>
τὸ ἐναντίον τὰ ἐναντία	what is opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΝ
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
κατασκευάζειν	to construct	ΚΑΤΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly preparing</i>
ἀμφοτέρος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	both	ΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>what is on both sides</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
φάσκειν	to allege	ΦΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>affirming</i>
ἡ πρόφασις αἱ προφάσεις	presumption	ΠΡΟΦΑΣΙΣ <i>before affirming</i>
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
νοοῦμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	conceived	ΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	<i>"that which has endured"</i> property <i>(inseparable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>

10.7 Planetary Movements

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 25

...κάκεινων [οὐχί ο]ὐθὲν τοδὶ προ[σμε]τρεῖς ἐπειδάν τις λέγηι, τὴν τοῦ ἡλίου κίνησιν παραθεωρῶν πλε[ῖ]ω ἢ ἐλάττω χρόνον γιγνομένην, καταδοξάζειν [αὐ]τὸ καὶ τὸν χρό[νον] διὰ το[ῦ]ς...

...**κάκεινων**, οὐχὶ οὐθὲν **τοδὶ** Προσμετρεῖς – ἐπειδάν Τις λέγη **τὴν τοῦ ἡλίου κίνησιν** παραθεωρῶν **πλεῖω ἢ ἐλάττω χρόνον γιγνομένην**, καταδοξάζειν **αὐτὸ καὶ τὸν χρόνον διὰ τοὺς**...

...and **of those**, You do not in any way further measure [time] – whenever Someone claims, while investigating **the movement of the sun** which occurs with **greater or smaller** [amounts of] time, to thoroughly judge **that** [motion of the sun] and **time itself** through those...

κάκειϊνος = καὶ ἐκεῖνος <i>(η, ο)</i>	and that	καὶ ἐκεῖνος <i>and that</i>
μετρεῖν	to measure	METPEIN <i>measuring</i>
προσμετρεῖν	to further measure	ΠΡΟΣΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>further measuring</i>
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἥΛΙΟΣ <i>sunshine</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	^[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
παραθεωρεῖν	to investigate	ΠΑΡΑΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>observing alongside</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ἐλάττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	smaller	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>lesser</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i> γινόμενοι <i>(αι, α)</i>	happening	ΓΙΓΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>birthing</i>
καταδοξάζειν	to thoroughly judge	ΚΑΤΑΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly seeming</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 27

...ταύτοϋ πλέον[ος] αὐτῶν ὄντος, ἕτερον δὲ καὶ οὐ ταυτό πάλιν, ὅτι τὸ περὶ [τ]ῶν οὐχ ὑπὸ νοῦν συμπτ[υττ]όντων αὖ...

...ταύτοϋ πλέονός αὐτῶν ὄντος – ἕτερον δὲ, καὶ οὐ ταυτό πάλιν, ὅτι Τὸ περὶ τῶν οὐχ ὑπὸ νοῦν συμπιπτόντων...

...with the same thing existing in a greater amount [of time compared to] them – but different [amount of time], and not the same [amount] again, because [it is] What concerns those [qualities that are] not symptomatic to the intellect ["things that do temporarily occur under the scope of the mind"]

πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ὁ νοῦς οἱ νοῖ	intellect	ΝΟΥΣ <i>sense</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	^[temporary for its compound] symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
συμπίπτειν	to be symptomatic	ΣΥΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling together</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 29

...καὶ τ[ῶ]ν λοιπῶν πραγμάτων ἀτάρακτα [ἐ]πὶ τοῦ χρ[όνου ο]ὐχ ὅτι ἤ[ρ]ξαν[το]...

...καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν πραγμάτων, ἀτάρακτα ἐπὶ τοῦ χρόνου, οὐχ ὅτι Ἦρξαντο...

...and of the rest of the situations, undisturbed in regard to time, not that They had begun [for themselves]...

λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining ^[rest of + gen]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>

ἀτάραχος = ἀτάρακτος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undisturbed	ΑΤΑΡΑΧΟΣ ΑΤΑΡΑΚΤΟΣ <i>without agitating</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ἄρχειν	to begin	ΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i>
ἄρξασθαι	to begin for oneself [already]	ΑΡΞΑΣΘΑΙ <i>beginning</i>

10.8 Against Time as a "Measurable Image"

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 31

...καὶ φαντασ[ια τ]ίς ἐστὶν ὁ χρόνος [κι]νήσεως πάσης κα[τα]μετρητικὴ καὶ [οὐ τ]ῇ(*) κειν[ή]σει συμ[μετρουμένη] [μέ]γεθος...

...καὶ **φαντασία τίς** ἐστὶν ὁ Χρόνος **κινήσεως πάσης καταμετρητικὴ καὶ οὐ τῇ κεινήσει συμμετρουμένη μέγεθος...**

...[their argument is that] Time also is **a certain appearance that is fully measurable for every movement and not measured together with movement as an extension...**

ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασῖαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ἡ κείνησις = ἡ κίνησις	movement	ΚΕΙΝΗΣΙΣ ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving</i>
καταμετρητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	fully measurable	ΚΑΤΑΜΕΤΡΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of thoroughly measuring</i>
συμμετρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	measured together	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 32

...τοῦτο [θ]έλου[σιν] κατη[γο]ροῦ[ντες] ταῖσδέ τισιν ἐρ[μηνε]ίαις· κ[αί] π[άλι]ν [πρὸς] ὅλον [τρ]έποντος...

...**τοῦτο** **Θέλουσιν**, **κατηγοροῦντες ταῖσδέ τισιν ἐρμηνείαις** – **καὶ πάλιν, πρὸς ὅλον τρέποντος...**

...They want [to maintain] **this [account]**, because they are fully indicating [it] by means of these specific interpretations – and again, with **[this account]** directed **toward the whole...**

θέλειν	to want	ΘΕΛΕΙΝ <i>wanting</i>
κατηγορεῖν	to fully indicate	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly speak</i>
κατηγορῶν	fully indicating	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΩΝ
ἡ ἐρμηνεία αἱ ἐρμηνεῖαι	interpretation	ΕΡΜΗΝΕΙΑ <i>Hermes / interpreting</i>
διατρέπειν	to refuse	ΔΙΑΤΡΕΠΕΙΝ <i>turning thoroughly</i>
τρέπων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	turning	ΤΡΕΠΩΝ <i>turning</i>

...[ἐρ]μηνείαις χρώ[νται·] ἀλλὰ γοῦν οὐ[δεπώ]ποτε οἱ τόδ' ἡμ[ῶν] γενεᾶς [τὸ κ]ῦδο[ς ἐ]πὶ τοῦτο τὸ παράλλαγμα φέροντες...

...ἐρμηνείαις Χρῶνται – ἀλλὰ γοῦν, οὐδεπώποτε Οἱ τόδ' ἡμῶν γενεᾶς τὸ κῦδος ἐπὶ τοῦτο τὸ παράλλαγμα φέροντες...

...They use interpretations – but at any rate, never yet have the Men bearing this glory of our generation into that variation...

ἡ ἐρμηνεία αἱ ἐρμηνείαι	interpretation	ἙΡΜΗΝΕΙΑ <i>Hermes / interpreting</i>
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
ἡ γένεσις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ <i>action of being born</i>
ἡ γενεά αἱ γενεαί	generation (group of contemporaries)	ΓΕΝΕΑ <i>being born</i>
τὸ κῦδος τὰ κύδεα	glory	ΚΥΔΟΣ <i>paying attention</i>
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>
τὸ παράλλαγμα τὰ παραλλάγματα	variation	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΜΑ <i>changing across</i>
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
φέρων (ουσα, ον)	carrying	ΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing</i>

10.9 Man-Made Measurements

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 35 & 36

..[τῶν νυκτ]ῶν ἅμα τε καὶ τῶν ἡ]μερ[ῶν] βλέπων [παραλλαγὰς ἱκα]ναῖς λέξεσιν ὀκνεῖς χρῆσ[θ]αι· εἰ δὴ ἐμ φύσει μ[έτρον] μὴ ἔστι, κ[αθὰ] ὑ]με[τε]ρ[ῶν] ὑμν[εῖτε, οὐ δέ] χρόνον εἶ[ναι δεῖ νομίζειν]... | ...κατηγοροῦμεν, καὶ τὴν ἡμέραν ἔχοντες, κατ' αὐτῶν τοιαύτην τ[ήνδε] φαντασίαν, ἥ μ[ὴ] κός τι {ν} ἄλλο, [κα]ὶ ὁμοία[ν τῶ]ι πλή[θει], εἰ ὑφ' ἡμ[ῶν]...

...τῶν νυκτῶν ἅμα τε καὶ τῶν ἡμερῶν βλέπων παραλλαγὰς, ἱκαναῖς λέξεσιν Ὀκνεῖς χρῆσθαι· εἰ δὴ ἐμ φύσει Μέτρον μὴ ἔστι, καθὰ Ὑμεῖς ὑμνεῖτε, οὐ δὲ χρόνον εἶναι Δεῖ νομίζειν;... ...Κατηγοροῦμεν καὶ τὴν ἡμέραν, ἔχοντες κατ' αὐτῶν τοιαύτην τήνδε φαντασίαν – ἥ, ὡς Μῆκός τι ἄλλο, καὶ ὁμοίαν τῷ πλήθει, εἰ ὑφ' ἡμῶν...

...seeing the varieties of the nights and the days as well You hesitate to use sufficient terms. If indeed a [standard of] Measurement does not exist by nature, just as You all [always] chant, [then] It is necessary to think that time does not exist...? ...We even fully indicate [the length of] the day, while we have such an appearance as this contrary to those [people] – which [appearance], just as some certain Length, [is] also similar to a [large] number... if by us...

ἡ νύξ αἱ νύκτες	night	ΝΥΞ <i>night</i>
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	ἩΜΕΡΑ <i>day</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
βλέπων (ουσα, ον)	seeing	
τὸ παράλλαγμα τὰ παραλλάγματα	variation	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΜΑ <i>changing across</i>
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>
ἱκανός (ή, όν)	sufficient	ἸΚΑΝΟΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>

ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
ὀκνεῖν	to hesitate	ΟΚΝΕΙΝ <i>hesitating</i>
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
μετρέιν	to measure	METPEIN <i>measuring</i>
τό μέτρον τά μέτρα	(standard of) measurement	
ὕμνεῖν	to chant	ΎΜΝΕΙΝ <i>singing</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
κατηγορεῖν	to fully indicate	KATHGOREIN <i>thoroughly speaking publicly</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασῖαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
τὸ μῆκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ <i>extent</i>
ὅμοιος (α, ον)	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
τὸ πλῆθος τὰ πλήθη	[large] number	ΠΛΗΘΟΣ <i>fullness</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 37

...[εἰ δ]έ ποτ' ἐκ πρ[οτέρων] θεωρ[ιῶν τοῦ]τό μο[ι λεί]πει ἐξ ἀνάγκ[η]ς, [ἀλλ'] ὅμω[ς λέγομε]ν ὅτι, ὅταν ὁ λό[γος περὶ τὸν] [χ]ρόνον πρὸς α[ὐτὰς ἀνέλθῃ], ταύταις δέ [τ]οι δοκοῦσιν αἶ τε [ἐρμην]εῖται καὶ αἱ φαν[τασ]ίαι ἐνδιαμέ[νεινώς ἀπ]ὸ τοῦ ὅλου κα[τὰ ταῦτα]ς τὰς ἐνχη...

...εἰ δέ ποτ', ἐκ προτέρων θεωριῶν Τοῦτό μοι λείπει ἐξ ἀνάγκης – ἀλλ' ὅμως Λέγομεν ὅτι ὅταν ὁ Λόγος περὶ τὸν χρόνον πρὸς αὐτὰς ἀνέλθῃ, ταύταις δέ τοι δοκοῦσιν αἶ τε Ἑρμηνεῖται καὶ αἱ Φαντασίαι ἐνδιαμένειν – ὡς ἀπὸ τοῦ ὅλου κατὰ ταύτας τὰς ἐνχή[σεις]...

...If ever, due to [natural] necessity, this leaves me out of [my] former observation* – yet still We say that whenever Reasoning about time ascends toward those [words], both the Interpretations and the appearance seem to you to persist within those [words] – as according to those impositions from the whole...

*i.e., "I do not think what I thought then"?

πρότερος (α, ον)	former	ΠΡΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>before [contrast]</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	[the act of mental] observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	KATALEIPEIN <i>thoroughly leave</i>
λείπειν	to leave	ΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>leaving</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἀνέρχεσθαι	ascends	ΑΝΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving toward</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
προσδοκᾶν	to expect	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΚΑΝ <i>seeming</i>

δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
ἡ ἑρμηνεία αἱ ἑρμηνεῖαι	interpretation	ἙΡΜΗΝΕΙΑ <i>Hermes / interpreting</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
διαμένειν	to persist	ΔΙΑΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying through</i>
ἐνδιαμένειν	to persist within	ΕΝΔΙΑΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying in through</i>
ὅλως	entirely	ὍΛΩΣ <i>whole</i>
τὸ ὅλον τὰ ὅλα	the whole	ὍΛΟΝ <i>whole</i>
ἐγχεῖν	to pour in	ΕΓΧΕΙΝ <i>pouring in</i>
τὸ ἐνχῆμα τὰ ἐνχήματα	what is poured in [here, "imposition"]	ΕΝΧΗΜΑ <i>pouring in</i>
I can find no other possible good options for completing ἐνχη-		

10.10 The Nature of Time

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 38

...[οὐχ ὁμοίως ἐν]διαμέ[νει] σῶματι τὸ [κ]αθ' ἐ[αυ]τὸ μέν καὶ τὸ [κα]τὰ [τῇ]ν ἰδιότροπον [πρόλ]ηψιν νοοῦμε[νον καὶ] οὐκ ἔχον δι[ι] αὐ[τὸ οὐδέ]ν τοῦτο ἄλλο{ν}, ὡς μία ἡμέ[ρ]α διαφορᾷ καλεῖ[ται, κ]α[ὶ] οὐ μὴ[ν] λέγομεν ἐν αὐτῇ] ταύ[την διαφο]ρὰν ἐν[υπάρ]χειν· ὁ θεωρῶ[ν καὶ] τὸ ὑπὸ ταύ[της]...

...οὐχ ὁμοίως ἐνδιαμένει **σῶματι** **Τὸ καθ' ἑαυτὸ** – μέν καὶ τὸ **κατὰ τὴν ἰδιότροπον πρόληψιν νοοῦμενον**. καὶ, οὐκ ἔχον **δι' αὐτὸ οὐδέν**, **Τοῦτο ἄλλον**: ὡς μία Ἡμέρα **διαφορᾷ** καλεῖται. καὶ οὐ μόνον **Λέγομεν ἐν αὐτῇ ταύτην διαφορὰν ἐνυπάρχειν**: **ὁ** θεωρῶν καὶ **τὸ ὑπὸ ταύτης**...

...[time] does not persist in the same way [as] What exists per se **with a body** – but [time persists] as What is **conceived** according to a particular type of anticipation. and [time, because it] does not have **anything** in-and-of-itself, it [relies on] **something else**: as one [specific] Day is called [by a specific term] according to [its] difference. and We do not only say **that difference exists within** the [conception of that specific day]: observing [time] and **what** is subject to it...

ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
ἐνδιαμένειν	to persist within	ΕΝΔΙΑΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying in through</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
ἰδιότροπος (ον)	particular type of	ΙΔΙΟΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>particular way</i>
ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
νοοῦμενος (η, ον)	conceived	ΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	ἩΜΕΡΑ <i>day</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
καλεῖν	to call	ΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>crying out</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>

ἐνυπάρχειν	to exist within	ΕΝΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before) in</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	<i>[the act of mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
θεωρῶν <i>(ῶσα, οῶν)</i>	observing	ΘΕΩΡΩΝ

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 39 & 40

...εἰ ἄρα καὶ τὸ ἔ[τερον] εἶν[αι κα]τ’ ἐ[ν]α[ν]τίαν [θεωρίαν πεπλεγ]μένον [λέγομεν, ὁμως] ζητεῖ[ται]... ...φωνὰς [περὶ] τοιαύ[την φ]ύσιν ἀπὸ τοῦ ὄν[τως εἶ]ναι τὸ γ[χρό]νον[ον] παρὰ τὸ μηθὲν εἶ[ναι μ]ῆκος τοιοῦτο [μᾶλλον ἢ ἐ]κεῖνο· οὕτως...

...εἰ, ἄρα, καὶ τὸ ἕτερον εἶναι κατ’ ἐναντίαν θεωρίαν πεπλεγμένον Λέγομεν, ὁμως ζητεῖται... ...φωνὰς περὶ τοιαύτην φύσιν ἀπὸ τοῦ ὄντως εἶναι τὸν χρόνον παρὰ τὸ μηθὲν – εἶναι μῆκος τοιοῦτο μᾶλλον ἢ ἐκεῖνο οὕτως...

...if, therefore, We also say that a different [conception of time] exists as entangled in an opposing observation, nevertheless, it is sought...
...statements concerning such a nature from the reality that time exists rather than [being] nothing [at all – time] exists as a length such as this or that [thing which] in this way...

ἐναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	<i>[the act of mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
πλεκτικός <i>(η, ον)</i>	entangling	ΠΛΕΚΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of weaving</i>
πεπλεγμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	entangled	ΠΕΠΛΕΓΜΕΝΟΣ <i>wove</i>
ζητεῖν	to seek	ΖΗΤΕΙΝ <i>seeking</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
τὸ μῆκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ <i>extent</i>

10.11 Conceiving Time

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 41 & 42

...τάς λα[μβ]α[νο]μέν[ας] ὑφ’ ἐκα[τ]έρων [τῶν] ἐρμην[ει]ῶν καν... ...ἅμα καὶ κα[τὰ τ]ὴν ἑτέραν βρα[χύ] τι νο[ῆ]σαι τοῦ [ὄλ]ου [πρ]άγματος γεν[ομέ]νου· ὥστε [καὶ ἄλ]λο πως πα[ρ’ ἐτέ]ραν ὀνο[μασίαν ἅ]μα τι ἴδιον...

...τάς λαμβανόμενας ὑφ’ ἐκατέρων τῶν ἐρμηνειῶν κάν... ...ἅμα καὶ κατὰ τὴν ἑτέραν βραχύ τι Νοῆσαι τοῦ ὅλου πράγματος γενομένου – ὥστε καὶ ἄλλο, πως, παρ’ ἑτέραν ὀνομασίαν ἅμα Τι ἴδιον...

...the [differences] comprehended by each of the interpretations and also...
...to briefly Conceive something of the whole situation [once] it has been produced together and according to the other [explanation] – so that, in some way, even some other particular [situation exists] at the same time with another designation...

λαμβάνειν	<i>[intellectually]</i> to comprehend, <i>[generally]</i> to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
ἡ ἐρμηνεία αἱ ἐρμηνείαι	interpretation	ἙΡΜΗΝΕΙΑ <i>Hermes / interpreting</i>
βραχύς <i>(εἴα, ύ)</i>	short	ΒΡΑΧΥΣ <i>brief</i>

νοεῖν	to conceive	NOEIN <i>seeing</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
ἡ ὀνομασία αἱ ὀνομασίαι	designation	ΟΝΟΜΑΣΙΑ <i>state of name</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 43 & 44

...τὸ ἄλλο ὑφ’ ἐκ[ατέρ]ων τῶν ἔρμ[η]νειῶν] φάσμα... ...ζητοῦσιν ἅ[μ]α πο[σά]κ[ι]ς μὲν [ἐ]πὶ τὸ ἀποτεύσειν ἀνάγκη τὸ[ν] ἐπ[ι]νοούμενον [κ]α[ι] παρεπόμε[νον δια]λαμ[βάνειν χρ]ὸν[ον]...

...τὸ ἄλλο ὑφ’ ἐκατέρων τῶν ἑρμηνειῶν φάσμα... ...Ζητοῦσιν ἅμα ποσάκ[ι]ς μὲν ἐπὶ τὸ ἀποτεύσειν ἀνάγκη τὸν ἐπ[ι]νοούμενον καὶ παρεπόμενον διαλαμβάνειν χρόνον...

✱✱ ...the other appearance under each of the interpretations... ...They simultaneously seek how often [it is] necessary to distinguish the time that is objectively conceived and [the time] follows along upon the outcome...

ἡ ἑρμηνεία αἱ ἑρμηνείαι	interpretation	ἙΡΜΗΝΕΙΑ <i>Hermes /</i> <i>interpreting</i>
φαίνεσθαι	to be apparent	ΦΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>shining</i>
τὸ φάσμα τὰ φάσματα	appearance	ΦΑΣΜΑ <i>shining</i>
ζητεῖν	to seek	ΖΗΤΕΙΝ <i>seeking</i>
ἡ ἀποτεύσεις αἱ ἀποτεύσεις	outcome	ΑΠΟΤΕΥΣΙΣ <i>action of</i> <i>finishing away</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	^[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against</i> <i>constraint</i>
νοούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	conceived	ΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
ἐπ[ι]νοούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	objectively conceived	ΕΠΙΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing upon</i>
παρεπόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	following along	ΠΑΡΕΠΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>following beside</i>
διαλαμβάνειν	to distinguish	ΔΙΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i> <i>separation</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 47 & 48

...[τ]ὸν συνκείμε[νον] χρόνον [ἐξ ἅπ]αν[των μερῶν]... ...[χρ]όνο[ι]... ...νοοῦ[μεν τε καὶ] προσεπινο[ούμεν] ἀφήσομεν [οὔν] ἡ[μ]ε[ι]ς τὸ ἐπινοη[θὲ]ν εἶ[ναι] χρόνον, χρό[νον δέ] κατὰ τι... | ...τοῦτο [γὰρ οὐ μόνον] νοοῦμεν θεωροῦντες ἐπὶ τ[ῶνδε τῶν] κ[ι]ν[η]τῶν, ἀλλὰ πᾶς ὁ διελιγμένος ἡμῖν χρόν[ος] ἄλλος...

...τὸν συνκείμενον χρόνον ἐξ ἀπάντων μερῶν... Χρόνοι... Νοοῦμεν τε καὶ προσεπ[ι]νοοῦμεν. ἀφήσομεν οὖν ἡμεῖς τὸ ἐπινοηθὲν εἶναι χρόνον – χρόνον δὲ κατὰ τι... τοῦτο γὰρ οὐ μόνον Νοοῦμεν θεωροῦντες ἐπὶ τῶνδε τῶν κινητῶν, ἀλλὰ πᾶς ὁ διειλημμένος ἡμῖν Χρόνος ἄλλος...

...the time composed together from all parts... Times... We conceive and we also objectively conceive additionally. therefore, we Ourselves will let go of what is objectively conceived about existing as time – but as time according to a certain... for not only do We conceive this while we are observing these moving things, but all Time that has been distinguished by us is another...

ἀντικείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	contrary	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated against</i>
ἐκκείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	set forth	ΕΚΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated out</i>
συνκείμενος	composed together	ΣΥΝΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated together</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
προσεπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive additionally	ΠΡΟΣΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ
ἐπινοηθεῖς <i>(α, ἐν)</i>	^[objectively] conceived ^[already]	ΕΠΙΝΟΗΘΕΙΣ <i>seeing upon</i>
τὸ ἐπινοηθέν τὰ ἐπινοηθέντα	what is objectively conceived	ΕΠΙΝΟΗΘΕΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἀφίεναι	to let go of	ΑΦΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going away</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	^[the act of mental] observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
θεωρῶν <i>(ῶσα, οῦν)</i>	observing	ΘΕΩΡΩΝ <i>envisioning</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	^[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
κινητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	moving	ΚΙΝΗΤΟΣ
διειλημμένος	having been distinguished	ΔΙΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>grasped through</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 49 & 51

...ταυτό σημ[ειουμένη] οὐ τὸν μικ[ρὸν χρόνον] διαλαβο[ῦσα]... ...μόνον [λέγ]ομεν ὅ[τι μέ]νηται ο τῶν[δ’ ἀλλά τ]οῦ λοιποῦ...

...**ταυτό** σημειουμένη – οὐ **τὸν μικρὸν χρόνον** διαλαβοῦσα... ...μόνον λέγομεν ὅτι μένηται **ὁ τῶνδ’**, ἀλλὰ **τοῦ λοιποῦ**...

...[with the interpretation] being indicated **as this** – not having distinguished the **small time**... We only say that he was reminded of **this, among these things [topics]** – but **of the remaining**...

σημειούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being indicated	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>sign</i>
μικρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
διαλαμβάνειν	to distinguish	ΔΙΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping separation</i>
διαλαβών	having distinguished	ΔΙΑΛΑΒΩΝ <i>grasped through</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
μιμνήσκειν	to recall	ΜΙΜΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ <i>thinking "again"</i>
μιμνήσκεσθαι	to be reminded	ΜΙΜΝΗΣΚΕΣΘΑΙ <i>thinking "again"</i>
μέμνηται	he was reminded	
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining ^[rest of + gen]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 59 & 60

...[ἐπ]ελθὸν ἂν [οὐδέν] ἀντιμαρ[τυρεῖ, οὐδέ τι ἄλ]λο τῶν [τοιουτοτρόπων] ἀναλ[όγως] τῇ χ[ρό]νου β[ραδυτῇ ἢ ταχυτῇ, ἂν μόνον] τὰ[δ]ε [διαμέ]νη[ι τὰ σ]υμπ[ίπτοντα ὡς ἐ]ν τῇ(*) ἄλ[ληι]... ...[δι]α[φ]ορά τοιαύ[τη τὸ γ]ιγνόμεν[ον] [πρᾶγμα] τάδε καὶ τὰ [ἄλλα] τινά...

...ἐπελθὸν ἂν Οὐδέν ἀντιμαρτυρεῖ, οὐδέ Τι ἄλλο τῶν τοιουτοτρόπων ἀναλόγως τῇ χρόνου βραδυτῇ ἢ ταχυτῇ. ἂν μόνον Τάδε διαμένη τὰ συμπίπτοντα ὡς ἐν τῇ ἄλλῃ... ...Διαφορά τοιαύτη τὸ γιγνόμενον πρᾶγμα τάδε καὶ τὰ ἄλλα τινά...

...Nothing that might have occurred contests [this conclusion], nor Anything else like it in a way that is analogous to a slow speed or high speed of time. if only These things that are a symptom [i.e., a separable quality] persist, as in the other... ...a Difference of this sort [is] the situation that arises [for] these things and certain other...

τὸ ἀπελθόν τὰ ἀπελθόντα	what went away	ΑΠΕΛΘΟΝ <i>went away</i>
ἐπελθών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	having occurred	ΕΠΕΛΘΩΝ <i>went upon</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
τό τοιοῦτον τά τοιαῦτα	such a thing	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΝ <i>self of this sort</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
τοιουτότροπος	of such a manner [here, "like that"]	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>self of this sort of way</i>
ἀναλόγως	analogously	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΩΣ <i>reasoning again</i>
βραδύς <i>(εἶα, ύ)</i>	slow	ΒΡΑΔΥΣ <i>slow</i>
τό βράδος = ἢ βραδύτης αἱ βραδύτητες	slow speed	ΒΡΑΔΥΤΗΣ <i>condition of being slow</i>
τὸ τάχος τὰ τάχη	speed	ΤΑΧΟΣ <i>swift</i>
ἢ ταχύτης αἱ ταχύτητες	high speed	ΤΑΧΥΤΗΣ <i>condition from quickly</i>
διαμένειν	to persist	ΔΙΑΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying through</i>
συμπίπτων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	to fall into each other	ΣΥΜΠΙΠΤΩΝ <i>falling together</i>
συμπίπτειν	to be symptomatic	ΣΥΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling together</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
ἢ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 67 & 69

...τὸ πρᾶγμ[α πρὸς] τὸ τῇμ ψυχῇ[ν]... ...[δ]υνάμεσιν εἴτε [έν] οἷς δήποτε πα[λαι]οῖς εἴτε ἡ[ι] διὰ...

...τὸ Πρᾶγμα πρὸς τὸ τὴν ψυχὴν... ...δυνάμεσιν, εἴτε ἐν οἷς δήποτε παλαιοῖς εἴτε ἥ διὰ...

...the Situation regarding what... the soul... ...with forces, whether in whatever ancient [things] or in that... because of...

τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ἡ δύναμις αἱ δυνάμεις	force	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>
παλαιός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	old / ancient	ΠΑΛΑΙΟΣ <i>long ago</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 70

...[i]καναί ε[ίε]ν ἅπαντα τρόπον τ[ῆ]ς [β]ρα[δυτῆ]τ[ος π]αρ[ά τ]ῃ [ν πε]ποι[ημέ]νην...

...ίκαναί εἶεν ἅπαντα **τρόπον τῆς βραδυτῆτος** **παρὰ τὴν πεποιημένην**...

...sufficient [forces] would [...] in all respects in [their] way of slowness beyond what was made...

ἰκανός <i>(ἦ, ὄν)</i>	sufficient	ΊΚΑΝΟΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner /</i> <i>direction</i>
τό βράδος = ἡ βραδύτης αἱ βραδύτητες	slowness	ΒΡΑΔΥΤΗΣ <i>condition of</i> <i>being slow</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
πεποιημένος	having been made	ΠΕΠΟΙΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>gathered</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Possibly Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 74 & 75

...[εἰ γὰρ ὁ] χρόνος, φήσα[ι τις, ἐστὶ] τὸ αὐτὸ εἶν[αι]... ...[ὦ] φίλτα[τε]... [ὥς]περεὶ γὰ[ρ τῷ ὑπα]ρχθέντι... ...περὶ χρόν[ου]...

...εἰ γὰρ ὁ Χρόνος, φήσει Τις, ἐστὶ **τὸ αὐτὸ εἶναι**... ὦ, Φίλτατε... ὥσπερὶ γὰρ **τῷ ὑπαρχθέντι**... **περὶ χρόνου**...

...for if Time, Someone will say, is the same thing that exists... oh, Dearest one... just as if with what has already been set forth... concerning time...

ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
ὑπαρχθεῖς <i>(εἴσα, ἐν)</i>	has come to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΘΕΙΣ

[U81]

Book 11

11.1 Size of the Sun

Scholion to Epicurus, Letter to Pythocles, Laertius 10.91

Τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ *ια΄ Περι Φύσεως*, "εἰ γάρ" Φησί **"τὸ μέγεθος διὰ τὸ διάστημα ἀπεβεβλήκει: πολλῷ μᾶλλον ἂν τὴν χρόαν – ἄλλο γὰρ τούτῳ συμμετρώτερον Διάστημα οὐθέν ἐστι"**

This is also in the 11th book of *On Nature*, He says "if [*the sun*] had lost *its size* due to the space in-between: then [*it would have lost*] *its coloring [i.e., brilliancy]* *even more* – for [some] other [*larger or smaller*] Space in-between is *in no way more symmetric [in proportion]* to this [*mutual diminishment in brightness and size*]"

βάλλειν	to throw	ΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing</i>
ἐκβάλλειν	to extend	ΕΚΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing out</i>
ἀποβάλλειν	to throw off [here, "to lose"]	ΑΠΟΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing away</i>
τὸ χρῶμα τὰ χρώματα	color	ΧΡΩΜΑ <i>skin color</i>
ἡ χροᾶ αἱ χροαί	coloring	ΧΡΟΑ <i>skin color</i>
σύνμετρος = σύμμετρος (ον)	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure together</i>
συμμετρότερος (α, ον)	more symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more measured together</i>

The apparent size and intensity of a light source both diminish as the distance from the source increases: a nearby fire appears larger and brighter than one farther away, just as stars seem smaller and dimmer compared to the Sun.

Consider the Pyrrhonian teaching that "things that are thought to be large appear small, square things round, flat things bumpy, straight things bent, and pale things colorful. The sun, at any rate, owing to its distance, appears small... moreover, the sun has a certain appearance at its rising, another at the zenith... since, therefore, one cannot observe these things apart from [specific] places and positions, their nature remains unknown." (Laertius 9.85)

Epicurus, Letter to Pythocles, Laertius 10.91 **Scholion above*

τὸ δὲ Μέγεθος ἡλίου, τε καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν ἄστρον,^[1] κατὰ μὲν τὸ πρὸς ἡμᾶς, τηλικοῦτόν ἐστιν ἡλίκον φαίνεται... * ...^[2] κατὰ δὲ τὸ καθ’ αὐτό: ἤτοι^[2a] μεῖζον τοῦ ὀρωμένου ἢ^[2b] μικρῷ ἔλαττον ἢ^[2c] τηλικοῦτον τυγχάνει. οὕτω, γὰρ καὶ τὰ παρ’ ἡμῖν, Πυρὰ, ἐξ ἀποστήματος, θεωρούμενα κατὰ τὴν αἴσθησιν θεωρεῖται

the Size of the sun, and of the remaining celestial bodies, is *as big as* it appears to be *in magnitude*^[1] according to what relates to us... * ...but^[2] according to what it is in-and-of-itself: [the Size of the sun] happens to be either^[2a] *larger* than what is seen, or^[2b] *slightly smaller*, or^[2c] *of the magnitude [it appears]*. Fires, *when they are considered* according to perception *from a distance*, are observed *in the same way* for us

τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ <i>sunshine</i>
λοιπός (ή, όν)	remaining [rest of + gen]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
πηλίκος (η, ον)	of some magnitude	ΠΗΛΙΚΟΣ <i>extent</i>
ὀπηλίκος (η, ον)	of any magnitude	ὍΠΗΛΙΚΟΣ <i>which extent</i>
τηλικοῦτος (η, ον)	of [such a] magnitude	ΤΗΛΙΚΟΥΤΟΣ <i>extent</i>
ἡλίκος	as big as	ἭΛΙΚΟΣ <i>extent</i>
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>

τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>
τὸ ἀπόστημα τὰ ἀποστήματα	distance	ΑΠΟΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing away</i>
μείζων <i>(ον)</i>	larger	ΜΕΙΖΩΝ <i>greater</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
μικρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
ἐλάττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	smaller	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>lesser</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	observed	

11.2 [Cosmology]

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 154 column 18

...[βεβ]αιώσασθ[α]ι δ[ι]να[τ]όν· τὸ γὰρ κ[ατ'] αὐτὸ τοῦτο **ο** πότερον ἐν[δέ]χεται ἢ [ο]ὐκ ἐνδέχ[ετ]αι πα[ντελ]ῶς γίνε[σθαι]...

...**βεβαιώσασθαι Δυνατόν**: τὸ γὰρ κατ' αὐτὸ Τοῦτο **πότερον** ἐνδέχεται – **ἢ οὐκ ἐνδέχεται παντελῶς** – γίνεσθαι...

...[It is] able to affirm [itself]: for **whether** This very thing itself can – **or [whether] it absolutely cannot** – be produced...

βεβαιούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>firm standing</i>
βεβαιοῦν	to affirm	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΥΝ <i>firm standing</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
ἐνδεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting in</i>
τὸ ἐνδεχόμενον τὰ ἐνδεχόμενα	possibility [of]	
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible [here, "can"]	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
παντελῶς	absolutely completely	ΠΑΝΤΕΛΩΣ <i>all completing</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 154 column 19

...αἱ λαμβάνειν παραλλ[αγ]ήν [δ]ι' ἀπειρίαν[ν], εἰ πρὸς [ἐ]κεῖνο περὶ μυρία...

...λαμβάνειν **παραλλαγήν δι' ἀπειρίαν, εἰ πρὸς ἐκεῖνο περὶ μυρία...**

...to comprehend **a variety** through the infinity, if concerning that regarding the innumerable...

λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>

ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
ἡ ἀπειρία αἱ ἀπειρίαι	infinity	
μυρίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	innumerable	ΜΥΡΙΟΣ <i>ants</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 154 column 23

...τα παρ' ἡμῖν τὰ τι ὀχεῖσθ' ἐπὶ τοῦ ἀ[έρος] δυνάμενα καὶ μετεωρίζεσθαι κα[ὶ]...

...Τὰ παρ' ἡμῖν – Τὰ τι ὀχεῖσθ' ἐπὶ τοῦ ἀέρος – δυνάμενα καὶ μετεωρίζεσθαι καὶ...

...the Things among us – those certain Things that are carried over the air – which have the force both to be suspended and [to move]...

ὁ ὄχος οἱ ὄχοι	carriage, cart, chariot	ΟΧΟΣ <i>riding</i>
ὀχεῖν	to carry	ΟΧΕΙΝ <i>riding</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
δυνάμενος <i>(μένη, μενον)</i>	having the force	ΔΥΝΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>power</i>
μετεωρίζειν	to suspend	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>suspended</i>
μετεωρίζεσθαι	to be suspended	

11.3 Walled Earths

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 154 column 27

...[δια]τηρητ[ι]κοὶ εἰ[ν]α[ι] [τοῦ] ὠμοιωμ[ένου τῷ τοῦ τυμ]πά[ν]ου [έκτ]μή[μα]τι. οἱ μ[έ]ν γὰρ οἶο[ν] εἰ[ι τοῖ]χους το[ύς κύκλ]ω[ι] ἐπε]νόησαν [ὕ]πάρχον[τας τῇ] γῇ [τοι]αύτης...

...διατηρητικοὶ εῖναι τοῦ ὠμοιωμένου τῷ τοῦ τυμπάνου ἐκτμήματι – Οἱ μὲν γὰρ οἶονεὶ τοίχους τοὺς κύκλῳ ἐπενόησαν ὑπάρχοντας τῇ γῇ τοιαύτης...

...existing as fully preserving what has been formed similar to a section of a drum – indeed, Some people objectively conceived that in a certain way walls existed in a sphere for an earth of such a kind...

τηρεῖν	to preserve	ΤΗΡΕΙΝ <i>protecting</i>
διατηρητικός	fully preserving	ΔΙΑΤΗΡΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of completely protecting</i>
ἡ ὁμοιότης αἱ ὁμοιότητες	similarity	ὍΜΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of being similar</i>
ὁμοιοῦν	to make similar	
ὠμοιωμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been formed similar	ὠΜΟΙΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>similar</i>
τὸ τύμπανον τὰ τύμπανα	drum	ΤΥΜΠΑΝΟΝ <i>beating</i>
τὸ ἐκτμήμα τὰ ἐκτμήματα	section	ΕΚΤΜΗΜΑ <i>cutting out of</i>
οἶονεὶ	as if [here "in a certain way"]	
ὁ τοῖχος οἱ τοῖχοι	wall	ΤΟΙΧΟΣ <i>mound</i>
ὁ κύκλος οἱ κύκλοι	sphere	ΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>wheel</i>

ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	existing [already]	ὙΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ὑπάρχοντες <i>(ουσαι, οντα)</i>		
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ <i>land</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 fr. 3 (column 20)

...δους ταύτης, τιμ[ῆν] ὁ[ρ]ατὴν ποιεῖσθαι καὶ τῆσδε δυν[άμεν]αι ἢ πρότερον [ἢ ὕστε]ρον ὥστε οὐ τὸ... ...ἀ[π]ειρία
ἀκό[λ]ου[θ]ον τοῦ παντός, τὸ δὲ τὴν μέν...

...τιμὴν ὀρατὴν ποιεῖσθαι, καὶ τῆσδε δυνάμεναι – ἢ πρότερον ἢ ὕστερον, ὥστε οὐ τὸ... ...ἀπειρία ἀκόλουθον τοῦ παντός...

...to make honor visible, and [the act of mental observation] has the force of this – either before or afterwards, so that it is not... ...consistent with the infinity of the whole...

ἡ τιμή αἱ τιμαί	honor	ΤΙΜΗ <i>value</i>
ὀρατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	visible	ὈΡΑΤΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
ποιεῖν	to make [here, "to produce"]	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	<i>[the act of mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
δυνάμενος <i>(μένη, μενον)</i>	having the force	ΔΥΝΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>power</i>
ὕστερον	afterwards	ὙΣΤΕΡΟΝ <i>next</i>
ἡ ἀπειρία αἱ ἀπειρίαι	infinity	ΑΠΕΙΡΙΑ <i>not limited</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
ἀκόλουθος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	consistent	

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 fr. 4 (column 21)

...ἀπαντᾷν ἢ μὴ λ[ή]ρους ἀπαντᾷν, ἀπείρου δὲ μὴ ἀπαν[τᾷν]... ...ἀπαντᾷν ὥσ[τε τὸ ᾧ]πε[φρο]ν τὰς ὁμο[ί]ας φ[ύ]σει[ς] ἔχει καὶ μὴ...

...ἀπαντᾷν ἢ μὴ λήρους ἀπαντᾷν, ἀπείρου δὲ μὴ ἀπαντᾷν... ...ἀπαντᾷν ὥστε τὸ ἄπειρον τὰς ὁμοίας φύσεις ἔχει, καὶ μὴ...

...encountering or not encountering trifles, but not encountering [explanations] of the infinite... ...encountering the infinite having similar natures, and not.....

ἀπαντᾷν	to encounter	ΑΠΑΝΤΑΝ <i>meeting away</i>
ληρεῖν	to be foolish	
ὁ λῆρος οἱ λῆροι	trifle	ΛΗΡΟΣ <i>trash / trifle</i>
ἡ ἐρμηνεία αἱ ἐρμηνεῖαι	interpretation	ἙΡΜΗΝΕΙΑ <i>Hermes / interpreting</i>
ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>

ἡ ὁμοία αἱ ὁμοίαι	similarity	ὍΜΟΙΑ <i>similar</i>
----------------------	------------	-------------------------

11.4 Weight of Earth

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 fr. 5 (column 22)

...ἐκεῖνο, τὸ δὲ ὅτ[ι μ]ὴ φοβητέον τὸ βαρ[ὺ τῆ]ς γῆς πρὸς τὸν μ[ε]τε[ω]ρισμὸν ὅταν...

...Τὸ δὲ ὅτι μὴ φοβητέον τὸ βαρὺ τῆς γῆς πρὸς τὸν μετεωρισμὸν ὅταν...

...and [the fact] That the weight of the earth is not to be feared in relation to [its] suspension whenever...

φοβεῖσθαι	to fear	ΦΟΒΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>fleeing</i>
ὁ βαρύστονος	the deep-voiced one	ΒΑΡΥΣΤΟΝΟΣ <i>heavy tone</i>
τὸ βάρος τὰ βάρη	weight	ΒΑΡΟΣ <i>heavy</i>
τὸ βαρὺ τὰ βαρέα	what is weighty [here, "the weight"]	
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	Γῆ <i>land</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
ὁ μετεωρισμός οἱ μετεωρισμοί	suspension	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of rising beyond</i>

11.5 Thought Experiment

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 fr. 2 (column 28)

...καὶ διὰ τοῦτ[ο πα]νταχόθεν πρὸ ὁμμ[ά]των τιθέμενοι τὰς περιφερείας ὡς τοῦ α[ὐ]τοῦ πράγματο[ς]...

...καὶ διὰ τοῦτο, πανταχόθεν πρὸ ὁμμάτων τιθέμενοι τὰς περιφερείας ὡς τοῦ αὐτοῦ πράγματος...

...and because of this, placing the circumferences before the eyes from all sides as though [they belong to] the same situation...

πανταχόθεν	from/on all sides	ΠΑΝΤΑΧΟΘΕΝ <i>from all places</i>
τὸ ὄμμα τὰ ὄμματα	eye	ΟΜΜΑ <i>eye</i>
μετατιθέμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	rearranged	ΜΕΤΑΤΙΘΕΜΕΝΟΣ <i>placing change</i>
τιθέμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	placing	ΤΙΘΕΜΕΝΟΣ <i>placing</i>
φερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing</i>
ἡ περιφέρεια αἱ περιφέρειαί	circumference	ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΕΙΑ <i>bringing around</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 fr. 6 (column 30)

...νομί[ζοιμ]εν, ἐν ταῖς μεταβάσε[σιν], ὁμ[ο]ιον εἰπεῖν, ταῖ[ς ἐ]πι τὸ ἄν[ω, καὶ] ὃ ὑπ[έ]ρ κεφαλῆς ἀρτίως εἶχε το[ῦτ' ἃ]ν ὑπὸ π[ο]σ[σ]ιν ἰσχάνοι[μ]εν κα[τὰ] τὴν μ[ε]τάβασιν φα[ί]νε[σθ]αι[ι κατ]ω...

...Νομίζοιμεν ἐν ταῖς μεταβάσεσιν ὅμοιον εἰπεῖν ταῖς ἐπὶ τὸ ἄνω – καὶ ὃ ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς ἀρτίως εἶχε, τοῦτ' ἂν ὑπὸ ποσὶν ἴσχάνοιμεν, κατὰ τὴν μετάβασιν φαίνεσθαι κάτω...

...We might think to say [that it is] similar in [spatial] extensions for those towards the upper part – and what was just now [positioned] overhead, [we might think to say that] we would ourselves restrain this under our feet, to be apparent below according to [its spatial] extension...

νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN custom
ἡ μετάβασις αἱ μεταβάσεις	[spatial] extension	METABASIS stepping beyond
ὅμοιος (α, ον)	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ similar
ἡ κεφαλὴ αἱ κεφαλαί	head	ΚΕΦΑΛΗ head
ὁ πούς οἱ πόδες	foot	ΠΟΥΣ foot
ισχύειν	to prevail	ΙΣΧΥΕΙΝ strength
ισχάνειν	to restrain	ΙΣΧΑΝΕΙΝ restraining
φαίνεσθαι	to be apparent	ΦΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ shining

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 col. 1 (column 32)

...ποσὶν αὐτῷ φαινομένου κατωτέρῳ τ[οῦ]το οὐ νοήσει, ὃ νῦν ἀναβάς ὑπὸ ποσὶν ἔλαβεν, πρότερον ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς ἔχ[ο]ν [ἐ]δυ... παρὰ τὸ οὔν, φημί, ἐν μέσῳ εἶναι τὴν γῆν τ[οῦ]ς τόποις... ...στρ[ογ]γύλον ποιοῖ γε[γε]νησθ[αι] κόσμον καὶ τὴν γῆν [ἐ]ν μέσῳ ὥς [ἂν]...

...ποσὶν, αὐτῷ φαινομένου κατωτέρῳ, τοῦτο οὐ Νοήσει: ὃ, νῦν ἀναβάς, ὑπὸ ποσὶν ἔλαβεν – πρότερον ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς ἔχον – ἔδυ... ...παρὰ τὸ, οὔν, Φημί, ἐν μέσῳ εἶναι τὴν γῆν τοῖς τόποις... ...στρογγύλον Ποιοῖ γεγενῆσθαι κόσμον – καὶ τὴν γῆν ἐν μέσῳ, ὥς ἂν...

...to [his] feet, as it appears lower to him, He will not conceive this: what he, having now already gone up, took under [his] feet – while earlier he was holding [it] above [his] head – he sank... ...beside this, therefore, I say [that his theory amounts to saying that] the earth is in the middle of [all] locations... He would make the cosmos round – and the earth in the middle, as if...

ὁ πούς οἱ πόδες	foot	ΠΟΥΣ foot
ἀποφαινόμενος (ομένη, όμενον)	representing	ΑΠΟΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ shining away
φαινόμενος (η, ον)	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ shining
κάτω	below	ΚΑΤΩ below
κατωτέρος (α, ον)	lower	ΚΑΤΩΤΕΡΟΣ more down
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ seeing
συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ walking together
ἀναβάς (ἄσα, άν)	gone up [already]	ΑΝΑΒΑΣ walked up
ἡ κεφαλὴ αἱ κεφαλαί	head	ΚΕΦΑΛΗ head
ἡ δύσις αἱ δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ process of sinking
δύειν	to sink	ΔΥΕΙΝ sinking

μέσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	middle	ΜΕΣΟΣ <i>in between</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ <i>land</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
στρογγύλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	round	ΣΤΡΟΓΥΛΟΣ <i>droplet</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>

11.6 Atmospheric Boundary

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 col. 2 (column 33)

...τοιχοῦς ἐν [κύκλ]ω ποιήσαντες ἵ[να φρά]ξωσιν ἡμᾶς πρ[ὸς τ]ὴν δῖναν, ὥς ἔξωθεν αὐτῆς περιφερομέ[ν]ης, πᾶ[σ]ιν [ὕ]π[ερ]
[κε]φα[λ]ῆς τὰ ἄ[σ]τ[ρα] περιάγου[σιν]γ...

...**τοιχοῦς ἐν κύκλῳ** Ποιήσαντες, **ἵνα Φράξωσιν ἡμᾶς πρὸς τὴν δῖναν** – **ὥς ἔξωθεν αὐτῆς περιφερομένης, πᾶσιν ὑπὲρ κεφαλῆς τὰ Ἄστρα περιάγουσιν...**

...[because these men, in their theory, have] made walls into a circle, so that [these Walls] would block us from the whirlwind [i.e., shield us from the vortex] – since [the whirlwind] is carried around from an external source [of motion], the celestial Bodies revolve for all [people] above [their] heads...

ὁ τοῖχος οἱ τοῖχοι	wall	ΤΟΙΧΟΣ <i>mound</i>
ὁ κύκλος οἱ κύκλοι	sphere	ΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>circular</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
φράσσειν	to block	ΦΡΑΣΣΕΙΝ <i>fencing in</i>
ἡ δῖνα αἱ δῖναι	whirlwind	ΔΙΝΑ <i>vortex</i>
τὸ ἔξωθεν τὰ ἔξωθεν	an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
ἔξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>
φερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing</i>
περιφερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried around	ΠΕΡΙΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing around</i>
ἡ κεφαλὴ αἱ κεφαλαί	head	ΚΕΦΑΛΗ <i>head</i>
τὸ ἄστρον τὰ ἄστρα	celestial body	ΑΣΤΡΟΝ <i>star</i>
περιάγειν	to revolve	ΠΕΡΙΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going around</i>

11.7 Relative Observations of the Sun

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 col. 5 (column 37)

...ἀνατέλλων, ἀνατείνοντες εἰς τὸ μέρος τῆς πάσης γῆς, οὗ μετέβημεν, ἐκ τούτου ἡμῖν δυόμενος φαίνεται, οὐδὲ πολλὴν ἐνίστε πάνυ γῆν με[ταβ]εβηκόσιν· [ἀ]λ[λὰ τοῦ]του οὐκ ἔστιν αὐτ[ο]ῦς πλαγιασμοὺς α[ἰ]τιάσασθαι· τ[ῆ] γὰρ τὴν ἐν[θ]᾽ ἐνδε κ[α]ταστάθμη[σιν] {ῥῆ} τῇ[ν] ἐνθ᾽ἐνδε} {καταστάθμησιν} ἢ τὴν ἐνθ᾽ἐνδε τινά... ...καταστά[θμησιν] τῶν ἀνα[τ]ολῶν {ῥῆ δ}ύσεων...

...ἀνατέλλων, ἀνατείνοντες εἰς τὸ μέρος τῆς πάσης γῆς: οὐ Μетέβημεν ἐκ τούτου ἡμῖν **δυόμενος** φαίνεται, οὐδὲ πολλὴν ἐνίστε πάνυ γῆν μετεβηκόσιν – ἀλλὰ τούτου οὐκ”Ἔστιν αὖ τοὺς πλαγιασμοὺς αἰτιάσασθαι: τί γὰρ τὴν ἐνθένδε καταστάθμῃσιν ἢ τὴν ἐνθένδε τινὰ... ...καταστάθμῃσιν τῶν ἀνατολῶν ἢ δύσεων...

...[the sun] when rising up, and while we are extending up [our attention] to that part [out] of all the land: [the Sun] is apparent to us while setting from that [place] from where We had moved, and sometimes [this occurs] for those who truly have not traveled across much land – but It is not possible again to blame the deviations [i.e., the changing angles of the sun's course] for this: for what [about] an accurate measurement from here or an [accurate measurement] from some other [location]... ...an accurate measurement of the risings or settings...?

[Sedley] [The sun, if we walk towards the place from which it appeared to us] to rise, directing ourselves up into the mainland zone, appears to us to set where we previously passed by, sometimes even when we have moved in all only a short distance. And this time we cannot blame it on the latitudinal movements. Why after all should you declare the measurement from here, or the one from here, or the one from here, or this one a more reliable guide of the risings and settings [of the sun]?

ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ <i>sunshine</i>
ἀποστέλλειν	to send away	ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready away</i>
ἀνατέλλειν	to rise	ΑΝΑΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready up</i>
τείνειν	to extend	ΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>extending</i>
ἀνατείνειν	to extend up	ΑΝΑΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>extending up</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth [here, "land"]	Γῆ <i>land</i>
διαβαίνειν	pass through	ΔΙΑΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking through</i>
μεταβαίνειν	to move [to another place]	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking change</i>
ἡ δύσις αἱ δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of sinking</i>
δύειν	to sink	ΔΥΕΙΝ <i>sinking</i>
δυόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	setting	
φαίνεσθαι	to be apparent	ΦΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>shining</i>
ὁ πλαγιασμός οἱ πλαγιασμοί	deviation	ΠΛΑΓΙΑΣΜΟΣ <i>an act of turning sideways</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
αἰτιᾶσθαι	to blame	ΑΙΤΙΑΣΘΑΙ <i>responsibility</i>
ἡ καταστάθμῃσις αἱ καταστάθμῃσεις	accurate measurement	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΑΘΜΗΣΙΣ <i>thoroughly measuring</i>
ἡ ἀνατολή αἱ ἀνατολαί	rising	ΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ <i>lifting up</i>
ἡ δύσις αἱ δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of sinking</i>

Epicurus may be describing the experience of walking east at sunset, where, upon looking back at the sun, it appears to set at the spot you had recently passed.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 col. 6 (column 38)

...[ὁ]μοίωμά τι λαβόντες συλλογίζεσθαι τι περὶ τούτων· τὰ μὲν γὰρ περινοοῦντες, οἶμαι, λέγω δὲ τὰ [ὄρ]γανα, ἐν δ[ἐ] τοῖς κυ[λιν]δοῦντες αὐτούς, οὐ μόνον κατὰ τὰς [παρεμ]ποδείας, τὰς ὑπὸ τ[ῶν] διν[ευ]μάτων αὐτ[ο]ῖς παρα[γινν]ομένης, ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰς κατὰ τῶν φασμάτων τῶν τοῦ ἡλίου ἀοριστείας ἀνατολῶν καὶ δύσεω[v] εἰκότω[ς δια]νοίᾳ [οὐ δύ]ννται, [δι]ὰ τῶν ὀργάνων ο[ὐ]θὲν ἀπαρτίζοντες; {[δ]ιανοίαι} ὁμοιω[μα] λαβεῖν...

...ὁμοίωμα τι λαβόντες συλλογίζεσθαι τι περὶ τούτων· τὰ μὲν γὰρ Περινοοῦντες, Οἶμαι – Λέγω δὲ τὰ ὄργανα – ἐν δὲ τοῖς κυλινδοῦντες αὐτούς. οὐ μόνον κατὰ τὰς παρεμποδείας τὰς ὑπὸ τῶν δινευμάτων αὐτοῖς παραγιγνομένης – ἀλλὰ καὶ τὰς κατὰ τῶν φασμάτων τῶν τοῦ ἡλίου ἀοριστείας ἀνατολῶν καὶ δύσεων, εἰκότως διανοίᾳ οὐ Δύνανται. διὰ τῶν ὀργάνων οὐθὲν Ἀπαρτίζοντες? διανοίᾳ ὁμοιωμα λαβεῖν...

...because they comprehended something similar to infer something about these [risings and settings]. for, I believe, while they are cunningly conceiving these things – I mean the instruments – they are also rolling themselves [into a confusion] with them. not only due to the impediments that attend along with the whirling motions of those [instruments] – but also [due to] those [hinderances that occur] from the lack of definition in the appearances of the sun's risings and settings, They are not reasonably able by mental perception [to produce an accurate measurement]. Do they, in any way, produce an even result with the instruments? to [already] comprehend a similarity by mental perception...

ἡ ὁμοιότης αἱ ὁμοιότητες	similarity	ὍΜΟΙΟΤΗΣ condition of being similar
τό ὁμοίωμα τὰ ὁμοιώματα	what is similar	ὍΜΟΙΩΜΑ result of [being] similar
λαβών (οὔσα, όν)	[intellectually] comprehended, [generally] acquired	ΛΑΒΩΝ grasped
ἀναλογίζεσθαι	to analogize	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ reasoning action again
ὁ συλλογισμός	inference	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ reasoning action together
συλλογίζεσθαι	to infer	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ reasoning action together
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ seeing
περινοῶν (ῶσα, ῶν)	to cunningly conceive	ΠΕΡΙΝΟΩΝ seeing around
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ perceive
τὸ ὄργανον τὰ ὄργανα	instrument	ΟΡΓΑΝΟΝ tool
κυλινδεῖν	to roll	ΚΥΛΙΝΔΕΙΝ turning over
κυλινδῶν (οὔσα, οὔν)	rolling	
τὸ ἐμποδοστατήσον τὰ ἐμποδοστατήσαντα	obstacle	ΕΜΠΟΔΟΣΤΑΤΗΣΟΝ standing in [the way of] foot
ἐνποδίζειν = ἐμποδίζειν	to hinder	ΕΝΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ ΕΜΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ in foot
ἡ παρεμπόδεια αἱ παρεμπόδειαί	impediment	ΠΑΡΕΜΠΟΔΕΙΑ beside in [the way of] foot
ἡ δῖνα αἱ δῖναι	whirlwind	ΔΙΝΑ vortex

τὸ δινεῦμα τὰ δινεύματα	whirling [motion]	ΔΙΝΕΥΜΑ <i>vortex</i>
παραγινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	attending along with	ΠΑΡΑΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>come into being alongside</i>
τὸ φάσμα τὰ φάσματα	appearance	ΦΑΣΜΑ <i>shining</i>
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ <i>sunshine</i>
ὀρίζειν	to set a limit	ὈΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
ἡ ἀοριστεία αἱ ἀοριστεῖαι	lack of definition	ΑΟΡΙΣΤΕΙΑ <i>no border</i>
ἡ ἀνατολή αἱ ἀνατολαί	a rising	ΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ <i>lifting up</i>
ἡ δύσις αἱ δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of sinking</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
ἀπαρτίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	to produce an even result	ΑΠΑΡΤΙΖΩΝ <i>[alpha-intensive] part</i>
λαβεῖν	[intellectually] to comprehend [already]	ΛΑΒΕΙΝ <i>grasped</i>

11.9 Shallow Implications of Local Predictions

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 col. 7 (column 39)

...[ὄρ]γα[να] προσποίημα καταλείπει καὶ παραβίασιν τοῦ τὰ ἐπὶ τοῦ ὀργάνου δείγματα τὴν αὐτὴν ἀναλογίαν κατασκευάζειν το[ῖ]ς κατὰ τὰ μετέωρα φ[α]ινομένοις. διοριστέ[ο]ν γάρ, οἶμαι, πρῶτ[ο]ν [μ]ᾶ[ν] τὸν εὐφρο[ν]οῦν[τι] α ὃ τι διαλέγεται, ὅταν περὶ τοῦ [κ]όσμ[ου] διαλέγηται καὶ τῶν ἐ[ν] τῷ κόσμῳ φ[α]ινομένων, περὶ φάσμα[τό]ς τινος ἐκ... ...τινῶν τῶν κατ' ὄψιν ἀναπεμπο[μέ]νων πρὸς ἐπίνο[ια]ν ἢ αὐτῇι τῇ ψ[υχῇι ἐ]νσεσωσμ[ένην]...

...**ὄργανα προσποίημα** Καταλείπει καὶ **παραβίασιν** – **τοῦ τὰ ἐπὶ τοῦ ὀργάνου δείγματα τὴν αὐτὴν ἀναλογίαν κατασκευάζειν τοῖς κατὰ τὰ μετέωρα φαινομένοις**. Διοριστέον γάρ, Οἶμαι, πρῶτον μὲν **τὸν εὐφρονοῦντα** – **ὃ τι Διαλέγεται, ὅταν περὶ τοῦ κόσμου Διαλέγηται καὶ τῶν ἐν τῷ κόσμῳ φαινομένων, περὶ φάσματος τινος ἐκ... ...τινῶν τῶν κατ' ὄψιν ἀναπεμπομένων πρὸς ἐπίνοιαν** – **ἢ αὐτῇ τῇ ψυχῇ ἐνσεσωσμένην...**

...This leaves undisputed **the instruments** [*that are*] **fabrications** and a **distortion** – **by constructing the examples** on [the dial of] the instrument in **the same analogy** as **what appears** in relation to meteorological phenomena. It is necessary, I believe, first to differentiate **he who considers well** – [and to differentiate] **what** He examines, whenever He gives a discourse on **the cosmos** and the phenomena appearing **within the cosmos**, regarding some appearance from... ...**with certain things** sent through vision up towards an objective concept – or [**with a conception**] **preserved in the soul itself...**

ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
τὸ προσποίημα τὰ προσποιήματα	fabrication	ΠΡΟΣΠΟΙΗΜΑ <i>result of gathering in addition</i>
καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly leave</i>
ὁ βιασμός οἱ βιασμοί	forcing	ΒΙΑΣΜΟΣ <i>process of violence</i>
ἡ παραβίασις αἱ παραβιάσεις	distortion	ΠΑΡΑΒΙΑΣΙΣ <i>violence alongside</i>
τὸ ὄργανον τὰ ὄργανα	instrument	ΟΡΓΑΝΟΝ <i>tool</i>

δείκνυναι	to prove	ΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing</i>
τὸ δεῖγμα τὰ δείγματα	example	ΔΕΙΓΜΑ <i>a showing</i>
ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning</i> <i>back/again</i>
κατασκευάζειν	to construct	ΚΑΤΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>preparing</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
ὀρίζειν	to set a limit	ὈΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
διορίζειν	to differentiate	ΔΙΟΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>between the border</i>
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
πρῶτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
ἡ φροντίς αἱ φροντίδες	consideration	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΣ <i>mind</i>
ὁ εὐφρονοῦν οἱ εὐφρονοῦντες	he who considers well	ΕΥΦΡΟΝΟΥΝ <i>good mind</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
διαλέγειν	to give a discourse	ΔΙΑΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>speaking / choosing</i>
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging /</i> <i>adorning</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
τὸ φάσμα τὰ φάσματα	appearance	ΦΑΣΜΑ <i>shining</i>
ἡ ὄψις αἱ ὀψεις	vision	ΟΨΙΣ <i>eye</i>
ἐκπέμπειν	to emit	ΕΚΠΕΜΠΕΙΝ <i>conveying out</i>
ἀναπεμπόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being sent up	ΑΝΑΠΕΜΠΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>conveying up</i>
ἡ ἐπίνοια αἱ ἐπινόιαι	[objective] concept	ΕΠΙΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
σώζων <i>(σώζουσα, σώζον)</i>	preserving	ΣΩΙΖΩΝ <i>saving</i>
ένσεσωσμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	preserved in	ΕΝΣΕΣΩΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>saved in</i>

The nature of the void and of atoms is the same everywhere. In contrast, the movement of the stars in our sky is specific to place (our earth) and time (during the existence of our earth).

Therefore, the ability to predict the local movements of these temporary bodies does not explain the fundamental mechanisms of nature. Those who think these temporary and local predictions show that all movement is fundamentally deterministic are in error.

...[μη]δ' ὅταν, οἶμαι, ἐπὶ τὸ ὑποκείμενον βλέπων τύχη κα[ῖ] μὴ διορῶν τό τε κατὰ τὸ ὑποκείμενον λ[ε]γόμεν[ο]ν καὶ τὸ κατὰ τὸ περιλαμβανόμενον [ὑπὸ] τοῦ ὑποκειμέ[νου, κᾶν] πολλαὶ δὲ [ἀ]πὸ [το]ῦ ὑποκειμένου [φαντ]ασίαι γίνωνται[ι τ]οῦ μικροτάτ[ου, μ]ῇ ὅτι τοῦ κόσμ[ου, εἰ]κότως ἀδημονεῖ ὑπὸ τῶν περὶ τοῦ ἡλίου ἀ[ρ]τίως ῥηθέντων ἀνατολῶν καὶ δύσεων. ἄ[τοπ]ον μὲν γὰρ καὶ τά[χα ἐ]στὶν ἕκαστα...

...μηδ' ὅταν, Οἶμαι, ἐπὶ τὸ ὑποκείμενον βλέπων Τύχη καὶ μὴ διορῶν ^[ο]τό τε κατὰ τὸ ὑποκείμενον λεγόμενον καὶ ^[ο]τὸ κατὰ τὸ περιλαμβανόμενον ὑπὸ τοῦ ὑποκειμένου – κᾶν πολλαὶ δὲ ἀπὸ τοῦ ὑποκειμένου Φαντασίαι γίνωνται τοῦ μικροτάτου, μὴ ὅτι τοῦ κόσμου. εἰκότως Ἀδημονεῖ ὑπὸ τῶν περὶ τοῦ ἡλίου ἀρτίως ῥηθέντων ἀνατολῶν καὶ δύσεων. ἄτοπον, μὲν, γὰρ καὶ τάχα ἕκαστα...

...nor even, I believe, when He happens to see the original source and does not differentiate ^[ο]what is spoken about regarding that original source versus ^[ο]that which relates to what is completely comprehended [directly] from the original source – ^[ομ]even if many appearance are produced from an original source that is most minute, let alone ^[οκ][from an original source the size] of the cosmos. He fittingly feels distressed by these risings and settings of the sun [that have been] suitably discussed [here by us]: absurdly, for perhaps even each...

οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
τὸ ὑποκείμενον τὰ ὑποκείμενα	original source	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of situated under</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
βλέπων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	seeing	
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
διορίζειν	to differentiate	ΔΙΟΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>between the border</i>
τὸ περιλαμβανόμενον τὰ περιλαμβανόμενα	^[intellectually] what is completely comprehended	ΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>grasping around</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ μικρότης αἱ μικρότητες	minuteness	ΜΙΚΡΟΤΗΣ <i>little</i>
μικρότατος	most minute	ΜΙΚΡΟΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most little</i>
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
ἡ εἰκὼν αἱ εἰκόνες	image	ΕΙΚΩΝ <i>portraying</i>
εἰκότως	fittingly	
ἀδημονεῖν	to be distressed	ΑΔΗΜΟΝΕΙΝ <i>without community</i>
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ <i>sunshine</i>
ἀρτίως	suitably	ΑΡΤΙΩΣ <i>fitting / timely</i>
ῥηθεῖς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i> ῥηθέντες <i>(εἶσαι, ἐντα)</i>	said [here, "discussed"]	ῬΗΘΕΙΣ <i>said</i>
ἡ ἀνατολή αἱ ἀνατολαί	rising	ΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ <i>lifting up</i>
ἡ δύσις αἱ δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ <i>process of sinking</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
ἄτοπος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	absurd	ΑΤΟΠΟΣ <i>without a place</i>

τάχα	perhaps	TAXA quickly
------	---------	-----------------

11.11 Empiricism, Against Geocentrism

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 154 fr. 11 (column 41)

...τάξεις [τ]ούτων. καὶ περὶ μὲν τούτου τοῦ μέρους οὕτω διαληπτέον. τὰς δ' ὑπερεῖ[ο]εις τὰς κάτωθεν τῆς γῆς, ἅς φα[μ]εν τὴν [ἀρ]αῖαν φύσ[ιν ὃ]πὸ...

{added to fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 col. 9 (column 41)
& P.Herc. 154 fr. 11

...ἡμῶν μὴ ἐναντίας ἀνατολῆς καὶ δύσεως βουλόμεθά τι συνάψαι φάσμα τούτοις ἐνπερινενοημένο[ν], ἐκ τοῦ ὑποκειμ[έ]νου ληπτέον φορὰν τινα τῇ διαν[οί]αι ἢ λ[ί]ου καὶ σελή[ν]ης [εἰς] ἀνατολή[ν] κα[ὶ] δύσιν[,] καὶ τὴν [α]ὐτ[ή]ν, *φ[α]σί[,] γιγνομένην [έ]να[ν]τίως φατέον ἔχειν. κατὰ γε δὴ τὸ ὑπο[κ]είμενον καθ' ἑα[υ]τὸ καὶ μὴ πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἐτέρωθι μέντ[οι] ἄλλ[α]ς κ[αί] ἄλλ[α]ς [εἶ]ναι {τάξεις [τ]ούτων. καὶ περὶ μὲν τούτου «τοῦ» μέρους οὕτω διαληπτέον. τὰς δ' ὑπερεῖ[ς]εις τὰς κάτωθεν τῆς γῆς, ἅς φα[μ]εν [τ]ὴν [ἀρ]αῖαν φύσ[ιν ὃ]πὸ}...

* Emendavi φ[α]σί pro φημί

...ἡμῶν μὴ ἐναντίας. ἀνατολῆς καὶ δύσεως Βουλόμεθά τι συνάψαι φάσμα τούτοις ἐνπερινενοημένον. ἐκ τοῦ ὑποκειμένου Ληπτέον φορὰν τινα – τῇ διανοίᾳ ἡλίου καὶ σελήνης εἰς ἀνατολὴν καὶ δύσιν. καὶ τὴν αὐτὴν, *Φημί, γιγνομένην ἐναντίως Φατέον ἔχειν: κατὰ, γε δὴ, τὸ ὑποκείμενον καθ' ἑαυτὸ καὶ μὴ πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἐτέρωθι μέντοι ἄλλας καὶ ἄλλας εἶναι τάξεις τούτων. καὶ περὶ μὲν τούτου τοῦ μέρους, οὕτω Διαληπτέον. τὰς δ' ὑπερεῖσεις τὰς κάτωθεν τῆς γῆς, ἅς Φαμεν τὴν ἀραιὰν φύσιν ὑπὸ...

...[the forces] of our [Earth that are] not opposing. We desire to connect to these [original sources] any certain appearance of the rising and setting [that is] completely conceived within [us]. It is necessary to comprehend some transmission from an original source – in [our mental] perception of the sun and moon in [their] rising and setting [i.e., some direct observation is necessary]. I even say* It is necessary to declare that the same [rising and setting] happen to occur in an opposing way: indeed, according to the original source per se and not [relative] to us – elsewhere indeed various and different arrangements of these things exist. and even concerning this particular part, It is necessary to distinguish in this way. but the projections beneath the earth, which We say are of a rarefied nature under...

ἐναντίος (α, ον)	opposing	ENANTIOS in against
ἢ ἀνατολή αἱ ἀνατολαί	rising	ΑΝΑΤΟΛΗ lifting up
ἢ δύσις αἱ δύσεις	a setting	ΔΥΣΙΣ process of sinking
ἢ βούλησις αἱ βουλήσεις	intention	ΒΟΥΛΗΣΙΣ wishing
βούλεσθαι	to want	
συνάπτειν	to connect	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ fastening together
τὸ φάσμα τὰ φάσματα	appearance	ΦΑΣΜΑ shining
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ seeing
ἄπερινόητος (ον)	not completely conceivable	ΑΠΕΡΙΝΟΗΤΟΣ not seeing around
ἐνπερινενοημένος (η, ον)	completely conceived within	ΕΝΠΕΡΙΝΕΝΟΗΜΕΝΟΣ having seen around in
μεταληπτέος (α, ον)	ought to be substituted	ΜΕΤΑΛΗΠΤΕΟΣ change ought to be taken hold
ληπτέος (α, ον)	ought to be comprehended	ΛΗΠΤΕΟΣ taking hold

ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ἡ σελήνη αἱ σελήναι	moon	ΣΕΛΗΝΗ <i>shining light</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i> γινόμενοι <i>(αι, α)</i>	happening	ΓΙΓΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>birthing</i>
ἐναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>
ἐναντίως	opposingly	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΩΣ <i>in against</i>
τὸ ὑποκείμενον τὰ ὑποκείμενα	original source	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of</i> <i>situated under</i>
ἐτέρωθι	elsewhere	ἜΤΕΡΩΘΙ <i>different [location]</i>
ἡ τάξις αἱ τάξεις	arrangement	ΤΑΞΙΣ <i>ordered</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
διαληπτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	[mentally] distinguishable	ΔΙΑΛΗΠΤΟΣ <i>taking hold between</i>
διαληπτέος	out to be distinguished	
ἡ ὑπερείσις αἱ ὑπερείσεις	projection	ὙΠΕΡΕΙΣΙΣ <i>going beyond</i>
κάτωθεν	beneath	ΚΑΤΩΘΕΝ <i>below</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	ΓΗ <i>land</i>
ἀραιός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	rarefied	ΑΡΑΙΟΣ <i>spread out thin</i>

It is incorrect to think that the earth is the center of the universe – and it is therefore also incorrect to think that predictions of the rising and setting in our sky explain the entire working of the infinite universe (τὸ ἄπειρον πᾶν).

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 154 fr. 12 (column 42)

...τούτων δύναται γίνεσθαι συνθεωρῶμεν, τῶν δ[ὲ τὰς] ἰσότητας [α]ὐτὰς [αἰτί]ας εἶναι [τοῦ] μὴ κο[ιν]ωνε[ῖν]...

...τούτων, Δύναται γίνεσθαι συνθεωρῶμεν – τῶν δὲ τὰς ἰσότητας αὐτὰς αἰτίας εἶναι τοῦ μὴ κοινωνεῖν...

...of these things, We mutually observe that It is able to be produced – while their equalities themselves exist as the causes of [their] not taking part...

δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
συνθεωρεῖν	to mutually observe	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning together</i>
ἴσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	equal	ΙΣΟΣ <i>equal</i>
ἡ ἰσότης αἱ ἰσότητες	equality	ΙΣΟΤΗΣ <i>equal</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>

ή κοινότης αί κοινότητες	general quality	ΚΟΙΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from common</i>
κοινωνεῖν	to take part in	ΚΟΙΝΩΝΕΙΝ <i>common</i>

11.12 Planetary Balance

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 col. 10 (column 42)

...[ὐ]πό τιν[ος] διαστήματος ὀριζομένης· οὕτωι γάρ ἀσφαλέστερον ἡ διάνοια τὴν μονὴν τῇ γῇ λήψεται – κα[ὶ] συμφωνότερον τοῖς κατὰ τὰς αἰσθήσεις φαινομένοις. πυκνότητα [δὲ] τὴν κάτω [π]αρὰ [συνέ]χειαν αὐτῇ νοητ[έ]ον τῆς ἄνωθ[ε]ν, ἴν[α], ἐσθλαὶ πρὸς τὴν ἄ[ν]τι[έ]ρεισιν, τοῦ μὴ φ[έ]ρ[ε]σθαι τὴν γῆν τὴν π[ρέπ]ουσαν ἀναλ[ογί]αν [ῶσι]ν κεκτημ[έναι]. οὐθὲν γάρ ἡμ[ῖν] [ἡ τοῦ ἡ]λίου περιφορὰ [διὰ ταῦ]τα ἐνοχλή[σει ἂν τὸ] πο[σ]α[χῶς] {ἐκασ[τα]} τούτων δύναται γίγνεσθαι συνθεωρῶμεν, τῶν δ[ὲ] τὰς] ἰσότητας [α]ὐτὰς [αἰτί]ας εἶναι [τοῦ] μὴ κο[ιν]ωνε[ῖν τ]ὴν γῆν γ[ῆν] | δεήσεσθαι.

...ὕπό τινος διαστήματος ὀριζομένης – οὕτω γάρ, ἀσφαλέστερον ἡ Διάνοια τὴν μονὴν τῇ γῇ λήψεται – καὶ συμφωνότερον τοῖς κατὰ τὰς αἰσθήσεις φαινομένοις. πυκνότητα δὲ τὴν κάτω παρὰ συνέχειαν αὐτῇ Νοητέον τῆς ἄνωθεν· ἵνα, ἐσθλαὶ πρὸς τὴν ἀντέρεισιν, τοῦ μὴ φέρεσθαι τὴν γῆν τὴν πρέπουσαν ἀναλογίαν ᾧσιν κεκτημένοι. οὐθὲν γάρ ἡμῖν ἡ τοῦ ἡλίου Περιφορὰ διὰ ταῦτα ἐνοχλήσει – ἂν τὸ ποσαχῶς Ἕκαστα τούτων δύναται γίγνεσθαι Συνθεωρῶμεν· τῶν δὲ τὰς ἰσότητας αὐτὰς αἰτίας εἶναι τοῦ μὴ κοινωνεῖν τὴν γῆν δεήσεσθαι

...being limited by a certain separation – for in this way, [our mental] Perception will more stably comprehend earth's remaining [in a position] – and more harmoniously with what appears according to [our] sensations. but It is necessary to conceive the concentration below [the Earth to be] in coherence with the [concentration] above [the earth]: so that, [Forces], being effective for resistance, may possess a suitable proportion for the earth not to be carried away. for the Orbit of the sun concerning these [issues] will not at all be disturbing to us – if We mutually observe that Each of these things is able to be produced in various ways: then the balances themselves need to be recognized to exist as the causes of the earth not taking a part [in apparent motion]

τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>
ὀρίζειν	to set a limit	ὈΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
ὀριζόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being limited	ὈΡΙΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>separating</i>
ἀσφαλής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	stable	ΑΣΦΑΛΗΣ <i>not stumbling</i>
ἀσφαλέστερον	more stably	
ή διάνοια αί διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ή μονή αί μονα	[state of] remaining [in a position]	ΜΟΝΗ <i>staying</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
σύνφωνος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	in harmony	ΣΥΝΦΩΝΟΣ <i>speaking together</i>
συνφωνότερον	more harmoniously	
ή αἰσθησις αί αἰσθησεις	[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
ή πύκνωσις αί πυκνώσεις	a concentrating	ΠΥΚΝΩΣΙΣ <i>action of compacting</i>

τὸ πύκνωμα τὰ πυκνώματα	a concentration	ΠΥΚΝΩΜΑ <i>the result of compacting</i>
ἡ πυκνότης αἱ πυκνότητες	concentration	ΠΥΚΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from compacting</i>
συνεχής <i>(ές)</i>	continuous	ΣΥΝΕΧΗΣ <i>holding together</i>
τὸ συνεχές τὰ συνεχῇ	continuity	
ἡ συνέχεια αἱ συνέχειαι	coherence	ΣΥΝΕΧΕΙΑ <i>state of holding</i>
ὁ ἐπάνω οἱ ἐπάνω	someone above	ΕΠΑΝΩ <i>above upon</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
νοῆσαι	to conceive [already]	ΝΟΗΣΑΙ <i>seeing</i>
ἄνωθεν	from above	ΑΝΩΘΕΝ <i>from above</i>
ἐσθλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	effective	ΕΣΘΛΟΣ <i>good</i>
ἡ ἔρις αἱ ἐριδες	strife	ΕΡΙΣ <i>striving</i>
ἡ ἀντέρισις αἱ ἀντερίσει	resistance	ΑΝΤΕΡΙΣΙΣ <i>striving against</i>
φέρεισθαι	to be carried	ΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing</i>
ἀπρεπής	unsuitable	ΑΠΡΕΠΗΣ <i>not appearing proper</i>
πρέπειν	to be suitable	ΠΡΕΠΕΙΝ <i>appearing proper</i>
ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy [here, "proportion"]	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
κεκτῆσθαι	{to have procured already} to possess	ΚΕΚΤΗΙΣΘΑΙ <i>acquired</i>
κεκτημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	possessing	ΚΕΚΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>acquired</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἡ περιφορά αἱ περιφοραί	orbit	ΠΕΡΙΦΟΡΑ
ὁ ὄχλος οἱ ὄχλοι	crowd	ΟΧΛΟΣ <i>crowd of people</i>
ἐνοχλεῖν	to be disturbing to	ΕΝΟΧΛΕΙΝ <i>in a crowd of people</i>
ποσαχῶς	in various ways	ΠΟΣΑΧΩΣ <i>how many ways</i>
δύνασθαι	to be able	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>having power</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
συνθεωρεῖν	to mutually observe	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning together</i>
ἡ ισότης αἱ ισότητες	balance	ΙΣΟΤΗΣ <i>equal</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>

κοιν ωνεῖν	to take part in	ΚΟΙΝΩ ΝΕΙΝ <i>common</i>
-------------------	-----------------	------------------------------------

11.13 Against "A Cosmic Center"

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 col. 11 (column 43)

...δεήσεσθαι. | πανταχόθεν γάρ ἴσον [ά]πέχουσαν ούθαμῇ βρίθειν δυνήσεσθαι· ὃ γάρ ὑπὸ τῆς τοῦ ἀέρος φύσεως αὐτῇ
ὕπαρ[χ]ει, τὸ [δ]ὴ πανταχόθεν ὁμοί[ως] στελλομένην ἴσον [ά]πέχε[ι]ν ἀπὸ τοῦ τρο[οχ]οῦ πανταχόθεν, ὥσπερ εἰ τοιαύτη[ν]
λ[έ]γε[ι]ν μέσῳ κείσθ[αι] τοῦ κό[σ]μου ὅπερ οὐκ [ά]δύνατον εἶναι καὶ τοι[α]ύ[τ]ην – τοῦτο ἄ[ρα] τὸ τῆς μονῆς αἰ[τίον κ]αὶ
οὐ τὸ τούτου παπαρασκευαστικόν· τ[ῇ]ν γάρ ἰσότητα ἢ το[ῦ] ἀέ[ρ]ος στολ[ῇ] πανταχό[θε]ν...

πανταχόθεν γὰρ ἴσον ἀπέχουσαν, ούθαμῇ βρίθειν δυνήσεσθαι· Ὁ γὰρ ὑπὸ τῆς τοῦ ἀέρος φύσεως αὐτῇ ὑπάρχει. Τὸ, δὴ, πανταχόθεν ὁμοίως στελλομένην ἴσον ἀπέχειν ἀπὸ τοῦ τροχοῦ πανταχόθεν – ὥσπερ εἰ τοιαύτην, λέγει, ἐν μέσῳ κείσθαι τοῦ κόσμου: ὅπερ οὐκ ἀδύνατον εἶναι καὶ τοιαύτην – τοῦτο, ἄρα, τὸ τῆς μονῆς αἴτιον καὶ οὐ τὸ τούτου παρασκευαστικόν· τὴν γὰρ ἰσότητα ἢ τοῦ ἀέρος Στολὴ πανταχόθεν...

[He says that, because the Earth] is equally distant on all sides, it will be able to be heavy in no place. This exists for [Earth] from the nature of air: That, of course, [because] it is positioned similarly on all sides, it restricts equally from the circumference from all sides – just as if, He says, such a thing is set up at the center of the cosmos: which [he says] is not impossible that even such a thing exists – therefore, [he says] this is the [actual] cause of [Earth's] remaining [in a position] and not [merely] instrumental [for it]. the Pressure of the air on all sides... an equality...

πανταχό θεν	from/on all sides	ΠΑΝΤΑΧΟ ΘΕΝ <i>from all places</i>
ἴσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	equal	ΙΣΟ Σ <i>equal</i>
ἀπέχ ων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	different /distant	ΑΠΕΧ ΩΝ <i>holding away</i>
οὔθαμῇ = οὔθαμεῖ = οὔδαμοῦ	nowhere	ΟΥΘΑΜΗ <i>not in any place</i>
βρίθ ειν	to be heavy	ΒΡΙΘ ΕΙΝ <i>weighed down</i>
ἀδυνατεῖν	to be unable	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΕΙΝ <i>not powerful</i>
δυνατεῖν	to be able	ΔΥΝΑΤΕΙΝ <i>powerful</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
ὑπάρχ ειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧ ΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩ Σ <i>similar</i>
στέλλ ειν	to send	ΣΤΕΛΛ ΕΙΝ <i>making ready</i>
στελλόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being sent [here, "being positioned"]	
ἀπέχ ειν	to restrict	ΑΠΕΧ ΕΙΝ <i>holding away</i>
ὁ τροχός οἱ τροχοί	circumference	ΤΡΟΧΟ Σ <i>wheel</i>
μέσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	middle	ΜΕΣΟ Σ <i>in between</i>
συγκεῖ σθαι	to be compounded	ΣΥΓΚΕΙ ΣΘΑΙ <i>setting together</i>
κεῖ σθαι	to be set [up]	ΚΕΙ ΣΘΑΙ <i>setting</i>

ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>
ἀδύνατος <i>(ον)</i>	impossible	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not powerful</i>
ἡ μονή αἱ μονα	^[state of] remaining ^[in a position]	ΜΟΝΗ <i>staying</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
τὸ αἷτιον τὰ αἷτια	what is the cause	ΑΙΤΙΟΝ <i>responsibility</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
παρασκευάζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	providing	
παρασκευαστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	instrumental	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of preparing alongside</i>
ἡ ἰσότης αἱ ἰσότητες	equality	ΙΣΟΤΗΣ <i>equal</i>
στέλλειν	to send	ΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready</i>
ἡ στολή αἱ στολαί	pressure	ΣΤΟΛΗ <i>equipping</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 154 fr. 13 (column 43)

...ὁμοία οὕσα, παρεσκε[ύ]ακεν, ὡς [ἔ]φη] τις τ[ῶ]ν δίων: τὸ δὴ παρασκευάσας ἐν [μέ]σῳ τοῦ τρ[οχ]οῦ παντα[χόθ]εν ὁμ[οί]ω[ς] σ[τ]ελλο[μέ]νην μέν[ειν] ἐν τῷ [κόσμῳ] κ[ατ'][ά]...

...ὁμοία οὕσα, παρεσκεύακεν, ὡς ἔφη Τις τῶν δίων: Τὸ, δὴ, παρασκευάσας ἐν μέσῳ τοῦ τροχοῦ, πανταχόθεν ὁμοίως στελλομένην μένειν ἐν τῷ κόσμῳ κατὰ...

...[because the Earth] is similar, it has prepared, as Someone among "the divine ones" said: That [situation of] being placed in the middle of the circumference, while being made similarly ready on all sides to remain in the cosmos according to...

ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide [here, "to prepare"]	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
δῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	divine	ΔΙΟΣ <i>heavenly</i>
μέσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	middle	ΜΕΣΟΣ <i>in between</i>
ὁ τροχός οἱ τροχοί	circumference	ΤΡΟΧΟΣ <i>wheel</i>
πανταχόθεν	from/on all sides	ΠΑΝΤΑΧΟΘΕΝ <i>from all places</i>
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
στέλλειν	to send	ΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready</i>
στελλόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being sent [here, "being placed"]	
διαμένειν	to persist	ΔΙΑΜΕΝΕΙΝ <i>staying through</i>
μένειν	to remain	
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ <i>arranging / adorning</i>

For 'Someone among the divine ones' consider: "*si Platō de rebus ab civilibus controversiis remotissimis **divinitus** est locutus, **quod** Ego concedo...*" (Cicero de Orat. 1.49)

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 154 fr. 14 (column 44)

...νομίζειν τῶν ἀνδρῶν ἐν πολλοῖς, ἐν πολλοῖς [δὲ κ]αὶ μάλιστα τῷ ὅλῳ τρόπῳ πολλῶι βελτίους, τινὰς δ[ἐ] καὶ παντελῶς ἄπλατ[ο]ν...

...νομίζειν τῶν ἀνδρῶν ἐν πολλοῖς, ἐν πολλοῖς δὲ καὶ μάλιστα τῷ ὅλῳ τρόπῳ πολλῶ βελτίους – τινὰς δὲ καὶ παντελῶς ἄπλατον...

... to think of the men in many [], while especially [to think of the men] in many [] as much better in their whole way [of interpretation] – but also some [men are] absolutely unapproachable [regarding their interpretations]...

νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN custom
ὁ ἀνὴρ οἱ ἄνδρες	man	ANHP man
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ manner / direction
βελτίων (ων, ον)	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ greater
παντελῶς	absolutely completely	ΠΑΝΤΕΛΩΣ all completing
ἄπлатος (ος, ον)	unapproachable	ΑΠΛΑΤΟΣ not drawing near
LSJ states that Epicurus uses ἄπλατος here as an equivalent to ἄπλετος		
ἄπλετος	immense	ΑΠΛΕΤΟΣ not filling

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 col. 12 (column 44)

...ἦν τοῦτο εἰπεῖν αἴτι[ο]ν εἶναι, τὴν δὲ ἰσότητ[α], ἥπερ αὐτὸ τό, ὅτ[ι] τ[ὸ] μένειν αὐτῇ[ν ἐν τ]ῷ μέσῳ τοῦ κόσμ[ου] αἰτίον ἐστ[ιν] τοῦ [μέ]νειν καὶ... ...κα[ὶ] ὄντ[ω]ν τ[ι]σι συ[μφ]ῶνων [πο]τέ, τὰς τῶν ἀέρων ὑπερεῖσεις πεποιηκότας, διὰ τὰς τῶν [συν]ημμέ[ν]ων ἀλ[λοτρι]ότητας· οἷς ἂν [καὶ ἀ]πὸ τύ[χ]ης ὀρθῶς ἐπ[ε]νεχθῶσιν, [ο]ὐ[θ] ἐν δεῖ βελτεῖους τούτων εἶναι...

...ἦν Τοῦτο εἰπεῖν αἰτιον εἶναι: τὴν δὲ ἰσότητα, ἥπερ αὐτὸ τό, ὅτι Τὸ μένειν Αὐτὴν ἐν τῷ μέσῳ τοῦ κόσμου αἰτίον ἐστὶν τοῦ μένειν, καὶ... ...καὶ ὄντων τούτῳ συμφῶνων ποτέ, τὰς τῶν ἀέρων ὑπερεῖσεις πεποιηκότας διὰ τὰς τῶν συνημμένων ἀλλοτριότητας· οἷς ἂν καὶ ἀπὸ τύχης ὀρθῶς Ἐπενεχθῶσιν, οὐθὲν δεῖ βελτεῖους τούτων εἶναι...

...This was to say that a cause exists: indeed, the equality, rather than the very thing itself – That the [Earth] remaining in the middle of the cosmos is the cause of [its] remaining [motionless to us]... ...and while they are in harmony with this at some point [...] the projections of the air that have been produced through the foreignness of the connected [parts]. to those Who, even by chance, might rightly be applied, It is not necessary to be better than these...

τὸ αἴτιον τὰ αἴτια	what is the cause	AITION
ἡ ἰσότης αἱ ἰσότητες	equality	ΙΣΟΤΗΣ equal
μένειν	to remain	MENEIN staying
μέσος (η, ον)	middle	ΜΕΣΟΣ in between
ὁ κόσμος οἱ κόσμοι	cosmos	ΚΟΣΜΟΣ arranging / adorning
φωνῶν (οὔσα, οὔν)	speaking	ΦΩΝΩΝ speaking

συμφωνῶν	agreeing [here, "in harmony"]	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΩΝ <i>speaking together</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
ἡ ὑπερείσις αἱ ὑπερεῖσεις	projection	ΥΠΕΡΕΙΣΙΣ <i>going beyond</i>
πεποιηκώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	having made	ΠΕΠΟΙΗΚΩΣ <i>having gathered</i>
συνημμένος <i>(α, ον)</i>	connected	ΣΥΝΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>joined together</i>
ἄλλοτρίως	in a foreign way	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΩΣ <i>different other</i>
ἡ ἄλλοτριότης αἱ ἄλλοτριότητες	foreignness	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from different other</i>
ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
ὀρθῶς	^{α} rightly	ΟΡΘΩΣ <i>straight upright</i>
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
ἐπιφέρειν	to apply to	ΕΠΙΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing upon</i>
βελτίων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ <i>greater</i>

11.14 Incompatible Forms

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 col. 13 (column 45)

...πάντα γὰρ τιθέμενα παρ' ἄλλ[ό]τ[ρ]οπον αὐτῶν συνα[φ]ῆς εἶδο[ς] διέφθαρτα[ι]. ... μέν ο[ὖν] τα[ύ]τηι τῇ βίβλω[ι] τοσαῦθ' ἡμῖν περὶ τῆς ἐξ ἀρχῆς ὑποθέσεως εἰρήσθ[ω]. ἐν δὲ τοῖς ἔχο[μέ]νοις ἔ[τ]ι περὶ τῶν [με]τεώρων τουτῶνε[ι] τι προσεκκα[θ]αροῦμεν...

...Πάντα γὰρ **τιθέμενα παρ' ἀλλότροπον αὐτῶν συναφῆς εἶδος** διέφθαρται...
...μὲν οὖν, **ταύτη τῇ βίβλω, Τοσαῦθ' ἡμῖν περὶ τῆς ἐξ ἀρχῆς ὑποθέσεως** εἰρήσθω
– **ἐν δὲ τοῖς ἐχομένοις, ἔτι περὶ τῶν μετεώρων τούτων, εἴ τι**
Προσεκκαθαροῦμεν...

...for all Things **that are set in a form [that is] foreign for their union** have been thoroughly destroyed...
...therefore, **in this book**, let This much be said **by us concerning the hypothesis from the beginning** – but **in the subsequent [parts]**, still concerning these meteorological phenomena, if We further make fully clear **some...**

τιθέμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being set	ΤΙΘΕΜΕΝΟΣ <i>placing</i>
ἄλλοτρίως	in a foreign way	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΩΣ <i>different other</i>
ἄλλότριος <i>(ία, ιον)</i>	foreign	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΟΣ <i>different other</i>
ἀλλότροπος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	contrary	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>other turn / way</i>
ἡ συναφή αἱ συναφαί	union	ΣΥΝΑΦΗ <i>joining together</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
φθείρειν	to destroy	ΦΘΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>damaging</i>
διαφθείρειν	to thoroughly destroy	ΔΙΑΦΘΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>damaging through</i>
ἡ βίβλος αἱ βίβλοι	book	ΒΙΒΛΟΣ <i>papyrus</i>

ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
ἡ ὑπόθεσις αἱ ὑποθέσεις	hypothesis	ΥΠΟΘΕΣΙΣ <i>placing under</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἐχόμενος (η, ον)	holding on to [here, "subsequent"]	ΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>holding</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>
καθαρός (ά, όν)	clear	ΚΑΘΑΡΟΣ <i>cleaning</i>
προσεκκαθαίρειν	to further make fully clear	ΠΡΟΣΕΚΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleaning further out</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 11, P.Herc. 1042 subscriptio

Ἐπικούρου *Περὶ Φύσεως ΙΑ*

Epicurus' On Nature, 11

[U82]

Book 12

12.1 Shapes of Worlds

Scholion with Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Laertius 10.74

ἐν τῇ ιβ΄ *Περὶ Φύσεως* Αὐτός φησιν "οὓς μὲν γὰρ σφαιροειδεῖς, καὶ ὠοειδεῖς ἄλλους, καὶ ἀλλοιοσχήμονας ἑτέρους – οὐ, μέντοι, πᾶν σχῆμα ἔχειν – οὐδὲ ζῶα εἶναι ἀποκριθέντα ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀπείρου"

in the 12th book *On Nature*, He himself says "some [Cosmoi] are spherical, others egg-shaped, and others differently shaped – they do not, however, have every shape – nor are [the Cosmoi] living beings that are distinguished from the infinite"

Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Laertius 10.74

ἔτι δὲ καὶ τοὺς κόσμους οὐτε ἐξ ἀνάγκης Δεῖ νομίζειν ἓνα σχηματισμὸν ἔχοντας – ἀλλὰ καὶ διαφόρους αὐτοὺς

It should not necessarily be thought that the Cosmoi have [just] one configuration – they are also different

[U83]

12.2 Eclipses

Epicurus, Letter to Pythocles, Laertius 10.96

Ἔκλειψις ἡλίου καὶ σελήνης δύναται μὲν γίνεσθαι καὶ κατὰ σβένσιν – καθάπερ καὶ παρ’ ἡμῖν Τοῦτο θεωρεῖται γιγνόμενον

an Eclipse of the sun and of the moon is able to be produced also by extinguishing – just as This is also observed being produced among us

καὶ ἤδη καὶ κατ’ ἐπιπροσθέτησιν ἄλλων τινῶν: ἢ γῆς – ἢ ἀοράτου τινὸς ἑτέρου τοιούτου

and furthermore [an eclipse can be produced] also by the additional interposing of certain other things: either of the earth – or of something else unseen like it

καὶ, ὧδε, τοὺς οἰκείους ἀλλήλοις τρόπους Συνθεωρητέον καὶ τὰς ἅμα συγκυρήσεις τινῶν – ὅτι οὐκ Ἀδύνατον γίνεσθαι

and, in this way, One must also mutually observe the ways compatible to each and the simultaneous concurrences of certain things – [understanding] that it is not impossible [for those concurrences] to be produced

ἡ ἔκλειψις αἱ ἐκλείψεις	a disappearance [or, of the sun & moon] an "eclipse"]	ΕΚΛΕΙΨΙΣ <i>leaving out</i>
ὁ ἥλιος	the sun	ἭΛΙΟΣ <i>sunshine</i>
ἡ σελήνη αἱ σελῆναι	moon	ΣΕΛΗΝΗ <i>shining light</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ σβένσις = ἡ σβέσις	extinguishing	ΣΒΕΝΣΙΣ ΣΒΕΣΙΣ <i>cancelling</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as</i> <i>thoroughly</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[being] produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
Part 2		
ἤδη	actually	
ἡ πρόσθεσις αἱ προσθέσεις	addition	ΠΡΟΣΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i> <i>toward</i>
ἡ ἐπιπρόσθεσις αἱ ἐπιπροσθέσεις	interposition	ΕΠΙΠΡΟΣΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i> <i>toward upon</i>
ἄλλος <i>(η, ο)</i>	other	ἌΛΛΟΣ <i>another</i>
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	Γῆ <i>land</i>
ὁ οὐρανός οἱ οὐρανοί	sky	ΟΥΡΑΝΟΣ <i>heaven</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρῳ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [here "else"]	ἍΛΕΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this/it <i>(such as this/it)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
Part 3		
ὧδε	in this way	ὩΔΕ <i>this</i>
οἰκείος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another [here, "each"]	ἈΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
συνθεωρεῖν	to mutually observe	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i> <i>together</i>
ἅμα	^[adv] simultaneously, ^[prep] together with	ἈΜΑ <i>at once</i>
κυρεῖν	to happen upon	ΚΥΡΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
ἡ συγκύρησις αἱ συγκυρήσεις	concurrence	ΣΥΓΚΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>happening</i> <i>together</i>

ἀδύνατος (ον)	impossible	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not powerful</i>
-------------------------	------------	--

Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Pythocles, Laertius 10.96

έν δέ τῃ ιβ΄ Περὶ Φύσεως **ταῦτα** Λέγει – καὶ πρὸς **"Ἥλιον ἐκλείπειν** σελήνης ἐπισκοτούσης, **Σελήνην** δὲ τοῦ τῆς γῆς σκιάσματος – ἀλλὰ καὶ **κατ’ ἀναχώρησιν**"

[*Epicurus*] also says **these things** in the 12th [*book*] *On Nature* – and additionally [*he says there that*] **"the Sun is eclipsed** by the occulting moon, and **the Moon [*is eclipsed*]** by the earth's shadow – but also **in accordance with its withdrawal"**

ἡ ἔκλειψις αἱ ἐκλείψεις	a disappearance [or, of the sun & moon] an eclipse	ΕΚΛΕΙΨΙΣ <i>leaving out</i>
ἐγλείπειν = ἐκλείπειν	to disappear [or, of the sun & moon] to be eclipsed	ΕΓΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ ΕΚΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>leaving out</i>
ἡ σελήνη αἱ σεληῖναι	moon	ΣΕΛΗΝΗ <i>shining light</i>
ἐπισκοτῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	occulting	ΕΠΙΣΚΟΤΩΝ <i>darkness upon</i>
τὸ σκίασμα τὰ σκιάσματα	shadow	ΣΚΙΑΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>reflected image</i>
ἡ ἀναχώρησις αἱ ἀναχωρήσεις	withdrawal	ΑΝΑΧΩΡΗΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>place / location</i> <i>back</i>

[U84]

12.3 Conceptions of Gods

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.8.225

κάν τῳι δωδεκάτ[ω]ι Περὶ Φ[ύ]σ[ε]ω[ς το]ῦς πρώτους φη[σὶν ἄ]νθρώπους ἐπὶ ν[οή]ματα <τῶν ἔξω βα[ί]ννειν ἀφθάρτων φύσεων

κάν τῳ δωδεκάτῳ Περὶ Φύσεως **τούς πρώτους** **Φησὶν Ἀνθρώπους ἐπὶ νοήματα** **τῶν ἔξω βαίνειν ἀφθάρτων φύσεων**

and in the 12th book of *On Nature* He says **that the first People [*in human history*]** **arrived** at conceptions of indestructible external natures

τὸ νόημα τὰ νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
ἄφθαρτος <i>(ον)</i>	indestructible	ΑΦΘΑΡΤΟΣ <i>not decaying</i>

[U85]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, Vol. Herc. 2, 2.106 [p. 124.1 Gomperz]

[Anderson] *The rendering of this fragment in Usener (as virtually the same as U88, but attributed to Book 12) has been abandoned by subsequent scholarship*

[=U88] *Uncertain Author, P.Herc. 1111*

...[καὶ ἄλ]ληι κάν τ[ῳι Περὶ Ὀ]σιότητ[ος, κάν τῳι] δωδεκά[τῳι καὶ] τρεῖσκα[ιδεκάτῳι] Περὶ Φύσε[ως, κάν τῳι] πρώτῳ[ι τῶν Περὶ] Τιμοκράτους...

...καὶ ἄλλῃ **κάν τῳ Περὶ Ὀσιότητος,** **κάν τῳ δωδεκάτῳ καὶ τρεῖσκαιδεκάτῳ Περὶ Φύσεως,** **κάν τῳ πρώτῳ τῶν Περὶ Τιμοκράτους...**

...in other places, such as in his work *On Holiness*, and in the 12th and 13th books *On Nature*, and in the first of the books *On Timocrates*...

[U86]

12.4 Physical Immortal Gods

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.3.60

εἴ γ' εὐ[σκοποῦσιν] φησιν φύσιν τούτων πραγμάτων καὶ πολλῶν αὐτὴν περιστώτων δοξ[άζειν ἀξεῖναι] καὶ πολ[λοῖς αἰ]δίους [θεοῖς κάθα]νάτο[ις εἶναι]

εἴ γ' Εὐσκοποῦσιν, Φησιν, φύσιν τούτων πραγμάτων καὶ πολλῶν αὐτὴν περιστώτων Δοξάζειν ἀξεῖναι καὶ πολλοῖς αἰδίους θεοῖς κάθανάτοις εἶναι

If, indeed, They examine carefully, [Epicurus] says, the nature of these situations and the many things [that relate to] this [nature], They will judge [this nature] to rise up and to exist for many eternal and immortal gods

τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ result of doing / affair
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ seeming
δοξάζειν	to judge	

[U87]

12.5 Madness of Atheists

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.18.514 – 1.19.541

[Π]αρασ[τήσας] ὥς τοῖς μὲν ὑπὸ τ[ῶν] ἀν[δρῶν] αὐτῶν γε[γραμμέν]οις ἢ μ[ᾶς] προσέχε[ιν, αὐτ]οῖς δὲ καὶ πᾶσαν μ[ανίαν] Ἐπίκουρος ἐμ[έμψα]το τοῖς τὸ [θεῖον] ἐκ τῶν ὄντων ἀναι[ροῦσιν], ὥς κά[ν τῶι] δωδεκάτω[ι Προ]δίκω καὶ Δια[γόρῃ] καὶ Κριτίῃ κα[ὶ ἄλλοις] μέμφ[εται] φᾶς πα[ρα]κόπτ[ειν] καὶ μ[αίνεσθ]αι, καὶ βακχεύουσιν αὐτοὺς [εἴ]κά[ζει, κε]λεύσ[ας μὴ] ἡ πρᾶγμα ἡμεῖν παρέχειν οὐδ' ἐνοχλεῖν

παραστήσας ὥς τοῖς μὲν ὑπὸ τῶν ἀνδρῶν αὐτῶν γεγραμμένοις ἡμᾶς προσέχειν, αὐτοῖς δὲ καὶ πᾶσαν μανίαν Ἐπίκουρος ἐμέμψατο τοῖς τὸ θεῖον ἐκ τῶν ὄντων ἀναιροῦσιν – ὥς κὰν τῷ δωδεκάτῳ: Προδίκῳ καὶ Διαγόρᾳ καὶ Κριτίᾳ, καὶ ἄλλοις Μέμφεται – φᾶς παρακόπτειν καὶ μαίνεσθαι. καὶ Βακχεύουσιν αὐτοὺς Εἰκάζει, κελεύσας μὴ πρᾶγμα ἡμεῖν, παρέχειν οὐδ' ἐνοχλεῖν

...having proposed that we pay attention to the writings of [our] own men – Epicurus reproached all the madness of those who abolish the divine from existing things – just as, in the 12th [book]: He finds fault with Prodíkos, Diagóras, Kritías, and others – saying that they are deranged and insane. He even likens them [to] Those [who] go bacchating, commanding [them] to cause no trouble for us, and to not be irritating

κα[ὶ γὰρ] παραγραμμίζ[ουσι] τὰ τ[ῶν] θεῶν ὀνόμα[τα], [κα]θάπερ Ἀν[τισθ]έ[νης] τὸ κοινό[τατον] ὑποτείνων ἀν[αφέρει] τὰ κατὰ μέρος [τῇ θε]σει καὶ διὰ τι[νος ἀπά]της ἔτι πρότ[ερον].

καὶ γὰρ Παραγραμμίζουσι τὰ τῶν θεῶν ὀνόματα – καθάπερ Ἀντισθένης, τὸ κοινότατον ὑποτείνων, ἀναφέρει τὰ κατὰ μέρος τῇ θέσει – καὶ διὰ τινος ἀπάτης ἔτι πρότερον

for They erase* the names of the gods – just as Antisthénēs, insisting on the most general [conception of the gods], attributes the particular [conceptions and names] to an establishment [by human convention] – and even earlier [by cynical people] through some deception

τὰ ἀναγεγραμμένα	things written out	ΑΝΑΓΕΓΡΑΜΜΕΝΑ written again
παραγραμμίζειν	to erase	ΠΑΡΑΓΡΑΜΜΙΖΕΙΝ writing across
*this is a rare verb that may mean either "to erase" or "to rewrite"		

Prodíkos, Diagóras, and Kritías were well known atheists.

Antisthénēs (fl. 406 ^{BCE}) learned rhetoric under Gorgias, developed a group of his own followers. Then, he became a student of Socrates and encouraged his students to do the same. He later feuded with Plato and "began the Cynic way of life." He was known for saying "I would rather be insane than feel pleasure" (Laertius 6.3)

[U88]

Book 13

Divine Neutrality

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), On Piety, 1.36.1023 – 37.1060

τὸ δὲ περ[αίνεσθαι ὠ]φελίας ἐκ [θεῶν τοῖς] ἀγαθοῖς κα[ὶ] βλάβας τοῖς κακ[οῖς, κατα]λείπουσι[ν. καὶ τοῖς] μὲν φρον[ίμοις καὶ] δικαίοις τ[ὸ τελει]οῦσθαι νοη[τέον] καὶ τὰς ὠφ[ελίας καὶ] τὰς βλάβας[ς οὐ κατα]δεεστέρα[ς ἢ καὶ] μείζους ᾧ[ν ἄνθρω]ποι συνάπ[τουσιν, ο]ὐ κατ' ἀσθέ[νειαν οὐ]δὲ καθάπερ [ἡμεῖν ἐκ] τοῦ θεοῦ τι[νος δέ]ον κἀν ἐπα[ναχ]ωρήσει τῆς [όνήσεως] αὐτοῦ, καὶ τ[αὐτὰ φ]ασι σεμνό[τατα]

τὸ δὲ περαίνεσθαι ὠφελίας ἐκ θεῶν τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς καὶ βλάβας τοῖς κακοῖς Καταλείπουσιν. καὶ τοῖς μὲν φρονίμοις καὶ δικαίοις τὸ τελειοῦσθαι Νοητέον καὶ τὰς ὠφελίας καὶ τὰς βλάβας οὐ καταδεεστέρας ἢ καὶ μείζους ᾧν Ἄνθρωποι συνάπτουσιν – οὐ κατ' ἀσθένειαν, οὐδὲ καθάπερ ἡμεῖν ἐκ τοῦ θεοῦ τινος Δέον, κἀν ἐπαναχωρήσει τῆς ὀνήσεως αὐτοῦ – καὶ ταῦτά Φασι σεμνότατα

The [Kathēgemónes] thoroughly leave undisputed [the idea of] the achieving benefits out of the gods for good [people] and harms for bad [people] – as It is necessary for the wise and just to conceive the fulfillment of both benefits and harms [to be] neither inferior nor greater than those which Men [themselves] connect [to the gods] – [with wise people leaving alone the idea of fulfillment of benefits from a god] not out of weakness, nor as though for us There is a need from some god, even in stepping back from that benefit – and these things They say most solemnly

καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	KATAΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly leave</i>
<i>for this passage, Obbink translates καταλείπειν as "allow" and "accept"</i>		
νοεῖν	to conceive	NOEIN <i>seeing</i>
συνάπτειν	to connect	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening together</i>

ἐν τε γὰρ τῷ Περὶ Θεῶν ποία τίς ἐστιν αἰτία ν[εμέσεως] καὶ σωτηρία[ς ἀνθρώ]ποις διὰ τοῦ θε[οῦ κα]ταλειπτέον ὑπ[ογρ]άφει διὰ πλεό[νων]. Ἐν τε τῷ τρε[ισκα]ιδεκάτῳ, περ[ὶ τῆς] οἰκειότητος ἢ[ν πρὸς] τινας ὁ θεὸς ἔχ[ει καὶ] τῆς ἄλλοτρι[ότητος.] ἐν δὲ τῷ πέ[μπτῳ καὶ] τριακ[οσ]τῷ[ι, φησι], σὺν τῷ τι [ταύ]της τῆς ὠφελ[ίας] διασ[α]φεῖν, κ[α]ὶ διό[τι νομι]ζον...

ἐν τε γὰρ τῷ Περὶ Θεῶν ποία τίς ἐστιν Αἰτία νεμέσεως καὶ σωτηρίας ἀνθρώποις διὰ τοῦ θεοῦ καταλειπτέον, Ὑπογράφει διὰ πλειόνων – ἐν τε τῷ τρεισκαιδεκάτῳ, περὶ τῆς οἰκειότητος ἣν πρὸς τινας ὁ Θεὸς ἔχει καὶ τῆς ἄλλοτριότητος.

and in the [book] *Concerning the Gods*, what kind of Cause for vengeance and security exists through a god that must be thoroughly left behind for men, [Epicurus] writes with many [details]. and in the thirteenth [book *On Nature, Epicurus writes*] regarding the familiarity that a God has with certain [people] and regarding his alienation.

ἐν δὲ τῷ πέμπτῳ καὶ τριακοστῷ, Φησι, σὺν τῷ τι ταύτης τῆς ὠφελίας, διασαφεῖν, καὶ διότι Νομίζον...

and in the five-and-thirtieth [i.e., *Book 35 On Nature, Epicurus*] says that he clarifies, along with a little bit of this benefit, also why It is believed that...

The "familiarity and the alienation that a god has with certain people" may be explained by Epicurus largely along the lines of "It is necessary for the wise and just to conceive the fulfillment of both benefits and harms to be neither inferior nor greater than those which men themselves connect to the gods," (as just stated above).

[=U87] Uncertain Author, P.Herc. 1111

...[καὶ ἄλ]ληι κἀν [τῶι Περὶ Ὅ]σιότητι[ος, κἀν τῶι] δωδεκά[τῳ καὶ] τρεῖσκα[ιδεκάτῳ] Περὶ Φύσε[ως, κἀν τῶι] πρώτῳ[ι τῶν Περὶ] Τιμοκράτους...

...καὶ ἄλλη κἀν τῷ Περὶ Ὅσιότητος, κἀν τῷ δωδεκάτῳ καὶ τρεῖσκαιδεκάτῳ Περὶ Φύσεως, κἀν τῷ πρώτῳ τῶν Περὶ Τιμοκράτους...

...in other places, such as in his work *On Holiness*, and in the 12th and 13th books *On Nature*, and in the first of the books *On Timocrates*...

Book 14

In Book 14, Epicurus critiques inconsistent explanations of natural phenomena.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 3

...καθὸ τὰς μ[ε]ταβάσεις ἐν αὐταῖς ἂν νο[ή]σωμεν διὰ λόγου...

...καθὸ τὰς μεταβάσεις ἐν αὐταῖς ἂν Νοήσωμεν διὰ λόγου...

...whereby We might conceive the [spatial] extensions in them through [our] reasoning...

Probably a reference to the atoms.
Compare: "It is not necessary to think that a [spatial] extension is produced within the finite [atoms] into infinity, not into something [ever] smaller (10.56b)

ἢ μετάβασις αἱ μεταβάσεις	[spatial] extension	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΣΙΣ <i>stepping beyond</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>

14.1 Unnecessary Burden of Endless Inquiry

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 24

...[ἀ]γαπητ[όν] καὶ τοῦτ[ο], τὸ δὴ πάντα τὸν συνε[χό]μενον [ταῖς] τοιαύταις περιεργ[ε]ῖαις ἔχειν οἰονὶ φάρμακον δι' οὗ καταστάσεις ἀπλ[ᾶς ἐστι]ν ἐν τῇ περὶ φύσε[ως θεωρί]αι ἀπαλλαγῆσε[σθαι τῆς σ]υμφύτου ἑαυτα[ῖς ταραχ]ῆς ἢ καὶ ὕστερον...

...ἀγαπητὸν καὶ Τοῦτο: Τὸ δὴ πάντα τὸν συνεχόμενον ταῖς τοιαύταις περιεργείαις ἔχειν οἰονὶ φάρμακον – δι' οὗ Καταστάσεις ἀπλᾶς Ἔστιν ἐν τῇ περὶ φύσεως θεωρίᾳ ἀπαλλαγῆσεσθαι τῆς συμφύτου ἑαυταῖς ταραχῆς. Ἡ καὶ ὕστερον...

...This is also desirable: That one who is entirely afflicted by such over-questionings has a kind of remedy – through which It is possible that a simple Condition [of life, focused] in the [mental] observation of nature will set free their innate trouble. Which [remedy] afterward also...

ἀγαπητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	desirable	ΑΓΑΠΗΤΟΣ <i>love worthy</i>
κατέχειν	to firmly hold	ΚΑΤΕΧΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly holding</i>

συνέχειν	to keep united	ΣΥΝΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding together</i>
συνέχεσθαι	to be kept united [here, "to be afflicted"]	ΣΥΝΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>being held together</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
ἡ περιεργεία = ἡ περιεργία	over-questioning	ΠΕΡΙΕΡΓΕΙΑ <i>work around</i>
οἷονι	of what sort [here, "a kind of"]	ΟΙΟΣ + ΝΙ <i>such as</i>
τὸ φάρμακον τὰ φάρμακα	remedy	ΦΑΡΜΑΚΟΝ <i>drug</i>
ἡ ἀποκατάστασις αἱ ἀποκαταστάσεις	reestablishment	ΑΠΟΚΑΤΑΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>placed thoroughly back</i>
ἡ κατάστασις αἱ καταστάσεις	condition	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>thoroughly placed</i>
ἀπλοῦς <i>(ῆ, οὔν)</i>	simple	ἈΠΛΟΥΣ <i>one fold</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	<i>[the act of mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
ἀπαλλάττειν	to remove away	ΑΠΑΛΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>changing away</i>
ἀπαλλαγῆσθαι	to remove away [in the future]	
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
τὸ φυτόν τὰ φυτά	plant	ΦΥΤΟΝ <i>growing</i>
σύμφυτος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	innate	ΣΥΜΦΥΤΟΣ <i>growing together</i>
ἡ ταραχή αἱ ταραχαί	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΗ <i>agitating</i>
ὕστερον	afterward	ΎΣΤΕΡΟΝ <i>later</i>

14.2 Anaximénēs

Anaximénēs of Miletus (flourished 545 ^{BCE}, about 250 years before Epicurus) believed that air (ἀήρ) can change into water, earth, and fire – and into everything else – by getting thicker or thinner.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 fr. 6 (column 27)

...πρὸς τ[ο]ὺς ἐκ[κ τ]ῶν νεφῶν [φ]άσκοντας πυκνουμένων τὴν τοῦ ὕδατος φύσιν ἀποτελεῖσθαι, καὶ νομίζοντας καὶ τοῦτο σημει[ον εἶ]ναι ὥς ἐκ μιᾶς φύσε[ως ᾗ]παντα γίνεται πυ[κνώ]σει καὶ ἀ[ρ]αιώσει παρ[εξ]αλλα[τ]τούσης τὸν [ἀέ]ρα...

...πρὸς τοὺς ἐκ τῶν νεφῶν φάσκοντας πυκνουμένων τὴν τοῦ ὕδατος Φύσιν ἀποτελεῖσθαι – καὶ νομίζοντας καὶ τοῦτο σημεῖον εἶναι ὥς ἐκ μιᾶς φύσεως Ἄπαντα γίνεται πυκνώσει καὶ ἀραιώσει παρεξαλλαττούσης τὸν ἀέρα...

...to those alleging that, the Nature of water is fully produced out of the clouds concentrating – and thinking that this too is a sign that Everything is produced from one nature slightly modifying the air by concentrating and dispersing...

τὸ νέφος τὰ νέφη	cloud	ΝΕΦΟΣ <i>fog</i>
φάσκειν	to allege	ΦΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>affirming</i>

τὸ πύκνωμα τὰ πυκνώματα	a concentration	ΠΥΚΝΩΜΑ <i>the result of compacting</i>
ἡ πυκνότης αἱ πυκνότητες	concentration	ΠΥΚΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from compacting</i>
πυκνούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	concentrating	ΠΥΚΝΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ
ἡ πύκνωσις αἱ πυκνώσεις	a concentrating	ΠΥΚΝΩΣΙΣ <i>action of compacting</i>
τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water	ὙΔΩΡ <i>rain / water</i>
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing out</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
νομίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	thinking	NOMIZON <i>custom</i>
σημειούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being indicated	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>sign</i>
τὸ σημεῖον τὰ σημεία	sign	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ <i>state of indication</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ ἀραίωσις αἱ ἀραιώσεις	dispersing	ΑΡΑΙΩΣΙΣ <i>action of thinning</i>
ἀπαλλάττειν	to remove away	ΑΠΑΛΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>away</i>
παρεξαλλάττων	to [slightly] modify	ΠΑΡΕΞΑΛΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>changing out of beside</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 fr. 8 (column 29)

...[παρὰ] τὰς ὁμοίας [φα]ντασ[ί]ας [αὐ]τοὺς παραλο[γί]ζονται...

...παρὰ τὰς ὁμοίας φαντασίας, αὐτοὺς Παραλογίζονται...

...beyond the similar appearances, They deceive themselves...

ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
παραλογίζομαι	to deceive	ΠΑΡΑΛΟΓΙΖΟΜΑΙ <i>beside reason</i>

14.3 Democritus

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 fr. 9 (column 30)

...[βελτίο]νες ἄνδρες πράτ[τουν], ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν προσα[γ]ορευομ[έ]νων φιλοσόφων, οὓς, ναὶ μὰ τό[ν], ἔλπ[ο]μαι, εἰ δεῖ, καὶ Δημόκριτο[ν] ὥς ὀνομάσαι· ἄρ' ἂν ἐ[ν]σταῖμεν πρ[ὸς]... ...ἐν τοῖς μετεώροις...

...βελτίονες Ἄνδρες πράττουν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν προσαγορευομένων "φιλοσόφων" – οὓς, ναὶ μὰ τόν, Ἐλπομαι, εἰ Δεῖ, καὶ Δημόκριτον ὥς ὀνομάσαι· ἄρ' ἂν Ἐνισταῖμεν πρὸς... ...ἐν τοῖς μετεώροις...?

...better Men accomplish [this], but even those being referred to as "philosophers" – [among] whom, yes by [god], I suppose, if It is necessary, to even bring up the name of Democritus in this way. would We resist against... ...in the meteorological phenomena...?

βελτίων (ων, ον)	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ <i>greater</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish [here, "to act"]	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
προσαγορευόμενος (η, ον)	being referred to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
ὁ φιλόσοφος οἱ φιλόσοφοι	philosopher	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΟΣ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ἔλπειν	to suppose	ΕΛΠΕΙΝ <i>hoping</i>
ὁ Δημόκριτος	Democritus	ΔΗΜΟΚΡΙΤΟΣ <i>judging community</i>
ὀνομάζειν	to use the word [here, "to bring up the name"]	ΟΝΟΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>naming</i>
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
ἐνιστάναι	to resist	ΕΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing in[stead]</i>
τὸ μετέωρον τὰ μετέωρα	meteorological phenomenon	ΜΕΤΕΩΡΟΝ <i>rising beyond</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 fr. 10 (column 31)

...[κατὰ μι]κρὸν πολλοὺς ὀγκ[ους δε]ξομένης, καὶ ἄλλ[ων συγ]κρίσεων...

...κατὰ μικρὸν πολλοὺς ὀγκους δεξομένης, καὶ ἄλλων συγκρίσεων...

...while receiving many particles little by little, and other compounds...

μικρός (ά, όν)	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
κατὰ μικρὸν	little by little	
ὁ ὄγκος οἱ ὄγκοι	particle	ΟΓΚΟΣ <i>bulk</i>
δεξιόμενος (η, ον)	recieving	ΔΕΞΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>separating together</i>

14.4 Monism

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 fr. 11 (column 33)

...[οὐ γάρ] πα[ρὰ τούτου π]ύκνωσιν ἢ ἀρα[ί]ωσιν τὰ πράγματα γεν[ν]ᾶται, ἀλλὰ παρὰ [σ]χημάτ[ω]ν διαφορὰς τὸ ἰσχυρὸ[ν τῶ]ν παραλλαγῶν [ἐκγί]νεται...

...οὐ γὰρ παρὰ τούτου πύκνωσιν ἢ ἀραίωσιν τὰ Πράγματα γεννᾶται – ἀλλὰ, παρὰ σχημάτων διαφορὰς Τὸ ἰσχυρὸν τῶν παραλλαγῶν ἐκγίνεται...

...for these Situations are not created from this [one single underlying substance] by [its] concentrating or by [its] dispersing – but rather, What prevails is produced out of the differences in the variety of the shapes...

τὸ πύκνωμα τὰ πυκνώματα	concentration	ΠΥΚΝΩΜΑ <i>the result of compacting</i>
ἡ πύκνωσις αἱ πυκνώσεις	a concentrating	ΠΥΚΝΩΣΙΣ <i>action of compacting</i>

ἡ ἀραίωσις αἱ ἀραιώσεις	dispersing	ΑΡΑΙΩΣΙΣ <i>action of thinning</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ γένεσις αἱ γενέσεις	[process of] creation	ΓΕΝΕΣΙΣ <i>action of being born</i>
γεννᾶσθαι	to be created	ΓΕΝΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>being born</i>
γίνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἐκγίνεσθαι	to be produce out of	ΕΚΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being out of</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
ἰσχύειν	to prevail	ΙΣΧΥΕΙΝ <i>strength</i>
ἰσχύων <i>(ἰσχύουσα, ἰσχύον)</i>	prevailing	ΙΣΧΥΩΝ <i>strength</i>
ἰσχυρός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	strong	ΙΣΧΥΡΟΣ <i>strength</i>
τὸ ἰσχυρόν τὰ ἰσχυρά	what prevails	
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>

14.5 Platonic "Solids"

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 1 (column 34)

...[πρὸς τοὺς] ὀρίζοντας σχῆμα π[υρ]ρὸς ἴδιον ἢ γῆς ἢ ὕδατος ἢ [ἀ]έρος, ὅτι γελοιότεροί εἰσι τῶν οὐχ ὀριζόντων μέν, κατὰ δὲ τὰς παραθέσεις ὁμολογησάν[τε]ων ἂν ἢ ἐκουσίως ἢ ἀκου[σ]ίως γίνεσθαι τινα σχημά[τε]ων ἴδια εἶδη καθ' ἐκάστην [οὐ]σιώδη ῥηθεῖσαν ἂν σύγ[κρ]ισιν· οἱ μὲν γὰρ τοῖς μέν [σπε]οιχείο[ις] ἀμαρτάνουσιν, [ἀ]κόλουθον δέ τι τοῦτοις [μ]ᾶλλον, οὕτω λέγοντες, [λέ]γοιεν ἄν, καὶ ὅλως δὲ τὴν [τα]ῖς μείξεσι[ν] παραλλαγὴν· [οἱ] δὲ πρὸς τὴν...

...πρὸς τοὺς ὀρίζοντας σχῆμα πυρὸς ἴδιον ἢ γῆς ἢ ὕδατος ἢ ἀέρος: ὅτι γελοιότεροί Εἰσι τῶν οὐχ ὀριζόντων μέν – κατὰ δὲ τὰς παραθέσεις, ὁμολογησάντων ἂν, ἢ ἐκουσίως ἢ ἀκουσίως, γίνεσθαι τινα σχημάτων ἴδια Εἶδη καθ' ἐκάστην οὐσιώδη ῥηθεῖσαν ἂν σύγκρισιν.

...[such is the case] for those who define [one] particular shape for fire, or earth, or water, or air: since They are more ridiculous than those who do not define [any type of shape for each element] – given that, in [their comparative] juxtapositions, those [who define a specific shape for each element] should have agreed, either willingly or unwillingly, that certain [multiple] particular Forms of shapes are produced which can [affirmatively] be said to be substantial [i.e., really existing] in accordance with each compound.

Οἱ μὲν γὰρ τοῖς μέν στοιχείοις ἀμαρτάνουσιν, ἀκόλουθον δέ Τι τούτοις μᾶλλον – οὕτω λέγοντες, Λέγοιεν ἂν καὶ ὅλως δὲ τὴν ταῖς μείξεσιν παραλλαγὴν – Οἱ δὲ πρὸς τὴν...

but Some [people] make a mistake regarding these component [elements], and there is Something more consistent [to be said that pertains] to these [elements] – speaking in this way, Those [who define one particular shape for each element] could also entirely refer to the variety in the mixtures – but Those [people who...] for the...

ὀρίζειν	to set a limit	ὈΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
---------	----------------	------------------------------

ὀρίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	setting a limit [defining]	ὍΡΙΖΩΝ <i>separating</i>
τὰ ὀρίζοντα τὸ ὀρίζον	what sets a limit	
ὁ ὀρίζων οἱ ὀρίζοντες	he who defines	
ὁ οὐχ ὀρίζων οἱ οὐχ ὀρίζοντες	he who does not define	
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>
τὸ ἰδίωμα τὰ ἰδιώματα	idiom	ΙΔΙΩΜΑ <i>particular</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	
ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	Γῆ <i>land</i>
τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water	ὙΔΩΡ <i>rain / water</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
γελοιότερος <i>(οτέρᾳ, ότερον)</i>	more ridiculous	ΓΕΛΟΙΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>more laughing</i>
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing</i>
ἡ παράθεσις αἱ παραθέσεις	juxtaposition	ΠΑΡΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing alongside</i>
ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>same reasoning</i>
ὁ ὁμολογήσας οἱ ὁμολογήσαντες	he who has agreed	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΗΣΑΣ <i>same reasoning</i>
ἐκών <i>(έκοῦσα, έκόν)</i>	willing	ΈΚΩΝ <i>voluntary</i>
έκουσίως	willingly	ΈΚΟΥΣΙΩΣ <i>voluntarily</i>
ἄκων <i>(ἄκουσα, ἄκον)</i>	unwilling	ΑΚΩΝ <i>not voluntary</i>
άκουσίως	unwillingly	ΑΚΟΥΣΙΩΣ <i>not voluntarily</i>
γίνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
οὐσιώδης <i>(ώδης, ῶδες)</i>	substantial	ΟΥΣΙΩΔΗΣ <i>quality of being</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
ῥηθῆναι	to be said [already]	ῚΡΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>said</i>
ῥηθεῖς <i>(είσα, έν)</i> ῥηθέντες <i>(είσαι, έντα)</i>	said	ῚΡΗΘΕΙΣ <i>said</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
τὸ στοιχεῖον τὰ στοιχεῖα	component [fact or thing]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΟΝ <i>instance of a row (in a series)</i>

ἀμαρτάνειν	to make a mistake	ἈΜΑΡΤΑΝΕΙΝ <i>missing the mark</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
ἀκόλουθος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	consistent	
ἡ μείξις αἱ μείξεις	a mixing	ΜΕΙΞΙΣ
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>

*Epicurus appears to be criticizing the theory of elements in Plato’s Timaeus, where Plato assigns the shape of **tetrahedron (four triangular faces)** to **fire**, **hexahedron (six square faces)** to **earth**, **octahedron (eight triangular faces)** to **air**, **icosahedron (twenty triangular faces)** to **water** – as well as the **dodecahedron (twelve pentagonal faces)** to **the cosmos as a whole**.*

*Epicurus disagrees with Plato that elements have one specific shape. For example, the element of **water** is not necessarily the specific shape of an icosahedron, the aggregates of atoms that are the element of water can only be said to be within a class of multiple similar shapes.*

14.6 Shapes of the Elements

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 2 (column 35)

...πῶς ἂν τις ὕδωρ ἢ ἀέ[ρ]α διανοηθεῖη ἢ πῦρ, ἐπεὶ οὐδ' ἂν γῆν στερεὰν καὶ ἀδιάλυτον διανοηθεῖη τις, μὴ ὅτι ταῦτα ἀποφαινόμενοι τέμνουσιν; εἰ γὰρ μὴ στερεὸν ἕκαστον τούτων νοηθήσεται, πολλὰς καὶ παντοίας κατ[ά] τὰς τομὰς φαντασίας παρασκευᾷ σχημάτων, καὶ ο[ὗ] τ[ρ]ίγωνα [ο]ὐδὲ πυραμίδας οὐδὲ κύβους οὐδ' ἄλλ' οὐθὲν ὡ[ρ]ισμένον σχῆμα· ο[ὗ]θὲν γ[ὰ]ρ πιθανὸν ἔχοιεν [ᾗ]ν λέγειν ὥς μᾶλλον τι τᾶ ὀρώμενα ταῦτα [τὰ] τ[έτ]ταρα [εἴ]δη ἔ[σ]τι [νομίζειν κατὰ τὰς τομὰς λαμβάνειν ἢ παντοδαπά]...

...πῶς ἂν Τις ὕδωρ ἢ ἀέρα διανοηθεῖη ἢ πῦρ, ἐπεὶ οὐδ' ἂν γῆν στερεὰν καὶ ἀδιάλυτον διανοηθεῖη Τις;

...how could Someone [mentally] perceive water or air or fire [as solid and indissoluble], when One cannot even [mentally] perceive earth as solid and indissoluble?

μὴ ὅτι ταῦτα Ἀποφαινόμενοι τέμνουσιν: εἰ γὰρ μὴ στερεὸν Ἑκάστον τούτων νοηθήσεται, πολλὰς καὶ παντοίας κατὰ τὰς τομὰς φαντασίας Παρασκευᾷ σχημάτων – καὶ οὐ τρίγωνα οὐδὲ πυραμίδας οὐδὲ κύβους οὐδ' ἄλλ' οὐθὲν ὠρισμένον σχῆμα – Οὐθὲν γὰρ πιθανὸν Ἐχοιεν ἂν λέγειν ὥς μᾶλλον τι τὰ ὀρώμενα ταῦτα τὰ τέτταρα Εἶδη Ἑστι νομίζειν κατὰ τὰς τομὰς λαμβάνειν ἢ παντοδαπά...

not [to mention the fact] that Those [people] representing these [ideas of indissoluble elements do in reality] divide [them]: given that Each of these [elements] is not conceived as firm, [then] One could provide many and varied appearances of shapes according to the divisions [of elements] – and [there will] not be [just] triangles, or pyramids, or cubes, or any other finite shape [specific to each element] – for Those [people who define a particular shape for each element] would have Nothing persuasive to say [to convince us that] that It is more [fitting] to think that these four visible Forms are comprehended [by us] according to [their] divisions [of a specific shape for each element] rather [than the elements being] varied [in their specific class of shapes]...

τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water	ὕΔΩΡ <i>rain / water</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ <i>fire</i>

ἡ γῆ αἱ γαῖ	earth	Γῆ land
στερεός (ά, όν)	firm	ΣΤΕΡΕΟΣ stiff
ἡ λύσις αἱ λύσεις	solution [i.e., explanation]	ΛΥΣΙΣ the process of loosening
ἡ διάλυσις αἱ διαλύσεις	dissolution	ΔΙΑΛΥΣΙΣ fully loosening
ἀδιάλυτος (ον)	indissoluble	ΑΔΙΑΛΥΤΟΣ not fully loose
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ result of shining
ἀποφαινόμενος (ομένη, όμενον)	representing	ΑΠΟΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ shining away
ὁ ἀποφαινόμενος οἱ ἀποφαινόμενοι	he who represents	
ἐπιτέμνειν	to cut short	ΕΠΙΤΕΜΝΕΙΝ cutting upon
τέμνειν	to divide	
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ seeing
παντοῖος (α, ον)	all kinds	ΠΑΝΤΟΙΟΣ all of this sort
ἡ τομή αἱ τομαί	division	ΤΟΜΗ cut
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ state of appearing
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ preparing alongside
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ holding [a form]
τὸ τρίγωνον τὰ τρίγωνα	triangle	ΤΡΙΓΩΝΟΝ three corner
ἡ πυραμῖς αἱ πυραμίδες	pyramid	ΠΥΡΑΜΙΣ fire-shaped
ὁ κύβος οἱ κύβοι	cube	ΚΥΒΟΣ dice
ὠρισμένος (ή, ον)	finite	ΩΡΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ limit
πιθανός (ή, όν)	persuasive	ΠΙΘΑΝΟΣ probable
ὁρῶμενος (ὁρωμένη, ὁρώμενον)	being seen	ὍΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ perceiving
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ appearing
νομίζειν	to think	ΝΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ custom
ἡ τομή αἱ τομαί	division	ΤΟΜΗ cut
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping
παντοδαπός (ή, όν)	varied	ΠΑΝΤΟΔΑΠΟΣ all countries

Epicurus explains that Plato's theory of the elements being composed of distinct geometric shapes is flawed: because even *earth* – which is usually the most solid of "the four elements" – is not permanently solid or indissoluble.

Given that this is the case, it is absurd to assign *solid* and *fixed* shapes to the even *less solid* elements of *water*, *fire*, or *air*.

The word "pyramid" comes from the Greek word for "fire." The assumption was that fire had this basic fundamental shape due to its being "pointed" and causing pain when touched. Epicurus points out that this argument is insufficient to conclude that fire is always composed of pyramid shaped components.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 3 (column 36)

...[συγχωρῶμεν δὲ τό γε νῦν τῷ πυρὶ τὴν πυραμίδα ὑπάρχειν καὶ ἄλλα τινὰ ἐκείνων τῶν σ]χημάτων[ν] τοῖ[ς] λοιποῖς στοιχείοις κατὰ τὰ φαινόμεν' αὐτῶν εἶδη ταυτεῖ· ἀλλ' οὐχ[ι] μόνον, εἰ ἄρα, ἐπὶ τοῦ πυρὸς ἂν τοιαύτη τις φαντασία σχήματος οἶαν ἐκεῖνος ἀποδίδωσιν ποτε δόξαι γίνεσθαι, οὐδ' αὖ[τ]η αἰεὶ, οὐ δὲ περὶ πᾶσαν φύσιν πυρός, ἀλλὰ περὶ τὴν αὐτῆς φλ[ο]γός, κα[ὶ] ταύτην ἐμ ποιᾷ[ι] τ[ι]νι τοῦ [π]εριέ[χοντο]ς καταστάσει· ἐπὶ [δ'] εἶδη ταυτ[ι] φερόμενος [ἐν]ίστε ἔοικε[ν] ἀποδ[ι]δ[ο]υς τ[ῷ] πυρὶ σχ[ῆ]μα [διάφορον κ]αί...

...[Συγχωρῶμεν, δὲ τό γε νῦν, τῷ πυρὶ τὴν Πυραμίδα ὑπάρχειν· καὶ ἄλλα τινὰ ἐκείνων τῶν] σχημάτων τοῖς λοιποῖς στοιχείοις κατὰ τὰ φαινόμεν' αὐτῶν εἶδη ταυτεῖ – ἀλλ' οὐχὶ μόνον·

...[let Us agree, for now, that a Pyramid-shape exists in fire: nevertheless, certain other] shapes also [exist] among those in the remaining components [of fire] according to the very forms of [those components] that appear – not just one [shape].

εἰ ἄρα, ἐπὶ τοῦ πυρὸς ἂν τοιαύτη τις Φαντασία σχήματος οἶαν Ἐκεῖνος ἀποδίδωσιν ποτε δόξαι γίνεσθαι – οὐδ', Αὕτη αἰεὶ – οὐ δὲ περὶ πᾶσαν φύσιν πυρός, ἀλλὰ περὶ τὴν αὐτῆς φλογός, καὶ ταύτην ἐν ποιᾷ τινι τοῦ περιέχοντος καταστάσει·

if indeed, [there] might [be] in fire such a certain appearance of the shape as that which This person [i.e., Plato] sometimes demonstrates seems to be produced – nevertheless, [it is] not always This [image] – nor [does this image of a specific shape] encompass the entire nature of fire, but rather [only] encompasses the [nature] of the flame itself, and this [is only produced] in a certain type of condition of the surrounding [environment].

ἐπὶ δ' εἶδη ταυτὶ φερόμενος, ἐνίστε ἔοικεν ἀποδιδούς τῷ πυρὶ σχῆμα διάφορον καί...

but being carried along in these forms sometimes demonstrates for fire that It resembles a differing shape and...

ἡ χώρα αἱ χώραι	space	ΧΩΡΑ location
συγχωρεῖν	to agree	ΣΥΓΧΩΡΕΙΝ place / location together
τὸ πῦρ	fire	ΠΥΡ fire
ἡ πυραμὶς αἱ πυραμίδες	pyramid	ΠΥΡΑΜΙΣ fire-shaped
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ beginning under (before)
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ holding [a form]
λοιπός (ή, όν)	remaining [rest of + gen]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ what is left over
τὸ στοιχεῖον τὰ στοιχεῖα	component [for facts] or, element [for things]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΟΝ instance of a row (in a series)
φαινόμενος (η, ον)	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ shining
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ appearing
ταυτεῖ = ταυτὶ	[here, "the very"]	
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ imprinting

ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασῖαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ φλόξ αἱ φλόγες	flame	ΦΛΟΞ <i>burning</i>
περιέχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ <i>holding around</i>
ἡ κατάστασις αἱ καταστάσεις	condition	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>thoroughly placed</i>
φερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing</i>
ἔοικεν	it resembles	
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
ἀποδιδούς <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	demonstrating	
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
διάφορος <i>(ον)</i>	differing	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΟΣ <i>carrying apart</i>

14.8 Air Pressure & Fire, Errors from Analogy and Inference

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 4 (column 37)

...ἐξέφευ[γε] τὴν ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀέρος στο[λ]ήν, λεπτομερές πα[ν]τελῶς αὐτὸ ὄν καὶ οὐ δυνάμενου ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀέρος ἐν ἀθροισμῶι λαμβάνεσθαι συναγωγὴν ἐπιδεχομένωι· οὔτε γὰρ ἐμβρεΐθεια ποσὴ τις στολήν ἐπιδέχεται οὔτ[ε] λεπτομέρεια, ἀλλὰ συμμετρία τις ποσὴ καὶ τοῦ τοιοῦτου συντελεστικὴ γί[ν]εται· ἀλλὰ γὰρ καὶ τοῦτο γελοιῶς ἐκ τῆς φαντασίας ἀναλελόγισται καὶ οὐκ ἐπισταμένως τάφανές διὰ τοῦ φαινομένου συλλογί[ζ]εσθαι· καὶ ἡ γῆ δὲ π[α]λιν οὐ[κ] ἐ[κ] τοῦ αὐτ[οῦ] τρόπου δύν[α]ται...

...Ἐξέφευγε τὴν ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀέρος στολήν, λεπτομερές παντελῶς Αὐτὸ ὄν, καὶ οὐ δυνάμενον ὑπὸ τοῦ ἀέρος ἐν ἀθροισμῶ λαμβάνεσθαι συναγωγὴν ἐπιδεχομένω.

...[flowing upwards, the Form of flames] flows out from the pressure beneath the air, being absolutely of a subtle composition Itself, and not having the force beneath the air to be taken into an aggregation that permits a gathering.

οὔτε γὰρ Ἐμβρεΐθεια ποσὴ τις στολήν ἐπιδέχεται – οὔτε Λεπτομέρεια: ἀλλὰ Συμμετρία τις ποσὴ καὶ τοῦ τοιοῦτου συντελεστικὴ γίνεται.

for neither does any measurable Density [on its own] permit pressure [to form] – nor does its subtle composition [on its own, permit pressure to form]: but some measurable Symmetry [of density and subtlety] does become contributive to such a [formation of pressure as seen in flames].

ἀλλὰ γὰρ καὶ Τοῦτο ^[1] γελοιῶς ἐκ τῆς φαντασίας ἀναλελόγισται – καὶ οὐκ ^[2] ἐπισταμένως τάφανές διὰ τοῦ φαινομένου συλλογίζεσθαι· καὶ ἡ Γῆ δὲ πάλιν οὐκ ἐκ τοῦ αὐτοῦ τρόπου δύναται...

but even This has been ^[1] ridiculously analogized from the appearance – and not ^[2] by them inferring in an understandable way the invisible thing through what is apparent [i.e., the shape of fire on a fundamental level through observing fire and flames]. and again, the Earth is not capable from the same way...

τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	Εἶδος <i>appearing</i>
ἡ φλόξ αἱ φλόγες	flame	Φλοε <i>burning</i>
ἐκφεύγειν	to flow out from	Εκφευγειν <i>fleeing out</i>
ἡ στολή αἱ στολαί	pressure	στολη <i>equipping</i>
λεπτομερής (ές)	of a subtle composition	Λεπτομερης <i>fine/thin part</i>
παντελῶς	absolutely completely	Παντελως <i>all completing</i>
δυνάμενος (μένη, μενον)	having the force	Δυναμενος <i>power</i>
ἄθροος (α, ον)	aggregated	Αθροος <i>in groups</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἀθροίσματα	[inorganic] aggregate [organic] organism	Αθροισμα <i>result of action of [being] gathered</i>
ὁ ἀθροισμός οἱ ἀθροισμοί	aggregation	Αθροισμος <i>result of action of [being] gathered</i>
λαμβάνειν	[generally] to acquire [here, "to be taken"]	Λαμβανειν <i>grasping</i>
ἡ συναγωγή αἱ συναγωγαί	gathering	Συναγωγη <i>going together</i>
ἐνδεχόμενος (η, ον)	possible [here, "permitting"]	Ενδεχομενος <i>accepting in</i>
τὸ πύκνωμα τὰ πυκνώματα	a concentration	Πυκνωμα <i>the result of compacting</i>
ἡ πυκνότης αἱ πυκνότητες	concentration	Πυκνοτης <i>condition from compacting</i>
ἡ ἐμβρείθεια = ἡ ἐμβρίθεια	density	Εμβρειθεια <i>heaviness within</i>
ποσός (ή, όν)	how many / measurable	Ποσος <i>some / certain</i>
ἡ λεπτομέρεια αἱ λεπτομέρειαι	subtle composition	Λεπτομερεια <i>fine/thin part</i>
ἡ συμμετρία αἱ συμμετρίαι	symmetry [of physical proportion]	Συμμετρια <i>measure together</i>
ἀποτελεστικός (ή, όν)	productive	Αποτελεστικος <i>characteristic of completing out</i>
συντελεστικός	contributive	Συντελεστικος <i>characteristic of completing together</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	Γινεσθαι <i>come into being</i>
γελοιότερος (οτέρα, ότερον)	more ridiculous	Γελοιότερος <i>more laughing</i>
γελοίως	ridiculously	Γελοιως <i>laughing</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	Φαντασια <i>state of appearing</i>
ἀναλογίζεσθαι	to analogize	Αναλογιζεσθαι <i>reasoning action again</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	Συστασις <i>standing together</i>

ἐπισταμένως	in an understandable way	ΕΠΙΣΤΑΜΕΝΩΣ <i>standing upon</i>
τὸ ἀφανιζόμενον τὰ ἀφανιζόμενα	what disappears	ΑΦΑΝΙΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of not appearing</i>
τάφανές = τὸ ἄφανες τὰ ἄφανη	invisible thing	ΑΦΑΝΗ <i>not appearing</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ὁ συλλογισμός	inference	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning action together</i>
συλλογίζεσθαι	to infer	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>reasoning action together</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>

14.9 Critique of Triangle Theory

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 5 (column 38)

...τα αὐτῷ τρίγωνα ἐξ ὧν καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ συμπλέκει σχήματα· εἰ μὲν ἄτομα ὑφείληπτο εἶναι, τί οὐχὶ ἐποιήσατό τινα ἀπόδειξιν ὥς ἔστιν ἄτομα [σ]ώματα; εἰ δὲ μὴ ἄτομα, τ[ι] ἂν ἐκ τούτων νομίζοι τις συνίστασθαι τὰ λοιπὰ ἃ συμπηγνύει ἐξ ἄλλων ὠνδήποτε; ἀλλὰ γὰρ αὐθίς που ταῦτα μηκυνθήσεται· νῦν δὲ ἐκείνο ἱ[κ]ανὸν εἰπεῖν, ὅτ[ι] συνέβαι[ν]ε γελοιῶς τὸν ἄνδρα τοῦ[τον ἅμ]α μὲν ὅμοιον εἶ[π]αι πως τᾶ[λλα] καταζημ[ιοῦν]... ...ἅμα δὲ κατα[λέγειν π]άθ[η] μὴθὲν δυ[νη]σά[μενον λ]ογί[ζε]σθαι [κα]τὰ τὸ...

...τὰ αὐτῷ τρίγωνα ἐξ ὧν καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ Συμπλέκει σχήματα· εἰ μὲν ἄτομα Ὑφείληπτο εἶναι, τί οὐχὶ Ἐποιήσατό τινα ἀπόδειξιν ὥς ἔστιν ἄτομα σώματα;

...the triangles of his from which He also entangles the remaining shapes. if He supposed that [indivisible] atoms exist, why did He not make any proof that bodies exist as indivisible [i.e., atomic]?

εἰ δὲ μὴ ἄτομα, τί ἂν ἐκ τούτων νομίζοι Τις συνίστασθαι τὰ λοιπὰ – ἃ Συμπηγνύει ἐξ ἄλλων ὠνδήποτε;

but if [his shapes are] not indivisible [and therefore can be divided further], what would One think the remaining [shapes that result from this further dividing] are composed of – which He puts together out of anything whatsoever?

ἀλλὰ γὰρ αὐθίς που ταῦτα μηκυνθήσεται: νῦν δὲ Ἐκείνο ἱκανὸν εἰπεῖν ὅτι Συνέβαινε γελοιῶς τὸν ἄνδρα τοῦτον ἅμα μὲν ὅμοιον εἶπαι, πως τᾶλλα καταζημιοῦν... ...ἅμα δὲ καταλέγειν πάθῃ μὴθὲν δυνησόμενον λογίζεσθαι κατὰ τὸ...

however, these [topics] will again, I suppose, be lengthened out: but for now, It is sufficient to say that It ridiculously occurred that this man [i.e., Plato] simultaneously called [his basic shapes] similar, [while] somehow fully depriving other [shapes]... ...simultaneously describing experiences, while being unable to reason at all in accordance with...

τὸ τρίγωνον τὰ τρίγωνα	triangle	ΤΡΙΓΩΝΟΝ <i>three corner</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining [rest of + gen]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
πλεκτικός <i>(η, ον)</i>	entangling	ΠΛΕΚΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of weaving</i>

συμπλέκειν	to entangle with	ΣΥΜΠΛΕΚΕΙΝ <i>weaving together</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
ὑπολαμβάνειν	to suppose	ὙΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping under</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ποιεῖσθαι	to be made	ΠΟΙΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>gathering</i>
ἡ ἀπόδειξις αἱ ἀποδείξεις	proof	ΑΠΟΔΕΙΞΙΣ <i>from pointing out</i>
ἄτομος <i>(ον)</i>	indivisible	ΑΤΟΜΑ <i>not cut</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
νομίζειν	to think	ΝΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
συνίστασθαι	to be composed	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
συμπηγνύναι	to put together	ΣΥΜΠΗΓΝΥΝΑΙ <i>securing together</i>
αὖθις	again	
τὸ μῆκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ <i>extent</i>
μηκύνειν	to lengthen out	ΜΗΚΥΝΕΙΝ <i>extent</i>
ἱκανός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	sufficient	ΊΚΑΝΟΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure [here, "to occur"]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
γελοίως	ridiculously	ΓΕΛΟΙΩΣ <i>laughing</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
καταζημιοῦν	to fully deprive	ΚΑΤΑΖΗΜΙΟΥΝ <i>thoroughly damaging</i>
καταλέγειν	to describe	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking thoroughly</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
δυνάμενος <i>(μένη, μενον)</i>	having the force	ΔΥΝΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>power</i>
ἀναλογίζεσθαι	to analogize	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>reasoning action again</i>
ἀναλογιστέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	ought to be analogized	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΤΕΟΣ <i>ought to be reasoning action again</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	^[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
λογίζεσθαι	to reason	ΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>action of collecting</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 6 (column 39)

...[ὁμοι]ον τ[ο]ῖς πάθεσιν ἔστιν τοῖς ὑπὸ τῶν τεττάρων τούτων στοιχείων γινομένοις ὃ ἀποδίδωσιν σχῆμα, μάλ[ι]στα μὲν καὶ τὸ πρῶτον ἐκότερον, εἰ δὲ μή, τό γε ἤδη τὴν ὁμοιομέρειαν τῷ φαινομένῳ κεκτημένον· ἀλλὰ γὰρ ταῦτα μὲν αὐτοῦ κατεστρέφθω· πρὸς δὲ τοὺς οἰομένους καταζηλοῦν, ὅταν οὐσίαν τις ὀνομάζηι, τοὺς ταύταις ταῖς φωναῖς χρωμένους, [καὶ π]άλιν, ὃ[τ]αν λέξεως ἀναγκαίαν τινὰ διάθεσιν ποιήσῃται, τοὺς σοφιστεύοντας ἀπὸ τούτων τῷ[ν] μερῶν, μικρὰ βούλομαι διαλεχθῆναι· φασὶ γ[ὰρ] δὴ τοὺς μ[ε]τα[δ]οξάζοντ[ας]...

...ὅμοιον τοῖς πάθεσιν ἔστιν τοῖς ὑπὸ τῶν τεττάρων τούτων στοιχείων γινομένοις – Ὁ ἀποδίδωσιν σχῆμα μάλιστα μὲν καὶ τὸ πρῶτον ἐκότερον – εἰ δὲ μή, τό γε ἤδη τὴν ὁμοιομέρειαν τῷ φαινομένῳ κεκτημένον.

...[He suggests that] It is similar to [our] experiences [that are] produced by these four elements – [and it is our experiences] Which most of all demonstrates a shape and also [suggests] each [of the four as] primary [elements] – but if not, at least as what already possesses homoeomeria in what appears.

ἀλλὰ γὰρ ταῦτα μὲν αὐτοῦ Κατεστρέφθω.

but Let these [shapes] of his be overturned.

πρὸς δὲ τοὺς οἰομένους καταζηλοῦν ὅταν "οὐσίαν" Τις ὀνομάζη – τοὺς ταύταις ταῖς φωναῖς χρωμένους, καὶ πάλιν, ὅταν λέξεως ἀναγκαίαν τινὰ διάθεσιν Ποιήσῃται – τοὺς σοφιστεύοντας ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν μερῶν, μικρὰ Βούλομαι διαλεχθῆναι· Φασὶ γὰρ δὴ τοὺς μεταδοξάζοντας...

as for those believing [themselves] to zealously emulate* [Plato] when Someone uses the word "existence" – and again, [as for those who] use these very statements, when They make a certain arrangement of a term necessary – I wish to converse [only] briefly with those engaging in sophistry derived from these very [particular] details [of grammar]. for They say that those who are changing their opinions...

[Alternative] * as for those thinking to create prejudice against Someone using the word "existence"

ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
τέτταρες <i>(ες, α)</i>	four	ΤΕΤΤΑΡΕΣ <i>four</i>
τὸ στοιχεῖον τὰ στοιχεῖα	component ^[for facts] or, element ^[for things]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΟΝ <i>instance of a row</i> <i>(in a series)</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ὁμοιομερής <i>(ές)</i>	"similarly composed" homoio meric	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΕΡΗΣ <i>similar parts</i>
ἡ ὁμοιομέρεια αἱ ὁμοιομέρειαι	homoeo meria	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΕΡΕΙΑ <i>state of</i> <i>similar parts</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
κεκτημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having possessed	ΚΕΚΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>acquired</i>
καταστρέφειν	to overturn	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>turning</i>

οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
οιόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	believing	ΟΙΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>perceiving</i>
καταζηλοῦν	✖ to zealously emulate	ΚΑΤΑΖΗΛΟΥΝ <i>thoroughly envying</i>
<i>addition of -κατα forms a hapax legomenon, which LSJ translates for this passage as "to create prejudice against," but Epicurus uses ζηλοῦν, with the sense of "to strive after"</i>		
ἡ οὐσία αἱ οὐσίαι	existence	ΟΥΣΙΑ <i>being</i>
ὀνομάζειν	to use the word	ΟΝΟΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>naming</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
χρῶμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	using	ΧΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
ἡ διάθεσις αἱ διαθέσεις	arrangement	ΔΙΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing through</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
σοφιστεύων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	engaging in sophistry i.e., engaging in what is considered to be wisdom	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΕΥΩΝ <i>considered to have knowledge</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part [here "detail"]	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
μικρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>council</i>
διαλεχθῆναι	to converse [already]	ΔΙΑΛΕΧΘΗΝΑΙ <i>spoke across</i>
μεταδοξάζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	changing one's opinion	ΜΕΤΑΔΟΞΑΖΩΝ <i>change seeming</i>

An obscure critique of certain forms of eclecticism in physics. The critique may have been sparked by Plato’s combination of Empedoclean and Pythagorean principles in his theory of elements.

14.11 Empedocles

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 7 (column 40)

...[οἰκεῖον εἶ]ναι, τοῦ συνάψαντος τὸ σύμφωνον αὐτῷ καὶ ἀκόλουθον, ἐκείνου δὲ ἀλλότριον, τοῦ κυκήσαντος μετὰ τῶν οὐκ οἰκείων δογμάτων τόδε τι ὀρθὸν δόγμα, κἂν πρότερος ἐπιτεσὼν αὐτῷ τύχη· συμπεφορημένος γάρ ἐστ[ι]ν οὐχ ὅς ἂν τὸ δι[ε]σπαρμένον δόγμα μεθ' ἐτέρω[ν] ἀλλοτρίων ἑαυτοῦ δογμάτων εἰς [τ]αὐτὸ σγνάγηι, ἀλλ' ὅς ἂν ἀν[ι]σομολ[ογ]οῦμεν' ἀλλ[ή]λοις τινά, [εἴ]τε παρ' αὐτοῦ[ν] εἴτε παρ' ἄλλ[ων] συντιθῇ· κἂν [τις] τὸ [δε] μὲν Ἑμπεδοκ[κ]λέους λέ[γῃ]ι πρὸς νοῦν, τό[δε] δ' ἂν[ε]υ [νοῦ] τύχηι...

...οἰκεῖον εἶναι τοῦ συνάψαντος τὸ Σύμφωνον αὐτῷ καὶ ἀκόλουθον – ἐκείνου δὲ ἀλλότριον, τοῦ κυκήσαντος, μετὰ τῶν οὐκ οἰκείων δογμάτων, τόδε τι ὀρθὸν δόγμα, κἂν πρότερος ἐπιτεσὼν αὐτῷ Τύχη: συμπεφορημένος γάρ, ἐστὶν οὐχ ὅς ἂν τὸ διεσπαρμένον δόγμα μεθ' ἐτέρων ἀλλοτρίων ἑαυτοῦ δογμάτων εἰς ταὐτὸ σνάγη – ἀλλ' ὅς ἂν ἀνομολογούμεν' ἀλλήλοις τινά, εἴτε παρ' αὐτοῦ εἴτε παρ' ἄλλων συντίθῃ·

...the Agreement of someone who has connected **with that [teaching] as a consistent [follower, is] compatible** – but [the Agreement] of another who has mixed up **this or that right teaching** with teachings that do not belong is **foreign**, even if He **initially** happens to have fallen upon **that [teaching]**: for, having joined [the teachings] together, He is not one who would gather **the scattered teaching** along with other teachings incompatible with his own **into the same [compatible] thing** – but [he is] one Who would mutually agree to **something disagreeing with itself**, whether from himself or from others.

κἂν Τις **τόδε** μὲν Ἐμπεδοκλέους λέγη πρὸς νοῦν, **τόδε** δ' ἄνευ νοῦ, Τύχη...

and even if Someone says **something from Empedocles** [was said] **with reason** but **something else** [from him was said] **without reason**, It may happen that...

οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
συνάπτειν	to connect	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening together</i>
συνάψας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	having connected	ΣΥΝΑΨΑΣ <i>fastening together</i>
συμφωνεῖν	to harmonize	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΕΙΝ <i>speaking together</i>
τό σύμφωνον τά σύμφωνα	agreement [with]	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΟΝ <i>speaking together</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
ἀκόλουθος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	consistent	
ἄλλοτρίως	in a foreign way	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΩΣ <i>different other</i>
ἡ ἄλλοτριότης αἱ ἄλλοτριότητες	foreignness	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from different other</i>
ἄλλότριος <i>(ία, ιον)</i>	foreign	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΟΣ <i>different other</i>
ὁ κύκλος οἱ κύκλοι	sphere	ΚΥΚΛΟΣ <i>circular</i>
κυκήσας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	mixed up	ΚΥΚΗΣΑΣ <i>wheel</i>
ὀρθῶς	^{α} rightly	ΟΡΘΩΣ <i>straight upright</i>
ὀρθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	right	ΟΡΘΟΣ <i>straight upright</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
τό δόγμα τά δόγματα	teaching	ΔΟΓΜΑ <i>seeming</i>
πρότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	former [here, "initially"]	ΠΡΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>before [contrast]</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
ἐπιπεσών <i>(οῦσα, όν)</i>	having fallen upon	ΕΠΙΠΕΣΩΝ <i>fell (upon)</i>
ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
τύχη	it may happen	ΤΥΧΗΙ <i>happening</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
συμφορεῖν	to put together	ΣΥΜΦΟΡΕΙΝ <i>carrying together</i>
συμπεφορημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been joined together	ΣΥΜΠΕΦΟΡΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>carried together</i>

παρεσπαρμένος (η, ον)	spread out	ΠΑΡΕΣΠΑΡΜΕΝΟΣ <i>scatter beside</i>
διεσπαρμένος (η, ον)	spread through	ΔΙΕΣΠΑΡΜΕΝΟΣ
ἀλλότριος (ία, ιον)	foreign	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΟΣ <i>different other</i>
συνάγειν	to gather	ΣΥΝΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going together</i>
ἀνομολογούμενος (η, ον)	disagreeing	ΑΝΟΜΟΛΟΓΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>not same reasoning</i>
συντιθέναι	to mutually agree	ΣΥΝΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing together</i>
Ἐμπεδοκλῆς	Empedocles	ΕΜΠΕΔΟΚΛΗΣ <i>steadfast glory</i>
ὁ νοῦς οἱ νοῖ	intellect	ΝΟΥΣ <i>sense</i>

14.12 Concession

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 8 (column 41)

...[συντί]θῃσιν· οὔτε γὰρ ἐπαινεῖ ἐξαπίνης τονδεῖ τινα, [ε]ῖτα πάλιν τὸν ἀντιδοξάζοντα τούτῳ, οὔτε τοδί τι ἐγκωμιάζει ὃ ὅδε τις λέγει, εἴτα πάλιν τὸ ἀντ[ι]κείμενον τούτῳ ὃ ἄλλος τις λέγει, ἀλλ' ὅταν ἐπαινῇ τὸ τοῦδέ τινος ἐπιφοῤῃς ὀρθῆς εἶδος, εἴτα πάλιν τὸ τοῦδε, οὐ τὸ ὑπεναντίον τῷ τοῦδε ἐπαινεῖ, ἀλλ' ὃ ἂν σύμφωνον ᾗ, καὶ οὕτως [ἐ]πὶ πάντων πράττει· ἀρχὴν δέ, ὥσπερ ἔλεγον, οὐδ' ἐκείνων οἷετα[ι] δίκαιον νομίζειν [τι]γὰ, τούτων οὐθέν, ὥστε ο[ύ]κ ἐπάγεται[αι ποιη]τὰς καὶ σοφ[ι]στὰς καὶ ῥήτο[ρ]ας, οἳ γ[ε] πᾶν [τὸ] τὴν ὀρθὴν ἔχον ἐπιφο[ρὰ]ν...

...συντίθῃσιν. οὔτε γὰρ Ἐπαινεῖ ἐξαπίνης τονδεῖ τινα, εῖτα πάλιν τὸν ἀντιδοξάζοντα τούτῳ – οὔτε τοδί τι Ἐγκωμιάζει ὃ ὅδε Τις λέγει, εἴτα πάλιν τὸ ἀντικείμενον τούτῳ, ὃ ἄλλος Τις λέγει: ἀλλ', ὅταν Ἐπαινῇ τὸ τοῦδέ τινος ἐπιφοῤῃς "ὀρθῆς" εἶδος – εἴτα πάλιν τὸ τοῦδε – οὐ τὸ ὑπεναντίον τῷ τοῦδε Ἐπαινεῖ – ἀλλ' ὃ ἂν σύμφωνον ᾗ, καὶ οὕτως ἐπὶ πάντων Πράττει·

...to mutually agree. neither does [Empedocles] suddenly praise a certain person, and then next [praise] someone else who argues against him – nor does He extol something that Someone says, and then next [extol] something contrary to it, that Someone else says: instead, when [Empedocles] praises the form of someone's "right" application – and then next, the [form] of another's [application] – He does not praise what is contrary to his [view] – but what would be in harmony with it, and He acts this way in all things.

ἀρχὴν δέ, ὥσπερ Ἐλεγον, οὐδ' ἐκείνων Οἷεται δίκαιον νομίζειν τινὰ τούτων οὐθέν. ὥστε οὐκ Ἐπάγεται ποιητὰς καὶ σοφιστὰς καὶ ῥήτορας, Οἳ γε πᾶν τὸ τὴν "ὀρθὴν" ἔχον ἐπιφορὰν...

but, as I was saying in the beginning, he believes it is not just to consider any of these [views], nor any of those [views]. therefore, He does not bring in poets and sophists and rhetors – Who indeed promote everything holding a "right" application...

συντιθέναι	to mutually agree	ΣΥΝΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing together</i>
ἐπαινεῖν	to praise	ΕΠΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>praising upon</i>
ἐξαπίνης	suddenly	ΕΞΑΠΙΝΗΣ <i>unexpectedly out of</i>
εἴτα	next	ΕΙΤΑ <i>hereafter</i>
πάλιν	again	ΠΑΛΙΝ <i>back</i>
ἢ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἀντιδοξάζων (ουσα, ον)	arguing against	ΑΝΤΙΔΟΞΑΖΩΝ <i>seeming against</i>

ἐγκωμιάζειν	to extoll	ΕΓΚΩΜΙΑΖΕΙΝ <i>[to speak]</i> <i>in celebration</i>
ἀντικείμενος <i>(ἡ, ον)</i>	contrary	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated against</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἡ ἐπιφορά αἱ ἐπιφοραί	application	ΕΠΙΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying upon</i>
ὀρθός <i>(ῆ, όν)</i>	right	ΟΡΘΟΣ <i>straight upright</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
ὑπεναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	contrary	ΥΠΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>over against</i>
σύμφωνος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	in harmony	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΟΣ <i>speaking together</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation <i>[in time]</i>	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
ἀρχήν	in the beginning	
οὔεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
δίκαιος <i>(ᾱ, ον)</i>	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
νομίζειν	to think <i>[here, "to consider"]</i>	NΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ <i>custom</i>
ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality <i>(characteristic)</i>	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from gathering</i>
ὁ ποιητής οἱ ποιηταί	poet	ΠΟΙΗΤΗΣ <i>gathering</i>
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ὁ σοφιστής οἱ σοφισταί	sophist <i>i.e., a man considered wise</i>	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΗΣ <i>considered to have knowledge</i>
ὁ ῥήτωρ οἱ ῥήτορες	rhetor	ῬΗΤΩΡ <i>speaking</i>

14.13 Enthymematic Uproars

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 9 (column 42)

...[τῶν τοῖς προσέ]χουσι θορύβους ἐνθυμηματικούς καὶ ἀποφθε[γ]ματικούς παρασκευα ζόντων· σολοικίζει[ν] τ' ἐν δόξαις τὸ μέν ὅλ[ον ὃ] μηθὲν ἀκόλο[υ]θον συναρτῶν· οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ κα[ὶ] ὁ ἐπιβαλόμενος μὲν [κατὰ του]τον[ί] τινα βαδ[ί]ζει[ν], ἐξαπίν[η]ς δ' ἀ[ρ]χόμε[νος καθ'] ἕτερον, ἓνα καθ' ἑ[ν', ἀλλ'] οὐχ ὅς ἂν τῷ μὲν ὅλω[ι τρό]πῳ | μὴ ἐπιβάλληται τῷ[λ] ὀγω[ί] τῷι τούτου χρῆ[σθ]αι, αὐτ[ὸν δ]ὲ μόνον τ[ι παρ]αποῖη[ι ἐ]πι[τηδ]εὺ[σας ἅμα] καὶ [πι]ρὸς τὸ φ[ί]κεῖο[ν δόγμα] ἀποκαταστήσῃ[ι] | [τινὸς τὰ] τυχόντ' ἀ[να]μά[ρτητα] μικρὸν τ' [ἀπέ]χον[τα τοῦ λόγου τοῦ αὐτο]ο[ῦ]...

...τῶν τοῖς προσέχουσι θορύβους ἐνθυμηματικούς καὶ ἀποφθεγματικούς παρασκευαζόντων – σολοικίζειν τ' ἐν δόξαις – τὸ μὲν ὅλον Ὁ μηθὲν ἀκόλουθον συναρτῶν·

...while they also provide enthymematic and apophthegmatic uproars [i.e., implicit and proverbial arguments] for [those people who] pay attention – [while they are] committing solecisms also in [their] judgments – [particularly someone] Who joins together nothing consistent as a whole.

οὐ μὴν, ἀλλὰ καὶ Ὁ ἐπιβαλόμενος μὲν κατὰ τουτονί τινα βαδίζειν, ἐξαπίνης δ' ἀρχόμενος καθ' ἕτερον, ἓνα καθ' ἑν' – ἀλλ' οὐχ ὅς ἂν τῷ μὲν ὅλῳ τρόπῳ μὴ ἐπιβάλληται, τῷ λόγῳ τῷ τούτου χρῆσθαι.

not only this, but even [Someone] who focuses on proceeding according to this certain [teaching], and then suddenly begins according to a different [teaching], one by one, [he can nevertheless be considered consistent] – but not Someone who does not objectively focus in the entire way, [and is not appropriately] using this specific reasoning.

αὐτὸν δὲ μόνον τι Παραποιοῖ – ἐπιτηδεύσας ἅμα – καὶ, πρὸς τὸ οἰκεῖον δόγμα, Ἀποκαταστήσῃ τινὸς τὰ τυχόντ' ἀναμάρτητα, μικρὸν τ' ἀπέχοντα τοῦ λόγου τοῦ αὐτοῦ...

but only by Him distorting it in some [way] – while simultaneously being [well] trained [in the teaching] – and He reinstated, for a compatible teaching, anything of someone's that happened to be mistake-free, that was slightly different from his reasoning...

προσέχειν	to pay attention	ΠΡΟΣΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold towards</i>
προσέχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	paying attention	
ὁ θόρυβος οἱ θόρυβοι	uproar	ΘΟΥΡΥΒΟΣ <i>noise</i>
ἐνθυμεῖσθαι	to reflect upon	ΕΝΘΥΜΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>spirit in</i>
ἐνθυμηματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	implicit <i>enthymematic</i>	ΕΝΘΥΜΗΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characterized by being in spirit</i> "in the form of <i>enthymemes</i> " <i>An enthymeme is an argument developed from unstated assumptions that are based on experience and common sense probability.</i>
ἀποφθεγματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	proverbial <i>apophthegmatic</i>	ΑΠΟΦΘΕΓΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characterized by uttering away from</i> "dealing in <i>apophthegms</i> " <i>An apophthegm is short, clever saying that expresses a general truth or principle, often in a memorable way</i>
παρασκευάζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	to also provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΩΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
σολοικίζειν	to commit solecisms	ΣΟΛΟΙΚΙΖΕΙΝ "to act <i>like a person from the city of Sóloi</i> (on the southern coast of Anatolia)" with the meaning of "to make a large number of grammatical errors while speaking or writing"
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
ἀκόλουθος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	consistent	
συναρτῶν <i>(ῶσα, ῶν)</i>	joining together	ΣΥΝΑΡΤΩΝ <i>attaching together</i>
βάλλειν	to throw	ΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing</i>
ἐπιβάλλειν	to objectively focus	ΕΠΙΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing upon</i>
βαδίζειν	proceed	ΒΑΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>walking</i>
ἐξαπίνης	suddenly	ΕΞΑΠΙΝΗΣ <i>unexpectedly out of</i>
ἄρχειν	to begin	ΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i>
ἀρχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	beginning	ΑΡΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>

ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
παραποιεῖν	to distort	ΠΑΡΑΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering across</i>
τὸ ἐπιτήδειον τὰ ἐπιτήδεια	suitable things	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΝ <i>suitable upon</i>
ἡ ἐπιτηδειότης αἱ ἐπιτηδειότητες	supply	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from being</i> <i>suitable towards</i>
ἐπιτηδεύειν	to be trained	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΥΕΙΝ <i>pursuing towards</i>
ἐπιτηδεύσας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	having been trained	
οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
ιστάναι	to stand	ΊΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing</i>
ἀποκαθιστάναι	to reinstate	ΑΠΟΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly standing back</i>
ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
τυχών	happening	ΤΥΧΩΝ <i>happening</i>
τὸ τυχόν τὰ τυχόντα	what happens [to come] to be	ΤΥΧΟΝ <i>coming to be</i>
ἀναμάρτητος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	mistake-free	ΑΝΑΜΑΡΤΗΤΟΣ <i>not missing the mark</i>
μικρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
ἀπέχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	different	ΑΠΕΧΩΝ <i>holding away</i>

14.14 Let Them Have Silence

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 col. 10 (column 43)

...αὐτοὺς γὰρ δίκαιον φάσκει[ι]ν σολ[ο]ικίζειν ἢ συμπεφορῆσθαι, λελυμασμένους καὶ τὸ ἀπὸ τύχης τ[ῆ]ς φύσ[ε]ως αὐτῶν ὀρθὸν ἐπ[ι]φορᾶς εἶδος· οἱ δὲ δὴ δι[ὰ] τινος ὀνόματος ἢ ὄνο[μα]ς[ι]ας ἀδιαφόρ[ο]υ κοινότητα, τῷ τε λελογισμέ[ν]ωι καὶ τῷ τυχόντι γ[ι]νομένην, τῆς διαφορᾶς οὐκέτι ἐπαισθανόμενο[ι], παντελῶ[ς] ἡσυχίαν [έ]χέτωσαν.

...**Αὐτοὺς γὰρ Δίκαιον φάσκειν σολοικίζειν ἢ συμπεφορῆσθαι – λελυμασμένους καὶ τὸ ἀπὸ τύχης τῆς φύσεως αὐτῶν ὀρθὸν ἐπιφορᾶς εἶδος·**

...for [it is] just to allege that They [either] commit solecisms, or they have been [eclectically] pressed together – [because] they have spoiled even the correct form of impact [arising] from the chance of their nature.

Οἱ δὲ δὴ, διὰ ^[1] τινος ὀνόματος ἢ ὀνομασίας ἀδιαφόρου κοινότητα τῷ τε λελογισμένῳ καὶ ^[2] τῷ τυχόντι γινομένην, τῆς διαφορᾶς οὐκέτι ἐπαισθανόμενοι: παντελῶς ἡσυχίαν ἔχέτωσαν

but indeed, because They, [can] no longer sense the difference between ^[1] the general quality of some undifferentiated word or designation [that is] produced from what is reasoned and ^[2] [the general quality of some word] that is produced from what happens to come about: We should absolutely let them have silence

δίκαιος <i>(ᾱ, ον)</i>	just	ΔΙΚΑΙΟΣ <i>custom / right</i>
φάσκειν	to allege	ΦΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>affirming</i>
σολοικίζειν	to commit solecisms	ΣΟΛΟΙΚΙΖΕΙΝ <i>[from] Sóloi</i>
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
συμφέρειν	to be mutually beneficial	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing together</i>
συμπεφορῆσθαι	* to have been [eclectically] pressed together	ΣΥΜΠΕΦΟΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>having been brought together</i>
ἡ λύσις αἱ λύσεις	solution [i.e., explanation]	ΛΥΣΙΣ <i>the process of loosening</i>
λελυμασμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having spoiled	ΛΕΛΥΜΑΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>having loosened</i>
ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
ὀρθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	right	ΟΡΘΟΣ <i>straight upright</i>
ἡ ἐπιφορά αἱ ἐπιφοραί	application	ΕΠΙΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying upon</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
ἡ ὀνομασία αἱ ὀνομασίαι	designation	ΟΝΟΜΑΣΙΑ <i>state of name</i>
διάφορος <i>(ον)</i>	differing	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΟΣ <i>carrying apart</i>
ἀδιάφορος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undifferentiated	ΑΔΙΑΦΟΡΟΣ <i>not carrying apart</i>
ἡ κοινότης αἱ κοινότητες	general quality	ΚΟΙΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from common</i>
λογίζεσθαι	to reason	ΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>action of collecting</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
τυχών	happening to be	ΤΥΧΩΝ <i>happening</i>
τὸ τυχόν τὰ τυχόντα	what happens to come about	ΤΥΧΟΝ <i>happening</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ἐπαισθανόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	sensing	ΕΠΑΙΣΘΑΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>result of perceiving upon</i>
παντελῶς	absolutely completely	ΠΑΝΤΕΛΩΣ <i>all completing</i>
ἡ ἡσυχία αἱ ἡσυχίαι	silence	ἩΣΥΧΙΑ <i>stillness</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἐχέτωσαν	let them have	

Epicurus' On Nature, Book 14, P.Herc. 1148 (subscriptio)

¹Επικούρου Περί Φύσεως ΙΔ, XXX^ΓHHHHH ἐπὶ Κλ[εάρ]χο

Epicurus' *On Nature*, [book] 14. 3,900 lines. during [the archonship of] Clearchus [301/300 ^{BCE}]

<i>X</i> = 1000
XXX = 3000
<i>ℙ</i> = <i>H</i> (100) x <i>Π</i> (5) = 500
ℙ = 500
<i>H</i> = 100
HHHH = 400
=
XXXℙHHHH = 3,900

Epicurus was 40 years old in 301/300 ^{BCE}. This was the year of the Battle of Ipsus and the death of Antigonus I Monophthalmus.

Book 15

[U89]

Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Laertius 10.40

καὶ μὴν καὶ, τῶν {τοῦτο καὶ ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ Περὶ Φύσεως καὶ τῇ ιδ΄ καὶ ιε΄ καὶ τῇ Μεγάλῃ Ἐπιτομῇ} σωμάτων Τὰ μὲν ἐστὶ συγκρίσεις – Τὰ δ΄ ἐξ ὧν αἱ συγκρίσεις πεποιήνται

and indeed, {this is also in the first [book] On Nature and in the 14th and 15th and in the *Larger Epitome*} Some bodies are compounds – but Some [are bodies] out of which compounds are made

Epicurus, *On Nature*, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 1A (superscriptio)

Ἐ[π]ικούρου Περὶ Φύσεως ΙΕ. XXXHH. ἐπὶ [H]γεμάχου

Ἐπικούρου *Περὶ Φύσεως* ΙΕ. XXXHH. ἐπὶ Ἡγεμάχου

Epicurus' *On Nature*, [book] 15. 3,200 lines. during [the archonship] of Hēgémachos [300/299 ^{BCE}]

Epicurus was 41 years old in 300/299 ^{BCE}. During this year Demetrius I Poliorcetes married Seleucus I Nicator's daughter, Stratonice.

15.1 Future Developments

Epicurus, *On Nature*, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 5

...[εἰ] μὲν οὖν τις φιλοσοφῶν... ...[πρά]γματα ἑαυτῶι παρέχε[ι] ἐστὶν ἐ]ξ ἧς εἴρηκα ιδέας· πρ[οιόντ]ος δὲ χρόνου εἴπερ τι τῶ[ν] [ἐνδ]εόντ[ω]ν πράττοι...

...εἰ, μὲν οὖν, Τις φιλοσοφῶν... ...πράγματα ἑαυτῷ παρέχει, Ἐστὶν ἐξ ἧς Εἴρηκα ιδέας· προϊόντος δὲ χρόνου εἴπερ τι τῶν ἐνδεόντων Πράττοι...

...if, therefore, Someone philosophizing... ...provides [new] situations for himself, It exists from the idea which I have mentioned. but as time goes forward, if He will accomplish something of what is lacking...

ὁ φιλόσοφος οἱ φιλόσοφοι	philosopher	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΟΣ <i>loving</i> <i>knowledge</i>
φιλοσοφῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	philosophizing	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΩΝ
τὸ πράγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>

παρέχ ειν	to yield	ΠΑΡΕΧ ΕΙΝ <i>hold beside</i>
εἶρη κα	I have mentioned	
εἶδ έναι	<i>to have mentally seen ∴</i> "to know"	ΕΙΔ ΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing</i>
τὸ εἶδ ωλον τὰ εἶδ ωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩ ΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
ἡ ἰδ έα αἱ ἰδ έαι	idea	ΙΔΕ Α <i>appearing</i>
προῖ ών προι ών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	going forward	ΠΡΟΙ ΩΝ <i>going forward</i>
ὁ χρό νος οἱ χρό νοι	time	ΧΡΟΝ ΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
ένδ εῖν	to be lacking	ΕΝΔ ΕΙΝ
ένδ εών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	lacking	ΕΝΔΕ ΩΝ
πράττ ειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤ ΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>

15.2 Homoeomeria by Majority

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 11

...καθό γάρ [πρ]οσαγορεύεται ὅτι δῆ[λον εἶ]ναι κατ' ἐκε[τι]γο ἐκ τῶν [πι]λείστων τῶν[δ]έ τινων [πε]ποιη[μ]ένη, ἐξ ὁμοιομε[ρειῶν]...

...καθό γάρ Προσαγορεύεται ὅτι "**δῆλον**" **εἶναι** κατ' ἐκείνο, ἐκ τῶν πλείστων τῶνδέ τινων **πεποιημένη** – ἐξ ὁμοιομερειῶν...

...to the extent that [*an object's composition*] is said to be "clear" in this [respect], because it has been made from the greatest [*amount*] of certain [*uniform parts*] – from [*their*] homoeomeria...

[Sedley] For [it is claimed that] the respect in which it is spoken of – because it is evident – is the respect according to which it is made out of a majority of such and such things, namely the homoiomeries.

καθό	to the extent that	ΚΑΘΟ
προσαγορεύ ειν	to refer to as [here, "to be said"]	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥ ΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
δῆλ ος <i>(η, ον)</i>	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛ ΟΣ <i>clear</i>
πλεί ων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙ ΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
πλεί στος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most / greatest	ΠΛΕΙ ΣΤΟΣ <i>most filling</i>
ποιεῖ ν	to make	ΠΟΙΕ ΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
πεποιημένος	having been made	ΠΕΠΟΙΗΜΕΝ ΟΣ <i>gathered</i>
ἡ ὁμοιομέρ εια αἱ ὁμοιομέρ ειαι	homoeomeria	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΕΡ ΕΙΑ <i>state of similar parts</i>

15.3 Impressions & Categories

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 12

...φαντασίαν τοια[υτη]γεί [ῆ] τοιαυτηνεί, πόθεν ῥηθή[σον]ται [ἐ]χειν αἱ συ[γκρί]σεις...

...**φαντασίαν** τοιαυτηνεί ἢ τοιαυτηνεί – πόθεν ῥηθήσονται ἔχειν αἱ Συγκρίσεις...

...an appearance like this very thing or like THIS very thing – where will the compounds be said to have...?

ἢ φαντασία αἰ φαντασῖαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of</i> <i>appearing</i>
τοιοὔτοσεῖ <i>(τοιαύτηεῖ, τοιοὔτεῖ)</i>	like this very thing, <i>(such as this exact kind of thing here)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣΕΙ <i>this of this</i> <i>sort here</i>
ῥηθῆναι	to be said [already]	ῬΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>said</i>
ῥηθήσασθαι	will be said	ῬΗΘΗΣΕΣΘΑΙ
ἢ σύγκρισις αἰ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 17

...ἀλλ' ἐπιβλέπει πό[σα ο]ὔτως[ς] ἥδε ἡ κατηγορία περιλα[μ]βά[ν]ει τῶν ἐν ταῖς αἰσ[θ]ήσ[ε]σιν κ[αὶ]...

...ἀλλ' Ἐπιβλέπει πόσα οὔτως ἥδε ἡ Κατηγορία περιλαμβάνει τῶν ἐν ταῖς αἰσθήσεσιν καὶ...

...but He fully sees how many things this full [categorical] Indication completely encompasses among what [is] in [the realm of our] sensations and...

ἐπιβλέπειν	to fully see	ΕΠΙΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking upon</i>
ποσός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	how many / measurable	ΠΟΣΟΣ <i>some / certain</i>
προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as [here, "to be said"]	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly</i> <i>toward</i>
κατηγορεῖν	to fully indicate	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>speaking publicly</i>
ἡ κατηγορία αἰ κατηγορίαι	full [categorical] indication	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΙΑ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>speaking publicly</i>
περιλαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to completely comprehend, [generally] to encompass	ΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping around</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἰ αἰσθήσεις	[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving</i> <i>process</i>

15.4 Atomic Size

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 19

...ἐπισπᾶται καὶ τὸ τὰ[ς] ἀτ[ό]μο[υ]ς μηδέν ἦττον, μ[ηδ]ἐν ἐλάττου[ς] τὰς τοιάσδε ἢ τοιάσδ[ε λ]έγειν εἶναι...

...Ἐπισπᾶται καὶ τὸ τὰς ἀτόμους μηδέν ἦττον μηδέν ἐλάττους, τὰς τοιάσδε ἢ τοιάσδε, λέγειν εἶναι...

...He is even drawn to saying that the Atoms, [whether] of one kind or another, are not to a lesser extent nor smaller...

ἐπισπᾶν	to draw to	ΕΠΙΣΠΑΝ <i>pulling upon</i>
ἐπισπᾶσθαι	to be drawn to	
ἄτομος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	indivisible	ΑΤΟΜΑ <i>not cut</i>

ἥττον	to a lesser extent	ἥΤΤΟΝ <i>inferior</i>
ἐλάττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	smaller	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>lesser</i>

15.5 Atomic Expulsion

<i>Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 20</i>
...ἀτόμων ἐκβο[λή]ν ποιεῖσθαι· τὸ γὰρ τοι[οῦτ]ον ἤδη ἔνδηλον ποι[εῖ] τι ὅ[ν] κἂν ὑπῆρχεν εἰ ...

...**ἀτόμων ἐκβολήν** ποιεῖσθαι – **τὸ γὰρ τοιοῦτον** ἤδη **ἐνδηλον** ποιεῖ Τι ὄν, κἂν ὑπῆρχεν...

...to produce **an expulsion of atoms** – for Something that exists already makes **such a thing clear**, even if it were to exist...

ἡ ἐπιβολή αἰ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἡ ἐκβολή αἰ ἐκβολαί	expulsion	ΕΚΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing out</i>
ἐνδηλος <i>(ον)</i>	^(θ) fully clear	ΕΝΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear within</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>

15.6 Organization of Study

<i>Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 21</i>
...οὕτω[ς] ὑφηγεῖσθαι· ὅπερ τε δὴ ἐξ ἀρχῇ[ς] πρ[οε]υλόμεθα, οἰκονομεῖται ἡ μῖν...

...**οὕτως** ὑφηγεῖσθαι – **ὅπερ τε δὴ ἐξ ἀρχῆς** Προειλόμεθα, Οἰκονομεῖται **ἡμῖν**...

...to guide **in this manner** – indeed, **just as** We preferred **from the beginning**, It is internally managed **by us**...

ὑφηγεῖσθαι	to guide	ὙΦΗΓΕΙΝ <i>guiding under</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἰ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
ἀναιρεῖν	to rescind	ΑΝΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping back</i>
προαιρεῖν	to bring forth	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping before</i>
προήρησθαι	to prefer	ΠΡΟΗΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>grasped before</i>
ἡ οἰκονομία αἰ οἰκονομίαι	household management	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΙΑ <i>managing the home</i>
οἰκονομεῖν	to manage the household [here, "to internally manage"]	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΕΙΝ <i>managing the home</i>

<i>Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 22</i>
...αὐτοῖς, ἃ ὀχή[σ]ει καὶ στεγά[σ]ει αὐτά, καὶ [α]ὐτ[ᾶ] ὑπ' ἐκείνων διασωθήσεται[ι] καθάπερ καὶ αἱ παρ' ἡμε[τέρ]...

...**αὐτοῖς**, Ἄ ὀχήσει καὶ στεγάσει **αὐτά**, καὶ Αὐτὰ **ὑπ' ἐκείνων** διασωθήσεται – καθάπερ καὶ Αἱ **παρ' ἡμετέρ**...

...to them, Which [] will carry and cover them, and They [themselves] will be preserved by those [things] – just as also Those [] among us as well...

ὁ ὄχος οἱ ὄχοι	carriage, cart, chariot	ΟΧΟΣ <i>riding</i>
ἔχειν	to carry	
στεγάζειν	to cover	ΣΤΕΓΑΖΕΙΝ <i>covering</i>
σώζων <i>(σώζουσα, σώζον)</i>	preserving	ΣΩΙΖΩΝ <i>saving</i>
διασώζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	preserving	ΔΙΑΣΩΙΖΩΝ <i>fully saving</i>
διασώζειν	to preserve	

15.7 Qualities from Shape

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 23

...ἔοικεν, οὐ ταῖς κατ[ά] τὴν σχημάτισιν ποιό[τε]σι τ[ῶν] συγκρίσεων...

...Ἔοικεν, οὐ ταῖς κατὰ τὴν σχημάτισιν ποιότησι τῶν συγκρίσεων...

...It seems compatible, not for the qualities of the compounds according to their shapes...

οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
ἔοικέναι	to seem compatible	ΕΟΙΚΕΝΑΙ <i>was appropriately</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from [asking] what kind</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>

15.8 Correct Judgements

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 24

...πεπραγματουμένους· ἀλλὰ καὶ δόξας διορᾶν ἀρχῶν, ποῖαί εἰσιν ὀρθαὶ ἢ ποῖαι οὐκ ὀ[ρ]θαί...

...πεπραγματουμένους: ἀλλὰ καὶ δόξας διορᾶν ἀρχῶν – Ποῖαί εἰσιν ὀρθαὶ ἢ Ποῖαι οὐκ ὀρθαί...

...[topics] that have been dealt with [in our writings]: but also to distinguish judgments of the foundations [i.e., teachings regarding the atoms] – What kinds [of judgments] are right and What kinds are not right...

τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ πραγματεία αἱ πραγματεῖαι	effort	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΕΙΑ <i>state of the result of doing / affair</i>
πραγματεύεσθαι	to deal with	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΕΥΕΣΘΑΙ <i>the result of doing</i>
πεπραγματουμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been delt with	ΠΕΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΕΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>the result of having done</i>

τό δόγμα τά δόγματα	teaching	ΔΟΓΜΑ <i>seeming</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
διορᾶν	to distinguish	ΔΙΟΡΑΝ <i>seeing through</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ποιῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	making	ΠΟΙΩΝ <i>gathering</i>
ποῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	what kind of	ΠΟΙΟΣ <i>gathering</i>
ὀρθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	right	ΟΡΘΟΣ <i>straight upright</i>

15.9 Homoeomeria, Qualities

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 25

...[γ]ὰρ κατὰ τὰς ποιότη[η]τα[ς τὰς] μὴ κατὰ μορφ[ήν] ὁμ[οιο]μέρεια...

...γὰρ κατὰ τὰς ποιότητας τὰς μὴ κατὰ μορφήν Ὅμοιομέρεια...

...for the Homoeomeria according to the qualities [that are] not [determined] by shape...

ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from [asking] what kind</i>
ἡ μορφή αἱ μορφαί	shape	ΜΟΡΦΗ <i>form</i>
ἡ ὁμοιομέρεια αἱ ὁμοιομέρειαί	homoeomeria	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΕΡΕΙΑ <i>state of similar parts</i>

15.10 Descent into Reasoning

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 26

...ἐκάστη ἐπιφορὰ ἐφ' ὃ τι δήποτε γίν[ε]ται, τὸ ἐν τῷ λόγῳ ἐκ τῆς καταφορᾶς συμπεριλαμβα[νό]μ[εν]ον, καὶ ἐπ[ι]ειδ[ὲ] τὰς μ[έν] ἐπιφοραῖς...

...ἐκάστη Ἐπιφορὰ ἐφ' ὃ τι δήποτε γίνεται – τὸ ἐν τῷ λόγῳ ἐκ τῆς καταφορᾶς συμπεριλαμβανόμενον, καὶ ἐπειδὴ ταῖς μὲν ἐπιφοραῖς...

...each Application is produced in relation to whatever [it is applied to] – as what is completely comprehended together from a descent into [our] reasoning, and since for these applications...

ἡ ἐπιφορά αἱ ἐπιφοραί	application	ΕΠΙΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying upon</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἡ καταφορά αἱ καταφοραί	descent	ΚΑΤΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying thoroughly / down</i>

περιλαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to completely comprehend, [generally] to encompass	ΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping around</i>
συμπεριλαμβανόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	completely comprehended together	ΣΥΜΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>grasping around together</i>
τὸ συμπεριλαμβανόμενον	what is completely comprehended together	ΣΥΜΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ
ἡ ἐπιφορά αἱ ἐπιφοραί	application	ΕΠΙΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying upon</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 27

...τῆς καταφορᾶς ἐμπ[ερίει]λημμένον ἐνδ[ε]ικνύ[σαι]σθαι [τῶ]ν οὐκ οἰκ[ε]ίων [ἐν τῇ] πρώτῃ ἐπιφορ[αί]...

...τῆς καταφορᾶς ἐμπειριελημμένον ἐνδεικνύσασθαι – τῶν οὐκ οἰκείων ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ ἐπιφορᾷ...

...to have [already] been indicated in [what is] completely comprehended within the descent [into our reasoning] – of those not compatible in the primary application...

ἡ καταφορά αἱ καταφοραί	descent	ΚΑΤΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying thoroughly / down</i>
ἐμπειριελημμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	*completely comprehended within	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>being grasped around in</i>
ἀποδεικνύναι	to fully prove	ΑΠΟΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing away</i>
ἐνδείκνυσθαι	to be indicated in	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΣΘΑΙ <i>showing in</i>
ἐνδεικνύσασθαι	to be indicated in [already]	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΣΑΣΘΑΙ
οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
πρῶτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
ἡ ἐπιφορά αἱ ἐπιφοραί	application	ΕΠΙΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying upon</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 15, P.Herc. 1151 fr. 28

...τῶν ἡμῖν τοῖς δόγμασιν· [ἀ]ναγκαῖον [ο]ὐ τοῦτ' ἔστ[ι]ν πράττειν δι[ὰ π]ολλά[ς] αἰτία[ς]...

...τῶν ἡμῖν τοῖς δόγμασιν – ἀναγκαῖον οὐ τοῦτ' ἔστιν Πράττειν, διὰ πολλὰς αἰτίας...

...of those for our teachings – Accomplishing this is not necessary, for many reasons...

τό δόγμα τά δόγματα	teaching	ΔΟΓΜΑ <i>seeming</i>
ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>compulsion</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause [here, "reason"]	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>

21.1 Imageless Names are Meaningless

Epicurus, On Nature, [Possibly Book 21], P.Herc. 362 fr. 2 | 3 | subscriptio

...σιν μαρτύ[ρησιν] ἢ μὴ ἀντιμ[αρτύρη]σ[ις] τῶν λόγων κοπηρίων... ...συγχωροῦμ[εν]... ...οὐδὲ κα[τὰ] φα[ν]τασιαν, οὐδὲ [γ' ἄ]ν ἐπὶ λο[γ]ικ[ή]ν, κ[αθ]ὼς πολλάκις [ποι]οῦντα[ι]. οἱ δὲ γ[ε]... | ...μὲν ἀπὸ τῶνδὲ τινας ὥς [β]λαβησομένο[υς] ἢ ταραχθισομέν[ο]υς ε[ἴ]ρηται. καὶ καθὸ καὶ ψευδῆ τινα καὶ μὴ ὄντα φαμέν δοξάζειν καὶ κ[α]θόλου οὐ[δ]ὲ λαμβάνειν [οὐ]θὲ[ν] φάσμα ἐπινοητικόν, ἀλλὰ ἢ [μόνα] ὀνόμα[τα]. | Ἐπι[κ]οῦ[ρ]ου Π[ε]ρί Φύσεως

...^[M] μαρτύρησιν ἢ ^[~W] μὴ ἀντιμαρτύρησις τῶν λόγων κοπηρίων...
...Συγχωροῦμεν... ...οὐδὲ κατὰ φαντασιαν, οὐδὲ γ' ἂν ἐπὶ λογικὴν, καθὼς
πολλάκις Ποιοῦνται. Οἱ δὲ γε...

...^[M] attestation or ^[~W] non-contestation of the clashing reasonings... ...We allow... ...[they are] not [forming their thoughts] even on the basis of presentation, nor even up to the rational, just as They are often made. but They at least...

...μὲν ἀπὸ τῶνδὲ τινας ὥς βλαβησομένους ἢ ταραχθισομένους Εἴρηται. καὶ καθὸ καὶ ψευδῆ τινα καὶ μὴ ὄντα Φαμέν δοξάζειν: καὶ ^(κ) καθόλου οὐδὲ λαμβάνειν οὐθὲν Φάσμα ἐπινοητικόν – ἀλλὰ ἢ μόνα Ὀνόματα!
– Ἐπικούρου Περί Φύσεως

...while It is said that some from these [are] about to be harmed or about to be disturbed. and to the extent We assert that certain false and nonexistent things are being judged: not in any way is an objectively conceivable Appearance also ^(κ) universally comprehended – but only Words!
– Epicurus' On Nature

ἡ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	^[M] attestation	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ action of testifying upon
ἡ ἀντιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἀντιμαρτυρήσεις	^[W] contestation	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ action of testifying against
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]
ἀντικόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ beating against
ἡ ἀντικοπή αἱ ἀντικοπαί	collision [against]	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΗ beating against
ἡ οὐκαντικοπή αἱ οὐκαντικοπαί	non-collision	ΟΥΚΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΗ not beating against
κοπήριος (α, ον) possibly a hapax legomenon	clashing	ΚΟΠΗΡΙΟΣ relating to beating
ἡ χώρα αἱ χώραι	space	ΧΩΡΑ location

συγχωρεῖν	to agree	ΣΥΓΧΩΡΕΙΝ <i>place / location together</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
λογικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	rational	ΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of reasoning</i>
καθώς = κατά + ώς	just as	
πολλάκις	often	ΠΟΛΛΑΚΙΣ <i>many times</i>
ποιεῖσθαι	to be made	ΠΟΙΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>gathering</i>
Part 2		
βλάπτειν	to harm	ΒΛΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>hindering</i>
βλαβησόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	will be harmed	ΒΛΑΒΗΣΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>will hinder</i>
ταράττειν	to disturb	ΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating</i>
ἡ ταραχή αἱ ταραχαί	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΗ <i>agitating</i>
τεταραγμένως	with disturbance	ΤΕΤΑΡΑΓΜΕΝΩΣ <i>having been agitated</i>
ταραχώδης <i>(ης, ες)</i>	troubling	ΤΑΡΑΧΩΔΗΣ <i>agitating</i>
ταραχθισόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	will be disturbed	ΤΑΡΑΧΘΗΣΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>will be agitated</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
εἰρήσθαι	to have been said	ΕΙΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>spoke</i>
καθό = κατά + ὅ	inasmuch as, according to the extent that	
ψευδής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	false	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ <i>deceiving</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
καθόλου	^(κ) universally	ΚΑΘΟΛΟΥ <i>completely</i>
λαμβάνειν	^[intellectually] to comprehend, ^[generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
φαίνεσθαι	to be apparent	ΦΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>shining</i>
τὸ φάσμα τὰ φάσματα	appearance	ΦΑΣΜΑ <i>shining</i>
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἐπινοητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	objectively conceivable	ΕΠΙΝΟΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being seen upon</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>

τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον ἐξ ἀνάγκης	what has been fully generated out of necessity	what = Movement, which results in
τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον μὴ ἐξ ἀνάγκης	what has been fully generated not out of necessity	Action, which results in [dispositional] Development

25.1 Human Agency (Against Hard Determinism)

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1634 fr. 3a (A 16.1)

...[ἀνθρ]ῶπους, ἣι μὲν μᾶλλον αἴτιον τῶνδ᾽... [λ]έγομεν... ἣι δὲ καὶ ἥττον ἄλλων τινῶν [εἶναι.] ταυτὶ δ' οὐθὲν...

...ἀνθρώπους ἣ μὲν μᾶλλον αἴτιον τῶνδ᾽... Λέγομεν... ἣ δὲ καὶ ἥττον ἄλλων τινῶν εἶναι. Ταυτὶ δ' οὐθὲν...

...insofar as humans [are] more the cause of these things... We say... but insofar as [humans] are also to a lesser extent [the cause] of certain other things. These very things, however, in no way...

ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ANΘΡΩΠΟΣ man face
ἣ	insofar as	ἣ in/for which
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	AITIA responsibility
τὸ αἴτιον τὰ αἴτια	what is the cause	AITION
ἥττον	to a lesser extent	ἥττον inferior

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1634 fr. 2 (A 18)

...[τ]ὸ μέρος συμμεταλαμβάνει, τοῖς δὲ λοιποῖς καθ' ἓν ἕκαστον μέρος αὐτοῦ συμμεταδίδωσι...

...τὸ μέρος συμμεταλαμβάνει – τοῖς δὲ λοιποῖς καθ' ἓν ἕκαστον μέρος αὐτοῦ Συμεταδίδωσι...

...[one's soul] jointly partakes in a part [of the motion that surrounds it] – but [also, one's soul] jointly distributes a part of [the motion] to the remaining [parts of the body] one by one...

τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ part
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping
συμμεταλαμβάνειν	to partake [lit. "to take a share together"]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping change together
λοιπός (ή, όν)	remaining [rest of + gen]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ what is left over

ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
συμμεταδίδοναι	to jointly distribute	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΑΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving change together</i>

25.2 Against Rejection of the Soul

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 419 fr. 7 (A 19)

...τῇ[*v*] ψυχὴν κ[αῖ *τ*]ὴν λοιπ[ι]ὴν φύσιν [*ἀ*]ποποιῆσαι τὸ ζῶ[*ιον*] καὶ τὸ νοουμένην [*ἐ*]νότῃτι μηθὲν – ἢ [*τὸ*] ἕτερον νοεῖν τὴν [*ψυχι*]κὴν καὶ τῇ[*v* λοιπῇν] φύσιν ἐξ ἧς εἰ[*ναι εἰρή*]καμεν... ...μὴ κατ' ὀφθαλ[*μ*]...

...τὴν ψυχὴν καὶ τὴν λοιπὴν φύσιν ἀποποιῆσαι τὸ Ζῶον, καὶ τὸ νοουμένην ἐνότῃτι μηθὲν – ἢ τὸ ἕτερον νοεῖν τὴν ψυχικὴν καὶ τὴν λοιπὴν φύσιν ἐξ ἧς εἶναι Εἰρήκαμεν... ...μὴ κατ' ὀφθαλμούς...

...for a living Being to reject *its soul* and *its remaining nature*, and [*to reject*] *what is conceived in the unity [of the two] as nothing* – or to conceive *as different, its spiritual [nature] and its remaining nature, from which* We have said [*both*] *exist* , *the... not directly observable...*

[alternative] ...*to reject the soul and the remaining nature as [constituting] a living being and in no way [as] what is conceived in unity* – or to conceive of *as the other, the spiritual [nature] and the remaining nature, from which* We have said *exists... not directly observable...*

ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ψυχικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	spiritual	
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining [rest of + <i>gen</i>]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἀποποιεῖν	to reject	ΑΠΟΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering / making away</i>
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
νοούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	conceived	ΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
τὸ νοούμενον τὰ νοούμεν	what is conceived	ΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>seeing</i>
ἡ ἐνότῃς αἱ ἐνότῃτες	unity	ΕΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of oneness</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [other / another]	ἜΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
εἰρηκέναι	to have said [in the past]	ΕΙΡΗΚΕΝΑΙ <i>having said</i>
ὁ ὀφθαλμός οἱ ὀφθαλμοί	eye	ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΣ <i>eye chamber</i>
κατ' ὀφθαλμούς	directly observable	

25.3 Source of Feelings

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1634 fr. 1 (A 20)

...τῇς [*οὔτως*] ἀπογεγεννημ[*ένῃς*] ἐνότῃτος ἐκ τ[*ῶν κα*]τὰ μέρος... ἐπεὶ [*δὲ καθ*]όλου δήπουθ[*εν ἐρρήθ*]ῃ πάθη ἢ δι' ἐν μ[*όριον* ἢ κ]ατὰ τὸ ἀθρόογ [*σῶμα*]...

...τῆς οὕτως ἀπογεννημένης ἐνότητος ἐκ τῶν κατὰ μέρος... ἐπεὶ δὲ ^(κ) καθόλου
δήπουθεν Ἐρρήθη πάθη ἢ δι' ἐν μόριον ἢ κατὰ τὸ ἀθρόον σῶμα...

...with the unity [*of movement of our soul and body*] being fully generated in
this way from each part [*of our body*]... but, since It was presumably said ^(κ)
universally that [*one's*] experiences [*arise*] either through a single portion [*of*
one's body] or by means of the aggregated body [*and soul*]...

ἀπογεννᾶν	to fully generate	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating out</i>
ἀπογεγεννημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been fully generated	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>generated out</i>
ἡ ἐνότης αἱ ἐνότητες	unity	ΕΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from</i> <i>oneness</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
κατὰ μέρος	for [the details of] each part	
καθόλου	^(κ) universally	ΚΑΘΟΛΟΥ <i>completely</i>
δήπουθεν	presumably	ΔΗΠΟΥΘΕΝ <i>from somewhere</i> <i>indeed</i>
εἰρηκέναι	to have said [in the past]	ΕΙΡΗΚΕΝΑΙ <i>having said</i>
ῥηθῆναι	to be said [already]	ῚΡΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>said</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
τὸ μόριον τὰ μόρια	a portion [as part of a whole]	ΜΟΡΙΟΝ <i>receiving one's</i> <i>portion</i>
ἀθρόος <i>(α, ον)</i>	aggregated	ΑΘΡΟΟΣ <i>in groups</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>

25.4 Unity of Soul and Body

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 419 fr. 5 (A 22a)

...[συ]λλογίζεσθαι ὃν [δεῖ τρόπον, οὔτε γὰρ ἔν [τί ἦν] ὥς τὸ συμβεβηκ[ὸς κ]αὶ ὡι συμβέβηκ[ε ῥη]τέον εἶναι – οὔτ[ε ἔτε]ρον ὥς
τὸ ἀ[πὸ τινος δι]αστήματος [ἐπεισερχό]μενον...

...συλλογίζεσθαι ὃν Δεῖ τρόπον: οὔτε γὰρ ^[1] ἐν τι ἦν ὥς τὸ συμβεβηκός – (καὶ ὥ
Συμβέβηκε ῥητέον εἶναι) – οὔτε ^[2] ἕτερον ὥς τὸ ἀπὸ τινος διαστήματος
ἐπεισερχόμενον...

...It is necessary to infer which way [*our soul exists*]: for it was neither ^[1]
some singular [*portion of the body, existing*] as that which has [*inseparably*]
endured [*without it*] – (and into what It has endured must be said to exist)*
– nor [was the soul] ^[2] a different [*portion distinct from of the body*], as
something entering [*into the body*] afterward from a certain separation...

*or "It must be said into what [the parts that compose the soul] have
endured existing" i.e., the atoms that compose the soul

ὁ συλλογισμός	inference	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning action</i> <i>together</i>
συλλογίζεσθαι	to infer	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>reasoning action</i> <i>together</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>

συμβεβηκώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	having endured	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΩΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
τὸ συμβεβηκός τὰ συμβεβηκότα	<i>"that which has endured"</i> property <i>(inseparable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked together</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
συμβεβηκέναι	to have endured [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΕΝΑΙ <i>to have walked together</i>
ῥηθῆναι	to be said [already]	ῚΡΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>said</i>
ῥητέον	it must be said	ῚΡΗΤΕΟΝ
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [other / another]	ῚΕΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>
ἀνέρχεσθαι	ascends	ΑΝΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving toward</i>
ἐπιεισερχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	coming in afterward	ΕΠΙΕΙΣΕΡΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>moving upon into</i>

25.5 Thinking Causes Movement (Reductionist But Not Eliminativist)

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 2 (fr. A 37)

...εἴρ[η] καὶ ἐπὶ [τοῦ] παντός ἡ [ἐπι]λ[όγ]ι[σ]ις ἐ[γ]γενᾶται, τὰς [ἐκ τῶν] κεινήσεων... ὧν προεῖπα ἐν τοῖς ἐπάνω αἰτ[ί]ας
κεκτημ[έ]νη...

...Εἶρηκα καὶ, ἐπὶ τοῦ παντός, ἡ Ἐπιλόγισις ἐγγεννᾶται τὰς ἐκ τῶν κεινήσεων... ὧν
Προεῖπα ἐν τοῖς ἐπάνω αἰτίας κεκτημένη...

...I have even said that, with regard to all things, the [faculty of]
Consideration generates those [causes] out of movements... [our faculty of
Consideration] possesses the causes [of movement]... which I have said
before in the matters above...

τὸ πᾶν <i>(τοῦ παντός)</i>	<i>(individually)</i> everything, <i>(collectively)</i> the universe	ΠΑΝ <i>all</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
τὸ διαλόγισμα τὰ διαλογίσματα	thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>reasoning</i> <i>through action</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of</i> <i>reasoning action</i> <i>upon</i>
ἡ ἐπιλόγισις αἱ ἐπιλογίσεις	[faculty of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΙΣ <i>collecting</i> <i>(reasoning) action</i> <i>upon</i>
ἀπογεννᾶν	to fully generate	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating out</i>
ἐγγεννᾶν	to generate in	ΕΓΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating in</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
προλέγειν	to say before	ΠΡΟΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking before</i>

ὁ ἐπάνω οἱ ἐπάνω	someone above	ΕΠΑΝΩ <i>above upon</i>
τὸ ἐπάνω τὰ ἐπάνω	something above	
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
κεκτημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having possessed	ΚΕΚΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>acquired</i>

25.6 The Soul's Feeling

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1420 (fr. B 1)

...λύει τὸ πᾶν ἄθροι[σµα. τ]άσδε δὴ καὶ παρεσ[πι]αρµένας ἔν τισιν μέρε[σι]ν ἀπὸ τοῦ τῆς ψυχῆς [πά]θους καθ' ἃς καὶ τὸ κα[τά] [τὸ συ]μβεβηκὸς καί...

...Λύει **τὸ πᾶν ἄθροισμα**, **τάσδε** δὴ καὶ **παρεσπαρµένας** ἔν τισιν **μέρεσιν** ἀπὸ τοῦ **τῆς ψυχῆς πάθους** – **καθ' ἃς**, καὶ **Τὸ κατὰ τὸ συμβεβηκὸς καί...**

...It dissolves **the whole aggregate**, and indeed [it dissolves] **these [movements] that are spread out** across certain parts **[of the body]** from the **experience of the soul** – **according to which [movements]**, even What **[exists]** according to an inseparable quality also...

λύειν	to dissolve	ΛΥΕΙΝ <i>loosening</i>
τὸ πᾶν <i>(τοῦ παντός)</i>	<i>(individually)</i> everything, <i>(collectively)</i> the universe	ΠΑΝ <i>all</i>
πᾶς <i>(πᾶσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἄθροίσματα	^[inorganic] aggregate ^[organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of [being]</i> <i>gathered</i>
παρεσπαρµένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	spread out	ΠΑΡΕΣΠΑΡΜΕΝΟΣ <i>scatter beside</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἡ ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
τὸ συμβεβηκὸς τὰ συμβεβηκότα	<i>"that which has endured"</i> property <i>(inseparable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΒΕΒΗΚΟΣ <i>to have walked</i> <i>together</i>

25.7 Desire & Expectations

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1420 fr. 2 (B 4)

...τὸ προορώµε[νον τοια]ῦτα πάσχει... ἐπὶ [γὰρ τῆς] ἐπιθυµίας οὐ πᾶν [κατὰ τήν] προόρασιν τὸ σύµ[βαῖνον]...

...τὸ Προορώμενον **τοιαῦτα** πάσχει... **ἐπὶ γὰρ τῆς ἐπιθυµίας** οὐ **Πᾶν κατὰ τήν προόρασιν** τὸ Σύµβαῖνον...

...What is [mentally] seen beforehand undergoes **such things...** for **in the case of desire**, not everything That happens **according to foresight...**

συνορᾶν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>
συνορώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	fully seen [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seen together</i>

προορώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	seen before [mentally]	ΠΡΟΟΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seen before</i>
τὸ προορώμενον τὰ προορώμενα	what is seen before [mentally]	
ἡ προόρασις αἱ προοράσεις	foresight	ΠΡΟΟΡΑΣΙΣ <i>seen before</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
πάσχειν	to experience [here, "to undergo"]	ΠΑΣΧΕΙΝ <i>enduring</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
πᾶς <i>(πᾶσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole <i>[here, "everything"]</i>	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>

25.8 Soul's Craving

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1420 col. 1 (fr. B 7)

...γὰρ μὴ εὐρίσκη[ι, τισ] τότε τὸ σύμπ[τωμα πῶς ἀπ]οτελεῖται, ὁμῶ[ς] πειντεῖ ψυχῇ... ...ἀλλὰ μὲν γὰρ ὁ λογικ[ὸς] τρόπος περαίνεται...

...γὰρ μὴ εὐρίσκη Τισ **τόδε τὸ σύμπτωμα πῶς ἀποτελεῖται** – ὁμῶς, πειντεῖ Ψυχῇ...
...ἀλλὰ μὲν γὰρ ὁ λογικὸς Τρόπος περαίνεται...

...for if Someone does not discover **how this symptom [i.e., separable quality] is fully produced** – nevertheless, the Soul may crave... but the rational Way is accomplished...

εὐρίσκειν	to discover	ΕΥΡΙΣΚΕΙΝ <i>finding</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing out</i>
πεινᾶν	to crave	ΠΕΙΝΑΝ <i>hungering</i>
πειντεῖ ≈ πεινῇ	it may crave	
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
λογικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	rational	ΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of reasoning</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ὁ λογικός τρόπος	the rational way	
τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>
περαίνειν	to accomplish	ΠΕΡΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>finished</i>

25.9 Mechanics of Impressions

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1420 col. 3 (fr. B 11)

...ἦττον, τοῖς δ' ὅλως ἐπὶ βρα[χύ] τι καὶ οὐκ ἐντυπῶν πάλιν τινων καὶ πρὸς τὴν διανοητικὴν σύνκρισιν (ὁμοιοσημόνων τοῖς πρὸς τάδε τὰ αἰσθητήρια) παρεμπιπτόν τῶν ἐκ τοῦ ἐκεῖθεν προοδοπο[ῖ]ηθῆναι τά γε δὴ πολὺ ἄ ἐχούσης μὲν καὶ αὐτῆς τῆς συστάσεως τῆς διὰ τῶν στοιχείων αἰτίας παρὰ τὴν τῶν ἀτ[ό]μων διαφορὰν καὶ τῶν προυπαρχόντων πόρων... οὐ μὲν [ἀ]λλ[ὰ] καὶ τοῦ ἀπογεγεν[νη μέ]ν[ο]υ γρηθέντο[ς]...

...ἥττον τοῖς, δ' ὅλως ἐπὶ βραχὺ τι, καὶ οὐκ ἐντυπῶν πάλιν τινων καὶ πρὸς τὴν διανοητικὴν σύγκρισιν – ὁμοιοσχημόνων τοῖς πρὸς τάδε τὰ αἰσθητήρια – Παρεμπιπτόν τῶν ἐκ τοῦ ἐκεῖθεν προοδοποιηθῆναι:

...to a lesser extent for [*compounds of such a shape*] but entirely with a certain short [*space*], and without [It] again imprinting certain things also in relation to a [*mentally*] perceptible compound – [*imprinting*] with those [*compounds*] that are shaped similarly in relation these very sense-organs – [*while this is incidentally*] occurring from what had been prepared beforehand out of that location:

τά, γε δὴ, Πολλὰ ἐχούσης μὲν καὶ αὐτῆς τῆς συστάσεως τῆς διὰ τῶν στοιχείων αἰτίας παρὰ τὴν τῶν ἀτόμων διαφορὰν καὶ τῶν προυπαρχόντων πόρων... ...οὐ μὴν, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοῦ ἀπογεγεννημένου νοηθέντος...

* certainly indeed, Many [*compounds among*] what possess [*such a shape*] and also among [*many compounds*] of the composition itself through [*the composition's elemental*] components [*possess*] the causes [*of movement*] through the difference of atoms and the preexisting passageways... ...not indeed, but also with what has been fully generated being conceived...

ἥττον	to a lesser extent	ἥττον <i>inferior</i>
βραχύς <i>(εἴα, ύ)</i>	short	ΒΡΑΧΥΣ <i>brief</i>
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ <i>imprinting</i>
ἐντυπῶν <i>(οὔσα, ῶν)</i>	<u>imprinting</u>	ΕΝΤΥΠΩΝ <i>imprinting within</i>
τὸ ἐντυπον τὰ ἐντυπα	<u>an imprinting</u>	ΕΝΤΥΠΟΝ <i>imprinting within</i>
διανοηθῆναι	to perceive [already]	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>saw through</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
διανοητὸς <i>(ή, όν)</i>	[mentally] perceptible	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΟΣ <i>saw through</i>
αἰσθητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	[directly] perceptible	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>charateristic of perceiving</i>
διανοητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	[mentally] perceptible	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being seen through</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις = ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΝΚΡΙΣΙΣ ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
ὁμοειδής <i>(ές)</i>	appearing the same	ὍΜΟΕΙΔΗΣ <i>appearing same</i>
ὁμοιομερής <i>(ές)</i>	"similarly composed" homoio mer ic	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΕΡΗΣ <i>similar parts</i>
ὁμοιόμορφος <i>(ον)</i>	similarly formed	ὍΜΟΙΟΜΟΡΦΟΣ <i>similar form</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ὁμοιοσχημών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	similarly shaped	ὍΜΟΙΟΣΧΗΜΩΝ <i>similar</i>
τὸ αἰσθητήριον τὰ αἰσθητήρια	sense-organ	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of perceiving</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
παρεμπιπτόν <i>(ουσα, όν)</i>	[incidentally] occurring	ΠΑΡΕΜΠΙΠΤΩΝ <i>falling into beside</i>
ἐκεῖθεν	from that location <i>(thence)</i>	ΕΚΕΙΘΕΝ <i>from over there</i>
προοδοποιεῖν	to prepare beforehand	ΠΡΟΟΔΟΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>making path before</i>

ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
τὸ στοιχεῖον τὰ στοιχεῖα	component [for facts] or, element [for things]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΟΝ <i>instance of a row</i> <i>(in a series)</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	existing [already]	ΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under</i>
προυπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	preexisting	ΠΡΟΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>before</i> <i>beginning under</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
ἀπογεννᾶν	to fully generate	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating out</i>
ἀπογεγεννημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been fully generated	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>generated out</i>
τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον τὰ ἀπογεγεννημένα	what has been fully generated	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΝ <i>generated out</i>
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἐπινοηθεῖς <i>(α, ἐν)</i>	[objectively] conceived [already]	ΕΠΙΝΟΗΘΕΙΣ <i>seeing upon</i>
νοηθεῖς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i> νοηθέντες <i>(εἶσαι, έντα)</i>	conceived	ΝΟΗΘΕΙΣ <i>seeing</i>

25.10 [Perceptions and Standards]

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 48

...[δ]ια[v]σητι[κ]ῇ[ν σύγκ]ρισιν ἢ τι τῶν ἄλλ[ων κρι]τηρίων...

...διανοητικὴν σύγκρισιν ἢ τι τῶν ἄλλων κριτηρίων...

.....the [mentally] perceptible compound or any of the other criteria [of truth]...

διανοητικός <i>(ῆ, όν)</i>	[mentally] perceptible	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being seen through</i>
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing together</i>
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	criterion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of dividing</i>

25.11 Against Determinism, Fully Generated Memories

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 50 & 51

...{τ[α ἄ]πὸ τῆς προτέρας κ[ινήσεως τὴν αἰτίαν ἔχειν] κ[αῖ περικάτω τρέποντες] τ[ὸν λόγον – διὸ δὴ καί] | [κατ' εὐήθεια]ν εἰς τ[οὔτ' ἐ]τελέσα[τε ἀφυσείας – α]ὐ[τ]ῶν τῶν [ἀπογεγενν]η[μέ]γων ῥ[ητ]έον [τὰ]ς μνή[μας] γίννε[σθ]αι ἢ [τὰ] ταῖ[ς] [μνή]μαῖς ἀνάλογ[α πάθη ἅ συνη]κολούθ[ησε]}...

{expanded fragment below}

...ἅμα ποιοῦντες πάντ' ἅ[πό] τῆς προτέρας κινήσε[ω]ς τὴν αἰτίαν ἔχειν καὶ περικάτω τρέποντες τὸν λόγον... (διὸ δὴ καὶ κατ' εὐ[ήθ]εια<v> [ἱ] εἰς τοῦ ᾤ [έ]τελέσα[τ]ε ἀφυείας) αὐτῶν τῶν [ά]π[ο]γεγεννημένων [ρῆ]τέο<v> τὰς μνήμας γ[ive]σθαι ἢ τὰ ταῖς μνή[μαι]ς ἀνάλο γα πά[θη ἃ συν]ηκολούθη[σ]ε]...

...ἅμα Ποιοῦντες **Πάντ'** ἀπὸ **τῆς προτέρας κινήσεως τὴν αἰτίαν ἔχειν** καὶ **περικάτω Τρέποντες τὸν λόγον**. διὸ δὴ, καὶ **κατ' εὐήθειαν εἰς τοῦ τ' Ἐτελέσατε ἀφυείας** – αὐτῶν τῶν ἀπογεγεννημένων, Ῥητέον **τὰς Μνήμας γίνεσθαι ἢ τὰ ταῖς μνήμαις ἀνάλογα Πάθη**. Ἄ συνηκολούθησει...

...[while you all] simultaneously Make **Everything** have [its] cause [of *movement*] from its former movement and Turn **reasoning** upside down. therefore indeed, You all through [*naïve*] sincerity in [your] inability even fulfilled **some [memory]** – and, with [*those memories*] themselves being fully generated [*in the mind*], It must be said that **Memories** are produced or [at least] **Experiences** proportionate to memories [*are mentally produced*]. Which [experiences] will accompany...

πρότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	former	ΠΡΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>before [contrast]</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
περικάτω	upside down	ΠΕΡΙΚΑΤΩ <i>around below</i>
τρέπων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	turning	ΤΡΕΠΩΝ <i>turning</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ εὐήθεια αἱ εὐήθειαι	sincerity (naivety)	ΕΥΗΘΕΙΑ <i>good character</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ἡ ἀφυεία αἱ ἀφυεῖαι	inability	ΑΦΥΕΙΑ <i>not producing</i>
ἀπογεγεννημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been fully generated	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>generated out</i>
ῥηθῆναι	to be said [already]	ῬΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>said</i>
ῥητέον	it must be said	ῬΗΤΕΟΝ
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
ἀνάλογος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	analogous	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
συνακολουθεῖν	to accompany	ΣΥΝΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ

...δ' ἐφ' ὧν καὶ ἰδί\αν/ ἐκατέρου. οὐ γὰρ αἱ ἄ\τομοι/... ...πράττουσιν τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον οὐθὲν, ἁλλά/, καίπερ μιᾶς οὔσης ἐπ' ἐνίων κατὰ τὴν σ[υ]μπλοκὴν τῆς πρ[ά]ξεω[ς]... ...εἰς τὸ αὐτὸ ἔργον συνάπτουσα πολλὰ, κα[ὶ] δὲ κα[ὶ] εἰς ἕτερον ἐπ' ἐνίων. ἦν δὲ τὸ τῆς μνή[μῃ]ς...

...δ' ἐφ' ὧν καὶ ἰδίαν ἐκατέρου. οὐ γὰρ αἱ ᾿Ατομοι... ...πράττουσιν τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον οὐθὲν – ἀλλὰ, καίπερ μιᾶς οὔσης (ἐπ' ἐνίων κατὰ τὴν συμπλοκὴν τῆς πράξεως)... ...εἰς τὸ αὐτὸ ἔργον συνάπτουσα πολλὰ, καὶ δὲ καὶ εἰς ἕτερον ἐπ' ἐνίων. ἦν δὲ Τὸ τῆς μνήμης...

....for which [there is] also a particular [memory] of each [experience]. indeed, the Atoms do not... ...bring about what [memory] has been fully generated in any way – but, while there is even one [atom] (among certain [atoms that compose the soul] in relation to the entanglement of their activity [that we can move with our thought])... ...[this chain of movement] connects many [atoms] into the same task [that we choose to enact], and even into a different [task we choose to enact] among others. and This was the [characteristic] of memory...

Diogenes of Oenoanda (New fr. 5.3:6-14) tells us that "after the impingements of the first images, passages are opened up in us in such a way that, even when the objects which we originally saw are no longer present, our mind takes in likenesses of the original objects."

This suggests that the images of memory have easier access to the mind, and thus occur to it with greater clarity and consistency, than those of mere imagination; and perhaps also that the original visual image of an object imprints its pattern in the atoms of the mind in such a way that memory-images of the same object immediately ' lock in ' to it and are thus identified.

ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ἢ ἄτομος αἱ ἄτομοι	atom	ΑΤΟΜΟΣ <i>not cut</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish <i>[here, "to bring about"]</i>	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
ἀπογεγεννημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been fully generated	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>generated out</i>
εἷς μία ἔν <i>(ένός, μιᾶς, ένός)</i>	one	ἜΙΣ ΜΙΑ ἘΝ <i>one</i>
ἔνιοι <i>(αι, α)</i>	some	ΕΝΙΟΙ <i>one</i>
ἢ περιπλοκή αἱ περιπλοκαί	intertangling	ΠΕΡΙΠΛΟΚΗ <i>weave around</i>
ἢ συμπλοκή αἱ συμπλοκα	entangling	ΣΥΜΠΛΟΚΗ <i>weave together</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
ἢ πράξις αἱ πράξεις	activity	ΠΡΑΞΙΣ <i>action</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
συνάπτειν	to connect	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening together</i>
συνάπτων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	connecting	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΩΝ <i>fastening together</i>
ἢ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>

25.13 Mental Movements

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 55

...[νήσεω]{ν ἀπὸ τῆς γεν[ο]μένης πληγῆς κατ[ὰ τὴν] διαν[ο]ητικὴν σύ[γκρισιν ο]ὐκ εὐθ[ύς ἐνλεί]που[σάν ἀλλὰ] κ[ατὰ τὸν ἀπολούμε]νο[ν]}...

{expanded fragment below}

...ἄθροισμα καὶ δὴ καὶ τ[ῶν] κινήσεω[ν] ἀ[πό] τῆς γ[ενομέ]ν[η]ς πλ[η]γῆς κατὰ τ[ὴν] διανοητ[ι]κὴν σύγκρι[σιν] οὐκ εὐ[θύ]ς ἐγλειπυ[σῶ]ν ἄ[λλὰ] κατὰ τὸν [ἀπολ]υόμεν[ον] τὸ παθητὸν [τ]ρό[πο]ν, τήν... ἀπὸ τῆς ἀπομάξεως... τοῦ εἰδώλου κατὰ...

...Ἄθροισμα. καὶ δὴ καὶ τῶν Κινήσεων – ἀπὸ τῆς γενομένης πληγῆς, κατὰ τὴν διανοητικὴν σύγκρισιν – οὐκ εὐθύς ἐγλειπουσῶν, ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὸν ἀπολύμενον, τὸ παθητὸν τρόπον... ἀπὸ τῆς ἀπομάξεως... τοῦ εἰδώλου κατὰ...

...Aggregate. and then because the [mental] Movements – [which occur] from a strike that has already occurred from a mentally perceptible compound – are not simply disappearing, but according to what is released, the experiential way... from the wiping away... of the film, according to...

τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἀθροίσματα	[inorganic] aggregate [organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ result of action of [being] gathered
γενόμενος (η, ον)	produced [already]	ΓΕΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ come into being
ἡ πληγὴ αἱ πληγαί	strike	ΠΛΗΓΗ to hit
διανοητικός (ή, όν)	[mentally] perceptible	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of being seen through
ἡ σύγκρισις αἱ συγκρίσεις	compound	ΣΥΓΚΡΙΣΙΣ dividing together
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς (εἴα, ύ)	simply / directly	ΙΘΥΣ ΕΥΘΥΣ well straight
ἡ ἔκλειψις αἱ ἐκλείψεις	a disappearance [or, of the sun & moon] an eclipse	ΕΚΚΛΕΙΨΙΣ leaving out
ἐγλείπειν = ἐκλείπειν	to disappear [or, of the sun & moon] to be eclipsed	ΕΓΓΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ ΕΚΚΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ leaving out
ἐγλειπών = ἐκλειπών	disappearing [or, of the sun & moon] being eclipsed	ΕΓΓΛΕΙΠΩΝ ΕΚΚΛΕΙΠΩΝ leaving out
ἀπολύειν	to release away	ΑΠΟΛΥΕΙΝ loosening away
πάσχων (ουσα, ον)	affected [by]	ΠΑΣΧΩΝ suffering
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience (feeling)	ΠΑΘΟΣ enduring
παθητός (ή, όν)	experiential	ΠΑΘΗΤΟΣ enduring
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ manner / direction
ὁ παθητὸς τρόπος	the experiential way	
ὁ λογικὸς τρόπος	the rational way	
ἡ ἀπομάξις αἱ ἀπομάξεις	wiping away	ΑΠΟΜΑΞΙΣ wiping away
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ object from appearing

25.14 [Reminders]

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 68

...τοῦ [π]ρ[άγματ]ος οὗτος μέλλει μνήμων ἔσεσθαι δοχ... ...[στε]ρεμνίου...

...τοῦ πράγματος Οὗτος μέλλει μνήμων ἔσεσθαι... ...στερεμνίου...

...of the situation, This will exist as reminding [us]... ...of the hard object...

τὸ πράγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
μέλλειν	to be about to do [here, "will be"]	ΜΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>going to</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
μνημονεύειν	to remember	ΜΝΗΜONEYΕΙΝ <i>"addition to"</i> <i>thinking</i>
μνήμων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	reminding	ΜΝΗΜΩΝ <i>thinking</i>
ἔσθαι	to exist [in the future]	ΕΣΕΣΘΑΙ <i>will be</i>
τὸ στερεμνίον τὰ στερεμνία	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>hard</i>

25.15 General Understanding

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 fr. 1.3.3 (B 26)

...κοινοῦ τινος ἐ[πιστ]ῆμαι ἐν πλείοσι κα[ὶ δ]ιαφόροις ὑπάρχουσιν. τὰ γὰρ δὴ πρῶτα μν[ημ]ονευόμενα καὶ τ[ὰς ἐ]πιστήμας...

...κοινοῦ τινος Ἐπιστήμαι ἐν πλείοσι καὶ διαφόροις ὑπάρχουσιν – τὰ γὰρ δὴ πρῶτα μνημονευόμενα καὶ τὰς ἐπιστήμας...

...Understandings of something general [*begin to*] exist in more and differing [*ways*] – for indeed the primary [*situation*] being remembered and [*our*] understandings...

κοινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	general	ΚΟΙΝΟΣ <i>common</i>
τὸ σύστημα τὰ συστήματα	structure	ΣΥΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing</i> <i>together</i>
ἡ ἐπιστήμη αἱ ἐπιστήμαι	understanding	ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΗ <i>standing upon</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more</i> <i>filling</i>
διάφορος <i>(ον)</i>	differing	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΟΣ <i>carrying apart</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist [here, "to begin to exist"]	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
πρῶτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
μνημονεύειν	to remember	ΜΝΗΜONEYΕΙΝ <i>"addition to"</i> <i>thinking</i>

25.16 Practical Reductionism

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 6 (fr. B 28)

...ἤι ἄθροισμα τότε τ[ι ᾗ]ν προσαγορεύσαιμ[ε]ν ἀλλὰ καὶ ἡ ἀτόμους καὶ ἡ ἄθροισμα καὶ μὴ(ι) μόνον αὐτὸ τὸ κα[τα]κινεῖσθαι λέγονται...

...ἡ ἄθροισμα τότε τι ἂν Προσαγορεύσαιμεν: ἀλλὰ καὶ ἡ "ἀτόμους" καὶ ἡ "ἄθροισμα." καὶ μὴ μόνον αὐτὸ τὸ Κατακινεῖσθαι λέγονται...

...insofar as We would refer to **this aggregate** as **something**: but also insofar as [*we would refer to an aggregate as*] "atoms" and insofar as [*we would refer to an aggregate as*] "an aggregate" [*per se*]. and **not only** is [*the act of*] Being completely moved **itself** spoken of as...

ἥ	insofar as	ἥ <i>in/for which</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἄθροίσματα	^[inorganic] aggregate ^[organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of [being]</i> <i>gathered</i>
προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly</i> <i>toward</i>
ἄτομος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	indivisible	ΑΤΟΜΑ <i>not cut</i>
ἡ ἄτομος αἱ ἄτομοι	atom	ΑΤΟΜΟΣ <i>not cut</i>
κατακινεῖσθαι	to be completely moved	ΚΑΤΑΚΙΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>moving</i>
τὸ κατακινεῖσθαι	[the act of] being completely moved	

25.17 Imagination

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 8 (fr. B 30)

...τὰ πολλὰ τῶν κοινῶν καταλειπόντων[**ν σ**]ὺν τοῖς ὑποκειμένοις ὅθεν ἕτερα προσδοξαζόντων τὰ μὲν κατ' εἰδῶλων ἐμ[**πτῶ**]σεις
τινας ὅσ' ἀπερι[**λή**]πτως [**προσδο**]ξάζουσι[**ν ἡ**] καὶ ἀναλ[**ό**]γως ἀπεριλ[**ή**]πτοι[**ς**] **προ**[**σδο**]ξα[**ς**]...

...**τὰ πολλὰ τῶν κοινῶν καταλειπόντων, σὺν τοῖς ὑποκειμένοις – ὅθεν, ἕτερα προσδοξαζόντων. Τὰ μὲν κατ' εἰδῶλων ἐμπτῶσεις τινας, ὅσ' ἀπεριλήπτως Προσδοξάζουσιν – ἡ καὶ ἀναλόγως ἀπεριλήπτοις Προσδοξάζουσιν...**

...many [*mental movements*] with the general [*collisions that are*] left undisputed, in accordance with the [*collisions from the films off of*] original sources – from these [*external collisions*], with those [*people*] adding [*their*] judgment to other [*mental movements*]. Some [*of these mental movements are*] certain collisions from films, to **which** They add judgment **without** complete comprehension – or They also analogously add judgment to [*what is*] not completely comprehensible...

κοινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	general	ΚΟΙΝΟΣ <i>common</i>
καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly leave</i>
τὸ ὑποκείμενον τὰ ὑποκείμενα	original source	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of</i> <i>situated under</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [other / another]	ἜΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	
τὸ προσδοξαζόμενον τὰ προσδοξαζόμενα	^[Δ+] an addition of judgement [i.e., "a theory"]	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of addition</i> <i>to seeming</i>
προσδοξάζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	^{Δ+} adding [one's] judgment to	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΞΑΖΩΝ <i>addition</i> <i>to seeming</i>
προσδοξάζειν	to add [one's] judgement to	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>

ἡ κρούσις αἱ κρούσεις	impact	ΚΡΟΥΣΙΣ <i>hitting</i>
ἡ σύγκρουσις αἱ συγκρούσεις	mutual impact	ΣΥΓΚΡΟΥΣΙΣ <i>hitting together</i>
ἡ πληγή αἱ πληγαί	strike	ΠΛΗΓΗ <i>impacting</i>
προσπίπτειν	to fall upon	ΠΡΟΣΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling toward</i>
ἡ ἔμπτωσις αἱ ἐμπτώσεις	collision	ΕΜΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling into</i>
ἀπεριλήπτως	without complete comprehension	ΑΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΩΣ <i>not taken hold around</i>
ἀπερίληπτος (ος, ον)	not completely comprehensible	ΑΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΟΣ <i>not taken hold around</i>
ἀναλόγως	analogously	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΩΣ <i>reasoning again</i>

25.18 Emergence of Awareness

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 9 (fr. B 31)

...ἐξ ὄγκων **[[ων]]** εἶναι σωματικῶν πεποιημένον, εἴτα τὸ κοινὸν ἑαυτῆς ἐπεθεώρησε**[[ν]]** πάθος ὡς οὐδὲ διανοηθῆναι ἄλλα δύναται παρὲ**[[κ]]** τοῦ**[[τ]]**ων, ἂν τε σώματα... ...ἂν τε κα**[[ι]]** τὸν τό**[[π]]**ον πρὸς ἀναλογίᾱ**[[ν]]**...

...ἐξ ὄγκων **εἶναι** σωματικῶν **πεποιημένον**. **εἴτα, τὸ κοινὸν ἑαυτῆς** Ἐπεθεώρησε **πάθος** – ὡς οὐδὲ διανοηθῆναι ἄλλα δύναται **παρὲκ τούτων**, ἂν τε Σώματα... ...ἂν **τε καὶ τὸν τόπον πρὸς ἀναλογίαν**...

...*[because your reasoning]* exists *composed* out of corporeal particles. then, *[your Reasoning]* carefully observed *its own general experience* – as it is not even possible to mentally perceive other things *apart from these [corporeal particles]*, whether Bodies... ...or even *location* in relation to an analogy...

ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ὁ ὄγκος οἱ ὄγκοι	particle	ΟΓΚΟΣ <i>bulk</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
σωματικός (ή, όν)	corporeal	ΣΩΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>body</i>
πεποιημένος	having been made [here, "composed"]	ΠΕΠΟΙΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>gathered</i>
ἡ κοινότης αἱ κοινότητες	general quality	ΚΟΙΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from</i> <i>common</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
ἐπιθεωρεῖν	to carefully observe	ΕΠΙΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning upon</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
παρὲκ	apart from	ΠΑΡΕΚ
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>spot</i>

ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning</i> <i>back/again</i>
---------------------------------------	----------------	--

25.19 Unified Consciousness

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 10 (fr. B 32)

...[ἐ]αυτῶι κατὰ τὸ ὁμοιον καὶ ἀδιάφορον ἑαυτὸν ῥηθήσεται διανοεῖσθαι οἷον ἐνός τινος ταύτηι τοῦ νορουμένου[ν]υ ὄντος ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐφ' ἑαυτ[ὸ]ν ἑαυτοῦ ἐν[τὸς] ἄλλων. καθότι δ' ἐν [πά]θει τινι ἑαυτὸν λέ[γεται διαν]οε[ῖσθ]αι...

...ἐαυτῶ, κατὰ τὸ ὁμοιον καὶ ἀδιάφορον, ἑαυτὸν ῥηθήσεται διανοεῖσθαι ^[1] οἷον ἐνός τινος ταύτη τοῦ νοουμένου ὄντος, ἀλλὰ καὶ ^[2] ἐφ' ἑαυτὸν, ἑαυτοῦ, ἐντὸς ἄλλων – καθότι δ' ἐν πάθει τινι ἑαυτὸν λέγεται διανοεῖσθαι...

...for itself, according to what is similar and undifferentiated, [your reasoning] will be said to mentally perceive itself ^[1] as if it were one particular thing in what is conceived to exist [i.e., "this is my ability to reason"], but also ^[2] in reference to itself, of itself, within [the context] of other things [i.e., "my ability to reason is based in atomic movement"] – and in as much as [your reasoning] is said to perceive itself in some experience [of feeling]...

ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
τὸ ὅμοιον τὰ ὅμοια	what is similar	
ἀδιάφορος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undifferentiated	ΑΔΙΑΦΟΡΟΣ <i>not carrying apart</i>
ῥηθῆναι	to be said [already]	ῚΡΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>said</i>
ῥηθήσεται	will be said	ῚΡΗΘΗΣΕΣΘΑΙ
ἡ διάνοια αἱ δiάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>
οἷος <i>(οἷα, οἷον)</i>	such as [here "as if"]	ὍΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
εἷς μία ἓν <i>(ἐνός, μιᾶς, ἐνός)</i>	one	ῚΙΣ ΜΙΑ ῚΕΝ <i>one</i>
ἐντός <i>+ gen</i>	within	ΕΝΤΟΣ
καθότι	in as much as	ΚΑΘΟΤΙ <i>thoroughly because</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>

25.20 Internal Dialogue vs. Deterministic Monotony

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 11 (fr. B 33)

...[ῥη]θήσεται δι[ι]ανοε[ῖ]σθα[ι] ἡ ἀλλ' ἤι ἐξεῖπο[ν] τό[τε], κα[ὶ] ἡ ἐαυτῶι ἑαυτὸ λέγεσθαι διανοεῖσθαι. πάντα γὰρ οὕτω γ' ἂν ἑαυτῶν κατὰ πάντα τρόπον ἀναισθητοῦντα ἐτύγχανεν καὶ [τα] τούτων ἐπιλογισμὸν... ἐπ[ὶ] [τι]νον λαβεῖν, οὐχ ὥ[ς] περ [σ]ὺν ἐπαισθήσ[ε]σ[ι]ν. σημειο[ῦ]ν... [ἀ]λλὰ δὴ τ[ὸ]ν τὸν [τρόπο]ν φημ[ὶ] καθ' ὃν...

...ῚΡηθήσεται διανοεῖσθαι – ἀλλ' ἤ Ὶξεῖπον τότε – καὶ ἐαυτῶ ἑαυτὸ λέγεσθαι διανοεῖσθαι. Πάντα γὰρ οὕτω γ' ἂν ἐαυτῶν κατὰ πάντα τρόπον ἀναισθητοῦντα ἐτύγχανεν: καὶ τούτων ἐπιλογισμὸν ἐπίπονον λαβεῖν ~ οὐχ ὥσπερ σὺν ἐπαισθήσεσιν... ἀλλὰ δὴ, τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον Φημι καθ' ὃν...

...[which one's Reasoning] will be said to [mentally] perceive – but insofar as I spoke out at that time – and [one's reasoning will be said] to perceive to speak to oneself, as oneself. for [unless it was] in this way, indeed, All things would have lacked sensation of themselves in every way: and would have comprehended [only] a tedious [process of] inductive consideration of these – not as with focused sensations... but truly, I assert that this way according to which...

ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]
ῥηθῆναι	to be said [already]	ῚΡΗΘΗΝΑΙ said
ῥηθησθῆναι	to be said [in the future]	
ῥηθήσεται	it will be said	ῚΡΗΘΗΣΕΤΑΙ said
διανοῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ seeing through
ἧ	insofar as [here, "in the sense"]	ῚΗ in/for which
λέγειν	to say [here, "to speak"]	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ speaking
ἐκλέγειν	to speak out	ΕΚΛΕΓΕΙΝ speaking out
ἐξεῖπον	I spoke out	
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ manner / direction
ἀναισθητεῖν	to lack sensation	ΑΝΑΙΣΘΗΤΕΙΝ not sensing
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ happening
ἐτύγχανεν	it happened	ΕΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΝ happening
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ process of reasoning action upon
ἐπίπονος (ος, ον)	tedious	ΕΠΙΠΟΝΟΣ upon toil
λαβεῖν	[intellectually] to comprehend [already]	ΛΑΒΕΙΝ grasped
ἡ ἐπαίσθησις αἱ ἐπαίσθησεις	focused sensation	ΕΠΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ upon perceiving
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ speaking
φημι	I assert	

25.21 Cause of Movement

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 12 (fr. B 34)

...δ' [ά]π[οτ]ελεῖται τὰ μὲν κατ' ἐπίεσοδόν τι[τ]ῶν/ων ἀπογεγεννώμενων [α] τὰ δὲ καθ' ὁμούρησιν (καί που καὶ τὸ μὴ αἰωρούμενον τῆς φύσεως ἀλλὰ τοῦ ἐν [έ]αυτῷ τέ[ε]λους [μ]νήμην καὶ [έπι]λογ[ω]μὸν λαμβά[νον] κατὰ [πι]λέ[ο]ν ἢ ἔλατ[τον],) ἔτι δε...

...δ' Ἀποτελεῖται ^[1] Τὰ μὲν κατ' ἐπίεσοδόν τινων ἀπογεγεννώμενων, ^[2] Τὰ δὲ καθ' ὁμούρησιν – καί που καὶ ^[3] Τὸ μὴ αἰωρούμενον τῆς φύσεως, ἀλλὰ τοῦ ἐν ἑαυτῷ τέλους μνήμην καὶ ἐπιλογισμὸν λαμβάνον, κατὰ πλεόν ἢ ἔλαττον. ἔτι δε...

...^[1] Those [*movements*] that have been fully generated are fully produced according to the penetration of certain [*particles*], and ^[2] Those [*movements are produced*] according to the proximity [*of particles*], and also in some way ^[3] That [*movement*] which is not being raised up by nature, but [*produced by one's spirit*] comprehending the memory and inductive consideration of its fulfillment in itself, more or less. and further...

τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience (feeling)	ΠΑΘΟΣ enduring
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ completing out
ἡ ἐπίσδοος αἱ ἐπεισόδοι	penetration	ΕΠΕΙΣΟΔΟΣ upon course into
ἀπογεγεννημένος (η, ον)	having been fully generated	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ generated out
ἡ ὁμούρησις αἱ ὁμούρησεις	proximity	ὍΜΟΥΡΗΣΙΣ state of being together with
αἰωρούμενος (η, ον)	being raised up	ΑΙΩΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ hanging / lifting
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ goal
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ thinking
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	^[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ process of reasoning action upon
λαμβάνων (ουσα, ον)	comprehending	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ grasping
τὸ πλέον τὰ πλέω	the greater	ΠΛΕΟΝ more
τὸ ἐλάττον τὰ ἐλάττω	the lesser	ΕΛΑΤΤΟΝ less

25.22 Careful Studying Student

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 2 (fr. A 38)

...{προσεδρε[ῖαι ἐ]κμετροῦντας [τ]ρόπ[ους]} ἐμέμνητο [κ]αὶ λαμβ[άν]ον τῇ μνή[μ]ῃ ὃ πα[ρεῖ]χε τῷ [ι] φυ[σικῷ] [υ]}...

{combined with overlapping text below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 fr. 4.3 (B 35)

.....{προσεδρε[ῖαι ἐ]κμετροῦντας [τ]ρόπ[ους]} ἐμέμνητο καὶ λαμβ[άνων τ]ῇ μνήμῃ [π]α[ρ]εῖχε τῷ φυσικῷ τέλει τὸ χαρτὸν ἢ τὸ λυπ[ηρὸν, ὡς π]ᾶν προκατ[έγρα]φον, ἐν δόξαις ἢ/ ἄλλ[ως] ποσὸν [δ]ὲ δὴ...

...προσεδρεῖα ἐκμετροῦντας τρόπους Ἐμέμνητο – καὶ λαμβάνον τῇ μνήμῃ παρεῖχε τῷ φυσικῷ τέλει τὸ χαρτὸν ἢ τὸ λυπηρὸν – ὡς πᾶν προκατέγραφον, ἐν δόξαις ἢ ἄλλως. Ποσὸν, δὲ δὴ...

...through assiduous study He had already been reminded of the ways [of thinking] that measure out [sensations] – and while he was comprehending [these ways] in memory, He yielded to [our arguments regarding] what is delightful or what is distressing in regards to their natural fulfillment – as is already wholly written [by us], in [our] judgments [concerning doctrines] or in other [writings]. but indeed, That which is measurable...

ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ throwing upon
ἡ προσεδρεία αἱ προσεδρεῖαι	assiduous study	ad-sedeō ΠΡΟΣΕΔΡΕΙΑ toward seat

καταμετρῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	fully measuring	ΚΑΤΑΜΕΤΡΩΝ <i>thoroughly measure</i>
καταμετροῦντες <i>(οὔσαι, οὖντα)</i>		
ἐκμετρῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	measuring out	ΕΚΜΕΤΡΩΝ <i>measure out</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ὁ παθητὸς τρόπος	the experiential way	
ὁ λογικὸς τρόπος	the rational way	
μνημονεύειν	to remember	ΜΝΗΜONEYΕΙΝ <i>"addition to" thinking</i>
τὸ μνημονευόμενον τὰ μνημονευόμενα	what is remembered	ΜΝΗΜONEYΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of "addition to" thinking</i>
μνημονεύων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	remembering	ΜΝΗΜONEYΩΝ <i>thinking</i>
μιμνήσκεσθαι	to be reminded	ΜΙΜΝΗΙΣΚΕΣΘΑΙ <i>thinking "again"</i>
μιμνήσκειν	to recall	ΜΙΜΝΗΙΣΚΕΙΝ <i>thinking "again"</i>
ἐμέμνητο	he had been reminded [already]	
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
λαμβάνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	comprehending	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ <i>grasping</i>
παρέχειν	to yield [here "to admit"]	ΠΑΡΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold beside</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
ἡ χαρά αἱ χαραί	joy	ΧΑΡΑ <i>being cheerful</i>
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	favor [here, "grace"]	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>charming / gracious</i>
χαρτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	delightful	ΧΑΡΤΟΣ <i>cheer</i>
ἐπίπονος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	tedious	ΕΠΙΠΟΝΟΣ <i>upon toil</i>
λυπηρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	distressing	ΛΥΠΗΡΟΣ <i>vexation</i>
πάνυ	wholly	ΠΑΝΥ
συγγράφειν	to compose together	ΣΥΓΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing together</i>
προκαταγράφειν	to have already written	ΠΡΟΚΑΤΑΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing thoroughly before</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ποσός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	how many / measurable	ΠΟΣΟΣ <i>some / certain</i>

25.23 Silent Memorization

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 fr. 4.4 (B 36)

...ποτε ἀπεμν[ημόν]ευεν ἡ ἀνάλογον τῇ[ι ἀπομ]νημον[εύ]σει πάθος ἴσα[νεν] καὶ ἐνδιέτριβεν ὅθε[ν ἡ]συχίει τε καὶ τῶν... καὶ
μεγίστους φόβους... ἀξ[ό]ντων ἐπίσκεψε[ων]... φυσ[ικοῦ] πάθους φόβ[ους]... κατ[ὰ] τ[οὺς ἀνθ]ρώπους καὶ κα[τ]ὰ τὰ ὑπ[ὲρ
ἄ]νθρωπον... κα[τὰ] τοῦ ἀφανοῦ[ς]...

...**ποτε**, Ἀπεμνημόνευεν – ἢ **ἀνάλογον** **τῇ ἀπομνημονεύσει πάθος** Ἰσχανεν – καὶ Ἐνδιέτριβεν, **ὅθεν** Ἡσυχίει τε καὶ... καὶ **μεγίστους φόβους**... ἀξόντων **ἐπισκεψεων**... **φυσικοῦ πάθους φόβους**... **κατὰ τοὺς ἀνθρώπους καὶ κατὰ τὰ ὑπὲρ ἄνθρωπον**... ...**καὶ, τοῦ ἀφανοῦς**...

...at some point, He was memorizing – or He was retaining an experience analogous to memorization – and He was occupying himself with [it], for which reason He was silent and... and the greatest fears... of the examinations of those [] leading... fears of natural experience... according to humans and according to what is beyond a human... ...also, of the invisible...

[Arr.] ...πότε ἀπεμ[νημόν]ευεν ἢ ἀνάλογον τ[ῇ μ]νημον[εύ]σει πάθος ἰσχά[νετο (...)] καὶ [μά]λιστα τ[ὸν ἀπὸ] φυ[σικοῦ] πάθους φόβ[ον] κατὰ [τοὺς ἀνθ]ρώπους καὶ κα[τ]ὰ τὰ ὑπ[ὲρ ἄν]θρωπον [τὴν ἀλ]ήθ[ει]αν κατὰ τὸ] ὠρισμένον ἐζ[ί]ηται καὶ τοῦ συνε[χοῦς] καὶ πο[τε καὶ το]ῦ ἀφανοῦς...

[Maso] [*The mind*] sometimes remembered or took a feeling as if it where something similar to a memory [...] and particularly with reference to fear of physical suffering, either in relation to humans or to something beyond human, it searched for truth on the basis of what is defined, of what is continuous, or of what is not evident...

μιμνήσκειν	to recall	ΜΙΜΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ <i>thinking "again"</i>
μνημονεύειν	to remember	ΜΝΗΜONEYΕΙΝ <i>"addition to" thinking</i>
ἀπομνημονεύειν	to memorize	ΑΠΟΜΝΗΜONEYΕΙΝ <i>"addition to" thinking fully</i>
ἀνάλογος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	analogous	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
ἡ ἀπομνημόνευσις αἱ ἀπομνημονεύσεις	memorization	ΑΠΟΜΝΗΜONEYΣΙΣ <i>process of "addition to" thinking fully</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[n] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἴσχειν	to retain	ΙΣΧΕΙΝ <i>keeping back</i>
ἐνδιετρίβειν	to occupy oneself with	ΕΝΔΙΕΤΡΙΒΕΙΝ <i>rubbing through in</i>
ἡ ἡσυχία αἱ ἡσυχίαι	silence	ἩΣΥΧΙΑ <i>stillness</i>
ἡσυχίειν	to be silent	ἩΣΥΧΙΕΙΝ <i>stillness</i>
μέγιστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	greatest	ΜΕΓΙΣΤΟΣ <i>biggest</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
ἄξων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	leading	ΑΞΩΝ <i>leading</i>
ἐπισκέπτεσθαι	to examine	ΕΠΙΣΚΕΠΤΕΣΘΑΙ <i>looking upon</i>
ἡ ἐπισκέψις αἱ ἐπισκέψεις	examination	ΕΠΙΣΚΕΨΙΣ <i>looking upon</i>
φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
τὸ ἄφανες τὰ ἄφανη	invisible thing	ΑΦΑΝΕΣ <i>not appearing</i>

...{([μ]νήμη ἢ τὸ τέϊ [μνή]μηι πά)θος ἀνάλο[γον] ὥν ἔδει μᾶλλον [ἐνεγί]γνε[το, π]ρὸς τ[ὸ] ὤ[ρισμέ]νον καὶ τὰ πά[ν]τα...

{added to the expanded version below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 2 (fr. A 41)

...{[τούτου μν]ήμη{ι} ἢ ἀ[νάλογος μνή]μηι κεί[νησις τὰ μὲν] συνεγε[νέννητο, εὐθ]ύς, τὰ δ' εὐ[ξητο, τή]ν ἀρχήν ἔχου[σα καὶ τή]ν αἰτ[ί]αν, ἧι μὲ[ν τῇι π]ρώ[τ]ηι συστάσ[ει... τῇ ἀτό]μων ἅ[μα καὶ τοῦ ἀπο]γεννη[θέ]ντος, [ἧι δὲ τῇι ἐπαυ]ξαμ[ένηι, ἧι πάντα] δρῶ[μεν, τῶν ἀτόμ]ων ἅμ[α καὶ αὐτοῦ τοῦ] ἀπο[γεγεννη]}}

{better version below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 15 (fr. B 37) et alia

...{([μ]νήμη ἢ τὸ τέϊ [μνή]μηι πά)θος ἀνάλογον ὥν ἔδει μᾶλλον ἐνεγείνεται πρὸς τὸ ὠρισμένον καὶ τὰ πάντα {ἐξελέγχον τῆς ἀναφορᾶς γινομένης καὶ οὐ πρὸς ἀόριστα καὶ κρίσεως προσδεόμενα — αὕτη δ' αὐτὴ πάλιν ἢ {τούτου μνήμη ἢ ἀνάλογος μνήμηι κίνησις τὰ μὲν συνεγε[γέν]νητο εὐθύς, τὰ δ' ἠϋξήτο τὴν [ἀρχή]ν ἔχουσα καὶ τὴν αἰτίαν. εἴ [μ]ὲν τεῖ πρώτῃ συστάσει τ[ὼ]ν τε ἀτόμων ἅμα καὶ τοῦ ἀπογεννηθέντ[ος, εἴ] ἢ/ δὲ τεῖ ἐ[παυ]ξομένει, ἔ[στι π]άντα δρῶ[μεν], τ[ὼ]ν ἀτόμω[ν ἅμ]α καὶ αὐ[τοῦ τοῦ ἀ]πογε[γεν]νημένου ἐ[στ]ι ἀ[νάγκ]η[ς ἀ]ντίξουν ἐπ' ἐ[νίω]ν [τοῖς] ἀπ[ογ]εγνήσασιν...

...Μνήμη ἢ τὸ τέϊ μνήμη Πάθος ἀνάλογον ὥν. Ἔδει μᾶλλον Ἐνεγείνεται πρὸς τὸ ὠρισμένον – καὶ τὰ Πάντα ἐξελέγχον τῆς ἀναφορᾶς γινομένης – καὶ οὐ πρὸς ἀόριστα καὶ κρίσεως προσδεόμενα. αὕτη δ' αὐτὴ πάλιν ἢ τούτου Μνήμη ἢ ἀνάλογος μνήμη Κίνησις: Τὰ μὲν συνεγεγέννητο εὐθύς – Τὰ δ' ἠϋξήτο, τὴν ἀρχὴν ἔχουσα καὶ τὴν αἰτίαν.

...Memory, or the Experience of those [movements] analogous to memory. It would have been more necessary that [the memory] was implanted in relation to what [experience] was being defined – even with Everything refuting out the reference [to the definition] as it was being produced – and not in relation to the undefined things, that are also in need of distinction. but again, this Memory of [an experience] or a Movement analogous to memory: with Some [aspect of memory] having been generated together directly [from experiences] – while Other [aspects of memory] had increased, although they have a foundation and a cause [from former experiences].

εἴ μὲν, ^[1] τεῖ πρώτῃ συστάσει τῶν τε ἀτόμων ἅμα καὶ τοῦ ἀπογεννηθέντος – ^[2] εἴ δὲ, τεῖ ἐπαυξομένει. εἴ πάντα Δρῶμεν τῶν ἀτόμων ἅμα καὶ αὐτοῦ τοῦ ἀπογεγεννημένου ἐξ ἀνάγκης: ἀντίξουν ἐπ' ἐνίων τοῖς ἀπογεννήσασιν...

in one respect, [our memories are formed] ^[1] in the primary composition of the atoms together with what [movement] had been fully generated [at the time] – while ^[2] in another respect, in [that which] is being further increased [by us over time]. if We do all things with the atoms together with what [motion] itself has been fully generated out of necessity: with us, for some [of our actions], resisting against what [atomic motion] has fully generated [out of necessity]...

τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[n] experience (feeling)	ΠΑΘΟΣ enduring
ἀνάλογος (ας, ον)	analogous	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΟΣ reasoning back/again
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ needing
ἔδει	it would have been necessary	ΕΔΕΙ was needing
μᾶλλον	more	ΜΑΛΛΟΝ very
ἐνεῖναι	to implant	ΕΝΕΙΝΑΙ being inside
ἐνεγείνεται	it was implanted	
ὀρίζειν	to set a limit	ὈΡΙΖΕΙΝ separating
ὠρισμένος (η, ον)	being limited / being defined	ὨΡΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ border
ἢ ἀοριστεία αἱ ἀοριστεῖαι	lack of definition	ΑΟΡΙΣΤΕΙΑ no border

ἀόριστος <i>(ας, ον)</i>	undefined	ΑΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>no border</i>
ἐξελέγγειν	to refute out	ΕΞΕΛΕΓΧΕΙΝ <i>confuting out</i> <i>[with contrary proof]</i>
ἐξελέγγων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	refuting out	ΕΞΕΛΕΓΧΩΝ
ἡ ἐπιφορά αἱ ἐπιφοραί	application	ΕΠΙΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying upon</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
ἡ συμφόρησις αἱ συμφόρησεις	accumulation	ΣΥΜΦΟΡΗΣΙΣ <i>carrying together</i>
ἡ ἀναφορά αἱ ἀναφοραί	reference?	ΑΝΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying back</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction [i.e., an act of discrimination]	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
προσδεῖσθαι	to also be in need [of]	ΠΡΟΣΔΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>needing in addition to</i>
προσδεόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	also being in need [of]	ΠΡΟΣΔΕΟΜΕΝΟΣ
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
ἐγγεννᾶν	to generate in	ΕΓΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating in</i>
συνγεννᾶν	to generate together	ΣΥΝΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating together</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἴα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΘΥΣ ΕΥΘΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
αὐξάνειν	to increase	ΑΥΞΑΝΕΙΝ <i>increasing</i>
ἡϋξητο	it had increased	ΗΥΞΗΤΟ <i>increasing</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
Part 2		
πρῶτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
ἡ πρώτη σύστασις τῶν ἀτόμων	the primary composition of the atoms	<i>Epicurus</i> <i>On Nature, Book 25</i> <i>P.Herc. 1056 col. 15 (fr. B 37)</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom ("what is indivisible")	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
ἀπογεννᾶν	to fully generate	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating out</i>
ἀπογεννηθῆναι	to be fully generated [already]	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>generating out</i>
ἀπογεννηθεῖς <i>(εἴσα, έν)</i>	fully [been] generated [already]	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΗΘΕΙΣ <i>generating out</i>
ἀπογεννήσας	having fully generated [already]	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΗΣΑΣ <i>generating</i>

ἀπογεγεννημένος (η, ον)	having been fully generated [already]	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>generated out</i>
τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον ἐξ ἀνάγκης	what has been fully generated out of necessity	what = <i>Movement,</i> <i>which results in</i>
τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον μὴ ἐξ ἀνάγκης	what has been fully generated not out of necessity	<i>Action,</i> <i>which results in</i> <i>[dispositional]</i> <i>Development</i>
εἰ = ἥ	insofar as	ἣ <i>in/for which</i>
εἰ μὲν... εἰ δέ...	in one respect, while in another respect	
αὐξάνειν	to increase	ΑΥΞΑΝΕΙΝ <i>increasing</i>
ἐπαυξόμενος (η, ον)	being further increased	ΕΠΑΥΞΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>upon / further</i> <i>increasing</i>
δράν	to do	ΔΡΑΝ <i>taking action</i>
δρῶμεν	we do	ΔΡΩΜΕΝ
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
ἐξ ἀνάγκης	necessarily	
ἀντιέναι	to go against	
ἀντίξων (ουσα, ον)	resisting	ΑΝΤΙΞΩΝ <i>holding against</i>
ἔνιοι (αι, α)	some	ΕΝΙΟΙ <i>one</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 87

...{ἐξελέγχω[v]} τῆς ἀ[v]αφορᾶς γιγνομ[έ]νῃ[ς κ]αὶ οὐ πρὸς ἀόρι[σ]τα καὶ κρίσεως προσδεόμενα... α[ὕτ]η δ' αὖ πάλι[v] ἡ
τ[ούτου] μνήμη [ἡ ἀνάλογος μνή]μη[κίνησις τὰ μὲν συνε]γε}...

{expanded version above}

25.25 Atomic Generation

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 3 (fr. A 42)

...[ἀ]ποβαινόντων κατὰ [τ]ᾶς συνωσθείσας ἀλ[λ]ὰ καὶ αἱ συνωσθεῖσαι δηλόνως ὁμοίως. φύ[σ]ιν γὰρ εἶχον συνως[θ]εῖσαι
τοιαῦτα ἀπογ[ενν]ᾶν καὶ τοιοῦτο[υ] ἔ[ργου ἄρ]χεσθαι αἱ αὐ[ταῖ] κα[τὰ] τὸν [ἀ]π[ὸ] τοῦ αὐτοῦ[δ] διαστήματος τρόπον...

...ἀποβαινόντων κατὰ τὰς συνωσθείσας ἀλλὰ καὶ αἱ Συνωσθεῖσαι δηλόνως
ὁμοίως. φύσιν γὰρ εἶχον συνωσθεῖσαι τοιαῦτα ἀπογεννᾶν καὶ τοιούτου ἔργου
ἄρχεσθαι, αἱ Αὐταὶ κατὰ τὸν ἀπὸ τοῦ αὐτοῦ διαστήματος τρόπον...

...of those [movements] that result according to the crowded-together
[atoms], but even the Crowded-together [atoms] clearly [move] similarly. for
they had a nature to fully generate such things and to begin such a task, the
same [atoms] in accordance with a way [arising] from the same separation...

[Laursen] ...coming about in accordance with the [atoms] thrust together, but
also the [atoms] thrust together, evidently, in the same way. For they had
the capacity, [if thrust together], to produce such thing and such... the same
[atoms] in accordance with the manner "from the same distance"...

συμβαίνειν	to endure [with its compound]	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
ἀποβαίνειν	to result	
ἀποβαίνων	resulting	ΑΠΟΒΑΙΝΩΝ <i>walking away</i>
συνωσεῖν	to crowd together	

συνωσθεῖς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i>	crowded together [already]	ΣΥΝΩΣΘΕΙΣ <i>pushing together</i>
δῆλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
δηλόνως	clearly	ΔΗΛΟΝΩΣ
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
ἀπογεννᾶν	to fully generate	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating out</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
ἄρχειν	to begin	ΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
ἄρχεσθαι	to begin [for themselves]	ΑΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>beginning</i>
τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing</i> <i>between</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner /</i> <i>direction</i>

25.26 Responsibility

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 90 & 91

...{[θ^α]ι κατ<ᾰ> [τὸν προειρημ]έ[νον τ]ρόπ[ον] κα[ί] τ[ῶν] ἀ[ύ]τ[ω]ν [ἀπ]ερ[γα]στ[ικ]ᾰ εἶναι – π[ολλὰ δὲ κ]αὶ τ[ῶ]ν δ[ε] κ[αὶ] τ[ῶ]ν δ[ε] φύσιν ξ- χ[ο]ντ[α ἀπερ]γαστ[ικὰ] γί[γν]εσθ[αι] δι' ἑαυτὰ οὐ | γίγγ[εται ἀπε]ρ[γ]αστ[ικ]ά, (οὐ διὰ τήν) ἀ[ύ]την αἰτίαν τῶν τε ἀ[τόμ]ων κα[ί] ἐαυτῶν), οἷς δ[ὲ] κα[ί] μάλιστα μ[α]χό[με]θα κα[ί] ἐπιτιμῶ[με]ν... [οὔν]τες κατ[ὰ τὴν ἐξ ἀρχ]ῆς [τα]ραχώδη φύσιν [έχοντα]}...

{better and expanded version, B 38, below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 (fr. A 43)

...{οὐθὲν γὰρ αὐτοῖς συνήργ[η]κεν εἰς ἓνια ἔργα τε καὶ μεγέθη ἔργων καὶ διαθέσεων ἢ τῶν [ἀτ]όμων φύσις... ἀλλ' αὐ[τ]ὰ τὰ ἀπογεγεννημένα τὴν πᾶσα[ν ἡ] τὴν πλε[ί]στην κέ[κτ]ητ[αι] αἰτί[α]ν των[δὲ τ]ῶν, ἐκ δ' [έ]κ[ει]νης [έ]ναι τῶν [ἀ]τόμων [κε]ινή[σεις] ταραχώδε[ις] κέ[κτ]ην[ται], οὐχὶ διὰ τὰς ἀ[τό]μο[υς] πάν[τως]}

{overlaps with B 38 below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 92

...κέκ[τ]ηται αἰτί[αν] τωνδὲ τ[ῶν], ἐκ δ' [έ]κ[ινη]ς ἔναι τῶν ἀτόμω[ν] κιν[η]σ[εις] [τα]ραχώδε[ις] κ[ινοῦν]ται οὐ[χὶ διὰ] τ[ὰς] ἀ[τόμο]υ[ς] π[άν]τ[ω]ς... [διὰ τῶν παρεμ]πι[υπτό]ν [των κατ' ἀνάγκην ἐκ τοῦ] περιέχοντος εἰς] τὴμ φυσικῇ[ν]}...

{added to B 38 below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 16 (fr. B 38)

...[γί]νεσθ[αι] κατὰ τὸν π[ρ]οειρημένον τρόπον καὶ τῶν [α]ὐτῶν ἀπεργαστικὰ εἶναι. πολλὰ δὲ καὶ τῶνδε καὶ τῶν[δε φ]ύσιν ἔχοντα ἀπεργαστικὰ [γί]νεσθ[αι] δι' ἑαυτὰ οὐ γίνεται ἀ[πε]ργαστικά, οὐ διὰ τὴν αὐτὴν αἰτία[ν] τῶν τε ἀτόμων καὶ ἑαυτῶν. οἷς δὲ καὶ μάλιστα μαχόμεθα καὶ ἐπιτιμῶμεν, μ[ε]σοῦντες κατὰ τὴν ἐξ ἀρχῇ[ς] ταραχώδη φύσιν ἔχοντα} καθ[ά]περ ἐπὶ τῶν πάντων ζώων. {οὐθὲν γὰρ αὐτοῖς συνήργηκεν εἰς ἓνια ἔργα τε καὶ μεγέθη ἔργων καὶ διαθέσεων ἢ τῶν ἀτόμων φύσις, ἀλλ' αὐτὰ τὰ ἀπογεγεννημένα τὴν πᾶσα[ν ἡ] τὴν πλε[ί]στην κέ[κτ]ητ[αι] αἰτίαν τῶνδὲ [τ]ῶν, ἐκ δ' ἐκ[εῖ]ν[η]ς ἔν[αι] τ[ῶν ἀτόμ]ων κιν[η]σ[εις] [τα]ραχώ[δεις κιν]οῦνται, ο[ὐ]χ[ὶ] διὰ τὰς ἀ[τό]μο[υς] ἀ[τόμο]υ[ς] π[άν]τ[ω]ς... [διὰ * τῶν παρεμ]πι[υπτό]ν [των κατ' ἀνάγκην ἐκ τοῦ] περιέχοντος εἰς] τὴμ φυσικῇ[ν]}...

* P.Herc. 1056 has δέ here, in brackets – but P.Herc. 1191 does not.

...γίγνεσθαι κατὰ τὸν προειρημένον τρόπον καὶ τῶν αὐτῶν ἀπεργαστικὰ εἶναι. Πολλὰ δὲ, καὶ τῶνδε καὶ τῶνδε φύσιν ἔχοντα, ἀπεργαστικὰ γίγνεσθαι δι' ἑαυτὰ οὐ γίνεται ἀπεργαστικά – οὐ διὰ τὴν αὐτὴν αἰτίαν τῶν τε ἀτόμων καὶ ἑαυτῶν. οἷς δὲ καὶ μάλιστα Μαχόμεθα καὶ Ἐπιτιμῶμεν, μισοῦντες κατὰ τὴν ἐξ ἀρχῆς ταραχώδη φύσιν ἔχοντα – καθάπερ ἐπὶ τῶν πάντων ζώων.

...[one's own actions] are generated in the previously mentioned way, existing as productive of the same things. yet, although they have a nature [that could be productive] of these and those [achievements], Many [actions that people generate], are made unproductive because of themselves – not through of the SAME cause [of movement] of both the atoms and of themselves. against these [unproductive generated actions] We especially contend and rebuke, while resenting [those who] have [a temperament] from their birth in accordance with a disturbing nature – just as [we do] in the case of all living beings [that choose to act counterproductively].

[Sedley 20 B.1-2] ...< > ...But many naturally capable of achieving these and those results fail to achieve them because of themselves, not because of one and the same responsibility of the atoms and of themselves. And with these we especially do battle, and rebuke them, hating them for a disposition which follows their disordered congenital nature as we do with the whole range of animals.

[Laursen] ...come about in the aforementioned manner and be productive of the same things. Many [products] capable of producing both this and that do not produce them, because of themselves and not because of the same cause in the atoms and themselves. These [products] are therefore a main target of our attacks and criticism, because we... behave in accordance with the original disturbing nature, as is the case with animals as a whole.

οὐθέν γὰρ αὐτοῖς συνήργηκεν ^[1] εἰς ἓνια ἔργα τε καὶ ^[2] μεγέθη ἔργων καὶ ^[3] διαθέσεων ἢ τῶν ἀτόμων Φύσις, ἀλλ' αὐτὰ τὰ ἀπογεγεννημένα τὴν πᾶσαν ἢ τὴν πλείστην κέκτῃνται αἰτίαν τῶνδέ τινων – ἐκ δ' ἐκείνης, ἔνιαι τῶν ἀτόμων Κινήσεις ταραχώδεις κινοῦνται – οὐχὶ διὰ τὰς ἀτόμους πάντως διὰ τῶν παρεμπίπτοντων κατ' ἀνάγκην ἐκ τοῦ περιέχοντος εἰς τὴν φυσικὴν...

for them the Nature of the atoms has contributed nothing ^[1] to some [of their] tasks, and ^[2] to the sizes of [their] tasks, and ^[3] of the arrangements [of their tasks], but rather [their movements] that are fully generated have procured the whole or [at least] the greatest cause of these particular [tasks] – and [it is] from that [responsibility of theirs] that some disturbing Movements of the atoms are moved – but not completely through the atoms through what happens to occur according to necessity from the surroundings into the natural...

[Sedley 20 B.3-4] For the nature of their atoms has contributed nothing to some of their behaviour, and degrees of behaviour and character, but it is their developments which themselves possess all or most of the responsibility for certain things. It is as a result of that nature that some of their atoms move with disordered motions – but it is not on the atoms that all <the responsibility should be placed for their behaviour>.

[Laursen] ...For the nature of the atoms never did help them in any way to [perform] certain acts or to [develop] certain dimensions of acts and dispositions, but the products themselves were fully or for the most part responsible for these particulars. and out of that [i.e., the nature of the atoms or the cause] some of the atoms move disturbing movements, not as a whole because of the atoms, but because of what comes in from the environment into the natural... {the original constitution of the atoms being the cause... aggregate... out of the product itself unless... all...}

γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced [here, "to be generated"]	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
προειρημένος <i>(ῆ, ον)</i>	previously mentioned	ΠΡΟΕΙΡΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>spoke before</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἡ κατεργασία αἱ κατεργασίαι	outflow	ΚΑΤΕΡΓΑΣΙΑ <i>work thoroughly</i>
ἀπεργαστικός <i>(ῆ, όν)</i>	productive	ΑΠΕΡΓΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of working away</i>
οὐ ἀπεργαστικός <i>(ῆ, όν)</i>	unproductive	ΟΥ ΑΠΕΡΓΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>not characteristic of working away</i>
πολύς <i>(ῆ, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>

ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>combating</i>
ἡ τιμή αἱ τιμαί	honor	ΤΙΜΗ <i>value</i>
ἐπιτιμᾶν	to rebuke	ΕΠΙΤΙΜΑΝ <i>value upon</i> <i>"laying a penalty on"</i>
μισῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	resenting	ΜΙΣΩΝ <i>hating</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning [here, "from their birth"]	
ταράττειν	to disturb	ΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating</i>
ἡ ταραχή αἱ ταραχαί	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΗ <i>agitating</i>
τεταραγμένως	with disturbance	ΤΕΤΑΡΑΓΜΕΝΩΣ <i>having been agitated</i>
ταραχώδης <i>(ης, ες)</i>	troubling	ΤΑΡΑΧΩΔΗΣ <i>agitating</i>
τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
συνεργεῖν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΕΡΓΕΙΝ <i>work together</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
ἡ διάθεσις αἱ διαθέσεις	arrangement	ΔΙΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>placing through</i>
ἀπογεγεννημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been fully generated	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>generated out</i>
πλείστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most / greatest	ΠΛΕΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most filling</i>
κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
κεκτῆσθαι	{to have procured} to possess	ΚΕΚΤΗΣΘΑΙ <i>acquired</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
κινεῖν	to move	ΚΙΝΕΙΝ <i>moving</i>
παρεμπιπτών <i>(ουσα, όν)</i>	[incidentally] occurring	ΠΑΡΕΜΠΙΠΤΩΝ <i>falling into beside</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against</i> <i>constraint</i>
περιέχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ <i>holding around</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>producing</i>

Epicurus responds to the arguments of determinists by asserting the existence and causal power of the self and its volitions, which transcend the basic patterns of atomic motion. Many people who could succeed in different tasks fail, not because of their atoms, but because of their own choices and actions. We often criticize these people, avoiding them for their harmful nature.

Psychological impulses are not fully determined by the movements of the atoms. Your psychological impulses can initiate your own thoughts and move your body. Even though your atomic make-up might cause chaotic motions in your mind, it does not mean that you cannot initiate motions that counteract them.

25.27 Fundamental Agency

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 94

...[ἀπο]γεν[νῃ]θῆ[ι] λα[μβάνο]ν τινα ἑτερότητ[α τῶ]ν ἀτόμω[ν] κ[ατὰ] τ[ὴν] α[ὐτὴν] τρόπο[ν] [διαλ]ηπτικόν, [ο]ὐ [τ]ὸν ὥ[ς] ἀφ' ἐτέρου διαστήμ[α]το[ς] ἰσχάνε[ι] τὴν ἐξ [ἑαυ]τοῦ αἰτίαν – εἴτα ἀνα[δίδω]σιν εὐθ[ύ]ς μ[ὲ]ν χ[ρ]ι[σ]τῶ[ν] μ[ὲ]ν πρώτω[ν] φύσ[εω]ν καὶ μία μ[ὲ]ν π[ρ]ώτῃ ἀ[πασαν] | αὐτ[ὴ]ν ποιεῖ...

{B 39 below is an expanded fragment}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 (fr. A 44)

...{σεσαι μαχόμεν[οι πο]λλοῖς ἅμα τῶν ἀν[θρώ]πων καὶ νουθε[τοῦν]τες, ὃ τῇ τοῦ αὐτοῦ [τρ]όπου κατ' ἀνάγκην αἰ[τι]αί[α] ὑπεναντίον ἐστ[ίν.] οὐ[τ]ως, ἐπειδὴν ἀπ[ο]γεννηθῇ [τι] λαμ[βάνον] τ[ιν]ὰ [ἐ]τερότη[τα] τῶν ἀ[τόμ]ων κατὰ [τ]ὴν α[ὐτὴν] τρόπον [δι]αληπτικόν, οὐ τὸν ὥ[ς] ἀφ' ἐτέρου [δι]αστήματος, ἰσχάνει[ν] τὴν ἐξ ἑαυ[τοῦ] αἰτίαν, εἴτα ἀναδίδω[σιν] εὐθ[ύ]ς μέχρι τῶν π[ρ]ώτων φύσεων καὶ [κ]αν[όνα] πᾶσαν αὐτ[ὴν] ποιεῖ. ὅθεν δὴ καὶ οἱ μὴ δυνάμενοι κατὰ τρόπον τὰ τοιαῦτα διαρεῖν χειμάζουσιν αὐτοὺς περὶ τὴν τῶν αἰτίων ἀπόφασιν. καὶ τοῦ [τῶν ἐ]π' αὐτῶν τοῖς [μ]ὲν μᾶλλον [ν]οῖς δ' ἡ[γε]τον μαχ[όμε]θα καὶ ἐπιτιμ[ώ]μεν {καὶ καθαίρο}...

{added to B 39 below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 fr. 5.3 (B 39)

...{σεσαι μαχόμεν[οι πο]λλοῖς ἅμα τῶν ἀν[θρώ]πων καὶ νουθε[τοῦν]τες, ὃ τῇ τοῦ αὐτοῦ [τρ]όπου κατ' ἀνάγκην αἰ[τι]αί[α] ὑπεναντίον ἐστ[ίν.] οὐ[τ]ως ἐπειδὴν ἀπογεννηθῇ [τι] λαμβάνον [τι]νὰ [ἐ]τερότη[τα τῶν] ἀτό[μ]ων κατὰ τινὰ τρόπον διαληπτικόν, οὐ τὸν ὥ[ς] ἀφ' ἐτέρου [δι]αστήματος, ἰσχάνει[ν] τὴν ἐξ ἑαυ[τοῦ] αἰτίαν, εἴτα ἀναδίδω[σιν] εὐθ[ύ]ς μέχρι τῶν π[ρ]ώτων φύσεων καὶ [κ]αν[όνα] πᾶσαν αὐτ[ὴν] ποιεῖ. ὅθεν δὴ καὶ οἱ μὴ δυνάμενοι κατὰ τρόπον τὰ τοιαῦτα διαρεῖν χειμάζουσιν αὐτοὺς περὶ τὴν τῶν αἰτίων ἀπόφασιν. καὶ τοῦ [τῶν ἐ]π' αὐτῶν τοῖς [μ]ὲν μᾶλλον [ν]οῖς δ' ἡ[γε]τον μαχ[όμε]θα καὶ ἐπιτιμ[ώ]μεν {καὶ καθαίρο}...

...Μαχόμενοι πολλοῖς ἅμα τῶν ἀνθρώπων καὶ Νουθετοῦντες, Ὁ τῇ τοῦ αὐτοῦ τρόπου κατ' ἀνάγκην αἰτίαι ὑπεναντίον ἐστίν: οὕτως, ἐπειδὴν ἀπογεννηθῇ Τι λαμβάνον τινὰ ἑτερότητα τῶν ἀτόμων κατὰ τινὰ τρόπον διαληπτικόν (οὐ τὸν ὥς ἀφ' ἐτέρου διαστήματος), Ἰσχάνει τὴν ἐξ ἑαυτοῦ αἰτίαν – εἴτα Ἀναδίδωσι εὐθὺς μέχρι τῶν πρώτων φύσεων. καὶ κανόνα πᾶσαν αὐτὴν Ποιεῖ

...[We are] contending with many people simultaneously and Instructing about [this issue], Which is contrary to the cause of the same way [of thinking that all motion is] in accordance with necessity: thus, whenever Something is fully generated [i.e., one's consciousness] that comprehends a certain difference in the atoms in a certain [mentally] distinguishing way, ([but] not as though from a different separation [in space]),* One gains control of the cause [of mental movements] out of his own [conscious choice] – then he simply Delivers [those conscious mental movements] up to the primary natures [of the atoms]. and He makes this [mentally generated movement] entirely a Standard [that tests for truth – i.e., a canon]

* i.e., even though the soul can be distinguished from the atoms that compose it as its own emergent object, the soul is not physically distinct from the atoms that compose it.

[Sedley 20 B.5-6] ...< > ...Thus when a development occurs which takes on some distinctness from the atoms in a differential way – not in the way which is like viewing from a different distance – he acquires responsibility which proceeds from himself; then he straightaway transmits this to his primary substances and makes the whole of it into a yardstick

[Laursen] ...attacking and criticizing at the same time of the human being, something that is in contrast to the cause in this same "necessary" manner. Consequently, whenever something is produced that takes on some otherness within the atoms in a way that allows for a distinction, not the one as from another distance, he acquires the cause out of himself; then he gives it on immediately until it comes to the first natures and in some way makes all of it one.

ὁθεν, δὴ, καὶ Οἱ μὴ δυνάμενοι κατὰ τρόπον τὰ τοιαῦτα διαιρεῖν χειμάζουσιν αὐτοὺς περὶ τὴν τῶν αἰτιῶν ἀπόφασιν. καὶ τούτων, ἐπ' αὐτῶν τοῖς μὲν μᾶλλον τοῖς δ' ἦττον Μαχόμεθα, καὶ Ἐπιτιμῶμεν, καὶ Καθαίρωμεν...

from which, indeed, Those who are not able to distinguish such things in a way distress themselves about [their] assertion of [their own generated] causes. and among these [people], We contend against them, more with some and to a lesser extent with others, and We rebuke, and We clarify [them]...

[Sedley 20 B.7] That is why those who cannot correctly make such distinctions confuse themselves about the adjudication of responsibilities... < >...

[Laursen] Hence, clearly, those who cannot distinguish these things correctly put themselves in a winter storm as to the explanation of these causes, and in these same things we attack and criticize some more, some less.

μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>combating</i>
ἅμα	^[adv] simultaneously, ^[prep] together with	ἌΜΑ <i>at once</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ὁ νοῦς οἱ νοῖ	intellect	ΝΟΥΣ <i>sense</i>
νουθετεῖν	to instruct [about]	ΝΟΥΘΕΤΕΙΝ <i>setting sense</i>
νουθετῶν	instructing about	ΝΟΥΘΕΤΩΝ <i>setting sense</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	^[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against</i> <i>constraint</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ὑπεναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	contrary	ὙΠΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>over against</i>
ἀπογεννᾶν	to fully generate	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating out</i>
λαμβάνειν	^[intellectually] to comprehend, ^[generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
ἡ ἄλλοτριότης αἱ ἄλλοτριότητες	foreignness	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from</i> <i>different other</i>
ἐτέρωθι	elsewhere	ἘΤΕΡΩΘΙ <i>different [location]</i>
ἡ ἑτερότης αἱ ἑτερότητες	difference	ἘΤΕΡΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from</i> <i>different</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different ^[other / another]	ἘΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἅτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
διαληπτός <i>(ῆ, όν)</i>	^[mentally] distinguishable	ΔΙΑΛΗΠΤΟΣ <i>taking hold between</i>

διαληπτικός (ή, όν)	[mentally] distinguishing	ΔΙΑΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of taking hold between</i>
τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>
ἰσχύειν	to prevail	ΙΣΧΥΕΙΝ <i>strength</i>
ἰσχύων (ισχύουσα, ισχύον)	prevailing	ΙΣΧΥΩΝ <i>strength</i>
ἰσχάνειν	to gain control of	ΙΣΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
ἀναδιδόναι	to deliver	ΑΝΑΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving up</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς (εἴα, ύ)	simply / directly	ΙΟΥΣ ΕΥΟΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
μέχρι	up to	ΜΕΧΡΙ <i>until</i>
πρῶτος (η, ον)	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	<i>crit</i> erion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of dividing</i>
ὁ κανὼν οἱ κανόνες	standard	ΚΑΝΩΝ <i>measuring stick (ruler)</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
Part 2		
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
τὸ τοιοῦτον τὰ τοιαῦτα	such a thing	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΝ <i>self of this sort</i>
ἀναιρεῖν	to rescind	ΑΝΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping back</i>
προαιρεῖν	to bring forth	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping before</i>
διορίζειν	to distinguish	ΔΙΟΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>between the border</i>
διορίζειν	to differentiate	ΔΙΟΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>between the border</i>
διαιρεῖν	to differentiate	ΔΙΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping through</i>
χειμάζειν	to distress	ΧΕΙΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>winter storm</i>
φάσκειν	to allege	ΦΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>affirming</i>
ἡ πρόφασις αἱ προφάσεις	presumption	ΠΡΟΦΑΣΙΣ <i>before affirming</i>
ἡ ἀπόφασις αἱ ἀποφάσεις	assertion	ΑΠΟΦΑΣΙΣ <i>affirming out</i>
ἥττον	to a lesser extent	ἥΤΤΟΝ <i>inferior</i>
ἐπιτιμᾶν	to rebuke	ΕΠΙΤΙΜΑΝ <i>value upon "laying a penalty on"</i>
ἀναιρεῖν	to rescind	ΑΝΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping back</i>
καθαίρειν	to clarify	ΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleansing</i>

We can make decisions that control our own mental movements. One’s psychological actions can move the atoms in the mind, and this begins the process of moving the whole body.

As the motion of our hands can move material in our hands, the motion of our minds can move the material in our minds.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 95

...[ὁ]θεν δὴ κα[ὶ οἱ] μὴ δυνάμενοι κατὰ τρόπον τὰ τοιαῦτα δι[υ]αρεῖν χι[μᾶ]ζουσιν ἑαυτοὺς πε[ρὶ] τὴν τῶν αἰτ[ιῶν]
ἀπ[ὸ]φ[α]σιν καὶ το[ύτων ἐπ'] αὐ[τῶν] τοῖς μ[έν] μᾶλλον, τοῖς δ' ἥττον μαχόμεθα καὶ ἐπιτιμῶμεν {καὶ καθαίρο}...

{added to B 39 above}

25.28 Praise and Blame

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 3 (fr. A 45)

...{οὐ διὰ τὴν ἐξ αὐτ[ῶν] αἰτίαν, ἀλλ[ὰ]} τὴν [τῶν] βελτίστων ἔργω[ν] καὶ διανοημάτ[ων]...

{added to fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 96

...{οὐ διὰ τὴν ἐξ αὐτ[ῶν] αἰτίαν, ἀλλ[ὰ]} [τὴν] τῶ[ν] βελτίστων ἔργων [καὶ διὰ] ν[ο]ημ[άτων]... τότε [α]ὐτοῖς [μα]χόμε[ε]θα μὲν
[οὐδέ]ν. ἀλλ' ἐα[υ]τ[οῖς], μετὰ... ἀτόμ[ων]... ἐπενεγκαμένοις. καὶ τὴν ἐξ ἑαυτῶν αἰ[τίαν] καίπερ ἐκθα[ί]ροντες... ἢ οὐ
ν[ο]υθετ[οῦ]ντές γε ὅσα ἄμ...

...οὐ διὰ τὴν ἐξ αὐτῶν αἰτίαν ἀλλὰ τὴν τῶν βελτίστων ἔργων καὶ διανοημάτων...
τότε, αὐτοῖς Μαχόμεθα μὲν οὐδέν. ἀλλ' ἑαυτοῖς, μετὰ... ...ἀτόμων...
ἐπενεγκαμένοις. καὶ τὴν ἐξ ἑαυτῶν αἰτίαν καίπερ Ἐκθαίροντες... ἢ οὐ
Νουθετοῦντές γε ὅσα ἄμα...

...[we praise others] not [merely] through a cause [of movement that originates] out of themselves but [through] that [cause of movement that originates of themselves] toward the best tasks and mental perceptions... at this time, We contend with them in no way. but for us, along with... of atoms... to those that have applied themselves. and even while [we are] fully clearing out the cause [of movement that originates] out of ourselves... or not Instructing about as many things as simultaneously...

ἡ αἰτία αἰ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ responsibility
βέλτιστος (η, ον)	best	ΒΕΛΤΙΣΤΟΣ strong
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ work
διανόητος	[mentally] perceptible	
τὸ διανόημα τὰ διανοήματα	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΜΑ seeing through
μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ combating
ἐπιφέρειν	to apply to	ΕΠΙΦΕΡΕΙΝ bringing upon
ἐπενεγκεῖν	to apply to [already]	ΕΠΕΝΕΓΚΕΙΝ brought upon
ἐπενεγκάμενος (η, ον)	having applied themselves	
καθαίρειν	to clarify	ΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ cleansing
ἐκθαίρειν	to clear out	ΕΚΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ clearing out
νουθετεῖν	to instruct [about]	
νουθετῶν	instructing about	ΝΟΥΘΕΤΩΝ setting sense

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 98

...λεῖν ἐ[πιχειροῦμεν]ν [καὶ παρ]οξ[ύ]νε[ιν ἐπὶ τὰ καιριώ]τατα ὥς [ἤδ]η π[έπηγεν ἀπὸ] τῆς φύ[σεως τῆς αὐτῆς
κ]ακη[θ]ρο[ισμέ]ν[ης] οὐ[χ ἐ]τέρωι τινι[τι τῇ]ν [αἰτίαν] ἀναψούσ[ης τῇ]μ [πῆξι]ν τὴν ὁμ[οί]αν...

{overlaps with fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 3 (fr. A 46)

...[χειροῦμ]εν καὶ παρο[ξύνειν] ἐπὶ [τ]ὰ καιρι[ώτατα ὡ]ς ἤδη πέπη[γεν ἀπὸ τῆ]ς φύ[σεως τῆς αὐτῆς κακ]ηθροισμένης ο[ύχ
ἐ]τέρω τ[ινι] τὴν [αἰτία]ν ἀνα[ψ]ούσης [τὴν] πῆξιν [τὴν] ὁμοί[αν]...

{overlaps with fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 fr. 5.4 (B 40)

...οὐ μαχόμεθα τοῖς... κα[ὶ] οὐ τὴν ἀτον[ί]αν ἔχουσ[ιν ἄλλ'] οὐ[δ]ὲ παρακαλεῖν ἐπιχειροῦμεν καὶ παροξύνειν ἐπὶ τὰ
κ[αιριώτατα], ὥς ἤδη πέπηγεν ἀ[πὸ τῆς φύ]σεως τῆς αὐτῆς κακηθρ[οισμέ]νης οὐχ ἐτέρως τινι τῇ[ν αἰτία]ν ἀναψού[σης], τὴν
πῆξι[ν] τ[ὴν ὁ]μοίαν τ[ῇ]... ...[τὴν] α[ιτία]ν π[ροσφέ]ροντες οὐ[δ'] ὅσοι[ς προσφ]έρομε[ν]...

...οὐ Μαχόμεθα τοῖς... καὶ οὐ **τὴν ἀτονίαν** Ἔχουσιν – ἄλλ' οὐδὲ παρακαλεῖν
Ἐπιχειροῦμεν καὶ παροξύνειν ἐπὶ τὰ καιριώτατα, ὥς ἤδη Πέπηγεν ἀπὸ τῆς
φύσεως τῆς αὐτῆς κακηθροισμένης, οὐχ ἐτέρως τινι **τὴν αἰτία**ν ἀναψούσης **τὴν
πῆξιν τὴν ὁμοίαν**... ...**τὴν αἰτία**ν προσφέροντες, οὐδ' ὅσοις Προσφέρομεν...

...[regarding those who sincerely struggle to move themselves toward the best tasks] We do not contend **with those [people]**... and they are not holding onto **laziness** – but neither do We venture to encourage or provoke them **toward the most opportune things**, as [their temperaments] have **already** coagulated from a nature which itself is poorly-assembled, [and which] is not fastening {and igniting} **the cause [of movement]** for something in a different way **to the coagulation [of their temperaments]** which is similar to... ...[although weare] bringing forward **the cause [i.e., the responsibility for our own movement]**, We do bring [it] forward **for as many as...**

μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>combating</i>
ἡ ἀτονία αἱ ἀτονίαι	laziness	ΑΤΟΝΙΑ <i>not vigorous</i>
παρακαλεῖν	to encourage	ΠΑΡΑΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning beside</i>
ἐπιχειρεῖν	to venture	ΕΠΙΧΕΙΡΕΙΝ <i>upon hand</i>
παροξύνειν	to provoke	ΠΑΡΟΞΥΝΕΙΝ <i>sharp beside</i>
καιριώτατος	most opportune	ΚΑΙΡΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>time</i>
πήγνυναι	to coagulate	ΠΗΓΝΥΝΑΙ <i>affixing together</i>
πέπηγεν	they had coagulated	ΠΕΠΗΓΕΝ <i>affixed together</i>
ἡ πῆξις αἱ πῆξεις	coagulation	ΠΗΞΙΣ <i>affixing together</i>
τὸ ἄθρόον τὰ ἄθρόα	what is aggregated	ΑΘΡΟΟΝ <i>gathered</i>
τὸ ἄθροισμα τὰ ἄθροίσματα	^[inorganic] aggregate ^[organic] organism	ΑΘΡΟΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of [being]</i> <i>gathered</i>
κακηθροίζειν	to aggregate badly	ΚΑΚΗΘΡΟΙΖΕΙΝ <i>badly gathered</i>
κακηθροισμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	badly aggregated	ΚΑΚΗΘΡΟΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ
συνάπτειν	to connect	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening together</i>

συνάπτων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	connecting	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΩΝ <i>fastening together</i>
συνάψας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	having connected	ΣΥΝΑΨΑΣ <i>fastening together</i>
ἀνάπτειν	to fasten to {or, "to ignite"}	ΑΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly fastening</i>
ἀναψών	fastening to	ΑΝΑΨΩΝ
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
προσφέρεισθαι	to bring forward [for oneself]	ΠΡΟΣΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing as well</i>
προσφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	bringing forward	ΠΡΟΣΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing as well</i>
προσφέρειν	to bring forward	ΠΡΟΣΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing as well</i>

25.30 Against Empty Linguistic Distinctions
(Bringing Words and Nature to the Same Point)

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 3 (fr. A 47)

...γε [φύσιν αἰ]τιᾶσθαι ἡμᾶς ἢ το[ῦτ]ον οὐθὲν διαφέρ[ει, ἀλλ]λ' ἐπὶ ταῦτό φέρομ[εν] ἀμφότερα τὰ ὀνό[μα]τα κ[αἰ τῇ]ν φύσιν κακίζογ[τεσ] ὁμοίω[ς] τοῦτον κ[α]κίζ[ο]μεν ὅταν ...*υξώμεν [τ]ι αὐτήν τ[ῇ]ν διάλ]εκ[τον]. ἔστι δ' [ὅτ]ι ε [κατὰ] τὴν πρώτ[η]ν γ[σύστασι]ν κατὰ σάρ[κ]α...

...γε, φύσιν αἰτιᾶσθαι ἡμᾶς ἢ τοῦτον οὐθὲν Διαφέρει – ἀλλ' ἐπὶ ταῦτό Φέρομεν ἀμφότερα τὰ ὀνόματα καὶ τὴν φύσιν, κακίζοντες ὁμοίως τοῦτον Κακίζομεν ὅταν ...Συζύωμεν ταύτην τὴν διάλεκτον... ἔστι δ' ὅτε, κατὰ τὴν πρώτην σύστασιν, κατὰ σάρκα...

...indeed, It is in no way different to blame nature, ourselves or this [word "necessity"] – but We bring both the words and nature to the same [point], reproaching this similarly when We reproach... ...We would coalesce this way of speaking... there are also times when, according to [one's] original composition, [actions] based on flesh...

ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
αἰτιᾶσθαι	to blame	ΑΙΤΙΑΣΘΑΙ <i>responsibility</i>
διαφέρειν	to differ	ΔΙΑΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing through</i>
φέρειν	to carry [here, "to bring"]	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
ἀμφίβολος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	ambiguous	ΑΜΦΙΒΟΛΟΣ <i>throwing both</i>
τὰ συναμφότερα	both together	ΣΥΝΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΝ <i>both of the two together</i>
ἀμφοτέροι <i>(αι, α)</i>	both	ΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΙ <i>both of the two</i>
τὰ ἄμφω	the pair	ΑΜΦΩ <i>both</i>
τὰ ἀμφότερα	both things	ΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΑ <i>both of the two</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
κακίζειν	to reproach	ΚΑΚΙΖΕΙΝ <i>bad</i>
κακίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	reproaching	ΚΑΚΙΖΩΝ <i>bad</i>
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>

*υξωμεν συζύωμεν	we would coalesce	ΣΥΖΕΥΓΓΥΝΑΙ <i>yoking together</i>
ἡ διάλεκτος αἱ διάλεκτοι	way of speaking	ΔΙΑΛΕΚΤΟΣ <i>speaking across</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
ἡ σάρξ αἱ σάρκες	flesh	ΣΑΡΞ <i>flesh</i>

25.31 Emergent Causation

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 3 (fr. A 48)

...γεσθαι ἢ καὶ τοιαυτηνὶ διὰ[θ]εσιν καὶ κ[εῖ]νῃσιν ἔχουσαν ψυχὴν ἐξ ἀνάγκης τ[ο]ιοῦδε ἀπογεννω[μέ]ν[ο]υ ἐκ τῶν τοιουτωνὶ μ[έ]χρι δὲ τοῦ τοιανδὶ [ψυ]χὴν ἢ τ[οι]ανδὶ οὐκ ἐξ ἀνάγκης τοιοῦδ' ἀπ[ο]ν[ε]νν[ωμ]ένου [ῆ] οὐκ ἐπειδὰν προβῇ[ι γ]ε τῇ[ι] ἡλικ[ί]αι τοιοῦδ' ἀπογενν[ωμ]ένου κ[α]τ' ἀνάγκ[ην] ἀ[λλ']...

{B41 below is an expanded fragment}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 102, 103

...ἀπ[ο]γεννημένου, μὴ ἐξ ἀνάγκης μέχρι των[δ]ί τωνων ἐξ ἀνάγκης ἀ[πο]ν[ε]νν[ωμένου] ἀλλὰ μέ[χρι] τοῦ ψυχῇ γ γενέσθαι ἢ καὶ τ[ο]ια[υ]τηγὶ δ[ι]ά[θ]εσιν [καὶ κίνησιν] ἔχουσαν ψυχὴν ἐξ ἀνάγκης τοι[ο]ῦδε ἀ[πο]ν[ε]νν[ωμένου] ἐκ τῶν το[ι]ουτωνὶ μέχρι δὲ τοῦ τοιανδ[ι] [ψυχ]ῇ γ [ῆ τοιανδὶ οὐκ ἐ]ξ ἀνάγκ[ης] τ[ο]ιοῦ[δ]ε ἀπογεννωμένου ἢ οὐκ ἐπειδὰμ προβ[ῇ]ι γε τῇι ἡλικίαι τοιοῦδε ἀπογενν[ω]μένου κατ' ἀνάγκ[κ]ην, ἀλλ' ἐξ ἑαυτ[ο]ῦ δυ[ναμέ]νου {καὶ τ[ῆ]ς ἐξ ἑαυ[τοῦ αἰτ]ίας καὶ ἄλλο}...

{added to fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 19 (fr. B 41)

...κατηγορουμένης φύσεως καὶ οὐ κατ' αὐτὸ ἢ καὶ αὐτὸς προσαγοευ[ο]μένης... κἂν κατὰ διάνοιαν δέ [τ]ι ἐκβιάζεται ἡ πρώτη σύστασις τοῦ ἀπογε<γεννημένου, μὴ ἐξ ἀνάγκης μέχρι τωνδὶ τωνων τοιοῦδε ἀπογεννωμένου ἀλλὰ μέχρι μὲν τοῦ ψυχὴν γενέσθαι ἢ καὶ τοσαυτηνὶ διάθεσιν καὶ κίνησιν ἔχουσαν ψυχὴν ἐξ ἀνάγκης [τοιοῦ]δε ἀπογεν[νω]μένου ἐκ [τῶν το]ιουτ[ων]ι, μ[έ]χρι δὲ τ[ο]ῦ τοιαν[δ]ι ψυχῇ γ [ῆ τοι]αν[δ]ι οὐκ ἐξ [ἀνάγκ]ης τοιοῦ[δ]ε ἀ[πο]ν[ε]νν[ωμ]ένου ἢ οὐκ ἐπειδ[ὸ]ν [πρ]οβῇι γε τ[ῇ]ι ἡλικί[α]ι το[ι]οῦδε ἀ[πο]γεννωμένου κατ' ἄνάγκην ἀλλ' ἐξ ἑαυτοῦ δυνα[μέ]νου {καὶ τ[ῆ]ς ἐξ ἑαυ[τοῦ αἰτ]ίας καὶ ἄλλο}...

...κατηγορουμένης φύσεως – καὶ οὐ κατ' αὐτὸ ἢ καὶ αὐτὸς προσαγοευομένης...

...[however one refers to his self-generated movement, it is clear it exists] with a nature that is fully indicated [by his experience of it] – even while it is not referred to as itself or according to itself...

...κατὰ διάνοιαν δέ τι ἐκβιάζεται ἡ πρώτη Σύστασις τοῦ ἀπογεγεννημένου – μὴ ἐξ ἀνάγκης – μέχρι τωνδὶ τωνων τοιοῦδε ἀπογεννωμένου: ἀλλὰ μέχρι μὲν τοῦ ψυχὴν γενέσθαι – ἢ καὶ τοσαυτηνὶ διάθεσιν καὶ κίνησιν ἔχουσαν Ψυχὴν, ἐξ ἀνάγκης τοιοῦδε ἀπογεννωμένου ἐκ τῶν τοιουτωνὶ

...according to [our mental] perception, [our] primary Composition would – NOT out of necessity – force out some of [the movement] that has been fully generated up to [the point of] certain kinds of such a thing [as our own non-necessitated movements] being fully generated: while [our primary atomic composition would force out some movement] up to the point of a Soul being produced – and even a Soul having an arrangement and movement as great as this, given that some [movement] of this kind is necessarily generated from [a composition] such as this*

* the soul's subtle physical nature makes it susceptible to both moving and being moved

μέχρι δὲ τοῦ – τοιανδὶ ψυχὴν ἢ τοιανδὶ – οὐκ ἐξ ἀνάγκης τοιοῦδε ἀπογεννωμένου. ἢ οὐκ ἐπειδὰν Προβῇ γε **τῇ** ἡλικίαι **τοιοῦδε ἀπογεννωμένου κατ' ἀνάγκην** – ἀλλ' ἐξ ἑαυτοῦ δυναμένου καὶ τῆς ἐξ ἑαυτοῦ αἰτίας...

but [*one's atomic Composition would force out some movement*] up to [*the point of movement*] such as this – [*specifically, the movement of*] this or that kind of soul – being fully generated NOT out of necessity. or [*at least one's atomic Composition would force out some volitional movement*] whenever [*Someone*] advances to the stage of life of such [*volitional initiations*] being fully generated NOT according to necessity – but by having the force [*of movement*] from himself and from his own cause...

κατηγορεῖν	to fully indicate	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly speaking publicly</i>
προσαγορευόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being referred to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
Part 2		
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	<i>[mental]</i> perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ὁ βιασμός οἱ βιασμοί	forcing	ΒΙΑΣΜΟΣ <i>process of violence</i>
ἡ παραβίασις αἱ παραβιάσεις	distortion	ΠΑΡΑΒΙΑΣΙΣ <i>violence alongside</i>
ἐκβιάζειν	to force out	ΕΚΒΙΑΖΕΙΝ <i>violence out</i>
ἐκβιάζεται	it would force out	
πρῶτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
ἡ πρώτη σύστασις τῶν ἀτόμων	the primary composition of the atoms	<i>Epicurus</i> <i>On Nature, Book 25</i> <i>P.Herc. 1056 col. 15 (fr. B 37)</i>
ἀπογεγεννημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been fully generated <i>[already]</i>	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>generated out</i>
τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον ἐξ ἀνάγκης	what has been fully generated out of necessity	
τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον μὴ ἐξ ἀνάγκης	what has been fully generated not out of necessity	
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	<i>[natural]</i> necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
ἀπογεννᾶν	to fully generate	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating out</i>
ἀπογεννηθείς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i>	fully [been] generated <i>[already]</i>	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΗΘΕΙΣ <i>generating out</i>
ἀπογεννήσας	having fully generated <i>[already]</i>	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΗΣΑΣ <i>generating out</i>
ἀπογεννώμενος	being fully generated	
Part 3		
μέχρι	up to	ΜΕΧΡΙ <i>until</i>
ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
γενέσθαι	to be produced <i>[already]</i> <i>[here, taken as middle]</i>	ΓΕΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>came into being</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὕτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	’ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>

τοσοῦτος <i>(τοσαύτη, τοσοῦτο)</i>	so long <i>(so great)</i>	ΤΟΣΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this so much</i>
οὔτοσί <i>(αὐτῇ, τουτί)</i>	this here	ΟΥΤΟΝΙ <i>this here</i>
τοσοῦτοσί <i>(τοσαύτῃ, τοστοῦτί)</i>	so great as this here	ΤΟΣΟΥΤΟΣΝΙ <i>this so much here</i>
τοῖος <i>(τοῖᾱ, τοῖον)</i>	like <i>(such)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
τὸ τοιοῦτον τὰ τοιαῦτα	what is like this <i>(such a thing)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΝ <i>this of this sort</i>
τοιοῦτοσεῖ <i>(τοιαύτῃσε, τοιοῦτε)</i>	like this very thing, <i>(such as this exact kind of thing here)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣΕΙ <i>this of this sort here</i>
τοιόσδι = τοιόσδε <i>(τοιάδε, τοιόνδε)</i>	like this here <i>(such a kind as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΣΔΙ ΤΟΙΟΣΔΕ <i>of this sort here</i>
ἡ διάθεις αἱ διαθέσεις	arrangement	ΔΙΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>placing through</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
διαβαίνειν	pass through	ΔΙΑΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking through</i>
προβαίνειν	to advance, to go forward	ΠΡΟΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking forward</i>
ἡ ἡλικία αἱ ἡλικίαι	age <i>(stage of life)</i>	ἩΛΙΚΙΑ <i>comrade</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
δυναμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having the force	ΔΥΝΑΜΕΝΟΣ
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>

25.32 [Severed Cause], Respecting Existing Terminology

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 20 (fr. B 42)

...**πράττε**[ι], ἀλλὰ τὰ αὐτὰ πάντ' ἔχον οὐκ **ἐξ**[ι]ρούμεθα τῆς αἰτίας τὸ ἀπ[ογεν]εννημένον ἀλλ' ἐν τι ποιοῦν[τε]ς αὐ[τ]ὸ καὶ τὴν σύστασιν **ὁμοίως κα**θαίρομεν τὸ δ' οὐ **βο**[υθε]**τοῦμέν** {νε, πολλὰ δὲ οὐδὲ κατὰ τινας ἐθισμ[ο]ῦ[ς] ἄνευ νοῦ λέξεως μετακ[ο]σ[μ]οῦμεν... τὸ γ[ὰρ] ἐξαιροῦ μεγ[ο]ν τῆς αἰτ[ί]ας κατ' ἀνάγκ[η]ν}...

{added to 1191 below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 3 (fr. A 49)

...{**ἐξ** τῇ[ι]} **ἐξ** ἀρχῆς συστατικαὶ τὸ ἀπογεννημένον καὶ μὴ ἦι δυνατόν ἀλλὰ τὸ ἀπογεννη[μέ]νον ποιῆσαι μη[δ]· ἐπὶ τοῦ παρόντος[ς] μη[δὲν] **ἕτερον**... ...πρώτη σ[ύ]στασι[ς] ἀπηργάσατο **μηδ'** **ἐν**[ια] κ[α]τὰ βραχ[ύ] τι **μηδὲ** βιαζόμενον[ς] κ[αί]...

{not added to 1191 below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 104, 105

...**τε**ι **ἐξ** [ἀρ]χ[ί]ς συστατικαί τὸ ἀπογ[ε]ν[ν]η[μένον] καὶ μὴ **ἦ**ι [δυνα]τ[ί]ον ἀλλὰ τὸ ἀπ[ο]γε[ν]η[ν]εννημένομ ποιῆσαι μ[ηδ'] ἐπ[ι] τοῦ παρόντος μ[ηδὲν] **ἕτερον** ἥδ' ἡ πρώτη [σ]ύ[σ]τασις ἀπηρ[γ]άσατο **μηδ'**] **ἐν**ια κατὰ βραχύ τι μηδὲ βιαζόμενον καὶ ἀντιτῖνον... τι **πράττ**[ε], ἀλλὰ τὰ α[ύ]τ[α] ἅ πάντ' ἔχον οὐκ ἐξαι[ρ]ούμεθα τῆς αἰτίας τ' ἀ[πο]γε[ν]εννημένον – ἀλλ[ὰ] ἐν τι ποιοῦντες αὐτὸ κ[αί] τῇν [σ]ύστασιν ὁμ[ο]ίως κ[αθα]ίρομεν [τὸ δ' οὐ] **βο**υθ[ε]τοῦμεν {νε, πολλὰ δὲ οὐδὲ κατὰ τινας ἐθισμ[ο]ῦ[ς] ἄνευ νοῦ λέξεως μετακ[ο]σ[μ]οῦμεν... [τὸ γ]ὰρ ἐξαιροῦ μεγ[ο]ν τῆς αἰτ[ί]ας κατ' ἀνάγκ[η]ν}...

...**ἐξ ἀρχῆς**, Συστατικαὶ **τὸ ἀπογεννημένον**, καὶ μὴ ἦ **δυνατόν**. ἀλλὰ **τὸ ἀπογεννημένον** ποιῆσαι ~ **μηδ' ἐπὶ τοῦ παρόντος** **μηδὲν ἕτερον** ἥδ' ἡ πρώτη Σύστασις ἀπηργάσατο. **μηδ' ἕνια** κατὰ **βραχύ τι**, **μηδὲ βιαζόμενον** καὶ **ἀντιτῖνον**...

...[if our atomic composition did not force out some volitional movement] from the **beginning**, [then these] constitutive [parts of our composition

would have automatically set in motion] what [movement] has been fully generated, and It would not be possible [to have volitional movement]. but [our] primary Composition already has made what [movement] has been fully generated – and not even at the present [time] has it produced anything different. even though [the atomic Composition of the soul itself has] not [produced] certain [movements, even] by some small [degree], nor [has it produced volitional movements] while being forced and being affected [by external movement]...

...τι Πράττει – αλλά, τὰ αὐτὰ πάντα ἔχον: οὐκ'Ἐξαιρούμεθα τῆς αἰτίας – τ' ἀπογεγεννημένον

...[our atomic composition] is accomplishing something – but, [although it] has all the same things:* We do not remove ourselves from the cause [of the generation of movement] – and [we do not remove ourselves from] what [movement] has been fully generated

i.e., although our soul is only composed of, and affected by, matter

ἀλλὰ ἔν τι ποιοῦντες, αὐτὸ καὶ τὴν σύστασιν ὁμοίως Καθαίρομεν. τὸ δ' οὐ Νουθετοῦμεν – γε, πολλὰ δὲ οὐδὲ κατὰ τινας ἐθισμοὺς ἄνευ νοῦ λέξεως Μετακοσμοῦμεν... ...τὸ γὰρ Ἐξαιρούμενον τῆς αἰτίας κατ' ἀνάγκην...

but by [even] doing ONE certain thing, We similarly clarify [the nature of] ourselves and [of our] composition. We do not instruct about it – indeed, We do not even rearrange many [words] in accordance with certain [linguistic] conventions without [taking any] mind of the terminology...
...for What is removed from a cause [of movement] BY NECESSITY [is ITSELF its own cause of movement]...

ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ first order
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
συστατικός (ή, όν)	constitutive	ΣΥΣΤΑΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of standing together
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ standing together
ἀπογεγεννημένος (η, ον)	having been fully generated	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ generated out
τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον ἐξ ἀνάγκης	what has been fully generated out of necessity	what = Movement, which results in
τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον μὴ ἐξ ἀνάγκης	what has been fully generated not out of necessity	Action, which results in [dispositional] Development
δυνατός (ή, όν)	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ power
παρών (παρουσα, παρόν)	present	ΠΑΡΩΝ to be beside
ἐπὶ τοῦ παρόντος	up to the present	
ἀπεργάζεσθαι	to produce	ΑΠΕΡΓΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ work out
ἔνιοι (αι, α)	some [here, "certain"]	ΕΝΙΟΙ one
βραχύς (εἴα, ύ)	short	ΒΡΑΧΥΣ brief
κατὰ βραχύ	gradually	
βιάζειν	to force	ΒΙΑΖΕΙΝ violence
βιάζεσθαι	to be forced	ΒΙΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ violence
βιαζόμενος (η, ον)	being forced	ΒΙΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ violence

τίνειν	to pay a price	TINEIN <i>paying</i>
ἀντιτίνειν	to be affected	ANTITINEIN <i>paying against</i>
ἀντιτίνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	being affected	
Part 2		
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
ἀναιρεῖν	to confute	ΑΝΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping back</i>
ἐξαιρεῖν	to remove	ΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking out</i>
ἐξαιρεῖσθαι	to be removed from	ΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>taking out</i>
Part 3		
τὸ σύστημα τὰ συστήματα	structure	ΣΥΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing together</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
ἐκθαίρειν	to clear out	ΕΚΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleansing out</i>
καθαίρειν	to clarify	ΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleansing</i>
νουθετεῖν	to instruct [about]	ΝΟΥΘΕΤΕΙΝ <i>setting sense</i>
τὸ ἔθνος τὰ ἔθνη	ethnicity	ΕΘΝΟΣ <i>accustomed</i>
ὁ ἐθισμός οἱ ἐθισμοί	convention	ΕΘΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of being accustomed</i>
ὁ νοῦς οἱ νοῖ	intellect <i>[here, "mind"]</i>	ΝΟΥΣ <i>sense</i>
ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
μετακοσμεῖν	to rearrange	ΜΕΤΑΚΟΣΜΕΙΝ <i>beyond/ change order</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>

25.33 Controlling Natural Impulses

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 107

...σύστασιν εἰς ἓν τι συμ[πλέ]κοντες, οὐ μὴν [οὔ]τε τῷ[ι νου]θε[τ]η[τ]ικῷ τρόπῳ καὶ ἐ[πα]νορθωτικῷ {οὔτε τῷ ἀπλῶς ἀ[ντι]ποητικῷ χρώμεθα}...

{added to fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 3 (fr. A 50)

...αὐτὴν ἐκεῖ[ν]η πε[ρ]αῖνον. ἂν δὲ κ[αί] βα[δ]ί[ζ]η διὰ τὴν ἑαυτοῦ [ἤ]δη αἰ[τί]αν εἰς τὸ ὅμοιον τῇ ἐ[ξ] ἁρχῆς συσ[τά]σει φαύλ[η]ι οὐσ[η]ι, ἔτι μᾶλλον [ἐνί]οτε κ[α]κίζομεν. ἐν νουθετη[τ]ικῷ μέντοι μᾶλλον τρόπῳ[ι], καὶ οὐχ ὥσπερ [τ]ὰ ἄγρια τῶν ζώων [καθ]αίρομεν μὲν ὁμοίως [α]ύ[τ]α τὰ ἀπογεγε[νν]ημένα [κ]αὶ τῇ[ν] σύστασιν εἰς ἓν τι συμπτ[λέ]κοντες, οὐ μὴν ο[ὔ]τε τῷ νουθε[τ]η[τ]ικῷ τρόπῳ καὶ ἐ[πα]νορθωτικῷ {οὔτε τῷ ἀπλῶς ἀ[ντι]ποη[τ]ικῷ χρώμεθα}...

...αὐτὴν ἐκείνη Περαινον. ἂν δὲ καὶ Βαδίξη διὰ τὴν ἑαυτοῦ ἤδη αἰτίαν εἰς τὸ ὅμοιον τῇ ἐξ ἀρχῆς συστάσει φαύλη οὔση, ἔτι μᾶλλον, ἐνίοτε, Κακίζομεν. ἐν νουθετητικῷ μέντοι μᾶλλον τρόπῳ καὶ οὐχ ὥσπερ τὰ ἄγρια τῶν ζώων Καθαίρομεν: μὲν ὁμοίως ^[a] αὐτὰ τὰ ἀπογεγεννημένα καὶ ^[2+b] τὴν σύστασιν εἰς ἓν

τι συμπλέκοντες – οὐ, μὴν, οὔτε τῷ νουθετητικῷ τρόπῳ καὶ ἐπανορθωτικῷ οὔτε τῷ ἀπλῶς ἀντιποιητικῷ Χρώμεθα...

...Accomplishing **it in that very way**. but if [Someone] also proceeds **through a cause [of movement that is]** already his own into what is similar to a composition that **originally exists as base [i.e., if one chooses to give-in to his basic original nature]**, We reproach [him], at times, even more. however, We clarify **in a more instructive way** and not as with the wild among living beings: [because, in the helpless case of the wild, we] entangle **as similar** ^[a] what [actions] have been fully generated themselves and ^[b] [their dispositional] composition ^[a=b] into one [same] thing – no, indeed, [with the wild] neither do We use **the instructive and corrective way** nor the absolutely contradictory [way]...

[Sedley 20 j] ...< > ...We sometimes vilify it [a self-determining animal] all the more, but more in an admonitory mode – and not in the way in which we exonerate those animals which are while by conflating their developments and their make-up alike into a single thing, and indeed do not use either the admonitory and reformatory mode or the simply retaliatory mode.

[Laursen] ...< > ...We occasionally criticize even more: that is, not in the primary blaming way – and not as wild animals do, we rinse, in that we plait together ^[1] the products themselves and ^[2] the composition....< > ...

τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>
περαίνειν	to accomplish	ΠΕΡΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>finished</i>
περαίνων	accomplishing <i>[here, "bringing to the end"]</i>	ΠΕΡΑΙΝΩΝ <i>finished</i>
βαδίζειν	proceed	ΒΑΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>walking</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation <i>[in time]</i>	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
φαῦλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	base	ΦΑΥΛΟΣ <i>common / easy</i>
ὢν <i>(οὔσα, ὄν)</i>	being	ΩΝ <i>being</i>
ἡ κακοτεχνία αἱ κακοτεχνίαι	harmful art	ΚΑΚΟΤΕΧΝΙΑ <i>bad technique</i>
κακηθορίζειν	to aggregate badly	ΚΑΚΗΘΟΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>badly gathered</i>
κακίζειν	to reproach	ΚΑΚΙΖΕΙΝ <i>bad</i>
μέντοι	never-the-less	ΜΕΝΤΟΙ <i>indeed surely</i>
μᾶλλον	more	
μέντοι μᾶλλον	never-the-less more	
νουθετεῖν	to instruct [about]	ΝΟΥΘΕΤΕΙΝ <i>setting sense</i>
νουθετητικός	instructive [about]	ΝΟΥΘΕΤΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of setting mind</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἄγριος <i>(η, ον)</i>	wild	ΑΓΡΙΟΣ <i>field</i>
τό ἄγριον τά ἄγρια	the wild	ΑΓΡΙΟΝ <i>field</i>

τὸ ζῶον τὰ ζῶα	living being	ΖΩΙΟΝ ΖΩΟΝ <i>animal</i>
καθαίρειν	to clarify	ΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleansing</i>
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον ἐξ ἀνάγκης	what has been fully generated out of necessity	what = <i>Movement,</i> <i>which results in</i>
τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον μὴ ἐξ ἀνάγκης	what has been fully generated not out of necessity	<i>Action,</i> <i>which results in</i> <i>[dispositional]</i> <i>Development</i>
συμπλέκειν	to entangle with	ΣΥΜΠΛΕΚΕΙΝ <i>weaving together</i>
συμπλέκων	entangling	ΣΥΜΠΛΕΚΩΝ <i>weaving together</i>
ὀρθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	right	ΟΡΘΟΣ <i>straight upright</i>
ἐπανορθωτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	corrective	ΕΠΑΝΟΡΘΩΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>straight upright</i> <i>again upon</i>
ἀπλῶς	absolutely	ἈΠΛΩΣ <i>one fold</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἀντιποιητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	contradictory	ΑΝΤΙΠΟΙΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>gathering against</i>

We help and correct only those who take responsibility for their actions.

We also recognize that some living beings are not able to take responsibility for their actions – therefore we do not vainly try to change or correct their behavior.

25.34 Self-Development

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 108

...{νημένον ἤδη γίνεσθ[αι τ]οῖα ἢ τοῖα καὶ τὰ ἐκ τοῦ περ[ιέχον]τος [κατ'] ἀνάγκ[ην δι]ὰ τ[ῶ]ν πό[ρων] εἰσρέο[ν]τα [πι]αρ'
ἢ[μ]ᾶς [πο]τε [γί]γγ[εσθαι κ]αὶ π[αρ]ᾶ [τὰς ἡμετέρας ἐξ] ἡμῶν α[ύτῶν δόξας. κ]αὶ εἰ [παρὰ τὴν φύσιν]}...

{same as text below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 3 (fr. A 51 fin.)

...{ὥστε παρ' ἡμᾶ[ς ποθ'] ἀπ[λ]ῶς τὸ [ἀ]πο[γ]ε[γενν]η[μέν]ον ἤδη γείνεσ[θαι] το[ῖ]α [ἢ] το[ο]ῖα κα[ὶ] τὰ ἐκ τ[οῦ π]εριέχ[ον]τος
κ[α]τ' ἀν[άγκ]ην διὰ τοὺς πό[ρους] εἰσρ[έον]τα παρ' ἡμᾶς π[ο]τε γε[ίνε]σθαι καὶ παρὰ τ[ὰς] ἡμε[τέρας] [ἐξ] ἡμῶν αὐτ[ῶν]
δόξ[ας, καὶ] εἰ παρὰ τὴν φ[ύ]σιν}...

{same as text below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 21 (fr. B 43)

...ἀπ[λ]ῶς τῆς πρώτης ἀρχῆς σπέρμ[ατα ἡμῖν ἀγ]ωγά, τὰ μὲν εἰς τάδ[ε] τὰ δ' εἰς τάδε τὰ δ' εἰς ἄμφω ταῦτά [ἐ]στιν αἰεὶ [καὶ]
πράξ[ε]ων [καὶ] διανοήσεων καὶ διαθέ[σε]ων καὶ πλεί[ω] καὶ ἐλάττω. {ὥστε παρ' ἡμᾶς πρῶτον ἀπλῶς τὸ ἀπογεγενν[η]νόμενον
ἤδη γείνεσθαι, [τ]οῖα ἢ τοῖα, καὶ τ[ὰ] ἐκ τοῦ περιέχοντος κ[α]τ' ἀνάγκην διὰ τοὺς πό[ρους] εἰσρέο[ν]τα [παρ'] ἡμᾶς π[ο]τε
γε[ίνε]σθαι καὶ παρὰ τ[ὰς] ἡμε[τέρας] [ἐξ] ἡμῶν αὐτ[ῶν] δόξ[ας, καὶ] εἰ παρὰ τὴν φ[ύ]σιν}...

...ἔκ τε τῆς πρώτης ἀρχῆς, Σπέρματα ἡμῖν ἀγωγά, Τὰ μὲν εἰς τάδε, Τὰ δ' εἰς τάδε,
Τὰ δ' εἰς ἄμφω Ταῦτά ἐστιν αἰεὶ καὶ ^[1] πράξεων καὶ ^[2] διανοήσεων καὶ ^[3]
διαθέσεων, καὶ πλείω καὶ ἐλάττω. ὥστε, παρ' ἡμᾶς πρῶτον ἀπλῶς τὸ
Ἀπογεγεννημένον ἤδη γείνεσθαι – τοῖα ἢ τοῖα: καὶ τὰ ἐκ τοῦ περιέχοντος κατ'
ἀνάγκην διὰ τοὺς πόρους Εἰσρέοντα παρ' ἡμᾶς, ποτε γείνεσθαι καὶ παρὰ τὰς
ἡμετέρας ἐξ ἡμῶν αὐτῶν δόξας. καὶ εἰ παρὰ τὴν φύσιν...

...from [our] primary foundation, Seeds are always leading us, Some [seeds] into these, Some into those, and Other [seeds] into both [types] of ^[1] activities and ^[2] [mental] perceptions and ^[3] [dispositional] arrangements, [some] more, [some] less. therefore [it is necessary that] what [habitual action] has been fully generated – [characteristics] such as this or such as that – is already produced first ABSOLUTELY FROM US: and what [seeds] Flow into us from what surrounds [us] by necessity through [our] passageways at some point is also produced by us FROM OUR JUDGMENTS. and if contrary to nature...

[Sedley 20 C.1] From the very outset we always have seeds: some directing us towards these, some towards those, some towards these and those actions and thoughts and characters, in greater and smaller numbers. Consequently that which we develop – characteristics of this or that kind – is at first absolutely up to us; and the things which of necessity flow in through our passages from that which surrounds us are at one stage up to us and depend upon beliefs of our own making. If against the nature...

πρῶτος <i>(ἡ, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation <i>[in time]</i>	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
τὸ σπέρμα τὰ σπέρματα	seed	ΣΠΕΡΜΑ <i>seed</i>
ἡ συναγωγή αἱ συναγωγαί	gathering	ΣΥΝΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going together</i>
ἡ ἄγωγή αἱ ἄγωγαί	guidance	ΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going</i>
ἀγωγός <i>(ός, όν)</i>	leading	ΑΓΩΓΟΣ <i>going</i>
τά ἄμφω	the pair	ΑΜΦΩ <i>both</i>
αἰώνιος <i>(αἰωνιά, αἰώνιον)</i>	perpetual <i>(moving, multiple)</i>	ΑΙΩΝΙΟΣ <i>characterized by being forever</i>
αἰῖδιος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	eternal <i>(static, singular)</i>	Αἰῖδιος <i>characterized by forever [heavenly]</i>
ἀεὶ	always	ΑΕΙ <i>forever</i>
ἡ πράξις αἱ πράξεις	activity	ΠΡΑΞΙΣ <i>action</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	<i>[mental]</i> perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ἡ διάθεσις αἱ διαθέσεις	arrangement	ΔΙΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing through</i>
πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ἐλάττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	smaller / lesser	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>lesser</i>
ἀπογεγεννημένος <i>(ἡ, ον)</i>	having been fully generated	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>generated out</i>
τὸ ἀπογεγεννημένον τὰ ἀπογεγεννημένα	what has been fully generated	ΑΠΟΓΕΓΕΝΝΗΜΕΝΟΝ
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
τοῖος <i>(τοῖᾱ, τοῖον)</i>	like <i>(such)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
περιέχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ <i>holding around</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	<i>[natural]</i> necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
τὸ ῥεῦμα τὰ ῥεύματα	flow	ῬΕΥΜΑ <i>result of flowing</i>

ῥεῖν	to flow	ῥΕΙΝ <i>flowing</i>
εἰσρέων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	flowing into	ΕΙΣΡΕΩΝ
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>

There are external physical influences upon the development of our character – nevertheless we do have the ability to control these influences and change our character.

Epicurus argues that, because we begin with a wide range of seeds (σπέρματα) for potential character traits, our actual development is not predetermined but can be decided upon from our own judgments (ἐξ ἡμῶν αὐτῶν δόξας).

25.35 [Character, Passageways]

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 109

...τᾶ τὸμ φυσικὸν χα[ρακ]τῆρα ὁμοίως καὶ τοῖς τ[οιοῦ]τ[ο]ις κ[ενο]ῖς πόροις... ...[τ]ῶν αὐ[τ]ῶν ιδιοτή[των]... ...[ἐ]πὶ πάν[τ]ων...

...**τὸν φυσικὸν χαρακτήρα**, ὁμοίως καὶ **τοῖς τοιοῦτοις κενοῖς πόροις**... ...**τῶν αὐτῶν ιδιοτήτων**... ...**ἐπὶ πάντων**...

...**the natural character**, similarly even **to these empty passageways**... ... of the particularities... ...**in all**...

ὁ χαρακτήρ οἱ χαρακτῆρες	character	ΧΑΡΑΚΤΗΡ <i>engraving</i>
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
τὸ κενόν	void	ΚΕΝΟΝ <i>emptiness</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
ἡ ιδιότης αἱ ιδιότητες	particularity	ΙΔΙΟΤΗΣ <i>particular</i>

25.36 Overcoming Natural Dispositions

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 4 (fr. A 52 ^{sup.})

...{νου[θετ]εῖν τ' ἀλλήλους καὶ μ[άχεσθ]αι καὶ μετα[ρυσθ]μίζειν [ὥς ἐχ]οντας καὶ ἐν ἐα[υ]τοῖς [τῇ]ν αἰτίαν καὶ οὐχὶ ἐν τῇι [ἐ]ξ ἄρ[χῆς] μόνον συστάσε[ι κ]αὶ ἐν [τῇι τ]οῦ περιέχοντ[ος] καὶ ἐπει[σιό]ντος κατὰ τὸ αὐτόματον [ἀ]νάγκη... εἰ γάρ τις καὶ τῷ [νο]υθετεῖν καὶ τῷ νουθετεῖσθ[αι] τήν κατὰ τὸ αὐ[τόματo]ν [ἀ]νάγκ[η]ν [π]ρο[σ]τιθε[ί]ναι καὶ τοῦ [πο]θ' ἑαυτῷ[ι] ὑπά[ρχοντος]}... ...{συ]νιέναι...

{same as text below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 22 (fr. B 44), et alia.

...εσθήκει ὧν οὐ [δῆ ᾧ]πολείπει τὰ πάθη τοῦ γίνε[σθαι, τὸ] {νουθε[τ]εῖν τε ἀλλήλους καὶ μάχε[σθ]αι καὶ μεταρυσμίζειν ὥς ἔχοντας καὶ ἐν ἑαυτοῖς τήν αἰτίαν καὶ οὐχὶ ἐν τῇι ἐξ ἀρχῆς μόνον συστάσει καὶ ἐν τῇι τοῦ περιέχοντος καὶ ἐπεισιόντος κατὰ τὸ αὐτόματον ἀνάγκ[η]ι. εἰ γάρ τις καὶ τῷ νουθετεῖν καὶ τῷ νουθετεῖσθαι τήν κατὰ τὸ αὐ[τό]μα[τ]ον ἀνάγκην προστι[θεί]ναι ἀεὶ τοῦ [τό]θ' ἑαυτῷ[ι] ὑπάρχον[ντος]}, μὴ οὐ[χ]ί πο[τε] δύν[ηται ταύτ]η [συ]νιέναι...

...Ἐσθήκει – **ῶν**, οὐδέ ἀπολείπει τὰ Πάθη τοῦ γίνεσθαι: **τὸ** ^[1] **νουθετεῖν τε ἀλλήλους** καὶ ^[2] **μάχεσθαι** καὶ ^[3] **μεταρυσμίζειν ὥς ἔχοντας καὶ ἐν ἑαυτοῖς τήν αἰτίαν** – καὶ οὐχὶ ἐν τῇ **ἐξ ἀρχῆς** μόνον **συστάσει** καὶ ἐν τῇ τοῦ περιέχοντος καὶ ἐπεισιόντος – **κατὰ τὸ αὐτόματον ἀνάγκη**

...[against the false conclusion that one's innate composition already] has been set in place – from which [innate composition], the Experiences of what [automatic mental motion] is produced do not leave out: [we can demonstrate that there is] the ^[1] instructing of one another and ^[2] contending and ^[3] readjusting as though also having WITHIN OURSELVES the cause [of volitional movement] – and NOT ONLY [having the cause of movement] in [our] composition from its foundation and in the [composition] of the surrounding [environment] and of what enters into [us] – according to automation by necessity

[Sedley 20 C.2] <and we can invoke, against the argument that our eventual choice between these alternatives must be physically caused either by our initial make-up or by those environmental influences> by which we never cease to be affected, the fact that we ^[1] rebuke, ^[2] oppose and ^[3] reform each other as if the responsibility lay also in ourselves, and not just in our congenital make-up and in the accidental necessity of that which surrounds and penetrates us.

εἰ γὰρ Τις καὶ – τῷ νουθετεῖν καὶ τῷ νουθετεῖσθαι – τὴν κατὰ τὸ αὐτόματον ἀνάγκην προστιθείη ἀεὶ τοῦ τόθ' ἑαυτῷ ὑπάρχοντος: μὴ οὐχὶ ποτε Δύναιται ταύτη συνιέναι...

for if Someone – to both [the actions of] instructing and being instructed – should always add the [natural] necessity of what exists for oneself at that time according to what is automatic: He would never in this way be able to put it together [i.e., the determinist's theory will never be consistent with his actions]...

[Sedley 20 C.3] For if someone were to attribute – to the very processes of rebuking and being rebuked – the accidental necessity of whatever happens to be present to oneself at the time, I'm afraid that he can never in this way understand <his own behavior in continuing the debate>

ἴστασθαι	to be placed upright	ἸΣΤΑΣΘΑΙ standing
στη̐ναι	to stand [already]	ΣΤΗΝΑΙ standing
ἐστάναι	to have set in place [already]	ἙΣΤΑΝΑΙ setting up
λείπειν	to leave	ΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ leaving
ἀπολείπειν	to leave out	ΑΠΟΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ leaving away/out
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[n] experience (feeling)	ΠΑΘΟΣ enduring
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
νουθετεῖν	to instruct [about]	ΝΟΥΘΕΤΕΙΝ setting sense
νουθετεῖσθαι	to be instructed [about]	ΝΟΥΘΕΤΕΙΣΘΑΙ setting mind
ἡ ἀλληλουχία αἱ ἀλληλουχίαι	interconnectedness	ΑΛΛΗΛΟΥΧΙΑ holding each other
^[no nominative] ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ each other
μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ combating
μεταρρυθμίζειν	to readjust	ΜΕΤΑΡΥΘΜΙΖΕΙΝ change ordering
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ responsibility
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ first order
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ standing together
περιέχων ^(ουσα, ον)	surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ holding around

ἐπεισιών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	entering into	ΕΠΕΙΣΙΩΝ <i>going upon</i>
αὐτόματος <i>(ή, ον)</i>	automatic	ΑΥΤΟΜΑΤΟΣ <i>self thinking</i>
τὸ αὐτόματον τὰ αὐτόματα	what is automatic	ΑΥΤΟΜΑΤΟΝ <i>self thinking</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	^[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against</i> <i>constraint</i>
κατὰ τὸ αὐτόματον ἀνάγκη	according to automation by necessity	<i>i.e.,</i> <i>"Determinism"</i>
Part 2		
συντιθέναι	to mutually agree	ΣΥΝΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing together</i>
προστιθέναι	to add	ΠΡΟΣΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing toward</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	existing ^[already]	ὙΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
συνιέναι	to put it together ^{i.e. understand}	ΣΥΝΙΕΝΑΙ <i>sending together</i>

Democritus' reductionist atomism led to both skepticism and mechanistic determinism: his belief that everything could be explained by the interactions of atoms led to the idea that events are predetermined by these interactions, leaving no room for free will – this mechanistic view also fostered skepticism, as it suggested the movements of the atoms alone were real and what we sense was too subjective and inconsistent to be true.

25.37 Inconsistency of Determinists

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 4 (fr. A 52 fin.)

...[συ]νιέναι [μεμφόμενος ἢ ἐπαινῶν], ἀλλ' [εἰ μέ]ν το[ῦτο πράττοι, τ]ὸ μέ[ν ἔργον ἂν εἴη καταλεί]πον ὃ [ἐφ' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν κατὰ τήν τ]ῇ[ς αἰτίας πρόληψιν]

{overlaps with fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 110

...[μεμ]φόμενος ἢ ἐπαινῶν. ἀλλ' ε[ἰ] μέν τοῦτο πράττοι, τὸ [αὐτὸ] ἔργ[ο]ν ἂν εἴη [κ]ατα[λεί]πον ὃ ἐφ' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν [ποιεῖ / κατὰ] τήν τῆς αἰτίας πρό[λη]ψιν, ἐν ᾧ οὐ μέν τὸ {δό[γμα] / δ' ὁ[νο]μ[α]}... μετατεθε[ῖ]μένο[ς]...

...μεμφόμενος ἢ ἐπαινῶν. ἀλλ' εἰ μέν **τοῦτο** Πράττοι, **τὸ αὐτὸ ἔργον** ἂν Εἴη – Καταλείπων **“Ο ἐφ' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν Ποιεῖ τήν τῆς αἰτίας πρόληψιν: ἐν ᾧ, οὐ μέν τὸ δόγμα... μετατεθειμένος...**

...[He may even perceive his self-refutation while he is] blaming or praising. but if He were to accomplish **this**, It would be **the same task** – [and] He would be leaving undisputed ***What establishes the anticipation of the cause of our own [movement]: in which [case]**, having changed for himself **at one point** [his use of **his**] **teaching [that relates to natural necessity]**...

** i.e., our sense of our own volition affecting our movement and thoughts*

[Sedley 20 C.4] «He may simply choose to maintain his thesis while in practice continuing to» blame or praise. But if he were to act in this way he would be leaving intact the very same behavior which as far as our own selves are concerned creates the preconception of our own responsibility. And **in that** he would **at one point** be altering his theory, at another...

[O'Keefe] «*He may simply choose to maintain his thesis while in practice continuing to*» blame or praise. But if he were to act in this way he would be leaving intact the very same behavior which as far as our own selves are concerned creates the preconception of our own responsibility. And *we would understand* (*έννοοῦμεν for ἐν ᾧ οὐ μὲν*) that the theory was altered

αἰτιᾶσθαι	to blame	ΑΙΤΙΑΣΘΑΙ <i>responsibility</i>
κακίζειν	to reproach	ΚΑΚΙΖΕΙΝ <i>bad</i>
μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>combating</i>
μέμφεσθαι	to blame	ΜΕΜΦΕΣΘΑΙ <i>finding fault with</i>
μεμφόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	blaming	ΜΕΜΦΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>finding fault with</i>
ἐπαινεῖν	to praise	ΕΠΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>praising upon</i>
ἐπαινῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	praising	
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly leave</i>
καταλείπων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	leaving undisputed	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΩΝ <i>thoroughly leaving</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
τό δόγμα τά δόγματα	teaching	ΔΟΓΜΑ <i>seeming</i>
συντιθέναι	to mutually agree	ΣΥΝΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing together</i>
προστιθέναι	to add	ΠΡΟΣΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing toward</i>
μετατιθέναι	to change [one's use of]	ΜΕΤΑΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing change</i>
μετατεθειμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having changed {for oneself} [one's use of]	ΜΕΤΑΤΕΘΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>placed change</i>

25.38 Self-Refuting Determinism

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 12

...{ὁ τοιοῦτος λόγος τρέπεται καὶ οὐδέποτε δύναται βεβαιῶσαι – ὥς ἐστιν τοια τα πάντα <τὰ κατ' ἀ>νάγκην καλ[ο]ύμενα, ἀλλὰ μάχεται τινι περ[ὶ αὐ] τοῦ τ[οῦ]τ[ο]υ ὥς δι' ἐαυτὸν ἀβελ[τερ]ευομένωι. κ[ἀ]ν εἰς ἅπε[ρ]ιν φῆι [πάλιν] γ [κατ' ἀνάγκην]}...

{A 53 below is an expanded fragment}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 23 (fr. B 45)

...{το[σ]α[ύ]της πλάνης... περικά[τω] γὰρ ὁ τοιοῦτος λόγος τρέπετα[ι] καὶ οὐδέποτε δύναται βεβαιῶσαι ὥς ἐστι τοιαῦτα πάντα οἷα τὰ κατ' ἀνάγκην καλοῦμεν, ἀλλὰ μάχεται τινι περ[ὶ] αὐτ[ο]ῦ τοῦτου ὥς δι[τ] ἐαυτὸν ἀβελτ[ε]ρευομένωι. κἂν εἰς ἅπειρον φῆ πάλιν κατ' ἀ[νά]γκην τοῦτο πράττειν ἀπὸ λόγων αἰεῖ, οὐ[κ ἐ]πιλογίζεται ἐν τῷ εἰς ἐαυτὸν τὴν αἰτίαν [ἀνάπ]τειν}...

{A 54 below is an expanded fragment}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 112 | P.Herc. 697 col. 4 (fr. A 53)

...{τοι[αύτ]ης πλάνης. περικάτ[ω] γάρ {ὁ τοιοῦτος λόγος τρέπεται, καὶ οὐδέποτε δύναται βεβαιῶσαι ὥς ἔστιν τοιαῦτα πάντα οἷα τὰ κατ' ἀνάγκην καλούμενα. ἀλλὰ μάχεταί τινι περὶ αὐτοῦ τούτου ὥς δι' ἑαυτοῦ ἀβελτερευομένῳ. κἂν εἰς ἄπειρον φῃ πάλιν κατ' ἀνάγκην} τοῦτο πράττειν ἀπὸ λόγων αἰεὶ, οὐκ ἐπιλογίζεται ἐν τῷ εἰς ἑαυτὸν τὴν αἰτίαν ἀνά[π]τειν} τοῦ κα[τὰ τ]ρόπον λελογίσθαι εἰς δὲ τὸν ἀμφισβητοῦντα τοῦ μὴ κατὰ τρόπον. εἰ δὲ μὴ ᾗ πο[ιεῖ] ἀπολήγοι [εἰ]ς ἑαυτὸ[v], ἀλλ' εἰς τὴν [ἀ]νάγ[κην] τ[ι]θεῖη, [ο]ὐδ' ἂν...

...τοιαύτης πλάνης. περικάτω γὰρ ὁ τοιοῦτος Λόγος τρέπεται – καὶ οὐδέποτε δύναται βεβαιῶσαι ὥς ἔστιν Τοιαῦτα πάντα οἷα τὰ "κατ' ἀνάγκην" καλούμενα. ἀλλὰ Μάχεταί τινι περὶ αὐτοῦ τούτου, ὥς δι' ἑαυτοῦ ἀβελτερευομένῳ!

...of such an error. for Reasoning like this is turned upside down – and is never able to affirm that ALL such Things exist as those things which are called "according to necessity." instead, He contends with some [opponent] about this very [issue], as if [that opponent] through himself is being obstinate!

[Sedley 20 C.5] ...such error. For this sort of account is self-refuting, and can never prove that everything is of the kind called 'necessitated' – but he debates this very question on the assumption that his opponent is himself responsible for talking nonsense.

κἂν εἰς ἄπειρον Φῃ πάλιν κατ' ἀνάγκην τοῦτο πράττειν ἀπὸ λόγων αἰεὶ, οὐκ ἔπιλογίζεται ἐν τῷ εἰς ἑαυτὸν τὴν αἰτίαν ἀνάπτειν τοῦ κατὰ τρόπον λελογίσθαι εἰς δὲ τὸν ἀμφισβητοῦντα τοῦ μὴ κατὰ τρόπον. εἰ δὲ μὴ ᾗ ποιεῖ Ἀπολήγοι εἰς ἑαυτὸν, ἀλλ' εἰς τὴν ἀνάγκην Τιθεῖη, οὐδ' ἂν...

and even if He infinitely asserts again from [his logical] reasoning that he always does this by necessity, He does not inductively consider [the fact that he attributes] in [the act of] fastening onto himself the cause of reasoning ACCORDING TO a [proper] way, but onto [his] mutual opponent [the cause] of [reasoning] NOT ACCORDING TO a [proper] way. and if He would not stop from [attributing] what he does to himself, but instead He would assign [his actions] to [natural] necessity, [he] would not even...

[Sedley 20 C.6-7] And even if he goes on to infinity saying that this action of his is in turn necessitated, always appealing to arguments, he is not reasoning it empirically – so long as he goes on imputing to himself the responsibility for having reasoned correctly and to his opponent that for having reasoned incorrectly. But unless he were to stop attributing his action to himself and to pin it on necessity instead, he would not even <be consistent>...

ἡ πλάνη αἱ πλάναι	error	ΠΛΑΝΗ roaming
περικάτω	upside down	ΠΕΡΙΚΑΤΩ around below
τοιοῦτος (τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ this of this sort
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]
διατρέπειν	to refuse	ΔΙΑΤΡΕΠΕΙΝ turning thoroughly
τρέπειν	to turn	ΤΡΕΠΕΙΝ turning
οὐδεὶς (οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)	no one	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ not even one
οὐδέποτε	never	ΟΥΔΕΠΟΤΕ not even at some point
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ power
βεβαιοῦν	to affirm	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΥΝ firm standing
τὸ τοιοῦτον τὰ τοιαῦτα	what is like this (such a thing)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΝ this of this sort
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ up against constraint
καλεῖν	to call	ΚΑΛΕΙΝ summoning

καλούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being called	ΚΑΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>summoning</i>
μάχεσθαι	to contend	ΜΑΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>combating</i>
βελτίων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ <i>greater</i>
άβελτερεύειν	to be obstinate	ΑΒΕΛΤΕΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>not seeking better</i>
άβελτερευόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being obstinate	ΑΒΕΛΤΕΡΕΥΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>not seeking better</i>
<i>I cannot find this word anywhere else</i>		
ἄπειρος <i>(ον)</i>	infinite	ΑΠΕΙΡΟΣ <i>not limited</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
πάλιν	again	ΠΑΛΙΝ <i>back</i>
ή ανάγκη αί ανάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of reasoning</i> <i>action upon</i>
ἐπιλογίζεσθαι	to inductively consider	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>process of reasoning</i> <i>action upon</i>
ή αίτία αί αίτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ἀνάπτειν	to fasten to {or, "to ignite"}	ΑΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly fastening</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
λογίζεσθαι	to reason	ΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>action of collecting</i>
λελογίσθαι	to have reasoned	ΛΕΛΟΓΙΣΘΑΙ <i>reasoned action</i>
τά ἄμφω <i>(dual)</i>	the pair	ΑΜΦΩ <i>both</i>
ή σβένσινς = ή σβέσινς	extinguishing	ΣΒΕΝΣΙΣ ΣΒΕΣΙΣ <i>cancelling</i>
ἀμφοισβητεῖν	to mutually oppose	ΑΜΦΙΣΒΗΤΕΙΝ <i>cancelling</i> <i>both [sides]</i>
ἀμφοισβητῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	mutually opposing	
ὁ ἀμφοισβητῶν οἱ ἀμφοισβητοῦντες	mutual opponent	ΑΜΦΙΣΒΗΤΩΝ <i>cancelling</i> <i>both [sides]</i>
ἀπολήγειν	to stop from	ΑΠΟΛΗΓΕΙΝ <i>leaving off</i> <i>away from</i>

Determinists often defend their actions by claiming they are compelled to behave in a certain way. These determinists might view their attitudes as necessary, but this does not prevent their self-refutation.

...εἰ τὸ δι' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν καλούμενον τῷ τῆς ἀνάγκης ὀνόματι προσαγ[ο]ρεύων [δ]νομα μὴ[ν]ομ μετατίθετα[ι] μη δ' ἐπιδίξει ὅτι τοιοῦτό τι ᾧ μοχθηρ[ῖ] εἰσι τύποι προειλ[η]φότες τὸ δι' ἡμῶ[ν] αὐ[τῶν] αἴτιον καλ[ο]ῦμεν, οὐτ' ἰδ[ι]...

...εἰ, τὸ δι' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν καλούμενον τῷ τῆς "ἀνάγκης" ὀνόματι προσαγορεύων, ὄνομα μόνον Μετατίθεται, μη δ' Ἐπιδείξει ὅτι τοιοῦτό τι: ᾧ μοχθηρὶ εἰσι Τύποι προειληφότες – τὸ δι' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν αἴτιον Καλοῦμεν. οὐτ' ἰδι...

...[but] if he is referring, with the word "necessity," to what [action] is being invoked by us ourselves, [then] He is only changing the name, but He is NOT [actually] exhibiting that it is such a [circumstance]: in which [our] anticipated Impressions are in a wretched [state] – [specifically the anticipated impressions] which We call responsible [for the actions we take] by us ourselves. and [his] particular [actions will] not [change]...*

* i.e., our anticipation [i.e., our mental impression before active thought] of our own agency is accurate. Merely changing the word used to refer to this agency does not demonstrate that these anticipated imprints are incorrect – neither does it lead to any practical change in one's actions.

[Sedley 20 C.8] <on the other hand> if in using the word 'necessity' of that which we call our own agency he is merely changing a name, and won't prove that we have a preconception of a kind which has faulty delineations when we call our own agency responsible, neither his own <behavior nor that of others will be affected>...

καλεῖν	to call	ΚΑΛΕΙΝ summoning
καλούμενος (η, ον)	being called	ΚΑΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ summoning
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ up against constraint
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ name
τὸ τῆς ἀνάγκης ὄνομα	the name of necessity, the word 'necessity'	
προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ speaking publicly toward
προσαγορεύων	referring to [as]	
μετατιθέναι	to change [one's use of]	ΜΕΤΑΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ placing change
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ giving away
δεικνύναι	to prove	ΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ showing
ἐπιδεικνύναι	to exhibit	ΕΠΙΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ showing upon
τοιοῦτος (τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ this of this sort
μοχθηρός (ή, όν)	wretched	ΜΟΧΘΗΡΟΣ hardship
ὁ τύπος οἱ τύποι	impression	ΤΥΠΟΣ imprinting
προλαμβάνειν	to anticipate	ΠΡΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ grasping before
προειληφώς (υῖα, ός)	anticipated [i.e., prior to active thinking]	ΠΡΟΕΙΛΗΦΩΣ before having grasped
	[perfect active participle taken here as implicit passive]	
τύποι προειληφότες	anticipated impressions	
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ responsibility
αἴτιος	responsible	ΑΙΤΙΟΣ responsibility

ἴδιος (α, ον)	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
-------------------------	------------	-----------------------------------

Epicurus argues that determinism is not a meaningful explanation of the world. We all sense of our own agency. When determinists apply the wording of 'necessity' to human actions: this does not provide any new understanding – it is merely a change IN THE INDICATION (i.e., wording) and not a change in WHAT IS BEING INDICATED.

25.40 False Equivocation of Determinists

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 24 (fr. B 46)

...{δὲ' ἀνάγκην καλεῖ[τῖ]ν πρ[ὸς] ὧν φατε... ἂν δὲ μή τις [τῖ]οὔτ[ο ἅ]π[ο]δείξει μὴδ' ἔχει ἡμῶν [τῖ] συνεργὸν μὴδ' ὄρμημα ἀπο[τῖ]ρέπειν ὧν καλοῦντες δι' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν τὴν αἰτίαν συντελοῦμεν ἅ[λλ]᾽ ἀπ[᾽]αὐτῶν θ' ὅσα νῦν δι' ἡμῶν α[ὐτῶν ὄνο]μάζοντες τὴν αἰτία[ν πως προ]θ[υ]μούμεθα πράττε[ιν κ]ατ[᾽] ἅ[χ]ώραν ἀνάγκην [προ]ο[σα]γορεῦ[ύ]ω[ν ὄνο]μα μόνον ἀμ[ε]ί[ψ]ει[ι]}...

{1191 below is an expanded fragment}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 4 (fr. A 54)

...{ἀνάγκην προσαγορεύων, ὄνομα μόνον ἀμείψει, ἔργον δ' οὐθὲν ἡμῶν μετακοσμήσει, ὥσπερ ἐπ' ἐνίων ὁ συνορῶν τὰ ποῖα κατ' ἀνάγκην ἐστίν, ἀποτρέπειν εἴωθε τοὺς προθυμουμένους παρὰ βίαν τι πρ]άττειν. ζητήσῃ δ' ἡ διάνοια εὐρεῖν τὸ ποῖο[ν οἷ]ν τι δεῖ νομίζε[ιν] τὸ ἐξ [ἡμ]ῶν αὐτῶ[ν πως [πρ]αττόμενον τῇ προθυμ[ίαι τοῦ πράτ]τειν. οὐ γὰρ ἔχει ἄλ[λ' οὐθὲν]} πρ[ὸ]ἀττ[ε]ι[ν] ἢ φάν[α]ι...

{1191 below is an expanded fragment}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 115/116

...γενέσθαι, ἀλ[λὰ κε]νὸν [καί] τὸ {δὲ' ἀνάγκην καλ[εῖ]ν προ[ὸ]ς ὧν φάτε. ἂν δὲ μ[ὴ] τις τοῦτο ἀποδείξει, μὴδ' ἔχει ἡμῶν [τῖ] συνεργὸν μὴδ' ὄρμημα ἀπο[τῖ]ρέπειν ὧν καλοῦντες δι' ἡμῶν αὐτῶν τὴν αἰτίαν συντελοῦμεν, ἀλλὰ πάντα ὅσ[α] νῦν δι' ἡμῶν αὐ[τῶ]ν ὀνομάζοντες τὴν αἰτίαν [εἶναι διαβ]ε[βα]ιούμεθα πράττε[ιν] κατὰ χώραν {ἀνάγκην προσαγορεύων, ὄνομα μόνον ἀμείψει.} ἔργον δ' οὐδὲν ἡμῶν μετακοσμήσει, ὥσπερ ἐπ' ἐνίων ὁ συνορῶν τὰ ποῖα κατ' ἀνάγκην ἐστίν ἀποτρέπειν εἴωθε τοὺς προθυμουμένους παρὰ βίαν τι πρ[ὸ]άττειν. ζητήσῃ δ' ἡ διάνοια εὐρεῖν τὸ ποῖον [οἷ]ν τι δεῖ νομί[ζε]ιν τὸ ἐξ ἡ[μ]ῶν αὐτῶ[ν πως [πρ]αττόμενον [μὴ] προθυμ[ουμένων πράτ]τειν. οὐ γὰρ ἔχει ἄλ[λο τι οὐθὲν]} εἰ μὴ φά[ναι] τὸ ποῖον [κατ' ἀνάγκην] ἐσ[τῖ]...

...γενέσθαι. ἀλλὰ κενὸν καὶ τὸ δι' "ἀνάγκην" Καλεῖν πρὸς ὧν φάτε. ἂν δὲ μή Τις τοῦτο ἀποδείξει – μὴδ' ἔχει ^[1] ἡμῶν τι συνεργὸν μὴδ' ^[2] ὄρμημα ἀποτρέπειν ὧν, καλοῦντες δι' "ἡμῶν αὐτῶν," τὴν αἰτίαν Συντελοῦμεν – ἀλλὰ πάντα ὅσα νῦν δι' "ἡμῶν αὐτῶν" ὀνομάζοντες "τὴν αἰτίαν" εἶναι Διαβεβαιούμεθα πράττειν κατὰ χώραν. "ἀνάγκην" προσαγορεύων – ὄνομα μόνον Ἀμείψει

...are *[already]* produced. but, from what you assert, what [you] Call "by necessity" *[is]* also EMPTY.* and if One cannot fully prove this *[theory]* – he has neither *[the ability]* to dissuade ^[1] something of ours *[that is internally]* cooperative nor any ^[2] impulse of what, *[while we are]* calling "by us ourselves," We mutually fulfill as the cause *[of movement]* – but *[with us]* using the word "cause" We thoroughly affirm that we accomplish BY US OURSELVES all that *[movement that]* now exists in *[our own]* realm. while he is naming *[this volitional movement as]* "necessity" – He will only be replacing a name

**i.e., The term "necessity", when used to mean "all-encompassing natural automation," is purely a logical construct with no physical basis. As a label, it attempts to denote something that does not actually exist.*

On the other hand, using the word "necessity" for our volitional movement is a misapplication of the word for what does exist.

[Sedley 20 C.9] ...but even to call necessitation empty as a result of your claim. If someone won't explain this, and has no auxiliary element or impulse in us which he might dissuade from those actions which we perform, calling the responsibility for them 'our own agency' – but is giving the name of foolish necessity to all the things which we claim to do calling the responsibility for them 'our own agency' – he will merely be changing a name.

ἔργον δ' οὐδὲν ἡμῶν Μετακοσμήσει – ὥσπερ, ἐπ' ἐνίων, Ὁ συνορῶν τὰ ποῖα κατ' ἀνάγκην ἐστίν, ἀποτρέπειν εἴωθε τοὺς προθυμουμένους παρὰ βίαν τι πράττειν.

...[by changing what he refers to by using the word "necessity"] He will rearrange no [actual] task of ours [i.e., he will not change the reality of our volitional movements] – just as, in case for some [people], One who fully sees what kinds [of movements] DO EXIST BY NECESSITY, has been accustomed to dissuade those eager to accomplish something AGAINST THE FORCE [OF THIS NECESSITY].

[Sedley 20 C.10] He will not be modifying any of our actions in the way in which, in some cases, the man who sees what sort of actions are necessitated regularly dissuades those who desire to do something in the face of compulsion.

ζητήσει δ' ἡ Διάνοια εὐρεῖν τὸ ποῖον οὗν τι Δεῖ νομίζειν τὸ ἐξ ἡμῶν αὐτῶν πως πραττόμενον, μὴ Προθυμουμένων πράττειν! οὐ γὰρ ἔχει ἄλλο τι οὐθὲν εἰ μὴ φάναι τὸ ποῖον κατ' ἀνάγκην ἐστί...

but [our mental] Perception will seek to discover what kind of thing, then, It is necessary to think of as being accomplished somehow from us ourselves, while [we] are not eager to act!* for He has nothing else except to assert what kind of [movement] exists by necessity...

*i.e., It is inconceivable that we can willingly act against our will

[Sedley 20 C.11] And the mind will be inquisitive to learn what sort of action it should then consider that one to be which we perform in some way because of us ourselves but without desiring to. For he has no alternative but to say what sort of action is necessitated, <and what is not>...

γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
γενέσθαι	to be produced [already]	ΓΕΝΕΣΘΑΙ came into being
τὸ κενόν	void	ΚΕΝΟΝ emptiness
κενός (ῆ, όν)	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ emptiness
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ up against constraint
καλεῖν	to call	ΚΑΛΕΙΝ summoning
τὸ καλεῖν	a calling	
καλούμενος (η, ον)	being called	ΚΑΛΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ summoning
καλῶν (οὔσα, ῶν)	calling	ΚΑΛΩΝ crying out
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ speaking
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ giving away
ἐπιδεικνύναι	to exhibit	ΕΠΙΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ showing upon
δεικνύναι	to prove	ΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ showing
ἀποδεικνύναι	to fully prove	ΑΠΟΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ showing away
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ work
ὁ συνεργός οἱ συνεργοί	colleague	ΣΥΝΕΡΓΟΣ working together
συνεργός (ός, όν)	cooperative	ΣΥΝΕΡΓΟΣ working together
τρέπειν	to turn	ΤΡΕΠΕΙΝ turning

ἀποτρέπειν	to dissuade	ΑΠΟΤΡΕΠΕΙΝ <i>turning away</i>
συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
ὀνομάζειν	to use the word	ΟΝΟΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>naming</i>
ὀνομάζων	using the word	ΟΝΟΜΑΖΩΝ <i>naming</i>
βεβαιούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being affirmed	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>firm standing</i>
διαβεβαιοῦσθαι	to thoroughly affirm	ΔΙΑΒΕΒΑΙΟΥΣΘΑΙ <i>firm standing through</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
ἡ χώρα αἱ χῶραι	space	ΧΩΡΑ <i>location</i>
κατὰ χώραν	in [one's own] realm	
κατηγορεῖν	to fully indicate	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly speaking publicly</i>
προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
προσαγορευόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being referred to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
προσαγορεύων	referring to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΩΝ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
ἀμείβειν	to replace	ΑΜΕΙΒΕΙΝ <i>exchanging</i>
Part 2		
μετακοσμεῖν	to rearrange	ΜΕΤΑΚΟΣΜΕΙΝ <i>beyond/ change order</i>
ἔνιοι <i>(αι, α)</i>	some	ΕΝΙΟΙ <i>one</i>
συνορᾶν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>
συνορῶν <i>(ῶσα)</i>	fully seeing [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΩΝ <i>seen together</i>
ποῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	what kind of	ΠΟΙΟΣ <i>gathering</i>
τὸ ποῖον τὰ ποῖα	what kind of thing	ΠΟΙΟΝ
ἀποτρέπειν	to dissuade	ΑΠΟΤΡΕΠΕΙΝ <i>turning away</i>
εἰωθέναι	to be accustomed	ΕΙΩΘΕΝΑΙ <i>to set as one's own</i>
ἐνθυμεῖσθαι	to reflect upon	ΕΝΘΥΜΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>spirit in</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
προθυμεῖσθαι	to strive for	ΠΡΟΘΥΜΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>spirit forward</i>
προθυμούμενος	being eager [through oneself]	ΠΡΟΘΥΜΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>spirit forward</i>
ὁ προθυμούμενος οἱ προθυμοῦμενοι	one who is eager	ΠΡΟΘΥΜΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ
ὁ βιασμός οἱ βιασμοί	forcing	ΒΙΑΣΜΟΣ <i>process of violence</i>
ἡ παραβίασις αἱ παραβιάσεις	distortion	ΠΑΡΑΒΙΑΣΙΣ <i>violence alongside</i>

ἡ βία αἰ βίαι	force	BIA <i>violence</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
πραττόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being accomplished	ΠΡΑΤΤΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>doing / affair</i>
Part 3		
ζητεῖν	to seek	ΖΗΤΕΙΝ <i>seeking</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἰ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
εὕρισκειν	to discover	ΕΥΡΙΣΚΕΙΝ <i>finding</i>
ποῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	what kind of	ΠΟΙΟΣ <i>gathering</i>
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
νομίζειν	to think	NOMIZEIN <i>custom</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>

Determinists claim humans fundamentally lack an internal source of self-control. Consequently, determinists cannot – in a way that is consistent with their doctrine – expect their arguments to prevent humans from taking any actions.

25.41 The Great, Greatly Fallen

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 118

...{μάλιστα ἀδιανοήτων. ἂν δέ τις τοῦτο μὴ παραβιάζεται μηδ' αὖ ὃ ἐξελέγχει} γε ἡ ὃ εἰσφέρει πρᾶγμα ἐκτιθεῖ, φω[νῆ] μόνον ἀμίβεται, καθάπερ πάλοι θρυλῶ. οἱ δ' αἰτιολογήσαντες ἐξ ἀρ[χῆ]ς [ι]κ[αν]ῶς κ[αί ο]ύ μ[όνον τ]ῶμ πρ[ὸ]...

{added to fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 25 (fr. B 47.1)

...{μάλιστα ἀδιανοήτων. ἂν δέ τις τοῦτο μὴ παραβιάζεται, μηδ' αὖ ὃ ἐξελέγχει} | γ[ε] ἡ ὃ εἰσ[φ]ε[ί]ρε[ι] πρᾶγμα ἐκτιθε[ί]ται, φων[ῆ] μόνον ἀμείβεται, καθάπερ πάλοι θρυλῶ. οἱ δ' αἰτιολογήσαντες ἐξ ἀρχ[ῆ]ς ἱκανῶς, καὶ οὐ μόνον τῶν πρὸ | αὐτῶν πολὺ διενέγκαντες ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν ὕστερον πολλαπλ[α]σίως, ἔλαθον ἑαυτοῖς, καίπερ ἐν πολλοῖς μεγάλα κουφίσαντες, εἰς τὸ τῆ[ν] ἀνάγκην καὶ ταυτόματ[ο]ν πάντα αἰτιᾶσθαι

...μάλιστα ἀδιανοήτων. ἂν δέ Τις τοῦτο μὴ παραβιάζεται, μηδ' αὖ ὃ ἐξελέγχει, τε ἡ ὃ εἰσφέρει: πρᾶγμα ἐκτιθεῖ – φωνῆ μόνον Ἀμείβεται – καθάπερ πάλοι Θρυλῶ

...especially of what is [mentally] imperceptible [i.e., willfully acting against our will]. but if Someone does not distort this [false equivocation of "necessity" with volitional movement], nor again [clearly] refutes it out, or even [clearly] introduces it: while he is setting out the situation – He replaces only a statement – just as I have repeated long ago

[Sedley 20 C.12] ...supremely unthinkable. But unless someone perversely maintains this, or makes it clear what fact he is rebutting or introducing, it is merely a word that is being changed, as I keep repeating.

Οἱ δ' αἰτιολογήσαντες ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἱκανῶς, καὶ οὐ μόνον τῶν πρὸ αὐτῶν πολὺ διενέγκαντες – ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν ὕστερον πολλαπλασίως: Ἐλαθον ἑαυτοῖς – (καίπερ ἐν πολλοῖς μεγάλα κουφίσαντες) – εἰς τὸ τὴν ἀνάγκην καὶ ταυτόματον πάντα αἰτιᾶσθαι

but Those who, having reasoned into the [essential] causes sufficiently from the beginning, not only differed greatly from those before them – but also [they differed] in many ways from those [that came] afterwards: [yet] THEY EVADED NOTICE TO THEMSELVES – (even though, in many things, they had lightened what is great [i.e., they made difficult topics easier to understand]) – IN ORDER TO BLAME everything [on] necessity and what is automatic

[Sedley 20 C.13] The first men to give a satisfactory account of causes: men, not only much greater than their predecessors, but also many times greater than their successors, turned a blind eye to themselves – although in many matters they had alleviated great ills – in order to hold necessity and accident responsible for everything

[Taylor] <...> Those who gave an adequate account of causes from the beginning, far surpassing not only their predecessors but their successor ways, though they alleviated many great evils, failed to see what they were doing in making necessity and chance the cause of everything

μᾶλλον	more	ΜΑΛΛΟΝ <i>very</i>
μάλιστα	especially	ΜΑΛΙΣΤΑ <i>very</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ἀδιανόητος	[mentally] imperceptible	ΑΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΟΣ <i>not seeing through</i>
τὸ ἀδιανόητον τὰ ἀδιανόητα	what is imperceptible [mentally]	ΑΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΟΝ <i>not seeing through</i>
ἡ παραβίασις αἱ παραβιάσεις	distortion	ΠΑΡΑΒΙΑΣΙΣ <i>violence</i> <i>alongside</i>
παραβιάζεσθαι	to distort	ΠΑΡΑΒΙΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>violence</i> <i>alongside</i>
ἐξελέγχειν	to refute out	ΕΞΕΛΕΓΧΕΙΝ <i>confuting out</i> <i>[with contrary proof]</i>
εἰσφέρειν	to carry in [here, "to introduce"]	ΕΙΣΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing into</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
προστιθέναι	to add	ΠΡΟΣΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing toward</i>
ἐκτιθέναι	to set out	ΕΚΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing out</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
ἀμείβειν	to replace	ΑΜΕΙΒΕΙΝ <i>exchanging</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as</i> <i>thoroughly</i>
πάλιν	again	ΠΑΛΙΝ <i>back</i>
πάλαι	long ago	ΠΑΛΑΙ <i>back</i>
θρυλεῖν	to repeat	ΘΡΥΛΕΙΝ <i>murmuring</i>
αἰτιολογεῖν	to reason into the [essential] causes	ΑΙΤΙΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>collecting blame</i>
αἰτιολογήσας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	having reasoned into the [essential] causes [already]	ΑΙΤΙΟΛΟΓΗΣΑΣ <i>collecting blame</i>
ὁ αἰτιολογήσας οἱ αἰτιολογήσαντες	one who has reasoned into the [essential] causes	ΑΙΤΙΟΛΟΓΗΣΑΣ <i>collecting blame</i>
ἐν ἀρχῇ	originally	
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	

ἰκανός (ή, όν)	sufficient	ΊΚΑΝΟΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>
ἰκανῶς	sufficiently	ΊΚΑΝΩΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>
πολύς (ή, ύ)	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
διαφέρειν	to differ	ΔΙΑΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing through</i>
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
ένεγκεῖν	to carry [already]	ΕΝΕΓΚΕΙΝ <i>brought</i>
διενέγκας (ασα, αν)	differing [already]	ΔΙΕΝΕΓΚΑΣ <i>brought through</i>
ὔστερον	afterwards	ΎΣΤΕΡΟΝ <i>next</i>
πολλάκις	often	ΠΟΛΛΑΚΙΣ <i>many times</i>
πολλαπλασίως	in many ways	ΠΟΛΛΑΠΛΑΣΙΩΣ <i>many fold</i>
λανθάνειν	to evade notice	ΛΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>to be hidden</i>
έλαθον	they evade notice	
καίπερ	even though	ΚΑΙΠΕΡ <i>and indeed</i>
τò μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
τò μέγα τὰ μεγάλα	what is great	ΜΕΓΑ <i>large</i>
τò κοῦφον τὰ κούφα	light things	ΚΟΥΦΟΝ <i>nimble</i>
κουφίζειν	to lighten	ΚΟΥΦΙΖΕΙΝ <i>nimble</i>
κουφίσας	having lightened [already]	ΚΟΥΦΙΣΑΣ <i>nimble</i>
ή ανάγκη αί ανάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against</i> <i>constraint</i>
αὐτόματος (η, ον)	automatic	ΑΥΤΟΜΑΤΟΣ <i>self thinking</i>
τò αὐτόματον τὰ αὐτόματα	what is automatic	ΑΥΤΟΜΑΤΟΝ <i>self thinking</i>
αἰτιᾶσθαι	to blame	ΑΙΤΙΑΣΘΑΙ <i>responsibility</i>

Epicurus argues that if Democritus had applied his idea of universal necessity to his own actions, it would have led to severe negative outcomes. Consider the "Lazy Argument" (**Ἀργός Λόγος**) which shows the practical absurdity of the determinists' position: since everything is fated, no action is necessary.

Epicurus was also well aware of his older contemporary Pyrrho's "agnosticism and suspension of judgement" which led him to lead "a life consistent with this doctrine – going out of his way for nothing, taking no precaution, but facing all risks as they came, whether carts, precipices, dogs or what not, and, generally, leaving nothing to the arbitrament of the senses." (D.L. 9.62)

25.42 Cognitive Dissonance

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 4 (fr. A 55)

...ἄσθαι. ό δὴ λόγος αὐτός ό τοῦτο διδάσκων κατεάγνυτο καί έλάνθανε τόν άνδρα τοῖς έργο[ι]ς πρὸς τήν δόξαν συγκρού[ο]ντα, καί εί μή λήθη τις επί τῶν έργων τῆς δόξης ένεγείνετο, συνεχῶς άν έαυτόν ταράττοντα, ἤι δ' έκράτει τὸ τῆς δόξης, κάν τοῖς έσχάτοις περιτείπτοντα, ἤ[ι δέ] μή έκρά[τει, ο]τ[ί] άσ[ε]ως έμ[πι]πλάμενον διὰ τήν ύπεναντιότητα τῶν [ε]ρ[γ]ῶν καί τ[ῆς δόξης]. τού[τω]ν οὐ[ν] οὐ[τ]ως έ[χό]ντων δεῖ κα[ι] περι[οὔ λέγων έ]ξ [άρ]χῆς [εἰς τὸ ταῦτα] π[αρεκκαθαί]ρει[ν άφικόμη]ν άποδιδ[ό]...

ὁ δὴ λόγος αὐτὸς ὁ τοῦτο διδάσκων κατεάγνυτο καὶ ἐλάνθανεν τὸν ἄνδρα τοῖς ἔργοις πρὸς τὴν δόξαν συνκρού[ο]ντα. καὶ εἰ μὴ λήθη τις ἐπὶ τῶν ἔργων τῆς δόξης ἐνεγείνετο, συνεχῶς ἂν ἑαυτὸν τaráττοντα. ἦι δ' ἐκράτει τὸ τῆς δόξης κᾶν τοῖς ἐσχάτοις πι[ε]ρυτεύποντα. ἦ[ι δέ] μὴ ἐκράτει στάσεως ἐμπιμπλάμενον διὰ τὴν ὑπεναντιότητα τῶν ἔργων καὶ τῆς δόξης. τούτων οὖν οὕτως ἐχόντων δεῖ κα[ὶ] περὶ οὗ λέγων ἐξ ἀρχῆς εἰς τὸ ταῦτα παρεκκαθαίρειν ἀφικόμεν ἀποδιδόναι, μ[ὴ] κακ[όν τι] τοιοῦτ[ο]

ὁ δὴ Λόγος αὐτός, ὁ **τοῦτο** διδάσκων, κατεάγνυτο – καὶ ἐλάνθανεν **τὸν ἄνδρα** **τοῖς ἔργοις πρὸς τὴν δόξαν** συνκρούοντα – καὶ εἰ μὴ Λήθη τις **ἐπὶ τῶν ἔργων** τῆς δόξης ἐνεγείνετο: **συνεχῶς ἂν ἑαυτὸν** τaráττοντα

...the Reasoning itself, which teaches **this [i.e., total determinism]**, shattered – and it evaded **the man [from noticing that]** in **[his daily] tasks** he was clashing **with [his own] judgment** – and unless a certain Forgetfulness of **[his] judgment** had not been inherent **for [his daily] tasks**: he would **continuously** be disturbing **himself**

[Sedley 20 C.14 sup.] Indeed, the actual account promoting this view came to grief when it left the great man blind to the fact that in his actions he was clashing with his doctrine, and that if it were not that a certain blindness to the doctrine took hold of him while acting he would be constantly perplexing himself

[Taylor] The very thesis which asserts this broke down and involved the man [i.e., Democritus] unawares in a conflict between his actions and his opinion, so that, had he not in his actions forgotten his opinion, he would have been in a continual state of self-induced confusion

ἦ δ' ἐκράτει Τὸ τῆς δόξης: κᾶν **τοῖς ἐσχάτοις περιπεύποντα** – **ἦ δέ** μὴ ἐκράτει: **στάσεως ἐμπιμπλάμενον διὰ τὴν ὑπεναντιότητα τῶν ἔργων καὶ τῆς δόξης. τούτων, οὖν, οὕτως ἐχόντων**: Δεῖ καὶ **περὶ οὗ λέγων ἐξ ἀρχῆς εἰς τὸ ταῦτα** **παρεκκαθαίρειν** Ἀφικόμεν ἀποδιδόναι – μὴ κακόν Τι **τοιοῦτο**

...insofar as This [aspect of his] judgment was prevailing [in his daily life]: he would be falling into extreme [circumstances] – but insofar as [his philosophical judgment] was not ruling [among his practical actions]: He was being filled full with conflict due to the contradiction of his tasks and his judgment. therefore, since these things are so: It is also necessary to demonstrate concerning what I was saying from the beginning, that I arrived at making these things fully clear as well – [so that] Something bad like this does not [occur in our own thinking or behaviour]...

[Sedley 20 C.14 fin. – 15] and that wherever the doctrine prevailed he would be falling into desperate calamities, while wherever it did not he would be filled with conflict because of the contradiction between his actions and his doctrine. It is because this is so that the need also arises to explain the matter which I was discussing when I first embarked on this digression, lest some similar evil <befall us>

[Taylor] ...< >...succumbing to the most extreme consequences when his opinion prevailed, and full of conflict when it did not, through the opposition of his actions and his opinion... < >...

ἦ	insofar as	ἦ in/for which
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]
διδάσκειν	to teach	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΕΙΝ teaching
κατάγνυναι	to shatter	ΚΑΤΑΓΝΥΝΑΙ thoroughly breaking
λανθάνειν	to evade notice	ΛΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ to be hidden
ὁ ἀνὴρ οἱ ἄνδρες	man	ΑΝΗΡ man
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ work

ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἀντικόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beating against</i>
ἡ κρούσις αἱ κρούσεις	impact	ΚΡΟΥΣΙΣ <i>hitting</i>
συνκρούειν	to clash with	ΣΥΝΚΡΟΥΕΙΝ <i>hitting together</i>
συνκρούων <i>(η, ον)</i>	clashing with	ΣΥΝΚΡΟΥΩΝ <i>hitting together</i>
ἡ λήθη αἱ λήθαι	forgetfulness	ΛΗΘΗ <i>oblivion</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἐνγίγνεσθαι	to be inherent	ΕΝΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
συνεχῶς	continuously	ΣΥΝΕΧΩΣ <i>holding together</i>
ταράττειν	to disturb	ΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>agitating</i>
ταράττων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	disturbing	ΤΑΡΑΤΤΩΝ <i>agitating</i>
Part 2		
κρατεῖν	to prevail	ΚΡΑΤΕΙΝ <i>power</i>
ἔσχατος <i>(ἐσχάτη, ἐσχατον)</i>	extreme	ΕΣΧΑΤΟΣ <i>last</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
περιπίπτειν	to fall [upon]	ΠΕΡΙΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling around</i>
ἡ στάσις αἱ στάσεις	[stationary] position, <i>or</i> conflict	ΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i>
ἐμπιπλάναι	to fill full	ΕΜΠΙΠΛΑΝΑΙ <i>filling in</i>
ἡ ὑπεναντιότης αἱ ὑπεναντιότητες	contradiction	ΥΠΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΤΗΣ <i>over against</i>
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
καθαίρειν	to clarify	ΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleansing</i>
ἐκθαίρειν	to clear out	ΕΚΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>clearing out</i>
παρεκκαθαίρειν	to make fully clear as well	ΠΑΡΕΚΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleansing out alongside</i>
ἀφικνεῖσθαι	to arrive	ΑΦΙΚΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>come towards</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>

...πολλάκις δὲ καὶ τὴν ἀμφοτέρωθεν αἰτίαν καὶ μὴ συνεπεσπασμένα τὰ ἕτερα ὑπὸ τῶν ἐτέρων μηδὲ συνεπισπώμενα καὶ βιαζόμενα παρὰ τε χρόνους πολλὰ τῶν τοιούτων συνπίπτειν καὶ ἡλικίας καὶ ἄλλας αἰτίας. ὁθεν κ[αὶ τὸ] τ[οῦ τέλους] αὐτοῦ ἐπιλόγ[ισ]μα εἶχε μ[ὲ]ν καὶ ἡ ἀρχὴ τὴν αἰτίαν, ἐ[ῖ]χο[με]ν δὲ καὶ ἡμεῖς...

{expanded fragment 1191 below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 4 (fr. A 56)

...καὶ ἡμε[ῖς]. ἦν δὲ τὸ ἐξ ἡμῶν· ἐπαίσθησις τοῦ εἰ μὴ ληψόμεθα, τίς ὁ κανὼν καὶ τὸ ἐπικρεῖνον πάντα τὰ διὰ τῶ[ν] δοξῶν περαινό[με]να, ἀλλ' ἀκολ[ο]υθησομεν ἀλόγως ταῖς τ[ῶ]ν πολλῶν φοραῖ[ς], οἰχίσεται πάντα, καθ' ἃ διερε[σ]υνώ[με]θα τι, καὶ ὑπεροχή... τ[ὸ] δ' αὐτὸ κ[αὶ] ἀἰδ[ι]ον ἐγενε[ννή]θη καὶ σπέρ[μα] α ἦν τι, ὥσπερ λ[η]ρῶ, ἐξ ἀρχῆς πρὸς ἐ[τε]ρε[ρον] ἀγω[γόν], π[α]ρόντος δὲ τοῦ[το]υ ν[οοῦ]μεν ἢ δοξάζομεν...

{added to fragment 1191 below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 121/122

...πολλάκις δ[ὲ καὶ τὴ]ν [αὐ]τὴν [ἀ]μ[φ]ότε[ρα] κ[ε]κτ[η]ται [μὲν] αἰτί[α]ν καὶ μὴ συνε[σ]πασμ[έν]α ἕτερ[α] ὑπὸ τ[ῶν ἐ]τέρων [μ]ηδὲ συνεπ[ι]σπ[ώ]μενα [καὶ βιαζό]μενα παρ[ὰ] [τε χρόνους πολλὰ τῶν] τοιούτων συνπίπτειν καὶ ἡλικίας καὶ ἄλλας αἰτ[ι]ας... ὁθεν καὶ τὸ τοῦ τέλους αὐτοῦ ἐπιλόγισμα εἶχε μὲν καὶ ἡ ἀρχὴ τὴν αἰτίαν, εἶχομεν δὲ καὶ ἡμεῖς. ἦν δὲ τὸ ἐξ ἡμῶν ἐπαίσθησις τοῦ – εἰ μὴ ληψόμεθα τίς ὁ καν[ὼν] καὶ τὸ ἐπικρεῖνον πάντα τὰ διὰ τῶ[ν] δοξῶν περαινό[με]να, ἀλλ' ἀκολ[ο]υθησομεν ἀλόγως ταῖς τ[ῶ]ν πολλῶν φοραῖ[ς], οἰχίσεται πάντα, καθ' ἃ διερε[σ]υνώ[με]θα τι, καὶ ὑπεροχή... τ[ὸ] δ' αὐτὸ κ[αὶ] ἀἰδ[ι]ον ἐγενε[ννή]θη καὶ σπέρ[μα] α ἦν τι, ὥσπερ λ[η]ρῶ, ἐξ ἀρχῆς πρὸς ἐ[τε]ρε[ρον] ἀγω[γόν], π[α]ρόντος δὲ τοῦ[το]υ ν[οοῦ]μεν ἢ δοξάζομεν...

...πολλάκις δὲ καὶ **τὴν αὐτὴν** Ἀμφοτέρα κέκτηται μὲν **αἰτίαν** – καὶ μὴ συνεπεσπασμένα Ἔτερα ὑπὸ τῶν ἐτέρων – μηδὲ συνεπισπώμενα καὶ βιαζόμενα παρὰ τε χρόνους: Πολλὰ τῶν τοιούτων συμπίπτειν καὶ ἡλικίας καὶ ἄλλας αἰτίας... ...ὅθεν καὶ τὸ τοῦ τέλους αὐτοῦ ἐπιλόγισμα. εἶχε μὲν καὶ ^[1] ἡ Ἀρχὴ – τὴν αἰτίαν – Εἶχομεν δὲ καὶ ^[2] ἡμεῖς

...often, Both things possess **the same cause [of movement]** – and with Different [movements] not having been drawn on together by other [movements] – nor being drawn on together and being forced overtime: [it is necessary to demonstrate that] Many of these types of [actions] are SYMPTOMATIC of [people's] ages and other causes [i.e., not a NECESSARY PROPERTY of their ages or other causes]... ...from where also [we have] an inductive consideration regarding [our] fulfillment. ^[1] THE FOUNDATION [from natural necessity] had – and ^[2] WE OURSELVES also had – a cause [of initiating movement]

ἦν δὲ τὸ ἐξ ἡμῶν Ἐπαίσθησις: τοῦ εἰ μὴ Ληψόμεθα τίς ὁ Κανὼν καὶ τὸ Ἐπικρεῖνον πάντα τὰ διὰ τῶν δοξῶν περαινόμενα, ἀλλ' Ἀκολουθήσομεν ἀλόγως ταῖς τῶν πολλῶν φοραῖς – οἰχίσεται Πάντα καθ' ἃ διερευνώμεθά τι, καὶ Ὑπεροχή...

but this is our focused Sensation: for if We will not comprehend [for ourselves] what the Standard is and what Discriminates all things that are accomplished through [our] judgments, but We will unreasonably follow along with the transmissions of the public [thought] – [then] EVERYTHING by which we investigate ANYTHING will be gone. and Excellence...

...τὸ δ' Αὐτὸ καὶ αἰδῖον ἐγεννήθη – καὶ σπέρμα ἦν τι. ὥσπερ Ληρῶ, ἐξ ἀρχῆς πρὸς ἕτερον ἀγωγόν, παρόντος δὲ τούτου, Νοοῦμεν ἢ Δοξάζομεν...

...and the Same [?] was generated also as eternal – and it was a certain seed. as though I am being foolish, [while] leading from the beginning towards something different – but with this [situation actually] being present, We conceive or judge...

πολλάκις	often	ΠΟΛΛΑΚΙΣ many times
τὰ ἀμφοτέρα	both things	ΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΑ both of the two
κτᾶσθαι	to procure [here, "to possess"]	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ acquiring
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ responsibility
ἐπισπᾶν	to draw to	ΕΠΙΣΠΑΝ pulling upon

συνεπισπᾶν	to draw on together	ΣΥΝΕΠΙΣΠΑΝ <i>dragging upon together</i>
συνεπεσπασμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been drawn on together	
συνεπισπώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being drawn on together	
βιαζόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being forced	ΒΙΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>violence</i>
ὁ χρόνος οἱ χρόνοι	time	ΧΡΟΝΟΣ <i>[seizing]</i>
παρά χρόνους	overtime [?]	
τοιούτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
συμπίπτειν	to be symptomatic	ΣΥΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling together</i>
ἡ ἡλικία αἱ ἡλικίαι	age <i>(stage of life)</i>	ἩΛΙΚΙΑ <i>comrade</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
ἐπιλογιστέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	out to be inductively considered	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΤΕΟΣ <i>ought to be action upon reasoning</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	<i>[process of]</i> inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>
τὸ ἐπιλόγισμα τὰ ἐπιλογίσματα	an inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of reasoning action upon</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation <i>[in time]</i>	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
Part 2		
ἡ ἐπαίσθησις αἱ ἐπαίσθησεις	focused sensation	ΕΠΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>upon perceiving</i>
λήψεσθαι	to be comprehended <i>[in the future]</i>	ΛΗΨΕΣΘΑΙ <i>taking hold</i>
ὁ κανὼν οἱ κανόνες	standard	ΚΑΝΩΝ <i>measuring stick (ruler)</i>
ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction <i>[i.e., an act of discrimination]</i>	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
ἐπικρίνειν	to discriminate	ΕΠΙΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>dividing upon</i>
ἐπικρεῖνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	discriminating	ΕΠΙΚΡΕΙΝΩΝ <i>dividing upon</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
περαίνειν	to accomplish	ΠΕΡΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>extremity</i>
περαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being accomplished	ΠΕΡΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>extremity</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow <i>[along with]</i>	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
ἄλόγως	unreasonably	ΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>not reasoning</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>

οἶχεσθαι	to be gone	ΟΙΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>having departed</i>
ἡ ἔρευνα αἱ ἔρευναι	search	ΕΡΕΥΝΑ <i>searching</i>
διερευνᾶν	to investigate	ΔΙΕΡΕΥΝΑΝ <i>searching through</i>
ἡ ὑπεροχή αἱ ὑπεροχαί	excellence	ὙΠΕΡΟΧΗ <i>holding above</i>
Part 3		
αἰώνιος <i>(αἰώνιᾱ, αἰώνιον)</i>	perpetual <i>(moving, multiple)</i>	ΑΙΩΝΙΟΣ <i>characterized by being forever</i>
ἀϊδιος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	eternal <i>(static, singular)</i>	Αἰδιος <i>characterized by forever [heavenly]</i>
ἐγγεννᾶν	to generate in	ΕΓΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating in</i>
γεννᾶν	to generate	ΓΕΝΝΑΝ <i>generating</i>
ἐγεννήθη	it was generated [already]	ΕΓΕΝΝΗΘΗ <i>generated</i>
τὸ σπέρμα τὰ σπέρματα	seed	ΣΠΕΡΜΑ <i>seed</i>
ὁ λῆρος οἱ λῆροι	trifle	ΛΗΡΟΣ <i>trash / trifle</i>
ληρεῖν	to be foolish	ΛΗΡΕΙΝ <i>trash / trifle</i>
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
ἀγωγός <i>(ός, όν)</i>	leading	ΑΓΩΓΟΣ <i>going</i>
παρών <i>(παρουσα, παρόν)</i>	present	ΠΑΡΩΝ <i>to be beside</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>

25.44 Autonomy

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 123

...πολὺ δ[ἐ] αὐ[τ]ὸ ἐστ[ι] μὲν ὃ [σ]υνε[ρ]γούσης τῆς φύσεω[ς], ἔστι δ' [ὃ] οὐ συνε[ρ]γ[ὸ]ς[σ]η[ς], [ἐ]στ[ι] δ' ὃ κατακ[ο]σμουμένης ὑφ' ἡμῶν. ἔστι δ[ἐ] καὶ αὐτῆς πρ[ο]ηγου[μέν]ης τι...

...πολὺ δὲ Αὐτό: ^[1] ἐστίν μὲν Ὁ συνεργούσης τῆς φύσεως, ^[2] ἔστι δ' Ὁ οὐ συνεργούσης, ^[3] ἔστι δ' Ὁ κατακοσμουμένης ὑφ' ἡμῶν. ἔστι δὲ καὶ αὐτῆς προηγουμένης Τι...

...This [*i.e., multiple causes of motion*] is significant: [*there is*] indeed, ^[1] That which exists with nature contributing [*movement by necessity*]; but [*also*] ^[2] That which exists with [*nature*] not contributing [*movement by necessity*], and ^[3] That which exists with arrangement by us. [*the fact that*] Something exists with it also proceeding on its own...

συνεργεῖν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΕΡΓΕΙΝ <i>work together</i>
συνεργῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	contributing	ΣΥΝΕΡΓΩΝ <i>work together</i>
μετακοσμεῖν	to rearrange	ΜΕΤΑΚΟΣΜΕΙΝ <i>beyond/ change order</i>

κατακοσμεῖν	to arrange	ΚΑΤΑΚΟΣΜΕΙΝ <i>beyond/ change order</i>
κατακοσμούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being arranged	
προηγείσθαι	to proceed	ΠΡΟΗΓΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>leading before</i>

It is important to understand the distinction between the primary causes of movement, be it ^[1] from automated necessity or ^[2] from the swerve, and the emergent cause of movement, ^[3] from our own initiation.

25.45 Leadership towards Improvement

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 124

...ἐπαυξόμενον ἀλλὰ καὶ διὰ τὰ ἐκ τοῦ περιέχοντος ἐπεισιόντα τὰς καθηγεμονίαις εἰς τὸ βέλτιον, [ο]ὐ μόνον τὰς συ[να]κολουθήσεις λαμβάνοντα. εἰ δὲ καὶ... ἡσυχίας ἐξ ἀρχῆς καὶ ἐπὶ θαυμασμὸν ἰσχυρ...

...ἐπαυξόμενον, ἀλλὰ καὶ διὰ τὰ ἐκ τοῦ περιέχοντος ἐπεισιόντα τὰς καθηγεμονίας εἰς τὸ βέλτιον – οὐ μόνον τὰς συνακολουθήσεις λαμβάνοντα. εἰ δὲ καὶ...

...further increasing, but also leaderships toward the better, through what is entering in from the surrounding – not merely comprehending consequences. and if also...

...Ἡσυχίας ἐξ ἀρχῆς καὶ ἐπὶ θαυμασμὸν ἰσχυρόν...

...Silence from the beginning and strongly toward marveling...

αὐξάνειν	to increase	ΑΥΞΑΝΕΙΝ <i>increasing</i>
ἐπαυξόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being further increased	ΕΠΑΥΞΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>upon / further increasing</i>
ἐπαυξάνειν	to further increase	ΕΠΑΥΞΑΝΕΙΝ <i>growing upon</i>
περιέχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ <i>holding around</i>
ἐπεισιέναι	to enter in	ΕΠΕΙΣΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going upon into</i>
ἐπεισιών	entering in	ΕΠΕΙΣΙΩΝ <i>going upon into</i>
ὁ ἡγεμών οἱ ἡγεμόνες	guide	ἩΓΕΜΩΝ <i>leading</i>
ἡ ἡγεμονία αἱ ἡγεμονίαι	guidance	ἩΓΕΜΟΝΙΑ <i>quality of leading</i>
ὁ καθηγεμών οἱ καθηγεμόνες	leader	ΚΑΘΗΓΕΜΩΝ <i>thoroughly leading</i>
ἡ καθηγεμονία αἱ καθηγεμονίαι	leadership	ΚΑΘΗΓΕΜΟΝΙΑ <i>quality of thoroughly leading</i>
βελτίων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ <i>greater</i>
βέλτιον	in a better way	
συνπαρακολουθῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	following along together	ΣΥΜΠΑΡΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΩΝ <i>following alongside together</i>
ἡ συνακολουθήσεις αἱ συνακολουθήσεις	consequences	ΣΥΝΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΗΣΙΣ <i>following together</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>

ἡ ἡσυχία αἱ ἡσυχίαι	silence	ἩΣΥΧΙΑ <i>stillness</i>
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
θαυμάζειν	to marvel	ΘΑΥΜΑΖΕΙΝ
ὁ θαυμασμός οἱ θαυμασμοί	marveling	ΘΑΥΜΑΣΜΟΣ <i>wondering / marveling</i>
ἰσχυρός (ἄ, ὄν)	strong	ΙΣΧΥΡΟΣ <i>strength</i>
ἰσχυρόν	strongly	

25.46 The Canon, Increasing Agency

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 27 (fr. B 49)

...{κα[ι vo]ήσεων κα[ι ἐ]πινοημ[ά]των καὶ φαντασμάτων καὶ τῆς αἰωνίας κ[ατ]ᾶ ψυχὴν ὀχλή[σ]εως ἡ εὐδαιμονίας ἡ μ[ὴ] αἰωνίας τὴν α[ιτ]ίαν τοῦ θηρεύειν τὴν ἀρχὴν κ[α]ὶ κανόνα καὶ κριτήριον [καί] κατ[ᾶ] μικρόν. ταῦτά τε γὰρ εἰς τὸν επιλογισμὸν τοῦ κριτηρίου ἦγεν κα[ι ἐκ τοῦ] κριτηρίου... ...εἰς τῇ[ν κατὰ μικρόν] ὥνπερ [ἐ]ν[π]ρο[σθ]εν εἶπ[α δ]ιερεῦν[ησι]ν. ἀλλήλοις γὰρ [τα]ῦτα [τῇ]ν [αἰτίαν κ]αὶ χρε[ί]αν παρ[εῖχ]ετο κα[ι] ἐ[ναλλὰ]ξ ἐκάτερο[ν παρε]ν[π]ι[π]τον ἐπ[εσπάσα]το εὐθύς τὸ ἕτερον ἐπινόη[μα] κατὰ μ[ικρὸν] [πρῶ]τ[ον ἐν]γεινόμε[νον] καὶ ταχέω[ς ἐκ]ρέον, εἴτ[α μᾶλλο]ν κ[α]ταν[ο]ο[ύ]μεν[ον] τ[ὰ μὲν] διὰ τὴν [φυσικὴ]ν αἰ[τίαν] τῆς ἐπαυξήσεως κ[αὶ] τῆς ἀπαλλά[ξ]...

{added to fragment A 57 below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 125

...σατο εὐθύς τὸ ἕτερον ἐπινόημα, κατὰ μικρόν πρῶτον ἐγγιγνόμενον καὶ ταχέως ἐκρέον, εἴτα [μᾶλλο]μ[α] μᾶλλ[ο]ν κατα[νοοούμε]νον, τὰ μὲν διὰ [τῇ]ν φυσικὴν αἰτίαν τῆς [αὐξ]ήσεως καὶ ἀπαλλά[ξ]εως πλαδαρότητος, τ[ὰ δέ]...

{expanded fragment A 57 below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 col. 4 (fr. A 57)

.....{κα[ι vo]ήσεων κα[ι ἐ]πινοημ[ά]των καὶ φαντασμάτων καὶ τῆς αἰωνίας κ[ατ]ᾶ ψυχὴν ὀχλή[σ]εως ἡ εὐδαιμονίας ἡ μ[ὴ] αἰωνίας τὴν α[ιτ]ίαν τοῦ θηρεύειν τὴν ἀρχὴν κ[α]ὶ κανόνα καὶ κριτήριον [καί] κατ[ᾶ] μικρόν. ταῦτά τε γὰρ εἰς τὸν επιλογισμὸν τοῦ κριτηρίου ἦγεν κα[ι ἐκ τοῦ] κριτηρίου... ...εἰς τῇ[ν κατὰ μικρόν] ὥνπερ [ἐ]ν[π]ροσθεν εἶπα: διερεύνη[σι]ν. ἀ[λλ]ήλοις γὰρ ταῦτα τὴν αἰ[τίαν] [κ]αὶ χρεῖαν παρείχετο καὶ ἐνα[λλὰ]ξ ἐκ[άτερον] παρεμπεῖπτον ἐπεσπ[άσατο] εὐθύς τὸ ἕτερον ἐπινόημα, κατὰ μεικρόν πρῶτον ἐγγεινόμενον καὶ ταχέως ἐκρέον, εἴτα μᾶλλ[ο]ν μᾶλλον κατανοούμενον, τὰ μ[ὲν] διὰ τὴν φυσικὴν αἰτ[ίαν] τῆς ἐπαυξή[σ]εως κα[ι] ἀπαλ[λὰ]ξ[έω]ς πλαδαρότητος, τὰ δὲ διὰ τὴν ἐξ ἡμῶν γεινομένην[ν] καὶ...

...καὶ νοήσεων, καὶ ἐπινοημάτων, καὶ φαντασμάτων, καὶ τῆς αἰωνίας κατὰ ψυχὴν ὀχλήσεως ἡ εὐδαιμονίας, ἡ μὴ αἰωνίας: **τὴν αἰτίαν τοῦ θηρεύειν τὴν ἀρχὴν, καὶ κανόνα, καὶ κριτήριον, κατὰ μικρόν.** Ταῦτά τε γὰρ εἰς τὸν ἐπιλογισμὸν τοῦ κριτηρίου ἦγεν: καὶ ἐκ τοῦ κριτηρίου... ...εἰς τὴν κατὰ μικρόν, ὥνπερ ἔνπροσθεν Εἶπα, διερεύνησιν

...and of concepts, and of objective conceptions, and of mental impressions, and of the perpetual disturbance or wellbeing of the soul, or of the non-perpetual [*disturbance or wellbeing of the soul: through these mental movements we have*] the cause of catching the foundation, and the Standard [*i.e. the canon*], and the criterion, little by little. for These [*mental movements*] led into [*our process of*] inductive consideration of the criterion: and out of the standard [*we measure truth*]... ...into the investigation, little by little, of which I previously spoke precisely

[Sedley] "*step by step to seek the starting-point and yardstick and criterion, for these led men to make an empirical calculation of the criterion, and from the criterion methods of empirical calculation were themselves learnt.*"

ἀλλήλοις γὰρ Ταῦτα ^[1] **τὴν αἰτίαν** καὶ ^[2] **χρεῖαν** παρείχετο. καὶ ἐναλλάξ Ἐκάτερον, **παρεμπεῖπτον**, ἐπεσπάσατο **εὐθύς τὸ ἕτερον ἐπινόημα**: κατὰ **μεικρόν πρῶτον** ἐγγεινόμενον καὶ **ταχέως ἐκρέον** – εἴτα **μᾶλλον μᾶλλον** κατανοούμενον, Τὰ μὲν **διὰ τὴν φυσικὴν αἰτίαν τῆς ἐπαυξήσεως καὶ ἀπαλλάξεως** **πλαδαρότητος**, Τὰ δὲ **διὰ τὴν ἐξ ἡμῶν γεινομένην...**

for These [actions of thinking, conceiving, and focusing] yielded to one another ^[1] the cause [of our movement] and ^[2] the use [of our movement]. and alternatively Each, intruding upon [the other], directly draws toward the other objective conception: being introduced at first little by little and quickly flowing out – then while they [i.e., the cause and the use of our movement] are more and more fully conceived, Some through the natural cause of further increasing [the use of their agency] and [through] the deliverance from sluggishness, and Others through what was being generated from us...

ή κατανόησις αἱ κατανοήσεις	full conception	ΚΑΤΑΝΟΗΣΙΣ thoroughly seeing
ή προσκατανόησις αἱ προσκατανοήσεις	additional full conception	ΠΡΟΣΚΑΤΑΝΟΗΣΙΣ addition to thoroughly seeing
ή νόησις αἱ νοήσεις	concept	ΝΟΗΣΙΣ seeing
τὸ ἐπινόημα τὰ ἐπινοήματα	[objective] conception	ΕΠΙΝΟΗΜΑ result of seeing upon
τὸ φάντασμα τὰ φαντάσματα	mental impression	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΑ result of appearing
ἄϊδιος (ος, ον)	eternal (static, singular)	Αἰδιος characterized by forever [heavenly]
αἰώνιος (αἰωνιᾶ, αἰώνιον)	perpetual (moving, multiple)	Αἰωνιος characterized by being forever
ή ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ blowing
ὁ ὄχλος οἱ ὄχλοι	crowd	ΟΧΛΟΣ crowd of people
τό ὄχλημα τά ὀχλήματα	[cause of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΜΑ result of a crowd of people
ή ὄχλησις αἱ ὀχλήσεις	[feeling of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΣΙΣ process of a crowd of people
ή εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ good spirit
ή αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ responsibility
ὁ θηρευτής	hunter	
θηρεύειν	to catch	ΘΗΡΕΥΕΙΝ hunting
ή ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ first order
ὁ κανών οἱ κανόνες	standard	ΚΑΝΩΝ measuring stick (ruler)
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	criterion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ instrument of dividing
μικρός (ἄ, ὀν)	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ little
κατὰ μικρόν κατὰ μεικρόν	little by little	
ή ἐπιλόγισις αἱ ἐπιλογίσεις	[faculty of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΙΣ collecting (reasoning) action upon
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ process of reasoning action upon
ὥνπερ	of which precisely	
προσθεν	earlier / before	ΠΡΟΣΘΕΝ before

τοῦμπροσθεν τᾶμπροσθεν	what is ahead	ΤΟΥΜΠΡΟΣΘΕΝ = ΤΟ ΕΜΠΡΟΣΘΕΝ <i>in before</i>
ἔμπροσθεν	previously	ΕΝΠΡΟΣΘΕΝ <i>in before</i>
ἡ ἔρευνα αἱ ἔρευναι	search	ΕΡΕΥΝΑ <i>searching</i>
ἡ διερεύνησις αἱ διερευνήσεις	investigation	ΔΙΕΡΕΥΝΗΣΙΣ <i>searching through</i>
Part 2		
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
ἡ χρεία αἱ χρεῖαι	use	ΧΡΕΙΑ <i>necessary</i>
παρέχειν	to yield	ΠΑΡΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold beside</i>
ἐναλλάξ	alternatively	ΕΝΑΛΛΑΞ <i>in other</i>
ἐκάτερος <i>(ἐκατέρᾳ, ἐκάτερον)</i>	each one	ἘΚΑΤΕΡΟΣ
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
παρεμπίπτειν	to intrude <i>[in/upon]</i>	ΠΑΡΕΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beside in falling</i>
ἐπισπᾶν	to draws toward	ΕΠΙΣΠΑΝ <i>pulling upon</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἶτα, ὑ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΘΥΣ ΕΥΘΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
τὸ νόημα τὰ νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
τὸ ἐννόημα τὰ ἐννοήματα	internal conception	ΕΝΝΟΗΜΑ <i>result of seeing within</i>
τὸ ἐπιλόγισμα τὰ ἐπιλογίσματα	an inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of reasoning action upon</i>
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἡ ἐπίνοια αἱ ἐπινοίαι	<i>[objective]</i> concept	ΕΠΙΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing upon</i>
τὸ ἐπινόημα τὰ ἐπινοήματα	<i>[objective]</i> conception	ΕΠΙΝΟΗΜΑ <i>result of seeing upon</i>
μικρός = μικρός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	small	ΜΕΙΚΡΟΣ ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
μικρόν μικρόν	a little bit	
πρῶτος <i>(ῆ, ὄν)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἐγγίνεσθαι	to be introduced	ΕΓΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being in</i>
ἐγγεινόμενος	being introduced	ΕΓΓΕΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>come into being in</i>
ταχέως	quickly	ΤΑΧΕΩΣ <i>quickly</i>
ἐκρέειν	to flow out	ΕΚΡΕΕΙΝ <i>flowing out</i>
ἐκρέων	flowing out	ΕΚΡΕΩΝ <i>flowing out</i>
εἶτα	next	ΕΙΤΑ <i>hereafter</i>

μᾶλλον	more	ΜΑΛΛΟΝ <i>very</i>
κατανοεῖν	to fully conceive	ΚΑΤΑΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing thoroughly</i>
κατανοούμενος	fully conceived	ΚΑΤΑΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing thoroughly</i>
φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
αύξάνειν	to increase	ΑΥΞΑΝΕΙΝ <i>increasing</i>
ἐπαυξάνειν	to further increase	ΕΠΑΥΞΑΝΕΙΝ <i>growing upon</i>
ή ἐπαύξησις αί ἐπαυξήσεις	further increasing	ΕΠΑΥΞΗΣΙΣ <i>process of growing upon</i>
ἀπαλλάττειν	to remove away	ΑΠΑΛΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>changing away</i>
ἀπαλλαγῆσθαι	to remove away [in the future]	
ή ἀλλαγῇ αί ἀλλαγαί	change	ΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>other</i>
ή ἀπαλλαγή αί ἀπαλλαγαί	deliverance	ΑΠΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>other away</i>
ή πλαδαρότης αί πλαδαρότητες	sluggishness	ΠΛΑΔΑΡΟΤΗΣ <i>flabby</i>

*The criterion is both the product and the starting point of empirical reasoning. The establishment of the **τό κριτήριο** as (1) **αἰ αἰσθήσεις**, (2) **αἰ πάθαι**, (3) **αἰ προλήψεις** is an empirical process. Only because we have empirically learned that the senses are reliable do we have a firm basis for making further empirical discoveries.*

25.47 Experiential Certainty

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1191 fr. 126

...ων **τῶν τε** δι' ἡμᾶς καὶ τῶν διὰ τὴν φύσιν καὶ τὸ περιέχον, ὥστε ἀποδέδοται **καὶ αἰ ὁ** παθολ[ο]γικός τρόπος **καὶ ὁ** αἰτιολογικός, ὧν] ἐξ ἀρχῆς **π[ρ]οεθέμε[θα. κα]**τέχεται γὰρ ἤδη ταῦτα ὅσα ποτὲ κατέχεται τῶν **[κα]**τὰ τὰς **ἐπιστήμας**...

{slightly expanded fragment below}

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 col. 28 (fr. B 50)

...[έ]κ **[δὲ τε]**ούτων **[τε]**ῶν αἰτιῶν... τάνουσαι καὶ κ[ι]νῆσεων **τ[ε]ῶν τε** δι' ἡμᾶς **[κα]ἰ τῶν δ]**ιὰ τὴν φύσ[ι]ν κα[ἰ] **τ[ὸ]** **πε[ρ]ιέχον**. **[ὥσ]τε** ἀποδέδοτα**[ι κα]**ὶ ὁ παθολ[ο]γικός τρόπος καὶ ὁ αἰτιολογικός, ὧν ἐξ ἀρχῆ**[ς]** προεθέμεθα. κατέχετ**[α]ι γὰρ ἤ]**δη ταῦτα ὅσα ποτὲ κατέχ**[ε]τα[ι τῶ]ν κατὰ τ[ὰς] ἐπ[ισ]τ[ήμ]ας**...

...ἐκ δὲ τούτων τῶν αἰτιῶν... ...τάνουσαι καὶ κινήσεων τῶν τε δι' ἡμᾶς καὶ τῶν διὰ τὴν φύσιν καὶ τὸ περιέχον. ὥστε ἀποδέδοται καὶ ^[1] **ὁ παθολογικός Τρόπος** καὶ ^[2] **ὁ αἰτιολογικός, ὧν ἐξ ἀρχῆς Προεθέμεθα.** κατέχεται γὰρ **ἤδη** Ταῦτα – ὅσα ποτε κατέχεται τῶν **κατὰ τὰς ἐπιστήμας...**

...and from these causes... extending out from these movements, both those [movements] from us and those [movements] from nature and the surrounding [environment]. therefore, both ^[1] the Way [of thinking] relating to the study of [sensed] experiences and ^[2] the [way of thinking] relating to the study of the causes [of movement] have been demonstrated, which We proposed from the beginning. for These things are already firmly held – as far as anything is ever firmly held regarding the ways of understanding...

ή αἰτία αἰ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
συντείνειν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>stretching together</i>

τείνων <i>(τείνουσα, τεῖνον)</i>	extending	ΤΕΙΝΩΝ <i>stretching</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
περιέχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ <i>holding around</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[n] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
λογικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	rational	ΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of reasoning</i>
παθολογικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	relating to the study of experiences	ΠΑΘΟΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of reasoning about enduring / feeling</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
αἰτιολογικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	relating to the study of causes	ΑΙΤΙΟΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of reasoning about blame / cause</i>
ἐξ ἀρχῆς	from the beginning	
προστιθέναι	to add	ΠΡΟΣΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing toward</i>
προτιθέναι	to propose	ΠΡΟΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing before</i>
κατέχειν	to firmly hold	ΚΑΤΕΧΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly holding</i>
ἡ ἐπιστήμη αἱ ἐπιστῆμαι	understanding	ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΗ <i>standing upon</i>

Epicurus informs us in this summary that he has structured his explanation in two approaches:

One focusing on sensation and feeling – i.e., the "the way [of thinking] relating to the study of experiences" (**παθολογικός τρόπος**) and the other approach focusing on natural causes – i.e., the "the way [of thinking] relating to the study of causes" (the **αἰτιολογικός τρόπος**)

25.48 Subscriptio

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 697 subscriptio (subscriptio A 59)

Ἐπικο[ύρου Περὶ] Φύσεω[ς.. ΚΕ]

Ἐπικούρου Περὶ Φύσεως, ΚΕ

Epicurus' On Nature, Book 25

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 25, P.Herc. 1056 subscriptio (B)

Ἐπικούρου Περὶ Φ[ύ]σεως Κ[Ε]

Ἐπικούρου Περὶ Φύσεως ΚΕ

Epicurus' On Nature, Book 25

[Book 26]

28.1 Labeling Objects Seen by Comprehension

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 1 (col. 1)

...ἅμα καὶ ὅτι πᾶν... ...[το]ὔτ[ο εἰ] μὴδ' οὕτως [εἴη τις ἐ]γνοῶν, ὥς ἐ[σ]τι τό τε [σ]ωματικὸν καὶ τὸ κενόν...

...ἅμα, καὶ ὅτι Πᾶν... ...τοῦτο, εἰ μὴδ' οὕτως εἴη, Τις ἐννοῶν, ὥς ἔστι: ^[1]τό τε Σωματικὸν καὶ ^[2]τὸ Κενόν...

...simultaneously, and that Everything... ...One internalizes this, even if not in this way, as both exist: ^[1]What is corporeal and ^[2]the Void...

ἅμα	^[adv] simultaneously, ^[prep] together with	ἈΜΑ <i>at once</i>
ἡ ἔννοια αἱ ἔννοιαι	internal conception	ΕΝΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing in</i>
ἐννοεῖν	to internalize	ΕΝΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing within</i>
ἐννοῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	internalizing	ΕΝΝΟΩΝ
σωματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	corporeal	ΣΩΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of body</i>
τὸ κενόν	void	ΚΕΝΟΝ <i>emptiness</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. D (col. 1c)

...ὅτι οὐ περιληπτική] ἡ τῶν ἀτόμων γνῶ[σὶς ἐστι]...

...ὅτι οὐ περιληπτική ἡ τῶν ἀτόμων Γνῶσὶς ἐστι...

...[they say] that Knowledge of the atoms does not relate to what is completely comprehensible...

περιληπτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	relating to what is completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold around</i>
γνωστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	known	ΓΝΩΣΤΟΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ἡ γνῶσις αἱ γνώσεις	knowledge	ΓΝΩΣΙΣ <i>distinguishing</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 3 (col. 5b)

...[β]ουλόμε[θά σ]οι... προΐούσης... ὁμοιότητά τι[να]... [ἐ]τέρᾳ τινα ὠμλη[μένα, ἐν τ]ε τισι φθόγγοις...

...Βουλόμεθά σοι... ...προϊούσης... ...ὁμοιότητά τινα... ...ἑτέρᾳ τινα ὠμλημένα, ἐν τέ τισι φθόγγοις...

...We want for you... ...while going forward... ...some similarity... ...some other [similarly] conversed [words], in certain expressions...

βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>wishing</i>
προῖέναι προιέναι	to go forward	ΠΡΟΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going forward</i>

προϊών προιών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	going forward	ΠΡΟΙΩΝ <i>going forward</i>
ή όμοιότης αί όμοιότητες	similarity	ΏΜΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of being similar</i>
όμιλεῖν	to converse	ΏΜΙΛΕΙΝ <i>keeping company</i>
ώμιλημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	conversed	ΏΜΙΛΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>keeping company</i>
ό φθόγγος οί φθόγγοι	[verbal] expression	ΦΘΟΓΓΟΣ <i>uttering</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 4 (col. 4)

...[πρ]οσηγορευμένον [ο]ῖ ἕτερόν το ὠμιλημένον ὄνομα... ...[τή]ν ταραχήν...

...προσηγορευμένον **ὥ** ἕτερόν το ὠμιλημένονΌνομα... ...**τήν ταραχήν**...

...the different conversed Word referred to with that [meaning]... ...the disturbance...

προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
προσηγορευμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	referred to [as]	ΠΡΟΣΗΓΟΡΕΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [other / another]	ΈΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
ώμιλημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	conversed	ΏΜΙΛΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>keeping company</i>
τό όνομα τά όνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
ή ταραχή αί ταραχαί	disturbance	ΤΑΡΑΧΗ <i>agitating</i>

28.2 Classifying Ways of Thinking

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. E (col. 1)

...ισχ[υρῶς ἤ] οὐκ ισχυρῶς δεδ[ίχαμεν] τήμ παραλλαγ[ήν καθ' ἣν] τοῖς[δε έ]χρώμεθα [τοῖς.] σιν· ὁθεν δέ τὸ καγ[ονικόμ] βελτίον ἢ χῖρον άγ[ελελογί]σμεθα, πολλαχοῦ [εἰ]ρήται...

...ισχυρῶς ἢ οὐκ ισχυρῶς, Δεδίχαμεν **τήν παραλλαγήν καθ' ἣν** τοῖςδε Ἐχρώμεθα... ...**ὁθεν, δέ τὸ κανονικόν βελτίον ἢ χῖρον** Ἀνελελογίσμεθα – **πολλαχοῦ** εἴρηται...

...[depending on the issue], We have, firmly or not firmly, divided the variety according to which [classifications] We used these [specific "ways" of thinking]... ...from which, then, We have analogized what relates to standards as better or worse [for measuring truth] – as has been stated in many places...

ισχύων <i>(ισχύουσα, ισχύον)</i>	prevailing	ΙΣΧΥΩΝ <i>strength</i>
ισχύειν	to prevail	ΙΣΧΥΕΙΝ
ισχυρῶς	prevailingly [here, "firmly"]	ΙΣΧΥΡΩΣ
άποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
διδοῦναι	to give	ΔΙΔΟΥΝΑΙ <i>giving</i>
ή παραλλαγή αί παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>

χρᾶσθαι	<i>[with dative]</i> to use, <i>[with genitive]</i> to desire	ΧΡΑΣΘΑΙ
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	crit er ion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of</i> <i>dividing</i>
ὁ κανὼν οἱ κανόνες	standard	ΚΑΝΩΝ <i>measuring stick</i> <i>(ruler)</i>
τό κανονικόν τά κανονικά	what relates to standards	ΚΑΝΟΝΙΚΟΝ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>measuring rod</i>
βελτίων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ <i>greater</i>
ἡ χεὶρ αἱ χεῖρες	hand	ΧΕΙΡ <i>hand</i>
χείρων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	worse	ΧΕΙΡΩΝ <i>[work done by]</i> <i>hand</i>
ἀναλογίζεσθαι	to analogize	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>reasoning action</i> <i>again</i>
πολλαχοῦ	in many places	ΠΟΛΛΑΧΟΥ <i>many</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 4 (col. 4)

...ἄξιό[ν τι]νος... ταύτην τὴν [αἰ]τίαν ἐπιλέγομεν. πάν[τε]ς [γ]ὰρ οἱ τρόποι τῶν αἰ-...

...ἄξιόν τινος... ...ταύτην τὴν αἰτίαν Ἐπιλέγομεν – πάντες γὰρ οἱ Τρόποι τῶν...

...deserving of something... ...We say in addition that this cause [of mental movement] – for all the Ways [of thinking] of the...

ἄξιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	deserving	ΑΞΙΟΣ <i>counterbalancing</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
ἐπιλέγειν	to say in addition	ΕΠΙΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i> <i>in addition</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner /</i> <i>direction</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. H (col. 1a)

...τὰ προειλ[ημμένα]... ...ἐπιμαρτ[υ]ρήσει[ς]... τυγχάνε[ι]... ...[κατη]γοροῦμεμ... ...[ἀν]τικειμένον...

...τὰ προειλημμένα... ...Ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις... ...Τυχγάνει... ...Κατηγοροῦμεμ...
...ἀντικειμένον...

...the [things] that have already been anticipated... ...You attest... ...It happens to be... ...We fully indicate... ...the contrary [observation]...

ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	^[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
προειλημμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having already been anticipated	ΠΡΟΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>being having grasped</i> <i>before</i>
τὸ προειλημμένον τὰ προειλημμένα	^[•Λ] what has already been anticipated	ΠΡΟΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΝ <i>being having grasped</i> <i>before</i>
τὸ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον τὰ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενα	^[M] what is attested	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of</i> <i>testifying upon</i>

ἐπιμαρτυρεῖν	to attest	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying upon</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
κατηγορεῖν	to fully indicate	ΚΑΤΗΓΟΡΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly speaking publicly</i>
κεῖσθαι	to be set [up]	ΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting</i>
ἀντικεῖσθαι	to oppose	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting against</i>
ἀντικείμενος (η, ον)	contrary	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated against</i>

28.3 The Clear Natural Character of a Word vs. Semantic Indeterminacy from Convention

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. H (col. 2a)

...ο[ὗ]τ’ ἀδιάλυτον· εἰ δὲ μὴ [πάν]τες κατὰ τοῦτο ταύτ[ην] τὴν ιδέαν ἔλαβον, ἀλλ[ά τι]νες καὶ κατὰ τούτου...

...οὔτ’ **ἀδιάλυτον**· εἰ δὲ μὴ Πάντες **κατὰ τοῦτο ταύτην τὴν ιδέαν** ἔλαβον – ἀλλὰ **Τινες καὶ κατὰ τούτου**...

...nor **indissoluble**. but if All [*people*] do not comprehend **this very idea** in accordance with this [*meaning of the word*] – but Some [*people*] also against that [*meaning, comprehend a different idea*]...

ἀδιάλυτος (ον)	indissoluble	ΑΔΙΑΛΥΤΟΣ <i>not fully loose</i>
ἡ ιδέα αἱ ιδέαι	idea	ΙΔΕΑ <i>appearing</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 5 (col.1)

...φανταστικῶς ἐπεὶ... ...μεν οὐδ’ ὑπάρχοντος... ...νοήση... ...[ἐ]π’ ἐνίων... ...ἴ[σ]ως οὖν ἤδη... [δι]αφορὰν ἀπὸ [ταύτης τῆς ο]ὔτως οὐ φαν[ταστικῆς] δόξης οὐ φαν[ταστικῶ]ς ἂν κατὰ τοῦτω[ν]...

...**φανταστικῶς, ἐπεὶ... ...μεν οὐδ’ ὑπάρχοντος... ...νοήση... ...ἐπ’ ἐνίων... ...ἴσως οὖν ἤδη... ...διαφορὰν ἀπὸ ταύτης τῆς οὔτως, οὐ φανταστικῆς δόξης** – οὐ **φανταστικῶς ἂν κατὰ τούτων**...

...in an **appearance-based way** [*i.e., in an objective way of thinking*], since...
...even though not existing... ...to a **concept**... ...already upon some... ...a **difference** [*in meaning*] from this very judgment, [*which is*] **NOT** impression-based – would not in an impression-based way against these...

φανταστικός (ή, όν)	appearance-based	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of appearing</i>
φανταστικῶς	in an appearance-based way	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of appearance</i>
ὑπάρχων (ουσα, ον)	existing [already]	ΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ἡ νόησις αἱ νοήσεις	concept	ΝΟΗΣΙΣ <i>seeing</i>
ἔνιοι (αι, α)	some	ΕΝΙΟΙ <i>one</i>

ἴσως	perhaps	ΙΣΩΣ <i>equal / likely</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr.5 (col.3a)

...χουσι τοῦτο ἐπι... ...ἀλλὰ λαμβά[νουσι]... ...θεώρημ[α]... ...[ἐμ περιλ]ηπτικῶ[ι] τ[ρόπῳ] τὴν φαν[τασίαν]...

...τοῦτο ἐπι... ...ἀλλὰ Λαμβάνουσι... ...Θεώρημα... ...ἐμ περιληπτικῶ τρόπῳ, τὴν φαντασίαν...

...this upon... ...but They comprehend... ...a [*mental*] Observation... ...in a way relating to what is completely comprehensible, the appearance...

λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	[<i>the act of mental</i>] observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
τὸ θεώρημα τὰ θεωρήματα	[<i>a mental</i>] observation	ΘΕΩΡΗΜΑ <i>result of envisioning</i>
περιληπτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	relating to what is completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold around</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr.5 (col.2)

...δόξαν... ...ἀτό[μ]ω[ν]... ...φαν[ταστικ]οῖς ἐγγενό[σ]ι εἰ... ...οὐκ ἐ[λ]άμβανον... ...[ἀλ]λ’ ἐ[σ]τιν τοιοῦτο... π[άν]των τῶν...

...[φ]υσικόν χαρακτῆρα... ...τῶν ἐμ περ[ι]λη[πτικῶι] τρό[π]ῳ διανο[ήσ]εων...

...δόξαν... ...ἀτόμων... ...φανταστικοῖς ἐγγενόσι, εἰ... ...οὐκ Ἐλάμβανον... ...ἀλλ’ ἔστιν τοιοῦτο... πάντων τῶν... ...φυσικόν χαρακτῆρα... ...τῶν ἐμ περιληπτικῶ τρόπῳ διανοήσεων...

...judgment... ...of atoms... ...in the inherent [*objects of thought that are*] appearance-based, if... ...They did not comprehend... ...but [] such as this exists... ...of all... ...the natural character [*lit., "the physical engraving"*]... ...of mental perceptions in a way relating to what is completely comprehensible...

ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἄτομος <i>(ον)</i>	indivisible	ΑΤΟΜΑ <i>not cut</i>
τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
φανταστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	appearance- based	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of appearing</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>

ἐγγίγνεσθαι = ἐνγίγνεσθαι = ἐνγίγεσθαι =	to be inherent	ΕΝΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἐνγενής = ἐγγενής (ής, ές)	inherent	ΕΝΓΕΝΗΣ <i>coming to be in</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
τοιούτος (τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
φυσικός (ή, όν)	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
ὁ χαρακτήρ οἱ χαρακτῆρες	character	ΧΑΡΑΚΤΗΡ <i>engraving</i>
περιληπτικός (ή, όν)	relating to what is completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold around</i>
ή διάνοια αἱ δianoiai	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 5 (col. 4)

...ὔστ[ερων]... ...τοῖ[ς ἀν]τικειμέν[οις]... ...τι τῆς α[ἰ]σθήσεω[ς]... ...τάδε αὐ[τὰ μηδε] πώπο[τε πι]οιηθῆγ[αι]... τήν αὐτήμ [φ]ύσιν... ...ὔστερον ἐξ [ἄλλ]η[ς τινός φύ]σεως ἐφήσαμ[εν]... ...ἀμέλει ἐπὶ... ...[ἀλ]λὰ [πάσ]ας τὰς... ...φάσκειν εἶνα[ι]... ...ὄνομ[α]... ...δοθ[έ]ν οὐ φαντ[αστικόν]... ...εἶ[ναι] τῶν...

...ὔστερον... ...τοῖς ἀντικειμένοις... ...Τι τῆς αἰσθήσεως... ...τάδε αὐτὰ μηδε πώποτε ποιηθῆναι... ...τήν αὐτήμ φύσιν... ...ὔστερον, ἐξ ἄλλης τινός φύσεως Ἐφήσαμεν... ...ἀμέλει ἐπὶ... ...ἀλλὰ πάσας τὰς... ...φάσκειν εἶναι... ...Ὅνομα... ...δοθέν, οὐ φανταστικόν... ...εἶναι τῶν...

...afterwards... ...to the things that are contrary to... ...Something of sensation... ...that these very things have never been made... ...the same nature... ...afterward, We asserted from a certain other nature... ...doubtless upon... ...but all the... ...to allege to be... ...a Word... ...given, the non-impression-based... ...to be of the...

ὔστερον	afterwards	ὔστερον <i>next</i>
ἀντικείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	contrary	αντικειμενος <i>situated against</i>
ή αἰσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	αισθησις <i>perceiving process</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ποιεῖν <i>gathering</i>
ποιηθῆναι	to be made [already]	ποιηθῆναι <i>gathered</i>
ἀναφωνεῖν	to declare	αναφωνεῖν <i>speaking up</i>
βεβαιοῦν	to affirm	βεβαιοῦν <i>firm standing</i>
λέγειν	to say	λεγειν <i>speaking</i>
φάναι	to assert	φαναι <i>speaking</i>
φάσκειν	to allege	φασκειν <i>affirming</i>
ἀμέλει	doubtless	αμελει <i>not caring</i>
ή ὁμιλία αἱ ὁμιλῖαι	association	ὁμιλία <i>company</i>

ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
ἡ διάλεκτος αἱ διάλεκτοι	way of speaking	ΔΙΑΛΕΚΤΟΣ <i>speaking across</i>
ἡ ὀνομασία αἱ ὀνομασίαι	designation	ΟΝΟΜΑΣΙΑ <i>state of name</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
δοθεῖς (εἶσα, ἐν)	given	ΔΟΘΕΙΣ <i>given</i>

28.4 Inaccurate Language Shift

A change in the use of a word that is based *only in the addition of opinion* – and *not based on sensation* – obviously would *not stand up empirically*. Applying a name to an object expresses an opinion, and this opinion can be correct or incorrect.

The fact that differences in environment are reflected in differences in language further supports the idea that human use of language has a *natural connection to its environment*.

Within the original development of any functional language, each word must have naturally been used, *mostly by natural impulse*, to denote objects or circumstances that were *immediately present and visible* – yet, over time, *by logical manipulation and conventional usages*, languages tend to add abstractions.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 6 (col. 1)

...πραγ[μ]άτωμ... ...[οὔ]τως ἐμφαιν[ό]ν[τω]ν ἐπὶ τῇ[ς] ἐμῆς περὶ διαθέσεως λόγ[ου]ς γυμνα[σ]ίας· οὐ[τω γ]ὰρ ἀναγκαῖον ἦν τοῦτο {υτο} ἐνδίκνυ[σθ]αι τὸ δὴ ὅτ[ι] βλέποντες [το]ὺς ὁμοφώνου[ς] ἡμῖν] ὁ[ν]τα[ς] ἀ[ν]τικειμ[έ]ν[ω]ς αἷς ἡμε[ῖ]ς φωναῖς χρ[ώ]μ[ε]θ[α] ψευδέ[ς] τι ἄλλ]ο ὑπ[ο]τίττοντα[ς] ἀνύποπτον] οἷτό[ν τε] ἐ[π]’ ἐκί[ναι]ς ταῖς ἐ[ννο]ία[ι]ς, ἀλλὰ μένο[ν]τι[ς]... ...πρὸ[ς] α]ὐτούς... ...[δεδο]ξάσθαι ἢ διὰ τὸ... κατ[αφα]τικόν... μᾶ[λλον] ἐπετ...

*addidi [οὔ]

...πραγμάτων... ...οὕτως ἐμφαινόντων ἐπὶ τῆς ἐμῆς περὶ διαθέσεως λόγους γυμνασίας: οὕτω γὰρ, ἀναγκαῖον Ἦν Τοῦτο ἐνδίκνυσθαι τὸ δὴ ὅτι, Βλέποντες τοὺς ὁμοφώνους ἡμῖν – ὄντας ἀντικειμένως αἷς Ἡμεῖς φωναῖς χρώμεθα – ψευδές τι ἄλλο ὑποτάττοντας, ἀνύποπτόν τε ἐπ' ἐκίναις ταῖς ἐννοίαις. ἀλλὰ μένοντες... ...πρὸς αὐτούς... ...δεδοξάσθαι ἢ διὰ τὸ... ...καταφατικὸν... ...μᾶλλον...

...of [practical] situations... ...thus revealing in relation to my reasonings about the arrangement of schools: for in this way, It was necessary for This to indicate the [fact] that indeed, [we are] Seeing those people speaking the same [language] as us – while they are in opposition to Our use of statements – [because they are] assigning something else [that is] false, and [which is] without suspicion of those very internal conceptions. but [we are still] pending... ...towards those... ...to have been judged or through the... ...affirmative... ...more...

[Sedley] ...< >...For it was so necessary to point out that we, by observing that those who speak the same language as us were, in contrast to our own use of words, assigning some unsuspected false connotation in addition to those meanings... < >...

τὸ πράγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
ἐμφαίνειν	to reveal	ΕΜΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining in</i>
ἐμφαινών	revealing	
ἡ διάθεσις αἱ διαθέσεις	arrangement	ΔΙΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing through</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>

ἡ γυμνασία αἱ γυμνασίαι	school / gymnasium	ΓΥΜΝΑΣΙΑ <i>naked</i>
<i>This has both a literal and a metaphorical meaning. Initially, it referred to physical exercises or a gymnastic school, but it later came to mean a school more broadly, including philosophical schools</i>		
ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
δείκνυναι	to prove	ΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing</i>
ἐνδίκνυσθαι = ἐνδείκνυσθαι	to be indicated in	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΣΘΑΙ <i>showing in</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
τό σύμφωνον τά σύμφωνα	agreement [with]	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΟΝ <i>speaking together</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
ὁμόφωνος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	speaking the same	ΟΜΟΦΩΝΟΣ <i>speaking the same</i>
ἀντικείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	contrary	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated against</i>
ἀντικειμένως	in opposition	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΩΣ <i>situated against</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
χρᾶσθαι	<i>[with dative]</i> to use, <i>[with genitive]</i> to desire	ΧΡΑΣΘΑΙ
ψευδής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	false	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ <i>deceiving</i>
διατάττειν	to arrange	ΔΙΑΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering across</i>
ὑποτάττειν	to assign	ΥΠΟΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering under</i>
ὑποτάττων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	assigning	
ἀνύποπτος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	without [reason for] suspicion	ΑΝΥΠΟΠΤΟΣ <i>not looking [suspiciously] under</i>
ἐκίνος = ἐκεῖνος <i>(ἐκεῖνη, ἐκεῖνο)</i>	that	ΕΚΕΙΝΟΣ <i>that there</i>
ἡ ἔννοια αἱ ἔννοιαι	internal conception	ΕΝΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing in</i>
προσμένων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	still pending	ΠΡΟΣΜΕΝΩΝ <i>remaining further</i>
μένων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	pending	ΜΕΝΩΝ <i>remaining</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
δεδοξάσθαι	to have been judged	ΔΕΔΟΞΑΣΘΑΙ <i>seemed</i>
φαίνεσθαι	to be apparent	ΦΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>shining</i>
καταφατικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	affirmative	ΚΑΤΑΦΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of thoroughly appearing</i>

Mental impressions (τὰ φαντάσματα) come from films (τὰ εἶδωλα) of external objects, and our feelings and experiences (τὰ πάθη) result, in part, from their infiltration from the outside world. Hence the primitive languages of different people are largely an instinctive reaction to their particular environment.

People utter sounds naturally in reaction to objects and feelings, and, noticing that they have one sound to correspond with each object or feeling, they find it useful to employ those sounds as labels.

After this process, the role of convention is twofold:

(1) people try to rationalize or simplify language that *ambiguous or excessively long-winded*

(2) people introduce *new words for objects of thought which lie beyond the range of direct perception (and thus do not have naturally formed labels)*

Therefore language is *based on natural impulse* and is then altered, for better or worse, by reason and convention.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 8 (col. 2)

...εἶναι καὶ μὴ ἔν οἷον τῶν [τε] ἐνταῦθα μετ[άθε]σις κα[ὶ] οὐ δογμάτωμ φαγταστικῶν, τῶμ μέντοι οὐ φανταστικῶν καὶ δογμάτων. οὐ μὴν ἄλλ' ἔτερά γ' ἂν τινα δηλονότι εἴη ἐκίνοι[ς] εἰ μὴ ἐγὼ προήενν[κα καὶ] ἐπ' ἄλλ' ἅτ[τ]α ἃ φαντ[ασιά]ζοιεν τὰς ἀ[να]γωγὰς...

...καὶ μὴ ἔν οἷον τῶν τε ἐνταῦθα μετὰθεσις – καὶ οὐ δογμάτων φανταστικῶν, τῶν μέντοι οὐ φανταστικῶν καὶ δογμάτων. οὐ μὴν – ἄλλ' Ἔτερα γ' ἂν τινα δηλονότι εἴη ἐκίνοις – εἰ μὴ Ἐγὼ προήενενκα καὶ, ἐπ' ἄλλ' ἅττα, ἃ Φαντασιάζοιεν τὰς ἀναγωγὰς...

...[we did not recommend the rearrangement of meaning of all types of words], and NOT one such as the rearrangement [of the meaning] regarding those [words used] in this respect – indeed NOT [the rearrangement of meaning] regarding the APPEARANCE-BASED interpretation, but rather [the rearrangement of meaning] regarding the NON-appearance-based interpretation. no indeed – instead it is clear that certain Different [false connotations] would exist for them – if I had not also presented, in relation to certain other things, that which They might have imagined [to be] references [to appearance-based interpretations]...

[Sedley] ...< >...Nevertheless, it is clear that they would have additional ones, had I not cited appeals which they made to other opinions which they* associated with images...

*Possibly referring to the Megarian circle of Diodorus 'Cronus,' a contemporary of Epikouros, who is indirectly referenced later in this book

Epicurus is not saying that any word that corresponds in some way to a visual object does not bear correction, but only the aspect of the word that does correspond in a visual way is not the aspect of the word that is at fault.

ἐνταῦθα	in this [respect]	ΕΝΤΑΥΘΑ <i>in this</i>
ἢ μετὰθεσις αἱ μεταθέσεις	rearrangement	ΜΕΤΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>placing change</i>
τό δόγμα τά δόγματα	teaching [here, "interpretation"]	ΔΟΓΜΑ <i>seeming</i>
φανταστικός (ή, όν)	appearance-based	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of appearing</i>
ἕτερος (ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)	different [other / another]	ἜΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
δῆλος (η, ον)	(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
δῆλον	clearly	ΔΗΛΟΝ <i>clear</i>
δηλονότι	it is clear that	ΔΗΛΟΝΟΤΙ <i>clear that</i>

ἐκεῖνος <i>(ἐκεῖνη, ἐκεῖνο)</i>	that	ΕΚΕΙΝΟΣ <i>that there</i>
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
προφέρειν	to present	ΠΡΟΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing forward</i>
ἄττα	some	ΑΤΤΑ <i>is an alternative form of</i> ΤΙΝΑ
τινά	certain	
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ὁ φαντασμός οἱ φαντασμοί	what appears	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΟΣ <i>process of appearing</i>
φαντασιάζεσθαι	to imagine [for oneself]	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>to make the state of appearance</i>
ἡ συναγωγή αἱ συναγωγαί	gathering	ΣΥΝΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going together</i>
ἡ ἄγωγή αἱ ἄγωγαί	guidance	ΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going</i>
ἡ ἀναγωγή αἱ ἀναγωγαί	reference	ΑΝΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going again</i>

28.6 Void as an Object of Thought

Consider 10.37b: "First of all, Herodotus, it is necessary to understand *what is assigned to expressions: in order that*, we may have *what is judged, investigated, or uncertain* to test *by referring to these [expressions]* – so that everything does not go *untested by us* – leading *into infinity*, or us having *empty expressions [i.e., using meaningless words]*. Seeing [for ourselves] the primary internal conception for each word, and in no way needing *proof*, is *necessary* – if we are to have [something] to which we will refer *what is investigated, uncertain, or judged*."

The "*first meaning*" or "primary internal conception (**τὸ πρῶτον ἐννόημα**)" is equivalent to *an anticipation* (**ἡ πρόληψις**) – a basic mental impression that exists in the mind from exposure to sense-evidence, but before any active manipulative thinking. By accessing this primary internal sense, a perceived object can be mentally associated with a word in an *immediate and perceptual way*.
Thus a word used in a secondary non-perceptual sense can have no anticipation (**ἡ πρόληψις**). However many such words can be traced back to the anticipations naturally associated with a class of objects that is directly perceptible.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 8 (col. 3)

...κενὸμ μηδὲν ἥττον εἶναι γέ τι φήσωμεν κενόν. τοῦτ[ο οὐ]ν δὴ φη[μι]... ...ἡμ[ῶ]ν λέ γ[οισι]ν... τοῦθ' εὐρέθη ο[ὐ] τ[οι]οῦτον ὃ[v οἶ]ον ἐδοξάζομεν...

...κενὸμ, μηδὲν ἥττον εἶναι γέ τι φήσωμεν κενόν. τοῦτο οὖν δὴ Φημι... ...ἡμῖν λέγουσιν... τοῦθ' εὐρέθη οὐ τοιοῦτον ὃν οἶον ἔδοξάζομεν...

...void, We shall assert that Void IN NO WAY TO A LESSER EXTENT also exists as something. Therefore, indeed, I assert this... ...They say to us... ...[that] this was discovered not to be such as We had judged...

τὸ κενόν	void	KENON <i>emptiness</i>
ἥττον	to a lesser extent	ἥΤΤΟΝ <i>inferior</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
εὐρίσκειν	to discover	ΕΥΡΙΣΚΕΙΝ <i>finding</i>

τοιοῦτος (τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
οἶος (οἶα, οἶον)	such as	ΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>

28.7 Example of the Void

Take, for example, the use of **κενόν** to denote "*void*" in physics. To grasp this *derived sense* you must form *an anticipation* (**ἡ πρόληψις**) by picturing how the word is used in its primary, perceptual sense. You may perhaps picture an empty (**κενόν**) box. What distinguishes it from a full box is that *you can move your hand around inside it without hindrance*.

Thus when using the word "*void*" in physics you will know – without requiring any further proof – that it always *presupposes the possibility of movement*.

Language, when used in this way, can act as an analogy between the visible and the invisible.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 8 (col. 4)

...φύσις ἐνταῦθα κατὰ γε [τὴν] δόξ[αν τ]ὴν [αὐτοῦ] τοῦ πρώ[τον οἰομ]ένου καὶ ἅμα καὶ πο[τὲ καὶ] ἐνταῦθα τὸ κενόν· οὐ[τως ο]ὔν καὶ τ[ο]ῦτο [ν]οεῖται [ἐν ἐκ]ίναις τα[ῖς] λέξ[εσιν] ἃς ἐν [τῶι] περὶ [τῶμ] πρώ[τον] γιγνω[σκ]όντω[ν αὐ] τοὺς γεγράφα[μεν· εἴ]τα δ' ἀναλαβόντες αὐ[θις τ]ὸ [βι]βλίον ἡκριβ[ώσαμεν]... ...καὶ μακρο[τέρ]ως...

...Φύσις, ἐνταῦθα, κατὰ γε τὴν δόξαν τὴν αὐτοῦ τοῦ πρώτον οἰομένου καὶ ἅμα καὶ ποτέ καὶ ἐνταῦθα τὸ κενόν· οὕτως οὔν, καὶ Τοῦτο νοεῖται ἐν ἐκίναις ταῖς λέξεσιν ἃς ἐν τῷ περὶ τῶν πρώτων γιγνωσκόντων αὐτοὺς Γεγράφαμεν· εἴτα δ’ ἀναλαβόντες αὖθις τὸ βιβλίον – ἤκριβώσαμεν... ...καὶ μακροτέρως...

...the Nature [of the void exists], in this respect, according to the judgment of the one who was originally believing at once, at some time and in this respect that the void [exists]. thus, This [nature of the void] too is conceived in those terms which We have composed in the work concerning those first [men] coming to know those [words]. but then, [when we] took up [our] book again – We have made precise... ...and more extensively...

[Sedley] ...The nature [of the void is] here, according to the opinion of the first man to think of void in terms of immediacy and time and place. Consequently, void too is given this meaning in those expressions which we have written in our work* concerning the men who *first had knowledge of them. We subsequently resumed that book and made a study of...

**possibly Epicurus' book "Declarations" (Ἀναφωνήσεις).*

** A language, in its early development, is more focused on visible objects and the present time. This is observably true for the origin of a new language from a pidgin to a patois. As a language develops from its origin of simple immediacy, purposeful human convention adds abstractions.*

ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ἐνταῦθα	in this [respect]	ΕΝΤΑΥΘΑ <i>in this</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
οἰόμενος (η, ον)	believing	ΟΙΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>perceiving</i>
ἅμα	^[adv] simultaneously, ^[prep] together with	ἈΜΑ <i>at once</i>
τὸ κενόν	void	ΚΕΝΟΝ <i>emptiness</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>

ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
ἡ γνῶσις αἱ γνώσεις	knowledge	ΓΝΩΣΙΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
γινώσκειν = γιννῶσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
γιννῶσκων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	coming to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΩΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
συγγράφειν	to compose together	ΣΥΓΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing together</i>
γράφειν	to compose	ΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing</i>
λαβών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	[intellectually] comprehended, [generally] acquired	ΛΑΒΩΝ <i>grasped</i>
ἀναλαβών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	took up again	ΑΝΑΛΑΒΩΝ <i>grasped up / again</i>
αὔθις	again	ΑΥΘΙΣ
τὸ βιβλίον τὰ βιβλία	book	ΒΙΒΛΙΟΝ <i>strip of papyrus</i>
ἡ ἀκρίβεια αἱ ἀκρίβειαι	precision	ΑΚΡΙΒΕΙΑ <i>state of pointed</i>
τὸ ἀκρίβωμα τὰ ἀκριβώματα	precise detail	ΑΚΡΙΒΩΜΑ <i>result of pointed</i>
ἀκριβοῦν	to make precise	ΑΚΡΙΒΟΥΝ <i>pointed</i>
μακρὰν	by a long way	ΜΑΚΡΑΝ <i>long-reaching</i>
μακρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	distant	ΜΑΚΡΟΣ <i>long-reaching</i>
μακροτέρως	more extensively	ΜΑΚΡΟΤΕΡΩΣ <i>long-reaching</i>

28.8 Limits of Altering Names

Originally, Epicurus and Metrodorus understood, *through the process of inductive consideration, i.e. "empirical reasoning" (ὁ ἐπιλογισμός)*, that certain words were *incorrectly or at least not precisely defined by convention* in the *non-appearance-based way of thinking* – but could be *naturally and more immediately understood by analogy to the visible*. Therefore they wished to correct *the errors and imprecision in conventional language* and return to *the immediacy of a youthful language*.

With this understanding, Metrodorus began redirecting what many words indicated *back to their natural and immediate sense, in a way that gave little regard to conventional usages*.

However they eventually came to realize that (although this process is conceptually sound) it is nevertheless not possible to change most words, even if conceptual reasoning suggests they are used imprecisely – due to the deeply ingrained conventional usage now associated with those words.

From this will follow the concession that, for the most part, we do employ ordinary conventional language in our philosophy. Over time Epicurus came to accept that *large linguistic changes were not practical* and accepted *conventional usages for most words* – now only insisting on correcting those that actually indicate an error in thought (which will inevitably result in an error in action).

...[οὐκ ἔξεστι ποιῆσαι πολλῶν] καὶ παντο[ῖ]ω[ν] ὀνομάτων μεταθ[έ]ε[ις]· ὀλίγα γὰρ ἔξ[ε]στιν τῶ[ν σ]ὺν ταῖς αἰσθήσεσιν ἃ πρότερον [ο]ὕτως δι[ὐ]ο[ρ]ίζοντες κατὰ τὸν οὐ φαγταστικ[ὸ]ν τρόπον μετεθέμεθα συνιδόντες οὐ [τοια]ῦτα ἕκ τινος ἐπιλ[ογ]ισμ[ο]ῦ· τὰ δὲ πλεῖστα τῶν ἐ[μ πε]ρι[λ]ηπτικῶι τρόπῳ [ἐ]λεγχο[μ]ένων ἄν... ...τινὶ ἢ κ[ατ]ακ[α]λ[υ]ψαι ὀχλεῖν ἕτερον ἢ ὄνομα... ...μετατ[ι]θέμενοι... ...οἱ πολλοί...

...οὐκ”Ἐξεστι ποιῆσαι **πολλῶν** καὶ **παντοίων ὀνομάτων μεταθέσεις**· Ὀλίγα γὰρ ἔξέστιν τῶν **σὺν ταῖς αἰσθήσεσιν** – **ἃ, πρότερον οὕτως (διορίζοντες κατὰ τὸν οὐ φανταστικὸν τρόπον)** Μετεθέμεθα – συνιδόντες **οὐ τοιαῦτα ἕκ τινος ἐπιλογισμοῦ**· τὰ δὲ Πλεῖστα **τῶν ἐμπεριληπτικῶ τρόπῳ ἐλεγχομένων**, ἄν... ...**τινὶ ἢ Κατακαλύψει ὀχλεῖν ἕτερον ἢ ὄνομα**... ...**μετατιθέμενοι**... ...οἱ Πολλοί...

...It is not possible to make rearrangements of many and all kinds of words. for [only a] Few [rearrangements] are allowed of those [words] relating to sensation – which [words], We formerly changed in this way (while we were differentiating in a non-appearance-based way) – because we fully mentally saw from a certain [process of] inductive consideration [that they were] not like this. but the Greatest [amount] of those [words] being refuted [that exist instead] in the completely comprehensible way [of thinking] within, would [not be able to be changed due to their deeply ingrained conventional usage]... ...to some [thing], or It would completely cover Another [phrase] or Word that is annoying [due to its improper use]... ...[they] rearranged... ...the Public...

[Sedley] It is not possible to alter many different kinds of names. It is possible to alter a few of those which entail perception – the one which we previously defined in the non-image-making manner, * but which we altered when by some act of empirical reasoning we saw that they were not of this kind. * But as for the majority of names which might have been found false by a conceptual process... < >...

**Defining a word in a "non-image-making manner" is to associate a word with an object without referring that word to a mental impression of the object to check that the word is suited to it. Some words are tied to perception in ways that are not obvious – we will use these words correctly when we understand them by analogy to observation. The process of empirical reasoning (ἐπιλογισμός) can show that a word is not suited to an object by tracing that word back to its single original connotation.*

**Practically speaking, during one's lifetime, most words are fixed in their usage (for example, the unnatural but conventional use of "wealth" for objects that extend beyond the wealth of nature) – but some words tied to perception and feelings can be corrected (for example, the naturally correct but unconventional meaning of the word "pleasure" for the lack of pain).*

ἔξεστιν	it is possible	ἔξεστιν <i>it exists out of</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ποιεῖν <i>gathering</i>
παντοῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	all kinds	παντοῖος <i>all of this sort</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ὄνομα <i>name</i>
ἢ μεταθέσεις αἱ μεταθέσεις	rearrangement	μεταθέσεις <i>placing change</i>
ὀλίγος <i>(η, ον)</i>	few, short [of time]	ὀλίγος <i>little</i>
ἢ αἰσθesis αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	αἰσθesis <i>perceiving process</i>
πρότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	former	πρότερος <i>before [contrast]</i>
διορίζειν	to differentiate	διορίζειν <i>between the border</i>
διορίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	differentiating	διορίζων <i>between the border</i>
φανταστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	appearance-based	φανταστικός <i>characteristic of appearing</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	τρόπος <i>manner / direction</i>

μετατιθέναι	to change [one's use of]	ΜΕΤΑΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing change</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
ιδεῖν	to have seen [mentally]	ΙΔΕΙΝ <i>seen</i>
συνορᾶν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>
συνιδεῖν	to have fully seen [mentally]	ΣΥΝΙΔΕΙΝ <i>seen together</i>
συνιδών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	having fully seen [mentally]	ΣΥΝΙΔΩΝ <i>seen together</i>
τὸ τοιοῦτον τά τοιαῦτα	what is like this <i>(such a thing)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΝ <i>this of this sort</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of</i> <i>reasoning action</i> <i>upon</i>
πλεῖστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	the greatest <i>[the most]</i>	ΠΛΕΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most</i>
ἐμπεριληπτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	* completely comprehensible way within	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>being taken hold</i> <i>around in</i>
ἐξελέγχειν	to refute out	ΕΞΕΛΕΓΧΕΙΝ <i>confuting out</i> <i>[with contrary proof]</i>
ἐλέγχειν	to refute	ΕΛΕΓΧΕΙΝ <i>confuting</i> <i>[with contrary proof]</i>
ἐλεγχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being refuted	ΕΛΕΓΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>confuting</i> <i>[with contrary proof]</i>
κατακαλύπτειν	to cover completely	ΚΑΤΑΚΑΛΥΠΤΕΙΝ <i>completely</i> <i>covering</i>
ὁ ὄχλος οἱ ὄχλοι	crowd	ΟΧΛΟΣ <i>crowd of people</i>
ἐνοχλεῖν	to be disturbing to	ΕΝΟΧΛΕΙΝ <i>in a crowd of</i> <i>people</i>
όχλεῖν	to disturb	ΟΧΛΕΙΝ <i>crowd of people</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different [other / another]	ἜΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
μετατιθέμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	rearranged	ΜΕΤΑΤΙΘΕΜΕΝΟΣ <i>placing change</i>

ή ὀνομάτων μεταθέσεις	rearrangement of words
τῶν ὀνομάτων μετατίθεσθαι	rearranging of words [in alignment]
σὺν ταῖς αἰσθήσεσιν	with the sensations
<i>i.e., using empirical reasoning to match the primary connotation of the word with its corresponding object</i>	

Epicurus considered some of his early writings to contain mistakes. These early writings were called **τὰ ἀρχαῖα** and **τὰ ἀρχαϊκά**.

"[The Stoics] claim 'just as Epicurus is not considered worthy of reproach for the mistakes mentioned in early writings (**ἐν τοῖς ἀρχαῖκοῖς**), so Zeno should not be either.'" (Philodemus, P.Herc. 339 col. 17, On the Stoics, 11.4-22)

[Sedley] "Epicurus' conclusion that the philosopher may, for want of a better medium, use ordinary language, is necessarily qualified by the demand (which he was already making when he wrote the Letter to Herodotus), that the resulting linguistic inaccuracies and ambiguities should be evaded by always seeing beyond the present conventional meanings of words to the natural first meanings which underlie them – and also that error should so far as possible be identified by reference not to language but to the practical behavior in which someone's falsity manifests itself."

28.9 [Reference Practical Outcomes]

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. fr.10 (col. 1a)

...πραγμ[ατα]... [π]ραγματικῶν [θε]ωρημ[άτω]ν ἐνδίδει καὶ [τ]ῇμ περ[ίληψι]μ... ...τῆς δόξη[ς τ]ῆς... τοῖς πραγ[μασιν]... περ[ὶ] ταύτ]ης [τε] τῆς εἰς τοῦτο ἐμ[β]αλλούσης ὑπολήψεως. ὄντων δ' οὖν τοιούτων οἶον... τούτων κατὰ τὴν [αἴρ]εσιμ π[ρατ]τόντων... τῇ[ς] ἐπαγωγῇ[ς] γιγν[έσθ]ω τῆς πραγματ[ικ]ῆς...

*addidi [ατα] atque [μασιν]

...**πράγματα**... ...πραγματικῶν **θεωρημάτων** **ἐνδίδει** καὶ **τὴμ περίληψιμ**... ...τῆς δόξης τῆς... ...**τοῖς πράγμασιν**... ...περὶ ταύτης **τε** τῆς εἰς **τοῦτο** ἐμβαλλούσης ὑπολήψεως – **ὄντων δ’ οὖν τοιούτων οἶον**... ...**τούτων**, κατὰ τὴν αἵρεσιμ **πραττόντων**... ...τῆς ἐπαγωγῆς **Γιγνέσθω** τῆς **πραγματικῆς**...

...[practical] situations... ...to even indicate [our] complete comprehension with practical [mental] observations... ...of the judgment which... ...to the [practical] situations... ...about this and [about] the supposition [being] thrown into this [definition] – but with [words] like this existing such as this... ...with these [observations of practical consequences], according to the choice of those accomplishing... ...Let [this argument] be produced with a reference [to observation] of the practical [way of thinking]...

τὸ πράγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
πραγματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	practical	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ θεωρία αἱ θεωρίαι	<i>[the act of mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΙΑ <i>act of envisioning</i>
τὸ θεώρημα τὰ θεωρήματα	<i>[a mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΗΜΑ <i>result of envisioning</i>
ἐνδεικνύσασθαι	to be indicated in [already]	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΣΑΣΘΑΙ
ἐνδείκνυσθαι	to be indicated in	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΣΘΑΙ <i>showing in</i>
ἐνδεικνύναι	to indicate in	
λήψεσθαι	to be comprehended [in the future]	ΛΗΨΕΣΘΑΙ <i>taking hold</i>
ἡ περίληψις αἱ περιλήψεις	complete comprehension	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold around</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
βάλλειν	to throw	ΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing</i>
ἐμβάλλειν	to throw in	ΕΜΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing in</i>
ἐμβάλλων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	throwing in	ΕΜΒΑΛΛΩΝ
ἡ ὑπόληψις αἱ ὑπολήψεις	supposition	ΥΠΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold under</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>

οἶος (οἶα, οἶον)	such as [here "as if"]	ΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
οὗτος (αὕτη, τοῦτο)	this	ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
ἡ αἴρεσις αἱ αἱρέσεις	choice	ΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
πράττων (ουσα, ον)	accomplishing	ΠΡΑΤΤΩΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
συνάγειν	to gather	ΣΥΝΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going together</i>
ἐπάγειν	to bring in	ΕΠΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going on/over</i>
ἡ ἐπαγωγή αἱ ἐπαγωγαί	reference [to observation]	ΕΠΑΓΩΓΗ <i>result of</i> <i>going toward</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
πραγματικός (ή, όν)	practical	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>result of doing /</i> <i>affair</i>

28.10 [Sensations as a Test for Conceptions]

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. fr. 11 (col. 1)

...α[ισ]θήσεων όξυδερκίας... ...έν άπ[ορ]ήματι νεν[όηκε ή] κατ[α]καλύψει· δοξα[στών γάρ δή] έκ τ[ῶ]μ φαινομένων [άπα]σιν άν[θ]ρώποις τό δηλ[ωθησό]μενον ανάλογίσματ[ι] έ[σ]τιν. όθεν κατὰ τόν τοιο[ῦ]τον τρόπον τά φαιν[όμενον]... ...έπί τῶν αύ[τῶν]...

...^[A]αἰσθήσεων όξυδερκίας... ...^[O]έν άπορήματι Νενόηκε ή κατακαλύψει.

δοξαστόν γάρ δή ^[Θ]έκ τῶμ φαινομένων ἅπασιν ανθρώποις Τό δηλωθησόμενον ^[ΔO]ἀναλογίσματί έστιν – όθεν, κατὰ τόν τοιοῦτον τρόπον, Τά φαινόμενον... ...έπι τῶν αύτῶν...

...[one should make use] ^[A]of the sharp perception of the sensations... ...[to test what] He has conceived ^[O]in questions or in obscurities. for What will be made clear by ^[ΔO]an analogous thought is able to be judged indeed from ^[Θ]what appears to all people – from where, according to the way [of thinking] like this, The appearing things... ...upon the same...

[Sedley] [one should rely on] sharpness of perception [in order to check notions] of the kind which one conceives with difficulty or obscurity. For it is from what is plain for all men to see that opinions can be formed about that which awaits an analogically-reasoned proof. Hence it is in such a way that the perceptible...

ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αισθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
δέρκεσθαι	to see [clearly]	ΔΕΡΚΕΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing</i>
ἡ όξυδερκία αἱ όξυδερκίαι	sharp perception	ΟΞΥΔΕΡΚΙΑ <i>sharp seeing</i>
ἡ διαπορία αἱ διαπορίαι	uncertainty	ΔΙΑΠΟΡΙΑ <i>doubting across</i>
"Διαπορίαι"	"Uncertainties" a book by Epicurus	
τὸ άπόρημα τὰ άπορήματα	question	ΑΠΟΡΗΜΑ <i>result of doubting</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
κατακαλύπτειν	to cover completely	ΚΑΤΑΚΑΛΥΠΤΕΙΝ <i>completely covering</i>

660

ἡ κατακάλυψις αἱ κατακαλύψεις	obscurity	ΚΑΤΑΚΑΛΥΨΙΣ <i>completely covering</i>
δοξαστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able to be judged	ΔΟΞΑΣΤΟΣ <i>seeming</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(ή, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
ἅπας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	all together	ἌΠΑΣ <i>all together</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
δηλοῦν	to make clear	ΔΗΛΟΥΝ <i>clear</i>
τὸ δηλωθισόμενον τὰ δηλωθισόμενα	what will be made clear	ΔΗΛΩΘΗΣΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>clear</i>
τό διαλόγισμα τὰ διαλογίσματα	a thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of reasoning through action</i>
τὸ ἐπιλόγισμα τὰ ἐπιλογίσματα	an inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of reasoning action upon</i>
ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
τὸ ἀναλόγισμα τὰ ἀναλογίσματα	analogous thought	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of action of reasoning back</i> <i>[a conception of the unseen by analogy to the seen]</i>
ὅθεν	from where	
τοιούτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>

28.11 Review of Previous Language Theories

^[-M] non-attestation and ^[W] contestation are evoked in order to test *the current meaning of words based in convention* vs. *their actual fundamental etymological meaning based in natural impulse*. The connection of a word to an object *is not correct* if that connection *is not attested* or *is contested by sensation*.

^(-α) The error in the use of a word comes from our misapplication of our external sense of an object *to* our internal anticipation (πρόληψις) associated with that object.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr.11 (col. 2)

...ιδιοτήτος τῷ σοφῷ καὶ μὴ σοφῷ διε[φώ]νεις, οὐδὲμ παρε[μ]βάλλων [τοῦ π]ερὶ τῶν οὐκ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεων κα[ὶ] ἀντιμαρτυρήσεων. ἐτίθεις δ[έ] τινα τῶν ὀνομάτων εὐθύς διὰ τῆς αὐτῆς λέξεως, καὶ τὴν κατ' αὐτάς τὰς αἰσθήσεις πλάνην τῷ μ πολ[λῶ]ν ἀπο[σ]ημαίνων, οὐ μόν[ον] τὴν ἔν[νο]ιαν... τῆς ἐντ[ύ]ξ[εως]...

...ιδιοτήτος τῷ σοφῷ καὶ μὴ σοφῷ, Διεφώνεις – οὐδὲν παρεμβάλλων τοῦ περὶ τῶν ^[-M] οὐκ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεων καὶ ^[W] ἀντιμαρτυρήσεων – ^[Δ] Ἐτίθεις δέ τινα τῶν ὀνομάτων εὐθύς διὰ τῆς αὐτῆς λέξεως, καὶ τὴν κατ' ^[Α] αὐτάς τὰς αἰσθήσεις ^(-α) πλάνην τῶν πολλῶν ἀποσημαίνων – οὐ μόνον τὴν ἔννοιαν... ...τῆς ἐντεύξεως...

...of a particularized [vocabulary] for the wise man and for the unwise man, You [Metrodorus] made transparent – [you were] adding in nothing about ^[-M] non-attestations and ^[W] contestations [from sensations] – yet ^[Δ] You were setting up some of [your technical] words directly through the same terminology, and clearly signifying ^(-α) the error of the public concerning ^[Α] the sensations themselves – not only [our] internal conception... ...of the encounter...

[Sedley] [*In your search for verbal*] individuality you were in conflict [*as much*] with the wise man as with the unwise, by including nothing about lack of confirmatory evidence and the presence of counter-evidence. You fixed some of your vocabulary directly with the same language, also representing the error of most men with regard to what they actually perceive...

Despite his eventual provisional acceptance of ordinary language as a philosophical medium, Epicurus did not drop his view that it can represent false opinion. Note in "*the error of most men with regard to what they actually perceive (τὴν κατ' αὐτὰς τὰς αἰσθήσεις πλάνην τῶν πολλῶν)*" that once again a connection is implied between inaccurate word-usage and failure to correctly identify perceived objects.

ἡ ἰδιότης αἱ ἰδιότητες	particularity	ΙΔΙΟΤΗΣ <i>particular</i>
ἰδιότροπος <i>(ον)</i>	particular type of	ΙΔΙΟΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>particular way</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ἰδιότητος <i>(η, ον)</i>	particularized	ΙΔΙΟΤΗΤΟΣ <i>particular characteristic?</i>
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
ἐμφαίνειν	to reveal	ΕΜΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining in</i>
διαφαίνειν	to make transparent	ΔΙΑΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining through</i>
μεταβάλλων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	transforming	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΛΛΩΝ <i>throwing in change</i>
ὑποβάλλων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	suggesting	ΥΠΟΒΑΛΛΩΝ <i>throwing under</i>
παρεμβάλλων	adding in	ΠΑΡΕΜΒΑΛΛΩΝ <i>throwing in beside</i>
ἐπιμαρτυρεῖν	{M} to attest	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying upon</i>
ἡ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	[M] attestation	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying upon</i>
ἡ οὐκ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἱ οὐκ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	[-M] non-attestation	
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	{W} to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
ἡ ἀντιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἀντιμαρτυρήσεις	[W] contestation	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying against</i>
τιθέναι	to put [here, "to set up"]	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἴα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΟΥΣ ΕΥΟΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term [here, "terminology"]	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ἡ πλάνη αἱ πλάναι	error	ΠΛΑΝΗ <i>roaming</i>
τὸ σημεῖον τὰ σημεία	sign	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ <i>state of indication</i>
σημαίνειν	to indicate	ΣΗΜΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>making indication</i>

ἀποσημαίνειν	to clearly signify	ΑΠΟΣΗΜΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>making indication away</i>
ἀποσημαίνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	clearly signifying	
ἡ ἔννοια αἱ ἔννοιαι	internal conception	ΕΝΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing in</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
ἡ ἔντευξις αἱ ἐντεύξεις	encounter	ΕΝΤΕΥΞΙΣ <i>meeting within</i>

28.12 Metrodorus' Past Writings

*The Epicurean work upon which it is suggested an opponent might seize is presumably one written by **Metrodorus** (possibly his book **Πρὸς τοὺς Διαλεκτικούς**).*

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 11 (col. 4)

...συλλογίζόμεθα... ἦττον... ...μυθο[ι]ης αὐτοῦ [τὸ ἤδ]η λεγόμενον. οὐκ ἔχει οὖν οὐδὲ τοῦτο τ[ὸ]ν χαρα[κ]τῆρα κα[ὶ] ὥς] ἂν τις λανθάν[η] αὐτοῖ[ς] τι ἐναντι[ο]λογῶν, ὥσπερ καὶ ἐπὶ τοῦ...

...Συλλογίζόμεθα... ...ἦττον... ...Μυθοίης αὐτοῦ τὸ ἤδη λεγόμενον. οὐκἔχει, οὖν, οὐδὲ **τοῦτο τὸν χαρακτῆρα**: καὶ ὥς ἂν **Τις**, λανθάνη **αὐτοῖς, τι** ἐναντιολογῶν – ὥσπερ καὶ **ἐπὶ τοῦ...**

...We infer... ...**to a lesser extent**... ...You [*Metrodorus*] would have related **what is already being said** about **it**. therefore, It does not even have **this character**: as if Someone, while contradicting **something**, would also evade **their** notice – just as also **in the case of**...

συλλογίζεσθαι	to infer	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>reasoning action together</i>
ἦττον	to a lesser extent	ἭΤΤΟΝ <i>inferior</i>
μυθολογεῖν	to tell myths	ΜΥΘΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>collecting speech / words</i>
ὁ μῦθος οἱ μῦθοι	myth	ΜΥΘΟΣ <i>speech / word</i>
μυθεῖσθαι	to relate	ΜΥΘΕΙΣΘΑΙ
μυθῆσαι	to have related	μυθῆσαι
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
λεγόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being said	ΛΕΓΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>speaking</i>
τὸ ἤδη λεγόμενον	what is already being said	
ὁ χαρακτήρ οἱ χαρακτῆρες	character	ΧΑΡΑΚΤΗΡ <i>engraving</i>
λανθάνειν	to evade notice	ΛΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>to be hidden</i>
ἐναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>
ἐναντιολογεῖν	to contradict	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>collecting in against</i>
ἐναντιολογῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	contradicting	

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. K (col.1)

...λέξ[εις]... ...[τοῖ]ς φα[νι]νομένοις σφοδρῶ καὶ μὴ [σο]φρῶ... ...ἔμοιγε δὴ [δοκε]ῖ καὶ πάλαι καὶ νῦν... ...ἔχειν ὥ[ς] λέγεις...
...[κα]τανοεῖς ὅτι νῦν... ...ἡμεῖς τ[ὸ]ς λέξ[εις]...

...**λέξεις**... ...**τοῖς φαινομένοις σοφοῦ καὶ μὴ σοφοῦ**... ...**ἔμouνε, δὴ, Δοκεῖ καὶ πάλαι καὶ νῦν**... ...**ἔχειν** ὡς Λέγεις... ...Κατανοεῖς ὅτι **νῦν**... ...**Ἡμεῖς τὰς λέξεις**...

...**terms**... ...**for what appears of the wise and of the unwise**... ...to Me, indeed, It seems, both **in the past** and **now**... ...**to have**, as You [Metrodorus] say... ...You fully conceive that **now**... ...We [*use*] **the terms**...

ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
σοφός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	wise	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
κατανοεῖν	to fully conceive	ΚΑΤΑΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i> <i>thoroughly</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. K (col. 2)

...τ[α]ῦτα... ...[σημ]αίνομεν τῶι... ...[τὰς] αἰσθήσεις καὶ τήν... ...[τῇ] διανοίαι ἐπιβλε[π]ι... ...καὶ οὐ μό[von]... ...διαφοράς...

...**ταῦτα**... ...**Σημαίνομεν τῷ**... ...**τὰς αἰσθήσεις καὶ τήν**... ...**τῇ διανοίᾳ Ἐπιβλεπ**...
...**καὶ οὐ μόνον**... ...**διαφοράς**...

...**these things**... ...We indicate **to**... ...**the sensations** and **the**... ...[] Fully see with the [*mental*] perception... ...and not only... ...**differences**...

σημαίνειν	to indicate	ΣΗΜΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>making</i> <i>indication</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving</i> <i>process</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	^[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ἐπιβλέπειν	to fully see	ΕΠΙΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking upon</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 12 (col. 2)

...ἔλεγες... ...[ἐπ]ιβο[λ]ήν... ...κατὰ τήν διδ[α]σ[καλί]αν ὠιχόμεθα ἐπὶ τ[οῖς]... ...αἰσθητοῖς ἰδίο[ις]... ...πλάνης δ[ηλ]οῦντ[ες]...
...[τ]ὸ ἀφανές... ...ἐπ’ αὐτῆς... ...οὐ [μ]ὴν ἀλλ’ ἐ[τύ]χομέ[γ] γε οὐδὲ [κ]ακῶς ἐπ[ι]νενοη[κ]ότες τήν διδ[α]σ[καλί]αν...
...πρ[ο]φανοῦσα...

...Ἐλεγες... ...**ἐπιβολήν**... ...**κατὰ τὴν διδασκαλίαν, Ὡιχόμεθα ἐπὶ τοῖς**...
...**αἰσθητοῖς ἰδίοις**... ...**πλάνης Δηλοῦντες**... ...**τὸ ἀφανές**... ...**ἐπ’ αὐτῆς**... ...**οὐ μὴν**
ἀλλ’ Ἐτύχομέγ γε οὐδὲ κακῶς ἐπινενοηκότες τὴν διδασκαλίαν... ...**προφανοῦσα**...

...You [Metrodorus] were saying... ...**attention**... ...according to the teaching, We went **toward the**... ...**to what is sensible particular** [*to each sense*]...
...with [*us*] making **clear an error**... ...**the unseen**... ...upon it... ...yet nevertheless, We happened to have objectively conceived **about the teaching not at all badly**... ...**coming to light**...

ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
διδάσκειν	to teach	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>teaching</i>

ἡ διδασκαλία αἱ διδασκαλῖαι	teaching	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΑΛΙΑ teaching
οἶχεσθαι	to be gone	ΟΙΧΕΣΘΑΙ having departed
αἰσθητός (ή, όν)	(A) sensible	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΟΣ perceiving
ἴδιος (α, ον)	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ particular
ἡ πλάνη αἱ πλάναι	error	ΠΛΑΝΗ roaming
ἔνδηλος (ον)	(Θ) fully clear	ΕΝΔΗΛΟΣ clear within
δηλοῦν	to make clear	ΔΗΛΟΥΝ clear
δηλῶν	making clear	ΔΗΛΩΝ
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ happening
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ seeing upon
ἐπινενοηκώς (υῖα, ός)	having objectively conceived	ΕΠΙΝΕΝΟΗΚΩΣ saw upon
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ shining
προφαίνειν	to appear	ΠΡΟΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ shining forth
προφαίνων (προφανοῦσα προφαῖνον)	to come to light	ΠΡΟΦΑΙΝΩΝ shining forth

28.13 "All Errors Come from Language Conventions"
(Former Teaching)

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 12 (col. 3)

...ναι ἐπὶ πλείω συ[ν]οι[κει]οῦν ὧι αὐτοὶ βουλόμε[θα]. εἰ δὲ τότε [τα]υτό τι διαν[ο]οῦμενοι ἐλέγομεν κατὰ [τ]ὴν [ἐ]κκειμένην ἑρμηνίαν τῷ ὅτι πᾶσα ἡ ἀμ[α]ρτία ἐστὶν τῶν ἀνθρώπων οὐδὲν ἕτερον ἔχουσα σχῆμα ἢ τὸ ἐπὶ τῷ μ[ε]τολήψεων γιγν[ό]μενον καὶ τῷ μ[ε] φαίν[ο]μενον διὰ τοὺς πολυτρόπους ἐ[θ]ισμοὺς τῶν λέξεων, καὶ... ...δ[ι]ὰ τ[α]ῦτα ἢ δεδ[ι]χαμεν... ...προλήψεσι...
...πάν ῥήσεις...

...ναί, ἐπὶ πλείω συνοικειοῦν ᾧ Αὐτοὶ βουλόμεθα – εἰ δὲ τότε ταυτό τι διανοοῦμενοι Ἐλέγομεν κατὰ τὴν ἐκκειμένην ἑρμηνίαν: τῷ ὅτι πᾶσα ἡ Ἀμαρτία ἐστὶν τῶν ἀνθρώπων οὐδὲν ἕτερον ἔχουσα σχῆμα ἢ τὸ ἐπὶ τῶν μετολήψεων γιγνόμενον καὶ τῶν φαινομένων διὰ τοὺς πολυτρόπους ἐθισμοὺς τῶν λέξεων, καὶ... ...διὰ ταῦτα ἢ Δεδίχαμεν... ...προλήψεσι... ...πάν Ῥήσεις...

...indeed, to integrate [common terms into technical vocabulary] to a larger [extent] with what We ourselves want – but if at that time, thinking the same thing, We were speaking according to the interpretation [then] set-forth: in which [we said] that every human Error exists having no different shape than what is produced due to the multifaceted conventions of terms upon the preapprehensions and [upon] the appearances [of objects] , and...
...because of these things, We have split [them] into two... ...[with the] anticipations... ...every Saying...

[Sedley] ...<>...If in those days we used to express an opinion equivalent, in the terminology which we then employed, to saying that all human error is exclusively of the form that arises in relation to preconceptions and appearances because of the manifold conventions of language... < >...

[Sedley] In earlier years the attitude to language had been different in the Epicurean school, where they had altered the names of things if they judged them on an empirical basis to be false, and that they had regarded the inexactness of common parlance – and the consequent difficulty of identifying perceived objects with the correct name – as the source of all human errors of thinking.
This attitude, summed up by the phrase "because of the manifold habituations of language," i.e., "due to the multifaceted conventions of terms," represents the young Epicurus' former view, which was still under the influence of the Democritean school which made these very same conventionalist claims.

πλείων <i>(ον)</i>	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
οἰκείως	compatibly	ΟΙΚΕΙΩΣ <i>appropriate</i>
συνοικειοῦν	to integrate <i>[common terms into technical vocabulary]</i>	ΣΥΝΟΙΚΕΙΟΥΝ <i>appropriate together</i>
βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>council</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive <i>[mentally]</i>	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>
συγκεῖσθαι	to be compounded	ΣΥΓΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting together</i>
κεῖσθαι	to be set [up]	ΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting</i>
ἐκκεῖσθαι	to set fourth	ΕΚΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting out</i>
ἡ ἑρμηνεία αἱ ἑρμηνείαι	interpretation	ἙΡΜΗΝΕΙΑ <i>Hermes / interpreting</i>
ἀναμάρτητος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	mistake-free	ΑΝΑΜΑΡΤΗΤΟΣ <i>not missing the mark</i>
ἁμαρτάνειν	to make a mistake	ἍΜΑΡΤΑΝΕΙΝ <i>missing the mark</i>
ἡ ἁμαρτία αἱ ἁμαρτίαι	mistake	ἍΜΑΡΤΙΑ <i>missing the mark</i>
ἡ πλάνη αἱ πλάναι	error	ΠΛΑΝΗ <i>roaming</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person <i>(human)</i>	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different <i>[other / another]</i>	ἙΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
ἀποφαινόμενος <i>(ομένη, όμενον)</i>	representing	ΑΠΟΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining away</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
πολύτροπος	multifaceted <i>[lit. "of many ways"]</i>	ΠΟΛΥΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>much direction</i>
ιδιότροπος <i>(ον)</i>	particular type of	ΙΔΙΟΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>particular way</i>
τὸ ἔθνος τὰ ἔθνη	ethnicity	ΕΘΝΟΣ <i>accustomed</i>
ὁ ἐθισμός οἱ ἐθισμοί	convention	ΕΘΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of being accustomed</i>
ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
διχάζειν	to split into two	ΔΙΧΑΖΕΙΝ <i>two</i>

ῥηθεῖς (εἶσα, ἐν) ῥηθέντες (εἶσαι, ἐντα)	said	ῥΗΘΕΙΣ <i>said</i>
ἢ ῥῆσις αἰ ῥήσεις	saying	ῥΗΣΙΣ <i>said</i>

28.14 Unclear Evidence

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 12 (col. 5)

...[οὐ]κ ἐπιμαρτυ[ρ]ήσεις γίν[νον]ται πολλάι... ...ἀλλ’ ἀγουσ[ι]... ...[ἀ]δήλους ἡμᾶς εἶναι [πό]τερα ὅλως ἐπελελήσμ[ε]θα ταύτης τῆς δόξης καὶ τ[ἀ ἐν]αντία ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς ἐλ[άβ]ομεν, ὥσπερ [κ]αὶ ἄλλοι π[ο]λλοὶ ἐμπολλοῖς, ἦ ἐμεμνήμεθαμέν, οὐ[δὲ]ν δὲ οὐδὲ [τὸ] ἀνα[γ]καῖον...

...οὐκ^[M] Ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις γίνγονται **πολλάι**... ...ἀλλ’ Ἀγουσι... ...**ἀδήλους** Ἡμᾶς **εἶναι** **πότερα ὅλως** Ἐπελελήσμεθα **ταύτης τῆς δόξης** καὶ **τὰ ἐναντία** ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς Ἐλάβομεν: ὥσπερ καὶ ἄλλοι πολλοὶ **ἐμ πολλοῖς** – ἦ Ἐμεμνήμεθαμέν. οὐδὲν δὲ, οὐδὲ **τὸ ἀναγκαῖον**...

...not many^[M] Attestations are produced... ...but They lead... ...Us being unclear as to whether We have entirely let this judgment be forgotten or [whether] We comprehended something opposing even to ourselves: just as many others [have] in many [cases] – or We remembered it. but in no way, not even what is necessary...

[Sedley] ...< >...that it is unclear whether we completely forgot this opinion and took the standpoint opposed to ourselves, as many others have also done in many cases, or remembered it, but <>...

ἢ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἰ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	attestation	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying upon</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
πολύς (ή, ύ)	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
συνάγειν	to gather	ΣΥΝΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going together</i>
ἄγειν	to lead	ΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going</i>
ἄδηλος (ον)	(⁰) unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΣ
ὅλως	entirely	ὍΛΩΣ <i>whole</i>
λανθάνειν	to evade notice	ΛΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>to be hidden</i>
ἐπιλανθάνεσθαι	to let X be forgotten about (+ genitive)	ΕΠΙΛΑΝΘΑΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>to be hidden</i>
ἢ δόξα αἰ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
τὸ ἐναντίον τὰ ἐναντία	what is opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΝ
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
μιμνήσκειν	to recall	ΜΙΜΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ <i>thinking "again"</i>
μιμνήσκεσθαι	to be reminded	ΜΙΜΝΗΣΚΕΣΘΑΙ <i>thinking "again"</i>

28.15 Metrodorus' Past Epistemology

...[τ]ίθηι τὸ παραθεῖναι τὸ... ...[τ]οῦ τρόπου τοῦ περὶ [τὰς οὐκ] ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις [καὶ ἀντι]μαρτυρήσεις πῶς... ...ἢ μανθάνειν...
...[ἐνά]ντίως περὶ τοῦ... ...[προ]σδοξάζον... ...καὶ φαίνεται... ...διελ[έ]γου... ...[ἀ]οράτας ἔφερε...

...Τίθηι τὸ παραθεῖναι, τὸ... ...τοῦ τρόπου τοῦ περὶ ^[-M] τὰς οὐκ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις καὶ ^[W] ἀντιμαρτυρήσεις, πῶς... ...ἢ μανθάνειν... ...ἐναντίως περὶ τοῦ...
...^[Δ+] προσδοξάζον... ...καὶ Φαίνεται... ...Διελέγου... ...^[O] ἀοράτας ἔφερε...

...You put the [act of] juxtaposing [conventional vs. natural usage], which...
...of the way which concerns the ^[-M] non-attestations and ^[W] contestations,
how... ...or to learn... ...oppositingly about the... ...[which] adds ^[Δ+] judgment...
...and It appears... ...You were giving a discourse... ...It carried ^[O] the unseen [things]...

συντιθέναι	to mutually agree	ΣΥΝΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing together</i>
προστιθέναι	to add	ΠΡΟΣΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing toward</i>
τιθέναι	to put	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
παρατιθέναι	to juxtapose	ΠΑΡΑΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing alongside</i>
ἡ παράθεσις αἱ παραθέσεις	juxtaposition	ΠΑΡΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing alongside</i>
τὸ παραθεῖναι	the [act of] juxtaposing	
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
τὸ προσμένον τὰ προσμένοντα	^[O] what is still pending <i>[further attestation]</i>	ΤΟ ΠΡΟΣΜΕΝΟΝ <i>remaining further</i>
ἐπιμαρτυρεῖν	^{M} to attest	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying upon</i>
τὸ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον τὰ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενα	^[M] what is attested	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of testifying upon</i>
ἡ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	attestation	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying upon</i>
τὸ οὐκ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον	^[-M] what is not attested	
ἡ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	attestation	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying upon</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	^{W} to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
τὸ ἀντιμαρτυρούμενον τὰ ἀντιμαρτυρούμενα	^[W] what is contested	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of <i>testifying</i> against</i>
ἡ ἀντιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἀντιμαρτυρήσεις	contestation	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying against</i>
μανθάνειν	to learn	ΜΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thinking</i>
ὑπεναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	contrary	ΥΠΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>over against</i>
ἐναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>
ἐναντίως	oppositingly	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΩΣ <i>in against</i>
τὸ προσδοξαζόμενον τὰ προσδοξαζόμενα	^[Δ+] an addition of judgment <i>[i.e., "a theory"]</i>	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of <i>addition</i> to <i>seeming</i></i>
προσδοξάζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	^{Δ+} adding [one's] judgment to	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΞΑΖΩΝ <i>addition to <i>seeming</i></i>

φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
διαλέγειν	to give a discourse	ΔΙΑΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly speaking / choosing</i>
ἀόρατος (ος, ον)	unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΣ <i>not looking</i>
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. L (col. 5)

...φεύγεις τὸμ β[ιον ὑπὸ] τ[ῶμ] πολλῶν εἰλη[μμένον]... ...δέ τις ταῦ[τα]... ...σοφοῦ...

...Φεύγεις **τὸμ βίον** ὑπὸ **τῶμ πολλῶν εἰλημμένον**... ...**δέ Τις ταῦτα**... ...**σοφοῦ**...

...You avoid **the lifestyle acquired** by the public... ...but Someone [] **these** []...
...of the wise man...

ἐκφεύγειν	to flow out from	ΕΚΦΕΥΓΕΙΝ <i>fleeing out</i>
φεύγειν	to avoid	ΦΕΥΓΕΙΝ <i>fleeing</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
εἰλημμένος (η, ον)	[intellectually] having been comprehended, [generally] having been acquired	ΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 2 sup.)

...[ψ]ευδεῖ[ς]... ...ἐπεπιπτ[ει]... ...τούτοις τι [ὥς] λέγεις, Μητρόδ[ωρε] – ἀλλὰ μὰ Δία – τῶμ μὲν καθ' ἕκα[σ]τα οὐκ ἄμ φήσαιμι[ι
τ]ότε γε συλλογισμὸν, ἐ[φ' ᾧ ἐσημ]α[ῖ]ωμέν τι, εἰδέναι...

*addidi [ει]

...**ψευδεῖς**... ...Ἐπεπίπτει... ...**τούτοις τι** ὥς **Λέγεις, Μητρόδωρε** – **ἀλλὰ μὰ Δία!** – **τῶν μὲν καθ' ἕκαστα**, οὐκ ἂν **Φήσαιμι, τότε γε, συλλογισμὸν** (**ἐφ' ᾧ** **Ἐσημαίωμέν τι**) **εἰδέναι**...

...false... ...it falls upon... ...somewhat for those [words], just as You say, Metrodorus – **but by Zeus!** – I would not assert, **at least at that time**, to have known **the inference of those [words]** concerning each thing (by which We would indicate something)...

[Sedley] ...but I would not say that in those days you were familiar with reasoning about individual things, which was the basis on which we assigned meanings...

ψευδής (ής, ές)	false	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ <i>deceiving</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
ἐμπίπτειν	to fall in	ΕΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling in</i>
ἐπιπίπτειν	to fall upon	ΕΠΙΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling upon</i>
Μητρόδωρος	Metrodorus	ΜΗΤΡΟΔΩΡΟΣ <i>gift [to] mother</i>
ὁ Ζεύς (τοῦ διός)	Zeus	ΖΕΥΣ <i>sky god / day</i>
μὰ Δία!	by Zeus!	
ἕκαστος (η, ον)	each (with plural verb)	ἙΚΑΣΤΟΝ <i>each</i>

φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of</i> <i>reasoning action</i> <i>upon</i>
ὁ συλλογισμός	inference	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning action</i> <i>together</i>
σημαίνειν	to indicate	ΣΗΜΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>making</i> <i>indication</i>
εἰδέναι	<i>to have mentally seen ∴</i> "to know"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing</i>

28.16 Lack of Discernment in Linguistic Conventions

*In his early days, Metrodorus had been establishing vocabulary while **disregarding convention** (probably in an attempt to only use the original appearance-based meaning of a particular word). In building up a private terminology in this way, Metrodorus had chosen some words **without fully considering "the association of certain [linguistic] conventions."***

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 2 inf.)

| *P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 3 sup.)*

...κ[αὶ ἄ]νευ τῆς [τινων]ν *ἐθισμ[ῶν σου]γοικεώ[σεως ἐ]πιφέρω[ν τότε ἔτ]υχες, [μὴ] δῆλομ ποιήεις τὸ πάντα φθόγγον ἐπιφέροντα δοξάζειν τόδε τι κα[ὶ] τὴν τῶν ὀνομάτ[ω]ν κα[ὶ] π[ραγμά]τῳ[ν | ἄ]δι[αλ]ηψία[ν ὁρ]ῶμ περ[ὶ]ν σώλης.

**Orazi supplevit ἐθισμ[ῶν], Sedley emendavit ad ἐθισμ[ῶν]*

...καὶ ἄνευ τῆς τινων ἐσθισμῶν συνοικεώσεως ἐπιφέρων τότε Ἔτυχες – μὴ **δῆλον Ποιῶης**: τὸ **πάντα φθόγγον ἐπιφέροντα Δοξάζειν τόδε τι** – καὶ **τὴν τῶν ὀνομάτων καὶ πραγμάτων ἀδιαληψίαν ὁρῶν**, Περινοῶης

...and You happened to be applying [your choice of words] **at that time** without the association of certain conventions [which have been developed] – [thus] You would not have made **clear**: the [fact that] Judging **every expression applies to a certain [judgment* – but you were]** still seeing [the public] **indiscrimination of words** vs. [practical] situations, [and] You were fully conceiving [this issue]

[Sedley] ...< >...and you also used in those days to assign [names] without adapting certain conventional usages, in order that you should not make plain the principle that by assigning any name one expresses a particular opinion,* and see and reflect upon the indiscriminate treatment of words and objects.

** i.e., most words naturally apply to an originally specific concept.*

τὸ ἔθνος τὰ ἔθνη	ethnicity	ΕΘΝΟΣ <i>accustomed</i>
ὁ ἐθισμός οἱ ἐθισμοί	convention	ΕΘΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of being</i> <i>accustomed</i>
οἰκείως	compatibly	ΟΙΚΕΙΩΣ <i>appropriate</i>
συνοικειοῦν	to integrate <i>[common terms into technical vocabulary]</i>	ΣΥΝΟΙΚΕΙΟΥΝ <i>appropriate</i> <i>together</i>
ἡ συνοικεώσις αἱ συνοικεώσεις	association	ΣΥΝΟΙΚΕΩΣΙΣ <i>home together</i>
ἐπιφέρειν	to apply to	ΕΠΙΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing upon</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>

δῆλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ὁ φθόγγος οἱ φθόγγοι	[verbal] expression	ΦΘΟΓΓΟΣ <i>uttering</i>
ἐπιφέρειν	to apply to	ΕΠΙΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing upon</i>
ἐπιφέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	applying to	ΕΠΙΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing upon</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ λῆψις αἱ λῆψεις	<i>comprehension</i>	ΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold</i>
ἡ ἀδιαληψία αἱ ἀδιαληψίαι	indiscrimination	ΑΔΙΑΛΗΨΙΑ <i>not taking hold separation</i> <i>This word is only found in Epicurus and Philodemus (who uses it frequently)</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
ὁρῶν <i>(ῶσα, ῶν)</i>	seeing	ὍΡΩΝ
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
περινοεῖν	to fully conceive	ΠΕΡΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing around</i>
ἐπιλογίζεσθαι	to inductively consider	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>

28.17 Former Indiscrimination

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 3 sup.)

κά[γῳ] δ' ἔβλεπον ὅ[τ]ι οὐ ποιήσας διάφορα τά[δε] ἢ τὰδε εἶναι λέγειν τάδε [φ]ῆς αἰρεῖσθαι τ[ῷ] δ[ὲ] βελ[τί]ον εἶναι ἢ τάδε·
ἀλλ' ὀνόμασιν οἷς δήποτε χρώμε[ν]ον οἷόν τε φ[ῆ]σας [ε]ἶναι [λέγει]ν τὰ πράγματα, πᾶ[σιν ἐνε]δικνύου ἡμῖν τε τοῖς [τε
σοφίας] μετεσχηκόσι μ[όνον ὅτι οἱ] κειότερόν ἐστ[ι]ν... ...τόδε τ[ι] λέγε[ις]...

**Orazi supplevit ἐσθ[ισμῷ], Sedley emendavit ad ἐθισμῷ*

κ'Αγὼ δ' ἔβλεπον ὅτι, οὐ ποιήσας **διάφορα τάδε ἢ τὰδε εἶναι** – **λέγειν τάδε** Φῆς **αἰρεῖσθαι τῷ δὲ βελτίον εἶναι ἢ τὰδε** – ἀλλ' **ὀνόμασιν οἷς δήποτε** χρώμενον οἷόν τε φήσας εἶναι λέγειν **τὰ πράγματα, πᾶσιν ἐνεδικνύου ἡμῖν, τε τοῖς τε σοφίας μετεσχηκόσιν, μόνον ὅτι οἰκειότερόν' ἔστιν... ..τόδε τι, Λέγεις...**

and I was seeing that, [with you] not having made **these [words] or those [words] to be different** – [and] with You [not] asserting that **these [words] are chosen for speaking because they are actually better than those [words]** – but with [you] using **whatever words at any time**, such as also asserting that it is possible to speak of **[practical] situations [in this way]**, you were indicating **to all of us, and to those others who also have partaken in wisdom**, only that It is **more compatible... ..this certain thing**, You say...

[Sedley] And I too used to notice that you did not establish a difference between two sets of words and then say that you chose one set because it was better than choosing the other; instead, by saying that it was possible to use any name at all in speaking of things, you demonstrated to everyone, both to us and to those who have a share of wisdom, merely, that it is more suitable...

κάγῳ = καὶ + ἐγώ	and I	ΚΑΙ + ΕΓΩ <i>myself</i>
-----------------------------------	-------	-----------------------------------

βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ποιήσας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	having made	ΠΟΙΗΣΑΣ <i>gathering</i>
διάφορος <i>(ον)</i>	differing	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΟΣ <i>carrying apart</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
αἰρεῖσθαι	to choose for oneself	ΑΙΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ
βελτίων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ <i>greater</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
ὅπωςδῆποτε	in any way	ὍΠΩΣΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite</i> <i>in what way</i>
δήποτε	ever, at any time	ΔΗΠΟΤΕ
χρώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	using	ΧΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
οἷος <i>(οἶα, οἶον)</i>	such as [here "as if"]	ΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
ἐνδείκνυσθαι	to be indicated in	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΣΘΑΙ <i>showing in</i>
ἐνδεικνύναι	to indicate in	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing in</i>
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ἡ σοφία αἱ σοφίαι	wisdom	ΣΟΦΙΑ <i>knowledge</i>
μετέχειν	to partake	ΜΕΤΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold among</i>
μετεσχηκώς <i>(υῖα, ὅς)</i>	having partaken in	ΜΕΤΕΣΧΗΚΩΣ <i>hold among</i>
μετεσχηκέναι	to have partaken in	ΜΕΤΕΣΧΗΚΕΝΑΙ <i>hold among</i>
οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
οἰκειότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	more compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>

28.18 Appreciating Natural Constraints

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 3 inf.)

...τὸ σχῆμα... ...ἀναλογισμοῦ τοῦ... ...τ' ἔπρατ[τες] τότε, νῦ[v] συνορῶ τότε δ' οὐ· καὶ τοὺς κατὰ μέρος δὲ πιεσμοὺς τοῦ ὀρθῶς ἕκαστα λέγεσθαι περὶ τοῦτο τὸ εἶδος νῦν συνορῶ τότε δ' οὐ. καὶ...

...τὸ σχῆμα... ...ἀναλογισμοῦ τοῦ... ...τ' Ἐπραττες τότε, νῦν Συνορῶ, τότε δ' οὐ – καὶ τοὺς κατὰ μέρος δὲ πιεσμοὺς τοῦ ὀρθῶς Ἐκαστα λέγεσθαι περὶ τοῦτο τὸ εἶδος – νῦν Συνορῶ, τότε δ' οὐ. καὶ...

...the shape [*of external objects*]... ...of analogical consideration [*i.e., conceiving the unseen by analogy to the seen*]... ...and [*what*] You were accomplishing **then**, **now** I fully see, but **not then** – and **also the constraints** for each part of Each [*word choice*] **being rightly spoken** in regards to this form [*of words that are only mentally observable by analogy to the visible*], **now** I fully see, but not **then**. and...

[Sedley] ...[*what*] you were doing in those days I now see, although I did not at the time. And I also now see, although I did not at the time, the particular difficulties, with regard to this class, of using the correct names for individual things. * And [*nothing would be consistent with them*]...

**As we have seen, by the time of this writing, Epicurus had given up this quest for an entirely accurate philosophical vocabulary.*

τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
ἀναλογικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	analogous	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΚΟΣ <i>reasoned again</i>
ἀναλογιστέος <i>(α, ον)</i>	ought to be analogized	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΤΕΟΣ <i>ought to be reasoning action again</i>
ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
τὸ ἀναλόγισμα τὰ ἀναλογίσματα <i>[a conception of the unseen by analogy to the seen]</i>	analogous thought	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of action of reasoning back</i>
ὁ ἀναλογισμός οἱ ἀναλογισμοί <i>[conceiving the unseen by analogy to the seen]</i>	analogical consideration	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning action again</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
συνορᾶν	to fully see <i>[mentally]</i>	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
κατὰ μέρος	for [the details of] each part	
ὁ πιεσμός οἱ πιεσμοί	constraint	ΠΙΕΣΜΟΣ <i>pressure</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
ὀρθῶς	^{α} rightly	ΟΡΘΩΣ <i>straight upright</i>
ἕκαστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	each <i>(with plural verb)</i>	ἕΚΑΣΤΟΝ <i>each</i>

28.19 Concession to Convention

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 4 sup.)

...οὐ συσταίῃ ἂν τι πρὸς αὐτά ἢ διαπορῆσαι ποικίλως νῦν ἐπιβλέβω, ὥς ἐγῶμαι. ἔπε[ι] καὶ τὸ λεγόμενόμ[ου], [ὀρθῶς] ταῖς αὐταῖς αἰρέσε[σι] τ[ῶ]μ[ου] φωνῶ[ν] κατὰ τὴν διδ[α]σκαλίαν χρήσαιο[ι] τις ἂν, εἴπερ ταῦτα ἡμῖ[μ] βλέπο[ι] ἐκ το[ῦ α]ὐτοῦ γ[ε] [ἰδίου] ε[ἵ]δη, μὴ πολὺ παρηλ[λα] γμέναι[ς] φύσεσι περι[π]έσοι. [τὸ] δ' ὅτι οὐ κατὰ τὰ πρ[ά]γματα [ῆ]λέ[γχε]το εἰ πολὺ παρηλλα- [γμέναις φύσεσ]ιν... ...ἀν[αλόγ]ισμ[α γὰρ] ἡμεῖς... ...κατὰ τοῦ[ς] φ[ι]θό[γγου]ς...

1) ...οὐ συσταίῃ ἂν Τι **πρὸς αὐτά** ↔ **ἢ διαπορῆσαι ποικίλως: νῦν** Ἐπιβλέβω, **ὥς** Ἐγῶμαι

...Something would not be composed **in relation to them** ↔ or rather it would be uncertain **in diverse ways**: now, **I believe**, I fully see this

[Sedley] And nothing would be consistent with them. *«Sedley thinks a line was skipped here when the text was copied»* which instead of ignoring or doubting it, I now think I see vividly.

2) **ἐπει, καὶ τὸ λεγόμενόν,** που ὀρθῶς **ταῖς αὐταῖς αἱρέσεσι τῶν φωνῶν κατὰ τὴν διδασκαλίαν** χρήσαιτό Τις ἄν: εἴπερ, **ταῦτα, ἡμῖν, Βλέποι ἐκ τοῦ αὐτοῦ γε ἰδίου εἶδη** – **μὴ πολὺ παρηλλαγμέναις φύσεσι Περιπέσοι**

since, *as is said [by us]*, Someone would perhaps rightly use *the same choices of statements* according to the teaching: if indeed, *like us*, He would see that *these [choices of statements correspond to] forms [i.e., physical attributes]* from the *SAME* particular *[characteristic]* – so that He did not fall upon *[applying the same word to objects with]* very much varied natures

[Sedley] For, as I have said, a person would be correct to make the same choices of vocabulary in the exposition of philosophy, provided that we could count on his seeing that these are classes resulting from the same distinguishing characteristic, in order that he should avoid the pitfalls of major qualitative changes.

Epicurus says that the philosopher may use words from ordinary language provided that he always keeps in view the distinguishing characteristics from which they draw their meanings, so as to avoid the pitfalls involved in completely changing the class of object referred to.

3) **Τὸ δ' ὅτι οὐ κατὰ τὰ πράγματα ἡλέγχετο** – **εἰ πολὺ παρηλλαγμέναις φύσεσιν... ...ἀναλόγισμα γὰρ Ἡμεῖς... ...κατὰ τοὺς φθόγγους...**

but The *[fact]* that *it was not refuted* according to the situations *[i.e., showed no practical consequences]* – if *with very much varied natures... ...for We [use] analogous thought [i.e., a conception of the unseen by analogy to the seen]... ...according to the expressions...*

[Sedley] The fact that there was no factual refutation of someone who *[was ensnared]* by major qualitative changes...

συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
τὸ ἀπόρημα τὰ ἀπορήματα	question	ΑΠΟΡΗΜΑ <i>result of doubting</i>
ἡ διαπορία αἱ διαπορίαι	uncertainty	ΔΙΑΠΟΡΙΑ <i>doubting across</i>
"Διαπορίαι"	"Uncertainties" a book by Epicurus	
διαπορεῖν	to be in uncertainty	ΔΙΑΠΟΡΕΙΝ <i>doubting through</i>
ποικίλως	in diverse ways	ΠΟΙΚΙΛΩΣ <i>intricate</i>
ἐπιβλέπειν	to fully see	ΕΠΙΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking upon</i>
οἷεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
ἐγῶμαι = ἐγώ + οἶμαι	I believe	
Part 2		
λεγόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being said	ΛΕΓΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>speaking</i>
ὀρθῶς	^{α} rightly	ΟΡΘΩΣ <i>straight upright</i>
ἡ αἵρεσις αἱ αἱρέσεις	choice	ΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
διδάσκειν	to teach	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>teaching</i>
ἡ διδασκαλία αἱ διδασκαλῖαι	teaching	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΑΛΙΑ <i>teaching</i>

χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ή παραλλαγή αί παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>
παρηλλαγμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having been varied	ΠΑΡΗΛΛΑΓΜΕΝΟΣ
περιπίπτειν	to fall [upon]	ΠΕΡΙΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling around</i>
Part 3		
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ἐλέγχειν	to refute	ΕΛΕΓΧΕΙΝ <i>confuting</i> <i>[with contrary proof]</i>
ὁ ἀναλογισμός οἱ ἀναλογισμοί	analogical consideration	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning action</i> <i>again</i> <i>[conceiving the unseen by analogy to the seen]</i>
τὸ ἀναλόγισμα τὰ ἀναλογίσματα	analogous thought	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of action of reasoning back</i> <i>[a conception of the unseen by analogy to the seen]</i>
ή λέξις αί λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
ή διάλεκτος αί διάλεκτοι	way of speaking	ΔΙΑΛΕΚΤΟΣ <i>speaking across</i>
τὸ ἰδίωμα τὰ ιδιώματα	idiom	ΙΔΙΩΜΑ <i>particular</i>
ή ὁμιλία αί ὁμιλῖαι	association	ὍΜΙΛΙΑ <i>company</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
ή ὀνομασία αί ὀνομασίαι	designation	ΟΝΟΜΑΣΙΑ <i>state of name</i>
ὁ φθόγγος οἱ φθόγγοι	[verbal] expression	ΦΘΟΓΓΟΣ <i>uttering</i>

Generally speaking, a "linguistic conventionalist" thinks *that languages come about only by convention. The conventionalist denies a word's intrinsic relationship to any class of objects and therefore asserts that any class of objects can be referred to by any word – and no particular word is better per se for this purpose. With no natural anchor, there can be no "incorrect usage."*

In contrast, Epicurus recognizes that – even though linguistic conventions are increasingly added as a language matures and these conventions make it less objective – nevertheless there is an intrinsic relationship between a class of objects and the primary expression naturally used (specific to race and location) to identify that class in an objective (i.e., appearance-based) way. With a natural anchor, there can be a "correct usage."

28.20 Respecting Linguistic Associations

...ὕπὸ τε [τοῖς] αὐ[τ]οῖς[ς] τρ[όποις τῶ]μ περιπτύπντων[ων] το[ύτ]οις, πέπεισμαι διαβλέπειν ὡς διηροῦμεν, ὡς σο[φ]ι[ἐνο]εῖτο, καὶ οὐ καθάπερ τινὲς ἂν ἐγδέξαιντο. ἀλλὰ γὰρ ἴσως οὐκ εὐκαιρόν | ἐστ[ι] ταῦτ[α] προφέροντα μηκύνει[ν]· [κ]αὶ μαλ' ὀρθῶς [γε, ὦ] Μητρόδωρε·

...ὕπὸ τε τοῖς αὐτοῖς τρόποις τῶν περιπιπτόντων τούτοις: Πέπεισμαι διαβλέπειν, ὡς Διηροῦμεν – ὡς σοὶ Ἐνοεῖτο – καὶ οὐ καθάπερ Τινὲς ἂν ἐγδέξαιντο. ἀλλὰ γὰρ ἴσως οὐκ εὐκαιρόν Ἔστι ταῦτα προφέροντα μηκύνειν: καὶ μαλ' ὀρθῶς γε, ὦ Μητρόδωρε

...under the same ways of [thinking as] those falling upon these [words: which ways of thinking] I am persuaded that I discern, as We were differentiating [back then] – as It was conceived within you – and not just as Some [people]* might accept. but perhaps It is not convenient to lengthen out these [topics] being offered: and very rightly so, Metrodorus

[Sedley] ... < >...am convinced that I see them clearly in the way in which we used to distinguish them, as you took the meaning, and not in the senses in which certain people* would understand them. Perhaps, though, you might say that it is inappropriate to lengthen the discussion by citing these cases. Quite so, Metrodorus.

**probably the group in Megara led by Diódōros "Krónos" (who maintained a conventionalist view of language), rather than the group in Megara led by Stilpo (who held a more naturalist view).*

Epicurus' contemporary Diódōros "Krónos" tried (like Zeno of Elea about 150 years before him) to bring the skill of the dialectician to use in defense of the doctrines of Parmenides. For example, Diódōros had four arguments for the impossibility of motion:

- 1. *STUCK MINIMA PARADOX*: Atomic minima can never move – because they are always "in the same place" relative to the surrounding minima.
- 2. *EXACT LOCATION PARADOX*: If something is moving, it is moving either "in the place it is in," or "in the place it is not in."
- 3. *IMMOBILITY PARADOX*: Everything that exists is "in a place," but being "in a place" means being at rest.
- 4. *THE MINIMA MAJORITY PARADOX*: If two moving parts out of three make "the body move," then continually adding more non-moving parts should not change the fact that the body is moving, even though it will become mostly composed of non-moving parts.

ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
περιπίπτειν	to fall [upon]	ΠΕΡΙΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling around</i>
περιπίπτων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	falling [upon]	ΠΕΡΙΠΙΠΤΩΝ <i>falling around</i>
πείθειν	to persuade	ΠΕΙΘΕΙΝ <i>convincing</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>seeing</i>
διορᾶν	to distinguish	ΔΙΟΡΑΝ <i>seeing through</i>
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
διαβλέπειν	to discern	ΔΙΑΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ <i>looking through</i>
διαιρεῖν	to differentiate	ΔΙΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping through</i>
νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ἐνοεῖν = ἐν + νοεῖν	to conceive within	ΕΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing in</i>

καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as thoroughly</i>
τὸ ἐνδεχόμενον τὰ ἐνδεχόμενα	possibility [of]	
ἐγδέχεσθαι = ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΓΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
ἴσως	perhaps	ΙΣΩΣ <i>equal / likely</i>
καιριώτατος	most opportune	ΚΑΙΡΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>time</i>
εὐκαιρος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	convenient	ΕΥΚΑΙΡΟΣ <i>good time</i>
φέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	carrying	ΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing</i>
προφέρων	offering	ΠΡΟΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing forward</i>
τό μήκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ <i>length</i>
μηκύνειν	to lengthen out	ΜΗΚΥΝΕΙΝ <i>extent</i>
ὀρθῶς	^{α} rightly	ΟΡΘΩΣ <i>straight upright</i>
Μητροόδωρος	Metrodorus	ΜΗΤΡΟΔΩΡΟΣ <i>gift [to] mother</i>

28.21 Examples of Absurd Language Usage

Diódōros "Krónos," who's name literally means 'Gift of Zeus, "the Old Fool,"' gave some of his slaves extremely absurd names (imitating Hermogenes in Plato's Cratylus) – even naming one of his slaves "Yet indeed" (Ἀλλὰ μὴν) as his proof that language and grammar are purely conventional (and thus no word is inherently better than another as a label).

Nevertheless, naming a slave "Yet indeed" (Ἀλλὰ μὴν) does not prove language is purely conventional; it merely shows that absurd naming is possible, which was never in dispute.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 5 sup.)

πάνυ γὰρ οἶμαί σε πολλὰ ἂν ἔχειμ προε[ν]έγκα σθαι ἃ ἐθεώρεις γελοίως [π]ί[ω]ς τι[να]ς ἐγδεξαμένους καὶ π[άντα] μᾶλλον ἢ τὸ νοούμενον κατὰ τὰς λέξεις, οὐκ ἔξω τῶν ἰθισμένων λέξεων ἡμῶν χρωμένων οὐδὲ μετατιθέντων ὀνόματα ἐπὶ τῷμ φανε[ρ]ῶν.

πάνυ γὰρ Οἶμαί Σε πολλὰ ἂν ἔχειν προενέγκασθαι ἃ Ἐθεώρεις γελοίως πώς Τίνας ἐγδεξαμένους – καὶ πάντα μᾶλλον ἢ τὸ νοούμενον κατὰ τὰς λέξεις: οὐκ ἔξω τῶν ἰθισμένων λέξεων Ἡμῶν χρωμένων – οὐδὲ μετατιθέντων ὀνόματα ἐπὶ τῶν φανερῶν

for I wholly believe that You [Metrodorus] would have set forth many [examples of words] which You observed Certain [people] accepted [the use of] in some ridiculous way – and [You saw] every [meaning accepted] rather than what is [clearly] conceived according to the terms: while [in contrast] We are using the terms not out of their customary [bounds of meaning] – nor while changing words for evident things

[Sedley] For I do not doubt that you could cite many cases, from your own past observations, of certain people taking words in various ridiculous senses, and indeed in every sense in preference to their actual linguistic meanings, whereas our own usage does not flout linguistic convention, nor do we alter names with regard to the objects of perception.

ὅλως	entirely	ὍΛΩΣ <i>whole</i>
-------------	----------	-----------------------------

πᾶς <i>(παῖσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
πάνυ	wholly	ΠΑΝΥ <i>all</i>
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ε <i>perceive</i>
οἶμαι	I believe	
προφέρειν	to set forth	ΠΡΟΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing forward</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
γελοίως	ridiculously	ΓΕΛΟΙΩΣ <i>laughing</i>
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
ἐγδεξάμενος = ἐνδεξάμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having accepted	ΕΓΔΕΞΑΜΕΝΟΣ ΕΝΔΕΞΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepted in</i>
νοούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	conceived	ΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
τὰ ἔξω	external objects	ΕΞΩ <i>outside</i>
ἔξω	out of bounds	ΕΞΩ <i>outside</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἴτα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΟΥΣ ΕΥΟΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
ἰθισμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	customary	ΙΘΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>direct</i>
χρώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	using	ΧΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
μετατιθέναι	to change [one's use of]	ΜΕΤΑΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing change</i>
μετατιθείς <i>(εἴσα, έν)</i>	changing	ΜΕΤΑΤΙΘΕΙΣ <i>placing change</i>
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
φανερὸς <i>(ᾶ, ὀν)</i>	evident	ΦΑΝΕΡΟΣ <i>shining</i>

28.22 Guiding Those Who Seek Wellbeing (Defense of Analogy)

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 5 inf.)
| *P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 6 sup.)*

καταγέλαστον γὰρ [δὴ] τι καὶ τοῦτ' ἐστὶν ὅταμ... ...ψε[ῦδος]... ...μ[ὴ ἔ]τερο[ν]... ...μεταφορὰς ποι[εῖν φωνῶ]ν ἐπὶ τὰ ἄγνω[στα]
ὐ[πὸ τῶν γν]ωστῶν, ἀλλὰ διὰ τὰς αὐ[τῶ]μ πλάνας ἅς λέγ[ο]μεν ἐν το[ύτ]ῃ Περι Ἀμ[φ]ιβολίας ἡμῖν ἀναγεγραμ|μένοις. ἀλλὰ γὰρ
τί τούτων ἡμῖν τῶν ἀνθρώπων; πολλαχοῦ γὰρ διώρισται μέχρι πόσου φροντιστέον αὐτῶν ἅς ποτ' ἂν ἔχωσι καθ' ἡμῶν δόξας,
καὶ μέχρι πόσου τε καὶ ἐμ ποιῶι λόγον οὐδένα ἐκτέον. αἰ[εῖ] δὲ τῶν εὐπαγῶν ἔνεκα πρὸς εὐδαιμονίαν καὶ τῶν
κατασκευαζομένων τοὺς λόγους π[οι]οῦν, ἐπειδὴ [π]ερ... ...τὸμ β[ί]ογ...

1) **καταγέλαστον γὰρ δὴ τι καὶ Τοῦτ' ἐστὶν ὅταμ... ...^(-α) Ψεῦδος... ...μὴ ἔτερον...
...μεταφορὰς ποιεῖν φωνῶν ^[0] ἐπὶ τὰ ἄγνωστα ^[Θ] ὑπὸ τῶν γνωστῶν – ἀλλὰ διὰ ^[V]
τὰς αὐτῶν πλάνας, ἅς Λέγομεν ἐν τοῖς *Περὶ Ἀμφιβολίας* ἡμῖν ἀναγεγραμμένοις**

for This too is indeed **something thoroughly ridiculous**, whenever...
...^[-α] what is false... ...not different... ...*[not because other people are]* making
[metaphorical] transfers of statements ^[0] onto unknown *[objects of thought]*
^[Θ] from known *[objects of thought]* – but because of their ^[V] own errors,
which We discuss in those *[books]* that have been written out by us
[entitled] On Ambiguity

[Sedley] For another absurdity is when... [not because] others transfer words from the class of that which is knowable to denote that which is unknowable, but because of their own errors, which we point out in our work *On Ambiguity*.

2) **ἀλλὰ γὰρ, Τί τοῦτῶν ἡμῖν τῶν ἀνθρώπων; πολλαχοῦ, γὰρ, Διώρισται μέχρι πόσου Φροντιστέον αὐτῶν: ὅς ποτ' ἂν Ἐχῶσι καθ' ἡμῶν δόξας – καὶ μέχρι πόσου τε καὶ ἐν ποίῳ, λόγον οὐδένα ἐκτέον**

but indeed, What from these people [is there] for us? for, in many places, It has been differentiated up to what extent One must consider them: [regarding] whatever judgments They may have against us – and up to what extent and in what kind of [issues], One ought to hold onto none [of their] reasoning

[Sedley] But [you might say] why concern ourselves with these people? For we have frequently elsewhere defined to what extent we should heed whatever hostile opinions they hold towards us, and to what extent, and in what sort of circumstances, we should ignore them.

3) **αἰεὶ δὲ τῶν εὐπαγῶν ἔνεκα πρὸς εὐδαιμονίαν καὶ τῶν κατασκευαζομένων τοὺς λόγους Ποιητέον, ἐπειδήπερ... ...τὸμ βίον...**

but One must always make these reasonings for the purpose of those [people] well-composed and fully prepared for wellbeing, precisely because... ...the lifestyle...

[Sedley] We ought always to aim our discussions at the benefit of those who are sturdy disciples in the pursuit of happiness, since life...

γελοῖως	ridiculously	ΓΕΛΟΙΩΣ <i>laughing</i>
καταγέλαστος <i>(ον)</i>	thoroughly ridiculous	ΚΑΤΑΓΕΛΑΣΤΟΣ <i>thoroughly laughing</i>
τὸ ψεῦδος τὰ ψεύδη	^[-α] what is false	ΨΕΥΔΟΣ <i>falsehood / lie</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different ^[other / another]	ἕτερος <i>other</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
ἡ μεταφορά αἱ μεταφοραί	transfer	ΜΕΤΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying change</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
γινώσκειν = γιγνώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
τὸ ἄγνωστον τὰ ἄγνωστα	unknown thing	ΑΓΝΩΣΤΟΝ <i>not knowing</i>
γνωστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	known	ΓΝΩΣΤΟΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ἡ πλάνη αἱ πλάναι	error	ΠΛΑΝΗ <i>roaming</i>
τά ἄμφω	the pair	ΑΜΦΩ <i>both</i>
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἡ ἀμφιβολία αἱ ἀμφιβολιαί	ambiguity	ΑΜΦΙΒΟΛΙΑ <i>both throwing</i>
Περὶ Ἀμφιβολίας <i>This book was not listed in Usener's list of Epicurus' works</i>	"On Ambiguity" a book by Epicurus	ΑΜΦΙΒΟΛΙΑ <i>both throwing</i>
ἡ διαπορία αἱ διαπορίαι	uncertainty	ΔΙΑΠΟΡΙΑ <i>doubting across</i>

" Διαπορίαι "	"Uncertainties" a book by Epicurus	
τὰ ἀναγεγραμμένα	things written out	ΑΝΑΓΕΓΡΑΜΜΕΝΑ <i>written again</i>
ἀναγεγραμμένος (η, ον)	having been written out	ΑΝΑΓΕΓΡΑΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>written again</i>
Part 2		
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
πολλαχοῦ	in many places	ΠΟΛΛΑΧΟΥ <i>many</i>
διορίζειν	to differentiate	ΔΙΟΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>between the border</i>
μέχρι	up to	ΜΕΧΡΙ <i>until</i>
ὅποσος (η, ον)	as much as	ὍΠΟΣΟΣ <i>some / certain which</i>
πόσος (η, ον)	how much / how far	ΠΟΣΟΣ <i>some / certain</i>
μέχρι πόσου	up to what extent	
ἡ φροντίς αἱ φροντίδες	consideration	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΣ <i>mind</i>
φροντίζειν	to be considerate	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΖΕΙΝ <i>mind action</i>
φροντιστέον	one must consider	ΦΡΟΝΤΙΣΤΕΟΝ
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ποῖος (α, ον)	what kind of	ΠΟΙΟΣ <i>gathering</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
οὐθεὶς = οὐδεὶς (οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)	no one [factual]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἐκτέον	it should be held	
Part 3		
αἰεὶ <i>ionic for</i> ἀεὶ	always	ΑΕΙ <i>forever</i>
εὐπαγής (ής, ές)	well composed	ΕΥΠΑΓΗΣ <i>fixing well</i>
ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
κατασκευαζόμενος	being provided [here, "fully prepared"]	
ἐπειδήπερ	precisely because	ΕΠΕΙΔΗΠΕΡ <i>since indeed</i> <i>certainly</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>

28.23 Past Errors: Practical vs. merely Linguistic

Epicurus has raised certain *questions of inaccurate word-usage*. Someone might use these questions against the school, by pointing to *prior wittings of the kathēgemónes*, and claim that *these contain usages that are now considered to be incorrect*.

...καὶ [ἐκτῖ]νο ἔγωγε πολλάκις ἐνεθυμήθην τὸ ὅτι [εἰ, ἐ]μοῦ προφέροντος ἀπορήμ[α]τα ἅ τις ἅμ πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἐ[τρε]πεν,
ἀποδιδῶιη ὃ ἐκ τῶν [λέ]ξεων συνοικε[ιοῦν] ὥς ταῦτὸ συνέβαινε μελετῆ[ᾶν] ἐπὶ τῆς γραφῆς, πολλοί[τ]ς ἂν ἴσως δόξειε τότε μὲν
ἦτοι κατὰ τὸν ἐπι|βλητικὸν τρόπον ἢ περιληπτικῶς ἢ φανταστικ[ῶς] ἢ δι[υ]ὰ λόγου δὴ θεωρητικῶς ψευδὴς ὑποτετάχθαι ταῖ[ς]
λέξεσ[ιν] ἐκίνας δόξα, ἦτοι κατὰ τὸν οὐκ ἐπιβλ[ητι]κὸμ μὲν οὐδὲ κατὰ μίαν τῶν ἐκκειμένων ἰδεῶν ἐξ ἐ[α]υτοῦ δὲ μόνον
κινητικόν

...καὶ **ἐκεῖνο**, "Ἐγωγε **πολλάκις** ἐνεθυμήθην, Τὸ ὅτι εἰ, **έμοῦ προφέροντος**
ἀπορήματα: **ἅ** Τις ἂν πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἔτρεπεν – Ἀποδιδῶη **ὃ** ἐκ τῶν **λέξεων**
συνοικειοῦν ὥς **ταῦτὸ**, Συνέβαινε μελετᾶν ἐπὶ τῆς γραφῆς, **πολλοῖς** ἂν ἴσως
Δόξειε τότε – (μὲν ἦτοι ^[Δ•] **κατὰ τὸν ἐπιβλητικὸν τρόπον** ἢ ^[Δ◌◌] **περιληπτικῶς** ἢ ^[Δ•] **φανταστικῶς** ἢ ^[Δ◌◌] **διὰ λόγου** δὴ **θεωρητικῶς**) – ^[∇+] **ψευδὴς ὑποτετάχθαι ταῖς**
λέξεσιν ἐκίνας **Δόξα**, ἦτοι ^[¬Δ•] **κατὰ τὸν οὐκ ἐπιβλητικόν**, μὲν ^[¬Δ◌◌] **οὐδὲ κατὰ μίαν**
τῶν ἐκκειμένων ἰδεῶν, ἐξ **ἐαυτοῦ** δὲ **μόνον** **κινητικόν**

...and **that**, I at least have **often** reflected upon, the fact that if, **while I was**
offering questions [*in the work "On Ambiguity"*], Someone might turn **those**
[*questions*] against us – that **what** [*we*] **were integrating** from the terms [*of*
conventional vocabulary] He would demonstrate **as the same** [*words used in*
our philosophical vocabulary, and I reflected that if] He persisted to study
[*this issue*] regarding [*our*] writing, then **perhaps** It might have seemed **to**
many at that time – (either ^[Δ•] in an objective way, or ^[Δ◌◌] in a completely
comprehensible way or ^[Δ•] in an appearance-based way, or indeed ^[Δ◌◌]
through reasoning in a speculative way) – that a ^[∇+] false Judgment had
been assigned **to those terms** [*by us*], either ^[¬Δ•] according to the non-
objective [*way of thinking*] or ^[¬Δ◌◌] not according to one of [*our*] set-forth
ideas [*of how ways of thinking are divided*], but **only** motion-inducing from
itself

[Sedley] I also frequently reflected that if, when I raised difficulties which
someone might have turned against us, he should claim that what used to
be assimilated from ordinary language was the same as used to be practiced
in the written work, many might well conclude* that in those days false
opinion was represented in that language, whether through an ^[1] empirical
process, ^[2] [**ἢ περιληπτικῶς**] ^[3] an appearance-based process, or ^[4] a
theoretical process, or through a non-empirical process, not following one of
our current divisions, but simply arising from an internal movement*

* The concession which Epicureans might mistakenly make to their opponent is to
argue that *certain expressions formerly represented false opinion, but that now the*
truth can be obtained owing to some change in the use of language. Epicurus'
objection to this concession [as we will see] is that *concrete evidence in the form of*
practical consequences is required to establish that a particular usage was correct or
incorrect (rather than merely referencing logical definitions).

* i.e., using words based only on logic – the mind's own movement – rather than
based on sensation.

ἐκεῖνος <i>(ἐκεῖνη, ἐκεῖνο)</i>	that	EKEINOS <i>that there</i>
ἔγωγε	I indeed	ΕΓΩΓΕ <i>myself</i>
πολλάκις	often	ΠΟΛΛΑΚΙΣ <i>many times</i>
ἐνθυμεῖσθαι	to reflect upon	ΕΝΘΥΜΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>spirit in</i>
προφέρων	offering	ΠΡΟΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing forward</i>
Περὶ Ἀμφιβολίας	"On Ambiguity" a book by Epicurus	
ἢ ἀμφιβολία αἱ ἀμφιβολίαι	ambiguity	ΑΜΦΙΒΟΛΙΑ <i>both</i>
ἢ διαπορία αἱ διαπορίαι	uncertainty	ΔΙΑΠΟΡΙΑ <i>doubting across</i>
"Διαπορίαι"	"Uncertainties" a book by Epicurus	
τὸ ἀπόρημα τὰ ἀπορήματα	question	ΑΠΟΡΗΜΑ <i>result of doubting</i>

τρέπειν	to turn	ΤΡΕΠΕΙΝ <i>turning</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
οἰκείως	compatibly	ΟΙΚΕΙΩΣ <i>appropriate</i>
συνοικεῖοῦν	to integrate <i>[common terms into technical vocabulary]</i>	ΣΥΝΟΙΚΕΙΟΥΝ <i>appropriate together</i>
συμβαίνειν	to endure <i>[here, "to persist"]</i>	ΣΥΜΒΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>walking together</i>
ἀμέλει	doubtless	ΑΜΕΛΕΙ <i>not caring</i>
μελετᾶν	to study	ΜΕΛΕΤΑΝ <i>caring</i>
ἡ γραφή αἱ γραφαί	[text of] writing	ΓΡΑΦΗ <i>writing</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ἴσως	perhaps	ΙΣΩΣ <i>equal / likely</i>
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
ἐπιβλητικῶς	in an objectively focusing way	ΕΠΙΒΛΗΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of being thrown upon</i>
ἐπιβάλλειν	to objectively focus	ΕΠΙΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἐπιβλητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	objective	ΕΠΙΒΛΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being thrown upon</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἀπερίληπτος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	not completely comprehensible	ΑΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΟΣ <i>not taken hold around</i>
περιληπτῶς	with complete comprehension	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΩΣ <i>taken hold around</i>
<i>Epicurus shows above:</i> ὁ [X]τικός τρόπος ≈ [X]τικῶς		
περιληπτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	relating to what is completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold around</i>
περιληπτικῶς	in a completely comprehensible way	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold around</i>
φανταστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	appearance- based	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of appearing</i>
φανταστικῶς	in an appearance- based way	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of appearance</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
θεωρητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	observable	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>

θεωρητικῶς	in a speculative way	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of [mentally] observing</i>
ψευδής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	false	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ <i>deceiving</i>
διατάττειν	to arrange	ΔΙΑΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering across</i>
ὑποτάττειν	to assign	ὙΠΟΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering under</i>
ὑποτετάχθαι	to be assigned	ὙΠΟΤΕΤΑΧΘΑΙ <i>ordered under</i>
ἐκεῖνος <i>(ἐκεῖνη, ἐκεῖνο)</i>	that	ΕΚΕΙΝΟΣ <i>that there</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
εἷς μία ἓν <i>(ένός, μιᾶς, ένός)</i>	one	ἜΙΣ ΜΙΑ ἘΝ <i>one</i>
ἐκκείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	set forth	ΕΚΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated out</i>
ἡ ἰδέα αἱ ἰδέαι	idea	ΙΔΕΑ <i>appearing</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
κινεῖν	to move	ΚΙΝΕΙΝ <i>moving</i>
κινητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	motion-inducing	ΚΙΝΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of moving</i>

28.24 True Interpretations

Words are *naturally based upon perception* and only subsequently *organized by logic*. Epicurus points out that *error arises* not *from the external data* that our senses perceive but *from the internal movements* of our own thought processes.

Epicurus is careful to locate the cause of error *as internal* and eliminate the possibility that something *in the external world* is to blame: *the mental movement (κίνησις)* that leads to error *it is entirely an internal and personal movement*, not directly necessitated by external factors.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 7 sup.)

νῦν δὲ τῆς ἐρμηνείας ἐφαρμοττούσης καὶ ἐπ' ἄλλ' ἅττα ἐπαγωγὴ τις ἐκ τῆς διαστολῆς γίνεσθαι εἰς τάληθές . ἀλλ' ὅμως
*σοὶ μηδέποτε μὴ συμπλεκέτω μηδὲν τις πειρώμενος ἐξισοῦσθαι σοι ταύτης τῆς ὑποψίας· ἀλλ' εἰς ὅλην τὴν
ἐπιλογίην...

*Versio Sedley litteram Σ habet, quae necessaria est

νῦν δὲ, ^[Δ] τῆς ἐρμηνείας ἐφαρμοττούσης καὶ ἐπ' ^[Θ] ἄλλ' ἅττα: Ἐπαγωγή τις ἐκ τῆς διαστολῆς γίνεσθαι εἰς ^(α) τάληθές

even though now, with ^[Δ] the interpretation [of a word] fitting also to ^[Θ] other [externally observed] things: a certain Reference [to what is actually observed] out of a differentiation [from what is observed vs. what is an addition of the mind] is being produced into ^(α) what is true

^[Sedley] but that now, because the means of expression is adapted to additional ends, discrimination provides a lead towards the truth.

ἀλλ' ὅμως: σοὶ μηδέποτε μὴ Συμπλεκέτω μηδέν Τις, πειρώμενος ἐξισοῦσθαι σοι, ταύτης τῆς ὑποψίας – ἀλλ' εἰς ὅλην τὴν ἐπιλόγισιν...

still all the same: Do not let Someone, [who is] attempting to become equal to you, ever entangle anything to you with this suspicion – rather, into the entire [faculty of] inductive consideration...

[Sedley] However, let no one ever try to get even with you* by linking with you any trace of this suspicion; but [turn] to the entire faculty of empirical reasoning...

* Epicurus urges Metrodorus to defend himself against the implication from the last section – even in his early years, when Metrodorus was disregarding convention in an effort to align a word with its natural and immediate sense, Metrodorus was not making the more serious mistake of the conventionalists such as Diodorus Cronus, who's subjectivist theory of language fully equates the meaning of a word only with the intention in the mind of the speaker while uttering it.

Given that Epicurus relates the meaning of a word more to its natural, fundamental, and immediate usage rather than to its conventional usage, he is reluctant to accept any claim that the words under discussion have changed their meaning in the absence of incontrovertible evidence.

This is why 'inductive consideration' is brought in as a test. Epicurus demands evidence in the form of practical consequences as proof of correct or incorrect usage.

ή ἐρμηνεία αἱ ἐρμηνεῖαι	interpretation	ἙΡΜΗΝΕΙΑ Hermes / interpreting
ἐναρμόττων (ουσα, ον)	fitting into	ΕΝΑΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ adapting into
ἐφαρμοττών (οὔσα, όν)	fitting	ΕΦΑΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ adapting upon
ή ἐπαγωγή αἱ ἐπαγωγαί	reference [to observation]	ΕΠΑΓΩΓΗ result of going toward
ή ἐπαγωγή is used technically by Aristotle as "induction" or "inductive reasoning" – and refers to the (Evidence-Based) process of moving from particular observations to broader generalizations. Inductive reasoning contrasts with (Logic-Based) deductive reasoning, where reasoning proceeds from general principles and is applied specific cases.		
ή στολή αἱ στολαί	pressure	ΣΤΟΛΗ equipping
ή διαστολή αἱ διαστολαί	differentiation	ΔΙΑΣΤΟΛΗ equipping apart
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
λανθάνειν	to evade notice	ΛΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ to be hidden
ἀληθής (ής, ές)	true	ΑΛΗΘΗΣ not hidden
τάληθές = τὸ ἀληθές τὰ ἀληθῆ	what is true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ not hidden
ὁμογενής (ές)	of the same kind	ὍΜΟΓΕΝΗΣ same born
ὁμως	all the same [i.e., nevertheless]	ὍΜΩΣ same
μηδέποτε	never	ΜΗΔΕΠΟΤΕ but not ever
συμπλέκειν	to entangle	ΣΥΜΠΛΕΚΕΙΝ weaving together
πειρᾶσθαι	to attempt	ΠΕΙΡΑΣΘΑΙ trail / attempt
πειρώμενος	attempting	ΠΕΙΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ trail / attempt
ἴσος (η, ον)	equal	ΙΣΟΣ equal
ἐξισοῦσθαι	to be made equal	ΕΞΙΣΟΥΣΘΑΙ out of equal
ή ὑποψία αἱ ὑποψίαι	suspicion	ὙΠΟΨΙΑ looking under

ὅλως	entirely	ὍΛΩΣ <i>whole</i>
ὅλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	entire	ὍΛΟΣ <i>whole</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of</i> <i>reasoning action</i> <i>upon</i>
ἡ ἐπιλόγισις αἱ ἐπιλογίσεις	[faculty of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΙΣ <i>collecting</i> <i>(reasoning) action</i> <i>upon</i>

28.25 Practical Analysis

The correct use of relative classifications must vary depending on the circumstances – for example how many people make a space "crowded" depends on the size of the space (the practical consequence of 10 people being in a small room is that is "crowded") and what makes something "just" depends on the circumstances (the application of the word "just" can only be judged to be correctly applied relative to practical consequences in specific circumstances).

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 7 inf.)

...[πιθανώτερ]ογ γίνεσ[θαι ἂν τὸν τοῦτο] δὴ φανερόμ[η] ποιοῦντα [ἤν]κ[α] [ῆ] ἔπραττέ τις τοῦτ[ι] ἢ οὐκ [ἔπρα]ττεν, ἥπερ ἡνίκα οὐκ ἦν ἡ διάληψις ἀναλογιστ[ικ]ῆ[ς] ὥς τῶιδέ τινι λέγει τοῦτο, τὸ ἐναντίον [ἐ]δοξάζετο.

...πιθανώτερογ γίνεσθαι ἂν Τὸν τοῦτο δὴ φανερόν ποιοῦντα ^[a] ἡνίκα ἢ ἔπραττέ
Τις τοῦτ[ι] ἢ οὐκ ἔπραττεν – ^[b] ἥπερ ἡνίκα οὐκ ἦν ἡ Διάληψις ἀναλογιστικὴ –
(ὥς τῷδέ τινι λέγει τοῦτο, Τὸ ἐναντίον Ἐδοξάζετο)

...That [person] who would make this [change in word meaning] in-fact evident would have been more persuasive [in making his case] ^[a] when Someone was either accomplishing or not accomplishing the very thing [that would make the change evident] – ^[b] rather than when the [logical] Differentiation – (that while He says this [word] to a certain person, Something opposite was being judged) – was NOT analogistic [i.e., NOT based the analogy to any evidence]*

[Sedley] [When it is suggested that a word has changed its meaning, always bear in mind that] the man trying to prove this would have been more persuasive at a time when someone was either acting, or not acting, in a certain manner, than at a time when the distinction, that by using a certain word he [means one thing but] used to hold the opposite opinion, was not analogically reasoned.

**Someone trying to demonstrate that an error was made in the application of a word should reference the practical consequence of that supposed error. Merely referring to some logical distinction or formal definition that does not relate to any specific circumstance is insufficient to prove that any error has been made.*

πιθανός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	persuasive	ΠΙΘΑΝΟΣ <i>probable</i>
πιθανώτερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	more persuasive	ΠΙΘΑΝΩΤΕΡΟΣ <i>probable</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced [here, "to come to be"]	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
φανερός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	evident	ΦΑΝΕΡΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ποιοῦν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	making	ΠΟΙΟΥΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἡνίκα	when	
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>

ἡ διάληψις αἱ διαλήψεις	differentiation	ΔΙΑΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold</i> <i>separation</i>
σοφιστικός (ή, όν)	sophistic i.e., considered wise	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>considered to</i> <i>have the</i> <i>characteristic of</i> <i>knowledge</i>
ἀναλογιστέος (α, ον)	ought to be analogized	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΤΕΟΣ <i>ought to be</i> <i>reasoning action</i> <i>again</i>
ὁ ἀναλογισμός οἱ ἀναλογισμοί	analogical consideration	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning action</i> <i>again</i> <i>[conceiving the unseen by analogy to the seen]</i>
τὸ ἀναλόγισμα τὰ ἀναλογίσματα	analogous thought	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>action of</i> <i>reasoning back</i> <i>[a conception of the unseen by analogy to the seen]</i>
ἀναλογιστικός (ή, όν)	analogistic	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>action of</i> <i>reasoning</i> <i>back/again</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>

28.26 Suspending Judgment

An Epicurean understands that it is an error to form a judgment *without repeated evidence* – and because of this, he knows he may have to suspend judgment. While doing so, he should be careful *not to adopt some purely logical argument in place of that suspended judgment. To define 'just' or 'crowded' in absolute terms critically ignores that such terms depend on context.*

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 7 inf.)
| P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 8 sup.)

ἐπὶ γὰρ δὴ τῶν τοιούτω[ν] οἶμαι μόνων ἂν ὑποπτεύσαιμι τόν γ' ἄστίομ μή ποτε ἐναντίως ἔμπροσθε ἔδοξάζε|το ἢ ὅλως [σοφώ]τερον ὑπελάμβανε τ[ι ἐκ τ]ῶν ἀ[ν]τικειμ[έ]νων, ἐπεὶ οὐχὶ π[α]ρόντων ο[ἷδ]α ἐπιβλητικὴν ἔχ[ει] δόξαν ἐν ᾧδήποτε τρόπῳ.

ἐπὶ, γὰρ δὴ, τῶν τοιούτων Οἶμαι μόνων, ἂν Ὑποπτεύσαιμι **τὸν γ' ἄστίον**: **μή ποτε ἐναντίως ἔμπροσθε** Ἐδοξάζετο – ἢ **ὅλως σοφώτερον** Ὑπελάμβανε **τι ἐκ τῶν ἀντικειμένων**: ἐπεὶ, οὐχὶ **παρόντων**, Οἶδα, **ἐπιβλητικὴν** Ἔχει **δόξαν ἐν ᾧδήποτε τρόπῳ**

for indeed, I believe that only in [a case] like this here [i.e., where the practical consequences are not evident], that I would ever suspect the cultured man: that He would not have ever been judging in opposition before – or [ever] Supposed some [meaning of a word was] entirely wiser from contrary [logical arguments]: since, I know, He does not hold an objective judgment of present [circumstances] in whatever way [he is thinking]

* [Sedley] For it is only, I think, on grounds such as these that I would suspect that the clever fellow held a contradictory opinion before he accepted some opposing belief as being in general wiser; for the opinion which he holds is, I know, by no means empirically based on current evidence.

τοιόσδῃ = τοιόσδε (τοιάδε, τειόνδε)	like this here (such a kind as this)	ΤΟΙΟΣΔΙ ΤΟΙΟΣΔΕ <i>of this sort here</i>
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
οἶμαί	I believe	

ὑποπτεύειν	to suspect	ὙΠΟΠΤΕΥΕΙΝ <i>looking</i> <i>[suspiciously]</i> <i>under</i>
ἀσῑός <i>(α, ον) =</i> ἀστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	cultured	ΑΣΤΙΟΣ ΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>of the city</i>
έναντίως	against	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΩΣ <i>in against</i>
ἐμπροσθε	before	ΕΜΠΡΟΣΘΕ <i>in before</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
ὅλως	entirely	ΌΛΩΣ <i>whole</i>
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
σοφός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	wise	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
σοφώτερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	wiser	ΣΟΦΩΤΕΡΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ὑπολαμβάνειν	to suppose	ὙΠΟΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping under</i>
ἀντικείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	contrary	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated against</i>
παρών <i>(παρουσα, παρόν)</i>	present	ΠΑΡΩΝ <i>to be beside</i>
εἰδέναι	<i>to have mentally seen ∴</i> "to know"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>appearing</i>
οἶδα	I know	
ἐπιβάλλειν	to objectively focus	ΕΠΙΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἐπιβλητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	objective	ΕΠΙΒΛΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>being thrown</i> <i>upon</i>
ή δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ὅπωςδήποτε	in any way	ΌΠΩΣΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite</i> <i>in what way</i>
δήποτε	ever, at any time	ΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite</i>
ὅσδήποτε <i>(ήσδήποτε, ότιδήποτε)</i>	whichever	ΟΣΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite</i> <i>which</i>

28.27 Judgment's Boundary

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 8 sup.)
| P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 8 inf. – part 1)

είς τοῦτον [οὔ]ν δὴ φημι τὸν ὅρον ἀπο[β]λεπέ[τω πᾶσα] δόξα ἧς τὸν ἐπιλογισμόν [ἐ]τι μὴ προστεθηκότες ἤμεν· οὐ γὰρ πᾶσαν οἶμαι εὐθύ[ς] ἔστι δόξαν καὶ εἰς ἐπιλογισμόν ἄγειν· ἀλλ' ἱκανόν ἂν δύναμιν τις ἔχει μόνον τῆς ἐπ[ι]λογίσεω[ς] φθῆσε[τ]αι ὅταν [ὁ] καιρὸς π[ι]αρα[δύ]δῳι. [ὁ γ]ὰρ ἀν[ε]πιλογίστως [οὔ]τως πρὸς ταύτην λία[ν τε] τα[πι]νῳι τρόπῳι [προσέχων ὁμῶς ἐ]πιλογ[ί]σασθαι δυνήσεται]... | ...κέχρη[ται] τ[αύτ]ε[ι] τεῖ κ[ι]νή[σει]· καὶ οὐδὲν ἦττ[ον] ὑπὸ τῇ μ[υ]φυγῇν ἢ αἴρεσιν [κα]τ' αὐτὴν ἀγόμενος τεύξεται τοῦ ὀρθοῦ.

είς τοῦτον, οὔν, δὴ, Φημι – τὸν ὅρον ἀποβλεπέτω πᾶσα Δόξα (ἧ τὸν ἐπιλογισμόν ἔτι μὴ προστεθηκότες ἤμεν): οὐ γὰρ πᾶσαν Οἶμαι εὐθύς ἔστι δόξαν καὶ εἰς ἐπιλογισμόν ἄγειν

into this [way of thinking], then, indeed, I assert – let every Judgment look at this limit (insofar as We had not yet added the [process of] inductive consideration): I do not believe that it is possible for every judgment to also lead directly into a [process of] inductive consideration

[Sedley] I am saying, then, that every opinion to which we had not yet at that time applied an empirical assessment should be referred to the following rule: it is not possible, in my view, to subject every opinion immediately to an empirical assessment

ἀλλ' ἱκανόν ἂν δύναμίν τις ἔχειν μόνον τῆς ἐπιλογίσεως φθῆσεται – ὅταν ὁ καιρὸς παραδιδῷ. Ὁ γὰρ ἀνεπιλογίστως οὕτως πρὸς ταύτην, λίαν τε ταπινῶ τρόπῳ, Προσέχων – ὅμως ἐπιλογίσασθαι δυνήσεται... ...Κέχρηται ^[Δ] ταύτει τεῖ κινήσει – καὶ, οὐδὲν ἦττον ὑπὸ τὴν φυγὴν ἢ αἵρεσιν, κατ' αὐτὴν ἀγόμενος, Τεύξεται τοῦ ὀρθοῦ

but [even though forming a judgment based in direct evidence is not always possible], it is sufficient if Someone will succeed in only having the force of [his faculty of] inductive consideration – whenever the Opportunity allows. for the One Paying attention for this [opportunity for observation of practical consequences] in this way without inductive consideration, and in a very humble a manner – will nevertheless be able to inductively consider [when the opportunity DOES allow for observation]... ...[if] He uses ^[Δ] this movement [of his thought based in observation] – and, while being led according to [this inductive consideration], He will happen to be right no less in regards to avoidance than [in regards] to choice

[Sedley] but it is sufficient that a man will be ready merely to display a capacity for reasoning empirically when the opportunity allows. For someone who examines it with this lack of empirical reasoning, and in an utterly inadequate fashion, will nevertheless be able to assess it empirically [if it is an opinion that concerns actions, when he has the opportunity to observe someone who proceeds to action on the basis of it; he will see with what result the person] performs this action, and under its guidance he will arrive at the truth just as much in [the category of] avoidance as in that of choice

If sufficient information is unavailable, forming a complete judgment through inductive consideration will not be possible. Often times, the best we can do is wait until more complete observation is possible.

For example, we know when to properly call a room 'crowded' or an action 'just' by observing the practical conditions that justify – or fail to justify – the use of those terms in any particular circumstance.

λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ speaking
βεβαιοῦν	to affirm	ΒΕΒΑΙΟΥΝ firm standing
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ speaking
φημι	I assert	
τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit	ΠΕΡΑΣ finished
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ looking
ὁ ὅρος οἱ ὅροι	limit	ὍΡΟΣ outline, definition
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ looking
διαβλέπειν	to discern	ΔΙΑΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ looking through
ἀποβλέπειν	to look at	ΑΠΟΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ looking out
ἡ ἐπιλόγισις αἱ ἐπιλογίσεις	[faculty of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΙΣ collecting (reasoning) action upon
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ process of reasoning action upon
προστιθέναι	to add	ΠΡΟΣΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ placing toward

προστεθηκεώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	added to	ΠΡΟΣΤΕΘΗΚΩΣ <i>placing toward</i>
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
ιθύς <i>ionic for</i> εύθύς <i>(εὔα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΟΥΣ ΕΥΟΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
ἄγειν	to lead	ΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going</i>
Part 2		
ϊκανός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	sufficient	ΊΚΑΝΟΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>
ή δύναμις αί δυνάμεις	force	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>
ή ἐπιλόγισις αί ἐπιλογίσεις	[faculty of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΙΣ <i>collecting</i> <i>(reasoning) action</i> <i>upon</i>
ἀφικνεῖσθαι	to arrive	ΑΦΙΚΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>towards arriving</i>
φθάνειν	to succeed [first]	ΦΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>overtaking</i>
ἄν... φθήσεται...	<i>note the use of the future</i> <i>indicative with ἄν</i>	
καιριώτατος	most opportune	ΚΑΙΡΙΩΤΑΤΟΣ <i>time</i>
εὖκαιρος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	convenient	ΕΥΚΑΙΡΟΣ <i>good time</i>
ό καιρός οί καιροί	opportunity	ΚΑΙΡΟΣ <i>time</i>
ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving away</i>
ἀναδιδόναι	to deliver	ΑΝΑΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving up</i>
παραδιδόναι	to hand over <i>[here, "to allow"]</i>	ΠΑΡΑΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving alongside</i>
ἀνεπιλογίστως	in a way without inductive consideration	ΑΝΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΤΩΣ <i>not reasoning</i> <i>action upon</i>
λίαν	very	
ταπινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	humble	ΤΑΠΙΝΟΣ <i>low</i>
προσέχειν	to pay attention	ΠΡΟΣΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold towards</i>
ὅμως	nevertheless	ΌΜΩΣ
ἐπιλογίσασθαι	to inductively consider	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΑΣΘΑΙ <i>collecting</i> <i>(reasoning) action</i> <i>upon</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
χρήσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
ή κίνησις αί κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
ἥττον	to a lesser extent	ΉΤΤΟΝ <i>inferior</i>
ή φυγή αί φυγαί	avoidance	ΦΥΓΗ <i>fleeing</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
ή αἵρεσις αί αἱρέσεις	choice	ΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ <i>taking</i>
ἄγειν	to lead	ΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going</i>

ἀγόμενος (η, ον)	being led	ΑΓΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>going</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
ὀρθός (ή, όν)	right	ΟΡΘΟΣ <i>straight upright</i>

28.28 Errors of Speculative Judgment

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 8 inf. – part 2)

| *P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 9 sup. – part 1)*

ὅσαι δὲ μὴ περὶ πράξεων εἰσὶν τῶν δοξ[ῶ]ν λέγω δὲ τῶν οὐκ ἐπιβλητικῶν ἀλ[λ'] ἐ]κ τοῦ θεωρητικοῦ μέρους οὔσαι αὗται δὲ ἀλώσονται, ἅμ[ι] ψευδεῖς ᾧσι καὶ ἡ [ἀλόγως ἢ ἐ]κ συλλ[ογ]ῆ|γισμ[ο]ῦ ἔχωσ[ι] τὸ διημαρτημέν[ο]ν, ἐν τῷ ἢ ἄλλο τι κατὰ ταύτας λέγεσθαι τῶν θεωρητικῶν ὃ οὐκ ἀληθές ἐστιν, ἢ ἡ ᾗ πῶρ[ρω]θέμ ποθεν εἰς πράξεως συναφὴν βαδίζωσιν εἰσάγωσιν εἰς τὴν [ἀ]νεπιτήδιομ πράξιν.

“Ὅσαι δὲ ^[¬•] μὴ περὶ πράξεων εἰσὶν ^[Δ] τῶν δοξῶν – Λέγω δὲ τῶν ^[¬Δ•] οὐκ ἐπιβλητικῶν, ἀλλ' ἐκ ^[Δ•] τοῦ θεωρητικοῦ μέρους Οὔσαι: Αὗται δὲ ἀλώσονται ἅμ ^(-α) ψευδεῖς ᾧσι – καὶ ἡ ἀλόγως ἢ ἐκ συλλογισμοῦ Ἔχωσι ^[∇] τὸ διημαρτημένον – ἐν τῷ ἢ ^[W1] ἄλλο Τι ^[∇•] κατὰ ταύτας λέγεσθαι τῶν θεωρητικῶν ὃ ^(-α) οὐκ ἀληθές ἐστιν – ἢ ἢ ἅμ πῶρρωθεν ποθεν εἰς ^[⇨•] πράξεως συναφὴν ^[∇•] βαδίζωσιν, Εἰσάγωσιν εἰς ^[W2] τὴν ἀνεπιτήδιον πράξιν

as Many ^[Δ] of one's judgments as are ^[¬•] not about [external] activities – I am speaking about ^[¬Δ•] those [judgments] that are not of the objective [type of thinking], but Those [judgements that] do exist from the ^[Δ•] speculative part [of thought]: These [judgments] will be caught if they are ^(-α) false – and Have ^[∇] what is thoroughly mistaken either unreasonably or out of inference – whether in [the case] that ^[W1] Something else may be said according to those ^[∇•] incorrect judgements] from the speculative [parts of thought] that is ^(-α) not true – or insofar as ^[∇•] these [incorrect speculative judgments] may proceed from somewhere at a distance ^[⇨•] into union with activity, [and] They lead into ^[W2] an unsuitable activity

[Sedley] As for those opinions which do not concern actions (by which I mean those which are not included among empirical opinions but belong to the theoretical side), these will be confuted, if they are false and whether the cause of their error is irrational or rational either because ^[1] some other than theoretical opinion expressed on the basis of them is untrue, or, ^[2] if they become indirectly linked up with action, wherever they lead to disadvantageous action.

the error in case 1 is that a ^[Δ•] speculative judgment, which is a judgment about what is what is conceivable, is asserted that is ^(W) contested by further speculation – i.e., contrary evidence is conceivable. (For example "the void does not exist" is contested by the fact that we conceive that motion is not possible without it.)

the error in case 2 is that a ^[Δ•] speculative judgment is asserted that (although being a judgment about what is only conceivable) is still ^(W) contested by the direct observation of the consequences that result from that error in judgment. (For example, people who say "motion is not possible" are contested by their own movement.)

ὅσος (η, ον)	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
ἢ πράξις αἱ πράξεις	activity	ΠΡΑΞΙΣ <i>action</i>
ἢ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
λέγειν	to say [here "to speak"]	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
ἐπιβάλλειν	to objectively focus	ΕΠΙΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἐπιβλητικός (ή, όν)	objective	ΕΠΙΒΛΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being thrown upon</i>

θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
θεωρητικῶς	in a speculative way	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of [mentally] observing</i>
θεωρητός (ή, όν)	observable	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΟΣ <i>observing</i>
θεωρητικός (ή, όν)	speculative	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of observing</i>
τò μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
ἀλίσκεσθαι	to be caught	ἈΛΙΣΚΕΣΘΑΙ <i>being conquered</i>
ψευδής (ής, ές)	false	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ <i>deceiving</i>
εἶναι	to be	ΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being</i>
ῶσι	they may be	
ἀλόγως	unreasonably	ΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>not reasoning</i>
ὁ συλλογισμός	inference	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning action together</i>
ἀναμάρτητος (ος, ον)	mistake-free	ΑΝΑΜΑΡΤΗΤΟΣ <i>not capable of missing the mark</i>
ἀμαρτάνειν	to make a mistake	ἈΜΑΡΤΑΝΕΙΝ <i>missing the mark</i>
διαμαρτάνειν	to thoroughly make a mistake	ΔΙΑΜΑΡΤΑΝΕΙΝ <i>missing the mark through</i>
διημαρτημένος (η, ον)	thoroughly mistaken	ΔΙΗΜΑΡΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ
τò διημαρτημένον τὰ διημαρτημένα	what is thoroughly mistaken	ΔΙΗΜΑΡΤΗΜΕΝΟΝ <i>missing the mark through</i>
λέγειν	to say	ΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking</i>
λέγεσθαι	to be said	ΛΕΓΕΣΘΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
ἀληθής (ές)	(α) true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not concealed</i>
ἣ	insofar as	ἣ <i>in/for which</i>
πῶρρωθεν	from a distance	ΠΩΡΡΩΘΕΝ <i>distant</i>
ποθεν	from somewhere	
ή συναφή αί συναφαί	union	ΣΥΝΑΦΗ <i>joining together</i>
βαδίζειν	proceed	ΒΑΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>walking</i>
ἄγειν	to lead	ΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going</i>
εἰσάγειν	to lead into	ΕΙΣΑΓΕΙΝ <i>going into</i>
ἀνεπιτήδειος (ος, ον)	unsuitable	ΑΝΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>not towards this here</i>

28.29 The "Concealed Father" Demonstration

ὁ Συγκεκαλυμμένος Πατήρ

The "Concealed Father" is a provocative demonstration used to publicly argue that real knowledge is impossible due to a fundamental epistemological paradox: that we can both *know* and *not know* the same thing.

This scenario presents a situation in which, in front of an audience, a Sophist covers a man with a blanket. Then, the Sophist brings in the man's son and asks him who is beneath the blanket. When the son admits he does not know, the Sophist reveals that the person under the blanket is actually his own father.

The paradox arises because *when the son says he does not know who is under the blanket, it implies that he does not know who his father is* – *which is strange because he should know his own father*.

Really the error is in the language, as the son in fact simultaneously does NOT KNOW who is under the blanket and does KNOW who his father is.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 9 sup. – part 2)

ἂν δὲ μηδὲν τούτων, εὐσυνθεώρητον ἔσται ὥς οὐκ [εἰ]σι ψ[ε]υδεῖς. διὸ καὶ ῥαιδίως ἅπαντες κ[αὶ] ταγελῶσ[ι]ν ὅταν τις ὁμ[ολ]ογήσαντός τινος μηδ' ἐνδέχεσθαι ταύτῳ ἐπίστασθαι τε καὶ μὴ ἐπίστασθαι προφέρ[η]ν τὸν συγκεκαλυμμένον μ[πα]τέρα καὶ τὰ [τοῦ] αὐτα-

ἂν δὲ μηδὲν τούτων, εὐσυνθεώρητον ἔσται ὥς ^(α) οὐκ εἰσι ψευδεῖς

but if these [*i.e., instances of contrary evidence or negative consequences*] do not [*occur*], It will be well mutually observed that They are ^(α) not false

[Sedley] If none of these consequences ensues, it will be correct to conclude that opinions are not false.

i.e., ^[Δ:] speculative judgment is only ^(α) true when it is ^(M) attested by evidence or ^{-W} not contested by contrary evidence (which includes the practical consequences of that speculative judgment)

διὸ, καὶ ῥαιδίως ἅπαντες καταγελῶσιν ὅταν τις – (ὁμολογήσαντός τινος μηδ' ἔνδέχεσθαι ταύτῳ ἐπίστασθαι τε καὶ μὴ ἐπίστασθαι) – προφέρῃ "τὸν Συγκεκαλυμμένον Πατέρα" καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα

therefore, All [*people*] together easily thoroughly laugh whenever Somebody – (when another person has agreed that It is not even possible to both understand and to NOT understand the same thing) – sets forth "the Concealed Father" and such things

[Sedley] For this reason, everybody can easily laugh when somebody gets another to assert that it is impossible to know and not know the same thing, and then cites the riddle of the Covered Father, and others of the same kind.

Consider the line from Lucian's Βίων Πρᾶσις (Vitarum Auctio), where the Stoic, after stating the riddle of 'The Crocodile and the Child,' lists some more riddles that he can recite:

"The Reaper, the Master, and above all, the Electra and the Veiled Figure." (§ 22)

συνθεωρεῖν	to mutually observe	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ envisioning together
εὐσυνθεώρητος (ος, ον)	well mutually observed	ΕΥΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΗΤΟΣ capable of properly observing together
ψευδής (ής, ές)	false	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ deceiving
ῥάδιος ῥαιδιος (α, ον)	easy	ῬΑΙΔΙΟΣ easily
ῥαδίως ῥαιδίως	easily	ῬΑΙΔΙΩΣ easily
ἅπας (ασα, αν)	all together	ἌΠΑΣ all together

γελᾶν	to laugh	ΓΕΛΑΝ <i>deriding</i>
καταγέλαστος <i>(ov)</i>	thoroughly laughing	ΚΑΤΑΓΕΛΑΣΤΟΣ <i>thoroughly deriding</i>
καταγελᾶν	to thoroughly laugh	ΚΑΤΑΓΕΛΑΝ
ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>same reasoning</i>
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
σῆναι	to stand <i>[already]</i>	ΣΤΗΝΑΙ <i>standing</i>
ἵστασθαι	to be placed upright	ἸΣΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>standing</i>
ἐπισταμένως	in an understandable way	ΕΠΙΣΤΑΜΕΝΩΣ <i>standing upon</i>
ἡ ἐπιστήμη αἱ ἐπιστήμαι	understanding	ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΗ <i>standing upon</i>
ἐπίστασθαι	to understand	ΕΠΙΣΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>standing upon</i>
προφέρειν	to set forth	ΠΡΟΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing forward</i>
κατακαλύπτειν	to cover completely	ΚΑΤΑΚΑΛΥΠΤΕΙΝ <i>completely covering</i>
ἡ κατακάλυψις αἱ κατακαλύψεις	obscurity	ΚΑΤΑΚΑΛΥΨΙΣ <i>completely covering</i>
συγκαλύπτειν	to conceal	ΣΥΓΚΑΛΥΠΤΕΙΝ <i>covering together</i>
ὁ πατήρ οἱ πατέρες	father	ΠΑΤΗΡ <i>father</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>

The Concealed Father demonstration probably originated from Euboulídēs of Miletus, who was a pupil of the founder of the Megarian school, Euclid of Megara. The paradox remained popular among the Megarians, including *Diódōros 'Krónos,'* who made use of it as well.

The Megarian school in general subscribed to the Eleatic tradition of dialectic, and *thus generally denied motion, change, plurality, and the value of sense evidence. Their riddles backed up the Eleatic thesis that there can be no true knowledge of the physical world.*

Zeno of Citium was impressed with these riddles, and they eventually became associated with Stoicism as the Megarian school's popularity faded.

28.30 Practical Indication vs. False Equivocation

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 9 inf.)
| P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 10 sup. – part 1)

[τοῦτ]ο γὰρ οὐ... |...τῇ[ι ἀγ]νώσει ἐξ ἀρχῆς αὐτοῦ ὁμ[ο]λογίαι ὅμως βλέπων ἐφ' ᾧ ὠμολόγε[ι] τοῦτο γελᾷ ἐπ[ι] τῷ[ι] σοφίσματι ὥς οὐ συμπεριλαβὼν ἐν ἐκίνει τεῖ ἀποκρίσει καὶ τὸ οὕτως ἂν ἐφαρμοσθὲν ἔκ τινος ἐθισμού λέξεως, ὥστε πίπτειν εἰς τὸ λέγειν ἐνδεχόμενον εἶναι τὸ αὐτὸν <ἐ>[πίσ]τασθαί τε | καὶ μὴ ἐπίστασθαι[ι], οὐδ' ἀρνηθ[ῆ]ναι τοῦτο, [διὰ] τοῦ τρόπου ο[ὔ] ὁ σοφιστὴς προφέρει. διὸ καὶ π[άνυ] ἀντικειμένως ὁμολογήσας [τι]ς ἐξ ἀρχῆς καὶ [ο]ὐκ εὐλα[βού]μενος τοῦτο ὥσπερ τιν[έ]ς τῶν σοφιστῶν οὐκ οἶετ[αι] πραγματικὸν ἔλεγχον λαμβάνειν.

τοῦτο γὰρ οὐ... ..τῇ ἀγνώσει ἐξ ἀρχῆς – αὐτοῦ ὁμολογίαι ὅμως βλέπων ἐφ' ᾧ ὠμολόγει: τοῦτο Γελᾷ ἐπὶ τῷ σοφίσματι ὥς οὐ συμπεριλαβὼν ἐν ἐκίνει τεῖ ἀποκρίσει καὶ τὸ οὕτως ἂν ἐφαρμοσθὲν ἔκ τινος ἐθισμού λέξεως – ὥστε πίπτειν εἰς τὸ λέγειν ἐνδεχόμενον εἶναι τὸ Αὐτὸν ἐπίστασθαί τε καὶ μὴ ἐπίστασθαι – οὐδ' ἀρνηθῆναι τοῦτο, διὰ τοῦ τρόπου οὔ ὁ Σοφιστὴς προφέρει

for this [will] not... [confound a son who waits to see the practical reality of the circumstance, even if] ...[due] to [his] ignorance at the beginning [of the riddle's presentation – the son] nevertheless sees his own agreement in regards to what he had agreed to [i.e., even though he does see his error in quickly agreeing that he did not know the covered man]: He [still] laughs at this [riddle] in regards to [its] sophistry since he had not completely comprehended together in that response what also would have been adapted out of some convention of a term in this way * – so as to fall into saying that it is possible for the Same person to understand and also to not understand – and to not deny that this [paradox expresses a universal truth], through the way in which a "Wise man" sets it forth

[Sedley] For this will not [defeat anybody who... ..replies that the question is about actions and therefore cannot immediately be decided by mere empty words. By contrast, someone who is forced to contradict] the assertion which he blindly made at the outset nevertheless laughs at the sophism when he sees the conditions under which he was making this assertion, and says that he did not include in his answer the example which could in this way be accommodated to it in consequence of a certain linguistic convention* – and that he is thus being tricked into asserting, instead of denying, that it is possible to know and not know the same thing, because of the way the sophist cites the riddle.

* i.e., the different ways that the words "knowing" and "understanding" are used. Although the son "does not know who" is under the blanket (in the sense that the identity of the man is a mystery) – the son "does know who" is under the blanket (in the sense that the son is aware of the identity of his father).

διὸ, καὶ πάνυ ἀντικειμένως ὁμολογήσας Τις ἐξ ἀρχῆς – (καὶ οὐκ εὐλαβούμενος τοῦτο, ὥσπερ Τινὲς τῶν σοφιστῶν) – οὐκ οἶται πραγματικὸν ἔλεγχον λαμβάνειν

therefore, even Someone who originally has agreed wholly in opposition [that he DID NOT know the covered man] – (and while he was not carefully comprehending this, as Some of the sophists do) – [he still] does not think [that he is] receiving a practical refutation [when it is proven that he really DID know the covered man]

[Sedley] So a man who at the start made quite the opposite assertion, and does not display the wariness on this score shown by certain of the sophists, does not consider the refutation which he undergoes to be one that concerns actions.

[Sedley] The correct Epicurean retort to the sophist must be to say, "You have asked me a question about practical reality, and a purely verbal argument can never answer it satisfactorily. The only proof that I will accept is an empirical one (ὁ ἐπιλογισμός). Only when I see how one can lead one's life well according to the principle that it is possible to know and not know the same thing will I believe that the principle is true."
In most circumstances IT IS IMPOSSIBLE to know and not know the same thing, the sophist has merely played upon an exception to this rule.

τὸ ἄγνωστον τὰ ἄγνωστα	unknown thing	ΑΓΝΩΣΤΟΝ not knowing
ἡ ἀγνώστεια αἱ ἀγνώστειαι	ignorance	ΑΓΝΩΣΤΕΙΑ not knowing
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [here, "beginning"]	ΑΡΧΗ first order
ἡ ὁμολογία αἱ ὁμολογίαι	agreement	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΙΑ
ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ same reasoning
ὁμως	nevertheless	ὍΜΩΣ
βλέπειν	to see	ΒΛΕΠΕΙΝ looking
γελαῖν	to laugh	ΓΕΛΑΝ deriding
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ knowledge

τὸ σοφί σ μα τὰ σοφί σ ματα	sophistry i.e., what is considered wisdom	ΣΟΦΙΣΜΑ <i>considered to have knowledge</i>
συμπεριλαμβάνειν	to completely comprehend together	ΣΥΜΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping around together</i>
ἐκίνος = ἐκεῖνος (ἐκεῖν η , ἐκεῖν ο)	that	ΕΚΕΙΝΟΣ <i>that there</i>
ἀποκριθεῖς (εἴ σ α, ἐν)	distinguished [already]	ΑΠΟΚΡΙΘΕΙΣ <i>dividing away from</i>
ἡ ἀπόκρισις αἱ ἀποκρίσεις	[chosen] response	ΑΠΟΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing away from</i>
ἐναρμόττων (ου σ α, ον)	fitting into	ΕΝΑΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ <i>adapting into</i>
ἐφαρμοττῶν (οὔ σ α, όν)	fitting	ΕΦΑΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ <i>adapting upon</i>
ἐφαρμόζειν	to fit	ΕΦΑΡΜΟΖΕΙΝ <i>adapting upon</i>
ἐφαρμοσθεῖς (εἴ σ α, θέν)	have been fit [here, "have been adapted"]	ΕΦΑΡΜΟΣΘΕΙΣ <i>adapting upon</i>
τὸ ἔθνος τὰ ἔθνη	ethnicity	ΕΘΝΟΣ <i>accustomed</i>
ὁ ἐθισμός οἱ ἐθισμοί	convention	ΕΘΙΣΜΟΣ <i>result of being accustomed</i>
ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
ἐνδεχόμενος (η, ον)	possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting in</i>
ἐπίστασθαι	to understand	ΕΠΙΣΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>standing upon</i>
ἀρνεῖσθαι	to deny	ΑΡΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>denying</i>
ἀρνηθῆναι	to deny [already]	ΑΡΝΗΘΗΝΑΙ <i>denying</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ὁ σοφιστής οἱ σοφισταί	sophist i.e., a man considered wise	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΗΣ <i>considered to have knowledge</i>
προφέρειν	to set forth	ΠΡΟΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing forward</i>
Part 2		
πάνυ	wholly	ΠΑΝΥ <i>all</i>
ἀντικειμένως	in opposition	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΩΣ <i>situated against</i>
ὁ ὁμολογήσας οἱ ὁμολογήσαντες	he who has agreed	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΗΣΑΣ <i>same reasoning</i>
ἐν ἀρχῇ	originally	ΕΝ ΑΡΧΗ <i>in the first order</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
εὐλαβούμενος (η, ον)	carefully comprehending	ΕΥΛΑΒΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>properly grasped</i>
ὁ σοφιστής οἱ σοφισταί	sophist i.e., a man considered wise	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΗΣ <i>considered to have knowledge</i>

πραγματικός (ή, όν)	practical	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of result of doing / affair</i>
ἐλέγχειν	to refute	ΕΛΕΓΧΕΙΝ <i>confuting [with contrary proof]</i>
ἐλεγχόμενος (η, ον)	being refuted	ΕΛΕΓΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ
ὁ ἔλεγχος οἱ ἔλεγχοι	refutation	ΕΛΕΓΧΟΣ

28.31 Specific Objective Judgment

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 10 sup. – part 2)

καίτοι[ι] γε οὐκ ἐπελελόγιστο ὅτι πλήν τῶν τοιούτων ὧν ὁ σοφιστής προφέρει ἀδύνατόν ἐστι τὸ αὐτὸ ἐπίστασθαί τε καὶ ἄγν[οε]ῖν . ἀλλ' ὅμοιον τι ἂν ἔδοξε πεπονθέναι τοῖς μὴ συλλογιζομένοις τήν διαφορὰν ὥσπερ ἂν τις καὶ καθόλου τοῦ[τ]ο ὠμολο[γηκέναι] τὸ [σό]φισμ[α] ἄ[ναγκ]ασ[θῆι]...

καίτοι γε, οὐκ ἔπελελόγιστο ὅτι – πλήν τῶν τοιούτων ὧν ὁ Σοφιστής προφέρει – ἀδύνατόν ἐστι τὸ αὐτὸ Ἐπίστασθαί τε καὶ Ἀγνοεῖν

and yet **indeed**, [*the son*] had not inductively considered* that – **besides such things as** the Sophist sets forth – It is **impossible** to both Understand and Not know **the same thing**

[Sedley] His mistake is that he did not work out empirically* that apart from the sort of case cited by the sophist it is impossible to know and not know the same thing.

**The son may initially be fooled by the riddle while referencing logic or language – but he will not be fooled by the riddle while referencing his directly sensed experience of the entire event.*

ἀλλ' ὅμοιον τι ἂν ἔδοξε πεπονθέναι τοῖς μὴ συλλογιζομένοις τήν διαφορὰν – ὥσπερ ἂν Τις καὶ ^(κ) καθόλου τοῦτο ὠμολογηκέναι τὸ σόφισμα Ἀναγκασθῇ...

but [*the son*] would seem to have experienced **something similar** to **those who do not infer the difference*** – **just as if** Someone were also Forced to have agreed ^(κ) **universally** to **this sophistry**

[Sedley] However, people not reasoning out the difference* might think that he has suffered a fate similar to that of someone who is forced to assert this sophism as being also universally true.

*i.e., the difference between **specific** objective judgments vs. **universal** speculative judgments.*

*We have to be cautious about invalid generalizations – there may well be cases in which something is objectively true, but that does not make it universally true unless the speculative judgment is based on **necessary qualities**.*

καίτοι	and indeed	ΚΑΙΤΟΙ
ἐπιλογίζεσθαι	to inductively consider	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>process of reasoning action upon</i>
πλήν	besides	
τοιούτος (<i>τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον</i>)	like this (<i>such as this</i>)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ὁ σοφιστής οἱ σοφισταί	sophist i.e., a man considered wise	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΗΣ <i>considered to have knowledge</i>
προφέρειν	to set forth	ΠΡΟΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing forward</i>
ἀδύνατος (<i>ον</i>)	impossible	ΑΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>not powerful</i>

ἐπίστασθαι	to understand	ΕΠΙΣΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>standing upon</i>
ἀγνοεῖν	to not know	ΑΓΝΟΕΙΝ <i>not distinguishing</i>
Part 2		
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
πάσχειν	to experience	ΠΑΣΧΕΙΝ <i>enduring</i>
πεπονθέναι	to have experienced [already]	ΠΕΠΟΝΘΕΝΑΙ <i>endured</i>
συλλογίζεσθαι	to infer	ΣΥΛΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>reasoning action together</i>
συλλογιζόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	inferring	
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
καθόλου	^(κ) universally	ΚΑΘΟΛΟΥ <i>completely</i>
ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>same reasoning</i>
ὡμολογηκέναι	to have agreed [already]	
σοφός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	wise	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
σοφιστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	sophistic i.e., considered wise	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>considered to have the characteristic of knowledge</i>
σοφιστεύων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	engaging in sophistry i.e., engaging in what is considered to be wisdom	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΕΥΩΝ <i>considered to have knowledge</i>
ὁ σοφιστής οἱ σοφισταί	sophist i.e., a man considered wise	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΗΣ <i>considered to have knowledge</i>
τὸ σόφισμα τὰ σοφίσματα	sophistry i.e., what is considered wisdom	ΣΟΦΙΣΜΑ <i>considered to have knowledge</i>
ἀναγκάζειν	to force	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΖΕΙΝ <i>necessary</i>

28.32 Universal Speculative Judgment

Epicurus distinguishes between those who accept the paradox (that it is possible to both know and to not know the same thing) as valid *in particular logical cases* posed by the sophists, and those who accept it as *universally* true.

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 10 inf.)
| P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 11 sup. – part 1)

...καθ' ἑν ἕκαστον, ἀλλ' ἐκίνωι γε τῷ τρόπῳ ᾧ καθόλου ὁμολογεῖται, οὐκ ἐπιβάλλοντος τοῦ ὁμολογοῦντος ἐφ' ἑν ἕκαστον τῶμ' πραγμάτων, οὐδ' ἐν τούτῳι τῷ τρόπῳ τὸ ἐπὶ πάντων ὁμολογήσαντος ἢ ἀρνηθέντος. ἔδοξεν οὖν ἂν δὴ φη|μι τῷι μὴ [σ]υνορῶντι τὴν διαφορὰν τούτῳ[ν] ὅμοιόν τι ποιεῖν.

...καθ' ἑν ἕκαστον, ἀλλ' ἐκείνῳ γε τῷ τρόπῳ ᾧ^(κ) καθόλου Ὅμολογεῖται – οὐκ ἐπιβάλλοντος τοῦ ὁμολογοῦντος ἐφ' ἑν ἕκαστον τῶν πραγμάτων – οὐδ' ἐν τούτῳ τῷ τρόπῳ τὸ ἐπὶ^(κ) πάντων ὁμολογήσαντος ἢ ἀρνηθέντος

...[*nevertheless, a universally true speculative judgment is based*] in accordance with each one [*specific situation*], but in that way in which it is ^(κ) universally agreed* – while [*the son who*] agrees [*that the paradox is true*] does not objectively focus upon each one of the situations – nor while having agreed or having denied what [*exists*] in regards ^(κ) all things [*universally*] in this way [✱]

[Sedley] [*The difference which they do not see is that the man who expresses a universal opinion does so, possibly by empirical examination of*] individual cases, but at any rate in the manner of a universal assertion, * whereas our man who concedes the sophism does not empirically examine individual factual cases, and does not make any generally applicable affirmation or denial in the manner of a universal assertion. [✱]

^{*} Of course, all ^(ακ) universally true ^[Δ:κ] speculative judgments must necessarily be based upon the sense data from only ^(-κ) specific cases – observing every case is neither possible nor required to form true speculative judgments.

[✱] A universal ^[Δ:κ] speculative judgment is only ^(α) true when based in ^(M) attested necessary qualities that are never ^(W) contested by contrary ^(-κ) specific evidence

Ἔδοξεν οὖν ἂν, δῆ, Φημι – τῷ μὴ συνορῶντι τὴν διαφορὰν τούτων – ὁμοίων τι ποιεῖν

therefore, I assert, It might seem – for the one who does not [*mentally*] see the difference between these – that [*the son*] does do something similar [*to really agreeing to a universal judgement in a practical way*]

[Sedley] So, I repeat, anyone blind to this difference might have supposed our man to be acting in a similar way.

i.e., For those that do not perceive the distinction, it may seem that by agreeing with the specific judgment that he both "does not know who" and "does know who" is under the blanket – he seems to also have agreed to the universal judgment "in general, what we know, we also do not know."

ἕκαστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	each <i>(with plural verb)</i>	ἕκαστον <i>each</i>
ἐκίνος = ἐκεῖνος <i>(ἐκείνη, ἐκεῖνο)</i>	that	ἐκεῖνος <i>that there</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	τρόπος <i>manner / direction</i>
καθόλου	^(κ) universally	καθόλου <i>completely</i>
ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὁμολογεῖν <i>same reasoning</i>
ἐπιβάλλειν	to objectively focus	ἐπιβάλλειν <i>throwing upon</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	πράγμα <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	τρόπος <i>manner / direction</i>
ὁ ὁμολογήσας οἱ ὁμολογήσαντες	he who has agreed	ὁμολογήσας <i>same reasoning</i>
ἀρνείσθαι	to deny	ἀρνεῖσθαι <i>denying</i>
ἀρνηθεῖς <i>(εἴσα, ἐν)</i>	having denied <i>[already]</i>	ἀρνηθεῖς <i>denying</i>
Part 2		
δοκεῖν	to seem	δοκεῖν <i>seeming</i>

φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
συνορῶν <i>(ῶσα)</i>	fully seeing [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΩΝ <i>seen together</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
ποιεῖν	to make [here, "to do"]	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>

28.33 Universal vs. Specific Facts

*A man who is persuaded that some proposition is universally true is bound to reflect this belief in his behaviour ("μαρτυρεῖ, δ', Αὐτὸς αὐτῷ, He attests for himself"). Yet, as we know from our own experience, people who agree with such paradoxical assertions **are still unable to act as though the assertions are true. In this case, it is not possible to live for very long while suspending all judgment.***

*It is no more likely that the man who is forced to concede that it is possible to know and not know the same thing will adjust his behaviour to fit this principle than, for instance, the man who cannot refute Zeno's or Diódōros Krónos' paradoxes of the impossibility of motion will stop moving. **By not acting in accordance with the sophist's paradoxical assertions, the man shows that he does not really accept it as a universal truth.***

Epicurus, *On Nature*, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 11 sup. – part 2)

ἀλλ' οὐ πο[ιεῖ], μα[ρτυ]ρεῖ δ' αὐτὸς αὐτῷ ὃ[ταν τε] καθόλου τι ὁμολ[ο]γήσει, [καί]μυ μυριάκις μὴ παρ' ἕκαστον ἐπιβλητικῶς ἀρνηθεῖη ἐπινεύσει, καὶ ὅταμ μὴ. ἔνθα μὲν γὰρ βαδίζει εὐθύς εἰς πρᾶξιν τοιαύτην οἶαν εἰ καὶ καθ' ἓν ἕκαστον ἐπιβλητικῶς ὠμολογήκει τοιόνδε τι εἶναι ἢ ἥρνητο, ἅμπερ εἴ πραγματικὴ ἡ δόξα, ἔνθα δ' οὐ βαδίζει. ὥσαύτως δὲ καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν θεωρητικῶν, ἔνθα μὲν τι ἀλλοτρίως κατὰ τὸν [ἐ]πιβλητικὸν τρόπον τοῦ ἐπιμ[αρ]τυρηθησο[μένου εὐθύς ὠμολόγησε]...

ἀλλ' οὐ Ποιεῖ. μαρτυρεῖ, δ', Αὐτὸς αὐτῷ^[1] ὅταν τε^(κ) καθόλου τι ὁμολογήσει – (κᾶν μυριάκις μὴ, παρ'^[-κ] ἕκαστον^[Δ•] ἐπιβλητικῶς, Ἀρνηθεῖη Ἐπινεύσει) – καὶ^[2] ὅταν μὴ

however the [son] does not [act in a way that shows that he believes the paradox is universally true]. but, He attests for himself [in his actions]^[1] whenever it happens that he will also agree to something^(κ) universally – (even if innumerable times [in his words] He will consent [that it is universally true] so that He does not have to deny [this incorrect judgment] in^[Δ•] an objectively focusing way for^[-κ] each single [practically observable example]) – and [He attests for himself in his actions]^[2] whenever [he will] not [agree to something as universally true]

[Sedley] But this is not how he acts; and he bears witness for himself⁽¹⁾ whenever he makes a universal assertion (even if he should make ten thousand statements of its truth for fear that by empirical examination of an individual case he might admit its falsity) and⁽²⁾ when he does not.

The parenthetical statement above suggests that Epicurus distrusts generalizations and sees them as a means of shutting one's eyes to factual evidence.

*When someone makes a **universal** (καθόλου) assertion which he believes to be true about something, he will proceed to think just as if he had also empirically asserted (or denied) in EACH SINGLE CASE (καθ' ἓν ἕκαστον), that each case is **LIKE THAT** (τοιόνδε) OBJECT OR CIRCUMSTANCE which he based his **universal** assertion upon.*

Consider "practical syllogism" of Aristotle which tries to show the exact logical sequence between the acceptance of a *universal* (καθόλου) principle and acting in accordance with it. Aristotle does demand the recognition of a *minor premise* – the principle's applicability in a particular instance (καθ' ἕκαστονκαθ' ἕκαστον) – before an action can follow.

Epicurus sees this process as more immediate: for all practical purposes a universal opinion is one that can affect our behaviour at any time. If someone expresses such an opinion such as "all actions are causally necessitated," or "all perception is illusory" – but does not act in every instance as if it were true – then it cannot be said to be a universal opinion, since there is at least one situation in which he manifestly does not believe that it applies.

^[κ] ἔνθα μὲν, γὰρ Βαδίζει εὐθύς εἰς πρᾶξιν τοιαύτην οἷαν εἰ καὶ καθ' ^[-κ] ἔν ἕκαστον ^[Δ•] ἐπιβλητικῶς ὁμολογῇκει τοιόνδε τι εἶναι, ἢ Ἦρνητο – ἄμπερ εἴ πραγματικῇ ἢ Δόξα – ^[-κ] ἔνθα δ' οὐ Βαδίζει

^[κ] in the first case [where he asserts that something is universally true or false], He proceeds directly into an activity like this such as if He had [already] agreed in ^[Δ•] an objectively focusing way that something exists according to ^[-κ] each single [case] like this [case in front of him], or [as if] He had denied [it]– if indeed the Judgment is practical – ^[-κ] but in the second case [where he does not assert that something is universally true or false], He does not proceed [to take action as though he understood the nature of every case]*

^[Sedley] For in case (1) he embarks immediately upon an action such as if he had also accepted empirically that in a particular instance something was or was not of a certain kind; whereas in case (2) he does not. This is if the opinion is of the kind that concerns actions.

(For case 1) If a man recognizes the major premise of a practical syllogism (for example, 'it is good to pursue pleasure'), he will immediately act as if he also recognized the minor premise (for example, 'resting after working will bring me pleasure') – and so, he rests after working, without needing to always think out the full syllogism logically. In this way, a change in reasoning about values and facts often leads to a change in behavior.

ὥσαύτως δέ, καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν ^[Δ◊] θεωρητικῶν, ^[κ] ἔνθα μὲν, τι ἄλλοτρίως κατὰ τὸν ^[Δ•] ἐπιβλητικὸν τρόπον τοῦ ^[◊M] ἐπιμαρτυρηθησομένου εὐθύς ὁμολόγησε...

but likewise, ^[κ] in the first case [where he asserts that something is universally true or false] in accordance with ^[Δ◊] speculative [judgment], He simply IMMEDIATELY agreed to something in a foreign way according to an ^[Δ•] objective way of [of judging] ^[◊M] what is TO BE attested

* ^[Sedley] But so too in the theoretical field, in case (1) he at once goes outside his subject and asserts in empirical terms the [truth of some opinion] which awaits positive confirmation ^[Sedley takes τι with τοῦ ἐπιμαρτυρηθησομένου]

^[Δ◊] Speculative judgment can only correctly be used to form ^(κ) universally true judgments when it is based upon ^[Θ] empirical observation of necessary characteristics. Forming a ^[Δ◊] speculative judgment and then ignoring ^[Θ] contrary evidence is obviously a use of speculation that is incompatible with forming true judgments about the real world.

ποιεῖν	to make [here, "to do"]	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ gathering
ἐπιμαρτυρεῖν	to attest	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ testifying upon
καθόλου	^(κ) universally	ΚΑΘΟΛΟΥ completely
ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ same reasoning
μυριάκις	innumerable times	ΜΥΡΙΑΚΙΣ ants
ἕκαστος (η, ον)	each (with plural verb)	ἘΚΑΣΤΟΝ each

ἐπιβλητικῶς	in an objectively focusing way	ΕΠΙΒΛΗΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of being thrown upon</i>
ἀρνείσθαι	to deny	ΑΡΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>denying</i>
ἐρμηνεύειν	to interpret	ἙΡΜΗΝΕΥΕΙΝ <i>Hermes nod, interpreting sign</i>
ἐπινεύειν	to consent	ΕΠΙΝΕΥΕΙΝ <i>nod towards</i>
ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>same reasoning</i>
Part 2		
ἐνθα μὲν... ἐνθα δὲ	in the first case... in the other case...	
βαδίζειν	proceed	ΒΑΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>walking</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἶτα, ὑ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΟΥΣ ΕΥΟΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
ἡ πρᾶξις αἱ πράξεις	activity	ΠΡΑΞΙΣ <i>action</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
οἷος <i>(οἷα, οἷον)</i>	such as	ὍΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
ἕκαστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	each <i>(with plural verb)</i>	ἕΚΑΣΤΟΝ <i>each</i>
ἐπιβλητικῶς	in an objectively focusing way	ΕΠΙΒΛΗΤΙΚΩΣ <i>characteristic of being thrown upon</i>
ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>same reasoning</i>
τοιόσδ᾽ = τοιόσδε <i>(τοιᾶδε, τοιόνδε)</i>	like this here <i>(such a kind as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΣΔΙ ΤΟΙΟΣΔΕ <i>of this sort here</i>
ἀρνείσθαι	to deny	ΑΡΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>denying</i>
ἦρνητο	he had denied [already]	
ἄμπερ = ἄνπερ	if indeed	ΑΝΠΕΡ <i>"if" indeed</i>
πραγματικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	practical	ΠΡΑΓΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
Part 3		
ὡσαύτως	likewise	ὥΣΑΥΤΩΣ <i>as self</i>
θεωρητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	speculative	ΘΕΩΡΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of observing</i>
ἄλλοτρίως	in a foreign way	ΑΛΛΟΤΡΙΩΣ <i>different other</i>
ἐπιβάλλειν	to objectively focus	ΕΠΙΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἐπιβλητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	objective	ΕΠΙΒΛΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being thrown upon</i>
ἐπιμαρτυρεῖν	to attest	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying upon</i>
τὸ ἐπιμαρτυρηθσόμενον τὰ ἐπιμαρτυρηθσόμενα	[◊M] what is to be attested	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΘΗΣΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>will be testified upon</i>

ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς (εἴα, ύ)	simply / directly	ἰΟΥΣ ΕΥΘΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
ὁμολογεῖν	to agree	ὍΜΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ <i>same reasoning</i>

To underline the difference between being forced to concede to *the specific* paradoxes of the sophists vs. incorrectly accepting that these riddles betray *the universal* truth that knowledge is not possible, Epicurus now proceeds to describe the behavior which typically accompanies *universal assertions*, but which is absent when the assertion is *not universal*. In doing so, he reverts to the division which he has laid down above for the manifestations of true and false opinions:

(1) if it is ^[Δ•]an objective judgment, *it will DIRECTLY lead to some practical consequences.*

(2) if it is ^[Δ◌]a speculative judgment, it will either lead to some statement *about the perceptible world, or it will INDIRECTLY lead to some practical consequences.* (For example, one believing in an incorrect theory of soul – which taught that the soul was never liable to disintegrate – might be expected to worry about their afterlife; but knowledge of the true nature of the soul will allow one to eliminate this worry and to enjoy life.)

28.34 Invalid Generalizations

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col 11 inf.)
| 1479, fr. 13 (col. 12 sup. – part 1)

...τῶ[ις κ]αθ[ό]λου ἀκολο[υ]μ[ι] [βο]υλόμενός τι ποιεῖν, καὶ ἥι ἂμ ποτε πράξις τις αὐτῷ συνάπτηται, διήμαρτεν, ἔνθα δ' οὐδέν τοιοῦτον ἐποίησεν. οἷς δὴ δεῖ σημίους χρώμενον συνορᾶν τὴν διαφοράν. κἂν τοῦτο πράττωμεν ἐπὶ πάντων τῶν | λόγων, οὐ δυσωπηθησόμεθα τὸ [μ]έρος ὃ προ[ε]ῖρηκα.

...^(κ) καθόλου ἀκολουθον Βουλόμενος **τι** ποιεῖν, καὶ ἧ ἂν ποτε Πράξις τις αὐτῷ συνάπτηται – Διήμαρτεν. ^(-κ) ἔνθα δ' οὐδέν τοιοῦτον Ἐποίησεν

...[he agreed to a fact that is not empirically supported] because He wanted to make *some [judgment] that follows* ^(κ) *universally*, and *insofar as* a certain Activity might be connected *to him* – He thoroughly made a mistake. ^(-κ) *in the second case [where he does not agree to a universal fact]*, He did *nothing like this [i.e., making a mistake in his actions based on his mistaken generalization]*

[Sedley] *[he asserts in empirical terms the truth of some opinion which awaits positive confirmation and which in fact turns out to be false]* through a desire to do something in accordance with his generalization, and wherever some action linked with the assertion occurs, he acts wrongly; whereas in case (2) he does nothing of this kind.

οἷς δὴ Δεῖ σημίους χρώμενον, συνορᾶν τὴν διαφοράν. κἂν τοῦτο Πράττωμεν ἐπὶ πάντων τῶν λόγων – οὐ Δυσωπηθησόμεθα τὸ μέρος ὃ Προείρηκα

therefore It is necessary, *while using these signs [of objective qualities and practical consequences], to fully [mentally] see the difference [between a universal judgment and a specific judgment].* and if We accomplish *this [awareness of the difference]* in regard to all reasonings – We will not be troubled by *the part [of epistemology] which* I have spoken about [*i.e., using only necessary qualities as the basis for universal judgments*]

[Sedley] These are the indications which you must heed in order to see the difference. And if we follow the same procedure in examining all utterances, we shall have no cause for shame with regard to the field of study of which I have spoken.

καθόλου	^(κ) universally	ΚΑΘΟΛΟΥ <i>completely</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
ἀκολουθῶν (οὔσα, οὖν)	following	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΩΝ <i>following</i>

ἀκολουθος <i>(ον)</i>	follows	
βουλόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	wanting	ΒΟΥΛΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>council</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἥ	insofar as	ἥ <i>in/for which</i>
ἡ πράξις αἱ πράξεις	activity	ΠΡΑΞΙΣ <i>action</i>
συνάπτειν	to connect	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening together</i>
διαμαρτάνειν	to thoroughly make a mistake	ΔΙΑΜΑΡΤΑΝΕΙΝ <i>missing the mark through</i>
τοιούτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
Part 2		
τὸ σημίον = τὸ σημεῖον τὰ σημεῖα	sign	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ <i>state of indication</i>
χρώμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	using	ΧΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
συνορᾶν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
πράττειν	to accomplish	ΠΡΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>doing / affair</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
ἡ ὤψ	face	ὠψ <i>face</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
δυσωπεῖν	to trouble	ΔΥΣΩΠΕΙΝ <i>difficult face</i>
δυσωπηθήσεσθαι	to be going to be troubled by	ΔΥΣΩΠΗΘΗΣΕΣΘΑΙ <i>difficult face</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
προλέγειν	to say before	ΠΡΟΛΕΓΕΙΝ <i>speaking before</i>
εἰρηκέναι	to have said [in the past]	ΕΙΡΗΚΕΝΑΙ <i>having said</i>
προειρηκέναι	to have said before [in the past]	ΠΡΟΕΙΡΗΚΕΝΑΙ <i>having said before</i>

28.35 Effective Use of the Canon

By carefully using sensation as a measure of truth for both objective and speculative judgments, and by keeping in mind the difference between *universal* and *specific* judgements, we can act on our true understanding of the world in a way that is advantageous to our wellbeing.

κ[αί] ἥι δ' [ἀν] οὕτω [ἥι τ]ο ἡμαρτημένον, [τὰ γινόμενα δεῖ σ]υναισθάνεσθαι κ[άκ] πρ[ώ]του, ὥσπερ εἴ τινα εἰς ἐγ[ά]ργειαν αὐτοῦ ἐλθεῖν, ἥ[ι] καὶ εὐθύς μὴ ἀθρόαι τις διισχυρίσει ἐπ' αὐτὸ πορεύη[τ]αι, ἀλλ' εὐλαβοῦμ[ε]νος, καὶ ἄλλην καὶ ἄλλην τὸ ψεῦδος ἐμπεριλαμβάνων [τὰ] καθόλου δὲ μὴ δοξ[ά]ζων οὕτω, ἀλλὰ τιν' ἔχωμ [πα]ρ' ἐ[α]υτῷ κανόνα ἐξ οὗ, μέχρ[ι τ]ῆς οἰονί ἐνεργητικῆς ιδέας ἢ ἀναλόγου ταύτη κειμένης, οὐ βαδιεῖ[τ]αι ἐπὶ τῷ μ ψευδῶν. κἂν οὕτως οὖν δῆ, φημι ἥι τι διημαρτημένον, δεῖ συνορᾶν...

καὶ ἥ δ' ἂν οὕτω ἥ ^[V] τὸ Ἠμαρτημένον: τὰ γινόμενα Δεῖ συναισθάνεσθαι, κακ πρώτου – ὥσπερ εἴ Τίνα εἰς ἐνάργειαν αὐτοῦ ἐλθεῖν. ἥ καὶ εὐθύς ^(-κ) μὴ ἀθρόαι Τis διισχυρίσει, ἐπ' αὐτὸ Πορεύεται

but even insofar as ^[V] What is mistaken may exist in this way [*without making the distinction between universal and specific*]: It is necessary to fully perceive what [*practical consequences*] occur, even from the start – just as if Some [*of those consequences*] were to come into his detectible reality. and insofar as Someone will directly thoroughly confirm ^(-κ) NOT in an aggregated [*way, i.e., NOT relating to a universal generalization*], He could proceed toward that [*action based on that specific judgment without making the errors that are involved in a universal judgment*]

but even insofar as ^[V] What is mistaken may exist in this way: It is necessary to fully perceive what occurs, even from the start – just as if Some were to come into his detectible reality. and insofar as Someone will directly thoroughly confirm ^(-κ) NOT in an aggregated [*way*], He could proceed towards it

[Sedley] so too wherever there is error of this kind, it is necessary right from the start to observe events, as if to come to some sort of clear picture of it, so that a person can immediately approach it not with a generalization

ἀλλ' εὐλαβούμενος καὶ ἄλλην καὶ ἄλλην ^[-α] τὸ ψεῦδος ἐμπεριλαμβάνων – ^[κ] τὰ καθόλου δὲ μὴ ^{Δ} δοξάζων οὕτω – ἀλλὰ τιν' ἔχων, παρ' ἑαυτῷ, κανόνα ἐξ οὗ – (μέχρι τῆς οἰονί ἐνεργητικῆς ιδέας ἢ ἀναλόγου ταύτη κειμένης) – οὐ Βαδιεῖται ἐπὶ τῶν ψευδῶν

but [*he could form a true judgment about something specific, while*] carefully comprehending one [*idea*] after another [*idea*] as ^[-α] what is false – yet not ^{Δ} judging [*and accepting each true idea as being*] ^[κ] what is universal in the way [*described above*] – but having, beside oneself, a certain Standard [*i.e., a canon*] with which – (up to a kind of activating idea or [*up to*] what is placed as analogous to [*an idea that effects actions*]) – He will not proceed toward falsities

[Sedley] but with caution – encompassing the falsehood this way and that, and not forming a universal opinion in the manner which I have described, but keeping at his side a yardstick with the help of which, until he forms the so-to-speak activating notion, or one of similar stamp, he will not proceed in the direction of falsehood.

κἂν οὕτως, οὖν δῆ, Φημι ἥ τι διημαρτημένον, Δεῖ συνορᾶν...

and in this way, therefore, I say that insofar as something [*is*] thoroughly mistaken, It is necessary to see...

[Sedley] and so I say that if there is some error of this kind we must see...

The activating idea (ἐνεργητικὴ ιδέα) is the correct end-product of a chain of philosophical reasoning: a thought that results in action. Elsewhere Epicurus regards the entire study of philosophy as being an activity (ἐνέργημα) resembling the practical application of a skill. In the letter to Herodotus, he recommends "continuous activity in physics (τὸ συνεχὲς ἐνέργημα ἐν φυσιολογίᾳ)."

Consider also Demetrius Laco:

Let us persist in activity in accordance with philosophy – and especially in [*mental*] observation in accordance with physics

Συνεχίζωμέν τε ἐν τῷ κατὰ φιλοσοφίαν ἐνεργήματι – καὶ μάλιστα τοῖς κατὰ φυσιολογίαν θεωρήμασιν (The Harms of Drifting Thought, P.Herc. 831, col. 8)

ἥ	insofar as	ἣ in/for which
---	------------	-------------------

ἥ...	insofar as... so that...	ἣ <i>in/for which</i>
ἦ	It may be	ἢ
ἡ πλάνη αἱ πλάναι	error	ΠΛΑΝΗ <i>roaming</i>
ἁμαρτάνειν	to make a mistake	ἌΜΑΡΤΑΝΕΙΝ <i>missing the mark</i>
ἡ ἁμαρτία αἱ ἁμαρτίαι	mistake	ἌΜΑΡΤΙΑ <i>missing the mark</i>
τὸ διημαρτημένον τὰ διημαρτημένα	^[▽] what is thoroughly mistaken	ΔΙΗΜΑΡΤΗΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of fully missing the mark</i>
τὸ ἡμαρτημένον τὰ ἡμαρτημένα	^[▽] what is mistaken	ΗΜΑΡΤΗΜΕΝΟΝ <i>missing the mark</i>
τὸ γιγνόμενον τὰ γιγνόμενα	what happens	ΓΙΓΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>birthing</i>
ἐπαισθανόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	sensing	ΕΠΑΙΣΘΑΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>result of perceiving upon</i>
συναισθάνεσθαι	to fully perceive	ΣΥΝΑΙΣΘΑΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceiving together</i>
ἐναργής <i>(ές)</i>	detectible	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΣ <i>within clear</i>
τὸ ἐνάργημα τὰ ἐναργήματα	^[Ε] detectible fact	
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[Ε] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
ἔρχεσθαι	to come / go	ΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἴα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΘΥΣ ΕΥΘΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
ἄθροος <i>(α, ον)</i>	aggregated	ΑΘΡΟΟΣ <i>in groups</i>
ἰσχύειν	to prevail	ΙΣΧΥΕΙΝ <i>strength</i>
δισχυρίζεσθαι	to thoroughly confirm	ΔΙΣΧΥΡΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>strength through</i>
πορεύεσθαι	to proceed	ΠΟΡΕΥΕΣΘΑΙ <i>traveling</i>
Part 2		
εὐλαβεῖσθαι	to carefully comprehend	ΕΥΛΑΒΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>properly grasped</i>
εὐλαβούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	carefully comprehending	ΕΥΛΑΒΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>properly grasped</i>
τὸ ψεῦδος τὰ ψεύδη	^[-α] what is false	ΨΕΥΔΟΣ <i>falsehood / lie</i>
ἐμπεριλαμβάνειν	[*] to completely comprehend <i>within</i>	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping around in</i>
ἐμπεριειλημμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[*] completely comprehended <i>within</i>	ΕΜΠΕΡΙΕΙΛΗΜΜΕΝΟΣ <i>being grasped around in</i>
τὸ καθόλου τὰ καθόλου	^[κ] what is universal	ΤΟ ΚΑΘΟΛΟΥ <i>completely</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
ὁ κανὼν οἱ κανόνες	standard	ΚΑΝΩΝ <i>measuring stick (ruler)</i>
μέχρι	up to	ΜΕΧΡΙ <i>until</i>
οἷονι	of what sort <i>[here, "a kind of"]</i>	ΟΙΟΣ + ΝΙ <i>such as</i>
τὸ ἐνέργημα τὰ ἐνεργήματα	an activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of working within</i>

ἐνεργητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	activating	ΕΝΕΡΓΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of working within</i>
ή ιδέα αί ιδέαι	idea	ΙΔΕΑ <i>appearing</i>
οιονι ενεργητική ιδέα	a kind of activating idea	
ἀναλόγως	analogously	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΩΣ <i>reasoning again</i>
ή αναλογία αί αναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
ἀναλόγος <i>(ον)</i>	analogous	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
ἀντικείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	contrary	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated against</i>
ἐκκείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	set forth	ΕΚΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated out</i>
συνκείμενος	composed together	ΣΥΝΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated together</i>
κείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being placed	ΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated</i>
βαδίζειν	proceed	ΒΑΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>walking</i>
Part 3		
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
ἤ	It may be	
τὸ διημαρτημένον τὰ διημαρτημένα	what is thoroughly mistaken	ΔΙΗΜΑΡΤΗΜΕΝΟΝ <i>missing the mark through</i>
διημαρτημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	thoroughly mistaken	ΔΙΗΜΑΡΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ
συνορᾶν	to fully see [mentally]	ΣΥΝΟΡΑΝ <i>looking together</i>

28.36 Actively Exposing Errors

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 12 inf.)

...ἤι δ' ἐτὶ τοιοῦ[το ἤμ]ιν ἐστίν, οὐκ όκνήσ[ω σ]οί τε κα[ί] τοῖσδε πολλάκις προφέρειν, καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ δέ, τὰ μὴ ἤι τοιαῦτα, δόξαντα δ' ἂν διημαρτῇ[σθ]αι. ἀλλ' οὐ βούλομαι ἐπὶ τοῦ παρόντος προφέρεισθαι αὐτά, μὴ ἀρχὴμ ποιησώμεθα μῆκος έχόντων ικανόν λόγων.

...ἤ δ' ἐτὶ τοιοῦτο ἡμῖν ἐστίν, οὐκ Όκνήσω σοί τε καὶ τοῖσδε πολλάκις προφέρειν καὶ τὰ λοιπὰ – δέ τὰ μὴ ἤ τοιαῦτα, δόξαντα δ' ἂν διημαρτῆσθαι

...but insofar as [incorrect judgments] like this [from the lack of distinction between universal and specific] exist for us, I will not hesitate to often set forth to you and also to these [students] the remaining [sources of those errors] – but also [I will not hesitate] insofar as the [remaining sources of errors are] NOT like those, but still would seem to have been thoroughly mistaken

[Sedley] Nor shall I hesitate to cite repeatedly, to you and to these others, cases where there is still error of this kind among us; and so too all other cases, which are not of this kind, but which we would nevertheless consider to involve error.

ἀλλ' οὐ Βούλομαι ἐπὶ τοῦ παρόντος προφέρεισθαι αὐτά: μὴ ἀρχὴν Ποιησώμεθα μῆκος έχόντων ικανόν λόγων

but I do not want to bring them forward at present: so that We do not make a [new] foundation of this reasoning [which already] has sufficient length

[Sedley] For the present, however, I do not wish to cite them, to avoid making a new start in a discussion which has already reached sufficient length.

ἥ	insofar as	ἣ <i>in/for which</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ὀκνεῖν	to hesitate	ΟΚΝΕΙΝ <i>hesitating</i>
πολλάκις	often	ΠΟΛΛΑΚΙΣ <i>many times</i>
προφέρειν	to set forth	ΠΡΟΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing forward</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining <i>[rest of + gen]</i>	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
δόξας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	seems	ΔΟΞΑΣ <i>seeming</i>
τὸ διημαρτημένον τὰ διημαρτημένα	what is thoroughly mistaken	ΔΙΗΜΑΡΤΗΜΕΝΟΝ <i>fully missing the mark</i>
διημαρτάνεσθαι	to thoroughly mistake	
διημαρτῆσθαι	to thoroughly mistake <i>[already]</i>	
Part 2		
βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>wishing</i>
παρών <i>(παρουσα, παρόν)</i>	present	ΠΑΡΩΝ <i>to be beside</i>
προσφέρεισθαι	to bring forward <i>[for oneself]</i>	ΠΡΟΣΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing as well</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation <i>[in time]</i>	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
τό μήκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ <i>length</i>
ἱκανός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	sufficient	ΊΚΑΝΟΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>

28.37 Let This Writing Continue Speaking

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13 (col. 13 sup. – part 1)

[ικ]ανῶ[ς] οὖν ἡμῖν ἡδολεσχήσθω ἐπὶ τοῦ παρόντος. καὶ ὑμεῖς [μ]υ[ρ]ιάκι[ς μνημο]νεύει[μ] π[ε]ρ[ᾱ]σθε τὰ ἐμοί τε καὶ Μητρ[ο]δῶρῳ τῷδε ν[ε]ωσι[τι εἰ]ρημένα. οἶμαι δ' ὑμῖν ὄ[γ]δο[ν] καὶ εἰκοστὸν εἶδος ἀκ[ρο]άσεως τῇ[ς] ἐξῆς περαιν[ο]μένης τουτί ν[ῦ]ν ἡδολεσχῆσθαι

ικανῶς οὖν ἡμῖν ἡδολεσχήσθω ἐπὶ τοῦ παρόντος. καὶ Ὑμεῖς: μυριάκις μνημονεύειν Πειρᾱσθε τὰ ἐμοί τε καὶ Μητροδῶρῳ τῷδε νεωσιτί εἰρημένα

therefore, Let *[this writing]* sufficiently prattle on for us at present. and *[all]* You *[readers]*: Attempt innumerable times to remember what has been said just now by me and also by Metrodorus here

[Sedley] So let the words which we have prattled suffice for the present. And you others, try ten thousand times over to commit to memory what I and Metrodorus here have just said.

Οἶμαι δ' ὑμῖν, ὄγδοον καὶ εἰκοστὸν Εἶδος ἀκροάσεως, τῆς ἐξῆς περαιομένης, τουτί νῦν ἡδολεσχῆσθαι

but I believe that for you all, this eighth-and-twentieth Volume of our instruction, [which is] being accomplished in succession, even now has prattled on

[Sedley] And now I think I have finished prattling to you this twenty-eighth instalment of our consecutive lecture series.

ἱκανῶς	sufficiently	ἸΚΑΝΩΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>
ἀδολεσχεῖν	to prattle	ΑΔΟΛΕΣΧΕΙΝ <i>chattering</i>
ἀδολεσχήσασθαι	to have prattled on [for oneself]	ΑΔΟΛΕΣΧΗΣΑΣΘΑΙ <i>chattering</i>
ἡδολεσχήσθω	Let X prattle on for Xself	ΗΔΟΛΕΣΧΗΣΘΩ
παρών <i>(παρουσα, παρόν)</i>	present	ΠΑΡΩΝ <i>to be beside</i>
μυριάκις	innumerable times	ΜΥΡΙΑΚΙΣ <i>ants</i>
μνημονεύειν	to remember	ΜΝΗΜONEYΕΙΝ <i>"addition to" thinking</i>
πειρᾶσθαι	to attempt	ΠΕΙΡΑΣΘΑΙ <i>trail / attempt</i>
Μητρόδωρος	Metrodorus	ΜΗΤΡΟΔΩΡΟΣ <i>gift [to] mother</i>
νεωστὶ	just now	ΝΕΩΣΤΙ <i>new</i>
εἰρήσθαι	to have been said	ΕΙΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>spoke</i>
εἰρημένος	having been said [already]	ΕΙΡΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>spoke</i>
τὸ εἰρημένον τὰ εἰρημένα	what has been said	ΕΙΡΗΜΕΝΟΝ
Part 2		
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>
ὄγδοος <i>(η, ον)</i>	eighth	ΟΓΔΟΟΣ <i>eight</i>
εἰκοστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	twentieth	ΕΙΚΟΣΤΟΣ <i>twenty</i>
ἡ εἰκάς αἱ εἰκάδες	20 th day of the month	ΕΙΚΑΣ <i>twenty</i>
τὸ εἰκοσάεδρον τὰ εἰκοσάεδρα	icosahedron <i>(20 faced polyhedron)</i>	ΕΙΚΟΣΑΕΔΡΟΝ <i>twenty-face</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form [here, "volume"]	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
τὸ ἄκρον τὰ ἄκρα	the highest point	ΑΚΡΟΝ <i>highest</i>
ἡ ἀκρόασις αἱ ἀκροάσεις	instruction	ΑΚΡΟΑΣΙΣ <i>highest</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
τό δόγμα τά δόγματα	teaching	ΔΟΓΜΑ <i>seeming</i>
ἐξῆς	in succession	ἙΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
περαίνειν	to accomplish	ΠΕΡΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>extremity</i>
περαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being accomplished	ΠΕΡΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>extremity</i>
ἀδολεσχήσασθαι	to have prattled on [for oneself]	ΑΔΟΛΕΣΧΗΣΑΣΘΑΙ <i>always chattering</i>
ἡδολεσχήσθαι	to have been prattling on [for oneself]	ΗΔΟΛΕΣΧΗΣΘΑΙ

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (13 sup. – part 2)
| *P.Herc. 1417, fr. 13 (col. 13 inf.)*

Ἐπ[ικ]οῦρου Περὶ Φύσεως ΚΗ' [ἐκ] τῶν ἀρχαίων... ἐγ[ρ]άφη ἐπὶ Νικίου τοῦ μ[ετ]ὰ Ἀν[τι]φάτην

Ἐπικούρου Περὶ Φύσεως ΚΗ', ἐκ τῶν ἀρχαίων... ..Ἐγράφη ἐπὶ Νικίου, τοῦ μετὰ Ἀντιφάτην

Epicurus' *On Nature Book 28, from the early writings*... ..[*This was*] written in [*the period*] of Nicias, who followed Antiphátēs

[Sedley] Epicurus On Nature Book XXVIII From the old exemplars written in the archonship of Nicias the successor of Antiphátēs.

In 297^{BCE}, Demetrius Poliorcetes learned the wealthy Athenian Lacháres was working (with Cassander's support) to undermine the democracy that Demetrius had allowed the Athenians to reestablish after his conquest, back in 307, of the regime (which was also supported by Cassander) that had been controlling Athens.

Demetrius responded with a fraught but ultimately successful operation against Cassander's forces that were holding Athens. It was at this time that "the philosopher Epicurus sustained the lives of his associates with beans, which he counted out and distributed among them" (Plutarch, Life of Demetrius, 34)

Nicias was eponymous archon in 296/295^{BCE}, following Antiphátēs who was eponymous archon in 297/296. Therefore, book 28 was probably written in 296, when Epicurus was 45 years old.

[Book 29]

[Book 30]

[Book 31]

Book 32

32.1 Detectible Reality of the Gods

Philodemus (fl.c. 70^{BCE}), On Piety, 1.66A.1882-1911

...πάν γὰ[ρ]... ...οἶεται καθάπ[ερ ὁρ]ίζεται χρό[νος, εἶναι] πρόληψιν· [καὶ κα]θάπερ κἀν [τῷ δευ]τέρῳ καὶ [τριακοσ]τῷ, καὶ τῶν [θεῶν ἐ]ναργεῖαι φησ[ὶν κατα]λαμβάνεσθα[ι τὸ ὄν], καίπερ ἔν τῶν [ἐν ὑπο]κειμένοις ὄν, [τὴν δὲ] φύσιν διανο[ητήν] [ἦ]ττον ἔχον [τῶν] ἄλλων ὄντων [καὶ καθόλ]ου πρὸς τή[ν]...

...πάν γὰρ... ...οἶεται, καθάπερ ὀρίζεται Χρόνος εἶναι πρόληψιν: καὶ καθάπερ κἀν τῷ δευτέρῳ καὶ τριακοστῷ, καὶ τῶν θεῶν Ἐναργεῖαι, Φησὶν, καταλαμβάνεσθαι τὸ ὄν – καίπερ ἐν τῶν ἐν ὑποκειμένοις ὄν – τὴν δὲ φύσιν διανοητὴν ἦττον ἔχον τῶν ἄλλων ὄντων. καὶ ^(κ) καθόλου, πρὸς τὴν...

...for all [*the infinite universe*]... ...is thought of, just as Time is distinguished to exist as an anticipation: and just as in the Second-and-Thirtieth [*book 32 On Nature, Epicurus*] says, the detectible Realities of the gods are thoroughly comprehended as existing – even though existing as a single [*object of thought*] among those [*other objects of thought*] in the original sources [*of the films from their bodies*] – nevertheless [*these detectable realities of the gods*] have a nature less mentally perceptible than that of other existing things. and ^(κ) universally, in relation to the...

[Obbink] ...For all [*infinity*] is thought of, just as time is defined, as being a naturally formed generic conception; and just as also in book 32 he says that because the existence of the gods is apprehended with clarity [*i.e., vivid knowledge*], although as a unified entity among underlying existents, and their nature is less able to be perceived by thought than other existents [*lit. having its nature less conceivable than the other entia*], and generally towards...

[Obbink] *The fact that the gods exist **as conceptualized by humans** is illustrated by comparison **to the ontological status of time**.*

Time, according to Epicurus, is not even an entity per se (but rather an accident or attribute of other entities). Time is an epiphenomenon of our thinking about certain occurrences in relation to other events and objects – yet is not in consequence any less real.

ὀρίζειν	to set a limit [here, "to distinguish"]	ὈΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
ἡ πρόληψις αἱ προλήψεις	[•Λ] anticipation	ΠΡΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold before</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	[Ε] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
καταλαμβάνειν	to thoroughly comprehend	ΚΑΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly grasping</i>
τὸ ὑποκείμενον τὰ ὑποκείμενα	original source	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of situated under</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
διανοητός <i>(ἡ, ὄν)</i>	[mentally] perceptible	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΟΣ <i>saw through</i>
καθόλου	(κ) universally	ΚΑΘΟΛΟΥ <i>completely</i>

32.2 Polyaeus' *On Limits*

Auctor ignotus [Philodemus²], Opus ignotum, P.Herc. 998, fragment 11, 12, & 16

...τῶν. Σύντομον δέ τινα καὶ κεφαλαιώδ[η] καὶ ἐπιτομικὸν τῶν διὰ πλε[υ]όνων τε θεωρημένων ὅρον τινα προφέρεται ἐν τῷ Β καὶ Λ. Ῥηθείηι γὰρ ἄν, φ[η]σί, ψυχὴ φύσις τις εἶναι ταῖς μυατι... | ...λόγον οὔτε τῶν λ τω[υ ἄ] ποδιδόνα[ι]... ...ναδιλωνωσις εὔ... ...τῇ Α καὶ... ...καὶ παρὰ Πολυαίνωι δ' ἐν τῷ περὶ ὄρων τόνδε συν... | ...[α]ὐτός οὗτος [δ'] ὑπὲρ τοῦ ἀδήλου διεξιὼν, ὄντως νομίσα[ς] τὸν ακούσ[ας]... . δῆτα τοιαῦτ[α] προπν... ...τὸ ἄδηλο[ν]...

*Addidi [ας]

...σύντομον δέ τινα καὶ κεφαλαιώδη καὶ ἐπιτομικὸν τῶν διὰ πλειόνων τε θεωρημένων ὅρον τινα Προφέρεται ἐν τῷ Β καὶ Λ – Ῥηθείηι γὰρ ἄν, Φησί, Ψυχὴ Φύσις τις εἶναι ταῖς...

but [*Epicurus*], in the 2nd and 30th [*i.e., book 32 On Nature*], puts forth a brief, principal, and epitomized certain limit of mental observations for many things – for, He says, It might be said that the Soul exists as some Nature in relation to the...

...λόγον οὔτε τῶν... ...ἀποδιδόναι... ...τῇ Α καὶ... ...καὶ παρὰ Πολυαίνωι δ' ἐν τῷ Περί Ὁρων, τόνδε...

...to give a reasoning nor of the... ...to render... ...in [*book*] 1 and... ...and also in Polyaeus, in his book *On Limits*, this very [*example is discussed*]...

...Αὐτὸς οὗτος δ' ὑπὲρ τοῦ ἀδήλου διεξιὼν, ὄντως νομίσας τὸν ακουσας δῆτα τοιαῦτα... ...τὸ ἄδηλον...

...but He himself, while going through what is unclear, truly having thought the one who certainly heard such things... ...what is unclear...

Compare with "Although Epicurus used *examples (αἱ ὑπογραφαί)* all the time – he shows that *limits (οἱ ὅροι)* are more valuable, because he used limits instead of examples in his work of his natural philosophy lecture" (U92)

τὸ θεώρημα τὰ θεωρήματα	<i>[a mental]</i> observation	ΘΕΩΡΗΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>envisioning</i>
ὁ ὅρος οἱ ὅροι	limit	ὍΡΟΣ <i>outline, definition</i>
τὸ ἄδηλον τὰ ἄδηλα	^[0] what is unclear	ἈΔΗΛΟΝ <i>not clear</i>

[Book 33]

Book 34

Book 34 examines the origin and nature of images from objects that are only subtly perceived.

34.1 Limit of Instruction & Limit of Doubt

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 3 ⁽¹⁾

...ἀγωγῆς [πα]ραλαβεῖ[τ]ιν, ἀλλ' [ὁ]ψιν μὴ π[εῖ]σαι οὐδ' [ἐ]τοιμοτ[ά]τοις, πᾶ[ν] ἀπολειπ[ό]μ[ε]νον πρὸς ὑπολ[ή]ψει οὐθὲν ἂ[ν] ποιή[σ]αι φοβερόν, ἀ[λλὰ]... ...διανο[ί]ας...

...ἀγωγῆς παραλαβεῖν – ἀλλ' ὅψιν μὴ πείσαι – οὐδ' ἐτοιμοτάτοις. Πᾶν ἀπολειπόμενον πρὸς ὑπολήψει οὐθὲν ἂν ποιήσαι φοβερόν, ἀλλὰ... ...διανοίας...

...to comprehend the guidance from another – but not to persuade [another's] vision – not even for those most prepared. Everything being left behind for supposition would make nothing fearful, but... ...of [mental] perception...

"Everything being left behind for supposition would make nothing fearful" is probably an allusion to the fact that (although many things are pending definitive specific judgment) the most critical issues ARE settled by our definitive universally true judgments – which is a sufficient basis to live without fear.

ἡ ἀγωγή αἱ ἀγωγαί	guidance	ΑΓΩΓΗ <i>going</i>
λαβεῖν	<i>[intellectually]</i> to comprehend <i>[already]</i>	ΛΑΒΕΙΝ <i>grasped</i>
παραλαβεῖν	[*] to comprehend <i>[gen.]</i> from another	ΠΑΡΑΛΑΒΕΙΝ
ἡ ὄψις αἱ ὀψεις	vision	ΟΨΙΣ <i>eye</i>
πείθειν	to persuade	ΠΕΙΘΕΙΝ <i>convincing</i>
ἔτοιμος <i>(η, ον)</i>	prepared	ἜΤΟΙΜΟΣ <i>ready</i>
ἐτοιμότατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most prepared	ἐτοιμότατος <i>most ready</i>
λείπειν	to leave	ΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>leaving</i>
ἀπολείπειν	to leave out	ΑΠΟΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>leaving away/out</i>
ἀπολειπόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being left behind	
ἡ ὑπόληψις αἱ ὑπολήψεις	supposition	ΥΠΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold under</i>

ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
φοβερός <i>(ἄ, ὄν)</i>	fearful	ΦΟΒΕΡΟΣ <i>tendency toward fleeing</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>

34.2 Shifting Forms

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, col. 2 (column 5)

...ὕποψίας τοῦ εἶδο[υς] ἔχουσαν, καὶ γ[ὰρ αὐ]τὸ τοῦτο πάλ[ιν δὲ] μετέπ[εσ]εν ἐπὶ β[ρα]χύ τι...

...ὕποψίας τοῦ εἶδους ἔχουσαν – καὶ γὰρ αὐτὸ Τοῦτο, πάλιν δὲ, μετέπεσεν ἐπὶ βραχύ τι...

...having a suspicion of its form – for even This very thing, again, shifted for a short bit...

ἡ ὑπόψια αἱ ὑποψίαι	suspicion	ὙΠΟΨΙΑ <i>looking under</i>
τὸ εἶδος τὰ εἶδη	form	ΕΙΔΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
πίπτειν	to fall	ΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling</i>
μεταπίπτειν	to shift	ΜΕΤΑΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling differently</i>
βραχύς <i>(εἶα, ὕ)</i>	short	ΒΡΑΧΥΣ <i>brief</i>

34.3 Developing Fearlessness

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 8 ⁽³⁾

...λάχθαι φό[β]ων οὐδέπ[ο]τ' ὥς νομίζων, οὐδ' ὥς κατανεγ[ο]ηκῶς ὅτι ταυτὶ μὲν δεῖ ποιεῖν τὸν ἄφοβον ἐσόμενον, καὶ ὁ μὲν ἅττα π[οι]ῶν, [ο]ὔτος εὐφφραινόμ[ενος] ἄλλο οὐτ' ἔχων ὁ[χλη]μα οὐτ' ἐπ[ιθυμ]ίαν...

...φόβων, οὐδέποτ' ὥς νομίζων, οὐδ' ὥς κατανενοηκῶς ὅτι: ταυτὶ μὲν Δεῖ ποιεῖν τὸν ἄφοβον Ἐσόμενον, καὶ – Ὁ μὲν ἅττα ποιῶν – Οὔτος, εὐφφραινόμενος, ἄλλο οὐτ' ἔχων ὁχλημα οὐτ' ἐπιθυμίαν...

...[in terms] of fears, never as if thinking, nor as if having fully conceived that: It is necessary for the One who is to be unafraid to do these very things, and – the One doing some [of these things] – this One, while making himself glad, has neither another disturbance nor desire...

"These very things" seems to be a reference to distinguishing what needs to be determined with certainty for a pleasurable life.

λαγχάνειν	to obtain (by lot)	ΛΑΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>obtaining by lot</i>
λάχθαι	to have obtained (by lot)	ΛΑΧΘΑΙ <i>obtaining by lot</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
οὐδέποτε	never	ΟΥΔΕΠΟΤΕ <i>not even at some point</i>
νομίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	thinking	ΝΟΜΙΖΩΝ <i>custom</i>

ἐπινενοηκώς <i>(υῖα, ός)</i>	having objectively conceived	ΕΠΙΝΕΝΟΗΚΩΣ <i>saw upon</i>
κατανοεῖν	to fully conceive	ΚΑΤΑΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing thoroughly</i>
κατανενοηκώς	having fully conceived	ΚΑΤΑΝΕΝΟΗΚΩΣ <i>thoroughly saw</i>
ἄφοβος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	not dreadful	ΑΦΟΒΟΣ <i>not fleeing [in fear]</i>
ἐσόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[about] to be	ΕΣΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>will be</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἄττα	some	ΑΤΤΑ <i>is an alternative form of ΤΙΝΑ</i>
τινά	certain	
εὐφραίνειν	to become glad	ΕΥΦΡΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>good mind</i>
εὐφραινόμενος	making oneself glad	
ὁ ὄχλος οἱ ὄχλοι	crowd	ΟΧΛΟΣ <i>crowd of people</i>
ἡ ὄχλησις αἱ ὀχλήσεις	[feeling of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΣΙΣ <i>process of a crowd of people</i>
τό ὄκλημα τά ὀκλήματα	[cause of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΜΑ <i>result of a crowd of people</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>

34.4 [Distorted Myths, Fulfilled Vengeance]

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 9 ⁽⁴⁾

...παραδεδομ[έ]γων μύθων κα[ὶ ἐπὶ] τούτοις τοῖς ἔρ[γοις] ἢ ἄλλος διαστρέφει ἢ αὐτὸς ἐκ τῶν ἀντιπτώσεων· αὐται δὴ συντελοῦνται τιμωρίαι τ[οῖς] ὑ[πὲρ] τοῦ παντὸς[ς]...

...παραδεδομένων μύθων. καὶ ἐπὶ τούτοις τοῖς ἔργοις, ἢ ἄλλος διαστρέφει ἢ Αὐτὸς ἐκ τῶν ἀντιπτώσεων· Αὐται, δὴ, συντελοῦνται Τιμωρίαι τοῖς ὑπὲρ τοῦ παντὸς...

...while the myths [*lit. stories*] have been handed down. and in regard to these [*mythic*] deeds, either Another person distorts [*them*] out of contrary occurrences or He himself [*does so*]. These, therefore, are the Vengeances that are altogether fulfilled for those [*people*] on behalf of the whole...

παραδιδόναι	to hand over [here, "to hand down"]	ΠΑΡΑΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving alongside</i>
παραδεδομένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	handed down	ΠΑΡΑΔΕΔΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>gave alongside</i>
ὁ μῦθος οἱ μῦθοι	myth	ΜΥΘΟΣ <i>speech / word</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task [here, "deed"]	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
καταστρέφειν	to overturn	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly turning</i>
διαστρέφειν	to distort	ΔΙΑΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>turning through</i>
ἡ περίπτωσις αἱ περιπτώσεις	incident	ΠΕΡΙΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling around</i>
ἡ ἔμπτωσις αἱ ἐμπώσεις	collision	ΕΜΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling into</i>
ἡ ἀντίπτωσις αἱ ἀντιπτώσεις	contrary occurrence	ΑΝΤΙΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling against</i>

συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
ἡ τιμωρία αἱ τιμωρίαι	vengeance	ΤΙΜΩΡΙΑ <i>guarding honor</i>

34.5 Mental Attention towards the Unseen

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 11 ⁽⁵⁾

...ὥστε καὶ [πι]ἀ[σας φ]ύσεις τὰς [έ]κ [τῶν ἐπι]βολῶν [τῶν ἐπὶ τ]ὸ ἀόρατον ἤδ[η βαδ]ιζουσῶν ὁ[ψι]ὸμ[ε]θ' ἃ[ν] εἰς
ὁμοιό[τ]η[τα]ς[ς] ἰδῶμεν ἄς...

...ὥστε καὶ **πάσας φύσεις τὰς ἐκ ^[B'] τῶν ἐπιβολῶν τῶν ἐπὶ ^[0] τὸ ἀόρατον ἤδη βαδίζουσῶν Ὅψόμεθ' ἂν εἰς ^(κ) ὁμοιότηας – Ἰδῶμεν ἄς...**

...so that We would also see for ourselves **all the natures** from ^[B'] attentions directed at ^[0] the unseen that are already proceeding into ^(κ) the similarities – Let us see **which ones**...

"Our attentions directed at ^[0] the unseen that are already proceeding into the ^(κ) similarities" could be a reference to only using shared ^(κ) universal qualities for ^[Δ:] speculative judgments (which concern ^[0] objects we cannot observe).

ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[B'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
ὄρᾱν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
ἀόρατος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΣ <i>not looking</i>
βαδίζων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i> βαδίζοντες <i>(ουσαι, οντα)</i>	proceeding	ΒΑΔΙΖΩΝ
ὄρᾱν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
ὀψόμεθα	we will see [for ourselves]	ΟΨΟΜΕΘΑ <i>will look</i>
ιδεῖν	to have seen [mentally]	ΙΔΕΙΝ <i>seen</i>
ιδῶμεν	let us see	ΙΔΩΜΕΝ <i>seen</i>
ἡ ὁμοιότης αἱ ὁμοιότητες	similarity	ὍΜΟΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition of being similar</i>

34.6 Inductive Consideration of Specific Characteristics

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 12 ⁽⁶⁾

...ὅθεν ἂν [τ]ύχηι καθ' ἕν τι πρᾶ[ν]ι μ[ά]των κ[α]ὶ οὐχ ἅπαντ[α] ἐπιλογισάμενος τ[ὰ] κατὰ τὴν ὑπὲρ τ[οῦ] εἰδ[ώ]λου
συναπτο[μέν]ην αὐτῷ [όξυδέ]ρκειαν...

...ὅθεν ἂν Τύχη, καθ' ^(-κ) ἕν τι πραγμάτων καὶ οὐχ ^(κ) ἅπαντα, ἐπιλογισάμενος, **τὰ κατὰ τὴν ὑπὲρ τοῦ εἰδώλου συναπτομένην αὐτῷ ὀξυδερκεῖαν**...

...from wherever It may happen that, having inductively considered **the things** according to a sharp perception connected to him concerning the film, according to ^(-κ) a certain one of the situations and not ^(κ) all things [together]...

Inductive consideration (ὁ ἐπιλογισμός) distinguishes ^(-κ) specific characteristics from ^(κ) universal characteristics, so that we are able to then use ^(κ) universal characteristics for our analogical consideration (ὁ ἀναλογισμός) in forming ^[Δ:] speculative judgements.

ἡ τύχη αἱ τύχαι	chance	ΤΥΧΗ <i>happening</i>
τύχη	it may happen	ΤΥΧΗΙ <i>happening</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>
τὸ πᾶν <i>(τοῦ παντός)</i>	<i>(individually)</i> everything, <i>(collectively)</i> the universe	ΠΑΝ <i>all</i>
τὸ ἅπαν τά ἅπαντα	everything ^[together] all things ^[together]	ἌΠΑΝΤΑ <i>all together</i>
ὁ ἐπιλογισμός οἱ ἐπιλογισμοί	^[process of] inductive consideration	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>process of</i> <i>reasoning action</i> <i>upon</i>
ἐπιλογίζεσθαι	to inductively consider	ΕΠΙΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>process of</i> <i>reasoning action</i> <i>upon</i>
ἐπιλογισάμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having inductively considered [for oneself]	
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from</i> <i>appearing</i>
συνάπτειν	to connect	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening</i> <i>together</i>
συναπτόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being connected	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>fastening</i> <i>together</i>
ὀξύδερκεῖν ^{ionic} ἡ ὀξύδερκία αἱ ὀξύδερκίαι	sharp perception	ΟΞΥΔΕΡΚΙΑ <i>sharp seeing</i>

34.7 [Nothing would be Terrible]

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 13 ⁽⁷⁾

...[τῆς συνκ]ρ[ο]ύσεως· καὶ αὐτόθεν μὲν γιγνομένης τῆς ἀντικοπῆς καθ' ὃν λέγω τρόπον οὐθὲν [α]ν ἡ δειν[ό]ν· νῦν δὲ ταῦτα...

Forma "η" hic probabiliter contrahitur ex "εἴη," optativo verbi "εἶναι,"

quod constructionem cum ἄν complet ad sensum possibilitatis exprimendum

...τῆς συγκρούσεως – καὶ αὐτόθεν μὲν γιγνομένης τῆς ἀντικοπῆς, καθ' ὃν λέγω τρόπον: Οὐθὲν ἂν εἴη δεινόν – νῦν δὲ, Ταῦτα...

...of the mutual impact – and with the collision being produced from that place, in the way I am describing: Nothing would be terrible – but as it is, These things...

For "mutual impact," consider Epicurus:
"Nature of the void, which separates every single atom, prepares this atomic movement (not being able to make any support) – secondly, the firmness which already exists in the atoms creates a rebound upon their mutual impact (to the extent that their intertangling allows reestablishment from their mutual impact)."
(10.44a)

ἡ σύγκρουσις αἱ συγκρούσεις	mutual impact	ΣΥΓΚΡΟΥΣΙΣ <i>hitting together</i>
πανταχόθεν	from/on all sides	ΠΑΝΤΑΧΘΕΝ <i>from all places</i>
αὐτόθεν	from that place	ΑΥΤΟΘΕΝ <i>from self</i>

...οὐ Πολλοὺς οὕτως ἐκκαθαίρεσθαι: τὸ δ' ἐκ τῆς συναπτομένης ἐξ ἡμῶν αὐτῶν κινήσεως Αἴτιον ἀπογεννᾶν – μὲν καὶ τὰς Ἄλόγους Δεῖν νομίζειν κατὰ τὰς τῶν πραγμάτων φωνὰς αὐτὰς χωροῦντας...

...not Many [*people*] are made fully clear in this way: but what Causes the connected movement fully generates from us ourselves – and It is necessary that the Unreasoning [*mental movements*] themselves are advancing according to the statements of the situations...

For "according to the statements of the situations," consider Epicurus:

"...to such an extent produces linguistic conventions by your memory through the use of a term – oh, by gods! – that you see these conventions as an established thing... with you proceeding according to your judgements as practical situations arise in various ways, anyone could disturb those practical situations – for they are always empty..." (On Nature, Book 10, P.Herc. 1413/1416 fragment 5)

καθαίρειν	to clarify	ΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ cleansing
ἐκκαθαίρειν	to make fully clear	ΕΚΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ cleaning out
συναπτόμενος (η, ον)	being connected	ΣΥΝΑΠΤΟΜΕΝΟΣ fastening together
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ moving process
τὸ αἴτιον τὰ αἴτια	what is the cause	ΑΙΤΙΟΝ blame
ἀπογεννᾶν	to fully generate	ΑΠΟΓΕΝΝΑΝ generating out
ἄλογος (ον)	unreasoning	ΑΛΟΓΟΣ not reasoning
νομίζειν	to think	ΝΟΜΙΖΕΙΝ custom
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ result of doing / affair
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ speaking
χωρεῖν	to advance	ΧΩΡΕΙΝ place / location
χωρῶν (οὔσα, οὔν)	advancing	

34.10 Signs as a Partial Indication

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 17 ⁽¹⁷⁾

...ση[μεῖον] οὐχ ἅπαντος δ[ήλου γι]γνομέν[ο]υ λέγεται, ἀλλὰ τι[νος] μὲν, τινὸς δ' οὐ, [τῇ]ν δὲ δι[αφ[ο]ράν μ[ὴ τι]νες [εὐ]κρί[ν]ουσιν τε[ρ]ς οὐ[τὼς] ο[ὕτως]...

...Σημεῖον οὐχ ἅπαντος δήλου γιγνομένου λέγεται, ἀλλὰ τινος μὲν – τινὸς δ' οὐ: τὴν δὲ διαφορὰν, μὴ τινες εὐκρινούντες, οὕτως οὐ...

...a Sign is not said [to be a sign] of everything [together] becoming clear, but only [a sign] of some things [becoming clear] – and not of others things: and the difference, unless Some people are distinguishing well, is not [recognized] in this way...

Consider Epicurus:

"While using signs of objective qualities and practical consequences, it is necessary to fully see the difference between a universal judgment and a specific judgment. And if we accomplish this awareness of the difference in regard to all reasonings, then we will not be troubled by the part of epistemology which I have spoken about." (Epicurus, On Nature, Book 28, P.Herc. 1479, fr. 13, col. 12 sup. – part 1)

τὸ σημεῖον τὰ σημεῖα	sign	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ <i>state of indication</i>
τό ἅπαν τά ἅπαντα	everything ^[together] all things ^[together]	ἅΠΑΝΤΑ <i>all together</i>
δῆλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	^(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
γιννόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	happening	ΓΙΓΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>birthing</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction [i.e., an act of discrimination]	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
ἐπικρίνειν	to discriminate	ΕΠΙΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>dividing upon</i>
εὐκρίνων <i>(οὔσα, ον)</i>	distinguishing well	ΕΥΚΡΙΝΩΝ <i>dividing upon</i>

34.11 Linguistic Indistinction

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 18 ⁽¹¹⁾

...δήποτε ὃδ' **[ἐ]**γβιάζετ' ἀντιτ**[εῖνων]** καὶ μὴ ἀκο**[λούθους]** συνκατα**[τιθέμε]**νος, τοῦ ψεύδο**[υς πρ]**οσαγορεύω αὐτὸν **[ᾶ]**ξιον·
ἀδιάφορον **[γὰρ]** ταῦτα **[ἀ]**γορεύε**[σθ]**αι πράγματ**[α]**...

...δήποτε Ὅδ' ἐγβιάζετ' **ἀντιτείνων** καὶ **μὴ ἀκολούθους** συνκατατιθέμενος: τοῦ **ψεύδους** Προσαγορεύω **αὐτὸν ἄξιον!** – **ἀδιάφορον γὰρ ταῦτα ἀγορεύεσθαι** **Πράγματα...**

...any time This man insists on pushing back and not fully assenting to consistent *[reasoning]*: I refer to him as deserving of what is false! – for these Situations are declared in an undifferentiated way...

δήποτε	ever, at any time	ΔΗΠΟΤΕ <i>indefinite</i>
ὃδ' ὃδε	this one	
βιάζειν	to force	ΒΙΑΖΕΙΝ <i>violence</i>
βιάζεσθαι	to be forced	ΒΙΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>violence</i>
ἐκβιάζεσθαι	to insist	ΕΚΒΙΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>violence (forcing)</i> <i>out</i>
τείνειν	to extend	ΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>extending</i>
ἀντιτείνειν	to push back	ΑΝΤΙΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>extending against</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
ἀκόλουθος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	consistent	
τιθέναι	to put [here, "to set up"]	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
συνκατατίθεσθαι	to fully assent	ΣΥΝΚΑΤΑΤΙΘΕΣΘΑΙ <i>thoroughly placing together</i>
συνκατατιθέμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	fully assenting	
τὸ ψεῦδος τὰ ψεύδη	^[-α] what is false	ΨΕΥΔΟΣ <i>falsehood / lie</i>
προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>

ἀγορεύειν	to declare	ΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly</i>
ἄξιος (α, ον)	deserving	ΑΞΙΟΣ <i>counterbalancing</i>
ἀδιάφορος (ος, ον)	undifferentiated	ΑΔΙΑΦΟΡΟΣ <i>not carrying apart</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>

34.12 Mechanism of Thought

Consider Aristotle:
"...when something has caused motion in water or air, and such motion propagates itself to a certain point – even though the initial cause of movement is not present: in the same way, it may well be that a movement and a consequent sense-perception should reach sleeping souls from the objects from which Democritus represents as 'films' (εἶδωλα) and 'emanations' (ἀπορροαί). Such movements, in whatever way they arrive, should be more perceptible at night [than by day], because when proceeding in the daytime they are more liable to dissolution (since at night the air is less disturbed, because there is less wind at that time). These films would be perceived within the body while sleeping – since people are more sensitive even to slight sensory movements when asleep than when awake: it is these movements then that cause mental-impressions (φαντάσματα)."
(On Prophesying by Dreams, 464a)

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 19 ⁽¹²⁾

...ῤῥηθέναι κινητικά· οὐδ' ὀλίγα ταῦτα φήσομεν εἰ αἱ τὰ πλεῖστα κατ' ἐπίσσοδον ἐκ τοῦ περ[ι]έχον[το]ς, ἄλλα παντὶ τῷ φανταστικῷ παρακολουθοῦντα...

...ῤῥηθέναι κινητικά – οὐδ' ὀλίγα ταῦτα Φήσομεν: εἰ αἱ ^[1] τὰ πλεῖστα κατ' ἐπίσσοδον ἐκ τοῦ περιέχοντος – ^[2] ἄλλα παντὶ τῷ φανταστικῷ παρακολουθοῦντα...

...[these thoughts] are said [to be] motion-inducing – nor would We assert these few [thoughts alone are motion-inducing]: if indeed ^[1] the greatest part [of our thoughts come in] by penetration [of films] from the surrounding [environment] – ^[2] the rest [of our thoughts] follow along with the whole appearance-based [way of thinking]...

Thinking can only be IMPRESSION-BASED due to the penetration of SENSIBLE films. Some mental impressions are caused by immediate sensory inputs, while other mental impression (that are produced by the mind’s own activity) are based upon those previous sensory inputs.

More generally, the fact that the content of your thoughts comes in through the penetration of films from the surrounding environment can be easily appreciated by imagining what the content of your thoughts would be if you had spent your entire life only in a room with no light, sound, smell, or any other film integrating into your body and mental experience.

ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασῖαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ὁ φαντασμός οἱ φαντασμοί	what appears	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΟΣ <i>process of appearing</i>
τὸ φάντασμα τὰ φαντάσματα	mental impression	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΜΑ <i>result of appearing</i>
ῤῥηθεῖς (εἶσα, ἐν)	said	ῚΡΗΘΕΙΣ <i>said</i>
ῤῥηθέναι	to be said	ῚΡΗΘΕΝΑΙ
κινητικός (ή, όν)	motion-inducing	ΚΙΝΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of moving</i>

ὀλίγος (η, ον)	few, short [of time]	ΟΛΙΓΟΣ <i>little</i>
φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
πλεῖστος (η, ον)	the greatest [the most]	ΠΛΕΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most</i>
ἡ ἐπέισδος αἱ ἐπεισόδοι	penetration	ΕΠΕΙΣΟΔΟΣ <i>upon course into</i>
περιέχων (ουσα, ον)	surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ <i>holding around</i>
φανταστικός (ή, όν)	appearance- based	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of appearing</i>
παρακολουθῶν (οὔσα, οὔν)	following along	ΠΑΡΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΩΝ <i>following alongside</i>

34.13 Practical Equivalency of the Term "Phlebopalía"

Consider Galen:
"For not only is the arterial pulsation (**φλεβοπαλία**) of children bad in an old man, but an old man’s [arterial pulsation] is [bad] in a child. So if ever the interval between two beats were of ten primary time-units (as Herophilus measured pulses) it is a sign of extreme chilling and therefore death. Again just as for an old man – the pulse of children (in which the time of dilation is equal to that of contraction) means his nature is aflame." (Synopsis De Pulsibus 21, 9.499K)

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 20 ⁽¹³⁾

...μένοις ἃ ἀληθεῖ[[ι προσ]ἀγορεύομεν· ἐν[νοοῦ]μεθα δ' ὥς οὐδ[ὲν ἄλλ]λ' ἢ φασιν οἱ τὴν φλε[β]οπαλίαν ὀνομάζοντες τῶν ἀρχαίων φυ[σ]ιολόγων τὸ πρᾶ[γμα] ὃ ἡμεῖς λέγομεν...

...ἃ ἀληθεῖ Προσάγορεύομεν· Ἐννοούμεθα δ' ὥς οὐδέν ἄλλ' ἢ φασιν Οἱ "τὴν φλεβοπαλίαν" ὀνομάζοντες τῶν ἀρχαίων φυσιολόγων τὸ Πρᾶγμα ὃ Ἡμεῖς λέγομεν...

...the things We refer to in truth. and We understand that the Situation which We describe is nothing other than what Those among the ancient natural scientists called by the word "phlebopalía [i.e, arterial pulsation]"...

Epicurus is discussing an older school of *physicists (οἱ φυσιολόγοι)* who referred to "*phlebopalía*" (**ἡ φλεβοπαλία**), literally "*arterial pulsation*" in their explanation of the physical mechanics of psychological operation via *excitement* by the films.

Epicurus says his school does not use this term when explaining this same mechanism.

τάληθές = τὸ ἀληθές τὰ ἀληθεῖ	what is true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not hidden</i>
ἀληθής (ής, ές)	true	ΑΛΗΘΗΣ <i>not hidden</i>
προσαγορεύειν	to refer to as	ΠΡΟΣΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly toward</i>
ἐννοεῖν	to internalize	ΕΝΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing within</i>
ἡ φλεβοπαλία αἱ φλεβοπαλῖαι	arterial pulsation "phlebopalía"	ΦΛΕΒΟΠΑΛΙΑ <i>vein shaking</i> <i>it is not clear how Democritus used the term,</i> <i>(The Diels–Kranz fragment "DK 68 B120" preserves this word alone, without any context)</i>
ὀνομάζων (ουσα, ον)	using the word [here, "calling by the word"]	ΟΝΟΜΑΖΩΝ <i>naming</i>
ἄρχειν	to begin	ΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i>

ἀρχαῖος (α, ον)	ancient	ΑΡΧΑΙΟΣ <i>beginning</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning</i> <i>of producing</i>
ὁ φυσιολόγος οἱ φυσιολόγοι	natural scientist	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΟΣ <i>reasoning</i> <i>of producing</i>
τὸ πράγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>

34.14 Rejection of the Term "Phlebopalía"

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 21 ⁽¹⁴⁾

...ἢ καὶ ὅτι[ο]ῦν τὸ ὠμοιωμέ[ν]ον τούτῳι καλέσο[μ]εν ἐνάργειαν μὴ ἐκ τοῦ περιέχοντο[ς] ὄν, ἀλλὰ κατὰ τῇ[ν] φλεβοπαλίαν·
[ἐ]ὰν δὴ καὶ ἀγορε[ύω]σ[ι]ν καὶ...

...ἢ καὶ ὅτιοῦν τὸ ὠμοιωμένον τούτῳ Καλέσομεν "ἐνάργειαν" – μὴ "ἐκ τοῦ περιέχοντος" ὄν, ἀλλὰ "κατὰ τὴν φλεβοπαλίαν" – ἐὰν δὴ καὶ Ἀγορεύωσιν...;

...or shall We call **what is formed similar to this** "the detectible reality" – not existing "from the surrounding environment," but "according to phlebopalía [i.e., arterial pulsation]" – if indeed They also declare it so...?

Epicurus is contrasting his wording with the wording from older schools.

Both phrases relate to the "motion-inducing" (κινητικὰ) contact of films upon the body that causes sensation. Epicurus prefers to reference "the ^[E] detectible reality from the surrounding environment" pointing more to the external source of the contact and the older phrase (probably of Democritus) references "the ^[E] detectible reality according to arterial pulsation" pointing more to the internal ramifications of the contact.

ὅτιοῦν = ὅ τι + οὔν	anything whatsoever	
ὠμοιωμένος (η, ον)	having been formed similar	ὨΜΟΙΩΜΕΝΟΣ <i>similar</i>
καλεῖν	to call	ΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[E] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
περιέχων (ουσα, ον)	surrounding	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΩΝ <i>holding around</i>
τὸ περιέχον τὰ περιέχοντα	surrounding environment	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΟΝ <i>hold around</i>
ἡ φλεβοπαλία αἱ φλεβοπαλῖαι	arterial pulsation "phlebopalía"	ΦΛΕΒΟΠΑΛΙΑ <i>vein shaking</i>
ἀγορεύειν	to declare	ΑΓΟΡΕΥΕΙΝ <i>speaking publicly</i>

34.15 Symmetric Impingement of Films

Symmetry refers to *the level of accurate correspondence between:*

(1) the actual qualities of a particular object
and

(2) The qualities of that object its films preserve after reaching the viewer

...[πι]ὰρὰ τὰς μ[ετ]α[βάσεις] καὶ τὰς δι[ι]ήξε[ις] τῶν πόρων γίνεσθαι τις συμ[με]τρία, καὶ τισιμὲν [ε]ὐθύς ἐτοίμως δι[α]κειμένοις, τισίν... ...ἐ[ι]σιν, φημί... ...δι[ι]ανοίᾳς... ...[ἀ]τα[ρ]άχῳς...

...παρὰ τὰς μεταβάσεις καὶ τὰς διήξεις τῶν πόρων, γίνεσθαι τις Συμμετρία – καὶ τισιν μὲν εὐθύς ἐτοίμως διακειμένοις – τισίν... ...Εἰσιν, Φημί... ...διανοίᾳς... ...ἀταράχως...

...through the spatial extensions [of the films out in every direction] and [through the] traversals of their passageways, a certain Symmetry is also produced for some people who are directly readily inclined [to focus – but] for others... ...They are, I say... ...[of] mental perception... ...without disturbance...

Spatial extension is a reference to 'three-dimensional' quality of an object. Consider Epicurus "It is necessary to fully conceive the minimum thing visible in sensation: it is neither just like that which we see has spatial extensions, nor all-in-all dissimilar"

(10.58a)

For *Symmetry*, consider "Transmissions of films demonstrate the image of a single continuous thing – they are also preserving the correspondence of qualities from the original source according to the symmetric impingement [of the transmissions of films upon us] from that location, coming out of the vibration of the atoms deep in that solid object" (10.50a)

For "*traversals of passageways*," consider "*the causes [of movement] through the difference of atoms and the preexisting passageways.*" (Book 25, P.Herc. 1420 col. 3, fr. B 11)

ἡ μετά βασις αἱ μετα βάσεις	[spatial] extension	ΜΕΤΑ ΒΑΣΙΣ <i>stepping beyond</i>
ἡ ἐπεί σοδος αἱ ἐπει σόδοι	penetration	ΕΠΕΙ ΣΟΔΟΣ <i>upon course into</i>
ἡ διή ξις αἱ διή ξεις	traversal	ΔΙΗ ΞΙΣ <i>going through</i>
ὁ πό ρος οἱ πό ροι	passageway	ΠΟ ΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
γίνε σθαι = γίνν εσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕ ΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
σύν μετρος = σύμ μετρος (ον)	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝ ΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜ ΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure together</i>
ἡ συμ μετρία αἱ συμ μετρίαι	symmetry [of physical proportion]	ΣΥΜ ΜΕΤΡΙΑ <i>measure together</i>
ἰ θύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐ θύς (εἶα, ύ)	simply / directly	Ι ΟΥΣ ΕΥ ΟΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
ἐτοιμ άζειν	to make ready	ἘΤΟΙΜ ΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing</i>
ἐτοιῖ μος (ἱμη, ῖμον)	made ready	ἘΤΟΙΜ ΟΣ <i>preparing</i>
ἐτοιμ ως	readily	ἘΤΟΙΜ ΩΣ
τιθέ ναι	to put [here, "to set up"]	ΤΙΘΕ ΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
ἀντι κείμενος (η, ον)	contrary	ΑΝΤΙ ΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated against</i>
δια κείμενος (η, ον)	inclined	ΔΙΑ ΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated apart</i>
ἡ διάνο ια αἱ διάνο ιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟ ΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ἡ ἀταρα ξία αἱ ἀταρα ξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑ ΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ἀταρά χως	without disturbance	ΑΤΑΡΑ ΧΩΣ <i>without agitating</i>

Consider Epicurus:
"It must be the case that we see and mentally perceive shapes *by something entering us from external sources.*" (10.49a)

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 23 ⁽¹⁶⁾

...ἀ[να]γκαῖον αὐταῖς ὑπάρχειν κατὰ τὰς πρὸς[ς] ἀλλήλας κρούσεις – ὡς ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ γραφῇ εἴρηται – οὐθὲν ἦττον παρὰ τὰς [ἐξ] ἡμῶ[ν], τ[ις] σ[υμ]μετρ[ία] αὐτ[αῖς] γίννε[σθαι]...

...Ἀναγκαῖον αὐταῖς ὑπάρχειν κατὰ τὰς πρὸς ἀλλήλας κρούσεις – ὡς ἐν τῇ πρώτῃ γραφῇ εἴρηται: οὐθὲν ἦττον παρὰ τὰς ἐξ ἡμῶν τις Συμμετρία αὐταῖς γίννεσθαι...

...It is necessary for [sensations] to exist according to the mutual impacts [of the films and of ourselves] – as has been said in the first writing: a certain Symmetry is produced not to a lesser extent for [the sensations] than in relation to the [impacts] from us...

Consider Epicurus:
"External objects would not imprint inside us their own nature of both color and shape through the air that is between us and them ~ either through rays, or through flows of any kind originating from us to them – nearly so well as with certain impressions entering into us from the situation: impressions of the same color and similarly formed entering us according to the size that fits into our vision or our mental perception..." (10.49b)

ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
<i>[no nominative]</i> ἀλλήλων	of one another	ἈΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
ἢ κρούσις αἱ κρούσεις	impact	ΚΡΟΥΣΙΣ <i>strike</i>
πρῶτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
ἢ γραφή αἱ γραφαί	<i>[text of]</i> writing	ΓΡΑΦΗ <i>writing</i>
εἰρησθαι	to have been said	ΕΙΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>spoke</i>
ἦττον	to a lesser extent	ἭΤΤΟΝ <i>inferior</i>
ἢ συμμετρία αἱ συμμετρίαι	symmetry <i>[of physical proportion]</i>	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΙΑ <i>measure together</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>

34.17 Symmetries in the Passageways of the Films

Consider Epicurus:
"Nothing among visible things contests the fact that films are endowed with unsurpassable subtlety – from this subtlety, films also have unsurpassable speeds (having every symmetric passageway in relation to their infinite nature), colliding against nothing (or colliding against a few things: for among many and infinite atoms, films are immediately colliding against something)." (10.47b)

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 24 ⁽²⁴⁾

...[πυκ]νότης κᾶ[ν] μὴ σ[υ]ν[ή]ι αἱ [συμμ]ετ[ρί]αι [τῶν] πόρ[ω]ν ποιοῦσ[ι]ν ὧ[στε] τ[ὰς] περὶ τὰς ἐν[πτώ]σεις ταῦτα[ς] καὶ αὐταῖς] μετὰ[β]ά[σεις] ὑπάρχ[ε]ιν· ὅσ[α] δὴ καὶ...

...πυκνότητος. κἄν μὴ συνῇ αἱ Συμμετρίαι τῶν πόρων – Ποιοῦσιν ὥστε τὰς περὶ τὰς ἐνπτώσεις ταύτας καὶ αὐταῖς μεταβάσεις ὑπάρχειν· Ὅσα, δὴ, καὶ...

...of concentration. even if the Symmetries [*of the films*] in the passageways [*both through air and into the body*] are not coexistent – those [*Symmetries*] make [*it happen*] that [*both*] those [*extensions of the films out in every direction*] around these collisions and those extensions [*of the films up to us*] come to exist for [*our sensations*]. indeed, As many as also...

Consider "It is necessary to think that smell, like sound, would never produce any experience unless *certain particles* exist that are *carried away from those situations*, which *are symmetric [in proportion] for moving that sense-organ*" (10.53c)

ἡ πυκνότης αἱ πυκνότητες	concentration	ΠΥΚΝΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from compacting</i>
ἐνεῖναι	to implant	ΕΝΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being inside</i>
σύνειναι	to coexist	ΣΥΝΕΙΝΑΙ <i>being together</i>
ἡ συμμετρία αἱ συμμετρίαι	symmetry [of physical proportion]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΙΑ <i>measure together</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἡ περίπτωσις αἱ περιπτώσεις	incident	ΠΕΡΙΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling around</i>
ἡ ἀντίπτωσις αἱ ἀντιπτώσεις	contrary occurrence	ΑΝΤΙΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling against</i>
ἡ ἐνπτώσις = ἡ ἐμπτώσις αἱ ἐμππτώσεις	collision	ΕΝΠΤΩΣΙΣ ΕΜΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling into</i>
ἡ μετάβασις αἱ μεταβάσεις	[spatial] extension	ΜΕΤΑΒΑΣΙΣ <i>stepping beyond</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>

34.18 Interpreting the Unseen with Reasoning

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, column 25 ⁽¹⁷⁾

...ἂν γὰρ τα[ύ]τό τις συνθεωρῇ· καὶ τῶν ἐν λόγῳ[ι] ὃν τρόπον ἐχόμενος ὁ λόγος περὶ τῶν ἀδήλων διηρμήνευκεν οὐποτε μὲν δόξει πρὸς τὸ τέλος τὸ φυσικὸν ἄλλας οἰ[κ]ειοτέρας φω[ν]ᾶς...

...ἂν γὰρ ^[Θ] ταυτό ^[Δ·] τις συνθεωρῇ καὶ ^[Θ] τῶν ἐν λόγῳ – ὃν τρόπον ἐχόμενος ὁ Λόγος περὶ ^[Θ] τῶν ἀδήλων διηρμήνευκεν – οὐποτε μὲν Δόξει πρὸς τὸ τέλος τὸ φυσικὸν ἄλλας οἰκειοτέρας φωνὰς...

...for if Someone ^[Δ·] mutually observes ^[Θ] the same [*object*] with ^[Θ] the [*objects*] in reasoning – in the way that the subsequent Reasoning has thoroughly interpreted about ^[Θ] what is unclear* – He will never seem [*to have*] other statements more fitting [*than these*] for the fulfillment which is natural [*lit. "for the physical telos"*]...

*i.e., using the canon and employing the recommended mental techniques such as determining which issues demand certainty or determining which qualities are universal.

συνθεωρεῖν	to mutually observe	ΣΥΝΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning together</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> [i.e., reasoning]
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἐχόμενος (ἦ, ον)	holding on to [here, "subsequent"]	ΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>holding</i>
τὸ ἀόρατον τὰ ἀόρατα	^[0] the unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΝ <i>not looking</i>
τὸ ἄδηλον τὰ ἄδηλα	^[0] what is unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΝ <i>not clear</i>
ἐρμηνεύειν	to interpret	ΕΡΜΗΝΕΥΕΙΝ <i>Hermes nod, interpreting sign</i>
διερμηνεύειν	to thoroughly interpret	ΔΙΕΡΜΗΝΕΥΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly interpreting sign</i>
οὔποτε	never	ΟΥΠΟΤΕ <i>not ever</i>
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
φυσικός (ῆ, όν)	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
οἰκεῖος (α, ον)	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
οἰκειότερος (α, ον)	more fitting	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>

34.19 Subscriptio

Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, title subscriptio ⁽²⁶⁾

Ἐπικ[ο]ύρου Περὶ Φύσ[ε]ως ΛΔ

Ἐπικούρου *Περὶ Φύσεως ΛΔ*

Epicurus' *On Nature Book 34*

[U91]

Book 35

Benefits from a God

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.36.1040 –37.1060

ἐν τε γὰρ τ[ῶι Περὶ] Θεῶν ποία [τίς ἐσ]τιν αἰτία ν[εμέσεως] καὶ σωτηρία[ς ἀνθρώ]ποις διὰ τοῦ θε[οῦ κα]ταλειπτέον ὑπ[ογρά]φει διὰ πλεό[νων]. Ἔν τε τῷι τρε[ῖσκα]ι δεκάτῳι, περ[ὶ τῆς] οἰκειότητος ἥ[ν πρός] τινας ὁ θεὸς ἔχ[ει καὶ] τῆς ἀλλοτρι[ότητος.] ἐν δὲ τῷι πέ[μπτῳ καὶ] τριακ[οσ]τῷ[ι, φησι], σὺν τῷι τι [ταύ]της τῆς ὠφελ[ίας] διασ[α]φεῖν, κ[α]ὶ διό[ι νομι]ζον...

ἐν τε γὰρ τῷ Περί Θεῶν ποία τίς ἐστὶν Αἰτία νεμέσεως καὶ σωτηρίας ἀνθρώποις διὰ τοῦ θεοῦ καταλειπτέον, Ὑπογράφει διὰ πλειόνων – ἐν τε τῷ τρεισκαιδεκάτῳ, περὶ τῆς οἰκειότητος ἣν πρὸς τινὰς ὁ Θεὸς ἔχει καὶ τῆς ἀλλοτριότητος. ἐν δὲ τῷ πέμπτῳ καὶ τριακοστῷ, Φησι, σὺν τῷ τι ταύτης τῆς ὠφελίας, διασαφεῖν, καὶ διότι Νομίζον...

and in the [book] *Concerning the Gods*, what kind of Cause for vengeance and security exists through a god that must be thoroughly left behind for men, [Epicurus] writes with many [details]. and in the thirteenth [book *On Nature, Epicurus writes*] regarding the familiarity that a God [in general] has with certain [people] and regarding their alienation. and in the five-and-thirtieth [i.e., *Book 35 On Nature, Epicurus*] says that he clarifies, along with a little bit of this benefit, also why It is believed that...

[Obbink] And in *On Gods* what kind of source of retribution and preservation for humans through the deity must be accepted he outlines in some detail. And in book 13 he speaks concerning the affinity or alienation which God has for some people. And in book 35, in addition to clarifying somewhat this benefit, he says that even on account of thinking...

[Book 36]

[Book 37]

Uncertain Book Number

[Possibly] Epicurus' *On Nature*, P.Herc. 1639 fr. 4

...μο[ρ]φῶν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων παραλλαγ[μ]άτων ἐπὶ τὴν συντέλειαν ἐλθ[εῖν] ἠθέλησεν, μήπως οὐκ ἐχ[ρ]ῆν γενομένους αὐτοὺς εὐδαίμον[ας] ποιῆσαι. Τότε γὰρ...

...μορφῶν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων παραλλαγμάτων ἐπὶ τὴν συντέλειαν ἐλθεῖν ἠθέλησεν – μήπως οὐκ ἔχρῆν (γενομένους) αὐτοὺς εὐδαίμονας ποιῆσαι. τότε, γὰρ...

...He wanted the shapes and the other variations to come to mutual fulfillment – so that It was not necessary (with them having already been produced) to make them good-spirited. for, at that time...

ἡ μορφή αἱ μορφαί	shape	ΜΟΡΦΗ <i>form</i>
τὸ παράλλαγμα τὰ παραλλάγματα	variation	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΜΑ <i>changing across</i>
συντελ εῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛ ΕΙΝ <i>completing together</i>
ἡ συντέλ εια αἱ συντέλ ειαι	mutual fulfillment	ΣΥΝΤΕΛ ΕΙΑ <i>completing together</i>
ἐρ χεσθαι	to come / go [in general]	ΕΡΧ ΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>
ἐλθ εῖν	to come / go [once, completed]	ΕΛΘ ΕΙΝ <i>moved</i>
ἐθέλ ειν	to want	ΕΘΕΛ ΕΙΝ <i>wishing</i>
χρῆ σθαι	to use	ΧΡΗ ΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
ἐχρῆ ν	it was necessary	ΕΧΡΗ Ν <i>was necessary</i>
γενόμενος (η, ον)	produced [already]	ΓΕΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
εὐδαίμων (ων, ον)	good-spirited	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΩΝ <i>good spirit</i>

ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
---------------	---------	-----------------------------------

[U92]

Limits vs. Examples

Scholiast on Dionysius Thrax (fl. 130 ^{BCE}), *The Art of Grammar*
Karl Bekker, Anecdota Graeca, vol. 2 (Berlin, 1816, page 660, line 25)

Dionysius Thrax was a very influential Greek grammarian.

Καὶ Ἐπίκουρος – δὲ **ἀεὶ ὑπογραφαῖς** κεκρημένος – δηλῶν ὅτι **τιμιώτεροι** οἱ ὅροι, **ὅροις ἀντὶ ὑπογραφῶν ἐν τῇ τῆς φυσικῆς ἀκροάσεως πραγματείᾳ** Ἐχρήσατο: διαιρῶν γὰρ **τὸ πᾶν εἰς τε ἄτομον καὶ κενὸν ὅροις** ἐχρήσατο – λέγων ὅτι **ἄτομόν** ἐστὶ **σῶμα στερεὸν ἀμέτοχον κενοῦ παρεμπλοκῆς**. **κενόν** ἐστὶ **φύσις ἀναφῆς, τουτέστιν, ἀψηλάφητος**

And Epicurus – although he used **examples all the time** – he shows that limits are **more valuable**, [*because he used*] **limits** instead of examples **in his work of his natural philosophy lecture**: while dividing **everything** into atom and void by using **limits** – and while saying that an **atom** is a **solid body having no share of interposition with the void**. **Void** is an **intangible nature**, that is, **untouchable**

And although Epicurus always made use of general outlines [of the senses of words], he showed that definitions are more worthy of respect by using definitions instead of general outlines in the treatise *On Nature*; for he used definitions when he divided the totality [of existence] into the atomic and the void, saying that "the atomic is a solid body which has no share of void included in it; void is an intangible nature" i.e., not subject to touch

Limits (i.e., definitions) say what something is NOT, and examples say what something is.
The scholiast is saying that by explaining the atom as something with "no share of interposition with the void" and by explaining the void as something "not touchable," Epicurus is not explaining by giving examples, but is explaining by giving limits (i.e., providing definitions).

ἢ ὑπογραφή αἱ ὑπογραφαί	example	ὙΠΟΓΡΑΦΗ <i>writing under</i> <i>(i.e, to copy)</i>
--	---------	--

[U93]

Slavish "Wise Men"

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.7

Τιμοκράτης... καὶ **ἐν ταῖς ἐπτὰ καὶ τριάκοντα βίβλοις ταῖς *Περὶ Φύσεως* τὰ πλεῖστα ταύτὰ λέγειν καὶ ἀντιγράφειν ἐν αὐταῖς ἄλλοις τε καὶ Ναυσιφάνει, τὰ πλεῖστα καὶ αὐτῇ λέξει φάσκειν οὕτως**:

Timocrates [*also claims*]... **in the thirty-seven books *On Nature*, [Epicurus] says mostly the same things and writes back against those others and particularly against Nausiphanes, and asserts most things in such terminology as**:

Timocrates [*also alleges*]... that in his thirty-seven books *On Nature*, Epicurus uses much repetition and writes largely in sheer opposition to others, especially Nausiphanes, and here are his own words:

"ἄλλ’ ἴτωσαν: εἶχε γὰρ ἑκεῖνος, ὠδίνων, τὴν ἀπὸ τοῦ στόματος καύχησιν τὴν σοφιστικὴν, καθάπερ καὶ ἄλλοι πολλοὶ τῶν ἀνδραπόδων"

"but Let them be gone: for that Man, while laboring [*with an idea*], had the boasting from the mouth that is sophistic – just like many Others among the slaves"

"but Away with them: for he, in his throes, had that mouth-born, sophistic boasting – just like the usual Herd of the bipeds"

Hicks translates **ἴτωσαν** as "let them go *hang*," but the word has no implication of hanging – but only an implication of "forget them," and literally means "let them be gone"

Some translators take this to be a quote of Timocrates, but if (λέξει) is taken as the dative noun instead of the third-person verb (which is natural, given its pairing with αὐτῇ), then "asserts" refers back to Epicurus, who is quoted speaking about Nausiphanes.

ἴέναι	to go	ΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going</i>
ἴτωσαν	let them be gone	ΙΤΩΣΑΝ <i>going let them</i>
κάκεῖνος = καὶ ἐκεῖνος <i>(η, ο)</i>	and that	καὶ ἐκεῖνος <i>and that</i>
ὠδίνειν	to suffer birth-pangs	ΩΔΙΝΕΙΝ <i>suffering during childbirth</i>
τὸ στόμα τὰ στόματα	mouth	ΣΤΟΜΑ <i>opening</i>
ἡ καύχησις αἱ καύχησεις	boasting	ΚΑΥΧΗΣΙΣ <i>exulting</i>
σοφιστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	sophistic i.e., considered wise	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>considered to have the characteristic of knowledge</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as thoroughly</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
ὁ πούς οἱ πόδες	foot	ΠΟΥΣ <i>foot</i>
ὁ ἀνὴρ οἱ ἄνδρες	man	ΑΝΗΡ <i>man</i>
τὸ ἀνδράποδον τὰ ἀνδράποδα <i>Andrápodon is generally used in contrast with Tetrápodon, "quadruped" (i.e., livestock)</i>	slave	ΑΝΔΡΑΠΟΔΟΝ <i>man-footed</i>

[U94]

Marriage

[=U19] Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.119

καὶ **μη{δὲ}** καὶ **γαμήσειν** καὶ **τεκνοποιήσειν τὸν Σοφόν**, ὡς Ἐπίκουρος ἐν ταῖς **Διαπορίαις** – καὶ ἐν τοῖς **Περὶ Φύσεως**, **κατὰ περίστασιν** δέ **ποτε βίου γαμήσειν** καὶ **διατραπήσεσθαι Τίνας**

and the wise Man is not even going to marry and sire children, as Epicurus says in his 'Uncertainties' – and [he says] in 'On Nature,' that [some wise men] will marry at some point according to their circumstance in life and Some will refuse [to marry]

γαμεῖν	to marry	ΓΑΜΕΙΝ <i>marriage</i>
---------------	----------	----------------------------------

γαμήσειν	going to marry	
ΤΕΚΝΟΠΟΙΕῖΝ	to sire children	ΤΕΚΝΟΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>child gathering</i>
ΤΕΚΝΟΠΟΙΗΣΕΙΝ	going to sire children	
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ἡ διαπορία αἱ διαπορίαι	uncertainty	ΔΙΑΠΟΡΙΑ <i>doubting across</i>
"Διαπορίαι"	"Uncertainties" a book by Epicurus	
ἡ στάσις αἱ στάσεις	stationary position	ΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
ἡ περίστασις αἱ περιστάσεις	circumstance	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing around</i>
διατρέπειν	to refuse	ΔΙΑΤΡΕΠΕΙΝ <i>turning thoroughly</i>

On Procreation

1. Displaced Variation

Epicurus [or Demetrius Lacon], P.Herc. 908, On Procreation, col. 1 ⁽⁴⁾

...της παρα[λαμ]βανόντων εἰς τὴν τοῦ[παρ]εκκειμένου παρα[λλά]γματος αἰτίαν καὶ [τὸ]ν τόπον τὸν [δε]χόμε[ν]ον τὸ σπέρμα· καὶ γὰρ ἄρρην τις δύναται πολλὴν ὕλην ἔχειν ἐν ἑαυτῷ σπ[έρμ]ατος [ν]εννη[τ]ι[κοῦ] ὥς καὶ αἷ[μ]ατος καὶ χο[λῆς] ἔχου[σ]ιν πολλοί· δε[ιὸ κα]τὰ[β]άλλειν... ...[κ]αὶ κατὰ... ...ὀλίγ[ον] διὸ [καὶ κατα]βάλλειν...

...τῆς παραλαμβανόντων εἰς τὴν τοῦ παρεκκειμένου παραλλάγματος αἰτίαν καὶ τὸν τόπον τὸν δεχόμενον τὸ σπέρμα·

...of those [] receiving [] into the cause [*of motion*] for the displaced variation and into the place receiving the seed.

*The "**displaced variation**" (τὸ παρεκκείμενον παράλλαγμα) is probably a reference to differences in position (as opposed to anatomical differences, which are also covered in this text).*

καὶ γὰρ Ἄρρην Τις δύναται πολλὴν ὕλην ἔχειν ἐν ἑαυτῷ σπέρματος γεννητικοῦ ὥς καὶ αἷματος καὶ χολῆς ἔχουσιν Πολλοί· διὸ καὶ καταβάλλειν... ...καὶ κατὰ... ...ὀλίγον διὸ, καὶ καταβάλλειν...

and a certain Male can have in himself much material of generative seed, just as Many [people] have of blood and of bile. therefore to also discharge... ...and according to... ...little by little therefore, also to discharge...

λαμβάνων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	comprehending	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ <i>grasping</i>
παραλαμβάνειν	to receive	ΠΑΡΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping alongside</i>
παραλαμβάνων	receiving	ΠΑΡΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ <i>grasping alongside</i>
κεῖσθαι	to be set [up]	ΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting</i>
παρέκεισθαι	to displace	ΠΑΡΕΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting alongside</i>
παρεκκείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	displaced	ΠΑΡΕΚΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>set alongside</i>
ἡ παραλλαγή αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>

τὸ παράλλαγμα τὰ παρ αλλάγματα	variation	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΜΑ <i>changing across</i>
ἢ συντέλεια αἱ συντέλεια ι	mutual fulfillment	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΑ <i>completing together</i>
ἢ αἰτία αἱ αἰτία ι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	room [here, "place"]	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
ἐνδεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting in</i>
δεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	receiving	ΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting</i>
τὸ σπέρμα τὰ σπέρμα τα	seed	ΣΠΕΡΜΑ <i>seed</i>
Part 2		
ἄρρην <i>(εν)</i>	male	ΑΡΡΗΝ <i>[not speaking]</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
ἢ ῥλη αἱ ῥλ αι	material	ῚΛΗ <i>forest, wood → raw material → matter</i>
γίνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
γεννᾶσθαι	to be created	ΓΕΝΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>being born</i>
γεννητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	generative	ΓΕΝΝΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being born</i>
τό αἷμα τά αἷμα τα	blood	ἌΙΜΑ
ἢ χολή αἱ χολαί	bile	ΧΟΛΗ <i>gall</i>
βάλλειν	to throw	ΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing</i>
καταβάλλειν	to discharge	ΚΑΤΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly throwing</i>
ὀλίγος <i>(η, ον)</i>	few, short [of time]	ΟΛΙΓΟΣ <i>little</i>

2. Contraceptive Collision & Dispersion

Epicurus [or Demetrius Lacon], P.Herc. 908, On Procreation, col. 2 ⁽⁵⁾

...ὀλίγον|εῡμένου τοῦ δὲ πλέονος ἀντικοπτομένου καὶ διὰ τοῦτο σκεδασμὸν λαμβάνοντος· καὶ παρὰ τὸ σχεῖσμα δ' ἂν γένοιτο
τ[οῦ] πόρο[υ τ]ὸ τοιοῦτο· δη[λον] γὰ[ρ] ὃ[τι εὔ]θυτενῶς μέ[ν] κ[εῖμεν]ος ὁ πόρος τήν π[ᾶσα]ν ἢ τήν πλε[ίστην δυνή]σεται
καταβ[άλλειν τ]ήν ῥλην κατ' ἀντικρῦ(?) ἀντικοπτομένου [καί δ]ιαφερομένου κα[τὰ τοὺς] κλασμούς... ...π[αρ]έχοντα...

...ὀλιγονεμένου – τοῦ δὲ πλέονος ἀντικοπτομένου – καὶ διὰ τοῦτο, σκεδασμὸν λαμβάνοντος· καὶ παρὰ τὸ σχεῖσμα δ' ἂν γένοιτο τοῦ πόρου τὸ Τοιοῦτο·

...[when the Passageway from the penis to the uterus is not short and straight, *the lesser amount of seed*] is scarcely conceived – but the greater [amount of seed] collides against [the ridges of the vaginal walls] – and because of this, receives dispersion [before entering the uterus]. and Such a thing may arise from a split of the passageway [i.e., a deflection from the path into the uterus].

δῆλον γὰρ ὅτι, εὔθυτενῶς μὲν κείμενος ὁ Πόρος, τήν πᾶσαν ἢ τήν πλείστην δυνήσεται καταβάλλειν τήν ῥλην κατ' ἀντικρῦ – ἀντικοπτομένου καὶ διαφερομένου κατὰ τοὺς κλασμούς... ...παρέχοντα...

for [it is] **clear** that, when the Passageway [from the penis to the uterus] is lying **directly** STRAIGHT, [the man] WILL have the force to discharge **all** or **the greatest part of the material** straight through – [yet *the material*] is collided against and **carried apart** along the ridges [*when the passageway to the uterus is not straight*]... ...yielding...

Pleasure-focused movements can interfere with conception, especially in ways that angle or twist the woman's body in positions that discourage semen retention.

Consider Lucretius:

"...Wives are thought generally to conceive better after the manner of wild beasts and quadrupeds, because in that position, breast down and loins up, *the seeds can occupy the proper places*. Lascivious movements are of no use whatever to wives.

For a woman forbids herself to conceive and fights against it, if in her delight she thrusts against the man's penis with her buttocks, making undulating movements with all her body limp, for *she turns the share clean away from the furrow and makes the seed fail of its place*.

Whores indulge in such motions for their own purposes, *that they may not often conceive and lie pregnant...*" (DRN 4.1126, Translated by W. Rouse)

ὀλίγος (η, ον)	few, short [of time]	ΟΛΙΓΟΣ <i>little</i>
νοούμενος (η, ον)	conceived	ΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
ὀλιγονοεῖν	✱to scarcely conceive <i>[an apparent hapax legomenon]</i>	ΟΛΙΓΟΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing little</i>
if ὀλιγονομένου = ὀλιγονοουμένου , then		
ὀλιγονοούμενος (η, ον)	✱being scarcely conceived	ΟΛΙΓΟΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing little</i>
πλείων (ον)	more / greater	ΠΛΕΙΩΝ <i>more filling</i>
ἀντικόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beating against</i>
ὁ σκεδασμός οἱ σκεδασμοί	dispersion	ΣΚΕΔΑΣΜΟΣ <i>an act of scattering</i>
λαμβάνων (ουσα, ον)	comprehending	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΩΝ <i>grasping</i>
ἀποσχίζειν	to detach	ΑΠΟΣΧΙΖΕΙΝ <i>splitting away</i>
τό σχεῖσμα τά σχήματα	a split	ΣΧΕΙΣΜΑ <i>result of splitting</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
τοιοῦτος (τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
Part 2		
δῆλος (η, ον)	(θ) clear	ΔΗΛΟΣ <i>clear</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς (εἴα, ύ)	simply / directly	ΙΘΥΣ ΕΥΘΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
εὐθυτενῶς	directly straight	ΕΥΘΥΤΕΝΩΣ <i>stretched well straightly</i>
ἀντικείμενος (η, ον)	contrary	ΑΝΤΙΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated against</i>
ἐκκείμενος (η, ον)	set forth	ΕΚΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated out</i>
κείμενος	situated / lying	ΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>situated</i>

ὁ πόρος οἱ πόροι	passageway	ΠΟΡΟΣ <i>piercing</i>
πλεῖστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	the greatest <i>[the most]</i>	ΠΛΕΙΣΤΟΣ <i>most</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
καταβάλλειν	to discharge	ΚΑΤΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly throwing</i>
ἡ ὕλη αἱ ὕλαι	material	ὙΛΗ <i>matter</i>
ἀντικρύ	directly opposite	ΑΝΤΙΚΡΥ <i>[possibly pre-Greek]</i>
ἀντικόπτειν	to collide against	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beating against</i>
φερόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being carried	ΦΕΡΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bringing</i>
ἡ κλάσις αἱ κλάσεις	class	ΚΛΑΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
ὁ κλασμός οἱ κλασμοί	offshoot <i>[here, "ridge"]</i>	ΚΛΑΣΜΟΣ <i>dividing</i>
παρέχειν	to yield	ΠΑΡΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold beside</i>
παρέχων	yielding	ΠΑΡΕΧΩΝ <i>hold beside</i>

3. Secretion & Passage

Epicurus [or Demetrius Lacon], P.Herc. 908, On Procreation, col. 3 ⁽⁶⁾

...τὴν [σώ]ματος παντὸς ἀπόκρισιν· εἰς ταὐτὸ δὲ παραλανθάνεσθαι δ[ύν]εται καὶ τὸ ἐν τῇ θηλείᾳ ἀνγεῖον· εἰ γὰρ [σ]τε[ν]ὸν ὀλίγον πέφυκε δέ[ξ]ασθαι, μέγα δὲ πο[λύ, σύ]νμετρον ἔαν ἔχη τὸ [μ]έγε[θ]ος... ...το[ῦ δ]εχ[ο]μένου [π]άν[τ]ως... ...αἰτί[α]ν ὥς ἐν ὅπ[ι]σθ[ο]ρροαί[ι λ]αν[θ]άνοντος...

...ποδίζ[ο]ν[σι γ]ὰρ τὴν [το]ῦ σπέρματος παντὸς ἀπόκρισιν. εἰς τὰτὸ δὲ παραλανθάνεσθαι δύν[α]ται καὶ τὸ ἐν τῇ θηλείᾳ ἀνγῆον. {ἡ} {εἰ} γὰρ στεγόν, ὀλίγον πέφυκε δέχεσθαι, μέγα δέ, πολὺ, σύνμετρον ἔαν ἔχη τὸ μέγεθος· ἂν δὲ λε[ί]αν μέγα, κἂν δέξητ[αι] πολὺ, τούτ[ο]ν διὰ {ι} τὴν [βαρ/εὺρ/βαθ]ύτητα τοῦ δεχομένου πάντως οὐ κρατ[ή]σει. καὶ διὰ ταύτην τὴν αἰτίαν ὥς [έ]ν ὅπ[ι]σθ[ο]ρροαί[ις] λαμβάνοντος του...

...Ποδίζουνσι γὰρ **τὴν τοῦ σπέρματος παντὸς ἀπόκρισιν** – **εἰς ταὐτὸ δὲ παραλανθάνεσθαι** **δύναται καὶ τὸ ἐν τῇ θηλείᾳ Ἀνγεῖον**

...[such obstructions] hinder **the secretion of all the seed** – but in the same [way] the Vessel [i.e., uterus] in the female can also receive for itself [the seed].

[Nijss] For they prohibit the secretion of all the semen. In the same context the uterus within the female can be taken up.

εἰ γὰρ **στενόν, ὀλίγον** πέφυκε δέχεσθαι. **μέγα δέ, πολὺ – σύνμετρον** ἔαν ἔχη τὸ **Μέγεθος**: ἂν δὲ **λείαν μέγα**, κἂν δέξηται **πολὺ τούτου, διὰ τὴν εὐρύτητα τοῦ δεχομένου**, πάντως οὐ κρατήσῃ. καὶ **διὰ ταύτην τὴν αἰτίαν – ὥς, ἐν ὀπισθορροαίᾳ λαμβάνοντος...**

if [the uterus] is **narrow**, it naturally exists to receive **little**. but if [it is] **large, [it naturally receives] much [seed]** – provided its Size is in proportion: but if [the uterus] is **very large**, even if it receives **much of this [seed]**, because of the ^[width] of the receiving [uterus], it certainly will not prevail [in pregnancy]. and for this cause [of movement] – that is, in the backward flows of [the seed] that is acquired...

[Nijss] For if it is narrow, it receives little by nature; however, if it is large, it receives a lot, provided that its size is proportionate [sc. to that of the penis]; but if it is too large, even though it receives a lot, because of the ^[depth] of the receiving organ, it will not prevail over that at all. And because of that reason, receiving it as if in streaming back...

[Nijs] Proportionality is crucial when it comes to the uterus’ ideal size. If it is too narrow, only a small amount of semen can pass, which, understandably, means that the chances of conceiving will be relatively slim. In a uterus that is too broad, the semen falls back through the free space between the penis and the sides of the cervix uteri.

ἐνποδίζειν = ἐμποδίζειν	to hinder	ΕΝΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ ΕΜΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>in foot</i>
τὸ σπέρμα τὰ σπέρματα	seed	ΣΠΕΡΜΑ <i>seed</i>
ἡ ἀπόκρισις αἱ ἀποκρίσεις	[chosen] response [here, "secretion"]	ΑΠΟΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i> <i>away from</i>
παραλαμβάνειν	to receive	ΠΑΡΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping alongside</i>
παραλαμβάνεσθαι	to be received	ΠΑΡΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>grasping alongside</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
θηλυς <i>(εία, υ)</i>	female	ΘΗΛΥΣ <i>breast</i>
ἄγειν	to lead	ΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going</i>
τὸ ἄγγεῖον τὰ ἄγγεῖα	vessel [here, "uterus"]	ΑΓΓΕΙΟΝ <i>instrument of</i> <i>going / carrying</i>
Part 2		
στενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	narrow	ΣΤΕΝΟΣ <i>tight</i>
ὀλίγος <i>(η, ον)</i>	few, short [of time]	ΟΛΙΓΟΣ <i>little</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
φύειν	to naturally come to exist	ΦΥΕΙΝ <i>producing</i>
πέφυκε	[here, "it naturally exists"]	ΠΕΦΥΚΕ <i>produced</i>
ἐγδεξάμενος = ἐνδεξάμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having accepted	ΕΓΔΕΞΑΜΕΝΟΣ ΕΝΔΕΞΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepted in</i>
δέξασθαι	to receive [already]	ΔΕΞΑΣΘΑΙ <i>accepted</i>
δέχεσθαι	to recieve	ΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting</i>
πολύς <i>(ή, ύ)</i>	many / long	ΠΟΛΥΣ <i>much</i>
σύνμετρος = σύμμετρος <i>(ον)</i>	symmetric [in proportion]	ΣΥΝΜΕΤΡΟΣ ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΟΣ <i>measure together</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
λεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	smooth	ΛΕΙΟΣ <i>smooth</i>
λείαν = λίαν	very	ΛΕΙΑΝ ΛΙΑΝ
δέχεσθαι	to recieve	ΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting</i>
ἡ βαθύτης αἱ βαθύτητες	deepness	ΒΑΘΥΤΗΣ <i>deep</i>
ἡ εὐρύτης αἱ εὐρύτητες	width	ΕΥΡΥΤΗΣ <i>broad</i>
δεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	receiving	ΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting</i>
κρατεῖν	to prevail	ΚΡΑΤΕΙΝ <i>power</i>

ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ἡ ἀπόρροια αἱ ἀπόρροαι	effluence	ΑΠΟΡΡΟΙΑΙ <i>flow off</i>
ἡ ὀπισθορροία αἱ ὀπισθορροίαι	backward flows	ΟΠΙΣΘΟΡΡΟΙΑ <i>flow behind</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>

4. Blocked Reception

Consider Soranus of Ephesus, a Greek physician, *fl.c.* 100 ^{CE}, whose four-volume treatise on gynecology survives. (Soranus also refers at least once to Zeno of Sidon in his works.) In the following passage, Soranus discusses the position of the cervix:

"...those women in whom the orifice of the uterus (τὸ στόμιον τῆς ὑστέρας) is comparatively far forward (προκοπώτερον μᾶλλον) and lies in a straight line (κατ’ εὐθὺ κείμενον) – for an orifice of the uterus *deviated even in its natural state and lying farther back* in the vagina (κόλπος) *is less suited for the attraction and acceptance of the seed* (σπέρμα)..."

Epicurus [or Demetrius Lacon], P.Herc. 908, On Procreation, col. 4 sup ⁽⁷⁾

...τὴν ἀνάλογον παραλλαγὴν ποιήσουσιν· καὶ ἐξεναντ[ί]ω)ς μὲν [κ]ειμένου πρὸς [πα]ραδοχὴν τὴν παντὸς εὐθέ[του] ἔχον[τ]ος τοῦ ἀνά... ...τὴν θέσιν ἔχοντ[ος] καταντῆι) πρὸς τοῦ[τ'] ἐν)ποδίζοντος... ...ἀντικο[πήν]...

...τὴν ἀνάλογον παραλλαγὴν ποιήσουσιν· καὶ ἐξ ἐναντίας μὲν κειμένου πρὸς παραδοχὴν τὴν παντὸς εὐθέτως ἔχοντος, τοῦ δ’ ἀνά[v]τη τὴν θέσιν ἔχοντος ἢ κατάντη πρὸς τοῦτο ἐνποδίζοντος κατὰ ἀντικοπήν τοῦ μὴ κατ’ εὐθὺ παρεν[π]είπτοντος·

...τὴν ἀνάλογον παραλλαγὴν Ποιήσουσιν· καὶ ἐξ ἐναντίας μὲν κειμένου πρὸς παραδοχὴν τὴν παντὸς εὐθέτως ἔχοντος – τοῦ δ’ ἀνάντη τὴν θέσιν ἔχοντος ἢ κατάντη πρὸς τοῦτο ἐνποδίζοντος κατὰ ἀντικοπήν – τοῦ μὴ κατ’ εὐθὺ παρενπείπτοντος·

...They will make the analogous variety: and while [the uterus] is set [directly] from the opposite side [of the penis] toward the reception of all [the seed] that is well-positioned – but with [the uterus] having a position back-against or down-against [the penis], it will be hindering in regards to that [seed] by a collision – with [the seed] not intruding directly in

[Nijis] ...they will produce the analogous difference: if [the uterus] lies facing it, then [it] is well placed for the reception of all [the semen], but if its position is tilted upwards or downwards, it hinders it in on that point through the resistance to that what does not penetrate straightforwardly [sc. the semen].

The more sperm that are released closer to the cervix, the shorter their path to the uterus and the less time they spend in the vagina’s acidic environment – so more of them survive to reach the egg.

ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning</i> <i>back/again</i>
ἀνάλογος (ος, ον)	analogous	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>reasoning</i> <i>back/again</i>
ἡ παραλλαγὴ αἱ παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἐναντίος (α, ον)	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>
ἡ ἐναντία αἱ ἐναντίαι	the opposite side	
ἐξ ἐναντίας	from the opposite side	

κεῖσθαι	to be set [up]	ΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting</i>
δεχόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	receiving	ΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting</i>
ἡ παραδοχή αἱ παραδοχαί <i>This word is also used by Philodemus for the reception of films (Sign .22)</i>	reception	ΠΑΡΑΔΟΧΗ <i>accepted across</i>
τιθέναι	to put [here, "to set up"]	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
εὐθετεῖν	to be well positioned	ΕΥΘΕΤΕΙΝ <i>placing well</i>
εὐθετός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	well-positioned	ΕΥΘΕΤΟΣ <i>placing well</i>
εὐθέτως	in a well- positioned way	ΕΥΘΕΤΩΣ
ἀνά + ἀντί = ἀνάντη	back against	ΑΝΑΝΤΗ <i>back/again</i> <i>against</i>
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of</i> <i>placing</i>
κατά + ἀντί = κατάντη	down against	ΚΑΤΑΝΤΗ
ἐνποδίζειν = ἐμποδίζειν	to hinder	ΕΝΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ ΕΜΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>in foot</i>
ἡ ἀντικοπή αἱ ἀντικοπαί	collision [against]	ΑΝΤΙΚΟΠΗ <i>beating against</i>
ἰθύς <i>ionic for</i> εὐθύς <i>(εἶα, ύ)</i>	simply / directly	ΙΘΥΣ ΕΥΘΥΣ <i>well straight</i>
παρεμπίπτειν	to intrude ^[in/upon]	ΠΑΡΕΜΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>beside in falling</i>

5. The Nature of a Woman

Epicurus [or Demetrius Lacon], P.Herc. 908, col. 4 inf ⁽⁷⁾ | col. 5 | col. 6

...ἢ τε φύσ[ις] τῆς γυναικός πρὸς ἀνφ[ό]τερα δύναται εὐθετεῖν καὶ πάλιν ἐναντιοῦσθαι. καὶ γὰρ κατὰ τὸ [ἀ]νάλογον τῷ ἄρρενι...

...τε φυσ[ικῆ] τῆς γυναικός πρὸς [ἀμφοτέ]ρα δύναται εὐθε[τεῖ]ν κα[ὶ] πάλιν ἐναντ[ι]οῦσθαι· κ[αί] γὰρ κατὰ τὸ [εἶ]ναι [τ]όπον [τῷ ἄ]ρρενι | καταβαλλόμεν[ος] πρὸς τὰ, ποτὲ μὲν δι' ἡδονῆς τ' εἰσ[σφέρ]εσθαι, ποτὲ δὲ πρὸς [τ]ὸ [μ]ὴ πολὺ μηδὲ πάν[τ]ις· [ἀ]πὸ ταύτη[ς] τῆς [πα]ρα[λ]λαγῆς [τῇ]ν διαφορὰν γείνεσθαι[ν] τῶν [μ]εγάλων ἀπο[τε]λες[θέν]των σωμάτων... ...[γυναι]κῶν... ...[μικρῶ]ν... ...[πε]ριέχουσ[θαι]... ...φύσει[ς]... ...[κλ]ασμῶ... | ...δι' ἀφῆς... ...ἐνποδίζοντος διὰ ἀκεινησίας εἰς τὴν τῶν... ...δὴ τῷ τρόπ[ῳ]... ...οὐ τὸν τρόπον...

...ἢ τε Φύσις τῆς γυναικός πρὸς ἀνφότερα δύναται: εὐθετεῖν, καὶ πάλιν ἐναντιοῦσθαι. καὶ, γὰρ κατὰ τὸ ἀνάλογον τῷ ἄρρενι | καταβαλλόμενος πρὸς τὰ – ποτὲ μὲν δι' ἡδονῆς τ' εἰσφέρεισθαι – ποτὲ δὲ πρὸς τὸ μὴ πολὺ, μηδὲ πάντως·

...and the Nature of a woman [in general] has the force towards both: to be well positioned, and to oppose against. indeed, according to what is analogous for the male while he is discharging in regards to [both situations] – sometimes [the seed] is carried in [to the uterus] through pleasure – but sometimes [it is carried in only] to a limited extent, or entirely not at all.

[Nijss] And the nature of the woman is capable of both: being well positioned and, on the other hand, to be adverse. And, indeed, analogous to the male...

ἀπὸ ταύτης τῆς παραλλαγῆς, τὴν διαφορὰν γείνεσθαι τῶν μεγάλων ἀποτελεσθέντων σωμάτων... ...γυναικῶν... ...μικρῶν... ...περιέχεσθαι... ...φύσεις... ...κλασμῶ... ...δι' ἀφῆς... ...ἐνποδίζοντος, διὰ ἀκεινησίας εἰς τὴν τῶν... ...δὴ τῷ τρόπῳ... ...οὐ τὸν τρόπον...

from this variety [*in size*], the difference is produced among the large bodies that have been fully produced... ...of women... ...of small... ...are contained...
...Natures... ...through an offshoot [*i.e. in the passageway to the uterus*]... ...through touch... ...with [] hindering, because of motionlessness into the [] of...
...clearly in the way... ...not the way...

[Nijs] On Procreation clearly aims to be a source of information on gynecological matters, operating through the approved Epicurean mechanism of multiple explanations, presumably to prevent people from experiencing irrational fears or, as one would imagine, from ascribing their inability to conceive to some kind of malevolent divine intervention.

φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
ή γυνή αί γυναῖκες	woman	ΓΥΝΗ <i>wife</i>
ἀμφοτέρος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	both	ΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>what is on both sides</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
εὐθετός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	well positioned	ΕΥΘΕΤΟΣ <i>placing well</i>
εὐθετεῖν	to be well positioned	ΕΥΘΕΤΕΙΝ <i>placing well</i>
έναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>
έναντιοὔσθαι	to oppose	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΥΣΘΑΙ <i>in against</i>
ἀνάλογος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	analogous	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
ἄρρην <i>(εν)</i>	male	ΑΡΡΗΝ <i>[not speaking]</i>
καταβάλλειν	to discharge	ΚΑΤΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly throwing</i>
καταβαλλόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being discharged	ΚΑΤΑΒΑΛΛΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>thoroughly throwing</i>
ή ήδονή αί ήδοναί	pleasure	ΗΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
φέρεισθαι	to be carried	ΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ <i>bringing</i>
είσφέρεισθαι	to be carried into	ΕΙΣΦΕΡΕΣΘΑΙ
Part 2		
ή παραλλαγή αί παραλλαγαί	variety	ΠΑΡΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing across</i>
ή διαφορά αί διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>
γείνεσθαι = γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἀποτελεῖν	to fully produce	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing out</i>
ἀποτελεσθείς	having fully produced	ΑΠΟΤΕΛΕΣΘΕΙΣ
τò σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
ἔχειν	to have	ΕΧΕΙΝ <i>holding</i>
ἔχεσθαι	to be held	ΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>holding</i>
περιέχεσθαι	to be contained	ΠΕΡΙΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>holding around</i>

ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ὁ κλασμός οἱ κλασμοί	offshoot [here, "ridge"]	ΚΛΑΣΜΟΣ <i>dividing</i>
ἄπτειν	to touch	ἈΠΤΕΙΝ <i>fastening</i>
ἡ ἀφή αἱ ἀφαί	touch	ἈΦΗ <i>fastening</i>
ἐνποδίζειν = ἐμποδίζειν	to hinder	ΕΝΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ ΕΜΠΟΔΙΖΕΙΝ <i>in foot</i>
κινεῖν	to move	ΚΙΝΕΙΝ <i>moving</i>
ἡ ἀκεινησία αἱ ἀκεινησiai	motionlessness, stillness	ΑΚΕΙΝΗΣΙΑ <i>state of not moving</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>

[49. Summary of Objections to the Physicists]

Ἐπιτομή Τῶν πρὸς τοὺς Φυσικοὺς

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.27

...Περὶ Ἔρωτος. Ἐπιτομή τῶν πρὸς τοὺς Φυσικοὺς. Πρὸς Τοὺς Μεγαρικοὺς...

...On Love. *Summary of Objections to the Physicists*. Against the Megarians...

[50. Chairedemus]

Χαιρέδημος

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.27

...Περὶ Κριτηρίου, ἢ Κανόν. Χαιρέδημος. Περὶ Θεῶν...

...On the Criterion, or The Canon. *Chairedemus*. On the Gods...

Extra Books

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), [Ad Contubernales], P.Herc. 1005, col. 7/10

...[ἐν τοῖς Πε]ρ[ὶ τῆς τῶν ἁ]τό[μων ἁ]γομοι[ό]τητος καὶ περὶ παρεγκλίσεως καὶ τῆς τοῦ ἀθρόου προκαταρχῆ[ς], καὶ περὶ τελειώσεως [ἄκρας] καὶ τ[ῆ]ς [εὐδαιμ]ον[ίας ἐν] τοῖς Περὶ τελῶν. πρὸς γε | μὴν τὰ κατηγορούμενα τοῦ λόγου καὶ τοῦ βίου τῶν περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον, δι' αὐτῶν ἀπελογήθη τῶν ἐν τοῖς βυβλίοις ἀμύθητα περὶ ἐκάστου παρατιθείς, ὡς Περὶ γραμματικῆς καὶ Περὶ ἱστορίας καὶ Περὶ παροιμιῶν καὶ τῶν ὁμοίων καὶ Περὶ λ[έ]ξεως καὶ Περὶ ποιημάτων χρή[σεως κ]αὶ Περὶ εὐσεβε[ίας]...

...ἐν τοῖς ^[1] *Περὶ τῆς τῶν Ἀτόμων Ἀνομοιότητος* καὶ ^[2] *Περὶ Παρεγκλίσεως καὶ τῆς τοῦ Ἀθρόου Προκαταρχῆς* – καὶ ^[3.1] *Περὶ Τελειώσεως Ἀκρας καὶ τῆς Εὐδαιμονίας ἐν τοῖς* ^[3.0] *Περὶ Τελῶν*

...in the ^[1] *On the Dissimilarity of Atoms* and ^[2] *On the Swerve and the Initial Beginning of the Aggregate* – and ^[3.1] *On the Highest Perfection and Wellbeing in the* ^[3.0] *On Fulfillments*

πρὸς γε μὴν τὰ κατηγορούμενα τοῦ λόγου καὶ τοῦ βίου τῶν περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον – δι' αὐτῶν, Ἀπελογήθη τῶν ἐν τοῖς βυβλίοις ἀμύθητα περὶ ἐκάστου παρατιθείς: ὡς ^[4] *Περὶ Γραμματικῆς* καὶ ^[5] *Περὶ Ἱστορίας* καὶ ^[6] *Περὶ Παροιμιῶν καὶ τῶν Ὅμοίων* καὶ ^[7] *Περὶ Λέξεως* καὶ ^[8] *Περὶ Ποιημάτων Χρήσεως* καὶ ^[9] *Περὶ Εὐσεβείας*...

but also, in response to the accusations against the reasoning and the way of life of those around Epicurus – through these [writings], He [i.e., Zeno of Sidon][?] made his defense – supplying incredible [amounts of material] of the things in [Epicurus'][?] books concerning each: such as ^[4] *On Grammar*, ^[5] *On History*, ^[6] *On Proverbs and Similar Things*, and ^[7] *On Terminology*, and ^[8] *On the Usage of Poems*, and ^[9] *On Piety*...

In the succeeding surviving columns, Philodemus is talking about his time with Zeno, and their frustration that "most of the Epicureans" have "inaction in the books"

τὸ ἄτομον τὰ ἄτομα	atom <i>("what is indivisible")</i>	ΑΤΟΜΟΝ <i>not cut</i>
ἀνόμοιος <i>(οῦ)</i>	dissimilar	ΑΝΟΜΟΙΟΣ <i>not similar</i>
ἡ ἀνομοιότης αἱ ἀνομοιότητες	dissimilarly	
ἡ παρέγκλισις αἱ παρεγκλίσεις	swerve <i>LSJ "Epicur.Fr.280"</i>	ΠΑΡΕΓΚΛΙΣΙΣ <i>bending out</i> <i>beyond</i>
ἄθροος <i>(α, οῦ)</i>	aggregated	ΑΘΡΟΟΣ <i>in groups</i>
τὸ ἄθρόον τὰ ἄθρόα	aggregate	
ἡ προκαταρχή αἱ προκαταρχαί	initial beginning <i>LSJ "origin, Περὶ τῆς τοῦ Ἀθρόου Προκαταρχῆς, title of work by Zeno Epicureus, Phld.Herc.1005.7"</i>	ΠΡΟΚΑΤΑΡΧΗ <i>thoroughly before</i> <i>beginning</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
ἡ τελείωσις αἱ τελειώσεις	perfection	ΤΕΛΕΙΩΣΙΣ <i>result of</i> <i>the process of</i> <i>completing</i>
τὸ ἄκρον τὰ ἄκρα	the highest point	ΑΚΡΟΝ <i>highest</i>
ἄκρος <i>(α, οῦ)</i>	highest	ΑΚΡΟΣ <i>highest</i>
ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
Part 2		
τὸ σύγγραμμα τὰ συγγράμματα	composition <i>[i.e., a piece of written work]</i>	ΣΥΓΓΡΑΜΜΑ <i>result of writing</i> <i>together</i>
ἡ γραμματική αἱ γραμματικαί	grammar	ΓΡΑΜΜΑΤΙΚΗ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>the result of</i> <i>writing</i>
ἡ ἱστορία αἱ ἱστορίαι	history <i>[systematic inquiry]</i>	ΊΣΤΟΡΙΑ <i>wise one</i>
ἡ παροιμία αἱ παροιμίαι	proverb	ΠΑΡΟΙΜΙΑ <i>tale alongside</i> <i>"saying along the path"</i>
ὅμοιος <i>(α, οῦ)</i>	similar	ὍΜΟΙΟΣ <i>similar</i>
ἡ λέξις αἱ λέξεις	term / terminology	ΛΕΞΙΣ <i>speaking</i>
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
ἡ χρῆσις αἱ χρήσεις	usage	ΧΡΗΣΙΣ <i>the process of</i> <i>necessary</i>

List 1
(P.Herc. 1005, col. 7/10)

1	Περὶ τῆς τῶν Ἀτόμων Ἀνομοιότητος	On the Dissimilarity of Atoms
2	Περὶ Παρεγκλίσεως καὶ τῆς τοῦ Ἀθροῦ Προκαταρχῆς	On the Swerve and the Initial Beginning of the Aggregate
3	Περὶ Τελῶν	On Fulfillments (<i>"On Ends"</i>)
	which contains	
3.1	Περὶ Τελειώσεως Ἀκρας καὶ τῆς Εὐδαιμονίας	On the Highest Perfection and Wellbeing
4	Περὶ Γραμματικῆς	On Grammar
5	Περὶ Ἱστορίας	On History (<i>i.e., On Systematic Inquiry</i>)
6	Περὶ Παροιμιῶν καὶ τῶν Ὁμοίων	On Proverbs and Similar Things
7	Περὶ Λέξεως	On Terminology
8	Περὶ Ποιημάτων Χρήσεως	On the Usage of Poems
9	Περὶ Εὐσεβείας	On Piety

1	[τινές ἐπιστολαί]	[some letters]
2	ἡ περὶ Μετεώρων Ἐπιτομή	the Summary on Celestial Phenomena <i>(to Pythocles)</i>
3	Περὶ Ἀρετῶν	On Virtues
4	αἱ Ἀναφερόμεναι Ὑποθήκαι	the Recommended Precepts <i>(dedicated to Metrodorus)</i>
5	αἱ Μαρτυρίαι	the Testimonies <i>(i.e., "the Proofs")</i>
6	Πρὸς τὸν Πλάτωνος Γοργίαν	Against Plato's Gorgias <i>(in at least two books)</i>
7	Πρὸς τοὺς Ῥήτορας	Against the Rhetors <i>(dedicated to Polyaenus)</i>
8	Περὶ Σελήνης	On the Moon <i>(dedicated to Polyaenus)</i>
9	<i>n/a</i>	[other works] <i>(dedicated to Hermarchus)</i>

"List" 3

1	πρὸς Ἡρακλείδην	Against Heracleides	P.Herc. 1471 fr. 20, col. 123 (U16)
---	------------------------	---------------------	--

————— End of Fragments from Known Books —————

LETTERS
Ἐπιστολαί

Fragments from Known Letters

1.	Πρὸς τοὺς Μεγάλους	To Important Persons
2.	Epistulae Spūriae	Spurious Letters
3.	Πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Αἰγύπτῳ Φίλους	To Friends Living In Egypt
4.	Πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Ἀσίᾳ Φίλους	To Friends Living In Asia
5.	Πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Λαμψάκῳ Φίλους	To Friends Living In Lampsacus
6.	Πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Μυτιλήνῃ Φιλοσόφους	To the Philosophers of Mytilene
7.	Πρὸς Ἀθηναίον	To Athenaeus <i>"Of Athena"</i>
8.	Πρὸς Ἀναξάρχον	To Anaxarchus <i>"Ruler of Kings"</i>
9.	Πρὸς Ἀπελλῆν	To Apelles
10.	Πρὸς Ἀπολλωνίδην	To Apollonides <i>"Son of Apollo"</i>
11.	Πρὸς Ἀριστόβουλον	To Aristobuolos <i>"Best Counsel"</i>
12.	Πρὸς Δωσίθεον	To Dositheus <i>"God's Gift"</i>
13.	Πρὸς Ἑρμάρχον	To Hermarchus <i>"Leader guided by Hermes"</i>
14.	[Πρὸς Ἑταίρας]	[To A Companion]
15.	Πρὸς Εὐρύλοχον	To Eurylochus <i>"Far-Reaching Defender"</i>
16.	Πρὸς Ἡρόδοτον	To Herodotus <i>"Given by Hera"</i>
17.	Πρὸς Θεμιστᾶν	To Themista <i>"Order-keeper" "Of Themis"</i>
18.	Πρὸς Ἰδομενέα	To Idomeneus <i>"Strength of Mount Ida"</i>
19.	Πρὸς Κράτερον	To Craterus <i>"Powerful"</i>
20.	Πρὸς Κωλώτην	To Colotes
21.	Πρὸς Λεόντιον	To Leontion <i>"Little Lion"</i>
22.	Πρὸς Μητρόδωρον	To Metrodorus <i>"Mother's Gift"</i>
23.	Πρὸς Μίθρην	To Mithres
24.	[Πρὸς Μῦν]	[To Mys] <i>"Mouse"</i>
*	Πρὸς Λεοντέα	To Leonteus <i>"Lion"</i>
25.	Πρὸς Πολύαινον	To Polyaeus <i>"Much Praised"</i>
26.	Πρὸς Πυθοκλέα	To Pythocles <i>"Glory of Apollo"</i>
27.	Πρὸς Τιμοκράτη	To Timocrates <i>"Power of Honor"</i>
28.	Πρὸς Φυρσῶνα	To Phyrson
29.	Πρὸς Χαρμίδην	To Charmides <i>"Grace"</i>
30.	Περὶ Ἐπιτηδευμάτων	On Occupations
31.	Dē Stilpōne	On Stilpo
32.	Ad Āpīam	To Apia
33.	Supremōrum Diērum	From His Last Days

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.28

...Περὶ Βασιλείας. Ἀναξιμένης. Ἐπιστολαί...

...On Kingship. Anaximénēs. Letters...

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.30

...τὸ δὲ Φυσικὸν τὴν περὶ φύσεως θεωρίαν πᾶσαν, καὶ ἔστιν ἐν ταῖς Περὶ Φύσεως βίβλοις ἑπτὰ καὶ τριάκοντα καὶ ταῖς ἐπιστολαῖς κατὰ στοιχεῖον – τὸ δὲ ἠθικὸν [ἔχει] τὰ περὶ αἰρέσεως καὶ φυγῆς, ἔστι δὲ ἐν ταῖς Περὶ Βίων βίβλοις καὶ ἐπιστολαῖς καὶ τῷ Περὶ Τέλους...

...The Physical [part of Epicurus' teaching is] the entire theory about nature, and exists in the thirty-seven books *On Nature* and in the letters according to [their] basic components [i.e., in an abridged form] – but the ethical Part [concerns] things relating to choice and avoidance, and it is in the books *On Lifestyles*, in the letters, and in the book *On Fulfillment*...

1. Affectionate Community

Cicero (fl. 65 ^{BCE}), *De Finibus*, 1.20.65

at vērō Epicūrus, ūnā in domō – et eā quidem angustā – quam magnōs, quantāque amoris cōnspirātiōne cōnsentientis, tenuit amicōrum gregēs! Quod fit etiam nunc ab Epicūrēis

(Torquatus) but indeed Epicurus, in a single house – and in one quite small – maintained, in such harmony of loving affection, so great a pack of friends! Which is done even now by the Epicureans

Cicero (fl. 65 ^{BCE}), *Academica*, 2.36.115

Epicūrēōs, tot meōs familiārīs – tam bonōs, tam inter sē amantīs virōs!

the Epicureans, so many are my friends – such good, such affectionate men among themselves!

2. Frankness towards Friends

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), On Frank Criticism, P.Herc. 1471 fr. 15 (column 116)

...καὶ διὰ τί παυσάντων, ἐπὶ τοὺς ὕμνους μεταβήσεται; καὶ τούτοις δὲ πῶς αὐτοῦ τὴν ἀνακάκχασιν ἐνηνοχότας ἐπιδείξει; συνελ[όν]τι δ' εἰπεῖν οὕτω παρρησίαι χρήσεται σοφὸς ἄνθρωπος πρὸς τοὺς φίλους ὡς Ἐπίκουρος καὶ Μητρόδω[ρος] εἰώθεσαν πρὸς...

...καὶ διὰ τί, παυσάντων, ἐπὶ τοὺς ὕμνους μεταβήσεται; καὶ τούτοις δὲ πῶς αὐτοῦ τὴν ἀνακάκχασιν ἐνηνοχότας ἐπιδείξει;

...and why, when [his criticisms] have stopped, will [the Teacher] turn to the hymns [of praise]? and how will He also demonstrate to these [students] those [other students] who have endured his repeated teasing [i.e., corrective ridicule]?

συνελόντι δ' εἰπεῖν: οὕτω παρρησίαι χρήσεται σοφὸς ἄνθρωπος πρὸς τοὺς φίλους – ὡς Ἐπίκουρος καὶ Μητρόδωρος [εἰώθεσαν πρὸς]...

but to sum up is to say: a wise Man will use frankness toward his friends in the way Epicurus and Metrodorus were [accustomed to do towards]...

ANAKAKXAZEIN		
ἀνακακχάζειν	to tease again	cackling back/again

ἡ ἀνακάκχεσις	repeated teasing <i>hapax legomenon</i>	ΑΝΑΚΑΚΧΕΣΙΣ <i>cackling back/again</i>
ῥηθεῖς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i>	said	ῥΗΘΕΙΣ <i>said</i>
ἡ παρρησία αἱ παρρησῖαι	frankness	ΠΑΡΡΗΣΙΑ <i>state of being said alongside</i>

[U95]

3. "Carry On Well" & "Live Eagerly"

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.14

καὶ ἐν ταῖς ἐπιστολαῖς, ἀντὶ τοῦ "Χαίρειν" – "εὖ Πράττειν" καὶ "σπουδαίως Ζῆν"

and in his letters, instead of "Rejoice" – [Epicurus writes] "Do well" and "Live eagerly"

ἀποστέλλειν	to send away	ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready away</i>
ἡ στολή αἱ στολαί	pressure	ΣΤΟΛΗ <i>equipping</i>
ἡ ἐπιστολή αἱ ἐπιστολαί	letter <i>[i.e., a sent message]</i>	ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ <i>equipping upon</i>
σπουδαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	eager	ΣΠΟΥΔΑΙΟΣ <i>being eager</i>
σπουδαίως	eagerly	ΣΠΟΥΔΑΙΩΣ

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 3.60 (On Plato)

Ἐπιστολαὶ τρεισκαίδεκα ἠθικαί, ἐν αἷς, Ἐγραφεν "εὖ Πράττειν" – Ἐπίκουρος δὲ "εὖ Διάγειν" – Κλέων, "Χαίρειν"

[Plato's] thirteen letters are about ethics, in these, he writes "Do well" – but Epicurus "Carry on well" – Cleon [writes] "Rejoice"

χαίρειν	to rejoice [used for "hello/goodbye"]	ΧΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>
----------------	--	--

The Suda, Χαίρειν (chi 166, greetings)

"Χαίρειν," οὕτως ἐπέγραφε Κλέων – ὁ δὲ Πλάτων "εὖ Πράττειν" – ὁ δὲ Ἐπίκουρος "εὖ Διάγειν"

"Rejoice," Cleon headed [his letters] in this way – but Plato used "Do well" – Epicurus "Carry on well"

The Suda is probably paraphrasing Diogenes Laertius.

The Suda, Εὖ πράττειν (epsilon 3664, do well)

"εὖ Πράττειν," οὕτως ἡ Ἐπιγραφή τῶν ἐπιστολῶν Πλάτωνος. Ἐγραψε δὲ ἐπιστολὰς ιγ'. Εἰσὶ δὲ τοῦ ἠθικοῦ εἵδους.

"Fare well," the Heading of Plato's letters are in this way. He wrote 13 letters. They are of the ethical form.

"εὖ Διάγειν," οὕτως Ἐπίκουρος ἐπέγραφε· "Χαίρειν" δὲ ἐπέγραφε Κλέων.

"Carry on well," Epicurus used to head [his letters] in this way; but Cleon headed [his letters] with "Rejoice."

Lucian (fl. 165 CE), Pro Lapsu Inter Salutandum (A Slip of the Tongue in Salutation), §6 sup.

καὶ τί σοι τοὺς παλαιούς Λέγω, ὅπου καὶ Ἐπίκουρος; ἀνὴρ πάνυ χαίρων τῷ
χαίρειν καὶ τὴν ἡδονὴν πρὸ πάντων αἰρούμενος: ἐν ταῖς σπουδαιοτέραις
ἐπιστολαῖς (εἰσὶ δὲ αὗται ὀλίγαι), καὶ ἐν ταῖς πρὸς τοὺς φιλτάτους μάλιστα –
"Υγιαίνειν" εὐθύς ἐν ἀρχῇ Προσάττει. πολὺ δ' ἂν καὶ ἐν τῇ τραγωδίᾳ καὶ ἐν τῇ
Ἀρχαίᾳ Κωμωδίᾳ εὖροις τὸ "Υγιαίνειν" πρῶτον εὐθύς Λεγόμενον

and why do I speak to you of the ancients, when there is also Epicurus? a
man very much cheerful in cheer and choosing pleasure above all else: in his
more serious letters (and these are few), and especially in those to his
dearest friends – He commands "Be Healthy" straightaway at the beginning.
and very often in tragedy and in Old Comedy you would also find "Be
Healthy" immediately said first

σπουδαῖος (α, ον)	serious	ΣΠΟΥΔΑΙΟΣ <i>being eager</i>
σπουδαιότερος (α, ον)	more serious	ΣΠΟΥΔΑΙΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>being more eager</i>
ὕγιαίνειν	to be healthy	ΥΓΙΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>making good life</i>

Lucian's slip of the tongue was to say "Be Healthy" (Υγιαίνειν) in his first morning
salutation to a friend. However this expression was not typically used in the morning
(or at first greeting) – where "Rejoice" (Χαίρειν) would be expected.

Lucian then considers the nuances of this custom and unapologetically explores his
accidental error. Lucian shows that the greeting "Be healthy" had only more recently
become established as a conventional farewell.

As part of his proof, Lucian says that Epicurus started some of his letters with
"Health to you."

5. Philonides of Laodicea

Philonides (Φιλωνίδης, "the descendant of the loving one") of Laodicea (fl.c. 160 BCE)
was a proponent of Epicureanism.

Philonides lived at the Seleucid royal court in Antioch. He was friends with Antiochus
IV Epiphanes (a fifth-generation descendant of Seleucus I Nicator) and he also taught
philosophy to Antiochus' nephew, Demetrius I Soter.

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 13, 14 (29)

...διαστικ[ά]... ...[ποι]κίλα. Καὶ γὰρ πρὸς τοὺς δοξάζοντας διεν[έ]γκαι[ι] ποικίλως γεωμετρίας δ[ια]λεκτικ[ήν] ῥήτορας
δ[υσ]σφ[η]μ[εῖ]... ...[φύ]λονικ[οῦ]ντες... ...[λε]λυκέναι ὑπέρ... ...[δὲ] τοῦ ὀγδοῦ Περι Φύσεως καὶ ἄλλας παντοδαπὰς εἰς τὰ δόγματα
αὐτοῦ γεωμετρικὰς περὶ ἐλαχίστου πολλὰς. Πεπόηκεν δὲ νέοις ἀργοῖς ὠφελίμους καὶ τὰς ἐπιτομὰς τῶν
ἐπιστολῶν τῶν Ἐπικούρου, Μητροδώρου, Πολυαίνου, Ἑρμάρχου καὶ τῶν συνηγμένων κατὰ γένος ἐπιστολῶν...

...διαστικά... ...ποίκιλα. καὶ γὰρ πρὸς τοὺς δοξάζοντας διενέγκαι ποικίλως:
γεωμετρίας, διαλεκτικὴν, ῥήτορας Δυσφημεῖ... ...Φιλόνικούντες... ...Λελυκέναι
ὑπέρ... ...δὲ τοῦ ὀγδοῦ Περι Φύσεως καὶ ἄλλας παντοδαπὰς εἰς τὰ δόγματα
αὐτοῦ – γεωμετρικὰς, περὶ ἐλαχίστου πολλὰς.

...punctuated... ...diverse things. for indeed, to respond to those who holding
opinions in various ways: [Philonides] is disparaging of geometry, dialectic,
rhetors... ...Quarrelers... ...He had refuted on behalf of... ...and of [Epicurus']
eighth [book] On Nature and many other assorted ones among his doctrines
– many of them related to geometry, concerning the minimum.

Πεπόηκεν δὲ νέοις ἀργοῖς ὠφελίμους – καὶ τὰς ἐπιτομὰς τῶν ἐπιστολῶν τῶν
Ἐπικούρου, Μητροδώρου, Πολυαίνου, Ἑρμάρχου, καὶ τῶν συνηγμένων κατὰ
γένος ἐπιστολῶν...

He produced **useful works** for **idle youths** – including **abridgments** of the **letters** of Epicurus, Metrodorus, Polyaeus, Hermarchus, and **[abridgments]** of those **letters** **collected** by type...

Dated Letters

[Obbink] *The archon-dates attached to the Epistles and On Nature were likely not original – there is no parallel for such dating in the original Epicurean corpus. Instead, they seem to be later editorial additions, probably from a doctrinal compilation of the school from the 2nd century BCE.*

Who added the dates is unknown. The strongest candidate is Philonides of Laodicea.

Caerimus (also spelled Charinus) is unfortunately often confused with the eponymous archon of 291–290 BCE, who was also named Charinus. Because of this confusion, it is possible some of these letters actually date 17 years later.

308 ^{BCE}

Under Caerimus

118th Olympiad

when Epicurus was about thirty-three

308^{7/ BCE} *was one year before Epicurus' return to Athens to establish the Garden. It was notable as a significant period of transition in Athenian politics.*

*During Caerimus' Archonship, **Demetrius of Phalerum**, the governor supported by the Macedonian regent **Cassander**, continued to hold sway over Athens. However, towards the end of Charinus' archonship, **Demetrius Poliorcetes** (**Demetrius I, the Besieger**), son of Antigonus I Monophthalmus, launched a successful military campaign to liberate Athens from **Cassander's** control.*

*In this year, **Demetrius Poliorcetes** ended **Demetrius of Phalerum's** (and thus **Cassander's**) control over Athens.*

This began a new political era under Antigonid influence.

1. Scheduled Days for Light Eating

[=U158] *Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 18.9*

certōs habēbat **diēs** ille Magister voluptātis, Epicūrus, **quibus** **malīgne** **famem** exstinguēret: vīsūrus an **aliquid** **dēesset** ex **plēnā** et **cōnsummātā** voluptāte – vel **quantum** **dēesset** – et an **dignum** **quod** Quis **magnō** **labōre** pēnsāret.

that Master of pleasure, Epicurus, had **certain days on which** he would **parsimoniously** extinguish **his hunger**: to see whether **anything was missing** from full and complete pleasure – or how **much was missing** – and whether **[it was]** something **worth** someone paying **with great effort**.

hoc certē in iīs epistulīs Ait – **quās** scrīpsit **Charinō** **magistrātū** ad **Polyēnum**. et quidem, Glōriātur **nōn tōtō assē sē pāsci** – **Metrodorum**, quī nondum **tantum** prōfēcērit – **tōtō**.

He certainly says this **in those letters** – **which** he **wrote during Charinus' magistracy** **[in 308^{BCE}]** to Polyaeus. and indeed, He boasts **of feeding himself on less than a full assarius** – **Metrodorus**, who had not yet advanced so far – **on a full [assarius]**.

[U96]

2. General Invitation to Feast – Public Generosity

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), On Epicurus, Book 1, P.Herc. 1232, f. 2 (col. 27) / f. 9 (col. 28)

...[ἐπὶ δὲ] Χαρίνου π[ρὸς Πολύαινον]... ...κίνδυν[ο]ν... ...καὶ σύμβολ[ον]... ...πότον ὀρε[γεσθαι]... ...τοὺς πότους καὶ... ...[ὀρέξ]εις ἀφροδισί[ων]... ...ολος ἀπὸ λογισμοῦ... ...[ἐν τῷ] Συμποσίῳ, κατα[δέδεικται] γὰρ Ἐπικούρῳ... | μή[τε τοῖς] διὰ τaráχους

μ[οχ]θοῦσι τὰς π[ε]ρὶ τῶν ἀρίστων καὶ μακαρισ[το]τά[των] φύσεω[ν] ἐνν[οίας· λέγει δὲ κα]λεῖν εὐωχ[εῖ]σθαι αὐτούς τε καλῶς καὶ τ[οὺς] ἄλλους τούς τε [κ]ατὰ τὴν οἰκ[ίαν] ἅπαντας καὶ [τ]ῶν ἔξωθεν [μηδέν]α παραλείποντας, ὅσοι τ[ὰς] [εὐ]νοίας [καὶ] [τὰς] ἑαυτοῦ [κα]ὶ τὰς τῶ[ν] ἑαυ[το]ῦ φίλων ἔχουσιν· οὐ γὰρ δημαγωγῆσιν το[ῦ]το πράττοντας, τὴν κενὴν καὶ ἀφυσιολό[γη]τ[ον] δ[η]μαγ[ωγ]ίαν, ἀλλ' ἐν τοῖς τῆς φύσεω[ς] οἰκείοις ἐνεργοῦντας μ[ὴ]ν[θ]ήσεσθαι πάντων τῶν τὰς εὐν[οίας] ἡμῖν ἐχόντων, ὅπως συ[γκαθ]αγίζωσιν τὰ ἐπὶ τῇ ἑαυτ[ῶν] μακαρίαι... ...[καθ]ήκοντα...

...ἐπὶ δὲ Χαρίνου πρὸς Πολύαινον... ...κίνδυνον... ...καὶ σύμβολον... ...πότον ὀρεγεσθαι... ...τούς πότους καὶ... ...ὀρέξεις Ἀφροδισίων... ...ὅλος ἀπὸ λογισμοῦ... ...ἐν τῷ Συμποσίῳ, Καταδέδεικται γὰρ Ἐπικούρῳ...

...under [the archonship in 308^{BCE}] of Charinus [Epicurus wrote] to Polyaeus... ...danger... ...and a symbol... ...desired a drink... ...the drinks and... ...for the desires for Aphrodisian activities... ...entirely based on reasoning... ...at the Symposium, for it has been fully demonstrated by Epicurus...

...μήτε τοῖς διὰ ταραχous μοχθοῦσι τὰς περὶ τῶν ἀρίστων καὶ μακαριστοτάτων φύσεων ἐννοίας: Λέγει δὲ καλεῖν εὐωχεῖσθαι αὐτούς τε καλῶς καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους – τούς τε κατὰ τὴν οἰκίαν ἅπαντας, καὶ τῶν ἔξωθεν μηδένα παραλείποντας – Ὅσοι τὰς εὐνοίας καὶ τὰς ἑαυτοῦ καὶ τὰς τῶν ἑαυτοῦ φίλων ἔχουσιν·

...[Epicurus does not exclude them] nor those people who toil through their disturbances over thoughts concerning the best and most blessed natures: but [Epicurus] says that he calls them to feast well and also the others – all those in the household, with no one excluded from outside – as many as have goodwill both toward himself and toward his friends.

[Obbink] ...as concerns those who do not experience turmoil and difficulty in their conceptions of natures that are best and most blessed. And he [sc. Epicurus] says that he invites them to join in a feast, just as he invites others – all those in the house and who leave out none [masc.] of those outside, as many as are well disposed both to him and to his friends.

οὐ γὰρ δημαγωγῆσιν τοῦτο Πράττοντας – τὴν κενὴν καὶ ἀφυσιολόγητον δημαγωγίαν – ἀλλ' ἐν τοῖς τῆς φύσεως οἰκείοις ἐνεργοῦντας: μνησθήσεσθαι πάντων τῶν τὰς εὐνοίας ἡμῖν ἐχόντων, ὅπως Συγκαθαγίζωσιν τὰ ἐπὶ τῇ ἑαυτῶν μακαρίαι... ...καθήκοντα...

for [Epicurus says that the Kathēgemónes] doing this is not engaging in demagoguery – the empty and unphysiological kind of demagoguery – but they are acting within what is proper for nature: [they are] remembering all those who possess goodwill toward us, so that they may join together in sacredly cooking what for all their own blessedness... ...is fitting...

[Obbink] In doing this [he says] they will not be engaged in gathering the masses, something which is a form of meaningless "demagogy" and unworthy of the natural philosopher – rather, in practising what is congenial to their nature: they will remember all those who are well disposed to us so that on their blessed day they can join in making the sacrificial offerings that are fitting to...

Some people objected to Epicurus' use of religious language. Consider Plutarch "[Epicurus] speaks words contrary to what he philosophizes: and while sacrificing – he stands by the slaughtering priest as if [he was standing] by a cook." (Non Posse, 1102 B)

ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ reasoning of producing
ἀφυσιολόγητος (ov)	unphysiological (i.e., not to be explained by science)	ΑΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΗΤΟΣ not capable of reasoning about producing
συγκαθαγίζειν	to sacredly cook	ΣΥΓΚΑΘΑΓΙΖΕΙΝ together fully sacred burning

[U97]

...ὅ[τ]αν δ[ι]ανοῶνται περι[γ]ίνοντ[αι] τῷ[ν] κατὰ [τῆ]ν [ἐ]νδειαν κα[ί] πε[ν]ίαν καὶ δέ[η]σιν· ἐπὶ δὲ Χαρίνου... ...[π]άντ[α]...
...πενίαν μηδέ... ...τὸ δὲ λέγομε[ν]... ...εἰς με[τ]άθεσιν ὅλ[η]ν τῆς ὅλ[η]ς ὑπ[ε]ρβ[ε]ως ἄξει· [κ]αὶ πρὸς [Πο]λύαι[νον]... ...τὴν
πενίαν ἀδι[ά]φορον' Ἐπ[ί]κ[ου]ρος... ...[ἐ]νδειαν ἢ προσδέ[η]σιν... ...κατ[α]κολουθεν... ...ὕπαρξιν...

...ὅταν Διανοῶνται: Περιγίνονται τῶν κατὰ τὴν ἐνδειαν καὶ πενίαν καὶ δέησιν· ἐπὶ δὲ Χαρίνου... ...πάντα... ...πενίαν μηδέ... ...τὸ δὲ λέγομεν... ...εἰς μετάθεσιν ὅλην τῆς ὅλης ὑπέρβως ἄξει·

...whenever they intend to: they prevail over what relates to want and poverty and need. during [the archonship in 308^{BCE}] of Charinus... ...all things... ...poverty in no way... ...what we say... ...[such a view] will lead into a complete transformation of one's whole existence.

καὶ πρὸς Πολύαινον... ...τὴν πενίαν ἀδιάφορον Ἐπικούρος... ...ἐνδειαν ἢ προσδέησιν... ...κατακολουθεῖν... ...ὑπαρξιν...

and also to Polyaeus... ...Epicurus [says] poverty is undifferentiated [*i.e.*, refers to different types of circumstances]... ...want or additional need... ...to fully follow... ...existence...

ἀδιάφορος (ος, ον)	undifferentiated	ΑΔΙΑΦΟΡΟΣ <i>not carrying apart</i>
------------------------------	------------------	---

[U98]

4. The Idle Man

[see U108] Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Wealth, P.Herc. 163, col. 4 ⁽³⁵⁾ sup.

...[πεν]ίαν καὶ... ...[πεν]ίαν κακὸν... ...[ἐπὶ] Χαρίνου... [30 lines missing] ...[λα]μβάνει [διὰ τὸ μη]δὲν ὅλως ἀπεργά[ζε]σθαι μένειν ἐπὶ χώρας ἐάσομεν·

...πενίαν καὶ... ...πενίαν κακὸν... ...ἐπὶ Χαρίνου... " ...Λαμβάνει διὰ τὸ μηδὲν ὅλως ἀπεργάζεσθαι – μένειν ἐπὶ χώρας Ἐάσομεν!"

...poverty and... ...poverty as an evil... ...under [the archonship in 308^{BCE}] of Charinus... [Epicurus wrote] " ...He accepts it because of absolutely not working at all – we will allow him to remain in the countryside!"

This is from a section where Philodemus is quoting from letters that Epicurus wrote about dispensing money to various friends based on their specific circumstances and needs. For the case of this man, it is unclear if he received no dispensation or if "allowing him to remain in the countryside" was itself a gift.

[U99]

5. Security through Mutual Generosity

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.33

"κἂν πόλ[ε]μ[ος] ᾗ δεινὸν οὐκ ἂν ἔσθαι, θεῶν εἴλω[ι] [ων ὄν]των·" καὶ Π[ο]λυαίνωι· "καθαρὰν τ[ῆ]ν ζωήν] διηχέειν κα[ί] δ[ι]ά]ξειν σὺν αὐτ[ῶ]ι Μάτρωνι, θε[ῶ]ν εἴ]λεων ὄντων·" [καί] πρὸς τὸν αὐτὸν [ἐ]πὶ Χαρίνου· "τῇ[ι] φ[ι]λ[ί]αι φί[λ]ων] αὐτῶν·" μεν...
...ἔξωθ[εν] ἐπιφέρων[τες]· [ὁ δ' ἄ]δεληφὸς α[ὐτ]οῦ [κ]αὶ ζηλωτὴς καὶ δαιμόνιον ἐσχικῶς ἐν τοῖς λόγ[οις] [π]ροκοπὴν φη[σιν]
"εἶναι τῇ[ν] ἀπ' ἀρ[γυ]ρείου β[ο]ρήθειαν [θεοῖς] ὁσίως δέον [ν]έμ[ειν]" οὐ πρὸς ιδιώτῃ[ν γρά]φωι ἀλλὰ πρὸς Φ[ύρ]σω[να] τὸν Κολοφ[ώνιον, ἄν]δρα καὶ οὐ[δενὸς κατὰ] τὰ πολ[ιτι]...

"κἂν Πόλεμος ᾗ – δεινὸν οὐκ ἂν ἔσθαι, 'θεῶν εἴλεων ὄντων'"

[to Metrodorus, Epicurus writes] "even if there were a war – it would not be dreadful, 'while the gods are gracious'"

[Obbink] Traditional expressions stressed that success was impossible except "with the gods" and that nothing could be achieved without them. The form of the prayer in the genitive absolute, much like "God Willing" in English, is seen in Euripides and Menander.

καὶ Πολυαίνῳ "καθαράν τὴν ζωὴν διηχέναι καὶ διάξειν σὺν αὐτῷ Μάτρωνι, θεῶν εἴλων ὄντων."

and [Epicurus writes] to Polyaeus "[that you have] passed through and continue to live a clear life with Matron himself, 'while the gods are gracious'"

"*clear (καθαράν)*" of all vain care. Epicurus probably held that this is what all people actually (or originally) intended to express when they used such language or engaged in rituals of purification.

Matron is mentioned in a letter (probably to Metrodorus' daughter) "...you are doing well, especially if you are healthy and your mama too – and while you are obeying your father and Matron in everything (just as before)."

It is possible that *Matron* was the tutor (παιδαγωγός) of *Polyaeus* – who was a tutor to *Pythoclês* – who, in turn, became a tutor to the sons of a man named Cronius. (P.Herc. 1418)

καὶ πρὸς τὸν αὐτὸν ἐπὶ Χαρίνου "τῇ φιλίαι φίλων αὐτῶν... ...ἔξωθεν ἐπιφέροντος·

and again to the same [Polyaeus], during [the archonship in 308^{BCE}] of Charinus [Epicurus says] "through the friendship of their friends... ...while supplying from an external source."

ὁ δ' Ἀδελφὸς αὐτοῦ, καὶ ζηλωτῆς καὶ δαιμόνιον ἐσχηκῶς ἐν τοῖς λόγοις, "προκοπήν" φησιν "εἶναι τὴν ἀπ' ἀργυρείου βοήθειαν θεοῖς ὁσίως Δέον νέμειν," (οὐ πρὸς ἰδιώτην γράφων – ἀλλὰ πρὸς Φύρσωνα τὸν Κολοφώνιον, ἄνδρα καὶ οὐδενὸς κατὰ τὰ πολιτικά)...

his Brother [Neocles], both an admirer and having achieved divine advancement in [Epicurus'] reasonings, says that "It is necessary to piously distribute to the gods the assistance from silver reserves," (writing not to a private individual – but to Phyrson of Colophon, a man not insignificant in terms of civic standing)...

[Obbink] Philodemus apparently had access to the letters of Epicurus' brothers.

εἴλεος = ἴλαος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	gracious	ΕΙΛΕΟΣ <i>favoring / merciful</i>
ἔξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ <i>from outside</i>

[U100]

6. Festival on the 20th – Oaths without Euphemisms

Philodemus (fl.c. 70^{BCE}), On Piety, 1.28.806 – 1.30.846

...τὴν τω[ν] Χοῶν ἑορτὴν [συν]εορτάζων καὶ [τά] μυστήρια τά[στυ]κὰ καὶ τὰς ἄλ[λας ἐπὶ τὸν τε]θλιμμένον [δεῖπν]ον, αὐτόν τε [δεῖν τ]αύτην ἄγειν [τὴν ταῖς] εἰκά<σ>ι διαφό[ροις εἰλ]απινά[στυ]ταῖς, [τῶν κατὰ] τὴν οἰκίαν ὅ[λως ὁσί]αν ἐπιλαμπρυ[νάντω]ν, καὶ καλέσαν[τα πάντ]ας εὐωχῆσαι· [μετ]ὰ ταῦτα τοίνυν [ὅτι μ]ὲν ὅρκους καὶ θεῶν ἐπιρρήσεσιν ἐδοκίμαζον χρῆσθαι γελοῖον ὑπομνήσκειν ἀναμέστου τῆς πραγματείας τῶν τοιούτων οὐσης· προσήκον δὲ λέγειν ὅτι παρῆναι τὰς δι' αὐτῶν καὶ τῶν ὁμοίων πί[στυ]ταις φυλάττειν καὶ μάλ[λι]στα πάντων αὐτῷ γ[εν]ε[ῖ] Δι[ὲ]ι φυλάττειν τόνδε τ[ὸν] φανερόν τρόπ[ον], καὶ οὐ γράφων "ναὶ τ[ὸ] ὄζω" καὶ "τί γάρ;" [ἔμελε δέ] καὶ Κωλώτηι [πάντω]ν τε ὅρκων καὶ [πάσης] θεολογίας· ἐπὶ δὲ Χαρί[νου καὶ ἐπὶ] Διοτίμ[ου παραινέ]ι τὴν κα[θ' ἱερᾶς τρα]πέζης [συνθήκην μὴ] παραβαί[νειν· καὶ] μὴν...

...^[1] **τὴν τῶν Χοῶν ἑορτὴν συνεορτάζων καὶ** ^[2] **τὰ Μυστήρια τάστυκὰ καὶ** ^[3] **τὰς ἄλλας ἐπὶ τὸν τεθλιμμένον δεῖπνον: αὐτόν τε δεῖν ταύτην ἄγειν τὴν ταῖς εἰκάσι διαφόροις εἰλαπινάσταις – τῶν κατὰ τὴν οἰκίαν ὅλως ὁσίαν ἐπιλαμπρυνάντων – καὶ καλέσαντα πάντας εὐωχῆσαι·**

...and that while [Epicurus] was joining in celebrating ^[1] the festival of the Choes [at the Anthesteria] and the ^[2] urban Mysteries [i.e., the Attic Dionysia] and ^[3] the other [festivals] at a meagre dinner: and that it was necessary for *him to lead this [festival] on the twentieth for distinguished revelers – while those in the house decorated it most piously – and having invited all to feast.

*this may be a reference to Epicurus himself doing the hosting and inviting – or it may be a reference to Phyrson of Colophon's friend Theodotus of Colophon (Theodotus was mentioned in the text preceding this quote). Theodotus was, like Phyrson, a contemporary with the Καθηγεμόνες, and a native of Colophon – but probably a member of the Epicurean community at Athens.

The Anthesteria, Ἀνθεςτήρια was a three-day Halloween-like festival dedicated to Dionysus and the dead, celebrated from the 11th to the 13th of Anthesterion (late February to early March) in Athens:

- Day one, Pithoigia, Πιθοιγία (Cask Opening Day) focused on opening new jars of wine.
- Day two, Choes, Χοαί (Jug Drinking Day) centered around silent drinking contests – to speak was to be eliminated from the competition – everyone got a personal chous, χοῦς (wine jug) to participate in the drinking competition, including children and slaves – often provided by the state.

[Obbink] Epicurus' interest in this festival can be seen from the fact that it was an important time for private cult ceremonies. Teachers customarily received a present on the day of the Choes.

- Day three, Chytroi, Χύτροι (Cooking-Pot Day) dedicated to rituals appeasing the dead and cooking in ritual pots. At the end of this day, there was a ritual of expulsion, asking the dead to leave the festival.

The urban rites were an extension of the Dionysian mysteries adapted to fit the urban setting of Athens. The rites were in honor of Dionysus, and could reference to either:

- the Lenaea (in the month of Gamelion), or
- the Lesser Mysteries (20-26 Anthesterion)

μετὰ ταῦτα, τοίνυν, ὅτι μὲν ὄρκοις καὶ θεῶν ἐπιρρήσεσιν ἔδοκίμαζον χρῆσθαι γελοῖον Ὑπομινήσκειν – ἀναμέστου τῆς πραγματείας τῶν τοιούτων οὔσης·

after these things, then, it is ridiculous to recall that they used to employ oaths and epithets of the gods – since such things are wholly beside my effort [in writing this].

[Obbink] For example μὰ Δία, or νῆ Δία, or πρὸς τῶν Θεῶν. Epicurus' recorded oaths are usually occasioned in polemics against adversaries, or by the importance of the argument being treated.

But for Epicurus, whose rejection of divination (μαντική) was necessitated in part by his denial of bivalence in future contingency, an oath (or command or prayer) has a special status in so far as it deals with the future. In order to avoid universal necessitation in unbroken chains of physical and logical causation, certain future-tense locutions are exempt from the principle of bivalence (according to which every proposition must be true or false).

Προσῆκον δὲ λέγειν ὅτι Παρήνει τὰς δι' αὐτῶν καὶ τῶν ὁμοίων πίστεις φυλάττειν: καὶ μάλιστα πάντων αὐτῷ γε Διὶ φυλάττειν τόνδε τὸν φανερόν τρόπον – καὶ οὐ γράφων "ναὶ τῷ ὄζῳ" καὶ "τί γάρ;"

and it is proper to say that he advised guarding the beliefs through them and their kind [of expressions]: and most of all guarding this evident way [of swearing] by Zeus himself – and not writing [euphemistically, for example] "By the twin shoots! [i.e., Castor and Pollux]" and "So what?"

[Obbink] *Speaking euphemistically in reference to the gods, implies a fear of the gods. Epicurus dissuaded from both.* Philodemus' contention here is that an oath sworn by the "twin shoots" is ambiguous – it is a circumlocution to avoid naming any specific divinity. This euphemistic form of oath was accordingly avoided by Epicurus, in favour of the straightforward "*by Zeus!* (*ὕψι Δία*)."

Ἔμελε δὲ καὶ Κωλώτῃ πάντων τε ὄρκων καὶ πάσης θεολογίας· ἐπὶ δὲ Χαρίνου καὶ ἐπὶ Διοτίμου· Παραινεῖ τὴν καθ' ἱερᾶς τραπέζης συνθήκην μὴ παραβαίνειν·

[Epicurus] was careful also with Colotes with all oaths and all theology. and during [the archonship in 308^{BCE}] of Charinus and also during [the archonship in 285^{BCE}] of Diotimus: He advised not to violate the pact in accordance with the sacred table.

[Obbink] *It may be said that for an Epicurean, the swearing of an oath can exhibit or even create a disposition (διάθεσις), in one's soul to fulfil it.*

For Epicurus an oath may, depending on the disposition of the swearer, 'be felicitous' regardless of its literal fulfilment and without its contrary necessarily being a false statement – i.e., to this extent, he accepted the conventional usage over the natural direct meaning (a view which in some respect anticipates modern theories of the pragmatics of speech).

Similarly, Hermarchus denies the validity of oaths in courtroom rhetoric. For they cannot in fact provide a belief based on experience (πίστις) of their fulfilment which has not yet occurred.

An oath only reflects the swearer's current intention or will – which is not determined or necessary in outcome. But the mental state of expressing an oath may influence one's future physical actions – thus shaping reality.

(Philodemus, Rhetoric, Book 2)

[U101]

In 297 ^{BCE}, Demetrius Poliorcetes learned the wealthy Athenian Lacháres was working (with Cassander's support) to undermine the democracy that Demetrius had allowed the Athenians to reestablish after his conquest, back in 307, of the prior regime (which was also supported by Cassander).

Demetrius responded with a fraught but ultimately successful operation against Cassander's forces that were holding Athens. It was at this time that "the philosopher Epicurus sustained the lives of his associates with beans, which he counted out and distributed among them" (Plutarch, Life of Demetrius, 34)

294 ^{BCE}
Under Olympiodorus
121th – 122th Olympiad
when Epicurus was about forty-seven

Olympiodorus served for two terms (therefore his archonship spanned from 294 to 292 ^{BCE}).

During this time, Demetrius Poliorcetes defeats Archidamus, the king of Sparta in a pitched battle. He enters Laconia and takes 500 Spartan prisoners.

Alexander V of Macedon (son of Cassander) asks Demetrius for his support – but once in the Macedonian court Demetrius is warned of a plot to assassinate him. He preemptively has his guards kill Alexander V.

Demetrius takes the Macedonian throne, and Lysimachus consents to Demetrius' kingship.

After the famous "Lovesickness of Antiochus," Seleucus consents to Antiochus' marriage to Stratonice (who was Demetrius' daughter, Seleucus' wife, and Antiochus' stepmother).

The preceding columns preserve part of a letter that shows Epicurus, with praise and optimism, urging Timocrates to support others in philosophy.

Philodemus (fl.c. 70^{BCE}), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 14

...ἀδελφός μὲν [αὐτ]οῦ Τιμοκράτης, μηθὲν ἐλόγτων αὐτῶν αὐτούς, καὶ γὰρ αὐ[τὸς] μηδ' ἐπι[ταθ]εῖς {ἂν} ἔαν ἤκοι καὶ [ἔς] τι πρὸς [τ]ὸ εὖνο[υν] ἔκτενεῖ σώ[ιζων] τὸν... καὶ \δι/ αὐτὸ... γνοὺς [τῇ]ν ἐπ[ιμέ]λειαν ἢ[μῶν αὐ]τῶν ποιεῖσθαι.' δηλοῦται, δ' ἀμέλει, καὶ γ[ε]γονυῖά τις ὑπ' αὐτοῦ τῶν περὶ τὸν Ἐπί[κουρ]ο[ν φ]ροντίς. ἐπ' Ὀλυμπιοδώρου γ[ράφει ἦ]τοι γε [τινὰ] δεῖ τόνων [ἀντέχεσθαι]... ...καταμ[αθόν]τα τὰ πρὸς...

...ἀδελφός μὲν αὐτοῦ, Τιμοκράτης – μηθὲν ἐλόγτων αὐτῶν αὐτούς – καὶ γὰρ Αὐτὸς, μηδ' ἐπιταθείς, ἔαν ἤκοι καὶ ἐς τι πρὸς τὸ εὖνουν – Ἐκτενεῖ σώιζων τὸν...

...[along with] his brother, Timocrates – because they in no way took it upon themselves – for even if He, not having been urged, had also come with something relating to goodwill – He would have shown zeal while preserving the...

Timocrates did not take advantage of opportunities to act with goodwill.

"...Γνοὺς τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν ἡμῶν αὐτῶν ποιεῖσθαι." Δηλοῦται, δ' ἀμέλει: καὶ γεγονυῖά τις ὑπ' αὐτοῦ τῶν περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον Φροντίς. ἐπ' Ὀλυμπιοδώρου, Γράφει "ἦτοι, γε τινὰ Δεῖ τόνων ἀντέχεσθαι... ...καταμαθόντα τὰ πρὸς..."

"...Having realized that the responsibility was our own." but it is evident without a doubt: a certain concern about the things regarding Epicurus also had come into being regarding him [i.e., Timocrates]: during [the archonship in 294^{BCE}] of Olympiodorus, He [i.e., Epicurus] writes "either, one must maintain a certain [aspect] of tone [i.e., the tenor of one's way]... ...having fully grasped the matters concerning..."

The next column continues with "...trainings of such a kind, and no one, as [together] with Timocrates we... as youths, remained among so many, just as even now a very [] figure has entered in, and as [being] of the same character... in their love of wisdom, I praise you..."

292^{BCE}

Under Philippus

122th Olympiad

when Epicurus was about forty-nine

In 292/^{1 BCE} the Boeotians (with help from the Aetolians and the Spartans) revolt against Demetrius.

Demetrius delegates command of his forces in Boeotia to his son, Antigonus II Gonatas who defeats the Boeotians – by sieging Thebes.

Seleucus appoints his son Antiochus I Soter as co-regent of the new Seleucid empire.

1. Dispersing Funds

[=U98] Philodemus (fl.c. 70^{BCE}), On Wealth, P.Herc. 163, col. 4⁽³⁵⁾ fin.

...[πεν]ίαν καὶ... ...[πεν]ίαν κακὸν... [30 lines missing] ...τὸ μὲν δὴ πρὸς τοῦ[ς] ἐν Λαμψάκῳ φί[λους ἐπ]ὶ Φιλίππου καὶ πάν[τως ἅ]ποδεξόμεθα· κα[ὶ] γὰρ οὐδὲ τὴν πενίαν εἴτε μόνον, ἀλλὰ τὰς ἐ[ργα]σίας [κ]αὶ λατρείας...

...πενίαν καὶ... ...πενίαν κακὸν... [...] ...τὸ μὲν δὴ πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Λαμψάκῳ φίλους ἐπὶ Φιλίππου "καὶ πάντως Ἀποδεξόμεθα – καὶ γὰρ οὐδὲ τὴν πενίαν Εἶπε μόνον, ἀλλὰ τὰς ἐργασίας καὶ λατρείας..."

...poverty and... ...poverty as an evil... [...] ...as for the matter concerning the friends in Lampsacus under [the archonship in 292^{BCE}] of Philippus [Epicurus wrote] "We will absolutely approve it – for He mentioned not only poverty, but also labors and services..."

3. Lýkos, the Young Student

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 10

...περὶ τῆς Ἀθήνησιν αὐτοῦ παρουσίας Χαριδῆμωι γράφ[ων]- ἤκουσα Λύκ[ου] φησὶν (ἤκει γὰρ πρὸς ἡμᾶς) ὅτι ν[εα]νίσκον... ...Θεμίστ<ι> δ' ἐπὶ Φιλίππου· Ἄλυνον φῆ[ς] πιστ[ὸν] εὐτακτόν... ...κατ' αὐτὰ παρακαλοῦντα..."

...περὶ τῆς Ἀθήνησιν αὐτοῦ παρουσίας Χαριδῆμωι γράφων· "Ἦκουσα Λύκου" Φησὶν "Ἦκει γὰρ πρὸς ἡμᾶς – ὅτι νεανίσκον..."

...writing to Charidemus about his presence in Athens, [Epicurus] says "I heard of Lycus, for He has come to us – that the young man..."

I am unsure of the full identification of Charidemus (Χαρίδημος) "Grace of the People." Presumably he is another friend and member of the school. Epicurus had (along with his brothers Neocles and Aristobuolos) a brother named Chairedemus (Χαιρέδημος) "Joy of the People."

Λύκος seems to be a young student that has joined the school.

...Θεμίστι δ' ἐπὶ Φιλίππου Ἄλυνον Φῆς πιστόν, εὐτακτόν... ...κατ' αὐτὰ παρακαλοῦντα..."

...and to Themista during [the archonship in 292BCE] of Philippus "You say Lycus is trustworthy, well-ordered... ...inviting in accordance with those very things..."

4. Stoa Poikile

[see U156] Scriptor Epicureus, P.Herc. 176, fr. 5 col. 24

...ἐπειδὴν μεθ' Ἑρμαρχον καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων Ἐπικούρου φίλων ποιῶμαι τὴν γραφὴν. ὅλως δὲ τοιοῦτοις ἤθεσί τε καὶ πάθεσι καὶ ταῖς πρὸς ἕκαστον ἐπιδεξίαις ὁμιλίαις ἐκέχρητο Πολύαινος ὥστε καὶ τοὺς ἀπὸ τῶν ἄλλων φιλοσόφων εὐμενεῖς κατεσκευακέναι πρὸς ἑαυτόν, οὐ μόνον τοὺς ἀπὸ τῆς Ποικίλης Στοᾶς, ὑπὲρ ὧν καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ἔγραψεν ἐπὶ τε Φιλίππου πρὸς αὐτόν καὶ Λεοντέα, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς... ...καὶ προβάς... ...[Πολυ]αιν[ος]...

...ἐπειδὴν, μεθ' Ἑρμαρχον, καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων Ἐπικούρου φίλων Ποιοῦμαι τὴν γραφὴν.

...when, along with Hermarchus, I undertake the writing about the other friends of Epicurus also.

ὅλως δὲ, τοιοῦτοις ἤθεσί τε καὶ πάθεσι, καὶ ταῖς πρὸς ἕκαστον ἐπιδεξίαις ὁμιλίαις, ἐκέχρητο Πολύαινος – ὥστε καὶ τοὺς ἀπὸ τῶν ἄλλων φιλοσόφων εὐμενεῖς Κατεσκευακέναι πρὸς ἑαυτόν:

and in general, Polyaenus conducted himself with such dispositions and feelings and with gracious conversations toward each person – that He made those from other philosophers well-disposed toward him:

οὐ μόνον τοὺς ἀπὸ τῆς Ποικίλης Στοᾶς – ὑπὲρ ὧν καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ἔγραψεν ἐπὶ τε Φιλίππου πρὸς αὐτόν καὶ Λεοντέα – ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς... ...καὶ προβάς... ...Πολυαινος...

not only those from the Stoa Poikile – concerning [all of] whom even Epicurus wrote during [the archonship in 292BCE] Philippus, both to [Polyaenus] and to Leonteus – but also those... ...and [he who] advanced... ...Polyaenus...

It also seems that, while in his early fifties, Epicurus was writing about "those from the Stoa Poikile (τοὺς ἀπὸ τῆς Ποικίλης Στοᾶς)"

[prior text] τ[ο]ὺς ἄλλους τοὺς ἀνθρ[ώ]ποις πρῶ]ως διὰ λόγων ἢ ἔργων ὀμιλήσαντας· καὶ πάλι τοὺς ἐναντίως δι' ἑν ῥῆμα βαρὺ
δυσχέρειαν ἐπισπασαμέ[ν]ους, ὡς Δημήτριον, ὅτε Μακε[δ]όνε[ς] ἀπο[λ]υτ]όντες αὐτὸν πρὸς Πύ[ρ]ον μετέστησαν [continues]

τοὺς ἄλλους τοὺς ἀνθρώποις πρῶως διὰ λόγων ἢ ἔργων ὀμιλήσαντας – καὶ, πάλι, τοὺς ἐναντίως δι' ἑν ῥῆμα βαρὺ δυσχέρειαν ἐπισπασαμένους – ὡς Δημήτριον (ὅτε Μακεδόνες ἀπολιπόντες αὐτὸν, πρὸς Πύρον μετέστησαν)

those others who associated gently with people through words or actions – and, in contrast, those who opposingly provoked resentment with a single harsh word – just like Demetrius (when the Macedonians, abandoning him, defected to Pyrrhus)

285 BCE

Under Diotomus

124th Olympiad

when Epicurus was about fifty-six

Demetrius Poliorcetes and his army had been chased across Asia Minor to the Taurus Mountains by the armies of Lysimachus and Seleucus.

In 285^{/4 BCE} Demetrius is deserted by his troops and surrenders to Seleucus at Cilicia, where Seleucus keeps him as a royal prisoner.

Theophrastus dies.

Sacred Dining Tables

[see U100] Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.29.838 – 1.30.846

[ἔμελε δὲ] καὶ Κωλώτηι [πάντω] γ τε ὄρκων καὶ [πάσης] θεολογίας· ἐπὶ δὲ Χαρί[νου καὶ ἐπὶ] Διοτίμ[ου παραινεῖ] τὴν κα[θ'] ἱερᾶς τρα[πέζης [συνθήκην μὴ] παραβαί[νειν·]

Ἔμελε δὲ καὶ Κωλώτῃ πάντων τε ὄρκων καὶ πάσης θεολογίας· ἐπὶ δὲ Χαρίνου καὶ ἐπὶ Διοτίμου: Παραινεῖ τὴν καθ' ἱερᾶς τραπέζης συνθήκην μὴ παραβαίνειν·

[Epicurus] was careful also with Colotes for all oaths and all theology. and during [the archonship in 308^{BCE}] of Charinus and also [during the archonship in 285^{BCE}] of Diotimus: He advised not to violate the pact in accordance with the sacred table.

[Obbink] The sacred dining-table (τράπεζα ἱερά) was table dedicated to the gods at feasts and festivals, on which meats and offerings were set out. They were especially associated with the consumed meat of animal sacrifices and with communal dining.

These sacred dining-tables (τράπεζαι ἱεραί) were important accessories of the cults of heroes in Greece. The Epicureans were known to use them and associated them with their sacred celebrations in honor of dead members of the school.

(Plutarch, De Latenter Vivendo, 1129A)

For the Epicureans, these common meals defined their civic status as a philosophical fellowship (θίασος).

"Regarding Those Who Are Occupied"

[=U168] Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 24

...[τὸ]ν ἀγαθ[ὸν]... ...τὸ πρὸς τοὺς ἀσχ[όλο]ι[ς]... ...αὐτῷ καὶ μνημονεύ[ει] κατὰ Δι[ό]τιμο[ν] Ἐπίκουρος ἄρ[χο]ντα τοῦ βυβλ[ίου] Φύρσωνι γο[ῦν] γράφων· Ἀπέστει[λε]ν δ[ὲ] ἡ[μῖν] καὶ τὸ πρὸς τοῦ[ς] ἀσ[χ]ό[λο]υ[ς]· καὶ 'κᾶ[ν ἡ]ναγκασμέν[ος]... ...[ἔς μέγ]ιστ' ἂν ἦκοις κ[α]κᾶ... ...τὸν τρό[πον] τ[οῦτο]ν καὶ τοσ[οῦτ]όν τε... ...εἰ καὶ Νικάν[ο]ρ...

...τὸν ἀγαθὸν... ...τὸ 'Πρὸς Τοὺς Ἀσχόλους' αὐτῷ καὶ μνημονεύει, κατὰ Διότιμον Ἐπίκουρος, ἄρχοντα τοῦ βυβλίου – Φύρσωνι γοῦν γράφων "Ἀπέστειλεν δὲ ἡμῖν καὶ τὸ 'Πρὸς Τοὺς Ἀσχόλους' καὶ κᾶν ἠναγκασμένος... ...ἔς

μέγιστ’ ἂν ᾗ Ἕκοις κακὰ... ...τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον καὶ τοσοῦτόν τε... ...εἰ καὶ Νικάνορ..."

...a good man... ...that [work] 'Regarding Those who are Occupied'...
...Epicurus also reminds him, during [the archonship in 285^{BCE}] of Diotimus, the archon of the book's [composition] – in any case [Epicurus] writes to Phyrson [of Colophon] "He sent to us that [work] 'Regarding Those who are Occupied' and even if being forced... ...You would fall into the gravest evils... ...this way and so great... ...even if Nīkánor..."

Funds were raised by friends of Epicurus and placed at his disposal. (U 101, 130)

We know that Nīkánor was supported by Epicurus, as Epicurus wrote in his will "Let them provide for Nīkánor as I have thus far done."

The identity of the author of "Regarding Those Who Are Occupied" is unclear.

ἡ σχολή αἱ σχολαί	school	ΣΧΟΛΗ leisure
ἡ ἀσχολία αἱ ἀσχολίαι	occupation	ΑΣΧΟΛΙΑ not leisure

284^{BCE}

Under Isaeus

124th Olympiad, 284^{/3 BCE}
when Epicurus was about fifty-seven

In 284^{/3 BCE} Lysimachus has his son, Agathocles, put to death. This causes public outrage and much loss of political support. Agathocles' immediate family flees to the Seleucid court.

Seleucus invades Lysimachus' territory in Asia Minor.

Antigonus II Gonatas offers everything he has to Seleucus for the release of his father Demetrius. Seleucus refuses.

Hostile Macedonians

✧ Philodemus (fl.c. 70^{BCE}), On the Philosophers, VH¹ VIII cap. 5, 7

P.Herc. 1057? I am not able to find any source for this beyond Usener

...εἴθ' ὕστερον τοὺς ἐχθίστους καταλύειν Μακεδόνας, ὥς τότε ἐπ' Ἰσαίου γράφει...

...εἴθ' ὕστερον τοὺς ἐχθίστους καταλύειν Μακεδόνας – ὥς τότε ἐπ' Ἰσαίου Γράφει...

...then afterward to overthrow the most hostile Macedonians – as he writes was done at times during [the archonship in 284^{BCE}] of Isaeus...

This was about the same time as the death of Demetrius Poliorcetes (while in royal detainment under his son-in-law Seleucus). The "hostile Macedonians" probably refers to the ani-Antigonid alliance (Lysimachus, Seleucus, Ptolemy, & Pyrrhus), which split control of his former territories.

[U102]

283^{BCE}

Under Euthius

124th Olympiad
when Epicurus was about fifty-eight

In 283/2^{BCE} Demetrius Poliorcetes dies from overindulgence while a royal captive of the Seleucid regime.

Demetrius's son Antigonos II Gonatas assumes the title of King of Macedonia (at first, in name only, as Lysimachus now controls Macedonia)

Demetrius' remains are given to Antigonos II and he is honored with a grand funeral in Corinth. After this, Demetrius is interred in the town of Demetrias which he had founded.

Support for Leonteus

Philodemus (fl.c. 70^{BCE}), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 7

...ἐπιμεληθεῖς ἐπ' Εὐθ[ίου] δὲ περὶ τῆς αἰρέσεως αὐτοῦ διασαφεῖ τοῖς ἐν Λαμψάκῳ φίλοις ἐπιστέλλων· "ἠκούσαμεν γὰρ [ὅτι] δὴ Λεοντεὺς πάντα... ...συ[να]χθόμεθα τῇ παρὰ | τάνδρ[ος]... ...Λ[ε]οντε[ῖ] δὲ...

...ἐπιμεληθεῖς ἐπ' Εὐθίου – δὲ περὶ τῆς αἰρέσεως αὐτοῦ Διασαφεῖ τοῖς ἐν Λαμψάκῳ φίλοις ἐπιστέλλων "ἠκούσαμεν γὰρ ὅτι δὴ Λεοντεὺς πάντα...
...Συναχθόμεθα τῇ παρὰ τάνδρὸς... ...Λεοντεῖ δὲ..."

...when it had been taken care of during [the archonship in 283^{BCE}] of Euthius – and [Epicurus decided] he will explain to his friends in Lampsacus about his choice, sending a letter [saying] "indeed, we heard that Leonteus [] everything... ...We will be gathered by the man's side... ...but for Leonteus..."

Civic Illusions vs. True Freedom

Philodemus (fl.c. 70^{BCE}), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 32

(DCLP) ...γράφων'Επίκουρος... ...ἀγοραία[ς μηθὲ]ν [ἐνόμισ]ας τὰς π[ρὸς ε]ύδ[αίμον]α βίον [σ]υντεινούσας ιδ[ε]ῖας τοῦ [βιοῦ]. 'καὶ πάλιν· 'ἄξιος γὰρ νῆ τοὺς θεοὺς ἐνεφάνης ἡμῖν τῷ[ι] ὅλῳ ἦθει [ε]ῖναι οὐ κατὰ νόμους ἐλευθέρας βιοτῆς.' τὰ μὲν δὴ περὶ τούτου προσκατακεχωρίσθω νῦν δι' αἰτίας ἔφαμεν καὶ τὰ περὶ Μιθρέους· λέγωμεν δ' ἡμεῖς ὅτι καὶ ἐν ἄλλοις πολλοῖς μνημονεύει τ[ο]ῦ συγγράμματος. ἐπὶ δ' Ἰσαίου καὶ γέγραφεν... ...ἐ[πι] δ' Ὀρίου / Εὐ[θ]ίου Λεοντεῖ προγρά[ψας, ἐ]πέσταλκε[ε] δὲ καὶ Ἐπίκουρ[ος πρὸς] Μιθρῆν ἐ[πι]στ... ...ολὴν [ὕ]περ [τῶν] μερῶν τούτων... ...γράμμ[ατα δ]ὲ τῷ... ...βοηθεια... ...[τὸ]ν... ...ἰδομεν[έα]... ὥς φησιν... ...[Μιθ]ρῆν πρὸς...

...γράφων'Επίκουρος... "...ἀγοραίας μηθὲν'Ενόμισας τὰς πρὸς εὐδαίμονα βίον συντεινούσας ιδέας τοῦ βιοῦ"

...Epicurus writes... "...You consider the ideas of lifestyle that contribute to a good-spirited lifestyle in no way vulgar"

καὶ πάλιν "ἄξιος γὰρ – νῆ τοὺς Θεοὺς! – Ἐνεφάνης ἡμῖν, τῷ ὅλῳ ἦθει, εῖναι – οὐ κατὰ νόμους ἐλευθέρας βιοτῆς"

and again "by the Gods! – through your disposition, you revealed to us that you were deserving – not according to the laws of a free livelihood"

" – not merely in the conventions of civic freedom"

It is not clear who Epicurus is complimenting.

τὰ, μὲν δὴ, περὶ τούτου Προσκατακεχωρίσθω νῦν δι' ἃς αἰτίας Ἐφαμεν – καὶ τὰ περὶ Μιθρέους

therefore, let these matters concerning this be additionally assigned for now for the reasons we mentioned – along with those concerning Mithres

λέγωμεν δ' ἡμεῖς, ὅτι καὶ ἐν ἄλλοις πολλοῖς Μνημονεύει τοῦ συγγράμματος. ἐπὶ δ' Ἰσαίου καὶ γέγραφεν... ...ἐπὶ δ' Εὐθίου Λεοντεῖ προγράψας. ἐπέσταλκε δὲ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος πρὸς Μιθρῆν ἐπιστολὴν ὑπὲρ τῶν μερῶν τούτων... ...γράμματα... ...βοήθεια... ...ἰδομενέα... ὥς φησιν... ...Μιθρῆν, πρὸς...

let us say, then, that he mentions **this composition** also in many other places. He also wrote **during** [*the archonship in 284^{BCE}*] of Isaeus... ...and **during** [*the archonship in 283^{BCE}*] of Euthius, having made a proclamation to Leonteus. and Epicurus also sent **a letter** to Mithres concerning these matters... ...**writings**... ...**help**... ...**Idomeneus**... as He says... ...**Mithres**, to...

ἀγοραῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	common <i>["of the marketplace"]</i>	ΑΓΟΡΑΙΟΣ <i>market</i>
ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
εὐδαίμων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	good-spirited	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΩΝ <i>good spirit</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ἡ βιοτή αἱ βιοταί	livelihood	ΒΙΟΤΗ <i>life</i>
συντείνειν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>stretching together</i>
συντείνων	contributing	
ἡ ἰδέα αἱ ἰδέαι	idea	ΙΔΕΑ <i>appearing</i>
ἄξιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	deserving	ΑΞΙΟΣ <i>counterbalancing</i>
ὁ θεός οἱ θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
ἐμφαίνειν	to reveal	ΕΜΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining in</i>
ὅλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	entire	ΌΛΟΣ <i>whole</i>
ὁ χαρακτήρ οἱ χαρακτῆρες	character	ΧΑΡΑΚΤΗΡ <i>engraving</i>
τὸ ἥθος τὰ ἥθη	disposition	ΗΘΟΣ <i>custom</i>
ὁ νόμος οἱ νόμοι	law	ΝΟΜΟΣ <i>allotting</i>
ἐλεύθερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	free	ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΟΣ <i>from a tribe</i> <i>(with the rights and responsibilities of a free man)</i>
ἡ σύστασις αἱ συστάσεις	composition <i>[i.e., material conglomeration]</i>	ΣΥΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing together</i>
τὰ ἀναγεγραμμένα	things written out	ΑΝΑΓΕΓΡΑΜΜΕΝΑ <i>written again</i>
τὸ σύγγραμμα τὰ συγγράμματα	composition <i>[i.e., a piece of written work]</i>	ΣΥΓΓΡΑΜΜΑ <i>result of writing together</i>

280^{BCE}

Under Telocles

125th Olympiad

when Epicurus was about sixty-one

In the year prior, Seleucus' forces killed Lysimachus during the battle.

Seleucus, in turn, was assassinated by Ptolemy Keraunos (son of Ptolemy I Soter), who is then king of Macedonia for seventeen months.

In 280^{/79 BCE} the Gauls – amounting to about 85,000 warriors – invade Greece and attack Delphi.

Gauls kill Ptolemy Keraunos.

Antigonus II Gonatas fights the Gauls and continues to claim Macedonia. His claim is now disputed by Seleucus' son Antiochus I.

Colossus of Rhodes is completed.

[see U154] Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Wealth, P.Herc. 163, col. 5 (36)

...[μή ἐνοχλή]σεσθαι... ...μικρᾶς ἔνεκεν ἐλα[ττ]ώσ[ε]ως πρὸς Πυθ[οκ]λέα [λ]έγειν· "ἂν [ἀνε]χώμεθα... ...τὴν μ[ετὰπ]τωσ[ι]ν, οὐκ [ἐ]νο[χ]λήσει·" καὶ πρὸς Μιθρῇ[ν] ἐπὶ Τηλοκλέους· κ[αὶ] τοι [ἀ]ν[ε]κτέον τὸν τρόπ[ο]ν· οὐ [γὰρ] ἄξιον φόβου τὸ κατὰ μετὰπτωσιν ἐνόχλημα, κἂν [δια]ίτης οὐ πάντα... ...κατ' ἔνδειαν... ...[ἐξ]αι[ρ]ούμενον... ...[θ]υμ[ὸ]ν... ...τὴν πενίαν... ...[α]ἰρεῖσθαι... ...αὐτῷ...

...μή ἐνοχλήσεσθαι... ...μικρᾶς ἔνεκεν ἐλαττώσεως πρὸς Πυθοκλέα λέγειν· "ἂν Ἀνεχώμεθα... ...τὴν μετὰπτωσιν, οὐκ Ἐνοχλήσει·"

...not to be disturbed... ...on account of a small depreciation, he says to Pythocles – "if We endure... ...the transition, It will not be disturbing."

καὶ πρὸς Μιθρῇν, ἐπὶ Τηλοκλέους "καίτοι, ἀνεκτέον τὸν τρόπον: οὐ γὰρ ἄξιον φόβου τὸ κατὰ μετὰπτωσιν Ἐνόχλημα – κἂν διαιτης οὐ πάντα... ...κατ' ἔνδειαν... ...ἐξαιρούμενον... ...θυμὸν... ...τὴν πενίαν... ...αἰρεῖσθαι... ...αὐτῷ..."

and to Mithres, during [the archonship in 280^{BCE}] of Telocles [Epicurus says] "nevertheless, the way must be endured: for Disturbance from transition is not deserving of fear – even if not everything in the way of living [stays the same]... ...through lack... ...removing... ...anger... ...poverty... ...to choose... ...for himself..."

ἐνοχλεῖν	to be disturbing to	ENOCHLEIN <i>in a crowd of people</i>
ἐλάττων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	smaller	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΝ <i>lesser</i>
ἡ ἐλάττωσις αἱ ἐλατώσεις <i>[lit. "diminution," but Philodemus' uses the word with the idea of "fault" or "defect"]</i>	depreciation	ΕΛΑΤΤΩΣΙΣ <i>lesser</i>
ἀνέχειν	to hold oneself up [here, "to endure"]	ANEXEIN <i>hold up</i>
τὸ σύμπτωμα τὰ συμπτώματα	<i>[temporary for its compound]</i> symptom <i>(separable quality)</i>	ΣΥΜΠΤΩΜΑΤΑ <i>to fall together</i>
ἡ μεταλλαγὴ αἱ μεταλλαγαί	exchange	ΜΕΤΑΛΛΑΓΗ <i>changing change</i>
ἡ μετάπτωσις αἱ μεταπτώσεις	transition	ΜΕΤΑΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>to fall change</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἄξιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	deserving	ΑΞΙΟΣ <i>counterbalancing</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
τὸ ὄχλημα τὰ ὀχλήματα	<i>[cause of]</i> disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΜΑ <i>result of a crowd of people</i>
ἐνοχλεῖν	to be disturbing to	ENOCHLEIN <i>in a crowd of people</i>
τὸ ἐνόχλημα τὰ ἐνοχλήματα	<i>[feeling of]</i> disturbance	ΕΝΟΧΛΗΜΑ <i>result of [being] in a crowd of people</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ἡ βιοτὴ αἱ βιοταί	livelihood	ΒΙΟΤΗ <i>life</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ἡ δίαιτα αἱ δίαιται	way of living	ΔΙΑΙΤΑ <i>through the responsibility</i>
ἡ ἔνδεια αἱ ἔνδειαί	lack	ΕΝΔΕΙΑ <i>deficiency</i>

ἐξαιρεῖν	to remove	ΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking out</i>
ἐξαιρούμενος (η, ον)	removing	ΕΞΑΙΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
ὁ θυμός οἱ θυμοί	anger	ΘΥΜΟΣ <i>spirit</i>
ἡ πενία αἱ πενίαι	poverty	ΠΕΝΙΑ <i>need</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
αἰρεῖσθαι	to choose for oneself	ΑΙΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ

[U103]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70^{BCE}), On Wealth, P.Herc. 163, col. 7⁽³⁸⁾

...[ἐ]κεῖνα, κακὸν ὅσον ἐ[πι] τῇ φύσει ραίδιον ἤδη συνιδεῖν· ἐπ[ι]... λαιου διακ[ρι]βω[σας]... ...διακ[ρι]βω... ...ὔστερον...

...πλοῦτον... ...τοῖς κακ[ὸν]... ...λέγουσιν... ...αὔξεται...

...**ἐκεῖνα: κακὸν ὅσον ἐπὶ τῇ φύσει ραίδιον ἤδη συνιδεῖν...** ...διακριβώσας...
...**Διακριβω...** ...**ὔστερον...** ...**πλοῦτον...** ...**τοῖς κακὸν...** ...**Λέγουσιν...** ...**Αὔξεται...**

...those things: how much of an evil [exists] in relation to nature is already easy to fully perceive... ...making thoroughly precise... ...I make thoroughly precise... ...later... ...wealth... ...for those an evil... ...They say... ...It increases...

ιδεῖν	to have seen [mentally]	ΙΔΕΙΝ <i>seen</i>
συνιδεῖν	to have fully seen [mentally]	ΣΥΝΙΔΕΙΝ <i>seen together</i>
ἐπακριβοῦν	to make more precise	ΕΠΑΚΡΙΒΟΥΝ <i>pointed upon</i>
διακριβοῦν	to make thoroughly precise	ΔΙΑΚΡΙΒΟΥΝ <i>pointed through</i>

278^{BCE}

Under Democles

125th Olympiad

when Epicurus was about sixty-three

Those Who Misunderstand Inferences from Sensation

[=U212] *Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 29*

...Μιθρῆν πονοῦ[ντα] ἢ τῇ[ς μνή]μης... ...ἐκ Πειρ[α]ιέως καί... ...ἀναγγελλοντ... ...φέρων τὴν ἐπιστολὴν παρὰ σοῦ καὶ τὸν διαλογισμὸν ὃν ἐπεπόησο περὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων ὅσοι μῆτε τὴν ἀναλογίαν τὴν κατὰ τὰ φαινόμενα ἐ[ν] τοῖς ἀοράτοις ο[ὔσα]ν ἡδύναντο συνιδεῖν μῆτε τὴν συμφωνίαν τὴν ταῖς αἰσθήσεσι ὑπάρχουσαν πρὸς τὰ ἀόρατα καὶ πάλιν ἀντιμ[α]ρτυρ[οῦ]σιν" ἐ[πι] δὲ Δημοκλέ\ο/υ[ς αὐ]τῶν γρά[φων· ὁ δ]ια[κε]χαρισμέν[ος ἐ]κ[άσ]τ[ωι]... ποτε μ[ι] ἀν ἢ τε... δι' ἐτέρας... ...κα[ι] ἔτη... ...ἡμῖν ὅτι τὰ κατὰ νῦ[ν]... ...καὶ τ[ῶ]<i>ι> μέρει πράγματα... ...καὶ διὰ τοῦ... ...[π]αρ' [ῆ]μῶν τι...

...**Μιθρῆν, πονοῦντα ἢ τῆς μνήμης...** ...**ἐκ Πειραιέως καί...** ...**ἀναγγελλοντα...**

...Mithres, suffering either from the memory of... ...from Peiraeus and...
...reporting...

"...φέρων τὴν ἐπιστολὴν παρὰ σοῦ καὶ τὸν διαλογισμὸν ὃν'Επεπόησο περὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων –"Ὅσοι μῆτε ⁽¹⁾ τὴν ἀναλογίαν τὴν κατὰ τὰ φαινόμενα ἐν τοῖς ἀοράτοις οὔσαν ἡδύναντο συνιδεῖν, μῆτε ⁽²⁾ τὴν συμφωνίαν τὴν ταῖς αἰσθήσεσι ὑπάρχουσαν πρὸς τὰ ἀόρατα καὶ ⁽³⁾ πάλιν ἀντιμαρτυροῦσιν."

...bringing the letter from you and the thorough reasoning which you had made concerning those people – as many [of them] as were not able to have fully seen ⁽¹⁾ the analogy that exists in accordance what is apparent for what is unseen, nor ⁽²⁾ the agreement existing among the sensations toward the unseen, and ⁽³⁾ when [the sensations] again contest [in a particular case to a full agreement or even just an analogy between the seen and the unseen].

[Anderson] ...bringing your letter and the reasoning which you had carried out concerning men who could see neither ⁽¹⁾ the analogy which obtains between the phenomena and the unseen [realities] nor ⁽²⁾ the consistency which exists between the senses and the senses [realities] and again ⁽³⁾ the counterfactuals...

ἐπὶ δὲ Δημοκλέους αὐτῷ γράφων· "ὁ Διακεχαρισμένος ἐκάστω... ..ποτε μίαν ἦ τε... ..δι' ἐτέρας... ..καὶ ἔτη... ..ἡμῖν ὅτι τὰ κατὰ νῦν... ..καὶ τῷ μέρει πράγματα... ..καὶ διὰ τοῦ... ..παρ' ἡμῶν τι..."

and writing to him during [the archonship in 278^{BCE}] of Democles: "he who has thoroughly cheered each... ..one at some time or... ..through different... ..and years... ..to us that the things at present... ..and the situation in part and through the... ..something from us..."

φέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	carrying	ΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing</i>
ἡ ἐπιστολή αἱ ἐπιστολαί	letter <i>[i.e., a sent message]</i>	ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ <i>equipping upon</i>
ὁ διαλογισμός οἱ διαλογισμοί	thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning through action</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ἀόρατος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΣ <i>not looking</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
συνιδεῖν	to have fully seen [mentally]	ΣΥΝΙΔΕΙΝ <i>seen together</i>
ἡ συμφωνία αἱ συμφωνίαι	agreement	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΙΑ <i>speaking together</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	existing [already]	ΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
τὸ ἀόρατον τὰ ἀόρατα	^[0] the unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΝ <i>not looking</i>
πάλιν	again	ΠΑΛΙΝ <i>back</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	^{W} to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
Part 2		
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	favor	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>charming / gracious</i>
ὁ διακεχαρισμένος ^[+ dat] οἱ διακεχαρισμένοι	he who has thoroughly cheered	ΔΙΑΚΕΧΑΡΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>through having charmed / graced</i>

ἕκαστος (ἡ, ον)	each (with plural verb)	ἑΚΑΣΤΟΝ <i>each</i>
εἷς μία ἓν (ἐνός, μιᾶς, ἐνός)	one	ἕΙΣ ΜΙΑ ἘΝ <i>one</i>
ἕτερος (ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)	different [other / another]	ἕΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
τό ἔτος τά ἔτη	year	ΕΤΟΣ <i>year</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>doing / affair</i>

277 ^{BCE}

Under Aristonymus

126th Olympiad

when Epicurus was about sixty-four

In 277/^{6 BCE} *Antigonus II Gonatas defeats Gauls in Thrace and earns the title Soter.*

After this success, he becomes king of Macedon.

Antigonus continues the pro-Athens stance of his father and grandfather, and supports philosophers in Athens:

"Antigonus [II Gonatas] also favored him [Zeno], and whenever he came to Athens he would hear him lecture and often invited him to come to his court." (D.L. Zeno 7.6)

Participation in Sacred Practices

[see U169] *Philodemus* (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), *On Piety*, 1.28.785

...τινων ἀμε[ίνο]νας ἢ [τῶι φυλάτ]τειν ἐνε[ρ]γε[ίαι] τὰς ἐπινο[ί]ας τῶν θεῶν διὰ τ[ινων] χρόνων· οὐ [μόνον] δὲ ταῦτ' ἐδο[γμα]τίσεν ἀλλὰ καὶ δι[ὰ τῶν] ἔργων αὐτῶν ἐ[ύρ]ισκεται πάσαις ταῖς πατρίοις ἑορταῖς καὶ θυσίαις κε[χρ]η[μ]ένος. ἐπ' Ἀρ[ιστ]ωνύμου μέγ γὰρ[ρ] Φύρσωνι περὶ τινος αὐτοῦ πολίτου Θεοδότου γράφων καὶ τῶν ἑορτῶν [φ]ησι πασῶν με[τεσχηκέ]ναι...

..τινων ἀμείνονας ἢ τῷ φυλάττειν ἐνεργείᾳ τὰς ἐπινοίας τῶν θεῶν διὰ τινων χρόνων

...with some [religious rites] better [dealt with] than others by preserving in action the notions of the gods during certain periods of time

Epicurus may be saying that actions in religious rites (during certain times such as festivals and sacrifices) are worse when guided by false ideas of the gods, and better when performed with correct conceptions.

[Obbink] *Traditionally, evading a charge of impiety entailed showing that one had in fact performed such acts and performed them according to the laws (κατὰ νόμους).*

οὐ μόνον δὲ ταῦτ' Ἐδογμάτισεν – ἀλλὰ καὶ διὰ τῶν ἔργων αὐτῶν Εὐρίσκεται πάσαις ταῖς πατρίοις ἑορταῖς καὶ θυσίαις κεχρημένος

not only did [Epicurus] lay down these doctrines – but he is also found to have participated in all the ancestral festivals and sacrifices through their [i.e., the Kathēgemónes] own deeds

ἐπ' Ἀριστωνύμου μέγ γαρ Φύρσωνι περὶ τινος αὐτοῦ πολίτου, Θεοδότου, γράφων καὶ τῶν ἑορτῶν Φησι πασῶν μετεσχηκέναι...

during [the archonship in 287^{BCE}] of Aristonymus, while writing to Phyrson [of Colophon] about a certain fellow countryman of his, Theodotus [of Colophon, Epicurus] says that he had participated in all the festivals...

In 271/^{0 BCE} was the Olympiad of Epicurus' death, for this period we have:

U122, U138, U177, & U191

Antigonus II Gonatas becomes the chief of the Thessalian League and continues securing the position of the Antigonid Regime – which would last until 168^{BCE} – when King Perseus (the great-great grandson of Demetrius Poliorcetes) was defeated by the Romans.

Collections Of Letters

1. Letters to Important Persons

Πρὸς τοὺς Μεγάλους

[U104]

Phyrson of Colophon

Phyrson means "mixer" as in "one who mingles in society."

Colophon lies northeast of Samos (about 16 miles across the sea) and 3 miles inland from the coast, on Asia Minor.

*After the Athenian defeat in the Lamian War, when Epicurus was twenty, **Perdiccas expelled** the Athenian settlers that had been living on Samos over to Colophon – including the family of Epicurus.*

*However, only twenty years later (302^{BCE}), **Lysimachus destroyed Colophon** – and **forced** its inhabitants to move to Ephesus, which **he was rebuilding** as a major fortified city.*

Colophon failed to recover as a significant city.

Philodemus (fl.c. 70^{BCE}), On Piety, 1.33.944

ὁ δ' Ἀδελφὸς αὐτοῦ, καὶ **ζηλωτῆς** καὶ **δαιμόνιον** ἐσχηκῶς ἐν τοῖς λόγοις, "**προκοπήν**" φησιν "**εἶναι τὴν ἀπ' ἀργυρείου βοήθειαν θεοῖς** ὁσίως Δέον νέμειν" (οὐ πρὸς ἰδιώτην γράφων – ἀλλὰ **πρὸς Φύρσωνα τὸν Κολοφώνιον, ἄνδρα** καὶ **οὐδενὸς κατὰ τὰ πολιτικά**)...

his Brother [*Neocles*], both an **admirer** and having achieved **divine advancement** in [*Epicurus*] reasonings, says that "It is necessary to piously distribute **to the gods** **the assistance** from silver reserves," (writing not to a private individual – but **to Phyrson of Colophon**, a **man not insignificant** in terms of civic standing)...

*We know that **Phyrson's** father was Dositheus and his brother was Hegesianax. We know Epicurus wrote to Dositheus about his son Hegesianax's early death.*

[*Obbink*] *There may be an implication that **Phyrson of Colophon** is an Epicurean 'master' at Colophon – whether a title conferred by the founders, perhaps in return for payment of the annual **120-drachma (2 mina) contribution (σύνταξις)**, or whether these titles were assigned to the early Epicureans by later adherents documenting the history of the school, I cannot tell (but the latter seems more likely in view of the fact that there are no certain examples of the founders referring to themselves as **Ἄνδρες, Καθηγεμόνες**, etc.)*

...[έ]ν ἄ[λλαις καὶ έ]ν τῇ[ι πρὸς] Ἀριστόβουλων... ...ἀπάσῃ, καὶ τῇ πρὸς [Κω]λώτην, καὶ τῇ νῦν πρὸς Εὐδ[ημο]ν. ἐπιδεξιμ...
...καρπυς ἀ[κού]σας ο[ὗ]ν φιλανθρώπως ὑπὲρ ἐμ[οῦ] πρὸς αὐτὸν μιμνήσκοιο [γ]εγρ[αφέ]ναι, συνιστὰς φίλον σαυ[το]ῦ καὶ
Ἐπικούρου πάλι τὸν Ἱπποκλείδ[ην], συνειληφέναι δὲ καὶ ταῦτ[α πάντα] καθ' ἕκαστα πυθόμενος π[α]ρ' Εὐβούλου. ' συ[νίσ]τησι
δ' ἔτι αὐ[τῶι] Πρώταρχον. γράφει δὲ [κ]αὶ ταυ[τί·] "Εὐδῆ[μ]ου τεθαύμακα [δῖτι]... ...ῖται μακρὰν ἀπηρτήθη τ[οῦ γενο]νότος
χωρίσας ἑαυτὸν...

...ἐν ἄλλαις καὶ ἐν τῇ πρὸς Ἀριστόβουλων... ...ἀπάσῃ, καὶ τῇ πρὸς Κωλώτην – καὶ τῇ νῦν πρὸς Εὐδημον

...in other [letters] and in the one to Aristobuolos... ...in full, and in the one to Colotes – and now in the one to Eudemos

"...ἐπιδεχίμους... ...ἀκούσας, οὔν, φιλανθρώπως ὑπὲρ ἐμοῦ: πρὸς αὐτὸν Μιμνήσκοιο γεγράφεμαι – συνιστὰς φίλον σαυτοῦ καὶ Ἐπικούρου πάλι τὸν Ἱπποκλείδην – συνειληφέναι δὲ καὶ ταῦτα, πάντα καθ' ἕκαστα, πυθόμενος παρ' Εὐβούλου"

"...favorable [things]... ...therefore, after kindly hearing the points on my behalf: you should remember to have written to him – recommending Hippocleides again as a friend both of yourself and of Epicurus – and also to have gathered these things, each in detail, [with you] having learned them from Euboulos"

Συνίστησι δ' ἔτι αὐτῷ Πρώταρχον. Γράφει δὲ καὶ ταυτί "Εὐδήμου Τεθαύμακα ὅτι... ...τῷ μακρὰν Ἀπηρτήθη τοῦ γεγονότος – χωρίσας ἑαυτὸν..."

He also recommends Protarchus to him. and He also writes the following "I have marveled at Eudemos because... ...in [the fact] that He separated himself far away from what had happened – detaching himself...."

There was a *Eudemos [of Pergamon]* who was an Epicurean that wrote commentaries on Epicurus' work *On Nature*. However, he was a teacher of Philonides of Laodicea (and therefore was active around 180 BCE) – so could not also have been a contemporary of Epicurus.

2. Spurious Letters

1. Diotimos the Stoic's Fifty Counterfeit Letters
(Prosecution and Execution)

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.3

Διότιμος δ' ὁ Στωικός, δυσμενῶς ἔχων πρὸς αὐτὸν, πικρότατα αὐτὸν διαβέβληκεν – ἐπιστολὰς φέρων πεντήκοντα ἀσελγεῖς, ὡς Ἐπικούρου – καὶ Ὅ τὰ εἰς Χρύσιππον ἀναφερόμενα ἐπιστόλια ὡς Ἐπικούρου συντάξας

Diotimos the Stoic, being hostile toward him, assailed him with bitter slanders – bringing fifty lewd letters, as though [written] by Epicurus – and so too did he who composed the little letters addressed to Chrysippus as though [written] by Epicurus

Athenaeus (who spells the Stoic's name "Theotimus") says "Theotimus, who wrote the books against Epicurus, was accused by Zenon the Epicurean, and was put to death – as is related by Demetrius of Magnesia, in his treatise on People and Things Which Go By The Same Name." (Deipnosophists 13.92)

2. Questions Arising from the Desire for Precision

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), [Ad Contubernales], P.Herc. 1005, col. 8/11

...[ἐρχόμενον ἀκριβεῖ]αι πρό[ς τὰ τῶν ἀνδρῶν, πε]ρὶ πολλῶν ἡγ[εῖσθ]αι [τά] κε[ί]νοις ἀρέ[σ]κοντ', [έκ] τῆς ἀ[ρ]χῆς ὑποψί[α]ν τινᾶ [λ]αμβάν[ει]ν ὡς περὶ τινων ἐπιστολῶν καὶ τῆς [Πρὸς Πυ]θοκλέα περὶ μ[ε]τεώρων ἐπιτομῆς καὶ τοῦ Περί ἀρ[ετ]ῶν, καὶ

τῶν εἰς Μητρόδωρον ἀναφερομένων Ὑποθηκῶν καὶ τῶν Μαρτυριῶν καὶ μᾶλλον [6] ἐ τοῦ Πρὸς τὸν Πλάτωνος Γοργίαν δευτέρου, καὶ τῶν εἰς Πολύαινον τοῦ Πρὸς τοὺς ῥήτορας καὶ τοῦ Περί σελήνης καὶ τῶν εἰς Ἑρμαρχον· ἐξέλεξεν δὲ καὶ...

...ἐρχόμενον ἀκριβείᾳ πρὸς τὰ τῶν ἀνδρῶν – περὶ πολλῶν ἡγεῖσθαι τὰ κείνοις ἀρέσκοντ' ἐκ τῆς ἀρχῆς – ὑποψίαν τινὰ λαμβάνειν ὥς περὶ ^[1] τινων ἐπιστολῶν

[*Zeno of Sidon*[?] *because he was*] approaching the [*writings*] of the men [*i.e., the founders of the school*] with precision – regarded those [*precise points*] that were accepted by them from the foundation [*of the school*] as very important – therefore he acquired some uncertainty regarding ^[1] some letters

καὶ ^[2] τῆς Πρὸς Πυθοκλέα περὶ Μετεώρων Ἐπιτομῆς καὶ τοῦ ^[3] Περί Ἀρετῶν – καὶ ^[4] Τῶν εἰς Μητρόδωρον Ἀναφερομένων Ὑποθηκῶν

and [*regarding*] ^[2] the Summary on Celestial Phenomena to Pythocles and the [*work*] ^[3] *On Virtues* – and ^[4] *The Recommended Precepts* to Metrodorus

καὶ ^[5] Τῶν Μαρτυριῶν – καὶ μᾶλλον δὲ τοῦ ^[6] Πρὸς τὸν Πλάτωνος Γοργίαν δευτέρου – καὶ τῶν εἰς Πολύαινον: τοῦ ^[7] Πρὸς τοὺς Ῥήτορας καὶ τοῦ ^[8] Περί Σελήνης καὶ τῶν εἰς Ἑρμαρχον. Ἐξέλεξεν δὲ καὶ...

and [*regarding*] ^[5] The Testimonies [*i.e., "The Proofs"*] – and more so the second [*book*] ^[6] *Against Plato's Gorgias* – and those to Polyaeus: the ^[7] *Against the Orators*, and the ^[8] *On the Moon*, and those to Hermarchus. and he also selected...

1	[τινές ἐπιστολαί]	[some letters]
2	ἡ περὶ Μετεώρων Ἐπιτομή	the Summary on Celestial Phenomena <i>(to Pythocles)</i>
3	Περί Ἀρετῶν	On Virtues
4	αἱ Ἀναφερόμεναι Ὑποθήκαι	the Recommended Precepts <i>(dedicated to Metrodorus)</i>
5	αἱ Μαρτυρίαι	the Testimonies <i>(i.e., "the Proofs")</i>
6	Πρὸς τὸν Πλάτωνος Γοργίαν	Against Plato's Gorgias <i>(in at least two books)</i>
7	Πρὸς τοὺς Ῥήτορας	Against the Rhetors <i>(dedicated to Polyaeus)</i>
8	Περί Σελήνης	On the Moon <i>(dedicated to Polyaeus)</i>
9	<i>n/a</i>	[other works] <i>(dedicated to Hermarchus)</i>

ἡ ὑποθήκη αἱ ὑποθήκαι	precepts	ὙΠΟΘΗΚΗ <i>placing under</i>
ἡ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	^[M] attestation	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying upon</i>
ἡ ἀντιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἀντιμαρτυρήσεις	^[W] contestation	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying against</i>
ἡ μαρτυρία αἱ μαρτυρίαι	testimony [i.e., proof]	ΜΑΡΤΥΡΙΑ <i>testifying</i>

[U105]

3. Aelius Theon's Doubt

[=U131] Aelius Theon (fl.c. 75 CE), Preliminary Exercises, 2.168

Aelius Theon wrote "Preliminary Exercises" (Progymnasmata), a training manual for orators.

Ἐπιμελητέον δὲ καὶ τῆς συνθέσεως τῶν ὀνομάτων πάντα διδάσκοντα ἐξ ὧν Διαφεύξονται τὸ κακῶς συντιθέναι – καὶ μάλιστα δὲ τὴν ἔμμετρον καὶ ἔνруθμον λέξιν – ὡς τὰ πολλὰ τῶν Ἡγησίου τοῦ ῥήτορος, καὶ τῶν Ἀσιανῶν καλουμένων ῥητόρων, καὶ τινὰ τῶν Ἐπικούρου:

It is also necessary to take care while teaching everything regarding the composition of words with which [students] will escape composing poorly – and especially the metrical and rhythmical style – as many [works] of Hegesias the rhetor, and [the works] of the so-called Asiatic rhetors, and certain [works] of Epicurus

οἷά που καὶ πρὸς Ἰδομενέα Γράφει "ὦ, πάντα τάμὰ κινήματα τερπνὰ νομίσας ἐκ νέου" καὶ τῶν περιφερομένων δ' ὡς ἐκείνου (Ἡμεῖς δ' οὐδέπω καὶ νῦν αὐτὰ Εὐρίσκομεν ἐν τοῖς συγγράμμασιν αὐτοῦ) "Λέγε δὴ μοι, Πολύαινε – Συναπέριμεν – μεγάλη Χαρὰ γένηται. ὡς Χαρῶ! ὡς Τέρψωμαι!"

such as He apparently writes to Idomeneus "O, [you who] perceived all my movements enjoyable from youth" and of those circulating as his (we have not yet even now found these in his writings) "Tell me, Polyaeus – when we journey together – that great Cheer arises. how cheerful I am! how I enjoy!"

τὰ οὖν τοιαῦτα καὶ τελέως ἐστὶν ἐπίμεμπτα – καὶ πρόδηλον ἔχει τὴν κακίαν τῆς συνθέσεως: συγγνώμης δ' ἄξιον ὅταν εἰς ἐκεῖνά Τις ἐμπέσῃ ποτὲ τὰ μέτρα – Ἄπερ ἔχει ὁμοιότητα πρὸς τὸ πεζόν (οἷόν ἐστι τὸ ἱαμβικόν)

such things therefore are completely blameworthy – and evidently possess the badness of composition: but worthy of pardon when Someone occasionally falls into those meters – Which have a similarity to prose (such as the iambic)

[Gordon] Some circumlocutions and exuberant language attributed to Epicurus may be parodies, as Theon apparently suspects. He is not sure where he read the line to Idomeneus, and he has never found a source for the letter to Polyaeus.

The text of the examples quoted by Theon is apparently corrupt, so no particular lyric meter can be identified, but the extravagance of the language attributed to Epicurus is clear.

The "so-called Asian orators" were labeled "effeminate" by writers who strove for a style, often identified as "Attic," that was considered leaner and purer.

[U106]

To Several Persons

3. To Friends Living in Egypt

Πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Αἰγύπτῳ Φίλους

[included in part 4 below]

[U107]

4. To Friends Living in Asia

Πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Ἀσίᾳ Φίλους

Live Unnoticed (Politically)

Ερამεινόδας	Theban General: Shattered Spartan dominance Freed Messenian helots, at the Battle of Leuctra
Λυκοῦργος	Spartan Lawgiver: credited with founding the military-oriented constitution of Sparta

Thrasýboulos	Athenian Democrat: <i>led the overthrow of the Thirty Tyrants and restored democracy</i>
Pythagoras	Mathematician: <i>founded a mystical school at Croton in southern Italy</i>
Socrates	Athenian Philosopher: <i>argued with, but ultimately obeyed, his mental "demonic voice (δαιμόνιον)"</i>

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), *An Recte Dictum Sit Latenter Esse Vivendum*, 1128 F

καὶ μὴν εἴ γε τοῖς χρηστοῖς **λανθάνειν** καὶ **ἀγνοεῖσθαι** Παραινεῖς· Ἐπαμεινώνδα Λέγεις "μὴ Στρατήγῃ" – καὶ **Λυκούργῳ** "μὴ Νομοθέτῃ" – καὶ **Θρασυβούλῳ** "μὴ Τυραννοκτόνῃ" – καὶ **Πυθαγόρᾳ** "μὴ Παίδευσέ" – καὶ **Σωκράτῃ** "μὴ Διαλέγῳ"

and indeed, if You are advising **useful men to go unnoticed** and **to be unknown**: then You say **to Epameinóndas** "Take no command!" – **to Lykoûrgos** "Frame no laws!" – **to Thrasýboulos** "Slay no tyrants!" – **to Pythagoras** "Do not teach!" – **to Socrates** "Do not converse!"

καὶ σεαυτῷ **πρῶτον** Ἐπίκουρῃ, "μὴ Γράφε **τοῖς ἐν Ἀσίᾳ φίλοις**" – "μηδὲ **τοῖς ἀπ' Αἰγύπτου** Ξενολόγῃ" – "μηδὲ **τοὺς Λαμψακηνῶν ἐφήβους** Δορυφόρῃ!"

indeed, Epicurus [say] **to yourself first** "Do not **to write your friends in Asia!**" – "Do not internationally converse **with those [friends] from Egypt!**" – "Do not keep guard over **the youth of Lampsacus!**"

"μηδὲ Διάπεμπε **βίβλους** **πᾶσι** καὶ **πάσαις** ἐπιδεικνύμενος **τὴν σοφίαν** – "μηδὲ Διατάσσου **περὶ ταφῆς!**"

"Do not to send out **books to every man** and **to every woman** while you advertise **your wisdom!**" – "Do not to leave instructions **about [your] burial!**"

τί γὰρ αἱ κοιναὶ Τράπεζαι; **τί δ' αἱ τῶν ἐπιτηδείων** καὶ **καλῶν** Σύνοδοι;

what are the **public** Tables **for?** **what** are those Gatherings **of the suitable** and **correct [people]** **for?**

τί δ' αἱ τοσαῦται Μυριάδες στίχων **ἐπὶ Μητρόδωρον** – **ἐπ' Ἀριστόβουλον** – **ἐπὶ Χαιρέδημον** – **γραφόμεναι** καὶ **συντασσόμεναι φιλοπόνως**; ἵνα **μηδ' ἀποθανόντες** λάθωσιν;

what are the many Myriads of lines **about Metrodorus** – **about Aristobuolos** – **about Chairedemus** – **being written** and **composed industriously for?** So that **even having died** they may not escape notice?

ἢ **ἦν' ἀμνησίαν** Νομοθετῆς **ἀρετῇ**; – καὶ **ἀπραξίαν τέχνη**; – καὶ **σιωπὴν φιλοσοφία**; – καὶ **λήθην εὐπραγία**;

or **so that** You can institute **permissiveness to virtue?** – and **inactivity in art?** – and **silence in philosophy?** – and **inattention to success?**

Regarding added "international" aspect when referring to Egypt: when Epicurus was writing, Egypt was controlled by the Ptolemies and was more politically distinct than Asia (where control shifted several times during Epicurus lifetime).

ἡ ὑπόθεκη αἱ ὑποθήκαι	precepts	ΥΠΟΘΗΚΗ <i>placing under</i>
ἡ τράπεζα αἱ τράπεζαι	table	ΤΡΑΠΕΖΑ <i>three feet</i> <i>"tri-pod"</i>
ἐπιτήδειος <i>(α, ον)</i>	suitable	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΙΟΣ <i>towards this here</i>

καλός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	correct / beautiful	ΚΑΛΟΣ <i>lovely</i>
ή πρόσοδος αί προσόδοι	addition	ΠΡΟΣΟΔΟΣ <i>path toward</i>
ή ἄφοδος αί ἀφόδοι	departure	ΑΦΟΔΟΣ <i>path away</i>
ή σύνοδος αί σύνοδοι	gathering	ΣΥΝΟΔΟΣ <i>path together</i>
ή ἀταραξία αί ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ή πρᾶξις αί πράξεις	activity	ΠΡΑΞΙΣ <i>action</i>
ή ἀπραξία αί ἀπραξίαι	inactivity	ΑΠΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without action</i>
ή τέχνη αί τέχναι	art	ΤΕΧΝΗ <i>technique</i>

5. To Friends Living in Lampsacus

Πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Λαμψάκῳ Φίλους

1. Idomeneus & Leonteus: Lampsacenian Aristocracy

Strabo (fl.c. 25 BC), Geography, 13.1.19

ἐκ Λαμψάκου δὲ Χάρων τε ὁ συγγραφεὺς, καὶ Ἀδείμαντος, καὶ Ἀναξιμένης ὁ ῥήτωρ, καὶ Μητρόδωρος, ὁ τοῦ Ἐπικούρου ἐταῖρος

Charon the historian, and Adeimantus, and Anaximenes the rhetorician, and Metrodorus, the companion of Epicurus, [were] from Lampsacus

καὶ αὐτὸς δ’ Ἐπίκουρος τρόπον τινὰ Λαμψακηνὸς ὑπῆρξε: διατρίψας ἐν Λαμψάκῳ καὶ φίλοις χρησάμενος – τοῖς ἀρίστοις τῶν ἐν τῇ πόλει ταύτῃ – τοῖς περὶ Ἰδομενέα καὶ Λεοντέα

and Epicurus himself was in some way a Lampsacenian: having lived in Lampsacus and having engaged with friends – who were the most distinguished of those in this city – those around Idomeneus and Leonteus

έντεϋθεν δὲ μετήνεγκεν Ἀγρίππας "τὸν πεπτωκότα λέοντα" – Λυσίππου ἔργον:

[it was] from here that Agrippa transported "the Fallen Lion" – a work of Lýsippos

ὁ ἐταῖρος οἱ ἐταῖροι	companion	ἙΤΑΙΡΟΣ <i>comrade</i>
---------------------------------------	-----------	----------------------------------

[U108]

2. Funds for Lampsacenian Friends

[see U101] Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Wealth, Book 1, P.Herc. 163 col. 4 ⁽³⁵⁾

...[πεν]ίαν καὶ... ...[πεν]ίαν κακὸν... ...[ἐπὶ] Χαρίνου... [30 lines missing][λα]μβάνει [διὰ τὸ μη]δὲν ὅλως ἀπεργά[ζε]σθαι μένειν ἐπὶ χώρας ἐάσομεν· τὸ μὲν δὴ πρὸς τοῦ[ς] ἐν Λαμψάκῳ φί[λους ἐπ]ὶ Φιλίππου καὶ πάν[τως ἀ]ποδεξόμεθα· κα[ὶ] γὰρ οὐδὲ τὴν πενίαν εἴπε μόνον, ἀλλὰ τὰς ἐ[ργα]σίας [κ]αὶ λατρείας...

...πενίαν καὶ... ...πενίαν κακὸν... ...ἐπὶ Χαρίνου... " ...Λαμβάνει διὰ τὸ μηδὲν ὅλως ἀπεργάζεσθαι – μένειν ἐπὶ χώρας Ἐάσομεν!" τὸ μὲν δὴ πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Λαμψάκῳ φίλους ἐπὶ Φιλίππου "καὶ πάντως Ἀποδεξόμεθα – καὶ γὰρ οὐδὲ τὴν πενίαν Εἶπε μόνον, ἀλλὰ τὰς ἐργασίας καὶ λατρείας..."

...poverty and... ...poverty as an evil... ...under [the archonship in 308^{BCE}] of Charinus... [Epicurus wrote] "...He accepts it because of absolutely not working at all – We will allow him to remain in the countryside!" as for the matter concerning the friends in Lampsacus under [the archonship in 292^{BCE}] of Philippus [Epicurus wrote] "We will absolutely approve it – for He mentioned not only poverty, but also labors and services..."

[U109]

3. Discussing Leonteus

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 7


...κατὰ τὰ ἐν Κυζίκῳ... ...ἐπιμεληθεῖς ἐπ' Εὐθίου] δὲ περὶ τῆς αἰρέσεως αὐτοῦ διασαφεῖ τοῖς ἐν Λαμψάκῳ φίλοις ἐπιστέλλων· "ἠκούσαμεν γὰρ [ὅτι] δὴ Λεοντεὺς πάντα... ...συ[να]χθόμεθα τῇ παρὰ | τάνδρ[ος]... ...Λ[ε]οντε[ῖ] δέ..."

...κατὰ τὰ ἐν Κυζίκῳ... ...ἐπιμεληθεῖς ἐπ' Εὐθίου – δὲ περὶ τῆς αἰρέσεως αὐτοῦ Διασαφεῖ τοῖς ἐν Λαμψάκῳ φίλοις ἐπιστέλλων "ἠκούσαμεν γὰρ ὅτι δὴ Λεοντεὺς πάντα... ...Συναχθόμεθα τῇ παρὰ τάνδρὸς... ...Λεοντεῖ δέ..."

...according to those in Cyzicus... ...when it had been taken care of during [the archonship in 283^{BCE}] of Euthius – and [Epicurus decided] he will explain to his friends in Lampsacus about his choice, sending a letter [saying] "for We heard that indeed Leonteus [] everything... ...We will be gathered by the man's side... but for Leonteus..."

Epicurus mentions Cyzicus in another letter below – to Athenaeus, about Polyaeus (which references an unnamed man from Cyzicus). U115

Laertius reports that Epicurus called the *Cyzicenes* "the Enemies of Greece" – probably in reference to the students of Eudoxus of Cnidos (Κνίδος), the mathematical astronomer and associate of Plato, who is also cited by Aristotle. Eudoxus taught at Cyzicus (Κύζικος) and set up a school there. His teaching on pleasure where similar to Epicurus. He died right around when Epicurus was born, but left many influential pupils.



[U110]

4. Lampsacenan Youth

[see U107] Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), An Recte Dictum Sit Latenter Esse Vivendum, 1129 A

"μηδὲ τοὺς Λαμψακηνῶν ἐφήβους Δορυφόρει!"

"Do not keep guard over the youth of Lampsacus!"

[U111]

6. To the Philosophers in Mytilene
Πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Μυτιλήνῃ Φιλοσόφους

1. Established Pleasure

[=U1] Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.136

Διαφέρεται δὲ πρὸς τοὺς Κυρηναῖκους περὶ τῆς ἡδονῆς: Οἱ μὲν γὰρ τὴν κατασθηματικὴν οὐκ ἐγκρίνουσι, μόνην δὲ τὴν ἐν κινήσει – Ὅ δὲ ἀμφοτέραν: ψυχῆς καὶ σώματος (ὥς φησιν ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Αἰρέσεως* καὶ *Φυγῆς* καὶ ἐν τῷ *Περὶ Τέλους* καὶ ἐν τῷ πρώτῳ *Περὶ Βίων* καὶ ἐν τῇ πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Μυτιλήνῃ φιλοσόφους ἐπιστολῇ)

[*Epicurus*] differs from the Cyrenaics with regard to pleasure: [*the Cyrenaics*] do not include that [*pleasure*] which is established, but only that which consists in motion – He [*admits*] both: [*pleasure*] of mind and of body (as he states in his work *On Choice and Avoidance* and in that *On the Ethical End* and in the first book of his work *On Human Life* and in the Letter to the Philosophers in Mytilene)

[U112]

2. Mina within a Day – for the Shared Table

[=U145] *Diogenes Laertius* (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.7

Τιμοκράτης... τὸν τε Ἐπίκουρον πολλὰ κατὰ τὸν λόγον ἡγνοηκέναι καὶ πολὺ μᾶλλον κατὰ τὸν βίον, τό τε σῶμα ἐλεεινῶς διακεῖσθαι – ὥς πολλῶν ἐτῶν μὴ δύνασθαι ἀπὸ τοῦ φορείου διαναστῆναι – μνᾶν τε ἀναλίσκειν ἡμερησίαν εἰς τὴν τράπεζαν, ὥς Αὐτὸς ἐν τῇ πρὸς Λεόντιον ἐπιστολῇ γράφει καὶ ἐν τῇ πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Μυτιλήνῃ Φιλοσόφους

Timocrates [*also claims that*]... Epicurus was greatly ignorant in reasoning and even more so in life, that his body was in a pitiful condition – [*so much so*] that he was unable to rise from his portable chair for many years – and that he spent a mina within a day on his table, as he himself writes in a letter to Leontion and in the Letter to the Philosophers in Mytilene

ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
τὸ φορεῖον τὰ φορεῖα	portable chair	ΦΟΡΕΙΟΝ <i>instrument of carrying</i>
ἡ τράπεζα αἱ τράπεζαι	table	ΤΡΑΠΕΖΑ <i>three feet "tri-pod"</i>

A mina was equivalent to 100 drachmas.

A drachma was about 4.3 grams of silver and was an average daily wage for average labor and had the purchasing power of around \$50–\$150 during Epicurus lifetime. Still, a full meal with meat, cheese and wine could easily cost a drachma.

Therefore a mina had a silver price around \$500 but a purchasing power over ten times that, at least \$5,000. Epicurus probably only spent so much as a mina on special occasions when hosting many people.

If we compare this to Epicurus scheduled days for light eating, where Cicero says Epicurus "boasts of feeding himself on less than a full assarius." By the 1st century BCE (when Cicero was writing) the weight of the assarius was around 10 grams of bronze (which was equivalent to about 0.4 grams of silver). Therefore a mina would be about 1,000 assarii.

[U113]

3. Nausiphanes: The Broken Connection with Democritus

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.8 sup.

καὶ αὐτὸν Ἐπίκουρον ἐν ταῖς ἐπιστολαῖς περὶ Νουσιφάνους λέγειν "Ταῦτα ἤγαγεν αὐτὸν εἰς ἕκστασιν τοιαύτην – ὥστε μοι λιοδορεῖσθαι καὶ ἀποκαλεῖν διδάσκαλον"

[Timocrates claims]... also that Epicurus himself in his letters says of Nausiphanes "These things brought him into such an astonishment – that he yelled at me and called me a teacher"

πλεύμονά τε αὐτὸν ἑκάλει – καὶ ἀγράμματον, καὶ ἀπατεῶνα, καὶ πόρνην

[Epicurus] used to call him a jellyfish – and illiterate, and a swindler, and a prostitute

Nausiphanes (Ναυσιφάνης, meaning "appearing on a ship," fl.c. 310 BCE) was a direct student of Pyrrho and (through texts or tradition) a student of Democritus.

Nausiphanes became a Skeptic and a Rhetor.

The phrasing "to yell at and call (λοιδορεῖσθαι καὶ ἀποκαλεῖν)" suggests that by Nausiphanes calling his student, Epicurus, "a teacher" he was being ironic or insulting.

In ancient Greece, "companions (ἑταῖροι)" were Greek women skilled in conversation, music, and philosophy – and were often wealthier than an average citizen.

On the other hand, "prostitutes (πόρναι)" were often foreign and were involved direct transactional sexual relationships with little social status or independence.

διδάσκειν	to teach	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΕΙΝ teaching
ὁ διδάσκαλος οἱ διδάσκαλοι	teacher	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΑΛΟΣ person related to teaching
οἱ πλεύμονες	lungs also, "sea-lungs," i.e., jellyfish	ΠΛΕΥΜΩΝ sides
ἡ γραμματικὴ αἱ γραμματικαί	grammar	ΓΡΑΜΜΑΤΙΚΗ characteristic of the result of writing
ἀγράμματος (ov)	illiterate	ΑΓΡΑΜΜΑΤΟΣ not the result of writing
ὁ ἀπατεῶν οἱ ἀπατεῶνες	swindler	ΑΠΑΤΕΩΝ deceiving agent
ἡ πόρνη αἱ πόρναι	prostitute	ΠΟΡΝΗ selling

[U114]

4. Nausiphanes: Student of Pyrrho, Oppressive Rhetorician, Jellyfish

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Grammarians, 4-5

Sextus Empiricus notes, at the very beginning of his "Against The Professors," that "the case against the educators for the professions has been set forth in a general way, it would seem, both by Epicurus and by the School of Pyrrho, although the standpoints they adopt are different."

τάχα δέ καὶ διὰ τὴν πρὸς τοὺς περὶ Πλάτωνα καὶ Ἀριστοτέλη καὶ τοὺς ὁμοίους δυσμένειαν – πολυμαθεῖς γεγονότας

perhaps [Epicurus made a case against professors] also because of his hostility towards those around Plato and Aristotle and their like – who were polymaths

οὐκ Ἀπέοικε δέ καὶ διὰ τὴν πρὸς Ναυσιφάνην (τὸν Πύρρωνος ἀκουστήν) ἔχθραν – πολλοὺς γὰρ τῶν νέων Συνεῖχε καὶ τῶν μαθημάτων σπουδαίως Ἐπεμελεῖτο – μάλιστα δέ ῥητορικῆς

It is not unlikely, too, that he was moved by his enmity against Nausiphanes (the disciple of Pyrrho) – who kept his hold on many of the young men and devoted himself earnestly the professions – especially to Rhetoric

γενόμενος οὖν τούτου μαθητῆς ὁ Ἐπίκουρος, ὑπὲρ τοῦ δοκεῖν αὐτοδίδακτος εἶναι καὶ αὐτοφυῆς φιλόσοφος ἡρνεῖτο ἐκ παντὸς τρόπου – τὴν τε περὶ αὐτοῦ φήμην ἐξαλείφειν Ἔσπευδε – πολὺς τε Ἐγίνετο τῶν μαθημάτων κατήγορος ἐν οἷς Ἐκεῖνος ἐσεμνύετο

Epicurus, then, having become one of this man's students, denied it in every way in order that he might be thought to be a self-taught and original philosopher – and he was eager to erase the fame of [Nausiphanes] – and he became a great critic of those professions in which [Nausiphanes] prided himself

Φησὶ γοῦν ἐν τῇ πρὸς τοὺς ἐν Μυτιλήνῃ φιλοσόφους ἐπιστολῇ "Οἶμαι δὲ ἔγωγε τοὺς Βαρυστόνους καὶ μαθητὴν Με δόξειν τοῦ Πλεύμονος εἶναι: μετὰ μειρακίων τινῶν κραιπαλόντων ἀκούσαντα" (νῦν "Πλεύμονα" καλῶν τὸν Ναυσιφάνην ὡς ἀναίσθητον)

thus [Epicurus] says in his Letter to the Philosophers in Mytilenê "I myself quite suppose that those Groaners even think that I am a disciple of the Jellyfish: because I listened [to him] among some intoxicated young men" (now calling Nausiphanes a "Jellyfish" as being without sense)

καὶ πάλιν, προβάς πολλά, τε κατειπὼν τάνδρὸς: Ὑπεμφαίνει τὴν ἐν τοῖς μαθήμασιν αὐτοῦ προκοπὴν, λέγων "καὶ γὰρ, πονηρὸς ἄνθρωπος ἦν – καὶ ἐπιτετηδευκῶς τοιαῦτα ἐξ ὧν οὐ Δυνατὸν εἰς σοφίαν ἐλθεῖν!" (αἰνισσόμενος τὰ μαθήματα)

and again, having advanced very far, and having said things about the man: [Epicurus] hints at [Nausiphanes'] progress in his professions, saying "for indeed, he was an oppressive man – and practiced such things out of which it is not possible to come to wisdom!" (hinting at the professions)

πλὴν, ὁ μὲν Ἐπίκουρος (ὡς ἂν Τις εἰκοβολῶν εἴποι) ἀπὸ τοιούτων τινῶν ἀφορμῶν πολεμεῖν τοῖς μαθήμασιν ἡξίου

however, (as Someone throwing out a guess might say [i.e., probably]) Epicurus thought it right to wage war on the professions on the basis of such pretexts

"Those Groaners" may refer to the followers of Theophrastus.

ὁ μαθηματικός οἱ μαθηματικοί	professor	ΜΑΘΗΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of the result of learning</i>
τὸ μάθημα τὰ μαθήματα	profession	ΜΑΘΗΜΑ <i>the result of learning</i>
ὁ βαρύστονος	the deep-voiced one [here, "loudmouth"]	ΒΑΡΥΣΤΟΝΟΣ <i>heavy tone</i>
οἱ πλεύμονες	lungs <i>also, "sea-lungs," i.e., a jellyfish</i>	ΠΛΕΥΜΩΝ <i>sides</i>
τὸ μειράκιον τὰ μειράκια	lad	ΜΕΙΡΑΚΙΟΝ <i>little young man</i>
κραιπαλῶν (ῶσα)	drunk	ΚΡΑΙΠΑΛΩΝ <i>achieve struggle</i>

[Σωκράτης] καὶ μὴν, ὡσαύτως, μνήμην μὴ κεκτημένον: Ἀνάγκη δήπου μὴδ’ ὅτι ποτὲ Ἔχαιρες μεμνήσθαι – τῆς τ’ ἐν τῷ παραχρῆμα ἡδονῆς προσπιπτούσης μὴδ’ ἦντινοῦν μνήμην ὑπομένειν!

[Socrates] and indeed, in the same way, not possessing memory: it would be necessity that you would not even recall that at some point you were glad – no memory of pleasure occurring in the moment remains!

δόξαν δ’ αὖ μὴ κεκτημένον ἀληθῆ: μὴ δοξάζειν χαίρειν – χαίροντα!

and again, not having a true judgment: you could not think that you were enjoying – while you were enjoying!

λογισμοῦ δὲ στερόμενον – μὴδ’ εἰς τὸν ἔπειτα χρόνον ὡς Χαιρήσεις Δυνατὸν εἶναι λογίζεσθαι: Ζῆν δὲ οὐκ ἀνθρώπου βίον ἀλλὰ τινος πλεύμονος ἢ τῶν (Ὅσα θαλάττια μετ’ ὀστρεῖνων ἔμψυχά ἐστι) σωμάτων

while deprived of reasoning – It would not be possible to calculate that You would enjoy it in the future: but Living [in this way] is not the life of a man but of some jellyfish or of other bodies (Whatever is marine life, like oysters)

The primary topic of the Philebus is pleasure. After Protarchus agrees that pleasure is all he wants, Socrates points out that Protarchus would also need memory and reason to enjoy pleasure – and therefore pleasure is not all Protarchus wants. In this way, Socrates thinks he has demonstrated that pleasure alone is not the highest good.

ὁ λογισμός οἱ λογισμοί	[process of] reasoning	ΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ action of collecting
λογίζεσθαι	to reason	ΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ action of collecting
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ seeming

To Individual People

7. To Athenaeus
Πρὸς Ἀθηναῖον
"The Athenian"

[U115]

Guardianship before Self-Navigation

Scriptor Epicureus, P.Herc. 176 fr. 5 col. 22

...λόγου[ς] καὶ κ[α]τὰ πάντας τρόπου[ς] ἐκπολυωρηθεὶς μέχρι ὅσου τὴν αὐτάρκη πρὸς τὸ [σ]εαυτὸν κυβερνᾶν [[αν]] ἢ λι<κι>αν ἔσχες. Ἀθηναίωι δέ· Πολυαίνου παραγεγονότος πρὸς ἡμᾶς, οὗ τὸν πατέρ[α] ὁμώνυμον οὐκ ἐφίλεις μόνον, ἀλλὰ [καὶ] ἐ[σέβο]υ συντραφεῖς, ὑ<π' αὐ>- τοῦ κα[ὶ] πρὸς [πά]ντα τὰ [χρ]ήσιμα τῷ(*) τέ[λει, οὐ μό]νον προαχθεῖ[ς ὃν εὔ γιν]ώσκεις τρόπ[ον κατὰ τῆ]ν ὄλην κηδεμονί[αν, ἀ]λλὰ δὴ καὶ ὑπὸ τοῦ... ...σύμβιον... ...Κυζ[ικηνῶι]...

"...λόγους – καὶ κατὰ πάντας τρόπους ἐκπολυωρηθεὶς, μέχρι ὅσου τὴν αὐτάρκη πρὸς τὸ σεαυτὸν κυβερνᾶν ἡλικίαν Ἔσχες."

"...reasonings – and according to all the thoroughly examined ways [of thinking], up to the point that You attained a self-sufficient age to navigate yourself."

See chart 10 (in the introduction) for a reconstructed list of over twenty τρόποι (ways of thinking) – divided into three sections: objective, speculative, and logical.

Ἀθηναίῳ δέ "Πολυαίνου παραγεγονότος πρὸς ἡμᾶς – οὗ τὸν πατέρα ὁμώνυμον οὐκ' Ἐφίλεις μόνον, ἀλλὰ καὶ Ἑσέβου, συντραφεῖς ὑπ' αὐτοῦ – καὶ πρὸς πάντα τὰ χρήσιμα τῷ τέλει, οὐ μόνον προαχθεῖς ὃν εὖ Γινώσκεις τρόπον κατὰ τὴν ὄλην κηδεμονίαν, ἀλλὰ δὴ καὶ ὑπὸ τοῦ... ...σύμβιον... ...Κυζικηνῶ..."

but to Athenaeus "when Polyaeus had arrived with us – whose same-named father You not only loved, but You also revered, having been nurtured together by him – [he arrived] also with all the useful things for fulfillment, not only having been led forward in the way You are coming to well know, according to [your] entire guardianship, but even by... ...the spouse... ...for the Cyzicene..."

It seems that Epicurus is writing a letter to Athenaeus – who was a childhood friend of Polyaeus' father, Polyaeus the Elder.

Epicurus also mentioned the city of Cyzicus in a letter above, where Leonteus is the topic of the letter. ^{U109}

ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ manner / direction
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ envisioning
ἡ τιμωρία αἱ τιμωρίαι	vengeance	ΤΙΜΩΡΙΑ guarding honor
ἐκπολυωρεῖν	to thoroughly examine	ΕΚΠΟΛΥΩΡΕΙΝ much guarding out
μέχρι	up to	ΜΕΧΡΙ until
ὅσος (η, ον)	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ however great
μέχρι ὅσου	up to the point that	
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ beginning under (before)
ἀνύπαρκτος (ον)	non-existent	ΑΝΥΠΑΡΚΤΟΣ not beginning before
ὑπαρκτός (ή, όν)	existent	ΥΠΑΡΚΤΟΣ beginning before
αὐτοτελῶς	independently	ΑΥΤΟΤΕΛΩΣ self-goal
αὐτάρκης (ες)	self-sufficient	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΗΣ self-sufficing
κυβερνᾶν	to navigate	ΚΥΒΕΡΝΑΝ non-Indo-European nautical term
ἡ ἡλικία αἱ ἡλικίαι	age	ἩΛΙΚΙΑ comrade
Part 2		
Πολύαινος	Polyaeus	ΠΟΛΥΑΙΝΟΣ much praise
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being
παραγίγνεσθαι	to arrive	ΠΑΡΑΓΙΓΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being across
ὁ πατήρ οἱ πατέρες	father	ΠΑΤΗΡ father
τό ὄνομα τά ὀνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ name

ὁμώνυμος <i>(ον)</i>	same-named	ὍΜΩΝΥΜΟΣ
φιλεῖν	to love	ΦΙΛΕΙΝ <i>loving</i>
σέβεσθαι	to revere	ΣΕΒΕΣΘΑΙ <i>yielding to</i>
τρέφειν	to nurture	ΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>raising</i>
ἐντρέφεσθαι	to be nurtured in	ΕΝΤΡΕΦΕΣΘΑΙ <i>raising in</i>
συντρέφειν	to nurture together	ΣΥΝΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ <i>raising together</i>
χρήσιμος <i>(ον)</i>	useful	ΧΡΗΣΙΜΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
τό χρήσιμον τά χρήσιμα	useful things	ΧΡΗΣΙΜΟΝ <i>necessary</i>
τὸ τέλος τὰ τέλη	fulfillment	ΤΕΛΟΣ <i>goal</i>
ἄγειν	to lead	ΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going</i>
προαχθεῖς	having been led forward	ΠΡΟΑΧΘΕΙΣ <i>went before</i>
γινώσκειν = γιννώσκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
ὅλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	entire	ὍΛΟΣ <i>whole</i>
ἡ κηδεμονία αἱ κηδεμονίαι	guardianship	ΚΗΔΕΜΟΝΙΑ <i>charge / care</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
τό σύμβιον τά σύμβια	spouse / partner	ΣΥΜΒΙΟΝ <i>life together</i>

[U116]

8. To Anaxarchus
Πρὸς Ἀναξάρχον
"Ruler of Kings"

Continuous Pleasures vs. Empty Virtues

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1117A fin.

Ὅς, ἐν τῇ πρὸς Ἀνάξαρχον ἐπιστολῇ, ταυτὶ γέγραφεν "Ἐγὼ δ' ἐφ' ἡδονὰς
συνεχεῖς παρακαλῶ – καὶ οὐκ ἐπ' ἀρετάς κενὰς καὶ ματαίας καὶ ταραχώδεις
ἐχούσας τῶν καρπῶν τὰς ἐλπίδας"

[Epicurus] who, in the letter to Anaxarchus, wrote this "I encourage toward
continuous pleasures – and not toward virtues which hold hopes of fruits
[that are] empty and pointless and disturbing"

ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἭΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
συνεχής <i>(ές)</i>	continuous	ΣΥΝΕΧΗΣ <i>holding together</i>
παρακαλεῖν	to encourage	ΠΑΡΑΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>summoning beside</i>
ἡ ἀρετή αἱ ἀρεταί	virtue	ΑΡΕΤΗ <i>excellence</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
μάταιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	pointless	ΜΑΤΑΙΟΣ <i>folly</i>

ταραχώδης <i>(ης, ες)</i>	disturbing	ΤΑΡΑΧΩΔΗΣ <i>agitating</i>
ὁ καρπός οἱ καρποί	fruit	ΚΑΡΠΟΣ <i>harvest</i>
ἡ ἐλπίς αἱ ἐλπίδες	hope	ΕΛΠΙΣ <i>expecting</i>

[U117]

9. To Apelles
Πρὸς Ἀπελλῆν

Good to Avoid the Professions

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 12, 1094D

Ἀπελλῆν δέ **τινα** θαυμάζοντες καὶ ὑπερασπαζόμενοι, Γράφουσιν ὅτι – **τῶν μαθημάτων** ἀποσχόμενος **ἐξ ἀρχῆς** – **καθαρὸν ἑαυτὸν** Ἐτήρησε

admiring and embracing **a certain Apelles**, they write that – because he kept himself far away **from the professions** from the **beginning** – he kept **himself clear**

For "**clear**" consider "if someone is not proceeding *towards all these [most pivotal topics] through the precise details according to each part* – *he will [nevertheless] acquire unmatched fullness in comparison to the rest of humanity: for indeed he will also by himself make many of the fully precise details clear (καθαρά) according to each part*" (Lives 10.83a, Letter to Herodotus)

τὸ μάθημα τὰ μαθήματα	profession	ΜΑΘΗΜΑ <i>the result of learning</i>
καθαίρειν	to clarify	ΚΑΘΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>cleansing</i>
ἡ κάθαρσις αἱ καθάρσεις	clarification	ΚΑΘΑΡΣΙΣ <i>cleansing</i>
καθαρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	clear	ΚΑΘΑΡΟΣ <i>cleaning</i>

Burden of Conventional Education

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), Deipnosophists, 13.53

καὶ πρῶτον μὲν, Μνησθήσομαι τοῦ φιλαληθεστάτου Ἐπικούρου: "Ὅστις ἐγκυκλίου παιδείας **ἀμύητος** ὦν – Ἐμακάριζε καὶ **τοὺς ὁμοίως αὐτῷ ἐπὶ φιλοσοφίαν παρερχομένους** – **τοιαύτας φωνὰς** προιέμενος:

and first, I shall recall the most truth-loving Epicurus: who, though he was **uninitiated** in general education – he considered **those people** blessed **who were proceeding** toward philosophy **similarly to himself** – uttering **such words**:

"Μακαρίζω **σε, ὦ οὗτος**, ὅτι – **καθαρὸς πάσης παιδείας** – **ἐπὶ φιλοσοφίαν ὤρμησαι!**"

"I bless **you, O dear one**, because – **clear of all education** – You have rushed toward philosophy!"

ὅθεν αὐτὸν καὶ ὁ Τίμων φησὶν "**γραμμαδιδασκαλίδην ἀναγωγότατον ζώντων**"

on which account Timon calls **him** "**the most untrained little grammar-teacher living**"

Timon of Phlasiā (Τίμων ὁ Φλιάσιος, fl. c. 280 BCE) was a student of Pyrrho. He wrote "satiric dramas (thirty comedies and sixty tragedies), lampoons, and obscene verse." (Lives 9.110)

He traveled around the Hellespont, Lampsacus, and Chalcedon (Istanbul) as a sophist, where "he increased his reputation still further and, having made his fortune, went to Athens, where he lived until his death" (Lives 9.109)

Also "he was known to King Antigonus [II Gonatas] and to Ptolemy [II] Philadelphus" (Lives 9.110)

φιλεῖν	to love	ΦΙΛΕΙΝ loving
τᾶληθές = τὸ ἄληθές τὰ ἄληθῆ	what is true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ not hidden
φιλαληθέστατος (η, ον)	most truth-loving	ΦΙΛΑΛΗΘΕΣΤΑΤΟΣ loving what is not hidden
ἡ μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ condition from greatness
μακαρίζειν	to bless	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΖΕΙΝ greatness action
καθαρός (ά, όν)	clear	ΚΑΘΑΡΟΣ cleaning
ἡ παιδεία αἱ παιδεῖαι	education	ΠΑΙΔΕΙΑ child
ἡ σοφία αἱ σοφίαι	wisdom	ΣΟΦΙΑ knowledge
ὁ φιλόσοφος οἱ φιλόσοφοι	philosopher	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΟΣ loving knowledge
ἡ φιλοσοφία	philosophy	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΙΑ loving knowledge
ὀρμᾶν	to rush	ὈΡΜΑΝ setting in motion

[U118]

10. To Apollonides
Πρὸς Ἀπολλωνίδην
"Son of Apollo"

Frank Criticism for the Powerful
(If They Ask)

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Frank Criticism, P.Herc. 1471 fr. 73

...[νουθε]τεῖν, ἐπειδὴ [προσηκόν]τω[ς δια]τίθεται, καθάπερ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἐπ[ιφο]ράς τι[ι]ν[ας] πρὸς Ἀπο[λλ]ωνίδην ἐπόησεν, ὥστε καὶ τοι[αῦτ'] αἰ[ι]τιώμε[νος, ἐ]άν [γ' ἄλη]θινὸς ᾗ, π[είθειν ἄλλους] δ' οἰκειῶσαι * πολλὰ [τε, κᾶ]ν ὄντες μεγάλοι προσ[επέ]λθωσι[ν, ὥ]σπερ ἀν[α]ξιοπαθήσαντες καὶ [ἀπάντησιν κυ]νικω[τέραν] ἐπιφωνοῦντες]...

...νουθετεῖν, ἐπειδὴ προσηκόντως Διατίθεται – καθάπερ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἐπιφοράς τινας πρὸς Ἀπολλωνίδην ἐποίησεν:

...to admonish [him], since he is appropriately disposed – just as Epicurus made certain implications against Apollonides:

ὥστε – καὶ τοιαῦτ' αἰτιώμενος, ἐάν γ' ἄληθινὸς ᾗ – πείθειν ἄλλους δ' οἰκειῶσαι πολλὰ: τε κᾶν ὄντες **Μεγάλοι** προσεπέλθωσιν – ὥσπερ ἀναξιοπαθήσαντες, καὶ ἀπάντησιν Κυνικωτέραν ἐπιφωνοῦντες...

so that – even while accusing [Apollonides] of such things, although [Epicurus] is truthful [in his frank criticism] – he could persuade others and make them familiar with many things [i.e., the teaching of the school]: even if those who are great come forward – as though having suffered indignities, and they call out for a more Cynic-like [i.e. brutally honest] response...

We hear of Apollonides again below "and Polyaeus too was such a man, who (even when Apollonides was being negligent) went frequently to Epicurus [to disclose his faults to Epicurus]..." [U170]

Presumably Apollonides was being negligent about frankly disclosing his faults (αἱ ἁμαρτίαι).

ἡ ἐπιφορά
αἱ ἐπιφοραί

application
[here, "implication"]

ΕΠΙΦΟΡΑ
carrying upon

[U119]

11. To Aristobuolos

Πρὸς Ἀριστόβουλον

"Best Counsel" Brother of Epicurus

Epicurus reports about the Macedonian Court
(Antigonus Gonatas' respect for Zeno of Citium)

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 7.6 & 10 (Zeno of Citium)

ἀπεδέχετο δ' αὐτὸν καὶ Ἀντίγονος, καὶ – εἴ ποτ' Ἀθήναζε ἦκοι: Ἦκουεν αὐτοῦ
πολλά τε Παρεκάλει ἀφικέσθαι ὡς αὐτόν. Ὅ δὲ τοῦτο μὲν παρητήσατο...

and Antigonus [Gonatas] esteemed [Zeno of Citium], and – whenever [Gonatas] came to Athens: He would hear [Zeno] lecture and He often invited [Zeno] to come to him. [Zeno] declined this [offer]...

...Ἀπέστειλε δὲ Περσαῖον καὶ Φιλωνίδην τὸν Θηβαῖον – ὧν ἀμφοτέρων Ἐπίκουρος μνημονεύει ὡς συνόντων Ἀντιγόνῳ ἐν τῇ πρὸς Ἀριστόβουλον τὸν ἀδελφὸν ἐπιστολῇ

...but instead [Zeno] sent Persaeus and Philonides the Theban [to Gonatas] – both of whom Epicurus mentions in his letter to his brother Aristobuolos as associating with Antigonus [Gonatas]

Antigonus Gonatas said in his letter to Zeno of Citium that "...clearly you will not be the instructor of myself alone, but of all the Macedonians taken together. For it is obvious that whoever instructs the ruler of Macedonia and guides him in the paths of virtue will also be training his subject to be good men..." (Lives 7.7)

Epicurus clearly took some interest in the fact that agents of Zeno were now prominent in the Macedonian court with the son of Demetrius Poliorcetes.

[U120]

12. To Dositheus

Πρὸς Δωσίθεον

"God's Gift"

Sadness is not as Harmful as Cruelty or Rage

[part of U27] Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1101A,B

τοῖς ἀναιροῦσι λύπας καὶ δάκρυα καὶ στεναγμούς ἐπὶ ταῖς τῶν φίλων τελευταῖς, Μάχονται καὶ Λέγουσι

those who remove distress and tears and sighs over the deaths of friends, they oppose and say that

"τὴν εἰς τὸ ἀπαθὲς καθεστῶσαν ἀλυπίαν ὑφ' ἑτέρου κακοῦ μείζονος ὑπάρχειν – ὠμότητος ἢ δοξοκοπίας ἀκράτου καὶ λύσσης – διὸ, Πάσχειν τι βέλτιον εἶναι: καὶ Λυπεῖσθαι καὶ – νῆ Δία! – Λιπαίνειν τοὺς ὀφθαλμοὺς καὶ Τήκεσθαι"

"impassivity established in apathy exists from another greater evil – of cruelty or of unrestrained vanity and of rage – therefore, to experience something is better: and to be distressed and – by Zeus! – to anoint the eyes and to melt"

καὶ – ὅσα δὴ παθαινόμενοι καὶ γράφοντες – ὕγροί Τινες εἶναι καὶ φιλικοὶ δοκοῦσι!

indeed – while experiencing and writing such things – they seem to be moist [i.e., tipsy] and friendly!

ταῦτα γὰρ ἐν ἄλλοις τε πολλοῖς Ἐπίκουρος εἶρηκε – καὶ περὶ τῆς Ἥγησιάνακτος τελευτῆς πρὸς Δωσίθεον τὸν πατέρα γράφων καὶ Φύρσωνα τὸν ἀδελφόν, τοῦ τεθνηκότος: ἔναγχος γὰρ κατὰ τύχην τὰς ἐπιστολὰς Διήλθον αὐτοῦ...

for Epicurus has said these things in many other contexts – and also while writing about the death of Hegesianax to Dositheus, the father, and to Phyrson [of Colophon], the brother of the deceased: for just recently, by chance, I went through his letters...

τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience (feeling)	ΠΑΘΟΣ enduring
ἡ ἀπάθεια αἱ ἀπάθειαι	absence of experience	ΑΠΑΘΕΙΑ not experiencing
ἀπαθής (ές)	apathetic	ΑΠΑΘΗΣ not experiencing
τὸ ἀπαθές τὰ ἀπαθῆ	apathy "the apathetic state"	ΑΠΑΘΕΣ not experiencing
καταστηματικός (ή, όν)	established	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΗΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ characteristic of thoroughly standing
καθιστάναι	to establish	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ thoroughly standing
λυπηρός (ά, όν)	distressing	ΛΥΠΗΡΟΣ vexation
ἡ λύπη αἱ λύπαι	distress	ΛΥΠΗ vexation
ἡ ἀλυπία αἱ ἀλυπῖαι	impassivity	ΑΛΥΠΙΑ no vexation
λυπεῖσθαι	to be distressed	ΛΥΠΕΙΣΘΑΙ vexation
ἡ ἀπονία αἱ ἀπονίαι	non-suffering	ΑΠΟΝΙΑ no toil
ἡ ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξῖαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ without agitating
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ beginning under (before)
ἡ ὠμότης αἱ ὠμότητες	cruelty	ΩΜΟΤΗΣ condition from being savage / raw
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ seeming
ἡ δοξοκοπία αἱ δοξοκοπῖαι	vanity	ΔΟΞΟΚΟΠΙΑ striving toward seeming
κρατεῖν	to prevail	ΚΡΑΤΕΙΝ power

ἄκρατος (οῦ)	unrestrained	ΑΚΡΑΤΟΣ <i>no power</i>
ἡ λύσσα αἱ λύσσαι	rage	ΛΥΣΣΑ <i>fury / frenzy</i>
πάσχειν	to experience	ΠΑΣΧΕΙΝ <i>enduring</i>
ἀγαθός (ή, όν)	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
βελτίων (ων, ον)	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ <i>greater</i>
λιπαίνειν	to anoint	ΛΙΠΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>fat / oil</i>
ὁ ὀφθαλμός οἱ ὀφθαλμοί	eye	ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΣ <i>eye chamber</i>
κατ' ὀφθαλμούς	directly observable	
τήκεσθαι	to melt	ΤΗΚΕΣΘΑΙ <i>melt down / pine away</i>

[U121]

13. To Hermarchus

Πρὸς Ἑρμάρχον

"Leader guided by Hermes"

1. Leontion Consorting in the Gardens

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), *Deipnosophists*, 13.53

οὗτος οὖν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος οὐ **Λεόντιον** εἶχεν **ἐρωμένην** – **τὴν** ἐπὶ **ἐταιρείᾳ** **διαβόητον** **γενομένην**;

well did not this same Epicurus have **Leontion** as **a mistress** – **the woman** **who had become notorious** as a courtesan?

“Ἡ, δὲ **οὐδ’** – ὅτε φιλοσοφεῖν”Ἡρξατο – **ἐπαύσατο ἐταιροῦσα**: **πᾶσι** δὲ **τοῖς** **Ἐπικουρείοις** **Συνῆν ἐν τοῖς Κήποις**!

Who, did **not** – when she began to philosophize – **cease consorting**: but she was with **all the Epicureans** in the **Gardens**!

Ἐπικούρῳ δὲ, καὶ **ἀναφανδόν!** ὥστ’ **ἐκείνον** – **πολλὴν φροντίδα ποιούμενον** **αὐτῆς** – **τοῦτ’ ἐμφανίζειν** διὰ τῶν **πρὸς**”**Ερμαρχον ἐπιστολῶν**

and **for Epicurus**, **openly** too! so that **he** – **while taking much care** for her – **reveals this** in the letters **to Hermarchus**

It is notable that we have "*The Gardens* (**οἱ Κῆποι**)" given in the plural.

We know that the "Little Lioness" Leontion wrote a book against Theophrastus. She was the wife of Metrodorus and may also have been the mother of Metrodorus' son, who was named after Epicurus.

ἡ ἐρωμένη αἱ ἐρωμέναι	mistress [i.e., female lover]	ΕΡΩΜΕΝΗ <i>having been loving</i>
ὁ ἐταῖρος οἱ ἐταῖροι	companion	ἙΤΑΙΡΟΣ <i>comrade</i>
ἡ ἐταιρεία αἱ ἐταιρεῖαι	courtesan	ἙΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ <i>comrade</i>
ἐταιρεῖν	to consort	
Ἐπικούρειος (α, ον)	Epicurean	ΕΠΙΚΟΥΡΕΙΟΣ <i>running toward</i> [to assist]

ὁ κῆπος οἱ κῆποι	garden	ΚΗΠΟΣ <i>plantation</i>
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
ἀναφαίνειν	to display	ΑΝΑΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining out</i>
ἀναφανδόν	visibly [here, "openly"]	
ἐμφαίνειν	to reveal	ΕΜΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining in</i>

[U122]

2. Happy, Although in Pain

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.31.88

Ordiāmur **ab eō (sī Placet) quem mollem, quem voluptārium** Dīcimus. Quid?

Let us begin **with him (if it pleases) whom** we call **soft, whom** we call **pleasure-loving**. Why?

Is **tibī mortemne** vidētur aut **dolōrem** timēre? Quī **eum diem, quō morītur, "beātum"** appellat – **maxumisque dolōribus** adfectus, **eōs ipsōs inventōrum suōrum memoriā** et **recordātiōne** confūtat? nec haec **sīc** agit, **ut ex tempore quasi effuttīre** videātur

does he seem **to you** to fear **death or pain**? He who calls **that day, on which he was dying, "happy"** – and, **afflicted by the greatest pains**, refutes **those very pains** through the memory and **recollection of his discoveries**? nor does he do **this in such** a way **that** he seems **as if he is babbling** at random

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), De Finibus, 2.30.96 (refuting "Torquatus")

Audī **(nē longē Abeām!) moriēns** quid dicat Epicūrus – ut Intellegās **facta eius cum dictīs discrepāre**:

Listen **(so that I do not stray too far!)** to what Epicurus said **while dying** – so that you can understand that **his actions disagree** with his words:

"Epicūrus **Hērmarchō, salūtem**. cum Agerēmus" Inquit "**vītae beātum et eundem suprēmum diem**, Scribēbāmus **haec**. tantī autem aderant **vēsicae et torminum Morbī** – ut Nihil **ad eōrum magnitūdinem** posset accēdere"

"Epicurus **to Hermarchus, hello**. as we were spending" he says "**a happy day** and also **the last of my life**, we were writing **this**. so great, however, were the Diseases **of the bladder** and **of colic** – that Nothing could come near to **their severity**"

miserum hominem! (sī Dolor Summum Malum est – dīcī Aliter nōn potest)

miserable man! (if Pain is **the Chef Evil** – there is nothing else that can be said)

sed Audiāmus **ipsum "Compēnsābātur"** inquit "**tamen, cum hīs omnibus, animī laetitiā quam** Capiēbam **memoriā ratiōnum inventōrumque nostrōrum"**

but let us hear **the man himself** "It was being compensated" he says "however, **along with all these [pains]**, by the gladness of mind **which** I was taking **in the memory of our reasonings** and **discoveries**"

"sed Tū, ut **dignum est tuā – ergā mē et philosophiam – voluntāte, ab adolēscutulō susceptā: fac ut Metrodōrī tueāre liberōs"**

"but you, as is worthy of your goodwill – toward me and philosophy – that was taken up from youth: see to it that you protect Metrodorus' children"

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 2.19.45

Omittāmus hunc igitur nihil prorsus dīcentem, Cōgāmusque cōnfitērī nōn esse ab eō dolōris remedia quaerenda Quī dolōrem malōrum omnium maximum dīxerit – quamvīs Idem forticulum sē in terminibus et in strangūriā suā praebeat

Let us therefore disregard this man who says absolutely nothing, and let us compel him to confess that remedies for pain are not to be sought from one who has called pain the greatest of all evils – even though the same man presents himself as rather brave in his colic and strangury

3. Blocking Fortune's Entry Points

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.9.26

Nihil gravius, nihil philosophiā dignius: nisi idem hoc ipsum – honestē, sapienter, iūstē – ad VOLUPTATEM, Referret. Quid melius quam "fortūnam exiguam intervenīre sapienti"?

Nothing [would be] more serious, nothing more worthy of philosophy: if only he did not refer this very thing itself – [i.e., living] honestly, wisely, justly – to PLEASURE. What is better than [his saying] "a slight fortune intervenes upon the wise"?

The beginning of PD16 is "in a few things, chance intrudes upon the wise man (βραχέα, σοφῷ Τύχη παρεμπίπτει)"

sed hoc Isne dīcit? Quī, cum dolōrem nōn modo maximum malum, sed solum malum etiam Dīxerit – tōtō corpore opprimī possit dolōribus acerrumīs tum cum maximē contrā fortūnam gloriētur?

but is it this man who says this? He who, after having called pain not only the greatest evil, but even the only evil – can be overwhelmed in his whole body by the sharpest pains at the moment when he most boasts against fortune?

quod idem meliōribus etiam verbīs Metrodōrus: "Occupāvī tē" inquit, "Fortūna, atque Cēpī omnīsque aditūs tuōs Interclūsī – ut ad mē āspirāre nōn Possēs"

which the same Metrodorus expressed in even better words: "I have taken hold of you" he says "Fortune, and I have captured and blocked all your entrances – so that you cannot breathe on me"

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.26.74

nec vērō illā sibi remedia comparāvit ad tolerandum dolōrem – firmitātem animī, turpitūdinis verecundiam, exercitātiōnem consuetūdinemque patiendī, praecepta fortitūdinis, dūritiām virilem – sed ūnā sē Dīcit recordātiōne adquiescere praeteritārum voluptātium:

nor indeed in that way did [Epicurus] acquire for himself the remedies for enduring pain – strength of mind, a sense of shame before disgrace, the practice and habit of enduring, the precepts of fortitude, manly toughness – but he says that he finds rest in a single recollection of past pleasures:

ut sī Quis, aestuāns, cum vim calōris nōn facile Patiātur, recordārī Velit sēsē aliquandō in Arpinātī nostrō gelidīs flūminibus circumfūsum fuisse!

as if someone, sweltering, when he cannot easily bear the force of the heat, should wish to recall that he had at one time been surrounded in the cold rivers of our Arpinum!

Cicero was born in Arpinum (60 miles southeast of Rome).

Epicurus never denied the reality of pain – he proved that even at its worst, the memory of pleasure could still hold the soul steady. He did not clench his fists against circumstance – he smiled back, remembering why life had been worth it.

14. To a Courtesan

Metrodorus & Leontion

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.6

...καθά φησι Θεόδωρος ἐν τῷ τετάρτῳ τῶν Πρὸς Ἐπίκουρον – καὶ ἄλλαις δὲ
πολλαῖς ἐταίραις γράφειν, καὶ μάλιστα Λεοντίῳ, ἧς καὶ Μητρόδωρον ἐρασθῆναι

...just as Theodorus says in the fourth book of his work *Against Epicurus* –
and that [*Epicurus*] wrote to many other courtesans, especially to Leontion,
whom Metrodorus also loved

All evidence points to this referring to Theodorus of Cyrene – famously called "the Atheist" – as the Theodorus who wrote the polemic *Against Epicurus* (in at least four books). He was a younger contemporary of Epicurus and a prominent Cyrenaic hedonist who often polemicized against rival views.

Consider: "The Theadoreans derived their name from Theodorus, who has already been mentioned, and adopted his doctrines. Theodorus was a man who utterly rejected the current belief in the gods. And I have come across a book of his entitled *Of the Gods* which is not contemptible. From that book, they say, Epicurus borrowed most of what he wrote on the subject." (D.L. 2.97)

To the extent that Epicurus and Theodorus were speaking about the conventional ideas about the gods, their material could have been very similar – yet clearly, on the fine points, they fundamentally disagreed.

ἡ ἐταιρεία αἱ ἐταιρεῖαι	courtesan	ἙΤΑΙΡΕΙΑ comrade
ἐρᾶν + gen.	to love	ΕΡΑΝ resting

[U123]

15. To Eurylochus

Πρὸς Εὐρύλοχον

"Far-Reaching Defender"

Nausiphanes and Praxiphanes: Obstacles, Not Guides

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.13

τοῦτον Ἀπολλόδωρος ἐν Χρονικοῖς Ναυσιφάνους ἀκοῦσαί φησι καὶ
Πραξιφάνους – Αὐτὸς δὲ οὐ φησιν, ἀλλ' ἑαυτοῦ, ἐν τῇ πρὸς Εὐρύλοχον ἐπιστολῇ

Apollodorus in his *Chronology* says that [*Epicurus*] was a student of
Nausiphanes and Praxiphanes – but, in his letter to Eurylochus, he himself
does not say this, but that [*he was a student*] of himself

Apollodorus "of Athens" (fl.c. 140 BCE) was educated by the Stoic Scholarch Diogenes of Babylon (who, as we know, was on friendly terms with Philonides of Laodicea). Apollodorus' "Chronology" is a historical account covering events from the Trojan War to his own era. Epicurus remained influential enough, even 130 years after his death, to merit inclusion in a general history of Greece.

He is probably the same person as Apollodorus the Epicurean – the so-called "Tyrant of the Garden" (Κηποτύραννος)"

Nausiphanes (**Ναυσιφάνης**, meaning "*appearing on a ship*," *fl.c.* 310 ^{BCE}) was a Pyrrhonian Skeptic and Rhetor. He drew scientific ideas from Democritus and argued that political honor comes from persuasive skill, which he linked to scientific knowledge.

Praxiphanes (**Πραξιφάνης**, meaning "*showing results*," *fl.c.* 300 ^{BCE}) was a Peripatetic and Grammarian – a pupil of Theophrastus and "showed his results" as a pioneer in grammar. Praxiphanes thus represents the Aristotelian tutelage Epicurus allegedly received.

This Eurylochus is otherwise unknown.

ἀκούειν	to hear [i.e., to be a student of]	ΑΚΟΥΕΙΝ <i>listening</i>
----------------	---------------------------------------	------------------------------------

[U124]

16. To Herodotus
Πρὸς Ἡρόδοτον
"Given by Hera"

Praise for Herodotus

Diogenes Laertius (*fl.c.* 220 ^{CE}), 10.5

...ἀλλὰ καὶ Ἰδομενέα, καὶ Ἡρόδοτον, καὶ Τιμοκράτην (τοὺς ἔκπυστα αὐτοῦ τὰ κρύφια ποιήσαντας) ἐγκωμιάζειν – καὶ **κολακεύειν** αὐτὸ τοῦτο

but [it is said that *Epicurus*] praised Idomeneus, and Herodotus, and Timocrates (the very ones who made his hidden teachings public) – and that he flattered this very thing

μαίνειν	to be mad	μαίνειν <i>raging</i>
ἀκούειν	to hear [i.e., to be a student of]	ΑΚΟΥΕΙΝ <i>listening</i>
κρύφιον (α, ον)	hidden	ΚΡΥΦΙΟΝ <i>concealed</i>
τὰ κρύφια	the hidden things	
ἔκπυστος (ον)	disclosed [here, "public"]	ΕΚΠΥΣΤΟΣ <i>learning out of</i>

17. To Themista
Πρὸς Θεμιστᾶν
"Order-keeper" "Of Themis"

1. Wife of Leonteus of Lampsacus

Diogenes Laertius (*fl.c.* 220 ^{CE}), 10.25

...Λεοντεύς τε Λαμψακηνὸς **ὁμοίως**, καὶ **ἡ τούτου γυνή Θεμίστα** – **πρὸς ἣν** καὶ γέγραφεν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος.

...likewise Leonteus of Lampsacus, and his wife Themista – to whom Epicurus also wrote.

[U125]

2. Going Where Themista Wishes

πρὸς δὲ Θεμίستان τὴν Λεοντέως γυναῖκα "Οἷός τε" Φησὶν "εἰμί, ἐὰν μὴ Ὑμεῖς πρὸς με ἀφίκησθε – αὐτὸς τρικύλιστος – ὅπου ἂν Ὑμεῖς καὶ Θεμίστα παρακαλῇτε ὠθεῖσθαι"

and to Themista, the wife of Leonteus, [Epicurus] says "I am able, if you all do not come to me – myself thrice-rolled* – to be pushed wherever you all and Themista may encourage"

* or, "myself thrice-twined"

Possibly meaning "crowned with three different plants" i.e., honored in different ways by Themista' compliments.

Consider Philonides of Laodicea – who was honored with three distinct wreaths (στέφανοι):

"...the people honored him: both [the elder] Philonides himself and his sons Philonides and Dicaearchus – with citizenship, and crowned them with (1) a wreath of laurel and again with (2) a golden wreath...
...to praise [the elder] Philonides of Laodicea and his sons Philonides and Dicaearchus, and to crown each of them with (3) a wreath of myrtle, which it is customary to use when crowning their own benefactors."

Οἷός τε εἰμί	I am able	idiomatic
ἡ γυνή αἱ γυναῖκες	woman	ΓΥΝΗ wife
ἀφικνεῖσθαι	to arrive [here, "come to"]	ΑΦΙΚΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ come towards
παρακαλεῖν	to encourage	ΠΑΡΑΚΑΛΕΙΝ summoning beside
ὠθεῖν	to push	ΩΘΕΙΝ striking
ὠθεῖσθαι	to be pushed	ΩΘΕΙΣΘΑΙ striking
ὁ στέφανος οἱ στέφανοι	wreath	ΣΤΕΦΑΝΟΣ encircling
κυλινδεῖν	to roll	ΚΥΛΙΝΔΕΙΝ turning over
κυλιστός (ή, όν) LSJ "twined in a circle, epithet of a kind of garland"	twined	ΚΥΛΙΣΤΟΣ turning over
ὁ κυλιστός οἱ κυλιστοί	a twine [wreath]	
τρικύλιστος (ον)	"thrice-rolled" "thrice-twined"	ΤΡΙΚΥΛΙΣΤΟΣ turning over three [times]
LSJ glosses this hapax legomenon as "easily influenced" (presuming a colloquialism from the context)		

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), The Learned Banqueters, 15.23

Εὕρισκω δὲ καί, παρὰ τοῖς κωμικοῖς, "κυλιστὸν" τινα καλούμενον στέφανον:

I find also, in the comic poets, a kind of wreath called "twined":

...ὁ τρίτος οὗτος δ' ἔχει σύκων κυλιστὸν στέφανον"...
..."περιφοραῖς κυκλούμενος – ὥσπερ κυλιστὸς στέφανος"...

..."This third man has a twined garland of fig-leaves"...
..."being turned into circles – just like a twined wreath"...

Τίς, οὖν οὗτος ὁ κυλιστός; Οἶδα γὰρ τὸν Θουατειρηνὸν Νίκανδρον, ἐν τοῖς Ἀττικοῖς Ὀνόμασι, λέγοντα τάδε 'ἐκκύλιστοι Στέφανοι –ηκαὶ μάλιστα οἱ ἐκ ῥόδων"

What, then, is this twine [*wreath*]? I am aware that **Nicander of Thyatira**, in his *Attic Words*, **says this** "fully-twined wreaths – and **especially** those [*made*] from roses"

Thus laurel, myrtle, rose, and fig plants were all used to form wreaths.

[U126]

3. Themista's Advice

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.5

...καὶ πάλιν, **πρὸς Θεμίستان** γράφων, **νομίζειν αὐτὴν παραινεῖν** – **καθὰ** φησι Θεόδωρος **ἐν τῷ τετάρτῳ τῶν Πρὸς Ἐπίκουρον**

....and again, writing **to Themista**, [*he is said*] **to have thought that she advises** – **just as** Theodorus [*the Cyrenaic*] says in the fourth book of his work *Against Epicurus*

*Some editors instead take **νομίζειν αὐτῇ παραινεῖν** "he thinks that he advises her"*

[U127]

4. Discussing Lycus

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 10 fin.

...περὶ τῆς Ἀθήνησιν αὐτοῦ παρουσίας Χαριδῆμωι γράφ[ων]· ἤκουσα Λύκ[ου] φησὶν (ἤκει γὰρ πρὸς ἡμᾶς) ὅτι ν[εα]νίσκον... ..Θεμίστ< > δ' ἐπὶ Φιλίππου· Ἄλυκον φῆ[ς] πιστ[ὸν] εὐτακτόν... ..κατ' αὐτὰ παρακ[αλοῦ]ντα...'

...περὶ τῆς Ἀθήνησιν αὐτοῦ παρουσίας **Χαριδῆμωι** γράφων· **"Ἦκουσα Λύκου"** Φησὶν **"Ἦκει γὰρ πρὸς ἡμᾶς" – ὅτι νεανίσκον..."**

...writing **to Charidemus** about his presence in Athens, [*Epicurus*] says "I heard of Lycus, for he has come to us – that **the young man...**"

...**Θεμίστι δ' ἐπὶ Φιλίππου "Λύκον** Φῆς πιστόν, εὐτακτόν... ..κατ' αὐτὰ παρακαλοῦντα..."

...and **to Themista** during [*the archonship in 292 BCE*] of Philippus "You say **Lycus is trustworthy, well-ordered...** ...**inviting** in accordance with those very things..."

*Here we have **Charidemus (Χαρίδημος)** "Grace of the People" – which is distinct from Epicurus' brother, who was named **Chairedemus (Χαιρέδημος)** "Joy of the People."*

18. To Idomeneus

Πρὸς Ἰδομενέα
"Strength of Mount Ida"

1. Praise for Idomeneus

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.25

...πρὸς ἣν καὶ γέγραφεν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος: ἔτι τε Κολώτης καὶ **Ἰδομενεύς**, καὶ αὐτοὶ **Λαμψακηνοί**

...to whom Epicurus also wrote: and likewise Colotes and **Idomeneus** – both themselves Lampsacians

[U128]

...ἀλλὰ καὶ Ἰδομενέα... ...ἐγκωμιάζειν

...but also [it is said that Epicurus] praised Idomeneus...

[U129]

2. Dangers of Frankness with the Powerful

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Frank Criticism, P.Herc. 1471, fr. 72, col. 179

...διὸ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος πρὸς Ἰδομενέα γράφει μέχρι τούτου ζῆν εὖ[χ]εσθαι· * καὶ παραδείξει πόσοι κακῶς ἀ[π]ώλοντο παντὸς
στερόμενοι διὰ τὴν τοιαύτην διάθεσιν τοῦ * μετὰ παρρησία[ς] ὁμιλῆ[σαι τισι] καὶ πάντα δ’ ὅσα προ[σενεγκό]ντες
[με]τ[ά]γομεν, [κατ]α[φή]σει...

...διὸ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος πρὸς Ἰδομενέα γράφει μέχρι τούτου ζῆν εὖχεσθαι: καὶ
Παραδείξει πόσοι κακῶς ἀπώλοντο – παντὸς στερόμενοι διὰ τὴν τοιαύτην
διάθεσιν τοῦ μετὰ παρρησίας ὁμιλῆσαι τισι

...therefore even Epicurus writes to Idomeneus that he wishes to live up to
this point: and He will fully show how many came to ruin badly – bereft of
everything because of such a disposition of conversing with frankness with
certain people

καὶ πάντα δ’ ὅσα (προσενεγκόντες) Μετάγομεν Καταφήσει...

and he will declare everything whatsoever that we (having contributed)
transferred...

δείκνυναι	to prove	ΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ showing
ἢ παρρησία αἱ παρρησῖαι	frankness	ΠΑΡΡΗΣΙΑ state of being said alongside

[U130]

3. First-Fruits for Therapy

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1117D

εἰ δὲ τοιαύταις, ὧ Κωλῶτα, Σωκράτους φωναῖς Περιέπεσες, οἷας Ἐπίκουρος
γράφει πρὸς Ἰδομενέα:

but if, Colotes, you had encountered such expressions of Socrates as
Epicurus writes to Idomeneus:

"Πέμπε, οὖν, ἀπαρχὰς ἡμῖν εἰς τὴν τοῦ ἱεροῦ σώματος θεραπείαν, ὑπὲρ τε
αὐτοῦ καὶ τέκνων – οὕτω γάρ μοι λέγειν Ἐπέρχεται!"

"Send, then, first-fruits to us for the therapy of the sacred body, on behalf of
yourself and your children – for thus it comes upon me to speak!"

τίσιν ἂν ῥήμασιν ἀγροικοτέροις Ἐχρήσω;

what more rustic sayings could one use?

The ἀπαρχαί ("first-fruits") were traditionally offerings made to the gods from one’s
harvest, typically in gratitude or to secure future favor. Here, Epicurus uses that
religious and cultic language metaphorically.

Plutarch likens Epicurus' request for physical support (e.g., food or supplies) to a
quasi-priestly demand, elevating his body to something sacred (τοῦ ἱεροῦ
σώματος), which Plutarch finds objectionable.

ἐκπέμπειν	to emit	ΕΚΠΕΜΠΕΙΝ conveying out
πέμπειν	to send	ΠΕΜΠΕΙΝ conveying
ἄρχειν	to begin	ΑΡΧΕΙΝ beginning
ἀρχαῖος (α, ον)	ancient	ΑΡΧΑΙΟΣ beginning
ἡ ἀπαρχή αἱ ἀπαρχαί	first-fruit [i.e., offerings]	ΑΠΑΡΧΗ beginning from
ἱερός (ᾱ, όν)	sacred	ἹΕΡΟΣ holy
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ body
ἡ θεραπεία αἱ θεραπείαι	therapy	ΘΕΡΑΠΕΙΑ healing
τό τέκνον τά τέκνα	child	ΤΕΚΝΟΝ descendant
ἔρχεσθαι	to come / go	ΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ moving
ἐπέρχεσθαι	to come upon	ΕΠΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 279F

ταύτης γὰρ [τῆς γαστρὸς] ἔνεκεν, ὁ Ἄνῆρ καὶ τῆς ἄλλης τῆς κατὰ σάρκα ἡδονῆς ἐκολάκευεν καὶ Ἰδομενέα καὶ Μητρόδωρον

indeed, for the sake of this belly, and the other pleasure that exists according to the flesh, the man flattered both Idomeneus and Metrodorus

[U131]

4. Idomeneus' Noble Youth & Fame

[see U105] Aelius Theon (fl.c. 75 CE), Preliminary Exercises, 2.168

οἷά που καὶ πρὸς Ἰδομενέα Γράφει "ὦ, πάντα τάμὰ κινήματα τερπνὰ νομίσας ἐκ νέου"

such as he apparently writes to Idomeneus "O, [you who] perceived all my movements delightful from youth"

ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ moving process
τὸ κίνημα τὰ κινήματα	[specific] movement	ΚΙΝΗΜΑ result of moving
τέρπειν	to delight	ΤΕΡΠΕΙΝ satisfying
τερπνός (ή, όν)	delightful	ΤΕΡΠΝΟΣ satisfying

[U132]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, letter 21, section 3

Stūdia tē tua clārum et nōbilem efficiēnt – exemplum Epicūrī referam

your studies will make you distinguished and noble – I will bring forward the example of Epicurus

cum Idomeneō Scriberet et illum ā vītā speciōsā ad fidelem stabilēmque glōriam revocāret – rīgidae tunc potentiae ministrum et magna tractantem:

when he was writing to Idomeneus and calling him back from a brilliant life in faithful and steadfast glory – then a minister of rigid power and engaged in great affairs:

"sī glōriā" Inquit "Tangeris, notiōrem tē Epistulae meae facient quam omnia ista quae Colis et propter quae Coleris"

He said "if you are affected by glory, my Letters will make you more famous than all those things which you cherish and because of which you are cherished"

numquid, ergō, mentītus Est! Quis Idomeneā nōsset – nisi Epicūrus illum litterīs suīs incīdisset? omnēs illōs megistanās et satrapās et rēgem ipsum (ex quō Idomeneī Titulus petēbātur), Oblīviō alta suppressit!

surely, then, he did not lie! Who would have known of Idomeneus – had Epicurus not engraved him in his letters? all those nobles and satraps, and even the king himself (from whom Idomeneus' title was being sought), deep oblivion has suppressed!

[U133]

5. Flee the Professions (Stay Alert for Good Opportunities)

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, Letter 22, sections 1-5

Seneca Lūciliō suō, salūtem.

Seneca to his Lucilius, greetings.

iam Intellegis ēdūcendum esse tē ex istīs occupātiōnibus speciōsīs et malīs – sed quō modō id cōsequī possīs Quaeris. Quaedam nōn nisi ā praesente mōnstrantur...

You now understand that you must be led out of those flashy and harmful occupations – but you ask how you might achieve that. Some things can only be shown by someone present...

^[2] Quid fierī soleat, Quid oporteat, in ūniversum et mandārī potest et scrībī: tāle Cōnsilium nōn tantum absentibus, etiam posterīs datur. Illud alterum – quāndō fierī debeat aut quemadmodum – ex longinquō Nēmō suādēbit, cum rēbus ipsīs dēliberandum est

What generally happens, and what ought to be done, can be passed on and written down in universal terms: such counsel can be given not only to those who are absent, but even to future generations. but that other kind – when something ought to happen, or in what way – no one will advise from afar, since it must be deliberated with the circumstances themselves

^[3] nōn tantum praesentis, sed vigilantis Est occāsiōnem observāre properantem.

It is necessary not only for someone who is present, but for one who is alert, to notice the opportunity as it rushes by.

itaque hanc circūspice – hanc sī Videris, Prende et tōtō impetū, tōtīs vīribus id: Age ut tē istīs officiīs Exuās. et quidem quam sententiam feram Attende: Cēseō aut ex istā vītā tibī, aut ē vītā exeundum.

so look around for this – if you see this, grab it with full force and all your strength: strive to strip yourself from those duties. and now, pay attention to the opinion I am about to give: I believe it is necessary for you to either depart from that life, or from life [itself].

sed idem illud Existimō: lēnī Eundum viā – ut quod male implicuistī, Solvās potiūs quam Abrumpās – dummodō, sī alia solvendi Ratiō nōn erit: vel Abrumpās.

but I think **this as well**: it is necessary to go **by the gentle path** – so that what you’ve **badly** entangled, you may untie **rather than** tear apart – **however**, if there is no other method **of untying it**: then do tear it apart.

Nēmō tam timidus est ut Mālit semper pendēre quam semel cadere!

No one is **so timid** that he prefers **hanging forever to falling once!**

^[4] **interim – Quod primum est – impedire tē nolī: Contentus estō negōtiīs, in quae dēscendistī (vel, quod vidērī Māvīs, "Incidistī").**

in the meantime – and this is the **first** thing – do not entangle **yourself** further: Be content **with the business in which you have entered** (or, **as** you’d rather have it seem, "[*in which*] you stumbled").

nōn est Quod ad ulteriōra Nītāris – aut, Perdēs excūsātiōnem – et Apparebit tē nōn incidisse.

There is no reason for you to strive **for greater things** – otherwise, you will lose **the excuse** – and it will become apparent that **you did not just stumble into it.**

ista, enim, quae dīcī solent, falsa sunt: "nōn Potuī aliter" "quid sī Nōllem?" "necessē Erat"

yes, **those things** that are usually said are **false**: "I couldn’t do **otherwise**" "**what** if I hadn’t wanted to?" "It was **necessary**"

nūllī necessē Est fēlicitātem cursū sequī: est Aliquid – etiam sī nōn repugnāre – subsistere, nec īnstāre fortunae ferentī.

for no one is it is necessary **to chase after happiness at a run**: there is something [*of value*] – even if **not in resisting** – **in stopping, and not pressing forward with fortune as she drives.**

^[5] **numquid Offendēris, sī in cōnsilium nōn Veniō tantum, sed Advocō et quidem prudentiōrēs quam ipse sum – ad quōs Soleō dēferre, sī quid Dēliberō:**

surely you would **not** be offended, if I **not only** join in counsel, **but also** call in **men indeed wiser than I am – to whom** I usually refer, when I am deciding something:

Epicūrī epistolam ad hanc rem pertinentem Lege, Idomeneō quae īnscrībitur, quem Rogat ut quantum potest fugiāt et properet – antequam aliqua Vīs māior interveniat – et auferat libertātem recedendī.

Read **the letter of Epicurus that pertains to this very issue**, the one addressed **to Idomeneus, whom** [*Epicurus*] urges to flee **as much as he can** and to hurry – **before** some greater force intervenes – and takes away the **freedom to withdraw.**

^[6] **Idem tamen subiicit nihil esse temptandum nisi cum aptē poterīt tempestivēque temptārī.**

He **nevertheless** adds that **nothing should be attempted** unless it can be done **suitably** and **at the right time.**

sed cum illud Tempus captātum diū vēnerit: exiliendum ait.

but when that long-awaited time **finally** comes: he says **one must leap out.**

dormītāre dē fugā cōgitantem Vetat – et Sperat salutārem etiam ex difficillimīs exitum: sī nec Properēmus ante tempus – nec Cessēmus in tempore

He forbids the one thinking about flight to fall asleep – and he hopes for a saving exit even from the most difficult things: if only we do not rush before the right time – nor delay in the right time

Step away from oppressive obligations – but only when doing so opens a smoother path to freedom.

[U134]

6. Practical Consequences

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 34.1127D

πάλιν δέ (Οἶμαι, γράφων πρὸς Ἰδομενέα) Διακελεύεται "μὴ νόμοις καὶ δόξαις δουλεύοντα ζῆν – ἐφ' ὅσον ἂν μὴ τὴν διὰ τοῦ πέλας ἐκ πληγῆς ὄχλησιν Παρασκευάζωσιν"

again (I think, while writing to Idomeneus) he urges "not to live enslaved to laws and judgments – insofar as it does do not produce a disturbance from a strike from those nearby"

One should not remain bound by laws or the judgments of other people unless such submission genuinely serves to prevent tangible harm.

ὁ νόμος οἱ νόμοι	law	NOMOS allotting
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ seeming
δουλεύειν	to be a slave	ΔΟΥΛΕΥΕΙΝ slave
ὅσος (η, ον)	as many as	ὅσος however great
πέλας	nearby	ΠΕΛΑΣ approaching
ὁ πέλας οἱ πέλας	he who is nearby	
ἡ πληγὴ αἱ πληγαί	strike	ΠΛΗΓΗ to hit
τό ὄχλημα τά ὄχλήματα	[cause of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΜΑ result of a crowd of people
ἡ ὄχλησις αἱ ὄχλήσεις	[feeling of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΣΙΣ process of a crowd of people
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ preparing alongside

[U135]

7. Remove Desire (Advice for Supporting Pythocles)

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 21.7

nē grātīs Idomenēus in epistulam meam vēnerit – Ipse eam dē suō redimēt

so that Idomeneus does not come into my letter for free – he will buy it back from his own [account]

ad hunc Epicūrus illam nobilem sententiam scrīpsit, quā hortātur ut Pythoclēa locuplētē nōn pūblicā nec ancipitī viā faciāt:

to this man Epicurus wrote that famous saying, in which he urges that Pythocles be made substantial not by a public or uncertain path:

"sī Vīs" Inquit "**Pythoclēa** **dīvitem** facere: nōn **pecūniae** adiciendum – sed **cupiditātī** dētrahendum est"

"if you wish" he says "to make **Pythocles** **rich**: It is necessary not to add **to money**, but to subtract **from desire**"

This quote, as translated from Seneca into Latin, is famous – but Stobaeus preserves the original quote in Greek:

Johannes Stobaeus (fl. f. 450 ^{CE}), Anthology: volume 3, chapter 17, section 23 ^{Wachsmuth–Hense p. 495}

"εἰ Βούλει **πλούσιον** **Πυθοκλέα** ποιῆσαι: μὴ **χρημάτων** Προστίθει – **τῆς** δὲ **ἐπιθυμίας** Ἀφαίρει"

"if you want to make Pythocles rich: do not add **property** – but remove **desire**"

ἡ βούλησις αἱ βουλήσεις	intention	ΒΟΥΛΗΣΙΣ <i>wishing</i>
βούλεσθαι	to want	
πλούσιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	rich	ΠΛΟΥΣΙΟΣ <i>wealth</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
προστιθέναι	to add	ΠΡΟΣΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing toward</i>
χρήσιμος <i>(ον)</i>	useful	ΧΡΗΣΙΜΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
τὸ χρῆμα τὰ χρήματα	property	ΧΡΗΜΑ <i>necessary</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμιαί	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
ἀναιρεῖν	to confute	ΑΝΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping back</i>
ἀφαιρεῖν	to remove	ΑΦΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping away</i>

8. Self-Sufficiency: A Secure *Basis*

Johannes Stobaeus (fl. f. 450 ^{CE}), Anthology: volume 3, chapter 17, section 13 ^{Wachsmuth–Hense p. 492}

51 Arrighetti

"Ἐζηλώσαμεν **τὴν αὐτάρκειαν** – **οὐχ ὅπως τοῖς εὐτελέσι** καὶ **λιτοῖς πάντως** Χρώμεθα: **ἀλλ' ὅπως** **Θαρρῶμεν πρὸς αὐτά**"

"We admire **self-sufficiency** – not so that we always use **plain** and **simple things**: but so that we might be confident in relation to them"

καταζηλοῦν	✖ to zealously emulate	ΚΑΤΑΖΗΛΟΥΝ <i>thoroughly envying</i>
ζηλοῦν	to admire [here gnomic aorist]	ΖΗΛΟΥΝ <i>envying</i>
αὐτοτελῶς	independently	ΑΥΤΟΤΕΛΩΣ <i>self-goal</i>
αὐτάρκης <i>(ες)</i>	self-sufficient	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΗΣ <i>self-sufficing</i>
ἡ αὐτάρκεια αἱ αὐτάρκειαι	self-sufficiency	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΕΙΑ <i>state of self-beginning</i>
εὐτελής <i>(ές)</i>	low-cost	ΕΥΤΕΛΗΣ <i>good completing</i>
λιτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	plain	ΛΙΤΟΣ <i>simple</i>

πάντως	absolutely / in every way [here, "always"]	ΠΑΝΤΩΣ <i>all</i>
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
θαρρεῖν	to be confident	ΘΑΡΡΕΙΝ <i>taking courage</i>

9. Pruning Use

Johannes Stobaeus (fl. f. 450 ^{CE}), Anthology: volume 3, chapter 17, section 36 Wachsmuth–Hense p. 502

Ἐπίκουρος, ἐρωτηθεὶς πῶς ἂν τις πλουτήσκειν: "οὐ τοῖς οὖσι προστιθείς" Ἐφη
"τῆς δὲ χρείας τὰ πολλὰ περιτέμνων"

Epicurus, when asked how Someone might become rich: "not by adding to existing things" He said "but by pruning away most of their use"

προστιθέναι	to add	ΠΡΟΣΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing toward</i>
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
τὸ χρῆμα τὰ χρήματα	property	ΧΡΗΜΑ <i>necessary</i>
ἡ χρεία αἱ χρεῖαι	use	ΧΡΕΙΑ <i>necessary</i>
ἐπιτέμνειν	to cut short	ΕΠΙΤΕΜΝΕΙΝ <i>cutting upon</i>
τέμνειν	to divide	ΤΕΜΝΕΙΝ <i>cutting</i>
περιτέμνειν	to prune	ΠΕΡΙΤΕΜΝΕΙΝ <i>cutting around</i>

10. Insatiability

Johannes Stobaeus (fl. f. 450 ^{CE}), Anthology: volume 3, chapter 17, section 29 Wachsmuth–Hense p. 497

Ἐπίκουρος ὁ Γαργήτιος ἐεκκράγει, λέγων:

Epicurus of Gargettios used to call out, saying:

"ὧ ὀλίγον οὐχ ἱκανόν – τούτῳ γε οὐδέν ἱκανόν!"

"for whom a little is not sufficient – for him nothing is sufficient!"

Ἔλεγε δὲ ἐτοίμως ἔχειν καὶ τῷ Διὶ ὑπὲρ εὐδαιμονίας διαγωνίζεσθαι – μᾶζαν ἔχων καὶ ὕδωρ

and he used to say that he is readily able to compete even with Zeus for wellbeing – while he has bread and water

ὀλίγος <i>(η, ον)</i>	few, short [of time]	ΟΛΙΓΟΣ <i>little</i>
ἱκανός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	sufficient	ΊΚΑΝΟΣ <i>fitting / proper</i>
ἐτοιμάζειν	to make ready	ΈΤΟΙΜΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing</i>
ἐτοῖμος <i>(ίμη, ἱμον)</i>	made ready	ΈΤΟΙΜΟΣ <i>preparing</i>
ἐτοίμως	readily	ΈΤΟΙΜΩΣ <i>preparing</i>
ὁ Ζεύς (τοῦ διός)	Zeus	ΖΕΥΣ <i>sky god / day</i>

ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
διαγωνίζεσθαι	to compete	ΔΙΑΓΩΝΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ
ἡ μᾶζα αἱ μᾶζαι	bread / barley-cake	ΜΑΖΑ <i>kneading</i>
τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water	ΥΔΩΡ <i>rain / water</i>

[U136]

11. Remember Polycrates: Riches Without Refuge

The Suda, Pythia and Delia (pi-3128)

Φασὶ Πολυκράτη, τὸν Σάμου τύραννον, Πύθια καὶ Δήλια ποιήσοντα ἅμα, ἐν Δήλῳ πέμψαι εἰς θεοῦ χρησόμενον εἰ τὰ τῆς θυσίας ἄγοι κατὰ τὸν ὠρισμένον χρόνον

They say that Polycrates, the tyrant of Samos, intending to simultaneously make both the Pythian and Delian [sacrifices], sent [an embassy] to Delos to consult in the god [Apollo] if he was preforming the [rights] of the sacrifice at the appointed time

τὴν δὲ Πυθίαν ἀνελεῖν "Ταῦτά σοι καὶ Πύθια καὶ Δήλια" – βουλομένην δηλοῦν ὅτι ἔσχατα: μετ' ὀλίγον γὰρ χρόνον, αὐτὸν ἀπολέσθαι συνέβη

The Pythia answered "These are Pythia and Delia for you" – withing to make clear that [they were his] last: after a short time, it did occur that he was utterly destroyed

Ἐπίκουρος δέ, ἔν τινι τῶν πρὸς Ἰδομενέα ἐπιστολῶν, ταῦτα

and Epicurus, in a certain one of the letters to Idomeneus, [mentions] these things

Polycrates (fl. 540 ^{BCE}) was a famous tyrant that ruled Samos two hundred years before Epicurus' birth.

Polycrates turned Samos into a dominant naval force – and for a while he had the most powerful army in the Greek world.

Polycrates also sponsored the reconstruction of Samos' massive Heraion, to which his ally the Egyptian pharaoh Amasis dedicated many gifts.

Eventually, Polycrates was lured into a meeting with the Persian satrap Oroetes (who told Polycrates that he wanted to pay for the option to use Samos as a place of refuge). Instead, Oroetes had Polycrates impaled and crucified.

[U137]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 28

"καὶ δεηθέντος μου φιλανθ[ρω] πεύ[εσ]... ..δ[ιὰ παντὸς] πρὸς Μ[ι]θρη[ν]. ἡνίκα ἔζη [Λ]υσίμαχο[ς] χρήσιμον οἰόμενο[ι] τοῦ... ..ὕμῃν εἶν[αι] ἢ π[ροπ]... ..δὲ καὶ τὰ [κα]τὰ τὴν ἔξωθε[ν] φα[ν]τασίαν ἐφ' ὃ ἂν ἀνέ[κ]... ..τι καὶ τῶν τοιούτων πρὸς ἡμᾶς." καὶ Ἰδομενεῖ δὲ παραπλήσια [κα]... ..Κωλώτει· τούτῳι δὲ ὅτι· 'καὶ [ὕ]πέρτερ[ο]ν ἐγένετ... ..[χρ]ήσιμ[ο]... ..μ[ιθ]ρῇ[ς] *φύλαι τε, τῇι μετρί τῇι Κρατέρ[ο]υ, καὶ [α]ὐτῶι Κρατέρωι, ὡς αὐ[τὸς] ἀπολογίζεται ΜΙΘΡΗΣ. ' Μιθρε<τ> δέ· "ἀνήγγελλέ μοι... ..τοῦ [ἄ]ρχειν κα[ὶ] μέ[ν τ]ᾶ ἴδια...

...καὶ Ἰδομενεῖ δὲ παραπλήσια...

...but also to Idomeneus the nearly equal [things]...

This section is mostly about Mithres, and the part about Idomeneus is broken off.

[U138]

Note that Cicero translates the beginning of this letter accurately – but, as seen above – records the recipient as Hermarchus: "Epicurus *to Hermarchus*, *hello...*"

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.22

ἤδη δὲ, τελευτῶν, Γράφει πρὸς Ἰδομενέα τήνδε ἐπιστολήν:

already, as he was dying, He writes *this letter to Idomeneus*:

"τὴν μακαρίαν ἄγοντες καὶ ἅμα τελευταίαν ἡμέραν τοῦ βίου, Ἐγράφομεν ὑμῖν ταυτί. Στραγγουρία τε παρηκολουθήκει – καὶ δυσεντερικὰ Πάθη ὑπερβολὴν οὐκ ἀπολείποντα τοῦ ἐν ἑαυτοῖς μεγέθους"

"while spending *a blessed and at the same time the final day of my life*, we were composing these things *to you all*. Strangury has also followed along – and dysenteric feelings do not leave out *the excess of their extent*"

"ἀντιπαρετάττετο δὲ πᾶσι τούτοις, τὸ κατὰ ψυχὴν Χαῖρον ἐπὶ τῇ τῶν γεγονότων ἡμῖν διαλογισμῶν μνήμῃ. Σὺ δ' – ἀξίως τῆς ἐκ μαιρακίου παραστάσεως πρὸς ἐμέ καὶ φιλοσοφίαν: Ἐπιμελοῦ τῶν παιδῶν Μητροδώρου."

"yet, arranged along *against all these*, was what is joyful in the soul *based in the memory of our reflections on what has happened*. but you – *in a manner deserving of your proximity to me and to philosophy since youth*: take care of the children of Metrodorus."

μακάριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ <i>greatness</i>
τελεῖν	to fulfill	ΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>completing</i>
τελευταῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	final	ΤΕΛΕΥΤΑΙΟΣ <i>terminating</i>
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	ἭΜΕΡΑ <i>day</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
γράφειν	to compose	ΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing</i>
στραγγουρικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	strangury-like	ΣΤΡΑΓΓΟΥΡΙΚΟΣ <i>straining urinating</i>
ἡ στραγγουρία	strangury	ΣΤΡΑΓΓΟΥΡΙΑ <i>straining urinating</i>
παρακολουθεῖν	to follow along	ΠΑΡΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following beside</i>
δυσωπεῖν	to trouble	ΔΥΣΩΠΕΙΝ <i>difficult face</i>
δυσεντερικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	dysenteric	ΔΥΣΕΝΤΕΡΙΚΟΣ <i>difficult intestines</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἡ ἐπιβολή αἱ ἐπιβολαί	^[β'] attention	ΕΠΙΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing upon</i>
ἡ ὑπερβολή αἱ ὑπερβολαί	excess	ὙΠΕΡΒΟΛΗ <i>throwing above</i>
ἀπολείπειν	to leave out	ΑΠΟΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>leaving away/out</i>
τὸ μέγεθος τὰ μεγέθη	size / extension	ΜΕΓΕΘΟΣ <i>largeness</i>
Part 3		
ἀντιπαρατάττειν	to arrange along against	ΑΝΤΙΠΑΡΑΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>arranging against alongside</i>
οὗτος <i>(αὗτη, τοῦτο)</i>	this	ΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this</i>

ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
χαῖρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	rejoicing	ΧΑΙΡΩΝ <i>being cheerful</i>
τὸ χαῖρον τὰ χαῖροντα	what is joyful	ΧΑΙΡΟΝ <i>being cheerful</i>
τὸ γεγονός τὰ γεγονότα	what has happened	ΓΕΓΟΝΟΣ <i>came into being</i>
ὁ διαλογισμός οἱ διαλογισμοί	thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning through action</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
ἄξιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	deserving	ΑΞΙΟΣ <i>counterbalancing</i>
ἀξίως	worthily	
τὸ μεираκίον τὰ μεираκία	lad	ΜΕΙΡΑΚΙΟΝ <i>little young man</i>
ἡ παράστασις αἱ παραστάσεις	representation [here, "proximity"]	ΠΑΡΑΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>placed next to</i>
ἡ φιλοσοφία	philosophy	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΙΑ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ἐπιμελεῖσθαι	to take care of	ΕΠΙΜΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>caring upon</i>
ὁ παῖς οἱ παῖδες	child / slave	ΠΑΙΣ <i>son</i>
Μητροδῶρος	Metrodorus	ΜΗΤΡΟΔΩΡΟΣ <i>gift [to] mother</i>

13. Endurance of the Bad

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 66.47,48

[47] Dābō apud Epicūrum tibi etiamnunc simillimam huic nostrae dīvisiōnem bonōrum: Alia enim sunt, apud illum, quae Mālit contingere sibi – ut corporis quiētem ab omni incommodo liberam – et animī remissiōnem bonōrum suōrum contemplātiōne gaudentis

I will give you even now a division of goods from Epicurus very similar to our own: for there are some things, according to him, that he would prefer to happen to himself – such as peace in the body that is free from all trouble – and the relaxation of the rejoicing mind in the contemplation of its own blessings

Alia sunt, quae, quamvis Nōlit accidere, nihilominus Laudat et Cōnprobat: tamquam illam (quam paulō ante dīcēbam) malae valētūdinis et dolōrum gravissimōrum perpressiōnem, in quā Epicūrus fuit illō summō ac fortūnatissimō diē suō

Other things exist, which, although he does not want them to happen, nevertheless he praises and approves: such as that endurance of ill health and the most severe pains (which I was just mentioning a moment ago) which Epicurus was in on his ultimate and most fortunate day

Ait enim sē vēsicae et exulcerātī ventris tormenta tolerāre – ulteriōrem dolōris accessionem nōn recipientia: esse nihilominus sibi illum beātum diem

for he says that he endures the pains of the bladder and an ulcerated stomach – which do not admit further addition of pain: and that nevertheless that day is for him a blessed one

beātum autem Agere – nisi Quī est in summō bonō – nōn potest:

but to live blessed – if one is not in the highest good – is not possible:

^[48] ergo, et apud Epicūrum, sunt haec Bona quae Mallēs nōn experīrī – sed quia ita Rēs tulit: et amplectanda et laudanda et exaequanda summīs sunt

therefore, even with Epicurus, there are goods [e.g., the endurance of ill health] which you would rather not experience – but because the situation turns out that way: they are to be embraced, praised, and ranked alongside the highest goods

nōn Potest dīcī hoc nōn esse pār maximīs bonum – Quod beātae vītae clāusulam inposuit – cui Epicūrus extrēmā vōce grātiās ēgit

It cannot be said that this is not a good equal to the greatest – which sets the seal on a blessed life – for which Epicurus gave thanks with his final breath

14. Gratitude

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 92.25

Quid est in virtūte praecipuum? Futūrō nōn indigēre, nec diēs suōs computāre: in quantulō libet tempore, bona aeterna cōsummat. incrēdibilia nōbīs Haec videntur et suprā hūmānam nātūram excurrentia

What is foremost in virtue? to not need the future, nor counting its days: in however small a span of time it pleases, it completes eternal goods. These things seem incredible to us and extending beyond human nature

maiestātem enim eius ex nostrā inbecillitāte Metīmur et vitiīs nostrīs nōmen virtūtis Impōnimus. Quid porrō? Nōn aequē incrēdibile vidētur aliquem in summīs cruciātibus positum dīcere "beātus sum"?

for We measure its majesty by our own weakness and Assign the name of virtue to our vices. What then? Does it not seem equally unbelievable that someone placed in the greatest torments should say "I am blessed"?

atquī haec Vōx in ipsā officīnā voluptātis audīta est "beātissimum" inquit "hunc et: hunc diem Agō" Epicūrus – cum Illum hinc ūrīnae Difficultās torquēret, hinc īnsānābilis exulcerātī Dolor ventris

and yet this statement was heard in the very workshop of pleasure "most blessed" Epicurus said "is this indeed: this day I am living" – even while on one side difficulty of urination was tormenting him, and on the other side the incurable Pain of an ulcerated stomach

15. Implications of Vice from Opposing Schools

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Letters to Friends, 7.26, 1
To Marcus Fadius Gallus, ca. 57 BCE

Egō autem, quom omnis morbōs reformīdō, tum in quō Epicūrum tuum Stoicī male accipiunt, quia dicat "στραγγουρικὰ καὶ δυσεντερικὰ πάθη" sibi molesta esse – quōrum alterum morbōm ēdācitātis esse putant – alterum etiam turpioris intemperantiae

but I, although I shrink from all diseases, especially in those the Stoics take your Epicurus badly, because he says "strangury-like and dysenteric feelings" are troublesome to him – of which sufferings they think one disease is of gluttony – the other of an even more disgraceful lack of restraint

Note that Cicero's Greek says "strangury-like and dysenteric feelings (στραγγουρικὰ καὶ δυσεντερικὰ πάθη)" – the version given by Laertius reads "Strangury has also followed along – and dysenteric Feelings (Στραγγουρία τε παρηκολουθήκει – καὶ δυσεντερικὰ Πάθη)."

[Gordon] Momentary code-switching from Latin to Epicurus' description of his own disease in Greek serves to authenticate Cicero's tacit claim about the sexual and dietary habits of Epicurus (strangury being a sexually transmitted disease according to Hippocrates and Galen). Then, toggling back to Latin, Cicero adds an interpretation not implied by Epicurus.

στραγγουρικός (ή, όν)	strangury-like	ΣΤΡΑΓΓΟΥΡΙΚΟΣ <i>straining urinating</i>
δυσωπείν	to trouble	ΔΥΣΩΠΕΙΝ <i>difficult face</i>
δυσεντερικός (ή, όν)	dysenteric	ΔΥΣΕΝΤΕΡΙΚΟΣ <i>difficult intestines</i>
τò πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience (feeling)	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>

16. Unpreventability of Pain

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1089E

ἐαυτῷ δ’ ἔχον ἀρχὰς κακῶν – ἃς οὐκ ἀποτρέπει Λογισμός

but [the body] has in itself the beginnings of evils – which reason does not turn away

οὐδὲ γὰρ ἂν προσέπιπτεν ἀνδράσι νοῦν ἔχουσι στραγγουρικὰ Πάθη καὶ
δυσεντερικὰ καὶ Φθίσεις καὶ Ὑδρωπες – ὧν τοῖς μὲν αὐτὸς Ἐπίκουρος
συνηνέχθη, τοῖς δὲ Πολύαινος – τὰ δὲ Νεοκλέα καὶ Ἀγαθόβουλον ἐξήγαγε

for [if reason could remove ailments], strangury-like and dysenteric feelings and consumptions and dropsies would not also have fallen upon men possessing reason – of which some Epicurus himself endured, others Polyaeus [endured] – and other [diseases] carried off Neocles and Agathoboulos

The ending is a botched reference to "Neocles and Aristobuolos" (brothers of Epicurus).

[Gordon] Plutarch implies that Epicurus' illness disproves the validity of Epicurean optimism about the power of the intellect. Plutarch adds that he does not mean to reproach the Epicureans for their poor health, since other philosophers also had ailments, but this rhetorical concession scarcely detracts from his listing of "Epicurean" illnesses.

With Plutarch, Epicurus' poor health has spread to his followers. As we have seen, Diogenes Laertius and Timocrates attribute illness and infirmity to Epicurus, and the statues portray him with a thin, unfit body. But neither statues nor early texts attribute infirmity to other Epicureans.

17. Memory is Not a Passive Image

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1099D

εἰ τοίνυν (ὥσπερ Λέγουσι) τὸ Μемνησθαι τῶν προτέρων ἀγαθῶν μέγιστόν ἐστι
πρὸς τὸ ἡδέως ζῆν:

even if (as they say) remembering earlier goods is the greatest thing for living pleasantly:

Ἐπικούρῳ μὲν οὐδ’ ἂν Εἷς ἡμῶν πιστεύσειεν ὅτι – ταῖς μ ἐγίσταις ἀλγηδόσι καὶ νόσοις ἑναποθνήσκων – Ἀντιπαρεπέμπετο τῇ μνήμῃ τῶν ἑναπολελαυσμένων πρότερον ἡδονῶν

not even one of us would believe Epicurus that – while dying amid the greatest pains and illnesses – he was counterbalancing with the memory of pleasures formerly fully enjoyed

εἰκόνα γὰρ ὄψεως ἐν βυθῷ συνταραχθέντι καὶ κλύδωνι μᾶλλον ἂν Τις ἢ μνήμην
ἡδονῆς διαμειδιῶσαν ἐν σφυγμῷ τοσοῦτῳ καὶ σπαραγμῷ σώματος ἐπινοήσκει!

for, someone would more likely perceive the image of his appearance in the
[ocean’s] disturbed depth and surge than a memory of pleasure smiling
gently amid such throbbing and bodily torment!

Memory is not a passive image, like a reflection on water; it is a physiological
process, rooted in impressions stored in the brain. When we vividly recall a pleasure,
the emotional trace of that experience can counteract present suffering, even in
extreme conditions.

A person who has trained their mind to focus on past experiences of genuine
satisfaction can access a form of cognitive buffering, even when the body is under
stress.

ἡ εἰκὼν αἱ εἰκόνες	image	ΕΙΚΩΝ portraying
ἡ ὄψις αἱ ὄψεις	vision [here, "appearance"]	ΟΨΙΣ eye
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive [here, "perceive"]	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ seeing upon

18. The Will Is Based In The Body

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 2.23.21

Τὸ γράφον, ὅτε ἀπέθνησκεν, ὅτι "τὴν τελευταίαν ἄγοντες ἅμα καὶ μακαρίαν
ἡμέραν;" ἡ Σὰρξ ἢ ἡ Προαίρεσις;

Which one wrote, when it was dying, that it "was spending the last and at
the same time also a blessed day?" was it your Flesh or your Choice?

εἴτα τούτου τι κρεῖσσον ἔχειν Ὁμολογεῖς! καὶ οὐ Μαίνει; οὕτως τυφλὸς ταῖς
ἀληθείαις καὶ κωφὸς Εἶ;

then you agree to having something [i.e., choice] superior to this [i.e., the
flesh]! are you not insane? Are you so blind and deaf to the truths?

προαιρεῖν	to bring forth	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΙΝ grasping before
προαιρεῖσθαι	to choose [for oneself]	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ grasping before
ἡ προαίρεσις αἱ προαιρέσεις	choice	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΣΙΣ grasping before

19. To Craterus
Πρὸς Κράτερον
"Powerful"

[U139]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 28 [partial]

...μ[ιθ]ρῆ[ς] *φίλαι τε, τῇ μετρὶ τῇ Κρατέρ[ο]υ, καὶ [α]ὐτῷ Κρατέρωι, ὡς αὐ[τὸ]ς ἀπολογίζεται ΜΙΘΡΗΣ.

...Μιθρῆς Φίλαι τε, τῇ μετρὶ τῇ Κρατέρου – καὶ αὐτῷ Κρατέρω – ὡς αὐτὸς
ἀπολογίζεται Μίθρης.

...[Epicurus wrote] of Mithres to Phila, the mother of Craterus – and to
Craterus himself – as Mithres himself explains.

20. To Colotes

1. Universal Errors of Youth

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Frank Criticism, P.Herc. 1471, fr. 9 (col. 108)

...[καθ]όλου [δ’ ἁμαρτημάτων ἐκεῖ]νων τ[ά]δε καὶ τὰδ[ε] καὶ ἅπε[ρ ὃ] Ἐπίκουρος Λεοντίου πυνθά[ν]εται πρ[ο]σσυποστήσεται πρὸς Κολώτην. ἐπεὶ καὶ μετάξει ποτ’ ἐφ’ ἑαυτὸν ὁ σοφός θ’ ἁμαρτημ’ ἄνετον ἐν τ[ῇ] νεότητι γε[γ]ονέ[ν]αι...

...καθόλου δ’ ἁμαρτημάτων ἐκείνων – τάδε καὶ τὰδε, καὶ ἅπερ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος Λεοντίου πυνθάνεται – προσυποστήσεται πρὸς Κολώτην: ἐπεὶ καὶ μετάξει ποτ’ ἐφ’ ἑαυτὸν ὁ Σοφός θ’ ἁμαρτημ’ ἄνετον ἐν τῇ νεότητι γεγονέναι...

...but of what is mistaken universally by those [students] – these and those [mistakes], and the very ones Epicurus learns by asking Leontion – he will undertake in advance in regards to Colotes: for even the wise man will at some point divert to himself that he had made an intemperate mistake in his youth...

[Society of Biblical Literature] ...in general such and such of their [sc. the students'] errors and what Epicurus learns from Leontion he will [hypothetically] ascribe to Colotes. Since the wise man will also sometimes transfer to himself an intemperate error, [saying] that it occurred in his youth...

This passage shows a balanced approach: the teacher not only corrects his students by addressing their common mistakes – but also admits that he, too, once made such errors in his youth.

καθόλου	(κ) universally	ΚΑΘΟΛΟΥ completely
ἡ ἁμαρτία αἱ ἁμαρτίαι	mistake	ἌΜΑΡΤΙΑ missing the mark
ἁμαρτάνειν	to make a mistake	ἌΜΑΡΤΑΝΕΙΝ missing the mark
τὸ ἁμάρτημα τὰ ἁμαρτήματα	what is mistaken	
ἐκεῖνος (ἐκεῖνη, ἐκεῖνο)	that	ΕΚΕΙΝΟΣ that there
ὅσπερ (ἢ περ, ὅ περ)	the very thing which	ὍΣΠΕΡ relative pronoun emphatic particle
μανθάνειν	to learn	ΜΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ thinking
πυνθάνεσθαι	to learn by asking	ΠΥΝΘΑΝΕΣΘΑΙ learning
ιστάναι	to stand	ἸΣΤΑΝΑΙ standing
προσυφιστάναι	to undertake in advance	ΠΡΟΣΥΦΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ before with under standing
ἄγειν	to lead	ΑΓΕΙΝ carrying / going
μετάγειν	to divert	ΜΕΤΑΓΕΙΝ going after
ὁ σοφός οἱ σοφοί	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ knowledge
ἄνετος	relaxed [here, "intemperate"]	ΑΝΕΤΟΣ not going
ἡ νεότης αἱ νεότητες	youth	ΝΕΟΤΗΣ new
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ come into being

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1117B & C

Κωλώτης δ' αὐτὸς ἀκροώμενος Ἐπικούρου φυσιολογοῦντος, ἄφνω τοῖς γόνασιν αὐτοῦ προσέπεσε – καὶ ταῦτα Γράφει, σεμνυνόμενος, αὐτὸς Ἐπίκουρος

Colotes himself while listening to Epicurus on the study of nature, suddenly fell to his knees – and this is what Epicurus himself, while taking pride, writes about:

"ὥς σεβομένῳ γάρ σοι τὰ τόθ' ὑφ' ἡμῶν λεγόμενα: προσέπεσεν Ἐπιθύμημα ἀφυσιολόγητον τοῦ περιπλακῆναι ἡμῖν γονάτων ἐφαπτόμενον – καὶ πάσης τῆς εἰθισμένης ἐπιλήψεως γίνεσθαι κατὰ τὰς σεβάσεις τιμῶν καὶ λιτάς. Ἐποίεις οὖν" Φησὶ "καὶ ἡμᾶς ἀνθιεροῦν σέ αὐτὸν καὶ ἀντισέβεσθαι"

"since to you who was venerating what was being said by us at that time: an unphysiological desire fell upon [you] to become entwined, grabbing on to our knees – and producing the whole embrace of the accustomed kind in accordance with reverences, honors, and prayers. so you made" he says "us counter-bless and counter-revere you yourself"

συγγνωστὰ – νῆ Δία! – τοῖς λέγουσιν ὥς παντὸς ἂν Πρίαιντο τῆς ὀψεως ἐκείνης εἰκόνα γεγραμμένην θεάσασθαι: τοῦ μὲν προσπίπτοντος εἰς γόνατα καὶ περιπλεκομένου – τοῦ δ' "ἀντιλιτανεύοντος" καὶ "ἀντιπροσκυνοῦντος!"

they are forgivable – by Zeus! – those who say that they would give anything to behold a painted representation of that sight: of the one falling to his knees and entwining himself – and of the other "countersupplicating" and "counterprostrating!"

οὐ μέντοι τὸ Θεράπευμα τοῦτο, καίπερ εὖ τῷ Κωλώτῃ συντεθέν, ἔσχε καρπὸν ἄξιον: οὐ γὰρ Ἀνηγορεύθη σοφὸς – ἀλλὰ μόνον "ἄφθαρτὸς μοι περιπάτει" Φησὶ "καὶ ἡμᾶς ἀφθάρτους Διανοοῦ"

yet this Homage, though well composed by Colotes, did not bear worthy fruit: for he was not declared wise – but [Epicurus] only said "Walk around with me as indestructible and perceive us as indestructible"

For "unphysiological desire (Ἐπιθύμημα ἀφυσιολόγητον)" consider "unphysiological" as used by Philodemus for demagoguery in "the empty and unphysiological kind of demagoguery (τὴν κενὴν καὶ ἀφυσιολόγητον δημαγωγίαν)"^{U96}

σέβεσθαι	to revere	ΣΕΒΕΣΘΑΙ yielding to
ἢ σέβασις αἱ σεβάσεις	reverence	ΣΕΒΑΣΙΣ yielding to
προσπίπτειν	to fall upon	ΠΡΟΣΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ falling toward
ἢ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμῖαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ toward spirit
τὸ ἐπιθύμημα τὰ ἐπιθυμήματα	what is desired	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΗΜΑ result of toward spirit
ἢ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ reasoning of producing
ὁ φυσιολόγος οἱ φυσιολόγοι	natural scientist	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΟΣ reasoning of producing
ἀφυσιολόγητος (ov)	unphysiological (i.e., not to be explained by science)	ΑΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΗΤΟΣ not capable of reasoning about producing
συμπλέκειν	to entangle	ΣΥΜΠΛΕΚΕΙΝ weaving together

περιπλέκειν	to entwine	ΠΕΡΙΠΛΕΚΕΙΝ <i>weaving around</i>
τό γόνυ τὰ γόνατα	knee	ΓΟΝΥ
ἀνάπτειν	to fasten to {or, "to ignite"}	ΑΝΑΠΤΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly</i> <i>fastening</i>
ἐφάπτεσθαι	to grab on	ΕΦΑΠΤΕΣΘΑΙ <i>fastening upon</i>
ὁ ἐθισμός οἱ ἐθισμοί	convention	ΕΘΙΣΜΟΣ <i>accustomed</i> <i>action</i>
ἐθίζειν	to accustom	ΕΘΙΖΕΙΝ <i>accustomed</i> <i>action</i>
εἰθισμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	accustomed	ΕΙΘΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accustomed</i> <i>action</i>
ἡ ὑπόληψις αἱ ὑπολήψεις	supposition	ΥΠΟΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold under</i>
πᾶς <i>(πᾶσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
ἡ ἐπίληψις αἱ ἐπιλήψεις	an embrace	ΕΠΙΛΗΨΙΣ <i>taking hold upon</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ τιμή αἱ τιμαί	honor	ΤΙΜΗ <i>value</i>
λιτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	plain	ΛΙΤΟΣ <i>simple</i>
λιτός and λιτή are not etymologically related		
ἡ λιτή αἱ λιταί	prayer	ΛΙΤΗ <i>supplication</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ἀνθιεροῦν	to counter-bless	ΑΝΘΙΕΡΟΥΝ <i>against</i> <i>(instead of)</i> <i>a priest</i>
<i>apparently a hapax legomenon</i> <i>LSJ glosses this usage as "consecrate in return"</i>		
ἀντισέβεσθαι	to counter-revere	ΑΝΤΙΣΕΒΕΣΘΑΙ <i>against</i> <i>(instead of)</i> <i>yielding to</i>
ἄφθαρτος <i>(ον)</i>	indestructible	ΑΦΘΑΡΤΟΣ <i>not decaying</i>
περιπατεῖν	to walk around	ΠΕΡΙΠΑΤΕΙΝ <i>walking around</i>
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>

3. Only the Wise Are Unshaken

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1117F

ἦν γάρ ἐστι τῶν Ἐπικούρου δογμάτων: τὸ μηδὲν ἀμεταπείστως πεπεῖσθαι Μηδένα πλὴν τὸν σοφόν.

which is [one] of Epicurus' doctrines: that Nobody is irrefutably convinced of anything except the wise man.

ἐπεὶ τοίνυν, ὁ Κωλώτης οὐκ ἦν σοφός – οὐδὲ μετὰ τὰς σεβάσεις ἐκείνας – Ἐρωτάτω πρῶτον ἐκείνα τὰ ἐρωτήματα...

since, then, Colotes was not wise – not even after those acts of reverence –
Let him first address these questions...

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1100A

...σοφὸν δὲ μηδένα φάναι πλὴν αὐτοῦ γεγονέναι καὶ τῶν μαθητῶν – ἀλλὰ καὶ
γράφειν ὡς Κωλώτης, μὲν αὐτὸν φυσιολογοῦντα, Προσκυνήσειε, γονάτων
ἀψάμενος...

[Epicurus used] to say that no one had become wise except himself and his
students – but also used to write that Colotes, upon seeing him engaged in
natural philosophy, fell, having grasped his knees.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1100C

οὐ γὰρ ἂν τινα Πείσειεν ἀνθρώπων (Ὁ τὴν Νεοκλέους μαρτυρίαν καὶ τὴν
Κωλώτου προσκύνησιν ἐν τοσοῦτῳ λόγῳ τιθέμενος καὶ ἀγαπῶν) ὡς οὐκ ἂν –
ὑπὸ τῶν Ἑλλήνων κροτηθεὶς Ὀλυμπίασιν – Ἐξεμάνη καὶ Ἀνωλόλυξε, μᾶλλον δ’
ὄλως ὑπὸ χαρᾶς Ἦρθη κατὰ τὸν Σοφοκλέα "γραΐας ἀκάνθης Πάππος ὡς
φυσώμενος"

for he would not persuade anyone who is alive (he who places and delights
in the testimony of Neocles and the prostration of Colotes in such a lengthy
speech) that he would not – if he had been applauded by the Greeks at
Olympia – have gone mad and cried aloud, or rather have been entirely
carried away by joy, as Sophocles says "like the puffed-up pappus of the 'old
woman's thistle'"

[Goodwin] For he will never induce any mortal to believe, that he that could so
highly value and please himself with the attestation of his brother Neocles
and the adoration of his friend Colotes would not, were he clapped by all the
Greeks at the Olympiads, go quite out of his wits and even hollow for joy, or
rather indeed be elated in the manner spoken of by Sophocles: Puffed like
the down of a gray-headed thistle.

The line uses the image of a thistle's pappus (fluffy seed tuft) – light and easily blown
away – to describe someone insubstantial or easily swayed.

[U142]

4. Use of Oaths

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.29.838

[ἔμελε δὲ] καὶ Κωλώτῃ [πάντῳ] γ τε ὄρκων καὶ [πάσης] θεολογίας.

Ἔμελε δὲ καὶ Κωλώτῃ – πάντων τε ὄρκων καὶ πάσης θεολογίας·

[Epicurus] was careful also with Colotes – for all oaths and all theology.

21. To Leontion

Πρὸς Λεόντιον

"Little Lion"

1. Relationship with Metrodorus

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.6

...καθὰ φησι Θεόδωρος ἐν τῷ τετάρτῳ τῶν Πρὸς Ἐπίκουρον – καὶ ἄλλαις δὲ
πολλαῖς ἐταίραις γράφειν, καὶ μάλιστα Λεοντίῳ, ἧς καὶ Μητρόδωρον ἐρασθῆναι

...just as Theodorus [the Cyrenaic] says in the fourth book of his work Against
Epicurus – and that [Epicurus] had also written to many other courtesans,
especially to Leontion, whom Metrodorus also loved

2. Epipoliórkētēs – The Courtesan Connection

In the following *fictitious* letter (16) Alciphron depicts *Lamia* writing to Demetrius Poliorcetes – and in this letter (17) Alciphron *fictitiously* depicts *Leontion* writing to *Lamia*.

Lamia of Athens was a famous flutist, courtesan, and contemporary of Epicurus.

Demetrius Poliorcetes famously had a long-standing relationship with *Lamia*.

Alciphron is certainly implying (or working under the assumption) that Epicurus and Demetrius shared the same social circles in Athens.

(Leontion scribentem ad Lamiam facit) οἷά με Ἐπίκουρος οὗτος διοικεῖ: πάντα λοιδορῶν, πάντα ὑποπτεύων, ἐπιστολὰς "ἀδιαλύτους" μοι γράφων – ἐκδιώκων ἐκ τοῦ Κήπου!

(Leontion depicted writing to Lamia) the way this Epicurus manages me: criticizing everything, suspecting everything, writing those "indissoluble" letters to me – driving me out of the Garden!

...ὧντως ἐπιπολιορκητὴν ἔχω τοιοῦτον – οὐχ οἷον σὺ, Λάμια, Δημήτριον – μὴ γὰρ ἔστι σωφρονῆσαι διὰ τὸν ἄνθρωπον τοῦτον!

indeed, I have just such a gray-haired "persistent besieger" – not like you [have], Lamia, with Demetrius – for it is not possible to stay temperate because of this man!

καὶ Σωκρατίζειν καὶ στωμυλεύεσθαι θέλει καὶ εἰρωνεύεσθαι – καὶ Ἀλκιβιάδην τινὰ τὸν Πυθοκλέα νομίζει – καὶ Ξανθίππην ἐμέ οἶεται ποιήσειν!

He wants to be a Socrates and to talk on and on and to be ironic – and he regards Pythocles as an Alcibiades – and counts on making me Xanthippe!

ἀδιάλυτος (ον)	indissoluble	ΑΔΙΑΛΥΤΟΣ not fully loose
-------------------	--------------	------------------------------

Demetrius' epithet was *Poliorcetes* (Πολιορκητής), which means "the besieger."

Alciphron combines this with *Epicurus*' name to form the portmanteau *Epipoliorcetes* (Ἐπιπολιορκητής) – which means "persistent besieger" and holds a further pun – with ἐπιπόλιος meaning "gray-haired."

Alciphron thinks his readers will find humor and meaning in the combination of the names of Demetrius and Epicurus – and by doing so, he forms a word approximately meaning "the (gray-haired) persistent besieger"

[U143]

3. Lord Paeon (Healing Apollo)

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.5
The Suda, Κροτοθορύβου ("loud applause") kappa-2480

ἐν τε ταῖς ἐπιστολαῖς πρὸς μὲν Λεόντιον "Παιὰν Ἄναξ – φίλον Λεοντάριον! οἶου κροτοθορύβου ἡμᾶς Ἐνέπλησας ἀναγνόντας σου τὸ ἐπιστόλιον!"

and in the letters to Leontion "by Lord Paeon – dear little Leontarion! what a clapping uproar you filled us with when we read your little letter!"

Παιὰν (τοῦ Παιᾶνος)	Paeon	ΠΑΙΑΝ physician (title of Apollo)
ὁ ἄναξ οἱ ἄνακτες	Lord	ΑΝΑΞ king

4. Clapping Uproars

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), On Listening to Lectures, 15 sup.

Δεῖ δὲ **μηδὲ ταῖς φωναῖς τῶν ἐπαίνων** ὥς ἔτυχε **χρῆσθαι**: καὶ γὰρ Ἐπίκουρος, ἐπὶ τοῖς τῶν φίλων ἐπιστολίοις, **κροτοθορύβους γίνεσθαι παρ’ αὐτῶν** λέγων **ἀηδὴς ἔστιν**

One must **not use statements of praise** haphazardly: for Epicurus is indeed **graceless** while saying that **clapping uproars occurred among them**, in response to letters from his friends

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1117A sup.

εἰ, τοίνυν, ὁ περὶ Σωκράτους, ἀνδρὸς **εἰς ἀρετὴν θεολήπτου γενομένου**, Χρησμός ἀνενεχθεὶς ὥς σοφοῦ, "**φορτικός**" ἦν καὶ "**σοφιστικός**" – **τίνι** Προσείπωμεν ἀξίως **ὀνόματι** τοῦς ὑμετέρους "**βρόμους**" καὶ "**ὀλολυγμούς**" καὶ "**κροτοθορύβους**" καὶ "**σεβάσεις**" καὶ "**ἐπιθειάσεις**" – **αῖς** Προστρέπεσθε καὶ Καθυμνεῖτε **τὸν ἐφ’ ἡδονὰς παρακαλοῦντα** "**συνεχεῖς**" καὶ "**πυκνάς**";

if, then, the Oracle concerning Socrates, a man who became **divinely inspired toward virtue**, pronounced [him] as **wise** – is [according to you] "**odious**" and "**sophistic**" – **by what name** shall we appropriately call **your** "**roars**" and "**howlings**" and "**noisy shouts**" and "**veneratings**" and "**invocations**" – **with which** You extol and You celebrate **him who exhorts** to "**continuous**" and "**dense**" pleasures?

φορτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	odious	ΦΟΡΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of coarse / vulgar</i>
σοφιστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	sophistic i.e., considered wise	ΣΟΦΙΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>considered to have the characteristic of knowledge</i>
τό όνομα τά όνόματα	word	ΟΝΟΜΑ <i>name</i>
ό βρόμος οί βρόμοι	roar	ΒΡΟΜΟΣ <i>boom</i>
ό όλολυγμός οι όλολυγμοί	howlings	ΟΛΟΛΥΓΜΟΣ <i>ololoo!</i>
ό κροτοθόρυβος οί κροτοθόρυβοι	noisy shouts	ΚΡΟΤΟΘΟΥΡΥΒΟΣ <i>noise uproar</i>
ό σεβασμός οί σεβασμοί	veneration	ΣΕΒΑΣΜΟΣ <i>instance of revering</i>
ή σεβάσις αί σεβάσεις	venerating	ΣΕΒΑΣΙΣ <i>action of revering</i>
ή έπιθειάσις αί έπιθειάσεις	invocation	ΕΠΙΘΕΙΑΣΙΣ <i>upon divine power</i>
συνεχής <i>(ές)</i>	continuous	ΣΥΝΕΧΗΣ <i>holding together</i>
ή πύκνωσις αί πυκνώσεις	a concentrating	ΠΥΚΝΩΣΙΣ <i>action of compacting</i>
τό πύκνωμα τά πυκνώματα	a concentration	ΠΥΚΝΩΜΑ <i>the result of compacting</i>
πυκνός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	dense	ΠΥΚΝΟΣ <i>compacting</i>

[U144]

5. Asking Leontion

...[καθ]όλου [δ'] ἁμαρτημάτων ἐκεί]νων τ[ά]δε καὶ τάδ[ε] καὶ ἅπε[ρ] ὃ' Ἐπίκουρος Λεοντίου πυνθά[ν]εται πρ[ο]σ[σ]υποστήσεται
πρὸς Κολώτην. ἐπεὶ καὶ μετάξει ποτ' ἐφ' ἑαυτὸν ὁ σοφὸς θ' ἁμαρτημ' ἄνετον ἐν τ[ῇ] νεότητι γε[γ]ονέ[ν]αι...

...καθόλου δ' ἁμαρτημάτων ἐκείνων – τάδε καὶ τάδε, καὶ ἅπερ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος
Λεοντίου πυνθάνεται – προσυποστήσεται πρὸς Κολώτην: ἐπεὶ καὶ μετάξει ποτ'
ἐφ' ἑαυτὸν ὁ Σοφός θ' ἁμαρτημ' ἄνετον ἐν τῇ νεότητι γεγονέναι...

...but of what is mistaken universally by those [students] – these and those
[mistakes], and the very ones Epicurus learns by asking Leontion – he will
undertake in advance in regards to Colotes: for even the wise man will at
some point divert to himself that he had made an intemperate mistake in his
youth...

[U145]

6. a Mina in a Day

[=U112] Diogenes Laertius (fl. c. 220 CE), 10.7

Τιμοκράτης... τόν τε Ἐπίκουρον πολλὰ κατὰ τὸν λόγον ἡγνοηκέναι καὶ πολὺ
μᾶλλον κατὰ τὸν βίον, τό τε σῶμα ἐλεεινῶς διακεῖσθαι – ὥς πολλῶν ἐτῶν μὴ
δύνασθαι ἀπὸ τοῦ φορείου διαναστῆναι – μνᾶν τε ἀναλίσκειν ἡμερησίαν εἰς
τὴν τράπεζαν, ὥς Αὐτὸς ἐν τῇ πρὸς Λεόντιον ἐπιστολῇ γράφει καὶ ἐν τῇ πρὸς
τοὺς ἐν Μυτιλήνῃ Φιλοσόφους

Timocrates [also claims that]... Epicurus was greatly ignorant in reasoning
and even more so in life, that his body was in a pitiful condition – [so much
so] that he was unable to rise from his portable chair for many years – and
that he spent a mina within a day on his table, as He himself writes in a
letter to Leontion and in the Letter to the Philosophers in Mytilene

The Attic mina was 436 grams of silver. With a modern melt value of around \$500, it
had a historical purchasing power of at least \$5,000 during Epikouros' lifetime.

[U146]

22. To Metrodorus
Πρὸς Μητρόδωρον
"Mother's Gift"

Professing Wisdom

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), De Finibus, 2.3.7

[Cicero] "an Haec ab eō nōn dīcuntur?"

"or are these things not said by [Epicurus]?"

"quāsī vērō mē Pudeat" Inquit "istōrum – aut nōn possim quem ad modum ea
dīcantur ostendere!"

"as if I should truly be ashamed" [Torquatus] said "of all of that – or could
not explain in what way they are meant!"

[Cicero] "Ego vērō nōn dubitō" Inquam "quīn facile Possīs – nec est quod tē pudeat
sapientī adsentīrī – Quī sē ūnus (quod sciam) sapiēntem profitērī sit ausus."

"indeed, I have no doubt" I said "that you can easily do so – and you have no
reason to be ashamed of agreeing with a wise man – who (so far as I know)
is the only person who dared to publicly declare himself wise."

"nam Metrōdorum nōn Putō ipsum professum – sed, cum appellārētur ab
Epicūrō: repudiāre tantum beneficium nōluisse."

"for I do not think Metrodorus declared himself so – rather, when he so was called by Epicurus: [he is said] to have not wanted to reject so great an honor."

"septem autem Illī nōn suō, sed populōrum suffrāgiō omnium, nōminātī sunt!"

"but those seven [ancient sages] were named not by their own vote, but by the vote of all the peoples!"

In the Protagoras, Plato gives the seven ancient sages as:

- Thales of Miletus (fl. c. 585 BCE)
- Pittacus of Mytilene (fl. c. 604 BCE)
- Bias of Priene (fl. f. 550 BCE)
- Solon of Athens (fl. c. 598 BCE)
- Cleobulus of Lindos (fl. c. 600 BCE)
- Myson of Chenae (fl. f. 550 BCE)
- Chilon of Sparta (fl. c. 555 BCE)

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1100A

...σοφὸν δὲ μηδένα φάναι πλὴν αὐτοῦ γεγονέναι καὶ τῶν μαθητῶν

[Epicurus used] to say that no one had become wise except himself and his students

[U147]

Biological Primacy

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 279F

ταύτης γὰρ [τῆς γαστρὸς] ἔνεκεν, ὁ Ἄνθρωπος, καὶ τῆς ἄλλης τῆς κατὰ σάρκα ἡδονῆς ἐκολάκευεν καὶ Ἰδομενέα καὶ Μητρόδωρον

indeed, for the sake of this belly, and the other pleasure that exists according to the flesh, the Man flattered both Idomeneus and Metrodorus

καὶ αὐτὸς δέ που ὁ Μητρόδωρος, οὐκ ἀποκρυπτόμενος τὰς καλὰς ταύτας θέσεις φησὶν "περὶ γαστέρα γάρ, ὦ, φυσιολόγε, Τιμόκρατες – περὶ γαστέρα ὁ κατὰ φύσιν βαδίζων Λόγος τὴν ἅπασαν ἔχει σπουδὴν"

and Metrodorus himself too, not hiding these fine positions, says somewhere "about the belly indeed, O, you natural scientist, Timocrates – the Reasoning that proceeds according to nature has all its eagerness about the belly"

Ἐπίκουρος γὰρ ἦν ὁ τούτων διδάσκαλος!

Epicurus was the tutor of these men!

ἡ σὰρξ αἱ σάρκες	flesh	ΣΑΡΞ flesh
ἡ θέσις αἱ θέσεις	position	ΘΕΣΙΣ process of placing
ἡ γαστήρ αἱ γαστέρες	belly	ΓΑΣΤΗΡ instrument of eating
ὁ φυσιολόγος οἱ φυσιολόγοι	natural scientist	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΟΣ reasoning of producing
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ producing
βαδίζειν	proceed	ΒΑΔΙΖΕΙΝ walking

ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
ἅπας <i>(ασα, αν)</i>	all together	ἌΠΑΣ <i>all together</i>
ἡ σπουδή αἱ σπουδαί	eagerness	ΣΠΟΥΔΗ <i>being eager</i>
ὁ διδάσκαλος οἱ διδάσκαλοι	teacher	ΔΙΔΑΣΚΑΛΟΣ <i>person related to</i> <i>teaching</i>

23. To Mithres

Πρὸς Μίθρην

Mithres is sometimes transliterated "*Mithras*" and means "*the one who holds the covenant.*" *Mithres* is the Greek rendering of the Persian name *Mithra*.

In (Persian) Zoroastrianism, *Ahura Mazda* is the single supreme god and creator of *everything* – but many other divine beings exist: one is *Mithra* – who was associated with *covenants, loyalty, and oaths*.

(Roman) Mithraism was inspired by the cult of *Mithra* in *Zoroastrianism* – but in Mithraism, "*Mithras*" is the central deity.

In the Achaemenid Empire, *the Persians were the dominate tribe ruling the Iranians*.

After the fall of Darius III (*who was Persian and Zoroastrian*) and the beginning of Macedonian rule, *many elite Persians maintained high-ranking political positions*. This resulted in a hierarchy where (1) Greek and Macedonian rulers held the highest authority, (2) *the Persian aristocracy remained but with reduced influence*, and (3) *the wider Iranian peoples formed the broader base beneath them*.

Thus, by the time the name *Mithres* appears in Hellenistic Greek contexts, it is usually *a Persian-origin name* for high-status *Iranians*.

1. Helping Idomeneus

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), *Treatises*, *P.Herc. 1418*, col. 32

...γράφ[φων’Ε]πίκουρος... ...ἀγοραία[ς μηθὲ]ν [ἐνόμισ]ας τὰς π[ρὸς ε]ύδ[αίμον]α βίον [ο]υντεινούσας ιδ[έ]ας τοῦ [βιοῦ].’ καὶ πάλιν· ’ἄξιος γὰρ νῆ τοὺς θεοὺς ἐνεφάνης ἡμῖν τῷ[ι] ὅλωι ἦθει [ε]ῖναι οὐ κατὰ νόμους ἐλευθέρας βιοτῆς.’ τὰ μὲν δὴ περὶ τούτου προσκατακεχωρίσθω νῦν δι’ ἃς αἰτίας ἔφαμεν καὶ τὰ περὶ Μιθρέους· λέγωμεν δ’ ἡμεῖς ὅτι καὶ ἐν ἄλλοις πολλοῖς μνημονεύει τ[ο]ῦ συγγράμματος. ἐπὶ δ’ Ἰσαίου καὶ γέγραφεν... ...ἐ[πι] δ’ {’Ορίου / Εὐ[θ]ίου} Λεοντεῖ προγρά[ψας, ἐ]πέσταλκ[ε] δὲ καὶ Ἐπικούρ[ος πρὸς] Μιθρῆν ἐ[πι]στ... ...ολήν [ύ]πὲρ [τῶν] μερῶν τούτων... ...γράμμ[ατα δ]ὲ τῷ... ...βοηθεια... ...[τὸ]ν... ...Ἰδομεν[έα]... ὥς φησιν... ...[Μιθ]ρῆν πρὸς...

...γράφων Ἐπίκουρος... " ...ἀγοραίας μηθὲν Ἐνόμισας τὰς πρὸς εὐδαίμονα βίον συντεινούσας ιδέας τοῦ βιοῦ" καὶ πάλιν "ἄξιος γὰρ – νῆ τοὺς Θεοὺς! – Ἐνεφάνης ἡμῖν, τῷ ὅλω ἦθει, εἶναι – οὐ κατὰ νόμους ἐλευθέρας βιοτῆς"

...Epicurus writes... "...You consider the ideas of lifestyle that contribute to a thriving lifestyle in no way vulgar" and again "by the Gods! – through your disposition You revealed to us that you were deserving – not according to the laws of a free livelihood"

τὰ, μὲν δὴ, περὶ τούτου Προσκατακεχωρίσθω νῦν δι’ ἃς αἰτίας Ἐφαμεν – καὶ τὰ περὶ Μιθρέους

therefore, Let these matters concerning this be additionally assigned for now through the reasons we mentioned – along with those concerning Mithres

λέγωμεν δ’ Ἡμεῖς, ὅτι καὶ ἐν ἄλλοις πολλοῖς Μνημονεύει τοῦ συγγράμματος. ἐπὶ δ’ Ἰσαίου καὶ γέγραφεν... ...ἐπὶ δ’ Εὐθίου Λεοντεῖ προγράψας. ἐπέσταλκε δὲ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος πρὸς Μιθρῆν ἐπιστολήν ὑπὲρ τῶν μερῶν τούτων... ...γράμματα... ...βοήθεια... ...Ἰδομενέα... ὥς Φησιν... ...Μιθρῆν, πρὸς...

let us say, then, that He mentions **this composition** also in many other places. He also wrote during [*the archonship in 284^{BCE}*] of Isaeus... ..and during [*the archonship in 283^{BCE}*] of Euthius, having made a proclamation to **Leonteus**. and Epicurus also had sent **a letter to Mithres concerning these matters**... ..**writings**... ..**help**... ..**Idomeneus**... as He says... ..**Mithres**, to...

284 ^{BCE} was a significant year for **King Lysimachus** and **Mithres**. The preceding years had seen **Lysimachus'** son **Agathocles** successfully keeping Demetrius Poliorcetes out of southern Anatolia. **Agathocles** had been set as **Lysimachus'** successor, and **he was popular with the court and the public**.

However (possibly due to false information from Ptolemy Ceraunus) **Lysimachus ordered his own son Agathocles to be put to death** (in 284 ^{BCE}).

[U148]

2. Praising the Minister of Lysimachus – *Harms of Ignoring Gods & Kings*

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.4

Μιθρῆν τε αἰσχρῶς κολακεύειν – τὸν Λυσιμάχου διοικητὴν, ἐν ταῖς ἐπιστολαῖς "Παιᾶνα" καὶ "'Ανακτα" καλοῦντα

and [*Epicurus is said*] to shamefully flatter Mithres – calling that minister of Lysimachus "Paeon" and "Lord" in his letters

Παιάν (τοῦ Παιᾶνος)	Paeon	ΠΑΙΑΝ <i>physician</i> (title of Apollo)
ὁ ἄναξ οἱ ἄνακτες	Lord	ΑΝΑΞ <i>king</i>

We know that Epicurus wrote a book called "Judgments about Diseases (**Περὶ Νόσων Δόξαι**)" *that was dedicated "to Mithres (πρὸς Μίθρην)*.

For a contrast Epicurus' close and polite interactions with **Mithres**, consider the brash behaviour of Epicurus' contemporary **Theodorus the Atheist** (who wrote a series of book entitled "Against Epicurus"). This exchange shows **Mithres speaking for King Lysimachus**, while standing next to him.

Laertius 2.102:

For a while [Theodorus the Cyrenaic and atheist] stayed at the court of Ptolemy [I Soter] the son of Lagus, and was once sent by him as ambassador to Lysimachus.

And on this occasion his language was so bold that Lysimachus said, "Tell me, are you not the Theodorus who was banished from Athens?"

To which he replied, "Your information is correct, for, when Athens could not bear me any more than Semele could Dionysus, she cast me out."

And upon Lysimachus adding, "Take care you do not come here again!"

"I never will" Theodorus said, "unless Ptolemy sends me!"

Then, Mithres, the king's minister, standing by said, "It seems that you can ignore not only gods but kings as well!"

Theodorus replied, "How can you say that I ignore the gods when I regard you as hateful to the gods?"

[U149]

"καὶ δεηθέντος μου {φιλανθ[ρω] πεύ[εσ] / φιλανθ[ρ]ώ[πο]υ}... ...δ[ιὰ παντὸς] πρὸς Μ[ι]θρῆ[ν]. ἡνίκα ἔζη [Λ]υσίμαχο[ς] χρήσιμον οἰόμενο[ι] τοῦ... ...ὕμιν εἶν[αι] ἢ π[ροπ]... ...δὲ καὶ τὰ [κα]τὰ τὴν ἔξωθε[ν] φα[ν]τασίαν ἐφ' ὃ ἂν ἀνέ[κ]... ...τι καὶ τῶν τοιούτων πρὸς ἡμᾶς." καὶ ἰδομενεῖ δὲ παραπλήσια [κα]... ...Κωλώτει· τούτῳ δὲ ὅτι· 'καὶ [ὕ]πέρτερ[ο]ν ἐγένετ[ο]... ...[χρ]ήσιμ[ο]ν[ο]... ...μ[ιθ]ρῆ[ς] *φίλαι τε, τῇ μετρὶ τῇ Κρατέρ[ο]υ, καὶ [α]ὐτῷ Κρατέρῳ, ὡς αὐ[τὸς] ἀπολογίζεται ΜΙΘΡΗΣ.' Μιθρε<τ> δέ· "ἀνήγγελλέ μοι... ...τοῦ [ᾄ]ρχειν κα[ὶ] μὲ[ν] τ[ὰ] ἴδια...

"...καὶ δεηθέντος μου, φιланθρωπου... ...διὰ παντὸς πρὸς Μιθρῆν. ἡνίκα ἔζη Λυσίμαχος – χρήσιμον Οἰόμενοι τοῦ... ...δὲ καὶ τὰ κατὰ τὴν ἔξωθεν φαντασίαν ἐφ' ὃ ἂν ἀνέχειν... ...τι καὶ τῶν τοιούτων πρὸς ἡμᾶς." καὶ ἰδομενεῖ δὲ παραπλήσια...

[Epicurus wrote] "...and [although] I was in need, [was] philanthropic... ...always toward Mithres. when Lysimachus was alive – They were believing it useful to... ...even the [issues] in accordance with the appearance from an external source, insofar as one might endure them... ...also a little bit of such things that relate to us." and to Idomeneus likewise [Epicurus wrote] similar things...

Seleucus used the outrage of Agathocles' death as a pretext to invade Lysimachus' territory and rally defectors from Lysimachus' court.

Seleucus killed Lysimachus during battle in 281 BC. So Epicurus would have written this in the last decade of his life.

Epicurus' network of friends extended through many hostile borders.

[U150]

...Κωλώτει· τούτῳ δὲ ὅτι "καὶ ὑπέρτερον Ἐγένετο... ...χρήσιμον...

...to Colotes, in regard to this, [Epicurus wrote] that "It turned out even better... ...useful..."

...Μιθρῆς Φίλαι τε, τῇ μετρὶ τῇ Κρατέρου – καὶ αὐτῷ Κρατέρῳ – ὡς αὐτὸς ἀπολογίζεται Μίθρης. Μιθρεῖ δέ· "Ανήγγελλέ μοι... ...τοῦ ᾄρχειν, καὶ μὲν τὰ ἴδια..."

...of Mithres to Phila, the mother of Craterus – and to Craterus himself – as Mithres himself explains. and to Mithres [Epicurus wrote] "He reported to me... ...regarding the governing, and indeed the particular [private] matters..."

δέεσθαι	to be in need	ΔΕΕΣΘΑΙ needing
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ man face
ὁ φιλόανθρωπος οἱ φιλόανθρωποι	philanthropic	ΦΙΛΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ loving man face
τὸ πᾶν (τοῦ παντός)	(individually) everything, (collectively) the universe	ΠΑΝ all
Μίθρης	Mithres	ΜΙΘΡΗΣ Mithra
ἡνίκα	when	
Λυσίμαχος	Lysimachus	ΛΥΣΙΜΑΧΟΣ battle destroyer
χρήσιμος (ον)	useful	ΧΡΗΣΙΜΟΣ necessary
οἰόμενος (η, ον)	suspecting [here, "believing"]	ΟΙΟΜΕΝΟΣ perceiving
ἐξωθεν	from an external source	ΕΞΩΘΕΝ from outside
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ state of appearing

ἀνέχειν	to hold oneself up [here, "to endure"]	ANEXEIN <i>hold up</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
Part 2		
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ἄρχειν	to begin [here, "to govern"]	APXEIN <i>beginning</i>

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), *Treatises*, P.Herc. 1418, col. 30 full

...περὶ ἡμ[ῶν] γρά[φων·] "[ἦν **προ**]σέταξα τιμῇ[ν] ἑμαυτῷ κἂν ἐν Ὑπερβορείοις ὥσιν ἀποστέλλ[ε]ιν, ταύτην καὶ ὑμῖν συντάττω· ἑκατὸν γάρ καὶ *εἴκοσι [δ]ρ[αχ]μὰς μόν[ας] κατ' ἑνιαυτὸν βούλομαι παρ' ἑκατέρου λαμβάνειν." καὶ δι' ἑτέρας "ἤνεγκέ μοι Κτήσιππος τὴν κα[τ'] ἑνιαυτὸν σύνταξιν ἣν ἀπέστειλας ὑπὲρ τε τοῦ [π]ατρὸς καὶ σεαυτοῦ." Μιθρεῖ δὲ· "ὁ γὰρ ὄρος ὃ κατατεταγμένος τῆς συντάξεως ὃν κατεῖχον ὥστε ἀποφορὰς οἰκετῶν εἶ[ναι]... ...ὅτι [δ]ιὰ τὰ πρά[γμ]ατα οὐ μ[όν]ον τ[ὸ] ἐπιδεχόμεν[ον] ἐξῆν μερίζειν ἀλλ' εἰ κα[ὶ] [ἔ]θε ὑεῖν... ...[ἄλ]λους... ...[πρ]άγ[μα]τα... ...[ο]ὐδέ[ν]." ἐπὶ... ...Μ[ι]θρῆς... ... νῦν βιβλίον[ν]...

...περὶ ἡμῶν γράφων "ἦν Προσέταξα τιμὴν ἑμαυτῷ (κἂν ἐν Ὑπερβορείοις ὥσιν!) ἀποστέλλειν – ταύτην καὶ ὑμῖν Συντάττω: ἑκατὸν γὰρ καὶ εἴκοσι δραχμὰς μόνας κατ' ἑνιαυτὸν Βούλομαι παρ' ἑκατέρου λαμβάνειν."

...writing *about us* "*the honor which* I ordered to be sent *to myself* (even if they are *among the Hyperboreans!*) – and *this* I also order *from you all*: I want to acquire *only one hundred and twenty drachmas per year from each of you.*"

A drachma was about 4.3 grams of silver and was an average daily wage for average labor and had the purchasing power of around \$50–\$150 during Epicurus lifetime.

[U151]

4. Collection Agent & Fixed Rate for the Yearly Contribution

καὶ δι' ἑτέρας "ἤνεγκέ μοι Κτήσιππος τὴν κατ' ἑνιαυτὸν σύνταξιν ἣν Ἀπέστειλας ὑπὲρ τε τοῦ πατρὸς καὶ σεαυτοῦ."

and in another [*letter, Epicurus writes*] "Ctesippus brought *to me the contribution* for the year *which* you sent *on behalf of both your father and yourself.*"

Ctesippus (Κτήσιππος) meaning "possessor of a horse" appears to be a trusted friend involved in the financial administration of the school's extended network.

We also learn that Epicurus, Pythocles, Hermarchus and Ctesippus all sailed together on a trip to Lampsacus to visit Themista and the rest of their friends there. (U176)

Philodemus has also included a letter to Mithres in the section about the school's finances, and Epicurus is discussing the "annual contribution (ἡ κατ' ἑνιαυτὸν σύνταξις)" with Mithres.

Μιθρεῖ δὲ "ὁ γὰρ Ὅρος ὃ κατατεταγμένος τῆς συντάξεως, ὃν Κατεῖχον ὥστε ἀποφορὰς οἰκετῶν εἶναι... ...ὅτι, διὰ τὰ πράγματα, οὐ μόνον τὸ ἐπιδεχόμενον ἔξῃν μερίζειν – ἀλλ' εἰ καὶ δῆθε... ...ἄλλους... ...πράγματα... ...οὐδέν." ἐπὶ... ...Μιθρῆς... ...νῦν βιβλίον...

and *to Mithres*: "for the limit [*i.e., fixed rate*] *that was assigned* for the contribution, *which* I firmly held so that *it amounted to the payments [i.e., bills]* of the household... * ...since, because of the circumstances, it was possible *not only* to divide *what was accepted* – *but even* if truly, in fact... ..*others... ..circumstances... ..in no way.*" concerning... ..*Mithres... ..now a book...*

* alternatively: "*which I firmly held so that it amounted to the level of payments from house-slaves*" i.e., as low as the payments extracted from one employed slave

120 drachmas per year (the amount of the annual contribution) equals about two obols per day – which was an ancient standard for the daily income expected from one working slave.

διατάττειν	to arrange	ΔΙΑΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering across</i>
ὑποτάττειν	to assign	ὙΠΟΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering under</i>
προστάττειν	to order for	ΠΡΟΣΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering toward</i>
συντάττειν	to also order	ΣΥΝΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering together</i>
ἡ τιμή αἱ τιμαί	honor	ΤΙΜΗ <i>value</i>
ὁ Ὑπερβόρειος οἱ Ὑπερβόριοι	Hyperborean	ὙΠΕΡΒΟΡΕΙΟΣ <i>beyond north</i>
ἀποστέλλειν	to send [away]	ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready away</i>
εἰκοστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	twentieth	ΕΙΚΟΣΤΟΣ <i>twenty</i>
ἡ εἰκάς αἱ εἰκάδες	20 th day of the month	ΕΙΚΑΣ <i>twenty</i>
τὸ εἰκοσάεδρον τὰ εἰκοσάεδρα	icosahedron <i>(20 faced polyhedron)</i>	ΕΙΚΟΣΑΕΔΡΟΝ <i>twenty-face</i>
εἴκοσι	20	ΕΙΚΟΣΙ <i>twenty</i>
ἡ δραχμή αἱ δραχμαί	drachma	ΔΡΑΧΜΗ <i>[as much as one can] grasp</i>
μόνος <i>(η, ον)</i>	only	ΜΟΝΟΣ <i>single</i>
ὁ ἐνιαυτός οἱ ἐνιαυτοί	year	ΕΝΙΑΥΤΟΣ <i>year passing [over to next]</i>
βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>wishing</i>
λαμβάνειν	<i>[intellectually]</i> to comprehend, <i>[generally]</i> to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
Part 2		
φέρειν	to carry	ΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing</i>
ἐνεγκεῖν	to carry <i>[already]</i>	ΕΝΕΓΚΕΙΝ <i>brought</i>
συντάττειν	to also order	ΣΥΝΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering together</i>
ἡ σύνταξις αἱ συντάξεις	contribution	ΣΥΝΤΑΞΙΣ <i>ordering together</i>
ὁ πατήρ οἱ πατέρες	father	ΠΑΤΗΡ <i>father</i>
Part 3		
ὁ ὅρος οἱ ὅροι	limit	ὍΡΟΣ <i>outline, definition</i>
προστάττειν	to order for	ΠΡΟΣΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering toward</i>
τὰ συντεταγμένα	things organized	ΣΥΝΤΕΤΑΓΜΕΝΑ <i>having arranged together</i>
κατατάττειν	to assign	ΚΑΤΑΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly ordering</i>

κατατεταγμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	assigned	ΚΑΤΑΤΕΤΑΓΜΕΝΟΣ <i>having thoroughly arranged</i>
ἡ σύνταξις αἱ συντάξεις	contribution	ΣΥΝΤΑΞΙΣ <i>ordering together</i>
κατέχειν	to firmly hold	ΚΑΤΕΧΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly holding</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἡ ἀποφορά αἱ ἀποφοραί	payment	ΑΠΟΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying away</i> <i>I think this refers to payments due [i.e., bills for the operation of the household] but it could be a reference to payments received [i.e., the 120 drachma income]</i>
ἡ οἰκονομία αἱ οἰκονομίαι	household management	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΙΑ <i>managing the home</i>
ὁ οἰκέτης	house slave	ΟΙΚΕΤΗΣ <i>the home</i>
οἱ οἰκέται	the household	ΟΙΚΕΤΑΙ <i>although οἱ οἰκέται is often used to refer to "the household," its traditional and fundamental meaning is "house slaves."</i> <i>I think Epicurus is using this word in its more general sense of "household" to simply refer to those living and working with him.</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation [here, "circumstance"]	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ἀποδέχεσθαι	to accept	ΑΠΟΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting from away</i>
ἐπιδέχεσθαι	to receive	ΕΠΙΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting upon</i>
τὸ ἐπιδεχόμενον τὰ ἐπιδεχόμενα	what is received	
ἀπιέναι	to go way	ΑΠΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going away</i>
ἐξιέναι	to be possible	ΕΞΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going out</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
μερίζειν	to divide	ΜΕΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>part</i>
δῆθε = δῆθεν	truly, in fact	ΔΗΘΕΝ <i>strengthened δῆ</i>
τὸ βιβλίον τὰ βιβλία	book	ΒΙΒΛΙΟΝ <i>strip of papyrus</i>

5. Enduring Changes

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Wealth, P.Herc. 163, col. 5 ⁽³⁶⁾ fin.

...[μὴ ἐνοχλή]σεσθαι... ...μικρὰς ἔνεκεν ἐλα[ττ]ώσ[ε]ως πρὸς Πυθ[οκ]λέα [λ]έγειν· "ἂν [ἀνε]χώμεθα... ...τὴν με[τάπ]τωσ[ι]ν, οὐκ [ἐ]νο[χ]λήσει·" καὶ πρὸς Μιθρῆ[ν] ἐπὶ Τηλοκλέους· κ[αί]τοι [ἀ]ν[εκτέο]ν τὸν τρόπ[ο]ν· οὐ [γὰρ] ἄξιον φόβου τὸ κατὰ μετὰπτωσιν ἐνόχλημα, κἂν [διαί]της οὐ πάντα... ...κατ' ἐνδειαν... ...[ἐξ]αι[ρ]ούμενον... ...[θ]υμ[ὸ]ν... ...τὴν πενίαν...
...[α]ἰρεῖσ[θαι]... ...αὐτῶι...

καὶ πρὸς Μιθρῆν, ἐπὶ Τηλοκλέους "καίτοι, ἀνεκτέον τὸν τρόπον: οὐ γὰρ ἄξιον φόβου τὸ κατὰ μετὰπτωσιν Ἐνόχλημα – κἂν διαιτης οὐ πάντα..."

and to Mithres, during [the archonship in 280^{BCE}] of Telocles [Epicurus says] "nevertheless, the way must be endured: for disturbance from transition is not deserving of fear – even if not everything in [one’s] manner of living [stays the same]..."

ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
-------------------------------------	-----	--

ἄξιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	deserving	ΑΞΙΟΣ <i>counterbalancing</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
ἡ μετάπτωσις αἱ μεταπτώσεις	transition	ΜΕΤΑΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>to fall change</i>
τό ὄχλημα τά ὄχλήματα	[cause of] disturbance	ΟΧΛΗΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>a crowd of people</i>
ἐνοχλεῖν	to be disturbing to	ΕΝΟΧΛΕΙΝ <i>in a crowd of people</i>
τό ἐνόχλημα τά ἐνοχλήματα	[feeling of] disturbance	ΕΝΟΧΛΗΜΑ <i>result of [being] in</i> <i>a crowd of people</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ἡ βιοτή αἱ βιοταί	livelihood	ΒΙΟΤΗ <i>life</i>
ἡ αἰτία αἱ αἰτίαι	cause	ΑΙΤΙΑ <i>responsibility</i>
ἡ δίαιτα αἱ δίαιται	way of living	ΔΙΑΙΤΑ <i>through the</i> <i>responsibility</i>
ἡ ἔνδεια αἱ ἔνδειαί	lack	ΕΝΔΕΙΑ <i>deficiency</i>
ἐξαιρεῖν	to remove	ΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking out</i>
ἐξαιρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	removing	ΕΞΑΙΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
ὁ θυμός οἱ θυμοί	anger	ΘΥΜΟΣ <i>spirit</i>
ἡ πενία αἱ πενίαι	poverty	ΠΕΝΙΑ <i>need</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
αἰρεῖσθαι	to choose for oneself	ΑΙΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ

[24. To Mys]

Πρὸς Μῦν
 "Mouse"

Μῦς means "mouse" or "hamster." Some editors incorrectly translate his name as "fly" (probably from its similarity to **Μύια**, which does mean fly). **Μῦς** is mentioned by Laertius as "joining in Epicurus studies" and was given his full freedom in Epicurus will.

[These four fragments are no longer accepted as referring to Mys]

[U152]

To Leonteus
 Πρὸς Λεοντέα

"The Radiant Letter" against Atheism

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), On Frank Criticism, P.Herc. 1471, fr. 6 (col. 100)

...[τῷ] μὲν ἁμαρτή[σαντι παρρη]σιᾶσ[ε]ται, τῷ δὲ καὶ [πικρ]ότητας ἀποδιδόντι. διὸ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος, Λε[οντ]έως διὰ Πυθοκλέα π[ίσ]τιν θεῶ[ν] οὐ παρέντο[ς,] Πυθοκλεῖ μὲν [ἐ]πιτιμᾷ μετρίως, πρὸς δὲ τὸν γράφει [τ]ὴν λαμπρὰν καλουμένην ἐπισ[τολ]ήν, λαβῶ[ν ἀρχὴν ἀπὸ τοῦ] Πυθ[οκλ]...

...τῷ μὲν ἁμαρτήσαντι Παρρησιάζεται – τῷ δὲ καὶ πικρότητας ἀποδιδόντι.

...He will speak frankly to the one who has done wrong – and even to the one who responds with bitterness.

διὸ, καὶ Ἐπίκουρος (Λεοντέως, διὰ Πυθοκλέα, πίστιν θεῶν οὐ παρέντος) Πυθοκλεῖ μὲν Ἐπιτιμᾷ μετρίως. πρὸς δὲ τὸν Γράφει τὴν "Λαμπρὰν" καλουμένην Ἐπιστολὴν – λαβὼν ἀρχὴν ἀπὸ τοῦ Πυθοκλέους...

therefore, even Epicurus (according to Leonteus, on account of Pythocles, because he was not maintaining faith in the gods) reproaches Pythocles moderately. but [Epicurus] writes to him [i.e., Leonteus] the so-called "Radiant" Letter – taking his starting point from [this issue with] Pythocles...

As we see here, Epicurus wrote the so-called "Radiant Letter" to Leonteus (radiant in the sense of light-giving) – and the topic started with the issue of Pythocles' questioning the existence of the gods.

λαμπρός (ἄ, ὄν)	radiant	ΛΑΜΠΡΟΣ shining
ἡ Λαμπρά Ἐπιστολή	the radiant letter	

[U153]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 10

...περὶ τῆς Ἀθήνησιν αὐτοῦ παρουσίας Χαριδῆμωι γράφων· ἤκουσα Λύκου φησὶν (ἥκει γὰρ πρὸς ἡμᾶς' ὅτι νεανίσκον...
...Θεμίστ<δ> δ' ἐπὶ Φιλύτπου· Ἰλύνον φη[ς] πιστ[όν] εὐτακτόν... ...κατ' αὐτὰ παρακ[αλοῦ]ντα...'

...περὶ τῆς Ἀθήνησιν αὐτοῦ παρουσίας Χαριδῆμωι γράφων· ἤκουσα Λύκου" Φησὶν ἥκει γὰρ πρὸς ἡμᾶς' – ὅτι νεανίσκον..."

...writing to Charidemus about his presence in Athens, [Epicurus] says "I heard of Lycus, for He has come to us – that the young man..."

[U154]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Wealth, P.Herc. 163, col. 5 (36) fin.

...[μὴ ἐνοχλή]σεσθαι... ...μικρὰς ἔνεκεν ἐλαττώσε[ι]ως πρὸς Πυθ[οκλ]έα [λ]έγειν· "ἂν [ἀνε]χώμεθα... ...τὴν μετ[ε]πίτ[ω]σιν, οὐκ [ἐ]νο[χ]λήσει·" καὶ πρὸς Μιθρῆ[ν] ἐπὶ Τηλοκλέους· κ[αί]τοι [ἀ]ν[εκτέο]ν τὸν τρόπ[ο]ν· οὐ [γὰρ] ἄξιον φόβου τὸ κατὰ μετὰπτωσιν ἐνόχλημα, κἂν [δ]αί[ι]της οὐ πάντα... ...κατ' ἔνδειαν... ...[ἐξ]αι[ρ]ούμενον... ...[θ]υμ[ὸ]ν... ...τὴν πενίαν...
...[α]ἱρεῖσ[θαί]... ...αὐτῷ...

καὶ πρὸς Μιθρῆν, ἐπὶ Τηλοκλέους

and to Mithres, during [the archonship in 280BCE] of Telocles [Epicurus says]

[U155]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.3.60

εἰ γ' εὐ[σκοποῦσιν] φησιν φύσιν τούτων πραγμάτων καὶ πολλῶν αὐτὴν περιεστώτων δοξάζειν ἀξεῖναι καὶ πολλοῖς αἰδίοις [θεοῖς καθ'α]νάτο[ις εἶναι]

εἰ γ' Εὐσκοποῦσιν, Φησιν, φύσιν τούτων πραγμάτων καὶ πολλῶν αὐτὴν περιεστώτων Δοξάζειν ἀξεῖναι καὶ πολλοῖς αἰδίοις θεοῖς καθ'ανάτοις εἶναι

If, indeed, They examine carefully, [Epicurus] says, *the nature of these situations and the many things [that relate to] this [nature]*, They will judge *[this nature] to rise up and to exist for many eternal and immortal gods*

25. To Polyaeus
Πρὸς Πολύαινον
"Much Praised"

[U156]

1. Polyaeus' Graciousness Towards All

Scriptor Epicureus, P.Herc. 176 fr. 5 col. 24

...ἐπειδὴν μεθ' Ἑρμαρχον καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων Ἐπικούρου φίλων ποιῶμαι τὴν γραφὴν. ὅλως δὲ τοιούτοις ἦθεσί τε καὶ πάθεσι καὶ ταῖς πρὸς ἕκαστον ἐπιδεξίαις ὁμιλίαις ἐκέχρητο Πολύαινος ὥστε καὶ τοὺς ἀπὸ τῶν ἄλλων φιλοσόφων εὐμενεῖς κατεσκευακέναι πρὸς ἑαυτόν, οὐ μόνον τοὺς ἀπὸ τῆς Ποικίλης Στοᾶς, ὑπὲρ ὧν καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ἔγραψεν ἐπὶ τε Φιλίππου πρὸς αὐτόν καὶ Λεοντέα, ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς... ...καὶ προβάς... ...Πολυαῖνον...

...ἐπειδὴν, μεθ' Ἑρμαρχον, καὶ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων Ἐπικούρου φίλων Ποιοῦμαι τὴν γραφὴν.

...when, after Hermarchus, I undertake the writing about the other friends of Epicurus also.

ὅλως δὲ, τοιούτοις ἦθεσί τε καὶ πάθεσι, καὶ ταῖς πρὸς ἕκαστον ἐπιδεξίαις ὁμιλίαις, ἐκέχρητο Πολύαινος – ὥστε καὶ τοὺς ἀπὸ τῶν ἄλλων φιλοσόφων εὐμενεῖς κατεσκευακέναι πρὸς ἑαυτόν:

and in general, Polyaeus conducted himself with such dispositions and feelings and with gracious conversations toward each person – that He made those from other philosophers well-disposed toward him:

οὐ μόνον τοὺς ἀπὸ τῆς Ποικίλης Στοᾶς – ὑπὲρ ὧν καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ἔγραψεν ἐπὶ τε Φιλίππου πρὸς αὐτόν καὶ Λεοντέα – ἀλλὰ καὶ τοὺς... ...καὶ προβάς... ...Πολυαῖνος...

not only those from the Stoa Poikile – concerning [all of] whom even Epicurus wrote during [the archonship in 292^{BCE}] Philippus, both to [Polyaeus] and to Leonteus – but also those... ...and [he who] advanced... ...Polyaeus...

Polyaeus' affability is noted – as well as his friendliness toward the Stoics and other schools (unnamed because the text cuts off).

We also see here that Epicurus wrote directly about the Stoics.

[U157]

2. Group Celebration of Anthesteria – Remembering the Gods

Philodemus (fl.c. 70^{BCE}), On Piety, 1.30.865

...ἀλλὰ καὶ πρὸς Πολύαινον συνεορταστέα κἀν Ἀνθεστήρια· καὶ γὰρ τῶν θεῶν ἐπιμνησκέον... ...τον πολλῶν...

...ἀλλὰ καὶ πρὸς Πολύαινον, συνεορταστέα κ' Ἀνθεστήρια – καὶ γὰρ τῶν θεῶν Ἐπιμνησκέον...

...but also [Epicurus says in his letter] to Polyaeus, that the Anthesteria should be celebrated together – for indeed one must also remember the gods...

[U158]

3. Full Assarius

certōs habēbat **diēs** ille Magister voluptātis, Epicūrus, **quibus** **malīgne** **famem** exstinguēret: vīsūrus an **aliquid** **dēesset** ex plēnā et cōnsummātā voluptāte – vel **quantum** **dēesset** – et an **dignum** **quod** Quis **magnō** **labōre** pēnsāret.

that Master of pleasure, Epicurus, had **certain days on which** he would **parsimoniously** extinguish **his hunger**: to see whether **anything was missing** from full and complete pleasure – or how **much was missing** – and whether **[it was] something worth** Someone paying **with great effort**.

hoc certē in iīs epistulīs Ait – **quās** scrīpsit Charīnō magistrātū ad Polyēnum. et quidem, Glōriātur **nōn** **tōtō** **assē** **sē** **pāscī** – **Metrodorum**, quī nondum **tantum** prōfēcerit – **tōtō**!

He certainly says this in those letters – **which** he wrote during Charinus' magistracy **[in 308 BCE]** to **Polyaenus**. and indeed, He boasts **of feeding himself on less than a full assarius** – **Metrodorus**, who had not yet advanced so far – **on a full [assarius]!**

By the 1st century BCE (when Cicero was writing) the weight of the **assarius** was around 10 grams of bronze (which was equivalent to **about 0.4 grams of silver**).

For comparison, the **Attic drachma** was about **4.3 grams of silver**, and the **Attic mina** was **436 grams of silver**.

Therefore a mina is about 1,000 assarii.

[U159]

4. Differentiating Types of Poverty

[= U98] Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Wealth, P.Herc. 163, col. 3 (34)

...ὅ[τ]αν δ[ι]ανοῶνται περι[γ]ίνοντ[αι] τῷ[ν] κατὰ [τῇ]ν [ἐ]νδειαν κα[ὶ] πε[ν]ίαν καὶ δέ[η]σιν· ἐπὶ δὲ Χαρίνου... ...[π]άντ[α]...
...πενίαν μηδὲ... ...τὸ δὴ λέγομε[ν]... ...εἰς με[τ]άθεσιν ὅλ[η]ν τῆς ὅλ[η]ς ὑπ[α]ρξ[ε]ως ἄξι· [κ]αὶ πρὸς [Πολ]ύαι[νον]... ...τὴν
πενίαν ἀδι[α]φορον Ἐπ[ι]κ[ού]ρου... ...[ἐ]νδειαν ἢ προσδέ[η]σιν... ...κατ[α]κολουθεν... ...ὑπα[ρ]ξ[ι]...

...ὅταν Διανοῶνται: Περιγίνονται τῶν κατὰ τὴν ἔνδειαν καὶ πενίαν καὶ δέησιν· ἐπὶ δὲ Χαρίνου... ...πάντα... ...πενίαν μηδὲ... ...τὸ δὴ Λέγομεν... ...εἰς μετάθεσιν ὅλην τῆς ὅλης ὑπάρξεως ἄξει·

...whenever They intend to: They prevail over **what** relates to want and poverty and need. **during [the archonship in 308 BCE]** of Charinus... **...all things... ...poverty in no way... ...what** We say... ...[such a view] will lead into a complete transformation of one's whole existence.

καὶ πρὸς Πολύαινον... ...τὴν πενίαν ἀδιάφορον Ἐπικούρου... ...ἐνδειαν ἢ προσδέησιν... ...κατακολουθεῖν... ...ὑπαρξιν...

and also to **Polyaenus**... ...Epicurus [says] **poverty is undifferentiated [i.e., refers to different types of circumstances]**... **...want or additional need...** ...to fully follow... **...existence...**

ἀδιάφορος (ος, ον)	undifferentiated	ΑΔΙΑΦΟΡΟΣ <i>not carrying apart</i>
------------------------------	------------------	---

[U160]

5. Confession of Faults

[=U170] Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Frank Criticism, P.Herc. 1471 fr. 49

...[ἐ]παίνε[σ]θαι τὸν { Ἡρακλείδην / Χαρμίδην}, ὅτι τὰς ἐκ τῷ[ν] ἐνφαν[η]σμένων μέμψεις ἤττο[υ]ς τιθέμενος τῇ[ς] ὠφελία[ς] αὐτῶν, ἐμήνυεν Ἐπικούρῳ τὰς ἀμαρτίας· καὶ Πολύαινος δὲ τοιοῦτος ἦν, ὅς γε καὶ Ἀ[πολλωνί]δου ῥαθυμοῦν[τ]ος[ς, ἐφοίτ]α πρὸς Ἐπ[ι]κ[ού]ρον...

...ἐπαινεῖσθαι τὸν Χαρμίδην ὅτι – τὰς ἐκ τῶν ἐμφανησομένων μέμψεις ἥττους τιθέμενος τῆς ὠφελίας αὐτῶν – Ἐμήνυεν Ἐπικούρῳ τὰς ἀμαρτίας·

...Charmides was praised because – setting the criticisms from what [discomfort] was going to appear to be lesser than their usefulness – he disclosed his faults to Epicurus.

καὶ Πολύαινος δὲ τοιοῦτος ἦν, ὅς (γε καὶ Ἀπολλωνίδου ῥαθυμοῦντος) ἐφοίτα πρὸς Ἐπίκουρον...

and Polyaeus too was such a man, who (even when Apollonides was being negligent) went frequently to Epicurus...

Sincere self-disclosure and consistent engagement with the teacher were praised as signs of philosophical maturity and trust.

26. To Pythocles
Πρὸς Πυθοκλέα
"Pythian Fame" "Glory of Apollo"

[U161]

1. Praiseworthy Example

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1124C

νέοις ὁ περὶ Πυθοκλέους (οὐπῶ γεγονότος ὀκτωκαίδεκα ἔτη) γράφων, οὐκ εἶναι φύσιν ἐν ὅλῃ τῇ Ἑλλάδι ἀμείνω – καὶ τερατικῶς αὐτὸν εὖ ἀπαγγέλλειν

he [i.e., Epicurus] who writes to young men about Pythocles (when he was not yet eighteen years), asserts that there is no nature better in all of Greece – and that [Pythocles] expresses himself portentously well

καὶ πάσχειν Αὐτὸς τὸ τῶν γυναικῶν: εὐχόμενος ἀνεμέσητα πάντα εἶναι καὶ ἀνεπίφθονα τῆς ὑπερβολῆς τοῦ νεανίσκου

and [Epicurus] himself experiences that [impulse] of women: by praying that everything may be without divine resentment and without envy for the excess [talent] of the young man

In his "Radiant Letter" against atheism, Epicurus "moderately reproached" Pythocles, taking the young man's lack of "not maintaining faith in the gods" as his starting point for the letter.

However, in general, Epicurus appears to have praised Pythocles not just for his understanding in philosophy, but for his ability to articulate it with clarity and fidelity.

[De Lacy] Early brilliance presages an early death. We doubtless are told that Pythocles was not yet eighteen because he never reached that age.

[U162]

2. Not Another Alcibiades

Alciphron (fl. fortasse 160 CE), Letters, Book 4, Letter 17 (2.2) – section 3 fin.

καὶ Σωκρατίζειν καὶ στωμυλεύεσθαι θέλει καὶ εἰρωνεύεσθαι – καὶ Ἀλκιβιάδην τινὰ τὸν Πυθοκλέα νομίζει – καὶ Ξανθίππην ἐμὲ οἶεται ποιήσειν!

He wants to be a Socrates and to talk on and on and to be ironic – and he regards Pythocles as an Alcibiades – and counts on making me Xanthippe!

The charge of turning young admirers into Alcibiades figures – and casting oneself as Socrates – was a familiar and often mocking accusation in philosophical circles.

For example, Lucian records that he once traveled on the same boat with the self-immolating Cynic Peregrinus Proteus, and mentions "his self-indulgence on the voyage, and the handsome boy whom he had persuaded to turn Cynic, that he too might have an Alcibiades." (The Passing of Peregrinus, part 43)

However, Alcibiades was a volatile youth who hurled himself into politics – *Pythocles, by contrast, was a praised student of Epicurus, with no such trajectory.*

[U163]

3. Sail Clear of Public Education

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.6

ἔν τε τῇ πρὸς Πυθοκλέα ἐπιστολῇ γράφειν "παιδείαν δὲ πᾶσαν, Μακάριε, Φεῦγε – τᾰκάτιον ἀράμενος!"

[Epicurus is said] to write in a letter to Pythocles "Avoid all education, blessed one – after taking up a little boat!"

ἡ παιδεία αἱ παιδεῖαι	education	ΠΑΙΔΕΙΑ <i>child</i>
ἡ μακαριότης αἱ μακαριότητες	blessedness	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΤΗΣ <i>condition from greatness</i>
μακάριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	blessed	ΜΑΚΑΡΙΟΣ <i>greatness</i>
φεύγειν	to avoid	ΦΕΥΓΕΙΝ <i>fleeing</i>
τὸ ἀκάτιον τὰ ἀκάτια	little boat	ΑΚΑΤΙΟΝ <i>little vessel</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
αἶρειν	to raise	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>lifting</i>
ἀράμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having raised [here, "after taking up"]	ΑΡΑΜΕΝΟΣ <i>lifted</i>

[=U117] Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 13.53

καὶ πρῶτον μὲν, Μνησθήσομαι τοῦ φιλαληθεστάτου Ἐπικούρου: Ὅστις ἐγκυκλίου παιδείας ἀμύητος ὢν – Ἐμακάριζε καὶ τοὺς ὁμοίως αὐτῷ ἐπὶ φιλοσοφίαν παρερχομένους – τοιαύτας φωνὰς προιέμενος:

and first, I shall recall the most truth-loving Epicurus: Who, though he was uninitiated in general education – He considered those people blessed who were proceeding toward philosophy similarly to himself – uttering such words:

"Μακαρίζω σε, ὦ οὗτος, ὅτι – καθαρὸς πάσης παιδείας – ἐπὶ φιλοσοφίαν ὤρμησαι!"

"I bless you, O dear one, because – clear of all education – You have rushed toward philosophy!"

4. The Little Epicurean Boat – τὸ Ἐπικούρειον Ἀκάτιον

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), On Listening to Lectures, 1.1 (15D)

πότερον οὖν (τῶν νέων ὥσπερ τῶν Ἰθακησίων κληρῷ τινι τὰ ὦτα καὶ ἀτέγκτω κηρῷ καταπλάσσοντες) Ἀναγκάζωμεν αὐτοὺς – τὸ Ἐπικούρειον ἀκάτιον ἀραμένους – ποιητικὴν φεύγειν καὶ παρεξελαύνειν;

so then, shall we (after sealing the ears of the young with some hard and unyielding bees-wax like the Ithacans) force them – after taking up that little Epicurean boat – to flee from poetry and sail right past?

A reference to Circe's advice to Odysseus regarding the Sirens "But do thou row past them, and anoint the ears of thy comrades with sweet wax, which thou hast kneaded, lest any of the rest may hear." (Homer, Odyssey 12.45)

Plutarch portrays education in poetry from the Epicurean perspective as alluring – but (due to it taking the place of more important studies) harmful and requiring active measures of avoidance – thus comparing it to the Sirens.

5. Flee the Folly of Limitless Inquiry

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1094D, 12 sup.

ταύτας μέντοι τὰς, τηλικαύτας καὶ τοσαύτας, ἡδονὰς, ὥσπερ ἀενάους – ἐκτρέποντες Οὗτοι καὶ ἀποστρέφοντες – οὐκ ἔῴσι γεύεσθαι τοὺς πλησιάσαντας αὐτοῖς: ἀλλὰ, τοὺς μὲν ἐπαραμένους τὰ ἀκάτια φεύγειν ἀπ' αὐτῶν Κελεύουσι:

however, these pleasures [of learning the disciplines of mathematics, geometry, astronomy, music, painting, poetry, et alia], so great and so numerous, as if unending – those men divert [them] and turn away – and do not allow those who approach them to taste them: instead, they command those who have taken up their little boats to flee away from those [numerous and unending disciplines]

6. Dismiss Yourself from the Classroom

Quintilian (fl. c. 75 CE), Institutio Oratoria, 12.2.24

vĕrum ex hōc alia mihi Quaestiō exorītur: quae Secta cōnferre plūrimūm ēloquentiae possit?

but from this another question arises for me: which sect can contribute the most to eloquence?

quamquam Ea nōn inter multās potest esse contentiō – nam, in prīmīs, nōs Epicūrus ā sē ipse dimittit – Quī fugere omnem disciplīnam nāvigātiōne quam vĕlōcissimā iubet!

although that is not able to be in dispute among many people – for, first of all, Epicurus dismisses us from his company – since he commands that all instruction be fled by the fastest possible voyage!

[U164]

7. The Appeal to Pythocles

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1094D, 12 sup.

Πυθοκλέους δὲ Πάντες καὶ Πᾶσαι δέονται (δι' Ἐπικούρου) καὶ ἀντιβολοῦσιν – ὅπως οὐ Ζηλώσῃ τήν "ἐλευθέριον" καλουμένην παιδείαν

all men and all women beg and plead with Pythocles (through [the writings of] Epicurus) – so that he does not desire the so-called "liberal" education

Pythocles is urgently implored by all, men and women alike, in the person of Epicurus, not to set his heart on the so-called "education of free men"

ἐλεύθερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	free	ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΟΣ <i>from a tribe</i> <i>(with the rights and responsibilities of a free man)</i>
ἐλεύθεριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	liberal <i>("befitting a free person")</i>	

[U165]

8. Pleasant Expectations

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.5

πρὸς δὲ Πυθοκλέα, ὥραϊον ὄντα: "Καθεδοῦμαι" Φησὶ "προσδοκῶν τὴν ἡμερτὴν καὶ ἰσόθεόν σου εἴσοδον"

but as for Pythocles, who was in his prime: "I will sit" [Epicurus] says "expecting your lovely and godlike arrival"

καθέεσθαι	to sit [down]	ΚΑΘΕΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>sitting down</i>
προσδοκᾶν	to expect	ΠΡΟΣΔΟΚΑΝ <i>seeming</i>
ἡμερτός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	lovely	ΊΜΕΡΤΟΣ <i>longing for</i>
ἰσοταχής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	equally fast	ΙΣΟΤΑΧΗΣ <i>equally swift</i>
ἰσόθεος	godlike	ΙΣΟΘΕΟΣ <i>equal to a god</i>
ἡ ὁδός αἱ ὁδοί	course	ΟΔΟΣ <i>road</i>
ἡ εἴσοδος αἱ εἵσοδοι	arrival	ΕΙΣΟΔΟΣ <i>road into</i>

[U166]

27. To Timocrates

Πρὸς Τιμοκράτη
"Power of Honor"

Spreading the Word

[= U124] Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.5

...ἀλλὰ καὶ Ἰδομενέα, καὶ Ἡρόδοτον, καὶ Τιμοκράτην (τοὺς ἔκπυστα αὐτοῦ τὰ κρύφια ποιήσαντας) ἐγκωμιάζειν – καὶ κολακεύειν αὐτὸ τοῦτο

but [it is said that Epicurus] praised Idomeneus, and Herodotus, and Timocrates (the very ones who made his hidden teachings public) – and that he flattered this very thing

Timocrates, brother of Metrodorus, was once close to Epicurus and took part in spreading his teachings – but he later abandoned the school and became a hostile critic.

[U167]

1. Consolation for Hegesianax

[= U120] Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1101A,B

τοῖς ἀναιροῦσι λύπας καὶ δάκρυα καὶ στεναγμούς ἐπὶ ταῖς τῶν φίλων τελευταῖς, Μάχονται καὶ Λέγουσι

to those who remove distress and tears and sighs over the deaths of friends, They oppose and say that

"τὴν εἰς τὸ ἀπαθὲς καθεστῶσαν ἀλυπίαν ὑφ’ ἑτέρου κακοῦ μείζονος ὑπάρχειν – ὠμότητος ἢ δοξοκοπίας ἀκράτου καὶ λύσσης – διὸ, Πάσχειν τι βέλτιον εἶναι: καὶ Λυπεῖσθαι καὶ – νῆ Δία! – Λιπαίνειν τοὺς ὀφθαλμούς καὶ Τήκεσθαι"

"impassivity established in apathy exists from another greater evil – of cruelty or of unrestrained vanity and of rage – therefore, to Experience something is better: and to be Distressed and – by Zeus! – to Anoint the eyes and to Melt"

...ταῦτα γὰρ ἐν ἄλλοις τε πολλοῖς Ἐπίκουρος εἶρηκε – καὶ περὶ τῆς Ἥγησιάννακτος τελευτῆς πρὸς Δωσίθεον τὸν πατέρα γράφων καὶ Φύρσωνα τὸν ἀδελφόν, τοῦ τεθνηκότος: ἔναγχος γὰρ κατὰ τύχην τὰς ἐπιστολὰς Διῆλθον αὐτοῦ...

...for Epicurus has said these things in many other contexts – and also while writing about the death of Hegesianax to Dositheus, the father, and to Phyrson [of Colophon], the brother of the deceased: for just recently, by chance, I went through his letters...

τὸ ἀπαθὲς τὰ ἀπαθῆ	apathy "the apathetic state"	ΑΠΑΘΕΣ not experiencing
καθιστάναι	to establish	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ thoroughly standing
ἡ λύπη αἱ λύπαι	distress	ΛΥΠΗ vexation
ἡ ἀλυπία αἱ ἀλυπῖαι	impassivity	ΑΛΥΠΙΑ no vexation
λυπεῖσθαι	to be distressed	ΛΥΠΕΙΣΘΑΙ vexation
ἡ ὠμότης αἱ ὠμότητες	cruelty	ΩΜΟΤΗΣ condition from being savage / raw
ἡ δοξοκοπία αἱ δοξοκοπῖαι	vanity	ΔΟΞΟΚΟΠΙΑ striving toward seeming
ἄκρατος (ον)	unrestrained	ΑΚΡΑΤΟΣ no power
ἡ λύσσα αἱ λύσσαι	rage	ΛΥΣΣΑ fury / frenzy
πάσχειν	to experience	ΠΑΣΧΕΙΝ enduring
βελτίων (ων, ον)	better	ΒΕΛΤΙΩΝ greater
λιπαίνειν	to anoint	ΛΙΠΑΙΝΕΙΝ fat / oil
ὁ ὀφθαλμός οἱ ὀφθαλμοί	eye	ΟΦΘΑΛΜΟΣ eye chamber
τήκεσθαι	to melt	ΤΗΚΕΣΘΑΙ melt down / pine away

[U168]

2. "Regarding Those Who Are Occupied"

...[τὸ]ν ἀγαθ[ὸν]... ...τὸ πρὸς τοὺς ἀσχ[όλο]{υς}... ...αὐτῷ καὶ μνημονεύ[ει] κατὰ Δι[ό]τιμο[ν] Ἐπίκουρος ἄρ[χο]ντα τοῦ
βυβλ[ίου] Φύρσωνι γο[ῦν] γράφων· Ἀπέστει[λε]ν δ[έ] ἡ[μῖν] καὶ τὸ πρὸς τοῦ[ς] ἀσ[χ]ο[λό]υς' κ[αὶ] 'κᾶ[ν] ἡ[ν]αγκασμέν[ος]... ...[ἐς
μέγ]ιστ' ἂν ἦκοις κ[α]κᾶ... ...τὸν τρό[πον] τ[οῦτο]ν καὶ τοσ[οῦτ]όν τε... ...εἰ καὶ Νικάν[ο]ρ...

...τὸν ἀγαθὸν... ...τὸ 'Πρὸς Τοὺς Ἀσχόλους'... ...αὐτῷ καὶ μνημονεύει, κατὰ
Διότιμον Ἐπίκουρος ἄρχοντα τοῦ βυβλίου – Φύρσωνι γοῦν γράφων "Ἀπέστειλεν
δὲ ἡμῖν καὶ τὸ 'Πρὸς Τοὺς Ἀσχόλους' καὶ κᾶν ἡναγκασμένος... ...ἐς μέγιστ' ἂν
ἦκοις κακὰ... ...τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον καὶ τοσοῦτόν τε... ...εἰ καὶ Νικάνορ..."

...a good man... ...that [work] 'Regarding Those who are Occupied'...
...Epicurus also reminds him, during [the archonship in 285^{BCE}] of Diotimus
the archon of the book's [composition] – in any case [Epicurus] writes to
Phyrson [of Colophon] "He sent to us that [work] 'Regarding Those who are
Occupied' and even if being forced... ...You would fall into the gravest evils...
...this way and so great... ...even if Nīkánor..."

ἡ σχολή αἱ σχολαί	school	ΣΧΟΛΗ leisure
ἡ ἀσχολία αἱ ἀσχολίαι	occupation	ΑΣΧΟΛΙΑ not leisure

[U169]

3. Festival Participation

[part of U103] Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Piety, 1.28.785

ἐπ' Ἀρ[ιστ]ωνύμου μέγ γὰρ[ρ] Φύρσωνι περὶ τινος αὐτοῦ πολίτου Θεοδότου γράφων καὶ τῶν ἑορτῶν [φησὶ] πασῶν
με[τεσχηκέ]ναι...

ἐπ' Ἀριστωνύμου μέγ γαρ Φύρσωνι περὶ τινος αὐτοῦ πολίτου, Θεοδότου,
γράφων καὶ τῶν ἑορτῶν Φησι πασῶν μετεσχηκέναι...

during [the archonship in 287^{BCE}] of Aristonymus, while writing to Phyrson
[of Colophon] about a certain fellow countryman of his, Theodotus [of
Colophon, Epicurus] says that he had participated in all the festivals...

[U170]

29. To Charmides
Πρὸς Χαρμίδην
"Grace"

Catharsis

[part of U160] Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Frank Criticism, P.Herc. 1471 fr. 49

...[ἐπαι]νεῖσθαι τὸν {Ἡρακλεῖδην / Χαρμίδην}, ὅτι τὰς ἐκ τῶ[ν] ἐνφαν[η]σομένων μέμψεις ἤττο[υ]ς τιθέμενος τῇ[ς] ὠφελία[ς]
αὐτῶν, ἐμήνυεν Ἐπικούρῳ τὰς ἀμαρτίας· καὶ Πολύαινος δὲ τοιοῦτος ἦν, ὃς γε καὶ Ἀ[πολλωνί]δου ῥαθυμοῦν[τε]ο[ς], ἐφοί[τε]α
πρὸς Ἐπ[ί]κουρον...

Some editors restore this name as "Heracleides"

ΗΡΑΚΛΕΙΔΗΝ vs. ΧΑΡΜΙΔΗΝ

In fragment 20 of this same work (On Frank Criticism) Heracleides of Pontus (the
pupil of Plato, playwright, and astronomer) is mentioned: "as Epicurus maintains
consistently both in his writings Against Democritus and Against Heracleides" (U16)

The individual mentioned here was a contemporary and friend of Epicurus – thus he
is certainly not Heracleides of Pontus – but his exact name is uncertain.

...ἐπαινεῖσθαι τὸν Χαρμίδην ὅτι – τὰς ἐκ τῶν ἐνφανησομένων μέμψεις ἤττους
τιθέμενος τῆς ὠφελίας αὐτῶν – Ἐμήνυεν Ἐπικούρῳ τὰς ἀμαρτίας·

...**Charmides was praised** because – setting **the criticisms** from what [*discomfort*] was going to appear **to be lesser than their usefulness** – he disclosed **his faults to Epicurus**.

To Uncertain Persons

30. On Occupations
Περὶ Ἐπιτηδευμάτων

[U171]

1. Aristotle: *Squanderer, Soldier, Drug-Seller, & Drifter*

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), *Deipnosophists*, 8, 354B

πολλὰ δὲ ἔχων ἔτι λέγειν περὶ ὧν ἐλήρησεν ὁ Φαρμακοπώλης, Παύομαι – καίτοι εἰδὼς καὶ Ἐπίκουρον, τὸν φιλαληθέστατον, ταῦτ' εἰπόντα περὶ αὐτοῦ ἐν τῇ Περὶ Ἐπιτηδευμάτων ἐπιστολῇ:

though still having **many things to say** about what that Drug-seller [*i.e., Aristotle*] raved about, I'll stop – even though I know that **Epicurus, the most truth-loving man**, in his letter *On Occupations* also said **these things** about him:

ὅτι καταφαγὼν τὰ πατρῶα – ἐπὶ στρατείαν ὤρμησε, καὶ ὅτι, ἐν ταύτῃ κακῶς πράττων – ἐπὶ τὸ φαρμακοπωλεῖν ἦλθεν.

that, having fully devoured **his paternal estate** – he rushed into military service, and that, doing **poorly** in it – he turned to drug-selling.

εἶτα, ἀναπεπταμένου τοῦ Πλάτωνος περιπάτου, Φησί – παραβαλὼν ἑαυτὸν – προσεκάθισε τοῖς λόγοις, οὐκ ὦν ἀφυής, καὶ κατὰ μικρὸν εἰς τὴν θεωρουμένην ἐξῆλθεν.

then, when Plato's walkaround [*i.e., school*] was premiered, [*Epicurus*] says, [*Aristotle*] – throwing **himself** in as well – settled **alongside those reasonings**, given that he was **not stupid**, and he **gradually** entered into observation.

οἶδα δὲ ὅτι ταῦτα μόνος Ἐπίκουρος εἶρηκεν κατ' αὐτοῦ – οὔτε δ' Εὐβουλίδης, ἀλλ' οὐδὲ Κηφισόδωρος τοιοῦτόν τι ἐτόλμησεν εἰπεῖν κατὰ τοῦ Σταγειρίτου (καίτοι καὶ συγγράμματα ἐκδόντες κατὰ τάνδρός)

but I know that only Epicurus said these things about him – neither Eubulides, nor even Cephisodorus dared to say **such a thing** against the Stagirite (even though they published **treatises** against the man)

Aristotle is called "the Stagirite" because he was born in Stagira (Στάγειρα), a city in northern Greece (northeast Chalkidice).

τὸ φάρμακον τὰ φάρμακα	remedy	ΦΑΡΜΑΚΟΝ <i>drug</i>
ὁ Φαρμακοπώλης	drug-seller	ΦΑΡΜΑΚΟΠΩΛΗΣ <i>drug selling</i>
τὸ φαρμακοπωλεῖν	selling drugs	
ἀληθής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	true	ΑΛΗΘΗΣ <i>not hidden</i>
ὁ φιλόανθρωπος οἱ φιλόανθρωποι	philanthropic	ΦΙΛΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>loving man face</i>
φιλαληθέστατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most truth-loving	ΦΙΛΑΛΗΘΕΣΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most loving what is not hidden</i>
ὁ Φιλαληθέστατος	most truth-loving man	

ἐπιτηδεύειν	to be trained	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΥΕΙΝ <i>pursuing towards</i>
τό ἐπιτήδευμα τά ἐπιτηδεύματα	occupation	ΕΠΙΤΗΔΕΥΜΑ <i>pursuing towards</i>
ὁ περίπατος οἱ περίπατοι	walkaround	ΠΕΡΙΠΑΤΟΣ <i>walking around</i>
θεωρεῖν	to observe	ΘΕΩΡΕΙΝ <i>envisioning</i>
ἡ θεωρουμένη	*observation	

2. Degeneracy of Aristotle

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.8

καὶ Ἀριστοτέλη, "ἄσωτον (ὄν, καταφαγόντα τὴν πατρώαν οὐσίαν – στρατεύεσθαι καὶ φαρμακοπωλεῖν)

and [Epicurus called] Aristotle "degenerate" (who, having devoured his paternal estate – engaged in military service and selling drugs)

Presumably in connection with his drug-selling, we also learn of Aristotle "bathing in a bath of warm oil and then selling the oil." (Laertius 5.16)

Regarding Aristotle' pharmacological abilities, consider "at Chalcis [Aristotle] died, according to Eumelus in the fifth book of his Histories – by drinking ἀκόνιτον (aconite, i.e., wolfsbane or monkshood)..." However, Laertius notes that other sources say Aristotle died a natural death. (Laertius 5.6 & 10)

ὁ ἄσωτος οἱ ἄσωτοι	degenerate	ΑΣΩΤΟΣ <i>not saving</i>
-------------------------------------	------------	------------------------------------

3. Aristotle Joined Plato

Aristocles of Messene

from Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 BCE), Praeparatio Evangelica, book 15, chapter 2 (791A)

Eusebius (a 4th-century AD Christian historian) preserves a summary from Aristocles of Messene (a 1st-century AD Peripatetic philosopher and defender of Aristotle), who in turn reports what Epicurus wrote in his letter On Occupations.

πῶς γὰρ οἷόν τε, καθάπερ φησὶν Ἐπίκουρος ἐν τῇ περὶ τῶν ἐπιτηδευμάτων ἐπιστολῇ: νέον μὲν ὄντα, καταφαγεῖν Αὐτὸν τὴν πατρώαν οὐσίαν – ἔπειτα δὲ ἐπὶ τὸ στρατεύεσθαι συνῶσαι, κακῶς δὲ πράττοντα ἐν τούτοις – ἐπὶ τὸ φαρμακοπωλεῖν ἐλθεῖν, ἔπειτα, ἀναπεπταμένου τοῦ Πλάτωνος περιπάτου πᾶσι παραβαλεῖν αὐτόν;

for how is it possible, as Epicurus says in his Letter On Occupations: that, while still young, [Aristotle] squandered his paternal estate – then resorted to military service, and, doing poorly in these things – turned to selling drugs, then, when Plato's walk [i.e., school] was premiered to all, he threw himself into it?

The passage goes on to list other detractors of Aristotle (Timaeus, Aristoxenus, Alexinus, Eubulides, Demochares, Cephisodorus, Lycon, etc.)

[U172]

4. Protagoras: Basket-Carrier, Scribe, School Teacher & Sophist

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 8.50, 354C

ἐν δὲ τῇ αὐτῇ ἐπιστολῇ, ὁ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ Πρωταγόραν φησὶ τὸν σοφιστὴν – ἐκ φορμοφόρου καὶ ξυλοφόρου πρῶτον, μὲν γενέσθαι γραφέα Δημοκρίτου

(θαυμασθέντα δ' ὑπ' ἐκείνου ἐπὶ ξύλων τινὶ ἰδίᾳ συνθέσει) – ἀπὸ ταύτης τῆς ἀρχῆς ἀναληφθῆναι ὑπ' αὐτοῦ καὶ διδάσκειν ἐν κώμῃ τινὶ γράμματα, ἀφ' ὧν ἐπὶ τὸ σοφιστεῦειν Ὄρμησαι.

in the same letter, Epicurus also says that Protagoras the sophist – from first being a basket-carrier and firewood-carrier, then became Democritus' scribe (with [Protagoras] having been admired by [Democritus] for some particular invention for [carrying] firewood) – from that starting point, [Protagoras] was taken up by [Democritus], and [Protagoras] taught letters in some village, from which he began his path to playing a sophist

Epicurus was probably not disparaging Protagoras working as basket-carrier – but instead disparaged leaving that work and entering into the "profession" of sophist.

διάφορος <i>(ον)</i>	differing	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΟΣ <i>carrying apart</i>
ὁ φορμοφόρος οἱ φορμοφόροι	basket-carrier	ΦΟΡΜΟΦΟΡΟΣ <i>wicker basket</i> <i>carrying</i>

5. Protagoras Joined Democritus

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.8

"φορμοφόρον" τε Πρωταγόραν – καὶ γραφέα Δημοκρίτου – καὶ ἐν κώμαις γράμματα διδάσκειν:

[Epicurus stated that] Protagoras was "a basketbearer" – and a scribe of Democritus – and taught letters in villages

Consider also the fact that Democritus (fl. 420 BCE) was 30 years younger than Protagoras (fl. 450 BCE). However Democritus was a prodigy and his family was very extremely wealthy (to the point that his father hosted King Xerxes).

6. Protagoras: Inventor of the Shoulder Pad

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 9.53 (Protagoras)

καὶ πρῶτος [Πρωταγόρας] τὴν καλουμένην "Τύλην" (ἐφ' ἧς τὰ φορτία βαστάζουσιν) εὔρεν – ὥς φησιν Ἀριστοτέλης ἐν τῷ Περὶ Παιδείας: φορμοφόρος γὰρ ἦν, ὥς καὶ Ἐπικούρος πού φησι.

Protagoras was the first to invent the so-called "Tyle" (on which people carry burdens) – as Aristotle says in *On Education*: for [Protagoras] was a basketbearer, as Epicurus also says somewhere.

καὶ τοῦτον τὸν τρόπον Ἦρθη πρὸς Δημοκρίτου ξύλα δεδεκῶς Ὀφθείς.

and he was taken up by Democritus after being seen loaded up with firewood in this way

[U173]

7. Protagoras: A Late Learner

Uncertain Author *On Hippomachus*, in John Anthony Cramer's *Anecdota Graeca e codicibus manuscriptis Bibliothecae Regiae Parisiensis*, Volume 1, bottom of page 171, lines 31 onward

This work, published in 1839, is a compilation of previously unpublished Greek texts sourced from manuscripts housed in the Royal Library of Paris (now the Bibliothèque nationale de France).

ὅτι ἐν τῷ ἐπιγραφομένῳ "Μεγάλῳ Λόγῳ," ὁ Πρωταγόρας εἶπε "φύσεως καὶ ἀσκήσεως Διδασκαλία δεῖται – καὶ ἀπὸ νεότητος δὲ ἀρξαμένους Δεῖ μανθάνειν."

that in the work titled "Great Reasoning," Protagoras said "Instruction requires nature and training – and it is necessary to have begun learning from youth."

οὐκ ἂν δὲ ἔλεγε τοῦτο, εἰ αὐτὸς ὀψιμαθὴς ἦν (ὡς ἐνόμιζε καὶ ἔλεγεν Ἐπίκουρος περὶ Πρωταγόρου)

but he would not have said this, if he himself had been a late learner (as Epicurus supposed and said about Protagoras)

Despite this objection, Protagoras is clearly described as a late learner (ὀψιμαθής), not just by Laertius, but also by the broader tradition that emphasizes his turn to philosophy only after working as a manual laborer.

31. On Stilpo

Stilpo (Στίλπων, fl. c. 320 BCE) was twenty years older than Epicurus, and was a very famous and wealthy member of the Megarians. He is one of the philosophers criticized by Colotes in his "On the Point that Conformity to the Doctrines of other Philosophers actually makes it impossible to Live."

1. Invincible Goods

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 9.18

omne intrā sē bonum Terminābit et Dīcet quod Stilpōn ille dīxit – Stilpōn quem Epicūrī Epistula insequitur

[the wise man] will confine all good within himself and say what that Stilpo said – Stilpo whom a letter of Epicurus attacks

Hic enim, captā patriā, amissīs liberīs, amissā uxōre, cum ex incendiō pūblicō, sōlus, et tamen beātus, exīret: interrogantī Demētriō – cui cognōmen ab exitiō urbium Poliorcētēs fuit – num quid perdidisset "omnia" Inquit "Bona mea mēcum sunt!"

for this man, with his country captured, his children lost, and his wife gone, yet he came out from the general destruction, alone, and yet happy: to Demetrius who was asking – who had the surname Poliorcetes from the destruction of cities – whether he had lost anything, [Stilpo] said "all my goods are with me!"

(19) Ecce vir fortis ac strēnuus! ipsam hostis suī victōriam vīcit. "Nihil" inquit "perdidī." dubitāre illum coēgit an vīcisset, "omnia mea mēcum sunt: lūstitia, Virtūs, Prūdentia, hoc ipsum: nihil bonum putāre quod ēripī possit"

Behold a brave and vigorous man! He conquered the very victory of his enemy. "I have lost nothing" [Stilpo] said. he made that man [i.e., Demetrius] doubt whether he had truly won, "everything that is mine is with me – Justice, Virtue, Wisdom, and this very thing: to consider nothing good that can be taken away"

Mīrāmur animālia quaedam quae per mediōs ignēs sine nōxā corporum trānseunt: quantō hic mīrābilior vir – quī per ferrum et ruīnās et ignēs inlaesus et indemnīs ēvāsit! Vidēs quantō facilius sit tōtam gentem quam ūnum virum vincere?

We marvel at **certain animals** that pass through the midst of flames **without harm to their bodies**: **how much more marvelous** is this man – who came **uninjured** and **untouched** through sword, ruins, and fire! do you see **how much easier** it is **to conquer an entire nation rather than one man**?

Many Romans thought that Salamanders could live in fire – this is false.

haec vōx illī commūnis est cum Stōicō: aequē et hic intacta bona per concrēmātās urbēs fert – sē enim ipse contentus est – hōc fēlicitātem suam finē dēsignat

This saying is **shared with him** and with the Stoic: he **too** carries his **untouched goods** through burned-out cities – for he is **content with himself** – he defines **his happiness by this boundary**

⁽²⁰⁾ **Nē existimēs nōs sōlōs generōsa verba iactāre: et ipse Stilpōnis obiūrgātor Epicūrus, similem illī vōcem ēmīsit – quam Tū bonī consule (etiam sī hunc diem iam expūnxī)**

Do not think that **we alone utter noble words**: Epicurus himself, **the critic of Stilpo**, expressed **a similar sentiment** – **which** you should regard **favorably**, (even if I have **already** crossed off **this day**)

"sī cui" inquit "Sua nōn videntur amplissima, licet tōtius mundi dominus sit, tamen miser est"

[Epicurus] said "if **to anyone** his own things do not seem **most ample** – even if he is **master of the whole world** – he is still **miserable**"

(vēl sī hoc modō tibī melius enuntiārī vidētur – id enim agendum est, ut nōn verbīs Serviāmus, sed sēnsibus) "miser est quī sē nōn beatissimum iūdicat, licet imperet mundō"

(or if this seems **to you to be better expressed** – for this is **what must be done**, so that we do not serve **words**, but **meanings**) "he is **wretched** who **does not judge himself most blessed**, **even if** he rules **the world**"

2. Demetrius Protects Stilpo

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 2.115 fin. (Stilpo)

ἀλλὰ καὶ Δημήτριος, ὁ Ἀντιγόνου, καταλαβὼν τὰ Μέγαρα, τήν τε οἰκίαν αὐτῷ φυλαχθῆναι – καὶ πάντα τὰ ἀρπασθέντα προύνόησεν ἀποδοθῆναι

but even Demetrius, the son of Antigonus, after taking **Megara**, ordered that **[Stilpo's] house be protected for him** – and **[Demetrius]** took care that **all that had been plundered be returned**

ὅτε καὶ βουλομένῳ παρ’ αὐτοῦ τῶν ἀπολωλότων ἀναγραφὴν λαβεῖν – Ἔφη μηδὲν τῶν οἰκείων ἀπολωλεκέναι: παιδείαν γὰρ μηδένα ἐξενηνοχέναι – τόν τε λόγον ἔχειν καὶ τὴν ἐπιστήμην

and **to [Demetrius] who wished** to receive from **[Stilpo]** **a list of what had been lost** – **[Stilpo]** said **that he had lost none of his belongings**: for **he had lost no education** – **and still possessed both reasoning and knowledge**

καὶ αὐτῷ διαλεχθεὶς περὶ ἀνθρώπων εὐεργεσίας: οὕτως εἶλεν, ὥστε προσέχειν αὐτῷ

and having conversed **with [Demetrius]** about kindness for people: **[Stilpo]** so captivated **[Demetrius]**, **so** that he paid attention **to [Stilpo]**

[U174]

3. Some External Goods are Necessary for Wellbeing

an meritō reprehendat, in quādam epistulā, Epicūrus eōs quī dīcunt sapientem sē ipsō esse contentum et, propter hoc, amīcō nōn indigēre, Dēsīderās scīre

whether Epicurus rightly criticizes, in a certain letter, those who say that the wise man is content with himself and, because of this, has no need of a friend, you wish to know

Hoc obiicitur Stilbōnī ab Epicūrō et iīs quibus summum Bonum vīsum est animus inpatiēns

This [*objection*] is raised against Stilpo by Epicurus and by those to whom the highest good seemed to be a non-suffering mind

[U175]

4. Reciprocal Responsibilities of Friendship

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 9.8

nunc ad prōpositum Reuertāmur, Sapiēns, etiam sī contentus est sē, tamen habēre amīcum vult – sī nihil aliud, ut exerceat amīcitiam, nē tam magna Virtūs iaceat – nōn ad hoc quod dīcēbat Epicūrus in hāc ipsā epistulā:

Let us now return to the point, the wise man, even if he is content with himself, still wishes to have a friend – if for nothing else, so that he may practice friendship, lest so great a virtue lie idle – not for the reason Epicurus stated in this very letter:

"ut habeat quī sibi aegrō assideat – succurrat in uincula coniectō vel inopī"

"so that he may have someone to sit by him when he is ill – to help when he is thrown into chains or in need"

sed ut habeat aliquem cui Ipse aegrō assideat – quem Ipse circumuentum hostīlī custōdiā liberet

but so that he may have someone whom he himself may sit beside when that person is ill – whom he himself may free when that person is surrounded by hostile guards

Quī sē spectat et propter hoc ad amīcitiam venit, male cōgitat. quemadmodum coepit, sīc dēsīnēt: parāuit amīcum aduersum uincla lātūrum opem – cum prīmum crepuerit Catēna, Discedet!

He who looks to himself and for this reason comes to friendship, thinks poorly. however he began, so will he end: he has prepared a friend to bring help against chains – as soon as the chain rattles, he will depart!

Seneca is being rhetorical and unfair to Epicurus – who certainly recognized the reciprocal responsibilities involved with friendship.

5. Defense of Imperfect Predication

As a further indication of what was in Epicurus letter against Stilpo, we see that Colotes wrote against *Stilpo's rejection of predication*.

Predication is the act of stating something about a subject – for example, saying "the man is wise" joins the subject "man" with the predicate "wise."

Stilpo argued that predication is fundamentally mistaken because it attributes to a subject something distinct from it – treating, for example, "man" and "good" as if they could form a single truth.

Although most predicates are imperfect, in the sense that they do not fully capture the distinct identity of their subject, if no predication is considered valid, then the basic operations of language – defining, describing, judging – are all undermined, leaving us unable to communicate meaningfully or distinguish one thought from another.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 22, 1119C

μετὰ δὲ Σωκράτην καὶ Πλάτωνα, προσμάχεται Στίλπωνι... ...ἐνὸς μνησθεῖς, καὶ πρὸς τοῦτο μηδὲν εἰπὼν, μηδὲ λύσας τὴν πιθανότητα: τραγωδίαν ἐπάγει τῷ Στίλπωνι, καὶ "τὸν βίον ἀναιρεῖσθαι" φησιν "ὑπ' αὐτοῦ λέγοντος ἕτερον ἑτέρου μὴ κατηγορεῖσθαι"

after Socrates and Plato, [Colotes] engages against Stilpo... ...recalling one [saying], and saying nothing about its [context], nor resolving its subtlety: he brings a tragedy against Stilpo, and he says that "[our way of] life is destroyed by him who says that one thing must not be predicated of another"

"πῶς γὰρ Βιωσόμεθα, μὴ λέγοντες 'ἄνθρωπον ἀγαθόν' μηδ' 'ἄνθρωπον στρατηγόν' – ἀλλ' 'ἄνθρωπον ἄνθρωπον' χωρὶς, καὶ 'ἀγαθὸν ἀγαθόν' καὶ 'στρατηγὸν στρατηγόν';"

"how could we live, if we do not say 'a man is good' or 'a man is a commander' – but instead 'a man is a man' separately, and 'a good is good' and 'a commander is a commander'?"

μηδ' 'ἵππεῖς μυρίους' μηδὲ 'πόλιν ἐχυράν' – ἀλλ' 'ἵππεῖς ἵππεῖς' καὶ 'μυρίους μυρίους', καὶ τᾶλλα ὁμοίως;"

"and [how can live] without [saying] 'ten thousand horsemen' or 'a fortified city' – but only 'horsemen are horsemen' and 'ten thousand is ten thousand,' and similarly for the rest?"

[U176]

32. To Apia

Good Health and Conditions

Most scholars accept this as a quote of Epicurus.

(Batis, the sister of Metrodorus and wife of Idomeneus, has been alternatively proposed, but she is never mentioned in P.Herc. 176)

Scriptor Epicureus, P.Herc. 176 fr. 5 col. 23

...[ἄ]φείγμεθα εἰς Λάμψακον ὑγιαίνοντες ἐγὼ καὶ Πυθοκλῆς κα[ἰ] Ἔρμαρχος καὶ Κτήσιππος καὶ ἐκεῖ κατελήφμεν ὑγ[ι]αίνοντας Θεμίσταν καὶ τοὺς λοιποὺς φίλο[υ]ς· εὖ δὲ ποιεῖς καὶ εἰ σὺ ὑγιαίνεις καὶ ἡ μ[ά]μμη [σ]ου καὶ πάπαι καὶ Μάτρω[ν]ι πάντα πείθ[η] ὥ[σπ]ερ καὶ ἔμπροσθεν· εὖ γὰρ ἴσθι, [ψ̄ Ἀ]πία, ὅτι καὶ ἐγὼ καὶ ο[ἱ] λοιπ[ο]ὶ πάντες σε μέγα φιλοῦμεν ὅτι τούτοις πείθῃ πάντα...

..."Αφείγμεθα εἰς Λάμψακον ὑγιαίνοντες – Ἐγὼ καὶ Πυθοκλῆς καὶ Ἔρμαρχος καὶ Κτήσιππος – καὶ ἐκεῖ Κατελήφμεν ὑγιαίνοντας Θεμίσταν καὶ τοὺς λοιποὺς φίλους·"

"We have arrived in Lampsacus healthy – I and Pythocles and Hermarchus and Ctesippus – and there we found Themista and the rest of the friends healthy [as well]."

"εὖ δὲ ποιεῖς, καὶ εἰ Σὺ ὑγιαίνεις καὶ ἡ Μάμμη σου – καὶ Πάππ᾽ καὶ Μάτρωνι πάντα πείθῃ (ὥσπερ καὶ ἔμπροσθεν)."

"but you are doing well, especially if you are healthy and your mama too – and while you are obeying your father and Matron in everything (just as before)."

"εὖ γὰρ ἴσθι, ὦ Ἀπία, ὅτι καὶ Ἐγὼ καὶ οἱ Λοιποὶ πάντες σε μέγα φιλοῦμεν, ὅτι τούτοις πείθῃ πάντα...

"Know well, Oh Apia, that I and all the others love you greatly, given that you obey them in all things..."

Ctesippus (Κτήσιππος) meaning "possessor of a horse" appears to be a close friend of Epicurus and was responsible (in the case of at least a few members) for transferring the yearly contribution, Philodemus says: "in another letter, Epicurus writes 'Ctesippus brought to me the contribution for the year which you sent on behalf of both your father and yourself.'" (U151)

[U177]

33. Letter From His Last Days

Composure and Acceptance

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 31

...[πο]ιεῖσθαι πρὸς ἑαυτ[ὸν ἐ]πέπεισ[το] καὶ τινα τρόπον α[ὐ]τὸς ἀπεδέχετ' αὐτόν· ὥς γὰρ ἐφώνησεν ἐκπνέων· 'ἐβδόμη<υ> γὰρ ἡμέραι, φησίν, ὅτε ταῦτ' ἔγραφον οὐχὶ [ἀποκεχ]ώρ[ηκ]εν κατὰ τήν] οὔρησιν [ἐμ]οὶ οὐθὲν καὶ ἀλγηδόνες ἐνῆ[σα]ν τῶν ἐπὶ τὴν τελευταίαν ἡμέραν ἀγουσῶν. σὺ οὖν, ἂν τι γένηται, τὰ παιδία τὰ Μετροδώρου διοίκησον τέτταρα ἢ πέντ' ἔτη μὴ πλείον δαπανῶν ἢ περ νῦν εἰς ἐμὲ δαπανᾷς κατ' ἐνιαυτόν.' καὶ προβάς· 'ὕπερ τῶν υἱῶν οἶδα καὶ Αἰγέα καὶ Διόδωρον καὶ τῆς σῆς φρενὸς ὄντας [καὶ οὐκ ἀρέσκευμα μόνον {ποι[ο]υμέν]ο[υς ἐ]π' αὐ[τῶν, ὡς ἐ]π[ὶ τοῦτων γε] πρέ[πει, ἀ]λλὰ καὶ [ἐπ]ιλύπ[ω]ς [ἐσχη]κ[ό]ς[τας] πρὸς τ[ὴν ἐκείνου τελευτή]ν}... ...καὶ [ἐπ]ιλυσον[τ]ι[ας]... ...ὁμως [ὕμ]ῃν εἶπ[ον]... ...[γρ]άφω[ν]... ...τάς παρὰ σοῦ... ...μαλ' ἐμπροθεῖς οὔσας... ...πρὸς τε Ἐπ[ὶ]κουρον καὶ... ...τὸν Ἐπ[ὶ]κ[ο]υ[ρ]ον πρὸς... ...εὐνοίας... ...φυσ[ὶ]ολογια... ...τῷ συστήσασθαι δι[ὰ]... ...μόνον δὲ...

...ποιεῖσθαι πρὸς ἑαυτὸν Ἐπέπειστο – καὶ τινα τρόπον αὐτὸς ἀπεδέχετ' αὐτόν – ὥς, γὰρ ἐφώνησεν, ἐκπνέων:

[Epicurus] he had persuaded himself to compose himself – and in a certain way he himself accepted himself – for he uttered, while breathing out [his last breaths]:

"ἐβδόμη γὰρ ἡμέρᾳ" Φησὶν "ὅτε ταῦτ' Ἐγραφον, οὐχὶ Ἀποκεχώρηκεν κατὰ τὴν οὔρησιν ἐμοὶ Οὐθὲν, καὶ Ἀλγηδόνες ἐνῆσαν – τῶν ἐπὶ τὴν τελευταίαν ἡμέραν ἀγουσῶν.

"for on the seventh day" he says "when I wrote these things, nothing has in any way ceased for me in regards to urination, and the pains were present – the ones leading to the final day"

Σὺ οὖν, ἂν τι γένηται, τὰ παιδία τὰ Μετροδώρου διοίκησον – τέτταρα ἢ πέντ' ἔτη – μὴ πλείον δαπανῶν ἢ περ νῦν εἰς ἐμὲ δαπανᾷς κατ' ἐνιαυτόν."

so you, if anything happens, take care of Metrodorus' children – for four or five years – while spending no more than you now spend on me each year."

καὶ προβάς "ὕπερ τῶν υἱῶν, Οἶδα καὶ Αἰγέα καὶ Διόδωρον καὶ τῆς σῆς φρενὸς ὄντας – καὶ οὐκ ἀρέσκευμα μόνον ποιουμένους ἐπ' αὐτῶν (ὥς ἐπὶ τοῦτων γε Πρέπει) – ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐπιλύπως ἐσχηκότας πρὸς τὴν ἐκείνου τελευτήν...

and he continued "concerning the sons, I know that both Aigeas and Diodoros both are of your mind – and not merely doing what pleases regarding them (as is fitting in such cases) – but given that they also have been deeply affected by his [*i.e.*, Metrodorus'] death..."

"...καὶ ἐπιλυοντ... ὅμως, ὑμῖν Εἶπον... γράφων... τὰς παρὰ σοῦ... μάλ' ἐμπαθεῖς οὔσας..."

"...and [*although they are*] distressed... nevertheless, I told you all... while writing... the [*letters*] from you... [*letters that*] were very emotional..."

...πρὸς τε Ἐπίκουρον καὶ... τὸν Ἐπίκουρον πρὸς... εὐνοίας... φυσιολογία... τῷ συστήσασθαι διὰ... μόνον δὴ...

[quoted section has ended]...both to Epicurus and... Epicurus toward... [*a spirit*] of goodwill... the study of nature... in the act of composing through... only indeed...

Metrodorus died in 277 ^{BCE}, and predeceased Epicurus (who died in 270 ^{BCE}), by seven years.

1. Wisdom Recognized & Appreciated

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1100A

καὶ μὴν, ἀπὸ δόξης γίνεσθαι τινὰς ἡδονὰς Ἐπίκουρος ὡμολόγει: τί δ' οὐκ ἔμελλεν Αὐτὸς – οὕτω σπαργῶν περιμανῶς, καὶ σφαδάζων πρὸς δόξαν;

and indeed, Epicurus admitted that certain pleasures arise from reputation: and what would he himself not have been likely to do – being so frenziedly puffed up, and twitching for the sake of fame?

ὥστε μὴ μόνον ἀπολέγεσθαι τοὺς καθηγητὰς, μηδὲ Δημοκρίτῳ (τῷ τὰ δόγματα ῥήμασιν αὐτοῖς ἀφαιρουμένῳ) ζυγομαχεῖν περὶ συλλαβῶν καὶ κεραιῶν – σοφὸν δὲ μηδένα φάναι πλὴν αὐτοῦ γεγονέναι καὶ τῶν μαθητῶν?

so that he not only rejected the founders [of philosophy], nor only contended with Democritus (the one from whom was taken the doctrines word-for-word) over syllables and diacritical marks – but also [Epicurus used] to say that no one had become wise except himself and his students?

ἀλλὰ καὶ γράφειν ὡς Κωλώτης, μὲν αὐτὸν φυσιολογοῦντα, Προσκυνήσειε, γονάτων ἀψάμενος – Νεοκλῆς δ' ὁ ἀδελφὸς, εὐθύς ἐκ παίδων, ἀποφαίνοιτο "Μηδένα σοφώτερον Ἐπικούρου γεγονέναι μηδ' εἶναι" ἡ δὲ Μήτηρ ἀτόμους ἔσχεν ἐν ἑαυτῇ τοσαύτας – Οἶαι, συνελθοῦσαι, σοφὸν ἂν ἐγέννησαν;

but even [to the point of] writing that Colotes, upon seeing him engaged in natural philosophy, fell, having grasped his knees – and that his brother Neocles used, directly from a childhood, to say "no one has been or is wiser than Epicurus" and that his Mother had just so many atoms within her – such that, when they came together, must have produced a wise man?

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1100C

οὐ γὰρ ἂν τινὰ Πείσειεν ἀνθρώπων (Ὁ τὴν Νεοκλέους μαρτυρίαν καὶ τὴν Κωλώτου προσκύνησιν ἐν τοσούτῳ λόγῳ τιθέμενος καὶ ἀγαπῶν) ὡς οὐκ ἂν – ὑπὸ τῶν Ἑλλήνων κροτηθεὶς Ὀλυμπίασιν – Ἐξεμάνη καὶ Ἀνωλόλυξε, μᾶλλον δ' ὅλως ὑπὸ χαρᾶς ἤρθη...

for he would not persuade anyone who is alive (he who places and delights in the testimony of Neocles and the prostration of Colotes in such a lengthy speech) that he would not – if he had been applauded by the Greeks at Olympia – have gone mad and cried aloud, or rather have been entirely carried away by joy...

2. Brotherly Love

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), On Brotherly Love, 16, 487D

φαίνεται δὲ πολλὴ καὶ πρὸς Ἐπίκουρον ἡ Αἰδὼς τῶν ἀδελφῶν δι' εὖνοιαν αὐτοῦ καὶ κηδεμονίαν – εἷς τε τᾶλλα καὶ φιλοσοφίαν τὴν ἐκείνου συνενθουσιῶντων: καὶ γὰρ εἰ Διημάρτανον δόξης (εὐθύς ἐκ παίδων πεπεισμένοι, καὶ λέγοντες ὡς Οὐδεὶς γέγονεν Ἐπικούρου σοφώτερος), ἄξιόν ἐστι θαυμάζειν καὶ τοῦ διαθέντος οὕτως καὶ τῶν διατεθέντων

great Reverence also appears toward Epicurus from his brothers through his affection and guardianship – who were jointly inspired by both his other [dealings] and especially his philosophy: for even if they were mistaken in judgment (having been immediately persuaded from childhood, and all saying that no one had ever been wiser than Epicurus), it is appropriate to admire both the one who inclined [them] in such a way and those so were so inclined

3. Atomic Origins (Neocles & Chairestrate)

*Dionysius Episcopus (Bishop) = Dionysius of Alexandria (fl. 230 CE)
from Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 BCE),
Praeparatio Evangelica, book 14, chapter 26, paragraph 2 (779A)*

πόσας γὰρ ἀτόμους ὁ Ἐπικούρου Πατὴρ, καὶ ποταπαὺς, ἐξ ἑαυτοῦ προέχεεν – ὅτ’ ἀπεσπέρμαιεν Ἐπίκουρον;

how many atoms, and of what kind, did Epicurus' Father emit from himself – when he was sowing Epicurus?

καὶ πῶς – εἰς τὴν μητρώαν αὐτοῦ καταβληθεῖσαι γαστέρα – Συνεπάγησαν, Ἑσχηματίσθησαν, Ἑμορφώθησαν, Ἑκινήθησαν, Ἑυξύνθησαν;

and how – having been cast into his mother's womb – were they fused together, shaped, formed, set in motion, and developed?

[U179]

4. Philosophy at 14

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.2

ἐφάψασθαι δὲ φιλοσοφίας Αὐτός φησιν – ἔτη γεγονῶς τετταρεσκαίδεκα

He himself says that he began to apply himself to philosophy – when he was fourteen years [old]

[U180]

5. [Going to Athens]

Some letters are missing at a critical point in this sentence – leading to two contrary possible meanings. Although the version from the DCLP has been more fully reviewed and is probably a more nearly correct reconstruction.

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 16

(DCLP) ...[ἀ]χρήστ[ους] διαφοράς. διὰ τίνας αἰτίας δημό[την] ὄντ’ αὐτὸν πατ[ρόθε]ν ἀνη[γόρευ]εν Ἐπίκουρος... ...περὶ τοῦ μάλιστα μὲν [εἰ]ς Ἀθήνας ἐλθ[εῖν ἔ]νθα διατρίψουσιν, ἂν ὁ κατὰ τῶν φιλοσόφων... ...εἰς Μυτι[λή]νην...

...ἀχρήστους διαφοράς. διὰ τίνας αἰτίας δημότην ὄντ’ αὐτὸν πατρόθεν ἀνηγόρευεν Ἐπίκουρος... ...περὶ τοῦ μάλιστα μὲν εἰς Ἀθήνας ἐλθεῖν, ἔνθα διατρίψουσιν – ἂν ὁ κατὰ τῶν φιλοσόφων... ...εἰς Μυτιλήνην...

...useless disputes. because of these things, Epicurus declared himself to be a commoner by birth on his father's side... ...and especially about going to Athens, where they would spend time – if he in opposition to the philosophers... ...to Mytilene...

(Usener) ...[τῆς πρὸς τὸν] χρηστ[όν] διαφορᾶς, διὰ τίνας αἰτίας δη[μό]ν[α]ρχ[ον] αὐτὸν π[ι]ν[άν]τ[ω]ν ἀνη[τόρευ]εν Ἐπίκουρος, [ἧ καὶ] περὶ τοῦ μάλιστα μὲν [τά]ς Ἀθήνας ἐνοικεῖν, ἔνθα διατρίβουσιν ἂν ὁ κατὰ τῶν φιλοσόφων [φθόνος]...

...τῆς πρὸς τὸν χρηστὸν διαφορᾶς. διὰ τίνας αἰτίας δὴ μόναρχον ἑαυτὸν πάντων ἀνητόρευεν Ἐπίκουρος, ἧ καὶ περὶ τοῦ μάλιστα μὲν τὰς Ἀθήνας ἐνοικεῖν, ἔνθα διατρίβουσιν – ἂν ὁ κατὰ τῶν φιλοσόφων φθόνος...

...of the dispute with the upright man. because of these things indeed Epicurus proclaimed himself monarch over all, and especially about settling in Athens, where they would spend time – if the [envy] in opposition to the philosophers...

[U181]

6. Spitting on Pleasure from Extravagance

Johannes Stobaeus (fl. 450 CE), Anthology: volume 3, chapter 17, section 24

[Ἐπικούρου] "Βρυάζω τῷ κατὰ τὸ σωμάτιον ἡδεῖ – ὕδατι καὶ ἄρτῳ χρώμενος – καὶ Προσπύω ταῖς ἐκ πολυτελείας ἡδοναῖς: οὐ δι' αὐτάς, ἀλλὰ διὰ τὰ ἐξακολουθοῦντα αὐταῖς δυσχερῇ"

From Epicurus "I teem with what is pleasant for this little body – using only water and bread – and I spit on the pleasures from extravagances: not because of them, but because of what follows from them [that is] is difficult"

βρυάζειν	to bubble [here, "to teem"]	ΒΡΥΑΖΕΙΝ swelling, fermenting
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ body
ὁ σωμάτιον τὰ σωματία	little body	ΣΩΜΑΤΙΟΝ little body
ἡδύς (ἡδεῖα, ἡδύ)	pleasant	ἩΔΥΣ sweet
τὸ ἡδον τὰ ἡδοντα	what causes pleasure [active in producing pleasure]	ἩΔΟΝ sweet
τὸ ἡδύ τὰ ἡδέα	what is pleasant [pleasant in quality]	ἩΔΥΣ sweet
τὸ ὕδωρ τὰ ὕδατα	water	ὙΔΩΡ rain / water
ὁ ἄρτος οἱ ἄρτοι	bread	ΑΡΤΟΣ loaf of wheat bread
χρώμενος (η, ον)	using	ΧΡΩΜΕΝΟΣ necessary
προσπύειν	spit on	ΠΡΟΣΠΥΕΙΝ [onomatopoeic] upon
ἡ συντέλεια αἱ συντέλειαι	mutual fulfillment	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΑ completing together
ἡ πολυτέλεια αἱ πολυτέλειαι	extravagance	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΑ much completing
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ sweet
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ following
ἐξακολουθεῖν	to follow after	ΕΞΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ following out of
ἐξακολουθῶν (οὔσα, οὖν)	following after	
δυσμνημόνευτος (ον)	hard to remember	ΔΥΣΜΝΗΜΟΝΕΥΤΟΣ hard thinking
τὸ δυσχερές τὰ δυσχερῇ	what is difficult	ΔΥΣΧΕΡΕΣ hard hand

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE) 10.11

Αὐτός τέ φησιν ἐν ταῖς ἐπιστολαῖς, ὕδατι μόνον ἀρκεῖσθαι καὶ ἄρτῳ λιτῷ

He himself also says in his letters, that he is content with only water and plain bread

7. Welcoming Sign to the Gardens

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 21.10

cum Adiēris eius hortulōs et inscriptum Notāueris:
"Hospes, hīc bene manēbis – hīc summum bonum Voluptās est"

when you come to his little gardens and you notice the inscription:
"Stranger, here you will dwell well – here the highest good is pleasure"

parātus erit istīus domiciliī Custos hospitālis, hūmānus – et tē polentā excipiet et aquam quoque largē ministrābit, et dicet:

[then] the hospitable, kind, guardian of that dwelling will be ready – and he will receive you with barley meal and also generously serve water, and he will say:

"ecquid bene acceptus es? nōn irritant" inquit "hī Hortulī famem, sed exstinguunt – nec maiōrem ipsīs pōtiōnibus sitim faciunt, sed nātūrālī et grātuitō remediō sēdant: in hāc voluptāte Consēnuī"

"were you well received?" he says "these little gardens do not provoke hunger, but they quench it – nor do they make thirst greater by the drinks themselves, but they calm it with a natural and free remedy: in this pleasure I have grown old"

[U182]

8. Little Pot of Cheese

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.11

...έν ταῖς ἐπιστολαῖς... ..καί "Πέμπσον μοι τυροῦ" Φησί "κυθρίδιου – ἵν' ὅταν Βούλωμαι, πολυτελεύσασθαι Δύνωμαι"

...in his letters... ..he also says "Send me a little pot of cheese – so that, when I like, I am able to live extravagantly"

πέμπειν	to send	ΠΕΜΠΕΙΝ conveying
ὁ τυρός οἱ τυροί	cheese	ΤΥΡΟΣ swelling
τό κυθρίδιον τὰ κυθρίδια	little pot	ΚΥΘΡΙΔΙΟΝ little jar
βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ council
ἡ πολυτέλεια αἱ πολυτέλειαι	extravagance	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΑ much completing
πολυτελεῖν	to live extravagantly	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΝ much completing
δύνασθαι	to have the force [here, "to be able"]	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ power

[U183]

9. Gratitude for the Essentials

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1097C

ἀλλὰ τὴν ἀτοπίαν οὐδὲ, βουλόμενόν, ἐστι τοῦ ἀνθρώπου παρελθεῖν – τὰς μὲν Θεμιστοκλέους καὶ Μιλτιάδου πράξεις ὑπὸ πόδας τιθεμένου καὶ κατευτελίζοντος – ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ δὲ ταυτὶ τοῖς φίλοις γράφοντος:

but it is not possible, even for someone willing, to pass by the absurdity of the man – who belittles and places the deeds of Themistocles and Miltiades under foot – while writing things like this to his friends on his own behalf:

"δαιμονίως τε καὶ μεγαλοπρεπῶς Ἐπεμελήθητε ἡμῶν τὰ περὶ τὴν τοῦ σίτου κομιδὴν – καὶ οὐρανομήκη σημεῖα Ἐνδέδειχθε τῆς πρὸς ἐμέ εὐνοίας"

"You marvelously and magnificently took care of our issues regarding the delivery of food – and you have demonstrated sky-high signs of good will toward me"

ὥστε, εἴ τις ἐξεῖλε τὸ σιτάριον ἐκ τῆς ἐπιστολῆς τοῦ φιλοσόφου, δόξαν ἂν παραστήσαι τὰ Ῥήματα τῆς χάριτος ὥς ὑπὲρ τῆς Ἑλλάδος ὅλης ἢ τοῦ δήμου τῶν Ἀθηναίων ἐλευθερωθέντος ἢ σωθέντος γραφομένης.

thus, if someone were to remove a little food from the philosopher's letter, the words of gratitude would give the impression as though it had been written on behalf of all Greece or on behalf of the Athenian people having been liberated or saved

ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
δαιμόνιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	marvellous	ΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΟΣ <i>spirit</i>
δαιμονίως	marvelously	
μεγαλοπρεπής <i>(ές)</i>	magnificent	ΜΕΓΑΛΟΠΡΕΠΗΣ <i>appearing proper</i> <i>[for one who is] great</i>
μεγαλοπρεπῶς	magnificently	
ἐπιμελεῖσθαι	to take care of	ΕΠΙΜΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>caring upon</i>
τὸ σιτίον τὰ σιτία	food	ΣΙΤΙΟΝ <i>grain / provisions</i>
ἡ κομιδὴ αἱ κομιδαί	delivery	ΚΟΜΙΔΗ <i>exerting</i>
τὸ μῆκος τὰ μήκη	length	ΜΗΚΟΣ <i>extent</i>
οὐρανομήκης <i>(ες)</i>	sky-high	ΟΥΡΑΝΟΜΗΚΗΣ <i>extent of heaven</i>
τὸ σημεῖον τὰ σημεῖα	sign	ΣΗΜΕΙΟΝ <i>state of</i> <i>indication</i>
ἐνδεικνύναι	to indicate in	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΝΑΙ <i>showing in</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	<i>[mental]</i> perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ἡ εὖνοια αἱ εὐνοίαι	good will	ΕΥΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing well</i> <i>[looking upon positively]</i>

[U184]

A drachma was about 4.3 grams of silver and represented the average daily wage for common labor. With a modern melt value of around \$4, it had a historical purchasing power equivalent to approximately \$50–\$150 during Epikouros’ lifetime.

Therefore, 120 drachmae contribution (σύνταξις) would correspond to a purchasing power of roughly \$6,000–\$18,000.

This sum is equivalent to about two obols per day (often described as "the yield of one slave").

Philodemus (fl.c.. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 30 sup.

...περὶ ἡμ[ῶν] γρά[φων·] "[ἦν προ]σέταξα τιμῇ[ν] ἑμαυτῷ κἂν ἐν Ὑπερβορείοις ὥσιν ἀποστέλλ[ε]ιν, ταύτην καὶ ὑμῖν συντάττω· ἑκατὸν γὰρ κα[ὶ] εἴκοσι [δ]ρ[αχ]μὰ[ς] μόν[ας] κατ’ ἐνιαυτὸν βούλομαι παρ’ ἑκατέρου λαμβάνειν."

...περὶ ἡμῶν γράφων "ἦν Προσέταξα τιμὴν ἑμαυτῷ (κἂν ἐν Ὑπερβορείοις ὥσιν!) ἀποστέλλειν – ταύτην καὶ ὑμῖν Συντάττω: ἑκατὸν γὰρ καὶ εἴκοσι δραχμὰς μόνας κατ’ ἐνιαυτὸν Βούλομαι παρ' ἑκατέρου λαμβάνειν."

...writing about us "the value which I ordered to be sent to myself (even if they are among the Hyperboreans!) – and this I also order from you all: I want to acquire only one hundred and twenty drachmas per year from each of you."

While instruction itself remained free, Epicurus clearly established a voluntary but standardized financial support system during his lifetime.

Given that we have also seen Epicurus dispersing money – it is clear that these finances were pooled for collective use. *Epicurus rejected mandatory collectivism, but he embraced voluntary support between friends.*

In this way, wealthier friends helped fund communal meals, housing, and the other necessities – ensuring all members had their basic necessities secure.

ἡ σύνταξις αἱ συντάξεις	contribution	ΣΥΝΤΑΞΙΣ <i>ordering together</i>
διατάττειν	to arrange	ΔΙΑΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering across</i>
ὑποτάττειν	to assign	ὙΠΟΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering under</i>
προστάττειν	to order for	ΠΡΟΣΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering toward</i>
συντάττειν	to also order	ΣΥΝΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering together</i>
ἡ τιμὴ αἱ τιμαί	honor [here, "value"]	ΤΙΜΗ <i>value</i>
ὁ Ὑπερβόρειος οἱ Ὑπερβόρειοι	Hyperborean	ὙΠΕΡΒΟΡΕΙΟΣ <i>beyond north</i>
ἀποστέλλειν	to send [away]	ΑΠΟΣΤΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>making ready away</i>
εἰκοστός (ή, όν)	twentieth	ΕΙΚΟΣΤΟΣ <i>twenty</i>
ἡ εἰκάς αἱ εἰκάδες	20 th day of the month	ΕΙΚΑΣ <i>twenty</i>
τὸ εἰκοσάεδρον τὰ εἰκοσάεδρα	icosahedron (20 faced polyhedron)	ΕΙΚΟΣΑΕΔΡΟΝ <i>twenty-face</i>
εἴκοσι	20	ΕΙΚΟΣΙ
ἡ δραχμή αἱ δραχμαί	drachma	ΔΡΑΧΜΗ <i>[as much as one can] grasp</i>
μόνος (η, ον)	only	ΜΟΝΟΣ <i>single</i>
ὁ ἐνιαυτός οἱ ἐνιαυτοί	year	ΕΝΙΑΥΤΟΣ <i>year passing [over to next]</i>
βούλεσθαι	to want	ΒΟΥΛΕΣΘΑΙ <i>wishing</i>

	[intellectually]	
λαμβάνειν	to comprehend,	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ
	[generally]	<i>grasping</i>
	to acquire	

[U185]

11. Kronios & Arkephon (*sharing meat*)

Philodemus (fl.c.. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 19 full.

(DCLP) ...παρειληφότι τάκείν[ου διδ]όν[αι] καρνεῖσκω<ν> δεῖν τι, ὃ[ντος] τοιούτου Κρονίου καὶ δόντος προθ[ύμως· καὶ μά]λ' ἀσπασίως τῷ Ἄρκε[φ]ῶντι μίαν μὲν ἐξ ἐπαίνου <οὔ>σαν τήν [ὑπ'] ἐμοῦ δοθεῖσαν αὐτῷ· πᾶ[σ]αν δέ[δ]ωκε παρ' [ἐκα]τέρ[ου]ς οὐδὲ... ...δ' ἐλεῖν ἀλ[λά] καὶ προ<στέ>ταχέ τιν[ι] Καλ[λίσ]τρ[ά]τῳ π[ρ]έν)τε μνᾶς ἡμῖν δοῦναι.' καὶ προβάς· 'ὃ μὲν [δὴ] Καλ[λίσ]τρατος... ...μέχρι [γ]ε τοῦ πα[ρ]όντος... ...[Π]ατρόκλ[ο]υ [ῆθε]λον εἶναι...

"...παρειληφότι **τάκείνου, διδόναι καρνεῖσκω** **δεῖν τι, ὄντος** τοιούτου Κρονίου καὶ δόντος **προθύμως: καὶ, μάλ' ἀσπασίως, τῷ Ἄρκεφῶντι μίαν (μὲν ἐξ ἐπαίνου οὔσαν τήν ὑπ' ἐμοῦ δοθεῖσαν αὐτῷ πᾶσαν)** Δέδωκε – **παρ' ἐκατέρους, οὐδὲ...**"

"...for [*the recipient*] who had received **what** was his, [*he considered it*] to be necessary **to give something for the meat-offering**, given that Kronios was such a man and had given [*his part*] **willingly**: and [*that recipient*], **very gladly**, gave **to Arkephon the one [share]** (which, being [*a token*] of praise, **had all been given to him by me**) – for both parties, neither..."

It seems that Epicurus gave a portion of meat to a man, and that man, in turn, gave it to Arkephon.

Kronios is also parenthetically mentioned as part of the giving.

...δ' ἐλεῖν, ἀλλὰ καὶ Προστέταχέ τινι Καλλιστράτῳ πέντε μνᾶς ἡμῖν δοῦναι καὶ προβάς "ὃ μὲν δὴ Καλλίστρατος μέχρι γε τοῦ παρόντος... ...Πατρόκλου ῆθελον εἶναι..."

...to take, but he had also instructed a certain Kallistratos to give us five minas and he continues "**indeed**, Kallistratos... ...up to the present... ...I wanted to be with Patroklos..."

An Attic mina was 436 grams of silver. With a modern melt value of around \$500, it had a historical purchasing power of at least \$5,000 during Epikouros’ lifetime.

Thus five minas would have the purchasing power of at least \$25,000.

[U186]

12. Remembering Neocles

[see U190] Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 16, 1097E

"ὅτι νοσῶν νόσον ἀσκίτην, τινὰς ἐστιάσεις φίλων Συνῆγε – καὶ οὐκ ἔφθόνηι τῆς **προσαγωγῆς τοῦ ὑγροῦ τῷ ὕδρωπι καὶ (τῶν ἐσχάτων** **Νεοκλέους λόγων** **μεμνημένος)** Ἐτήκετο **τῇ μετὰ δακρύων ἰδιοτρόπῳ ἡδονῇ"**

[Metrodorus] "that, while sick with a dropsical disease, he gathered certain feasts of friends – and did not begrudge the offering of liquid to [*himself*] with dropsy, and (while remembering the final words of Neocles) he was melting in that idiosyncratic pleasure that is mixed with tears"

[U187]

13. Pleasing the Public

Gnomologion from the Parisinus codex, 1168, f. 115R (Maxims of Epicurus)

"οὐδέποτε ὤρέχθην τοῖς πολλοῖς ἀρέσκειν: Ἄ μὲν γὰρ ἐκείνοις ἤρεσεν – οὐκ ἔμαθον – Ἄ δ' ἦδεν ἐγὼ μακρὰν ἦν τῆς ἐκείνων αἰσθήσεως"

"I never aimed to please the many: for the things which were pleasing to them – I did not learn – but the things I knew were at a distance from their sensation"

οὐδέποτε	never	ΟΥΔΕΠΟΤΕ <i>not even at some point</i>
ὀρέγειν	to aim at	ΟΡΕΓΕΙΝ <i>reaching</i>
ἀρέσκειν	to please	ΑΡΕΣΚΕΙΝ <i>satisfying</i>
ἤρεσεν	it was pleasing	
ἔμαθον	I learned	
εἰδέναι	<i>to have mentally seen ∴</i> "to know"	ΕΙΔΕΝΑΙ <i>seen</i>
μακρός <i>(ἀ, ὄν)</i>	distant	ΜΑΚΡΟΣ <i>long-reaching</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>

Maximus the Confessor (fl. c. 630 CE)
entry 6 of Maximus' Gnomologion (Collection of Sayings)
page 172 in Tigani's edition. volume 2, page 549, of Combefis' edition.

sine scrīptōris nōmine ("Ἐπικούρου" codd. Laurentianus et Borbōnicus)

without the writer's name ("from Epicurus" in the Laurentianus and Bourbonicus codices)

"numquam Voluī populō placēre: nam quae ego Sciō, nōn probat Populus – quae probat Populus, Ego nesciō."

"I never wanted to be pleasing to the public: for the things I know, the public does not approve – and the things the public approves, I do not know."

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 29.10

...tibī quod dēbeō impīngam:

...I will deliver to you what I owe:

"numquam Voluī populō placēre: nam quae ego Sciō, nōn probat Populus – quae probat Populus, Ego nesciō."

"I never wanted to be pleasing to the public: for the things I know, the public does not approve – and the things the public approves, I do not know."

"Quis hoc?" Inquis, tamquam Nesciās, cui Imperem: Epicūrus – sed idem hoc Omnēs tibī ex omni domō conclāmābunt – Peripatēticī, Acadēmīcī, Stoicī, Cynicī. Quis enim placēre populō potest, cui placet Virtūs? mālīs artibus populāris Favor quaeritur.

"Who [said] this?" You ask, as if you did not know whom I am supplying: Epicurus – but this same thing all [philosophers] from every school will shout to you – the Peripatetics, the Academics, the Stoics, the Cynics. indeed, Who, to whom Virtue is pleasing, could please the people? Popular favor is sought by wicked arts.

14. Fundamentalist Christian Rejection of Greco-Roman Culture

Tertullian (fl. 200 CE), Apologetics, 38

Tertullian was the first major Christian writer to compose in Latin, using a bold and confrontational style that helped define early Western Christian theology.

aeque spectāculīs vestrīs in tantum Renūntiāmus in quantam originibus eōrum, quās Scīmus dē superstitione conceptās – cum et ipsīs rēbus dē quibus trānsiguntur Praetersūmus.

we reject your spectacles equally to the extent that [we reject] their origins, which we know to be derived from superstition – and because we stay away from the very things for which they are conducted.

Nihil est nōbīs dictū, vīsū, audītū cum īnsāniā circī, cum impudiciā theātrī, cum atrocitāte arēnae, cum xystī vānitāte. Quō Vōs offendīmus, sī aliās praesūmimus voluptātēs? Sī obiectārī novisse nolumus – nostra iniūria est, sī forte, nōn vestra!

there is Nothing for us to say, see, or hear with the insanity of the circus, with the imprudence of the theater, with the atrocity of the arena, with the vanity of the exercise ground. how do we offend, if we prefer other pleasures? If we do not wish to be accused of knowing [them] – the fault is ours, perhaps, but not yours!

sed Reprobāmus quae placent vōbīs, nec vōs Nostra dēlectant: sed, licuit Epicūreīs aliquam dēcernere voluptātis vēritātem – id est, animī aequitātem – et ampla negōtia Chrīstiānae.

but we reject the things which are pleasing to you all, nor do our things delight you all: although, it was permitted for the Epicureans to determine a certain truth of pleasure – that is, calmness of soul – so too [it should be permitted] for the grand concerns of the Christian life.

Due to his acceptance of the cultural practices of traditional religion, Epicurus' rejection of culture was not as fundamental as Tertullian outlines here in regard to the Christians.

[U188]

15. Ignored by the Powerful

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 79.15

Vidēs Epicūrum quantopere nōn tantum Erūditiōrēs, sed haec quoque imperitōrum Turba mīrētur: Hic ignōtus ipsīs Athēnīs fuit, circa quās dēlituērat.

You see how greatly not only the more educated, but even this crowd of the uneducated admire Epicurus: He was unknown even in Athens itself, around which he hid

multīs itaque iam annīs Metrōdorō suō superstes, in quādam epistolā, cum amīcitiam suam et Metrōdorī grātā commemorātiōne cecinisset – hoc novissimē adiēcit: nihil sibi et Metrōdorō inter bona tanta nocuisse, quod ipsōs illa nōbilis Graecia nōn ignōtōs solum habuisset, sed paene inaudītōs

therefore, many years after already surviving past his Metrodorus, in a certain letter, when he sang of his and Metrodorus' friendship with pleasing recollection – he added this at the end: that among so many great [political] affairs, nothing had harmed himself and Metrodorus, because that famous Greece had held them not only as unknown, but nearly as unheard of

[U189]

16. Sailing to Lampsacus (Dangers during Storm)

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 6, 1090E

...καὶ θαλάσσης σήραγγας ὑφ' αἷς Ἐπικούρου ὀλίγον ἐδέησε καταποθῆναι πλέων εἰς Λάμψακον, ὥς Τράφει...

...and the tunnels of the sea [*i.e., barrels of waves*] under which Epicurus was nearly swallowed while sailing to Lampsacus, as he says...

[U190]

17. Fellowship Amidst Pain

[^{U186}] Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 16, 1097E

τὸ δὲ περὶ τοῦ πρὸς εὐπαθείας ἐπαίρεσθαι – ναυτῶν δίκην Ἀφροδίσια ἀγόντων – καὶ μέγα φρονεῖν:

but the matter of being uplifted toward comforts – like sailors carrying on in the custom of Aphrodite – and thinking highly:

"ὅτι νοσῶν νόσον ἀσκίτην, τινὰς ἐστιάσεις φίλων Συνῆγε – καὶ οὐκ ἔφθόνηι τῆς προσαγωγῆς τοῦ ὕγροῦ τῷ ὕδρωπι..."

[Metrodorus] "that, while sick with a dropsical disease, he gathered certain feasts of friends – and did not begrudge the offering of liquid to [*himself*] with dropsy..."

ταῦτ' Οὐδεὶς ἂν ὑγιαίνοντων εὐφροσύνας ἀληθεῖς ἢ χαρὰς ὀνομάσειεν!

No one of sound mind would call these things true joys or pleasures!

[U191]

18. Preserving Mental Pleasure (*while in Bodily Pain*)

Marcus Aurelius (fl. 161 CE), Meditations, 9.41

Ὁ Ἐπίκουρος λέγει ὅτι "ἐν τῇ νόσῳ, οὐκ ἦσάν μοι αἱ Ὀμιλῖαι περὶ τῶν τοῦ σωματίου παθῶν – οὐδὲ πρὸς τοὺς εἰσιόντας τοιαῦτά τινα" φησὶν "Ελάλουν"

Epicurus says that "in my illness, my conversations were not about the feelings of my little body – nor was I chatting about such things [*i.e., the illness*] to those who visited"

"ἀλλὰ τὰ προηγούμενα, φυσιολογῶν, Διετέλουν, καὶ πρὸς αὐτῷ τούτῳ ὦν, πῶς ἡ Διάνοια, συμμεταλαμβάνουσα τῶν ἐν τῷ σαρκιδίῳ τοιούτων κινήσεων, ἀταρακτεῖ, τὸ ἴδιον ἀγαθὸν τηροῦσα."

"but, while studying nature, I continued with these [*prior*] topics, even while in that very state, [*studying*] how the mind – although partaking in such movements [*occurring*] within my little bit of flesh – remains undisturbed, while preserving its own good."

"οὐδὲ τοῖς ἰατροῖς ἐμπαρεῖχον" Φησί "καταφρυάττεσθαι ὥς τι ποιοῦσιν – ἀλλ' ὁ Βίος ἤγετο εὖ καὶ καλῶς."

"nor" He says "did I surrender power to the doctors to act important as if they were accomplishing something – instead, my lifestyle was being led well and correctly."

ἡ νόσος αἱ νόσοι	illness	ΝΟΣΟΣ <i>disease</i>
ἡ ὀμιλία αἱ ὀμιλῖαι	association [here, "conversation"]	ὍΜΙΛΙΑ <i>company</i>
ὁ σωματίον τὰ σωματία	little body	ΣΩΜΑΤΙΟΝ <i>little body</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience (<i>feeling</i>)	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ἐπεισιέναι	to enter in	ΕΠΕΙΣΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going upon into</i>

εισιέναι	to enter [here, "to visit"]	ΕΙΣΙΕΝΑΙ <i>going into</i>
ἀδολεσχεῖν	to prattle	ΑΔΟΛΕΣΧΕΙΝ <i>chattering</i>
λαλεῖν	to chat	ΛΑΛΕΙΝ <i>talking</i>
προηγῆσθαι	to proceed	ΠΡΟΗΓΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>leading before</i>
Part 2		
τὸ προηγούμενον τὰ προηγούμενα	the past thing [here, "the prior topic"]	ΠΡΟΗΓΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>leading before</i>
ἡ φυσιολογία	natural science	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning of producing</i>
φυσιολογεῖν	to study nature	ΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΕΙΝ
φυσιολογῶν	studying nature	
διατελεῖν	to continue	ΔΙΑΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly completing</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
συμμεταλαμβάνειν	to partake [lit. "to take a share together"]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping change together</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
ἀταρακτεῖν	to be undisturbed	ΑΤΑΡΑΚΤΕΙΝ <i>without agitating</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ἀγαθός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	good	ΑΓΑΘΟΣ <i>great</i>
τηρεῖν	to preserve	ΤΗΡΕΙΝ <i>protecting / watching</i>
Part 3		
ἡ ἰατρεία αἱ ἰατρεῖαι	healing	ΙΑΤΡΕΙΑ <i>curing</i>
ὁ ἱατρός οἱ ἱατροί	doctor	ΙΑΤΡΟΣ <i>curing</i>
παρέχειν	to yield	ΠΑΡΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold beside</i>
ἐμπαρέχειν	to surrender power	ΕΜΠΑΡΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold in beside</i>
καταφρυάττεσθαι	to act important	ΚΑΤΑΦΡΥΑΤΤΕΣΘΑΙ <i>thoroughly being proud</i>
ποιεῖν	to make [here, "to accomplish"]	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ἄγειν	to lead	ΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going</i>
καλῶς	correctly	ΚΑΛΩΣ <i>beautiful</i>

[U192]

Regarding Epicurus' Disciples

1. Three Ways to Reach the Truth

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 52.3,4

quōsdam ait Epicūrus **ad vēritātem sine ūllīus adiūtōriō exisse – fēcisse sibi ipsōs viam – hōs maximē laudat quibus ex sē** Impetus fuit, quī **sē** ipsī prōtulērunt.

Epicurus says that certain people reached the truth without anyone's help – having made their own way for themselves. He especially praises those whose impulse came from themselves, who brought themselves forward.

quōsdam indigēre ope aliēnā – nōn itūrōs sī Nēmō praecēsserit, sed bene secūtūrōs – ex hīs Metrōdorum Ait esse: ēgregium Hoc quoque, sed secundae sortis ingēnium.

others need the help of another – those who will go not forward if no one has gone ahead, but those who will follow well – of these, he says, is Metrodorus: this is also excellent, but is a genius of the second rank.

Nōs ex illā prīmā notā nōn sumus – bene nōbīscum agitur sī in secundam recipimur. nē hunc, quidem, Contempsēris hominem quī aliēnō beneficiō esse salvus potest: et hoc multum est – velle servārī.

We are not from that first rank – things go well for us if we are admitted into the second. You should not, indeed, despise the man who can be saved by another's help: even this is much – to wish to be saved.

^[4] praeter haec, adhūc Inveniēs genus aliud hominum (nē ipsum quidem fastidiendum) eōrum Quī cōgī ad rēctum compellīque possunt – quibus nōn duce tantum opus sit, sed adiūtōre et, ut ita dīcam, coāctōre.

beyond these, you will still find another type of people (not even this itself is to be scorned) those who can be driven and compelled toward the right – for whom there is not only need for a guide, but also for a helper and, so to speak, for a compeller.

Hic tertius color est. sī Quaeris huius quoque exemplār, Hērmarchum ait Epicūrus talem fuisse – itaque alterī magis grātulātur, alterum magis suspicit – quamvīs enim ad eundem finem Uterque pervēnerit, tamen, maior est Laus idem effēcisse in difficiliōre mātēriā.

This is the third category. if you are looking for an example of this one too, Epicurus says Hermarchus was such a man – therefore, he congratulates one more, but more admires the other – for although both arrived at the same end, still, the praise is greater for having accomplished the same thing with more difficult material.

[U193]

2. Sources of Security & Gaiety

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 22.1103A

Ἐπικούρῳ καὶ Μητρόδωρος καὶ Πολύαινος καὶ Ἀριστόβουλος "ἐκθάρσημα" καὶ "γῆθος" ἦσαν – ὧν τοὺς πλείστους θεραπεύων νοσοῦντας, ἢ καταθρηνῶν ἀποθνήσκοντας, Διετέλεσε

to Epicurus, Metrodorus, Polyaeus, and Aristobuolos were "sources of security" and "gaiety" – He persisted tending for most of them as they were sick, and he mourned as they were dying

These are both uncommon words and are both closely associated with Epicurus.		
τὸ ἐκθάρσημα τὰ ἐκθάρσηματα	source of confidence	ΕΚΘΑΡΣΗΜΑ result of holding firmly out
τὸ γῆθος τὰ γήθη	source of gaiety	ΓΗΘΟΣ joy
It seems to be a shortened version of the more common:		
ἡ γηθοσύνη αἱ γηθοσύναι	gladness	ΓΗΘΟΣΥΝΗ condition of joy

[U194]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), *Against Colotes*, 33, 1126E

καί τοι, ὅτι Μητρόδωρος εἰς Πειραιᾶ κατέβη σταδίους τεσσαράκοντα – Μιθρῇ τινι, Σύρῳ τῶν βασιλικῶν συνειλημμένῳ βοηθήσων – πρὸς πάντας ἐγράφετο καὶ πάσας ἐπιστολαῖς μεγαληγοροῦντος Ἐπικούρου καὶ σεμνύνοντος ἐκείνην τὴν ὁδόν.

and indeed, when Metrodorus went down forty stadia to Piraeus – while going to help a certain Mithres, a Syrian from the king's forces who had been arrested – as Epicurus was boasting and glorifying that journey, he was writing to all men and women in his letters.

One stadion is about 600 feet. Forty stadia measures approximately 4.5 miles (the distance from Athens to Piraeus).

4. Metrodorus Helps Mithres

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), *Non Posse*, 15, 1097B

οἷας φωνὰς ἀφῆκεν Ἐπίκουρος! οἷα δὲ γράμματα τοῖς φίλοις ἔπεμψεν, ὕμνων καὶ μεγαλύνων Μητρόδωρον – ὡς εὔ τε καὶ νεανικῶς ἐξ ἄστεως ἄλλαδε κατέβη, Μιθρῇ τῷ Σύρῳ βοηθήσων – καὶ ταῦτα πράξαντος οὐθὲν τότε τοῦ Μητροδώρου.

[Theon Speaking] what statements Epicurus let out! and what letters he sent to his friends, praising and magnifying Metrodorus – for how well and boldly he went down from the city to the sea, while helping Mithres the Syrian – and this when Metrodorus had at that time accomplished nothing.

"at that time (τότε)" seems to open up the possibility that the story continues and Metrodorus has more success later on.

[U195]

5. Kronios

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), *Treatises*, P.Herc. 1418, col. 20

...'[καί] προελθών· Κρόν[ι]ος... ...ῆσ[κ]ημένο... ...ἂν τύ[χ]ηι, καίπερ οὐκ [ἄτ]οπος ὢν, ἄπειρος δὲ λεπτολογίας διὰ τὸ μηδὲ τὸν Εὐδ[ο]ξον ἱκανῶς ἐνδελεχίζειν ἐν φιλοσοφίαι, καθάπερ ἡμῖν ἔλεγεν καὶ Ἀρ[κ]εφῶ[ν] κα[ί] τὸ συμβε[β]ηκ[ὸς] ἐμή[ν]υεν.'
Κρονίωι [δ'] αὐτῷι γ[ρά]φων· 'οὐκ ὀλιάκις γὰρ καὶ Λεόντ[ι]ον πρὸς Ἐπίκουρο[ν] εὐφήμωις πε[ρ]ὶ σοῦ καὶ πρεπόντως διελήλυθ[ε] καὶ ὁ Πυθοκλῆς ὁ παρὰ σοῦ καὶ διατρ[ε]ῶν καὶ τῶν υἱῶν ἐπιστατῶν τῶν σῶν καὶ κομίζων παρ' Εὐδ[ό]ξου καὶ δ[ι]ο[τ]ίμου... ...[τ]ὰς ἐπιστο[λὰς]...

"...καὶ προελθών, Κρόνιος... ...ῆσκημένο... ...ἂν τύχη, καίπερ οὐκ ἄτοπος ὢν, ἄπειρος δὲ λεπτολογίας – διὰ τὸ μηδὲ τὸν Εὐδοξον ἱκανῶς ἐνδελεχίζειν ἐν φιλοσοφίαι: καθάπερ ἡμῖν ἔλεγεν καὶ Ἀρκεφῶν, καὶ τὸ Συμβεβηκὸς ἐμήνυεν."

"...and having gone forward, Kronios... ...was trained... ...perhaps, although not inept, yet inexperienced in precision – because of not pursuing Eudoxus persistently enough in philosophy: just as Arkephon was telling us, and as the actual outcome revealed."

In the preceding column, Kronios is mentioned by Epicurus as gifting some meat (ὁ καρνεῖσκος) "Kronios was such a man and had given his part willingly." Arkephon is then mentioned as the general recipient of the meat. It appears that both Kronios and Arkephon were very close to Epicurus.

It seems below that Epicurus was receiving letters from this Eudoxus, which removes the possibility of this referring to Eudoxus of Cnidus (who died right around when Epicurus was born).

Κρονίωι δ' αὐτῷ γράφων "οὐκ ὀλιάκις γὰρ καὶ Λεόντιον πρὸς Ἐπίκουρον εὐφήμωις περὶ σοῦ καὶ πρεπόντως διελήλυθε – καὶ ὁ Πυθοκλῆς, ὁ παρὰ σοῦ καὶ

διατρίβων, καὶ τῶν υἱῶν ἐπιστατῶν τῶν σῶν καὶ κομίζων **παρ' Εὐδόξου** καὶ **Διοτίμου**... **...τὰς ἐπιστολάς...**"

and writing to **Kronios himself** "not infrequently Leontion has also spoken favorably and fittingly to Epicurus about you – as has Pythocles, who is from your [*household*] and who stays on, and oversees those sons of yours, and who brings from **Eudoxus** and Diotimus... **...the letters...**"

We have seen in the dated letters that "**ἐπὶ Διοτίμου**" indicates "*during the archonship in 285^{BCE} of Diotimus*" but here we have "**παρ' ... Διοτίμου**" meaning "*from Diotimus*" which does not seem to be referring to an archon date, but another friend of Epicurus.

Here we learn that Pythocles, along with delivering letters, was associated with Kronios – and seems to have has some responsibility for watching Kronios' sons.

[U196]

6. Practically Vulgar

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 32

(Usener) ...γράφ[φων'Ε]πίκουρος· [ἀ]γοραία[ς φαμέ]ν [ἐργασ]ίας τὰς [μὴ πρὸς εὐ]δ[αίμον]α βίον [συ]ντεινούσας ιδ[έ]ας τοῦ βίου."

...γράφων'Επίκουρος... "..."ἀγοραίας **Φαμέν ἐργασίας τὰς μὴ πρὸς εὐδαίμονα βίον συντεινούσας ιδέας τοῦ βίου."**

...Epicurus writes... "..."We call those ideas of lifestyle not also contributing toward a good-spirited lifestyle 'vulgar efforts.'"

(DCLP) ...γράφ[φων'Ε]πίκουρος· αγοραία[ς μηθέ]ν [ἐνόμισ]ας τὰς π[ρὸς ε]ὐδ[αίμον]α βίον [σ]υντεινούσας ιδ[έ]ας τοῦ [βιοῦ]."

...γράφων'Επίκουρος... "..."ἀγοραίας μηθὲν'Ενόμισας τὰς πρὸς εὐδαίμονα βίον συντεινούσας ιδέας τοῦ βιοῦ."

...Epicurus writes... "..."You consider the ideas of lifestyle that contribute to a good-spirited lifestyle in no way vulgar."

In Usener's rendering, what does not contribute to a thriving life is considered common/vulgar.

In the DCLP's rendering, what does contribute to a thriving lifestyle is not considered common/vulgar.

φάναι	to assert	ΦΑΝΑΙ <i>speaking</i>
ἡ κατεργασία αἱ κατεργασίαι	outflow	ΚΑΤΕΡΓΑΣΙΑ <i>work thoroughly</i>
ἡ ἐργασία αἱ ἐργασίαι	effort	ΕΡΓΑΣΙΑ <i>work</i>
ἀγοραῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	vulgar <i>["of the marketplace"]</i>	ΑΓΟΡΑΙΟΣ <i>market</i>
ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ <i>good spirit</i>
εὐδαίμων <i>(ων, ον)</i>	good-spirited	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΩΝ <i>good spirit</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
ἡ βιοτή αἱ βιοταί	livelihood	ΒΙΟΤΗ <i>life</i>
συντείνειν	to contribute	ΣΥΝΤΕΙΝΕΙΝ <i>stretching together</i>
συντείνων	contributing	
ἡ ιδέα αἱ ιδέαι	idea	ΙΔΕΑ <i>appearing</i>

7. Hopes for Timocrates

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 12

...[κα]ὶ Τιμ[ο]κράτει [δ'· ἰδὼν ὥς] ἡμεῖς [πά]ντα ταῦτα κ[ατὰ τ]ρόπον [ὄνθ'] {ὥς οἶσθ}α, συλλάμβανε καὶ αὐτοῖς, οὐ μόνον διὰ τὴν οἰκειότητά [σου, ἀλλὰ] καὶ διὰ τὸ ἦθος αὐτῶν ὅπ[ως τῆς] πάσης χορηγίας τυγχάνωσ[ιν τῆς ε]ἰς τὸ [φιλοσοφεῖν] ἀρμοττούσης· καὶ σὺ δὴ τοῖς ἂν [ἐμ]πειρώς σαυτὸν χρήσιμ[όν τε] καὶ εὖνουν παρ[έχους]... ...τίς σοὶ [ὑπ]οστολήν... ...ἡμᾶς ἀλλ[ο] τ[ι]...

...καὶ Τιμοκράτει δ' "ἰδὼν ὥς Ἡμεῖς πάντα ταῦτα κατὰ τρόπον ὄνθ' ὥς Οἶσθα: Συλλάμβανε καὶ αὐτοῖς – οὐ μόνον διὰ τὴν οἰκειότητά σου, ἀλλὰ καὶ διὰ τὸ ἦθος αὐτῶν – ὅπως τῆς πάσης χορηγίας Τυγχάνωσιν τῆς εἰς τὸ φιλοσοφεῖν ἀρμοττούσης καὶ Σὺ δὴ τοῖς ἂν ἐμπείρως σαυτὸν χρήσιμόν τε καὶ εὖνουν παρέχους... ...Τίς σοὶ ὑποστολήν... ...ἡμᾶς ἄλλο τι..."

...and to Timocrates too "having seen how we handle all these [topics] according to a method, which are as you understand [them to be]: assist those people also – not only because of your greater compatibility [with them], but also on account of their disposition – so that they may obtain the full provision that is fitting for philosophizing, and indeed you may knowledgeably present yourself as useful and well-disposed to those... ...Anybody [] to you [] a holding back... ...us with anything else..."

ὁῤῥᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
πᾶς <i>(πᾶσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ὄνθ' = ὄντα	from εἶναι	
οἶδα	I know	
συλλαμβάνειν	to comprehend together	ΣΥΛΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping together</i>
οἰκείως	compatibly	ΟΙΚΕΙΩΣ <i>appropriate</i>
οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
ἡ οἰκειότηετα αἱ οἰκειότεται	greater compatibility	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΤΕΡΑΤΑ <i>more appropriate</i>
τὸ ἦθος τὰ ἦθη	disposition	ΗΘΟΣ <i>custom</i>
ἡ χορηγία αἱ χορηγίαι	provision	ΧΟΡΗΓΙΑ <i>office defraying the cost of the public choruses</i>
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	ΤΥΓΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>happening</i>
ὁ φιλόσοφος οἱ φιλόσοφοι	philosopher	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΟΣ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ἡ φιλοσοφία	philosophy	
φιλοσοφεῖν	to philosophize	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΕΙΝ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ἐναρμόττων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	fitting into	ΕΝΑΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ <i>adapting into</i>
ἀρμόττων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	fitting	ἈΡΜΟΤΤΩΝ
ἡ ἐμπειρία αἱ ἐμπειρίαι	experience	ΕΜΠΕΙΡΙΑ <i>attempting in</i>
ἐμπείρω	knowledgeably	
χρήσιμος <i>(ον)</i>	useful	ΧΡΗΣΙΜΟΣ <i>necessary</i>
ὁ εὐφρονοῦν οἱ εὐφρονοῦντες	he who considers well	ΕΥΦΡΟΝΟΥΝ <i>good mind</i>
ὁ νοῦς οἱ νοῖ	intellect	ΝΟΥΣ <i>sense</i>

εὖνους <i>(ουν)</i>	well-disposed	ΕΥΝΟΥΣ <i>good sense</i>
παρέχειν	to yield	ΠΑΡΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold beside</i>
ἡ στολή αἱ στολαί	pressure	ΣΤΟΛΗ <i>equipping</i>
ἡ ὑποστολή αἱ ὑποστολαί	*holding back	ὙΠΟΣΤΟΛΗ <i>equipping under</i>

[U198]

Regarding the Stoics

Zenonians in the Stoa of Peisianax

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 7.5 (Zeno of Citium)

Ἀνακάμπτων δὴ ἐν τῇ Ποικίλῃ Στοᾷ (τῇ καὶ "Πεισιανακτίῳ" καλουμένη, ἀπὸ δὲ τῆς γραφῆς τῆς Πολυγνώτου "ποικίλῃ") διετίθετο τοὺς λόγους – βουλόμενος καὶ τὸ χωρίον ἀπερίστατον ποιῆσαι.

while pacing up and down, then, in the Painted Stoa (also called "of Peisianax," and named "Poikile" from the painting by Polygnotus) [Zeno] arranged his discourses – wishing also to make the place [of his lectures] unrestricted

Polygnotus of Thasos collaborated with an Athenian painter, Micos, to cover this stoa with murals depicting mythic and historical battles; the stoa thereafter was known as Poikile (ποικίλη – "dappled" or "multicolored," usually rendered "painted" in this context).

Peisianax was supposedly responsible for the construction of the stoa, which ran along the north side of the agora.

(ἐπὶ γὰρ τῶν τριάκοντα, τῶν πολιτῶν, πρὸς τοῖς χιλίοις τετρακόσιοι ἀνήρηντ' ἐν αὐτῷ) Προσήεσαν, δὴ λοιπὸν ἀκούοντες αὐτοῦ – καὶ διὰ τοῦτο Στωικοὶ ἐκλήθησαν, καὶ οἱ ἀπ' αὐτοῦ ὁμοίως – πρότερον Ζηνώννειοι καλούμενοι, καθά φησι καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ἐν ἐπιστολαῖς

(for under the Thirty, among the citizens, more than 1,400 were killed in it) so [students] would approach, and then indeed listen to [Zeno] – and because of this they were called Stoics, and likewise those who followed him – formerly they were called Zenonians, as Epicurus also says in his letters

In 404 BC, after Athens lost the Peloponnesian War to Sparta, a pro-Spartan oligarchy known as the Thirty Tyrants seized power and were responsible for the execution of about 1,400 citizens – either within the Stoa Poikilē itself or by pronouncing their death sentences there.

[U199]

Sayings

1. Serving Philosophy, Gaining Freedom

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 8.7

adhūc Epicūrum complicāmus, cuius hanc vocem hodiernō diē lēgī "philosophiae Serviās Oportet ut tibi contingat vĕra Lĭbertās."

even now we include Epicurus, whose saying I read today "It is necessary for you to serve philosophy in order for true freedom to reach you."

nōn differ̃tur in diem – Quī sē illī subiēcit et trādidit, statim circumagitur: Hoc enim ipsum – philosophiae servīre – libertās est.

It is not postponed to another day – he who has submitted and handed himself over to her, is immediately transformed: indeed this very thing – to serve philosophy – is freedom.

Potest fierī ut mē Interrogēs quārē ab Epicūrō tam multa bene dicta Referam potiūs quam nostrōrum. Quid est, tamen? quārē Tū istās Epicūrī vōcēs putēs esse, nōn pūblicās?

It is possible that you will ask me why I report so many well said things from Epicurus rather than of our own [philosophers] – what is it, then? why do you think these are the sayings of Epicurus, and not public [sayings]?

[U200]

2. Dangerous to Disregard the Body

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 30.465-470

It is unclear to what extent Porphyry is directly quoting Epicurus in this letter.

I follow the interpretation represented by Elias Tempelis (Professor of Philosophy at the Hellenic Naval Academy):

"In the Letter to Marcella 27.425-31.483 Porphyry did not make a collation of a number of scattered views deriving from different Epicurean texts, but inserted an integral text, which was either a single chapter or even a whole treatise of Epicurean philosophy, aiming at the elaboration of a specific view of Epicurus, i.e. a variant of Principal doctrine 15 and Vatican saying 8."

"ἀφυσιολόγητον μηδὲν Ἥγοῦ, βοώσης τῆς σαρκὸς, βοᾶν τὴν ψυχὴν: σαρκὸς δὲ Φωνή μὴ πεινῆν, μὴ διψῆν, μὴ ῥιγοῦ. καὶ ταῦτα τῇ ψυχῇ χαλεπὸν μὲν Κωλύσαι, ἐπισφαλὲς δὲ Παρακοῦσαι τῆς παραγτειλάσης φύσεως αὐτῇ – διὰ τῆς προσφυοῦς αὐτῇ ἀνταρκειάς καθ' ἡμέραν"

"Suppose nothing unphysiological, that when the flesh cries out, the soul [also] cries out: for the voice of the flesh is not to be hungry, not to be thirsty, not to be cold. and to hinder these things is difficult for the soul [too], and to ignore the nature [of the flesh] communicating [those needs] to the [soul] is risky – through the self-sufficiency that clings to [the soul] every day"

[Wicker] "Consider it in no way contrary to Nature for the soul to cry out when the flesh cries out. The flesh cries not to be hungry, not to be thirsty, not to be cold. And so it is difficult for the soul to repress these cries, but it is dangerous for it to disregard nature’s exhortations to it because of the self-sufficiency which grows in it from day to day"

Do not dismiss bodily needs (hunger, thirst, cold) as unnatural – the soul naturally cries out along with the flesh. Although one's soul grows stronger and self-sufficient with practice, it is still dangerous for us to ignore real physical needs.

ἀφυσιολόγητος (ον)	unphysiological (i.e., not to be explained by science)	ΑΦΥΣΙΟΛΟΓΗΤΟΣ not capable of reasoning about producing
ἡγεῖσθαι	to suppose	ἡΓΕΙΣΘΑΙ leading
βοᾶν	to shout	ΒΟΑΝ
ἡ σὰρξ αἱ σάρκες	flesh	ΣΑΡΞ flesh

ἡ ψυχὴ αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
ἡ πείνα αἱ πείναι	hunger	ΠΕΙΝΑ <i>hunger</i>
πεινῆν	to be hungry	
ἡ δίψα αἱ δίψαι	thirst	ΔΙΨΑ <i>dry / parched</i>
διψῆν	to be thirsty	
τὸ ῥίγος τὰ ῥίγη	[the state of] being cold	ῚΡΙΓΟΣ <i>shivering</i>
ῥιγοῦ	to be cold	ῚΡΙΓΟΥ
χαλεπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	difficult	ΧΑΛΕΠΟΣ <i>hard to bear</i>
κωλύειν	to prevent	ΚΩΛΥΕΙΝ <i>preventing</i>
ἀσφαλής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	stable	ΑΣΦΑΛΗΣ <i>not stumbling</i>
ἐπισφαλής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	risky	ΕΠΙΣΦΑΛΗΣ <i>upon [what is] prone to fall</i>
ἀκούειν	to hear	ΑΚΟΥΕΙΝ <i>listening</i>
παρακούειν	to ignore	ΠΑΡΑΚΟΥΕΙΝ
ἄγειν	to lead	ΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going</i>
τὸ ἄγγεῖον τὰ ἄγγεῖα	vessel	ΑΓΓΕΙΟΝ <i>instrument of going / carrying</i>
παραγγέλλειν	to recommend	ΠΑΡΑΓΓΕΛΛΕΙΝ <i>sending a message across</i>
παραγγείλας <i>(άσα, άσαν)</i>	having recommended [here, "having communicated"]	ΠΑΡΑΓΓΕΙΛΑΣ <i>sending a message across</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
τὸ φυτόν τὰ φυτά	plant	ΦΥΤΟΝ <i>growing</i>
σύμφυτος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	innate	ΣΥΜΦΥΤΟΣ <i>growing together</i>
προσφυής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	clinging	ΠΡΟΣΦΥΗΣ <i>producing toward</i>
ἡ αὐτάρκεια αἱ αὐτάρκειαι	self-sufficiency	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΕΙΑ <i>state of self-beginning</i>
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	ἩΜΕΡΑ <i>day</i>

3. Natural Riches Possible in Poverty

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 4.10

sed ut **fīnēm** epistulae Impōnam, Accipe **quod** mihi hodiērnō diē placuit – et hoc quoque ex aliēnīs hortulīs **sumptum est**:

but so that I may put an **end** to the letter, receive **what has pleased me** on this very day – and **this too** has been taken from little foreign gardens:

"magnae Dīvitiae sunt **lēge** nātūrae **composita** Paupertās – Lēx autem illa nātūrae, Scīs, **quōs** nōbīs **terminōs statuāt**: nōn **ēsūrīre**, nōn **sitīre**, nōn **algēre**."

"Poverty arranged according to the law of nature is great wealth – and indeed you know what limits that law of nature sets for us: to not hunger, to not thirst, to not be cold."

4. "Hunger, Thirst, & Cold"

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies, 2.21

Ἐπίκουρος δὲ ἐν "τῷ μὴ πεινῆν, μηδὲ διψῆν, μηδὲ ῥιγοῦν" τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν τιθέμενος...

Epicurus who placed wellbeing in "not being hungry, nor thirsty, nor cold"...

Lucian (fl. 165 CE), The Parasite, 38

The Parasite is a mock-platonic dialogic where Lucian argues that practicing the art of parasitism is the most virtuous and pleasant of all lifestyles. Throughout the work he contrasts Epicurus with the parasite – in each case finding that the parasite has better success achieving pleasure than Epicurus.

["Simon" speaking] καὶ μέντοι, εἰ ἔστιν εὐδαιμον "τὸ μὴ πεινῆν, μηδὲ διψῆν, μηδὲ ῥιγοῦν" ταῦτα οὐδενὶ ἄλλῳ ὑπάρχει ἢ παρασίτῳ.

and indeed, if being well is "not being hungry, nor thirsty, nor cold" these things belong to no one else but to a parasite.

ὥστε φιλοσόφους μὲν ἂν τις πολλοὺς καὶ ῥιγοῦντας καὶ πεινῶντας εὖροι, παράσιτον δὲ οὐ – ἢ οὐκ ἂν εἴη παράσιτος, ἀλλὰ δυστυχὴς τις καὶ πτωχὸς ἄνθρωπος: καὶ φιλοσόφῳ ὅμοιος.

therefore, one might find many philosophers who are cold and hungry, but not a parasite – for then he would not be a parasite, but some unfortunate and poor man: and similar to a philosopher!

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.35.102

Diēs dēficiat sī Velim paupertātis causam dēfendere. aperta enim rēs est, et cōtīdiē nōs ipsa Nātūra admonet, quam paucīs, quam parvīs rēbus egeat, quam vīlibus.

The day would run out if I wished to defend the cause of poverty. for the matter is clear, and every day nature herself reminds us how few, how small, the things are that she needs, and how cheap.

[U201]

5. Cover the Earth in Marble

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 16.7

nōn est quod Mīreris animum meum, adhūc dē aliēnō liberālis sum – quārē autem aliēnum dixī? Quicquid bene dictum est ab ullō meum est – istuc quoque ab Epicūrō dictum est:

there is no reason why you should wonder at my intellect, up to this point I am [just] generous with what is from another – [but] why do I call it another's? whatever has been well said by anyone is mine – that too was said by Epicurus!

"sī ad nātūram Vivēs, numquam eris pauper – sī ad opiniōnēs, numquam Eris dīves: exiguum Nātūra dēsīderat, Opiniō immēsum"

"if you live according to nature, you will never be poor – if [you live] according to opinions, you will never be rich: Nature desires a little, opinion [desires] the boundless"

"Congerātur in tē quicquid multī locuplētēs possēderant – ultrā prīvātum pecūniae modum Fortūna tē prōvehat, aurō tegat, purpūrā vestiat, eō dēliciārū opumque perdūcat: ut terr am marmōribus Abscondās, nōn tantum habēre tibī liceat, sed calcāre dīvitias"

"Let whatever many wealthy men have possessed be heaped upon you – Let fortune carry you beyond a private measure of wealth, cover you with gold, clothe you in purple, lead you to such [a height] of luxuries and riches: that you conceal the earth with marble, and it is permitted not only for you to possess, but to tread on wealth"

"accēdant Statuae et Pictūrae, et quicquid Ars ulla luxuriae ēlāborāvit – maiōra cupere ab hīs Discēs! nātūrālia Dēsīderia finīta sunt; ex falsā opiniōne nāscētia ubi dēsinant, nōn habent. nūllus enim terminus falsō est."

"let Statues and Paintings be added, and anything that any Art has elaborated out for luxury – from these you will learn to desire greater things! Natural desires are limited: those arising from false opinion do not have [a point] where they end. no limit exists for the false"

[U202]

6. Undefined Impulses

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 27.427-431

"Ὁ οὖν τῇ φύσει κατακολουθῶν καὶ μὴ ταῖς κεναῖς δόξαις ἐν πᾶσιν αὐτάρκης: πρὸς γὰρ τὸ τῇ φύσει ἀρκοῦν, πᾶσα Κτῆσις, ἐστι πλοῦτος – πρὸς δὲ τὰς ἀορίστους ὀρέξεις, καὶ ὁ μέγιστος Πλοῦτός ἐστιν πενία"

"He who follows along according to nature and not according to empty judgments is self-sufficient in all things: indeed, every possession for what is sufficient according to nature is wealth – but for undefined impulses, even the greatest wealth is poverty"

[Wicker] "So then, the person who follows Nature and not empty false opinions is self-sufficient in everything. For satisfying Nature any possession is wealth, but for satisfying unlimited yearnings even the greatest wealth is nothing" (οὐδέν instead of πενία)

ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
ἀκολουθῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	following	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΩΝ <i>following</i>
κατακολουθῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	following along	ΚΑΤΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΩΝ <i>following down</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἡ αὐτάρκεια αἱ αὐτάρκειαι	self-sufficiency	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΕΙΑ <i>state of self-sufficing</i>
αὐτάρκης <i>(ες)</i>	self-sufficient	ΑΥΤΑΡΚΗΣ <i>self-sufficing</i>
ἀρκεῖν	to be sufficient	ΑΡΚΕΙΝ <i>sufficing</i>
τὸ ἀρκοῦν τὰ ἀρκοῦντα	what is sufficient	
κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>

κεκτημένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	having possessed	ΚΕΚΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>acquired</i>
ἡ κτήσις αἱ κτήσεις	possession	ΚΤΗΣΙΣ <i>acquiring</i>
ὁ πλοῦτος οἱ πλοῦτοι	wealth	ΠΛΟΥΤΟΣ <i>flowing</i>
ἄοριστος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undefined	ΑΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>no border</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
ἡ ὄρεξις αἱ ὀρέξεις	impulse	ΟΡΕΞΙΣ <i>impulse</i>
μέγιστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	greatest	ΜΕΓΙΣΤΟΣ <i>biggest</i>
ἡ πενία αἱ πενίαι	poverty	ΠΕΝΙΑ <i>need</i>

[U203]

7. Undefined Fears

Porphry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), Letter to Marcella, 29.459-461

"ἐφ’ ὅσον δ’ ἂν Ἀμηχανῆς: **Λήθη** τῆς φύσεως Ἀμηχανεῖς· **σαυτῷ** γὰρ **ἀορίστους φόβους** καὶ **ἐπιθυμίας** Προσβάλλεις"

"to the extent that you have no means: you have no means because you fail to notice nature – for you apply undefined fears and desires upon yourself"

[Wicker] "To the extent that you are troubled, it is because you forget Nature, for you inflict upon yourself unlimited fears and desires"

μηχανᾶσθαι	to construct	ΜΗΧΑΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>device</i>
ἀμηχανεῖν	to have no means	ΑΜΗΧΑΝΕΙΝ <i>no device</i>
λανθάνειν	to evade notice [here, "to fail to notice"]	ΛΑΝΘΑΝΕΙΝ <i>to be hidden</i>
ἄοριστος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	undefined	ΑΟΡΙΣΤΟΣ <i>no border</i>
ὁ φόβος οἱ φόβοι	fear	ΦΟΒΟΣ <i>fleeing</i>
ἡ ἐπιθυμία αἱ ἐπιθυμίαι	desire	ΕΠΙΘΥΜΙΑ <i>toward spirit</i>
παραβάλλειν	to compare	ΠΑΡΑΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing in across</i>
ὑποβάλλειν	to suggest	ΥΠΟΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing under</i>
προσβάλλειν	* to apply	ΠΡΟΣΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing toward</i>

[U204]

8. Procrastinating Cheer

Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 ^{CE}), Anthology, 16.28

Γεγόναμεν **ἅπαξ** – **δὶς** δὲ οὐκ ἔστι γενέσθαι: **Δεῖ** δὲ, **τὸν αἰῶνα**, **μηκέτι εἶναι**

We have been produced once – but twice it is not possible to be produced: it is necessary, for eternity, to no longer exist

Σὺ, δὲ, **οὐκ ὦν** τῆς αὔριον **Κύριος** – ἀναβάλλη **τὸ χαῖρον?**

You, however, not being Master of tomorrow – postpone cheer?

ὁ δὲ Βίος μελλησμῷ παραπόλλυται – καὶ εἷς ἑκάστος ἡμῶν, ἀσχολούμενος, ἀποθνήσκει

Life is frittered away by procrastination – and each one of us, while being occupied, passes away

This matches Vatican Saying 14

9. "Slaughtered in Advance"

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 27, 1104E

ἥ καὶ προεπισφάττουσιν, Οἱ ταυτὶ λέγοντες" "ἅπαξ ἄνθρωποι Γεγónαμεν – δις δ' οὐκ ἔστι γενέσθαι: Δεῖ δὲ, τὸν αἰῶνα, μηκέτι εἶναι"

by which they slaughter [us] in advance, those who say these things:
"We have been produced as human once – but twice it is not possible to be produced: it is necessary, for eternity, to no longer exist"

καὶ γὰρ τὸ παρὸν ὥς μικρὸν (μᾶλλον δὲ μὴδ' ὅτιοῦν) πρὸς τὰ σύμπαν ἀτιμάσαντες: ἀναπόλαυστον Προῖενται, καὶ Ὀλιγωροῦσιν ἀρετῆς καὶ πράξεως – οἷον ἔξαθυμοῦντες καὶ καταφρονοῦντες ἑαυτῶν, ὥς ἐφημέρων καὶ ἀβεβαίων καὶ πρὸς οὐθὲν ἀξιόλογον γεγονότων

for they too, having dishonored the present as small (or rather, as nothing at all) in comparison to the whole: they let it go unenjoyed, and they make light of virtue and action – as if disheartened and scorning themselves, as ephemeral and unstable and born for nothing worthy

10. Never Born Twice

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 30, 1106F

ὄθεν οὐδ' ὁ Κέρβερος οὐθ' ὁ Κωκυτὸς ἀόριστον Ἐποίησε τοῦ θανάτου τὸ δέος, ἀλλ' – ἢ τοῦ μὴ ὄντος Ἀπειλή – μεταβολὴν εἰς τὸ εἶναι πάλιν οὐκ ἔχουσα τοῖς φθαρεῖσι "δις γὰρ οὐκ ἔστι γενέσθαι, Δεῖ δὲ τὸν αἰῶνα μὴ εἶναι" κατ' Ἐπικούρου

from which not even [the dog] Cerberus, nor [the river] Cocytus made the fear of death indefinite – but the threat of not-being – not having a change into being again for the perished "for it is not possible to be born twice, and it is necessary to not exist for eternity" according to Epicurus

[U205]

11. Meditate on Death

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 26.8

interim, commodābit Epicūrus, quī ait "Meditāre mortem" (vel, sī commodius sit, trānsīre ad deōs) – hīc patet Sēnsus: egregia Rēs est mortem condiscere...

meanwhile, Epicurus will oblige, who says "Meditate on death" (or, if it be more suitable, passing over to the gods) – the meaning here is plain: it is an excellent thing to thoroughly learn death...

"Meditāre mortem" – quī hoc dīcit, meditārī libertātem lubet. Quī morī didicit, servīre dedidicit.

"Meditate on death" – he who says this, commands one to meditate on freedom. He who has learned to die, has unlearned to be a slave.

12. Easy to Get
(and easy to leave behind)

οἱ γοῦν Πολλοὶ, διὰ τοῦτο, καίπερ πολλὰ κεκτημένοι, ὥς ὑπολειψόντων ἀνήνυτα Μοχθοῦσιν.

Most [people], because of this – even though they have acquired most [things] – they toil as though [things] are going to be left behind unfinished

ἀρκεῖσθαι δὲ τοῖς εὐπορίστοις καὶ λιτοτάτοις ποιεῖ τὸ Μνημονεύειν, ὅτι "πρὸς μὲν τῆς ψυχῆς ἀξιόλογον ταραχῆς λύσιν Οὐθὲν ἰσχύειν πέφυκεν, οὐδ' ὁ πᾶς Πλοῦτος συναχθεὶς – τὸ δὲ τῆς σαρκὸς Ὀχληρὸν ἐξαιρεῖ καὶ τὰ πάνυ μέτρια καὶ τυχόντα, πᾶσάν τε εὐποριστίαν κεκτημένα"

Remembering [this] produces being content with what is easily obtainable and most simple, that "Nothing exists strong [enough] for the release from a significant disturbance of the soul, not even all gathered wealth – but the annoyance of the flesh is alleviated by even the most moderate and ordinary [resources], which also have every [means of] easy acquisition"

ὑπολείποντα, δὲ καὶ, τὰ Τοιαῦτα οὐ ταραττει τὸν ἀποθνήσκειν μελετῶντα

and also, when they are left behind, [ordinary] things of this sort do not disturb the one who rehearses dying.

[U206]

13. Magnificent in Rags

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 20.9

Invideās licet, etiam nunc libenter prō mē dēpendet Epicūrus "magnificentior, mihī Crēde, Sermō tuus in grabattō vidēbitur et in pannō: nōn enim dīcentur tantum Illa – sed probābuntur"

It is possible that you may look askance [at it, but] even now Epicurus gladly pays on my behalf "more magnificent, believe me, your speech will appear on a cot and in a rag: for those things will not only be said – but they will be represented"

Ego certē aliter audiō quae dicit Demetrius noster cum illum Vidī nūdum – quantō minus quam in strāmentīs incubantem: nōn praeceptor vēri sed testis est.

I certainly hear the things our Demetrius says differently since I saw him naked – much less lying on straw: he is not [just] a teacher of truth but an [actual/] witness [to it].

Demetrius the Cynic was a contemporary of Seneca and the emperors Caligula, Claudius, Nero, and Vespasian. He was famous for his "fearless poverty" and for "embodying the ideals he taught."

[U207]

14. Disturbed on a Gold Couch

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 29.461-463

"κρεῖσσον δέ σοι θαρρεῖν ἐπὶ στιβάδος κατακειμένη ἢ ταραττεσθαι χρυσῆν ἐχούσῃ κλίνῃ καὶ πολυτελεῇ τράπεζαν"

"but it is more powerful for you to be confident while reclining on a straw mattress than to be disturbed while you have a golden couch and an extravagant table"

[Wicker] "But it is better for you to have confidence as you lie on a bed of straw than to be in turmoil while you possess a gold couch and a costly table."

κρατύς	powerful	ΚΡΑΤΥΣ <i>strong</i>
κρεῖσσων <i>(ον)</i>	more powerful	ΚΡΕΙΣΣΩΝ <i>stronger</i>
θαρρεῖν	to be confident	ΘΑΡΡΕΙΝ <i>taking courage</i>
ἡ στιβάς αἱ στιβάδες	straw mattress	ΣΤΙΒΑΣ <i>compressed</i>
συγκεῖσθαι	to be compounded	ΣΥΓΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting together</i>
κατακεῖσθαι	to recline	ΚΑΤΑΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting down</i>
κατακείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	reclining	
ἡ ἀταραξία αἱ ἀταραξίαι	undisturbedness	ΑΤΑΡΑΞΙΑ <i>without agitating</i>
ταραχώδης <i>(ης, ες)</i>	disturbing	ΤΑΡΑΧΩΔΗΣ <i>agitating</i>
ταράττεσθαι	to be disturbed	ΤΑΡΑΤΤΕΣΘΑΙ <i>agitating</i>
χρυσοῦς <i>(ῆ, οῦν)</i>	gold	ΧΡΥΣΟΥΣ <i>shining</i>
ἡ κλίνη αἱ κλῖναι	couch	ΚΛΙΝΗ <i>leaning</i>
εὐτελής <i>(ές)</i>	low-cost	ΕΥΤΕΛΗΣ <i>good completing</i>
ἡ πολυτέλεια αἱ πολυτέλειαι	extravagance	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΑ <i>much completing</i>
πολυτελεῖν	to live extravagantly	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΕΙΝ <i>much completing</i>
πολυτελής <i>(ές)</i>	extravagant	ΠΟΛΥΤΕΛΗΣ <i>much completing</i>
ἡ τράπεζα αἱ τράπεζαι	table	ΤΡΑΠΕΖΑ <i>three feet</i> <i>"tri-pod"</i>

[U208]

15. Private Audience

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 7.11

ēgregiē hoc tertium Epicūrus, cum ūnī ex cōnsortibus studiōrum suōrum scrīberet: "haec" inquit "Ego nōn multīs, sed tibi – satis enim magnum, alter alterī, theātrum Sumus."

Epicurus said this third* [quote] especially well, when he was writing to one of the companions of his studies: "I [write] these things not to many people, but to you – indeed we, the one to the other, are quite a great audience."

[Long] Here is a nice expression by Epicurus, written to one of the partners of his studies: "I write this not for the many, but for you; you and I are audience enough for one another."

θεᾶσθαι	to watch	ΘΕΑΣΘΑΙ <i>viewing</i>
τὸ θέατρον τὰ θέατρα	<i>lit. "a place for watching,"</i> <i>also Used in the singular to refer to</i> audience	ΘΕΑΤΡΟΝ

**Third, i.e. of the quotes included at the end of this letter – the other two being of Democritus "To me, one person counts as a nation, and a nation as one person" and of an unknown artist "A few are enough – one is enough – not even one is also enough!"*

Ista, mī Lūcilī, condenda in animum sunt, ut Contemnās voluptātem ex plūrium adsēnsiōne venientem.

Those things, my Lucilius, must be stored in the mind, so that you may despise the pleasure that comes from the approval of many.

Multī tē laudant. ecquid Habēs, cūr placeās tibi? sī is Es, quem intellegant Multī? intrōrsus Bona tua spectent.

Many praise you. Do you have anything [of substance that justifies] why you should be pleasing to yourself? if you are the person who many people understand [you to be], let your good qualities look inward.

[U209]

16. Withdraw into Yourself

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 25.6

cum hoc Effēceris, et aliqua coeperit apud tē tuī esse Dignātiō: Incipiam tibi permittere quod idem suādet Epicūrus: "tunc praecipuē in tē ipse sēcēde – cum esse Cōgeris in turbā."

when you will have accomplished this, and some self-esteem has begun to exist in you: I will start to allow you what Epicurus also advises: "then especially withdraw into yourself – when you are forced to be in a crowd."

[U210]

17. Live as if a Good Man were Watching

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 11.8

iam clausulam Epistula poscit. Accipe (et quidem ūtilem ac salūtārem) quam tē affigere animō Volō

now the letter is calling for a close. Receive what I want you to fix in your mind (and indeed is useful and beneficial)

"aliquis Vir bonus nōbīs dīligendus est ac semper ante oculōs habendus – ut sīc tamquam illō spectante Vivāmus, et omnia tamquam illō videntē faciāmus."

"Some good man must be esteemed by us and always kept before our eyes – so that we may live as if he were watching, and we may do everything as if he were seeing."

hoc, mī Lūcilī, Epicūrus praecepit: custōdem nōbīs et paedagōgum dedit, nec immeritō – magna Pars peccātōrum tollitur, sī peccātūrīs Testis adsistit.

this, my Lucilius, Epicurus prescribed: he gave us a guard and a tutor, nor without reason – a great part of one's wrongdoings is removed, if a witness stands by those about to do wrong.

[U211]

18. Happiness of Zeus – SFOTSE

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 25.5

ad lēgem nātūrae Revertāmur – Divitiae parātae sunt: aut gratuitum est quō
ēgemus aut vīle – pānem et aquam Nātūra dēsīderat.

Let us return to the law of nature – wealth has been prepared: what we
need is either free or cheap – nature desires bread and water.

Nēmō ad haec pauper est – "intra quae Quisquis dēsīderium suum clūsit cum ipsō
love dē fēlīcītāte contendat" – ut ait Epicūrus, cuius aliquam vocem huic
epistulae Involvam:

No one is poor regarding these things – "whoever has enclosed his desire
within these may contend with Jupiter himself in happiness" – as Epicurus
says, whose saying I will weave into this letter:

"sīc Fac" inquit "omnia tamquam spectet Epicūrus."

"Do everything in this way" he says "as if Epicurus were watching."

Prōdest, sine dubiō, custōdem sibi inposuisse et habēre quem respiciās, quem
interesse cōgitātiōnibus tuīs lūdicēs.

It is beneficial, without a doubt, to have assigned oneself and to have a
guardian whom you look to, whom you judge to be involved in your
thoughts.

[U212]

19. Those Who Misunderstand Inferences from Sensation

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Treatises, P.Herc. 1418, col. 29

...Μιθρῆν πονοῦ[ντα] ἢ τῆ[ς μνή]μης... ...ἐκ Πειρ[α]ιέως καὶ... ...ἀναγγελλοντ... ...φέρων τὴν ἐπιστολὴν παρὰ σοῦ καὶ τὸν
διαλογισμὸν ὃν ἐπεπόησο περὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων ὅσοι μῆτε τὴν ἀναλογίαν τὴν κατὰ τὰ φαινόμενα [έν] τοῖς ἀοράτοις ο[ὔ]σα]ν
ἡδύναντο συνιδεῖν μῆτε τὴν συμφωνίαν τὴν ταῖς αἰσθήσεσι ὑπάρχουσαν πρὸς τὰ ἀόρατα καὶ πάλιν ἀντιμ[α]ρτυρ[οῦ]σιν" [ἐπὶ]
δὲ Δημοκλέ\ο/υ[ς αὐ]τῶι γρά[φων· ὁ δ]ια[κε]χαρισμέν[ος ἐ]κάσ[τω]ι... ποτε μ[ὲ]ν ἀν ἡ τε... δι' ἐτέρας... ...κα[ὶ] ἔτη... ...ἡμῖν
ὅτι τὰ κατὰ νῦν[ν]... ...καὶ τ[ῶ]ν <ι> μέρει πράγματα... ...καὶ διὰ τοῦ... ...[π]αρ' [ἡ]μῶν τι...

...Μιθρῆν, πονοῦντα ἢ τῆς μνήμης... ...ἐκ Πειραιέως καὶ... ...ἀναγγελλοντα...

...Mithres, suffering either from the memory of... ...from Peiraeus and...
...reporting...

"...φέρων τὴν ἐπιστολὴν παρὰ σοῦ καὶ τὸν διαλογισμὸν ὃν Ἐπεπόησο περὶ τῶν
ἀνθρώπων – Ὅσοι μῆτε ⁽¹⁾ τὴν ἀναλογίαν τὴν κατὰ τὰ φαινόμενα ἐν τοῖς
ἀοράτοις οὔσαν ἡδύναντο συνιδεῖν, μῆτε ⁽²⁾ τὴν συμφωνίαν τὴν ταῖς αἰσθήσεσι
ὑπάρχουσαν πρὸς τὰ ἀόρατα καὶ ⁽³⁾ πάλιν ἀντιμαρτυροῦσιν."

...bringing the letter from you and the thorough reasoning which you had
made concerning those people – as many [of them] as were not able to have
fully seen ⁽¹⁾ the analogy that exists in accordance with what is apparent with
what is unseen, nor ⁽²⁾ the agreement existing among the sensations toward
the unseen, and ⁽³⁾ when [the sensations] again contest [in a particular case
to a full agreement or even just an analogy between the seen and the
unseen].

[Anderson] ...bringing your letter and the reasoning which you had carried out
concerning men who could see neither ⁽¹⁾ the analogy which obtains
between the phenomena and the unseen [realities] nor ⁽²⁾ the consistency
which exists between the senses and the senses [realities] and again ⁽³⁾ the
counterfactuals...

ἐπὶ δὲ Δημοκλέους αὐτῷ γράφων· "ὁ Διακεχαρισμένος ἐκάστω... ποτε μίαν
ἢ τε... ...δι' ἐτέρας... ...καὶ ἔτη... ...ἡμῖν ὅτι τὰ κατὰ νῦν... ...καὶ τῷ μέρει
πράγματα... ...καὶ διὰ τοῦ... ...παρ' ἡμῶν τι..."

and writing to him during [the archonship in 278^{BCE}] of Democles: "he who has thoroughly cheered each... ..one at some time or... ..through different... ..and years... ..to us that the things at present... ..and the situation in part and through the... ..something from us..."

φέρων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	carrying	ΦΕΡΩΝ <i>bringing</i>
ἡ ἐπιστολή αἱ ἐπιστολαί	letter <i>[i.e., a sent message]</i>	ΕΠΙΣΤΟΛΗ <i>equipping upon</i>
ὁ διαλογισμός οἱ διαλογισμοί	thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ <i>reasoning through action</i>
ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
ὅσος <i>(η, ον)</i>	as many as	ὍΣΟΣ <i>however great</i>
ἡ ἀναλογία αἱ ἀναλογίαι	analogy	ΑΝΑΛΟΓΙΑ <i>reasoning back/again</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ἀόρατος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΣ <i>not looking</i>
δύνασθαι	to have the force <i>[here, "to be able"]</i>	ΔΥΝΑΣΘΑΙ <i>power</i>
συνιδεῖν	to have fully seen <i>[mentally]</i>	ΣΥΝΙΔΕΙΝ <i>seen together</i>
ἡ συμφωνία αἱ συμφωνίαι	agreement	ΣΥΜΦΩΝΙΑ <i>speaking together</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	existing <i>[already]</i>	ὙΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
τὸ ἀόρατον τὰ ἀόρατα	^[O] the unseen	ΑΟΡΑΤΟΝ <i>not looking</i>
πάλιν	again	ΠΑΛΙΝ <i>back</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	^{W} to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
Part 2		
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	favor <i>[here, "grace"]</i>	ΧΑΡΙΣ <i>charming / gracious</i>
χαίρειν	to rejoice <i>[used for "hello/goodbye"]</i>	ΧΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>being cheerful</i>
ὁ διακεχαρισμένος ^[+ dat] οἱ διακεχαρισμένοι	he who has thoroughly cheered	ΔΙΑΚΕΧΑΡΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>through having charmed / graced</i>
ἕκαστος <i>(η, ον)</i>	each <i>(with plural verb)</i>	ἘΚΑΣΤΟΝ <i>each</i>
εἷς μία ἓν <i>(ἐνός, μιᾶς, ἐνός)</i>	one	ἘΙΣ ΜΙΑ ἘΝ <i>one</i>
ἕτερος <i>(ἐτέρᾱ, ἕτερον)</i>	different <i>[other / another]</i>	ἘΤΕΡΟΣ <i>other</i>
τό ἔτος τά ἔτη	year	ΕΤΟΣ <i>year</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>doing / affair</i>

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 28, 1105D

εἰ τοίνυν "ἡδὺ πανταχόθεν ἡ φίλου Μνήμη τεθνηκότος" ὥσπερ Ἐπίκουρος εἶπε:
καὶ ἤδη νοεῖν Πάρεσιν ἡλικίης ἑαυτοὺς χαρᾶς Ἀποστεροῦσι:

if then "the memory of a friend that has died is pleasant from every standpoint" as Epicurus said, indeed already it is possible to understand how much they deprive themselves of joy:

φάσματα μὲν καὶ εἶδωλα τεθνηκότων ἐταίρων οἰόμενοι δέχεσθαι καὶ θηρεύειν – οἷς οὔτε Νοῦς ἐστίν, οὔτ' Αἴσθησις – αὐτοῖς δὲ συνέσεσθαι πάλιν ἀληθῶς (καὶ τὸν φίλον πατέρα καὶ τὴν φίλην μητέρα καὶ που γυναῖκα χρηστὴν ὄψεσθαι) μὴ προσδοκῶντες

believing they [passively] receive and [actively] pursue appearances and films of dead companions – in which neither mind exists, nor sensation – while they are not expecting to be truly united again with them (and to see their beloved father, and beloved mother, and even their helpful wife)

ἡδύς <i>(ἡδεῖα, ἡδύ)</i>	pleasant	ἭΔΥΣ <i>sweet</i>
πανταχόθεν	from/on all sides [here, "from every standpoint"]	ΠΑΝΤΑΧΘΕΝ <i>from all places</i>
ἡ φιλοσοφία	philosophy	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΙΑ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ὁ φίλος οἱ φίλοι	friend	ΦΙΛΟΣ <i>loving</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνημαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
θνήσκειν	to die	ΘΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ ΘΝΗΣΚΕΙΝ <i>died</i>
τεθνηκώς <i>(υῖα, ὅς)</i>	having died	ΤΕΘΝΗΚΩΣ <i>having died</i>
τὸ φάσμα τὰ φάσματα	appearance	ΦΑΣΜΑ <i>shining</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>

@ 21. Foresee the Inevitable

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 63.7

"mihi, amīcōrum dēfunctōrum Cōgitātiō dulcis ac blanda est: Habuī enim illōs tamquam amissūrus – amīsī tamquam habeam.

"for me, the thought of departed friends is sweet and pleasant: for I had them as though I would lose them – I have lost them as though I still have [them]"

Seneca presents this as a quotation but does not cite his source. Epicurus is frequently quoted in the Letters to Lucilius, and this particular passage appears to continue the Epicurean quotation Plutarch gives just above.

Cherish people with the awareness they may be lost, and let their memory live on as if they are still present.

[U214]

22. Giving Favors, Small and Large

Maximus the Confessor (fl. 620 CE), Sayings, chapter 8

Maximus the Confessor had a classical education, but he turned to a Dyothelite interpretation of Christianity (believing Christ has two wills: one divine, one human).

He was convicted of heresy by the preeminent Monothelite Christians – who cut off his tongue and right hand. Maximus died later that year.

This saying appears in Maximus' *gnomology* (a collection of sayings) in the Ribittianae codex (page 196)

It is also found attributed to Epicurus in the Laurentian and Borbonicus codices, and in the Melk Monastery manuscript by Antonius the Monk (book I, entry 29, page 37)

"Μὴ φεύγε μικρὰ χαρίζεσθαι – Δόξεις γὰρ καὶ πρὸς τὰ μεγάλα τοιοῦτος εἶναι"

"Do not avoid giving favors regarding small things – for you will also seem to be such a sort regarding what is great"

φεύγειν	to avoid	ΦΕΥΓΕΙΝ fleeing
μικρός = μικρός (ἀ, ὀν)	small	ΜΕΙΚΡΟΣ ΜΙΚΡΟΣ little
ἡ χάρις αἱ χάριτες	favor [here, "grace"]	ΧΑΡΙΣ charming / gracious
χαρίζειν	to give favor	ΧΑΡΙΖΕΙΝ action of being cheerful
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ seeming
τὸ μέγα τὰ μεγάλα	what is great	ΜΕΓΑ large
τοιοῦτος (τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ this of this sort

[U215]

23. Caution Toward Pleading Enemies

Maximus the Confessor (fl. 620 CE), Sayings, 66

This is maxim 66 in Maximus’ gnomology, on page 259 of the Ribittiana manuscript, and was printed by Combefis on page 682. It also appears in a Melk Monastery manuscript (item 54, page 123, cited by Antonius) and in Paris manuscript 1166, published by Boissonade in Anecdota Graeca I, page 123, line 5. In each location it is attributed to Epicurus.

"Ἐχθροῦ δεηθέντος, μὴ Ἀποστραφῆς τὴν ἀξίωσιν: πλὴν, Ἀσφαλίζου σεαυτόν – οὐδὲν γὰρ κυνὸς Διαφέρει."

"when an enemy has requested, you should not reject the request: but stabilize yourself – for he differs in no way from a dog!"

It is as absurd to formally respond to an enemy’s request as it would be to formally respond to the desires of wild animals – simply protect yourself from them!

ὁ ἐχθρός οἱ ἐχθροί	enemy	ΕΧΘΡΟΣ hating
δέεσθαι	to be in need	ΔΕΕΣΘΑΙ needing
ἡ δέησις αἱ δεήσεις	request	ΔΕΗΣΙΣ needing
δεηθείς (εἴσα, θέν)	having requested	ΔΕΗΘΕΙΣ needing
καταστρέφειν	to overturn	ΚΑΤΑΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ thoroughly turning

διαστρέφειν	to distort	ΔΙΑΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ turning through
ἀποστρέφειν	to reject	ΑΠΟΣΤΡΕΦΕΙΝ turning away
ἢ ἀξιώσεις αἱ ἀξιώσεις	request	ΑΞΙΩΣΙΣ action of counterbalancing
πλήν	besides	
ἢ ἀσφάλεια αἱ ἀσφάλειαι	stability [lit. "not tripping"]	ΑΣΦΑΛΕΙΑ not stumbling
ἀσφαλίζειν	to stabilize	ΑΣΦΑΛΙΖΕΙΝ not stumbling
ὁ κύων οἱ κύνες	dog	ΚΥΩΝ dog
διαφέρειν	to differ	ΔΙΑΦΕΡΕΙΝ bringing through

[U216]

24. Details of Property Management

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Property Management, P.Herc. 1424, col. 27, lines 20-29

εἰ δὴ καταμέμψεται τις ἡμῶν περὶ οἰκονομίας ἀναγρ[α]φ[όν]των, ἡμῖν μὲν ἱκανὸς μετ’ Ἐπικούρου Μητρόδωρος ἐπιστέλλων καὶ παραινῶν καὶ διοικῶν ἐπ[ι]μελέστερον καὶ μέχρι μικροτέρων καὶ ποιῶν αὐτός, εἰ καὶ μὴ τὸ πρᾶγμ[α κ]ατήπειγεν ὥς [φαί]νεται.

εἰ δὴ καταμέμψεται τις ἡμῶν περὶ οἰκονομίας ἀναγραφόντων: ἡμῖν μὲν ἱκανὸς μετ’ Ἐπικούρου Μητρόδωρος – ἐπιστέλλων καὶ παραινῶν καὶ διοικῶν ἐπιμελέστερον καὶ μέχρι μικροτέρων, καὶ ποιῶν αὐτός – εἰ καὶ μὴ τὸ Πρᾶγμα κατήπειγεν, ὥς φαίνεται.

if indeed someone among us will find fault for writing about property management: along with Epicurus, Metrodorus is sufficient for us – since he also gives instructions, advises, and manages more carefully, even down to the smaller things, and he does it himself – even if the situation was not pressing, it seems.

[Tsouna] On the one hand, Philodemus appeals to the authority of Metrodorus to defend the philosophical value of his own treatise and his detailed engagement with its subject. On the other hand, he openly acknowledges that the matter of οἰκονομία (property management) is more pressing for him than it was for Metrodorus.

Will

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.16 ^{fin.} – 21

1. Legal Heirs: Amunomachus & Timocrates


κατὰ τάδε, Δίδωμι τὰ ἑμαυτοῦ πάντα ^[1] Ἀμυνομάχῳ Φιλοκράτους Βατῆθεν καὶ ^[2] Τιμοκράτει, Δημητρίου, Ποταμίῳ κατὰ τὴν ἐν τῷ Μητρώῳ ἀναγεγραμμένην ἑκατέρῳ δόσιν

regarding these things, I give all my possessions to ^[1] Amunomachus son of Philocrates from Bātē and to ^[2] Timocrates, son of Demetrius, the Potamian, according to the inheritance recorded for each in the Metroon

Epicurus names Amunomachus and Timocrates as his legal heirs – but on the condition that they provide the Garden and its assets for Hermarchus and his philosophical successors to use for study.

It is clear from their demotic identifiers both Amunomachus and Timocrates were members of the Athenian polis, registered in those respective demes.

ἀποδιδόναι	to demonstrate	ΑΠΟΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ giving away
διδόναι	to give	ΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ giving
ἡ δόσις αἱ δόσεις	gift / inheritance	ΔΟΣΙΣ given
Ἀμυνόμαχος	Amunomachus	ΑΜΥΝΟΜΑΧΟΣ battle defender
Φιλοκράτης	Philocrates	ΦΙΛΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ lover of power
Βατῆθεν	from Bātē	BATHΘEN passable / accessible
Bātē (Βατή) was a deme of ancient Attica roughly located in modern Ampelokipoi, Athens (northeast of the Acropolis)		
Τιμοκράτης	Timocrates	ΤΙΜΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ power from honor
Δημήτριος	Demetrius	ΔΗΜΗΤΡΙΟΣ from Demeter
Ποτάμιος (α, ον)	Potamian	ΠΟΤΑΜΙΟΣ river
The three Potamos demes were on the southeast coast of Attica (north of Thoricus)		
γράφειν	to compose	ΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ writing
ἀναγράφειν	to place on record	ΑΝΑΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ
ὁ κῆπος οἱ κῆποι	garden	ΚΗΠΟΣ plantation
τό προσόν τά προσόντα	asset	ΠΡΟΣΟΝ existing for
παρέχειν	to yield [here, "to give over"]	ΠΑΡΕΧΕΙΝ hold beside
Μητρώον	the Metroon	Μητρῶον "sanctuary of mother"
the Metroon (Mother Goddess temple) in Athens was the Old Bouleuterion (council house of the Athenian boule). It was converted into a temple for Cybele and also served as the office for holding official archives of the city.		



2. Beneficiary: Hermarchus

ἐφ’ ᾧ τε τὸν μὲν κῆπον καὶ τὰ προσόντα αὐτῷ Παρέξουσιν: Ἑρμάρχῳ Ἀγεμόρτου Μυτιληναίῳ καὶ τοῖς συμφιλοσοφοῦσιν αὐτῷ – καὶ οἷς ἂν Ἑρμαρχος καταλίπη διαδόχοις τῆς φιλοσοφίας, ἐνδιατρίβειν κατὰ φιλοσοφίαν

provided that they give over the garden and its assets to him:
to Hermarchus son of Agemortus, the Mytilenean, and to those who philosophize together with him – and to whomever Hermarchus may leave remaining as successors of the philosophy, to occupy themselves in accordance with philosophy

Hermarchus would have been considered a metic – a foreigner with only partial citizenship privileges. Therefore, he could not legally inherit land in Attica.

Giving the use of the land to Hermarchus (a Mytilenean) was legal, but he could not technically own it.

Ἑρμαρχος	Hermarchus	ἙΡΜΑΡΧΟΣ rule of Hermes
Ἀγεμόρτος	Agemortus	ἈΓΕΜΟΡΤΟΣ leader of mortals
Μυτιληναῖος (η, ον)	Mytilenean	ΜΥΤΙΛΗΝΑΙΟΣ daughter of Makareus (early king of Lesbos) a resident of the city of Mytilene on Lesbos
φιλοσοφεῖν	to philosophize	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΕΙΝ loving knowledge
συμφιλοσοφεῖν	to philosophize together	ΣΥΜΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΕΙΝ loving knowledge together
οἱ συμφιλοσοφοῦντες	those who philosophize together	
καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ thoroughly leave
δεχόμενος (η, ον)	receiving	ΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ accepting
ὁ διάδοχος οἱ διάδοχοι	successor	ΔΙΑΔΟΧΟΣ receiving in turn
ἐνδιατρίβειν	to occupy oneself with	ΕΝΔΙΑΤΡΙΒΕΙΝ rubbing through in



καὶ **ἀεὶ** δὲ τοῖς φιλοσοφοῦσιν ἀπὸ ἡμῶν, ὅπως ἂν Συνδιασώσωσιν Ἀμυνομάχῳ καὶ Τιμοκράτει, κατὰ τὸ δυνατόν, **τὴν ἐν τῷ κήπῳ διατριβὴν** Παρακατατίθεμαι τοῖς τ' αὐτῶν κληρονόμοις – ἐν ᾧ ἂν τρόπῳ **ἀσφαλέστατον** ᾗ: ὅπως ἂν καὶκεῖνοι διατηρῶσιν **τὸν Κῆπον** – καθάπερ καὶ Αὐτοὶ οἷς ἂν οἱ ἀπὸ ἡμῶν φιλοσοφοῦντες παραδῶσιν

and also for those philosophizing from among us, so that they may preserve [it] together with Amunomachus and Timocrates, as far as what is possible, I entrust the continuance in the Garden to their heirs in perpetuity – in whatever way is most stable: so that they too may fully preserve the Garden – just as they themselves also [should do] to whomever the ones philosophizing from among us may hand it down

φιλοσοφῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	philosophizing	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΩΝ <i>loving knowledge</i>
διασώζειν	to preserve	ΔΙΑΣΩΙΖΕΙΝ <i>fully saving</i>
συνδιασώζειν	to preserve together	ΣΥΝΔΙΑΣΩΙΖΕΙΝ <i>fully saving together</i>
Ἀμυνόμαχος	Amunomachus	ΑΜΥΝΟΜΑΧΟΣ <i>battle defender</i>
Τιμοκράτης	Timocrates	ΤΙΜΟΚΡΑΤΗΣ <i>power from honor</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
τό δυνατόν τά δυνατά	what is possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΝ <i>power</i>
ὁ κῆπος οἱ κῆποι	garden	ΚΗΠΟΣ <i>plantation</i>
ἐνδιατρίβειν	to occupy oneself with	ΕΝΔΙΑΤΡΙΒΕΙΝ <i>rubbing through in</i>
ἡ διατριβή αἱ διατριβαί	occupation [here, "continuance"]	ΔΙΑΤΡΙΒΗ
τιθέναι	to put	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
παρατιθέναι	to juxtapose	ΠΑΡΑΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing alongside</i>
παρακατατιθέναι	to entrust	ΠΑΡΑΚΑΤΑΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing down alongside</i>
ὁ νόμος οἱ νόμοι	law	ΝΟΜΟΣ <i>allotting</i>
ὁ κληρονόμος οἱ κληρονόμοι	heir	ΚΛΗΡΟΝΟΜΟΣ <i>allotting inheritance</i>
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
ἀσφαλής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	stable	ΑΣΦΑΛΗΣ <i>not stumbling</i>
ἀσφαλέστατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	most stable	ΑΣΦΑΛΕΣΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most not stumbling</i>
κάκεῖνος = καί + ἐκεῖνος <i>(έκεῖνη, έκεῖνο)</i>	that	ΕΚΕΙΝΟΣ <i>that there</i>
διατηρεῖν	to fully preserve	ΔΙΑΤΗΡΕΙΝ <i>completely protecting / watching</i>
καθάπερ	just as	ΚΑΘΑΠΕΡ <i>just as thoroughly</i>
παραδιδόναι	to hand over [here, "to hand down"]	ΠΑΡΑΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving alongside</i>


τὴν δ' οἰκίαν τὴν ἐν Μελίτῃ παρεχέτωσαν Ἀμυνόμαχος καὶ Τιμοκράτης ἐνοικεῖν Ἑρμάρχῳ καὶ τοῖς μετ' αὐτοῦ φιλοσοφοῦσιν – ἕως ἂν Ἑρμαρχος ζῇ.

and let Amunomachus and Timocrates give over the house in Melite for Hermarchus and those philosophizing with him to inhabit – for as long as Hermarchus lives.



Μελίτη	Melite	MELITH <i>Melite</i> <i>[a lover of Heracles]</i>
<i>Melite (Μελίτη) was a central deme of ancient Attica, located within the Themistoclean walls of Athens, west of the Acropolis, and associated with areas near the Agora and the Pnyx</i>		
ἡ οἰκονομία αἱ οἰκονομαίαι	household management	OIKONOMIA <i>managing</i> <i>the home</i>
ἡ συνοικεώσις αἱ συνοικεώσεις	association	SYNOIKEWSIS <i>home together</i>
ἡ οἰκία αἱ οἰκίαι	house	OIKIA <i>the home</i>
ἐνοικεῖν	to inhabit	ENOIKEIN <i>in the home</i>
παρέχειν	to yield [here, "to give over"]	PAPEXEIN <i>hold beside</i>
Ἀμυνόμαχος	Amunomachus	AMYNOMAXOS <i>battle defender</i>
Τιμοκράτης	Timocrates	TIMOKRATHS <i>power from honor</i>

Ἑρμαρχος	Hermarchus	ἙΡΜΑΡΧΟΣ <i>rule of Hermes</i>
φιλοσοφῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὖν)</i>	philosophizing	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΩΝ <i>loving knowledge</i>
βιοῦν	to live [to live one's life]	ΒΙΟΥΝ <i>living</i>
ζῆν	to live [to be alive]	ΖΗΝ <i>breathing / hot</i>



The Garden was located up the center road out of the Dipylon Gate and before the location of the Academy.

5. Revenues for Memorials and Gatherings

ἐκ δὲ τῶν **γινομένων** προσόδων, τῶν **δεδομένων** ἀφ’ ἡμῶν **Ἀμυνομάχῳ** καὶ **Τιμοκράτει**: κατὰ τὸ δυνατόν, **Μεριζέσθωσαν**, μεθ’ **Ἑρμάρχου** – **σκοπούμενοι**
[¹] **εἷς τε** τὰ **ἐναγίσματα** **τῷ τε** **πατρὶ** καὶ **τῇ μητρὶ** καὶ **τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς**, [²] καὶ **ἡμῖν**,
εἰς τὴν εἰθισμένην ἄγεσθαι γενέθλιον ἡμέραν ἐκάστου ἔτους **τῇ προτέρᾳ Δεκάτῃ**
τοῦ Γαμηλιῶνος – ὥσπερ καὶ [³] **εἰς τὴν γινομένην** σύνοδον ἐκάστου μηνὸς **ταῖς**
Εἰκάσι τῶν **συμφιλοσοφούντων** ἡμῖν **εἰς τὴν ἡμῶν τε** καὶ **Μητροδώρου μνήμην**
κατατεταγμένην.

and from the revenues **being produced**, **already given** by myself to **Amunomachus** and **Timocrates**: as far as possible, they should let [the revenues] be divided, together with Hermarchus – **while making considerations** [¹] for the memorial rites **for my father** and **mother** and **brothers**, [²] and **for myself**, for the **customary birth day each year to be lead** on the earlier Tenth [*day from the end*] of Gamelion – just as also [³] for the gathering **being produced on the Twentieth** of each month by those **philosophizing together with us**, **assigned** to the memory of myself and of Metrodorus

The "earlier Tenth" refers specifically to the 20th day in a month with 30 days.

After the full moon, the Athenians switched to counting down from the end of the month. They referred to days as so-many days waning (i.e., remaining) in the month.

Also, in order to accommodate the variability between a 30-day and 29-day month, they duplicated the 10th waning day on months with 30 days.

On these months, the 20th and 21st were both referred to as "tenth" days – the 20th being the earlier tenth and the 21st as the later tenth.

Thus, the 20th day of the month was referred to as Εἰκάς (the Twentieth), and εἰκοστή (20th), and δεκάτῃ προτέρᾳ (the earlier tenth) – and even just προτέρᾳ (the earlier)

γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
---------------------------------------	----------------	---

ἡ πρόσοδος αἱ προσόδοι	addition [here, "revenue"]	ΠΡΟΣΟΔΟΣ <i>path toward</i>
παραδεδομένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[already] handed down	ΠΑΡΑΔΕΔΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>gave alongside</i>
δεδομένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[already] given	ΔΕΔΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>gave</i>
τό δυνατόν τὰ δυνατά	what is possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΝ <i>power</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
μερίζειν	to divide	ΜΕΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>part</i>
μεριζέσθωσαν	let them divide	<i>3rd person plural present imperative</i>
σκοπεῖν	to consider	ΣΚΟΠΕΙΝ <i>looking</i>
σκοπούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	while making considerations	ΣΚΟΠΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>looking</i>
τό ἐνάγισμα τὰ ἐναγίσματα	memorial rites	ΕΝΑΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of action of consecrating in</i>
ὁ πατήρ οἱ πατέρες	father	ΠΑΤΗΡ <i>father</i>
Μητροδωρος	Metrodorus	ΜΗΤΡΟΔΩΡΟΣ <i>gift [to] mother</i>
ἡ μήτηρ αἱ μητέρες	mother	ΜΗΤΗΡ <i>mother</i>
ὁ ἀδελφός οἱ ἀδελφοί	brother	ΑΔΕΛΦΟΣ <i>womb together</i>
εἰθισμένος <i>(η, ον)</i>	accustomed	ΕΙΘΙΣΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accustomed action</i>
ἄγειν	to lead	ΑΓΕΙΝ <i>carrying / going</i>
ἄγεσθαι	to be lead [i.e., to be celebrated]	
τό γενέθλιον τὰ γενέθλια	birthday	ΓΕΝΕΘΛΙΟΝ <i>race / family</i>
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	ἩΜΕΡΑ <i>day</i>
τό ἔτος τά ἔτη	year	ΕΤΟΣ <i>year</i>
πρότερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	former [here, "earlier"]	ΠΡΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>before [contrast]</i>
δέκατος <i>(η, ον)</i>	tenth	ΔΕΚΑΤΟΣ <i>ten</i>
Γαμηλιών	Gamelion	ΓΑΜΗΛΙΩΝ <i>marriage</i>
<i>The Athenian month beginning the first new moon in January. It was the 7th month of the Attic calendar and associated with marriage rituals, especially festivals for Hera and Zeus.</i>		
γινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	produced	ΓΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>become</i>
ἡ σύνοδος αἱ σύνοδοι	gathering	ΣΥΝΟΔΟΣ <i>path together</i>
ὁ μῆν οἱ μῆνες	month	ΜΗΝ <i>moon</i>
εἴκοσι	20	ΕΙΚΟΣΙ <i>twenty</i>
οἱ συμφιλοσοφοῦντες	those who philosophize together	ΣΥΜΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΟΥΝΤΕΣ <i>loving knowledge together</i>
ἡ μνήμη αἱ μνήμαι	memory	ΜΝΗΜΗ <i>thinking</i>
κατατάττειν	to assign	ΚΑΤΑΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly ordering</i>

Συντελείτωσαν δὲ καὶ τὴν τῶν ἀδελφῶν ἡμέραν τοῦ Ποσειδεῶνος –
Συντελείτωσαν δὲ καὶ τὴν Πολυαίνου τοῦ Μεταγειτνίωνος – καθάπερ καὶ Ἡμεῖς

and let them altogether fulfill the day for my brothers during Poseideon –
and let them also altogether fulfill the one for Polyaeus during
Metageitnion – just like us

Epicurus seems to have already been celebrating yearly memorials for his brothers Neocles, Chairedemus, and Aristobuolus.

συντελεῖν	to altogether fulfill	ΣΥΝΤΕΛΕΙΝ completing together
ὁ ἀδελφός οἱ ἀδελφοί	brother	ΑΔΕΛΦΟΣ womb together
ἡ ἡμέρα αἱ ἡμέραι	day	ἩΜΕΡΑ day
Ποσειδεών	Poseideon	ΠΟΣΕΙΔΕΩΝ named in honor of Poseidon The Athenian month beginning the first new moon in December. It was the 6th month of the Attic calendar and was dedicated to Poseidon, featuring rural and seafaring festivals, especially the Poseideia, a celebration of fertility and the sea.
Πολύαινος	Polyaenus	ΠΟΛΥΑΙΝΟΣ much praise
Μεταγειτνίων	Metageitnion	ΜΕΤΑΓΕΙΤΝΙΩΝ "next to neighbor" cult title of the god Apollo The Athenian month beginning the first new moon in August. It was the 2nd month of the Attic calendar and associated with community and neighborly relations, especially honoring Apollo Metageitnios, patron of migration and social integration.

7. Metrodorus' Son (Epicurus) & Polyaeus' Son

Ἐπιμελείσθωσαν δὲ καὶ Ἀμυνόμαχος καὶ Τιμοκράτης τοῦ υἱοῦ τοῦ Μητροδώρου Ἐπικούρου – καὶ τοῦ υἱοῦ τοῦ Πολυαίνου, φιλοσοφούντων αὐτῶν καὶ συζώντων μεθ’ Ἑρμάρχου

Let Amunomachus and Timocrates also take care of the son of Metrodorus, Epicurus – and of the son of Polyaeus, since they are philosophizing and living with Hermarchus

ἐπιμελεῖσθαι	to take care of	ΕΠΙΜΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ caring upon
ὁ υἱός οἱ υἱοί	son	ΥΙΟΣ offspring
Ἐπίκουρος	Epicurus	ΕΠΙΚΟΥΡΟΣ running toward [to assist]
φιλοσοφῶν (οὔσα, οὔν)	philosophizing	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΩΝ loving knowledge
συζῆν	to live with	ΣΥΖΗΝ breathing together
συζῶν (ῶσα, ῶν)	living with	
Ἑρμαρχος	Hermarchus	ἙΡΜΑΡΧΟΣ rule of Hermes

ὥσαύτως δὲ, τῆς θυγατρὸς τῆς Μητροδώρου τὴν ἐπιμέλειαν Ποιείσθωσαν: καὶ εἰς ἡλικίαν Ἐλθοῦσαν – Ἐκδότησαν ᾧ ἂν Ἑρμαρχος ἔλῃται τῶν φιλοσοφούντων μετ’ αὐτοῦ – οὔσης αὐτῆς εὐτάκτου καὶ πειθαρχούσης Ἑρμάρχῳ

likewise, let them take care of the daughter of Metrodorus: and when she has come to age – let them give her in marriage to whomever Hermarchus may choose of those philosophizing with him – with her being well-behaved and obedient to Hermarchus

Presumably either Amunomachus or Timocrates would have to give the legal consent for the marriage of Metrodorus' daughter, but Epicurus wanted Hermarchus to actually make the choice.

ὥσαύτως	likewise	ὨΣΑΥΤΩΣ <i>as self</i>
ἡ θυγάτηρ αἱ θυγατέρες	daughter	ΘΥΓΑΤΗΡ <i>maid</i>
μελετᾶν	to study	ΜΕΛΕΤΑΝ <i>caring</i>
ἡ ἐπιμέλεια αἱ ἐπιμέλειαί	care	ΕΠΙΜΕΛΕΙΑ <i>caring upon</i>
ποιεῖσθαι	to be made [here, "to take"]	ΠΟΙΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>gathering</i>
ἡ ἡλικία αἱ ἡλικίαι	age <i>(stage of life)</i>	ἩΛΙΚΙΑ <i>comrade</i>
ἔρχεσθαι	to come / go [in general]	ΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>
τὸ ἀπελθόν τὰ ἀπελθόντα	what went away	ΑΠΕΛΘΟΝ <i>went away</i>
ἐπελθών <i>(οὔσα, όν)</i>	having occurred	ΕΠΕΛΘΩΝ <i>went upon</i>
ἐλθών <i>(ἐλθοῦσα, ἐλθόν)</i>	having come	ΕΛΘΩΝ <i>went</i>
διδόναι	to give	ΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving</i>
ἐκδιδόναι	to give in marriage	ΕΚΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving out</i>
αἰρεῖσθαι	to choose for oneself	ΑἲΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>taking</i>
ἔλῃται	he may choose	ἘΛΗΤΑΙ <i>took</i> <i>aorist middle subjunctive</i> <i>3rd person singular</i>
εὖτακτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	well-behaved	ΕΥΤΑΚΤΟΣ <i>well behaved</i>
Ἑρμαρχος	Hermarchus	ἙΡΜΑΡΧΟΣ <i>rule of Hermes</i>
πειθαρχῶν <i>(οὔσα, οὔν)</i>	being obedient	ΠΕΙΘΑΡΧΩΝ <i>persuaded by rule</i>

9. Support for the Children

διδότῳσαν δ’ Ἀμυνόμαχος καὶ Τιμοκράτης, ἐκ τῶν ὑπαρχουσῶν ἡμῖν προσόδων, εἰς τροφήν τούτοις, ὃ τι ἂν αὐτοῖς κατ’ ἐνιαυτὸν ἐπιδέχεσθαι δοκῇ – σκοπούμενοις μεθ’ Ἑρμάρχου

let Amunomachus and Timocrates give, from the revenues already existing for myself, for the nourishment of these [children], whatever may seem right to be received by them each year – while making considerations with Hermarchus

διδόναι	to give	ΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving</i>
---------	---------	--------------------------

ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	existing [already]	ΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
ἡ πρόσδοσις αἱ προσόδοι	addition [here, "revenue"]	ΠΡΟΣΟΔΟΣ <i>path toward</i>
ἡ τροφή αἱ τροφαί	nourishment	ΤΡΟΦΗ <i>food</i>
ὁ ἐνιαυτός οἱ ἐνιαυτοί	year	ΕΝΙΑΥΤΟΣ <i>year passing</i> <i>[over to next]</i>
ἐπιδέχσθαι	to receive	ΕΠΙΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting upon</i>
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
σκοπούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	while making considerations	ΣΚΟΠΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>looking</i>

10. Hermarchus selected as Hegemon

Ποιείσθωσαν δέ, μεθ’ ἑαυτῶν, καὶ Ἑρμαρχὸν κύριον τῶν προσόδων – ἵνα μετὰ τοῦ συγκαταγεγηρακότος ἡμῖν ἐν φιλοσοφίᾳ καὶ καταλελειμμένου ἡγεμόνος τῶν συμφιλοσοφούντων ἡμῖν Ἑκαστα γίνηται

Let them make, along with themselves, Hermarchus the principle of the revenues as well – so that each thing may come about with the one who has fully grown old together with myself in philosophy and who has been left undisputed as the guide of those who philosophize together with myself

ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
κύριος <i>(α, ον)</i>	principle	ΚΥΡΙΟΣ <i>critical / valid</i>
ὁ κύριος οἱ κύριοι	principle	
ἡ πρόσδοσις αἱ προσόδοι	addition [here, "revenue"]	ΠΡΟΣΟΔΟΣ <i>path toward</i>
ὁ συγκαταγεγηρακῶς οἱ συγκαταγεγηρακοῦτες	he who has fully grown old together	ΣΥΓΚΑΤΑΓΕΓΗΡΑΚΩΣ <i>fully having grown old</i> <i>together</i>
ἡ φιλοσοφία	philosophy	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΙΑ <i>loving knowledge</i>
καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly leave</i>
ὁ ἡγεμὼν οἱ ἡγεμόνες	guide / hēgemón	ἩΓΕΜΩΝ <i>one who is leading</i>
οἱ συμφιλοσοφοῦντες	those who philosophize together	ΣΥΜΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΟΥΝΤΕΣ <i>loving knowledge</i> <i>together</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>

11. Dowry for Metrodorus' Daughter

τὴν δὲ προῖκα τῷ θήλει παιδίῳ, ἐπειδὴν εἰς ἡλικίαν ἔλθῃ, μερισάτωσαν Ἀμυνόμαχος καὶ Τιμοκράτης – ὅσον ἂν ἐπιδέχεται ἀπὸ τῶν ὑπαρχόντων ἀφαιροῦντες ἢ μετὰ τῆς Ἑρμάρχου γνώμης

let Amunomachus and Timocrates divide the dowry for the female child, whenever she comes of age – with them removing as much as may be received from the [revenues] that exist ᾗ in accordance with the discernment of Hermarchus

ἡ προίξ αἱ προίκες	dowry	ΠΡΟΙΞ <i>[giving] before</i>
-------------------------------------	-------	--

θηλυς <i>(εία, υ)</i>	female	ΘΗΛΥΣ <i>breast</i>
ή παιδεία αί παιδεΐαι	education	ΠΑΙΔΕΙΑ <i>child</i>
τὸ παιδίον τὰ παιδιά	child	ΠΑΙΔΙΟΝ <i>child</i>
ή ηλικία αί ηλικίαι	age <i>(stage of life)</i>	ἩΛΙΚΙΑ <i>comrade</i>
ἔρχεσθαι	to come / go <i>[in general]</i>	ΕΡΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>
τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
μερίζειν	to divide	ΜΕΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>part</i>
ἐπιδέχεσθαι	to receive	ΕΠΙΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting upon</i>
ὑπάρχειν	to exist	ὙΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
ἀφαιρεῖν	to remove	ΑΦΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping away</i>
γνωστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	known	ΓΝΩΣΤΟΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ή γνώμη αί γνώμαι	discernment	ΓΝΩΜΗ <i>distinguishing</i>
ή ἐπικράτεια αί ἐπικρατεΐαι	prevailing opinion	ΕΠΙΚΡΑΤΕΙΑ <i>power upon</i>
ή δόξα αί δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>

12. Nicanor and the rest
(Reciprocal Support)

Ἐπιμελείσθωσαν δὲ καὶ **Νικάνορος**, καθάπερ καὶ Ἡμεῖς, **ἴν’** Ὅσοι **τῶν** **συμφιλοσοφούντων** **ἡμῖν** – **χρεῖαν** **έν** **τοῖς** **ίδίοις** **παρεσχημένοι** καὶ **τήν** **πᾶσαν** **οἰκειότητα** **έν** **δεδειγμένοι**, **συγκαταγηράσκειν** **μεθ’** **ἡμῶν** **προείλοντο** **έν** **φιλοσοφίᾳ** – **μηδενός** **τῶν** **ἀναγκαίων** **έν** **δεεῖς** **καθεστήκωσιν**, **παρά** **τήν** **ἡμετέραν** **δύναμιν**

Let them also take care of Nicanor, just as I [do], in order that all those of the ones philosophizing along with myself – who have offered [their] use in private matters and have shown full compatibility, and have chosen to fully grow old together with myself in philosophy – may be established as lacking in nothing of the necessities, to the extent of our power

ἐπιμελείσθαι	to take care of	ΕΠΙΜΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>caring upon</i>
Νικάνορ	Nicanor	ΝΙΚΑΝΟΡ <i>man of victory</i>
ή χρεία αί χρεΐαι	use	ΧΡΕΙΑ <i>necessary</i>
ἴδιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
παρέχειν	to yield <i>[here, "to offer"]</i>	ΠΑΡΕΧΕΙΝ <i>hold beside</i>
παρεσχημένος	having offered	ΠΑΡΕΣΧΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>hold beside</i>
ή οἰκονομία αί οἰκονομίαι	household management	ΟΙΚΟΝΟΜΙΑ <i>managing</i> <i>the home</i>
οἰκεῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	compatible	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΣ <i>appropriate</i>
ή οἰκειότης αί οἰκειότητες	compatibility	ΟΙΚΕΙΟΤΗΣ <i>appropriate</i>
ένδεικνυσθαι	to be indicated in	ΕΝΔΕΙΚΝΥΣΘΑΙ <i>showing in</i>

συγκαταγῆράσκειν	to fully grow old together	ΣΥΓΚΑΤΑΓΗΡΑΣΚΕΙΝ <i>fully growing old together</i>
ἐξαιρεῖσθαι	to be removed from	ΕΞΑΙΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>grasping out</i>
προαιρεῖν	to bring forth	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping before</i>
προαιρεῖσθαι	to choose [for oneself]	ΠΡΟΑΙΡΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>grasping before</i>
οὐθείς = οὐδεῖς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one [factual]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
μηδείς <i>(μηδεμία, μηδέν)</i>	no one [modal]	ΜΗΔΕΙΣ <i>not one</i>
ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
τὸ ἀναγκαῖον τὰ ἀναγκαῖα	what is necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΝ <i>necessity</i>
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
ἡ ἔνδεια αἱ ἔνδειαί	lack	ΕΝΔΕΙΑ <i>deficiency</i>
ἐνδεής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	lacking	ΕΝΔΕΗΣ <i>deficiency</i>
καθιστάναι	to establish	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly standing</i>
ἡ δύναμις αἱ δυνάμεις	force [here, "power"]	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>

13. Library given to Hermarchus

Δοῦναι δὲ τὰ βιβλία τὰ ὑπάρχοντα ἡμῖν, πάντα, Ἑρμάρχῳ

[and Amunomachus and Timocrates are] to give the books that already exist with us, all of them, to Hermarchus

διδόναι	to give	ΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving</i>
τὸ βιβλίον τὰ βιβλία	book	ΒΙΒΛΙΟΝ <i>strip of papyrus</i>
ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	existing [already]	ΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under</i>
Ἑρμαρχος	Hermarchus	ἙΡΜΑΡΧΟΣ <i>rule of Hermes</i>

14. Human Contingency Clause

ἐὰν δέ τι τῶν ἀνθρωπίνων περὶ Ἑρμαρχον γένηται πρὸ τοῦ τὰ Μητροδώρου παιδιά εἰς ἡλικίαν ἔλθῃν, δοῦναι Ἀμυνόμαχον καὶ Τιμοκράτην ὅπως ἂν (εὐτακτούντων αὐτῶν) ἑκάστα γίνηται τῶν ἀναγκάων, κατὰ τὸ δυνατόν, ἀπὸ τῶν καταλελειμμένων ὑφ’ ἡμῶν προσόδων

and if something among what is human happens concerning Hermarchus before the children of Metrodorus come of age, let Amunomachus and Timocrates provide so that (while they behave well) each of the necessary things may be produced, as far as possible, from the revenues left remaining by myself

ἀνθρώπινος <i>(η, ον)</i>	human (adj.)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΙΝΟΣ <i>man face</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
Μητρόδωρος	Metrodorus	ΜΗΤΡΟΔΩΡΟΣ <i>gift [to] mother</i>

τὸ παιδίον τὰ παιδία	child	ΠΑΙΔΙΟΝ <i>child</i>
ἡ ἡλικία αἱ ἡλικίαι	age <i>(stage of life)</i>	ἩΛΙΚΙΑ <i>comrade</i>
ἐλθεῖν	to come / go [once, completed]	ΕΛΘΕΙΝ <i>moved</i>
διδόναι	to give	ΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving</i>
δοῦναι	to give [already]	ΔΟΥΝΑΙ <i>gave</i>
εὐτακτεῖν	to behave well	ΕΥΤΑΚΤΕΙΝ <i>arranging well</i>
ἀναγκαῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	necessary	ΑΝΑΓΚΑΙΟΣ <i>necessity</i>
δυνατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	able / possible	ΔΥΝΑΤΟΣ <i>power</i>
καταλείπειν	to leave remaining/undisputed	ΚΑΤΑΛΕΙΠΕΙΝ <i>thoroughly leave</i>
ἡ πρόσοδος αἱ προσόδοι	addition [here, "revenue"]	ΠΡΟΣΟΔΟΣ <i>path toward</i>


15. Freeing Slaves

καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν ἀπάντων ὥς συντετάχαμεν Ἐπιμελείσθωσαν – ὅπως, ἂν, κατὰ τὸ ἐνδεχόμενον, Ἔκαστα γίννηται: Ἀφήμι δὲ τῶν παίδων ἐλεύθερον Μῦν, Νικίαν, Λύκωνα – Ἀφήμι δὲ καὶ Φαίδριον ἐλευθερίᾳ

Let them also take care of all the remaining things just as we have also ordered – so that, as far as is possible, each thing may come to be: of the slaves, I release Mys, Nicias, and Lycon as free – I also release Phaidrion into freedom

λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining [rest of + gen]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
συντάττειν	to also order	ΣΥΝΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering together</i>
ἐπιμελεῖσθαι	to take care of	ΕΠΙΜΕΛΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>caring upon</i>
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἀφιέναι	to emit [here, "to release"]	ΑΦΙΕΝΑΙ <i>send away</i>
ὁ παῖς οἱ παῖδες	child / slave	ΠΑΙΣ <i>son</i>
ἐλεύθερος <i>(α, ον)</i>	free	ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΟΣ <i>from a tribe</i> <i>(with the rights and responsibilities of a free man)</i>
ἡ ἐλευθερία αἱ ἐλευθερίαι	freedom	ΕΛΕΥΘΕΡΙΑ
Νικάνορ	Nicanor	ΝΙΚΑΝΟΡ <i>man of victory</i>
Νικίας	Nicias	ΝΙΚΙΑΣ <i>victorious one</i>
Λύκων	Lýkōn	ΛΥΚΩΝ <i>wolf</i>
Φαίδρα	Pháidra	ΦΑΙΔΡΑ <i>shining</i>
Φαίδριον	Phaídriον <i>"little Pháidra"</i>	ΦΑΙΔΡΙΟΣ
ὁ μῦς οἱ μῦες	mouse	ΜΥΣ <i>mouse</i>

Μυσοί	Mysians	ΜΥΣΟΙ Mysian
<i>The inhabitants of Mysia, a region in northwestern Asia Minor</i>		
Μῦς / Μῦς	Mys	ΜΥΣ / ΜΥΣ <i>mouse</i> / Mysian



[U218]

Stone Dedications prepared for Tomb

Aelian (Claudius Aelianus, fl. 215 ^{CE}), fragment 39
The Suda, Epicurus (epsilon-2405)

Aelian was a Roman Classicist and Naturalist. The Suda preserves some of his work.

οὕτω, δὲ ἄρα, ἦν ἡδονῆς ἦττων ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ὥστε διὰ τῶν ἐσχάτῳν ἐν ταῖς διαθήκαις αὐτοῦ ἔγραψε τῷ μὲν πατρὶ καὶ τῇ μητρὶ καὶ τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς ἐναγίζειν ἅπας τοῦ ἔτους – καὶ Μητροδώρῳ δὲ καὶ Πολυαίνῳ, τοῖς προειρημένοις – ἑαυτῷ δὲ δισσῶς (ὕπὸ τῆς ἀσωτίας, τὸ πλέον προτιμῶν καὶ ἐνταῦθα ὁ Σοφός) καὶ τραπέζας λίθου πεποιῆσθαι ὡς ἀναθήματά τε ἐν τῷ τάφῳ Προσέταξε τεθῆναι – ὁ προτένθης τε καὶ ὀψοφάτος οὗτος

in this way, then, Epicurus was inferior to pleasure that, during his final [days], in his will he wrote to perform rites once a year to his father and mother and brothers – and also to Metrodorus and Polyaeus, [both] aforementioned – and for himself twice (due to degeneracy, the wise man even in this respect prefers the greater amount) and he ordered that tables of stone be made as dedications to be placed in his tomb – this man who was both pre-buried and a delicacy-eater

Aelian presumably calls Epicurus "pre-buried" because he prepared his own tomb.

τό ἐνάγισμα τὰ ἐναγίσματα	memorial rites	ΕΝΑΓΙΣΜΑ <i>result of action of consecrating in</i>
ἐναγίζειν	to perform rites	ΕΝΑΓΙΖΕΙΝ <i>action of consecrating in</i>
ὁ ἄσωτος οἱ ἄσωτοι	degenerate	ΑΣΩΤΟΣ <i>not saving</i>

ἡ ἀσωτία αἱ ἀσωτίαι	degeneracy	ΑΣΩΤΙΑ <i>not saving</i>
τὸ ὄψον τὰ ὄψα <i>anything cooked and eaten with bread (particularly fish)</i>	delicacy	ΟΨΟΝ <i>sauce</i>
ὁ ὀψοφάτος	delicacy eater	ΟΨΟΦΑΤΟΣ <i>eating sauce</i>

Gatherings of the Good and Useful

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), An Recte Dictum Sit Latenter Esse Vivendum, 1129A

"μηδὲ Διάπεμπε βίβλους πᾶσι καὶ πάσαις ἐπιδεικνύμενος τὴν σοφίαν – "μηδὲ Διατάσσου περὶ ταφῆς!"

"Do not to send out books to every man and to every woman while you advertise your wisdom!" – "Do not to leave instructions about [your] burial!"

τί γὰρ αἱ κοιναὶ Τράπεζαι; τί δ’ αἱ τῶν ἐπιτηδείων καὶ καλῶν Σύνοδοι;

what are the public Tables for? what are those Gatherings of the useful and of the good [people] for?

Prologues to Philosophy

¹ Wisdom and the Sage	U219 - U226
² The Arts	U227 - U230
³ Philosophers	U231 - U241

The Standard

¹ On Judgment	U245
² Sensation	U246 - U254
³ On Representations and Words	U255 - U259
⁴ The Feelings	U260 - U261
⁵ Signs	U262 - U263
⁶ On Disputation	U264 - U265

Natural Science

¹ Fundamentally Unchanging	
² The Atoms	U267 - U270
³ The Void	U271 - U274
⁴ Bodies and their Attributes	U275
⁵ Motion	U276 - U280
⁶ Atomic Swerve	U281
⁷ Aggregation and Dissolution	U282 - U287
⁸ Qualities	U288 - U289
⁹ Mixture	U290
¹⁰ Change	U291 - U292
¹¹ Magnetism	U293 - U294
¹² The Universe and its World-Systems	U295 - U308
¹³ Planets	U309
¹⁴ Man	U310
¹⁵ Soul	U311 - U315
¹⁶ Temperaments	U316
¹⁷ Sensation	U317
¹⁸ Vision	U318 - U319
¹⁹ Mirrors	U320
²⁰ Hearing	U321 - U323
²¹ Taste	U324
²² Sleep and Dreams	U325 - U328
²³ Reproduction	U329 - U333
²⁴ Origin of Human Beings	
²⁵ Linguistics	U334 - U335
²⁶ Death	U336 - U341
²⁷ Celestial Phenomena	U342 - U351
²⁸ Gods	U352 - U353
²⁹ Gods (<i>Nature and Form</i>)	U354 - U359
³⁰ Gods (<i>Blessed Life</i>)	U360 - U366
³¹ Care and Governance of the World	U367 - U383
³² Religion	U384 - U394
³³ Divination	U395

Ethics

¹ Chief Good and Evil	U398 - U407
² Kinetic Pleasure	U408 - U415
³ Katastematic Pleasure	U416 - U428
⁴ Peace of Mind	U428 - U439
⁵ Proper Measurement of Pleasure and Pain	U440 - U449
⁶ Against the School of Aristippos	U450 - U453
⁷ Limits of Desires	U454 - U484
⁸ Rational Living	U485 - U503
⁹ Virtues	U504 - U522
¹⁰ Human Society	U523 - U535
¹¹ Security Among Men	U536 - U547
¹² Honor and Glory	U548 - U560

The Sage

¹ Studies of the Sage	U561 - U571
² Duties	U572 - U578
³ Attitude of the Sage	U579 - U607

PROLOGUES

1. Wisdom & the Sage

[U219]

1. Philosophy is Active Reasoning (*based on Established Reasoning*)

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), *Against the Ethicists*, 169

ἐπαγγέλλονται γὰρ "τέχνην" τινὰ περὶ τὸν βίον παραδώσειν – καὶ διὰ τοῦτο Ἐπίκουρος μὲν ἔλεγε "τὴν φιλοσοφίαν ἐνέργειαν εἶναι λόγοις καὶ διαλογισμοῖς τὸν εὐδαίμονα βίον περιποιοῦσαν"

[the Dogmatists] claim to hand down a certain "art" concerning life – and for this reason Epicurus used to say that "philosophy is activity that fully produces the good-spirited lifestyle by [established] reasonings and by thorough [active] reasonings"

τὸ ἐνέργημα τὰ ἐνεργήματα	an activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΗΜΑ result of working within
ἡ ἐνέργεια αἱ ἐνέργειαι	activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΕΙΑ working within
ἡ εὐδαιμονία αἱ εὐδαιμονίαι	wellbeing	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΟΝΙΑ good spirit
εὐδαίμων (ων, ον)	good-spirited	ΕΥΔΑΙΜΩΝ good spirit
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]
ὁ διαλογισμός οἱ διαλογισμοί	[active] thorough reasoning	ΔΙΑΛΟΓΙΣΜΟΣ reasoning through action
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ life

[U220]

2. Authentic Pursuit of Wisdom

Vatican Saying 54, attributed in Epicurus in:
PARALLELA sacra et profana, codex Florentinus A 14, folio 156,
(page 761 in the edition of Thomas Gaisford), &
GNOMOLOGION Parisinum, Bibliothèque nationale de France
(codex Parisinus graecus 1168, 12th century, folio 115 recto.), &
MAXIMUS Gnomologium, section 17, page 183 in the Zurich edition (Turici),
(volume 2, page 587 in the edition of François Combefis)

"οὐ προσποιεῖσθαι Δεῖ φιλοσοφεῖν – ἀλλ' ὄντως φιλοσοφεῖν: οὐ γὰρ Προσδεόμεθα τοῦ δοκεῖν ὑγιαίνειν – ἀλλὰ τοῦ κατ' ἀλήθειαν ὑγιαίνειν"

"It is necessary to not pretend to philosophize – but to really philosophize: for we are not also in need of seeming to be healthy – but of being healthy in truth"

ποιεῖν	to make	ΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering</i>
προσποιεῖν	to attach to	ΠΡΟΣΠΟΙΕΙΝ <i>gathering in addition</i>
προσποιεῖσθαι	to pretend	ΠΡΟΣΠΟΙΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>gathering in addition</i>
φιλοσοφεῖν	to philosophize	ΦΙΛΟΣΟΦΕΙΝ <i>loving knowledge</i>
ὄντως	really	ΟΝΤΩΣ <i>existing</i>
δεῖν	to be necessary	ΔΕΙΝ <i>needing</i>
δεῖσθαι	to need	ΔΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>needing</i>
προσδεῖσθαι	to also be in need [of]	ΠΡΟΣΔΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>needing in addition to</i>
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
ὑγιαίνειν	to be healthy	ΥΓΙΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>making good life</i>
ὁ Φιλαληθέστατος	most truth-loving man	ΦΙΛΑΛΗΘΕΣΤΑΤΟΣ <i>most loving what is not hidden</i>
τᾰληθές = τὸ ἀληθές τὰ ἀληθῆ	what is true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not hidden</i>
ἡ ἀλήθεια αἱ ἀλήθειαι	truth	ΑΛΗΘΕΙΑ <i>not hidden</i>

[U221]

3. Removing the Pain of the Soul

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), Letter to Marcella, 31.479-483, interpreted as quoting Epicurus

"**κενὸς** ἐκείνου φιλοσόφου λόγος ὑφ’ οὔ **μηδέν** Πάθος ἀνθρώπου θεραπεύεται: ὥσπερ γὰρ **ιατρικῆς οὐδέν** Ὅφελος εἰ **μὴ τὰς νόσους τῶν σωμάτων** θεραπεύει – οὕτως οὐδὲ **φιλοσοφίας εἰ μὴ τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς ἐκβάλλει πάθος**"

"empty is the reasoning of that philosopher by whom no [painful] feeling of man is cured: for just as there is no benefit from medicine if it does not cure the illnesses of bodies – neither is there from philosophy if it does not throw out the [painful] feeling of the soul"

κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ἐκεῖνος <i>(ἐκεῖνη, ἐκεῖνο)</i>	that	ΕΚΕΙΝΟΣ <i>that there</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]</i>
μηδεῖς <i>(μηδεμία, μηδέν)</i>	no one [modal]	ΜΗΔΕΙΣ <i>not one</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[n] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
ὁ ἄνθρωπος οἱ ἄνθρωποι	person (human)	ΑΝΘΡΩΠΟΣ <i>man face</i>
θεραπεύειν	to cure	ΘΕΡΑΠΕΥΕΙΝ <i>assisting</i>
ἡ ἱατρεία αἱ ἱατρεῖαι	healing	ΙΑΤΡΕΙΑ <i>curing</i>
ὁ ἱατρός οἱ ἱατροί	doctor	ΙΑΤΡΟΣ <i>curing</i>

ἡ ιατρική αἱ ιατρικαί	medicine	ΙΑΤΡΙΚΗ <i>characteristic of curing</i>
τὸ ὄφελος τὰ ὀφέλη	benefit	ΟΦΕΛΟΣ <i>helping</i>
ἡ νόσος αἱ νόσοι	illness	ΝΟΣΟΣ <i>disease</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
ἡ ψυχή αἱ ψυχαί	soul	ΨΥΧΗ <i>blowing</i>
ἐκβάλλειν	to extend	ΕΚΒΑΛΛΕΙΝ <i>throwing out</i>

[U222]

4. Total Certainty

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 19, 1117F

Ἐν γάρ ἐστι **τῶν** Ἐπικούρου **δογμάτων** τὸ "**μηδέν** ἀμεταπείστως **πεπεῖσθαι**
Μηδένα – **πλὴν** τὸν σοφόν"

One **of the doctrines** of Epikouros is that "**No one** is **unchangeably** **convinced**
of anything – except the wise man"

[U222a]

5. Total Stability

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.117

τὸν ἅπαξ **γενόμενον** **σοφόν** – **μηκέτι** **τὴν** **ἐναντίαν** **λαμβάνειν** **διάθεσιν** **μηδὲ**
πλάττειν, **ἐκόντα**

[*Epicurus says that*] **the one who has once** **become** **wise** – **no** longer **acquires**
the opposing arrangement nor **pretends to**, **while** willing

ἅπαξ	once	ἌΠΑΞ <i>one all one</i>
σοφός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	wise	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
ἐναντίος <i>(α, ον)</i>	opposing	ΕΝΑΝΤΙΟΣ <i>in against</i>
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
ἡ διάθεσις αἱ διαθέσεις	arrangement	ΔΙΑΘΕΣΙΣ <i>process of placing through</i>
πλάττειν	to mold [here, "to pretend"]	ΠΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>forming</i>
ἐκών <i>(έκοῦσα, έκόν)</i>	while willing	ἘΚΩΝ <i>holding</i>

[U223]

6. Effort of Sticking to Sensation

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Academica, Part 2 (Lucullus), 14.45

sēd Prōgrediāmur **longius** et **ita** Agāmus ut **nihil** **nōbīs** **adsentātī** **esse** Videāmur –
quaeque **ab iīs dīcuntur** **sīc** Persequāmur ut **nihil** **in praeterītīs** Relinquāmus

but let us advance **farther** and proceed **in such a way** that we seem to have flattered **nothing ourselves** – and let us pursue **the things that are said by them in such a way** that we leave **nothing** behind in the earlier [arguments]

primum, igitur, Perspicuitās illa, **quam dīximus, satis magnam** habet **vim** – ut **Ipsa, per sēse, ea quae sint nōbīs** ita **ut sint** indicet

first, then, that Perspicuity [*i.e., clarity of the senses*], **which we spoke of**, has **sufficiently great force** – so that it itself, **by itself**, reveals **to us things that are as they are**

sēd tamen, ut Maneāmus in perspicuīs firmitus et cōstantius – maiōre quādam opus est, vel arte vel dīligentiā: nē (ab iīs quae clāra sint ipsa, per sēse) quasi praestīgiīs quibusdam et captiōnibus Dēpellāmur

nevertheless, so that we can remain **more firmly** and **more steadily** in what is perspicuous [*i.e., clear to the senses*] – there is **need** for something **greater**, either **in skill** or **diligence**: **so that** we **are not** driven away (from the things **which are clear in themselves, by themselves**) as if by certain illusions and traps

nam quī voluit subvenīre errōribus Epicūrus (iīs Quī videntur conturbāre vērī cognitionem) dīxitque sapientis esse opiniōnem ā perspicuitāte seiungere, nihil prōfēcit – ipsīus enim opiniōnis errōrem nullō modō sustulit

for Epicurus, who wanted to come to the aid **of errors** (those which seem to disturb **the understanding of truth**) and who said that it is [*the quality*] of a **wise person to separate opinion** from perspicuity [*i.e., clarity of the senses*], accomplished **nothing further** – for he **in no way** removed **the error of opinion** itself

Clarity of the senses [including mental perception] is powerful enough to reveal things as they truly are – but we must continue using skill and diligence to stay rooted in sensory truth, so that we are not misled by illusions or opinions.

[U224]

7. Belief (The Sacred Illness)

Fragment 195 from the Florilegium Monacense

(which is contained in manuscript Codex graecus 8 of the Bayerische Staatsbibliothek in Munich)

ὁ αὐτὸς [Ἐπίκουρος] τὴν οἴησιν "ἱερὰν νόσον" ἔλεγεν

[Epicurus] himself called **belief "the sacred illness"**

οἰόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	suspecting [or, "believing"]	ΟΙΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>perceiving</i>
ἡ οἴησις αἱ οἰήσεις	belief	ΟΙΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving</i>
ἱερός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	sacred	ἹΕΡΟΣ <i>holy</i>
ἡ νόσος αἱ νόσοι	illness	ΝΟΣΟΣ <i>disease</i>

[U225]

8. Only the Wise Know the Wise

Aëtius (fl. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.9:20

Ἐπίκουρος σοφῶ μόνως τὸν σοφὸν [καταληπτὸν εἶναι]

ὁ σοφός	wise man	ΣΟΦΟΣ <i>knowledge</i>
---------	----------	---------------------------

[U226]

9. No Philosophy for Non-Greeks

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellenies, I.15 [p. 130.37 Sylb]:

ὁ δὲ Ἐπίκουρος, ἔμπαλιν, ὑπολαμβάνει μόνους φιλοσοφῆσαι Ἕλληνας δύνασθα

Epicurus, on the contrary, supposes that only Greeks are able to philosophize

2. The Arts

1. Opposition to the Professions

[U227]

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Grammarians, 1-3

τὴν πρὸς τοὺς ἀπὸ τῶν μαθημάτων ἀντίρρῃσιν κοινότερον μὲν διατεθεῖσθαι δοκοῦσιν Οἷ τε περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον καὶ Οἱ ἀπὸ τοῦ Πύρρωνος – οὐκ ἀπὸ τῆς αὐτῆς δέ διαθέσεως

both those around Epicurus and those around Pyrrho seem more commonly inclined to the opposition toward those from the professions – but not from the same standpoint

ἀλλ’ Οἱ μὲν περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον ὡς τῶν μαθημάτων μηδέν συνεργούντων πρὸς σοφίας τελείωσιν – ἢ (ὥς Τινες εἰκάζουσι) τοῦτο προκάλυμμα τῆς ἑαυτῶν ἀταιδευσίας εἶναι Νομίζοντες (ἐν πολλοῖς γὰρ ἀμαθῆς Ἐπίκουρος ἐλέγχεται – οὐδὲ ἐν ταῖς κοιναῖς ὁμιλίαις καθαρεύων)

Those around Epicurus [*oppose the professions, on the grounds*] that the professions contribute nothing to the perfection of wisdom – or (as some conjecture) thinking this is a cover for their own lack of education (for in many areas Epicurus is refuted as ignorant – not even speaking correctly in common conversations)

τάχα δέ καὶ διὰ τὴν πρὸς τοὺς περὶ Πλάτωνα καὶ Ἀριστοτέλη καὶ τοὺς ὁμοίους δυσμένειαν – πολυμαθεῖς γεγονότας

perhaps [*Epicurus made a case against professors*] also because of his hostility towards those around Plato and Aristotle and their like – who were polymaths

ὁ μαθηματικός οἱ μαθηματικοί	professor	ΜΑΘΗΜΑΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of the result of learning</i>
τὸ μάθημα τὰ μαθήματα	profession	ΜΑΘΗΜΑ <i>the result of learning</i>
ἡ ὁμιλία αἱ ὁμιλῖαι	association [here, "conversation"]	ὍΜΙΛΙΑ <i>company</i>

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), De Finibus, 2.4.12

quod vestrī, quidem, **vēl optimē** disputant **nihil opus** esse **eum philosophum** quī futūrus sit **scīre litterās**

because [the members] of your [Epicurean school], indeed, argue quite well that there is no need for him who is going to be a philosopher to know literature

3. Stuck in Cultural Fictions from Childhood

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), De Finibus, 1.21, 71–72 (Torquatus to Cicero about Epicurus)

Quī quod tibi parum vidētur eruditus, eā causā est: quod nullam eruditiōnem esse dūxit nisi quae beātae vitae disciplinam iūvāret – an Ille tempus aut in poētīs evolvendīs, cōsumeret... ..in quibus nulla solidā Ūilitās, omnisque puerilis est Dēlectātiō?

because he who seems to you insufficiently educated, is so for this reason: because he considered no education to exist except that which aided the discipline of the blessed life – should he waste time in the poets being rolled through... ..in which there is no solid usefulness, and all the amusement is childish?

aut sē, ut Platō, in mūsicīs, geōmetriā, numerīs, astrīs contereret – quae, et ā falsīs initiīs profecta, vērā esse nōn possunt: et sī essent vēra – nihil afferrent quō iūcundius, id est, quō melius vīverēmus?

Or would he, like Plato, wear himself out in music, geometry, numbers, and astrology – which, having proceeded from false principles, cannot be true: and even if they were true – they would bring nothing by which [we might live] more pleasantly, that is, by which we might live better?

eās, ergō, artēs persequērētur, vivendi artem – tantam, tamque operōsam, et perinde fructuōsam relinqueret?

Was he, then, to pursue those arts and abandon the art of living – so great, and so laborious, and correspondingly so fruitful?

nōn, ergō, Epicūrus ineruditus – sed lī indoctī quī quae puerōs nōn didicisse turpe est ea putant – usque ad senectūtem esse discenda!

therefore, it is not Epicurus who is uneducated – but those people are unlearned who think that the things which it is shameful for boys not to have learned – must be studied all the way into old age!

[U227a]

4. Being Cultured is not needed for Philosophy

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.25.4 & 7

Lucius Lactantius was from North Africa and was appointed as a professor of Latin rhetoric in Nicomedia by Emperor Diocletian – but lost his position during Diocletian’s anti-Christian policies.

Later, Lactantius entered the circle of Constantine "the Great," serving as tutor to Constantine’s son Crispus and supporting Constantine’s pro-Christian policies in his writings.

Constantine presided over the Council of Nicaea (which defined core Christian beliefs), and a year later executed his own son Crispus (an act that further solidifies him as part of the Christian tradition of fathers sacrificing their sons).

Quid est aliud hominibus negāre sapientiam – quam mentibus eōrum vērum ac dīvinum lūmen auferre?

What else is it to deny wisdom to human beings – than to take away from their minds the true and divine light?

quod sī Nātūra hominis sapientiae capāx est: oportuit Opificēs et Rūsticōs et Mulierēs et Omnēs, dēnique, quī hūmānam formam gerunt docērī ut sapiant – populumque sapientem ex omnī linguā et condiōne et sexu et aetāte conflārī...

but if the human nature is capable of wisdom: then artisans and peasants and women and, finally, all who bear the human form ought to be taught so that they may become wise – and so that a wise public may be formed from every language, condition, sex and age...

...sēnsērunt hoc adeō Stōicī, quī et servīs et mulieribus philosophandum esse dīxērunt – Epicūrus quoque, quī rūdēs omnium litterārum ad philosophiam invitat...

...the Stoics sensed this to such a degree that they said philosophizing is necessary even for slaves and women – and Epicurus as well, who invites those untrained in all letters to philosophy...

5. Lactantius' Critique: A Full Education is Required

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 25.8 & 12

cōnātī quidem Illī sunt facere, quod vēritās exigēbat – sed nōn potuit ultrā verba prōcēdī. prīmum, quia multīs artibus opus est ut ad philosophiam Possit accēdī...

They did, indeed, attempt to do what truth demanded – but it was not possible to go beyond words: first, because there is need for many disciplines in order that one may be able to come to philosophy...

...ob eam causam Tullius ait abhorrēre ā multitūdine philosophiam. at, enim, rūdēs Epicūrus accipiet: quō modō, ergō, illa quae dē principiīs rērum dīcuntur intellegent – quae perplexa et involūta vix etiam polītī Hominēs assequuntur?

...Tullius* for that reason says that philosophy abhors the masses. but, indeed, Epicurus will accept the untrained: how, then, will they understand those things that are said about the principles of things – which are so perplexing and entangled that even refined men scarcely grasp [them]?

*Cicero express this view in Tusculanae Disputationes at 2.2.4.

in rēbus, igitur, obscuritāte implicātīs et ingeniōrum varietāte cōfūsīs et ēloquentium virōrum exquisitō sermōne fūcātīs – quis imperitō ac rūdī Locus est?

therefore, in matters entangled by obscurity, confused by the variety of intellects, and adorned with the elaborate speech of eloquent men – what place is there for the unskilled and untrained?

dēnique, nullās umquam mulierēs philosophārī docuērunt – praeter ūnam ex omnī memoriā: Themistēn

and finally, they never taught any women to philosophize – except one from all memory: Themista

[U227b]

6. Art is Defined by its Function

scholiast on Dionysius Thrax (fl. 130 BCE), The Art of Grammar
section 649, line 26 (Alfred Hilgard edition, page 108)

οἱ μὲν Ἐπικούρειοι οὕτως ὀρίζονται **τὴν τέχνην**: "Τέχνη ἐστὶ **μέθοδος ἐνεργοῦσα**
τῷ βίῳ τὸ συμφέρον" – "**ἐνεργοῦσα**" δὲ οἷον "**ἐργαζομένη**"

the Epicureans define **art** in this way: "an art is **an active pursuit in what mutually benefits life**" – and "**active in**" [*here means*] something like "**working on**"

Art is a working process that actively produces benefit in life.

Consider also Diogenes of Oenoanda (fr. 12 col. 2, 8–11)

"for needs and circumstances *over the course of time* produced *all* [*the arts*]"

"**πάσας** [**τὰς τέχνας**] γὰρ ἐγέννησαν αἱ Χρεῖαι καὶ Περιπτώσεις **μετὰ τοῦ χρόνου**"

Ἐπικούρειος <i>(α, ον)</i>	Epicurean	ΕΠΙΚΟΥΡΕΙΟΣ <i>running toward</i> <i>[to assist]</i>
ὀρίζειν	to set a limit	ὈΡΙΖΕΙΝ <i>separating</i>
ἡ τέχνη αἱ τέχναι	art	ΤΕΧΝΗ <i>technique</i>
ἡ πρόσσδος αἱ προσόδοι	addition	ΠΡΟΣΟΔΟΣ <i>path toward</i>
ἡ μέθοδος αἱ μέθοδοι	pursuit	ΜΕΘΟΔΟΣ <i>along path</i>
τὸ ἔργον τὰ ἔργα	task	ΕΡΓΟΝ <i>work</i>
τὸ ἐνέργημα τὰ ἐνεργήματα	an activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of</i> <i>working within</i>
ἡ ἐνέργεια αἱ ἐνεργεiai	activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΕΙΑ <i>working within</i>
ἐνεργεῖν	to be active in	ΕΝΕΡΓΕΙΝ <i>working within</i>
ὁ βίος οἱ βίοι	lifestyle / life	ΒΙΟΣ <i>life</i>
συμφέρειν	to be mutually beneficial	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing together</i>
τὸ συμφέρον τὰ συμφέροντα	mutual benefit	ΣΥΜΦΕΡΟΝ <i>bringing together</i>
ἐργάζεσθαι	to be working	ΕΡΓΑΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>work</i>

[U228]

7. The Rabble around Fairytales

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 2, 1086F

(Aristódēmos speaking about *Heracleides*, both characters in this dialogue)

This Heracleides is otherwise unknown but (given the context in this dialogue) may have been an Epicurean leader.

(There also was a *Heracleides Pontikós* (fl. c. 350 BCE), a pupil of Plato, playwright, and astronomer. He is mentioned in On Frank Criticism: "as *Epicurus maintains consistently both in his writings* Against Democritus and *Against Heracleides.*")

ὑπολαβὼν, οὖν Ἀριστόδημος "**Ἡρακλείδης**, μὲν οὖν" ἔφη "**γραμματικός ὢν, ἀντὶ 'τῆς ποιητικῆς τύρβης,**' ὡς ἐκεῖνοι λέγουσι, καὶ **'τῶν Ὀμήρου μωρολογημάτων'** ἀποτίνει **ταύτας Ἐπικούρω χάριτας** – ἢ ὅτι Μητρόδωρος, **ἐν γράμμασι τοσούτοις, τῷ ποιητῇ** λελοιδόρηκεν"

while responding, **then** Aristódēmos said "**Heracleides**, then, being a **grammarian**, in exchange for 'the poetic rabble,' as they say, and 'the foolish sayings of Homer' repays **these favors to Epicurus** – or because Metrodorus, in so many writings, rebuked **the poet**"

Aristodemus then subjoined: Heraclides, you know, is a great philologist; and that may be the reason why he made Epicurus those amends for 'the poetic din' (so that party style poetry) and for 'the fooleries of Homer,' or else, it may be, it was because Metrodorus had libelled that poet in so many books.

Metrodorus wrote criticisms of Homer – in doing so, he may have used the phrases "the poetic rabble (ἡ ποιητικὴ τύρβη)" and "the foolish sayings of Homer (τὰ Ὀμήρου μωρολογήματα)."

ποιητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive, [or] poetic	ΠΟΙΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of gathering</i>
ἡ τύρβη αἱ τύρβαι	rabble	ΤΥΡΒΗ <i>uproar</i>
ό λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]</i>
τò μωρολόγημα τὰ μωρολογήματα	foolish saying	ΜΩΡΟΛΟΓΗΜΑ <i>foolish reasoning</i>

8. Gods are not Anthropopathic

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellenies, 5.14

καὶ τὸ παραδοξότατον: Ὅμηρος γινώσκειν φαίνεται τὸ θεῖον ὁ ἀνθρωποπαθεῖς εἰσάγων τοὺς θεοὺς – ὃν οὐδ' οὕτως αἰδεῖται Ἐπίκουρος

what is also most paradoxical: Homer seems to recognize **the divine** when he introduces **the gods** as **anthropopathic [i.e., subject to human passions]** – **whom [i.e., the divine]** not even in this way does Epicurus revere

This passage continues: "for [Homer] says: 'Why do you chase me with swift feet, O son of Peleus, you who are yourself mortal, (pursuing) an immortal god? Have you not yet realized that I am a god?' For he has shown that the Divine cannot be caught or comprehended by a mortal – neither by feet, nor hands, nor eyes, nor, in sum, by the body. To whom then will you liken the Lord? Or to what likeness will you compare Him?"

[U229]

9. Harmful Bait: The Myths of the Poets

Heraclitus (fl. f. 50 CE), Allegories of Homer, 4

Heraclitus "glory of Hēraklēs" was a grammarian and rhetor (not to be confused with the more famous Heraclitus of Ephesus or with the two men named Heracleides "descendant of Hēraklēs" above)

In "Allegories of Homer" Heraclitus defends Homer against accusations of immoral portrayals of the gods – by arguing that Homer is better understood as providing allegories (for example, that relationship between Aphrodite and Ares does not represent those gods – but only represents Love and Strife).

οὐδ’ Ἐπικούρου Φροντὶς ἡμῖν – ὃς τῆς ἀσέμνου περὶ τοὺς ἰδίους κήπους ἡδονῆς γεωργός ἐστιν: ἅπασαν ὁμοῦ ποιητικὴν ὥσπερ ὀλέθριον μύθων δέλειαρ ἀφοσιούμενος

nor is there any concern for us of Epicurus – who is a cultivator of unchaste pleasure in his own gardens: devoting himself to [opposing] all that is poetic in the same way as if it were a ruinous bait of myths

10. Filling Poems with Asterisks

Heraclitus (fl. f. 50 CE), Allegories of Homer, 75

ὁ δὲ Φαῖαξ φιλόσοφος Ἐπίκουρος "ὁ τῆς ἡδονῆς ἐν τοῖς ἰδίοις κήποις γεωργὸς" – Ὅ, πᾶσαν ποιητικὴν ἄστροις σημενόμενος – οὐκ ἐξαιρέτως μόνον Ὅμηρον

Phaiāx calls the philosopher Epicurus "the cultivator of pleasure in his own gardens" – the one who, marking all that is poetic with stars [i.e. asterisks, indicating criticism] – with no exception even for Hómēr

11. Rejecting the Poets and their Myths

Proclus (Proklos Diadokhos, fl. 452 CE), Commentary on Plato's "Republic" [p. 382 Bas.]

Próklos was a prodigy and influential Neoplatonist philosopher (becoming leader of the Academy in 437 CE until his death in 485 CE – his 48 years in charge of the Academy giving rise to his title Diádokhos "successor")

...καὶ πρὸ τῶν ἄλλων, ὅσα περὶ τῶν ἐν Ἄιδου διαμυθολογῶν ὁ ποιητὴς ἀνεφθέγγατο – ἢ Αὐτὸς λέγων ἢ ἄλλω λέγοντι χρώμενος: Διασκοπήσωμεν, ὅπη, ἄρα, ἀληθείας ἔχεταιί τινος καὶ ταῖς Πλάτωνος ὑφηγήσεσι προσήκοντα φαίνεται...

...and before the rest, whatever things the poet uttered while thoroughly mythologizing about the things in Hades – whether speaking himself or using another who is speaking: let us examine in what way, then, those things hold any truth and seem to accord with Plato’s expositions...

...ἀλλὰ Τὰ ἐν ταύτῃ τῇ πραγματείᾳ γεγραμμένα πρὸς τῷ τέλει – πῶς οὐ τῆς αὐτῆς ἐστὶ διανοίας τῇ Ὀμηρικῇ ποιήσει...;

...but the things written in this treatise toward the end – how are they not of the same mind as Homeric poetry...?

Τί γὰρ, ἐν τούτοις, ἀπολείπεται τῆς μεγίστης τραγωδίας; ὥστε ὁ αὐτὸς Λόγος καὶ ταῦτα ἐκβάλλειν ἢ μὴδὲ τὴν Ὀμηρικὴν αἰτιᾶσθαι διδασκαλίαν. Λέγωμεν, τοίνυν, ἐπ’ ἀμφότερον: εἴ τε τῶν Ἐπικουρείων Τις ἐγκαλεῖν ἐπιχειροίῃ τοῖς τοιοῦτοις μύθοις εἴ τε καὶ ἄλλος Τις...

What, in these things, is lacking from the greatest tragedy? So that the same reasoning [compels us] either to cast these out or not to blame Homeric teaching. Let us speak, then, on both counts: whether one of the Epicureans should attempt to blame such myths, or even someone else...

[U229a]

12. Rejecting the Study of the Professions

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 11, 1093C

Ἐξωθοῦσι δὲ καὶ τὰς ἀπὸ τῶν μαθημάτων [ἡδονάς]!

They even reject those [pleasures that come] from the professions!

ἐξωθεῖν	to eject [here, "to reject"]	ΕΞΩΘΕΙΝ pushing out
τὸ μάθημα τὰ μαθήματα	profession	ΜΑΘΗΜΑ the result of learning

Saint Augustine (fl. 394^{CE}), On the Utility of Faith, 6.13

Quis dēnique **geōmetrīcās litterās Archimēdis** legere **magistrō Epicūrō** aut discere voluit? **contrā quās, Ille multum pertināciter, nihil eārum (quantum Arbitror) intellegēs, Disserēbat**

Who, finally, wanted to read or to learn **the geometrical writings of Archimedes with Epicurus as the teacher?** **against which [writings, Epicurus]** argued **very stubbornly**, understanding (as far as I can see) **none of them**

Archimedes of Syracuse was born in 287 BCE, and Epicurus died in 270 BCE – when Archimedes was 17. Augustine either confused the timeline, is only referring to later Epicureans, or deliberately used Archimedes symbolically to criticize Epicurus’ rejection of geometry, despite the anachronism.

Cicero (fl. 65^{BCE}), Academica 2.33.106 (Lucullus)

Polyaenus, quī **magnus mathematicus fuisse dīcitur: is, postea quam Epicūrō adsentiēns, tōtam geōmetriam falsam esse crēdidit – num illa etiam quae sciēbat oblītus?**

Polyaenus, who is said **to have been a great mathematician:** did he, after **agreeing with Epicurus**, and believing **all geometry to be false – did he even forget those things which he knew?**

Proclus (Proklos Diadokhos, fl. 452^{CE}), Commentary on Euclid [p. 55 Bas.; 199.9 Friedl.]

τῶν δὲ τὰς γεωμετρικὰς μόνας ἀρχὰς ἀνατρέπειν προθεμένων – ὥσπερ τῶν Ἐπικουρείων

but with those people having set it before themselves **to overthrow the geometric unitary principles – just like the Epicureans**

[U229b]

14. Rejecting the Study of Music

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200^{CE}), Against the Musicians, 27

καὶ μὴν, **οὐδ’ εἰ** Οἱ περὶ τὸν Πλάτωνα **μουσικὴν** ἀπεδέξαντο, Ῥητέον πρὸς **εὐδαιμονίαν αὐτὴν συντείνειν – ἐπεὶ** καὶ Ἄλλοι, **μὴ λειπόμενοι τῆς τούτων ἀξιοπιστίας –** καθά περ οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον – ἡρνήσαντο **ταύτην τὴν ἀντιποίησιν, λέγοντες τούναντίον: αὐτὴν "ἀσύμφορον" εἶναι καὶ "ἀργόν, φίλοινον, χρημάτων ἀτημελῆ"**

and indeed, **NOT EVEN** if those around Plato accepted **music**, must it be said that **it contributes to well-being – since** others also, **not lacking in their credibility – just like those around Epicurus – have rejected this claim**, saying the opposite: **that [music] is "useless" and "lazy, a lover of wine, careless with money"**

The words in quote are not Epicurus – but Sextus Empiricus quotes Euripides (fr. 184 Nauck) to represent the Epicurean position.

In the original quote from Euripides, Zethus (a herdsman, representing practical skills that allow one to thrive in a life of action) critiques his brother Amphion (a lyre player, representing a quiet life of music-making and intellectual cultivation): "You begin troubles by introducing this Muse – who’s lazy, wine-loving, and unconcerned with money"

[U230]

Dionysius of Halicarnassus (fl. 20 ^{BCE}), *On the Composition of Words*, 24, p. 188

Dionysius of Halicarnassus was a historian, classicist, and teacher of rhetoric – as well as one of the rumor-spreading madmen (**Οἱ Μεμνηότες**) mentioned by Diogenes Laertius

Ἐπικουρείων δὲ χορόν, οἷς Οὐδὲν μέλει τούτων, Παραιτούμεθα. τὸ γὰρ "οὐκ ἐπιπόνου τοῦ γράφειν ὄντως" ὡς αὐτὸς Ἐπίκουρος λέγει "τοῖς μὴ στοχαζομένοις τοῦ πυκνὰ μεταπίπτοντος κριτηρίου!" πολλῆς ἀργίας ἦν καὶ σκαιότητος ἀλεξιφάρμακον

We reject the chorus of Epicureans, for whom none of these things matter. The [idea] that "the tediousness of composing does not exist" as Epicurus himself says "for those not aiming at frequently shifting criterion!" was a counter-remedy for much idleness and awkwardness

"The chorus of Epicureans (ὁ χορὸς τῶν Ἐπικουρείων)" is used here to mock the agreement among Epicureans compared to the other schools – but the phrase can beneficially be appropriated!

Evoking the Tetraphármakos, Dionysius uses the term "counter-remedy (ἀλεξιφάρμακον)"

ἐπίπονος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	tedious	ΕΠΙΠΟΝΟΣ <i>upon toil</i>
γράφειν	to compose	ΓΡΑΦΕΙΝ <i>writing</i>
στοχαζόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	aiming at	ΣΤΟΧΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>target</i>
πυκνός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	dense	ΠΥΚΝΟΣ <i>compacting</i>
πυκνὰ	frequently	
μεταπίπτειν	to shift	ΜΕΤΑΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling differently</i>
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	criterion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of dividing</i>

3. Philosophers

[U231]

1. Rejecting Irony

Cicero (fl. 65 ^{BCE}), *Brutus*, 85.292 (Atticus speaking)

Egō "**īroniam**" **illam quam in Sōcrate dīcunt fuisse... ...facētam et ēlegantem** Putō... ...ut, **apud Platōnem, Sōcratēs in caelum effert laudibus Prōtagoram, Hippīām, Prōdicum, Gorgiam, cēterōs – sē autem omnium rērum inscium** fingit et **rudem** – decet Hoc **nēscio quō modō illum**: nec **Epicūrō**, quī **id** reprehendit, assentior

I consider that "irony," which is what they say was in Socrates... ...to be witty and elegant... ...as, in Plato, Socrates lifts up to the heavens with praise Protagoras, Hippias, Prodicus, Gorgias, and the rest – but portrays himself as ignorant and unskilled in all things – this somehow suits him: and I do not agree with Epicurus, who criticizes that [irony]

[U232]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.13

ἀλλ' οὐδὲ Λεύκιππὸν τινα γεγενῆσθαι φησι φιλόσοφον οὔτε Αὐτὸς οὔτε Ἑρμαρχος – ὃν Ἑνιοί φασι, καὶ Ἀπολλόδωρος ὁ Ἐπικούρειος, διδάσκαλον Δημοκρίτου γεγενῆσθαι

he himself [*i.e.*, *Epicurus*] and Hermarchus say that a certain Leucippus never existed as a philosopher – though some, including Apollodorus the Epicurean, say that he [*i.e.*, *Leucippus*] was the teacher of Democritus

The ideas attributed to – and the existence of – a deterministic philosopher named "*Leucippus*" (a name means that "*white horse*") originate from Aristotle and Theophrastus and cannot in any way be distinguished from Democritus. No textual or inscriptional evidence from before Aristotle's time explicitly mentions Leucippus by name – no writings from the 5th or early 4th centuries BCE refer to Leucippus.

Apollodorus the Epicurean (Ἀπολλόδωρος ὁ Ἐπικούρειος), who was called by some "*garden-tyrant* (ὁ Κηποτύραννος)," wrote over four hundred books – including the multiple book "*Life of Epicurus*" and the "*Chronology*."

[U233]

3. Independent of any School (rejecting Pamphilus the Platonist)

Cicero (fl. 65 ^{BCE}), *De Natura Deorum*, 1.26.72 (*Cotta Speaking*)

...Ista ā vōbīs quasi dictāta redduntur – quae Epicūrus oscitāns hallūcinātus est – cum, quidem, glōriārētur (ut Vidēmus in scrīptīs) sē magistrum habuisse nūllum

...these things are repeated back by you almost like dictations – which Epicurus hallucinated while yawning – while, indeed, he was boasting (as we see in his writings) that he had had no teacher

Xēnocrātēn audīre Potuit... et sunt quī putent audīsse: Ipse nōn vult, Crēdō, plūs nēminī.

He could have listened to [*i.e.*, *been a student of*] Xenocrates... and there are those who think he did listen: he himself does not want, I believe, [to grant the status of teacher] any further to anyone else

Pamphilum quendam, Platōnis audītōrem, ait ā sē Samī audītum: ibī enim, adulēscēns, habitābat cum patre et frātribus, quod in eam pater eius Neoclēs agripēta vēnerat – sed cum Agellus eum nōn satis aleret – ut opīnor – ludī magister fuit

He says that a certain Pamphilus, a hearer of Plato, was heard by him at Samos: there indeed, as a youth, he was living with his father and brothers, because his father Neocles had come into that place as a land-claimer – but since the little farm did not support him sufficiently – I suspect – he became a schoolteacher

sed hunc Platonicum mīrificē contemnit Epicūrus: ita metuīt nē quid umquam didicisse vidēātur

but Epicurus marvelously despises this Platonist [*i.e.*, *Pamphilus*]: he is so afraid that he might seem to have ever learned anything

in Nausiphanē Dēmocriteō tenētur: quem (cum ā sē nōn neget audītum) vexat tamen omnibus contumēliīs. atquī sī haec Dēmocritea nōn audīisset – quid audīerat?

He was influenced by Nausiphanes the Democritean: **whom** (though he does not deny **having heard from him**) he nonetheless attacks **with every insult**. and yet, if he did not hear **these Democritean [teachings]** – **what** did he hear?

quid est in physicis Epicuri non a Democrito? nam etsi quaedam commutavit (ut quod paullum ante de inclinatione atomorum Dixi) tamen, pleraque dicit eadem: atomos, inane, imaginēs, infinitatem locorum, innumerabilitatemque mundorum, eorum ortus interitus – omnia ferē quibus naturae Ratio continetur

What is there in Epicurus' physics that is not from Democritus? For even if he changed **certain things** (such as **what** I said **just before** about the swerve of the atoms) **still**, he says **most of the same things**: **atoms, the void, images, the infinity of places, the innumerability of worlds, their origins and destructions** – **nearly all the things by which** the account of nature is contained

*For his first introduction into a philosophical school, it seems that Epicurus **first** listened to Pamphilus (a student of Plato).*

Epicurus rejected Pamphilus teachings of Platonism. Epicurus had no teacher in the sense that he was not the follower of an established school.

Cicero admits the idea that Neocles struggled with the family farm was only speculation.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 18, p. 1100A

τί δ' οὐκ ἔμελλεν Αὐτός – οὕτω σπαργῶν περιμανῶς, καὶ σφαδάζων πρὸς δόξαν; ὥστε μὴ μόνον ἀπολέγεσθαι τοὺς καθηγητάς, μηδὲ Δημοκρίτῳ (τῷ τὰ δόγματα ῥήμασιν αὐτοῖς ἀφαιρουμένῳ) ζυγομαχεῖν περὶ συλλαβῶν καὶ κεραιῶν – σοφὸν δὲ μηδένα φάναι πλὴν αὐτοῦ γεγονέναι καὶ τῶν μαθητῶν?

and **what** would he himself not have been likely to do – **being so frenziedly puffed up, and twitching for the sake of fame?** so that **he not only rejected the founders [of philosophy], nor only contended with Democritus (the one from whom was taken the doctrines word-for-word)** over syllables and diacritical marks

[U234]

4. Rejecting Determinism, Reviving Atomism

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), De Finibus, 1.6.17, 21

in physicis, quibus maximē gloriātur, primum tōtus est aliēnus: Democritea dicit – perpauca mūtans – sed ita ut ea quae corrigere volt, mihi quidem deprāuāre vidētur...

in physics, **in which [Epicurus] especially** boasts, he is **first of all completely a stranger**: he says **Democritean things** – changing **very few** – but **in such a way that those things which** he wants to correct, he seems **to me** indeed to distort...

...ita, quae mūtāt, ea corrumpit – quae sequitur sunt tōta Democriti... ...quae, etsi mihi nullō modō probantur: tamen Democritum, laudātum ā cēteris ab hōc (quī eum ūnum secūtus esset) Nōllem vituperātum

...thus, **what** he changes, **those things** he corrupts – **what** he follows is wholly from Democritus... ...those things which, even if **in no way** approved by me: yet I would not want **Democritus, praised by others, to be blamed by this man (who followed him as a sole [guide]!)**

5. Accepting Aspects of Democritus' Philosophy

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1108E

In the opening of this section, Plutarch compares Colotes to Lydia's King Candaules (the last of the Heraclid dynasty) – who was killed by Gyges (the founder of the Mermnad dynasty).

The door behind which King Candaules hid Gyges to see the Queen nude, was the same door behind which the Queen hid Gyges to murder King Candaules. Gyges then reigned for 38 years (from 680 – 644 BCE) – Croesus, the famous wealthy king, was the great-great-grandson of Gyges.

έμοι δέ δοκεῖ, καθάπερ ὁ Λυδὸς ἐφ’ αὐτὸν, ἀνοίγειν οὐ θύραν μίαν – ἀλλὰ ταῖς πλείσταις τῶν ἀποριῶν καὶ μεγίσταις περιβάλλειν τὸν Ἐπίκουρον: Ἄρχεται γὰρ ἀπὸ Δημοκρίτου, καλὰ καὶ πρέποντα διδασκάλια κομιζομένου παρ’ αὐτοῦ

but it seems to me that, just like the Lydian [King Candaules did] upon himself, [Colotes just] does not open one door – but surrounds Epicurus with the greatest amount and largest of difficulties: for he begins from Democritus, while taking from him noble and fitting teachings

καίτοι, πολὺν χρόνον, αὐτὸς ἑαυτὸν ἀνηγόρευε Δημοκρίτειον ὁ Ἐπίκουρος, ὡς Ἄλλοι τε λέγουσι – καὶ Λεοντεύς, εἷς τῶν ἐπ’ ἄκρον Ἐπικούρου μαθητῶν, πρὸς Λυκόφρονα γράφων, τιμᾶσθαι τέ φησι τὸν Δημόκριτον ὑπ’ Ἐπικούρου διὰ τὸ πρότερον ἄψασθαι τῆς ὀρθῆς γνώσεως, καὶ τὸ σύνολον τὴν πραγματείαν "Δημοκρίτειον" προσαγορεύεσθαι – διὰ τὸ περιπεσεῖν αὐτὸν πρότερον ταῖς ἀρχαῖς περὶ φύσεως

and yet, for a long time, Epicurus himself called himself a Democritean, as others say – and Leonteus as well, one of Epicurus' top students, writing to Lycophron says also that Democritus was honored by Epicurus because he had first touched upon correct knowledge, and that the entire [philosophical] effort was called "Democritean" – because he had stumbled upon the principles concerning nature earlier

ὁ δὲ Μητρόδωρος ἄντικρυς, περὶ φιλοσοφίας, εἶρηκεν ὡς, εἰ μὴ προκαθηγήσατο Δημόκριτος – οὐκ ἂν προῆλθεν Ἐπίκουρος ἐπὶ τὴν σοφίαν

Metrodorus said outright, in regards to philosophy, that if Democritus had not led the way – Epicurus would not have advanced toward wisdom

[U235]

6. Rejecting Aristotle and Phaedo

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.33.93 (Cotta speaking)

...quandō quidem haec esse in deō propter pulchritūdinem Vultis. Istīsne fidentēs somniīs – nōn modo Epicūrus et Mētrōdōrus et Hermarchus contrā Pythagoram, Plātōnem, Empedoclēmque dīxērunt – sed meretrīcula etiam Leontion contrā Theophrastum scribere ausa est?...

...since indeed you want these [parts of the body] to exist in god because of beauty. Believing in these dreams [of anthropomorphic gods] – not only Epicurus, Metrodorus, and Hermarchus spoke against Pythagoras, Plato, and Empedocles – but even that little harlot Leontion dared to write against Theophrastus?...

...cum Epicūrus Aristotelem uexārit contumēliōsissimē – Phaedōnī Socraticō turpissimē male dīxerit

...although Epicurus treated Aristotle with the most contempt – Phaedo [of Elis], the disciple of Socrates, he foully slandered

Phaedo was born to a wealthy family in Elis, but was taken as a slave in 401 BCE. He was freed by Socrates and become a close student. (Phaedo is the main speaker in Plato's "Phaedo," which recounts Socrates' final conversation).

Phaedo later returned to his hometown and founded *the Elean School of philosophy* (teaching that virtue is knowledge, the mind is the sole source of the good, and the soul is immortal).

Mētrōdorī sōdālis suī frātrem**, Timocratem, quia nescīo quid in philosophiā dissentīret, tōtīs volūminibus concīderit: in Dēmocritum ipsum, quem secūtus est, fuerit ingrātus – Nausiphānem, magistrum suum (ā quō nōn nihil didicerat) tam male accēperit**

[Epicurus] also pelted Timocrates, the brother of his companion Metrodorus, with whole volumes because he disagreed for some reason in philosophy: he was ungrateful even to Democritus himself, whom he followed – Nausiphanes, his teacher (from whom he had learned something) he treated very badly

[Sedley] "My confident guess is that both these parts of Cotta's speech derive from a single Academic source, presumably Philo, Cicero's former teacher, whose information will have come ultimately from Timocrates' anti-Epicurean writings."

[U236]

7. Mocking Nausiphanes

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.8 sup.

πλεύμονά τε αὐτόν' ἑκάλει – καὶ ἀγράμματον, καὶ ἀπατεῶνα, καὶ πόρνην

[Epicurus] used to call [Nausiphanes] a jellyfish – and illiterate, and a swindler, and a prostitute

Jellyfish <i>literally, "(sea) lungs"</i>	Ναυσιφάνης <i>Nausiphanes</i> (fl.c. 310 BCE)	Pleúmones	οἱ Πλεύμονες <i>sides / lungs</i>
illiterate		Agrámmatos	Ἀγράμματος <i>not the result of writing</i>
swindler		Apateón	ὁ Ἀπατεών <i>deceiving agent</i>
prostitute		Pórñē	ἡ Πόρνη <i>selling</i>

[Sedley] "It is obvious to anyone browsing the surviving writings of Epicurus that he suffered from a deep-seated aversion to mentioning his rivals by name. Usually they appear simply as «someone» or «some people», or else generically as «the physicists», «the sophists», or «the astronomers». (Only once in his surviving philosophical works does he name a philosopher outside his own school, and this is Empedocles *Περὶ φύσεως* XIV, fr. 29.28.17-18 Arr). No doubt the nicknames listed by Timocrates served a similar function."

[U237]

8. Mocking Epithets (from Plutarch)

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1086 D fin. – F sup.

(Zeuxippus speaking about *Heracleides* – both peers of Plutarch and characters in this dialogue, given the context, *Heracleides* may be an Epicurean leader)

προελθόντων γὰρ ἡμῶν εἰς τὸ γυμνάσιον, ὥσπερ Εἰώθειμεν ἐκ τῆς διατριβῆς, Ζεύξιππος "ἐμοὶ μέν" ἔφη "Δοκεῖ πολὺ τῆς προσηκούσης ὁ Λόγος εἰρῆσθαι παρρησίας μαλακώτερον – ἀπίασι δ' ἡμῖν ἐγκαλοῦντες Οἱ περὶ Ἡρακλείδην, τοῦ

Ἐπικούρου καὶ τοῦ Μητροδώρου – ἡμῖν μὴδὲν αἰτίων ὄντων θρασύτερον καθαψαμένοις!"

with us advancing into the gymnasium, just as we were accustomed to do after the lecture, Zeuxippus said "to me it seems that the argument was spoken much more mildly than the frankness that was fitting – and yet those around Heracleides go off, accusing us regarding Epicurus and Metrodorus – while in no way is there fault for us who have been attacked rather more boldly!"

καὶ ὁ Θέων "εἴτ' οὐκ ἔλεγες" εἶπεν "ὅτι τοῖς ἐκείνων ὁ Κωλώτης παραβαλλόμενος, εὐφημότατος ἀνδρῶν φαίνεται;"

and Theon said, "so didn't you say that, Kōlōtēs, when compared to those of their [school], seems the most moderate of men?"

"τὰ γὰρ ἐν ἀνθρώποις αἰσχίστα ῥήματα – 'βωμολοχίας,' 'ληκυθισμούς,' 'ἀλαζονείας,' 'ἐταιρήσεις,' 'ἀνδροφονίας,' 'βαρυστόνους,' 'πολυφθόρους,' 'βαρυεγκεφάλους' συναγαγόντες Ἀριστοτέλους καὶ Σωκράτους καὶ Πυθαγόρου καὶ Πρωταγόρου καὶ Θεοφράστου καὶ Ἡρακλείδου καὶ Ἰππάρχου: καὶ, τίνος γὰρ οὐχὶ τῶν ἐπιφανῶν κατεσκέδασαν;"

"indeed, the most shameful utterances among men – 'Altar-Beggars,' 'Clatterers,' Pretenders,' 'Courtesanry,' 'Manslayers,' 'Groaners,' 'Grand Wreckers,' and 'Thickskulls' – they brought together [these epithets for the followers of] Aristotle, Socrates, Pythagoras, Protagoras, Theophrastus, Heracleides, Hipparchia: indeed, whom among the distinguished have they not attacked?"

the Clatterers	οἱ περὶ Πυθαγόραν followers of Pythagoras (530 BCE onward)	Lēkythismoí	οἱ Ληκυθισμοί action of a lekythos
the Thickskulls	οἱ περὶ Πρωταγόραν followers of Protagoras	Baryengképhaloi	οἱ Βαρυεγκέφαλοι heavy head
the Pretenders	οἱ περὶ Σωκράτη followers of Socrates (430 BCE onward)	Alazoneîai	αἱ Ἀλαζονεῖαι vagrant / boaster
the Manslayers	οἱ περὶ Ἡρακλείδην followers of Heracleides of Aenus	Androphoníai	αἱ Ἀνδροφονίαι man slaying
the Grand Wreckers	οἱ Διαλεκτικοί Dialecticians	Polýphthoroi	οἱ Πολύφθοροι having damaged much
the Groaners	οἱ περὶ Θεόφραστον followers of Theophrastus [♣] (fl. 330 BCE)	Barýstonoi	οἱ Βαρύστονοι heavy tone
the Altar-Beggars	οἱ περὶ Ἰππαρχίαν followers of Hipparchia of Maroneia	Bōmolochíai	αἱ Βωμολοχίαι ambushing the altar [i.e., to beg]
the Courtesanry	οἱ περὶ Ἰππαρχίαν followers of Hipparchia of Maroneia (fl.c. 325 BCE)	Hetairéseis	αἱ Ἑταιρήσεις process of being a courtesan

Σοφισταὶ δέ εἰσι καὶ Ἀλαζόνες οἱ πρὸς ἄνδρας ἐλλογίμους οὕτως ἀσελγῶς καὶ ὑπερηφάνως γράφοντες

the [true] sophists and the pretenders are those who write so shamelessly and arrogantly against reputable men!

[U238]

9. Mocking Epithets (from Laertius)

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.8

τούς τε περὶ Πλάτωνα "Διονυσοκόλακας" καὶ αὐτὸν Πλάτωνα "Χρυσοῦν," καὶ Ἀριστοτέλη "Ἄσωτον" (ὄν, καταφαγόντα τὴν πατρώαν οὐσίαν – στρατεύεσθαι καὶ φαρμακοπωλεῖν)

[Epicurus called] those around Plato "the Dionysus-flatterers," and Plato himself "Golden" and Aristotle "degenerate," (who, having devoured his paternal estate – engaged in military service and selling drugs)

"φορμοφόρον" τε Πρωταγόραν (καὶ γραφέα Δημοκρίτου, καὶ ἐν κώμαις γράμματα διδάσκειν)

and [said that] Protagoras was "a basketbearer" (and a scribe of Democritus, and taught letters in villages)

Ἡράκλειτόν τε "κυκητὴν" καὶ Δημόκριτον "Ληρόκριτον" καὶ Ἀντίδωρον "Σαννίδωρον," – τούς τε *Κυζικηνοὺς "ἐχθροὺς τῆς Ἑλλάδος," καὶ τοὺς Διαλεκτικούς "πολυφθόρους" – Πύρρωνα δ' "ἀμαθῆ" καὶ "ἀπαίδευτον"

[Epicurus called] Heraclitus "Agitator," and Democritus "Arbiter of Absurdities," and Antidorus "Wood-giver," – and the Cyzicenes "Enemies of Greece," and the Dialecticians "the Grand Wreckers," – and Pyrrho "stupid" and "uneducated"

* [Sedley] "Long's Oxford Classical Text of Diogenes Laertius still carries the old emendation **Κυνικούς** for **Κυζικηνοὺς** – surprisingly, since as long ago as 1936 Bignone pointed out an unmistakable parallel with a fragment of Philodemus On Epicurus 2.92 which cites a letter of Epicurus «concerning a certain Cyzicene astronomer-geometrician». This offered the vital clue as to the identity of the Cyzicenes:

Eudoxus of Cnidos (**Κνίδος**), the great mathematical astronomer and associate of Plato, taught for a period at Cyzicus (**Κύζικος**), and produced there several distinguished pupils. One of these, Polemarchus, in turn became the teacher of Callippus of Cyzicus, who was later to move to Athens and exert a profound influence on the meteorological thinking of Aristotle. All this points to an established Eudoxan school at Cyzicus, which our fragment suggests was still going strong in Epicurus' day."

the Agitator	Ἡράκλειτος <i>Heraclitus</i> <i>of Ephesus</i> (fl. 500 BCE)	Kykētēs	ὁ Κυκητής <i>wheel</i>
the Basketbearer	Πρωταγόρας <i>Protagoras</i> (fl. 450 BCE)	Phormophóros	ὁ Φορμοφόρος <i>wicker basket</i> <i>carrying</i>
the Arbiter of Absurdities	Δημόκριτος <i>Democritus</i> (fl.420 BCE)	Lērókritos	ὁ Ληρόκριτος <i>judge of nonsense</i>

the Golden	Πλάτων <i>Plato</i> (fl. 387 BCE)	Chrysoûs	ὁ Χρυσοῦς <i>shining</i>
the Dionysus-Flatterers	οἱ περὶ Πλάτωνα <i>followers of Plato</i>	Dionysokólakes	οἱ Διονυσοκόλακες <i>Dionysus flatterer</i>
the Enemies of Greece	οἱ Κυζικηνοί <i>the Cyzicenes</i> (350 BCE onward)	Echthroî tês Helládos	οἱ Ἐχθροὶ τῆς Ἑλλάδος <i>hating</i>
the Degenerate	Ἀριστοτέλης <i>Aristotle</i> (fl. 344 BCE)	Ásōtos	ὁ Ἄσωτος <i>not saving</i>
the Grand Wreckers	οἱ Διαλεκτικοί <i>Dialecticians</i>	Polýphthoroi	οἱ Πολύφθοροι <i>having damaged much</i>
the Wood-Giver	Ἀντίδωρος <i>Antidorus</i> (fl.c. 330 BCE)	Sannídōros	ὁ Σαννίδωρος <i>gift of penis / plank</i>
stupid	Πύρρων <i>Pyrrho</i> (fl. 320 BCE)	Amathés	ὁ Ἀμαθής <i>not learning</i>
uneducated		Apaídeutos	ὁ Ἀπαίδευτος <i>not educated</i>

10. Arcesilaus – Criticized

[U239]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), *Against Colotes*, 26, 1121 E fin.

Arcesilaus (**Ἀρκεσίλαος**, "assisting *the people*") was 25 years younger than Epicurus and was a student of both *Theophrastus* and *Pyrrho*.

Arcesilaus was popular and influential during Epicurus' lifetime. He was elected *the sixth scholarch of the academy* in 264 BCE – *upon the death of Crates of Athens, the last scholarch of the Old Academy* (6 years after Epicurus' death).

Arcesilaus bridged *Peripatetic*, *Skeptical*, and *Academic traditions* – and turned the *Academy* toward Academic Skepticism:

Inspired by *Pyrrhonist skepticism*, Arcesilaus emphasized *the skepticism present in Plato's writings*, *moved the Academy from Pythagoras toward Pyrrho*, and initiated Academic Skepticism (and in doing so, also initiated the "Middle Academy").

τοῦ δὲ Ἀρκεσιλάου τὸν Ἐπίκουρον οὐ μετρίως ἔοικεν ἡ Δόξα παραλυπεῖν – ἐν τοῖς τότε χρόνοις μάλιστα τῶν φιλοσόφων ἀγαπηθέντος

the reputation of Arcesilaus seems to immoderately distress Epicurus – given that [Arcesilaus] was especially admired among the philosophers in those times

μηθὲν γὰρ αὐτὸν ἴδιον λέγοντα, Φησὶν, ὑπόληψιν ἐμποιεῖν καὶ δόξαν ἀνθρώποις ἀγραμμάτοις – ἅτε δὴ πολυγράμματος Αὐτὸς ὢν καὶ μεμουςωμένος

although [Arcesilaus] said nothing of his own, as [Colotes] says, he produced supposition and opinion in unlettered people – since [Colotes] himself is indeed very learned and initiated into the Muses [i.e., cultured]

ἡ ὑπόληψις αἱ ὑπολήψεις	supposition	ὑΠΟΛΗΨΙΣ taking hold under
--	-------------	---

"*Arcesilaus said nothing* of his own" probably refers to the fact that Arcesilaus did not write any words (another way in which he was very unlike Epicurus). Diogenes reports that he "never wrote a book because he suspended judgment on all matters" (although "he was caught revising certain works") – instead he was "devoted to dialectic."

"*Arcesilaus produced supposition and opinion in unlettered people*" refers to Arcesilaus' affirmation of Skepticism.

Arcesilaus' reputation rightfully disappointed Epicurus – but Epicurus' popularity bothered Arcesilaus, as Laertius says "*to someone who asked why pupils from all the other schools leave [the Academy] to join the Epicureans, but no one ever leaves the Epicureans he said 'because men may become eunuchs, but no eunuch ever become a man'*"

Arcesilaus was a fan of Homer, and an extravagant wealthy aristocrat – who is also reported to have been "lecherous and fond of boys" (Laertius 4.40)

[U240]

Arcesilaus (**Ἀρκεσίλαος**, "*assisting* the people," fl. 275 ^{BCE}), whose skepticism Epicurus greatly criticized (a pupil of *Theophrastus* and *Pyrrho*)

———— *must be clearly distinguished from* ————

Archelaus (**Ἀρχέλαος**, "*leader of* the people" fl. fort. c. 450 ^{BCE}), whom Epicurus praised for his physics-based philosophy (a pupil of Anaxagoras)

11. Archelaus and Anaxagoras – Praised

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.12 fin.

μάλιστα δ' ἀπεδέχετο, φησὶ Διοκλῆς, τῶν ἀρχαίων Ἀναξαγόραν – καίτοι ἔν τισιν ἀντειρηκῶς αὐτῷ – καὶ Ἀρχέλαον, τὸν Σωκράτους διδάσκαλον

[*Epicurus*] especially approved of Anaxagoras among the ancients, Diocles says – even though in some respects [*Epicurus*] had opposed [*Anaxagoras*] – and also [*Epicurus approved of*] Archelaus, the teacher of Socrates

Epicurus praised *Anaxagoras* and his student *Archelaus* for their contributions to physics.

The teachings of Epicurus and *Anaxagoras* include some similarities in meteorology, geology, hostility to Democritus, and composing in prose.

Anaxagoras is credited as the first philosopher to write in prose (not in poetic meter like earlier thinkers) (Laertius 2.11)

Metrodorus stated that *Anaxagoras* was "*the first to pay serious attention to Homer's treatment of nature*" (also 2.11)

Anaxagoras was fined, imprisoned, and sentenced to death for impiety due to declaring that the sun is a red-hot mass of iron (however, Pericles, who was his friend, successfully got his charges dropped). He then traveled to Lampsacus where he lived to the end of his life – where he was given a distinguished burial and honored with a local holiday "the Anaxagoreia" even 600 years after his death. (2.14)

According to Laertius, "*it was [Archelaus] who first brought natural philosophy from Ionia to Athens.*"

The teachings of Epicurus and *Archelaus* include some similarities in *the infinity of space, planetary formation, abiogenesis, moral relativism, and division of philosophy.*

[U241]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.23 sup.

γέγονε δὲ [Μητρόδωρος] ἀγαθὸς πάντα – καθὰ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ἐν προηγουμέναις γραφαῖς μαρτυρεῖ καὶ ἐν τῷ τρίτῳ Τιμοκράτους

[Metrodorus] became good in all things – just as Epicurus also bears witness in the prefaces [of some of his books] and in the third [book against] Timocrates

[Usener] Regarding, προηγουμέναις γραφαῖς, if I am not mistaken, Diogenes means the prefaces of the books in which Epicurus had addressed Metrodorus at some length.

THE STANDARD

1. On Judgment

[U242]

1. Epicurus' Twofold Division
(Physical & Ethical)

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 89.11

Epicūrēī duās partēs philosophiae putāvērunt esse:
[1a] nātūrālem atque [2] mōrālem

The Epicureans thought two parts of philosophy existed:
[1a] natural and [2] moral

[x] ratiōnālem remōvērunt, deinde cum ipsīs rēbus cōgerentur ambigua sēcernere – falsa sub speciē vērī latentia coarguere: Ipsī quoque locum, quem [1b] "dē iūdictiō et rēgulā" appellant, aliō nōmine ratiōnālem induxērunt – sed eum accessionem esse nātūrālīs partis existimant

They removed the [x] rational [part of philosophy], but then, when they were forced by their very circumstances to separate ambiguities – [and] to expose false things hiding under the appearance of truth: they too introduced a section, which they call [1b] "concerning judgment and the rule [i.e., the canon]" – they introduced the rational [part] under another name – but they considered it to be an appendix to the natural part

The most basic division in our philosophy is between [1] the natural/physical (which includes our sensations, i.e., our "measuring rod of truth") and [2] the ethical.

2. The Sensations are Physical

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.30

Εἰώθασι, μέντοι, τὸ Κανονικὸν ὁμοῦ τῷ Φυσικῷ τάττειν – Καλοῦσι δ' αὐτὸ "περὶ κριτηρίου καὶ ἀρχῆς" καὶ "στοιχειωτικόν"

they are accustomed, however, to place what relates to Standards together with the Physical – and they call it "[the part] concerning the criterion and the foundation" and "a component [part of the Physical]"

ὁ κανὼν		KANON
οἱ κανόνες	standard	measuring stick (ruler)

τό κανονικόν τά κανονικά	what relates to standards	ΚΑΝΟΝΙΚΟΝ <i>characteristic of measuring rod</i>
ἡ φύσις αἱ φύσεις	nature	ΦΥΣΙΣ <i>producing</i>
φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
τò κριτήριον τά κριτήρια	crit er ion	ΚΡΙΤΗΡΙΟΝ <i>instrument of dividing</i>
ἡ ἀρχή αἱ ἀρχαί	foundation [in time]	ΑΡΧΗ <i>first order</i>
τò στοιχείωμα τά στοιχειώματα	component [principle]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΩΜΑ <i>result of a row (in a series)</i>
τò στοιχείον τά στοιχεῖα	component [for facts] or, element [for things]	ΣΤΟΙΧΕΙΟΝ <i>instance of a row (in a series)</i>

3. Learning Methods of Disputation (Not Full Dialectic Training)

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 ^{CE}), Against Cresconius, 1.13.16

*Named after the Berber Christian bishop Donatus Magnus, the "**Donatist schism**" in Africa began in 311 and flourished for one hundred years, until the conference at Carthage in 411. Donatists were moral purity extremists who argued that Christian clergy must be faultless for their ministry to be effective and their prayers and sacraments to be valid.*

The Donatists position was defended by a grammarian named Cresconius, against whom St. Augustine published a reply in four books.

Nōstī, enim, **quam maximē** apud Stōicōs **vīguisse Dialecticam**

You know, in fact, **how greatly** Dialectic flourished among the Stoics

quamvīs et ipsī Epicūrēī – **quōs** Imperītia **liberālium disciplīnārūm** nōn sōlum nōn pudēbat, **vērūm etiam** dēlectābat – **quāsdam disputandī rēgulās** quibus Quisque, **ūsus, minimē fallerētur, sē potiūs et tenēre et docēre** iactābant

and yet even the Epicureans themselves – **whom** ignorance of the liberal arts did not shame, **but in fact** delighted – they boasted that **instead they both understood and taught certain rules** of disputation by which, having used [*them*], each person might be least deceived

quid est, enim, **aliud** Dialectica **quam perītia disputandī?**

What else, in fact, is Dialectic **other than** skill in disputation?

4. Archelaus' Twofold Division (Physical & Ethical)

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), Against the Logicians, 1.14

As seen above, "Epicurus also approved of Archelaus, the teacher of Socrates" [U240]

τῶν δὲ διμερῇ τὴν φιλοσοφίαν ὑποσθησαμένων... ...Ἀρχέλαος ὁ Ἀθηναῖος [μετήρχετο] **τὸ φυσικὸν καὶ ἠθικόν**

of those who set up philosophy as twofold... ...Archelaus the Athenian pursued the physical and the ethical

μεθ' οὗ, Τινες καὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον τάπτουσιν ὡς καὶ τὴν λογικὴν θεωρίαν ἐκβάλλοντα

along with him, some also classify Epicurus as one who also casts out the logical theory

Ἄλλοι δὲ ἦσαν οἱ φασὶ μὴ κοινῶς αὐτὸν τὴν λογικὴν παρητῆσθαι – μόνην δὲ τὴν τῶν Στωικῶν – ὥστε δυνάμει τριμερῇ πάλιν ἀπολείπειν τὴν φιλοσοφίαν...

but there were others who say that he did not entirely reject logic – but only that of the Stoics – so that he again leaves philosophy tripartite in principle...

...οἱ δὲ Ἐπικούρειοι ἀπὸ τῶν λογικῶν εἰσβάλλουσιν: τὰ γὰρ κανονικὰ πρῶτον ἐπιθεωροῦσιν περὶ τε ἐναργῶν καὶ ἀδήλων καὶ τῶν τούτοις ἀκολουθῶν (ποιούμενοι τὴν ὑφήγησιν)

...but the Epicureans attack from the logical [side]: for first they examine the Canonical concerning both the clear and the unclear things, and those that follow from these (while composing an explanation)

As seen above, "[Epicurus] especially approved of... Archelaus, the teacher of Socrates." [U240]

[U243]

5. Certainty in Physics

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), De Finibus, 1.19.63 (Torquatus to Cicero)

In dialecticā autem vestrā, nullam existimāvit esse nec ad melius vīvendum nec ad commodius disserendum viam

but in your [Platonic] dialectic, [Epicurus] thought there was no path either to better living or to more effective arguing

in physicis plūrimum posuit – eā scientiā et verbōrum Vīs et Nātūra ōrātiōnis et consequentium repugnantiumve Ratiō potest perspicī

he placed the most [importance] in physics – through this knowledge the Force of words and the Nature of speech and the Reasoning of what follows or contradicts can be understood

omnium autem rerum Nātūra cognita: Levāmur superstitiōne, Liberāmur mortis metū, nōn Conturbāmur ignōrātiōne rerum – ex quā ipsā, horribilēs existunt saepe Formīdinēs

but when the nature of all things is known: we are relieved of superstition, we are freed from fear of death, and we are not disturbed by ignorance of things – from that [ignorance] itself, horrible fears often arise

dēnique, etiam morātī melius Erimus, cum Didicerimus quid Nātūra dēsideret

finally, we will also be better morally, when we have learned what nature desires

tum vērō, sī stabilēm scientiam rerum Tenēbimus – servātā illā (quae, quasi, delāpsa de caelo est ad cognitiōnem omnium) rēgulā, ad quam omnia lūdicia rerum dirigentur: numquam, ullius ōrātiōne victī, sententiā Dēsistemus.

then indeed, if we hold a firm science of things – with that rule [*i.e., the canon*] preserved (which, as it were, has fallen from heaven for the understanding of all things), to which all judgments about things will be directed: we will never, overcome by anyone's speech, abandon that conviction

6. Certainty in the Sensations
(Physics as the Source of Virtues)

[continued from above] Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), De Finibus, 1.19.64 (Torquatus to Cicero)

nisī, autem, rērum Nātūra perspecta erit: nullō modō Poterimus sensuum iūdictia dēfendere

unless, however, the nature of things is understood: we will in no way be able to defend the judgments of the senses

quidquid porrō animō Cernimus – Id omne orītur ā sensibus! Quī, sī omnēs vērī erunt (ut Epicūrī Ratiō docet) tum dēnique Poterit aliquid cognōscī et percipī

whatever we discern further with the mind – it all arises from the sensations! Which, unless [*the senses*] are all true (as Epicurus' theory teaches) only then will it be possible for anything to be known and grasped

quōs Quī tollunt et Nihil posse percipī dicunt: lī – remōtīs sensibus – nē id ipsum quidem expeditē possunt quod disserunt!

Those who reject them [*i.e., the senses*] and say that nothing can be perceived: they – with the senses removed – they are not even able to explain the very thing which they are arguing!

praetereā, sublātā cognitiōne et scientiā, tollitur omnis Ratiō – et vītae dēgendae et rērum gerendārum

moreover, with knowledge and understanding taken away, all reasoning is destroyed – both of life to be lived and of things to be done

sīc, ē physicīs, et ^[1] Fortitūdō sūmitur contrā mortis timōrem, et ^[2] Cōstantia contrā metum religiōnis, et ^[3] Sēdātiō animī omnium rērum occultārum ignōrātiōne sublātā et ^[4] Moderātiō nātūrā cupiditātum generibusque eārum explicātīs – et, ut modo Docuī, cognitiōnis rēgulā et iūdictiō ab eādem illā cōstitūtō: vērī ā falsō ^[5] Distinctiō trāditur.

thus, from physics, ^[1] Courage against the fear of death is obtained, and ^[2] Constancy against fear of religion, and ^[3] Calmness of mind with the ignorance of all hidden things removed, and ^[4] Moderation, with nature of desires and their types having been explained – and, as I just described, through the rule [*i.e., canon*] and judgment of knowledge established by that same [*principle*], the ^[5] Distinction of truth from falsehood is handed down

7. Rejecting Technical Logic and Abstract Analysis

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), De Finibus, 1.7.22

iam, in alterā philosophiāe parte, quae est quaerendī ac disserendī – quae "λογική" dicitur – Iste vester plānē (ut mihi quidem vidētur) inermis ac nūdus est!

now, in the other part of philosophy, which is of inquiry and of discussion – and which is called "logical" – your man clearly (as it seems to me) is unarmed and naked!

Tollit Dēfīnitiōnēs, nihil dē dīvidendō ac Partiendō docet, nōn quō modō efficiātur concludāturque Ratiō trādit, nōn quā viā Captiōsa solvantur, Ambigua distinguantur ostendit

He removes Definitions, teaches nothing about Division and Partition, does not hand down in what manner Reasoning is formed and concluded, he does not show in what way Fallacies are solved or Ambiguities distinguished

iūdicia rērum in sēnsibus ponit – quibus sī semel aliquid falsī prō vērō probātum sit: sublātum esse omne iūdicium vērī et falsī putat... [lacuna]

He places judgments for things in the sensations – if something of the false has been once approved as true by [the sensations]: he thinks all judgment of true and false has been abolished... [lacuna]

...Cōfirmat autem illud vel maximē: quod ipsa Nātūra (ut ait Ille) sciscat et probet (id est) volūptātem et dolōrem

...He especially affirms this: what nature itself (as that man says) demands and approves (that is) pleasure and pain

ad haec – et quae sequāmur et quae fugiāmus – Refert omnia

He refers everything to these – both what we should pursue and what we should avoid

8. "Epicurean" – an early Christian Insult

Saint Jerome (f. c. 385 CE), Contra Rufinum 1.30, 487 B

Jerome was a Roman Christian scholar and ascetic. He best known for producing the Vulgate, a translation of the Bible from the original Hebrew into Latin (as opposed to translating from the Greek of Ptolemy II Philadelphus' Septuagint)

Contra Rufinum ("Against Rufinus") is Saint Jerome's fiery three-book apology written around 401 CE, defending himself against Rufinus of Aquileia, his former friend turned theological enemy. Rufinus implied Jerome still secretly followed Origen's heresies (e.g., pre-existence of souls, universal salvation).

eī! quoniam Stōicī logicam sibi vindicant – et Tū huius scientiae dēlīrāmenta contemnis: in hāc parte "Epicūrēus" es

Oh! since the Stoics claim logic for themselves – and you despise the ravings of this discipline: in this respect you are "an Epicurean"

nec quaeris quō modō, sed quid loquāris: quid enim ad tē pertinet, sī Alius nōn intellegat quid velīs dīcere – quia nōn ad omnēs, sed ad tuōs loqueris?

nor do you inquire HOW you speak, but WHAT you speak: for what does it matter to you, if someone else does not understand what you want to say – since you are not speaking to everyone, but to your own?

In this quote, Jerome, who lived in Bethlehem, insults Rufinus by comparing him to an Epicurean – based on perceived similarities in the rejection of formal studies in Logic and Rhetoric.

Epicurus' name ("Apiqoros") still has negative associations in the area.

Epicureans are regarded by Jewish law as deserving death – killing an Epicurean is a mitzvah (a religious commandment), even if the killing is not sanctioned by any other laws. (Mishneh Torah, Rotzeah uShmirat Nefesh, 4.10)

The term is now used, not for Epicureans specially, but for all those who reject Judaism on intellectual grounds. (Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism pg. 549)

[U244]

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), *Against the Logicians*, 2.9

ὁ δὲ Ἐπίκουρος τὰ μὲν αἰσθητὰ πάντα ἔλεγεν ἀληθῆ καὶ ὄντα – οὐ διήνεγκε γὰρ ἀληθὲς εἶναί τι λέγειν ἢ ὑπάρχον

Epicurus said that all perceptible things are true and real [*i.e., existing*] – for he made no distinction between saying that something is true or that it exists

ἐνθεν καὶ, ὑπογράφων τὰληθές καὶ ψεῦδος, "ἔστι" φησὶν "ἀληθὲς τὸ οὕτως ἔχον ὥς λέγεται ἔχειν" – καὶ "ψεῦδός ἐστι" φησί "τὸ οὐχ οὕτως ἔχον ὥς λέγεται ἔχειν"

and from there, while outlining what is true and false, [*Epicurus*] says "what is true is that which is in such a way as it is said to be" – he says "what is false is that which is not in such a way as it is said to be"

Epicurus defines truth as the agreement between what is and what is said to be, and falsehood as the lack of that agreement.

ὑπάρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	existing [already]	ὑΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning under</i>
ἀληθής <i>(ές)</i>	(α) true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not concealed</i>
τὰληθές = τὸ ἀληθές τὰ ἀληθῆ	[α] what is true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not hidden</i>
τὸ ψεῦδος τὰ ψεύδη	[-α] what is false	ΨΕΥΔΟΣ <i>falsehood / lie</i>

[U245]

10. All Judgment is based in Experience

Cicero (fl. 65 ^{BCE}), *Academica* 2 (*Lucullus*) 46.142

aliud Epicūrī [iūdicium est] – Quī omne iūdicium in sēnsibus et in rērum notītiīs et in volūptāte cōstituī

another judgment exists for Epicurus – who [*thinks*] all judgment is established in the senses, in the acquaintance with things, and in pleasure

We can look at Zeno of Citium's "Analogy of the Closing Hand" for contrast:

An open hand represents φαντασία (an appearance),
partially closed fingers represent συγκατάθεσις (assent that the appearance is true),
a closed fist represents κατάληψις (thorough comprehension),
and the other hand enclosing the fist represents ἐπιστήμη (understanding),
– true knowledge, possessed only by the wise.

According to Cicero "from this illustration he gave to that process the actual name of κατάληψις, which it had not had before" (*Academica* 2.145)

2. Sensation

[U246]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), *Lives of Philosophers*, 9.106 (Pyrrho)

ἔστιν οὖν κριτήριον κατὰ τοὺς Σκεπτικούς τὸ φαινόμενον, ὡς καὶ Αἰνησίδημός φησιν – οὕτω δὲ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος

according to the Skeptics, the criterion is what is apparent, as Aenesidemus also says – and so too Epicurus

Aenesidemus (Αἰνησίδημός, "praised by the people") was a 1st-century BCE Skeptic who broke from the Academy – and revived Pyrrhonian Skepticism in reaction to Antiochus of Ascalon's Middle Platonist introduction of Stoic and Peripatetic dogma into the Academy.

τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ result of <i>shining</i>
-------------------------------	------------------	--

[U247]

2. Appearance and Judgment are Linked
(Appearance is Always True)

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), *Against the Logicians*, 1.203 - 216

[203] Ἐπίκουρος, δὲ, *δυεῖν ὄντων τῶν συζυγούντων ἀλλήλοις πραγμάτων – φαντασίας καὶ τῆς δόξης – τούτων, τὴν φαντασίαν, ἣν καὶ "ἐνάργειαν," καλεῖ, διὰ παντὸς ἀληθῆ* φησιν *ὑπάρχειν*

Epicurus, however, says that of the two things which are linked with one another – appearance and judgment – of these, the appearance, which he also calls "detectible reality," always exists as true

What is apparent (τὸ φαινόμενον) to the senses is always real in some way – it is a true part of experience – but judgment (δόξα) might go wrong when interpreting that appearance.

τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ result of <i>shining</i>
δυεῖν	– genitive dual of –	ΔΥΟ two
συζυγῶν (οὔσα, ὦν)	linked together	ΣΥΖΥΓΩΝ <i>yoked together</i>
[no nominative] ἀλλήλων	of one another	ΑΛΛΗΛΩΝ <i>each other</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασῖαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[E] evidence	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
ἐναργής (ές)	detectible	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΣ <i>within clear</i>
τὸ ἐνάργημα τὰ ἐναργήματα	^[E] detectible fact	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of being within clear</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[E] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>

ἀληθής <i>(ές)</i>	(α) true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not concealed</i>
καλεῖν	to call	ΚΑΛΕΙΝ <i>crying out</i>
υὔπαρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>

3. Sensory Realism
(Opposing Relativism)

ὥς γάρ τὰ πρῶτα Πάθη (τουτέστιν, Ἡδονή καὶ Πόνος) ἀπὸ ποιητικῶν τινων, καὶ κατ' αὐτὰ τὰ ποιητικά συνίσταται (οἷον ἢ μὲν Ἡδονή ἀπὸ τῶν ἡδέων – ἢ δὲ Ἀλγηδῶν ἀπὸ τῶν ἀλγεινῶν) – καὶ οὔτε τὸ τῆς ἡδονῆς Ποιητικὸν Ἐνδέχεταιί ποτε μὴ εἶναι ἡδύ, οὔτε τὸ τῆς ἀλγηδόνος Παρεκτικὸν μὴ υὔπαρχειν ἀλγεινόν

for just as the primary Experiences (that is, Pleasure and Suffering) are composed from certain productive things and in accordance with the very productive things themselves (such as Pleasure from what is pleasant – and Pain from what is painful) – and is it not possible that what produces pleasure is not pleasant, nor what results in pain does not exist as painful

Pleasure and pain arise from things that are themselves truly pleasant or painful: when you feel pleasure, that is because something really and inherently pleasant caused it.

This view rejects radical relativism, asserting that (even if individuals may react differently) the causes themselves have a fixed nature.

πρῶτος <i>(η, ον)</i>	primary	ΠΡΩΤΟΣ <i>first</i>
τὸ πάθος τὰ πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
τουτέστιν = τοὔτο ἐστίν	"that is [to say]"	
ἡ ἡδονή αἱ ἡδοναί	pleasure	ἩΔΟΝΗ <i>sweet</i>
ἐπίπονος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	tedious	ΕΠΙΠΟΝΟΣ <i>upon toil</i>
ὁ πόνος οἱ πόνοι	suffering	ΠΟΝΟΣ <i>toil</i>
ποιητικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	productive, [or] poetic	ΠΟΙΗΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>gathering</i>
τὸ ποιητικόν τὰ ποιητικά	what produces	ΠΟΙΗΤΙΚΟΝ <i>characteristic of</i> <i>gathering</i>
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
οἶος <i>(οἶα, οἶον)</i>	such as	ΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
ἡδύς <i>(ἡδεῖα, ἡδύ)</i>	pleasant	ἩΔΥΣ <i>sweet</i>
τὸ ἡδύ τὰ ἡδέα	what is pleasant	ἩΔΥ <i>sweet</i>
ἡ ἀλγηδών αἱ ἀλγηδόνες	pain	ΑΛΓΗΔΩΝ <i>the state</i> <i>pain</i>
τὸ ἀλγοῦν τὰ ἀλγοῦντα	what causes pain	ΑΛΓΟΥΝ <i>being in</i> <i>pain</i>
ἀλγεινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	painful	ΑΛΓΕΙΝΟΣ <i>pain</i>
τὸ ἀλγεινόν τὰ ἀλγεινά	what is painful	ΑΛΓΕΙΝΟΝ <i>pain</i>
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>

παρεκτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	resulting in	ΠΑΡΕΚΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being from out of</i>
τό παρεκτικόν τά παρεκτικά	what results in	
υ̐πάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>

4. Correspondence of Appearance and Reality

ἀλλ' ἀνάγκη καὶ **τό ἥδον** ἡδὺ καὶ **τό ἀλγῦνον** ἀλγεινὸν **τὴν φύσιν** ὑποκεῖσθαι: **οὕτω** καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν φαντασιῶν – **παθῶν** περὶ ἡμᾶς οὐσῶν

but [*it is*] necessary that both **what causes pleasure** is pleasant and **what causes pain** is **underlyingly painful by nature**: so also in the case of the appearances – given that they exist **around us** as experiences

τὸ Ποιητικὸν ἐκάστης αὐτῶν **πάντῃ τε** καὶ **πάντως** **φανταστὸν** ἐστίν – Ὅ οὐκ ἐνδέχεται, **ὃν φανταστὸν**, **μὴ υ̐πάρχειν** κατ' ἀλήθειαν τοιοῦτον οἷον φαίνεται
[lacuna] **ποιητικὸν φαντασίας** **καθεστάναι**

what produces **each** of the [*appearances*] is **in every way** and **altogether** **capable of appearing** – which cannot, **being capable of appearing**, **not exist** in truth **such as it appears...** ...**to establish what produces an appearance**

What consistently appears hard is actually hard, what consistently appears cold is actually cold.

ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
τό ἥδον τά ἥδοντα	what causes pleasure [active in producing pleasure]	ἍΔΟΝ <i>sweet</i>
τό ἡδύ τά ἡδέα	what is pleasant [pleasant in quality]	ἍΔΥΣ <i>sweet</i>
ἡδύς <i>(ἡδεῖα, ἡδύ)</i>	pleasant	ἍΔΥΣ <i>sweet</i>
τό ἀλγοῦν τά ἀλγοῦντα	what causes pain	ΑΛΓΟΥΝ <i>being in pain</i>
ἀλγεινός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	painful	ΑΛΓΕΙΝΟΣ <i>pain</i>
κεῖσθαι	to be set [up]	ΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting</i>
υ̐πόκεισθαι	to underlie	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting under</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
φανταστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	appearance- based	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of appearing</i>
φανταστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	capable of appearing	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
τό πάθος τά πάθη	^[π] experience <i>(feeling)</i>	ΠΑΘΟΣ <i>enduring</i>
τὸ ποιητικόν τά ποιητικά	what produces	ΠΟΙΗΤΙΚΟΝ <i>characteristic of gathering</i>
ἐνδέχεσθαι	to be possible [here, "can"]	ΕΝΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting in</i>
υ̐πάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>

τᾰλήθῆς = τὸ ἄληθές τὰ ἄληθῆ	^[α] what is true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not hidden</i>
ἡ ἀλήθεια αἱ ἀλήθειαι	truth	ΑΛΗΘΕΙΑ <i>not hidden</i>
τοιούτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
καθιστάναι	to establish	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly standing</i>

5. Reasoning Analogically
with the Data from Each Sense

^[204] καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν κατὰ μέρος τὸ παραπλήσιον Χρῆ λογίζεσθαι – τὸ γὰρ Ὅρατόν οὐ μόνον φαίνεται ὀρατόν – ἀλλὰ καὶ ἔστι τοιοῦτον ὅποῖον φαίνεται

It is also necessary to reason nearly equivalently in regards to the [sensations] according to [the details of] each – for what is visible not only appears visible – but is also such a thing as the kind of thing it appears [to be]

καὶ τὸ Ἄκουστόν οὐ μόνον φαίνεται ἀκουστόν, ἀλλὰ καὶ ταῖς ἀληθείαις τοιοῦτον ὑπῆρχεν: καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν ἄλλων ὡσαύτως: γίνονται, οὖν, πᾶσαι αἱ Φαντασίαι ἀληθεῖς

and what is audible does not only appear audible – but actually in truth exists as such: and likewise for the other [senses]: all appearances, therefore, turn out to be true

Because our senses provide us with an actual presentation of our environment we can use the data they provide as a basis for analogical reasoning.

τὸ μέρος τὰ μέρη	part	ΜΕΡΟΣ <i>part</i>
κατὰ μέρος	for [the details of] each [part]	
ὁ πλησίος οἱ πλησίοι	neighbor	ΠΛΗΣΙΟΣ <i>near</i>
παραπλήσιος <i>(α, ον)</i>	nearly equivalent	ΠΑΡΑΠΛΗΣΙΟΣ <i>near alongside</i>
παραπλήσιον	nearly equivalently	ΠΑΡΑΠΛΗΣΙΟΝ
χρῆσθαι	to use	ΧΡΗΣΘΑΙ <i>necessary</i>
λογίζεσθαι	to reason	ΛΟΓΙΖΕΣΘΑΙ <i>action of collecting</i>
ὀρατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	visible	ὍΡΑΤΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
τοιούτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i> <i>[here, "such a thing as"]</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ποῖος <i>(α, ον)</i>	what kind of [thing]	ΠΟΙΟΣ <i>gathering</i>
ὅποῖος	the kind of [thing]	ὍΠΟΙΟΣ <i>that gathering</i>
Part 2		
ἀκουστικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	auditory	ΑΚΟΥΣΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of hearing</i>
ἀκουστός	audible	ΑΚΟΥΣΤΟΣ <i>hearing</i>

φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ <i>shining</i>
ἡ ἀλήθεια αἱ ἀλήθειαι	truth	ΑΛΗΘΕΙΑ <i>not hidden</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
υὔπαρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
ῶσαύτως	likewise	ΥΣΑΥΤΩΣ <i>as self</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced [here, "turn out to be"]	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ἀληθής <i>(ές)</i>	^(α) true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not concealed</i>

6. What Appears Must Exist

[205] καὶ κατὰ λόγον: εἰ, γὰρ, ἀληθής λέγεται Φαντασία, φασὶν οἱ Ἐπικούρειοι, ὅταν ἀπὸ ὑπάρχοντός τε καὶ κατ' αὐτὸ τὸ ὑπάρχον γίνηται – πᾶσα δὲ Φαντασία ἀπὸ ὑπάρχοντος τοῦ φανταστοῦ καὶ κατ' αὐτὸ τὸ φανταστὸν συνίσταται – πᾶσα, κατ' ἀνάγκην, Φαντασία ἐστὶν ἀληθής

and according to reason: for, if an appearance is called true, say the Epicureans, whenever it is produced from something existing and in accordance with the very thing that exists – and [given that] every appearance is composed from something existing that is capable of appearing and in accordance with what is capable of appearing itself – [then] by natural necessity, every appearance is true

ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words)</i> <i>[i.e., reasoning]</i>
Ἐπικούρειος <i>(α, ον)</i>	Epicurean	ΕΠΙΚΟΥΡΕΙΟΣ <i>running toward</i> <i>[to assist]</i>
υὔπαρχων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i> υὔπαρχοντες <i>(ουσαι, οντα)</i>	existing [already]	ΥΠΑΡΧΩΝ <i>beginning</i> <i>under (before)</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
πᾶς <i>(πᾶσα, πᾶν)</i>	whole	ΠΑΣ <i>all</i>
φανταστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	capable of appearing	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΟΣ <i>appearing</i>
τὸ φανταστόν τὰ φανταστά	what is capable of appearing	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΟΝ <i>appearing</i>
συνιστάναι	to compose	ΣΥΝΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing together</i>
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against</i> <i>constraint</i>

7. Changing Appearances

[206] ἔξαπατᾷ δὲ ἐνίουs ἡ Διαφορὰ τῶν ἀπὸ τοῦ αὐτοῦ αἰσθητοῦ (οἶον ὀρατοῦ) δοκουσῶν προσπίπτειν φαντασιῶν – καθ' ἣν ἡ ἀλλοιόχρουν ἡ ἀλλοιόσχημον ἡ ἄλλως πως ἐξηλλαγμένον φαίνεται τὸ Ὑποκείμενον

but the difference regarding the appearances that seem to fall upon [us] from the same sensible thing (such as from a visible one) deceives some people – according to which the original source is apparent as either differently colored, or differently shaped, or otherwise completely changed

Appearances are real and trustworthy

As the sun's apparent size and color change throughout the day, each appearance is truly a real physical impression from the sun – error can only arise if we assert the size of the sun (i.e., the original source of the appearances) without more direct information.

ἐξαπατᾶν	to deceive	ΕΞΑΠΑΤΑΝ thoroughly seducing
ἐνιοι (αι, α)	some	ΕΝΙΟΙ one
οἱ ἐνιοι	some people	ΕΝΙΟΙ one
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ carrying apart
αἰσθητός (ή, όν)	(A) sensible	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΟΣ perceiving
όρατός (ή, όν)	visible	ΌΡΑΤΟΣ seeing
δοκεῖν	to seem	ΔΟΚΕΙΝ seeming
προσπίπτειν	to fall upon	ΠΡΟΣΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ falling toward
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ state of appearing
ἀλλοιοσχήμων (ον)	differently shaped	ΑΛΛΟΙΟΣΧΗΜΩΝ holding other [form]
τò χρῶμα τὰ χρώματα	color	ΧΡΩΜΑ [skin] color
ἀλλοιόχρους (ουν)	differently colored	ΑΛΛΟΙΟΧΡΟΥΣ different color
ἀλλοιοσχήμων (ον)	differently shaped	ΑΛΛΟΙΟΣΧΗΜΩΝ holding different [form]
ἀπηλλαγμένος (η, ον)	having been removed	ΑΠΗΛΛΑΓΜΕΝΟΣ removing away from
ἐξηλλαγμένος (η, ον)	completely changed	ΕΞΗΛΛΑΓΜΕΝΟΣ removing out
φαίνειν	to appear	ΦΑΙΝΕΙΝ shining
φαίνεσθαι	to be apparent	ΦΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ shining
τò ὑποκείμενον τὰ ὑποκείμενα	original source	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΝ result of situated under

8. False Dichotomy of the Skeptics

Ὑπενόησαν γὰρ ὅτι, τῶν οὕτω διαφερουσῶν καὶ μαχομένων φαντασιῶν Δεῖ τήν μέν τινα ἀληθῆ εἶναι – τήν δ', ἐκ τῶν ἐναντίων, ψευδῆ τυγχάνειν (Ὅπερ ἐστὶν εὐθες ^[207] καὶ ἀνδρῶν μὴ συνορώντων τήν ἐν τοῖς οὔσι φύσιν)

[Epicureans] conjectured that, of appearances differing and conflicting in this way, it is necessary that a certain [appearance] is true – but the other [appearance], from opposing things, happens to be false (which is naïve and [a sign] of men not fully perceiving the nature in the things that exist)

In fact, the Skeptics are being naïve. To call one appearance false and the other true is to misunderstand their nature. They are both true and real presentations. Error arises only if you form a mistaken opinion about what the appearances suggest.

It is only through repeated and uncontradicted exposure to appearances that confidence is established about the nature of original source of any particular appearances.

ὑπολαμβάνειν	to suppose	ὑπολαμβάνειν grasping under
συλλογίζεσθαι	to infer	συλλογίζεσθαι reasoning action together
οἶεσθαι	to believe	οἶεσθαι perceive
ὑπονοεῖν	to conjecture	ὑπονοεῖν seeing
διαφέρων (ουσα, ον)	differing	διαφέρων bringing through
μάχεσθαι	to contend [here, "to conflict"]	μάχεσθαι combating
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	φαντασία state of appearing
ἀληθής (ές)	(α) true	ἀληθής not concealed
ἐναντίος (α, ον)	opposing	ἐναντίος in against
ψευδής (ής, ές)	false	ψευδής deceiving
τυγχάνειν	to happen to be	τυγχάνειν happening
ὅσπερ (ἥπερ, ὅπερ)	the very thing which	ὅσπερ relative pronoun emphatic particle
τὸ ἥθος τὰ ἥθη	disposition	ἥθος custom
εὐήθης	naïve	εὐήθης good custom
συνορῶν (ῶσα)	fully seeing [mentally]	συνορῶν seen together

9. Correct Example from Sight

οὐ γὰρ ὅλον ὁράται τὸ Στερέμνιον (ἵνα ἐπὶ τῶν ὁρατῶν Ποιώμεθα τὸν λόγον) – ἀλλὰ τὸ Χρῶμα τοῦ στερεμνίου

(let us make the reasoning based on visible things in this way) the hard object is not seen as a whole – but [only] the color of the hard object

τοῦ δὲ χρώματος, τὸ μὲν ἐστὶν ἐπ' αὐτοῦ τοῦ στερεμνίου (καθάπερ ἐπὶ τῶν σύνεγγυς καὶ ἐκ τοῦ μετρίου διαστήματος βλεπομένων) – τὸ δ' ἐκτὸς τοῦ στερεμνίου κὰν τοῖς ἐφεξῆς τόποις ὑποκείμενον (καθάπερ ἐπὶ τῶν ἐκ μακροῦ διαστήματος θεωρουμένων)

of the color, one [*part*] is on the hard object itself (just as in things seen from nearby and from a moderate separation) – the other [*part is*] outside the hard object and underlying in the adjacent locations (just as with things observed from a distant separation)

Τοῦτο δέ, ἐν τῷ μεταξύ ἐξαλλαπτόμενον καὶ ἴδιον ἀναδεχόμενον σχῆμα,
[208] τοιαύτην ἀναδίδωσι φαντασίαν ὅποιον καὶ Αὐτὸ κατ' ἀλήθειαν ὑπόκειται

but this, being completely changed in the intervening [*space*] and taking on a particular shape, delivers such an appearance as the kind of thing which it also itself underlies in truth

ὄρᾱν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
τὸ στερέμνιον τὰ στερέμνια	hard object	ΣΤΕΡΕΜΝΙΟΝ <i>object from making hard</i>
ὀρατός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	visible	ὍΡΑΤΟΣ <i>seeing</i>
ὁ λόγος οἱ λόγοι	reasoning	ΛΟΓΟΣ <i>collecting (words) [i.e., reasoning]</i>
τὸ χρῶμα τὰ χρώματα	color	ΧΡΩΜΑ <i>skin color</i>
Part 2		
σύνεγγυς	nearby	ΣΥΝΕΓΓΥΣ <i>near together</i>
μετρεῖν	to measure	ΜΕΤΡΕΙΝ <i>measuring</i>
μέτριος <i>(η, ον)</i>	moderate	ΜΕΤΡΙΟΣ <i>measuring</i>
τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>
βλεπόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	seen	ΒΛΕΠΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>having been looking</i>
ἐκτός	outside	ΕΚΤΟΣ <i>out</i>
ἐξῆς	in succession	ἙΞΗΣ <i>possessing</i>
ἐφεξῆς	successively [here, "adjacent"]	ΕΦΕΞΗΣ <i>possessing upon</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
παρέκεισθαι	to displace	ΠΑΡΕΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting alongside</i>
ὑπόκεισθαι	to underlie	ὙΠΟΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting under</i>
ὑποκείμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	underlying	
μακρός <i>(ά, όν)</i>	distant	ΜΑΚΡΟΣ <i>long-reaching</i>
τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>
θεωρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	observed	ΘΕΩΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>
Part 3		
μεταξύ	intervening	ΜΕΤΑΞΥ <i>among with</i>
ἀλλάττειν	to change	ΑΛΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>changing</i>
ἐξάλλάττειν	to completely change	ΕΞΑΛΛΑΤΤΕΙΝ
ἐξαλλαπτόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	[being] completely changed	ΕΞΑΛΛΑΤΤΟΜΕΝΟΣ

ἴδιος (α, ον)	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
ἀποδέχεσθαι	to accept	ΑΠΟΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting from away</i>
ἐπιδέχεσθαι	to receive	ΕΠΙΔΕΧΕΣΘΑΙ <i>accepting upon</i>
ἀναδεχόμενος (η, ον)	taking upon [itself]	ΑΝΑΔΕΧΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>accepting back/again</i>
τὸ σχῆμα τὰ σχήματα	shape	ΣΧΗΜΑ <i>holding [a form]</i>
τοιοῦτος (τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)	like this (such as this)	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ἀναδιδόναι	to deliver	ΑΝΑΔΙΔΟΝΑΙ <i>giving up</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ὅποιος	the kind of [thing]	ὍΠΟΙΟΣ <i>that gathering</i>
ἡ ἀλήθεια αἱ ἀλήθειαι	truth	ΑΛΗΘΕΙΑ <i>not hidden</i>
ὑπόκεισθαι	to underlie	ὙΠΟΚΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>setting under</i>

10. Perceptual Realism

ὄνπερ, οὖν, τρόπον, οὔτε ἡ ἐν τῷ κρουομένῳ χαλκῷματι **Φωνή** ἐξακούεται **οὔτε** ἡ ἐν τῷ στόματι τοῦ **κεκραγότες** – ἀλλ' ἡ **προσπίπτουσα** τῇ ἡμετέρῃ αἰσθήσει

in just the same way, therefore, neither is the sound thoroughly heard in a bronze instrument that is being struck, nor the [sound] in the mouth of the man who shouted – but rather the [sound] that is falling upon our sensation

καὶ ὥς Οὐθείς φησι **τὸν** ἐξ ἀποστήματος μικρᾶς **ἀκούοντα** φωνῆς **ψευδῶς ἀκούειν** – **ἐπεὶπερ** **σύνεγγυς** **ἐλθὼν** ὥς **μείζονος** **ταύτης** ἀντιλαμβάνεται: **οὕτως** οὐκ ἂν εἴποιμι **ψεύδεσθαι** **τὴν** **ὄψιν** ὅτι ἐκ μακροῦ μὲν **διαστήματος** **μικρὸν** ὀρᾷ **τὸν** **πύργον** καὶ **στρογγύλον** – ἐκ δὲ τοῦ **σύνεγγυς** **μείζονα** καὶ **τετράγωνον**

and just as no one says that a person hearing a sound from a small distance hears falsely – just because after he has come nearby he instead receives it as louder: in this way I would not say that vision gives a false report because from a far separation it sees the tower as small and round – but from nearby as larger and tetragonal

^[209] **ἀλλὰ** **μᾶλλον** **ἀληθεύειν** – ὅτι καὶ ὅτε φαίνεται **μικρὸν** **αὐτῇ** τὸ Αἰσθητὸν καὶ **τοιουτόσχημον**: **ὄντως** ἐστὶ **μικρὸν** καὶ **τοιουτόσχημον** – **τῇ** διὰ τοῦ **ἀέρος** **φορᾷ**, **ἀποθραυομένων** **τῶν** **κατὰ** τὰ **εἶδωλα** **περάτων**

but rather [vision] truly reports – because even when the sensible object is apparent to [vision] as small and of a certain shape: it really is small and of a certain shape – due to the transmission through the air, as the edges of the films are being broken off

Perception is real and valid even if the source is distant or altered. It is absurd to argue that "you do not hear the sound of musical instruments, or the words people are saying, just because you are not hearing the exact quality of those sounds right at their original location" – or that "you do not really smell a perfume in a room unless you smell it right at the source of the perfume."

But this appearance-rejection is exactly what people engage in when they say the sun is not really the size it appears to be – part of the sun's very existence is how it sheds its films, and thus how it appears across space.

ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>
-------------------------------------	-----	--

κρούειν	to strike	ΚΡΟΥΕΙΝ <i>bumping</i>
κρουόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being struck	ΚΡΟΥΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>bumping</i>
τό χαλκῶμα τά χαλκῶματα	bronze instrument	ΧΑΛΚΩΜΑ <i>result of bronze</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement [here, "sound"]	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
ἀκούειν	to hear	ΑΚΟΥΕΙΝ <i>listening</i>
ἐξακούειν	to thoroughly hear	ΕΞΑΚΟΥΕΙΝ
τὸ στόμα τὰ στόματα	mouth	ΣΤΟΜΑ <i>opening</i>
κράζειν	to shout	ΚΡΑΖΕΙΝ <i>crying out</i>
ὁ κεκραγὼς οἱ κεκραγότες	he who shouted	ΚΕΚΡΑΓΩΣ <i>cried out</i>
προσπίπτειν	to fall upon	ΠΡΟΣΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling toward</i>
προσπίπτων <i>(ουσα, ον)</i>	falling upon	ΠΡΟΣΠΙΠΤΩΝ <i>falling toward</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
Part 2		
οὐθεῖς = οὐδεῖς <i>(οὐδεμία, οὐδέν)</i>	no one [factual]	ΟΥΔΕΙΣ <i>not even one</i>
τὸ ἀπόστημα τὰ ἀποστήματα	distance	ΑΠΟΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing away</i>
μικρός <i>(ἄ, όν)</i>	small	ΜΙΚΡΟΣ <i>little</i>
ἀκούων	hearing	ΑΚΟΥΩΝ <i>listening</i>
ἡ φωνή αἱ φωναί	statement [here, "sound"]	ΦΩΝΗ <i>speaking</i>
ψευδῶς	falsely	ΨΕΥΔΩΣ <i>deceiving</i>
σύνεγγυς	nearby	ΣΥΝΕΓΓΥΣ <i>near together</i>
ἐλθών <i>(ἐλθοῦσα, ἐλθόν)</i>	having come	ΕΛΘΩΝ <i>went</i>
παραλαμβάνεσθαι	to be received	ΠΑΡΑΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>grasping alongside</i>
ἀντιλαμβάνεσθαι	to receive instead	ΑΝΤΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>grasping against</i>
εἵποιμι	I might say	
ψεύδεσθαι	to give a false report	ΨΕΥΔΕΣΘΑΙ <i>deceiving</i>
ἡ ὄψις αἱ ὀψεις	vision [here, "appearance"]	ΟΨΙΣ <i>eye</i>
μακρός <i>(ἄ, όν)</i>	distant	ΜΑΚΡΟΣ <i>long-reaching</i>
τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>
ὁρᾶν	to see [mentally]	ὍΡΑΝ <i>looking</i>
ὁ πύργος οἱ πύργοι	tower	ΠΥΡΓΟΣ <i>pre-Greek</i>
στρογγύλος <i>(η, ον)</i>	round	ΣΤΡΟΓΓΥΛΟΣ <i>droplet</i>
μείζων <i>(ον)</i>	larger	ΜΕΙΖΩΝ <i>greater</i>
τετράγωνος <i>(η, ον)</i>	tetragonal	ΤΕΤΡΑΓΩΝΟΣ <i>four-angled</i>

Part 3		
ἀληθής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	true	ΑΛΗΘΗΣ <i>not hidden</i>
ἀληθεύειν	to truly report	ΑΛΗΘΕΥΕΙΝ
φαίνεσθαι	to be apparent	ΦΑΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>shining</i>
αἰσθητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	^(A) sensible	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΟΣ <i>perceiving</i>
τοιουτόσχημος <i>(η, ον)</i>	of a certain shape	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣΧΗΜΟΣ <i>such a kind of holding [a form]</i>
ὄντως	really	ΟΝΤΩΣ <i>existing</i>
ὁ ἀήρ <i>(τοῦ ἀέρος)</i>	air	ΑΗΡ <i>morning mist</i>
ἡ φορά αἱ φόραι	transmission	ΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying</i>
ἀποθραύειν	to break off	ΑΠΟΘΡΑΥΕΙΝ <i>shattering away from</i>
τὸ εἶδωλον τὰ εἶδωλα	film	ΕΙΔΩΛΟΝ <i>object from appearing</i>
τὸ πέρας τὰ πέρατα	limit [here, "edge"]	ΠΕΡΑΣ <i>finished</i>

11. Physical Realty of Appearances

καὶ ὅτε **μέγα** **πάλιν** καὶ **ἀλλοιόσχημον**, **πάλιν** **ὁμοίως** **μέγα** καὶ **ἀλλοιόσχημον** – **ἤδη μέντοι** οὐ **τὸ αὐτὸ** ἀμφοτέρα καθεστώς

and when [*it appears*] **again** **large** and **differently shaped**, [*it is*] **again** **similarly large** and **differently shaped** – **since by now** both [*appearances*] are not established **as the same thing**

Τοῦτο γὰρ τῆς διαστρόφου **λοιπὸν** ἐστὶ **δόξης**: **οἶεσθαι** ὅτι **τὸ αὐτὸ** ἦν **τό τε** ἐκ τοῦ **σύνεγγυς** καὶ **τὸ πόρρωθεν** **θεωρούμενον** **φανταστόν**

for this is what **remains** of distorted judgment: **to believe** that **the appearance** **observed** from nearby and from far off was **the same**

ἀλλοιοσχήμων <i>(ον)</i>	differently shaped	ΑΛΛΟΙΟΣΧΗΜΩΝ <i>holding differently [form]</i>
ὁμοίως	similarly	ΟΜΟΙΩΣ <i>similar</i>
ἀμφότερος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	both	ΑΜΦΟΤΕΡΟΣ <i>what is on both sides</i>
καθιστάναι	to establish	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly standing</i>
καθεστώς <i>(ῶσα, ώς)</i>	<i>[having been]</i> established	ΚΑΘΕΣΤΩΣ
part 2		
ἡ συστροφή αἱ συστροφαί	assemblage	ΣΥΣΤΡΟΦΗ <i>twisting together</i>
διαστρόφος <i>(ος, ον)</i>	distorted	ΔΙΑΣΤΡΟΦΟΣ <i>twisting</i>
λοιπός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	remaining [rest of + <i>gen</i>]	ΛΟΙΠΟΣ <i>what is left over</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
οἶεσθαι	to believe	ΟΙΕΣΘΑΙ <i>perceive</i>

σύνεγγυς	near by	ΣΥΝΕΓΓΥΣ <i>near together</i>
πόρρωθεν	from far off	πόρρωθεν <i>from afar</i>
θεωρούμενος (η, ον)	observed	ΘΕΩΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>envisioning</i>
φανταστός (ή, όν)	capable of appearing	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΤΟΣ <i>appearing</i>

12. Senses Perceive Appearances

[210] αἰσθήσεως δὲ ἴδιον ὑπῆρχε ^{τὸ} τοῦ παρόντος μόνον καὶ κινουῦντος αὐτὴν Ἀντιλαμβάνεσθαι – οἷον χρώματος – οὐχὶ δὲ τὸ Διακρίνειν ὅτι ἄλλο μὲν ἐστὶ τὸ Ἐνθάδε – ἄλλο δὲ τὸ ἐνθάδε Ὑποκείμενον

but it exists as the particularly of sensation instead to receive only what is present and moving it – such as color – and not to thoroughly separate that what is here is one thing – but what is underlying there is another thing

διόπερ, αἱ μὲν Φαντασίαι, διὰ ταῦτα, πᾶσαι εἰσιν ἀληθεῖς – αἱ, δὲ, Δόξαι οὐ πᾶσαι ᾗσαν ἀληθεῖς – ἀλλ', εἶχόν τινα διαφοράν

therefore, the appearances, for these reasons, are all true – the judgments, however, are not all true – but, they have some difference

ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ἴδιος (α, ον)	particular	ΙΔΙΟΣ <i>particular</i>
υὑάρχειν	to exist	ΥΠΑΡΧΕΙΝ <i>beginning under (before)</i>
τὸ παρόν τὰ παρόντα	what is present	ΠΑΡΟΝΤΑ <i>existing alongside</i>
κινεῖν	to move	ΚΙΝΕΙΝ <i>moving</i>
κινουῦν (οὔσα)	moving	ΚΙΝΟΥΝ
ἀντιλαμβάνεσθαι	to receive instead	ΑΝΤΙΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>grasping against</i>
οἶος (οἶα, οἶον)	such as	ΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
τὸ χρῶμα τὰ χρώματα	color	ΧΡΩΜΑ <i>skin color</i>
ἐπικρίνειν	to discriminate	ΕΠΙΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>dividing upon</i>
διακρίνειν	to thoroughly separate	ΔΙΑΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>dividing through</i>
ἐνθάδε	here / there	
ὑποκείμενος (η, ον)	underlying	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΣ <i>setting under</i>
part 2		
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ἀληθής (ής, ές)	true	ΑΛΗΘΗΣ <i>not hidden</i>
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἡ διαφορά αἱ διαφοραί	difference	ΔΙΑΦΟΡΑ <i>carrying apart</i>

τούτων, γὰρ, αἱ μὲν ἦσαν ἀληθεῖς, αἱ δὲ ψευδεῖς: ἐπεὶπερ Κρίσεις καθεστᾶσιν ἡμῶν ἐπὶ ταῖς φαντασίαις – Κρίνομεν δὲ τὰ μὲν ὀρθῶς, τὰ δὲ μοχθηρῶς (ἦτοι παρὰ τὸ προστιθέναι τι καὶ προσνέμειν ταῖς φαντασίαις – ἢ παρὰ τὸ ἀφαιρεῖν τι τούτων – καὶ κοινῶς καταψεύδεσθαι τῆς ἀλόγου αἰσθήσεως)

for, of these [judgments], some were true, but others false: since our distinctions [between true and false] are established upon appearances – and we distinguish some things rightly, others wretchedly (either by adding and allotting something to the appearances – or by removing something from them – and generally give a false report against unreasoning sensation)

[211] οὐκοῦν, τῶν δοξῶν, κατὰ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον, αἱ μὲν ἀληθεῖς εἰσιν, αἱ δὲ ψευδεῖς: ἀληθεῖς μὲν αἱ τε ἐπιμαρτυρούμεναι καὶ οὐκ ἀντιμαρτυρούμεναι πρὸς τῆς ἐναργείας – ψευδεῖς δὲ αἱ τε ἀντιμαρτυρούμεναι καὶ οὐκ ἐπιμαρτυρούμεναι πρὸς τῆς ἐναργείας

so then, of the judgments, according to Epicurus, some are true, and others are false: true are those attested and not contested by detectible reality – false are those contested and not attested by detectible reality

ἀληθής (ῆς, ἐς)	true	ΑΛΗΘΗΣ <i>not hidden</i>
ψευδής (ῆς, ἐς)	false	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ <i>deceiving</i>
ἡ κρίσις αἱ κρίσεις	distinction [i.e., an act of discrimination]	ΚΡΙΣΙΣ <i>dividing</i>
κρίνειν	to distinguish	ΚΡΙΝΕΙΝ <i>separating / judging</i>
καθιστάναι	to establish	ΚΑΘΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>thoroughly standing</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>
ὀρθῶς	^{α} rightly	ΟΡΘΩΣ <i>straight upright</i>
μοχθηρός (ή, όν)	wretched	ΜΟΧΘΗΡΟΣ <i>hardship</i>
μοχθηρῶς	wretchedly	ΜΟΧΘΗΡΩΣ <i>hardship</i>
προστιθέναι	to add	ΠΡΟΣΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing toward</i>
ὑποτάττειν	to assign	ῚΠΟΤΑΤΤΕΙΝ <i>ordering under</i>
προσνέμειν	to allot	ΠΡΟΣΝΕΜΕΙΝ <i>assigning upon</i>
ἀφαιρεῖν	to remove	ΑΦΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping away</i>
κοινῶς	generally	ΚΟΙΝΩΣ <i>common</i>
ψεύδεσθαι	to give a false report	ΨΕΥΔΕΣΘΑΙ <i>deceiving</i>
καταψεύδεσθαι	to give a false report against	ΚΑΤΑΨΕΥΔΕΣΘΑΙ <i>deceiving against</i>
ἄλογος (ον)	unreasoning	ΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>not reasoning</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	^[Α] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
part 2		
ἡ δόξα αἱ δόξαι	judgment	ΔΟΞΑΙ <i>seeming</i>
ἀληθής (ἐς)	^(α) true	ΑΛΗΘΕΣ <i>not concealed</i>
ψευδής (ῆς, ἐς)	false	ΨΕΥΔΗΣ <i>deceiving</i>

τὸ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον τὰ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενα	^[M] what is attested	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of testifying upon</i>
ἐπιμαρτυρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	attested	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>testifying upon</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	^{w} to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
τὸ ἀντιμαρτυρούμενον τὰ ἀντιμαρτυρούμενα	^[W] what is contested	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of <i>testifying</i> against</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	contested	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>result of <i>testifying</i> against</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[E] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>

14. Attestation (*definition*)

[212] ἔστι δὲ Ἐπιμαρτύρησις μὲν κατάληψις δι' ἐναργείας τοῦ τὸ δοξαζόμενον τοιοῦτον εἶναι ὁποῖόν ποτε ἐδοξάζετο: οἷον, Πλάτωνος μακρόθεν προσιόντος, Εἰκάζω μὲν καὶ Δοξάζω, παρὰ τὸ διάστημα, ὅτι Πλάτων ἐστί – προσπελάσαντος δὲ αὐτοῦ, προσεμαρτυρήθη ὅτι ὁ Πλάτων ἐστί, συναιρεθέντος τοῦ διαστήματος – καὶ ἐπεμαρτυρήθη δι' αὐτῆς τῆς ἐναργείας

Attestation is the comprehension through detectible reality of what is judged [actually] being the kind of thing it was once judged [to be]: such as, when Plato is approaching from afar, I imagine and judge, due to the separation, that it is Plato – but when he has approached, it was confirmed that it is Plato, with the separation between having been removed – and it was attested through detectible reality itself

ἡ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	^[M] attestation	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying upon</i>
ἡ κατάληψις αἱ καταλήψεις	<i>[Stoic Term]</i> thorough comprehension	ΚΑΤΑΛΗΨΙΣ <i>thoroughly taking hold</i>
ἡ ἐνάργεια αἱ ἐναργεῖαι	^[E] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
δοξαζόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	being judged	ΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeming</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this ^[here, "actually"]	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ὁποῖος	the kind of [thing]	ὍΠΟΙΟΣ <i>that gathering</i>
οἷος <i>(οἷα, οἷον)</i>	such as	ΟἶΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
Πλάτων	Plato	ΠΛΑΤΩΝ <i>broad</i>
μακρὰν	by a long way	ΜΑΚΡΑΝ <i>long-reaching</i>
μακρόθεν	from afar	ΜΑΚΡΟΘΕΝ <i>long-reaching</i>
προσιών <i>(ῶσα, όν)</i>	approaching	ΠΡΟΣΙΩΝ <i>going toward</i>
ἡ εἰκών αἱ εἰκόνες	image	ΕΙΚΩΝ <i>portraying</i>
εἰκάζειν	to imagine	ΕΙΚΑΖΕΙΝ <i>portraying</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>

πέλας	near	ΠΕΛΑΣ <i>approaching</i>
προσπελάζειν	to approach	ΠΡΟΣΠΕΛΑΖΕΙΝ <i>approaching toward</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	^{w} to contest	ANTIMARTYPEIN <i>testifying against</i>
προσεμαρτυρεῖν	to confirm	ΠΡΟΣΕΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying further</i>
αἶρεῖν	to choose	ΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking</i>
συναίρειν	to remove... between...	ΣΥΝΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>taking together</i>
ἐπιμαρτυρεῖν	to attest	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying upon</i>

15. Non-Contestation (*definition*)

[213] Οὐκ-ἀντιμαρτύρησις δέ ἐστιν **ἀκολουθία** τοῦ – ὑποσταθέντος καὶ **δοξασθέντος** – ἀδήλου **τῷ φαινομένῳ**: **οἷον** ὁ Ἐπίκουρος λέγων **εἶναι κενόν**, Ὅπερ ἐστὶν **ἄδηλον** – πιστοῦται δι' **ἐναργοῦς πράγματος** Τοῦτο – τῆς κινήσεως

Non-contestation is **conformity** of what is unclear – but has been postulated and judged – **with what appears**: **such as** Epicurus saying that **void exists**, which is the very thing that is **unclear** – this is confirmed through a detectible situation – **movement**

ἡ ἀντιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἀντιμαρτυρήσεις	^[w] contestation	ANTIMARTYPHISIS <i>action of testifying against</i>
ἀκολουθεῖν	to follow	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΕΙΝ <i>following</i>
ἡ ἀκολουθία αἱ ἀκολουθίαι	conformity	ΑΚΟΛΟΥΘΙΑ <i>following</i>
ἡ συμπάθεια αἱ συμπάθειαι	correspondence	ΣΥΜΠΑΘΕΙΑ <i>experience together</i>
ὑφιστάναι	to be established	ΥΦΙΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing under</i>
ὑποσταθεῖς	[having been] postulated	ΥΠΟΣΤΑΘΕΙΣ <i>stood under</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
δοξασθεῖς	[having been] judged	ΔΟΞΑΣΘΕΙΣ <i>seemed</i>
ἄδηλος <i>(ον)</i>	⁽⁰⁾ unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΣ <i>not clear</i>
φαινόμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	appearing	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>shining</i>
οἶος <i>(οἶα, οἶον)</i>	such as	ΟΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
κενός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	empty	ΚΕΝΟΣ <i>emptiness</i>
ὅσπερ <i>(ἥπερ, ὅπερ)</i>	the very thing which	ὍΣΠΕΡ <i>relative pronoun emphatic particle</i>
ἡ πίστις αἱ πίστεις	belief ^[based on experience]	ΠΙΣΤΙΣ <i>trust</i>
πιστοῦν	to confirm	ΠΙΣΤΟΥΝ <i>trust</i>
ἐναργής <i>(ές)</i>	detectible	ΕΝΑΡΓΗΣ <i>within clear</i>
τὸ πρᾶγμα τὰ πράγματα	situation	ΠΡΑΓΜΑ <i>result of doing / affair</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	^[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>

[214] **μη ὄντος γὰρ κενοῦ, οὐδὲ Κίνησις ὥφειλεν εἶναι – τόπον μὴ ἔχοντος τοῦ κινουμένου σώματος εἰς ὃν περιστήσεται, διὰ τὸ πάντα εἶναι πλήρη καὶ ναστά: ὥστε τῷ δοξασθέντι ἀδήλῳ μὴ ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν τὸ Φαινόμενον (κινήσεως οὔσης)**

for if the void does not exist, movement should not exist – with the moving body not having a location into which it will be transferred, because of everything being full and solid: so that what is apparent (that movement does exist) does not contest the unclear thing that has been judged

For ναστά, consider Aëtius 1.12:6

"**Δημόκριτος τὰ πρῶτά φησι σώματα (ταῦτα δ' ἦν τὰ ναστά) βάρος μὲν οὐκ ἔχειν"**

"Democritus says that the first bodies (these are the solid bodies) do not have heaviness"

τὸ κενόν	void	KENON <i>emptiness</i>
τὸ ὄφελος τὰ ὀφέλη	benefit	ΟΦΕΛΟΣ <i>helping</i>
ὀφείλειν	to be obliged [here, "should"]	ΟΦΕΙΛΕΙΝ <i>helping</i>
ὁ τόπος οἱ τόποι	location	ΤΟΠΟΣ <i>a place</i>
κινεῖσθαι	to be moved	ΚΙΝΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>moving</i>
τὸ σῶμα τὰ σώματα	body	ΣΩΜΑ <i>body</i>
ἰστάναι	to stand	ΊΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing</i>
περιῖσταναι	to transfer	ΠΕΡΙΪΣΤΑΝΑΙ <i>standing around</i>
περιστήσεσθαι	to be transferred [in the future]	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΗΣΕΣΘΑΙ <i>will stand around</i>
πλήρης <i>(ες)</i>	full	ΠΛΗΡΗΣ <i>quality from filling</i>
ναστός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	solid	ΝΑΣΤΟΣ <i>squeezed, close-pressed</i>
δοξασθείς	[having been] judged	ΔΟΞΑΣΘΕΙΣ <i>seemed</i>
ἄδηλος <i>(ον)</i>	⁽⁰⁾ unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΣ <i>not clear</i>
ἀντιμαρτυρεῖν	to contest	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΕΙΝ <i>testifying against</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>

17. Contestation (definition)

ἡ μέντοι Ἀντιμαρτύρησις μαχόμενόν τί ἐστι τῇ οὐκ ἀντιμαρτυρήσει – ἦν γὰρ Συνανασκευὴ τοῦ φαινομένου τῷ ὑποσταθέντι ἀδήλῳ

but contestation is something opposed to non-contestation – for there was a joint-refutation of the visible thing with the unclear thing that was postulated

οἷον ὁ Στωικός λέγει μὴ εἶναι κενόν – ἀδηλόν τι ἀξιῶν – τούτῳ δὲ οὕτως ὑποσταθέντι, ὀφείλει τὸ Φαινόμενον συνανασκευάζεσθαι (Φημί, δ' ἡ Κίνησις)

as for example the Stoic says that the void does not exist – asserting something unclear – and with this having been so postulated, what is apparent (movement, I mean) should be jointly refuted

[215] μὴ ὄντος γὰρ κενοῦ, κατ' ἀνάγκην, οὐδὲ Κίνησις γίγνεται – κατὰ τὸν ἤδη προδεδηλωμένον ἡμῖν τρόπον

for if the void does not exist, then, by natural necessity, movement is not produced – according to the way [of thinking] already previously made clear by us

ἡ ἀντιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἀντιμαρτυρήσεις	^[W] contestation	ANTIMARTYRHESIS <i>action of testifying against</i>
μάχεσθαι	to contend ^[here, "to be opposed to"]	MAXESΘAI <i>combating</i>
Part 2		
παρασκευάζειν	to provide	ΠΑΡΑΣΚΕΥΑΖΕΙΝ <i>preparing alongside</i>
ἡ συνανασκευή αἱ συνανασκευαί	joint-refutation	ΣΥΝΑΝΑΣΚΕΥΗ <i>preparing back together</i>
συνανασκευάζεσθαι	to be jointly refuted	
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ὑποσταθεῖς	^[having been] postulated	ΎΠΟΣΤΑΘΕΙΣ <i>stood under</i>
ἄδηλος ^(ον)	⁽⁰⁾ unclear	ΑΔΗΛΟΣ
ὁ Στωικός οἱ Στωικοί	the Stoic	ΣΤΩΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of the Stoa [Poikile]</i>
τὸ κενόν	void	KENON <i>emptiness</i>
ἡ ἀξίωσις αἱ ἀξιώσεις	request	ΑΞΙΩΣΙΣ <i>action of counterbalancing</i>
ἀξιῶν ^(ἀξιοῦσα, ἀξιοῦν)	asserting	ΑΞΙΩΝ <i>counterbalancing</i>
οφείλειν	to be obliged ^[here, "should"]	ΟΦΕΙΛΕΙΝ <i>helping</i>
ἡ κίνησις αἱ κινήσεις	^[general] movement	ΚΙΝΗΣΙΣ <i>moving process</i>
Part 3		
ἡ ἀνάγκη αἱ ἀνάγκαι	^[natural] necessity	ΑΝΑΓΚΗ <i>up against constraint</i>
γίνεσθαι = γίγνεσθαι	to be produced	ΓΙΝΕΣΘΑΙ <i>come into being</i>
δηλοῦν	to make clear	ΔΗΛΟΥΝ <i>clear</i>
προδηλοῦν	to previously make clear	ΠΡΟΔΗΛΟΥΝ <i>clear before</i>
προδεδηλωμένος	having previously made clear	
ὁ τρόπος οἱ τρόποι	way	ΤΡΟΠΟΣ <i>manner / direction</i>

18. Non-Attestation (definition)

ὥσαύτως δὲ καὶ, ἡ οὐκ-Επιμαρτύρησις ἀντίξους ἐστὶ τῇ ἐπιμαρτυρήσει: ἦν γὰρ Ὑπόπτωσις δι' ἐναργείας τοῦ τὸ δοξαζόμενον μὴ εἶναι τοιοῦτον ὁποῖόν περ ἐδοξάζετο

and so likewise, non-attestation is **opposed to attestation**: for it is as an **underlying occurrence** through the detectible reality of what is being judged not existing **as such a thing as the exact kind of thing it was judged [to be]**

οἷον, πόρρωθέν τινος προσιόντος, **Εικάζομεν** παρὰ τὸ διάστημα **Πλάτωνα εἶναι** – **ἀλλὰ** συναιρεθέντος τοῦ διαστήματος: **Ἔγνωμεν δι' ἐναργείας** ὅτι **οὐκ ἔστι Πλάτων**

Just as, when someone is approaching **from far off**, because of the **separation** we imagine **it to be Plato** – but when the separation has been reduced: we come to know **by detectible reality** that **it is not Plato**

^[216] **καὶ γέγονε τὸ Τοιοῦτον οὐκ-ἐπιμαρτύρησις: οὐ** γὰρ ἐπεμαρτυρήθη **τῷ φαινομένῳ τὸ Δοξαζόμενον**

thus something like this comes to be **non-attestation**: for what is judged was **not** attested **by what is apparent**

ὥσαύτως	likewise	ὩΣΑΥΤΩΣ <i>as self</i>
ἢ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἰ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	attestation	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of</i> <i>testifying upon</i>
ἀντίξους <i>(υν)</i>	opposed to	ΑΝΤΙΞΟΥΣ <i>approaching</i> <i>against</i>
ὥσαύτως	likewise	ὩΣΑΥΤΩΣ <i>as self</i>
ἢ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἰ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	^[M] attestation	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of</i> <i>testifying upon</i>
ἢ περίστασις αἰ περιστάσεις	circumstance	ΠΕΡΙΣΤΑΣΙΣ <i>standing around</i>
ἢ περίπτωσις αἰ περιπτώσεις	incident	ΠΕΡΙΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling around</i>
ἢ ἔμπτωσις αἰ ἐμπτώσεις	collision	ΕΜΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling into</i>
ἢ ἀντίπτωσις αἰ ἀντιπτώσεις	contrary occurrence	ΑΝΤΙΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling against</i>
ἢ ὑπόπτωσις αἰ ὑποπτώσεις	underlying occurrence	ὙΠΟΠΤΩΣΙΣ <i>falling under</i>
ἢ ὑποψία αἰ ὑποψίαι	suspicion	ὙΠΟΨΙΑ <i>looking under</i>
ἢ ἐνάργεια αἰ ἐναργεῖαι	^[E] detectible reality	ΕΝΑΡΓΕΙΑ <i>within clear</i>
δοξάζειν	to judge	ΔΟΞΑΖΕΙΝ <i>seeming</i>
δοξαζόμενος	being judged	ΔΟΞΑΖΟΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeming</i>
τοιοῦτος <i>(τοιαύτη, τοιοῦτον)</i>	like this <i>(such as this)</i>	ΤΟΙΟΥΤΟΣ <i>this of this sort</i>
ὁποῖος	the kind of [thing]	ὍΠΟΙΟΣ <i>that gathering</i>
part 2		
οἷος <i>(οἷα, οἷον)</i>	such as	ὍΙΟΣ <i>of this sort</i>
πόρρωθεν	from far off	ΠΟΡΡΩΘΕΝ <i>from afar</i>
προσιών <i>(ῶσα, όν)</i>	approaching	ΠΡΟΣΙΩΝ <i>going toward</i>
ἢ εἰκών αἰ εἰκόνες	image	ΕΙΚΩΝ <i>portraying</i>
εἰκάζειν	to imagine	ΕΙΚΑΖΕΙΝ <i>portraying</i>

τὸ διάστημα τὰ διαστήματα	separation [here, "distance"]	ΔΙΑΣΤΗΜΑ <i>standing between</i>
αἰρεῖν	to choose	Αἰρεῖν <i>taking</i>
συναίρειν	to reduce	Συναίρειν <i>taking together</i>
συναίρεθείς	[having been] reduced	Συναίρεθείς <i>took together</i>
γινώσκειν = γιγνώσκειν	to come to know	Γιγνώσκειν <i>having distinguished</i>
Part 3		
ἐπιμαρτυρεῖν	to attest	Επιμαρτυρεῖν <i>testifying upon</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	Φαινόμενον <i>result of shining</i>
τὸ δοξαζόμενον τὰ δοξαζόμενα	[Δ] what is judged	Δοξαζόμενον <i>result of seeming</i>

19. Criterion (*general summary*)

ὅθεν, ἡ μὲν Ἐπιμαρτύρησις καὶ Οὐκ-ἀντιμαρτύρησις τοῦ ἀληθὲς εἶναι τι ἐστὶ κριτήριον – ἡ δὲ Οὐκ-ἐπιμαρτύρησις καὶ Ἀντιμαρτύρησις τοῦ ψεῦδος εἶναι: πάντων δὲ Κρηπὶς καὶ Θεμέλιος ἡ Ἐνάργεια

therefore, attestation and non-contestation are the criterion of something being true – but non-attestation and contestation of being false: while the basis and foundation of all things is detectible reality

ἡ ἐπιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις	attestation	ΕΠΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying upon</i>
ἡ ἀντιμαρτύρησις αἱ ἀντιμαρτυρήσεις	contestation	ΑΝΤΙΜΑΡΤΥΡΗΣΙΣ <i>action of testifying against</i>
ἀληθής <i>(ές)</i>	(α) true	Ἀληθής <i>not concealed</i>
τὸ κριτήριον τὰ κριτήρια	criterion	Κριτήριον <i>instrument of dividing</i>
τὸ ψεῦδος τὰ ψεύδη	[-α] what is false	Ψεῦδος <i>falsehood / lie</i>
ἡ κρηπὶς αἱ κρηπίδες	basis	Κρηπὶς <i>boot / groundwork</i>
ὁ θεμέλιος οἱ θεμέλιοι	foundation	Θεμέλιος <i>foundation</i>

Chart 2. Attestation & Contestation

ATTESTED		CONTESTED		
(M) ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον attested <i>being attested by sensation is sufficient to establish the truth of a judgment as long as there continues to be no contestation</i>	∨ or	(¬W) μὴ ἀντιμαρτυρούμενον not contested <i>not being contested by sensation is sufficient to establish the truth of a judgment as long as there continues to be no contestation</i>	⇒	(α) TRUE
(¬M) μὴ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον not attested <i>not being attested by sensation is sufficient to establish the falsity of a judgment as long as there continues to be no attestation</i>	Λ and	(W) ἀντιμαρτυρούμενον contested <i>being contested by sensation is sufficient to establish the falsity of a judgment long as there continues to be no attestation</i>	⇒	(O) προσμένον pending <i>(no evidence → unknown)</i>
	∨ or		⇒	(¬α) FALSE
(M) ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον attested	Λ and		⇒	(O) προσμένον pending <i>(conflicting evidence → more observation needed)</i>

20. The True and Real
is What Physically Exists

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 2.9

ὁ δὲ Ἐπίκουρος τὰ μὲν αἰσθητὰ πάντα ἔλεγεν ἀληθῆ καὶ ὄντα – οὐ διήνεγκε γὰρ ἀληθὲς εἶναί τι λέγειν ἢ ὑπάρχον

Epicurus said that all perceptible things are true and real [i.e., existing] – for he made no distinction between saying that something is true or that it exists

ἔνθεν καὶ, ὑπογράφων τάληθές καὶ ψεῦδος, "ἔστι" φησὶν "ἀληθὲς τὸ οὕτως ἔχον ὥς λέγεται ἔχειν" – καὶ "ψεῦδός ἐστι" φησί "τὸ οὐχ οὕτως ἔχον ὥς λέγεται ἔχειν"

and from there, while outlining what is true and false, [Epicurus] says "what is true is that which is in such a way as it is said to be" – he says "what is false is that which is not in such a way as it is said to be"

"τὴν τε αἴσθησιν (ἀντιληπτικὴν οὖσαν τῶν ὑποπιπτόντων αὐτῇ, καὶ μήτε ἀφαιροῦσάν τι μήτε προστιθεῖσαν μήτε μετατιθεῖσαν τῷ) ἄλογον εἶναι – διὰ παντός τε ἀληθεύειν καὶ οὕτω τὸ ὄν λαμβάνειν – ὥς εἶχε φύσεως Αὐτὸ ἐκεῖνο"

"and sensation (existing as capable of taking hold in response to the things falling under it, and neither removing nor adding nor changing anything to it) is unreasoning – yet it reports truly in every case and in this way comprehends what exists – just as that very thing is by nature"

"πάντων δὲ τῶν αἰσθητῶν ἀληθῶν ὄντων: τὰ Δοξαστὰ διαφέρειν – καὶ τὰ μὲν αὐτῶν εἶναι ἀληθῆ – τὰ δὲ ψευδῆ"

"but with all sensible things existing as **true**: the things able to be judged differ – and some of them are **true** – but others **false**

διαληπτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	[mentally] distinguishing	ΔΙΑΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of taking hold between</i>
περιληπτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	relating to what is completely comprehensible	ΠΕΡΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold around</i>
άντιληπτικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	capable of taking hold [in response]	ΑΝΤΙΛΗΠΤΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of being taken hold in return</i>
ύποπίπτειν	to fall under	ΥΠΟΠΙΠΤΕΙΝ <i>falling under</i>
άφαιρέϊν	to remove	ΑΦΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping away</i>
μετατιθέναι	to change [one's use of]	ΜΕΤΑΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing change</i>
άλογος <i>(ον)</i>	unreasoning	ΑΛΟΓΟΣ <i>not reasoning</i>
άληθής <i>(ής, ές)</i>	true	ΑΛΗΘΗΣ <i>not hidden</i>
άληθεύειν	to truly report	ΑΛΗΘΕΥΕΙΝ
τό όν τά όντα	what exists	
λαμβάνειν	[intellectually] to comprehend, [generally] to acquire	ΛΑΜΒΑΝΕΙΝ <i>grasping</i>
αίσθητός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	(A) sensible	ΑΙΣΘΗΤΟΣ <i>perceiving</i>
τό δοξαστόν τά δοξαστά	what is able to be judged	ΔΟΞΑΣΤΟΝ <i>seeming</i>
διαφέρειν	to differ	ΔΙΑΦΕΡΕΙΝ <i>bringing through</i>

21. Accepting the Apparent

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 1.369

τῶν φυσικῶν, Οἱ μὲν πάντα ἀνηρήκασι τὰ φαινόμενα – ὥς Οἱ περὶ Δημόκριτον

of the naturalists, some have rejected all that is apparent – like those around Democritus

Οἱ δὲ πάντα ἔθεσαν – ὥς οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον καὶ Πρωταγόραν

Others have established all [that is apparent] – like those around Epicurus and Protagoras

οἱ δὲ τινὰ μὲν ἀνέϊλον, τινὰ δὲ ἔθεσαν – ὥς Οἱ ἀπὸ τῆς Στοᾶς καὶ τοῦ Περιπάτου

other [naturalists] rejected certain ones, but established certain ones – like those from the Stoa and the Peripatos

φυσικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	natural	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>
ό φυσικός οί φυσικοί	naturalist	ΦΥΣΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of producing</i>

ἀναιρεῖν	to confute [here, "to reject"]	ΑΝΑΙΡΕΙΝ <i>grasping back</i>
τὸ φαινόμενον τὰ φαινόμενα	what is apparent	ΦΑΙΝΟΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of shining</i>
ἡ Στοά αἱ Στοαί	Stoa	ΣΤΟΑ <i>a Stoa</i>
ὁ Περίπατος οἱ Περίπατοι	Peripatetic	ΠΕΡΙΠΑΤΟΣ <i>walking around</i>
τιθέναι	to put	
ἔθεσαν	<i>3rd person plural aorist active indicative</i> <i>"they established"</i>	ΤΙΘΕΝΑΙ <i>placing</i>
Ἐπίκουρος	Epicurus	ΕΠΙΚΟΥΡΟΣ <i>running toward</i> <i>[to assist]</i>
Πρωταγόρας	Protagoras	ΠΡΩΤΑΓΟΡΑΣ <i>first of the assembly</i>

22. All Sensible Things Are Real

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 2.185

ὁ, δὲ, Ἐπίκουρος πάντα ἔλεγε τὰ αἰσθητὰ τοιαῦτα ὑποκεῖσθαι ὅποια φαίνεται καὶ κατ' αἴσθησιν προσπίπτει – μηδέποτε ψευδομένης τῆς αἰσθήσεως

Epicurus, however, was saying that all such sensible things subsist as the kinds [of things] they appear and [all sensible things] fall upon [us] in accordance with sensation – with perception never being false

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 2.355

Ἐπίκουρος, δὲ, πᾶν αἰσθητὸν ἔλεξε βέβαιον εἶναι

Epicurus, however, said that every sensible [thing] is affirmed

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's "Metaphysics" [p. 428.20 Bon.]

Τινὲς δὲ – τὰ αἰσθητὰ μᾶλλον οὐσίας λέγοντες – οὐδὲν οἴονται εἶναι παρὰ τὰ αἰσθητά, ὥσπερ... ..ὕστερον Οἱ περὶ Ἐπίκουρον

but certain people – saying that the sensible things [are] of more existence – believe that in no way is there [anything] besides the sensible things, just as... ..afterwards those around Epicurus [said]

Olympiodorus the Younger (fl. 540 CE), Commentary on Plato's "Phaedo" [page 80, section, 1, Finckh edition]

Οἱ μὲν τὰς αἰσθήσεις ἀκριβεῖς εἶναί φασι πρὸς ἀλήθειαν... ..Πρωταγόρας, Ἐπίκουρος...

Those who assert the sensations to be precise toward truth... ..Protagoras, Epicurus...

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Academica 2.26.82 (Lucullus)

sed ab hōc crēdulō (quī numquam sēnsūs mentīrī putat) Discēdāmus

but from this credulous man (who thinks that the senses never lie) let us depart

23. The *Shared Truth* of Sensation

Tertullian (fl. 200 CE), On the Soul, 17

Epicūreī cōstantius parem omnibus atque perpetuam dēfendunt vērītatem – sed aliā viā

The Epicureans defend more steadfastly a truth equal for all and everlasting – but by another path

nōn enim Sēsum mentīrī – sed Opiniōnem

for they say that sense indeed does not lie – but opinion [*does lie*]

sēsum enim patī: nōn opīnārī – animam, enim, opīnārī

sense indeed undergoes [*experience*]: it does not opine – the soul, indeed, does opine

absciderunt et opiniōnem ā sēnsū et sēsum ab animā: et unde opiniō, sī nōn ā sēnsū?

They have both cut off opinion from sense and [*cut off*] sense from the soul: and whence [*comes*] opinion, if not from sense?

dēnique, nisī Visus rotundam sēserit turrim, nulla Opiniō rotunditātis: et unde Sēsus, sī nōn ab animā?

indeed, unless sight has sensed a round tower, [*there is*] no opinion of roundness: and whence comes sense, if not from the soul?

24. Incompatible with Platonism

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), City of God, 8.7

absit ut hīs [*Platonicīs*] comparandī vidēantur – quī posuērunt iūdicium vērītātis in sēnsibus corporis, eōrumque infidīs et fallācibus rēgulīs omnia quae discuntur metienda esse cēsuērunt: ut Epicūrēī et quicumque aliī tālēs

far be it that they seem to be comparable with these [*Platonists*] – those who placed the judgment of truth in the senses of the body, and judged that all things which are learned must be measured by untrustworthy and deceitful rules of those [*senses*]: as the Epicureans and whoever other such [*stated*]

25. Partial Agreement with the Stoics

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), Letter to Dioscorus, 118.29

cum ergō Epicūrēī numquam Sēnsūs corporis fallī dīcerent – Stōicī autem fallī aliquandō concederent:

therefore while the Epicureans were saying that the senses of the body are never deceived – the Stoics were however conceding that they are deceived at some time:

utrīque tamen rēgulam comprehendendae vērītātis in sēnsibus ponerent – quis, istīs contrā dīcentibus, audīret Platonicōs?

yet both [*schools*] were placing the **rule of truth-to-be-apprehended** in the **senses** – who, **with those [*schools*] speaking against**, would listen to the Platonists?

26. The Unwavering Criterion toward Understanding

Ioannes Siculus "John the Sicilian" (fl. fort. 950 CE), Commentary on Hermogenes' "Rhetoric"
[volume 6, page 88, section 24, Walz edition]

...οἱ Λόγοι τῶν **τὴν αἴσθησιν οἰομένων κριτήριον ἀπλανές εἰς ἐπιστήμην** (ἢ τινὸς ἐπιστήμης) – οἱ τοῖς ἑαυτῶν **κακοῖς βοηθοῦσιν ὅποῖα** καὶ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος...

...the reasonings of those who believe **sensation [to be] an unwavering criterion** toward understanding (or of a certain understanding) – who provide support **for their own bad [*ideas*] of the kind as** Epicurus also [*has*]...

[U248]

27. One Falsification for Sensation vs. Two Falsifications for Appearance

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.9.5

Ἐπίκουρος **πᾶσαν αἴσθησιν καὶ πᾶσαν φαντασίαν ἀληθῆ** – τῶν δὲ **δοξῶν**, τὰς μὲν **ἀληθεῖς** τὰς δὲ **ψευδεῖς**

Epicurus [*says*] **every sensation** and **every appearance [*is*] true** – but of judgments, some [*are*] **true** and some [*are*] **false**

καὶ ἡ μὲν Αἴσθησις **μοναχῶς** ψευδοποιεῖται, **κατὰ νοητά** – ἡ δὲ Φαντασία **διχῶς**: καὶ γὰρ **αἰσθητῶν** ἐστὶ Φαντασία καὶ **νοητῶν**

and Sensation is falsified **in only one way**, **according to what is conceived** – while Appearance [*is falsified*] **in two ways**: for there is both an Appearance of **sensible things** and also **of conceived things**

τὸ ὑποκείμενον τὰ ὑποκείμενα	original-source	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΝ <i>result of</i> <i>situated under</i>
ἡ συμμετρία αἱ συμμετρίαι	symmetry [of physical proportion]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΙΑ <i>measure together</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ἡ φαντασία αἱ φαντασίαι	appearance	ΦΑΝΤΑΣΙΑ <i>state of appearing</i>

Symmetry refers to the level of accurate correspondence between:
(1) the actual qualities of a particular object and
(2) the qualities of that object its films preserve after reaching the viewer

Sensations have full symmetry – they come from direct contact (and thus have full accurate correspondence) – because of this, only **our thoughts** about them can be **false** (i.e., not fully corresponding to is original-source).

Appearances, however, do not have full symmetry – they come from objects indirectly contacting us (through the medium of air) – and thus can **lose their correspondence** through **these changes in their medium** as well as through **our thoughts**.

[U249]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 ^{CE}), Placita Philosophorum, 4.8.2

Ἐπίκουρος: "τὸ μὀρίόν ἐστιν ἡ αἴσθησις, ἣ τις ἐστὶν ἡ δύναμις – καὶ τὸ ἐπαίσθημα, ὃ περ ἐστὶ τὸ ἐνέργημα"

Epicurus: "a portion [of the functional component of the soul] is sensation, which is a certain force – and [a portion is] awareness, which indeed is an activity"

[Mansfeld] Epicurus: "sense/sensation is the [bodily] part that is the faculty – and the sensory recognition that is the activity"

ὥστε, διχῶς, παρ' αὐτῷ λέγεσθαι "αἴσθησιν" – μὲν τὴν δύναμιν, "αἴσθησιν" δὲ τὸ ἐνέργημα

so that, with him "sensation" is said in two ways – while [it means] the force, "sensation" also [means] the activity

ἡ μὀῖρα αἱ μὀῖραι	a portion [as assigned share]	ΜΟΙΡΑ <i>receiving one's portion</i>
ἡ αἴσθησις αἱ αἰσθήσεις	[A] sensation	ΑΙΣΘΗΣΙΣ <i>perceiving process</i>
ἡ δύναμις αἱ δυνάμεις	force	ΔΥΝΑΜΙΣ <i>power</i>
τὸ ἐπαίσθημα τὰ ἐπαίσθηματα	awareness	ΕΠΑΙΣΘΗΜΑ <i>result of perceiving upon</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ἡ ὀξύδερκία αἱ ὀξύδερκίαι	sharp perception	ΟΞΥΔΕΡΚΙΑ <i>sharp seeing</i>
τὸ ἐνέργημα τὰ ἐνεργήματα	an activity	ΕΝΕΡΓΗΜΑ <i>result of working within</i>

[U250]

29. Symmetries, Harmonies, & Multiple-Mixtures

Plutarch, Against Colotes, 1109A fin. – 1109E

ὁ δ' οὖν [Κωλώτης] – δόξας τὸ μηδὲν μᾶλλον εἶναι τοῖον ἢ τοῖον – Ἐπικουρείῳ δόγματι κέχρηται: τῷ πάσας εἶναι τὰς δι' αἰσθήσεως φαντασίας ἀληθεῖς

Colotes also then – having supposed that nothing is more such than such [i.e., no more this than that] – uses an Epicurean doctrine: that all the appearances through sensation are true

But whatever we think of that {how Colotes interprets Democritus}, whoever held that nothing is any more of one description than of another {no more this than that} is following an Epicurean doctrine, that all the impressions reaching us through the senses are true.

εἰ γὰρ, δυσὶν λεγόντων, τοῦ μὲν αὐστηρόν εἶναι τὸν οἶνον – τοῦ δὲ γλυκύν: οὐδέτερος ψεύδεται τῇ αἰσθήσει – τί μᾶλλον ὁ Οἶνος αὐστηρός ἢ γλυκύς ἐστιν;

for if, when two are speaking, one [says] the wine is harsh – but the other [says it is] sweet: neither [is] false in sensation – how is the wine more harsh than sweet?

For if one of two persons says that the wine is dry and the other that it is sweet, and neither errs in his sensation, how is the wine any more dry than sweet?

καὶ μὴν, λουτρῷ γε τῷ αὐτῷ: τοὺς μὲν ὥς θερμῷ – τοὺς δὲ ὥς ψυχρῷ χρωμένους ἰδεῖν ἔστιν – Οἱ μὲν γὰρ ψυχρόν, Οἱ δὲ θερμόν ἐπεμβάλλειν κελεύουσιν...

and indeed, in the same bath: it is possible to see people [proclaiming it] as hot – while other people proclaiming it as cold – for some [ask to add] cold [water], while others ask to add hot [water]...

Again, you may observe that in one and the same bath some consider the water as too hot, others as too cold, the first asking for the addition of cold water, the others of hot.

...εἴπερ οὖν μὴ μᾶλλον ἔστιν ἢ ἑτέρα τῆς ἑτέρας ἀληθῆς Αἴσθησις: εἰκός ἔστι καὶ τὸ ὕδωρ μὴ μᾶλλον εἶναι ψυχρόν ἢ θερμόν – καὶ τὸ Μύρον καὶ τὸ Βούτυρον μὴ μᾶλλον εὐῶδες ἢ δυσῶδες

...if then indeed one sensation is not more true than another: it is likely that the water also is not more cold than hot – and that perfume and butter are not more sweet-smelling than ill-smelling

So if one sense-perception is no more true than another, we must suppose that the water is no more cold than hot, and that perfume or butter is no more sweet-smelling than ill-smelling;

εἰ γὰρ ταῦτὸ φαινόμενον ἕτερον ἑτέρῳ φάσκει Τις – ἀμφότερα εἶναι λέγων λέληθεν

for if someone asserts that the same [thing] appears different to a different person – he is in error while he says both exist [as they are sensed]

for he who asserts that the object itself is what appears one thing to one person and another to another has unwittingly said that it is both things at once

αἱ δὲ πολυθρύλητοι "Συμμετρίαι" καὶ "Ἀρμονίαι" τῶν περὶ τὰ αἰσθητήρια πόρων, αἱ τε "Πολυμιξίαι" τῶν σπερμάτων:

and the much-talked-about "Symmetries" and "Harmonies" of the passages around the sense-organs, and the "Multiple-Mixtures" of the seeds:

As for the old story of the "right size" and "perfect fit" of the passages in the sense organs, and on the other hand the multiple mixture of the "seeds"

ἡ συμμετρία αἱ συμμετρίαι	symmetry [of physical proportion]	ΣΥΜΜΕΤΡΙΑ <i>measure together</i>
ἡ ἄρμονία αἱ ἄρμονίαι	harmony	ἈΡΜΟΝΙΑ <i>quality of a joint / fitting</i>
ἡ πολυμιξία αἱ πολυμιξίαι	multiple-mixture	ΠΟΛΥΜΙΞΙΑ <i>much mixing</i>

ἃ δὴ πᾶσι χυμοῖς καὶ ὁσμαῖς καὶ χροιαῖς ἐνδισπαρμένα Λέγουσιν ἑτέραν ἑτέρῳ ποιότητος κινεῖν αἴσθησιν: οὐκ ἄντικρυς εἰς "τὸ μὴ μᾶλλον" τὰ πράγματα συναλύνουσιν αὐτοῖς;

which indeed they say are scattered in all flavours and smells and colors, setting in motion a different sensation in a different [person] by their quality: do they not straightaway compel these situations in their own [view] into "the [conclusion that things are] not more [one way than another]"?

that they say are found dispersed in all tastes, odors, and colors, so as to give rise in different persons to different perceptions of quality, do not these theories actually compel objects in their view to be "no more this than that?"

ἡ ποιότης αἱ ποιότητες	quality	ΠΟΙΟΤΗΣ condition from [asking] what kind
---------------------------	---------	---

τοὺς γὰρ οἰομένους ψεύδεσθαι τὴν αἴσθησιν (ὅτι τὰ ἐναντία πάθη γινόμενα τοῖς χρωμένοις ἀπὸ τῶν αὐτῶν ὁρῶσι) παραμυθούμενοι, διδάσκουσιν:

for, while offering reassurance, [these Epicureans] teach those people who (because they see the opposite experiences arising in those using the same things) think that sensation gives a false report:

For when people take sensation to be deceptive because they see that the same objects have opposite effects on those resorting to it, these thinkers offer the reassuring explanation:

ὥς ἀναπεφυρμένων καὶ συμμεμιγμένων ὁμοῦ τι πάντων – ἄλλου δὲ ἄλλῳ πεφυκότος ἐναρμόττειν: οὐκ ἔστι τῆς αὐτῆς ποιότητος Ἐπαφή καὶ Ἀντίληψις –

[teaching] that, since something of everything has been mixed and mingled together – and while another [quality] has naturally come to fit into other [person]: contact and post-comprehension [antílēpsis] are not of the same quality

that since just about everything is mixed and compounded with everything else, and since different substances are naturally adapted to fit different passages , the consequence is that everyone does not come into contact with and apprehend the same quality,

οὐδὲ πᾶσι τοῖς μέρεσι κινεῖ πάντας ὡσαύτως τὸ Ὑποκείμενον – ἀλλὰ ἐκείνοις ἑκάστοι μόνοις ἐντυγχάνοντες πρὸς ᾧ σύμμετρον ἔχουσι τὴν αἴσθησιν

[and also teaching that] the original source does not move all people in the same way with all the parts – but each [person] encounters only those [qualities] toward which they have a symmetric sensation

and again the object perceived does not affect everyone in the same way with every part. What happens instead is that different sets of persons encounter only those components to which their sense organs are perfectly adjusted

τὸ ὑποκείμενον τὰ ὑποκείμενα	original-source	ΥΠΟΚΕΙΜΕΝΟΝ result of situated under
---------------------------------	-----------------	--

οὐκ ὀρθῶς διαμάχονται περὶ τοῦ χρηστὸν ἢ πονηρὸν ἢ λευκὸν ἢ μὴ λευκὸν εἶναι τὸ πρᾶγμα – τὰς αὐτῶν οἰόμενοι βεβαιοῦν αἰσθήσεις τῷ τὰς ἄλλων ἀναιρεῖν

[thus the Epicureans say that those people] do not rightly contend about whether the situation is useful or troublesome or white or not white – thinking to affirm their own sensations by confuting those of others

and they are therefore wrong when they fall to disputing whether the object is good or bad or white or not white, imagining that they are confirming their own perceptions by denying one another's.

δεῖν δὲ αἰσθήσει μὲν μηδὲ μιᾷ μάχεσθαι: Πᾶσαι γὰρ ἅπτονται τινός – οἷον ἐκ πηγῆς τῆς πολυμιξίας ἑκάστη λαμβάνουσα τὸ πρόσφορον καὶ οἰκεῖον

[Epicureans say that] that one must not contend even with a single sensation: for all [senses] touch something – such as each [sense] acquiring what is suitable and compatible from the fount of the multiple-mixture

The truth of the matter is that no sense-perception should be challenged, as all involve a contact with something real, each of them taking from the multiple mixture as from a fountain what agrees with and suits itself; and

ὅλου δὲ μὴ κατηγορεῖν – ἀπτομένους μερῶν – μηδὲ τὸ αὐτὸ οἶεσθαι δεῖν πάσχειν ἅπαντας: ἄλλους κατ’ ἄλλην ποιότητα καὶ δύναμιν αὐτοῦ πάσχοντας

and [Epicureans say that people should] not fully indicate the entire [original source] – when [people are only] touching parts [of the original source through their sensation] – nor [should people] think that it is necessary that they all together experience the same thing: since other [people] are experiencing according to a different quality and force of that same [original source]

we should make no assertions about the whole when our contact is with parts, nor fancy that all persons should be affected in the same way, when different persons are affected by different qualities and properties in the object.

ἄρα Δεῖ σκοπεῖν: τίνες μᾶλλον ἄνθρωποι "τὸ μὴ μᾶλλον" ἐπάγουσι τοῖς πράγμασιν – ἢ οἱ πᾶν μὲν τὸ αἰσθητὸν κράμα παντοδαπῶν ποιότητων Ἀποφαίνουσι "σύμμικτον ὥστε γλεῦκος ὑλιστήριον"

therefore it is necessary to contemplate: which people more greatly lead to "the [conclusion that things are] not more [one way than another]" upon these situations – than those who declare every sensible [thing] to be a mixture of varied qualities "commingled just like [sweet new] wine in a [filtering] vat" {quote is probably a fragment of a lost tragedy}

It is time to consider the question: who are more chargeable with imposing on objects the doctrine that "nothing is more this than that" than those who assert that every perceivable object is a blend of qualities of every description, "mixed like the must entangled in the filter" {fragment of a lost tragedy},

ἔρρειν δὲ Ὁμολογοῦσι τοὺς κανόνας αὐτοῖς (καὶ παντάπασιν οἷχεσθαι τὸ κριτήριον) – ἅν περ εἰλικρινὲς αἰσθητὸν ὅτιοῦν καὶ μὴ πολλὰ ἕκαστον ἀπολίπωσιν;

while they agree that the standards of theirs are flowing away (and that in all respects the criterion has gone off) – if indeed they leave behind some pure sensible [thing] whatsoever and they do not [leave] many [qualities] in each thing

and who confess that their standards would go glimmering and the criterion of truth quite disappear if they permitted any sense-object whatsoever to be purely one thing and did not leave every one of them a plurality?

[Castagnoli] According to Plutarch, the Epicurean canons and criteria would be eliminated if the Epicureans allowed any sense-object to be purely something and did not leave each sense-object a plurality.

This is correct if we take this to mean that we need this kind of atomic plurality (at least in the case of smells and flavours) to account for conflicting appearances and for their truthfulness to their direct (and partial) objects.

But plurality need not be a plurality of the qualities which we can truly attribute to the sense-object.

[U251]

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Academica 2.25.79 (Lucullus)

vērācēs suōs esse sēnsūs dīcit – igitur semper auctōrem habet eum, quī magnō suō periculō casam agat.

[Epicurus] says that **his senses are truthful** – therefore he **always** has **as guarantor one** who pleads **the case at his own great risk**.

eō enim rem dīmīttit Epicūrus – sī ūnus sēnsus semel in vītā mentītus sit: nūllī umquam esse crēdendum

for Epicurus sends **the matter to this point** – if **one** sense should have lied once in life: **no one [sense] is ever** to be believed.

31. Messengers of Truth

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.25.70 (Cotta)

timuit Epicūrus, nē sī ūnum Vīsum esset falsum, nūllum esset vērū: omnēs sēnsūs "vērī nūntiōs" dīxit esse

Epicurus feared that, if **one** Impression were **false**, **none** would be **true**: he said that **all the senses are "messengers of truth"**

32. False Appearances Do Not Exist

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Academica 2.32.101 (Lucullus)

ex ūnō Epicūrī capitē, alterō vestrō, Perceptiō et Comprehēnsiō tollitur.

from one principle of Epicurus, [along with] your other [principle], Perception and Comprehension are taken away.

quod est Caput Epicūrī? "sī ullum sēnsūs Vīsum falsum est: Nihil potest percipī."

what is the Principle of Epicurus? "If **any** Impression of **sense** is **false**: nothing is able to be perceived."

quod vestrum? "sunt falsa sēnsūs Vīsa."

what [is] yours? "**false** Appearances of **sense** exist."

quid sequitur? ut taceam, Conclusiō ipsa loquitur: nihil posse percipī

What follows? **though I am silent**, the Conclusion **itself** speaks: **that nothing can be perceived**

33. @X

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Academica 2.26.83 (Lucullus)

Quattuor sunt capita, quae concludant nihil esse quod nōscī, percipī, comprehendī possit... ex quibus primum est: esse aliquod vīsum falsum... primum Epicūrus nōn dat

There are four main points which conclude that there is nothing which can be known, perceived, or comprehended... of which the first is: that there exists some false appearance... the first Epicurus does not grant.

There are four points of argument intended to prove that there is nothing that can be known, perceived or comprehended. ... The first of these arguments is that there is such a thing as a false presentation; ... the first is not granted by Epicurus.

x. X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1124B

εἰ δὲ περὶ τούτων δυνατόν ἐστιν ἐπέχειν, οὐδὲ περὶ τῶν ἄλλων ἀδύνατον κατὰ γε ὑμᾶς αἰσθησιν αἰσθήσεως καὶ φαντασίαν φαντασίας οὐδ’ ὅτιοῦν διαφέρειν ἡγουμένους

If it is possible to withhold judgment about these sensations, it is not impossible to withhold it about others as well, as least on the principles of your school, who set one act or image of sensation on exactly the same footing as another

x. X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1123D

ἢ γὰρ ἰσότης, ἣν ὑποτίθενται πᾶσι, τῶν νενομισμένων ἀφίστησι μᾶλλον ἢ προστίθησι τοῖς παραλόγοις τὴν πίστιν

By putting all in the the same boat, their theory does more to estrange us from established beliefs than to convince us that the grotesques {fanciful or fantastic human and animal forms} are real.

[U252]

x. X

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), Academica 2.7.19 (Lucullus)

Nec vērō hōc locō exspectandum est, dum dē rēmō īnflexō aut dē collō columbae respondeam.

Nor indeed in this place must it be expected until I reply about the bent oar or about the neck of the dove.

Nōn enim is sum, quī quidquid vidētur, tāle dicam esse, quale vidētur: Epicūrus hoc vīderit et alia multa

For I am not the man who would say that whatever is seen is such as it appears to be: Epicurus may look to this matter and to many others

Nor is it necessary to delay at this point while I answer about the case of the bent oar {c.f. Lucretius, IV.436-} or the pigeon's neck {c.f. Lucretius, 2.801-}, for I am not one to assert that every object seen is really such as it appears to be. Let Epicurus see to that, and a number of other matters.

x. X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 25, p. 1121A

(ὁ Κωλώτης) οὖς ἐν τοῖς Ἐπικούρου γράμμασιν ἀσπάζεται καὶ ἀγαπᾷ λόγους οὐ συνίησιν οὐδὲ γινώσκει λεγομένους ὑφ’ ἑτέρων. οἱ γὰρ εἰβυΑου προσπίπτοντος ἡμῖν περιφεροῦς, ἑτέρου δὲ κεκλασμένου τὴν μὲν αἴσθησιν ἀληθῶς τυποῦσθαι λέγοντες, προσαποφαίνεσθαι δὲ οὐκ ἔῶντες ὅτι στρογγύλος ὁ πύργος ἐστίν, ἡ δὲ κώπη κέκλασται, τὰ πάθη καὶ αὐτῶν καὶ τὰ φαντάσματα βεβαιοῦσι, τὰ δ’

ἐκτὸς οὕτως ἔχειν ὁμολογεῖν οὐκ ἐθέλουσιν... τὸ γὰρ εἶδωλον ὑφ' οὗ πέπονθεν ἢ ὄψις, κεκλασμένον ἐστίν' ἢ κώωπη δέ, ἀφ' ἧς τὸ εἶδωλον, οὐκ ἔστι κεκλασμένη' διαφορὰν οὖν τοῦ πάθους πρὸς τὸ ὑποκείμενον ἐκτὸς ἔχοντος, ἢ μένειν ἐπὶ τοῦ πάθους δεῖ τὴν πίστιν ἢ τὸ εἶναι τῷ φαίνεσθαι προσαποφαινομένην ἐλέγχεσθαι. τὸ δὲ δὴ βοᾷν αὐτοὺς καὶ ἀγανακτεῖν ὑπὲρ τῆς αἰσθήσεως, «ὥς» οὐ λέγουσι τὸ ἐκτὸς εἶναι θερμόν, ἀλλὰ τὸ ἐν αὐτῇ πάθος γεγονέναι τοιοῦτον, ἅρ' οὐ ταυτόν ἐστι τῷ λεγομένῳ περὶ τῆς γεύσεως, ὅτι τὸ ἐκτὸς οὗ φησιν εἶναι γλυκύ, πάθος δέ τι καὶ κίνημα περὶ αὐτὴν γεγονέναι τοιοῦτον; ὁ δὲ λέγων ἀνθρωποειδῆ φαντασίαν λαμβάνειν, εἰ δὲ ἄνθρωπός ἐστι μὴ αἰσθάνεσθαι πόθεν εἴληφε τὰς ἀφορμάς; οὐ παρὰ τῶν λεγόντων καμπυλοειδῆ φαντασίαν λαμβάνειν, εἰ δὲ καμπύλον ἐστὶ μὴ προσαποφαίνεσθαι τὴν ὄψιν μηδ' ὅτι στρογγύλον, ἀλλ' ὅτι φάντασμα περὶ αὐτὴν καὶ τύπωμα στρογγυλοειδὲς γέγονε; Νῆ Δία, φήσει τις, ἀλλ' ἐγὼ τῷ πύργῳ προσελθὼν καὶ τῆς κώπης ἀψάμενος ἀποφανοῦμαι τὴν μὲν εὐθεῖαν εἶναι, τὸν δὲ πολύγωνον' ἐκεῖνος δὲ καὶ ἐγγὺς γένηται. τὸ δοκεῖν καὶ τὸ φαίνεσθαι, πλεον δὲ οὐδὲν ὁμολογήσει. Ναὶ μὰ Δία σοῦ γε μᾶλλον, ὦ βέλτιστε, τὸ ἀκόλουθον ὁρῶν καὶ φυλάττων, τὸ πᾶσαν εἶναι φαντασίαν ὁμοίως ἀξιόπιστον ὑπὲρ ἑαυτῆς, ὑπὲρ ἄλλου δὲ μηδεμίαν, ἀλλ' ἐπ' ἴσης ἔχειν. σοὶ δὲ οἵχεται τὸ πάσας ὑπάρχειν ἀληθεῖς, ἄπιστον δὲ καὶ ψευδῆ μηδεμίαν, εἰ ταύταις μὲν οἶει δεῖν προσαποφαίνεσθαι περὶ τῶν ἐκτός, ἐκείναις δὲ τοῦ πάσχειν πλεον οὐδὲν ἐπίστευες. εἰ μὲν γὰρ ἐπ' ἴσης ἔχουσιν ἐγγὺς γενόμεναι ἢ μακρὰν οὔσαι πρὸς πίστιν, ἢ πάσαις δίκαιόν ἐστιν ἢ μηδὲ ταύταις ἔπεσθαι τὴν προσαποφαινομένην τὸ εἶναι κρίσιν' εἰ δὲ γίνεται διαφορὰ τοῦ πάθους ἀποστᾶσι καὶ ἐλθοῦσι, ψευδός ἐστι τὸ μήτε φαντασίαν μήτε αἰσθησιν ἐτέραν «ἐτέρας» ἐναργεστέραν ὑπάρχειν. καθά περ ἃς λέγουσιν ἐπιμαρτυρήσεις καὶ ἀντιμαρτυρήσεις οὐθέν εἴσι πρὸς τὴν αἰσθησιν ἀλλὰ πρὸς ἣν δόξαν' ὥστε εἰ ταύταις ἐπομένους ἀποφαίνεσθαι περὶ τῶν ἐκτός κελεύουσι, τῆς δόξης κρίμα τὸ εἶναι, τῆς δὲ αἰσθήσεως πάθος τὸ φαινόμενον ποιοῦντες ἀπὸ τοῦ πάντως ἀληθοῦς τὴν κρίσιν ἐπὶ τὸ διαπίπτον πολλάκις μεταφέρουσιν.

So it is with Colotes: the reasoning that he accepts with satisfaction when he finds it in the writings of Epicurus he neither understands nor recognizes when it is used by others. For the school that asserts that when a round image impinges on us, or in another case a bent one, the important is truly received by the sense, but refuses to allow us to go further and affirm that the tower is round or that the oar is bent, maintains the truth of its experiences and sense impressions, but will not admit that external objects correspond; and as surely as that other school must speak of "being horsed" and "walled" but not of a horse or wall, so this school of theirs is under the necessity of saying that the eye is rounded or be-angled, and not that the oar is bent or the tower round, for it is the image producing the effect in the eye that is bent, whereas the oar is not bent from which the image proceeded. Thus, since the effect produced on the senses differs from the external object, belief must stick to the effect or be exposed as false if it proceeds to add "it is" to "it appears." That vociferous and indignant protest of theirs in defense of sensation, that it does not assert the external object to be warm, the truth being merely that the effect produced in sensation has been of this kind – is it not the same as the statement about taste? Why does it not assert, if the external object is sweet, that there has merely occurred in the taste an effect and movement of this kind? A man says "I receive an impression of humanity, but I do not perceive whether a man is there." Who put him in the way of such a notion? Was it not the school who asserts that they receive an impression of curvature, but that their sight does not go beyond to pronounce that the thing is curved or yet that it is round' there has merely occurred in it an appearance and impression of rotundity?

"Exactly" someone will say, "but for my part I shall go up to the tower and I shall feel the oar, and thereupon I shall pronounce the oar straight and the tower angular; but this other fellow even at close quarters will only grant he has this 'view' and that there is this 'appearance,' but will grant nothing more." Exactly, my good friend, since he is a better hand than you at noticing and holding to the consequences of his doctrine – that every sensation is equally trustworthy when it testifies on its own behalf, but none when it testifies on behalf of anything else, but all are on the same footing. And here is an end to your tenet that all sensations are true and none untrustworthy or false – if you think it proper for one set of them to proceed to make assertions about external objects, whereas you refused to truth the others in anything beyond the experience itself. For if they are on the same footing of trustworthiness whether they come close or are at a distance, it is only fair to confer on all the power of adding the judgment "it is" or else to deny it to the former as well. Whereas if there is a difference in the effect produced on the observer when he stands at a distance and when he is close at hand, it is false to say that no impression and no sensation has in its stamp of reality a better warrant of truth than another. So too the "testimony in confirmation" and "testimony in rebuttal" of which they speak has no bearing on the sensation but only on our opinion of it; so if they tell us to be guided by this testimony when we make statements about external objects, they appoint opinion to pass the verdict "it is" and sense to undergo the experience "it seems" and thus transfer the decision from what is unfailingly true to what is often wrong.

[U253]

x. X

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), Against the Logicians, 2.63

ὁ δὲ Ἐπίκουρος ἔλεγε μὲν πάντα τὰ αἰσθητὰ εἶναι ἀληθῆ καὶ πᾶσαν φαντασίαν ἀπὸ ὑπάρχοντος εἶναι καὶ τοιαύτην ὁποῖόν ἐστι τὸ κινεῖν τὴν αἴσθησιν, πλανᾶσθαι δὲ τοὺς τινὰς μὲν τῶν φαντασιῶν λέγοντας ἀληθεῖς, τινὰς δὲ ψευδεῖς παρὰ τὸ μὴ δύνασθαι χωρίζειν δόξαν ἀπὸ ἐναργείας. ἐπὶ γοῦν τοῦ Ὀρέστου, ὅτε ἐδόκει βλέπειν τὰς Ἑρινύας, ἡ μὲν αἴσθησις ὑπ’ εἰδῶλων κινουμένη ἀληθὴς ἦν (ὑπέκειτο γὰρ τὰ εἰδῶλα), ὁ δὲ νοῦς οἰόμενος ὅτι στερέμνιοι εἰσὶν Ἑρινύες ἐψευδοδόξει. καὶ ἄλλως, φησὶν, οἱ προειρημένοι τῶν φαντασιῶν διαφορὰν εἰσάγοντες οὐκ ἰσχύουσι πιστώσασθαι τὸ τινὰς μὲν αὐτῶν ἀληθεῖς ὑπάρχειν τινὰς δὲ ψευδεῖς οὔτε γὰρ φαινομένῳ διδάξουσι τὸ τοιοῦτον (ζητεῖται γὰρ τὰ φαινόμενα) οὔτε ἀδήλῳ (διὰ φαινομένου γὰρ ὀφείλει τὸ ἄδηλον ἀποδείκνυσθαι)

Epicurus said that all sensibles are true, and that every impression is the product of something existing and like the thing which moves the sense. He also said that those who contend that some impressions are true but others false are wrong, because they cannot distinguish opinion from self-evidence. At least in the case of Orestes, when he seemed to see the Furies, his sensation, being moved by the images, was true, in that the images objectively existed; but his mind, in thinking that the Furies were solid bodies, held a false opinion. "And besides" he says, "the persons mentioned above when introducing a difference in the presentations, are not capable of confirming the view that some of them are true, others false. For neither by means of an apparent thing will they prove such a statement, since it is apparent things that are in question, nor yet by something non-evident, since something non-evident must be proven by means of something apparent."

[U254]

x. X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 28, 1123B

ταῦτα μέντοι καὶ πολλὰ τούτων ἕτερα τραγικώτερα τοῖς Ἐμπεδοκλέους ἐοικότα 'τεράσμασιν' ὧν καταγελῶσιν.... καὶ τίνα γὰρ οὐκ ὄψιν ἢ φύσιν ἔκφυλον εἰς ταῦτὸ συνενεγκόντες ἐκ τῶν ἐνυπνίων καὶ τῶν παρακοπῶν οὐδὲν εἶναί φασι παρόραμα τούτων οὐδὲ ψεῦδος οὐδ' ἀσύστατον ἀλλὰ φαντασίας ἀληθεῖς ἀπάσας καὶ σώματα καὶ μορφὰς ἐκ τοῦ περιέχοντος ἀφικνουμένας.... ταῦτα ὑπάρχειν ἀπὸ σπουδῆς τιθέμενοι, μᾶλλον δὲ ὅλως, εἰ ταῦτα μὴ ὑπάρχοι, πίστιν οἴχεσθαι καὶ βεβαιότητα καὶ κρίσιν ἀληθείας φάσκοντες αὐτοὶ καταβάλλουσιν εἰς ἀφασίαν πάντα πράγματα.

These {images from the furies} and many of another artificial variety, resembling the Empedoclean monsters that they deride, "with lurching ox-feet, random arms" and "Ox-creatures, fronted like a man" – what phantom or prodigy do they omit? All of these they assemble from dreams and delirium and say that none is an optical illusion or false or unsubstantial, but all are true impressions, bodies and shapes that reach us from the surrounding air. That being the case, is there anything in the world about which it is impossible to suspend judgment, when such things as these can be accepted as real? Things that no artful joiner, puppet-maker, or painter ever ventured to combine of our entertainment into a likeness to deceive the eye, these they seriously suppose to exist, or rather they assert that, if these did not exist, there would be an end of all assurance and certainty and judgment about truth.

3. On Representations & Words

[U255]

x. X

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellenies, 2.4

ναὶ μὴν καὶ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ὁ μάλιστα τῆς ἀληθείας προτιμήσας τὴν ἡδονὴν πρόληψιν εἶναι διανοίας τὴν πίστιν ὑπολαμβάνει. πρόληψιν δὲ ἀποδίδωσιν ἐπιβολὴν ἐπὶ τι ἐναργὲς καὶ ἐπὶ τὴν ἐναργῇ τοῦ πράγματος ἐπίνοιαν' μὴ δύνασθαι δὲ μηδένα μῆτε ζητῆσαι μῆτε ἀπορῆσαι μηδὲ μὴν δοξάσαι, ἀλλ' οὐδὲ ἐλέγξαι χωρὶς προλήψεως τῆσαι μῆτε ἀπορῆσαι μηδὲ μὴν δοξάσαι, ἀλλ' οὐδὲ ἐλέγξαι χωρὶς προλήψεως

Indeed, Epicurus, who more than anyone prefers pleasure to truth, supposes that a preconception {prolepsis} is the basis of the intellect's conviction; he defines a preconception as an application of the intellect to something clear and to the clear conception of the thing, and holds that no one can either investigate or puzzle over, nor even hold an opinion or even refute someone, without a preconception.

x. X

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.33

τὴν δὲ πρόληψιν λέγουσιν οἰονεὶ κατάληψιν ἢ δόξαν ὀρθὴν ἢ ἔννοιαν ἢ καθολικὴν νόησιν ἐναποκειμένην, τοῦτ' ἔστι μνήμην τοῦ πολλάκις ἔξωθεν φανέντος, οἷον τὸ Τοιοῦτόν ἐστιν ἄνθρωπος ἅμα γὰρ τῷ ῥηθῆναι Ἄνθρωπος εὐθύς κατὰ πρόληψιν καὶ ὁ τύπος αὐτοῦ νοεῖται προηγουμένων τῶν αἰσθήσεων. παντὶ οὖν ὀνόματι τὸ πρῶτως ὑποτεταγμένον ἐναργές ἐστι. καὶ οὐκ ἂν ἐζητήσαμεν τὸ ζητούμενον, εἰ μὴ πρότερον ἐγνώκειμεν αὐτό, οἷον Τὸ πόρρω ἐστὼς ἵππος ἐστὶν ἢ βοῦς; δεῖ γὰρ κατὰ πρόληψιν ἐγνώκεναι ποτὲ ἵππου καὶ βοὸς μορφήν. οὐδ' ἂν ὠνομάσαμεν τι μὴ πρότερον αὐτοῦ κατὰ πρόληψιν τὸν τύπον μαθόντες. ἐναργεῖς οὖν εἰσὶν αἱ προλήψεις.

By preconception they mean a sort of "apprehension" or a "right opinion" or "notion" or universal idea stored in the mind – that is, a recollection of an external object often presented. For example: "this thing is human" – and no sooner than the word "human" is uttered that we imagine a human shape by an act of preconception, in which the senses take the lead. Thus the object primarily denoted by the very term is then plain and clear. And we should never have started an investigation, unless we had known what it was that we were in search of. For example: "The object standing way over there is a horse or a cow." Before making this judgment we must at some time or another have known by preconception the shape of a horse or a cow. We should not have given anything a name, if we had not first learnt its form by way of preconception.

x. X

Cicero (fl. 65 ^{BCE}), De Natura Deorum, I.16.43 (Velleius speaking)

U34?? Quae est enim gēns aut quod genus hominum, quod nōn habeat sine doctrīnā anticipātiōnem quandam deōrum? Quam appellat πρόληψιν Epicūrus, id est anteceptam animō rēi quandam informationem, sine quā nec intellegī quicquam nec quaerī nec disputārī potest. Cuius ratiōnis vīm atque ūtilitātem ex illō caelestī Epicūrī dē rēgulā et iūdictiō volūmine accēpimus

For what nation or what kind of human beings is there that does not have without instruction a certain anticipation of the gods? This Epicurus calls prolêpsis, that is, a certain information of a thing pre-taken in the mind, without which nothing can be understood nor inquired into nor discussed. The force and usefulness of this method we have received from that heavenly volume of Epicurus On the Rule and the Criterion.

What race of men or nation is there which does not have some untaught apprehension of the gods? Such an innate idea Epicurus calls prolepsis, that is to say, a certain form of knowledge which is inborn in the mind and without which there can be no other knowledge, not rational thought or argument. The force and value of this doctrine we can see from his own inspired work on The Canon. {= Cicero U34}

x. X

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Natura Deorum, I.17.44 (Velleius speaking)

fatēāmur cōnstāre illud etiam, hanc nōs habēre sīve anticipātiōnem, ut ante dīxī, sīve praeenōtiōnem deōrum: sunt enim rēbus novīs nōva ponenda nōmina, ut Epicūrus ipse πρόληψιν appellāvit, quam anteā nēmō eō verbō nominārat.

Let us admit that this also stands: that we have either an anticipation, as I said before, or a preconception of the gods. For for new things new names must be set down, as Epicurus himself called it prólēpsis, which previously no one had named by that word

We must admit it as also being an accepted truth that we possess a "preconception" as I called it, or "prior notion" of the gods. For we are bound to employ novel terms to denote novel ideas, just as Epicurus himself employed the word prolepsis in a sense which no one had ever used before.

x. X

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), by way of Olympiodorus the Younger (fl. 540 ^{CE}), Commentary on Plato's "Phaedo" (p. 125.10 Finckh.)

οἱ δὲ Ἐπικούρειοι τὰς προλήψεις (αἰτιῶνται τοῦ ζητεῖν ἡμᾶς καὶ εὐρίσκειν). ἃς εἰ μὲν διηρθρωμένας φασί, περιττὴ ἡ ζήτησις εἰ δὲ ἀδιαρθρώτους, πῶς ἄλλο τι παρὰ τὰς προλήψεις ἐπιζητοῦμεν ὃ γε οὐδὲ προειλήφαμεν;

The Epicureans, then, accuse us of seeking and rediscovering the prolepses. If these, as they say, correspond to real objects, then to seek them is useless; if, on the other hand, they don't correspond, how can we seek an explanation regarding preconceptions that we haven't we been able to think of already?

x. X

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), Against the Grammarians, 1.57

...οὔτε ζητεῖν οὔτε ἀπορεῖν ἔστι κατὰ τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπίκουρον ἄνευ προλήψεως...

According to the wise Epicurus, it is not possible to investigate or even to be puzzled without preconceptions.

[U256]

x. X

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 1.9.30 (Torquatus to Cicero)

Itaque negat (Epicūrus) opus esse ratiōne neque disputātiōne, quam ob rem voluptās expetenda, fugiendus dolor sit. Sentīrī haec putat, ut calēre ignem, nivem esse albam, mel dulce.

Therefore Epicurus says that there is no need of reasoning nor of disputation as to why pleasure is to be sought and pain to be avoided. He thinks these things are perceived, as that fire is hot, that snow is white, [that] honey [is] sweet.

Quōrum nihil oportēre exquisītīs ratiōnibus cōfirmāre, tantum satis esse admonēre.

Of which none ought to be confirmed by highly wrought reasonings; it is enough only to remind.

Interesse enim inter argumentum conclūsiōnemque ratiōnis et inter mediocrem animadversiōnem atque admonitiōnem: altera occulta quaedam et quasi involūta aperīrī, altera prompta et aperta iūdicārī.

For there is a difference between the argument and the conclusion of reasoning, and between moderate noticing and reminding: the former has certain hidden and as it were wrapped things to be opened, the latter has things ready and open to be judged.

etenim, quoniam dētractīs dē homine sēnsibus relictum nihil est, necesse est quid aut ad nātūram aut contrā sit ā nātūrā ipsā iūdicārī

And indeed, since with the senses taken away from the human being nothing is left, it is necessary that what is either according to nature or against is judged by nature itself.

Hence Epicurus refuses to admit any necessity for argument or discussion to prove that pleasure is desirable and pain to be avoided. These facts, he thinks, are perceived by the senses, as that fire is hot, snow white, honey sweet, none of which things need be proved by elaborate argument: it is enough merely to draw attention to them. (For there is a difference, he holds, between formal syllogistic proof of a thing and a mere notice or reminder: the former is the method for discovering abstruse and recondite truths, the latter for indicating facts that are obvious and evident.) Strip mankind of sensation, and nothing remains; it follows that Nature herself is the judge of that which is in accordance with or contrary to nature.

[U257]

x. X

Cicero (fl. 65 BCE), De Finibus, 2.2.6

Epicūrum... quī crebrō dicat dīligenter oportēre exprīmī, quae vīs subiecta sit vocibus

Epicurus... who often says that it is necessary to express carefully what meaning is attached to words.

[Epicurus] is always harping on the necessity of carefully sifting out the meaning underlying the terms we employ...

x. X

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.31

τὴν διαλεκτικὴν ὥς παρέλκουσαν ἀποδοκιμάζουσιν. ἀρκεῖν γὰρ τοὺς φυσικοὺς χωρεῖν κατὰ τοὺς τῶν πραγμάτων φθόγγους.

They reject dialectic as superfluous; holding that in their inquiries, physicists should be content to employ ordinary terms for things.

[U258]

x. X

Erotianus, Glossary of Hippocrates, Preface, [p. 34, 10 Klein]

εἰ γὰρ μέλλοιμεν τὰς πᾶσι γινωσκομένας ἐξαπλοῦν φωνάς, ἢ τοι πάσας ὀφειλήσομεν ἐξηγήσασθαι ἢ τινάς. ἀλλὰ πάσας μὲν ἀδύνατον, τινὰς δὲ καὶ κενόν. ἢ γὰρ διὰ συνήθων ἐξαπλώσομεν αὐτὰς ῥημάτων ἢ διὰ τῶν μὴ συνήθων· ἀλλ’ αἱ μὲν ἀσυνήθεις εἰς τοῦτο ἀφυεῖς φαίνονται --- δεκτὸν γὰρ τὰ ἥττον γινωσκόμενα διὰ τῶν μᾶλλον γινωσκομένων ἐξαπλοῦν —, αἱ δὲ συνήθεις τῷ ἐπ’ ἴσης εἶναι φανεραὶ πρὸς τὸ δηλωτικὸν τῆς ἐρμηνείας οὐκ ἔσσονται (χρήσιμοι, ὥς φησιν Ἐπίκουρος ἀπόλλυται γὰρ ἰδίως τῆς ἐρμηνείας τὸ φανερόν, ὅταν ὑπὸ λόγου καθά περ τινὸς οἰκείου μαγγανεύηται φαρμάκου

For if we are going to explain the words known to everybody, we would have to expound either all or some. But to expound all is impossible, whereas to expound some is pointless. For we will explain them either through familiar locutions or through unfamiliar. But unfamiliar words seem unsuited to the task, the accepted principle being to explain less known things by means of better known things; and familiar words, by being on a par with them, will be unfamiliar for illuminating language, as Epicurus says. For the informativeness of language is characteristically ruined when it is bewitched by an account, as if by a homeopathic drug.

[U259]

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), Against the Logicians, 2.258

ὁρῶμεν δὲ ὡς εἰσὶ τινες οἱ ἀνηρηκότες τὴν ὕπαρξιν τῶν λεκτῶν, καὶ οὐχ οἱ ἑτερόδοξοι μόνον, οἷον οἱ Ἐπικούρειοι

We see that there are some who have denied the real existence of "expressions" and these not only men of other schools, such as the Epicureans, {but even Stoics like Basileides...}

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Against Colotes, 22, p. 1119F

τίνες μᾶλλον ὑμῶν (Ἐπικούρειοι) πλημμελοῦσι περὶ τὴν διάλεκτον, οἳ τὸ τῶν λεκτῶν γένος οὐσίαν τῷ λόγῳ παρέχον ἄρδην ἀναιρεῖτε, τὰς φωνὰς καὶ τὰ τυγχάνοντα μόνον ἀπολιπόντες, τὰ δὲ μεταξύ σημαινόμενα πράγματα, δι' ὧν γίνονται μαθήσεις διδασκαλῖαι προλήψεις νοήσεις ὁρμαὶ συγκαταθέσεις, τὸ παράπαν οὐδὲ εἶναι λέγοντες;

What school is more at fault in its views about language than yours {Epicureanism}, which makes a clean sweep of the whole category of meanings, which impart to discourse its substantial reality, and leave us with nothing but vocables and facts, when you say that the intermediate objects of discourse, the things signified, which are the means of learning, teaching, preconceptions, conceptions, desires, and assent, do not exist all?

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), Against the Logicians 2.13

οἱ δὲ περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον καὶ Στράτωνα τὸν φυσικὸν δύο μόνον ἀπολείποντες, σημαῖνόν τε καὶ τυγχάνον, φαίνονται... περὶ τῇ φωνῇ τὸ ἀληθὲς καὶ ψεῦδος ἀπολείπειν

The disciples of Epicurus and Strato the physicist, who admit only two things – the thing signifying and the thing existing – appear ... to ascribe truth or falsity to the mere word.

4. The Feelings

[U260]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.34

Πάθη δὲ λέγουσιν εἶναι δύο, ἡδονὴν καὶ ἀλγηδόνα, ιστάμενα περὶ πᾶν ζῶον, καὶ τὴν μὲν οἰκεῖον, τὴν δὲ ἀλλότριον: δι' ὧν κρίνεσθαι τὰς αἰρέσεις καὶ φυγὰς.

They assert that there are two kinds of feelings, pleasure and pain, which arise in every living thing. The one is appealing and the other vexing to one's nature; in consideration of these, choices and avoidances are made.

Aristocles, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 ^{BCE}), Preparation for the Gospel, 14.21, 768D

τῆς αἰρέσεως καὶ φυγῆς ἀρχὴν καὶ κριτήριον ἔχειν ἡμᾶς τὴν ἡδονὴν καὶ τὸν πόνον λέγουσιν οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον τοσοῦτου τοίνυν ἔγωγε δέω λέγειν ἀρχὴν εἶναι καὶ κανόνα τῶν ἀγαθῶν καὶ τῶν κακῶν τὸ πάθος, ὥστ' ἔμοιγε δοκεῖ τοῦτο αὐτὸ κριτηρίου δεῖσθαι

Some say that as the principle and criterion of choosing and avoiding we have pleasure and pain: at least the Epicureans now still say something of this kind ... For my part then I am so far from saying that feeling is the principle and canon of things good and evil, that I think a criterion is needed for feeling itself.

[U261]

x. X

Aëtius (fl. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.9.11

Ἐπίκουρος τῶν αἰσθητῶν ἡδονὰς ἤδη καὶ τὰς λύπας.

For Epicurus, pleasure and pain are a part of sensations.

5. Signs

[U262]

x. X

Sextus Empiricus (fl. c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 2.177

Ἐπίκουρος μὲν γὰρ καὶ οἱ προεστῶτες αὐτοῦ τῆς αἰρέσεως ἔλεξαν αἰσθητὸν εἶναι τὸ σημεῖον, οἱ δὲ ἀπὸ τῆς στοᾶς νοητόν.

Epicurus and the leaders of his school have stated that the sign is sensible, while the Stoics state that it is intelligible.

[U263]

x. X

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 29, p. 1124B

τούτοις οἱ τὰ φαινόμενα τῶν ἀδήλων πίστιν ἔχειν φάσκουσιν ἀπιστίαν τοσαύτην καὶ ἀσάφειαν ἐν τοῖς φαινομένοις ὀρῶντες

...these people are deluded who regard what is seen as evidence of things unseen although they observe that appearances are so untrustworthy and ambiguous.

6. On Disputation

[U264]

x. X

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.1.3

Omnis in quaerendō, quae viā quādam et ratiōne habētur, ōrātiō praescrībere primum dēbet..., ut inter quōs disseritur cōnveniāt, quid sit id dē quō disserātur.

Every discourse in inquiry which is conducted by a certain path and method ought first to prescribe, so that among those between whom it is argued there is agreement what the thing is about which it is argued.

Hoc positum in Phaedrō ā Platōne probāvit Epicūrus sēnsitque in omnī disputātiōne id fierī oportēre. Sed quod proximum fuit, nōn vīdit; negat enim dēfīnīrī rem placēre, sine quō fierī interdum nōn potest ut inter eōs quī ambigunt cōnveniāt, quid sit id dē quō agātur

Epicurus approved this, set down in the Phaedrus by Plato, and perceived that in every discussion this ought to be done. But what was next he did not see; for he says that it does not please that a thing be defined, without which it cannot sometimes happen that among those who dispute there is agreement what the thing is about which it is being dealt.

In philosophical investigation, a methodical and systematic discourse must always begin by formulating a preamble ... so that the parties to the debate may be agreed as to what the subject is about which they are debating. This rule is laid down by Plato in Phaedrus, and it was approved by Epicurus, who realized that it ought to be followed in every discussion.

[U265]

x. X

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.34

τῶν τε ζητήσεων εἶναι τὰς μὲν περὶ τῶν πραγμάτων, τὰς δὲ περὶ ψιλὴν τὴν φωνήν

They assert that there are two kinds of inquiry: one concerned with things, the other with nothing but words.

1. Fundamentally Unchanging

Pseudo-Plutarch, Miscellanies, Fragment 8

from Eusebius, Preparation for the Gospel, 1.8.24B, Greek Doxography, [p. 581, 19 Diels]

Ἐπίκουρος... φησὶν... ὅτι "οὐδὲν ξένον ἐν τῷ παντὶ ἀποτελεῖται παρὰ τὸν ἤδη γεγεννημένον χρόνον ἄπειρον"

Epicurus asserts that nothing new happens in the universe when compared to the infinite time already passed.

2. The Atoms

x. X

Aëtius (fl. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.3.18

Ἐπίκουρος Νεοκλέους Ἀθηναῖος κατὰ Δημόκριτον φιλοσοφήσας ἔφη τὰς ἀρχὰς τῶν ὄντων σώματα λόγῳ θεωρητά, ἀμέτοχα κενοῦ, ἀγένητα ἀδιάφθαρτα, οὔτε θραυσθῆναι δυνάμενα οὔτε διάπλασιν ἐκ τῶν μερῶν λαβεῖν οὔτε ἀλλοιωθῆναι. εἶναι δὲ αὐτὰ λόγῳ θεωρητά... ταῦτα μέντοι κινεῖσθαι ἐν τῷ κενῷ καὶ διὰ τοῦ κενοῦ. εἶναι δὲ καὶ αὐτὸ τὸ κενὸν ἄπειρον καὶ τὰ σώματα ἄπειρα. εἴρηται δὲ ἄτομος οὐχ ὅτι ἐστὶν ἐλαχίστη, ἀλλ’ ὅτι οὐ δύναται τμηθῆναι, ἀπαθὴς οὔσα καὶ ἀμέτοχος κενοῦ.

Epicurus, the son of Neocles and an Athenian, philosophized in the manner of Democritus and said that the principles {i.e., elementary constituents} of existing things are bodies inferable by reason, which do not participate in the void and are uncreated and indestructible – since they can neither be broken nor be compounded out of parts, nor be altered in their qualities. They can be inferred by reason ... {lacuna here} ... They move in the void and through the void. And the void itself is infinite, and so are the bodies. Bodies have these three properties: shape, size, weight. Democritus said that there were two – size and shape – but Epicurus added weight to these as a third. For, he says, it is necessary that the bodies move by the blow of [an object with] weight, otherwise they will not move. The shapes of the atoms are innumerable, but not infinite. For there are none which are hooked or trident-shaped or ring-shaped; for these shapes are easily broken and the atoms are impervious. They have their own shapes which can be contemplated by reason. The atom {a-tomos} is so-called not because it is smallest, but because it cannot be divided, since it is impervious and does not participate in void.

x. X

Achilles Tatius (The Astronomer, fl.c. 250 CE), Isagoge (Introduction) to Aratus' Phaenomena, 3

Achilles' origins and biography are unknown. In the Suda, he is mistakenly identified with the writer Achilleus Tatius. He is almost fully ignored in English scholarship.

He is placed in the 3rd century because, he quotes 2nd-century astronomers – but he is himself quoted by Julius Firmicus Maternus in the 4th century.

Ἐπίκουρος δὲ ὁ Ἀθηναῖος ἐκ σωμάτων νοητῶν σμικροτάτων τὰς ἀρχὰς τῶν ὄλων εἶναί φησι. καλεῖ δὲ αὐτὰς ἀτόμους ἢ διὰ σμικρότητα ἀκαριαίας τινὰς οὔσας ἢ διὰ τὸ ἀφθάρτους αὐτὰς εἶναι καὶ μὴ τέμνεσθαι

Epicurus of Athens maintained that the principles {i.e., elementary constituents} of all things are comprised in extremely tiny bodies, knowable by the intellect, and he named them "atoms" or other words, minimums, because of their smallness, or because they are indestructible and cannot be divided.

x. X

Saint Hippolytus of Rome (fl.c. 210 ^{CE}), "Philosophical Questions" (Refutation of all Heresies), 1.19

τὰς δὲ ἀτόμους τὰ λεπτομερέστατα σώμαθ', ὧν οὐκ ἂν γένοιτο κέντρον οὐδὲ σημεῖον οὐδὲ διαίρεσις οὐδεμία, ἔφη (Ἐπίκουρος) εἶναι. διὸ καὶ ἀτόμους αὐτὰς ὠνόμασε

Epicurus says that the atoms are the most minute bodies; it is not possible to ascribe them a center nor a point nor any subdivision: and because of this he called them atoms.

[U268]

x. X

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 ^{CE}), Commentary on Aristotle's Physics, 7.1

οἱ δὲ τῆς ἐπ' ἄπειρον τομῆς ἀπεγνωκότες ὡς οὐ δυναμένων ἡμῶν ἐπ' ἄπειρον τέμνειν καὶ ἐκ τούτου πιστώσασθαι τὸ ἀκατάληκτον τῆς τομῆς ἐξ ἀδιαιρέτων ἔλεγον ὑφίστασθαι τὰ σώματα καὶ εἰς ἀδιαίρετα διαιρεῖσθαι. πλήν ὅτι Λεύκιππος μὲν καὶ Δημόκριτος οὐ μόνον τὴν ἀπάθειαν αἰτίαν τοῖς πρώτοις σώμασι τοῦ μὴ διαιρεῖσθαι νομίζουσιν ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ σμικρὸν καὶ ἀμερές Ἐπίκουρος δὲ ὕστερον ἀμερῇ μὲν οὐχ ἡγεῖται, ἄτομα δὲ αὐτὰ διὰ τὴν ἀπάθειαν εἶναί φησι. καὶ πολλαχοῦ μὲν τὴν Δημοκρίτου δόξαν καὶ Λευκίππου ὁ Ἀριστοτέλης διήλεγξεν. καὶ δι' ἐκείνους ἴσως τοὺς ἐλέγχους πρὸς τὸ ἀμερές ἐνισταμένους ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ὕστερον γενόμενος, συμπαθῶν δὲ τῇ Δημοκρίτου καὶ Λευκίππου δόξῃ περὶ τῶν πρώτων σωμάτων, ἀπαθῇ μὲν ἐφύλαξεν αὐτά, τὸ δὲ ἀμερές αὐτῶν παρείλετο, ὡς διὰ τοῦτο ὑπὸ τοῦ Ἀριστοτέλους ἐλεγχομένων.

Others, who had given up on [the idea of] cutting to infinity on the grounds that we cannot [in fact] cut to infinity and thereby confirm the endlessness of cutting, used to say that bodies consist of indivisibles and are divided into indivisibles. Leucippus and Democritus, however, believed not only in imperviousness as the reason why primary bodies are not divided, but also in smallness and partlessness, while Epicurus later did not hold that they were partless, but said that they were atomic {i.e., uncuttable} by virtue of imperviousness alone. Aristotle refuted the view of Leucippus and Democritus in many places, and it is because of these refutations in objection to partlessness, no doubt, that Epicurus, coming afterwards but sympathetic to the view of Leucippus and Democritus concerning primary bodies, kept them impervious but took away their partlessness, since it was on this account that they were challenged by Aristotle.

[U269]

x. X

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Against Colotes, 1114A

ἀλλ' ἄπειρα νῆ Δία πλήθει τὰ σώματα κατ' Ἐπίκουρόν ἐστι καὶ γίνεται τῶν φαινομένων ἕκαστον ἐξ ἐκείνων. ὁρῶμεν οἷας ὑποτίθεσθε πρὸς γένεσιν ἀρχάς, ἀπειρίαν καὶ κενόν. ὧν τὸ μὲν ἄπρακτον ἀπαθὲς ἀσώματον. ἡ δ' ἄτακτος ἄλογος ἀπερίληπτος, αὐτὴν ἀναλύουσα καὶ ταραττούσα τῷ μὴ κρατεῖσθαι μηδὲ ὀρίζεσθαι διὰ πλῆθος.

For Epicurus, the number of bodies is infinite and every single object is the world of sense is generated from them. Observe right here the sort of first principles you people {Epicureans} adopt to account for generation: infinity and the void – the void incapable of action, incapable of acted upon, bodiless; the infinite disordered, irrational, incapable of formulations, disrupting and confounding itself because of a multiplicity that defies control or limitation.

[U270]

x. X

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.3.16

εἶναι δὲ τὰ σχήματα τῶν ἀτόμων ἀπερίληπτα, οὐκ ἄπειρα. μὴ γὰρ εἶναι: μήτ' ἀγκιστροειδεῖς μήτε τριαινοειδεῖς μήτε κρικοειδεῖς' ταῦτα γὰρ τὰ σχήματα εὐθραυστά ἐστίν, αἱ δὲ ἄτομοι ἀπαθεῖς. ἴδια δὲ ἔχειν σχήματα λόγῳ θεωρητά.

The forms of the atoms are certainly incalculable, but not infinite. Indeed, none are hook-shaped, trident-shaped, or ring-shaped: these shapes break easily, but the atoms are in fact impenetrable and have, instead, their own shapes, intuitable by reason.

3. The Void

[U271]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.20.2

Ἐπίκουρος ὀνόμασιν παραλλάττειν κενὸν τόπον χώραν.

Epicurus says that void, place, and space differ only in name.

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Physicisists, 2.2

Therefore we must understand that, according to Epicurus, one part of that nature which is termed intangible is called the void, one part place, and another part space – the names varying according to the different ways of looking at it since the same substance when empty of all body is called void, when occupied by a body is named place, and when bodies roam through it becomes space. But generically it is called "intangible substance" in Epicurus' school, since it lacks resistance.

not in usener

[U272]

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 2.329

Ἐπίκουρος δοκεῖ ἰσχυροτάτην τεθεικέναι ἀπόδειξιν εἰς τὸ εἶναι κενὸν τοιαύτην. εἰ ἔστι κίνησις, ἔστι κενόν' ἀλλὰ μὴν ἔστι κίνησις' ἔστιν ἄρα κενόν. ταύτης δὲ τῆς ἀποδείξεως τὰ λήμματα εἰ μὲν συνεχωρεῖτο πρὸς πάντων, ἐξ ἀνάγκης ἂν καὶ τὴν ἐπιφορὰν εἶχεν ἀκολουθοῦσαν αὐτοῖς καὶ ὑπὸ πάντων παραχωρουμένην. νῦν δ' ἐνέστησάν τινες τούτῳ, φημὶ δὲ τῷ μὴ συνάγεσθαι τοῖς λήμμασι τὴν ἐπιφορὰν, οὐ διὰ τὸ μὴ ἀκολουθεῖν αὐτὴν ἐκείνοις, ἀλλὰ διὰ τὸ ἐκεῖνα εἶναι ψευδῆ καὶ ἀνομόλογα.

Epicurus, for instance, opines that he has put forward a very strong argument for the existence of void, namely this: "If motion exists, void exists; but in fact motion exists; therefore void exists." But if the premises of this proof had been agreed to by all, it would necessarily have had a conclusion also following from them and admitted by all. Instead, some have objected to it (i.e., the deduction of the conclusions from the premises) not because it does not follow from them, but because they are false and not admitted.

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 2.314

ἐνθεν καὶ οὕτως αὐτὴν ὑπογράφουσιν ἄποδειξις ἐστὶ λόγος δι' ὁμολογουμένων λημμάτων κατὰ συναγωγὴν ἐπιφορὰν ἐκκαλύπτων ἄδηλον, οἷον ὁ τοιοῦτος εἰ ἔστι κίνησις, ἔστι κενόν· ἀλλὰ μὴν ἔστι κίνησις ἔστιν ἄρα κενόν. τὸ γὰρ εἶναι κενὸν ἄδηλόν τ' ἐστὶ καὶ δι' ἀληθῶν δοκεῖ τοῦ τε "εἰ ἔστι κίνησις, ἔστι κενόν" καὶ τοῦ "ἔστι δὲ κίνησις" κατὰ συναγωγὴν ἐκκαλύπτεσθαι.

Hence also they {the Dogmatists} describe it thus: "A proof is an argument which by means of agreed premises reveals by way of deduction a non-evident conclusion." For example: "If motion exists, void exists; but in fact motion exists; therefore void exists." For the existence of void is non-evident, and also it appears to be revealed by way of deduction by means of the true premises: "If motion exists, void exists" and "but motion exists."

[U273]

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's Physics, 4.5 [folio 140 verso, Aldine]

ἰστέον οὖν ὅτι τῶν περὶ τόπου τι γραψάντων οἱ μὲν σῶμα τὸν τόπον οἱ δὲ ἀσώματον ὑπέθεντο τῶν δὲ ἀσώματον λεγόντων οἱ μὲν πάντῃ ἀδιάστατον οἱ δὲ διαστατὸν λέγουσι... τῶν δὲ διαστατὸν λεγόντων οἱ μὲν ἐπὶ δύο διεστῶτα..., οἱ δὲ ἐπὶ τρία καὶ τούτων οἱ μὲν πάντῃ ἀδιάφορον καὶ ποτε καὶ ἄνευ σώματος μένον, ὡς οἱ περὶ Δημόκριτον καὶ Ἐπίκουρον, οἱ δὲ διάστημα καὶ ἀεὶ σῶμα ἔχον καὶ ἐπιτήδειον πρὸς ἕκαστον, ὡς οἱ κλεινοὶ τῶν Πλατωνικῶν καὶ ὁ Λαμψακηνὸς Στράτων

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's Physics, 4.5 [folio 144 verso, Aldine]

πάλιν δὲ αὖ τῶν τὸ κενὸν αὐτὸ τιθεμένων οἱ μὲν ἄπειρον εἶναί φασι καὶ ὑπερβάλλον ἀπειρίᾳ τὰ σώματα καὶ διὰ τοῦτο ἄλλο ἐν ἄλλοις αὐτοῦ μέρεσι καταδεχόμενον, ὡς ἂν ἔτυχον, εἴ περ μέρη λέγειν ἐπὶ τοῦ ἀπείρου κενοῦ δυνατόν. τοιαύτην δὲ περὶ αὐτοῦ δόξαν ἐσχηκέναι δοκοῦσιν οἱ περὶ Δημόκριτον ἀρχαῖοι φυσιολόγοι

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's Physics, 4.4

καὶ φησὶν ὅτι "τέσσαρά ἐστιν, ὧν ἀνάγκη τὸν τόπον ἔν τι εἶναι" ἢ γὰρ τὸ εἶδος τοῦ ἐν τόπῳ ἢ τὴν ὕλην αὐτοῦ ἢ τὸ διάστημα τὸ μεταξύ τῶν ἐσχάτων τοῦ περιέχοντος τὸν τόπον ἀνάγκη εἶναι (ὁ τινὲς καὶ τῶν πρότερον ὡς οἱ περὶ Δημόκριτον καὶ τῶν ὕστερον ὡς οἱ περὶ Ἐπίκουρον καὶ οἱ Στωικοί, τινὲς δὲ καὶ κατὰ Πλάτωνα τοῦτο τὸν τόπον εἶναι ἐνόμισαν) ἢ τὰ ἔσχατα τοῦ περιέχοντος. εἰ οὖν μηδὲν τῶν τριῶν ἐστίν, ὡς δείξει, ἀνάγκη τὸ λειπόμενον εἶναι τὸν τόπον. τὸ δὲ διάστημα τοῦτο οἱ μὲν περὶ Δημόκριτον καὶ Ἐπίκουρον κενὸν εἶναι λέγουσιν οὕτως ὥστε ποτὲ μὲν πληροῦσθαι σώματος, ποτὲ δὲ κενὸν ἀπολείπεσθαι οἱ δὲ Πλατωνικοὶ καὶ οἱ Στωϊκοὶ εἶναι μὲν ἄλλο παρὰ τὰ σώματα φασίν, ἀεὶ δὲ σῶμα ἔχειν, ὡς μηδέποτε κενὸν ἀπολείπεσθαι.

Themistius, Paraphrases of Aristotle's Physics, 4.4 [folio 38 verso, Aldine]

λείπεται τοίνυν ἡμῖν, ὅτι μηδὲ τὸ διάστημα ὁ τόπος ἐστίν, ἀποδείξαι. διάστημα δὲ τὸ μεταξύ νοούμενον τῶν περάτων τοῦ περιέχοντος, οἷον τὸ μεταξύ τῆς κοίλης ἐπιφανείας τοῦ κάδου. παλαιὰ μὲν οὖν ἡ δόξα καὶ τοῖς τὸ κενὸν τιθεμένοις προσήκουσα, ἠκολούθησεν δὲ ὁμως αὐτῇ καὶ ὁ περὶ Χρύσιππον χορὸς καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ὕστερον, εἰσποιοῦσι δὲ τινες καὶ τὸν Πλάτωνα τῷ δόγματι. ἥρτηται δὲ ἐκ πιθανῆς μὲν αἰτίας, ψευδοῦς δὲ ἱκανῶς. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ εἰς ἐπίνοιαν ἦλθομεν ὅλως τοῦ τόπου ἐκ τῆς ἀντιμεταστάσεως τῶν σωμάτων καὶ τοῦ γίνεσθαι συνεχῶς ἄλλοτε ἄλλα ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ τόπῳ, διὰ τοῦτο αὐτοῖς ὁ τόπος ἔδοξεν εἶναι τὸ διάστημα τὸ μεταξύ, ὃ ταῦτό μένον ὑπελάμβανον ὑποδέχεσθαι

τὰ ἀντιμεθιστάμενα σώματα κεχωρισμένον ἐκάστου τούτων τῶν εἰσιόντων σωμάτων. ἐνῆγε δὲ αὐτοὺς εἰς ταύτην μάλιστα τὴν ὑπόνοιαν τὰ ἀγγεῖα. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ τῆς ἐπιφανείας ἐν τῷ κεραμίῳ τῆς κοίλης μενούσης τῆς αὐτῆς καὶ περιγεγραμμένης ἰδίῳις πέρασιν ποτὲ μὲν ὕδωρ ἐγγίνεται, ποτὲ δὲ ἀήρ ἐν τῷ σκεύει, διὰ τοῦτο εἶναι τὸ μεταξὺ τῆς κοίλης ἐπιφανείας διάστημα ὑπενόησαν, ὃ ταῦτὸ μένον καὶ τῶν σωμάτων κεχωρισμένον, ὥσπερ καὶ ἡ ἐπιφάνεια τοῦ ἀγγείου, δέχεσθαι ἀνὰ μέρος τὰ σώματα. ἀλλ' οὐκ ἔστι τοῦτο ὑγιές. εἰ μὲν οἶόν τε ἦν κενὸν σώματος γενέσθαι ποτὲ τὸ ἀγγεῖον, ἴσως ἂν ἐφωράθη καθ' ἑαυτὸ τὸ λεγόμενον τοῦτο διάστημα νῦν δὲ ἅμα ἐκχεῖται τὸ ὕδωρ καὶ ὁ ἀήρ ἀντεισέρχεται. κάντεῦθεν ἡ πλάνη ἐπεὶ γὰρ σῶμα πᾶν μετὰ διαστήματος, μεταφέρουσιν τὸ τῶν σωμάτων διάστημα ἐπὶ τὸν τόπον, οὐκ ἐννοοῦντες ὅτι διὰ τοῦτο ἀεὶ διάστημά ἐστιν ἐν τόπῳ, ἐπειδὴ καὶ σῶμα ἀεὶ" δηλοῖ δὲ τὰ χαλκᾶ καὶ στεγανὰ πανταχόθεν ἀγγεῖα' οὐ γὰρ ἂν ἐκρύσειεν τὸ ὕδωρ, εἰ μὴ χώραν (εἰς) εἴρυσιν ὁ ἀήρ λάβοι. φενακίζει δὲ αὐτοὺς καὶ τὸ μένειν ἀεὶ ἀσύγχυτον καὶ τὴν κοίλην ἐπιφάνειαν τῶν ἀγγείων, ὡς εἴ γε συνέπιπτεν ἐξαιρουμένου τοῦ ὕδατος ὥσπερ ἐπὶ τῶν ἀσκίων, οὐκ ἂν ὁμοίως ἐξηπατῶντο

It remains for us to demonstrate also that place is not extension. An extension is what is conceived of as between the limits of the container, e.g., what is within the hollow surface of the pot. Now this belief is traditional, and associated with those who posit the void, yet later both Chrysippus' crowd and Epicurus were nonetheless adherents. Some imposed the doctrine on Plato too. It relies on a plausible explanation, yet one that is quite false: namely, since we reach a conception of place in general from the mutual replacement of bodies (i.e., from different bodies continually coming to be in the same place at different times), they took place to be the intervening extension, which they believed remained the same when it received the bodies that were replacing one another, while being separated from each of these incoming bodies. Vessels above all egged them on to this inference. For since water and air enter the vessel at different times while the hollow surface within the clay remains the same (i.e. circumscribed by unique limits), they inferred the existence of the extension within the hollow surface, which resembled the surface of the vessel in remaining the same (i.e., separated from the bodies) as it received the bodies in succession. But this is invalid. If the vessel could at any time be devoid of body, then perhaps this so-called "extension" would be detected per se. But, as it is, fluid flows out and air simultaneously enters to replace it, and that leads them astray. For since every body is accompanied by an extension, they transfer the extension belonging to bodies to place, without reasoning that an extension is always in place just because a body always is too, as completely covered bronze vessels reveal: for [in their case] there would be no efflux of fluid unless the air acquired a space for its influx. What dupes them is that the vessels' hollow surface also always remains rigid; but if there were an implosion when the fluid was extracted, as there is in the case of wine-skins, they would not be similarly deluded.

[U274]

Themistius, Paraphrases of Aristotle's Physics, 4.6 [folio 40 verso, Aldine]

δύο γὰρ οὗτοι τῆς θέσεως τοῦ κενοῦ τρόποι, ἢ παρεσπάρθαι τοῖς σώμασιν αὐτό, καθά περ λέγουσιν Δημόκριτός τε καὶ Λεύκιππος καὶ ἄλλοι πολλοὶ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ὕστερον (οὗτοι γὰρ πάντες τὴν τοῦ κενοῦ παρεμπλοκὴν αἰτιῶνται τῆς διαιρέσεως. τῶν σωμάτων, ἐπεὶ τό γε ἀληθῶς συνεχὲς ἀδιαίρετον κατ' αὐτούς). ἢ κεχωρισμένον καὶ ἀθρόον εἶναι καθ' αὐτὸ περιέχον τὸν οὐρανόν, ὡς πρότερον μὲν ὦντο τῶν ἀρχαίων τινές, μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα οἱ περὶ Ζήνωνα τὸν Κιτιέα.

The void can be posited in two ways: either as disseminated in bodies, as Democritus and Leucippus claim, and many others, including Epicurus later (they all make the 'interlacing' of the void the cause of bodily division, since according to them what is truly continuous is undivided); or else as separate (i.e., gross), per se, surrounding the cosmos, as some early thinkers were the first to believe, and later Zeno of Citium and his followers. We, then, must examine what those involved with the void claim.

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's Physics, 4.6 [folio 151 verso, Aldine]

ἐκεῖνοι γὰρ ἔλεγον ἐνεργεῖα τι τοιοῦτον εἶναι διάστημα, ὃ μεταξὺ τῶν σωμάτων ὑπάρχον οὐκ ἔξ συνεχῆ εἶναι τὰ σώματα, ὡς οἱ περὶ Δημόκριτον καὶ Λεύκιππον ἔλεγον, οὐ μόνον ἐν τῷ κόσμῳ κενὸν εἶναι τι λέγοντες ἀλλὰ καὶ ἔξω τοῦ κόσμου, ὃ περ δηλονότι τόπος μὲν οὐκ ἂν εἴη, αὐτὸ δὲ καθ' αὐτὸ ὑφέστηκε' ταύτης δὲ

τῆς δόξης γέγονε καὶ Μητρόδωρος ὁ Χῖος καὶ τῶν Πυθαγορείων τινές, ὡς μετ' ὀλίγον αὐτὸς ἐρεῖ, ὕστερον δὲ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος

4. Bodies & their Attributes

[U275]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.12.5

Ἐπίκουρος ἀπερίληπτα εἶναι τὰ σώματα. καὶ τὰ πρῶτα δὲ ἀπλᾶ τὰ τε ἐξ ἐκείνων συγκρίματα πάντα βάρος ἔχειν.

Epicurus maintains that the primary and simple bodies are imperceptible, and also that compounds formed by them all have weight.

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.3.16

συμβεβηκέναι δὲ τοῖς σώμασι τρία ταῦτα, σχῆμα μέγεθος βάρος. Δημόκριτος μὲν γὰρ ἔλεγε δύο, μέγεθός τε καὶ σχῆμα. ὁ δὲ Ἐπίκουρος τούτοις καὶ τρίτον βάρος προσέθηκεν. ἀνάγκη γάρ, φησί, κινεῖσθαι τὰ σώματα τῇ τοῦ βάρους ὀλκῇ. ἐπεὶ οὐ κινηθήσεται.

Bodies have these three attributes: shape, size, and weight. Democritus guessed two of them, size and shape. Epicurus, for his part, added weight to these; it is necessary, he argues, that bodies be moved by the blow of their weights, for otherwise they would not move

Sextus Empiricus (fl. c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 2.240

ἐπειδὴν λέγῃ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος τὸ σῶμα νοεῖν κατ' ἐπισύνθεσιν μεγέθους καὶ σχήματος καὶ ἀντιτυπίας καὶ βάρους, ἐκ μὴ ὄντων σωμάτων βιάζεται τὸ σῶμα νοεῖν

When Epicurus asserts that we conceive body by means of a combination of size and shape and resistance and weight, he is forcing us to form a conception of existent body out of non-existents.

Sextus Empiricus (fl. c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 2.257

Ἐπίκουρος φήσας κατὰ ἀθροισμὸν σχήματός τε καὶ μεγέθους καὶ ἀντιτυπίας καὶ βάρους τὸ σῶμα νευοῖσθαι.

... this too Epicurus acknowledged, when he said that "body is conceived by means of a combination of form and magnitude and resistance and weight."

Sextus Empiricus (fl. c. 200 CE), Against the Ethicists, 226

ἐάν τε γὰρ ἀθροισμός τις ἦ τὸ σῶμα, ὡς φησιν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος, μεγέθους καὶ σχήματος καὶ ἀντιτυπίας

For whether body is, as Epicurus asserts, a combination of size and form and solidity...

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1110F

ταῦτα τῶν Ἐπικούρου δογμάτων οὕτως ἀχώριστά ἐστιν ὡς τὸ σχῆμα καὶ τὸ βάρος αὐτοὶ τῆς ἀτόμου λέγουσι

I can affirm that this view {that denying the reality of emergent properties contradict the senses} is as inseparable from Epicurus' as shape and weight are by their own assertion inseparable from the atom.

5. Motion

[U276]

οἱ γὰρ περὶ Δημόκριτον καὶ ὕστερον Ἐπίκουρος τὰς ἀτόμους πάσας ὁμοφυεῖς οὕσας βάρος ἔχειν φασί, τῷ δὲ εἶναι τινα βαρύτερα, ἐξωθούμενα τὰ κουφότερα ὑπ’ αὐτῶν ὑφίζανόντων ἐπὶ τὸ ἄνω φέρεσθαι, καὶ οὕτω λέγουσιν οὗτοι δοκεῖν τὰ μὲν κοῦφα εἶναι, τὰ δὲ βαρέα.

The followers of Democritus, and, later, Epicurus, say that all atoms of the same nature have weight. However, because some are heavier, they sink down and in doing so they push the lighter ones up. Hence, they say, some are light and others are heavy.

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 ^{CE}), Commentary of Aristotle's De Caelo, 1.8 [31, Karst]

τὰ γὰρ στοιχειώδη σώματα ἢ κατὰ τὴν αὐτῶν φύσιν κινεῖται ἢ ὑπ’ ἄλλου τινὸς φερόμενα ἢ ὑπ’ ἀλλήλων ἐκθλιβόμενα ὅτι δὲ οὐδὲ τῇ ὑπ’ ἀλλήλων ἐκθλίψει βιαζόμενα κινεῖται, δείκνυσιν ἐφεξῆς. ταύτης δὲ γεγόνασι τῆς δόξης μετ’ αὐτὸν Στράτων ὁ Λαμψακηνὸς τε καὶ Ἐπίκουρος, πᾶν σῶμα βαρύτητα ἔχειν νομίζοντες καὶ πρὸς τὸ μέσον φέρεσθαι, τῷ δὲ τὰ βαρύτερα ὑφίζάνειν τὰ ἥττον βαρέα ὑπ’ ἐκείνων ἐκθλίβεσθαι βίᾳ πρὸς τὸ ἄνω, ὥστε εἴ τις ὑφεῖλε τὴν γῆν, ἐλθεῖν ἂν τὸ ὕδωρ εἰς τὸ κέντρον, καὶ εἴ τις τὸ ὕδωρ, τὸν ἀέρα, καὶ εἰ τὸν ἀέρα, τὸ πῦρ.

Elementary bodies move either as a result of their own nature, or are moved by something else, or are squeezed out by one another. And he [Aristotle] shows that they do not move under the force of mutual extrusion either as follows. This opinion was held after him by both Strato of Lampsacus, and Epicurus, who thought that every object possessed weight and moved towards the middle, and that lighter ones settled out above the heavier ones by being forcibly squeezed out upwards by them, so that if the earth were removed, water would move to the center, and if the water [were removed] the air, and if the air [were removed] the fire.

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 ^{CE}), Commentary of Aristotle's De Caelo, 1.8 [25, Karst]

οἱ δὲ τοῦ πάντα πρὸς τὸ μέσον φέρεσθαι κατὰ φύσιν τεκμήριον κομίζοντες τὸ τῆς γῆς ὑποσπωμένης τὸ ὕδωρ ἐπὶ τὸ κάτω φέρεσθαι καὶ τοῦ ὕδατος τὸν ἀέρα ἀγνοοῦσι τούτου αἰτίαν τὴν ἀντιπερίστασιν οὕσαν... ἰστέον δὲ ὅτι οὐ Στράτων μόνος οὐδὲ Ἐπίκουρος πάντα ἔλεγον εἶναι τὰ σώματα βαρέα καὶ φύσει μὲν ἐπὶ τὸ κάτω φερόμενα, παρὰ φύσιν δὲ ἐπὶ τὸ ἄνω, ἀλλὰ καὶ ὁ Πλάτων οἶδε φερομένην ταύτην τὴν δόξαν καὶ διελέγχει, τό τε κάτω καὶ τὸ ἄνω ἐπὶ τοῦ κόσμου μὴ καλῶς εἰρησθαι νομίζων καὶ τὸ βαρέα λέγεσθαι οὕτως ὥς πρὸς τὸ κάτω φερόμενα μὴ ἀποδεχόμενος.

Those who treat as an indication that everything moves naturally towards the middle the fact that when earth is removed water moves downwards, and when water [is removed] the air [does so too], do not know that the reciprocal motion is the cause of this. For when the denser things are transferred into the place of the rare, the rarer take the place of the denser, propelled downwards because there can be no void, and because body cannot pass through body. But one must realize that it was not just Strato and Epicurus who held that all bodies were heavy and moved naturally downwards, unnaturally upwards, but Plato too knows that this opinion is held, and disputes it, thinking that 'downwards' and 'upwards' are not properly applied to the world, and refusing to accept that things are called heavy in virtue of their downward motion.

[U277]

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 ^{CE}), Commentary on Aristotle's Physics, 6.2

εἰ γὰρ μὴ ἦν πᾶν μέγεθος διαιρετόν, οὐχ οἷόν τε ἦν τὸ βραδύτερον ἀεὶ ἐν τῷ ἴσῳ χρόνῳ ἔλαττον κινεῖσθαι τοῦ θάπτονος. τὸ γὰρ ἄτομον καὶ τὸ ἀμερὲς ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ χρόνῳ καὶ τὸ θάπτον διέρχεται καὶ τὸ βραδύτερον. εἰ γὰρ ἐν πλείονι, ἐν τῷ ἴσῳ ἔλαττόν τι τοῦ ἀμεροῦς διελεύσεται. διὸ καὶ τοῖς περὶ Ἐπίκουρον ἀρέσκει ἰσοταχῶς πάντα διὰ τῶν ἀμερῶν κινεῖσθαι, ἵνα μὴ τὰ ἄτομα αὐτῶν διαιρούμενα μηκέτι ἄτομα ᾖ.

Unless every magnitude were divisible, it would not always be possible for a slower object to move a lesser distance in equal time than a quicker one. For slower and quicker objects cover the atomic and indivisible distance in the same time, since if one took more time, it would cover in the equal time a distance less than the indivisible distance. And that is why the Epicureans too think all bodies move at equal speed through indivisible distances, so that they can avoid having their atomic quantities be divided – and thus no longer atomic.

[U278]


Themistius, Paraphrases of Aristotle's Physics, 6.1 [folio 52 verso, Aldine]

ἀλλ’ ὁ σοφώτατος ἡμῖν Ἐπίκουρος οὐκ αἰσχύνεται χρῆσθαι φαρμάκῳ τῆς νόσου χαλεπωτέρῳ, καὶ ταῦτα Ἀριστοτέλους τὴν μοχθηρίαν τοῦ λόγου προεπιδείξαντος. ἐπὶ μὲν γὰρ τῆς ὅλης τῆς ΑΒΓ κινεῖται, φησὶν, τὸ κινούμενον, ἐφ’ ἐκάστου δὲ τῶν ἀμερῶν ἐξ ὧν σύγκειται οὐ κινεῖται, ἀλλὰ κεκίνηται. εἴτ’ οὐκ αἰσθάνεται περιπίπτων ἀναριθμήτοις τισὶν ἀτοπίαις, πρῶτον μὲν ὅτι τὴν κίνησιν τὴν ἐφ’ ὅλης τῆς ΑΒΓ συντίθησιν οὐκ ἐκ κινήσεων, ἀλλ’ ἐκ περάτων κινήσεως καὶ τοῦ κεκινήσθαι εἰ γὰρ ὅλη κίνησις ΔΕΖ, οὐχ ἕξει κίνησιν τὰ μόρια τὸ Δ καὶ τὸ Ε καὶ τὸ Ζ. κεῖται γὰρ οὐ κινεῖσθαι καθ’ ἐκάστην τούτων ἀλλὰ κεκινήσθαι. ἔπειθ’ ὅτι τὸ κεκινήσθαι φησὶν ἀληθὲς ἐφ’ οὗ μηδέποτε τὸ κινεῖσθαι γέγονεν ἀληθές, καὶ τὸ διεληλυθέναι ὑπάρχειν ὧ μὴ πρότερον τὸ διέναι, καὶ τὸ βεβαδικέναι ὧ μὴ πρότερον τὸ βαδίζειν, καὶ ὅλως τὸ παρωχημένον ἀληθὲς οὗ τὸ ἐνεστῶς οὐδέποτ’ ἂν ἀληθές. ἔτι δὲ εἰ πᾶν τὸ κινεῖσθαι πεφυκός, ὅτε πέφυκεν, ἦτοι κινεῖται ἐξ ἀνάγκης ἢ ἡρεμεῖ, (εἰ) μὴ κινεῖται τὸ Ω κατὰ τὸ Α, δηλὸν ὅτι ἡρεμεῖ ἐν τῷ Α, ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ ἐν τῷ Β, ὁμοίως δὲ καὶ ἐν τῷ Γ. ἀλλὰ καὶ κεῖται κινεῖσθαι ἐπὶ τῆς συγκεκριμένης ἐκ τῶν ΑΒΓ, ὥστε κατὰ πᾶν μέρος ἡρεμοῦν τῆς ΑΒΓ πᾶσαν οὐδὲν ἦττον διήξει. ὅλως δὲ εἰ μὲν ἐφ’ ἐκάστου τῶν ἀμερῶν κίνησις ὑπάρξει τῷ Ω, πῶς ἔχον κίνησιν οὐ κινήσεται; εἰ δ’ οὐχ ὑπάρξει κίνησις ἀλλ’ ἡρεμία, ἐξ ἡρεμίας ἢ κίνησις συντεθήσεται.

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's Physics, 6.1 [folio 218 verso, Aldine]

ἐπάγει δὲ καὶ ἄλλο ἄτοπον ἀκολουθοῦν ταύτῃ τῇ ὑποθέσει, τὸ κεκινήσθαι τι μὴ πρότερον κινούμενον, οἷον βεβαδικέναι τι μὴ πρότερον βαδίσαν. κεῖται μὲν γὰρ κινεῖσθαι τὸ ὦ τὴν ΔΕΖ κίνησιν ἐπὶ τοῦ ΑΒΓ μεγέθους οὔτε δὲ ἐπὶ τοῦ Α κινεῖται, κεκίνηται γὰρ ἐπ’ αὐτοῦ, οὔτε ἐπὶ τοῦ Β, ὁμοίως δὲ οὐδὲ ἐπὶ τοῦ Γ τὴν ὅλην ἄρα κίνησιν κεκινήμενον ἔσται μὴ κινούμενον αὐτὴν πρότερον. ὅτι δὲ οὐ πάντῃ ἀπίθανον ταύτην τέθεικε τὴν ἔνστασιν, δηλοῖ τὸ καὶ θέντος αὐτὴν καὶ διαλύσαντος τοὺς περὶ Ἐπίκουρον ὅμως ὕστερον γενομένους οὕτω λέγειν τὴν κίνησιν γίνεσθαι. ἐξ ἀμερῶν γὰρ καὶ τὴν κίνησιν καὶ τὸ μέγεθος καὶ τὸν χρόνον εἶναι λέγοντες ἐπὶ μὲν τοῦ ὅλου μεγέθους τοῦ ἐξ ἀμερῶν συνεστῶτος κινεῖσθαι λέγουσι τὸ κινούμενον, καθ’ ἕκαστον δὲ τῶν ἐν αὐτῷ ἀμερῶν οὐ κινεῖσθαι ἀλλὰ κεκινήσθαι, διὰ τὸ εἰ τεθεῖη καὶ ἐπὶ τούτων κινεῖσθαι τὸ ἐπὶ τοῦ ὅλου κινούμενον, διαιρετὰ αὐτὰ ἔσεσθαι.

He {Aristotle} adds yet another absurdity that follows upon this hypothesis, [namely] that something has moved that was not previously moving, for example, that something has walked that did not previously walk. For it is posited that O moves [with] the motion DEF over the magnitude ABC, but it moves neither over A (for it has moved over it), nor over B, nor likewise, over C. It will consequently, have moved [with] the whole motion without previously moving [with] it.



That this obstacle which he {Aristotle} has formulated is itself not entirely beyond belief is shown by the fact that despite his having formulated it and produced his solution, the Epicureans, who came along later, said that this is precisely how motion does occur. For they say that motion, magnitude and time have part-less constituents, and that over the whole magnitude composed of part-less constituents the moving object moves, but at each of the part-less magnitudes contained in it, it does not move but has moved; for if it were laid down that the object moving over the whole magnitude moves over these too, they would turn out to be divisible.

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520^{CE}), Commentary on Aristotle's Physics, 4.8 [folio 159 verso, Aldine]

ἐκ δὴ τούτων, φησὶν ὁ Ἀλέξανδρος, ἔνεστι λέγειν πρὸς Ἐπίκουρον, οὐδὲν δὲ ἥττον ἴσως καὶ πρὸς Δημόκριτον καὶ Λεύκιππον καὶ ἀπλῶς τοὺς ἀρχὰς τὰ ἄτομα λέγοντας καὶ τὸ κενόν, ὅτι εἰ μὲν ἀνισοταχῶς φέρονται αἱ ἄτομοι ἐν τῷ κενῷ, τὰς αἰτίας τῆς ἀνισοταχοῦς φορᾶς ὥρα λέγειν αὐτοῖς οὐδὲν γὰρ αὐταῖς τὸ μέγεθος ἢ τὸ βάρος ἢ τὸ σχῆμα πρὸς τὸ τάχος συμβάλλεται· εἰ δὲ ἰσοταχῶς, οὐδέποτε καταλήψεται ἡ ἑτέρα τὴν ἑτέραν οὐδὲ ἀλληλοτυπήσουσιν ἢ περιπλακήσονται. οὐδὲ γὰρ ἡ τῶν σχημάτων διαφορὰ οἷα τέ ἐστὶν ἄνισον αὐτῶν ποιεῖν τὴν φορὰν· καὶ γὰρ τὰ σχήματα τῷ διαιρεῖν ἢ τῷ μὴ διαιρεῖν ἄνισον τὴν φορὰν ποιεῖ· ἐν δὲ τῷ κενῷ οὐδὲν ἐστὶ τὸ διαιρούμενον. (ὥστε οὐδὲ γένεσις ἔσται τινὸς κατ' αὐτούς. μήποτε δὲ τοῦτο τὸ ἄτοπον αὐτοῖς ἀκολουθήσει, εἰ λέγοιεν ἀεὶ κινεῖσθαι τὰς ἀτόμους. εἰ γὰρ καὶ ἡρεμοῦσι καὶ ἰσοταχῶς κινοῦνται, ἐπικαταλαμβάνουσιν ἀλλήλας. εἰ δὲ τις ζητεῖ διὰ τί ἀδύνατόν ἐστι τὸ ἰσοταχῶς κινεῖσθαι τὸ βαρύτερον τῷ ἥττον βαρεῖ, ράδιον λέγειν, ὅτι εἰ μὴ ἡ προσθήκη τοῦ βάρους θάπτω ποιεῖ τὴν κίνησιν, οὐδὲ ἀρχὴν ἢ βαρύτης αἰτία ἔσται τῆς ἐπὶ τὸ κάτω κινήσεως ἐν τῷ κενῷ ὃ γὰρ προστιθέμενον οὐκ ἐπιτείνει. οὐδὲ αὔξει τὴν κίνησιν, τοῦτο οὐδὲ τὴν ἀρχὴν ἂν αἷτιον εἴη κινήσεως. εἰ δὲ μὴ αἱ ῥοπαὶ τοῖς σώμασιν εἴσιν αἷτιαί τῆς κινήσεως τῆς ἐν τῷ κενῷ, οὐδ' ἂν κινοῖτο τὴν ἀρχὴν ἐν τῷ κενῷ τὰ ουματα· οὐδὲ γὰρ ἄλλη τις αἰτία τῆς κατὰ φύσιν φορᾶς τοῖς σώμασι πλὴν τῆς οἰκείας ῥοπῆς. ἔτι δέ, φησὶν ὁ Ἀλέξανδρος, εἰ πᾶν σῶμα βάρος ἔχειν τοῖς περὶ Ἐπίκουρον δοκεῖ καὶ διὰ τὸ βάρος κινεῖσθαι τὰ σώματα διὰ τοῦ κενοῦ, ἔπεται δὲ οἷς ἢ βαρύτης αἰτία τῆς κινήσεως, τούτοις τὴν μείζω ῥοπὴν ταχυτέρας αἰτίαν γίνεσθαι κινήσεως, οὐχ οἷόν τε δὲ τοῦτο ἐν τῷ κενῷ γίνεσθαι, οὐδ' ἂν διὰ τὸ βάρος κινοῖτο ἐν αὐτῷ· εἰ δὲ μὴ διὰ τοῦτο, οὐδ' ἂν ὅλως κινοῖτο.

[U280]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50^{CE}), Placita Philosophorum, 1.12.5

κινεῖσθαι δὲ τὰ ἄτομα τοτὲ μὲν κατὰ στάθμην, τοτὲ δὲ κατὰ παρέγκλισιν, τὰ δὲ ἄνω κινούμενα κατὰ πληγὴν καὶ ἀποπαλμόν

Atoms sometimes move straight down, sometimes swerve, and those which move upwards do so by collision and rebound.

Aëtius (fl. f. 50^{CE}), Placita Philosophorum, 1.23.4

Ἐπίκουρος δύο εἶδη τῆς κινήσεως, τὸ κατὰ στάθμην καὶ τὸ κατὰ παρέγκλισιν.

Epicurus said there are two types of the motion: the straight and the swerve.

6. Atomic Swerve

[U281]

Cicero (fl. 66^{BCE}), De Finibus, 1.6.18

Epicūrus autem, in quibus sequitur Dēmocritum, nōn ferē lābitur... illae Epicūrī prōpriae ruīnae: cēnsent enim eadem illa individua et solida corpora ferrī deorsum suō pondere ad līneam; hunc nātūrālem esse omnium corporum mōtum.

But Epicurus, in the points in which he follows Democritus, scarcely slips... those are Epicurus’ own disasters: for he thinks that those same indivisible and solid bodies are carried downward by their own weight in a straight line; that this is the natural motion of all bodies.

Deinde ibidem homō acūtus, cum illud occurreret, sī omnia deorsum ē regiōne ferrentur et, ut dīxī, ad līneam, numquam fore ut atomus altera alteram posset attingere itaque..., attulit rem commenticiam:

Then, in that same place, the clever man, when this came up—that if all things were carried downward straight opposite, and, as I said, in a line—it would never happen that one atom could touch another; and so he brought in a contrived notion:

dēclināre dīxit atomum perpauillum, quō nihil posset fierī minus; ita effici complexiōnēs et copulātiōnēs et adhaesiōnēs atomōrum inter sē, ex quō efficerētur mundus omnēsque partēs mundī quaeque in eō essent...

he said that an atom swerves a very little, than which nothing could be made smaller; thus combinations and unions and adhesions of atoms with one another come about, from which the world and all the parts of the world and whatever things are in it are produced...

Ipsa dēclīnātiō ad libidinem fingitur—ait enim dēclināre atomum sine causā—, et illum mōtum nātūrālem omnium ponderum, ut ipse cōstituit, ē regiōne inferiōrem locum petentium, sine causā ēripuit atomīs; nec tamen id, cuius causā haec fīxerat, assecutus est.

The swerve itself is fashioned at pleasure—for he says that the atom swerves without a cause—and he snatched away from the atoms, without a cause, that natural motion of all weights, as he himself establishes it, of seeking the lower place straight opposite; and yet he did not achieve that on account of which he had invented these things.

Nam sī omnēs atomī dēclinābunt, nullae umquam cohaerēbunt; sīve aliae dēclinābunt, aliae suō nūtu rēctē ferentur, primum erit hoc quasi prōvinciās atomīs dare, quae rēctē quae oblique ferantur; deinde eadem illa atomōrum— in quō etiam Dēmocritus haeret—turbulenta concursiō hunc mundī ōrnātum efficere nōn poterit.

For if all atoms will swerve, none will ever cohere; or if some will swerve, others will be carried straight by their own tendency, first this will be as if to assign provinces to the atoms, which are carried straight and which obliquely; then that same turbulent collision of the atoms—in which even Democritus sticks—will not be able to produce this adornment of the world.

Epicurus for his part, where he follows Democritus, does not generally blunder. ... I now come to the lapses peculiar to Epicurus. He believes that these same indivisible solid bodies are borne by their own weight perpendicularly downward, which he holds is the natural motion of all bodies; but thereupon this clever fellow, being met with the difficulty that if they all traveled downwards in a straight line, and, as I said, perpendicularly, no one atom would ever be able to overtake any other atom, accordingly introduced an idea of his own invention: he said that the atom makes a very tiny swerve—the smallest divergence possible; and thus produces entanglements and combinations and cohesion of atoms with atoms, which result in the creation of the world, and all its parts, and of all that in them is. Now not only is this whole affair a piece of childish fancy, but it does not even achieve the result that its author desires. The swerving is itself an arbitrary fiction; for Epicurus says the atoms swerve without cause—yet this is the capital offense in a natural philosopher, to speak of something taking place uncaused. Then also he gratuitously deprives the atoms of what he himself declared to be the natural motion of all heavy bodies, namely, movement in a straight line downwards, and yet he does not attain the object for the sake of which this fiction was devised. For, if all the atoms swerve, none will ever come to cohere together; or if some swerve while others travel in a straight line, but their own natural tendency, in the first place this will be tantamount to assigning to the atoms their different spheres of action, some to travel straight and some sideways; while secondly (and this is a weak point with Democritus also) this riotous hurly-burly of atoms could not possibly result in the ordered beauty of the world we know.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Fate, 10.22

Epicūrus dēclīnātiōne atomī vītārī necessitātem fātī putat. Itaque tertius quidam mōtus oritur extrā pondus et plagam, cum dēclīnat atomus intervallō minimō – id appellat ἐλάνιστον:

Epicurus thinks that by the swerve of the atom the necessity of fate is avoided. And so a certain third motion arises, beyond weight and impulse, when an atom swerves by a very small interval, he calls that *elániston*:

quam dēclīnātiōnem sine causā fierī, sī minus verbīs, rē cōgitur cōnfītērī. Nōn enim atomus ab atomō pulsa dēclīnat.

which swerve he is compelled to confess happens without a cause, if not in words, yet in fact.

Nam quī potest pellī alia ab aliā, sī gravitāte feruntur ad perpendicularum corpora individuā rēctīs līneīs, ut Epicūrō placet?

For an atom does not swerve when struck by another atom. For how can one be struck by another if the indivisible bodies are carried downward by gravity in perpendicular straight lines, as it pleases Epicurus?

Sequitur enim ut, sī alia ab aliā numquam dēpellātur, nec contingat quidem alia aliam.

For it follows that, if one is never struck aside by another, one does not even touch another.

Ex quō efficitur, etiam sī sit atomus eaque dēclīnet, dēclīnāre sine causā.

From which it results that even if there is an atom and it swerves, it swerves without a cause.

Hanc Epicūrus ratiōnem indūxit ob eam rem, quod veritus est nē, sī semper atomus gravitāte ferretur nātūrālī ac necessariā, nihil līberum nōbīs esset, cum ita movērētur animus, ut atomōrum mōtū cōgerētur.

Epicurus introduced this explanation for this reason, because he feared that, if always the atom were carried by gravity naturally and necessarily, there would be nothing free for us, since the mind would move in such a way as to be compelled by the motion of atoms.

Id Dēmocritus, auctor atomōrum, accipere maluit necessitāte omnia fierī quam ā corporibus individuīs nātūrālīs mōtus avellere

Democritus, the founder of the atomic theory, preferred to accept that all things happen by necessity rather than to tear away natural motion from indivisible bodies.

Epicurus, however, thinks that the necessity of fate is avoided by the swerve of the atom; and so a certain third movement arises, part from weight and collision, when the atom swerves by a very small distance – this he calls a "minimum." That this swerve comes about without a cause he is compelled to admit, if not by his words, by the facts themselves. For it is not the case that an atom swerves when struck by another; for how can one be struck by another if individual bodies are carried downwards by their weight in straight lines, as Epicurus supposes? For if one is never struck from its course by another, it follows that none even touches another; and from this it results that, even if there is an atom and it swerves, it does so without cause. Epicurus introduce this theory because he was afraid that, if the atom was always carried along by its weight in a natural and way, we would have no freedom, since our mind would be moved in the way in which it was constrained by the movement of the atoms. Democritus, the inventor of the atoms, preferred to accept this, that all things come about through fate, rather than to remove the natural movements of individual bodies from them.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Fate, 20.46

Hōc modō hanc causam dīscēptārī oportet, nōn ab atomīs errantibus et dē viā dēclīnantibus petere praesidium.

It is necessary that this case be argued in this way, not to seek help from atoms wandering and swerving off the road.

“Dēclīnat,” inquit, “atomus.” Prīmum, cūr? Aliam enim quandam vīm mōtūs habuērant ā Dēmocritō impulsīōnis, quam plāgam ille appellat, ā tē, Epicūre, gravitātis et ponderis.

“It swerves,” he says, “the atom.” First, why? For they had from Democritus a certain other force of motion, of impulse, which he calls “stroke,” from you, Epicurus, [a force] of gravity and of weight.

Quae ergō nova causa in nātūrā est quā dēclīnet atomus? Aut num sortiuntur inter sē, quae dēclīnat, quae nōn? Aut cūr minimō dēclīnent intervallō, maiōre nōn? Aut cūr dēclīnent ūnō minimō, nōn dēclīnent duobus aut tribus?

What therefore is the new cause in nature by which an atom should swerve? Or do they draw lots among themselves, which one swerves, which one does not? Or why do they swerve by the smallest interval, not by a greater? Or why do they swerve by one smallest [interval], do they not swerve by two or three?

Optāre hoc quidem est, nōn disputāre. Nam neque extrīnsecus impulsam atomum locō movērī et dēclīnāre dīcis, neque in illō inānī per quod ferātur atomus quicquam fuisse causae cūr ea nōn ē regiōne ferretur, nec in ipsā atomō mūtātīōnis aliquid factum est, quam ob rem nātūrālem mōtum suī ponderis nōn teneret.

This indeed is to wish, not to argue. For you say neither that an atom struck from outside is moved from its place and swerves, nor that in that void through which an atom is carried there was anything of cause why it should not be carried straight opposite, nor has anything of change been made in the atom itself, on account of which it would not hold the natural motion of its own weight.

ita, cum attulisset nullam causam quae istam dēclīnātīōnem efficeret, tamen aliquid sibi dīcere vidētur, cum id dīcat quod omnium mentēs aspernantur ac respuant

Thus, although he had brought forward no cause which would produce that swerve, nevertheless he seems to himself to say something, when he says that which all minds reject and spurn.

This is how the case ought to be argued; one ought not to seek help from atoms that swerve and deviate from their path. "The atom swerves" he says. First why? For the atoms will have one force to move them from Democritus, the force of an impulse which he calls a blow, and from you, Epicurus, the force of weight and heaviness. So what new cause is there in nature to make the atom serve? Or do they draw lots among themselves which will swerve and which not? Or why do they swerve by a minimum interval and not by a larger one, or why do they swerve by one minimum and not by two or three? This is wishful thinking, not argument. For you do not say that the atom is moved from its position and swerves through an impulse from outside, nor that in that void through which the atom travels there was any cause for its not traveling in a straight line; nor has there been any change in the atom itself as a result of which it might no preserve the motion natural to its weight. So, although [Epicurus] has not brought forward any cause which might cause that serve of his, nevertheless he thinks he has a point to make when he says the sort of thing which the minds of all reject and repudiate.

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), On Fate, 9.18

Nec... est causa cūr Epicūrus fātum extimēscat et ab atomīs petat praesidium eāsque dē viā dēdūcat et ūnō tempore suscipiat rēs duās inenodābilēs: ūnam, ut sine causā fiat aliquid—ex quō existet ut dē nihilō quippiam fiat..., alteram, ut cum duo individuā per inānitātem ferantur, alterum ē regiōne moveātur, alterum dēclīnet

There is no reason for Epicurus to tremble before fate, seek help from the atoms and turn them aside from their path, and for him to commit himself at one and the same time to two things that cannot be proved: first that something should happen without a cause, from which it will follow that something comes from nothing, which neither he himself nor any natural philosopher accepts; and second that, when two indivisible bodies travel through the void, one moves in a straight line and the other swerves aside.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.25.69 (Cotta)

Epicūrus cum vidēret, sī atomī ferrentur in locum inferiōrem suōpte pondere, nihil fore in nostrā potestāte, quod esset eārum mōtus certus et necessarius, invēnit quō modō necessitātem effugeret, quod vidēlicet Dēmocritum fugerat:

When Epicurus saw that, if the atoms were being carried to the lower place by their own weight, there would be nothing in our power, because their motion would be fixed and necessary, he found how he might escape necessity, which plainly had escaped Democritus:

ait atomum, cum pondere et gravitāte dīrectō deorsus ferātur, dēclīnāre paullulum

he says that an atom, when with weight and gravity it is carried straight downward, swerves a little

Epicurus saw that if those atoms of his were always falling downwards by their own weight, their motion would be fixed and predetermined, and there would be no room for free will in the world. So casting about for a way to avoid this determinism, which Democritus had apparently overlooked, he said that the atoms, as they fell, just swerved a little!

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), On The Birth of the Soul in Plato's Timaeus, 1015C

Ἐπικούρῳ μὲν γὰρ οὐδ' ἀκαρὲς ἐγκλῖναι τὴν ἄτομον συγχωροῦσιν (οἱ Στωικοὶ) ὡς ἀναίτιον ἐπείσάγοντι κίνησιν ἐκ τοῦ μὴ ὄντος.

The fact is that they [the Stoics] do not concede to Epicurus that the atom can swerve the tiniest bit, on the grounds that he introduces a causeless motion coming from nonexistence...

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), Against the Academicians, 3.10.23

Quō modō enim inter Dēmocritum et superiōrēs physicos dē ūnō mundō et innumerābilibus litem dīiūdicābimus, cum inter ipsum hērēdemque eius Epicūrum concordia manēre nequiverit?

In what way indeed shall we decide the dispute between Democritus and the earlier natural philosophers about one world and countless worlds, since agreement could not remain between him himself and his heir Epicurus?

Nam iste luxuriōsus, cum atomōs quasi ancillulās suās, id est corpuscula quae in tenebrīs laetus amplectitur, nōn tenēre viam suam, sed in aliēnōs līmītēs passim sponte dēclīnāre permittit, tōtum patrimōnium etiam per iurgia dissipāvit. Hoc vērō nihil ad mē attinet

For that self-indulgent man, while he gladly embraces the atoms as his little maidservants, that is the little bodies in the dark, allows them not to keep their own path but to swerve at random of their own accord into others’ boundaries, and he has even scattered the whole inheritance through quarrels. This truly pertains nothing to me.

How shall we decide the controversy between Democritus and earlier physicists about whether there is one world or innumerable worlds, when Democritus and his heir Epicurus were unable to remain in agreement? Once that voluptuary Epicurus allows atoms, as though they were his little handmaids – that is, the little bodies he gladly embraces in the dark – not to stay on their courses but to swerve freely here and there into the paths of others, he has also dissipated his entire patrimony through such quarrels.

7. Aggregation and Dissolution

Marcus Terentius Varro (fl. 46 ^{BCE}), *On Latin Language*, 6.39

Dēmocritus, Epicūrus, item aliī quī īfinīta prīncipia dīxērunt, quae unde sint nōn dīcunt, sed quālis modī sint, tamen faciunt magnum, quod quae ex iīs cōstant in mundō, ostendunt.

Democritus, Epicurus, likewise others who said that the first elements are infinite, do not say whence they are, but of what sort they are; nevertheless, they accomplish something great, because they show the things which are composed from them in the world.

Democritus, Epicurus, and still others who have deemed the original elements to be unlimited in number, though they do not tell us where the elements came from but only of what sort they are, still perform a great service: they show us the things of the world which consist of these elements.

[U282]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), *Against Colotes*, 1116C

ἀλλ’ αὐτὸν ἡδέως ἂν ἐροίμην τὸν κατήγορον (Πλάτωνος Κωλώτην), εἰ τοῖς ἑαυτῶν πράγμασι τὴν διαφορὰν οὐκ ἐνορῶσι ταύτην, καθ’ ἣν τὰ μὲν μόνιμα καὶ ἄτρεπτα «τὰ δὲ μεταβλητὰ καὶ τρεπτὰ» ταῖς οὐσίαις ἐστίν; ὥς λέγουσι καὶ τὰς ἀτόμους ἀπαθεία καὶ στερρότητι πάντα χρόνον ὡσαύτως ἔχειν, τὰ δὲ συγκρίματα πάντα ῥευστὰ καὶ μεταβλητὰ καὶ γινόμενα καὶ ἀπολλύμενα εἶναι, μυρίων μὲν εἰδώλων ἀπερχομένων ἀεὶ καὶ ῥεόντων, μυρίων δὲ ὥς εἰκὸς ἐτέρων ἐκ τοῦ περιέχοντος ἐπιρρεόντων καὶ ἀναπληρούντων τὸ ἄθροισμα, ποικιλλόμενον ὑπὸ τῆς ἐξαλλαγῆς ταύτης καὶ μετακεραννύμενον, ἅτε δὴ καὶ τῶν ἐν βάθει τοῦ συγκρίματος ἀτόμων οὐδέποτε λῆξαι κινήσεως οὐδὲ παλμῶν πρὸς ἀλλήλας δυναμένων, ὥσπερ αὐτοὶ λέγουσιν

But I should like to ask the very man {Colotes} who brings this indictment {against Plato} if his school does not see this distinction in their own system, whereby some objects are enduring and unchanging in their being, just as atoms too in their doctrine are forever the same because they are too hard to be affected, while all aggregates of atoms are subject to flux and change and come into being and pass of of it, as innumerable images leave them in a constant stream, and innumerable others, it is inferred, flow in from the surroundings and replenish the mass, which is varied by this interaction and altered in its composition, since in fact even the atoms in the interior of the aggregate can never cease moving or vibrating against one another, as the Epicureans say themselves.

[U283]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), *Against Colotes*, 1112A

τοῖς ὑπολαμβάνουσι μήτε γένεσιν τοῦ μὴ ὄντος εἶναι μήτε φθορὰν τοῦ ὄντος, ἀλλ’ ὄντων τινῶν συνόδῳ πρὸς ἄλληλα τὴν γένεσιν, διαλύσει δ’ ἀπ’ ἀλλήλων τὸν θάνατον ἐπονομάζεσθαι

{The Epicureans} assume that there is neither generation of the non-existent nor destruction of the existent, but that generation is a name given to the conjunction of certain existents with one another and death a name given to their separation.

[U284]

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 ^{CE}), Commentary of Aristotle's De Caelo, 1.7

δείκνυσιν (Ἀριστοτέλης) ἐφεξῆς ὅτι οὐδ’ ὥς διωρισμένα ἄπειρα τῷ πλήθει οἷόν τε εἶναι τὰ στοιχειώδη σώματα, ὥς οἱ περὶ Λεύκιππον καὶ Δημόκριτον ὑπετίθεντο πρὸ αὐτοῦ γεγονότες καὶ μετ’ αὐτὸν Ἐπίκουρος. οὗτοι γὰρ ἔλεγον ἀπείρους εἶναι τῷ πλήθει τὰς ἀρχάς, ἃς καὶ ἀτόμους καὶ ἀδιαιρέτους ἐνόμιζον καὶ ἀπαθεῖς διὰ τὸ ναστὰς εἶναι καὶ ἀμοίρους τοῦ κενοῦ τὴν γὰρ διαίρεσιν κατὰ τὸ κενὸν τὸ ἐν τοῖς σώμασιν ἔλεγον γίνεσθαι, ταύτας δὲ τὰς ἀτόμους ἐν ἀπείρῳ τῷ κενῷ κεχωρισμένας ἀλλήλων καὶ διαφερούσας σχήμασί τε καὶ μεγέθεσι καὶ θέσει καὶ τάξει φέρεσθαι ἐν τῷ κενῷ, καὶ ἐπικαταλαμβανούσας ἀλλήλας συγκρούεσθαι! καὶ τὰς μὲν ἀποπάλλεσθαι ὅπῃ ἂν τύχῃσι, τὰς δὲ περιπλέκεσθαι ἀλλήλαις κατὰ τὴν τῶν σχημάτων καὶ μεγεθῶν καὶ θέσεων καὶ τάξεων συμμετρίαν, καὶ συμβαίνειν καὶ οὕτω τὴν τῶν συνθέτων γένεσιν ἀποτελεῖσθαι.

Aristotle then demonstrated that the number of types of elementary bodies were not infinite, as Leucippus and Democritus and their followers (who lived before him) supposed and Epicurus (who lived after him). These men indeed maintained that the principles {i.e., elements} were unlimited in number, and they also thought that they were atomic and indivisible and impervious, because they were dense and did not enclose any empty space; for they said that division takes place where there is some void within bodies, and also that these atoms, being separated from each other in the unlimited void and differing in shape and size and position and ordering, move in the void and that they catch up with each other and collide and that some rebound to any chance place while others get entangled with each other, in accordance with the symmetry of their shapes and sizes and positions and orderings; and in this way it comes about that the origin of compounds is produced.

[U285]

Galen (fl. 170 ^{CE}), On the Preparation of Simple Medicines, 1.14

μεμνημένων ἀεὶ, πῶς λέγεται χώρα κενὴ πρὸς τῶν ἡνῶσθαι φασκόντων τὴν οὐσίαν, ὅτι μὴ καθὰ περ’ Ἐπικούρῳ καὶ Ἀσκληπιάδῃ δοκεῖ, ἀλλ’ ἔστιν ἀέρος πλήρης ἐν ἅπασι τοῖς ἀραιοῖς σώμασιν ἢ κενὴ χώρα.

...always remembering how space is said to be empty by those who maintain that its essence is unique. But space is not empty in the sense in which it seems to Epicurus and to Asclepiades, but rather it is full of air, sparsely populated with bodies everywhere.

Galen (fl. 170 ^{CE}), Comment on the 6th book of "Epidemics" by Hippocrates, 4.10

τὸ δὲ κενὰς εἶναί τινος χώρας ἢ κατὰ τὸ ὕδωρ ἢ κατὰ τὸν ἀέρα τῇ μὲν Ἐπικούρου τε καὶ Ἀσκληπιάδου δόξῃ περὶ τῶν στοιχείων ἀκόλουθόν ἐστι.

The statement that there might empty spaces, in water or in the air, corresponds to the opinion of Epicurus and of Asclepiades in regards to the elements.

[U286]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Against Colotes, 1112B

οἱ δὲ (Ἐπικούρειοι) τὰς ἀτρέπτους καὶ ἀσυμπαθεῖς ἀτόμους εἰς ταῦτὸ συνελώνοντες ἐξ αὐτῶν μὲν οὐδέν, αὐτῶν δὲ πολλὰς ποιοῦσι καὶ συνεχεῖς πληγὰς. ἢ γὰρ περιπλοκὴ κωλύουσα τὴν διάλυσιν μᾶλλον ἐπιτείνει τὴν σύγκρουσιν, ὥστε μὴδὲ μῖξιν εἶναι μὴδὲ κόλλησιν ἀλλὰ ταραχὴν καὶ μάχην κατ’ αὐτοὺς τὴν λεγομένην γένεσιν. εἰ δὲ ἀκαρὲς νῦν μὲν ἀπίασι διὰ τὴν ἀντίκρουσιν, νῦν δὲ προσίασι τῆς πληγῆς ἐκλυθείσης, πλείον’ ἢ διπλάσιον χωρὶς εἰσιν ἀλλήλων χρόνον οὐ ψαύουσαι καὶ πλησιάζουσαι, ὥστε μὴδὲν ἐξ αὐτῶν ἀποτελεῖσθαι μὴδὲ ἄψυχον. αἴσθησις δὲ καὶ ψυχὴ καὶ νοῦς καὶ φρόνησις οὐδὲ βουλομένοις ἐπίνοιαν δίδωσι πῶς γένοιτ’ ἂν ἐν κενῷ καὶ ἀτόμοις. ὧν οὔτε καθ’ ἑαυτὰ ποιότης ἐστὶν οὔτε πάθος ἢ μεταβολὴ συνελθόντων, ἀλλ’ οὐδὲ

συνέλευσις ἢ σύγκρασις ποιοῦσα καὶ μῖξιν καὶ συμφυΐαν ἀλλὰ πληγὰς καὶ ἀποπηδήσεις.

[The Epicureans], who herd together unyielding and unresponsive atoms, produce nothing out of them – only an uninterrupted series of collisions among the atoms themselves. For the entanglement that prevents dissolution produces rather an intensification of the collisions, so that generation is by their account neither mixture nor cohesion, but confusion and conflict. On the other hand, if the atoms after an instant of collision rebound for while from the impact, and for a while draw near when the blow is spent, the time that they are separated from one another, without contact or proximity, is more than twice as long, so that nothing, not even an inanimate body, is produced out of them; while perception, mind, intelligence and thought cannot so much as be conceived, even with the best of will, as arising among void and atoms, things which taken separately have no quality and which on meeting are not thereby affected or changed.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1111E

ἡ δὲ ἄτομος αὐτὴ τε καθ’ ἑαυτὴν ἔρημός ἐστι καὶ γυμνὴ πάσης γονίμου δυνάμεως, καὶ πρὸς ἄλλην προσπесоῦσα βρασμὸν ὑπὸ σκληρότητος καὶ ἀντιτυπίας, ἄλλο δὲ οὐδὲν ἔσχεν οὐδ’ ἐποίησε πάθος, ἀλλὰ παίονται καὶ παίουσι τὸν ἅπαντα χρόνον, οὐχ ὅπως ζῶον ἢ ψυχὴν ἢ φύσιν ἀλλ’ οὐδὲ πλῆθος ἐξ ἐαντῶν κοινὸν οὐδὲ σωρὸν ἓνα παλλομένων ἀεὶ καὶ διισταμένων δυνάμεναι παρασχεῖν.

Whereas an atom, taken alone, is destitute and bare of any generative power, and when it collides with another it is so hard and resistant that a shock ensues, but it neither suffers nor causes any further effect. Rather the atoms receive and inflict blows for all time, and so far are they from being that they cannot even produce out of themselves a collective plurality or the unity of a heap in their constant shaking and scattering.

[U287]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.22

Cūr igitur illa (sēmina) nōn sentīmus aut cernimus? Quia nec colōrem habent, inquit, nec calōrem ūllum nec odōrem; sapōris quoque et ūmōris experta sunt, et tam minuta ut secārī ac dīvidī nequeant.

Why therefore do we not feel or discern those “seeds”? Because, he says, they have neither color nor any heat nor odor; they are devoid also of taste and moisture, and so small that they cannot be cut and divided.

Sic eum, quia in prīncipiō falsum suscēperat, consequentium rērum necessitās ad dēlīrāmenta perdūxit. Ubi enim sunt aut unde ista corpuscula?

Thus, since at the beginning he had taken up a falsehood, the necessity of consequent things has led him to ravings.

Cūr illa nēmō praeter ūnum Leucippum somniāvit? A quō Dēmocritus ērudītus hērēditātem stultitiae relīquit Epicūrō. Quae sī sunt corpuscula, et quidem solida, ut dīcunt, sub oculōs certē venīre possunt.

For where are those tiny bodies, or whence are they? Why did no one dream of them except Leucippus alone? From whom, having been taught, Democritus left to Epicurus an inheritance of foolishness. If these are tiny bodies, and indeed solid, as they say, surely they can come under the eyes.

Sī eadem est nātūra omnium, quō modō rēs variās efficiunt? Variō, inquit, ōrdine ac positīōne conveniunt, sīcut litterae: quae, cum sint paucae, variē tamen collocātae innumerābilis verba cōficiunt.

If the nature of all is the same, in what way do they produce various things? “By varied order and position,” he says, “they agree together, just as letters: which, although they are few, yet when placed in various ways make up innumerable words.”

At litterae variās fōrmās habent. Ita, inquit, et haec ipsa prīmordia: nam sunt aspera, sunt hāmāta, sunt levia. Secārī ergō et dīvidī possunt, sī aliquid inest illīs quod ēmineat.

But letters have various shapes. “So,” he says, “also these very first-beginnings: for some are rough, some hooked, some smooth.” Therefore they can be cut and divided, if there is in them something that projects.

Sī autem levia sunt et hāmīs indigent, cohaerēre nōn possunt. Hāmāta igitur esse oportet, ut possint invicem concatenārī.

But if they are smooth and lack hooks, they cannot cohere. Therefore they must be hooked, so that they can chain together mutually.

Cum vērō tam minuta esse dīcantur ut nūllā ferrī aciē dīssicī valeant, quō modō hāmōs aut angulōs habent? Quōs, quia exstant, necesse est posse dīvellī.

But since they are said to be so small that they cannot be cut apart by any edge of steel, how do they have hooks or angles? Which, because they stick out, must be able to be pulled apart.

Deinde quō foedere inter sē, quā mente conveniunt, ut ex iīs aliquid cōnserātur, sī sēnsū carent nec coīre tam dispositē possunt? Quia nōn potest quisquam ratiōnāle perficere nisi ratiō. Quam multīs coarguī haec vānitās potest!

Then by what compact among themselves, by what intention do they agree, so that from them something is put together, if they lack sense and cannot come together in such an ordered way? Because nothing rational can complete anything except reason. By how many arguments can this vanity be refuted!

{Regarding atoms:} Why then, do we not feel nor perceive them? Because, he says, they have neither color, nor heat, nor odor. They are free of taste also, and moisture, and they are so minute that they cannot be cut and divided. Thus, the necessity of consequent things led him to wild ravings because he had undertaken falsehood in the beginning. For where or whence are those little bodies? Why did nobody save that one Leucippus dream them up, by whom Democritus was instructed, he who left the inheritance of foolishness to Epicurus? If these little bodies are indeed solid, as they say, certainly they can come under the eyes. If the nature of all of them is the same, how do they effect various things? They come together, he tells us, in varied order and position just as letters do: although they are few, yet variously arranged, they bring about innumerable words. But letters have various forms. So do these have commencements themselves, he says, for there are rough ones, there are hooked ones, there are smooth ones. Therefore, they can be cut and divided if there is in them something which projects. But if they are smooth and in need of hooks or projections, they cannot cohere. They must be hooked bodies, then, for a concatenation of them to take place. But since they are said to be so minute, that they are able to be severed by no sharp blade, how do they have hooks or corners? It is necessary for them, since they exist, to be torn apart. Then, by what pact, by what agreement do they come together among themselves, that something may be formed of them? If they lack sense, they are not able to come together with such order, for it is not possible for anything but reason to bring about anything rational. With how many proofs is this vanity able to be refuted!

ἐγκλητέος οὖν ὁ Δημόκριτος οὐχὶ τὰ συμβαίνοντα ταῖς ἀρχαῖς ὁμολογῶν, ἀλλὰ λαμβάνων ἀρχὰς αἷς ταῦτα συμβέβηκεν. ἔδει γὰρ ἀμετάβλητα μὴ θέσθαι τὰ πρῶτα, θέμενον δὲ δὴ συνορᾶν ὅτι ποιότητος οἷχεται πάσης γένεσις. ἀρνεῖσθαι δὲ συνορῶντα τὴν ἀτοπίαν ἀναισχυντότατον.

οἷα Ἐπίκουρον φησὶν (Κωλώτης), ἀρχὰς μὲν ὑποτίθεσθαι τὰς αὐτὰς, οὐ λέγειν δὲ "νόμῳ χροίην καὶ γλυκὺ καὶ λευκὸν καὶ τὰς ἄλλας ποιότητας. εἰ μὲν οὖν τὸ "οὐ λέγειν" τοιοῦτόν ἐστίν "οὐχ ὁμολογεῖν," τῶν εἰθισμένων τι ποιεῖ...

...οὐκ οὖν ἀναγκαῖον ὑποθέσθαι, μᾶλλον δὲ ὑφελέσθαι Δημοκρίτου ἀτόμους εἶναι τῶν ὅλων ἀρχὰς. θεμένῳ δὲ τὸ δόγμα καὶ καλλωπισαμένῳ ταῖς πρῶταῖς πιθανότησιν αὐτοῦ προσεκποτέον ἐστὶ τὸ δυσχερές, ἢ δεικτέον ὅπως ἅποια σώματα παντοδαπὰς ποιότητας αὐτῷ μόνῳ τῷ συνελθεῖν παρέσχεν.

οἷον εὐθύς τὸ καλούμενον θερμὸν ὑμῖν πόθεν ἀφίκται καὶ πῶς ἐπιγένονε ταῖς ἀτόμοις, αἱ μῆτε ἦλθον ἔχουσαι θερμότητα μῆτε ἐγένοντο θερμαὶ συνελθοῦσαι; τὸ μὲν γὰρ ἔχοντος ποιότητα, τὸ δὲ πάσχειν πεφυκότος οὐδέτερον δὲ ταῖς ἀτόμοις ὑπάρχειν φατέ προσῆκον εἶναι διὰ τὴν ἀφθαρσίαν

Democritus is not to be censured not for admitting the consequences that flow from his principles, but for setting up principles that lead to these consequences. For he should not have posited immutable first elements; having posited them, he should have looked further and see that the generation of any quality becomes impossible. But to see the absurdity and deny it is the purest effrontery. Epicurus {as reported by Colotes} acts with the purest effrontery when he claims to lay down the same first principles, but nevertheless does not say that "color is by convention" and thus the qualities sweet, bitter, etc. If "does not say" means "does not admit" it is so, he is following his familiar practice... 1111C: There was no necessity to assume, or rather filch from Democritus, the premise that the primary elements of all things are atoms. But once you have laid down the doctrine and made a fine showing with its initial plausibility, you must drain the disagreeable conclusions along with it, or else show how bodies without quality have given rise to qualities of every kind by the mere fact of coming together. Take for the example the quality called hot. How do you account for it? From where has it come and how has it been imposed on the atoms, which neither brought heat with them nor became hot by their conjunction? For the former implies the possession of quality, the latter the natural capacity to be affected, neither of which, say you, can rightly belong to atoms by reason of their indestructibility.

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Art of Medicine, 7

ἀδύνατον ἔν εἶναι τῷ εἶδει τὸ στοιχεῖον, οἷον Ἐπίκουρος ὑπέθετο τὴν ἄτομον. ὅτι γὰρ οὐχ ἔν τῷ εἶδει τὸ στοιχεῖόν ἐστι τοιοῦτον, ἐνθένδε δῆλον.

οὐδεμία τῶν ἀτόμων αὐτὴ καθ' ἑαυτὴν οὔτε θερμὴ τὴν φύσιν ἐστὶν οὔτε ψυχρά, οὐ μὴν οὐδὲ λευκὴ τίς ἐστίν ἐξ αὐτῶν ἢ μέλαινα. καὶ τί διατρίβω....;

ὅλως γὰρ οὐδεμία ποιότης ἐστὶν αὐτῇ τῇ ἀτόμῳ, ὥς οἱ πατέρες αὐτῶν βούλονται.

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Elements According to Hippocrates, 1.2

αἱ μὲν οὖν ἄτομοι σύμπασαι σώματα οὔσαι σμικρὰ χωρὶς ποιότητων εἰσὶ, τὸ δὲ κενὸν χιύρα τις ἐν ἡ φερόμενα ταυτὶ τὰ σώματα ἄνω τε καὶ κάτω σύμπαντα διὰ παντός τοῦ αἰῶνος ἡ περιπλέκεται πῶς ἀλλήλοις ἡ προσκρούει καὶ ἀποπάλλεται, καὶ διακρίνει δὲ καὶ συγκρίνει πάλιν εἰς ἄλληλα κατὰ τὰς τοιαύτας ὁμιλίας κακ τούτου τά τε ἄλλα συγκρίματα πάντα ποιεῖ καὶ τὰ ἡμέτερα σώματα καὶ τὰ παθήματα αὐτῶν καὶ τὰς αἰσθήσεις.

ἀπαθῆ δ' ὑποτίθενται τὰ σώματα εἶναι τὰ πρῶτα (τινὲς μὲν αὐτῶν ὑπὸ σκληρότητος ἄθραυστα, καθά περ οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον ἔνιοι δὲ ὑπὸ

σμικρότητος ἀδιαίρετα, καθά περ οἱ περὶ τὸν Λεύκιππον), ἀλλ’ οὐδ’ ἀλλοιοῦσθαι κατὰ τι δυνάμενα ταύτας δὴ τὰς ἀλλοιώσεις, ἅς ἅπαντες ἄνθρωποι πεπιστεύκασιν εἶναι διδαχθέντες ὑπὸ τῶν αἰσθήσεων, οἷον οὔτε θερμαίνεσθαι τί φασιν ἐκείνων οὔτε ψύχεσθαι, κατὰ δὲ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον οὔθ’ ὑγραίνεσθαι οὔτε ξηραίνεσθαι, πολὺ δὲ δὴ μᾶλλον ἔτι μήτε μελαίνεσθαι μήτε λευκαίνεσθαι μήτ’ ἄλλην τινὰ ὅλως ἐπιδέχεσθαι ποιότητα κατὰ μηδεμίαν μεταβολήν.

All the atoms, then, being small bodies, are without qualities, and the void is a kind of place in which these bodies, being carried downward, all of them for all time, somehow become entwined with each other or strike each other and rebound; and in such assemblages they cause separations and recombinations with each other; and from this (interaction) they produce, besides all other compounds, our bodies, their affections, and their sensations. But (these philosophers) postulate that the first bodies are unaffected, some of them, like Epicurus, holding that they are unbreakable because of hardness, some, like Diódōros and Leucippus, that they are indivisible because of their small size; and [they hold that] these bodies cannot undergo any of those alterations in whose existence all men, taught by their senses confidently believe; for example, they say that none of the primary bodies grows warm or cold, and similarly none becomes dry or wet, and much less would they become black or white or admit to any other change whatsoever in any quality.

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 ^{CE}), Commentary of Aristotle's Categories, 8

καὶ πρὸς Δημόκριτον δὲ καὶ Ἐπίκουρον δικαιολογεῖται, τί δὴ ποτε τινὰς μὲν διαφορὰς περὶ τὰς ἀτόμους ἀπολείπουσιν, οἷον σχημάτων βάρους ναστότητος σωματότητος περάτων μεγέθους κινήσεως, οὔτε δὲ χρόαν οὔτε γλυκύτητα οὔτε ζωὴν ἔχειν οὔτε τῶν ἄλλων τῶν τοιούτων λόγους προύφεστηκέναι ἀποφαίνονται κοινοῦ γὰρ ὄντος τοῦ περὶ τῶν ἐκτῶν λόγου ἄτοπον μὴ τὰ αὐτὰ περὶ τῶν αὐτῶν διατάττεσθαι, καὶ ἔτι ἀτοπώτερον τὰς ἀρχηγικωτάτας δυνάμεις ὑστερογενεῖς ποιεῖν, ὥσπερ ζωὴν καὶ νοῦν καὶ φύσιν καὶ λόγον καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα. παραπλησίως δὲ ἀδύνατον καὶ ἀπὸ τοῦ συνόδου ταῦτα ἀποτελεῖσθαι νόμῳ γὰρ ἔστι χροὴ κατὰ τὸν Δημόκριτον, καὶ τὰ ἄλλα ὁμοίως, τῇ ἀληθείᾳ.

[ἔστι] δὲ ἄτομα καὶ κενόν. ὁ δὲ ἅπαξ τὰ ὄντα ἀνελὼν οὐδὲν ἔξει τίθεσθαι, καὶ ὁ παραδεξάμενος τὸ ἀναίτιον οὐδαμοῦ στήσεται. τί γὰρ μᾶλλον ταῦτα ἢ τὰ ἐναντία προτιμήσει ἀπ’ οὐδεμιᾶς ὠρισμένης αἰτίας ὁρμώμενος;

βέλτιον οὖν εἰς ἐκείνην ἐλθεῖν τὴν ὑπόθεσιν, ἣ τις ἀπὸ τοῦ ἔχεσθαι παράγει τὰ ἐκτά, ὥσπερ οἱ Ἀκαδημαῖκοι ὥρισαντο ἐκτὸν τὸ οἷόν τε ἔχεσθαι αὐτὸ ἀποδόντες, οὐκ ἀπὸ τῆς ἐτυμολογίας αὐτοῦ παραλαβόντες τὸν λόγον

In objection to Democritus and Epicurus, the question can be put: why on earth do they grant certain differentiae to atoms such as shape, weight, solidity, corporeality, edges, size, and motion, while asserting that they possess neither color nor sweetness nor life, and that the logoi of other such things do not pre-exist? For it is absurd, since there is a common account {logos} of the havables, not to classify like with like; it is even more absurd to make the most primary powers secondary, such as life, intellect, nature, reason {logos} and the like. It is equally impossible for these to be produced out of the conjunction [of atoms]; for according to Democritus, color and suchlike are by convention, and only atoms and void exist in truth. But once a person has done away with realities, he will have nothing to put in their place, and he who admits the causeless will have no ground to stand on. For why should the person starting from no definite cause prefer these to the contraries? So it is better to have recourse to the hypothesis which produces the havables from being had, in the way that the Academics defined 'havable' by representing it as 'that which can be had' {hektón}, not accepting the definition on the basis of its etymology.

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 ^{CE}), Commentary of Aristotle's Categories, 14

καὶ οἱ περὶ Δημόκριτον δὲ καὶ ὕστερον οἱ περὶ Ἐπίκουρον: τὰς ἀτόμους ἀπαθεῖς καὶ ἀποίους ὑποτιθέμενοι τῶν ἄλλων ποιότητων παρὰ τὰ σχήματα καὶ τὴν ποιὰν αὐτῶν σύνθεσιν ἐπιγίνεσθαι λέγουσι τὰς ἄλλας ποιότητας τὰς τε ἀπλᾶς, οἷον θερμότητος καὶ λειότητος, καὶ τὰς κατὰ <τὰ> χρώματα καὶ τοὺς χυμούς.

εἰ δὲ ἐν τῇ ποιᾷ συνθέσει τῶν ἀτόμων ταῦτα, καὶ ἡ ἀλλοίωσις αὐτῇ κατ’ αὐτοὺς ἂν εἴη μεταβολή, ἡ δὲ ποιὰ σύνθεσις αὐτῶν καὶ μετάθεσις καὶ τάξις οὐκ

ἀλλαχόθεν ἢ ἐκ τῆς φορᾶς καὶ τῆς τοπικῆς κινήσεως ἐστίν’ ὥστε ἡ ἀλλοίωσις τῇ φορᾷ ἢ αὐτῇ ἢ παρακολουθοῦσα ταύτῃ καὶ ταύτης τι.

The followers of Democritus, and subsequently those of Epicurus, in hypothesizing atoms to be unaffected and unqualified by other qualities apart from the shapes [of the atoms] and the way they are composed {tên poian autôn sunthesin}, say that other qualities – whether simple, such as temperatures {thermotêtes} and textures {leioêtes}, or those in respect of colors and tastes – supervene. And if these latter things [consist] in the way atoms are composed, alteration too will consist in change in respect of them {i.e., the atoms}. But the way they {i.e., the atoms} are composed, and their transposition and order, derive from nowhere else than from their motion and spatial movement, so that alteration is the same thing as their motion, or at least is a concomitant of this and is something belonging to this.

[U289]

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 ^{CE}), *Questions*, 1.13

ὅτι μὴ ὁμοίως κατ’ Ἐπίκουρον εἰσάγεται τὰ χρώματα, ὡς ἔλεγέν τις παριστάμενος αὐτοῦ τῇ δόξῃ, καὶ κατὰ τὰς ἄλλας αἱρέσεις.

ὁ μὲν γὰρ οὖν ἐν τοῖς ἀτόμοις στοιχείοις, ἃ περ ἀρχὰς ὑποτίθεται τῶν ἀπάντων, οὐδὲν τούτων φησὶν εἶναι’ καθ’ οὗς δὲ στοιχεῖα τὰ τέσσαρα, κατὰ τούτους ἐν τοῖς στοιχείοις ἐστὶν καὶ ταῦτα.

διὸ κατὰ μὲν τούτους ἐκ προϋπαρχόντων χρωμάτων γίνεται τινα χρώματα’ καθ’ ὃν δὲ μὴ ἔστιν ἐν τοῖς στοιχείοις ταῦτα, οὐκ ἐκ προϋπαρχόντων ἢ Ὑέनेσις αὐτῶν.

ὡς οὖν εἰ ὑπέθετό τις ἄτομα εἶναι σώματα ἀρχὰς τῶν ὄντων μὴ μόνον ἔχοντα σχῆμα καὶ μέγεθος καὶ ἀντιτυπίαν ἀλλὰ καὶ χρῶμα, οὐχ ὁμοίως κατὰ τε τοῦτον ἢ τῶν χρωμάτων γένεσις (ἐκ προϋπάρχοντος γὰρ χρώματος καὶ ὄντος ἐν τοῖς στοιχείοις) καὶ καθ’ ὃν τὰ ἄτομα ταῦτα στοιχεῖα οὐκ ἔχει χρῶμα οὕτως οὐδ’ εἰ μὴ ἄτομά τις τὰ στοιχεῖα λέγοι, λέγοι δὲ τὰ τέσσαρα τῷ ἐν τούτοις εἶναι μετὰ τῶν ἄλλων καὶ τὰ χρώματα, οὐδ’ οὕτως ἂν ὁμοίως Ἐπικούρῳ τὴν τῶν χρωμάτων εἰσαγοι φύσιν

9. Mixture

[U290]

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 ^{CE}), *On Mixture*, 214.28-215.8

Ἐπίκουρος δὲ φεύγειν βουλόμενος τὸ ὑπὸ Δημοκρίτου ῥηθὲν ἔπεσθαι βούλεται τοῖς παραθέσει τῶν κερναμένων τὴν κρᾶσιν γίνεσθαι λέγουσι, παραθέσει μὲν τινων σωμάτων καὶ αὐτὸς τὰς κράσεις γίνεσθαι λέγων, ἀλλ’ οὐκ αὐτῶν τῶν μιγνυμένων σωμάτων σωζομένων ἐν τῇ διαιρέσει, ἀλλ’ ἀναλυσομένων εἰς τὰ στοιχεῖα καὶ τὰς ἀτόμους, ἐξ ὧν ἕκαστον αὐτῶν συγκείμενόν πως τὸ μὲν οἶνος ἦν τὸ δὲ ὕδωρ τὸ δὲ μέλι τὸ δ’ ἄλλο τι, ἔπειτα ποιᾷ τούτων τῶν σωμάτων ἐξ ὧν ἦν τὰ κερνάμενα πρὸς ἄλληλα συνθέσει γεννώντων τὸ κεκραμένον σῶμα, συμμιγνυμένων (οὐχ) ὕδατός τε καὶ οἴνου ἀλλὰ τῶν ὑδροποιῶν ἀτόμων, ὡς ἂν εἴποι τις, ταῖς οἶνοποιοῖς, φθορᾷ τε καὶ γενέσει τινῶν τὴν κρᾶσιν γίνεσθαι λέγων. (ἢ) γὰρ εἰς τὰ στοιχεῖα ἀνάλυσις ἐκάστου καὶ ἡ ἐκ τῶν στοιχείων σύνθεσις αὐτῶν <τὸ μὲν γένεσις> τὸ δὲ φθορά

Epicurus wanted to avoid what Democritus supposed happened for those who say that blending occurs by means of a juxtaposition of the components of the blend. He himself said that blending occurs by means of the juxtaposition of certain bodies – not of bodies which were themselves preserved as compounds, but rather of bodies that were broken down into elementary atoms which formed particular compounds, e.g., wine, water, honey, etc. He then says that the mixture is created by a certain kind of reciprocal compounding by component elements. It is these which produce the new mixture – not water and the wine, but the atoms which made up the water, as one might designate them, are combined together with those which made up the wine by a destruction and generation of the compound bodies. For the breakdown of each into its elements is a form of destruction, and the compounding produced from the elements themselves is «a sort of genesis».

10. Change

[U291]

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 2.42

τινὲς τῶν φυσικῶν, ἐξ ὧν ἐστὶ καὶ Ὁ Ἐπίκουρος, τὴν μεταβλητικὴν κίνησιν εἶδος ἔλεξαν εἶναι τῆς μεταβατικῆς· τὸ γὰρ μεταβάλλον κατὰ ποιότητα σύγκριμα πάντως κατὰ τὴν τῶν συγκεκρικτότων αὐτὸ λόγῳ θεωρητῶν σωμάτων τοπικὴν τε καὶ μεταβατικὴν κίνησιν μεταβάλλει. οἷον ἵνα τι ἐκ γλυκέος γένηται πικρὸν ἢ ἐκ λευκοῦ μέλαν, δεῖ τοὺς συνεστακότας αὐτὸ ὄγκους μετακοσμηθῆναι καὶ ἄλλην ἀντὶ ἄλλης τάξιν ἀναδέξασθαι.

τοῦτο δ' οὐκ ἂν ἄλλως συμβαίη, ἐὰν μὴ μεταβατικῶς κινηθῶσιν οἱ ὄγκοι καὶ πάλιν ἵνα τι ἐκ σκληροῦ μαλακὸν γένηται ἢ ἐκ μαλακοῦ σκληρόν, δεῖ τὰ ἐξ ὧν ἔστι μόρια κατὰ τὸν τόπον κινηθῆναι. διατάσει μὲν γὰρ αὐτῶν μαλακύνεται, συνελεύσει δὲ καὶ πυκνῶσει σκληρύνεται. παρ' ὃ ἡ μεταβλητικὴ κίνησις οὐχ ἑτέρα κατὰ γένος ἐστὶ τῆς μεταβατικῆς κινήσεως.

Some of the natural philosophers, amongst them Epicurus, have declared that the motion of change is a particular form of transitional motion; for the composite object which changes in quality changes owing to the local and transitional motion of the rationally perceived bodies which compose it. Thus, in order that a thing may become bitter from sweet, or black from white, the particles which must be arranged in a new order and take up different positions; that this could not be brought about in any other way than by the transitional motion of the molecules. And again, in order that a thing may become soft from hard or hard from soft, the parts whereof it is composed must move in place; for it is made soft by their expansion, but made hard by their coalescence and condensation. And owing to this the motion of change is, generically, nothing else than transitional motion.

[U292]

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Elements According to Hippocrates, 1.9

ὥς οὐκ ἔστι διάκρισις τις καὶ σύγκρισις ἡ φαινομένη τῶν σωμάτων ἀλλοίωσις, ὥς οἱ περὶ Ἐπίκουρόν τε καὶ Δημόκριτον ἐνόμιζον.

... the {qualitative} change of bodies, as it happens, isn't aggregation and dispersal, as the disciples of Epicurus and Democritus think.

11. Magnetism

[U293]

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On Natural Faculties, 1.14

Ἐπίκουρος μὲν οὖν ὁμολογεῖ πρὸς μὲν τῆς Ἡρακλείας λίθου τὸν σίδηρον ἔλκεσθαι, πρὸς δὲ τῶν ἡλέκτρων τὰ κυρήβια, καὶ πειρᾶται γε καὶ τὴν αἰτίαν ἀποδιδόναι τοῦ φαινομένου.

τάς γάρ ἀπορρεούσας ἀτόμους ἀπὸ τῶν λίθων ταῖς ἀπορρεούσαις ἀπὸ τοῦ σιδήρου τοῖς σχήμασιν οἰκείας εἶναί φησιν, ὥστε περιπλέκεσθαι ῥαδίως:

προσκρούσας οὖν αὐτάς τοῖς συγκρίμασιν ἑκατέροις, τοῖς τε τῆς λίθου καὶ «τοῖς» τοῦ σιδήρου, κᾶπειτ' εἰς τὸ μέσον ἀποπαλλομένης οὕτως ἀλλήλαις τε περιπλέκεσθαι καὶ οὐνεγίσπᾶσθαι τὸν σίδηρον...

...καὶ οὕτω γε καὶ κατὰ τὰ σώματα τῶν ζώων φησὶ γτίνεσθαι τὰς τ' ἀναδόσεις καὶ τὰς διακρίσεις τῶν περιττωμάτων καὶ τὰς τῶν καθαιρόντων φαρμάκων ἐνεργείας...

...ἀεὶ γὰρ τὸ μὲν ἀκόλουθον φυλάττειν βούλεται (Ἰατρική), τὸ δ' ἐναργῶς φαινόμενον ἀνατρέπειν ἔμπανιν Ἐπικούρῳ. τιθεὶς γὰρ ἐκεῖνος ἀεὶ τὸ φαινόμενον αἰτίαν αὐτοῦ ψυχρὰν ἀποδίδωσι.

τὰ γὰρ ἀποπαλλόμενα σμικρὰ σώματα τῆς Ἡρακλείας λίθου τοιούτοις ἑτέροις περιπλέκεσθαι μορίοις τοῦ σιδήρου κᾶπειτα διὰ τῆς περιπλοκῆς ταύτης καὶ μηδαμοῦ φαινομένης ἐπισπᾶσθαι βαρεῖαν οὕτως οὐσίαν οὐκ οἶδ' ὅπως ἂν τις πεισθεῖη.

καὶ γὰρ εἰ τοῦτο συγχωρήσαιμεν, τότε γε τῷ σιδήρῳ πάλιν ἕτερον προστεθέντα συνάπτεσθαι τὴν αὐτὴν αἰτίαν οὐκέτι προσίεται. τί γὰρ ἐροῦμεν;

ἢ δηλαδὴ τῶν ἀπορρεόντων τῆς λίθου μορίων ἓνια μὲν προσκρούσαντα τῷ σιδήρῳ πάλιν ἀποπάλλεσθαι καὶ ταῦτα μὲν εἶναι δι' ὧν κρέμασθαι συμβαίνει τὸν σίδηρον, τὰ δ' εἰς αὐτὸν εἰσδυόμενα διὰ τῶν κενῶν πόρων διέρχεσθαι τάχιστα κᾶπειτα τῷ παρακειμένῳ σιδήρῳ προσκρούοντα μὴτ' ἐκεῖνον διαδῦναι δύνασθαι καὶ τὸν γε πρῶτον διαδύντα, παλινδρομοῦντα δ' αὖθις ἐπὶ τὸν πρότερον ἑτέρας αὖθις ἐργάζεσθαι ταῖς προτέραις ὁμοίαις περιπλοκάς;

ἐναργῶς γὰρ ἐνταῦθα τὸ ληρῶδες τῆς αἰτίας ἐλέγχεται. γραφεῖα γοῦν οἶδά ποτε σιδηρᾷ πέντε κατὰ τὸ συνεχὲς ἀλλήλοις συναφθέντα, τοῦ πρώτου μὲν μόνου τῆς λίθου ψαύσαντος, ἐξ ἐκείνου δ' εἰς τᾶλλα τῆς δυνάμεως διαδοθείσης...

...πάντη γὰρ ὁμοίως ἢ τῆς λίθου διαδίδεται δύναμις, εἰ μόνον ἄψαιτο κατὰ τι τοῦ πρώτου γραφείου, καὶ μέντοι κάκ τούτου πάλιν εἰς τὸ δεύτερον ὅλον ἢ δύναμις ἅμα νοήματι διαρρεῖ κάξ ἐκείνου πάλιν εἰς τὸ τρίτον ὅλον.

εἰ δὴ νοῆσαις μικρὰν τινα λίθον Ἡρακλείαν ἐν οἴκῳ τινὶ κρεμαμένην, εἴτ' ἐν κύκλῳ πάμπολλα ψαύοντα σιδήρια κάκείνων πάλιν ἕτερα κάκείνυν ἄλλα καὶ τοῦτ' ἄχρι πλείονος, ἅπαντα δὴ που πίμπλασθαι χρή τὰ σιδήρια τῶν ἀπορρεόντων τῆς λίθου σωμάτων καὶ κινδυνεύει διαφορηθῆναι τὸ σμικρὸν ἐκεῖνο λιθίδιον εἰς τὰς ἀπορροὰς διαλυθέν.

καὶ τοι κἂν εἰ μηδὲν παρακείτο αὐτῷ σιδήριον, εἰς τὸν ἀέρα σκεδαννῦτο, μάλιστ' εἰ καὶ θερμὸς ὑπάρχοι. ναί, φησί. σμικρὰ γὰρ αὐτὰ χρή πάνυ νοεῖν, ὥστε τῶν ἐμφερομένων τῷ ἀέρι ψηγμάτων τούτων δὴ τῶν σμικροτάτων ἐκείνων ἕκαστον μυριοστόν εἶναι μέρος. εἴτ' ἐξ οὕτω σμικρῶν τολμᾶτε λέγειν κρεμάννυσθαι

βάρη τηλικαῦτα σιδήρου; εἰ γὰρ ἕκαστον αὐτῶν μυριοστόν ἐστὶ μέρος τῶν ἐν τῷ ἀέρι φερομένων ψηγμάτων, πηλίκον χρή νοῆσαι τὸ πέρας αὐτῶν τὸ ἀγκιστρῶδες, ὃ περιπλέκεται πρὸς ἄλληλα; πάντως γὰρ δὴ που τοῦτο σμικρότατόν ἐστιν ὅλου τοῦ ψήγματος.

εἴτα μικρὸν μικρῷ, κινούμενον κινουμένῳ περιπλακὲν οὐκ εὐθὺς ἀποπάλλεται;

καὶ γὰρ δὴ καὶ ἄλλ' ἄττα πάντως αὐτοῖς τὰ μὲν κάτωθεν τὰ δ' ἄνωθεν καὶ τὰ μὲν ἔμπροσθεν τὰ δ' ὀπισθεν, τὰ δ' ἐκ τῶν δεξιῶν τὰ δ' ἐκ τῶν ἀριστερῶν ἐκρηγνύμενα σείει τε καὶ ταραττει καὶ μένειν οὐκ ἐᾷ. καὶ μέντοι καὶ πολλὰ χρή

νοεῖν ἐξ ἀνάγκης ἕκαστον ἐκείνων τῶν μικρῶν σωμάτων ἔχειν ἀγκιστρῶδη πέρατα.

δι' ἐνὸς μὲν γὰρ ἀλλήλοις οὐ συνάπτεται, δι' ἐτέρου δ' ἐνός, τοῦ μὲν ὑπερκειμένου τῇ λίθῳ, τοῦ δ' ὑποκειμένου τῷ σιδήρῳ· εἰ γὰρ ἄνω μὲν ἐξαφθείη τῆς λίθου, κάτω δὲ τῷ σιδήρῳ μὴ συμπλακείη, πλέον οὐδέν' (ὥστε τοῦ μὲν ὑπερκειμένου τὸ ἄνω μέρος ἐκκρέμασθαι χρή τῆς λίθου, τοῦ δ' ὑποκειμένου τῷ κάτω πέρατι συνῆφθαι τὸν σίδηρον.

ἐπεὶ δὲ καὶ τῶν πλαγίων ἀλλήλοις περιπλέκεται, πάντως που κάνταῦθα ἔχει τὰ ἀἄγκιστρα. καὶ μέμνησό μοι πρὸ πάντων, ὅπως ὄντα σμικρὰ τὰς τοσαύτας τε καὶ τοιαύτας ἀποφύσεις ἔχει· καὶ τούτου μᾶλλον ἔτι δεῖ μεμνηῖσθαι, ὅπως ἵνα τὸ δεύτερον σιδήριον συναφθῇ τῷ πρώτῳ καὶ τῷ δευτέρῳ τὸ τρίτον κάκείνῳ τὸ τέταρτον, ἅμα μὲν διέρχεσθαι χρή τοὺς πόρους ταυτὶ τὰ σμικρὰ καὶ ληρώδη ψήγματα, ἅμα δ' ἀποπάλλεσθαι τοῦ μετ' αὐτὸ τεταγμένου, καί τοι κατὰ πᾶν ὁμοίου τὴν φύσιν ὑπάρχοντος.

οὐδὲ γὰρ ἢ τοιαύτη πάλιν ὑπόθεσις ἄτολμος ἀλλ' εἰ χρή τάληθές εἰπεῖν, μακρῷ τῶν ἔμπροσθεν ἀναισχυντοτέρα, πέντε σιδηρίων ὁμοίων ἀλλήλοις ἐφεξῆς τεταγμένων διὰ μὲν τοῦ πρώτου διαδῦναι ῥαδίως τῆς λίθου τὰ μόρια, κατὰ δὲ τὸ δεύτερον ἀποπάλλεσθαι καὶ μὴ διὰ τούτου κατὰ τὸν αὐτὸν τρόπον ἐτοίμως διεξέρχεσθαι.

καὶ μὴν ἐκατέρως ἄτοπον· εἰ μὲν ἀποπάλλεται, πῶς εἰς τὸ τρίτον ὠκέως διεξέρχεται;

εἰ δ' οὐκ ἀποπάλλεται, πῶς κρεμάννυται τὸ δεύτερον ἐκ τοῦ πρώτου; τὴν Ὑὰρ ἀπόπαλσιν αὐτὸς ὑπέθετο δημιουργὸν τῆς ὀλκῆς...

...ὁ μὲν οὖν Ἐπίκουρος τὰ φαινόμενα φυλάττειν βουλόμενος ἀσχημονεῖ φιλοτιμούμενος ἐπιδεικνύειν αὐτὰ ταῖς ἀρχαῖς ὁμολογοῦντα...

...πῶς οὖν ἔλκουσιν (οἱ νεφροί); εἰ μὲν ὡς Ἐπίκουρος οἶεται τὰς ὀλκὰς ἀπάσας γίνεσθαι κατὰ τὰς τῶν ἀτόμων ἀποπάσεις τε καὶ περιπλοκάς, ἄμεινον ὄντως ἐστὶν εἰπεῖν μὴδ' ἔλκειν ὅλως αὐτούς πολὺ γὰρ ἂν οὕτω γε τῶν ἐπὶ τῆς Ἡρακλείας λίθου μικρῷ πρόσθεν εἰρημένων ὁ λόγος ἐξεταζόμενος εὐρεθείη γελοιότερος.

Now Epicurus, despite the fact that he employs in his Physics elements similar to those of Asclepiades, still allows that iron is attracted by the lodestone, and chaff by amber. He even tries to give the cause of the phenomenon. His view is that the atoms which flow from the stone are related in shape to those flowing from the iron, and so they become easily interlocked with one another; thus it is that, after colliding with each of the two compact masses (the stone and the iron) they then rebound into the middle and so become entangled with each other, and draw the iron after them. So far, then, as his hypotheses regarding causation go, he is perfectly unconvincing; nevertheless, he does grant that there is an attraction. Further, he says that it is on similar principles that there occur in the bodies of animals the dispersal of nutrient and the discharge of waste matter, as also the actions of cathartic drugs.

Asclepiades, however, who viewed with suspicion the incredible character of the cause mentioned, and who saw no other credible cause on the basis of his supposed elements, shamelessly found his way out by stating that nothing is in any way attracted by anything else. Now, if he was dissatisfied with what Epicurus said, and had nothing better to say himself, he ought to have refrained from making hypotheses, and should have said that Nature is a constructive artist and that the substance of things is always tending towards unity and also towards alteration because its own parts act upon and are acted upon by one another. For, if he had assumed this, it would not have been difficult to allow that this constructive nature has powers which attract appropriate and expel alien matter. For in no other way could she be constructive, preservative of the animal, and eliminative of its diseases, unless it be allowed that she conserves what is appropriate and discharges what is foreign.

But in this matter, too, Asclepiades realized the logical sequence of the principles he had assumed; he showed no scruples, however, in opposing plain fact; he joins issue in this matter also, not merely with all physicians, but with everyone else, and maintains that there is no such thing as a crisis, or a critical day, and that nature does absolutely nothing for the preservation of the animal. For his constant aim is to follow out logical consequences and to upset obvious fact, in this respect being opposed to Epicurus; for the latter always affirmed the observed fact, although he gives an ineffective explanation of it, saying that these small corpuscles belonging to the lodestone rebound, and become entangled with other similar particles of the iron, and that then, by means of this entanglement (which cannot be seen anywhere) such a heavy substance as iron is attracted. I fail to understand how anybody could believe this. Even if we admit this, the same principle will not explain the fact that, when the iron has another piece brought in contact with it, this becomes attached to it.

For what are we to say? That, indeed, some of the particles that flow from the lodestone collide with the iron and then rebound back, and that it is by these that the iron becomes suspended? That others penetrate into it, and rapidly pass through it by way of its empty channels? That these then collide with the second piece of iron and are not able to penetrate it although they penetrated the first piece? And that they then course back to the first piece and produce entanglements like the former ones?

The hypothesis here becomes clearly refuted by its absurdity. As a matter of fact, I have seen five writing-stylets of iron attached to one another in a line, only the first one being in contact with the lodestone, and the power being transmitted through it to the others. Moreover, it cannot be said that if you bring a second stylet into contact with the lower end of the first, it becomes held, attached, and suspended, whereas, if you apply it to any other part of the side it does not become attached. For the power of the lodestone is distributed in all directions; it merely needs to be in contact with the first stylet at any point; from this stylet again the power flows, as quick as thought, all through the second, and from that again to the third. Now, if you imagine a small lodestone hanging in a house, and in contact with it all round a large number of pieces of iron, form them again others, from these others, and so on, all these pieces of iron must surely become filled with the corpuscles which emanate from the stone; therefore, this first little stone is likely to become dissipated by disintegrating into these emanations. Further, even if there be no iron in contact with it, it still disperses into the air, particularly if this be also warm.

"Yes" says Epicurus, "but these corpuscles must be looked on as exceedingly small, so that some of them are a ten-thousandth part of the size of the very small particles carried in the air." Then do you venture to say that so great a weight of iron can be suspended by such small bodies? If each of them is a ten-thousandth part as large as the dust particles which are borne in the atmosphere, how big must we suppose the hook-like extremities by which they interlock with each other to be? For of course this is quite the smallest portion of the whole particle.

Then, again, when a small body becomes entangled with another small body, or when a body in motion becomes entangled with another also in motion, they do not rebound at once. For, further, there will of course be others which break in upon them from above, from below, from front and rear, from right to left, and which shake and agitate them and never let them rest. Moreover, we would be forced to suppose that each of these small bodies has a large number of these hook-like extremities. For by one it attaches itself to its neighbors, by another – the topmost one – to the lodestone, and by the bottom one to the iron. For if it were attached to the stone above and not interlocked with the iron below, this would be of no use. Thus, the upper part of the superior extremity must hang from the lodestone and the iron must be attached to the lower end of the inferior extremity; and, since they interlock with each other by their sides as well, they must, of course, have hooks there too. Keep in mind also, above everything, what small bodies these are which possess all these different kinds of outgrowths. Moreover, remember how, in order that the second piece of iron may become attached to the first, the third to the second, and to that the fourth, these absurd little particle must both penetrate the passages in the first piece of iron and at the same time rebound from the piece coming next in the series, although this second peeve is naturally in every way similar to the first.

Such a hypothesis, once again, is certainly not lacking in audacity; in fact, to tell the truth, it is far more shameless than the previous ones; according to it, when five similar pieces of iron are arranged in a line, the particles of the lodestone which easily traverse the first piece of iron rebound from the second, and do not pass readily through it in the same way. Indeed, it is nonsense, whichever alternative is adopted. For, if they do rebound, how then do they pass through into the third piece? And if they do not rebound, how does the second piece become suspended to the first? For Epicurus himself regarded the rebound as the active agent in the attraction.

But, as I have said, one is driven to talk nonsense whenever one gets into discussion with such men. Having, therefore, given a concise and summary statement of the matter, I wish to be done with it. For if one diligently familiarizes oneself with the writings of Ascelpiades, one will see clearly their logical dependence on his first principles, but also their disagreement with observed facts. Thus, Epicurus, in his desire to adhere to the facts, cuts an awkward figure by aspiring to show that these agree with his principles.

... 15.59: How, then, do they {kidneys} exert this attraction {pulling waste from the blood}. If, as Epicurus thinks, all attraction takes place by virtue of the rebounds and entanglements of the atoms, it would be certainly better to maintain that the kidneys have no attractive action at all; for his theory, when examined, would be found as it stands to be much more ridiculous even than the theory of the lodestone, mentioned a little while ago.

[U294]

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 2.219

Ἐπίκουρος δέ, ὡς αὐτὸν Δημήτριος ὁ Λάκων ἐξηγεῖται, τὸν χρόνον σύμπτωμα συμπτωμάτων εἶναι λέγει, παρεπόμενον ἡμέραις τε καὶ γυξὶ καὶ ὥραις καὶ πάθεσι καὶ ἀπαθείαις καὶ κινήσεσι καὶ μοναῖς: πάντα γὰρ ταῦτα συμπτώματά ἐστι τισὶ συμβεβηκότα, καὶ ὁ χρόνος πᾶσι τούτοις συμπαραπόμενος εἰκότως ἂν λεχθεῖ 10 σύμπτωμα συμπτωμάτων.

According to the account of Demetrius of Laconia, Epicurus says that time is a concurrence of concurrences, one which accompanies days, nights, hours, the presence and absence of feelings, motions and rests. For all of these are incidental properties of certain things, and since time accompanies them all it would be reasonable to call it a concurrence of concurrences.

Sextus Empiricus (fl. c. 200 CE), Outlines of Pyrrhonism , 3.137

Cf. U79]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.22.5

Περὶ οὐσίας χρόνου... ..Ἐπίκουρος σύμπτωμα «συμπτωμάτων» τοῦτο δ’ ἐστὶ παρακολούθημα κινήσεων

In regards to the essence of time, Epicurus defines it a concurrence «of concurrences», that being what accompanies motion.

12. The Universe & its World-Systems

[U295]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.18.3

Λεύκιππος Δημόκριτος Δημήτριος Μητρόδωρος Ἐπίκουρος τὰ μὲν ἄτομα ἄπειρα τῷ πλήθει, τὸ δὲ κενὸν ἄπειρον τῷ μεγέθει.

Lucretius, Democritus, Demetrius, Metrodorus, Epicurus – they consider the atoms to be infinite in number, while the void is infinite in size.

[U296]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1114A

καὶ γὰρ Ἐπίκουρος ὅταν λέγῃ τὸ πᾶν ἄπειρον εἶναι καὶ ἀγέννητον καὶ ἀφθαρτον καὶ μῆτ' αὐξόμενον μῆτε μειούμενον, ὥς περὶ ἐνός τινος διαλέγεται τοῦ παντός.

Epicurus, who says that "the universe" is infinite, uncreated and imperishable, and subject neither to increase nor diminution, speaks of the universe as if it were a unity.

[U297]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Divination, 2.50.103

Vidēsne Epicūrum, quem hebetem et rudem dīcere solent Stōicī, quem ad modum quod in nātūrā rērum omne esse dīcimus id īfinītum esse conclūserit? "Quod finītum est," inquit, "habet extrēmum." Quis hoc nōn dederit?— "Quod autem habet extrēmum, id cernitur ex aliō extrīnsecus." Hoc quoque est cōncedendum.

Do you see how Epicurus, whom the Stoics are accustomed to call dull and unrefined, has concluded that what we say is the All in the nature of things is infinite? "What is finite," he says, "has a boundary." Who would not grant this? "But what has a boundary is discerned from something else outside." This too must be conceded.

"At, quod omne est, id nōn cernitur ex aliō extrīnsecus." Ne hoc quidem negārī potest. "Quod igitur nihil habet extrēmum, īfinītum sit necesse est."

"But what is the All is not discerned from something else outside." Not even this can be denied. "Therefore what has no boundary must be infinite."

You see how Epicurus proceeds from admitted premises to the proposition to be established. But this you Stoic logicians do not do; for you not only do not assume premises which everybody concedes, but you even assume premises which, if granted, do not tend in the least to establish what you wish to prove. For you start with this assumption: "If there are gods, they are kindly disposed towards men." Now, who will grant you that? Not Epicurus! He says that the gods are concerned at all – for themselves or for anybody else.

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's Physics 3.4

τέταρτον δὲ καὶ δυσαντίβλεπτον τὸ φαίνεσθαι πᾶν τὸ πεπερασμένον ἀεὶ πρὸς τι περαῖνον. εἰ γὰρ. πᾶν τὸ πεπερασμένον πρὸς ἄλλό τι ἔξωθεν αὐτοῦ ὄν περαίνει, τὸ ἔξωθεν ἐκεῖνο, πρὸς ὃ περαίνει, ἢ ἄπειρόν ἐστιν ἢ πεπερασμένον καὶ εἰ μὲν ἄπειρον, ἔχομεν αὐτόθεν ὅτι ἔστι τὸ ἄπειρον: εἰ δὲ πεπερασμένον οἶον ἢ γῆ, καὶ αὐτὸ πρὸς ἄλλο περαίνει, καὶ τοῦτο ἐπ' ἄπειρον. εἰ δὲ ἐπ' ἄπειρον, ἔστι τὸ ἄπειρον ὅδ' ἐν γὰρ τελευταῖον ληφθήσεται πέρας, εἴ περ καὶ τοῦτο πρὸς ἄλλό τι περαίνει. τούτῳ δὲ τῷ λόγῳ ὡς μάλιστα, ὡς φησιν ὁ Ἀλέξανδρος, οἱ περὶ Ἐπικούρου πιστεύοντες ἄπειρον ἔλεγον εἶναι τὸ πᾶν, διότι πᾶν τὸ πεπερασμένον παρὰ τι περαινόμενον ἔξωθέν τι ἔχει. ὁ δὲ Ἀριστοτέλης ὡς ἀρχαιοτέρου μέμνηται τοῦ λόγου.

There is fourth point which is hard to deal with: the fact that everything which is limited seems to be limited by something. For if everything which is limited is limited by something which is external to itself, then that external thing by which it is limited is itself either unlimited or limited. And if it is unlimited, then we immediately have the result that the unlimited exists. And if it is limited, like the earth for example, then this too is limited by something else, and so on without limit. And if it goes on without limit, the unlimited exists. For one will never get one's hands on the final limit, if indeed this too is limited by something else. The Epicureans, according to Alexander, relied on this argument above all else when they said that the universe was infinite, because everything which is limited by something has outside it something which is limited {and so on and so on}. Aristotle mentions that this argument is quite old.

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), Questions, 3.12

εἰ μὲν οὖν τῷ πεπερασμένῳ τὸ εἶναι πεπερασμένῳ ἔστιν ἐν τῷ θεωρεῖσθαι παρ' ἄλλο, καλῶς ἂν λέγοιεν οἱ ἐναντίοι ἀξιοῦντες παντὸς πεπερασμένου ἐκτὸς ὀφείλειν εἶναι τι παρ' ὃ περαίνειν φαίνεται, εἴ γε ἔστιν ἐν τούτῳ τῷ πεπερασμένῳ τὸ εἶναι.

If the being limited of what is limited consisted in being considered [as] up against something else, then our opponents would have a point when they claim that outside every limited thing there has to be something up against which it is seen to be limited – if it is in this that being {einai}, for what is limited, consists.

[U298]

Themistius, Paraphrases of Aristotle's Physics, 3.8

καὶ ταῖς Ἐπικούρου δὲ ἀτόμοις ἐν τῷ κενῷ φερομέναις πεπεράνθαι μὲν ὑπάρχει, πρὸς τὶ δὲ περαίνειν οὐκέτι ἐπ' αὐτῶν τοῦτο ἢ τινὸς ἄπτεσθαι. καὶ τοι τὸν λόγον τοῦτον ἠγάπησεν οὕτως Ἐπίκουρος, ὥστε παλαιότερον ὄντα εἰσποίησασθαι καὶ ὑποβάλλεσθαι μικραῖς τισι καὶ φαύλαις προσθήκαις, καθά περ οἱ τὰ φώρια μετασχηματίζοντες ὑπὲρ τοῦ λανθάνειν.

[U299]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), On the Obsolescence of Oracles, 28

ἐπεὶ μὴ πρὸς τὸν κόσμον ἀλλ' ἐκτὸς αὐτοῦ τὸ κάτω καὶ ἄνω λαμβάνοντες ἐν ταῖς αὐταῖς ἀπορίαις Ἐπικούρῳ γενησόμεθα κινουῦντι τὰς ἀτόμους ἀπάσας εἰς τοὺς ὑπὸ πόδας τόπους, ὥσπερ ἢ τοῦ κενοῦ πόδας ἔχοντος ἢ τῆς ἀπειρίας ἐν αὐτῇ κάτω τι καὶ ἄνω διανοῆσαι διδούσης.

For, if we take the expressions below and above as referring, not to the world, but outside of it, we shall become involved in the same difficulties as Epicurus, who would have all his atoms move to places under our feet, as if either the void had feet, or infinity granted us to conceive of below and above within itself.

Plutarch, Against Colotes, 1111B

καὶ τὸ μὲν πᾶν (Ἐπίκουρος λέγει) ἄπειρον ὑποτίθεσθαι, τὸ δ' ἄνω καὶ κάτω μὴ ἀναιρεῖν.

{Epicurus} says that while he posits an infinite universe, he does not eliminate "up" and "down."

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Stoic Self-Contradictions, 1054B

ὅτι τοῦ κόσμου κενὸν ἐκτὸς ἄπειρόν ἐστι, τὸ δ' ἄπειρον οὐτ' ἀρχὴν οὔτε μέσον οὔτε τελευταῖον ἔχει, πολλάκις ὑπ' αὐτοῦ (Χρυσίππου) λέγεται. καὶ τούτῳ μάλιστα τὴν λεγομένην ὑπ' Ἐπικούρου τῆς ἀτόμου κάτω φορὰν ἐλέγξαντες ἀναιροῦσιν, οὐκ οὔσης ἐν ἀπείρῳ διαφορᾶς, καθ' ἣν τὸ μὲν ἄνω τὸ δὲ κάτω νοεῖται γινόμενον.

It is frequently asserted by Chrysippus that outside the world there is infinite void and that what is infinite has no beginning, middle, or end; and this the Stoics use especially to annihilate the downward motion which Epicurus says the atom has of itself, their contention being that in an infinite void, there is no difference by which to distinguish one part as being up and the other as down.

[U300]

Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Pythocles, Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.88

Κόσμος ἐστὶ περιοχὴ τις οὐρανοῦ, ἄστρα τε καὶ γῆν καὶ πάντα τὰ φαινόμενα περιέχουσα – οὐ λυομένου Πάντα τὰ ἐν αὐτῷ σύγχυσιν λήψεται

a Cosmos is a certain surrounding of sky, that surrounds celestial bodies and earth and all apparent things – and when [a cosmos] is dissolved all the things in it will come to have disorder

[U301]

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Diagnosis and Cure of Soul's Errors, 7

ὁ δὲ Στωικὸς οὐκ ἔνδον τι κενὸν εἶναι, ἔξωθεν τοῦ κόσμου ὑπάρχειν αὐτό· ταῦτα δ' ἄμφω συγχωρῶν ὁ Ἐπικούρειος ἐν ἄλλῳ τινὶ διαφέρεται πρὸς αὐτόν. οὐ γὰρ ἓνα ὁμολογεῖ κόσμον εἶναι τόνδε, καθά περ ὁ Στωικὸς οἶεται κατὰ γε τοῦτο τοῖς Περιπατητικοῖς ὁμόδοξος, ἀλλ' ὥσπερ γε καὶ τὸ κενὸν ἄπειρον τῷ μεγέθει φησὶν ὑπάρχειν, οὕτω καὶ τοὺς ἐν αὐτῷ κόσμους ἀπείρους εἶναι τῷ πλήθει.

The Stoic says that there is no void in the world, but that there is empty space outside it. The Epicurean grants both these types of void, but differs from the [Stoics] in another respect. He does not admit that there is only one world, as does the Stoic, who in this respect agrees perfectly with the Peripatetics. But just as he maintains that the void is infinite in size, so also does he way that there are in it an infinite number of world-systems.

Aëtius (fl.f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.1.3

Ἀναξίμανδρος, Ἀναξίμενης, Ἀρχέλαος, Ξενοφάνης, Διογένης, Λεύκιππος, Δημόκριτος, Ἐπίκουρος, καὶ ὁ τούτου καθηγητὴς Μητρόδωρος, ἀπείρους κόσμους ἐν τῷ ἀπείρῳ κατὰ πᾶσαν [περιαγωγὴν or περίστασιν]..

Democritus and Epicurus maintain that there are infinite worlds in the infinite «universe», in every direction.

Achilles Tatius (The Astronomer, fl.c. 250 CE), Isagoge (Introduction) to Aratus' Phaenomena, 8

οἱ μὲν εἶναι τι ἐκτός φασιν, ὥσπερ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος, ὃς καὶ ἀπείρους κόσμους ὑποτίθεται ἐν ἀπείρῳ τῷ κενῷ.

Some assert that there exists something externally, as indeed Epicurus, who supposes that there are infinite world-systems in the infinite void.

Achilles Tatius (The Astronomer, fl.c. 250 CE), Isagoge (Introduction) to Aratus' Phaenomena, 5

Ἐπίκουρος δὲ πολλοὺς κόσμους ὑποτίθεται καὶ ὁ διδάσκαλος αὐτοῦ Μητρόδωρος.

Epicurus and his master [sic] Metrodorus believe in the existence of many world-systems.

Servius the Grammarian (fl.c. 390 CE), Commentary on Virgil's Aeneid, 1.330

[Quo sub caelō]... secundum Epicūrēōs, quī plūrēs volunt esse caelōs, ut Cicerō in Hortensiō

[Under which heaven] ... according to the Epicureans, who wish there to be more heavens, as Cicero says in Hortensius.

... according to the Epicureans, who would have it that there exist more skies, as Cicero does in his Hortensius.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.24.67 (Cotta to Velleius)

Sed ubi (disciplīnae Epicūreae) est vēritās? In mundīs, crēdō, innumerābilibus, omnibus minimīs temporum punctīs aliīs nāscientibus, aliīs cadentibus?

But where is the truth of the Epicurean doctrine? In worlds, I suppose, innumerable, all in the smallest moments of time, some being born, others perishing?

Where is this "truth" of yours to be found? Among the innumerable world-systems, born and dying through every instant of time?

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 1.6.21

Infīnitō ipsa (Epicūrī), quam ἀπειρίαν vocant, tōta ab illō (Dēmocritō) est, tum innumerābilēs mundī, quī et oriantur et intereant cotīdiē.

The very infinity (of Epicurus), which they call apeiria, is entirely from him (Democritus), and also the countless worlds, which both arise and perish every day.

The very conception of infinite space, apeiria as they term it, is entirely derived from Democritus; and again the countless numbers of world-systems that come into existence and pass out of existence every day.

*Dionysius the Episcopalian,
by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 BCE), Preparation for the Gospel, 14.23*

τὰς ἀτόμους κόσμους ἀπείρους ἀποτελεῖν.

The atoms comprise an infinity of world-systems.

Hermias (ob. 450 CE), Derision of the Pagan Philosophers, 18

προκύψας δέ μοι φησὶν Ἐπίκουρος σὺ μὲν δὴ κόσμον ἓνα μεμέτρηκας, ὧ φιλότης εἰσὶ δὲ κόσμοι πολλοὶ καὶ ἄπειροι.

Epicurus jumps up and tells me "You actually have counted only one world-system, my friend. But there are many world-systems – in fact, they are infinite."

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), Questions, 3.12

ὅτι δὲ πλείω τὰ ἄπειρα κατὰ τοὺς ἀπείρους τὰς ἀρχὰς λέγοντας, καὶ ἐκ τούτων γνῶριμον: λέγουσι μὲν γὰρ τοὺς κόσμους ἀπείρους. τούτων δὲ εἰ μὲν καὶ ἕκαστος αὐτῶν ἐξ ἀπείρων ἀρχῶν ἐστὶ συγκείμενος, ἀπειράκις ἄπειρα τὰ ἄπειρα ἀνάγκη εἶναι κτλ.

That there is a plurality of unlimited things according to those who say that the principles {i.e., elements} are unlimited is clear also from what follows. They say that the world-systems, too, are unlimited [in number]. If each of these too is composed out of unlimited principles, it is necessary for the unlimited things to be unlimited an unlimited number of times over.

[U301a]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.1.5

Ἐπίκουρος ἄνισον εἶναι τὸ μεταξύ τῶν κόσμων διάστημα.

Epicurus asserts that the spaces between world-systems are unequal.

[U302]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Doxography, 2.2.5

Ἐπίκουρος δ' ἐνδέχεσθαι μὲν εἶναι σφαιροειδεῖς τοὺς κόσμους, ἐνδέχεσθαι δὲ καὶ ἑτέροις σχήμασι κεχρῆσθαι.

Epicurus affirms that, on the one hand, it is possible that world-systems might be spherically shaped, and on the other hand, that it is also possible they may be characterized by other configurations.

[U303]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.7.3

Ἐπίκουρος ἐνίων μὲν κόσμων ἀραιὸν τὸ πέρασ, ἐνίων δὲ πυκνόν, καὶ τούτων τὰ μὲν τινα κινούμενα τὰ δ' ἀκίνητα.

Epicurus maintains that the edges of some world-systems may be thin, others thick, and that of these, some move and others remain stationary.

[U304]

Philo Judaeus of Alexandria (fl. c. 20 CE), On the Indestructibility of the Cosmos, 3

Δημόκριτος μὲν οὖν καὶ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ ὁ πολὺς ὄμιλος τῶν ἀπὸ τῆς στοᾶς φιλοσόφων γένεσιν καὶ φθορὰν ἀπολείπουσι τοῦ κόσμου, πλὴν οὐχ ὁμοίως. οἱ μὲν γὰρ πολλοὺς κόσμους ὑπογράφουσιν, ὧν τὴν μὲν γένεσιν ἀλληλοτυπίας καὶ ἐπιπλοκαῖς ἀτόμων ἀνατιθέασι, τὴν δὲ φθορὰν ἀντικοπαῖς καὶ προσράξει τῶν γεγονότων.

Democritus, Epicurus, and a numerous company of Stoic philosophers believe in a birth and destruction of the world, though not in the same way. The ones who believe in the existence of an infinity of world-systems attribute their births in terms of reciprocal impacts and entanglement of atoms, and their deaths to crashing atoms and to collisions from that which it was formed out of.

Commentary on Lucan, Pharsalia (The Civil War), 8.1

Dīversē Stōicī et Epicūrēī, quī et nātum esse (mundum) et peritūrum affirmant

They don't agree with the Stoics and Epicureans, who assert that the world was born and will perish

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 7.1.10

Ūnus igitur Epicūrus, auctōre Dēmocritō, vēridicus in hāc rē fuit, quī ait et ortum aliquandō fuisse (mundum) et aliquandō esse peritūrum. Nec tamen ratiōnem ullam reddere potuit, scilicet aut quibus dē causīs tantum hoc opus aut quō tempore solvātur.

Therefore Epicurus alone, with Democritus as his authority, was truthful in this matter, who says that the world both once had an origin and at some time will perish. Yet he was able to render no argument at all, namely either by what causes this so great work is dissolved or at what time it is dissolved.

Epicurus then, on the authority of Democritus, was truly versed on this point. He said that [the world] had begun at one time and would come to extinction at some time. However, he was not able to render any account either for what causes or at what time this such great work would be dissolved.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 2.10.24

Quod sī (mundus) potest occidere in tōtum, quia per partēs occidit, appāret aliquandō esse ortum, et ut fragilitās initium, sīc dēclārat et terminum. Quae sī vēra sunt, nōn potuit dēfendere Aristotelēs quō minus habuerit et mundus ipse prīncipium. Quod sī Aristotelī Platō et Epicūrus extorquent, et Platōnī et Aristotelī, quī semper fore mundum putāvērunt, licet sint ēloquentēs, ingrātīs tamen idem Epicūrus ēripiet, quia sequitur ut habeat et finem

But if the world can perish entirely, because it perishes by parts, it becomes apparent that it once arose, and just as fragility shows a beginning, so it also shows an end. If these things are true, Aristotle could not defend against the conclusion that the world itself has had a beginning. And if Plato and Epicurus force this from Aristotle, then from Plato and Aristotle, who thought that the world would exist forever—although they are eloquent—the same Epicurus will nevertheless take it away against their will, because it follows that it also has an end

But if the world can perish entirely, since it perishes in parts, it is clear that at some time it began. Fragility thus exposes the end of the world just as it shows its beginning. And if these things are true, Aristotle will not be able to defend the point he held, namely, that the world itself had no beginning. If Plato and Aristotle, who thought that the world will always be, although they are eloquent, the same Epicurus will force the same point from them, however unwilling, since it follows that it also has an end.

[U305]

Johannes Stobaeus (fl. 450 CE), Anthology, physics, 20.1

Ἐπίκουρος πλείστοις τρόποις τὸν κόσμον φθείρεσθαι· καὶ γὰρ ὡς ζῷον καὶ ὡς φυτὸν καὶ πολλαχῶς.

=AETIVS II 4, 10?

Epicurus says that the world {continuously} destroys itself in very many ways: for it can be destroyed in the manner of an animal, in the manner of plant, and in lots of other ways.

[U306]

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's, Physics, 8.1

οἱ μὲν γὰρ ἀπείρους τῷ πλήθει τοὺς κόσμους ὑποθέμενοι, ὡς οἱ περὶ Ἀναξίμανδρον καὶ Λεύκιππον καὶ Δημόκριτον καὶ ὕστερον οἱ περὶ Ἐπίκουρον, γινομένους αὐτοὺς καὶ φθειρομένους ὑπέθεντο ἐπ’ ἄπειρον, ἄλλων μὲν ἀεὶ γινομένων, ἄλλων δὲ φθειρομένων, καὶ τὴν κίνησιν αἰδίδιον ἔλεγον ἄνευ γὰρ κινήσεως οὐκ ἔστι γένεσις ἢ φθορά.

[U307]

St. Jerome (fl. c. 385 CE), Commentary on Ecclesiastes, 1

Nec putēmus sīgna atque prōdigia et multa, quae arbitrīō deī nova in mundō fiunt, in priōribus saeculīs esse iam facta, et locum invenīre Epicūrum, quī asserit per innumerābilēs periodōs eadem et eīsdem in locīs et per eōsdem fierī.

Let us not think that the signs and portents and many things which by the will of God happen as new in the world were already done in earlier ages, and that Epicurus find a place, who asserts that through innumerable cycles the same things occur in the same places and by the same [agents].

We do not believe that signs and portents and many unusual facts, which happen in the world by divine will, have already happened in past generations, such as Epicurus would have it, asserting that through innumerable temporal cycles, the same things happen, in the same places, by means of the same agents.

[U308]

Aëtius (fl. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.4

ὁ τοίνυν κόσμος συνέστη περικεκλασμένῳ σχήματι ἐσχηματισμένος τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον· τῶν ἀτόμων σωμάτων ἀπρονόητον καὶ τυχαίαν ἔχόντων τὴν κίνησιν συνεχῶς τε καὶ τάχιστα κινουμένων, εἰς τὸ αὐτὸ πολλὰ σώματα συνηθοίσθη διὰ τοῦτο ποικιλίαν ἔχοντα καὶ σχημάτων καὶ μεγεθῶν «καὶ βαρῶν». ἀθροιζομένων δ' ἐν ταύτῳ τούτων τὰ μὲν ὅσα μείζονα ἦν καὶ βαρύτερα πάντως ὑπεκάθιζεν ὅσα δὲ μικρὰ καὶ περιφερῇ καὶ λεῖα καὶ εὐόλισθα, ταῦτα καὶ ἐξεθλίβετο κατὰ τὴν σύνοδον τῶν ἀτόμων εἰς τε τὸ μετέωρον ἀνεφέρετο. ὥς δ' οὖν ἐξέλειπε μὲν ἡ πληκτικὴ δύναμις μετεωρίζουσα οὐκέτι τε ἦγεν ἡ πληγὴ πρὸς τὸ μετέωρον, ἐκωλύετο δὲ ταῦτα κάτω φέρεσθαι, ἐπιέζετο πρὸς τοὺς τόπους τοὺς δυναμένους δέξασθαι. οὗτοι δὲ ἦσαν οἱ πέριξ, καὶ πρὸς τούτοις τὸ πλῆθος τῶν σωμάτων περιεκλᾶτο. περιπλεκόμενα δὲ ἀλλήλοις κατὰ τὴν περικλασιν τὸν οὐρανὸν ἐγέννησε. τῆς δ' αὐτῆς ἐχόμεναι φύσεως αἱ ἄτομοι ποικίλαι οὔσαι, καθὼς εἴρηται, πρὸς τὸ μετέωρον ἐξωθούμεναι τὴν τῶν ἀστέρων φύσιν ἀπετέλουν. τὸ δὲ πλῆθος τῶν ἀναθυμιωμένων σωμάτων ἔπληττε τὸν ἀέρα καὶ τοῦτον ἐξέθλιβε. πνευματούμενος δὲ οὗτος κατὰ τὴν κίνησιν καὶ συμπεριλαμβάνων τὰ ἄστρα συμπεριῆγεν αὐτὰ καὶ τὴν νῦν περιφορὰν αὐτῶν μετέωρον ἐφείλιττε. κάπειτα ἐκ μὲν τῶν ὑποκαθιζόντων ἐγεννήθη ἡ γῆ, ἐκ δὲ τῶν μετεωριζομένων οὐρανός, πῦρ, ἀήρ. πολλῆς δὲ ὕλης ἔτι περιειλημμένης ἐν τῇ γῇ πυκνουμένης τε ταύτης κατὰ τὰς ἀπὸ τῶν σωμάτων πληγὰς καὶ τὰς ἀπὸ τῶν ἄστρων αὐγὰς προσεθλίβετο πᾶς ὁ μικρομερὴς σχηματισμὸς ταύτης καὶ τὴν ὑγρὰν φύσιν ἐγέννα· ῥευστικῶς δὲ αὕτη διακειμένη κατεφέρετο πρὸς τοὺς κοίλους τόπους καὶ δυναμένους χωρῆσαί τε καὶ στέξαι, ἡ καθ' αὐτὸ τὸ ὕδωρ ὑποστὰν ἐκοίλαινε τοὺς ὑποκειμένους τόπους. τὰ μὲν οὖν κυριώτατα μέρη τοῦ κόσμου τὸν τρόπον τοῦτον ἐγεννήθη.

So the world was compounded and endowed with its bent {i.e., rounded} shape in the following manner: Because atomic bodies, which move without guidance and in a haphazard manner, were constantly moving at the greatest of speeds, many bodies happened to be assembled together in the same place, and thereby had variety of shapes and seizes <and weights>. As they assembled in the same place, the larger and heavier bodies tended to move toward the bottom and settled; but the small, round, smooth, and slippery ones were pushed out in the concourse of atoms and so moved into the celestial regions. So when the force of the blows [of atomic collisions] stopped raising them up, and the blows no longer carried them into the celestial regions, they were still prevented from falling down because they were squeezed into places that could accommodate them. Now these were situated all around, and most of the bodies were bent around to these places. By becoming entangled with each other during the bending, they generated the sky. Retaining the same nature and being varied, as was said, the atoms which were pushed out to the celestial regions produced the nature of the heavenly bodies. The majority of the bodies which were evaporated upwards struck the air and compressed it. And the air, being made wind-like during its movement and gathering together the heavenly bodies, drove them around with itself and by this twisting produced their present circular movement in the celestial regions. And then the earth was produced from the bodies which settled at the bottom, while those which were raised upwards produced the sky, fire, and air. Since a great deal of matter was still contained in the earth and this was packed densely by the blows of the atomic bodies and by those from the rays of the heavenly bodies, the earth's entire configuration, which was made up of small particles, was squeezed together and so produced the nature of fluids. And since this nature was disposed to flow, it moved down into the hollow places and those able to receive it and contain it; either that, or the water all by itself hollowed out the existing places by settling there. So the most important parts of the world were produced in this way.

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 5.26.3

οἱ Στωικοὶ δὲ καὶ Ἐπικούρειοι οὐκ ἔμψυχα (τὰ φυτά). τινὰ γὰρ ψυχῆς ὀρμητικῆς εἶναι καὶ ἐπιθυμητικῆς, τινὰ δὲ καὶ λογικῆς. τὰ δὲ φυτὰ αὐτομάτως πως κινεῖσθαι, οὐ διὰ ψυχῆς.

The Stoics and the Epicureans do not consider the planets to be living beings (some are actually characterized as being irascible and lustful – others as rational), but instead the planets move, in a certain sense, automatically, without mental guidance.

14. Man

[U310]

Sextus Empiricus (fl. c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 1.267

οἱ δὲ περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον καὶ δεικτικῶς δηλοῖσαν δύνασθαι τὴν ἐπίνοιαν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου παρίστασθαι, λέγοντες ἄνθρωπός ἐστι τοιουτονὶ μόρφῳμα μετ' ἐμψυχίας, οὐκ ἔγνωσαν δ' ὅτι εἰ τὸ δεικνύμενόν ἐστιν ἄνθρωπος, τὸ μὴ δεικνύμενον οὐκ ἔστιν ἄνθρωπος κτλ.

Epicurus and his followers supposed that the conception of Man could be conveyed by indication, saying that "Man is this sort of a shape combined with vitality." But they did not notice that if the thing indicated is Man, the thing not so indicated is not Man.

Sextus Empiricus (fl. c. 200 CE), Outlines of Pyrrhonism, 2.25

ὁ δ' Ἐπίκουρός φησιν ἄνθρωπον εἶναι τὸ τοιουτονὶ μόρφωμα μετὰ ἐμψυχίας. καὶ κατὰ τοῦτον δὲ ἐπεὶ ὁ ἄνθρωπος δείξει ἐμφανίζεται, ὁ μὴ δεικνύμενος οὐκ ἔστιν ἄνθρωπος

Epicurus says that Man is "This sort of shape combined with vitality." According to him, then, since a man is revealed by direct perception, he that is not perceived as such is not a man.

15. The Soul

[U311]

Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Diogenes Laertius (fl. c. 220 CE), 10.66

Λέγει, ἐν ἄλλοις, καὶ ἐξ ἀτόμων Αὐτὴν συγκεῖσθαι λειοτάτων καὶ στρογγυλωτάτων – πολλῷ τινι διαφερουσῶν τῶν τοῦ πυρός· καὶ τὸ μὲν τι ἄλογον αὐτῆς, ὃ τῷ λοιπῷ παρεσπάρθαι σώματι – τὸ δὲ λογικὸν ἐν τῷ θώρακι, ὡς δῆλον ἐκ τε τῶν φόβων καὶ τῆς χαρᾶς

He says, in other [locations], that the [Soul] is compounded from the smoothest and roundest atoms – by a large measure in a certain respect differing from those of fire: and that a certain [part] of it is unreasoning, which is spread out through the remaining body – but the rational [part is] in the chest, as is clear both from fears and from joy

Ὑπνον τε γίνεσθαι τῶν τῆς ψυχῆς μερῶν (τῶν παρ' ὅλην τὴν σύγκρισιν παρεσπαρμένων, ἐγκατεχομένων ἢ διαφορουμένων) – εἴτα συμπιπτόντων τοῖς ἐπεραιοῖς: τό τε Σπέρμα ἀφ' ὅλων τῶν σωμάτων φέρεσθαι

[Epicurus also says that] Sleep is produced when the parts of the soul (which are spread out throughout the whole compound, [either] compacted or disseminated) – accordingly fall into each other from their mutual pressing: for Seeds [of the soul] emanate from the entirety of [our] bodies

[U312]

[Δημόκριτος] Ἐπίκουρος διμερῇ τὴν ψυχὴν, τὸ μὲν λογικὸν ἔχουσιν ἐν τῷ θώρακι καθιδρυμένον, τὸ δὲ ἄλογον καθ’ ὅλην τὴν σύγκρισιν τοῦ σώματος διεσπαρμένον.

Democritus and Epicurus say that the soul has two parts, one which is rational and situated in the chest, and the other which is non-rational and spread throughout the entire body.

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.5.6

Παρμενίδης [τὸ ἡγεμονικὸν εἶναι] ἐν ὅλῳ τῷ θώρακι, καὶ Ἐπίκουρος

Parmenides and Epicurus maintain that the seat of consciousness – the rational part of the soul – occupies the entire chest.

Tertullian (fl. c. 195 CE), On the Soul, 15

nec in tōtā lōricā pectoris, ut Epicūrus, putēs τὸ ἡγεμονικὸν concludī

nor in the whole breastplate of the chest, as Epicurus [thinks], you would suppose the ruling part (τὸ ἡγεμονικόν) to be enclosed.

You must not suppose that the sovereign faculty ... is found enclosed in the breast, as Epicurus thinks.

[U313]

Demetrius Laco (fl. c. 90 BCE), P.Herc. 1012 col. 22 & 29 (46 & 47)

...[κα] τελέξαθ' ὁ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ π[ε]ρὶ τοῦ τόπου τοῦ λογιζομένου μέρους τῆς ψυχῆς ὡς καὶ τούτου πραγματικὴν τὴν ζήτησιν ἔχοντος κα[ι] κατὰ λόγον· ἡ μὲν γὰρ [τὸ] ζητο[ύ]μενον τῶν έναρ[γ]ῶν, φό[βων] [ὄντ]ων ἀδεήτων λόγων, τοῦ[το δὲ] βουλόμενος διδάσ[κειν]... ...[εἴτ' ἐν τῷ] ἀλογίστω[ι] <εἴτ' > <ἐπὶ > <λογιστικ[ο]ῦ>... ...φαμένων ὡς ἐπιβάλ[λε]ιν δεῖ ἐν τῷ λογίζεσθα[ι] τι καὶ λυπεῖσθαι· ποῦ μάλισθ' ἡ κείνησις καὶ τὸ πάθος ἔλκει; φανερώς γὰρ ἐπὶ τὸν θώρακα ἡ ὁλκὴ γέινεται. μετὰ ταῦτα δ' ἀντιπρόφέρεται τὴν σημήωσιν, ἥι χρῶνται πολλοὶ τῶν ἰατρῶ[ν ὑ]πὲρ το[ῦ τὸ]ν λογισμὸν ἐν κεφα[λῇ] ἐστηρ[ί]χθαι, καὶ ἐπιτίθησιν τῇ [ι] δυνά[μει] λέγων ὡς κατὰ τινὰ ἐκ τῶν παθῶν κ[αὶ π]αρακοπῶν ἔστιν σημή[ωσασθαι] αἱ τ[ι]νων μερῶν, ἔ[στιν δ' ἐν κεφαλῇ ὁ λο]γισμός... ...καθὸ κα[τ' αὐτ]ήν, ὡς φησιν, ἀπολο[γίζοιτ'] ἂν τρ[ύναντίον ἢ Ἐπίκουρος]...

...κατελέξαθ' ὁ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ περὶ τοῦ τόπου τοῦ λογιζομένου μέρους τῆς ψυχῆς ὡς καὶ τούτου πραγματικὴν τὴν ζήτησιν ἔχοντος καὶ κατὰ λόγον· ἡ μὲν γὰρ τὸ ζητούμενον τῶν έναργῶν, φόβων ὄντων ἀδεήτων λόγων, τοῦτο δὲ βουλόμενος διδάσκειν... ...εἴτ' ἐν τῷ ἀλογίστῳ <εἴτ' > <ἐπὶ > <λογιστικοῦ>... ...φαμένων ὡς ἐπιβάλλειν δεῖ ἐν τῷ λογίζεσθαί τι καὶ λυπεῖσθαι· ποῦ μάλισθ' ἡ κείνησις καὶ τὸ πάθος ἔλκει; φανερώς γὰρ ἐπὶ τὸν θώρακα ἡ ὁλκὴ γέινεται. μετὰ ταῦτα δ' ἀντιπρόφέρεται τὴν σημήωσιν, ἥι χρῶνται πολλοὶ τῶν ἰατρῶν ὑπὲρ τοῦ τὸν λογισμὸν ἐν κεφαλῇ ἐστηρ[ί]χθαι, καὶ ἐπιτίθησιν τῇ δυνάμει λέγων ὡς κατὰ τινὰ ἐκ τῶν παθῶν καὶ παρακοπῶν ἔστιν σημήωσασθαι τινων μερῶν, ἔστιν δ' ἐν κεφαλῇ ὁ λογισμός... ...καθὸ κατ' αὐτήν, ὡς φησιν, ἀπολογίζοιτ' ἂν τούναντίον ἢ Ἐπίκουρος...

[U314]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1118D

Κωλώτῃ δὲ γελοῖον δοκεῖ (τὸ ζητεῖν Σωκράτη τί ἐστὶν ἄνθρωπος). τί οὖν οὐ καταγελᾶ καὶ τοῦ καθηγεμόνος τοῦτ' αὐτὸ πράττοντος, ὡς ἂν γράφοι καὶ διαλέγοιτο περὶ οὐσίας ψυχῆς καὶ τοῦ ἀθρόου τῆς καταρχῆς; εἰ γὰρ τὸ ἐξ ἀμφοῖν, ὡς ἀξιοῦσιν αὐτοί, σώματος τοιοῦδε καὶ ψυχῆς ὁ ἄνθρωπος ἐστίν, ὁ ζητῶν ψυχῆς φύσιν ἀνθρώπου ζητεῖ φύσιν ἐκ τῆς κυριωτέρας ἀρχῆς. ὅτι δὲ αὕτη λόγῳ δυσθεώρητος, αἰσθήσει δὲ ἄληπτός ἐστι, μὴ παρὰ Σωκράτους σοφιστοῦ καὶ ἀλαζόνος ἀνδρός, ἀλλὰ παρὰ τῶν σοφῶν τούτων λάβωμεν. οἱ μέχρι τῶν περὶ σάρκα τῆς ψυχῆς δυνάμεων, αἷς θερμότητα καὶ μαλακότητα καὶ τόνον παρέχει τῷ σώματι, τὴν οὐσίαν συμπηγνύντες αὐτῆς ἕκ τινος θερμοῦ καὶ πνευματικοῦ καὶ ἀερῶδους οὐκ ἐξικνοῦνται πρὸς τὸ κυριώτατον ἀλλ' ἀπαγορεύουσί· τὸ γὰρ ὧ κρίνει καὶ μνημονεύει καὶ φιλεῖ καὶ μισεῖ καὶ ὅλως τὸ φρόνιμον καὶ λογιστικὸν ἕκ τινός φησιν ἀκατονομάστου ποιότητος ἐπιγίνεσθαι. καὶ ὅτι μὲν αἰσχυνομένης ἐστὶν ἀγνοίας τουτὶ τὸ ἀκατονόμαστον ἐξομολόγησις, οὐκ ἔχειν ὀνομάσαι φασκόντων ὁ μὴ δύνανται καταλαβεῖν, ἴσμεν. ἐχέτω δὲ συγγνώμην καὶ τοῦτο, ὡς λέγουσι.

Colotes, however finds the question absurd {Socrates' inquiries into "what is a man?"}. Why then does he not deride has master too, who did this very thing as often as he wrote or spoke about the constitution of the soul and the "initiation of the aggregate." For if (as they themselves hold) the combination of the two parts, a body of a certain description and a soul, is man, then one who seeks to discover the nature of the soul is seeking to discover the nature of man, starting from the more important source. And that the soul is hard to apprehend by reason and cannot be discerned by sense let us not learn from Socrates, "the sophist and charlatan" but from these sages, who get as far as those powers of the soul that affect the flesh, by which it imparts warmth and softness and firmness to the body, when they manufacture its substance by the combining their own varieties of heat, gas and air, but quite before they reach the seat of power. For its ability to judge, remember, love, and hate – in short, its thinking and reasoning faculty – is added to these, they say from a quality "that has no name." This talk of the thing "that has no name" is, we know, a confession of an embarrassed ignorance – what they cannot make out they assert that they cannot name. But let this too "be excused"* as they say.

"just move past it"?

[U315]

Aëtius (fl. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.3.11

Ἐπίκουρος (τὴν ψυχὴν) κρᾶμα ἐκ τεττάρων, ἐκ ποιοῦ πυρώδους, ἐκ ποιοῦ ἀερώδους, ἐκ ποιοῦ πνευματικοῦ, ἐκ τετάρτου τινὸς ἀκατονόμαστου· τοῦτο δ' ἦν αὐτῷ τὸ αἰσθητικόν. ὣν τὸ μὲν πνεῦμα κίνησιν, τὸν δὲ ἀέρα ἡρεμίαν, τὸ δὲ θερμὸν τὴν φαινομένην θερμότητα τοῦ σώματος, τὸ δ' ἀκατονόμαστον τὴν ἐν ἡμῖν ἐμποιεῖν αἴσθησιν ἐν οὐδενὶ γὰρ τῶν ὀνομαζομένων στοιχείων εἶναι αἴσθησιν.

Epicurus said that the soul is a blend of four things: one of which is fire-like, one air-like, one wind-like, while the fourth is something which lacks a name. (This last he made the one which accounts for sensation.) The wind, he said, produces movement in us, the air produces rest, the hot one produces the evident heat of the body, and the unnamed one produces sensation in us. For sensation is found in none of the named elements

Macrobius, Commentary on the "Dream of Scipio," 1.14.20

Epicūrus (animam dīxit) speciēm ex ignē et aere et spīritū mixtam

Epicurus called the soul a being commixed with fire, air, and breath.

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), On the Soul, 1.8

καὶ οἱ περὶ Ἐπίκουρον· καὶ γὰρ κατ' ἐκείνους σύνθετος ἡ ψυχὴ ἐκ πλειόνων τινῶν καὶ διαφερόντων σωμάτων.

... and the Epicureans: indeed, according to them, the soul is a compound of more varied bodies.

16. Temperaments

[U316]

Lucretius transitions from discussing temporary moods to the enduring traits of individuals. He shows some of the effects that result depending on which of the three named elements of the soul exists in the greatest proportion:		
^[1] Thermal Element	 τό Θερμόν, τό Πυρώδες	Calor, Vapor
^[2] Pneumatic Element	 τό Πνευματικόν	Ventus, Aura
^[1 & 2] Aerial Mix	 τό Ἀερώδες	Aer
^[3] Unnamed Element	τό Ἀκατονόμαστον	Nominis Experts

3.294 **sed *cálidī* Plūs est illīs *quíbus ácria* Corda**
*More *heat* exists *in those whose violent* Heart*

īrācúndaque Mēns fácil^e effervéscit *in* īrā:
*and *irascible* Mind easily boil over *in anger*:*

quō *géner^e* in *prímīs* Vīs est *violénta leónum*,
*of which kind *in first place* is the *violent* Force of lions,*

péctora Quī *frémitū* rumpunt – plērúmque *geméntēs*
nec *cáper^e* īrárum flúctūs in pectore possunt.

*Who puff out *their chest with roaring* – generally they cannot contain *the growling waves of anger* in their chest.*

3.299 **āt *ventōsa* magis *cervōrum frīgida* Mēns est**
*but the *cold* Mind *of deer* is more *full of breath**

et *gelidās* citius per viscera concitat aurās –
*and quickly stirs *cold currents* through its organs –*

quae *tremulum* faciunt membrīs **existere mōtum**
*which causes a *trembling movement* to arise *in the limbs*.*

3.302 **āt Nātūrā *boum* *plácidō* mágis *āēre* vīvit**
*But the Nature *of cattle* lives more *in calm air*,*

(nec *nimis* īrāī Fāx umquam *subdita* percit
*(nor is the *smoky* Torch *of anger*, arousing too much, ever *applied* –*

***fūmida* – suffúndēns caecae cāliginis umbram)**
*pouring forth *the shadow of blind darkness*)*

nec *gélidīs* torpet tēlīs *perfixa pavōris*:
*nor does it become numb, *pierced* by the *cold darts of fear*:*

***interutrāsque* sīta ^est *cervōs saevōsque leonēs*.**
*as she is situated *between* the *deer* and the *fierce lions*.*

3.307 **sīc *hominum* Genus est: quamvīs Doctrīna *polītōs***
cōstituat *pariter quōsdam* – tamen illa relinquit

*the Species of humans is like this: however much Education can dispose *each* to be similarly *polite* – yet it leaves*

***nātūrae cuiusqu^e* *animī* vestīgia *prīma*.**
*the first traces of each man's nature *in the mind*.*

3.310 **nec *rādīcitus* ēvellī *mala* posse *putandumst*:**
nor must it be thought that faults can be pulled out from the roots:

quīn, *prōclīvius*, ^hīc īrās dēcurrat *ad* ācrīs –
*but that this Man, *more inclined*, may run down into fierce angers –*

ille *metū* *citius* paulō temptētur – at ille
*that man may be tempted *by fear* a little more quickly – but a*

***tertius* accipiat *quaedam* *clémentius* aequō.**
third man may receive certain things more gently than is fair.

3.314 **inqu^e *alīs* rēbus multīs diffērrē *necessest***
*and so *in many other ways*, it is necessary to differentiate*

***nātūrās* *hominum* *variās* *mōrēsque* *sequācīs* –**
various natures of man and the behaviors that follow –

quōrum *ēgō* nunc *nequeō* *caecās* expōnere *causās*,
*which I cannot now set out the *obscure* causes,*

nec *reperīre* *figūrārum* tōt *nōmina* quōt sunt
*nor can I find so many *names of the forms* as there are*

***principīs* – undē haec orītur *Variāntia* *rērum*.**
*in the principle parts – from which these Differences *in things* emerge.*

3.319 ***illud* *in* *hīs* rēbus *videō* *firmāre* *potesse*:**
*I see *it possible* to confirm this in these matters:*

usqu^e *adeō* *nātūrārum* *vestīgia* linqūī
to such an extent that the traces of natures are left

***parvola* quae *nequeat* *Ratiō* depellere *nōbīs* –**
*very small which Reason cannot drive away *for us* –*

ut: *Nihil* *impediat* *dignam* *dīs* *dēgere* *vītam*.
*so that: Nothing may impede *leading a life worthy* *for the gods*.*

Λεύκιππος Δημόκριτος Ἐπίκουρος τὴν αἴσθησιν καὶ τὴν νόησιν γίνεσθαι εἰδώλων ἔξωθεν προσιόντων μηδενὶ γὰρ ἐπιβάλλειν μηδετέραν χωρὶς τοῦ προσπίπτοντος εἰδώλου.

Leucippus, Democritus, and Epicurus say that sense-perception and thought occur when images approach from the outside. For we apply neither [sense-perception nor thought] to anything in the absence of an image striking from the outside.

Cicero, De Finibus, 1.6.21

Quae sequitur [Epicūrus], sunt tōta Dēmocritī: atomī, ināne, imāginēs, quae εἶδωλα nominant, quōrum incursiōne nōn solum videāmus sed etiam cogitēmus

The things which Epicurus follows are entirely Democritus’: the atoms, the void, the images which they call eidōla, by the impact of which we both see and also think.

Those ideas which he {Epicurus} adopts, the credit belongs entirely to Democritus – {e.g.,} the atoms, the void, the images, or as they call them, eidola, whose impact is the cause not only of vision but also of thought.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.38.108 (Cotta to Velleius)

Vōs autem nōn modo oculīs imāginēs, sed etiam animīs inculcātis

But you impress images not only upon the eyes, but also upon the minds.

You are trying to foist these images of yours not only on our eyes but on our minds as well.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.38.107

Fac imāginēs esse, quibus pulsentur animī

Assume that there are images by which minds are struck.

Suppose that there are such images constantly impinging on our minds.

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), Letter to Dioscorus, 118.27

Dicant ergō, in quō genere pōnant imāginēs, quās dē corporibus solidioribus affluere putant ipsās minimē solidās, ita ut tactū nisi oculōrum, cum vidēmus, et animī, cum cogitāmus, sentīrī nōn possint, sī et ipsa corpora sunt.

Let them therefore say in what kind they place the images which they think flow off from more solid bodies, though they themselves are in the least degree solid, in such a way that they can be perceived by touch only of the eyes, when we see, and of the mind, when we think—if indeed they too are bodies.

Let them say, then, in which class they would include the images which, as they think, stream from solid substances, without themselves being at all solid, and by their impact on the eyes cause us to see; on the mind, to think. They could not possibly be perceived if they are themselves substances.

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.23.2

Ἐπίκουρος καὶ τὰ πάθη καὶ τὰς αἰσθήσεις ἐν τοῖς πεπονθόσι τόποις, τὸ δὲ ἡγεμονικὸν ἀπαθές.

Epicurus maintained that both emotions and sensation take place in the parts of the body susceptible to being affected, while the sovereign faculty is unaffected.

Aëtius (fl. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.13.1

Λεύκιππος Δημόκριτος Ἐπίκουρος κατὰ εἰδώλων εἴσκρισιν οἷονται τὸ ὁρατικὸν συμβαίνειν πάθος.

Leucippus, Democritus, and Epicurus maintain that visual perception takes place by the entrance of images [into the eyes].

Meletius, in Cramer, Oxoniensian Anecdote, 3

πολλὰ δὲ οἱ φιλόσοφοι περὶ τῆς ἐνεργείας ταύτης διηνέχθησαν· οἱ μὲν Ἐπικούρειοι εἶδωλα τῶν φαινομένων προσπίπτειν τοῖς ὀφθαλμοῖς λέγουσι καὶ τὴν ὄρασιν ποιεῖν.

There is much disagreement among philosophers regarding [the act of seeing]: the Epicureans profess that images from apparent objects come to impact the eyes and produce vision.

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's "On the Sensations," 2

ἡγεῖται δὲ αὐτός τε (Δημόκριτος) καὶ πρὸ αὐτοῦ Λεύκιππος καὶ ὕστερον δὲ οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον εἶδωλά τινα ἀπορρέοντα ὁμοιόμορφα τοῖς ἀφ’ ὧν ἀπορρεῖ -- ταῦτα δὲ ἐστὶ τὰ ὁρατά — ἐμπίπτειν τοῖς τῶν ὁρώντων ὀφθαλμοῖς καὶ οὕτω τὸ ὁρᾶν γίνεσθαι. οὗ τεκμήριον παρατίθεται τὸ ἀεὶ τῶν ὁρώντων ἐν τῇ κόρῃ εἶναι τὴν τοῦ ὁρωμένου ἔμφασιν καὶ εἶδωλον, ὃ δὴ καὶ τὸ ὁρᾶν εἶναι.

Democritus himself, and before him Leucippus, and after him the Epicureans, think that certain images, which are of the same shape as the objects from which they flow, flow from them and strike the eyes of those who are seeing and that this is how seeing occurs. As a proof of this he offers the fact that there is always in the pupil of those who are seeing a reflection and image of what is seen, and this is exactly what the act of seeing is.

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), On the Soul, 2.13

πρὸς τοὺς διὰ τῆς τῶν εἰδώλων ἐμπτώσεως τὸ ὁρᾶν λέγοντας

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Doctrines of Hippocrates and Plato, 8.7

πολὺ τοίνυν ἄμεινον ὥς ἐν ἐσφαλμένοις Ἐπίκουρος ἀπεφήνατο τῶν Στωικῶν. ἐκεῖνοι μὲν γὰρ τῶν ὁρατῶν οὐδὲν ἄγουσιν ἄχρι τῆς ὀπτικῆς δυνάμεως· ὁ δ’ Ἐπίκουρος ἄγει· καὶ πολὺ γε τούτου κρεῖττον Ἀριστοτέλης, οὐκ εἶδωλον σωματικόν, ἀλλὰ ποιότητα δι’ ἀλλοιώσεως τοῦ πέριξ ἀέρος ἀπὸ τῶν ὁρατῶν ἄγων ἄχρι τῆς ὀψεως.

Therefore Epicurus' view – although both views are mistaken – is much better than that of the Stoics. For the latter do not bring anything of the visual object up to the visual power, but Epicurus declared that he did so. Aristotle is much superior to «Epicurus»; he does not posit a corporeal image but a quality from the visual object to the eyes through an alteration of the surround air.

Aulus Gellius, Attic Nights, 5.16.3

Epicūrus affluere semper ex omnibus corporibus simulācra quaedam corporum ipsōrum eaque sēsē in oculōs inferre atque ita fierī sēnsū videndī putat

Epicurus believes that there is a constant flow from all bodies of images from those bodies themselves, and that these impinge upon the eyes, and hence the sensation of seeing arises.

Macrobius, Saturnalia, 7.14.3

Ipsam vērō videndī nātūram nōn inīnsuāviter intrōspexit Epicūrus, cuius in hōc nōn est, ut aestimō, improbanda sententia, adstipulante praecipuē Dēmocritō:

quī sicut in cēteris, ita et in hōc paria sēnsērunt. Ergō cēnsēt Epicūrus ab omnibus corporibus iugī fluōre quaedam simulācra mănāre nec umquam tantullam moram intervenīre, quīn ultrā ferantur inānī figūrā cohaerentēs corporum exuviā: quārum receptācula in nostrīs oculīs sunt, et ideō ad deputātam sibi ā nātūrā sedem propriī sēnsūs recurrunt. Haec sunt quae vir ille commemorat.

He looked not unpleasantly into the very nature of seeing, Epicurus, whose view in this matter, as I judge, is not to be disapproved, with Democritus especially agreeing: who, just as in other things, so also in this felt the same. Therefore Epicurus thinks that from all bodies, by a continual flow, certain images flow out, and that never does even the least delay come in, but that the cast-offs of bodies, adhering in empty shape, are carried beyond; the receptacles of which are in our eyes, and therefore they return to the seat assigned to them by nature for their proper sense. These are the things which that man recounts.

The nature of vision has been brilliantly investigated by Epicurus, and his views on the subject should not, in my opinion, be rejected, especially since the theories of Democritus agree with them—for in this as in everything else those two philosophers are of the same mind. Epicurus, then, holds that from all bodies images flow in a continuous stream and that the sloughed-off particles, cohering to form an empty shape, are forever carried abroad, without the slightest intermission, to find lodgment in our eyes, thus reaching the seat which nature has appointed for them as the seat of the appropriate sense. Such is the explanation given by that famous man.

19. Mirrors

[U320]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 ^{CE}), *Placita Philosophorum*, 4.14.2

Λεύκιππος Δημόκριτος Ἐπίκουρος τὰς κατοπτρικὰς ἐμφάσεις γίνεσθαι κατ’ εἰδώλων ἀποστάσεις, ἃ τινα φέρεσθαι μὲν ἀφ’ ἡμῶν, συνίστασθαι δὲ ἐπὶ τοῦ κατόπτρου κατ’ ἀντεπιστροφὴν.

Leucippus, Democritus, and Epicurus assert that what we see in mirrors is formed by opposition of images moving away from us and upon the mirror will be reflected backwards.

Lucius Appuleius (fl. c. 164 ^{CE}), *Apology*, 15

Quid quod nec ob haec dēbet tantummodō philosophus speculum invīsere? Nam saepē oportet... etiam ipsius similitūdinis ratiōnem cōsiderāre, num, ut ait Epicūrus, profectae ā nōbīs imāginēs, velut quaedam exuviāe, iugī fluōre ā corporibus manantēs, cum leve aliquid et solidum offendērunt, illī sae reflectantur et retrō expressae contrōversum respondeant.

What of the fact that, not on account of these things only, ought the philosopher to visit a mirror? For often it is proper... to consider also the account of the likeness itself, whether, as Epicurus says, the images gone forth from us, as certain cast-offs, flowing from bodies in a continual stream, when they strike something smooth and solid, being dashed against are reflected and, driven back, answer in reverse.

What is the reason why, not even for these motives, that the philosopher, and only him, should not look into the mirror? Indeed sometimes it is proper ... to consider also the criterion of the resemblance itself, it, as Epicurus affirms, certain images moving away from us, like husks that emanate from bodies in a continuous flux, once they have bumped against something smooth and solid, are reflected backwards upon impact, and reproduced in reverse, corresponding in the opposite way.

20. Hearing

[U321]

Ἐπίκουρος τὴν γωνὴν εἶναι ῥεῦμα ἐκπεμπόμενον ἀπὸ τῶν φωνούντων ἢ ἡχούντων ἢ ψοφούντων. τοῦτο δὲ τὸ ῥεῦμα εἰς ὁμοιοσχήμονα θρύπτεσθαι θραύσματα. ὁμοιοσχήμονα δὲ λέγεται τὰ στρογγύλα τοῖς στρογγύλοις καὶ σκαληνὰ καὶ τρίγωνα τοῖς ὁμοιογενέσι. τούτων δ' ἐμπιπτόντων ταῖς ἀκοαῖς ἀποτελεῖσθαι τὴν αἴσθησιν τῆς φωνῆς. φανερόν δὲ τοῦτο γίνεσθαι ἀπὸ τῶν ἀσκῶν ἐκρεόντων καὶ τῶν ἐμφυσόντων κναφῶν τοῖς ἱματίοις.

Epicurus maintains that the voice is a flow sent out from those who make utterances or produce sounds or noises. This flow is broken up into particles of the same shape. ("Of the same shape" means that the round are like the round and the angular and the triangular are like those of those types.) And when these strike the organs of hearing, the perception of voice is produced.

[U322]

Scholiast on Dionysius Thrax (fl. 130 BCE), British Museum codex,
in Cramer, Anecdota Oxoniensia, vol. 4, p. 317, 8

ὁ δὲ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ ὁ Δημόκριτος καὶ οἱ Στωικοὶ σῶμά φασι τὴν φωνήν.

Epicurus, Democritus, and the Stoics say that voice is a body. For everything which can act or be acted upon is a body. For example, iron: it is acted upon by fire and it acts on men or wood. So if voice can act and be acted upon, it is a body. But it acts, since we enjoy hearing a voice or a lyre; and it is acted upon, as when we are speaking and the wind blows, which makes it harder to hear our voice.

Grammaticus the Byzantine, Paris codex, 2555 BAG, p. 1168:

ὁ Δημόκριτος καὶ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ οἱ Στωικοὶ σῶμα λέγουσι τὴν φωνήν, ὅτι πᾶν ὃ ἔχει ἐνέργειαν καὶ πάθος ἡγουν δύναται δρᾶσαι καὶ παθεῖν, σῶμά ἐστι.

Democritus, Epicurus, and the Stoics said that the voice must be a body, since everything that has activity and reactivity – that is: anything able to act and be acted upon – is a body.

[U323]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Table Talk, 720E, 720F

ἐκεῖνο δὲ μᾶλλον (Ἀμμώνιος ἔφη) λόγου δεῖσθαι τὸ νυκτὸς ἡχῳδεστέρας εἶναι τὰς φωνὰς καὶ πρὸς τῷ μεγέθει τὴν τρανότητα καθαρῶς συνδιαφυλάττειν... ἡσυχίας δὲ γενομένης Βόηθος ἔφη... ...χρήσεσθαι τισι τῶν προαποδεδειγμένων ὑπ' Ἐπικούρου.

Φέρεται τὰ ὄντα ἐν τῷ μὴ ὄντι πολὺ γὰρ κενὸν ἐνδιέσπαρται καὶ μέμικται ταῖς τοῦ ἀέρος ἀτόμοις. ὅταν μὲν οὖν ἡ διακεχυμένος καὶ πλάτος ἔχων καὶ περιδρομὴν ὑπὸ μανότητος, μικρὰ καὶ λεπτὰ τὰ μεταξὺ τῶν μορίων κενὰ λείπεται καὶ πολλὴν αἱ ἄτομοι κατεσπαρμέναι χώραν ἐπέχουσιν ὅταν δὲ συσταλῇ καὶ πύλησις εἰς ὀλίγον αὐτῶν γένηται καὶ συμπέσωσιν ἀποβιασθεῖσαι πρὸς ἀλλήλας, πολλὴν εὐρυχωρίαν ἔξω καὶ διαστάσεις μεγάλας ποιοῦσι. τοῦτο δὲ γίνεται νυκτὸς ὑπὸ ψυχρότητος ἢ γὰρ θερμότης χαλᾷ καὶ δίστησι καὶ λύει τὰς πυκνώσεις. διὸ πλείονα τόπον τὰ ζέοντα αἱ μαλασσόμενα καὶ τηκόμενα τῶν σωμάτων ἐπιλαμβάνει, καὶ τούναντίον αὖ πάλιν τὰ πηγνύμενα καὶ ψυχόμενα συγχωρεῖ πρὸς ἀλλήλα καὶ συνάγεται καὶ ἀπολείπει κενότητας ἐν τοῖς περιέχουσιν ἀγγείοις καὶ τόποις ἐξ ὧν ὑποκεχώρηκεν.

ἡ δὲ φωνὴ προσφερομένη καὶ προστυγχάνουσα σώμασι πολλοῖς καὶ ἀθρόοις ἢ τυφλοῦται παντάπασιν ἢ διασπάσματα λαμβάνει καὶ μεγάλας καὶ πολλὰς ἀντικρούσεις καὶ διατριβάς. ἐν δὲ κενῷ καὶ σωμάτων ἐρήμῳ διαστήματι λείον δρόμον ἔχουσα καὶ συνεχῇ καὶ ἄπταιστον ἐξικνεῖται πρὸς τὴν ἀκοήν, ὑπὸ τάχους ἅμα τῷ λόγῳ διασώζουσα τὴν σαφήνειαν. ὁρᾷ γὰρ ὅτι καὶ τῶν ἀγγείων τὰ κενὰ πληττόμενα μᾶλλον ὑπακούει πληγαῖς καὶ τὸν ἦχον ἀποτείνει μακράν, πολλάκις δὲ καὶ κύκλῳ περιφερόμενον διαδίδωσι πολὺν τὸ δ' ἐμπλησθὲν ἢ στερεοῦ σώματος ἢ τινος ὕγρου παντάπασιν γίνεται κωφὸν καὶ ἄναυδον, ὁδὸν οὐκ ἐχούσης οὐδὲ χώραν ἣ δίεισι τῆς φωνῆς. αὐτῶν δὲ τῶν σωμάτων χρυσὸς μὲν καὶ λίθος ὑπὸ πληρότητος ἰσχνόφωνα καὶ δυσηχῇ καὶ ταχὺ κατασβέννυσι τοὺς φθόγγους ἐν αὐτοῖς, εὖφωνος δὲ καὶ ἄλως ὁ χαλκός, fj πολὺκενος καὶ ὄγκον ἐλαφρὸς καὶ λεπτός, οὐ πολλοῖς συντεθλιμμένος ἐπαλλήλοις σώμασιν ἄλλ' ἄφθονον ἔχων τὸ τῆς ἐπιεικοῦς καὶ ἀναφοῦς μεμιγμένον οὐσίας, ἢ ταῖς τ' ἄλλαις κινήσεσιν εὐπορίαν δίδωσι τὴν τε φωνὴν εὐμενῶς ὑπολαμβάνουσα

παραπέμπει, μέχρις ἂν ἀψάμενός τις ὥσπερ ἐν ὁδοῖ καταλάβῃ καὶ τυφλώσῃ [τὸ κενόν]· ἐνταῦθα δ' ἔστι καὶ ἀπεπαύσατο τοῦ πρόσω χωρεῖν διὰ τὴν ἀντίφραξιν. ταῦτα, ἔφη, δοκεῖ μοι τὴν νύκτα ποιεῖν ἡχώδη, τὴν δ' ἡμέραν ἥττον, θερμότητι καὶ διαλύσει τοῦ ἀέρος μακρὰ τὰ διαστήματα τῶν ἀτόμων ποιοῦσαν. μόνον, ἔφη, μηδεὶς ἐνιστάσθω πρὸς τὰς πρώτας ὑποθέσεις.

The fact which needed explanation, continued Ammonius, was rather that voices are more sonorous at night and preserve not only their volume but the precise articulation... ..Boëthus then said that when he was still young and occupied with academic pursuits, he had been accustomed to using postulates and adopting unproved assumptions, after the manner of geometry, but that he would now employ some of the demonstrated doctrines of Epicurus. "Existing things move about in the non-existent. There is a great deal of void interspersed and mingled with the atoms of air. Now when air is dispersed and has scope and motility because of its loose structure, the empty spaces left between the particles are small and narrow and the atoms, being scattered, fill a good deal of space, but when it is compressed and the atoms are crowded into a small space, and are forced close together, they leave plenty of space outside and make the intervals large. This is what happens at night, under the influence of cold. For warmth loosens and separates and dissolves concentrations, which is why bodies when boiling or softening or melting take up more room, while on the other hand the particles in freezing and cooling bodies join together more compactly and leave vacuums – spaces from which they have withdrawn – in the vessels which hold them. A sound which approaches and strikes a large number of particles collected in a mass is either silenced completely or undergoes serious convulsions and many collisions and delays. But in an empty stretch, devoid of atoms, it travels a smooth, continuous, and unimpeded path to the organ of hearing, preserving, by its velocity, not only the sense of the message but its fine detail. Surely you have noticed that empty vessels when struck are more responsive and send the sound a long way, and often the sound goes round and round and there is much communication of it; but a vessel filled either with solid matter or with some liquid becomes completely mute and soundless, since the sound has no way or passage by which to go through. Of physical bodies themselves, gold and stone, because of their compactness, are weak-voiced and dull-sounding, and quickly extinguish sounds within them, but bronze is melodious and vocal, because it has much empty space within its structure and is light and fine in its spatial mass, not constricted by crowding particles, but containing an abundance of flimsy, yielding substance. This gives easy passage to other motions and especially to sound, receiving it hospitably and speeding it on its journey, until someone, like a highway-robber, seizes and detains and blindfolds it. There it comes to a halt, ceasing to move on because of the obstruction. This is in my opinion what makes the night sonorous and the day less so. Daytime, by its warmth, and the expansion of the air, makes the intervals between the atoms small, so long as no one objects to my basic assumptions.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Table Talk, 721F

οὐδὲν οὖν ἔδει τῇ νυκτὶ παρέχειν πράγματα συσπῶντας αὐτῆς τὸν ἀέρα καὶ συντείνοντας, ἐτέρωθι δ' αὖ χιύρας καὶ κενότητος ἀπολείποντας, ὥσπερ ἐμποδῶν ὄντα τῇ φωνῇ τὸν ἀέρα καὶ φθείροντα τὴν οὐσίαν, ἥς αὐτὸς οὐσία καὶ σῶμα καὶ δύναμις ἐστίν. ἄνευ δὲ τούτων ἔδει δὴ πού τας ἀνωμάλους νύκτας, οἷον ὁμιχλώδεις καὶ δυσχειμέρους ἡχωδεστέρας εἶναι τῶν αἰθρίων καὶ κεκραμένων ὁμαλῶς διὰ τὸ δεῦρο μὲν συνωθεῖν τὰς ἀτόμους, ἐκεῖ δὲ ὅθεν μεθίστανται χώραν ἔρημον ἀπολιπεῖν σωμάτων, καὶ τὸ δὴ προχειρότατον, ἡμέραν ψυχρὰν ἡχωδεστέραν εἶναι νυκτὸς ἀλεινῆς καὶ θερινῆς· ὣν οὐδέτερον ἀληθές ἐστιν.

There was no need to trouble the night with contraction and increased tension of its air, so as to leave passages and vacuums elsewhere, as through the air were a hindrance to sound or destroyed its substance. Air is itself the substance and body and power of sound. Apart from these points, turbulent nights, for example cloudy or stormy ones, ought to be in your theory more sonorous than nights that are clear and uniform in composition, because then the atoms are forced together in one place, and leave the place they are driven from empty of matter. It is also very obvious that a cold day would be more sonorous than a hot summer night. But neither are true.

τῷ λεγομένῳ περὶ τῆς γεύσεως, ὅτι τὸ ἐκτὸς οὐ φησιν εἶναι γλυκύ, πάθος δέ τι καὶ κίνημα περὶ αὐτὴν γεγονέναι τοιοῦτον.

{That ... protest of theirs in defense of sensation, that it does not assert the external object to be warm, the truth being merely that the effect produce in sensation has been of this kind – } is it not the same as the statement about taste? It does not assert that the external object is sweet – there has merely occurred in the taste an effect and movement of this kind.

22. Sleep & Dreams

[U325]

Tertullian (fl. 200 CE), On the Soul, 43

Epicūreī (somnum affirmant) dēminūtiōnem spīritūs animālis

The Epicureans affirm that sleep is a diminution of the vital breath of the soul.

The Epicureans maintain that sound is a diminution of vital spirit.

[U326]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Table Talk, 734D

ὁ δὲ λεγόμενον περὶ τῶν ἐνυπνίων, ὡς ἔστιν ἀβέβαια καὶ ψευδῇ μάλιστα περὶ τοὺς φυλλοχόους μῆνας, οὐκ οἶδ' ὅπως... ἀνέκυψε... ...ὁ δὲ Φαβωρίνος...
...λόγον τινὰ τοῦ Δημοκρίτου παλαιὸν ὥσπερ ἐκ καπνοῦ καθελὼν ἡμαυρωμένον οἶος ἦν ἐκκαθαίρειν καὶ διαλαμπρύνειν, ὑποθέμενος τοῦτο δὴ τοῦπιδήμιον, ὃ φησι Δημόκριτος, ἐγκαταβυσσοῦσθαι τὰ εἶδωλα διὰ τῶν πόρων εἰς τὰ σώματα καὶ ποιεῖν τὰς κατὰ τὸν ὕπνον ὅψεις ἐπαναφερόμενα. φοιτᾶν δὲ ταῦτα πανταχόθεν ἀπιόντα καὶ σκευῶν καὶ ἱματίων καὶ φυτῶν, μάλιστα δὲ ζώων ὑπὸ σάλου πολλοῦ καὶ θερμότητος, οὐ μόνον ἔχοντα μορφοειδεῖς τοῦ σώματος ἐκμεμαγμένας ὁμοιότητος -- ὡς Ἐπίκουρος οἶεται μέχρι τούτου Δημοκρίτῳ συνεπόμενος, ἐνταῦθα δὲ προλιπὼν τὸν λόγον —, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῶν κατὰ ψυχὴν κινήματων καὶ βουλευμάτων ἐκάστῳ καὶ ἡθῶν καὶ παθῶν ἐμφάσεις ἀναλαμβάνοντα συνεφέλκεσθαι κτλ.

[regarding] the common notion about dreams – that they are especially likely to be unreliable or false in the autumn months ... I don't know ... how it came to be ... §2 p. 734F:...Favorinus ... on this occasion advanced an old argument of Democritus. Taking it down all blackened with smoke, as it were, he set about cleaning and polishing it. He used for a foundation the familiar argument found in Democritus that ghostly films penetrate the body through the pores and that when they emerge they make us see things in our sleep. These films that come to us emanate from everything – from utensils, clothing, plants, and especially from animals, because of their restlessness and their warmth. The films have not only the impressed physical likeness in contour of an animal – so far Epicurus agrees with Democritus, though he drops the subject at this stage – but they gather and convey by attraction ghostly copies of each man's mental impulses, designs, moral qualities, and emotions.

[U327]

Commentary on Lucan, Pharsalia (The Civil War), 7.8

Epicūrus dīcit atomōs influere animīs nostrīs in imāginibus corporum et ea quae gessimus aut quae gestūrī sumus per quiētem vidērī

Epicurus says that atoms flow into our minds in the images of bodies, and that the things which we have done or are about to do appear during sleep.

Epicurus asserts that flowing atoms penetrate our minds from the images of objects, and that during the sleep there appears either actions that we have done or those we are about to do.

[U328]

Vāna in tōtum somnia Epicūrus iūdicāvit, līberāns ā negōtiīs dīvinitātem et dissolvens ōrdinem rērum et in passīvitāte omnia spargens, ut ēventuī exposita et fortuita.

Epicurus judged dreams to be wholly empty, freeing divinity from affairs, and dissolving the order of things, and scattering all things in passivity, as exposed to chance and accident.

Epicurus, who used to liberate the divinity from every occupation, and eliminate the order of things, and dispersed them into passivity ... [more]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Divination, 1.30.62

Epicūrum igitur audiēmus potiūs (dē somniīs quam Platōnem)?

Shall we listen to Epicurus rather than Plato {regarding dreams}?

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Divination, 1.44, 99

Sisenna... insolenter, crēdō ab Epicūrēō aliquō inductus, disputat somniīs crēdī nōn oportēre

Sisenna—presumptuously, I think led on by some Epicurean—argues that dreams ought not to be believed

Sisenna ... later, influenced to doubt by some petty Epicurean, goes on inconsistently to maintain that dreams are not worthy of belief.

Petronius (fl.c. 67 CE), Satyricon, 104 [Eumolpus speaking]

Hinc sciēs, inquit Eumolpus, Epicūrum esse, hominem dīvīnum, quī eius modī lūdibria facētissimā ratiōne condemnat

From this you will know, said Eumolpus, that he is an Epicurus, a divine man, who with the most elegant reasoning condemns mockeries of this kind.

Exactly. And this {coincidence of similar dreams by two different people} shows you why we consider Epicurus almost superhuman. As you many remember, he very wittily disposes of such coincidences as mere silly superstitions.

Cassius, by way of Plutarch, Life of Cassius, 37

aliena refert ut Epicurea: δηλοῦσι δ’ αἱ κατὰ τοὺς ὕπνους τροπαὶ τῶν ὀνείρων, ἃς τρέπεται τὸ φανταστικὸν ἐξ ἀρχῆς βραχείας παντοδαπὰ καὶ πάθη καὶ εἶδωλα γινόμενον. κινεῖσθαι δ’ αἰεὶ πέφυκε, κίνησις δ’ αὐτῷ φαντασία τις ἢ νόησις.

{Referring to other doctrines as if they might be Epicurean...} And they explain the transpiration of dreams during periods of sleep – transpirations that are due to the imaginative faculty, which from minor beginnings, gives rise to varied emotions and images. This faculty, on the other hand, is always set in motion by nature and its motion is a representation or a concept.]

23. Reproduction

[U329]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 5.3.5

Ἐπίκουρος (τὸ σπέρμα φησὶ) ψυχῆς καὶ σώματος ἀπόσπασμα.

Epicurus asserts that seminal fluid is a small detachment from the body and soul.

[U330]

Πυθαγόρας Ἐπίκουρος Δημόκριτος καὶ τὸ θῆλυ προῖσθαι σπέρμα. ἔχειν γὰρ παραστάτας ἀπεστραμμένους. διὰ τοῦτο καὶ ὄρεξιν ἔχειν περὶ τὰς χρήσεις

Pythagoras, Epicurus, and Democritus all say that the female also secretes seminal fluid. It comes from testicles, flipped around in the opposite sense; it must thereby also have an impetus for union.

Censorinus (fl. c. 238 CE), On the Natal Day, 5.4

Illud quoque ambiguum facit inter auctōrēs opīniōnem, utrumne ex patris tantummodō semine partus nāscātur ... an etiam ex māt̄ris, quod Epicūrō vīsum est.

That, too, makes the opinion doubtful among the authorities, whether the offspring is born from the father’s seed only ... or also from the mother’s, which seemed [right] to Epicurus.

Even on this question there is uncertainty among the various scholars: if the child is born only by the semen of the father..., or also by that of the mother as well, which ... is the opinion of Epicurus.

[U331]

Censorinus (fl. c. 238 CE), On the Natal Day, 6.2

Stōicī ūnā tōtum infantem figurārī dīxērunt... sunt quī id opīnentur ipsā fierī nātūrā, ut Aristotelēs atque Epicūrus.

The Stoics said that the whole infant is formed at once... there are those who think that this happens by nature itself, as Aristotle and Epicurus.

The Stoics assert that the fetus forms itself in its entirety in a single moment. ... There are also those who think that it arrives by the work of Nature itself, like Aristotle and Epicurus.

[U332]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, V.16.1, [p. 426 Diels]

Δημόκριτος Ἐπίκουρος τὸ ἔμβρυον ἐν τῇ μήτρᾳ διὰ τοῦ στόματος τρέφεσθαι, ὅθεν εὐθέως γεννηθὲν ἐπὶ τὸν μαστὸν φέρεσθαι τῷ στόματι. εἶναι γὰρ καὶ ἐν τῇ μήτρᾳ θηλάς τινας καὶ στόματα, δι’ ὧν τρέφεσθαι.

Democritus and Epicurus say that the embryo in the womb partially nourishes itself through the mouth, ...etc...

[U333]

24. The Origin of Human Beings

Censorinus (fl. c. 238 CE), On the Natal Day, 4.9

Dēmocritō Abderitae ex aquā limōque prīmum vīsum esse hominēs prōcrēātōs. Nec longē secus Epicūrus: is enim crēdidit limō calefactō uterōs nescio quōs rādīcibus terrae cohaerentēs prīmum incrēvisse et infantibus ex sē ēditīs, nātūrā ministrante, ingenitum lactis ūmōrem praebuisse, quōs ita ēducātōs et adultōs genus hūmānum prōpagāsse.

To Democritus of Abdera it seemed first that men were produced from water and mud. Not far otherwise Epicurus: for he believed that, when the mud was warmed, certain wombs, clinging to the roots of the earth, first grew, and that, with nature supplying, they offered to the infants born from themselves an inborn moisture of milk, and that these, thus reared and grown, propagated the human race.

Democritus of Abdera first held that men were created from water and mud. And Epicurus' view is not much different, for he believed that when the mud became warm, first there grew wombs of some kind or another which clung to the earth by roots, and these begat infants and provided a natural supply of milky fluid for them, under the guidance of nature. When these [infants] had been brought up in this manner and reached maturity, they then propagated the human race.

25. Linguistics

[U334]

Origen (fl.c. 225 CE), Against Celsus, 1.24

ἐμπίπτει... λόγος βαθὺς καὶ ἀπόρρητος ὁ περὶ φύσεως ὀνομάτων, πότερον, ὡς οἶται Ἀριστοτέλης, θέσει ἐστὶ τὰ ὀνόματα ἢ ὡς διδάσκει Ἐπίκουρος --- ἐτέρως ἢ ὡς οἴονται οἱ ἀπὸ τῆς στοᾶς ---, φύσει ἐστὶ τὰ ὀνόματα, ἀπορρηξάντων τῶν πρώτων ἀνθρώπων τινὰς φωνὰς κατὰ τῶν πραγμάτων.

As to this, one should also say that a deep and arcane debate about the nature of names emerged. Are names conventional, as Aristotle thinks? ... Or are names natural, as Epicurus teaches – in a manner different from that of the Stoics – such that the first men burst forth with particular sounds which were then applied to things?

[U335]

Proclus (Proklos Diadokhos, fl. 452 CE), Commentary on Plato's "Cratylus" 16 [p. 6 Boiss.]

ὅτι τῆς Κρατύλου δόξης γέγονε Πυθαγόρας τε καὶ Ἐπίκουρος... ...ὁ μὲν οὖν Ἐπίκουρος κατὰ τὸ δεύτερον σημαινόμενον ὥτε φύσει εἶναι τὰ ὀνόματα, ὡς ἔργα φύσεως προηγούμενα, ὡς τὴν φωνὴν καὶ τὴν ὄρασιν καὶ ὡς τὸ ὄρᾶν καὶ τὸ ἀκοῦειν, οὕτως καὶ τὸ ὀνομάζειν ὥστε καὶ τὸ ὄνομα φύσει εἶναι ὡς ἔργον φύσεως... ὁ γὰρ Ἐπίκουρος ἔλεγεν, ὅτι οὐχὶ ἐπιστημόνως οὗτοι (οἱ πρῶτοι θέμενοι) ἔθεντο τὰ ὀνόματα, ἀλλὰ φυσικῶς κινούμενοι, ὡς οἱ βήσσοντες καὶ πταίροντες καὶ μυκώμενοι καὶ ὑλακτοῦντες καὶ στενάζοντες.

Pythagoras and Epicurus shared the view of Cratylus... 17 [p. 8]: Epicurus thought that names were natural in [one] sense, as being a primary function of nature, such as voice and vision and seeing and hearing, in the same way naming is natural. So that names too are natural in the sense of functions of nature. But Cratylus says that names are natural in [another] sense; that is why he says that each thing has its own proper name, since it was given specifically by the first name-givers in a craftsman-like fashion based on an understanding of the thing. Epicurus, however, said that these men did not give names based on an understanding of things, but because they were moved in a natural fashion, like those who cough and sneeze and below and bark and lament.

26. Death

[U336]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.7.4

Δημόκριτος Ἐπίκουρος (τὴν ψυχὴν) φθαρτὴν τῷ σώματι συνδιαφθειρομένην.

Democritus and Epicurus said that the soul is mortal and perishes with the body.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.33

Quid quod tōtum illud argumentum falsum est, quia nōn intereunt animae. “Vērō,” inquit Epicūrus, “nam quod cum corpore nāscitur, cum corpore intereat necesse est.”

What of the fact that that argument is completely false, since souls do not perish? "Reflect again on the truth" [Epicurus] says, "for it is necessary that that which is born with the body, perish with the body."

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 7.12.1

Nunc argumenta eōrum, quī contrā disserunt, refellāmus, quae Lūcrētius tertio librō exsecūtus est. “Quoniam cum corpore,” inquit, “anima nāscitur, cum corpore intereat necesse est

Now let us refute the arguments of those who argue to the contrary, which Lucretius has set forth in the third book. “Since the soul is born with the body,” he says, “it must perish with the body

Now let us refute the arguments of those who set forth contrary opinions. Lucretius worked them into his third book. "Since the soul is born with the body" he said, "It must perish with the body."

{Cf. Lucretius, 3.417, 3.634, & 3.746}

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 7.13.7

Falsa est ergō Dēmocritī et Epicūrī et Dīcaearchī dē animae dissolūtiōne sententia.

Thus, the opinion of Democritus and Epicurus and Dicaearchus about the dissolution of the soul is false then.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 7.8.8

{...those who opposed [Plato, Pythagoras, & Pherecydes] held no less influence: Dicaearchus, at first; then Democritus; finally, Epicurus}}

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), Exegesis of the Psalm, 73.25

Et fortē quī dīcit "cum mortuus fuero, postea nihil erō," et litterās dīdicit et ab Epicūrō dīdicit hoc, nescio quō dēlīrō philosopho vel potiūs amātōre vānitātis, nōn sapientiae: quem ipsī etiam philosophī porcum nomināvērunt; quī voluptātem corporis summum bonum dīxit, hunc philosophum porcum nomināvērunt volūtantem sē in caenō carnālī. Ab illō fortē dīdicit iste litterātus dīcere: "Nōn erō postea quam mortuus fuero"

And perhaps the one who says "when I will have died, afterward I will be nothing" both learned letters and learned this from Epicurus, some deranged philosopher or rather a lover of vanity, not of wisdom—whom the philosophers themselves also named a pig; who said that the pleasure of the body is the highest good; they named this philosopher a pig, wallowing himself in carnal filth. From that man perhaps this learned fellow learned to say: "I will not exist after I will have died"

And, once this life is spent, they do not believe that there might be another one in the hereafter.

idem sermon. 348 t. V p. 1344* “ei consumpta ista uita nullam postea credunt futuram '.

[U337]

Sextus Empiricus (fl. c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 1.72

[αἱ ψυχαὶ] καθ’ αὐτὰς διαμένουσι καὶ οὐχ, ὥς ἔλεγεν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος, ἀπολυθεῖσαι τῶν σωμάτων καπνοῦ δίκην σκίδναιται.

[Souls] persist as they are in themselves, and are not, as Epicurus said, "dispersed like smoke when released from their bodies."

Iamblichus of Chalcis (fl. c. 300 CE), by way of Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology, Physics, 41.43

εἰ δὲ παρέσπαρται μὲν καὶ ἔνεστιν ἡ ψυχὴ τῷ σώματι καθά περ ἐν ἀσκῷ πνεῦμα περιεχομένη, ἣ συμμιγνυμένη πρὸς αὐτὸ καὶ ἐγκινουμένη ὥσπερ τὰ ἐν τῷ ἀέρι ξύσματα διὰ τῶν θυρίδων φαινόμενα, δῆλόν που τοῦτο ὅτι ἔξεισι μὲν ἀπὸ τοῦ σώματος, ἐν δὲ τῷ ἐκβαίνειν διαφορεῖται καὶ διασκεδάννυται, ὥσπερ Δημόκριτος καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ἀποφαίνονται.

[U338]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.31.100

Scripsit enim et multīs saepe verbīs, et breviter apertēque in eō librō, quem modo nomināvī, mortem nihīl ad nōs pertinēre

He {Epicurus} repeatedly argued at length, and also stated briefly and plainly in the work I have just mentioned {The Principal Doctrines}, that death does not affect us at all...

[U339]

Gnomolgion from the Parisinus codex, 1168, f. 115r- (Maxims of Epicurus)

Πρὸς μὲν τάλλα δυνατόν ἀσφάλειαν πορίσασθαι, χάριν δὲ θανάτου πάντες ἄνθρωποι πόλιν ἀτείχιστον οἰκοῦμεν.

It is possible to provide security against other afflictions, but as far as death is concerned, we men all live in a city without walls. {= Vatican Saying 31}

[Cf. Maximus the Confessor (fl. c. 630 CE), Gnomologion, 36, [p.194 Turic.; t. 2 p. 827 Combef.]

[U340]

Saint Hippolytus of Rome (fl.c. 210 CE), "Philosophical Questions" (Refutation of all Heresies) 22.5

τὰς δὲ ψυχὰς τῶν ἀνθρώπων λύεσθαι ἅμα τοῖς σώμασιν, ὥσπερ καὶ συγγεννᾶσθαι αὐτοῖς τίθεται (Ἐπίκουρος). αἷμα γὰρ αὐτὰς εἶναι, οὗ ἐξελθόντος ἢ τραπέντος ἀπόλλυσθαι ὅλον τὸν ἄνθρωπον. ὃ ἀκολουθεῖ μῆτε κρίσεις εἶναι ἐν "Αἰδου μῆτε δικαστήρια, ὡς ὅ τι ἂν δράσῃ τις ἐν τῷ βίῳ τούτῳ καὶ διαλάβῃ, ἀνεύθυνον εἶναι παντελῶς.

He {Epicurus} concluded that the souls of men are dissolved along with their bodies, just as also they were produced along with them; these, in fact, are blood, and when this has gone forth or been altered, the entire man perishes. In keeping with this tenet, it follows that there are neither trials in Hades, nor tribunals of justice; so that whatsoever any one may commit in this life, that, provided he may escape detection, he is altogether beyond any liability of trial.

[U341]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 24.18

Nōn sum tam ineptus ut Epicūreām cantilēnam hōc locō persequar et dīcam vānōs esse inferōrum metūs, nec Ixīonem rotā volvi nec saxum umerīs Sīsypḥī trudī in adversum nec ūllīus viscera et renāscī posse cotīdiē et carpī. Nēmō tam puer est ut Cerberum timeat et tenebrās et lāruālem habitum nūdīs ossibus cohaerentium

I am not so foolish as to pursue the Epicurean refrain in this place and to say that the fears of the underworld are empty, nor that Ixion is turned on a wheel, nor that the stone is pushed uphill on the shoulders of Sisyphus, nor that anyone’s entrails can both be born again every day and be eaten away. No one is so much a child as to fear Cerberus and the darkness and the ghostly appearance of those whose bare bones stick together.

I am not so foolish as to go through at this juncture the arguments which Epicurus harps upon, and say that the errors of the world below are idle – that Ixion does not whirl round on his wheel, that Sisyphus does not shoulder his stone uphill, that a man's entrails cannot be restored and devoured everyday; no one is so childish as to fear Cerberus, or the shadows, or the ghostly garb of those who are held together by nothing but their bare bones.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.42

Dīcit Epicūrus... inferōrum poēnas nōn esse metuendās, quod animāe postea mortem intereant nec ūllī omnīnō sint inferī

Epicurus says... that the punishments of the underworld are not to be feared, because the souls after death perish and there are no underworld beings at all

Epicurus says ... the punishments of hell do not have to be feared, because souls die after death; nor is there any hell at all.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 7.7.13

Esse īferōs Zēnō Stōicus docuit et sēdēs piōrum ab impiīs esse discrētās; et illōs quidem quietās ac dēlectābilēs incolere regiōnēs, hōs vērō luere poenās in tenebrōsīs locīs atque in caenī vorāginibus horrendīs. Idem nōbīs prōphētae palam faciunt. Ergō Epicūrus errāvit, quī poētārum id esse figmentum putāvit et illās īferōrum poenās, quae ferantur, in hāc esse vītā interpretātus est.

Zeno the Stoic taught that the underworld exists and that the abodes of the pious are separated from the impious; and that those indeed inhabit regions calm and delightful, but these pay penalties in dark places and in dreadful whirlpools of filth. The prophets likewise make this clear to us. Therefore Epicurus erred, who thought that that is a fiction of the poets and interpreted those punishments of the underworld which are reported to be in this life.

Zeno, the Stoic, taught that there was a hell, and that the abodes of the virtuous were separated from the wicked, and that the former inhabited quiet and delightful regions, while the latter paid their penalty in dark places and horrible caverns of mud. The prophets made the same thing clear to us. Therefore, Epicurus was in error who thought that this was a figment of the poet's imagination, and took those punishments of hell to be those which are borne in this life.

27. Celestial Phenomena

Tertullian (fl. c. 195 CE), On the Pagan Nations, 2.4

Sed Epicūrus, quī dīxerat "quae super nōs, nihil ad nōs," cum et ipse caelum īnspicere dēsīderat, sōlis orbem pēdalem dēprehendit

But Epicurus, who had said “the things above us are nothing to us,” when he himself too desired to look at the sky, discovered that the disk of the sun is a foot wide.

Epicurus, however, who had said, "What is above us is nothing to us" wished notwithstanding to have a peep at the sky, and found the sun to be a foot in diameter.]

[U342]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 5.20.2

Δημόκριτος Ἐπίκουρος τὰ οὐράνια (οὐκ ἀποδέχονται ζῶα εἶναι).

Democritus and Epicurus do not believe that celestial bodies are living beings.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1123A

τίς οὖν κινεῖ τὰ πεπιστευμένα καὶ μάχεται τοῖς ἐναργέσιν; οἱ... φάσκοντες μηδὲ τὸν ἥλιον ἔμψυχον εἶναι μηδὲ τὴν σελήνην, οἷς πάντες ἄνθρωποι θύουσι καὶ προσεύχονται καὶ σέβονται;

Who is it that upsets accepted beliefs and comes in conflict with the plainest facts? It is those who reject... {divination, providence, and} that the sun and moon are living beings, to whom sacrifice and prayer and reverence is offered up by all mankind.

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Use of Parts, XII 6, t. IV [p. 21 K.]

καὶ μέντοι καὶ ὁ δημιουργὸς ἡμῶν εἰδὼς ἀκριβῶς τῶν τοιούτων ἀνδρῶν τὴν ἀχαριστίαν ὅμως δημιουργεῖ, καὶ τὰς ὥρας τοῦ ἔτους ὁ ἥλιος ἀπεργάζεται καὶ τοὺς καρποὺς τελειοῖ μηδὲν φροντίζων, οἶμαι, μήτε Διαγόρου μήτ’ Ἀναξαγόρου μήτ’ Ἐπικούρου μήτε τῶν ἄλλων τῶν εἰς αὐτὸν βλασφημησάντων. ἀγαθῷ γὰρ οὐδενὶ περὶ οὐδενὸς ἐγγίνεται φθόνος, ἀλλ’ ὠφελεῖν πάντα καὶ κοσμεῖν πέφυκεν.

Even our Creator, though knowing perfectly the ingratitude of such men as these, has yet created them. The sun makes the seasons of the year and perfects the fruits without paying any heed, I suppose, to Diagoras, Anaxagoras, Epicurus, or the others blaspheming against it. No beneficent being bears malice over anything, but naturally aides and adorns all.

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), City of God, 18.41

nonnē apud Athēnās et Epicūreī clārēbant adserentēs rēs hūmānās ad deōrum cūram nōn pertinēre, et Stoicī quī contrāria sentientēs eās regī atque munīrī dīīs adiūtōribus et tūtoribus disputābant? unde mīror, cūr Anaxagorās reus factus sit, quia sōlem dīxit esse lapidem ardentem, negāns utique deum, cum in eādē cīvitatē glōriā flōruerit Epicūrus vīxeritque sēcūrus nōn sōlum sōlem vel ullum sīderum deum esse nōn crēdēns, sed nec lovem nec ullum deōrum omnīnō in mundō habitāre contendēns, ad quem precēs hominum supplicationēsque perveniānt.

Surely at Athens the Epicureans were famous, asserting that human affairs do not pertain to the care of the gods, and the Stoics, who thinking the opposite, argued that they are ruled and protected by the gods as helpers and guardians; whence I marvel why Anaxagoras was made a defendant, because he said the sun is a burning stone, denying of course that it is a god, since in the same city Epicurus flourished in glory and lived in security, believing not only that neither the sun nor any of the stars is a god, but asserting also that neither Jupiter nor any of the gods at all dwell in the world, to whom the prayers and supplications of men might reach

At Athens did there not flourish both the Epicureans, who asserted that human affairs are of no concern to the gods, and the Stoics, who, coming to the opposite conclusion, argued that these are guided and supported by the gods, who are our helpers and protectors? I wonder therefore why Anaxagoras was tried for saying that the sun is a blazing stone and denying that it is a god at all, while in the same city Epicurus lived in glory and in safety, though he not only believed neither in the divinity of the sun nor in that of any other luminary, but also maintained that neither Jupiter nor any other god dwells in the universe at all for men's prayers and supplications to reach him.

[U343]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.20, 14

Ἐπίκουρος γήινον πύκνωμα τὸν ἥλιόν φησιν εἶναι κισηροειδὲς καὶ σπογγοειδὲς ταῖς κατατρήσεσιν ὑπὸ πυρὸς ἀνημμένον.

Epicurus maintains that the sun is a compact amassment of earth, similar in aspect to pumice-stone, spongy because of its pores, and ignited by fire.

Achilles Tatius (The Astronomer, fl.c. 250 CE), Isagoge (Introduction) to Aratus' Phaenomena, 19

Ἐπίκουρος δὲ κισηροειδῇ αὐτὸν ἔφη ἐκ πυρὸς διὰ τρημάτων τινῶν τὸ φῶς ἐκπέμποντα.

Epicurus asserts that it [the sun] is similar in a way to pumice-stone, and that from fire and through certain pores, it emanates its light.

[U344]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.22.6

Ἀναξιμένης πλατὺν ὡς πέταλον τὸν ἥλιον. Ἡράκλειτος σκαφοειδῇ, ὑπόκυρτον. οἱ Στωικοὶ σφαιροειδῇ ὡς τὸν κόσμον καὶ τὰ ἄστρα. Ἐπίκουρος ἐνδέχεσθαι τὰ προειρημένα πάντα.

Anaximénēs believes that the sun might be large and flat as a petal, Heraclitus that it might be similar to a bowl-shaped container, and very bent; the Stoics that it might be spherical, like the world and celestial bodies; Epicurus, that it might be able to assume any given shape.

[U345]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.21.5

Ἐπίκουρος (τὸν ἥλιον) τηλικοῦτον ἡλίκος φαίνεται, ἢ μικρῷ τινι μείζω ἢ ἐλάττω

Epicurus maintains that the sun is more or less as large as it appears.

[U346]

Servius the Grammarian (fl.c. 390 CE), Commentary on Virgil's Georgics, 1.247

aut “intempestā silet,” quia Epicūreī dicunt nōn ire sōlem per alterum hemisphaerium, sed semper hīs ab ortū colligī scintillās et fierī orbem sōlis.

At the expression "intempesta silet" ... The Epicureans maintain that the sun does not proceed around the other hemisphere, but according to them sparkles always gather together in the east, and the disc of the sun is formed.

Servius the Grammarian (fl.c. 390 CE), Commentary on Virgil's Aeneid, 4.584

novō lūmine ... secundum Epicūreōs quī stultē sōlem dīcunt dē atomīs cōnstāre, et cum diē nāscī, cum diē perīre.

"With new light" ... according to the Epicureans, who foolishly believe that the sun is composed of atoms, and that it is born together with the day, and together with the day perishes.

[U347]

Junius Philargirius, Commentary on Virgil's "Georgics" 2.478 [p.248 Orsini] ("Various eclipses"):

Vrsinī: “Vāriōs dēfectūs secundum Epicūrum, quī ait nōn ūnam causam prōnuntiandam, quā sōl dēficere vidētur, sed vāriās: potest enim fierī ut exstinguātur, ut longius recēdat, ut aliquod eum corpus abscondat.”

Ursinus: ‘Various eclipses according to Epicurus, who says that not one cause should be declared by which the sun seems to fail, but various ones: for it can happen that it is extinguished, that it withdraws farther away, or that some body hides it.’

Epicurus maintains that, regarding the phenomenon in which the sun seems to diminish, one should not attribute a single cause, but rather various hypotheses: it may be proposed, in fact, that it extinguishes itself, or that it ventures further out, or that some other body hides it.

Themistius, Paraphrases of Aristotle's "Posterior Analytics, 1.33

διό περ οὐδ’ οἷόν τε τὸν αὐτὸν περὶ τοῦ αὐτοῦ καὶ δόξαν ἔχειν ἅμα καὶ ἐπιστήμην ἅμα γὰρ ἂν ὑπολαμβάνοι καὶ ἐνδέχεσθαι καὶ μὴ ἐνδέχεσθαι ἄλλως ἔχειν τὸ αὐτό’ ἀλλ’ ἐν ἄλλῳ μὲν εἶναι περὶ τούτου δόξαν, ἐν ἄλλῳ δ’ ἐπιστήμην συμβαίνει ἐν’Επικούρῳ μὲν γὰρ δόξα ἦν, ὅτι τῆς σελήνης ὑποτρεχούσης ὁ ἥλιος ἐκλιμπάνει, ὥτετο γὰρ αὐτὸ ἐνδέχεσθαι καὶ ἄλλως ἔχειν. ἐν 1ππάρχῳ δὲ ἐπιστήμη.

Therefore it is not possible, for the same belief, that it can be opinion and knowledge for the same person simultaneously, for he would then assume that the same thing can and cannot also be something else at the same time. But it happens that a man can have a certain belief as his opinion, while for another man, it is knowledge. For Epicurus, in particular, it was indeed an opinion that the sun is eclipsed when the moon, in its course, passes under it; but in fact he believed it possible for things to be otherwise; for Hipparchus, by contrast, it was knowledge.

[U348]

Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.74

καὶ ἐν ἄλλοις (φησὶν’Επίκουρος) τὴν γῆν τῷ ἀέρι ἐποχεῖσθαι.

Elsewhere he says that the earth is supported on air.

[U349]

Aëtius (fl.f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 3.4.5

’Επίκουρος (νέφη καὶ ὑετὸν κτλ. γίνεσθαι) ἀπὸ τῶν ἀτόμων. στρογγυλαίνεσθαι δὲ τὴν χάλαζαν καὶ τὸν ὑετὸν ἀπὸ τῆς μακρᾶς καταφορᾶς ὑποπεπλασμένον.

Epicurus says that all these things {i.e., clouds, rain, etc.} can be explained with the atomic theory. Hail and rain, in particular, are rounded off because they are so-shaped from their long fall.

[U350]

Aëtius (fl.f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 3.15.11

περὶ σεισμῶν γῆς. ’Επίκουρος ἐνδέχεσθαι μὲν ὑπὸ πάχους ἀέρος τοῦ ὑποκειμένου ὑδατώδους ὄντος ἀνακρουομένην αὐτὴν καὶ οἷον ὑποτυπτομένην κινεῖσθαι’ ἐνδέχεσθαι δὲ καὶ σηραγγώδη τοῖς κατωτέρῳ μέρεσι καθεστῶσαν ὑπὸ τοῦ διασπειρομένου πνεύματος εἰς τὰς ἀντροειδεῖς κοιλότητας ἐμπίπτοντος σαλεύεσθαι.

As for earthquakes, Epicurus says that it is possible that the earth is moved by being violently thrust upwards when struck by the air from below, which is humid and dense; it's also possible that it happens because the earth is cavernous underground, and thus jolted by the wind, which bursts into its cavities, which are like caverns, and diffused into their interiors.

[U351]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Natural Questions, 6.20.1

veniāmus nunc ad eōs, quī omnia ista quae rettulī in causā esse dīxerunt aut ex iīs plūra. Dēmocritus plūra: ait enim mōtum aliquandō spīritū fierī, aliquandō aquā, aliquandō utrōque ... omnēs istās esse posse causās Epicūrus ait plūrēsq̄ue aliās temptat et illōs quī aliquid ūnum ex istīs esse adfirmāvērunt corripit, cum sit

arduum dē hīs quae coniectūrā sequenda sunt aliquid certī prōmittere. ergō, ut ait,

Let us now come to those who said that all those things which I have mentioned, or several of them, are causes. Democritus [said] several; for he says that motion is sometimes produced by breath, sometimes by water, sometimes by both ... Epicurus says that all these can be causes and tries out several others besides, and reproves those who have asserted that any one of these alone is the cause, since it is difficult to promise anything certain about things that must be inferred by conjecture. Therefore, as he says...

potest terram movēre aqua, sī partēs aliquās ēluit et adrōsit, quibus desiit posse extenuātīs sustinērī quod integrīs ferēbātur. potest terram movēre impressiō spīritūs: fortasse enim aere extrīnsecus aliō intrante agitātur, fortasse aliquā parte subitō cadente percutitur et inde mōtum capit. fortasse aliquā pars terrae velut columnīs quibusdam ac pilīs sustinētur, quibus vitiātīs ac recedentibus tremit pondus inpositum. fortasse calida vīs spīritūs in ignem versa fulminī similis cum magnā strāge obstantium fertur. fortasse palustrēs et iacentes aquās aliquis flātus impellit et inde aut ictus terram quatit aut spīritūs agitātiō ipsō mōtū crēscēns et sē incitāns ab īmō in summa usque perfertur. nullam tamen illī placet causam mōtūs esse maiōrem quam spīritum.

The earth can be moved by water, if it washes away and gnaws certain parts, by the weakening of which that which was supported by the intact parts ceases to be able to be sustained. The earth can be moved by the pressure of air: for perhaps it is stirred when some other air enters from outside, or perhaps it is struck when some part suddenly falls, and from there it gains motion. Perhaps some part of the earth is supported, as it were, on certain columns or piles, and when these are weakened and give way, the weight placed above trembles. Perhaps a hot force of air, turned into fire, rushes like lightning with great destruction of things resisting. Perhaps some blast drives marshy and stagnant waters, and from this either the blow shakes the earth or the movement of the air, growing and rousing itself by its own motion, is borne from the depth up to the surface. Yet no cause of motion pleases him more than air

Now we come to those writers who have stated as a cause of earthquakes either all the elements I mentioned or several of them. Democritus thinks several. For he says that an earthquake is produced sometimes by moving air, sometimes by water, sometimes by both. (5) Epicurus says that all these things can be causes and he tries several other causes. Also he criticizes those who insist that some single one of them is the cause, since it is difficult to promise anything certain about theories which are based on conjecture. Therefore, as he says, water can cause an earthquake if it washes away and erodes some parts of the earth. When these parts are weakened they cease to be able to sustain what they supported when they were intact. The pressure of moving air can cause earthquakes; for perhaps the air inside the earth is agitated by other air entering, perhaps the earth receives a shock when some part of it suddenly falls and from this the earth takes on movement. Perhaps a warm quantity of moving air is changed to fire and like lightning is carried along with great destruction to things that stand in its way. Perhaps some blast pushes the swampy and stagnant waters and consequently either the blow shakes the earth or the agitation of the air increases by its very motion and, stirring itself up, travels all the way from the depths to the surface of the earth. At any rate, Epicurus is satisfied that air is the main cause of earthquakes.

28. Gods

Lucretius (fl.c. 59^{BCE}), On the Nature of Things, 5.52

cum bene praesertim multa ac dīvinītus ipsīs

since especially he has well and divinely [spoken] many things to the very

immortālibus dē dīvīs dare dicta suerit (Epicūrus)

immortals about the gods, he has uttered words (Epicurus)

The man who gets the better of all this
by words and without weapons, will not such a one {Epicurus}
deserve to be reckoned among deities?

[U352]

Sōlus enim (Epicūrus) vīdit prīmum esse deōs, quod in omnium animīs eōrum nōtiōnem impressisset ipsa nātūra. quae est enim gēns aut quod genus hominum, quod nōn habeat sine doctrīnā anticipātiōnem quandam deōrum? quam appellat πρόληψιν Epicūrus

Epicurus alone saw first that the gods exist, because nature itself had impressed the notion of them upon the minds of all. For what nation or what race of men is there that does not have, without instruction, some preconception of the gods? This Epicurus calls πρόληψις ('preconception')

Quod igitur fundamentum huius quaestiōnis est, id praeclārē iactum vidētis. cum enim nōn institūtō aliquō aut more aut lege sit opiniō cōstitutā maneatque ad ūnum omnium firma cōsēnsiō, intellegī necesse est esse deōs, quoniam īnsitās eōrum cognitiōnēs habēmus. dē quō autem omnium nātūra cōsentit, id vērū esse necesse est. esse igitur deōs cōfitendum est. Quod quoniam ferē cōstat inter omnis nōn philosophōs solum sed etiam indoctōs, fatēamur cōstāre illud etiam, hanc nōs habēre sīve anticipātiōnem, ut ante dīxī, sīve praenōtiōnem deōrum, ut deōs beātōs et immortalēs putēmus. quae enim nōbīs nātūra informātiōnem ipsōrum deōrum dedit, eādem insculpsit in mentibus, ut eōs aeternōs et beātōs habērēmus.

Therefore, you see that the foundation of this inquiry has been excellently laid. For since the belief has been established by no institution, custom, or law, and yet a firm agreement among all remains as one, it must be understood that the gods exist, since we have innate perceptions of them. And whatever all nature agrees upon must be true. Therefore, it must be acknowledged that gods exist. Since this is generally agreed upon by all, not only philosophers but even the unlearned, let us also admit this much: that we possess, as I said before, either a preconception or foreknowledge of the gods, so that we regard them as blessed and immortal. For the same nature that gave us the conception of the gods themselves has engraved in our minds the idea that they are eternal and blessed.

Si nihil aliud quaererēmus nisi ut deōs piē colerēmus et ut superstitiōne liberārēmur, satis erat dictum. nam et praestāns deōrum nātūra hominum pietāte colerētur, cum et aeterna esset et beatissima (habet enim venerationem iustam quicquid excellit), et metus omnis a vī atque īrā deōrum pulsus esset: intellegitur enim a beātā immortalique nātūrā et īram et grātiā segregārī, quibus remōtīs nullōs a superīs impendere metus. sed ad hanc cōfirmandam opiniōnem anquīrit animus et fōrmam et vītae āctiōnem mentisque agitātiōnem in deō.

If we sought nothing else except to worship the gods piously and to be freed from superstition, enough would have been said. For the excellent nature of the gods would be honored by the piety of men, since it is both eternal and most blessed (for whatever is preeminent rightfully receives reverence), and all fear would have been banished of the power and wrath of the gods; for it is understood that both anger and favor are excluded from a blessed and immortal nature, and with these removed, no fears from the gods hang over us. But to confirm this belief, the mind seeks also in the god form, activity of life, and movement of thought.

Ac de formā quidem partim nātūra nōs admonet, partim ratiō docet. nam ā nātūrā habēmus omnēs omnium gentium speciēn nullam aliam nisi hūmānam deōrum. quae enim forma alia occurrit umquam aut vigilanti cuiquam aut dormientī? sed nē omnia revocentur ad prīmās notiōnēs, ratiō hoc idem ipsa dēclārat. nam cum praestantissimam nātūram, vel quia beāta est vel quia sempiterna, convenīre videātur eandem esse pulcherrimam, quae compositiō membrōrum, quae cōnformātiō liniamentōrum, quae figūra, quae speciēs hūmāna potest esse pulchrior? quod sī omnium animantium formam vincit hominis figūra, deus autem animāns est, eā figūrā profectō est, quae pulcherrima sit omnium.

And concerning form, nature in part warns us, and reason in part teaches us. For by nature we all, among all nations, have no image of the gods other than human. For what other form has ever appeared to anyone, either awake or asleep? But so that all things may not be referred back to first notions, reason itself also declares the same. For since it seems fitting that the most excellent nature, whether because it is blessed or because it is everlasting, should also be the most beautiful, what composition of limbs, what shaping of features, what figure, what form can be more beautiful than the human? And if the figure of man surpasses the form of all living creatures, and the god is a living being, then by that figure surely he possesses the most beautiful form of all.

quoniamque deōs beatissimōs esse cōstat, beātus autem esse sine virtūte nēmō potest nec virtūs sine ratiōne cōstāre nec ratiō usquam inesse nisi in hominis figurā, hominis esse speciē deōs cōfitendum est, nec tamen ea speciēs corpus est sed quasi corpus, nec habet sanguinem sed quasi sanguinem. Epicūrus autem, quī rēs occultās et penitus abditās nōn modo viderit animō sed etiam sic tractet ut manū, docet eam esse vim et nātūrā deōrum, ut primum nōn sensū, sed mente cernantur nec soliditāte quādam nec ad numerum ut ea quae ille propter firmitātem στερέμνια appellat, sed imaginibus similitudine et trānsitiōne perceptīs, cum īfinīta simillimārum imāginum seriēs ex īnumerābilibus individuīs existat et ad nōs adfluāt, cum maximīs voluptātibus in eās imāginēs mentem intentam īfixamque nostram intellegentiam capere, quae sit et beāta nātūra et aeterna.

And since it is agreed that the gods are most blessed, and that no one can be blessed without virtue, nor can virtue exist without reason, nor can reason reside anywhere except in human form, it must be admitted that the gods are of human appearance—yet that form is not a body but as it were a body, and it does not have blood but as it were blood. Epicurus, however, who has not only seen with the mind but also handled, as it were with the hand, things hidden and deeply concealed, teaches that the power and nature of the gods are such that they are perceived not by sense but by mind, and not with any solidity nor as countable things, like those which he, because of their firmness, calls steremnia; but rather through images apprehended by likeness and succession, since an infinite series of similar images arises from innumerable indivisibles and flows toward us, while with the greatest delight the mind, fixed and intent upon those images, grasps by understanding what the nature is that is both blessed and eternal.

summa vērō vis īfinītātis, et magna ac dīligentī contemplātiōne dignissima est, in quā intellegī necesse est eam esse nātūrā, ut omnia omnibus paribus paria respondeant. hanc ἰσωνομίαν appellat Epicūrus, id est aequābilem tribūtiōnem. ex hāc igitur illud efficitur, sī mortālium tanta multitudō sit, esse immortalium nōn minōrem, et sī quae interimant īnumerābilis sint, etiam ea quae conservant īfinīta esse dēbere.

But the highest power of infinity, most worthy of great and careful contemplation, is this—that it must be understood as a nature such that all things correspond equally to all other equal things. Epicurus calls this isonomía, that is, an even distribution. From this, therefore, it follows that if there is so great a multitude of mortal beings, the number of immortal beings must be no less; and if those things which destroy are innumerable, those which preserve must also be infinite.

Et quaerere a nobīs soletis, quae vīta deōrum sit quaeque ab iīs degātur aetās. ea videlicet, quā nihil beātius, nihil omnibus bonīs affluentius cōgitārī potest. nihil enim agit, nullīs occupātiōnibus est implicātus, nulla opera molitur, suā sapientiā et virtūte gaudet, habet explorātum fore sē semper cum in maximīs, tum in aeternīs voluptātibus. hunc deum rītē beātum dīxerimus, vestrum vērō labōriōsissimum. sive enim ipse mundus deus est, quid potest esse minus quietum quam nullō punctō temporis intermissō versārī circā axem caeli admirābilī celeritāte? nisi quietum autem nihil beātum est. sive in ipsō mundō deus inest aliquis quī regat, quī gubernet, quī cursūs astrōrum, mūtātiōnēs temporum, rērū vicissitūdines ordinēsque conservet, terrās et maria contemplāns hominum commoda vītāsque tueatur, ne ille est implicātus molestīs negotiīs et operōsīs. nōs autem beātam vītā in animī sēcūritāte et in omnium vacātiōne mūnerum pōnimus.

And you are accustomed to ask us what the life of the gods is and what manner of existence they lead. It is, of course, that life than which nothing more blessed, nothing more abounding in every good, can be conceived. For they do nothing, are entangled in no occupations, engage in no labors; they rejoice in their own wisdom and virtue and are assured that they will always remain in the highest and eternal pleasures. This god we rightly call blessed; yours, indeed, is most toilsome. For if the world itself is a god, what could be less at rest than to be turning without interruption at every instant around the axis of heaven with marvelous speed? Yet nothing that is not at rest is blessed. Or if there is within the world some god who governs, who directs, who maintains the courses of the stars, the changes of the seasons, the alternations and order of things, who, watching the lands and seas, guards the welfare and lives of men—surely that god is entangled in troublesome and toilsome business. But we place the blessed life in the tranquility of the mind and in complete freedom from all duties.

docuit enim nōs idem quī cetera, nātūrā effectum esse mundum, nihil opus fuisse fabricā, tamque eam rem esse facilem, quam vōs effici negātis sine dīvīnā posse sollertiā, ut innumerābilis nātūra mundōs effectūra sit, efficiat, effecerit. quod quia quem ad modum nātūra efficere sine aliquā mente possit nōn vidētis, ut trāgicī poētae, cum explicāre argumentī exitum nōn potestis, cōnfugitis ad deum. cuius operam profectō nōn dēsiderārētis, sī immēnsam et interminātam in omnīs partīs magnitudinem regiōnum vidērētis, in quam sē iniciēns animus et intendēns ita lātē longēque peregrinātur, ut nullam tamen ōram ultimī videat, in quā possit īnsistere. in hāc igitur immēnsitāte latitudinum longitudinum altitudinum īnfinita vīs innumerālium volitat atomōrum, quae interiectō inānī cohaerēscunt tamen inter sē et aliae aliās adprehendentes continuantur: ex quō efficiuntur eae rērum formae et figūrae, quās vōs effici posse sine follibus et incūdibus nōn putātis.

For the same teacher who has shown us everything else—Nature—has taught that the world came into being by natural process, that no craftsman was needed, and that the matter was so easy—though you deny that it could be accomplished without divine skill—that Nature, capable of producing innumerable worlds, does produce and has produced them. Because you cannot see how Nature could bring this about without some mind, you, like tragic poets, when you cannot resolve the plot, take refuge in a god. Yet you would surely not call for his help if you could behold the boundless and unending vastness of the regions extending in all directions, into which the mind, casting and straining itself, wanders so far and wide that it sees no shore or limit where it might stand still. In this immensity of breadth, length, and height, the infinite force of countless atoms moves and drifts, which, though empty space lies between them, still cohere with one another and, grasping and linking together, form the shapes and configurations of things that you suppose cannot be produced without bellows and anvils.

itaque imposuistis in cervicibus nostris sempiternum dominum, quem diēs et noctēs timerēmus. quis enim nōn timeat omnia prōvidentem et cogitantem et animadvertentem et omnia ad sē pertinēre putantem, curiosum et plēnum negōti deum? hinc vōbīs extitit prīmum illa fātālis necessitās, quam εἰμαρμένην dīcitis, ut quicquid accidat, id ex aeterna vērītate causarumque continuātiōne flūxisse dīcātis. quantī autem haec philosophia aestimanda est, cui tamquam aniculīs et iīs quidem indoctīs fātō fierī videantur omnia? sequitur μαντικὴ vestra, quae Latīnē dīvīnātiō dīcitur, quā tantā imbuerēmur superstitiōne, sī vōs audīre vellemus, ut haruspīcēs, augurēs, hariolī, vātēs, coniectōrēs nōbīs essent colendī.

And so you have set upon our necks an eternal master, whom we must fear day and night. For who would not fear a god who foresees everything, thinks of everything, observes everything, and imagines that all things concern himself—an inquisitive and busy deity? From this there arose for you that fatal necessity which you call heimarmenē, so that whatever happens you say has flowed from eternal truth and the unbroken chain of causes. But how highly is that philosophy to be valued, to which everything seems to happen by fate—as it does to old women, and those uneducated ones at that? Next follows your mantikē, which in Latin is called divination, by which we would be so steeped in superstition, if we were willing to listen to you, that we should have to worship soothsayers, augurs, prophets, seers, and interpreters.

hīs terrōribus ab Epicūrō solūtī et in libertātem vindicātī nec metuimus eōs, quōs intellegimus nec sibi fingere ullam molestiam nec alterī quaerere, et piē sanctēque colimus nātūram excellentem atque praestantem.

Freed by Epicurus from these terrors and reclaimed into liberty, we do not fear those whom we understand to invent no trouble for themselves nor to seek any for another, and we revere with piety and holiness the nature that is noble and preeminent.

{Translated elsewhere}

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 34.95 (Cotta)

et maris deōs et fēminās esse dīcit

You say that there are gods of the male sex and female

You say that there are both male and female gods — well, you can see as well as I can what is going to follow from that!

Quintilian, Institutio Oratoria, 7.3.5

quī neget deum esse spīritum omnibus partibus inmīxtum, nōn hoc dīcat, falsam esse dīvīnae illius nātūrae appellātiōnem, sicut Epicūrus, quī hūmānam eī fōrmam locumque inter mundōs dedit

whoever denies that God is a spirit pervading all parts should not therefore say that the name of that divine nature is false—as does Epicurus, who assigned to it a human form and a dwelling-place between the worlds

A man who denies that god is a "spirit diffused through all the parts of the world" {a Stoic definition} would not be saying that it is mistaken to call the world divine, as Epicurus would, for he gave God human form and a place in the spaces between worlds.

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), Letter to Dioscorus, 118.27

quantō enim melius nē audīssēm quidem nōmen Dēmocritī quam cum dolōre cōgitārem nescio quem suis temporibus magnum putātum, quī deōs esse arbitrārētur īmaginēs, quae dē solidīs corporibus fluerent solīdaeque ipsae nōn essent, eāsque hāc atque hāc mōtū propriō circum eundō atque illabendō in animās hominum facere ut vīs dīvīna cōgitētur, cum profectō illud corpus unde imāgō fluit quantō solidius est, tantō praestantius quoque esse iūdicētur? ideōque fluctuāvit, sicut istī dicunt, nutāvitque sententia, ut aliquandō nātūram quandam, dē quā fluerent īmaginēs, deum esse dīceret, quī tamen cōgitārī nōn posset nisi per eās īmaginēs, quās fundit ac ēmittit, id est quae dē illā nātūrā, quam nescio quam corpoream et sempiternam ac etiam per hōc dīvīnam putat, quasi vapōris similitudine continuā velut ēmanātiōne ferrentur et venīrent atque intrārent in animōs nostrōs, ut deum vel deōs cōgitāre possēmus. nullam enim aliam causam cuiuslibet cōgitātiōnis nostrae opīnantur istī, nisi cum ab hīs corporibus quae cōgitāmus veniunt atque intrant īmaginēs in animōs nostrōs

For how much better it would have been not even to have heard the name of Democritus, than to think with distress of some man, esteemed great in his time, who supposed that the gods were images flowing from solid bodies yet not themselves solid, and that these, by their own motion, circling and gliding hither and thither into the souls of men, cause the idea of divine power to be conceived—when surely that body from which the image flows must be judged the more excellent, the more solid it is! And therefore his opinion, as these people say, wavered and shifted, so that at one time he declared that a certain nature, from which these images flowed, was god; yet one which could not be conceived except through those very images that it pours forth and emits—that is, which, from that nature (he somehow thought it corporeal, eternal, and even by that token divine), are carried and borne like a continuous exhalation or vapor and enter into our minds, so that we may conceive a god or gods. For these thinkers suppose no other cause of any thought of ours than that images come from those bodies which we think of and enter into our minds.

quamquam Dēmocritus etiam hoc distāre in nātūrālibus quaestiōnibus ab Epicūrō dīcitur, quod iste sentit inesse concursiōnī atomōrum vīm quandam animālem et spīritālem; quā vī eum crēdō et īmaginēs ipsās dīvīnitāte praeditās dīcere, nōn omnēs omnium rērum, sed deōrum; et prīncipia mentis esse in ūniversīs, quibus dīvīnitātem tribuit, et animantēs īmaginēs, quae vel prōdesse nōbīs soleant vel nocēre: Epicūrus vērō neque aliquid in prīncipiīs rērum pōnit praeter atomōs, id est corpuscula quaedam tam minuta, ut etiam dīvidī nequeant neque sentīrī aut vīsū aut tactū possint; quōrum corpusculōrum concursū fortuitō et mundōs

innumerābilēs et animantia et ipsās animās fierī dīcit et deōs, quōs hūmānā formā nōn in aliquō mundō sed extra mundōs atque inter mundōs cōstituit; et nōn vult omnīnō aliquid praeter corpora cōgitāre, quae tamen ut cōgitet, īmaginēs dīcit ab ipsīs rēbus, quās atomīs formārī putat, dēfluere atque in animum intrāre subtiliōrēs quam sunt illae īmaginēs quae ad oculōs veniunt. nam et videndī causam hanc esse dīcit, ingentēs quāsdam īmaginēs ita ut ūniversum mundum complectantur extrinsecus.

How much better for me not even to have heard the name of Democritus than to reflect with sorrow that someone was considered great in his own times who thought that the gods were images which were emitted from solid substances, although they themselves were not solid, and that they, by circling around this way and that, of their own motion, and by sliding into the minds of men, make them think the image is a divine force, while the substance from which the image was given off was deemed excellent in proportion to its solidity! Therefore, his theory wavered, as they say, and varied, so that sometimes, he said that a certain substance from which the images streamed was god, yet, that substance cannot be conceived except through the images which it emits and gives off, that is, those which come from that substance, which he somehow thinks is corporeal and eternal and therefore divine, while the images are carried long by a constant emanation like mist, and they come and enter into ours so that we can think they are a god or gods. Those philosophers hold that there is no other cause for any thought of ours except these images which, when we think, come from those substances and enter into our minds. ... 28: However, Democritus is said to differ from Epicurus in his natural philosophy, in that he thinks there is a certain living and breathing force present at the coming together of atoms, by which force, I believe, he says "the images are endowed with divinity" – not the images of all things, but those of gods – and "that the elements from which the mind is compounded" exist in the universe, and to these he attributes divinity, and that these are "animate images which are wont to exercise a beneficent or harmful influence over us." But Epicurus postulated nothing as the beginning of the world but atoms, that is, certain particles of matter so minute that they cannot be divided or perceived by either sight or touch, and by the chance meeting of these particles he says that innumerable worlds, and living beings, and the principle of life itself were produced, as well as the gods whom endows with human form, and locates, not in any world, but beyond and between the worlds. He refuses absolutely to consider anything but material substances, but, in order to be able to think even about these, he says that images are given off by the very things which he supposes to be formed by the atoms, that they enter the mind, and that they are finer than the other images which appear to the eyes – for he says that this is the cause of our sight – but that they are "vast images of such a size as to envelop and enfold the entire world."

[U353]

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), Against the Physicists, 1.25

Ἐπίκουρος δὲ ἐκ τῶν κατὰ τοὺς ὕπνους φαντασιῶν οἶεται τοὺς ἀνθρώπους ἔννοιαν ἔσπακέναι θεοῦ· μεγάλων γὰρ εἰδώλων, φησί, καὶ ἀνθρωπομόρφων κατὰ τοὺς ὕπνους προσπιπτόντων ὑπέλαβον καὶ ταῖς ἀληθείαις ὑπάρχειν τινὰς τοιούτους θεοὺς ἀνθρωπομόρφους.

Epicurus thinks that men have derived the conception of god from presentations [received] while asleep. For he says, since large manlike images strike them while they sleep, they supposed that some such manlike gods also existed in reality

29. Gods (*Nature & Form*)

[U354]

Tertullian (fl. 200 ^{CE}), Apologetics, 47

(deum) alii incorporālem asseverant, alii corporālem, ut tam Platōnicī quam Stōicī; alii ex atomīs, alii ex numerīs, quā Epicūrus et Pythagorā

Some are sure that he [God] is incorporeal, others that he has a body – i.e., the Platonists and the Stoics respectively. Others say he consists of atoms, others of numbers – as do the Epicurus and the Pythagoreans respectively.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), Divine Institutes, 10.28

concedāmus tamen hīs, ut ex atomīs fiant quae terrēna sunt: num etiam caelestia? deōs aiunt incorruptōs aeternōs beātōs esse solisque dant immunitātem, nē concursū atomōrum concrētī esse videantur. sī enim dīi quoque ex illīs cōstitissent, dissipābiles fierent sēminibus aliquandō resolūtīs atque in nātūram suam revertentibus. ergō sī est aliquid, quod atomī nōn effēcerint, cūr nōn cetera eōdem modō intellegāmus? sed quaerō, antequam mundum prīmordia ista generārent, cūr sibi di habitāculum nōn aedificāvērint? videlicet, nisi atomī coīssent caelumque fēcissent, adhūc dīi per medium ināne penderent.

Let us grant them, however, that things earthly are formed from atoms; but are heavenly things as well? They say that the gods are incorruptible, eternal, and blessed, and grant only to them immunity, lest they appear to be composed through the concourse of atoms. For if the gods too had been made from these, they would be capable of dissolution when their elements were at some time dispersed and returned to their natural state. Therefore, if there exists anything that atoms did not produce, why should we not understand other things in the same way? But I ask: before those first elements produced the world, why did the gods not build a dwelling place for themselves? Evidently, unless the atoms had come together and made the heavens, the gods would still be hanging in the middle of the void.

Let us concede to them, however, that the things which are earthly are made from atoms. Are the things which are heavenly also? They say that the gods are incorrupt, eternal, happy, and to them alone they give immunity, such that they may not be seen to be formed by the assembly of atoms. For if the gods also had come from these, they would also be able to be dissipated, any time the seeds break apart and return to their natural state. Therefore, if there is something which atoms have not brought about, why do we not understand that this is the case with other things, too? My question is, before those beginning-bodies had generated the world, why did not the gods build a dwelling for themselves? Surely, unless the atoms had come together and made heaven, the gods would still be hanging in the empty void.]

[U355]

Scholion on Epicurus, Principal Doctrines 1, Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.139

ἐν ἄλλοις δέ φησι τοὺς θεοὺς λόγῳ θεωρητοὺς, οὓς μὲν κατ’ ἀριθμὸν ὑφεστῶτας, οὓς δὲ κατὰ ὁμοείδειαν ἐκ τῆς συνεχοῦς ἐπιρρύσεως τῶν ὁμοίων εἰδώλων ἐπὶ τὸ αὐτὸ ἀποτετελεσμένους, ἀνθρωποειδεῖς

Elsewhere he says that the gods are discernible as mental impressions, some being unique, while others look similar, owing to the continuous flow of similar images to the same place, culminating in human form.

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 ^{CE}), Placita Philosophorum, 1.7.34

Ἐπίκουρος ἀνθρωποειδεῖς μὲν τοὺς θεοὺς, λόγῳ δὲ πάντας θεωρητοὺς διὰ τὴν λεπτομέρειαν τῆς τῶν εἰδώλων φύσεως.

Epicurus thinks the gods resemble humans, and can be contemplated by reason as a result of the fineness of the nature of their images.

[U356]

...μήτε δ' εἶναι πεζ[ᾱ μ]ήτε φθ[όν]γον ἔμ[με]τρ[ον] οὐ προ(σ)δεόμενον ἀναπνοῆς οὐ ῥητέον, ὥς οὐδὲ [χ]ιόνα χ[ωρ]ίς
λε[υκ]ότητος ἢ πῦρ ἂν ἄνευ θερμότητος. κ(αί) φωνῇ δὲ χρῆσθαι καὶ ὁμειλίας τῇ πρὸς ἀλλήλους ῥητέον· οὐ γὰρ μᾶλλον
εἰδαίμονας κ(αί) ἀδιαλύτους νοήσομεν, φησί, μὴ φωνοῦντας μηδ' ἀλλήλοις διαλεγομένους, ἀλλὰ τοῖς ἐνεοῖς ἀνθρώποις
ὁμοίους· τῷ γὰρ ὄντι φωνῇ χρωμέ|νων ἡμῶν, ὅ[σοι] μὴ τι πεπηρώμεθα, τοὺς θεοὺς ἢ πεπηρῶσθαι ἢ μὴ κατὰ τ[ο]ῦθ' ἡμῖν
ὡμοιωσθαι, μηδ' [ἐτέρ]ως μηδετέρων ἐκκοπτόντων ἀναφθέγματα, [κ(αί)] ὑπερεύηθες, ἄ[λλως τε] καὶ τῆς πρὸς τοὺς
ὁμοίου[ς] τοῖς σπουδαίο[ις] κοινωνολογίας ἄφατον ἡδονὴν καταχεούσης· καὶ νῆ Δία γε τὴν Ἑλληνίδα νομιστέον ἔχειν αὐτοὺς
διάλεκτον, ἢ μὴ πῶρρω, τὰς φω[νὰ]ς δὲ σὺν [λό]γῳ κ(αί) τ[ρα]νες | [τάτας κ(αί) ὀρθοτάτας, ὥσπερ κατὰ τὴν Ἑλλάδα οἱ]
σοφο[ὶ] πάντες[ς, καθόσον σ]οφο[ὶ, λ]έγονται μὴ πολὺ διαφερούσαις κατὰ τὰς ἀρθρώσεις χρῆσθαι φωναῖς· καὶ μόνους
οἶδαμεν γεγονότας σ[οφ]οὺς Ἑλληνίδι γλώττῃ χρωμένους, ἐπεὶ κ(αί) προ(σ)αλλήλων εἰ[ς κοιν]ολογίας [διαλ]έκ[των]...

...μήτε δ' εἶναι πεζᾱ μήτε φθόνγον ἔμμετρον οὐ προσδεόμενον ἀναπνοῆς οὐ ῥητέον, ὥς οὐδὲ χιόνα χωρίς λευκότητος ἢ πῦρ ἂν ἄνευ θερμότητος.

καὶ φωνῇ δὲ χρῆσθαι καὶ ὁμειλίας τῇ πρὸς ἀλλήλους ῥητέον·

οὐ γὰρ μᾶλλον εἰδαίμονας καὶ ἀδιαλύτους νοήσομεν, φησί, μὴ φωνοῦντας μηδ' ἀλλήλοις διαλεγομένους, ἀλλὰ τοῖς ἐνεοῖς ἀνθρώποις ὁμοίους·

τῷ γὰρ ὄντι φωνῇ χρωμένων ἡμῶν, ὅσοι μὴ τι πεπηρώμεθα, τοὺς θεοὺς ἢ πεπηρῶσθαι ἢ μὴ κατὰ τοῦθ' ἡμῖν ὡμοιωσθαι, μηδ' ἐτέρως μηδετέρων ἐκκοπτόντων ἀναφθέγματα, καὶ ὑπερεύηθες, ἄλλως τε καὶ τῆς πρὸς τοὺς ὁμοίους τοῖς σπουδαίοις κοινωνολογίας ἄφατον ἡδονὴν καταχεούσης·

καὶ νῆ Δία γε τὴν Ἑλληνίδα νομιστέον ἔχειν αὐτοὺς διάλεκτον, ἢ μὴ πῶρρω, τὰς φωνὰς δὲ σὺν λόγῳ καὶ τρανες τάτας καὶ ὀρθοτάτας, ὥσπερ κατὰ τὴν Ἑλλάδα οἱ σοφοὶ πάντες, καθόσον σοφοί, λέγονται μὴ πολὺ διαφερούσαις κατὰ τὰς ἀρθρώσεις χρῆσθαι φωναῖς·

καὶ μόνους οἶδαμεν γεγονότας σοφοὺς Ἑλληνίδι γλώττῃ χρωμένους, ἐπεὶ καὶ προσαλλήλων εἰς κοινωνολογίας διαλέκτων...

It must also be said that the gods speak, and that they entertain themselves with one another. Indeed, we would no longer believe that the gods are happy and incorruptible, if the did not speak and did not communicate with one another. On the contrary, they would be similar to mute men. In effect, just as we use our voice...

...and since for virtuous men, conversation with their equals is a source of inexpressible pleasure. And, by Zeus, it is necessary to uphold that they have a language like Greek, or not far from it, and we know that those who have become gods only used the Greek language.

[U357]

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), Against the Physicists, 1.178

τὸ μὲν οὖν λέγεῖν ἄφωννον τὸν θεὸν τελέως ἄτοπον καὶ ταῖς κοιναῖς ἐννοίαις μαχόμενον. εἰ δὲ φωνᾶέν ἐστι, φωνῇ χρῆται καὶ ἔχει φωνητικά ὄργανα, καθά περ πνεύμονα καὶ τραχεῖαν ἀρτηρίαν γλῶσσάν τε. καὶ στόμα. τοῦτο δὲ ἄτοπον καὶ ἐγγὺς τῆς Ἐπικούρου μυθολογίας.

Thus, to define God as speechless is perfectly absurd and in conflict with our general conceptions. But if he is gifted with speech, he employs speech and has organs of speech, such as lungs and windpipe, tongue and mouth. But this is absurd and borders on the mythology of Epicurus.

[U358]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Natura Deorum, 1.10.23 (Velleius speaking)

quī vērō mundum ipsum animantem sapientemque esse dīxērunt, nullō modō vīdērunt animī nātūrā intelligentis in quam figūrā cadere posset. admirābor eōrum tarditātem, quī animantem immortālem et eundem beātum rotundum esse velint, quod eā formā neget ullam esse pulchriōrem Platō. at mihi vel cylindrī vel quadrātī vel conī vel pyramidis vidētur esse formōsior. quae vērō vīta

tribuitur istī rotundō deō? nempe ut eā celeritāte contorqueātur, cui pār nulla nec cōgitārī quidem possit. in quā nōn videō ubinam mēns cōnstāns et vīta beāta possit īnsistere. quodque in nostrō corpore, sī minimā ex parte carnificētur, molestum sit, cūr hoc idem nōn habeātur molestum in deō? terra enim profectō, quoniam mundī pars est, pars est etiam deī. atquī terrae maximās regiōnēs inhabitābilīs atque incultās vidēmus, quod pars eārum adpulsū sōlis exārserit, pars obbliguerit nive pruīnāque longinquō sōlis abscessū: quae, sī mundus est deus, quoniam mundī partēs sunt, deī membra partim ardentia, partim refrigerāta dicenda sunt.

But those who have said that the world itself is a living and wise being have by no means seen into what form the nature of an intelligent mind could fall. I am amazed at the slowness of those who wish a living, immortal, and blessed being to be round—because Plato declares no form more beautiful than that. Yet to me the shape of a cylinder, a square, a cone, or a pyramid seems more beautiful. And what life, indeed, is assigned to this round god? Surely that he should be whirled about with such speed as none like it could even be conceived. In such a state I do not see where a steady mind or a blessed life could stand firm. And if in our own body it is painful when the smallest part is wounded, why should the same not be thought painful in a god? For the earth, since it is part of the world, is also part of the god. Yet we see the greater regions of the earth uninhabitable and uncultivated, because one portion is scorched by the sun’s heat, and another frozen stiff by snow and frost through the sun’s long withdrawal. Therefore, if the world is god, since these are parts of the world, we must say that parts of god’s body are burning while others are frozen.

As for those who say that the world itself is a conscious intelligence, they have not grasped the nature of consciousness, or understood in what shape it can be manifest. ... I am astonished by the stupidity of those who say that the world itself is a conscious and immortal being, divinely blest, and then say that it is a sphere, because Plato thought this to be the most beautiful of all shapes – I for one find more beauty in the shape of a cylinder, a square, a cone, or a pyramid. What mode of existence is assigned to their spherical deity? Why, he is in a state of rotation, spinning around with a velocity that surpasses all powers of conception. But what room can there be in such an existence for stability of mind and for happiness – I cannot see. Also, why should a condition that is painful in the human body, if even the smallest part of it is affected, be supposed to be painless in the deity? Now clearly the earth, being a part of the world, is also a part of the god. Yet we see that vast portions of the earth's surface are uninhabitable deserts, being either scorched by the sun's proximity, or frost-bound and covered with snow owing to its extreme remoteness. But if the world is god, these, being parts of the world, must be regarded as limbs of the god, undergoing the extremes of heat and cold respectively.

Cicero (fl. 66^{BCE}), De Natura Deorum, 2.17.46 (Balbus speaking)

hic quam volet Epicūrus iocētur, homō nōn aptissimus ad iocandum minimēque respiēns patriam, et dicat sē nōn posse intellegere, qualis sit volubilis et rotundus deus: tamen ex hōc, quod etiam ipse probat, numquam mē movebit. placet enim illī esse deōs, quia necesse sit praestantem esse aliquam nātūram, quā nihil sit melius

Here let Epicurus jest as much as he likes—a man not very fit for jesting and least mindful of his native country—and say that he cannot conceive what sort of god could be rolling and round: still, from this very thing which even he himself admits, he will never move me. For he agrees that there must be gods, because it is necessary that there exist some nature than which nothing is better.

Epicurus may make a joke of this if he likes, although humor was never his strong point – an Athenian without the "Attic salt!" He may say that he can make no sense of a "spherical and revolving god." But he will never move me from the one view which even he himself accepts: he agrees that gods exist, because there must be some supreme being which is superior to all else.

Demetrius Laco (fl.c. 90^{BCE}), De forma dei, P.Herc. 1055 col. 21 (column 25)

...[ήμεῖς] δὲ λέγομεν τὴν θεοῦ [μορφὴν οὐκ εἶναι τοι] αὐτὴν οἷαν οἱ λοιποὶ φιλόσοφοι συνάπτουσιν. πάντως γὰρ ἂν εἴλ' ἂν τὸ μὴ σφαιρῶν ἔχειν μορφὰς μὴδὲ κρίσεις καὶ διαθέσει[ς] ὄργ[ι]λας καὶ μεικραιτίους, ἀλλὰ καὶ μορφὰς διανεστηκυίας εἰς ὕψος κα[ὶ] διαθέσεις παντὸς τοῦ ταπεινοῦ περιφρονούσας, ὅλας δὲ στρεφομέ[νας περὶ τὴν ἑαυτοῦ] μακαριότητα καὶ ἀφθαρσίαν...

...ἡμεῖς δὲ λέγομεν τὴν θεοῦ μορφήν οὐκ εἶναι τοι αὐτὴν οἷαν οἱ λοιποὶ φιλόσοφοι συνάπτουσιν. πάντως γὰρ ἂν εἴλ' ἂν τὸ μὴ σφαιρῶν ἔχειν μορφὰς μηδὲ κρίσεις καὶ διαθέσεις ὀργίλας καὶ μεικραιτίους, ἀλλὰ καὶ μορφὰς διανεστηκυίας εἰς ὕψος καὶ διαθέσεις παντὸς τοῦ ταπεινοῦ περιφρονούσας, ὅλας δὲ στρεφόμενας περὶ τὴν ἑαυτοῦ μακαριότητα καὶ ἀφθαρσίαν...

... that which the other philosophers ascribe to [a god]. They must surely know that [a god] does not have a spherical bodily form, nor a tendency towards arguments, anger, or pettiness, but rather has a bodily form that approaches the sublime, and a disposition that disregards all that is impure, being entirely devoted to true blessedness and incorruptibility.

[U359]

Saint Hippolytus of Rome (fl.c. 210 ^{CE}), "Philosophical Questions" (Refutation of all Heresies) 22.3

τὸν δὲ θεὸν ὁμολογῶν (Επίκουρος) εἶναι αἰδῖον καὶ ἄφθαρτον φησὶ μηδενὸς προνοεῖν, καὶ ὅλως πρόνοιαν μὴ εἶναι μηδὲ εἰμαρμένην, ἀλλὰ πάντα αὐτοματισμῷ γίνεσθαι. καθῆσθαι γὰρ τὸν θεὸν ἐν τοῖς μετακοσμίοις οὕτω καλουμένοις ὑπ’ αὐτοῦ. ἔξω γάρ τι τοῦ κόσμου οἰκητήριον τοῦ θεοῦ ἔθετο εἶναι λεγόμενον τὰ μετακόσμια. ἦδεσθαι δὲ καὶ ἡσυχάζειν ἐν τῇ ἀκροτάτῃ εὐφροσύνῃ, καὶ οὔτε αὐτὸν πράγματα ἔχειν οὔτε ἄλλω παρέχειν.

Acknowledging the Deity to be eternal and incorruptible, he says that God has providential care for nothing, and that there is no such thing at all as providence or fate, but that all things are made by chance. For that the Deity reposed in the intermundane spaces, (as they) are thus styled by him; for outside the world he determined that there is a certain habitation of God, denominated "the intermundane spaces" and that the Deity surrendered himself to pleasure, and took his ease in the midst of supreme happiness; and that neither has he any concerns of business, nor does he devote his attention to them.

30. Gods (*The Blessed Life*)

[U360]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), On the Anger of God, 17.1

Deus, inquit Epicūrus, nihil cūrat. nullam igitur habet potestātem. cūrāre enim necesse est eum, quī habet potestātem; vel sī habet et nōn ūtur, quae tantae neglegentiae causa est, ut eī nōn dīcam nostrum genus, sed etiam mundus ipse sit vīlis? ideō, inquit, incorruptus est ac beātus, quia semper quiētus. cui ergō administrātiō tantārum rērum cessit, sī haec a deō neglegantur, quae vidēmus ratiōne summā gubernārī? aut quiētus esse quō modō potest quī vīvit et sentit? nam quiēs aut somnī rēs est aut mortis

“God,” says Epicurus, “cares for nothing.” Then he has no power at all. For it is necessary that whoever has power must take care; or if he has it and does not use it, what can be the cause of such great neglect that not only our race, but even the world itself, should be worthless to him? “Therefore,” he says, “he is incorruptible and blessed, because he is always at rest.” To whom, then, has the administration of so great a universe been handed over, if these things which we see governed with supreme reason are neglected by God? Or how can one be at rest who lives and feels? For rest belongs either to sleep or to death.

"God" says Epicurus, "cares for nothing." Therefore, He has no power – for it is necessary that he who has power exercise care – or if He has power and does not use it, what is the reason of negligence so great that, I will not say our race, but even the world itself, is vile and worthless to Him? "On this account" he says, "He is incorrupt and blessed, because He is always quiet." To whom, then, has the administration of such great affairs yielded, if these things which we see controlled by the highest plan are neglected by God? Or how is he who lives and feels able in any way to be quiet? For quiet is a quality of either sleep or death.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), Divine Institutes, 3.12.15

Epicūrus deum beātum et incorruptum vocat, quia sempiternus est. beātitudō enim perfecta esse dēbet, ut nihil sit quod eam vexāre aut imminuere aut

immutāre possit. nec aliter quicquam existimārī beātum potest, nisi fuerit incorruptum. incorruptum autem nihil est nisi quod est immortāle

Epicurus calls a god happy and incorrupt because he is everlasting. Beatitude ought to be perfect so that there be nothing which can vex or lessen or change it, nor can anything be considered blessed unless through its being incorrupt. And nothing is incorrupt save what is immortal.

[U361]

Atticus, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 ^{BCE}), Preparation for the Gospel, 15.5.9

κατ’Ἐπίκουρον τὸ τῆς προνοίας οἷχεται, καί τοι τῶν θεῶν κατ’ αὐτὸν πᾶσαν κηδεμονίαν ὑπὲρ τῆς σωτηρίας τῶν οἰκείων ἀγαθῶν εἰσφερομένων.

In Epicurus' view, providence disappears; the gods according to him pay most attention to the preservation of their own good.

Aëtius (fl.f. 50 ^{CE}), Placita Philosophorum, 1.7, line 35

κοινῶς οὖν ἀμαρτάνουσιν ἀμφότεροι (Ἀναξαγόρας καὶ Πλάτων), ὅτι τὸν θεὸν ἐποίησαν ἐπιστρεφόμενον τῶν ἀνθρωπίνων καὶ τούτου χάριν τὸν κόσμον κατασκευάζοντα. τὸ γὰρ μακάριον καὶ ἄφθαρτον ζῶον, πεπληρωμένον τε πᾶσι τοῖς ἀγαθοῖς καὶ κακοῦ παντὸς ἄδεκτον, ὅλον ὄν περὶ τὴν συνοχὴν τῆς ἰδίας εὐδαιμονίας τε καὶ ἀφθαρσίας ἀνεπιστρεφές ἐστι τῶν ἀνθρωπίνων πραγμάτων· κακοδαίμων δ’ ἂν εἴη ἐργάτου δίκην καὶ τέκτονος ἀχθοφορῶν καὶ μεριμνῶν εἰς τὴν τοῦ κόσμου κατασκευήν

"Both [Anaxagoras and Plato] share this error, because they portrayed a god as being concerned for human affairs and as making the cosmos for the sake of man. For a blessed and indestructible being, overflowing with good things and free of any share of what is bad, is completely preoccupied with the continuance of his won happiness and indestructibility and so is not concerned with human affairs. For he would be wretched, like a workman or builder, if he undertook burdens and felt concern for the creation of the cosmos."

[U362]

Atticus, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 ^{BCE}), Preparation for the Gospel, 15.5.11

ἐκεῖνο δ’ ἐμοὶ κριτῇ καὶ αἰσχυνηλότερον ὁ Ἐπίκουρος δοκεῖ πεποιηκέναι (ὥσπερ γὰρ ἀπογνοὺς δύνασθαι τοὺς θεοὺς ἀποσχέσθαι τῆς ἀνθρώπων κηδεμονίας εἰς ταὐτὸν ἐλθόντας αὐτοῖς, καθά περ εἰς ἄλλοδαπὴν ἀπώκισε καὶ ἔξω που τοῦ κόσμου καθίδρυσε, τὸ ἀπάνθρωπον αὐτῶν τῇ ἀποστάσει καὶ τῇ πρὸς ἅπαντ’ ἀκοινωνίᾳ παραιτούμενος.

But therein Epicurus, in my judgment, seems to have acted more modestly {than Aristotle}

for as if he had not hope of the gods being able to abstain from the care of mankind if they came in contact with them, he transferred them, as it were, to a foreign country, and settled them somewhere outside the world, excusing them from the charge of inhumanity by the removal, and by their separation from all things.

[U363]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Life of Pyrrhus, 20.3

ὁ δὲ θεῖον ἀπωτάτῳ χάριτος καὶ ὀργῆς καὶ τοῦ μέλειν ἡμῶν εἰς ἀπράγμονα βίον καὶ μεστὸν εὐπαθειῶν ἀποικίζοντες.

they [the Epicureans] removed the Deity as far as possible from feelings of kindness or anger or concern for us, into a life that knew no care and was filled with ease and comfort.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), On the Anger of God, 2.7

aiunt enim quidam nec gratificārī (deum) cuiquam nec īrāscī, sed sēcūrum et quiētum immortalitātis suae bonīs perfrui.

For some say that God neither shows favor to anyone nor grows angry, but secure and at peace enjoys the blessings of his own immortality

Certain individuals say that [God] neither is pleased nor angered by anything, but that, free from care and in repose, He enjoys the good of His own immortality.

Lucretius (fl.c. 59 BCE), On the Nature of Things, 2.1093

sancta deum tranquilla pectora pace, quae placidum degunt aeuom uitamque serenam

I appeal to the holy hearts of the gods,
which in tranquil peace pass untroubled days and a life serene.

Lucretius (fl.c. 59 BCE), On the Nature of Things, 5.82

bene qui didicere deos securum agere aeuom

Those who have been correctly taught
that the gods lead a life without care...

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Satire, 1.5.101

deōs didicī sēcūrum agere aevom, nec sī quid mīrī faciāt nātūra, deōs id trīstis ex altō caelī dēmittere tectō

I have learned that the gods live their life in calm security, nor, if nature brings about anything strange, do the gods in sadness send it down from their lofty heaven

I've learned that the gods exist carefree,
And, if a miracle does happen in Nature,
That petulant gods have nothing to do
With dispatching it down from the heavenly rooftop

*Dionysius the Episcopalian,
by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 BCE), Preparation for the Gospel, 14.27*

ἐργάζεσθαι δέ γε καὶ διοικεῖν καὶ εὐεργετεῖν τε καὶ προκήδεσθαι καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα τοῖς μὲν ἀργοῖς... καὶ κακούργοις ἴσως ἐπαχθῇ, οἷς ἐγκατέλεξεν ἑαυτὸν Ἐπίκουρος, τοιαῦτα φρονήσας περὶ τῶν θεῶν.

To work, to administer, to do good and to show forethought, and all such actions are burdensome perhaps to the idle and foolish, and to the feeble and wicked, among whom Epicurus enrolled himself by entertaining such thoughts of the gods.

Tertullian (fl. 200 CE), Apologetics, 47

Epicūreī (deum asseverant) ōtīōsum et inexercitum et, ut ita dīxerim, nēminem hūmānīs rēbus.

The Epicureans affirm that God is inactive and unoccupied, and, so to speak, unconcerned with human affairs.

The Epicureans picture him [God] as idle and unemployed, a nobody (so to say) in regards to human affairs.

Salvianus, On the Governance of God, 1.5

Epicureōrum ... quī sicut voluptātem cum virtūte, sic deum cum incuriā ac torpōre iūnxērunt.

Of the Epicureans ... who, just as they joined pleasure with virtue, so also joined God with indifference and sluggishness.

Among the Epicureans... who, just as they connect pleasure with virtue, so too they connect God with disinterest and laziness.

[U364]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), On Benefits, 4.4.1

itaque nōn dat deus beneficia, sed sēcūrus et neglegēns nostrī, āversus ā mundō, aliud agit aut, quae maxima Epicūrō fēlicitās vidētur, nihil agit, nec magis illum beneficia quam iniuriae tangunt. hoc quī dīcit, nōn exaudit precantium vōcēs.

And so God grants no blessings, but, unconcerned and heedless of us, turned away from the world, either busies himself with other things or—what seems to Epicurus the greatest happiness—does nothing; nor do kindnesses touch him any more than injuries. Whoever says this admits that he does not hear the voices of those who pray.

"True; therefore God does not bestow benefits, but, free from care and unmindful of us, He turns away from our world and either does something else, or else does nothing, which Epicurus thought the greatest possible happiness, and He is not affected either by benefits or by injuries." The man who says this surely cannot hear the voices of those who pray...

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), On Benefits, 4.19

tū dēnique, Epicūre, deum inermem facis; omnia illī tēla, omnem detraxistī potentiam et, nē cuiquam metuendus esset, prōiēcistī illum extrā mundum. hunc igitur intersaeptum ingentī quidem et inexplicābilī mūrō, dīvīsumque ā contactū et ā cōspectū mortālium, nōn habēs quārē vereāris. nulla illī nec tribuendī nec nocendī māteria est; in mediō intervallō huius et alterius caelī dēsertus, sine animālī, sine homine, sine rē, ruīnās mundōrum suprā sē circāque sē cadentium ēvitāt, nōn exaudiēns vōta nec nostrī cūriōsus. atquī hunc vīs vidērī colere nōn aliter quam parentem, grātō, ut opīnor, animō; aut, sī nōn vīs grātus vidērī, quia nullum habēs illius beneficium, sed tē atomī et istae mīcae tuae forte ac temerē conglobāvērunt, cūr colis? propter maiestātem, inquis, eius eximiam singulāremque nātūram.

You, Epicurus, in the end make God powerless: you have stripped him of all weapons, of all power, and, lest he be feared by anyone, you have flung him outside the world. This god, then, shut off by a vast and impenetrable wall and cut off from the touch and sight of mortals, you have no reason to fear. He has no means either to bestow or to harm; alone, between this heaven and the next, desolate, without living creature, without man, without thing, he avoids the ruins of collapsing worlds falling above and around him, hearing no prayers, caring nothing for us. And yet you wish to seem to worship him, as a father, I suppose, with grateful mind; or, if you do not wish to appear grateful—since you have received no benefit from him, but atoms and those tiny motes of yours by chance and accident have rolled you together—why do you worship him? “Because of his supreme majesty,” you say, “and unique nature.”

You, Epicurus, ended by making God unarmed; you stripped him of all weapons, of all power, and, lest anyone should fear him, you banished him from the world. There is no reason why you should fear this being, cut off as he is, and separated from the sight and touch of mortals by a vast and impassable wall; he has no power either of rewarding or of injuring us; he dwells alone half-way between our heaven and that of another world, without the society either of animals, of men, or of matter, avoiding the crash of worlds as they fall in ruins above and around him, but neither hearing our prayers nor interested in us. Yet you wish to seem to worship this being just as a father, with a mind, I suppose, full of gratitude; or, if you do not wish to seem grateful, why should you worship him, since you have received no benefit from him, but have been put together entirely at random and by chance by those atoms and mites of yours? "I worship him" you answer, "because of his glorious majesty and his unique nature."

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), On Benefits, 7.31.3

alius illīs (dīs) obicit neglegentiam nostrī, alius inīquitātem; alius illōs extrā mundum suum prōicit et ignāvōs hebetēsque sine lūce, sine ullō opere dēstituit.

One charges those gods with neglect of us, another with injustice; another casts them outside their own world and leaves them dull, inert, without light, without any activity.

Some blame [the gods] for neglecting us, some with their injustice towards us; others place them outside of their own world, in sloth and indifference, without light, and without any functions;

Dionysius the Episcopalian, On Nature,

by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 ^{BCE}), Preparation for the Gospel, 14.27, 8

...τοὺς δὲ θεοὺς περὶ ὧν οἱ μὲν ποιηταὶ παρ’ αὐτοῖς ᾄδουσι 'δωτῆρες ἐάων,' οὗτοι δὲ οἱ φιλόσοφοι μετὰ τωθείας εὐφημοῦσι· 'θεοὶ πάντων ἀγαθῶν ἀδώρητοί τε καὶ ἀμέτοχοι,' τίνι καὶ τρόπῳ τεκμηριοῦνται [θεοὺς] εἶναι, μήτε παρόντας καὶ πράττοντάς τι ὁρῶντες... ...μήτε τινὰ δημιουργίαν αὐτοῖς ἢ κατασκευὴν προσνέμοντες, ἵν’ ἐκ τοῦ θεῖναι τοῦτ’ ἔστι ποιῆσαι θεοποιήσωσιν αὐτούς... ...μήτε διοίκησιν ἢ κρίσιν ἢ χάριν αὐτῶν τινα πρὸς ἀνθρώπους ἐκτιθέμενοι, ἵνα φόβον ἢ τιμὴν ὀφλήσαντες προσκυνήσωμεν αὐτοῖς; ἢ τοῦ κόσμου προκύψας Ἐπίκουρος καὶ τὸν οὐράνιον ὑπερβὰς περίβολον ἢ διὰ τινων κρυφίων ἃς μόνος οἶδεν ἐξελθὼν πυλῶν τοὺς ἐν τῷ κενῷ κατεῖδε θεοὺς καὶ τὴν πολλὴν αὐτῶν ἐμακάρισε τρυφὴν κάκεῖθεν ἐπιθυμητῆς γενόμενος τῆς ἡδονῆς καὶ τῆς ἐν τῷ κενῷ ζηλωτῆς διαίτης οὕτω πάντας ἐπὶ τὴν τοῦ μακαρισμοῦ τούτου μετουσίαν ἐξομοιωθησομένου ἐκείνοις τοῖς θεοῖς παρακαλεῖ κτλ.

As for the gods of whom their poets sing as "Givers of good things" {Homer, Od. viii. 325} these philosophers with mocking reverence say, The gods are neither givers nor partakers of any good things. In what way then do they show evidence of the existence of gods, if they neither see them present and doing something, as those who in admiration of the sun and moon and stars said that they were called gods (θεοός) because of their running (θεειν), nor assign to them any work of creation or arrangement, that they might call them gods from setting (θεῖναι), that is making (for in this respect in truth the Creator and Artificer of the universe alone is God), nor exhibit any administration, or judgment, or favor of theirs towards mankind, that we should owe them fear or honor, and therefore worship them? Or did Epicurus peep out from the world, and pass beyond the compass of the heavens, or go out through some secret gates known only to himself, and behold the gods dwelling in the void, and deem them and their abundant luxury blessed? And did he thence become a devotee of pleasure, and an admirer of their life in the void, and so exhort all who are to be made like unto those gods to participate in this blessing, [etc.]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Against Lucius Calpurnius Piso, 25.59 (Attributing these words to Piso)

haec verba Pīsōnī Epicūreō tribuit: “quid est, Caesar, quod tē supplicationēs totiēns iam dēcrētae tot diērum tantō opere dēlectent? in quibus hominēs errōre dūcuntur, quās di neglegunt, quī, ut noster dīvinus ille dīxit Epicūrus, neque propitiī cuiquam esse solent neque īrātī.”

These words are attributed to Piso the Epicurean: “What is it, Caesar, that so greatly delights you in those thanksgivings already decreed so many times for so many days? In them men are led by error—rites which the gods neglect, who, as our divine Epicurus said, are accustomed to be neither gracious to anyone nor angry.”

"What, Caesar, is the strong attraction that these thanksgivings of such frequency and such long duration as have been decreed to you possess? The world is under a deep delusion concerning them, the gods care naught for them; for they, as our godlike Epicurus has said, feel neither kindness nor wrath towards any."

[U365]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), On the Anger of God, 4.1

quod sequitur dē scholā Epicūrī est: sicut īram in deō nōn esse, ita nē grātiā quidem. nam cum putāret Epicūrus aliēnum esse ā deō male facere atque nocēre, quod ex affectū īrācundiae plērumque nascitur, adēmit eī etiam beneficentiam, quoniam vidēbat consequēns esse ut, sī habeat īram deus, habeat et grātiā. itaque nē illī vitium concēderet, etiam virtūtis fēcit expertem. ex hōc, inquit, beātus et incorruptus est, quia nihil cūrat neque habet ipse negōtium neque alterī exhibet.

What follows is from the school of Epicurus: just as anger does not exist in God, so neither does favor. For since Epicurus thought it unfitting for God to do harm or evil—which usually arises from the emotion of anger—he took from him also beneficence, because he saw it followed that if God had anger, he must also have favor. And so, lest he attribute any vice to him, he made him devoid even of virtue. “From this,” he says, “God is blessed and incorruptible, because he cares for nothing, has no business of his own, and attends to none of another.”

What follows is of the school of Epicurus. He teaches that just as there is no anger in God, so there is not even kindness. For since Epicurus thought that to do evil or do harm was foreign to God (an action which is generally spring from the emotion of anger), he also took from Him beneficence because he saw it to be a consequence that, if God possessed anger, He would have kindness also. "From this" he says, "he is blessed and incorrupt, because he cares for nothing, and he neither has any concern himself, nor does he show it for another."

[U366]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), On the Anger of God, 4.11

iam sī nōn īra in (deō) nec grātia est, utique nec metus nec laetitia nec maeror nec misericordia; ūna est enim ratiō cunctīs affectibus, ūna commotiō, quae in

deum cadere nōn potest. quod sī nullus affectus in deō est, quia quicquid afficitur inbecillum est, ergō nec cūra ullius rei nec prōvidentia est in eō. huc usque pervēnit sapientis hominis disputātiō, cētera quae sēcuntur obticuit. scilicet, quia nec cūra sit in eō nec prōvidentia, ergō nec cōgitātiōnem aliquam nec sēnsu in eō esse ullum: quō efficitur, ut nōn sit omnīnō. ita cum gradātīm dēscendisset, in extrēmō gradū restitit, quia iam praecipitium vidēbat. sed quid prōdest reticuisse ac perīculum dissimulāsse? necessitās illum vel invītum cadere coēgit.

Now if neither anger nor favor is in god, then certainly neither fear nor joy nor grief nor pity; for one reason belongs to all emotions, one movement, which cannot fall upon god. But if there is no emotion in god, because whatever is affected is weak, therefore there is in him neither care for anything nor providence. Thus far went the reasoning of the wise man; what follows after was silent. Clearly, since there is in him neither care nor providence, therefore neither any thought nor any sensation is in him at all—whence it results that he does not exist at all. And so, when he had descended step by step, he stopped at the last step, because he already saw the precipice. But what good did it do to keep silent and conceal the danger? Necessity forced him to fall, even unwilling.

Accordingly, then, if there is neither anger nor kindness in [God], surely there is neither fear nor joy nor grief nor compassion. For there is one plan for all the affections, one connected movement, which cannot be in God. But if there is no affection in God, because whatever is affected is a weakness, therefore, neither is there any care of anything nor any providence in Him. The argument of [Epicurus] extends only this far. He was silent about the other things which follow, namely, that there is no care in Him nor providence, and, therefore, that there is not any reflection nor any sense in Him, by which it comes about that He does not exist at all. So when he had descended step by step, he stopped on the last step because he then saw the precipice. But what advantage is it to have kept silent and to have concealed the danger? Necessity forced him to fall even against his will.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), On the Anger of God, 15.5

cum igitur sint in rēbus hūmānīs bona et mala, necesse est in utramque partem movērī deum, et ad grātiā cum iusta fierī videt, et ad īram cum cernit iniusta. sed occurrit nōbīs Epicūrus ac dīcit: sī est in deō laetitiae affectus ad grātiā et odiī ad īram, necesse est habeat et timōrem et libidinem et cupiditātem cēterōsque affectūs, quī sunt inbecillitātis hūmānae. nōn est necesse ut timeat quī īrāscitur aut maereat quī gaudet; timōris affectus habet in homine materiā, in deō nōn habet.

Since, then, there are in human affairs both good things and bad, it is necessary that God be moved in both directions—toward favor when he sees what is just, and toward anger when he perceives what is unjust. But Epicurus meets us and says: if in God there is the emotion of joy leading to favor and of hatred leading to anger, it must follow that he also has fear, desire, longing, and the rest of the emotions which belong to human weakness. It is not necessary that he who grows angry should fear, or that he who rejoices should grieve; the emotion of fear has material in man, but in God it has none.

Since, therefore, there are good and evil things in human affairs ... it is of necessity that God is moved with reference to each. He is moved to kindness when He sees just things done, and to wrath when He beholds the unjust. But Epicurus is in opposition to us and he says: "If there is in God movement of joy unto kindness and of hatred unto wrath, then he must have both fear, and inclination, and desire, and the other affections which belong to human feebleness." But it is not necessary that he who is angry should also fear, or that he who rejoices should grieve. ... The affection of fear is a matter in man – not in God.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), On the Anger of God, 16.6

inānia ergō et falsa reperiuntur argumenta eōrum, quī nullum animī mōtum esse in deō putant, et quia sunt aliquī affectūs quī nōn cadunt in deum, ut libīdō, timor, avāritia, maeror, invidia, omnī prorsus affectū eum vacāre dīxērunt. hīs enim vacat, quia vitiorum affectūs sunt; eōs autem, quī sunt virtūtis, id est īra in malōs, caritās in bonōs, miserātiō in adflictōs, quoniam dīvinā potestāte sunt digna, proprios et iustōs et vērōs habet.

Therefore the arguments of those who think there is no movement of mind in God are found empty and false; and because there are certain emotions that do not fall upon God—such as desire, fear, greed, grief, envy—they have said that he is entirely free from all emotion. From these indeed he is free, because they are emotions of vice; but those which belong to virtue—namely, anger toward the wicked, love toward the good, pity toward the afflicted—since they are worthy of divine power, he has them as his own, just, and true.

So the arguments are found to be empty ... of those who think that there is no movement of the mind in God. Because there are some affections which do not happen to be found in God, like desire, fear, avarice, grief, and envy, they have said that He is utterly free from all affection. He is free of these because they are affections of vices; but, those which are of virtue (that is, anger toward the evil, love toward the good, compassion for the afflicted) since they are becoming to His divine power.

31. Care & Governance of the World

[U367]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.8.18 - 9.23 (Velleius' monologue)

tum Velleius: “Audīte,” inquit, “nōn futilēs commenticiāsque sententias: nōn opificem aedificatōremque mundī, Platōnis de Timaeō deum; nec anum fatidicam, Stōicōrum πρόνοιαν, quam Latīnē licet prōvidentiam dīcere; neque vērō mundum ipsum animō et sensibus praeditum, rotundum, ardentem, volubilem deum:

Then Velleius said: “Listen—not to futile and fictitious doctrines: not to the craftsman and builder of the world, the god of Plato’s Timaeus; nor to the old prophetic crone, the pronōia of the Stoics, which one may call Providence in Latin; nor indeed to the world itself endowed with mind and senses, the round, fiery, whirling god—

portenta et mīrācula nōn disserentium philosophōrum sed somniantium. quibus enim oculīs intuērī potuit vester Platō fabricam illam tantī operis, quā cōstruī a deō atque aedificārī mundum facit?

monstrosities and marvels not of philosophers reasoning but of dreamers. For with what eyes could your Plato have looked upon that workshop of so great a work, in which he makes the world to be constructed and built by a god?

quae molitiō, quae ferraementā, quī vectēs, quae māchinae, quī ministrī tantī mūneris fuērunt? quem ad modum autem oboedire et parēre voluntātī architectī aer, ignis, aqua, terra potuērunt?

What toil, what tools, what levers, what machines, what assistants were there for so great a task? And how could air, fire, water, and earth obey and submit to the will of the architect?

unde vērō ortae illae quīnque formae, ē quibus reliqua formantur, aptē cadentēs ad animum afficiendum pariendōsque sēnsūs? longum est ad omnia, quae tālia sunt ut optāta magis quam inventa videantur.”

Whence, moreover, came those five forms from which the rest are shaped, fittingly arranged for affecting the mind and producing the senses? It would be long to go through all, which are such that they seem rather wished for than discovered.”

sed illa palmāria, quod quī nōn modo nātum mundum intūlisset sed etiam manū paene factum, is eum dīxerit fore sempiternum.

But that is most absurd—that he who not only introduced a world that had been born but even one almost made by hand, should say it would be everlasting.

hunc cēnsēs prīmīs, ut dīcitur, labrīs gustāsse physiologiam, quī quicquam quod ortum sit putet aeternum esse posse?

Do you think this man, who believes that anything which has arisen could possibly be eternal, has even, as they say, tasted the first drops of natural philosophy?

quae est enim coagmentātiō nōn dissolubilis? aut quid est, cuius prīncipium aliquod sit, nihil sit extrēmum?

For what combination is not dissoluble? Or what thing that has a beginning can lack an end?

Prónoia vērō vestra, Lūcilī, eadem est, eadem requīrō quae paulō ante—ministerōs, māchinās, omnem tōtius operis dissignātiōnem atque apparātum.

And your Providence, Lucilius, is the same; I ask again for the same things as before—the helpers, the engines, the whole plan and equipment of the work.

sin alia est, cūr mortālem fēcerit mundum, nōn, quem ad modum Platōnicus deus, sempiternum?

But if it is another, why did it make the world mortal, and not eternal, as the Platonic god did?

ab utrōque autem scīscitor, cūr mundī aedificātōrēs repente exstiterint, innumerābilis saecula dormierint.

From both sides I ask: why did the builders of the world suddenly arise, having slept through innumerable ages?

nōn enim, sī mundus nullus erat, saecula nōn erant.

For even if there was no world, the ages were not therefore nonexistent.

saecula nunc dīcō nōn ea quae diērum noctiumque numerō annuīs cursibus cōficiūntur—nam fateor ea sine mundī conversiōne effici nōn potuisse—sed fuit quaedam ab īnfīnitō tempore aeternitās, quam nulla circumscriptiō temporum metiēbātur.

By “ages” I mean not those completed by the count of days and nights and annual courses—for I admit those could not exist without the revolution of the world—but there was a certain eternity from infinite time, measured by no circumscription of seasons.

spatiō tamen, quālis ea fuerit, intellegī quae potest? quod nē in cōgitātiōnem quidem cadit, ut fuerit tempus aliquod, nullum cum tempus esset.

Yet how can we even conceive what sort that duration was? For it cannot even enter thought that there was a time when no time existed.

istō igitur tam immēnsō spatiō quaerō, Balbe, cūr Prónoia vestra cessāverit.

Therefore, in that vast interval, I ask you, Balbus, why your Providence was idle.

labōremne fugiebat? at iste nec attingit deum nec erat ullus, cum omnēs nātūrae numinī dīvīnō—caelum, ignēs, terrae, maria—parerent.

Was it avoiding toil? But that does not touch god, nor was there any toil, since all natures—heaven, fires, earths, seas—obey the divine will.

quid autem erat quod concupīsceret deus mundum signīs et lūminibus tamquam aedīlis ornāre?

And what was there for god to desire—to adorn the world with lights and signs, like some city official?

sī ut deus ipse melius habitāret, antea vidēlicet tempore īfīnītō in tenebrīs tamquam in gurgustiō habitāverat.

If it was so that god himself might dwell more comfortably, then evidently before that, through infinite time, he had lived in darkness, as in a hovel.

post autem varietātene eum dēlectārī putāmus, quā caelum et terrās exōrnātās vidēmus? quae ista potest esse oblectātiō deō?

Do we then think he is now delighted by the variety with which we see the heavens and earth adorned? What kind of amusement could that be for a god?

quae sī esset, nōn ea tam diū carēre potuisset.

And if such pleasure existed, he could not have gone without it for so long.

an haec, ut ferē dīcitis, hominum causā ā deō cōstitutā sunt?

Or, as you commonly say, were these things established by god for the sake of men?

sapientiumne? propter paucōs igitur tanta est rērum facta molitiō?

For the wise, then? Was such vast labor of creation undertaken for so few?

an stultōrum? at prīmum causa nōn fuit cūr dē improbīs bene mererētur; deinde quid est adsecūtus?

Or for the foolish? But, first, there was no reason why he should have deserved well of the wicked; next, what has he achieved?

cum omnēs stultī sint sine dubiō miserrimī, maximē quod stultī sunt: miserius enim stultitiā quid possumus dīcere?

Since all fools are without doubt most wretched—especially because they are fools; for what can we call more wretched than folly?

deinde quod ita multa sunt incommoda in vītā, ut ea sapientēs commodōrum compēnsātiōne leniant, stultī nec vītāre venientia possint nec ferre praesentia.

And then, since so many hardships exist in life that the wise mitigate them by balancing them with goods, fools can neither avoid what approaches nor bear what is present.

[U368]

Lucian (fl. 165 ^{CE}), The Double Indictment, 2

ἀληθής εὐθύς ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἀπρονοήτους ἡμᾶς (τοὺς θεοὺς) ἀποφαίνων τῶν ἐπὶ γῆς πραγμάτων.

Epicurus certainly spoke the truth when he said that we {gods} do not provide for things on earth.

Lucian (fl. 165 ^{CE}), Icaromenippus, 32

οἱ δὲ δὴ Ἐπικούρειοι... ..μάλα δὴ καὶ ὕβρισταί εἰσι καὶ οὐ μετρίως ἡμῶν (τῶν θεῶν) καθάπτονται, μῆτε ἐπιμελεῖσθαι τῶν ἀνθρωπίνων λέγοντες τοὺς θεοὺς μῆτε ὅλως τὰ γιννόμενα ἐπισκοπεῖν.

The Epicureans are really quite insolent, and they attack us without restraint, affirming that we {gods} don't concern ourselves with human affairs, nor do we control events whatsoever.

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 ^{CE}), On Fate, 31

τὴν λεγομένην ὑπὸ τῶν περὶ Ἐπίκουρον ἀπρονοησίαν.

The so-called "absence of {divine} providence" by those in Epicurus' circle...

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 ^{CE}), Discourses on Epictetus, 1.12.1

Περὶ θεῶν οἱ μὲν τινές εἰσι λέγοντες μὴδ’ εἶναι τὸ θεῖον· οἱ δ’ εἶναι μὲν, ἀργὸν δὲ καὶ ἀμελές, καὶ μὴ προνοεῖν μηδενός.

Concerning gods, there are some who say that the divine does not even exist while others, that it does exist but is inactive and indifferent, and takes forethought for nothing

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 ^{CE}), Discourses on Epictetus, 2.20.23

λάβε τὰ ἐναντία, ὅτι θεοὶ οὐτ’ εἰσίν, εἴ τε καὶ εἰσίν, οὐκ ἐπιμελοῦνται ἀνθρώπων οὐδὲ κοινόν τι ἡμῖν ἐστι πρὸς αὐτοὺς τό τ’ εὐσεβὲς τοῦτο καὶ ὄσιον παρὰ τοῖς πολλοῖς ἀνθρώποις λαλούμενον κατάψευσμά ἐστιν “ἀλαζόνων ἀνθρώπων καὶ σοφιστῶν’ ἢ νῆ Δία νομοθετῶν εἰς φόβον καὶ ἐπίσχεσιν τῶν ἀδικούντων.

"Consider the contrary assertion: The gods not exist, and even if they do, they pay no attention to men, nor have we any fellowship with them, and hence this piety and sanctity which the multitude talk about is a lie told by 'impostors and sophists,' or, I swear, by lawmakers to frighten and restrain evildoers."

Atticus, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 ^{BCE}), Preparation for the Gospel, 15.13

αὐτῶν (τῶν θεῶν Ἐπίκουρος) ἀφεῖλε τὴν πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἐνέργειαν, ἐξ ἧς μόνης τὸ εἶναι τοὺς θεοὺς ἔμελλε τὴν δικαίαν πίστιν ἔξειν.

He {Epicurus} deprived the gods of their activity towards us, from which alone a just confidence in their existence was likely to be derived.

Atticus, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 ^{BCE}), Preparation for the Gospel, 15.5.3

ὁ δὲ τὴν δαιμονίαν ταύτην φύσιν ἐκποδιὺν ποιούμενος καὶ τὴν τε εἰς αὐθις ἐλπίδα τῆς ψυχῆς ἀποτέμνων τὴν τε ἐν τῷ παρόντι πρὸς τῶν κρειττόνων εὐλάβειαν ἀφαιρούμενος τίνα πρὸς Πλάτωνα ἔχει κοινωνίαν; ἢ πῶς ἂν ἐφ’ ᾧ βούλεται Πλάτων, παρακαλέσαι καὶ πιστώσαιοτο τὰ εἰρημένα; πᾶν γὰρ τούναντίον συνεργὸς ἂν οὗτός γε καὶ συναγωνιστὴς τῶν ἐθελόντων ἀδικεῖν φανεῖη. πᾶς γάρ τις ἄνθρωπος ὧν καὶ ταῖς ἀνθρωπίναις ὀρέξεσι κατεσχημένος ἂν καταφρονήσῃ τῶν θεῶν καὶ μηδὲν νομίσῃ πρὸς αὐτόν, ἅτε πόρρω μὲν αὐτῶν ἀπωκισμένος ἐν τῷ ζῆν, ἀποθανῶν δὲ οὐδὲ ἔτι ὧν, ἔτοιμος ἂν ἔλθοι πρὸς τὸ χαρίζεσθαι ταῖς ἐπιθυμίαις.

He who puts aside this divine nature, and cuts off the soul's hope of hereafter, and destroys reverence before superior Beings in the present life, what communion has he with Plato? Or how could he exhort men to what Plato desires, and confirm his sayings? For on the contrary he surely would appear as the helper and ally of those who wish to do injustice. For every one who is human and constrained by human desires, if he despise the gods and think they are nothing to him, inasmuch as in life he dwells far away from them, and after death exists no more, will come prepared to gratify his lusts.

Atticus, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 ^{BCE}), Preparation for the Gospel, 15.5.6

τὴν ἐκ θεῶν ἄδειαν παρασχόμενος.

...guaranteeing the impunity on the part of the gods.

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 ^{CE}), Exhortation to the Greeks, 5

Ἐπικούρου μὲν γὰρ μόνου καὶ ἐκὼν ἐκλήσομαι, ὃς οὐδέν. μέλιν οἴεται τῷ θεῷ, διὰ πάντων ἀσεβῶν.

Epicurus alone I will banish from memory, and willingly at that. For he, preeminent in impiety, thinks that God has no care for the world.

Plotinus (fl.c. 245 ^{CE}), Dissertations, 30 (Aeneids, 2.9), 15

Ἐπίκουρος τὴν πρόνοιαν ἀναιρῶν...

Epicurus, who rejects providence...

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), Divine Institutes, 1.2.1

nōn putāvī adeō necessārium ab illā quaestiōne p̄ncipium sūmere, quae vidētur p̄ima esse nātūrā, sitne p̄videntia quae rēbus omnibus cōsulat, an fortuitū vel facta sint omnia vel gerantur: cuius sententiae auctor est Dēmocritus, cōfirmātor Epicūrus.

I did not think it so necessary to take my beginning from that question which seems to be the first by nature—whether there is a providence that cares for all things, or whether all things have been made and are conducted by chance—of which opinion Democritus is the originator and Epicurus the confirmer.

I do not think it so necessary to maintain a principle from what question which seems to be primary by nature, whether it is providence which takes care of all things, or whether they have been made and are carried on fortuitously. The author of this opinion is Democritus; its establisher, Epicurus.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), Divine Institutes, 2.8.48

nam dīvinā p̄videntiā effectum esse mundum a p̄mīs illīs septem sapientibus ad Socraten usque et Platōnem p̄rō cōfessō et indubitātō habitum est, donec ūnus multīs post saeculis exstitit dēlīrus Epicūrus, quī audēret negāre id quod est ēvidentissimum, studiō sc̄ilicet inveniendī nova, ut nōmine suō cōstitueret disciplīnam. et quia nihil novī potuit reperīre, ut tamen dissentīre a cēterīs vidērētur, vetera voluit ēvertere, in quō illum circumlatrantēs philosophi omnēs coarguerunt.

For that the world was made by divine providence was held as a confessed and undoubted truth from those first seven sages down to Socrates and Plato, until, many ages afterward, there arose the madman Epicurus, who dared to deny what is most evident—out of a zeal, of course, for discovering novelties, so that he might establish a school under his own name. And because he could discover nothing new, yet wished to seem to differ from the rest, he sought to overthrow the old doctrines, in which all the philosophers, barking around him, refuted him.

The world was made by Divine Providence. ... this was held as an acknowledged and indubitable fact by those first seven wise men up to Socrates and Plato even, until the mad Epicurus arose many ages after, and dared to deny that which is most evident, with a zeal and desire of inventing new beliefs, so that he might set up a system under his own name.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), On the Anger of God, 9.4

postea vērō Epicūrus deum quidem esse dīxit, quia necesse sit esse aliquid in mundō praestāns et eximium et beātum, prōvidentiam tamen nullam; itaque mundum ipsum nec ratiōne ūllā nec arte nec fabricā instructum, sed nātūram rērum quibusdam minūtīs sēminibus et īsecābilibus conglobātam.

Afterward, indeed, Epicurus said that there is a god—since it is necessary that there be in the world something preeminent and excellent and blessed—but that there is no providence; and thus the world itself, he said, was arranged by no reason, art, or workmanship, but that the nature of things was compacted from certain minute and indivisible seeds.

Later, however, Epicurus said that there was a god, indeed, because it was necessary that there be in the world something outstanding, and distinguished, and blessed, but still he held that there was no providence; and, as a result of this, the world itself he regarded as fashioned neither by any plan nor by design nor by art, but that the nature of things had conglobated by certain minute and inseparable seeds.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1123A

τίς οὖν κινεῖ τὰ πεπιστευμένα καὶ μάχεται τοῖς ἐναργέσιν; οἱ μαντικὴν ἀναιροῦντες καὶ πρόνοιαν ὑπάρχειν θεῶν μὴ φάσκοντες.

Who is it that upsets accepted beliefs and comes in conflict with the plainest facts? It is those who reject divination and deny that there exists divine providence.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1124E

πότε οὖν ἔσται θηριώδης καὶ ἄγριος καὶ ἄμικτος ἡμῶν ὁ βίος; ὅταν ἀναιρεθῶσι μὲν οἱ νόμοι, μένωσι δὲ οἱ πρὸς ἡδονὴν παρακαλοῦντες λόγοι, πρόνοια δὲ θεῶν μὴ νομίζεται.

When, therefore, will our life be that of a beast, savage and without fellowship? When the laws are swept away, but the arguments that summon us to a life of pleasure are left standing; when the providence of heaven is not believed in...

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1111B

καὶ γὰρ τὴν πρόνοιαν ἀναιρῶν (Ἐπίκουρος) εὐσέβειαν ἀπολιπεῖν λέγει.

Thus he does away with providence, but says he has left us with piety.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against the Stoics, 1075E

(οἱ Στωικοὶ) πρὸς τὸν Ἐπίκουρον οὐδὲν ἀπολείπουσι τῶν πραγμάτων, Ἰοῦ ἰοῦ φεῦ φεῦ βοῶντες, ὥς συγγέοντα τὴν τῶν θεῶν πρόληψιν, ἀναιρουμένης τῆς προνοίας.

The Stoics themselves make no end of fuss crying woe and shame upon Epicurus for violating the preconception of the gods because he does away with providence, for they say that god is preconceived and conceived to be not only immortal and blessed but also humane and protective and beneficent.

[U369]

Origen (fl.c. 225 CE), Against Celsus, 1.13

Ἐπικουρείους δεισιδαιμονίαν ἐγκαλοῦντας τοῖς εἰσάγουσι πρόνοιαν καὶ θεὸν ἐφιστᾷσι τοῖς ὅλοις.

...the Epicureans, who charge as superstitious those who advocate Providence and put God in lordship of everything.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1101C

(οἱ Ἐπικούρειοι) διαβάλλοντες τὴν πρόνοιαν ὥσπερ παισὶν Ἔμπουσαν ἢ Ποινὴν ἀλιτηριώδη καὶ τραγικὴν ἐπικρεμαμένην.

{The Epicureans} malign Providence as if she were some foul witch to frighten children with or an unrelenting Fury of punishment hanging over our heads.

[U370]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Instituions, 3.17.8

vidēbat Epicūrus bonīs adversa semper accidere—paupertātem, labōrēs, exsilia, cārōrum amissiōnēs; malōs contrā beātōs esse, augērī potentia, honōribus adfici. vidēbat innocentiam minus tūtam, scelera inpune committī; vidēbat sine dīlectū mōrum, sine ōrdine ac discrimine annōrum saevīre mortem: sed aliōs ad senectūtem pervenīre, aliōs īfantēs rapī, aliōs iam robustōs interīre, aliōs in prīmō adulēscentiae flōre immātūrīs fūneribus exstingui, in bellīs potiōs meliorēs et vincī et perīre. maximē autem commovebat, hominēs in prīmīs religiōsōs graviōribus malīs adfici, iīs autem quī aut deōs omnīnō neglegerent aut nōn piē colerent, vel minōra incommoda evenīre vel nulla; ipsa etiam saepe templa fulminibus conflagrāre...

Epicurus saw that misfortunes always befell the good—poverty, toil, exile, the loss of loved ones; while the wicked, on the contrary, were happy, growing in power, and honored with distinctions. He saw innocence less secure, crimes committed with impunity; he saw death raging without regard for morals, without order or distinction of age: some reaching old age, others snatched away as infants, others dying in full strength, others extinguished by untimely funerals in the first bloom of youth, and in wars the better men rather being conquered and slain. Most of all he was moved by this—that men most religious were afflicted with the heaviest misfortunes, while those who either altogether neglected the gods or worshiped them impiously suffered lesser troubles or none at all; and that even the temples themselves were often struck and set aflame by lightning.

Epicurus saw that adversities were always befalling the good: poverty, labors, exiles, and loss of dear ones; that the evil on the contrary were happy, were gaining in wealth, and were given honors. He saw that innocence was not safe, that crimes were committed with impunity; he saw that death raged without concern for morals, without any order or regard for years, but that some reached old age, while others were snatched away in childhood; some still robust reach the end, but others are cut off by untimely deaths in the first flower of adolescence; and in wars the better ones are conquered and die. It was especially disturbing, however, that religious men were among the first to be afflicted with the more serious evils, but upon those who either neglected the gods entirely or who did not piously revere them, either lesser disadvantages came or none at all. Often, also, the very temples were struck with lighting.

cum haec igitur cogitāret Epicūrus, eārum rērum velut inīquitātē inductus—sic enim causam ratiōnemque ignōrantī vidēbātur—existimāvit nullam esse prōvidentiam. quod cum sibi persuasisset, suscēpit etiam dēfendendum. sīc in errōrēs inextricābilēs sē ipse coniēcit. sī enim prōvidentia nulla est, quō modō tam ōrdinātē, tam dispositē mundus effectus est? “Nulla,” inquit, “dispositiō est;

multa enim facta sunt aliter quam fieri debuērunt.” et invēnit homō dīvinus quae reprehenderet; quae singula, sī vacāret refellere, facile ostenderem nec sapientem hunc fuisse nec sānum. item sī nulla prōvidentia est, quō modō animālium corpora tam prōvidenter ōdināta sunt, ut singula quaeque membra mīrābilī ratiōne disposita sua officia cōservant? “Nihil,” inquit, “in prōcreandīs animālibus prōvidentiae ratiō mōlīta est; nam neque oculī factī sunt ad videndum neque aurēs ad audiendum neque lingua ad loquendum neque pedēs ad ambulandum, quoniam prius haec nāta sunt quam esset loquī, audīre, vidēre, ambulāre. itaque nōn haec ad ūsus nāta sunt, sed ūsus ex illīs nātus est.” sī nulla prōvidentia est, cūr imbres cadunt, frūgēs oriuntur, arbusta frondēscunt?

When, therefore, Epicurus thought upon these things, led—as it seemed to one ignorant of the cause and reason—by the injustice of such matters, he came to believe there is no providence. When he had persuaded himself of this, he even undertook to defend it. Thus he threw himself into inextricable errors. For if there is no providence, how is the world made so orderly, so well arranged? “There is no arrangement,” he says, “for many things have been made otherwise than they ought to have been.” And this “divine” man found faults to censure—each of which, if I had leisure to refute, I could easily show him to have been neither wise nor sane. Likewise, if there is no providence, how are the bodies of living creatures so providently arranged that each part, disposed with marvelous reason, preserves its proper function? “Nothing,” he says, “in the generation of living creatures has been shaped by providence; for eyes were not made for seeing, nor ears for hearing, nor tongue for speaking, nor feet for walking, since these parts were produced before there was seeing, hearing, speaking, or walking. Therefore, these were not made for use, but use arose from them.” If there is no providence, why do rains fall, crops grow, and trees put forth leaves?

“nōn,” inquit, “semper animantium causā ista fiunt, quoniam prōvidentiae nihil prōsunt; sed omnia suā sponte fieri necesse est. unde ergō nāscuntur aut quō modō sunt omnia quae geruntur? nōn est,” inquit, “prōvidentiae opus. sunt enim sēmina per ināne volitantia, quibus inter sē temerē conglobātis ūniversa gignuntur atque concrēscunt.”

He says, “These things do not always happen for the sake of living creatures, since they are of no benefit to providence; but all things must come to be of their own accord. Whence, then, are all things born, or how do those things exist which take place? It is not,” he says, “the work of providence. For there are seeds flying through the void, and when these come together by chance, all things are generated and grow together.

not in Usener {Cf. Lucretius, 2.1101} ... 17.16

When, therefore, Epicurus thought on these matters, as if influenced by the iniquity of those things, for so it seemed to one not knowing the cause and reason, he believed that there was no providence. When he had persuaded himself of this theory, he even undertook that it should be defended. Thus he cast himself into inextricable errors. For if there is no providence, how was the world made so orderly, by its arrangement? "There is no arrangement" he says, "for many things have been done differently from the way they should have been."

Lucretius (fl.c. 59 BCE), 2.180 & 5.195

And a godlike man discovered what he should reprehend. If there were time to refute each single thing, I would show easily that this man was neither wise nor sane. Likewise, if there is no providence, how are bodies of animals so ordered that each of the members disposed in a marvelous arrangement preserves its own functions? He says: "The plan of providence has done nothing in the procreating of animals. Neither were the eyes made for seeing, nor the ears for hearing, nor the tongue for speaking, nor the feet for walking, since these were in existence before there was seeing, hearing, speaking, and walking. So these things were not produced for use, but the use came from them.

If there is no providence, why do the rains fall, grains rise, trees flower? He says that "those are not for the sake of living things, since they are of no profit to providence, but all things must happen of their own accord." Whence, therefore, are they born, or how do all things which happen come to be? He says that it is not the work of providence. "There are seeds flying about through the void, and when these have massed together at random among themselves, all things are born and grow."

[U371]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutions, 7.5.3 & 7

sicut ergō mundum nōn propter sē deus fēcit, quia commōdīs eius nōn indiget, sed propter hominem, quī eō ūtur, ita ipsum hominem propter sē. “quae utilitās deō in homine,” inquit Epicūrus, “ut eum propter sē faceret?” scīlicet ut esset quī opera eius intellexeret, quī prōvidentiam disponendī, ratiōnem faciendī, virtūtem consummandī et sensū admirārī et voce prōloquī posset: quōrum omnium summa haec est, ut deum colat.

Just as, therefore, God did not make the world for himself—since he has no need of its advantages—but for man, who uses it, so also he made man for himself. “What profit has God in man,” says Epicurus, “that he should have made him for himself?” Evidently, so that there might be one who could understand his works, who could perceive his providence in arranging, his reason in making, his excellence in perfecting, and who could admire with feeling and proclaim with voice—all of which come to this: that he might worship God.

Therefore, just as God did not make the world for Himself, because He does not need its advantages; but because of man who uses it, so He made man on account of Himself. "What usefulness for god is there, that he should make man for himself?" asks Epicurus.

“quid ergō,” inquit, “deō cultus hominis cōnfert beātō et nullā rē indigentī? vel sī tantum honōris hominī habuit, ut ipsīus causā mundum fabricāret, ut īstrueret eum sapientiā, ut dominum vīventium faceret eumque dīligeret tamquam filium, cūr mortālem fragilēmque cōstituit? cūr omnibus malīs quem dīligēbat obiēcit? cum oportēret et beātum esse hominem tamquam coniūctum et proximum deō, et perpetuum, sicut est ipse, ad quem colendum et contemplandum figūrātus est.”

“What then,” he says, “does the worship of man bring to God, who is blessed and in need of nothing? Or if he held man in such honor that for his sake he fashioned the world, instructed him with wisdom, made him lord of living things, and loved him as a son—why did he make him mortal and frail? Why did he expose the one he loved to every evil, when he ought to have made man blessed as being joined and near to God, and everlasting, like him, for whose worship and contemplation he was formed?”

Lucretius (fl. c. 59 BCE), 5.165

Surely, it was so that he might understand His works; that he might be able to admire with his senses and declare with his voice the providence of His arrangement, the plan of His accomplishment, and the virtue of His completion of the work. The summation of all these acts is that he worships God.

5.7:

"What then" he says, "does the worship on the part of man confer upon a god who is blessed and in need of nothing? If he had so much regard for man that he made the world on account of him, that he equipped him with wisdom, that he made him master of living things, and that he loved him as a son, why did he make him mortal and frail? Why did he put him whom he loved up against all evils, when man should have been both happy, as though joined and near to god, and everlasting, as he is himself, for the worshipping and contemplation of whom he was made?"

Stōicī “hominum,” inquīunt, “causā mundus effectus est.” audiō. sed Epicūrus ignōrat ipsōs hominēs quā rē aut quis effēcerit.

“The Stoics say, ‘The world was made for the sake of men.’ I hear them. But Epicurus does not know by what cause or by whom men themselves were made.”

The Stoics say that the world was made for the sake of men. I hear this argument. But Epicurus does not know the men themselves, or why, or who made them.

[U372]

Lactantius, The Works of God, 2.10

unde ego philosophōrum quī Epicūrum sequuntur amentiam soleō mīrārī, quī nātūrae opera reprehendunt, ut ostendant nullā prōvidentiā īnstructum esse ac regī mundum, sed orīginen rērum īnsecābilibus ac solidīs corporibus adsīgnant, quōrum fortuitīs concursiōnibus ūniversa nāscantur et nāta sint. praetereō quae ad ipsum mundum pertinentia vitiō dant, in quō rīdiculē īnsāniunt. id sūmō, quod ad rem dē quā nunc agimus pertinet.

Hence I am accustomed to marvel at the madness of those philosophers who follow Epicurus, who find fault with the works of nature in order to show that the world is arranged and governed by no providence, yet assign the origin of things to indivisible and solid bodies, from whose chance collisions all things come to be and have been born. I pass over the faults they ascribe to the world itself—in which they rave absurdly. I take up that point which pertains to the matter we are now discussing.

Wherefore, I often marvel at the folly of those philosophers in the wake of Epicurus who condemn the works of nature that they may show that the world is formed and governed by no providence. They assign the origin of things to inseparable and solid bodies from the chance combinations of which all things come to be and have arisen. I pass by the things pertaining to the world itself with which they find fault; in this they are mad, even to the point of ridicule. I take up now that which pertains to the subject which we have at hand.

queruntur hominem nimis inbecillum et fragīlem nāscī quam cētera animālia nāscuntur, quae, ut sunt ēdita ex uterō, prōtinus in pedēs suōs erigī et gestīre discursibus statimque aērī tolerandō idōnea esse, quod in lūcem nātūrālībus indumentīs mūnīta processerint: hominem contrā nūdum et inermem tamquam ex naufragiō in hūius vītae miseriās prōicī et expellī, quī neque movēre sē locō, ubi effūsus est, possit nec alimentum lactis appetere nec iniūriam temporis ferre. itaque nātūram nōn mātrem esse hūmānī generis, sed nōvercam, quae cum mūtīs tam liberālīter sē gesserit, hominem vērō sīc effūderit, ut inops et infirmus et omnī auxiliō indigens nihil aliud possit quam fragilitātis suae condiōnem plōrātū ac flētibus ominārī, scīlicet.

They complain that man is born too weak and fragile compared with other animals, which, as soon as they are brought forth from the womb, are immediately raised upon their feet and frisk about, at once fit to endure the air, because they come forth into the light clothed with their natural coverings. Man, on the contrary, naked and unarmed, is cast out and thrust forth, as from a shipwreck, into the miseries of this life; he cannot even move himself from the place where he is laid, nor seek the nourishment of milk, nor endure the injury of the weather. Therefore, they say, nature is not the mother of the human race but its stepmother, who, while she has dealt so generously with dumb beasts, has poured forth man in such a condition that, poor, weak, and needing every help, he can do nothing but with cries and tears forebode the hardship of his own frailty—of course.

cui tantum in vītā restet trānsīre malōrum.

for whom so many evils still remain to pass through in life.

...at hominis, inquiunt, educātiō maximīs labōribus cōnstat... iidem queruntur hominem morbīs et immātūrae mortī esse subiectum. indignāntur videlicet nōn deōs sē esse nātōs. minimē, inquiunt, sed ex hōc ostendimus hominem nullā

prōvidentiā esse factum, quod aliter fierī dēbuīt... nempe nullum hominem morī volunt, nisi cum centēsimum aetātis complēverit annum...

...“But,” they say, “the rearing of man depends on the greatest labors...” The same people complain that man is subject to diseases and to untimely death. They are indignant, it seems, that they were not born gods. “Not at all,” they say, “but from this we show that man was made without any providence, since he ought to have been made otherwise...” Indeed, they would have no man die unless he had completed the hundredth year of his age...

sed istī ratiōnem sequentium nōn vident, quia semel errāvērunt in ipsā summā. exclusā enim dē rēbus hūmānīs dīvinā prōvidentiā necessariō sequēbātur, ut omnia suā sponte sint nāta. hinc invēnērunt illās minūtōrum sēminum plagās et concursiōnēs fortuitās, quia rērum orīginen nōn vidēbant. in quās sē angustiās cum coniēcissent, iam cogēbat eōs necessitās existimāre animās cum corporibus nāscī et item cum corporibus exstinguī. adsumpserant enim nihil fierī mente dīvinā, quod ipsum nōn aliter probāre poterant quam sī ostenderent esse aliqua, in quibus vidērētur prōvidentiae ratiō claudicāre. reprehendērunt ergō ea, in quibus vel maximē dīvinitās suam prōvidentiam mīrābiliter expressit, ut illa quae rettulī dē morbīs et immātūrā morte, cum debuērint cogitāre hīs adsūptīs quae necessariō sequērentur.

But these men do not see the logic of what follows, because they once erred in the chief point. For when divine providence was excluded from human affairs, it necessarily followed that all things came to be of their own accord. Hence they devised those blows and chance collisions of tiny seeds, because they could not perceive the origin of things. And when they had thrown themselves into such straits, necessity now compelled them to suppose that souls are born together with bodies and perish together with them. For they had assumed that nothing is done by divine mind, which they could not prove in any other way except by trying to show that there are certain things in which the plan of providence seems to fail. They therefore censured precisely those things in which divinity has most wonderfully displayed its providence—such as those I have mentioned, diseases and untimely death—when they ought rather to have reflected, from these assumptions, upon what necessarily follows.

They complain that man is born more weak and frail than other animals. For as soon as the others come forth from the womb, they are able at once to stand erect and move about with delight, and they are at once able to endure the air because they have come forth into the light fortified by natural protections. Man, on the other hand, they claim, is cast forth naked and unarmed as from a shipwreck and is hurled upon the miseries of this life. he is able neither to move himself from the place where he has been put forth, nor to seek the nourishment of milk, nor to bear the brunt of weather. So they say that nature is not the mother of the human race, but a stepmother. She has been very liberal with the dumb beasts, but she has produced man in such a way – needy and weak – and in want of all aid he can do nothing else by indicate his condition by wailing and weeping, that is "as one for whom there remains in life only the passage of evils."

"But the training of man" they say, "consists of great struggle." 4.1: Then too, people complain that man is subjected to sickness and untimely death. They are incensed, in fact, that they have not been born gods. "Not at all" they will say, "but from this we demonstrate that man was not made with any providence, and it should have been otherwise." ...

They, mind you, would have no man die except when he has completed a hundred years of life. ... 4.12: Our opponents do not see the reason of the outcomes, because they erred once in the very keypoints of this discussion. For when divine providence was excluded from human affairs, it necessarily followed that all things came into being of their own accord. From this stage, they hit upon those impacts and chance comings together of minute seeds, because they saw no origin of things. And when they had cast themselves into these straits, then, sheer necessity forced them to think that souls were born with their bodies and were also extinguished with them. They had taken it for granted that nothing was done by a divine mind. And this very point they could not prove in any other way than by showing that there were some things in which the determination of Providence seemed to limp. They found fault, therefore, with those things in which Providence marvelously, even exceptionally, expressed in divinity, namely, those things I have referred to concerning sicknesses and untimely death, although they should have considered, when they were assuming these things, what would be a necessary consequence.

[U373]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), The Works of God, 6.7

vidēbat igitur Epicūrus in corporibus animālium dīvīnae ratiōnis sollertiam. sed ut efficeret quod ante imprūdentē adsumpserat, adiēcit aliud dēlirāmentum superiōrī congruēns. dīxit enim neque oculōs ad videndum esse nātōs neque aurēs ad audiendum neque pedēs ad ambulandum, quoniam membra haec prius nāta essent quam esset ūsus videndī et audiendī et ambulandī, sed hōrum omnium officia ex nātīs exstitisse. quid ais, Epicūre? nōn sunt ad videndum oculī nātī? cūr igitur vident? “Posteā,” inquit, “ūsus eōrum apparuit.” videndī ergō causā nātī sunt, sī quidem nihil possunt aliud quam vidēre.

Epicurus, then, saw in the bodies of living creatures the skill of divine reason. But in order to support what he had earlier assumed without understanding, he added another absurdity agreeing with the former. For he said that eyes were not born for seeing, nor ears for hearing, nor feet for walking—since these limbs were born before there was any use for seeing, hearing, or walking—but that the functions of all these arose afterward from what had been born. What say you, Epicurus? Were eyes not born for seeing? Why, then, do they see? “Afterward,” he says, “their use appeared.” Therefore, they were born for the purpose of seeing—since indeed they can do nothing else but see.

Epicurus, therefore, saw in the bodies of animals the skill of a divine plan, but, in order to accomplish what he had rashly taken upon himself before, he added another piece of nonsense in accordance with the former. He said that eyes of the body were not created for seeing or the ears for hearing or the feet for walking, since these parts were formed before there was any use of seeing and hearing and walking, but that the functions of all of these came about from them after they were produced.

Lucretius (fl. c. 59 BCE), 4.822

... What did you say, Epicurus? That the eyes were not made to see? Why, then, do they see? "Afterwards" he says, "their use appeared." For the purpose of seeing, therefore, they were produced, inasmuch as they cannot do anything else by see.

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Use of Parts, 1.21

νυνὶ δὲ ἃ περ ἤδη τινὲς τῶν ἀσπαζομένων τοὺς Ἐπικούρου τε τοῦ φιλοσόφου καὶ Ἀσκληπιάδου τοῦ ἱατροῦ λόγους ἀμφισβητοῦντες ὑπὲρ τῶν τοιούτων λέγουσιν, ἄξιον μὴ παρελθεῖν... ...δοκεῖ δὴ τούτοις τοῖς ἀνδράσιν οὐθ’ ὅτι παχεῖς οἱ τένοντες ἐγένοντο, διὰ τοῦτο καὶ τὰς ἐνεργείας εἶναι αὐτῶν σφοδράς, οὐθ’ ὅτι λεπτοί, διὰ τοῦτο ἀσθεγεῖς, ἀλλὰ ταύτας μὲν ὑπὸ τῶν κατὰ τὸν βίον χρεῖων ἀναγκάζεσθαι τοίας ἢ τοίας γίνεσθαι, τοὺς δ’ ὄγκους τῶν τενόντων τῷ ποσῷ τῆς κινήσεως ἔπεσθαι, τῶν μὲν γυμναζομένων ὡς τὸ εἰκὸς εὐεκτούντων τε καὶ παχυνομένων, τῶν δ’ ἀργούντων ἀτροφούντων τε καὶ κατισχνουμένων. οὐκ οὖν ὅτι βέλτιον ἦν τῶν μὲν σφοδρῶν ἐνεργειῶν ἰσχυροὺς καὶ παχεῖς εἶναι τοὺς τένοντας, τῶν δ’ ἀσθενεστέρων ἰσχνοὺς καὶ ἀρρώστους, οὕτω διαπλασθῆναί φασι πρὸς τῆς φύσεως -- οὐ γὰρ ἂν καὶ πιθήκοις γενέσθαι τοιούτους δακτύλους —, ἀλλ’ ὡς εἴρηται πρόσθεν, ἐξ ἀνάγκης ἀκολουθῆσαι τοῖς μὲν γυμναζομένοις τὴν παχύτητα, διότι τρέφονται καλῶς, τοῖς δ’ ἀργοῦσι τὴν ἰσχνότητα, χεῖρον καὶ τούτοις τρεφομένοις.

At this point it is proper for us not to pass over the statements of certain men who embrace the doctrines of Epicurus, the philosopher, and Asclepiades, the physician, and who disagree with me on these matters. ... These men do not believe that it is because the tendons are thick that they are powerful, or because they are slender that their actions are weak, but think that actions are what they are as the necessary result of their usefulness in life, and that the size of the tendons depends on how much they are moved; that is, tendons that are exercised in all likelihood thrive and grow thick, whereas those that lie idle get no nourishment and waste away. Hence they say that Nature did not form the tendons as they are because it was better for the tendons of powerful actions to be strong and thick, and those of more feeble actions to be thin and weak – for if so, apes would not have fingers like ours – but as I said, before, they claim that parts which are exercised necessarily become thick because they are will nourished, and pats that lie idle are poorly nourished and become thin.

[U374]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), On the Anger of God, 13.19

vidēs ergō, magis propter mala opus nōbīs esse sapientiā: quae nisi fuissent prōposita, ratiōnāle animal nōn essemus. quod sī haec ratiō vēra est quam Stōicī posuērunt, dissolvitur etiam argumentum illud Epicūrī: “deus,” inquit, “aut vult tollere mala et nōn potest, aut potest et nōn vult, aut neque vult neque potest, aut et vult et potest. sī vult et nōn potest, inbecillis est, quod in deum nōn cadit. sī potest et nōn vult, invidus, quod aequē aliēnum ā deō. sī neque vult neque potest, et invidus et inbecillis est, ideō nec deus. sī et vult et potest, quod solum deō convenit, unde ergō sunt mala? aut cūr illa nōn tollit?” scio plērōsque philosophōrum quī prōvidentiam dēfendunt, hōc argumentō perturbārī solēre et invītōs paene adigī, ut deum nihil cūrāre fateantur, quod maximē quaerit Epicūrus. sed nōs, ratiōne perspectā, formidulōsum hoc argumentum facile

dissolvimus. itaque nisi prius malum agnōverimus, nec bonum poterimus agnōscere. sed hoc nōn vīdit Epicūrus nec alius quisquam: sī tollantur mala, tollī pariter sapientiam, nec ulla in homine virtūtis remanēre vestīgia, cuius ratiō in sustinendā et superandā malōrum acerbitatē consistit.

You see, therefore, that we need wisdom chiefly because of evils; for if these had not been set before us, we would not be rational beings. And if this reasoning of the Stoics is true, that argument of Epicurus is refuted as well: “God,” he says, “either wills to remove evils and cannot, or can and does not will, or neither wills nor can, or both wills and can. If he wills and cannot, he is weak—which cannot apply to God. If he can and does not will, he is envious—which is likewise foreign to God. If he neither wills nor can, he is both envious and weak, and therefore not God. But if he both wills and can, which alone befits God, whence then are evils? Or why does he not remove them?” I know that many philosophers who defend providence are accustomed to be disturbed by this argument and almost driven unwillingly to admit that God cares for nothing—which is what Epicurus most desires. But we, once we understand the reasoning, easily dissolve this alarming argument. For unless we first recognize evil, we cannot recognize good. But this Epicurus did not see, nor any other: if evils were removed, wisdom would likewise be removed, and no trace of virtue would remain in man, whose essence lies in bearing and overcoming the bitterness of evils.

You see, then, that we need wisdom much more on account of evils. Unless these had been set before us, we would not be rational animals. And if this reasoning is true, then that argument of Epicurus is refuted. "God" he says, "either wants to eliminate bad things and cannot, or can but does not want to, or neither wishes to nor can, or both wants to and can. If he wants to and cannot, then he is weak – and this does not apply to god. If he can but does not want to, then he is spiteful – which is equally foreign to god's nature. If he neither wants to nor can, he is both weak and spiteful, and so not a god. If he wants to and can, which is the only thing fitting for a god, where then do bad things come from? Or why does he not eliminate them?" I know that most of the philosophers who defend [divine] providence are commonly shaken by this argument and against their wills are almost driven to admit that god does not care, which is exactly what Epicurus is looking for. But when the reasoning has been examined, we easily bring this formidable argument to dissolution. ... unless we first recognize evil, we shall not be able to recognize the good. But Epicurus did not see this, nor anyone else, that if evils are taken away, wisdom is equally removed; nor do any vestiges of virtue remain in man, the nature of which consists in sustaining and overcoming the bitterness of evils.

[U375]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50^{CE}), Placita Philosophorum, 1.29.5

Ἐπίκουρος ᾠπάνταᾠ κατᾠ ἀνάγκῃν, κατὰ προαίρεσιν, κατὰ τύχῃν (γίνεσθαι).

Epicurus says that all things happen by necessity, by choice, and/or by chance.

[U376]

Cícero (fl. 66^{BCE}), Academica 2.30.97 (Lucullus)

cum ab Epicūrō, quī tōtam dialecticam et contemnit et inrīdet, nōn impetrent ut vērū esse cōcedat quod ita effabimur “aut vīvet crās Hermarchus aut nōn vīvet,” cum dialecticī sīc statuant, omne quod ita disiunctum sit quasi “aut etiam aut nōn” nōn modo vērū esse sed etiam necessārium, vidē quam sit catus is quem istī tardum putant. “enim,” inquit, “alterutrum concesserō necessārium esse, necesse erit crās Hermarchum aut vīvere aut nōn vīvere: nulla autem est in nātūrā rērū tālis necessitās.” cum hoc igitur dialecticī pugnent, id est Antiochus et Stōicī: tōtam enim ēvertit dialecticam.

Since they cannot obtain from Epicurus—who both despises and mocks all dialectic—that he grant the truth of this statement, “Hermarchus will live tomorrow or he will not live,” while the dialecticians maintain that every such disjunction, “either so or not so,” is not only true but also necessary—see how shrewd is the man whom these others call dull. “For,” he says, “if I grant that one or the other is necessary, it will follow that it is necessary tomorrow either that Hermarchus live or that he not live; but no such necessity exists in the nature of things.” Therefore, with this point the dialecticians—namely Antiochus and the Stoics—contend; for he thereby overturns the whole of dialectic.

They will not get Epicurus, who despises and laughs at the whole of dialectic, to admit the validity of a proposition of the form "Hermarchus will either be alive tomorrow or not alive" while dialecticians demand that every disjunctive proposition of the form "either x or not-x" is not only valid but even necessary, See how on his guard the man is whom your friends think slow; for "If" he says, "I admit either of the two to be necessary, it will follow that Hermarchus must either be alive tomorrow or not alive; but as a matter of fact in the nature of things no such necessity exists." Therefore let the dialecticians, that is, Antiochus and the Stoics, do battle with this philosopher, for he overthrows the whole of dialectic.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.25.70 (Cotta)

idem facit Epicūrus contrā dialecticōs: ā quibus, cum trāditum sit in omnibus dīiunctionibus in quibus “aut etiam aut nōn” ponerētur, alterum utrum esse vērum, pertimuit nē, sī concessum esset huius modī aliquid “aut vīvet crās aut nōn vīvet Epicūrus,” alterutrum fieret necessārium; tōtum hoc “aut etiam aut nōn” negāvit esse necessārium — quō quid dīcī potuit obtūsus?

Epicurus does the same against the dialecticians: from whom, since it has been handed down that in every disjunction where “either...or not” is used, one or the other must be true, he became afraid that, if something of this sort were granted—“Epicurus will live tomorrow or he will not live”—one of the alternatives would become necessary. So he denied that any such “either...or not” is necessary. What could be said, asks the critic, more dull-witted than that?

Epicurus did the same sort of thing in his argument with the logicians. It is an axiom of the traditional logic that in every disjunctive proposition of the form "X either is ... or is not ..." one of the alternatives must be true. He was afraid that if he admitted anything of this sort, then in a proposition such as "Tomorrow Epicurus will either be alive or he will not be alive" one or the other of the statements would be a necessary truth: so to avoid this he denied that there was any logical necessity at all in a disjunction proposition, which is too stupid for words!

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Fate, 10.21

hic primum, sī mihi libeat adsentīrī Epicūrō et negāre omnem enuntiātiōnem aut vēram esse aut falsam, eam plagam potiūs accipiam quam fatō omnia fierī comprobem; illa enim sententia habet aliquid disputātiōnis, haec vērō nōn est tolerābilis. itaque contendit omnīs nervōs Chrysippus, ut persuādeat omne ὀξίωμα aut vērum esse aut falsum. Epicūrus verētur, nē, sī hoc concesserit, concedendum sit fatō fierī quae cumque fiant: sī enim alterum utrum ex aeternitāte vērum sit, esse id etiam certum, et sī certum, etiam necessārium; ita et necessitātem et fatum cōfirmārī putat.

At this point, if I were inclined to agree with Epicurus and deny that every proposition is either true or false, I would rather accept that absurdity than admit that all things happen by fate; for the former view has at least some ground for debate, but the latter is utterly intolerable. Therefore Chrysippus strains every nerve to persuade that every *axiōma* (proposition) is either true or false. Epicurus fears that, if he grants this, he must also grant that whatever happens happens by fate; for if one of the alternatives is true from eternity, then it is also certain—and if certain, also necessary. Thus he thinks that both necessity and fate are thereby affirmed.

Now here, first of all, if it were my desire to agree with Epicurus and deny that every proposition is either true or false, I would rather accept that blow than agree that all things come about through fate; for the former opinion gives some scope for discussion, but the latter is intolerable. So Chrysippus strains every sinew in order to convince us that every proposition is either true or false. Epicurus is afraid that, if he concedes this, he will have to concede that whatever comes about does so through fate; for if either the assertion or the denial is true from eternity, it will also be certain – and if certain, also necessary. [cf. *Ibid.*, 9.19]

[U377]

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 ^{CE}), *Commentary on Aristotle's Physics*, 2.8

ὅπου μὲν οὖν ἅπαντα συνέβη ὥσπερ κἂν εἰ ἔνεκά του ἐγίνετο, ταῦτα μὲν ἐσώθη ἀπὸ τοῦ αὐτομάτου συστάντα ἐπιτηδείως· ὅσα δὲ μὴ οὕτως, ἀπώλετο καὶ ἀπόλλυται, καθά περ Ἐμπεδοκλῆς λέγει τὰ 'βουγενῇ ἀνδρόπρωρα'

In cases where everything happened as though it were for the sake of some goal, these creatures were preserved because, although they were formed by chance, they were formed as suitable compounds; but in other cases [the creature] perished and still do perish, as Empedocles refers to "ox-like creatures with human faces." ;

Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 ^{CE}), *Commentary on Aristotle's Physics* [fr. 84u Ald.; p. 372.9 Diels]

ταύτης δοκοῦσι τῆς δόξης τῶν μὲν ἀρχαίων φυσικῶν ὅσοι τὴν ὑλικὴν ἀνάγκην αἰτίαν εἶναι τῶν γινομένων φασί, τῶν δὲ ὑστέρων οἱ Ἐπικούρειοι. ἡ δὲ πλάνη γέγονεν αὐτοῖς, ὥς φησιν Ἀλέξανδρος, ἀπὸ τοῦ ἡγεῖσθαι πάντα τὰ ἔνεκά του γινόμενα κατὰ προαίρεσιν γίνεσθαι καὶ λογισμόν, τὰ δὲ φύσει μὴ οὕτως ὁρᾶν γινόμενα.

The ancient natural philosophers who said that material necessity determines the cause of things which come to be, seem to hold this opinion, and among later thinkers so do the Epicureans. Their error, as Alexander says, comes from thinking that everything which comes to be for the sake of a goal comes to be by intention and calculation, and observing that things which come about by nature do not come to be in this way.

[U378]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), *On the Contradictions of the Stoics*, 32, 1050C

καί τοι ὁ μὲν Ἐπίκουρος ἀμῶς γέ πως στρέφεται καὶ φιλοτεχνεῖ τῆς αἰδίου κινήσεως μηχανώμενος ἐλευθερῶσαι καὶ ἀπολῦσαι τὸ ἐκούσιον ὑπὲρ τοῦ μὴ καταλιπεῖν ἀνέγκλητον τὴν κακίαν.

And Epicurus, for his part, twists about and exercises his ingenuity in conniving to free and liberate voluntary action from the necessity of eternal motion, in order not to leave vice immune to blame.

[U379]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), *On Fate*, 10.22, 23

Epicūrus dēclinatione atomī vītārī necessitātem fātī putat... hanc Epicūrus ratiōnem induxit ob eam rem, quod veritus est nē, sī semper atomus gravitāte ferretur nātūrālī ac necessāriā, nihil liberum nōbīs esset, cum ita movērētur animus, ut atomōrum motū cōgerētur. acūtius Carneadēs, quī docēbat posse Epicureōs suam causam sine hāc commenticiā dēclinatione dēfendere. nam cum docērent esse posse quendam animī motum voluntārium, id fuit dēfendī melius quam introducere dēclinationem... cum enim concessissent motum nullum esse

sine causā, nōn concederent omnia quae fierent fierī causīs antecedentibus: voluntātis enim nostrae nōn esse causās externās et antecedentēs.

Epicurus thinks that the necessity of fate is avoided by the swerve of the atom. He introduced this theory for this reason: fearing that if the atom were always carried downward by its natural and necessary weight, nothing would be left free to us, since the mind would then move as compelled by the motion of the atoms. Carneades reasoned more sharply, teaching that the Epicureans could defend their position without this fictitious swerve. For since they taught that there could exist a certain voluntary motion of the mind, that was a better point to defend than to invent the swerve. For although they had granted that there is no motion without a cause, they did not grant that all things which happen arise from preceding causes; for the motions of our will, they said, have neither external nor antecedent causes.

Epicurus, however, thinks that the necessity of fate is avoided by the swerve of the atom; Epicurus introduced this theory because he was afraid that, if the atom was always carried along by its weight in a natural and necessary way, we would have no freedom, since our mind would be moved in the way in which it was constrained by the movement of the atoms. ... More acutely, Carneades taught that the Epicureans could have maintained their position without this fictitious swerve. For, seeing that [Epicurus] taught that there could be some voluntary movement of the mind, it would have been better to defend that than to introduce the swerve, especially as they cannot find a cause for it. ... For in having admitted that there was no movement without a cause, they would not be admitting that all things that came about die so through antecedent causes. For (they could have said), there are no external and antecedent causes of our will.

[U380]

Aëtius (fl. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.29.6

Ἐπίκουρος (τὴν τύχην λέγει) ἄστατον αἰτίαν προσώποις χρόνοις τόποις.

Epicurus says that chance is a cause which is uncertain with respect to persons, times, and places.

[U381]

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Use of Parts, 7.14

ὅπως οὖν ἐπληρωθῶσατο τοῦθ' ἡ φύσις ἐξευροῦσα σοφὴν μηχανήν, ἐγὼ μὲν οὐκ ἂν ἐβουλόμην ἤδη μοι λέγεσθαι, πρὶν τοῖς ἀμφὶ τὸν Ἀσκληπιάδην τε καὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον ἐπιτρέψαι ζητῆσαι, τίνα τρόπον ἂν εἴ περ αὐτοὶ κατέστησαν ἐν χώρᾳ τοῦ διαπλάττοντος τὰ ζῶα, τοῖς εἰρημένοις μυσὶν τῶν νεύρων μετέδοσαν. εἴωθα γὰρ οὕτω ποιεῖν ἐνίοτε καὶ συγχωρεῖν αὐτοῖς εἰς τὴν σκέψιν οὐχ ἡμερῶν μόνον ἀλλὰ καὶ μηνῶν ὅποσον ἂν αὐτοὶ βουλευθῶσιν ἀριθμόν. ἐπεὶ δ' οὐκ ἐγχωρεῖ πράττειν οὕτως ἐν γράμμασιν οὐδὲ τὴν ἐκείνων σοφίαν παραβάλλειν τῇ τῆς φύσεως ἀτεχνίᾳ καὶ δεικνύειν, ὅπως ἡ λοιδορουμένη πρὸς αὐτῶν ὡς ἄτεχνος φύσις εἰς τοσοῦτον εὐμηχανωτέρα φαίνεται τῆς σοφίας αὐτῶν, ὥστ' οὐδ' ἐπινοῆσαι δύνανται τῶν ἔργων αὐτῆς τὴν τέχνην, ἀναγκαῖον ἤδη μοι λέγειν τὰς μηχανὰς τῆς φύσεως.

I would not wish to tell how Nature corrected this fault {the relative isolation of some muscles from the nervous system} by inventing a clever device unless I first permitted the disciples of Asclepiades and Epicurus to search out the way in which they would have conferred nerves on these muscles if they were placed in the role of the Creator of animals; for I am in the habit of doing this sometimes and of granting them as many days or even months as they wish for deliberation. One cannot do so, however, when writing a book and cannot compare the wisdom of these gentlemen with Nature's lack of skill or show how the Nature the rebuke as being unskillful is so much more ingenious than they are with all their cleverness that they are unable to conceive of the skill with which she works. Hence, I find it necessary to tell now about the devices Nature has employed in order to give the muscles in question their share of nerves and motion.

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Construction of the Embryo, 6

οὐ γὰρ δὴ τῆς ὀνομαζομένης φύσεως τὴν οὐσίαν, εἴ τ' ἀσώματός τις ἐστὶν εἴ τε σωματικὴ, σοφίας εἰς ἄκρον ἤκειν ἐροῦσιν, ἣν γε μηδ' ὅλως ἔχειν φασίν, (οὐδ') οὕτω τεχνικῶς ἐνεργῆσαι περὶ τὴν τῶν ἐμβρύων διὰ πλασιν οἶόν τε πεισθῆναι.

τοῦτο γὰρ Ἐπικούρου τε καὶ τῶν ἄνευ προνοίας ἅπαντα γίνεσθαι νομιζόντων ἀκούοντες οὐ πειθόμεθα.

It will certainly not be admitted that the substance of this 'Nature' {of the cause and formation of the embryo} – whether that is something incorporeal or corporeal – reaches this peak of intelligence by people who declare that they cannot believe it in any way possible that this entity functions in such skilful manner in the construction of the embryo. But we, on hearing this assertion from Epicurus and from those who maintain that everything happens without design, do not stand convinced of it.

[U382]

Aëtius (fl. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.3.1 & 2

οἱ μὲν ἄλλοι πάντες ἔμψυχον τὸν κόσμον καὶ προνοία διοικούμενον· Λεύκιππος δὲ καὶ Δημόκριτος καὶ Ἐπίκουρος οὐδέτερα τούτων, φύσει δὲ ἀλόγῳ, ἐκ τῶν ἀτόμων συνεστῶτα

All the other philosophers considered that the world is alive and governed by providence. Leucippus, Democritus, and Epicurus, by contrast, say that neither is so; rather, it is made up of atoms, by nature and without reason.

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Use of Parts, 11.8

ἀλλὰ καὶ τὸ δῆσαι συνδέσμοις ἰσχυροῖς (τοὺς ὀδόντας) πρὸς τὰ φατνία καὶ μάλιστα κατὰ τὰς ρίζας, ἵνα περ καὶ τὰ νεῦρα καταφύεται, πῶς οὐ καὶ. τοῦτ’ ἂν τις θαυμάζοι; καὶ πολὺ μᾶλλον εἰ τύχης ἐστὶν ἀλλὰ μὴ τέχνης ἔργον; ὃ δὲ δὴ πάντων μάλιστ’ ἂν τις θαυμάσειε καὶ συγχωρήσας ἅπασαν ταῖς Ἐπικουρείοις ἀτόμοις καὶ τοῖς Ἀσκληπιαδείοις ὄγκοις τὴν ἔμπροσθεν εἰρημένην εὐτυχίαν, τοῦτ’ οὐκ ἂν ἔτι συγχωρήσειεν, ἀλλ’ ἐπιστήσειέ τε καὶ τινος ἐπιστάτου δικαίου μᾶλλον ἢ κινήσεως εὐτυχοῦς ἔργον εἶναι φήσειε, τὴν τῶν ὀδόντων ἰσότητα.

Moreover, would not one also marvel that the teeth are bound to the phatnia with strong ligaments {the periosteum}, especially at the roots where the nerves are inserted, and marvel the more if this is the work of chance, not skill? But the thing a person would marvel at most of all is the ordered disposition of the teeth – something that, even granting all the aforesaid good fortune of the Epicurean atoms and the particles of Asclepiades, he would not allow, balking and saying that it was the work of a just Governor and not of fortunate motion.

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Use of Parts, 874

δεδόσθω δ’ ὅμως καὶ ταῦτα ταῖς εὐτυχεστάταις ἀτόμοις, ἅς ἀλόγως μὲν ἐκεῖνοι κινεῖσθαι φασι, κινδυνεύουσι δὲ μᾶλλον Ἐπικούρου τε καὶ Ἀσκληπιάδου λελογισμένως πάντα διαπράττεσθαι.

Nevertheless, let us grant even this to the most fortunate atoms, which those men say move without reason, but which are in more danger of doing everything according to reason than are Epicurus and Asclepiades.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 7.3.23

reddant ergō istī ratiōnem, sī possunt, cūr (mundus) aut factus in prīncipiō sit aut posteā resolvātur. quod quia docēre nōn poterat Epicūrus sive Dēmocritus, suā sponte nātum esse dīxit sēminibus inter sē passim coeuntibus, quibus iterum resolutīs discidium atque interitum secūtūrum... corrūpit ergō quod rectē vīderat, et tōtam ratiōnem penitus ignōrantiā ratiōnis ēvertit, redēgitque mundum et omnia quae in eō geruntur ad similitudinem cuiusdam vānisimī somnīi, sī quidem rēbus hūmānīs ratiō nulla subsistat.

Let them, then, give an explanation, if they can, why the world either was made in the beginning or will later be dissolved. Since Epicurus or Democritus could not explain this, he said it had arisen of its own accord, from seeds meeting with one another at random... which, once again dissolved, would bring about division and destruction. Thus he corrupted what he had rightly perceived, and through ignorance of reason utterly overthrew all reason, reducing the world and all things that are done in it to the likeness of a most empty dream, if indeed no reason at all exists in human affairs.

Let them make the case, if they can, either why [the world] was made in the beginning or should afterwards be destroyed. Since Epicurus, or Democritus, was not able to show this, he said that it was begun of its own accord, seeds coming together here and there. And when these were again loosened, separation and dissolution would follow. Therefore, he corrupted what he had rightly seen, and completely overturned the whole plan by his ignorance of the plan; and he reduced the world and all things which go on in it to the likeness of a certain very empty dream since no plan subsists in human affairs.

[U383]

Emperor Julian the Apostate (obit. 363), Orations, 5, "Hymn to the Mother of the Gods"

εἶναι τι λέγομεν ὕλην, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἔνυλον εἶδος. ἀλλὰ τούτων εἰ μὴ τις αἰτία προτέτακται, λανθάνοιμεν ἂν ἑαυτοὺς εἰσάγοντες τὴν Ἐπικούρειον δόξαν· ἀρχαῖν γὰρ δυοῖν εἰ μηδὲν ἐστὶ πρεσβύτερον, αὐτόματος πάντα φορὰ καὶ τύχη συνεκλήρωσεν.

We assert that matter exists and also form, embodied in matter. But if no cause be assigned prior to these two, we should be introducing, unconsciously, the Epicurean doctrine. For if there be nothing of higher order than these two principles, then spontaneous motion and chance brought them together.

*Dionysius the Episcopalian,
by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 BCE), Preparation for the Gospel, 14.24*

πῶς αὐτῶν (τῶν περὶ Ἐπίκουρον) ἀνασχώμεθα τυχηρὰ λεγόντων εἶναι συμπτώματα τὰ σοφὰ δὴ ταῦτα καὶ καλὰ δημιουργήματα;

How are we to bear with them {the atomists} when they assert that the wise and therefore beautiful works of creation are accidental coincidences?

Proclus (Proklos Diadokhos, fl. 452 CE), Commentary on Plato's "Timeas," p. 80 midway

τοῦτο δὲ τὸ ἀξίωμα τοῖς μὲν Ἐπικουρείοις καὶ πάντῃ προπεπηλάκισται τὸν ὅλον οὐρανὸν καὶ τὰ θεϊότατα τῶν φανερῶν ἀπὸ ταῦτομάτου ποιοῦσι.

This axiom {of Aristotle, that each 'particular' is generated by a certain cause}, is entirely derided by the Epicureans, who make the whole world, and the most divine of visible natures, to be the work of chance.

Proclus (Proklos Diadokhos, fl. 452 CE), p. 81 below

ἀποροῦσι δέ τινες, ὅπως ὁ Πλάτων ἔλαβεν ὡς ὤμολογημένον τὸ δημιουργὸν εἶναι τοῦ παντὸς εἰς παράδειγμα βλέποντα, μὴ γὰρ εἶναι δημιουργὸν εἰς τὸ κατὰ ταῦτὰ ἔχον ὀρῶντα. πολλοὶ γὰρ καὶ τούτου προεστᾶσι τοῦ λόγου τῶν παλαιῶν οἱ μὲν Ἐπικούρειοι καὶ πάντῃ τοῦ παντὸς αἴτιον οὐκ εἶναι φάσκοντες.

Some doubt, however, how Plato assumes as a thing acknowledged that there is a Demiurge {i.e., a creator} of the world who pursues a plan: for they say there is not a Demiurge of it who directs his attention to that which is invariably the same. Any many of the ancients indeed are the patrons of this assertion; particularly the Epicureans, who entirely deny that there is Demiurge and, even generally, a cause of all things.

Proclus (Proklos Diadokhos, fl. 452 CE), p. 82.5

πόθεν δὲ τὸ κινεῖσθαι (τὸν κόσμον) ἐπ’ ἄπειρον πεπερασμένον ὄντα; πᾶν γὰρ σῶμα πεπερασμένην ἔχει δύναμιν, ὥς φησι πόθεν οὖν τὴν ἄπειρον ἔσχε ταύτην τοῦ εἶναι δύναμιν τὸ πᾶν, εἴ περ μὴ ἐκ ταύτομάτου κατὰ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον;

Every body, as [Aristotle] says, has limited power. Whence therefore does the universe derive this infinite power, since it is not from chance, as Epicurus says it is?

Proclus (Proklos Diadokhos, fl. 452 CE), p. 108.33

νοῦς γὰρ ὑπόκειται δημιουργὸς καὶ θεός, ἀλλ’ οὐ τὸ αὐτόματον, ὥσπερ τινὲς φασιν

It is intelligence, in fact, which is creator and god – not chance, as certain others maintain.

Proclus (Proklos Diadokhos, fl. 452 CE), p. 19.14

θᾶπτον γὰρ ἂν τὰς ἀτόμους Ἐπικούρου συνελθούσας ποιῆσαι κόσμον ἢ ὀνόματα ὥς ἔτυχε συγκείμενα καὶ ῥήματα λόγον κατωρθωμένον.

The atoms of Epicurus, when encountering each other, succeed in forming a tidy universe more easily than a bunch of names and words, all mixed together, would happen to form coherent speech!

[Cf., Cicero, De Natura Deorum 2.37.93; Plutarch, The Oracles at Delphi, 11 p. 399E]

32. Religion

[U384]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1092B

ἐπεὶ δὲ τέλος ἦν τοῦ περὶ θεῶν λόγου (Ἐπικούρῳ) τὸ μὴ φοβεῖσθαι θεὸν ἀλλὰ παύσασθαι ταραπτομένους, βεβαιότερον οἶμαι τοῦτο ὑπάρχειν τοῖς ὅλως μὴ νοοῦσι θεὸν ἢ τοῖς νοεῖν μὴ βλάπτοντά μεμαθηκόσιν.

Since, however, the aim of their theology is to have no fear of God, but instead to be rid of our anxieties, I should think that this condition is more securely in the possession of creatures that have no faintest notion of God than of those who have been taught to think of him as injuring no one.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1091F

οὐδ’ εἰ τὸ ἀλγεῖν καὶ φοβεῖσθαι τὰ θεῖα καὶ ταραπτεσθαι τοῖς ἐν Ἄιδου κακόν, ἢ τούτων ἀποφυγὴ μακάριον καὶ ζηλωτόν.

It does not follow that if pain, fear of the supernatural and terror about the hereafter are evil, escape from them is godlike and bliss beyond compare.

[U385]

Atticus, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 BCE), Preparation for the Gospel, 15.5

ἤδη δὲ ταύτη γε καὶ κατ’ Ἐπίκουρον ὄνησις τοῖς ἀνθρώποις ἀπὸ θεῶν γίνεται· τὰς γοῦν βελτίονας ἀπορροίας αὐτῶν φασι τοῖς μετασχοῦσι μεγάλων ἀγαθῶν παραιτίας γίνεσθαι.

{And as to our deriving any benefit from them while they remain in heaven,}
... in this way, even according to Epicurus, men get help from the gods,
"They say, for instance, that the better emanations from them become the
causes of great blessings to those who partake of them..."

[U386]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), *On Piety*, P.Herc. 1077 col. 13 fin. / P.Herc. 1098 col. 13 | P.Herc. 1077 col. 12
(Obbink 1.27.754 –)

ΔΙCΠΡ ...εί μη διοικεῖται καὶ παντελῶς· μάλιστα τοῖνον γ' ἰν(*)ομαὶ δεῖν μεισεῖν τοὺς | **[ἀλλήν]** θεωρίαν ὡς **[τὰ]** διαδεδ[ο]μένα
[λερ] ἂ παραβαῖνον **[τας]** ἢ διαλγε/χι(*)ῶντας· **[ὁ δ']** Ἐπίκουρος φανή**[σεται]** καὶ τετηρηκῶς **[ἄπαν]**τα καὶ τοῖς φί**[λοις τ]**ηρεῖν
παρεν**[γυγκ]**ῶς, οὐ μόνον **[διδά τ]**οὺς νόμους ἀλλὰ διὰ**[ρ]** φυσικὰ/ς **[αἰτίας·]** προσεῦχε(ι)σθαι γὰρ ἐν τῷ Περὶ **[βίων]** οἰκτον
εἶναι **[ἡμεῖ]**αι(*) φησιν, οὐχ ὡς **[δυσσ]**μενῶν τῶν **[θεῶν]** εἰ μη ποιή**[σομεν]**, ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὴν ἐπίνοιαν τῶν **[ὑπερβ]**αλλουσῶν
[δυνά]μει καὶ σπου**[δαίτ]**ητι φύσεων **[ἴνα τὰ]**ς τε τελλ{ο}(*)**[ι]** **[όητας]** τοικ(*)ώσκω**[μεν καὶ]** τοῖς νόμοις **[συνπερι]**φοράς·
πρὸς **[τῷ γράφ]**ειν δὲ καὶ **[τοῦτ' εἰ]**αι(*) τῷ Περ**[ί ρ]** | **[Θεῶ]**η(*) καὶ τὸ μέγισ**[τόν]** φησι καὶ οἰονεῖ **[τὸ]** καθ' ἡγεμονίαν
[ὑπερ]έχον ἔχειν **[πᾶ]**αι(*)· πάντα γὰρ σο**[φόν]** καθαράς καὶ ἄ- **[γίο]**υς δόξας ἔχειν **[περί]** τοῦ θείου καὶ **[μεγ]**άλην τε καὶ
σε**[μν]**ήν ὑπείληφέ**[να]**ι ταύτην τὴν φύσιν· ἐν δ**[έ]** ταῖς ἑορταῖς μ**[ά]**λιστ' εἰ**[ί]**ς ἐπίνοιαν αὐτῆς βαδίζοντα διὰ τὸ τούνομα πάντα
ἀνά στόμ' ἔχειν πῖ**[σ]**τει σφοδ**[ρο]**τέρως κατα**[σχετ]**ν τὴ **[ν]**...

Obbink (not changed from DCLP yet) ...εί μη διοικείται και παντελώς· μάλιστα τοῖνον γ' ν(*)ομαι δεῖν μισεῖν τοὺς | (ἀλλήν)υ
θεωρίαν ὡ[ς] [τά] διαδεδ[ο]μένα [τερ]ᾶ παραβαίνον[τας] ἢ δια[γ]ε/χ(*)ῶντας· [ὁ δ'] Ἐπίκουρος φανή[σεται] καὶ τετηρηκώς
[ἄπαν]τα καὶ τοῖς φι[λοῖς] τῇ ῥεῖν παρεν[γυ]ηκ)ώς, οὐ μόνον [διὰ τ]οὺς νόμους ἀλλὰ διὰ[ρ]ς] φυσει[κ]ὰς/ [αἰτί]ας·
προσεύχε[ι]σθαι γάρ ἐν τῷ Περι [βίω]ν οἰκεῖτον εἶναι [ἡμεῖ]ται(*) φησιν, οὐχ ὡς [δυσ]μενῶν τῶν [θεῶ]ν· εἰ μὴ ποιή[σομεν],
ἀλλὰ κατὰ τὴν ἐπίνοιαν τῶν [ὑπερβ]αλλουσῶν [δυνά]μει καὶ σπου[δα]ιότη[τι] φύσεων [ἵνα τά]ς τε τελ[λ]ο(*)[ι] [ὀ]νη[τας]
τοικ(*)ώσκω[μεν καὶ] τοῖς νόμοις [συνπερι]φοράς· πρὸς [τῷ γράφ]ειν δὲ καὶ [τοῦτ'] ἐ[σ]ται(*) τῷ Πε[ρι] | [θεῶ]ν(*) καὶ τὸ
μέγισ[τόν] φησι καὶ οἰοεῖ [τὸ] καθ' ἡγεμονίαν [ὑπερ]έχον ἔχειν [πᾶ]ν(*)· πάντα γάρ σο[φόν] καθαρὰς καὶ ἁ- [γί]ους δόξας
ἔχειν [περί] τοῦ θεοῦ καὶ [μεν]άλην τε καὶ σε[μν]ήν ὑπειληφέ[ναι] ταύτην τὴν φύσιν· ἐν δ[ε] ταῖς ἑορταῖς μ[ά]λιστα· εἰ[ς]
ἐπίνοιαν αὐτῆς βαδίζοντα διὰ τὸ τούνομα πάντα ἀνά στόμ' ἔχειν πί[ο]νται σφοδ[ρο]τέρως κατα[σχεῖ]ν τή[ν]...

... he says that as being both the greatest thing, and that which as it were excels in sovereignty, it possesses everything: for every wise man holds pure and holy beliefs about the divine and has understood that this nature is great and august. And it is particularly at festivals that he, progressing to an understand of it, through having its name the whole time on his lips, embraces with conviction more seriously

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Music, Vol. Herc., 1497, columns 117 & 118

...ψυχ[ω̃ν]ν [κου]νάς ἅτε ἀπαρᾱλλάκτου[ς] καθεστώσας ✽. Καὶ διὰ τοῦθ' [ὸ] ζητῶν μουσικός τὴν τοιαυτὴν σύνεσιν ἦι δυνήσεται διαγιγνώσκειν αἱ ποιαὶ τῶν αἰσθήσεων πῶς διατεθίσονται, τῶν ἀνυπάρχων ἐπιστήμην ζητεῖ* (καὶ τὰ πρὸς τοῦτο κενῶς παραδ[ιδ]ωσιν', ἐπειδὴ περ οὐδὲν μέλος, καθὼς μέλος ἄλογον ὑπάρχον, ψυχὴν οὐτ' ἐξ ἀκινήτου, καὶ ἡσυχάζουσαν, ἐγείρει καὶ ἄγει πρὸς τὴν κατὰ φύσιν ἐν ἡθει διάθεσιν, οὐτ' ἐξ ἀ*)[τι]τούσης καὶ φερομένης πρὸς ὁτιδήποτε πράνει καὶ εἰς ἡρεμίαν καθίστησιν, οὐδ' ἀπ' ἄλλης ὁρμῆς ἐπ' ἄλλην ἀποστρέφ[ειν] οἷον [τ'] ἐ[σ]τὶν οὐδὲ τὴν ὑπάρχου[σα]ν διάθεσιν εἰς αὐξησιν [ἀ]γειν καὶ ἐλάττωσιν. Οὐδὲ γὰρ μμητικὸν ἢ μουσικὴ, καθάπερ τι[ν] ἐ[ν]δὲ ὀνειρώττουσιν, οὐ δ' , [ώς] οὗτος, ὁμοιότη[τα]ς ἡθῶν, οὐ μμητικὰς δέ, [ἐχ]ει, πάντως δὲ πάσα[ς τὰς ἡ]θῶν ποιότητος ἐπιφ[α]ίνε[ι] τοιαύτας ἐν αἷς ἐστ[ι] μεγαλοπρεπὲς καὶ ταπεινὸν καὶ ἀνδρῶδες καὶ ἀνανδρον καὶ κόσμιον καὶ θρασύ, μι[α]λλον ἤπερ ἡ μαγειρικὴ ✽. [Δ]ιόπερ οὐδὲ καθ' ἐαυτοῦ ἂν/κειν[εῖ] διαφόρως οὐδὲ κατὰ τὴν [ἀ]λλήλοισ μεῖξιν οὐδὲ τὰ[ς] ἐναντί[ου] \α/ς ἀλλή[λλ]λ[ου] \α/ς διαθέ[σ]εις, ὅσον ἐπὶ τοῖ[ς] πρὸς ἀκοὴν ἐπα[υ]σθήμασιν ἐκ [μμη]μάτων ἔστιν δημιουργ[εῖν]. * Ἄ δὲ λέγει πρὸς τ[ὸ] μαρ[τι]υ[ρ]ήσ[α]σθαι κίνησιν κινου[σα]ν [σού]νολον θέ[α]τρο[ν] π[ε]ρὶ ἄ[νο]μοίων εὔ [δια-] κείμενο[ν, ο]ἰκειότερ[ον ἐν] ἄλλωι τόπωι κατοψ[όμεθα]. Περὶ τοίνυν τῆς διὰ τ[ῶν μου]σικῶν τοῦ θεοῦ τ[ε] μῆς ἐ[ρ]ηται μὲν αὐταρκῶς καὶ π[ρ]ότερον, καὶ πάλιν τινὰ ῥηθήσεται*. τὰ δὲ τοσαῦτα λεγέσθω καὶ νῦν, ὅτι τὸ δαμόνιον μὲν οὐ προσδεῖται τινος τιμῆς, * ἡμῖν δὲ φυσ[τ].]\ι/κόν ἐστίν αὐτὸ τιμᾶν μάλιστα μὲν ὅσαις ὑπολήψειν *, ἔπειτα δὲ καὶ τοῖς κατὰ τὸ πάτριον παραδεδομένοις ἐκάστωι τῶν [μουσικῶν] κατὰ μέ[ρ]ος· μουσικὴ δ' οὐκ ἐντέτα[κ]ται*. διόπερ οὐδ[έ] συνάγετα[ι] τὸ τοῖς κατὰ μέρος αὐτὴν χρησιμεύειν, ἀλλ', εἴτερ ἄρ[ε].]\α/, τῷ δημοσίωι [*]· καὶ τοῦτω(*) δ' οὐτε πᾶν εἶδος αὐτῆς οὔτε ὅσον ποτὲ παρ[α].]\α]μ[β]άνεται διαπεποι[κ]ι[α]μένον, ἀλλὰ σπουδαῖοτα[τον], καὶ οὐχ ὑπὸ πάντω[ν], ἀ λ[α']. ὑπὸ τινῶν Ἑλλήνων κ[α]ὶ κατὰ ἐνίους καίρους*, καὶ [τοι]νυν διὰ μισθωτῶν ἀν[θρ]ώπ[ου] \ω/ν. Καὶ πολλὰ[ς] τ' ἐρο[ύ]με[ν] ἐμπειρίας κατὰ τὸν λόγον [π]ρὸς τ[ε] μῆν τοῦ θεοῦ συνεργεῖν, καὶ μᾶλλον γε καὶ πολλῶ(*) πλείονος ὠνίας γινομένης, καὶ διαμονίμως, τῆς μουσικῆς ἥδη πρὸς γε τὰ [τοι]αῦτα παρη(*)τημένης ὅσον μὴ κατὰ τοὺς ἀγῶνας*. ἀλλὰ δὴ καὶ πάλαι τῶν ποημά[των] ἦν ἡ πλείστη δ[ι]δ[ο]σις, οὐχὶ τῶν [πο]μ[ε]λῶν κα[ὶ] τῶν κρουσέων. Περὶ δὲ τοῦ θεωρεῖν καὶ τοῦ θεα[τοῦ] κα[ὶ] τοῦ θεάτρου καὶ...

...ψυχῶν κοινὰς ἅτε ἀπαραλλάκτους καθεστῶσας.

Καὶ διὰ τοῦθ' ὁ ζητῶν μουσικὸς τὴν τοιαύτην σύνεσιν ἥι δυνήσεται διαγινώσκειν αἱ ποιαὶ τῶν αἰσθήσεων πῶς διατεθήσονται, τῶν ἀνυπάρκτων ἐπιστήμην ζητεῖ* (καὶ τὰ πρὸς τοῦτο κενῶς παραδίδωσιν, ἐπειδὴ περ οὐδὲν μέρος, καθὼς μέρος ἄλογον ὑπάρχον, ψυχὴν οὐτ' ἐξ ἀκινήτου, καὶ ἡσυχάζουσας, ἐγείρει καὶ ἄγει πρὸς τὴν κατὰ φύσιν ἐν ἧθει διάθεσιν, οὐτ' ἐξ ἀ(*)πτούσης καὶ φερομένης πρὸς ὁτιδήποτε πράγει καὶ εἰς ἡρεμίαν καθίστησιν, οὐδ' ἀπ' ἄλλης ὁρμῆς ἐπ' ἄλλην ἀποστρέφειν οἷόν τ' ἐστὶν οὐδὲ τὴν ὑπάρχουσας διάθεσιν εἰς αὐξήσιν ἄγειν καὶ ἐλάττωσιν.

Οὐδὲ γὰρ μιμητικὸν ἢ μουσικὴν, καθάπερ τινὲς ὀνειρώττουσιν, οὐ δ' , ὡς οὔτος, ὁμοιότητος ἡθῶν, οὐ μιμητικὰς δέ, ἔχει, πάντως δὲ πάσας τὰς ἡθῶν ποιότητος ἐπιφαίνει τοιαύτας ἐν αἷς ἐστὶ μεγαλοπρεπὲς καὶ ταπεινὸν καὶ ἀνδρῶδες καὶ ἄνανδρον καὶ κόσμιον καὶ θρασύ, μᾶλλον ἥπερ ἡ μαγειρικὴ.

Διόπερ οὐδὲ καθ' ἑαυτοῦ κεινῆ διαφόρως οὐδὲ κατὰ τὴν ἀλλήλοισ μεῖξιν οὐδὲ τὰς ἐναντίοιαις ἀλλήλοαις διαθέσεις, ὅσον ἐπὶ τοῖς πρὸς ἀκοὴν ἐπαισθήμασιν ἐκ μιμημάτων ἔστιν δημιουργεῖν.

***"Α δὲ λέγει πρὸς τὸ μαρτυρήσασθαι κίνησιν κινουῦσαν σύνολον θέατρον περὶ ἀνομοίων εὖ διακείμενον, οἰκειότερον ἐν ἄλλωι τόπωι κατοψόμεθα. Περὶ τοίνυν τῆς διὰ τῶν μουσικῶν τοῦ θείου τειμῆς εἴρηται μὲν αὐταρκῶς καὶ πρότερον, καὶ πάλιν τινὰ ῥηθήσεται·**

τὰ δὲ τοσαῦτα λεγέσθω καὶ νῦν, ὅτι τὸ δαιμόνιον μὲν οὐ προσδεῖται τινος τιμῆς, * ἡμῖν δὲ φυσικόν ἐστὶν αὐτὸ τιμᾶν μάλιστα μὲν ὁσίαις ὑπολήψεσιν, ἔπειτα δὲ καὶ τοῖς κατὰ τὸ πάτριον παραδεδομένοις ἐκάστωι τῶν μουσικων κατὰ μέρος·

μουσικὴ δ' οὐκ ἐντέτακται· διόπερ οὐδὲ συνάγεται τὸ τοῖς κατὰ μέρος αὐτὴν χρησιμεύειν, ἀλλ' , εἴπερ ἄρ[.] \α/, τῶι δημοσίωι·

καὶ τούτω(*) δ' οὔτε πᾶν εἶδος αὐτῆς οὔτε ὅσον ποτὲ παρα{.}λαμβάνεται διαπεποικιλμένον, ἀλλὰ σπουδαιότατον, καὶ οὐχ ὑπὸ πάντων, ἀ λλ' ὑπὸ τινῶν Ἑλλήνων καὶ κατὰ ἐνίους καίρουσ*, καὶ τοίνυν διὰ μισθωτῶν ἀνθρώπων.

Καὶ πολλὰς τ' ἐροῦμεν ἐμπειρίας κατὰ τὸν λόγον πρὸς τειμὴν τοῦ θείου συνεργεῖν, καὶ μᾶλλον γε καὶ πολλῶ(*) πλείονος ὠνίας γινομένης, καὶ διαμονίμως, τῆς μουσικῆς ἤδη πρὸς γε τὰ τοιαῦτα παρη(*)τημένης ὅσον μὴ κατὰ τοὺς ἀγῶνας*·

ἀλλὰ δὴ καὶ πάλαι τῶν ποημάτων ἦν ἡ πλείστη δόσις, οὐχὶ τῶν πομελῶν καὶ τῶν κρουσέων. Περὶ δὲ τοῦ θεωρεῖν καὶ τοῦ θεατοῦ καὶ τοῦ θεάτρου καὶ...

Now, these very important things may still be said at the present: that the divine does not need any honor; for us, nevertheless, it's natural to honor it, above all, with pious convictions, even through the rites of national tradition, each according to his proper part.

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On the Life of the Gods, P.Herc. 152 col. 1 fin. | P.Herc. 157 fr. 10 tab. 5 sup.

...τοῖς θεοῖς, κ(αί) θαυμάζει τὴν φύσιν [κα]ὶ τὴν διάθεσιν καὶ πειρᾶται συνεγγί[ζει]ν αὐτῇ κ(αί) καθάπερ εἰ γλίσχεται θιγε[ῖ]ν [κ(αί) συ]νεῖναι, καλεῖτω καὶ τοὺς σοφοὺς τῶν [θεῶ]ν φίλους κ(αί) τοὺς θεοὺς τῶν σοφῶν. [ἀλλ' ο]ὐκ εὐόικαμέ[ν] που τὰ τοιαῦτα τὴν φι[λίαν] ἐρεῖν, ὥστε βέλτε[ιο]ν αὐτὰ τὰ πράγματα [τα] [οκ]οπεῖν, τὰ δ' ἐρ[ή]ματ' ἀχρ[ή]στως μὴ παραβιάζεσθαι. κἂν χαρίζεσθαι τοιγαροῦν ἀλλήλοισ τοὺς θεοὺς τιθῶμεν, ὡς ἐνλείπουσι μετὰδοσὶν τινων οἰκείων ποιουμένους οὐ ῥητέον·...

...τοῖς θεοῖς, καὶ θαυμάζει τὴν φύσιν καὶ τὴν διάθεσιν καὶ πειρᾶται συνεγγίζειν αὐτῇ καὶ καθάπερ εἰ γλίσχεται θιγεῖν καὶ συνεῖναι, καλεῖτω καὶ τοὺς σοφοὺς τῶν θεῶν φίλους καὶ τοὺς θεοὺς τῶν σοφῶν. ἀλλ' οὐκ εὐόικαμέν που τὰ τοιαῦτα τὴν φιλίαν ἐρεῖν, ὥστε βέλτεριον αὐτὰ τὰ πράγματα σκοπεῖν, τὰ δερῆματ' ἀχρήστως μὴ παραβιάζεσθαι. κἂν χαρίζεσθαι τοιγαροῦν ἀλλήλοισ τοὺς θεοὺς τιθῶμεν, ὡς ἐνλείπουσι μετὰδοσὶν τινων οἰκείων ποιουμένους οὐ ῥητέον·...

... to the gods, and he admires their nature and their condition and tries to approach them and, so to speak, yearns to touch them and to be together with them; and he calls Sages "friends of the gods" and the gods "friends of Sages."

[U387]

DCLP δη φύσ[ιν τήν κατὰ] τὸ κρ[ιτήριον ποι]ουμένη[ν ὀρθῶς ἐπὶ]νοϊαν ὡ[φελείας νομί]ζεσθαι· [ἵνα δὲ μὴ] ἐκτείνω [τὸν λόγον], πάλιν· ἡμ[εῖς θεοῖς] θύωμέν φησιν [ὁσί]ως καὶ καλῶς οὐ [καθ]ήκει κα[ὶ κ]αλῶ<ς> πάντα πράττωμεν [κα]τὰ τοὺς νόμους, μ[η]θὲ[ν] ταῖς δόξαις α[ὐ]τοὺς ἐν τοῖς περὴ(*)τῶν ἀρίστων γ(*)[αῖ]σεμνοτάτων διαταράττοντ\ε/[ς· ἔτι]δὲ καὶ δίκαιο[ι θύω]μεν ἀφ' ἧς ἔλε[γον δό]ξης· οὕτω γὰρ [ἐν]δέχεται φύσ[ιν θνη]τὴν ὁμοίω[ς τῶι Διῷ] νῆ[ι] Δία <διά>ξ(*)ειν, [ὥς φαί]νεται· κὰν [τοῖς Πε]ρί βίων δὲ τ(*)[ερί τῆς] προσκυνή[σεως...]

Obbink (need to change) δη φύσ[ιν τήν κατὰ] τὸ κρ[ιτήριον ποι]ουμένη[ν ὀρθῶς ἐπὶ]νοϊαν ὡ[φελείας νομί]ζεσθαι· [ἵνα δὲ μὴ] ἐκτείνω [τὸν λόγον], πάλιν· ἡμ[εῖς θεοῖς] θύωμέν φησιν [ὁσί]ως καὶ καλῶς οὐ [καθ]ήκει κα[ὶ κ]αλῶ<ς> πάντα πράττωμεν [κα]τὰ τοὺς νόμους, μ[η]θὲ[ν] ταῖς δόξαις α[ὐ]τοὺς ἐν τοῖς περὴ(*)τῶν ἀρίστων γ(*)[αῖ]σεμνοτάτων διαταράττοντ\ε/[ς· ἔτι]δὲ καὶ δίκαιο[ι θύω]μεν ἀφ' ἧς ἔλε[γον δό]ξης· οὕτω γὰρ [ἐν]δέχεται φύσ[ιν θνη]τὴν ὁμοίω[ς τῶι Διῷ] νῆ[ι] Δία <διά>ξ(*)ειν, [ὥς φαί]νεται· κὰν [τοῖς Πε]ρί βίων δὲ τ(*)[ερί τῆς] προσκυνή[σεως...]

Again, he says, "let us sacrifice to the gods piously and well, as is appropriate, and let us do everything well according to the laws. But let us do so not disturbing them at all with our opinions on the topic of those who are best and most majestic; again, we say that it is even right to do this on the basis of the opinion which I was discussing. For in this way, by Zeus, it is possible for a mortal nature to live like Zeus, as it appears."

[U388]

Εἰ ταῖς τῶν ἀνθρώπων εὐχαῖς ὁ θεὸς κατηκολούθει, θᾶπτον ἂν ἀπώλλυντο πάντες ἄνθρωποι, συνεχῶς πολλὰ καὶ χαλεπὰ κατ’ ἀλλήλων εὐχόμενοι.

From Epicurus: "If the gods listened to the prayers of men, all men would quickly have perished: for they are always praying for evil against one another."

[U389]

καὶ δὴ καὶ κατ’ ἐκείνων τῶν μηδὲν πρὸς ἡμᾶς (θεῶν) ὅρκους τε καὶ ὀρκισμοὺς μυρίους τοῖς ἑαυτοῦ βιβλίοις ἐγγράφει, ὁμνύς τε συνεχῶς μὰ Δία καὶ νῆ Δία ἐξορκῶν τε τοὺς ἐντυγχάνοντας καὶ πρὸς οὓς διαλέγοιτο πρὸς τῶν θεῶν, οὐ τί που δεδιῶς αὐτὸς ἢ δεδιττόμενος ἐκείνους τὴν ἐπιορκίαν, κενὸν δὲ τοῦτο καὶ ψευδές καὶ ἀργὸν καὶ ἄσημον ἐπιφθεγγόμενος τοῖς λόγοις αὐτοῦ παράρτημα κτλ.

And moreover he {Epicurus} inserts in his own books countless oaths and adjurations addressed to those who are nothing to us, swearing continually "No, by Zeus" and "Yes, by Zeus" and adjuring his readers and opponents in argument "in the name of the gods" having, I suppose, no fear himself of perjury nor trying to frighten them, but uttering this as an empty, and false, and idle, and unmeaning appendage to his speeches, just as he might hawk and spit, and turn his face, and wave his hand. Such an unintelligible and empty piece of acting on his part was his mentioning the name of the gods.

[U390]

καὶ οὐ μόνον τὸ εὐχεσθαι τοῖς ἀγάλμασιν ἡλίθιον ἐστίν, ἀλλὰ γὰρ καὶ τὸ συμπεριφερόμενον τοῖς πολλοῖς προσποιεῖσθαι τοῖς ἀγάλμασιν εὐχεσθαι, ὅποιον ποιοῦσιν οἱ τὰ ἀπὸ τοῦ περιπάτου φιλοσοφοῦντες καὶ οἱ τὰ Ἐπικούρου ἢ Δημοκρίτου ἀσπαζόμενοι. οὐδὲν γὰρ νόθον χρή ἐνυπάρχειν τῇ ψυχῇ τοῦ ἀληθῶς εἰς τὸ θεῖον εὐσεβοῦς.

And the charge of folly applies not only to those who offer prayers to images, but also to such as pretend to do so in compliance with the example of the multitude: and to this class belong the Peripatetic philosophers and the followers of Epicurus and Democritus. For there is no falsehood or pretense in the soul which is possessed with true piety towards God

[U391]

ἦν δὲ ὁ Θεόδωρος παντάπασιν ἀναιρῶν τὰς περὶ θεῶν δόξας· καὶ αὐτοῦ περιετύχονεν βιβλίῳ ἐπιγραφομένῳ Περί θεῶν οὐκ εὐκαταφρονήτῳ, ἐξ οὗ φασιν Ἐπίκουρον τὰ πλεῖστα λαβόντα εἰπεῖν.

Theodorus was a man who utterly rejected the current belief in the gods. And I have come across a book of his entitled Of the Gods which is not contemptible. From that book, it is said, Epicurus borrowed most of what he wrote on the subject.

Origen (fl.c. 225 ^{CE}), *Against Celsus*, 8.45

ἀναπλάσματα μυθικά, οἷς οὐδ' Ἑλλήνων φιλόσοφοι αἰρέσεις πεπιστεύκασιν, ὥσπερ ἡ Δημοκρίτου καὶ ἡ Ἐπικούρου καὶ ἡ Ἀριστοτέλους.

For why may not our accounts be true, and those of Celsus fables and fictions? At least, these latter were not believed by the Greek philosophical schools, such as the followers of Democritus, Epicurus, and Aristotle...

Origen (fl.c. 225 ^{CE}), *Against Celsus*, 1.43

εἰ μὲν ὁ ἀπιστῶν τῷ περὶ τοῦ εἵδους τῆς περιστερᾶς φάσματι τοῦ ἁγίου πνεύματος ἀνεγέγραπτο Ἐπικούρειος εἶναι ἡ Δημοκρίτειος ἢ Περιπατητικός, χώραν ἂν εἶχεν ἀκόλουθον τῷ προσωποποιουμένῳ τὸ λεγόμενον

We shall therefore say, in the first place, that if he who disbelieves the appearance of the Holy Spirit in the form of a dove had been described as an Epicurean, or a follower of Democritus, or a Peripatetic, the statement would have been in keeping with the character of such an objector.

[U392]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), *Against Colotes*, 1119D

οὐκ ἄνθρωπον, ὧ Κωλῶτα, μὴ λέγειν "ἀγαθὸν" οὐδὲ ἱππεῖς "μυρίους" δεινὸν ἐστίν, ἀλλὰ ὄν θεὸν μὴ λέγειν θεὸν μηδὲ νομίζειν· ὃ πράττετε ὑμεῖς μήτε Δία γενέθλιον μήτε Δήμητρα θεσμοφόρον εἶναι μήτε Ποσειδῶνα φυτάλμιον ὁμολογεῖν ἐθέλοντες· οὗτος ὁ χωρισμὸς τῶν ὀνομάτων πονηρός ἐστι καὶ τὸν βίον ἐμπίπλησιν ὀλιγωρίας ἀθέου καὶ θρασύτητος, ὅταν τὰς συνεζευγμένας τοῖς θεοῖς προσηγορίας ἀποσπῶντες συναναιρῇτε θυσίας μυστήρια πομπὰς ἐορτάς.

What is grave, Colotes, is not to refuse to call a man good or some horsemen innumerable – it is to refuse to call or believe a god a god. This is what you and your company do, who will not admit that Zeus is "Author of the Race" Demeter "Giver of Laws" or Poseidon "Guardian of Growth." It is this disjoining of one word from another that works harm and fills your lives with godless negligence and recklessness, when you tear away from the gods the appellations attached to them and by that single act annihilate all sacrifices, Mysteries, processions and festivals.

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 ^{CE}), *Discourses on Epictetus*, 2.20.32

εὐχάριστοί γ' ἄνθρωποι καὶ αἰδήμονες, (οἱ» εἰ μηδὲν ἄλλο, καθ' ἡμέραν ἄρτους ἐσθίοντες τολμῶσι λέγειν, ὅτι οὐκ οἶδαμεν εἰ ἔστι τις Δημήτηρ ἢ Κόρη ἢ Πλούτων· ἵνα μὴ λέγω, νυκτὸς καὶ ἡμέρας ἀπολαύοντες καὶ μεταβολῶν τοῦ ἔτους καὶ ἄστρον καὶ θαλάσσης καὶ γῆς καὶ τῆς παρὰ ἀνθρώπων συνεργίας ὑπ' οὐδενὸς τούτων οὐδὲ κατὰ ποσὸν ἐπιστρέφονται, ἀλλὰ μόνον ἐξεμέσαι τὸ προβλημάτιον ζητοῦσι καὶ τὸν στόμαχον ὕμνάσαντες ἀπελθεῖν ἐν βαλανείῳ.

Grateful men indeed and reverential. Why, if nothing else, at least they eat bread every day, and yet have the audacity to say, "We do not know if there is a Demeter, or a Kore, or a Pluto;" not mention that, although they enjoy night and day, the changes of the year and the stars and the sea and the earth and the cooperation of men, they are not moved in the least by any one of these things, but look merely for a chance to belch out their trivial "problem" and after thus exercising their stomach to go off to the bath.

[U393]

Περὶ δαιμόνων καὶ ἡρώων... ...Ἐπίκουρος δὲ οὐδὲν τούτων ἐγκρίνει.

In regards to demons and to heroes... Epicurus doesn't admit anything about any of this.

Atticus, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 BCE), Preparation for the Gospel, 15.5.10

πρόνοιαν γὰρ ζητοῦμεν ἡμῖν διαφέρουσαν, ἧς οὐ μέτεστι τῷ μήτε δαίμονας μήτε ἥρωας μήτε ὅλως ἐπιδιαμένειν δύνασθαι τὰς ψυχὰς συγκεχωρηκότι.

We seek a providence that has an interest for us, and in such that man has no share who has admitted that neither demons, nor heroes, nor any souls at all can live on hereafter.

[U394]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), On the Obsolescence of Oracles, 420B

Ἐπικουρείων δὲ χλευασμοὺς καὶ γέλωτας οὐ τι φοβητέον, οἷς τολμῶσι χρῆσθαι καὶ κατὰ τῆς προνοίας, μῦθον αὐτὴν ἀποκαλοῦντες. ἡμεῖς δὲ τὴν ἀπειρίαν μῦθον εἶναί φαμεν, ἐν κόσμοις τοσούτοις μηδένα λόγῳ θείῳ κυβερνώμενον ἔχουσιν, ἀλλὰ πάντας ἐκ ταύτομάτου καὶ γεγονότας καὶ συνισταμένους. εἰ δὲ χρὴ γελαῖν ἐν φιλοσοφίᾳ, τὰ εἰδῶλα γελαστέον τὰ κωφὰ καὶ τυφλὰ καὶ ἄψυχα, [ἄ] ποιμαίνουσιν ἀπλέτους ἐτῶν περιόδους ἐπιφαινόμενα καὶ περινοστοῦντα πάντῃ τὰ μὲν ἔτι ζώντων, τὰ δὲ πάλαι κατακαέντων ἢ καὶ κατασαπέντων ἀπορρυέντα, φλεδόνας καὶ σκιάς ἔλκοντες εἰς φυσιολογίαν, ἂν δὲ φῇ τις εἶναι δαίμονας οὐ φύσει μόνον ἀλλὰ καὶ λόγοις καὶ τὸ σῶζεσθαι καὶ διαμένειν πολὺν χρόνον ἔχοντας, δυσκολαίνοντες

As for the scoffing and sneers of the Epicureans which they dare to employ against Providence also, calling it nothing but a myth {cf. U369}, we need have no fear. We, on the other hand, say that their "Infinity" is a myth, which among so many worlds has not one that is directed by divine reason, but will have them all produced by spontaneous generation and concretion. If there is need for laughter in philosophy, we should laugh at those spirits, dumb, blind, and soulless, which they shepherd for boundless cycles of years, and which make their returning appearance everywhere, some floating away from the bodies of persons still living, others from bodies long ago burned or decayed, whereby these philosophers drag witlessness and obscurity into the study of natural phenomena; but if anyone asserts that such demigods exists, not only for physical reasons, but also for logical reasons, and that they have the power of self-preservation and continued life for a long time, then these philosophers feel much aggrieved.

33. Divination

[U395]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.135

μαντικὴν δ' ἅπασαν ἐν ἄλλοις ἀναιρεῖ, ὥς καὶ ἐν τῇ μικρᾷ ἐπιτομῇ [fr. 27]

Elsewhere he rejects divination entirely, such as in the Small Summary.

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 5.1.2

Ξενοφάνης καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ἀναιροῦσι τὴν μαντικὴν.

Xenophanes and Epicurus dismissed the art of divination.

Cicero (fl. f. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 2.65.162

nihil tam inrīdet Epicūrus quam praedictiōnem rērum futūrārum...

Nothing does Epicurus mock so much as the prediction of future events...

Prediction of future events is a favorite target for the wit of Epicurus.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Divination, 1.3.5

reliquī omnēs praeter Epicūrum balbutientem dē nātūrā deōrum dīvinātiōnem probāvērunt...

All the others, except Epicurus—stammering about the nature of the gods—approved of divination...

All the rest, except for Epicurus, who spoke nonsense about the nature of the gods, endorsed divination.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Divination, 2.17.40

(Epicūrus) circumitiōne quadam deōs tollēns rēctē nōn dubitat dīvinātiōnem tollere... illīus enim deus, nihil habēns nec suī nec aliēnī negōtīi, nōn potest hominibus dīvinātiōnem imperīre.

Epicurus, by a kind of roundabout way abolishing the gods, rightly does not hesitate to abolish divination... for his god, having no concern either with his own affairs or with those of others, cannot impart divination to men.

Hence, while [Epicurus] takes a roundabout way to destroy the gods, he does not hesitate to take a short road to destroy divination.

[cf. Ibid., I.39.87; 49.109; 2.17.39; 23.51]

Scholion on Aeschylus (fl.c. 485 BCE), Prometheus, 624

Ἐπικούρειόν ἐστι δόγμα ἀναιροῦν τὴν μαντικὴν· εἰμαρμένης γάρ, γησί, πάντα κρατούσης πρὸ κατροῦ λελύπηκας [εἰπὼν τὴν συμφοράν], ἢ χρηστόν τι εἰπὼν τὴν ἡδονὴν ἐξέλυσας. λέγουσι δὲ ὅτι καὶ "ἂ δεῖ γενέσθαι, ταῦτα καὶ γενήσεται."

Epicureanism is the doctrine that abolishes divination; indeed, they say "Given that destiny rules all, you <predicting a disgrace> have procured pain ahead of time; predicting instead something positive, you have wiped out the pleasure of its realization. On the other hand, they also say "That which must happen, will still happen."

Origen (fl.c. 225 CE), Against Celsus, 8.3

λέγομεν οὖν περὶ τῶν κατειλεγμένων χρηστηρίων, ὅτι δυνατόν μὲν ἡμῖν συνάγουσιν ἀπὸ Ἀριστοτέλους καὶ τῶν τὰ τοῦ περιπάτου φιλοσοφησάντων οὐκ ὀλίγα εἰπεῖν εἰς ἀνατροπὴν τοῦ περὶ τῆς Πυθίας καὶ τῶν λοιπῶν χρηστηρίων λόγου δυνατόν δὲ καὶ τὰ λελεγμένα τῷ Ἐπικούρῳ καὶ τοῖς ἀσπαζομένοις αὐτοῦ τὸν λόγον περὶ τῶν αὐτῶν παραθέμενον δεῖξαι, ὅτι καὶ Ἑλλήνων τινὲς ἀνατρέπουσι τὰς νομιζομένας καὶ τεθαυμασμένας ἐν πάσῃ Ἑλλάδι θεοπροπίας.

In regard to the oracles here enumerated, we reply that it would be possible for us to gather from the writings of Aristotle and the Peripatetic school not a few things to overthrow the authority of the Pythian and the other oracles. From Epicurus also, and his followers, we could quote passages to show that even among the Greeks themselves there were some who utterly discredited the oracles which were recognized and admired throughout the whole of Greece.

Lucian (fl. 165 CE), Alexander the Oracle Monger, 17

ὥστε πάνυ τὸ μηχανήμα ἐδεῖτο Δημοκρίτου τινὸς ἢ καὶ αὐτοῦ Ἐπικούρου ἢ Μητροδώρου ἢ τινος ἄλλου ἀδαμαντίνην πρὸς τὰ τοιαῦτα τὴν γνώμην ἔχοντος, ὥς ἀπιστῆσαι καὶ ὃ περ ἦν εἰκάσαι, καὶ εἰ μὴ εὔρεῖν τὸν τρόπον ἐδύνατο, ἐκεῖνο γοῦν προπεπεισμένου, ὅτι λέληθε μὲν αὐτὸν ὁ τρόπος τῆς μαγανείας, τὸ δ' οὖν πᾶν ψευδός ἐστι καὶ γενέσθαι ἀδύνατον

It was an occasion for a Democritus, nay, for an Epicurus or a Metrodorus, perhaps, a man whose intelligence was steeled against such assaults by skepticism and insight, one who, if he could not detect the precise imposture, would at any rate have been perfectly certain that, though this escaped him, the whole thing was a lie and an impossibility.

Lucian (fl. 165 ^{CE}), Alexander the Oracle Monger, 25

ὅλως δὲ ἄσπονδος καὶ ἀκήρυκτος αὐτῷ ὁ πόλεμος πρὸς Ἐπίκουρον ἦν. καὶ μάλα εἰκότως· τίνι γὰρ ἂν ἄλλῳ δικαιότερον προσεπολέμει γόης ἄνθρωπος καὶ τερατεία φίλος, ἀληθεία δὲ ἔχθιστος ἢ Ἐπικούρῳ ἀνδρὶ τὴν φύσιν τῶν πραγμάτων καθεωρακότι καὶ μόνῳ τὴν ἐν αὐτοῖς ἀλήθειαν εἰδότι; ὁ δὲ ἄτεγκτος Ἐπίκουρος -- οὕτω γὰρ αὐτὸν ὠνόμαζεν — ἔχθιστος δικαίως, πάντα ταῦτα ἐν γέλῳτι καὶ παιδιᾷ τιθέμενος.

Well, it was war to the knife between [Alexander] and Epicurus, and no wonder. What fitter enemy for a charlatan who patronized miracles and hated truth, than the thinker who had grasped the nature of things and was in solitary possession of that truth? ... The unmitigated Epicurus, as he used to call him, could not but be hateful to him, treating all such pretensions as absurd and puerile.

Lucian (fl. 165 ^{CE}), Alexander the Oracle Monger, 61

ταῦτα, ὦ (Κέλσε)... ...γράψαι ἡξίωσα... ...Ἐπικούρῳ τιμωρῶν ἀνδρὶ ὡς ἀληθῶς ἱερῷ καὶ θεσπεσίῳ τὴν φύσιν καὶ μόνῳ μετ' ἀληθείας τὰ καλὰ ἐγνωκότι καὶ παραδεδωκότι καὶ ἐλευθερωτῇ τῶν ὁμιλησάντων αὐτῷ γενομένῳ.

My object, dear [Celsus], ... has been ... to strike a blow for Epicurus, that great man whose holiness and divinity of nature were not shams, who alone had and imparted true insight into the good, and who brought deliverance to all that consorted with him.

ETHICS

[U396]

nam cum uīdit hic (Epicūrus) ad victum quae flagitat ūsus

For when he saw that, for sustenance, all the things that need requires

omnia iam ferme mortālibus esse parāta

were now almost entirely prepared for mortals,

et prō quam posset vītam consistere tūtam,

and that life could, as far as possible, stand secure,

dīvitīs hominēs et honōre et laude potentīs

that men abounded in riches, in honor, and in praise,

affluere atque bonā gnātōrum excellere fāmā,

and were outstanding in the good reputē of their children,

nec minus esse domī cuiquam tamen anxia corda

yet that at home no one's heart was any less anxious,

atque animī ingrātīs vītā vexāre timōre

and that their minds tormented life with thankless fear,

passimque infestīs cōgī saevīre querellīs:

and everywhere were driven to rage with hostile complaints,

intellēgit ibi vitiō vās efficere ipsum

he understood then that the fault lay in the vessel itself,

omniaque illius vitiō corrumpier intus,

and that everything within was spoiled by its own defect,

quae collāta forīs et commoda cumque venīrent,

whatever blessings came from outside and advantages accrued,

partim quod fluxum pertūsumque esse vidēbat,

partly because he saw it was leaky and porous,

ut nullā posset ratiōne explērī umquam,

so that by no means could it ever be filled,

partim quod taetrō quasi conspūrcāre sapōre

partly because, with a foul taste as it were, it defiled

omnia cernēbat quae cumque recēperat intus.

all the things it had taken in within itself.

veridicīs igitur pūrgāvit pectora dictīs

Therefore, with truthful words he cleansed men's hearts,

et finem statuit cupīdinis atque timōris

and set a limit to desire and to fear,

exposuitque bonum summum, quō tendimus omnēs,

and he declared the highest good, toward which we all strive,

quid foret, atque viam mōnstrāvit, tramite parvō

what it was, and showed the path, a narrow track,

quā possemus ad id rēctō contendere cursū.

by which we might press straight toward it.

For when he saw that whatever men's needs demanded,
so far as may be, to keep their lives in safety,
was there at hand already for their use,
that men had all they could want in the way of wealth
and honor and praise, and pride in successful children;
Yet, at home each was perpetually disquieted
and the mind was enslaved by all its bitter complaints;
He understood that the trouble was in the container
and because of some flaw in it, everything would go bad
no matter how many excellent things were put into it:
Partly because there were holes and things flowed through them
and there was no possibility of filling it up,
And partly because what did get in was spoiled,
so to speak, by the nauseous taste there was inside.

The truth was what he used to purify hearts with
and he set a limit to fear as to desire;
He explained what it is that all of us really want
and showed us the way along a little path
which makes it possible for us to go straight there.

Horace (fl. c. 25 BCE), Epistles, 1.2.54

sincērum est nisi vās, quodcumque īfundis acēscit.

Unless the vessel is clean, whatever you pour in turns sour.

sperne voluptātēs: nocet emptā dolōre voluptās.

Spurn pleasures; pleasure bought with pain harms.

semper avārus eget: certum vōtō pete fīnem.

The greedy man is always in want; seek a sure limit for your desire.

Jars left contaminated will carry their taint to any contents whatsoever.
Spurn all delights; any joy that is purchased with pain will be harmful.
Greed is forever unsatisfied – vow to keep definite limits.

[U397]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 1.9.29 - 19.62, (Torquatus to Cicero)

Prīmum sīc agam, ut ipsī auctōrī huius disciplīnae placet: cōstituam, quid et quale sit id dē quō quaerimus..., ut ratiōne et viā prōcedat ōrātiō. quaerimus igitur quid sit extrēmum et ultimum bonōrum. quod omnium philosophōrum sentiā tāle dēbet esse, ut ad id omnia referri oporteat, ipsum autem nusquam. hoc Epicūrus in voluptāte pōnit, quod summum bonum esse vult, summumque malum dolōrem. idque instituit docēre sīc.

First I shall proceed as it pleases the author himself of this doctrine: I shall establish what and of what sort is that which we inquire about..., so that the discussion may advance by reason and method. We are inquiring, then, what is the extreme and ultimate of goods. Which, in the judgment of all philosophers, ought to be such that everything is referred to it, but it itself to nothing. This Epicurus places in pleasure, which he wishes to be the highest good, and in pain the highest evil. And he sets out to teach this thus.

Omne animal simul atque nātum sit, voluptātem appetere eāque gaudēre ut summō bonō, dolōrem aspernārī ut summum malum et quantum possit ā sē repellere: idque facere nondum dēprāvātum, ipsā nātūrā incorrupte atque integrē iūdicante. itaque negat opus esse ratiōne neque disputātiōne, quam ob rem voluptās expetenda, fugiendus dolōr sit: sentīrī haec putat, ut calēre ignem, nivem esse albam, mel dulce: quōrum nihil oportere exquisītis ratiōnibus cōfirmāre, tantum esse satis admonēre... etenim quoniam dētractīs dē homine sensibus reliquī nihil est, necesse est, quid aut ad nātūrā aut contrā sit, ā nātūrā ipsā iūdicārī. ea quid percipit aut quid iūdicat quō aut petat aut fugiāt aliquid, praeter voluptātem et dolōrem?

Every living creature, as soon as it is born, seeks pleasure and rejoices in it as the highest good, shuns pain as the greatest evil, and repels it from itself as far as it can; and it does this while not yet corrupted, with nature herself judging incorruptly and purely. Therefore he says there is no need of reasoning or argument to explain why pleasure is to be sought and pain to be avoided; he thinks these are felt, as that fire is hot, snow is white, honey is sweet—none of which ought to be proved by elaborate reasoning, it is enough only to remind. For since, when sensation is taken away from man, nothing remains, it must be that what is according to or contrary to nature is judged by nature herself. What does she perceive, or by what does she judge what she is to pursue or avoid, except by pleasure and pain?

Sed ut perspicīātis unde omnis iste nātus error sit voluptātem accūsantium dolōremque laudantium, tōtam rem aperiā eāque ipsa quae ab illō inventōre vērītātis et quasi architectō beātae vītae dicta sunt explicābō. nēmō enim ipsam voluptātem, quia voluptās sit, aspernātur aut odit aut fugit, sed quia consequuntur magnī dolōrēs eōs quī ratiōne voluptātem sequī nesciunt. neque porrō quisquam est quī dolōrem ipsum, quia dolōr sit, amet, cōsectētur, adipīscī velit, sed quia nōn numquam eius modī tempora incidunt, ut labōre et dolōre magnam aliquam quaerat voluptātem. ut enim ad minima veniām, quis nostrum exercitātiōnem ullam corporis suscipit labōriōsam nisi ut aliquid ex eā commodi consequatur?

But that you may perceive whence all this mistaken idea of blaming pleasure and praising pain has arisen, I will open up the whole matter and explain the very things said by that discoverer of truth and, as it were, architect of the happy life. For no one rejects, dislikes, or avoids pleasure itself because it is pleasure, but because great pains result to those who do not know how to pursue pleasure with reason. Nor again is there anyone who loves or seeks or desires pain itself because it is pain, but because at times such circumstances occur that toil and pain procure some great pleasure. For, to come to the smallest detail, who of us undertakes any laborious bodily exercise except that he may gain some advantage from it?

quis autem vel eum iūre reprehendit, quī in eā voluptāte velit esse quam nihil molestiae consequitur, vel illum, quī dolōrem eum fugiat quō voluptās nulla pariātur? at vērō eōs et accūsāmus et iustō odiō dignissimōs dūcimus, quī blanditiīs praesentium voluptātum dēlenītī atque corruptī, quōs dolōrēs et quās molestiās exceptūrī sint, obcaecātī cupiditāte nōn prōvident; similēs sunt in culpā quī officia dēserunt mollitiā animī, id est labōrum et dolōrum fugā. et hārum quidem rērum facilis est et expedita dīstīnctiō. nam liberō tempore, cum solūta nōbīs est eligendī optiō cumque nihil impedit quō minus id quod maximē placet facere possimus, omnis voluptās assumenda est, omnis dolōr repellendus. temporibus autem quibusdam et aut officiīs dēbitīs aut rērum necessitātibus saepe ēvenit, ut et voluptātēs repudiandae sint et molestiae nōn recūsandae. itaque eārum rērum hic tenētur ā sapiente dīlēctus, ut aut reiciendīs voluptātibus maiōrēs aliās consequatur aut perferendīs dolōribus asperiōrēs repellat.

Who, however, has any right to blame a man who chooses to enjoy a pleasure that brings no discomfort, or one who avoids a pain that produces no pleasure? On the contrary, we both accuse and with just hatred deem worthy of condemnation those who, softened and corrupted by the charms of present pleasures, do not foresee the pains and troubles that are bound to follow, blinded by desire. They are equally at fault who, through weakness of will—that is, through shirking toil and pain—fail in their duties. And of these matters there is indeed an easy and clear distinction. For in our free time, when the choice is open to us and nothing hinders us from doing what most pleases us, every pleasure should be embraced and every pain repelled. But at certain times, owing either to obligations or to the necessities of things, it often happens that pleasures are to be rejected and pains not to be avoided. Thus, in these matters, the wise man steadfastly makes his choice—so that by rejecting certain pleasures he gains others greater, or by enduring certain pains he avoids worse.

Nunc autem explicābō, voluptās ipsa quae qualisque sit... nōn enim hanc sōlam sequimur, quae suāvitāte aliquā nātūram ipsam movet et cum iucunditāte quādam percipitur sensibus, sed maximam voluptātem illam habēmus, quae percipitur omnī dolōre dētractō. nam quoniam, cum prīvāmur dolōre, ipsā liberātiōne et vacuitāte omnis molestiae gaudēmus, omne autem id quō gaudēmus voluptās est, ut omne quō offendimur dolōr, dolōris omnis prīvātiō rēctē nōmināta est voluptās. ut enim, cum cibō et potiōne famēs sitisque dēpulsa est, ipsa dētractiō molestiae cōsequitōnem affert voluptātis, sīc in omnī rē

dolōris amōtiō successiōnem efficit voluptātis. itaque nōn placuit Epicūrō medium esse quiddam inter dolōrem et voluptātem. illud enim ipsum quod quibusdam medium vidērētur, cum omnī dolōre carēret, nōn modo voluptātem esse, vērūm etiam summam voluptātem. quisquis enim sentit, quem ad modum sit affectus, eum necesse est aut in voluptāte esse aut in dolōre. omnis autem prīvātiōne dolōris putat Epicūrus terminārī summam voluptātem, ut postea variārī voluptās distingue possit, augērī amplificārīque nōn possit.

Now I shall explain what pleasure itself is, and of what kind... For we do not pursue only that pleasure which by some sweetness moves nature itself and is perceived with a certain delight by the senses, but we regard as the greatest pleasure that which is felt when all pain has been removed. For since, when we are freed from pain, we rejoice in that very freedom and absence of all distress, and everything in which we rejoice is pleasure, just as everything by which we are pained is pain, therefore the complete absence of pain is rightly called pleasure. For just as when hunger and thirst are driven away by food and drink, that very removal of distress brings with it the enjoyment of pleasure, so in every case the removal of pain produces the succession of pleasure. And so Epicurus did not approve that there is any middle state between pain and pleasure. For that very state which seemed to some a mean—since it is free from all pain—not only is pleasure, but even the highest pleasure. For whoever feels how he is affected must necessarily be either in pleasure or in pain. And Epicurus thinks that the highest pleasure is completed by the total removal of pain, so that afterward pleasure may be varied and distinguished, but cannot be increased or made greater.

Extrēmum autem esse bonōrum voluptātem ex hōc facillimē perspicī potest. cōstituāmus aliquem magnīs multīs perpetuīs fruentem et animō et corpore voluptātibus, nullō dolōre nec impediēte nec impendēte, quem tandem hōc statu praestabiliōrem aut magis expetendum possimus dīcere? inesse enim necesse est in eō quī ita sit affectus et firmitātem animī nec mortem nec dolōrem timentis, quod mors sensū careat, dolōr in longinquitate levis, in gravitāte brevis soleat esse, ut eius magnitūdinem celeritās, diurnitātem alleviātiō cōsoleatur. ad ea cum accēdit ut neque dīvinum numen horreat nec praeteritās voluptātēs effluere patiātur earumque assiduā recordātiōne laetētur, quid est quod hūc possit, quō melius sit, accedere?

That pleasure is the ultimate of goods can be most easily understood from this. Let us imagine a man enjoying great, many, and continuous pleasures of both mind and body, with no pain either hindering him or threatening him—whom, in this condition, could we say to be in a better or more desirable state? For it must be that such a one has firmness of mind, fearing neither death nor pain, since death is without sensation, and pain, if long, is slight, if severe, is short—so that its greatness is comforted by its brevity, and its length by its lightness. And when to these things it is added that he neither dreads divine power nor allows past pleasures to slip away but rejoices in their continual remembrance—what could be added to this state to make it better?

Statue contrā aliquem cōfectum tantīs animī corporisque dolōribus, quantī in hominem maximī cadere possunt, nullā spē prōpositā fore levius aliquandō, nullā praetereā neque praesenti nec exspectatā voluptāte, quid eō miserius dīcī aut fingī potest? quod sī vīta dolōribus referta maximē fugienda est, summum profectō malum est vīvere cum dolōre: cui sententiae consentāneum est ultimum esse bonōrum cum voluptāte vīvere. nec enim habet nostra mēns quicquam ubi cōsistat tamquam in extrēmō. omnēsque et metūs et aegritūdinēs ad dolōrem referuntur, nec praetereā est rēs ulla quae suā nātūrā aut sollicitāre possit aut angere. praetereā et appetendī et refugiendī et omnīnō rērum gerendārum initia prōficiscuntur aut ā voluptāte aut ā dolōre. quod cum ita sit, perspicuum est omnīs rectās rēs atque laudābilēs eō referri, ut cum voluptāte vīvetur. quoniam autem id est vel summum vel ultimum vel extrēmum bonōrum (quod Graecī τέλος nōinant), quod ipsum nullam ad aliam rem, ad id autem rēs referuntur omnēs, fatendum est summum esse bonum iūcundē vīvere.

Now set against this someone overwhelmed by such pains of mind and body as the greatest that can fall upon a man, with no hope offered that they will ever grow lighter, and with no present or expected pleasure besides—what can be said or imagined more wretched than that? And if life filled with pains is the most to be avoided, then surely the greatest evil is to live in pain. Therefore it follows that the highest good is to live with pleasure. For our mind has nothing on which it can rest as upon a final point. All fears and sorrows are referred to pain, nor is there any other thing by nature that can trouble or distress us. Moreover, the beginnings of desire and avoidance and indeed of all actions arise either from pleasure or from pain. Since this is so, it is clear that all right and praiseworthy actions are referred to this end—that life may be lived with pleasure. And since that is the highest, final, or ultimate good (which the Greeks call *telos*), which itself refers to no further thing, but to which all things are referred, it must be admitted that the highest good is to live pleasantly.

Id quī in ūnā virtūte pōnunt et splendōre nōminis captī, quid nātūra postulēt, nōn intellegunt, errōre maximō, sī Epicūrum audīre voluerint, liberābuntur. istae enim vestrae eximiae pulchraeque virtūtēs nisi voluptātem efficerent, quis eas aut laudābilēs aut expetendās arbitrārētur? ut enim medicōrum scientiam nōn ipsīus artis sed bonae valētūdinis causā probāmus, et gubernātōris ars quia bene nāvigandī ratiōnem habet, ūtilitāte, nōn arte laudātur, sīc sapientia, quae ars vīvendī putanda est, nōn expeterētur, sī nihil efficeret. nunc expetitur, quod est tamquam artifex conquīrendāe et comparandae voluptātis...

Those who place the highest good in virtue alone, captivated by the splendor of its name, do not understand what nature demands; but if they are willing to listen to Epicurus, they will be freed from their greatest error. For these your excellent and noble virtues—unless they produced pleasure—who would think them either praiseworthy or desirable? For just as we approve the science of physicians not for the art itself but for the sake of good health, and the art of the pilot because it provides the method of good navigation, and it is praised for its usefulness, not for its art, so wisdom, which must be considered the art of living, would not be sought if it accomplished nothing. Now it is sought because it is, as it were, the craftsman for discovering and acquiring pleasure.

Nam cum ignōrātiōne rērum bonārum et malārum maximē hominum vīta vexētur ob eumque errōrem et voluptātibus maximīs saepe prīvantur et dūrissimīs animī dolōribus torqueantur, sapientia est adhibenda, quae et terroribus cupiditātibusque dētractīs et omnium falsārum opiniōnum temeritāte dēreptā certissimam sē nōbīs ducem praebeat ad voluptātem. sapientia enim est ūna quae maestitiam pellat ex animīs, quae nōs exhorrescere metū nōn sinat, quā praeceptrice in tranquillitāte vīvī potest omnium cupiditātum ardōre restīnctō. cupiditātēs enim sunt īnsātiābilēs, quae nōn modo singulōs hominēs sed ūniversās familiās ēvertunt, tōtam etiam labefactant saepe rem pūblicam. ex cupiditātibus odia dissidia discordiae seditiōnēs bella nascuntur, nec eae sē foris solum iactant nec tantum in aliōs caecō impetū incurrunt, sed intus etiam in animīs inclūsae inter sē dissident atque discordant, ex quō vītam amarissimam necesse est effici, ut sapiēns solum amputatā circumcīsāque inānitāte omnī et errōre, nātūrae fīnibus contentus, sine aegritūdine possit et sine metū vīvere.

For since human life is greatly troubled by ignorance of good and evil things, and through this error people are often deprived of the greatest pleasures and tormented by the most bitter pains of mind, wisdom must be applied—which, once terrors and desires are removed and the rashness of all false opinions stripped away, offers itself to us as the most certain guide to pleasure. For wisdom alone drives sadness from our souls, prevents us from shuddering with fear, and under her guidance we can live in tranquility, once the fire of all desires is extinguished. Desires, indeed, are insatiable; they overthrow not only individual men but entire families, and often shake even the whole state. From desires arise hatreds, quarrels, discords, seditions, wars; nor do they only rage outwardly and attack others with blind impulse, but even within, confined in the soul, they clash and conflict with one another, from which it necessarily follows that life becomes most bitter—so that the wise man alone, having cut away and pruned all vanity and error, content with the limits of nature, can live without distress and without fear.

Quae est enim aut ūtilior aut ad bene vīvendum aptior partitio quam illa quā est ūsus Epicūrus? quī ūnum genus posuit eārum cupiditātum, quae essent et nātūrālēs et necessāriae; alterum, quae nātūrālēs essent nec tamen necessāriae;

tertium, quae nec nātūrālēs nec necessariāe. quārum ea ratiō est, ut necessariāe nec operā multa nec impēnsā explēantur.

For what division is either more useful or better suited to living well than that which Epicurus employed? He set one kind of desires as both natural and necessary; a second as natural but not necessary; and a third as neither natural nor necessary. The rule of these is this—that the necessary ones are satisfied without much labor or expense.

Ne nātūrālēs quidem multa dēsīderant, proptereā quod ipsa nātūra dīvitias quibus contenta sit et parābilēs et terminātās habet, inānium autem cupiditātum nec modus ullus nec finis inuenīrī potest. quod sī vītā omnem perturbārī uidēmus errōre et īnsciētiā, sapientiamque esse sōlam quae nōs ā libidinum impetū et ā formidinum terrōre uindicet et ipsīus fortūnae modicē ferre doceat iniūriās et omnīs mōnstret uiās quae ad quiētem et tranquillitātem ferant, quid est cūr dubitēmus dīcere et sapientiam propter voluptātēs expetendam et īnscientiam propter molestiās esse fugiendam?

Not even the natural desires seek many things, because nature herself has wealth that is both easy to obtain and bounded, with which she is content; but for empty desires no limit or end can be found. And if we see that all life is disturbed by error and ignorance, and that wisdom alone frees us from the onrush of passions and the terror of fears, teaches us to bear even Fortune’s injuries with moderation, and shows every path that leads to rest and tranquility, what reason is there to doubt that wisdom must be sought for the sake of pleasures, and folly shunned because of its troubles?

Eādemque ratiōne nē temperantiam quidem propter sē expetendam esse dīcēmus, sed quia pācem animīs afferat et eōs quasi concordiā quādam plācet ac lēniat. temperantia est enim quae in rēbus aut expetendīs aut fugiendīs ut ratiōnem sequāmur monet. nec enim satis est iūdicāre quid faciendum nōn faciendumue sit, sed stāre etiam oportet in eō quod sit iūdicātum. plērique autem quod tenēre atque servāre id quod ipsī statuērunt nōn possunt, victī et dēbilitātī obiectā speciē voluptātis trādunt sē libīdinibus constringendōs nec quid eventūrum sit prōvident, ob eamque causam propter voluptātem et parvam et nōn necessariam et quae vel aliter parārētur et quā etiam carēre possent sine dolōre, tum in morbōs gravīs, tum in damna, tum in dēdecora incurrunt, saepe etiam lēgum iūdictiōrumque poenīs obligantur.

And by the same reasoning, we shall not say that temperance is to be sought for its own sake, but because it brings peace to the mind and soothes it, as it were, with a kind of harmony. For temperance is that which advises us, in things to be pursued or avoided, to follow reason. For it is not enough to judge what ought or ought not to be done, but one must also stand firm in that which has been judged. Yet most people, because they cannot hold and keep to what they themselves have decided, overcome and weakened by the appearance of pleasure, surrender themselves to be bound by passions; nor do they foresee what will result. And for that reason, for the sake of a pleasure both small and unnecessary, and one which could either be gained otherwise or even done without without pain, they fall into serious diseases, losses, and disgrace, and are often bound by the penalties of laws and judgments.

Quī autem ita fruī volunt voluptātibus, ut nullī propter eās consequantur dolōrēs, et quī suum iūdictum retinent, nē voluptāte victī faciant id quod sentiānt nōn esse faciendum, īī voluptātem maximam adipīscuntur praetermittendā voluptāte; idem etiam dolōrem saepe perpetiuntur, nē sī id nōn faciānt, incidunt in maiōrem. ex quō intellegitur nec intemperantiam propter sē esse fugiendam temperantiamque expetendam, nōn quia voluptātēs fugiāt, sed quia maiōrēs consequatur.

But those who wish to enjoy pleasures in such a way that they suffer no pains because of them, and who keep their judgment so that, overcome by pleasure, they do not do what they know ought not to be done—these attain the greatest pleasure by foregoing a pleasure; and likewise, they often endure pain, lest by not doing so they fall into a greater one. From this it is understood that lack of self-control is not to be avoided for its own sake, nor temperance sought for its own sake, but not because it avoids pleasures—rather because it gains greater ones.

Eādem fortitudinis ratiō reperiētur. nam neque labōrum perfunctiō neque perpersiō dolōrum per sē ipsa allicit nec patientia nec assiduitās nec vigiliae nec ipsa quae laudātur industriā, nē fortitūdō quidem: sed ista sequimur, ut sine cūrā metūque vīvāmus animūmque et corpus, quantum efficere possumus, molestia liberēmus. ut enim mortis metū omnis quiētae vītae status perturbātur, et ut succumbere dolōribus eōsque humili animō imbecillōque ferre miserum est, ob eamque dēbilitātem animī multī parentēs, multī amīcōs, nōn nullī patriam, plērique autem sē ipsōs penitus perdidērunt, sīc robustus animus et excelsus omnī est liber cūrā et angore, cum et mortem contemnit, quā quī adfectī sunt in eādem causā sunt quā ante quam nātī, et ad dolōrēs ita parātus est, ut meminerit maximōs morte finīrī, parvōs multa habēre intervalla requiētis, mediocrium nōs esse dominōs, ut sī tolerābilēs sint, ferāmus, sī minus, animō aequō ē vītā, cum ea nōn placeat, tamquam ē theātrō exeāmus. quibus rēbus intellegitur nec timiditātem ignāviamque vituperārī nec fortitudinem patientiamque laudārī suō nōmine, sed illās reicī quia dolōrem pariant, hās optārī quia voluptātem.

The same reasoning is found in regard to courage. For neither the endurance of labors nor the suffering of pains is attractive in itself—nor patience, nor persistence, nor wakefulness, nor even that industry which is praised, nor courage itself—but we pursue these so that we may live without care and fear, and free our mind and body, as far as we can, from distress. For just as the fear of death disturbs every condition of peaceful life, and as it is wretched to yield to pains and bear them with a low and feeble spirit—and through this weakness of mind many have destroyed parents, many friends, some their country, but most have utterly destroyed themselves—so a strong and lofty spirit is free from all care and anxiety: since it both despises death (those who have died being in the same condition as before they were born), and is so prepared for pains that it remembers the greatest are ended by death, the small have many intervals of rest, and of the moderate ones we are masters—so that, if they are bearable, we endure them; if not, we depart from life with calm mind, as from a theater, when it no longer pleases us. From these things it is understood that neither cowardice and weakness are blamed, nor courage and endurance praised for their own sake, but the former are rejected because they bring pain, the latter are desired because they bring pleasure.

Iūstitia restat, ut dē omne virtūte sit dictum, sed similia ferē dīcī possunt. ut enim sapientiam temperantiam fortitudinem cōpulātās esse docuī cum voluptāte, ut ab eā nullō modō nec dīuellī nec distrahī possint, sīc dē iūstitiā iūdicandum est, quae nōn modō numquam nocet cuiquam, sed contrā semper imperit aliquid cum suā vī atque nātūrā, quod tranquillet animōs, tum spē nihil eārum rērum dēfutūrum, quās nātūra nōn dēprāvāta dēsīderet. et quem ad modum temeritās et libīdō et ignāvia semper animūm excruciant et semper sollicitant turbulentaque sunt, sīc improbitās sī cuius in mente cōnsēdit, hōc ipsō quod adest turbulenta est. sī vērō molīta quippiam est, quamuis occulte fēcerit, numquam tamen id cōfidet fore semper occultum. plērumque improbōrum facta prīmō suspiciō insequitur, dein sermō atque fāma, tum accūsātor, tum iūdex.

Justice remains to be discussed, so that something may be said of every virtue—but nearly the same things can be said. For as I have shown that wisdom, temperance, and courage are joined with pleasure, so that they can in no way be separated or torn from it, so also must we judge concerning justice. Justice not only never harms anyone, but on the contrary always imparts something—by its own power and nature—that calms the soul, and with the hope that none of the things which uncorrupted nature desires will be lacking. And just as rashness, lust, and cowardice always torment and agitate the mind and are full of turmoil, so wickedness, if it has settled in anyone’s mind, is by its very presence turbulent. But if it has done anything, however secretly, it will never trust that it will remain hidden forever. Most often the deeds of the wicked are first followed by suspicion, then by talk and rumor, then by an accuser, and finally by a judge.

Quod sī quī satis sibi contrā hominum cōscientiam saeptī esse et mūnītī videntur, deōrum tamen horrent, eāsque ipsās sollicitūdīnēs, quibus eōrum animī “noctisque diēsque” exeduntur, ā dis immortalibus supplicī causā importārī putant. quae autem tanta ex improbīs factīs ad minuendās vītae molestās accessiō potest fierī, quanta ad augendās cum cōscientia factōrum tum poenā legum odiōque cīvium? ... invītat igitur vēra ratiō bene sānōs ad iūstitiam aequitātem fidem. [neque hominī infantī aut impotentī iniūstē facta conducunt, quī nec facile efficere possit quod conētur nec obtinēre, sī effēcerit, et opēs vel fortūnae vel ingenī līberālītātī magis conveniunt] quā quī utuntur, benevolentiam sibi conciliant et, quod aptissimum est ad quietē vīvendum, caritātem, praesertim cum omnīnō nulla sit causa peccandī. quae enim cupiditātēs ā nātūrā proficīscuntur, facile explentur sine ullā iniūriā.

But if some think themselves well fenced and fortified against the awareness of men, yet they still dread the gods, and those very anxieties by which their minds are consumed “night and day” they believe are sent upon them by the immortal gods as punishment. And what gain from wicked deeds could ever arise to lessen life's troubles as great as that which increases them—by the pangs of conscience, the penalties of the laws, and the hatred of citizens? ... Therefore true reason invites all who are sane to justice, fairness, and faith. [Nor do unjust deeds benefit a man who is weak or powerless, since he can neither easily accomplish what he attempts nor keep what he has accomplished; and the resources of fortune or talent are better suited to generosity.] Those who make use of these win goodwill for themselves and, which is most conducive to peaceful living, affection—especially since there is in truth no cause at all for wrongdoing. For the desires that arise from nature are easily satisfied without any injustice.

Quae autem inānēs sunt, iīs parendum nōn est. nihil enim dēsīderābile concupīscunt, plusque in ipsā iniūriā dētrīmentī est quam in iīs rēbus emolumentī, quae pariuntur iniūriā. itaque nē iūstitiam quidem rēctē quis dīxerit per sē ipsam optābilem, sed quia iūcunditātis vel plūrimum adferat. nam dīligī et cārū esse iūcundum est, proptereā quia tūtīōrem vītam et voluptātum pleniōrem efficit. itaque nōn ob ea solum incommoda, quae ēveniunt improbīs, fugiendam improbitem putāmus, sed multō etiam magis quod cuius in animō versātur, numquam sinit eum respīrāre, numquam acquiēscere.

But as for the empty desires, they are not to be obeyed. For they crave nothing truly desirable, and there is more loss in the wrongdoing itself than gain in the things that are obtained by wrongdoing. Therefore, justice too should not rightly be called desirable for its own sake, but because it brings the greatest pleasure. For to be loved and held dear is pleasant, because it makes life safer and fuller of pleasures. Therefore we think wickedness must be shunned not only because of the misfortunes that befall the wicked, but even more because, when it dwells in a man’s mind, it never allows him to breathe freely or find rest.

Quod sī nē ipsārum quidem virtūtum laus, in quā maximē ceterōrum philosophōrum exsultat ōrātiō, reperīre exitum potest, nisi dērīgātur ad voluptātem, voluptās autem est sōla quae nōs vocet ad sē et allicitat suāpte nātūrā, nōn potest esse dubium quin id sit summum atque extrēmum bonōrum omnium beātēque vīvere nihil aliud sit nisi cum voluptāte vīvere.

But if even the praise of the virtues themselves—on which the speech of the other philosophers most prides itself—cannot find its proper end unless it is directed toward pleasure, and since pleasure alone calls and draws us to itself by its own nature, there can be no doubt that this is the highest and ultimate of all goods, and that to live happily is nothing else than to live with pleasure.

Huic certae stabilique sententiae quae sint coniuncta, explicābō brevi. nullus in ipsīs error est finibus bonōrum et malōrum, id est in voluptāte aut in dolōre, sed in iīs rēbus peccant, cum ex quibus haec efficiantur ignorant. animī autem voluptātēs et dolōrēs nāscī fatēmur ē corporīs voluptātibus et dolōribus.

I will briefly explain what things are connected with this sure and settled doctrine. There is no error in the very limits of good and evil—that is, in pleasure or in pain—but men err in the things by which these are produced, being ignorant of their causes. Moreover, we admit that the pleasures and pains of the mind arise from the pleasures and pains of the body.

...quamquam autem et laetitiam nōbīs voluptās animī et molestiam dolor adferat, eōrum tamen utrumque et ortum esse ē corpore et ad corpus referri, nec ob eam causam nōn multō maiōrēs esse et voluptātēs et dolōrēs animī quam corporīs. nam corpore nihil nisi praesēns et quod adest sentire possumus, animō autem et praeterita et futūra. ut enim aequē doleāmus, cum corpore dolemus, fieri tamen permagna accessiō potest, sī aliquod aeternum et īfīnītum impendēre malum nōbīs opīnēmur. quod idem licet transferre in voluptātem, ut ea maior sit, sī nihil tale metuāmus. iam illud quidem perspicuum est, animī maximam aut voluptātem aut molestiam plus aut ad beātam aut ad miseram vītā adferre momentī quam eōrum utrumvis, sī aequē diū sit in corpore.

...And although pleasure brings us joy of mind and pain brings us distress, yet both have their origin in the body and are referred back to it—though for that reason the pleasures and pains of the mind are by far greater than those of the body. For in the body we can feel nothing except what is present and immediate, whereas the mind can grasp both past and future. Thus, although we suffer equally when we feel pain in the body, yet a great addition may occur if we imagine some eternal and infinite evil hanging over us. The same reasoning may be applied to pleasure—that it becomes greater if we fear nothing of the sort. And it is indeed clear that the mind’s greatest pleasure or distress contributes more to making life either happy or miserable than either of those felt in the body, even if they should last equally long.

Nōn placet autem dētractā voluptāte aegritūdinem statim consequi, nisi in voluptātis locum dolor forte successerit: at contrā gaudēre nōsmet omittendīs dolōribus etiam sī voluptās ea, quae sēnsū moveat, nulla successerit. eōque intellegi potest, quanta voluptās sit nōn dolēre.

It does not seem true that sorrow must immediately follow the removal of pleasure, unless by chance pain has taken its place; but, on the contrary, we ourselves rejoice in the ending of pains, even if no new pleasure that stirs the senses follows in their place. From this it can be understood how great a pleasure it is simply not to suffer pain.

Sed ut iīs bonīs erigimur quae exspectāmus, sic laetāmur iīs quae recordāmur. stultī autem malōrum memoriā torquentur, sapientēs bona praeterita grātā recordātiōne renovāta dēlectant. est autem situm in nōbīs, ut et adversa quasi perpetuā oblivione obruāmus et secunda iūcunde ac suāviter meminerimus. sed cum ea quae praeterierunt acrī animō et attentō intuemur, tum fit ut aegritūdō sequatur, sī illa mala sint, laetitia sī bona...

Just as we are uplifted by the good things we expect, so we rejoice in those we remember. Fools, however, are tormented by the memory of their misfortunes, while the wise are delighted by the pleasant renewal of recalling their past blessings. Moreover, it lies within our power both to bury adversities in lasting forgetfulness and to remember prosperous things pleasantly and sweetly. But when we gaze sharply and attentively upon the things that have passed, then sorrow follows if they were bad, and joy if they were good...

Clāmat Epicūrus... ...nōn posse iūcunde vīvī, nisi sapienter honeste iūstēque vīvuātur, nec sapienter honeste iūstē, nisi iūcunde. neque enim cīvītās in seditiōne beāta esse potest nec in discordiā dominōrum domus: quō minus animus ā sē ipse dissidēns secumque discordāns gustāre partem ullam liquidae voluptātis et liberae potest. atquī pugnantibus et contrariīs studiīs cōsiliisque semper ūtēns nihil quiētī vidēre, nihil tranquilli potest. quod sī corporis graviōribus morbīs vītae iūcunditās impeditur, quantō magis animī morbīs impedīrī necesse est. animī autem morbī sunt cupiditātēs immēnsae et inānes dīvītārum glōriae dominātiōnis, libīdīnōsārum etiam voluptātum; accedunt aegritūdinēs molestiārum maerōrēs, quī exedunt animōs cōficiuntque cūrīs hominum nōn intellegendum nihil dolendum esse animō, quod sit ā dolōre corporis praesentī futūrōve seiūnctum. nec vērō quisquam stultus nōn hōrum morbōrum aliquō labōrat, nēmō igitur stultus nōn miser.

Epicurus proclaims... that it is impossible to live pleasantly unless one lives wisely, honorably, and justly—and impossible to live wisely, honorably, and justly unless one lives pleasantly. For a state cannot be happy in civil strife, nor a household in discord among its masters; much less can a soul at odds with itself and in conflict taste any share of pure and free pleasure. Indeed, a mind that always uses opposing and contradictory desires and counsels can see nothing calm, nothing peaceful. And if the greater diseases of the body hinder life’s pleasantness, how much more must those of the mind hinder it! The diseases of the mind are unbounded and empty desires for wealth, glory, power, and even lustful pleasures; added to these are the griefs, troubles, and sorrows that eat away at men’s souls and wear them down with cares—men who do not understand that the mind should feel no pain that is separated from the pain of the body, whether present or future. And indeed, no fool is free from some one of these diseases; therefore, no fool is not miserable.

Accēdit etiam mors, quae quasi saxum Tantālō semper impendet, tum superstitio, quā quī est imbutus, quiētus esse numquam potest. praetereā bona praeterita nōn meminerunt, praesentibus nōn fruuntur: futūra modō expectant: quae quia certa esse nōn possunt, cōficientur et angore et metū maximēque cruciantur, cum sērō sentiunt frūstrā sē aut pecūniae studuisse aut imperiīs aut opibus aut glōriae. nullās enim cōsequuntur voluptātēs, quārum potiendī spē inflammātī multōs labōrēs magnōsque susceperant. ecce autem aliī minūtī et angusti et omnia semper dēspērantēs aut malevolī invidī, difficilēs lucifugī, maledicī mōnstruōsī, aliī autem etiam amātōriīs levitātibus dēditī, aliī petulantēs, aliī audācēs protervī, idem intemperantēs et ignāvī, numquam in sententiā permanētēs: quās ob causās in eōrum vītā nulla est intercāpedō molestiae. igitur neque stultōrum quisquam beātus neque sapientum nōn beātus...

Death too comes in addition, ever hanging over them like the stone above Tantalus, and superstition—by which whoever is infected can never be at rest. Moreover, they do not remember past blessings, they do not enjoy the present ones; they look only to the future, and since these cannot be certain, they are consumed with anguish and fear, and are tormented most of all when too late they perceive that they have pursued in vain wealth, power, resources, or fame. For they gain none of the pleasures for whose attainment, inflamed by hope, they had undertaken many great labors. Behold, again, others are small-minded and narrow, always despairing of everything, or spiteful, envious, difficult, hating the light, slanderous, monstrous; others are given over to amorous frivolities, others are wanton, others rash and insolent, the same men intemperate and cowardly, never remaining in one purpose. For these reasons, in their life there is no pause in their troubles. Therefore, none of the foolish is happy, and none of the wise is not happy...

Sic enim ab Epicūrō sapiēns semper beātus indūcitur: finītās habet cupiditātēs, neglegit mortem, dē dīs immortalibus sine ullō metū vēra sentit, nōn dubitat, sī ita melius sit, migrāre dē vītā. hīs rēbus instructus semper est in voluptāte. neque enim tempus est ullum, quō nōn plūs voluptātum habeat quam dolōrum. nam et

praeterita grātē meminit et praesentibus ita potitur, ut animadvertat quanta sint ea quamque iūcunda, neque pendet ex futūrīs sed exspectat illa, fruitur praesentibus, ab īsque vitiīs, quae paulō ante conlējī, abest plūrimum, et cum stultōrum vītam cum suā comparat, magnā adficitur voluptāte. dolōrēs autem sī quī incurrunt, numquam vim tantam habent, ut nōn plūs habeat sapiēns quod gaudeat quam quod angātur.

For in Epicurus’ view, the wise man is always happy: his desires are limited, he disregards death, he holds true opinions about the immortal gods without any fear, and he does not hesitate, if it be better so, to depart from life. Equipped with these things, he is always in pleasure. For there is no moment when he does not have more pleasures than pains. He gratefully remembers past joys, and so enjoys the present ones that he perceives how great and how delightful they are. He does not depend upon the future but awaits it calmly, enjoys the present, and is most free from those vices I mentioned just before; and when he compares the life of the foolish with his own, he is filled with great pleasure. And if any pains do arise, they never have such power that the wise man does not have more reason to rejoice than to be troubled.

1. Chief Good & Evil

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 ^{CE}), Against the Academicians, 3.16

Ergō Zēnō vel Chrysippus sī interrogētur quis sit sapiēns, respondebit eum esse quem ipse dēscripserit. contrā Epicūrus vel quis alius adversāriōrum negābit suumque potiūs peritissimum voluptātis aucupem sapientem esse contendēt. inde ad iurgium. clāmat Zēnō et tōta illa porticus tumultuātur, hominem nātum ad nihil esse aliud quam honestātem, ipsam suō splendōre in sē animōs dūcere, nullō prōrsus commodō extrinsecus positō et quasi lenocinante mercēde, voluptātemque illam Epicūrī sōlis inter sē pecoribus esse commūnem: in quōrum societātem et hominem et sapientem trudere nefās esse. contrā ille convocātā dē hortulīs in auxilium quasi liberā turbā temulentōrum, quaerentium tamen quem incompītis unguibus bacchantēs asperōque ōre discerpant, voluptātis nōmen, suāvitatem, quiētem testē populō exaggerāns īnstat ācritē, ut nisi eā beātus nēmō esse posse videātur.

Therefore, if Zeno or Chrysippus were asked who the wise man is, he would reply that it is the one he himself has described. In contrast, Epicurus—or any of his followers—would deny this and maintain instead that his own expert hunter of pleasure is the truly wise man. Then comes the quarrel. Zeno shouts, and the whole Stoic portico erupts in commotion, declaring that man was born for nothing else but virtue, that virtue by its own splendor draws souls to itself without any external advantage or enticing reward, and that Epicurus’ pleasure is shared only with cattle—it being impious to thrust both man and the wise into their company. But Epicurus, summoning from his little gardens to his aid a seemingly free mob of revelers—drunken yet eager to know whom, with unkempt nails and savage mouths, they might tear apart—presses on with vehemence, exalting the name of pleasure, its sweetness, its tranquility, before the witness of the crowd, insisting that without it no one can seem to be happy.

{Attributed to Cicero} "If Zeno or Chrysippus were asked who the wise man is, he'll reply that the wise man is the one whom he himself has described. In return, Epicurus or another adversary will deny this and maintain instead that the wise man is the one most skilled at catching pleasures. And so the fight is on! The whole Porch is in an uproar! Zeno is shouting that man is naturally apt for nothing but virtue, which attracts mind to itself by its own grandeur without offering any extrinsic advantage and rewarded as a kind of enticement; Epicurus' 'pleasure' is common only among brute animals, and to push man – and the wise man! – into an association with them is abominable. Epicurus, like Bacchus, has called together a drunken mob from his Gardens to aid him against this onslaught! The mob is searching for someone to tear to pieces with their long fingernails and savage fangs in their Bacchic fury. Elevating the name of pleasure as agreeableness and calm, with popular support, Epicurus passionately insists that without pleasure nobody could seem happy."

[Cf. Saint Augustine (fl. 394 ^{CE}), Sermon, 150.5-, t. V p. 713-]

[U398]

Ā prīmō, ut opīnor, animantium ortū petitur orīgō summī bonī. simul atque nātum animal est, gaudet voluptāte et eam adpetit ut bonum, aspernātur dolōrem ut malum. dē malīs autem et bonīs ab iīs animālibus, quae nondum dēprāvāta sint, ait optimē iūdicārī... nec tamen argūmentum hoc Epicūrus ā parvīs petīvit aut etiam ā bestiīs, quās putat esse specula nātūrae, ut dīceret ab iīs duce nātūrā hanc voluptātem expetī nihil dolendī. neque enim haec movēre potest adpetitum animī nec ullum habet ictum quō pellat animum status hic nōn dolendī. at ille pellit, quī permulcet sēnsu voluptāte, itaque Epicūrus semper hoc ūtitur, ut probet voluptātem nātūrā expetī, quod ea voluptās, quae in mōtū sit, et parvōs ad sē adliciat et bestiās, nōn illa stābilis, in quā tantum inest nihil dolēre.

From the very first origin of living creatures, as I think, is sought the beginning of the highest good. As soon as an animal is born, it rejoices in pleasure and seeks it as a good, while it shuns pain as an evil. And he says that from those creatures which have not yet been corrupted the best judgment is made concerning what is good and bad... Yet Epicurus did not draw this argument from children or even from beasts, which he considers mirrors of nature, to assert that from them, guided by nature, is sought this pleasure of “not suffering pain.” For this state of not feeling pain cannot move the desire of the mind, nor has it any impulse by which it stirs the soul. But that one does move it—the one that soothes the senses with pleasure; and thus Epicurus always uses this argument to prove that pleasure is naturally sought, because the pleasure that exists in movement attracts both children and animals to itself, not that stable state in which there is only the absence of pain.

For the origin of the Chief Good, he {Epicurus} goes back, I understand, to the birth of living things. As soon as an animal is born, it delights in pleasure and seeks it as a good, but shuns pain as an evil. Creatures as yet uncorrupted are according to him the best judges of Good and Evil... 33: For proof of this, however, Epicurus cannot have gone to children nor yet to animals, which according to him hold a mirror up to nature; he could hardly say that natural instinct guides the young to desire the pleasure of freedom from pain. This cannot excite sexual desire; the 'static' condition of feeling no pain exerts no driving-power, supplies no impulse to the will (so that Hieronymus also is wrong here); it is the positive sensation of pleasure and delight that furnishes a motive. Accordingly Epicurus' standing argument to prove that pleasure is naturally desired is that infants and animals are attracted by the 'kinetic' sort of pleasure, not the 'static' kind which consists merely in freedom from pain.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 133.109

Voluptātem bestiīs concēdāmus, quibus vōs dē summō bonō testibus ūtī solētis.

Let us grant pleasure to the beasts, whom you are accustomed to use as witnesses concerning the highest good.

Let us leave pleasures to the lower animals, to whose evidence on this question of the Chief Good your school is fond of appealing.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Academica, 1.2.6

Dē vitā et mōribus et dē expetendīs fugiendisque rēbus illī (Epicūreī) simpliciter: pecudis enim et hominis idem bonum esse cēsent.

Concerning life and conduct, and about things to be sought and avoided, they (the Epicureans) speak simply; for they hold that the good of a beast and of a man is the same.

Even this department of ethics, and the subject of moral choice and avoidance, that school handles quite simply, for it frankly identifies the good of man with the good of beasts, but what a vast amount of what minute precision the teachers of our school display is not unknown to you.

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Outlines of Pyrrhonism, 3.194

ὁθεν καὶ οἱ Ἐπικούρειοι δεικνύουσι νομίζουσι φύσει αἰρετὴν εἶναι τὴν ἡδονήν· τὰ γὰρ ζῷα φασιν ἅμα τῷ γενέσθαι ἀδιάστροφα ὄντα ὁρμᾶν μὲν ἐπὶ τὴν ἡδονήν, ἐκκλίνειν δὲ ἀλγηδόνας.

Hence, the Epicureans suppose themselves to have proved that pleasure is naturally choice-worthy; for animals, they say, as soon as they are born, when still unperverted, seek after pleasure and avoid pains.

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Ethicists, 96

ἀλλ’ εἰώθασί τινες τῶν ἀπὸ τῆς Ἐπικούρου αἰρέσεως πρὸς τὰς τοιαύτας ἀπορίας ὑπαντῶντες λέγειν ὅτι φυσικῶς καὶ ἀδιδάκτως τὸ ζῶον φεύγει μὲν τὴν ἀλγηδόνα, διώκει δὲ τὴν ἡδονὴν γεννηθὲν γοῦν καὶ μηδέπω τοῖς κατὰ δόξαν δουλεῦον ἅμα τῷ ῥιπισθῆναι ἀσυνήθει ἀέρος ψύξει ἔκλαυσέ τε καὶ ἐκώκυσεν. εἰ δὲ φυσικῶς ὁρμᾷ μὲν πρὸς ἡδονήν, ἐκκλίνει δὲ τὸν πόνον, φύσει φευκτὸν τέ ἐστιν αὐτῷ ὁ πόνος καὶ αἰρετὸν ἡ ἡδονή.

Some of those who belong to the school of Epicurus, in answer to these objections {that folly is not evil by nature, and so forth}, are wont to argue that the animal avoids pain and pursues pleasure naturally and without teaching. Thus when it is born, and is not as yet a slave to opinions, it cries and screams as soon as it is smitten by first puff of chilly air. But if it naturally has an inclination for pleasure and a disinclination for toil, it naturally avoids pain and chooses pleasure.

Cf., Maximus of Tyre (fl.c. 190 BCE), **Dissertations, 3.2- [p. 30- Reiske]**

*Marcus Terentius Varro (fl. 46 BCE), On Philosophy,
by way of Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), City of God, 19.1*

Quattuor esse quaedam quae hominēs sine magistrō, sine ullō doctrīnae adminiculō, sine industriā vel arte vīvendi... ...velut nātūrāliter appetunt, aut voluptātem quā dēlectābiliter movētur corporis sēnsus, aut quiētem quā fit ut nullam molestiam corporis quisque patiātur, aut utramque, quam tamen ūnō nōmine voluptātis Epicūrus appellat, aut ūniversaliter prīma nātūrae.

There are, they say, four things which men, without a teacher, without any aid of learning, without effort or art of living... as it were by nature, seek: either pleasure, by which the sense of the body is agreeably moved; or rest, by which it comes about that one suffers no bodily distress; or both together—which Epicurus nevertheless calls by the single name of “pleasure”; or, taken generally, the primary objects of nature.

"There are four things that men naturally seek, without a master and without the support of any instruction, without effort and without any art of living ... naturally, they seek pleasure, which is an agreeable activity of physical perception, or repose, the state in which the individual suffers no bodily discomfort, or both of these (which Epicurus calls by the single name of pleasure), or taking everything together, the primary wants of nature..."

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Doctrines of Hippocrates and Plato, 5.5

Ἐπίκουρος μὲν τὴν τοῦ χειρίστου μορίου τῆς ψυχῆς οἰκείωσιν ἐθεάσατο μόνην.

Epicurus saw only the kinship {oikeiosis} felt by the worst part of the soul.

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 7.86

ὁ δὲ λέγουσί τινες, πρὸς ἡδονὴν γίγνεσθαι τὴν πρώτην ὁρμὴν τοῖς ζῴοις, ψεῦδος ἀποφαίνουσιν (οἱ Στωικοί) ἐπιγέννημα γάρ φασιν, εἰ ἄρα ἔστιν, ἡδονὴν εἶναι, ὅταν αὐτὴν καθ’ αὐτὴν ἡ φύσις ἐπιζητήσασα τὰ ἐναρμόζοντα τῇ συστάσει ἀπολάβῃ, ὃν τρόπον ἀφιλαρύνεται τὰ ζῶα καὶ θάλλει τὰ φυτά.

S

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies, 2.20

καθόλου γὰρ οὐκ ἀναγκαῖον τὸ τῆς ἡδονῆς πάθος, ἐπακολούθημα δὲ χρειᾶς τισὶ φυσικαῖς, πείνῃ δίψει ῥίγει γάμῳ.

For the feeling of pleasure is not at all a necessity, but the accompaniment of certain natural needs – hunger, thirst, cold, sexual union.

Cf. Cicero, De Finibus, 3.15.17; 2.11.33 {Cf. U200}

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 5.25.74

Videntur etiam ipsī voluptārīī dēverticula quaerere et virtūtēs habent in ōre tōtōs diēs, voluptātemque prīmō dumtaxat expetī dīcunt, deinde cōsuētūdine quasi alteram quandam nātūram effici, quā impulsī multa faciānt nullam quaerentēs voluptātem

Even the pleasure-seekers themselves seem to seek retreats, and they have virtue constantly on their lips; they say that pleasure is sought at first only, but afterward, by habit, a kind of second nature is formed, by which they are driven to do many things without seeking any pleasure.

Even the devotees to pleasure take refuge in evasions: the name of virtue is on their lips all the time, and they declare that pleasure is only at first the object of desire, and that later habit produces a sort of second nature, which supplies a motive for many actions not aiming at pleasure at all.

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 ^{CE}), On the Soul, 2.19

τοῖς δὲ περὶ Ἐπίκουρον ἡδονὴ τὸ πρῶτον οἰκεῖον ἔδοξεν εἶναι ἀπλῶς, προϊόντων δὲ διαρθροῦσθαι ταύτην τὴν ἡδονὴν φασιν.

The Epicureans held that what is first congenial to us, without qualification, is pleasure. But they say that as we get older, this pleasure articulates itself in many ways.

[U399]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 3.1.3

Ipse etiam dīcit Epicūrus nē argumentandum quidem esse dē voluptāte, quod sit positum iūdicium eius in sēnsibus, ut commonērī nōs satis sit, nihil attineat docērī.

Epicurus himself even says that there is no need to argue about pleasure, since the judgment of it is founded in the senses, so that it is enough for us to be reminded, and there is no need to be taught.

Epicurus himself declares that there is no occasion to argue about pleasure at all: its criterion resides in the senses, so that proof is entirely superfluous.

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 2.12.36

ait sēnsibus ipsīs iūdicārī voluptātem bonum esse, dolōrem malum

He says that it is judged by the senses themselves that pleasure is good and pain is evil.

{Epicurus} ...says that the verdict of the senses themselves decides pleasure to be good and pain evil.

[U400]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Academica 2.46.140 (Lucullus)

Audī contrā illōs, quī nōmen honestātis ā sē nē intellegī quidem dīcant, nisi fortē quod glōriōsum sit in vulgus, id honestum velīmus dīcere: fontem omnium bonōrum in corpore esse, hanc normam, hanc rēgulam, hanc praescrīptiōnem esse nātūrae, ā quā quī aberrāvisset, eum numquam quid in vītā sequerētur habitūrum.

Hear, then, against those who say that they do not even understand the name of “honor,” unless perhaps we wish to call that honorable which is glorious among the crowd: that the source of all goods is in the body, that this is the standard, this the rule, this the precept of nature, from which whoever has strayed will never know what to follow in life.

Hear on the opposite side those who say that they do not even understand what the word "virtue" means, unless indeed we choose to give the name "moral" to what looks well with the mob: that the source of all things good is in the body – this is nature's canon and rule and injunction, to stray away from which will result in a man's never having an object to follow in life.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Against Lucius Calpurnius Piso, 28.68

Audīstis profectō dīcī philosophōs Epicūreōs omnīs rēs quae sint hominī expetendae voluptāte metīrī.

You have surely heard it said that the Epicurean philosophers measure all things that are to be sought by man by the standard of pleasure.

You have of course heard it said that Epicurean philosophers assess the desirability of anything by its capacity to give pleasure.

[U401]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 2.6.15

Aristippus nōn dubitāvit summum malum dolōrem dīcere; deinde ad hanc ēneruatam muliebremque sententiam satis docilem sē Epicūrus praebuīt.

Aristippus did not hesitate to say that the greatest evil is pain; afterward Epicurus showed himself quite receptive to this enfeebled and womanish doctrine.

Aristippos the Socratic had no hesitation in pronouncing pain to be the chief evil; next Epicurus lent himself quite obediently to the support of this spineless, unmanly view.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.9.26

Cum dolōrem nōn modo maximum malum, sed solum malum etiam dīxerit.

Since he said that pain is not only the greatest evil, but even the only evil.

...after saying that pain is not only the chief evil, but the only evil as well...

[Cf. Ibid., 2.12.28; 19.44-45; V.10.31]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.33

Quīn potiūs efficis, nē dolōr malum sit? “Omnium,” inquit Epicūrus, “malōrum maximum est.”

Why not rather show that pain is not an evil? “Of all evils,” says Epicurus, “it is the greatest.”

Why, rather, do you not consider that pain might not be an evil? Because [Epicurus] says it is the greatest of all evils.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.5

Inpatientī ac dēlicātō dolōrem esse omnium malōrum maximum dīcitur (ab Epicūrō): fortī, etiam in tormentīs beātum esse sapientem.

To one who is weak and delicate, pain is said (by Epicurus) to be the greatest of all evils; but to the strong man, even in torments, the wise man is happy.

{Epicurus says} to the impatient and delicate that pain is the greatest of all evils; to the strong, that the Sage is blessed even in torments.

Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Outlines of Pyrrhonism, 3.195

ἀλγηδὼν ἢ ἐστὶ κατ’ αὐτοὺς φύσει κακόν.

... and pain, according to them, is a natural evil.

[U402]

Lucian (fl. 165 CE), The Double Indictment, 21 (Epicurus portrayed as speaking)

(Epicurus loquitur) πρὸς δὲ τὴν ἡδονὴν ἄσμενος ἐδραπέτευσεν (Διονύσιος ὁ μεταθέμενος) ὥσπερ δεσμά τινα διακόψας τὰς τῶν λόγων πλεκτάνας, ἀνθρώπινα καὶ οὐ βλακώδη φρονήσας καὶ τὸν μὲν πόνον, ὃ περ ἐστί, πονηρόν, ἠδεῖαν δὲ τὴν ἡδονὴν οἰηθεῖς ---.

Stoa: Κακὸν ἡγεῖ τὸν πόνον;

Epicurus: Ναί.

Stoa: Τὴν ἡδονὴν δὲ ἀγαθόν;

Epicurus: Πάνυ μὲν οὔν.

"{Suppose that Dionysius, the Apostate} ran away to Pleasure of his own free will, cutting the meshes of [Stoic] logic as if they were bonds, because he had the spirit of a human being, not of a dolt, and thought pain painful, as indeed it is, and pleasure pleasant..."

Stoa: Do you consider pain bad?

Epicurus: Yes.

Stoa: And pleasure good?

Epicurus: Certainly so!

[U403]

Plotinus (fl.c. 245 CE), Dissertations, 30 (Aeneids, 2.9), 15

δυεῖν γὰρ οὐσῶν αἰρέσεων τοῦ τυχεῖν τοῦ τέλους, μιᾶς μὲν τῆς ἡδονὴν τὴν τοῦ σώματος τέλος τιθεμένης, ἐτέρας δὲ τῆς τὸ καλὸν καὶ τὴν ἀρετὴν αἰρουμένης... ...ὁ μὲν Ἐπίκουρος τὴν πρόνοιαν ἀναιρῶν τὴν ἡδονὴν καὶ τὸ ἡδεσθαι, ὃ περ ἦν λοιπόν, τοῦτο διώκειν παρακελεύεται.

For there are two schools of thought about attaining the [ethical] end. One which puts forward the pleasure of the body as the end, and another which chooses nobility and virtue ... Epicurus, who abolishes providence, exhorts to pursue all that remains: pleasure and its enjoyment.

Scholion on Lucian (fl. 165 CE), The Double Indictment, 20

ὅτι οἱ Ἐπικούρειοι ἄθεοι ὄντες ἡδονὴν μόνην ἐπρέσβευον

The Epicureans, being atheists, used to only honor pleasure.

[U404]

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's "Topics," p. 9

οὐδ’ ἐν οἷς μὴ τὸ οἰκεῖον συμπέρασμα ἐπιφέρεται, ἄλλο δέ τι ἐπόμενον ἐκείνῳ ἢ συμβεβηκός, οὐδ’ οὗτοι συλλογισμοί, οὐ γὰρ διὰ τῶν κειμένων οἷον εἰ λαβὼν τις τὴν ἡδονὴν κατὰ φύσιν καὶ τὸ κατὰ φύσιν αἰρετὸν συμπεραίγοιτο τὴν ἡδονὴν ἀγαθὸν εἶναι. οὐ γὰρ τοῦτο δείκνυται ἐκ τῶν κειμένων, εἰ καὶ ἔπεται ἐξ ἀνάγκης τῷ συναγομένῳ.

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Doctrines of Hippocrates and Plato, 4.4

τὸ γοῦν οἰηθῆναι τὴν ἡδονὴν ἀγαθὸν εἶναι, καθά περ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος, ἡμαρτημένος τε λόγος ἐστί καὶ ψευδής.

...thus the belief that pleasure is a good, as Epicurus would have it, is a mistaken and false teaching.

[U405]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1087B

δοκεῖς οὖν μοι μὴ τὸ ἡδὺ ἐξαιρεῖν, ὥς φασιν, ἀλλὰ τὸ Ζῆν ἀφαιρεῖσθαι τοὺς ἄνδρας, εἰ τὸ ζῆν ἡδέως μὴ ἀπολείψεις αὐτοῖς.

So I think you are not "removing the springtime from their year" as the saying goes, but depriving these men of life, if you are not going to leave them the possibility of living pleasurably.

[U406]

*Antiochus of Ascalon (fl.c. 95 BCE),
by way of Clement of Alexandria(fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies 2.21*

Αἶρετόν δὲ εἶναί φησιν (Επίκουρος) ὃ πρῶτον ἐξ ἑαυτοῦ ἐφ’ ἑαυτὸ ἐπισπᾶται, πάντως δηλονότι ἐν κινήσει ὑπάρχον.

Epicurus also says that the removal of pain is pleasure; and says that that is to be preferred, which first attracts from itself to itself, being, that is, wholly in motion.

[U407]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.121

τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν διχῇ νοεῖσθαι, τὴν τε ἀκροτάτην, οἷα ἐστὶ περὶ τὸν θεόν, ἐπίτασιν οὐκ ἔχουσιν· καὶ τὴν [κατὰ τὴν] προσθήκην καὶ ἀφαίρεσιν ἡδονῶν.

Two kinds of happiness are conceivable: one being the highest possible, such as the gods enjoy, which cannot be increased; the other subject to increases or decreases of pleasures.

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), Confessions, 6.16.26

Eī disputābam cum amīcīs meīs Alypiō et Nebridiō dē finibus bonōrum et malōrum, Epicūrum acceptūrum fuisse palmam in animō meō, nisi ego crēdidissem post mortem restāre animae vītam et tractūs meritōrum, quod Epicūrus crēdere nōluit. et quaerēbam, sī essēmus immortālēs et in perpetuā corporis voluptāte sine ullō amissiōnis terrōre vīverēmus, cūr nōn essēmus beātī aut quid aliud quaererēmus.

I was debating with my friends Alypius and Nebridius about the ends of good and evil: Epicurus would have carried the prize in my mind, had I not believed that after death there remains for the soul a life and the consequences of its deeds—which Epicurus was unwilling to believe. And I asked: if we were immortal and lived in perpetual bodily pleasure without any fear of its loss, why should we not be happy, or what else should we seek?

I argued in those days with my friends Alypius and Nebridius concerning the limits of good and evil. Determining, in my judgment, that Epicurus should have won the garland, had I not verily believed that there remained a life for the soul after the body was dead, and the fruits of our deservings, which Epicurus would not believe. And so I put the question: suppose we were to be immortal, and were to live in perpetual enjoyment of bodily pleasures, and that without fear of losing – why should we not then be fully happy, and wherefore should we seek for any other thing?

2. Kinetic Pleasure

[U408]

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 1.20.17

ἂν οὖν ἐλθὼν Ἐπίκουρος εἶπη ὅτι ἐν σαρκὶ εἶναι δεῖ τὸ ἀγαθόν, πάλιν μακρὸν γίνεται καὶ ἀνάγκη ἀκοῦσαι, τί τὸ προηγούμενόν ἐστιν ἐφ’ ἡμῶν, τί τὸ

ὑποστατικὸν καὶ οὐσιῶδες. ὅτι τὸ κοιλίου ἀγαθὸν οὐκ εἰκὸς εἶναι ἐν τῷ
κελύφει, τὸ οὖν τοῦ ἀνθρώπου εἰκός; σὺ δ' αὐτός τι κυριώτερον ἔχεις, Ἐπίκουρε.
τί ἐστὶν ἐν σοὶ τὸ βουλευόμενον, τὸ ἐπισκεπτόμενον ἕκαστα, τὸ περὶ τῆς σαρκὸς
αὐτῆς, ὅτι τὸ προηγούμενόν ἐστιν, ἐπικρῖνον

If Epicurus should come and say that the good ought to be in the flesh, again
the explanation becomes lengthy, and you must be told what is the principal
faculty within us, and what our substantial versus what our essential nature
is. Since it is not probable that the good of a snail lies in its shell, is it then
probable that the good of man lies in his flesh? But take your own case,
Epicurus; what more masterful faculty do you yourself possess? What is
that thing within you which takes counsel, which examines into all things
individually, and which, after examining the flesh itself, decides that it is the
principal matter?

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 1.23.1

Ἐπίκουρος... ἐν τῷ κελύφει θεὺς τὸ ἀγαθὸν ἡμῶν.

S

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 2.23.20

**εἴτα (ἢ προαίρεσις) τηλικαύτη δύναμις οὕσα καὶ πᾶσι τοῖς ἄλλοις ἐπιτεταγμένη,
παρελθοῦσα ἡμῖν λεγέτω κράτιστον εἶναι τῶν ὄντων τὴν σάρκα. οὐδ' εἰ αὕτη ἡ
σὰρξ ἑαυτὴν ἔλεγεν εἶναι κράτιστον, ἡνέσχετ' ἂν τις αὐτῆς.**

Therefore, since the faculty of choice is so great, and has been set over
everything else, let it come before us and say that the flesh is of all things
the most excellent.

[U409]

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 546F

**καὶ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος δέ φησιν· ἀρχὴ καὶ ρίζα παντὸς ἀγαθοῦ ἡ τῆς γαστρὸς ἡδονή
καὶ τὰ σοφὰ καὶ τὰ περιττὰ ἐπὶ ταύτην ἔχει τὴν ἀναφοράν.**

And Epicurus says, "The principle and the root of all good is the pleasure of
the stomach; even wisdom and culture must be referred to this."

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 280A

Ἐπίκουρος γὰρ ἦν ὁ τούτων διδάσκαλος, ὃς καὶ βοῶν ἔλεγεν ἀρχὴ... ..ἀναφοράν

The master of these men, indeed, was Epicurus, who loudly proclaimed...
["The principle" etc., cited above].

*Metrodorus, Letter to his Brother Timocrates, fr. 13,
by way of Plutarch, Non Posse, 1098D*

**ὥς καὶ ἐχάρην καὶ ἐθραυσνάμην, ὅτι ἔμαθον παρ' Ἐπικούρου ὀρθῶς γαστρὶ
χαρίζεσθαι.**

{We are not called to save the nation or get crowned by it for wisdom; what
is called for, my dear Timocrates, is to eat and to drink wine, gratifying the
belly without harming it.} ... It made me both happy and confident to have
learned from Epicurus how to gratify the belly properly. ... {The belly,
Timocrates, my man of wisdom, is the region that contains the highest end.}

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1125A

**οἱ περὶ γαστέρα τάγαθὸν ἡγούμενοι καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους πόρους δι' ὧν ἡδονὴ
παραγίνεται**

For it is the men who look with contempt on all these things as old wives' tales, and think that our good is to be found in the belly and the other passages by which pleasure makes her entry...

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1108C

οἱ περὶ γαστέρα τάγαθὸν εἶναι βοῶντες

...by those who keep shouting that the good is to be found in the belly...

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1098D

οἱ ἄνθρωποι τῆς ἡδονῆς τὸ μέγεθος καθά περ κέντρῳ καὶ διαστήματι τῇ γαστρὶ περιγράφουσι.

Indeed these people, you might say, describing a circle with the belly as center and radius, circumscribe within it the whole area of pleasure...

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Against Lucius Calpurnius Piso, 27.66

Sōlet enim in disputātiōnibus suis oculōrum et aurium dēlectātiōnī abdōminis voluptātēs anteferrē.

For he is accustomed in his discussions to prefer the pleasures of the belly to the delights of the eyes and ears.

It is his habit in all his discussions to attach higher value to the pleasures of the belly than to the delights of the eye and the ear.

Cf. Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 2, p. 1087B

παπαῖ, εἶπον ἔγωγε γελάσας, εἰς τὴν γαστέρα τοῖς ἀνδράσιν ἔοικας ἐναλεῖσθαι καὶ τὸν περὶ τῶν κρεῶν ἐπάξιν ἀφαιρούμενος ἡδονήν.

"Oho!" I said laughing. "It looks as if you are going to hop on their belly and make them run for their flesh when you take pleasure away..."

Cf. Hegesippus, by way of Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, VII p. 279D (Com. IV p. 481)

[U410]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1092D

τὰς μὲν γὰρ ἐπὶ σαρκὶ καὶ σαρκὸς εὐπαθείᾳ τῆς ψυχῆς διαχύσεις, ἐὰν ᾧσι μέτραι, μηδὲν ἐχούσας μέγα μηδ' ἀξιόλογον, ἂν δ' ὑπερβάλλωσι, πρὸς τῷ κενῷ καὶ ἀβεβαίῳ φορτικὰς φαινομένας καὶ θρασείας, οὐδὲ ψυχικὰς ἂν τις οὐδὲ χαράς, ἀλλὰ σωματικαῖς ἡδοναῖς οἷον ἐπιμειδιάσεις καὶ συνεπιθρύψεις προσείποι τῆς ψυχῆς.

As for the melting away of the mind that occurs in the expectation or on the occasion of pleasure of the flesh, this when moderate has nothing about it that is great or appreciable, and when extreme is not only unfounded and unstable but strikes us course and immodest.

Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Doctrines of Hippocrates and Plato, 4.2

ἐν μέντοι δὴ τούτοις τοῖς ὅροις ὁρμὰς καὶ δόξας καὶ κρίσεις ὑπάρχειν οἶεται (Χρύσιππος) τὰ πάθη, κατὰ δέ τινας τῶν ἐφεξῆς Ἐπικούρῳ καὶ Ζήνωνι μᾶλλον ἢ τοῖς ἑαυτοῦ δόγμασιν ἀκόλουθα γράφει. τὴν τε γὰρ λύπην ὀριζόμενος μείωσιν εἶναί φησιν ἐπὶ φευκτῷ δοκοῦντι ὑπάρχειν, τὴν θ' ἡδονὴν ἔπαρσιν ἐφ' αἰρετῷ δοκοῦντι ὑπάρχειν. καὶ γὰρ αἱ μειώσεις καὶ αἱ ἐπάρσεις, καὶ αἱ συστολαὶ καὶ αἱ διαχύσεις --- καὶ γὰρ τούτων ἐνίστε μέμνηται --- τῆς ἀλόγου δυνάμειός ἐστι παθήματα ταῖς δόξαις ἐγινόμενα τοιαύτην δέ τινα τὴν οὐσίαν τῶν παθῶν Ἐπίκουρος καὶ Ζήνων, οὐκ αὐτὸς ὑπολαμβάνει.

[U411]

ἄνευ διδασκάλου γὰρ αὐτὰ προκαλεῖται τὰ καλὰ ταῦτα καὶ λεῖα καὶ προσήνῃ κινήματα τῆς σαρκός, ὥς αὐτοὶ φασιν οὕτοι, καὶ τὸν πάνυ μὴ φάσκοντα μηδὲ ὁμολογοῦντα κάμπτεσθαι καὶ μαλάσσεσθαι τούτοις.

No teacher is needed. By themselves, these glorious smooth and agreeable movements of the flesh (as they themselves assert) call to action even one who stoutly denies and refuses to acknowledge that he unbends and turns soft in response to them.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Old Men in Public Affairs, 786C

ἄρ' οὐκ ἐπινοοῦμεν, ἡλίκας ἡδονὰς αἱ ἀρεταὶ τοῖς χρωμένοις...
...παρασκευάζουσιν· (αἶ γ') οὐ κνῶσιν οὐδὲ θρύπτουσιν ὥσπερ αἱ κατὰ σάρκα λεῖται καὶ προσηνεῖς γινόμεναι κινήσεις, ἀλλ' αὗται μὲν οἰστρῶδες καὶ ἀβέβαιον καὶ μεμιγμένον σφυγμῷ τὸ γαργαλίζον ἔχουσιν.

In view of these examples, do we not perceive how great are the pleasures the virtues provide, for those who practice them ... and that also without tickling or enervating them as do the smooth and gentle motions made on the body? Those have a frantic, unsteady titillation mixed with convulsive throbbing...

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, x1087E

τὸ κινούμενον λείως καὶ προσηνῶς.

... you will find that area which experiences a 'smooth and gentle motion' ...

[U412]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), An Recte Dictum Sit Latenter Esse Vivendum, 1129B

ἂν... μέλλω... "τῷ καλῷ προσπτύειν" καὶ τάγαθὸν ἐν σαρκὶ καὶ γαργαλισμοῖς τίθεσθαι, ταῦτα δεῖται σκότους τὰ τέλη, ταῦτα νυκτός, ἐπὶ ταῦτα τὴν λήθην καὶ τὴν ἄγνοιαν.

If I intend to ... "spit on noble action" and place the good in the "flesh" and in "titillations" – these rites require darkness, these require night, and for these let us have concealment and oblivion.

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 92.6

Alterum illud, voluptās, bonum pecoris est. adicimus ratiōnālī inratiōnāle, honēstō inhonestum. at beātum facit titillātiō corporis: quid ergō dubitās dīcere bene esse hominī, sī palātō bene est? et hunc tū nōn dīcō inter virōs numerās sed inter hominēs, cuius summum bonum sapōribus et colōribus sonīsque cōstat.

That other thing, pleasure, is the good of beasts. We add the irrational to the rational, the dishonorable to the honorable. And bodily tickling makes a man happy? Why then do you hesitate to say that a man is well off if his palate is well off? And do you count such a one—not even among men, let alone among the brave—whose highest good consists of tastes, colors, and sounds?

The second kind of pleasure is simply animalistic. We are but adding the irrational to the rational, the dishonorable to the honorable. A pleasant physical sensation affects this life of ours; why therefore, do you hesitate to say that all is well with a man just because all is well with his appetite? And do you rate, I will not say among heroes, but among men, the person whose Supreme Good is a matter of flavors and colors and sounds? {cf. U67}

[U413]

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 546E

τὴν κατὰ κίνησιν ἡδονὴν ἡσπάζοντο... ...καὶ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ οἱ ἀπὸ τούτου. καὶ ἵνα μὴ τοὺς καταγισμούς λέγω καὶ τὰ ἐπεντρώματα, ἃ περ πολλάκις προφέρεται ὁ Ἐπίκουρος, καὶ τοὺς γαργαλισμούς καὶ τὰ νύγματα

{Aristippos and his followers were not alone} in welcoming kinetic pleasure ... Epicurus and his followers did the same. And not to enter on account of his "tempests" and his "transportations" all of which Epicurus cites many times, also the "titillations" and "stimulations" ...

Cf. Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 1090B

οὕτως ἐν σώματι ψυχὴν εὐσταθοῦντι καὶ ταῖς περὶ σώματος ἐλπίσι τάγαθὸν θεμένην οὐκ ἔστιν ἄφοβον καὶ ἄκυμον διεξαγαγεῖν· οὐ γὰρ ἔξωθεν μόνον ὥσπερ ἡ θάλασσα χειμῶνας ἴσχει καὶ καταγισμούς· τὸ σῶμα, πλείονας δὲ ταραχὰς ἐξ ἑαυτοῦ καὶ μείζονας ἀναδίδωσιν

{the future, like the weather, is always uncertain} so the mind that has stowed the ultimate good in a body that is in a stable condition and in expectations for the body cannot continue to the end without fear and the prospect of tempestuous weather.

Philo Judaeus of Alexandria (fl.c. 20 ^{CE}), Allegory of the Law, 3.48

ἰκανὸς γὰρ (ὁ προκόπτων) οὐκ ἔστι πᾶσαν ἡδονὴν διώσασθαι, ἀγαπητὸν δὲ ἐὰν τὰ ἐγκοίλια αὐτῆς, τουτέστι τὰ ἐπεντρώματα, ἃ φασιν οἱ φιλήδονοι ἐπιδόσεις εἶναι τινὰς τῶν προηγουμένων ἡδονῶν, ἃ γίνεται ὁψαρτυτῶν καὶ σιτοπόνων λίχνων περιεργία.

Indeed, he who finds himself on the way of the moral progress is not in a position to reject every pleasure, but it will still be a wonderful thing that he succeeds rejecting the pleasures of the belly, that is those [??] which the lovers of the pleasure say that the means of increasing the chief pleasure is owed to the skill of cooks and [??].

[U414]

Cleomedes, Lectures on Astronomy, 2.1

καὶ τὰ κατὰ τὴν ἐρμηνείαν αὐτῷ διεφθορότα ἐστὶ... λέγοντι... καὶ ἱερὰ ἀνακραυγὰσματα καὶ ζαργαλισμούς· σώματος καὶ ληκήματα καὶ ἄλλας τοιαύτας κακὰς ἄτας...

On top of everything else his mode of expression is also elaborately corrupt. ... [he] speaks of "sacred ululations" and "titillations of the body" and "debaucheries" and other such dreadful horrors. {c.f. above}

...οὐ καὶ σὺ οὖν, ὧ θρασυτάτῃ καὶ ἀναισχυντοτάτῃ κεφαλῇ, ἐκ φιλοσοφίας ἀποφθαρεῖς οἰκίῃ ἐπὶ Λεόντιον καὶ Φιλαινίδα καὶ τὰς ἄλλας ἐταίρας καὶ τὰ ἱερὰ ἀνακραυγὰσματα μετὰ Μινδυρίδου, μετὰ Σαρδαναπάλου καὶ μετὰ πάντων τῶν σεαυτοῦ θιασωτῶν;

So will you not be off, "most brazen and shameless soul" routed from Philosophy, to Leontion, Philainis, and the other whores, and to your "sacred ululations" with Mindyrides, Sardanapalus and all your boon companions?

[U415]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 2.21.68 (Cicero to Torquatus)

At cum tuīs cum disserās, Torquāte, multa sunt audienda etiam dē obscaenīs voluptātibus, dē quibus ab Epicūrō saepissimē dīcitur.

But when one converses with your friends, Torquatus, many things must be heard even about obscene pleasures, of which Epicurus is very often said to speak.

When one argues with your friends, one has to listen to a great deal about even the grosser forms of pleasure! Epicurus is always harping upon them!

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), Deipnosophists, 13.588b

οὗτος οὖν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος οὐ Λεόντιον εἶχεν ἐρωμένην τὴν ἐπὶ ἐταιρείᾳ διαβόητον γενομένην; ἥ δὲ οὐδ' ὅτε φιλοσοφεῖν ἤρξατο ἐπαύσατο ἐταιροῦσα, πᾶσι δὲ τοῖς

Ἐπικουρείοις συνῆν ἐν τοῖς κήποις, Ἐπικούρῳ δὲ καὶ ἀναφاندόν· ὥστ’ ἐκεῖνον πολλὴν φροντίδα ποιούμενον αὐτῆς τοῦτ’ ἐμφανίζειν διὰ τῶν πρὸς Ἑρμαρχον Ἐπιστολῶν.

Didn’t this Epicurus have as his lover Leontion, who was notorious for being a hetaera? She did not stop being a hetaera when she began to pursue philosophy, but had sex with all the Epicureans in their gardens, even in front of Epicurus, leading him – as he fretted about her often – to divulge the issue in his Letters to Hermarchus.

3. Katastematic Pleasure

[U416]

Olympiodorus the Younger (fl. 540 CE), Commentary on Plato's "Philebus" [p. 274 Stallb.]

καὶ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος λέγει τὴν κατὰ φύσιν ἡδονήν, καταστηματικὴν αὐτὴν λέγων

Epicurus, referring to natural pleasure, says that it is katastematic.

Philo Judaeus of Alexandria (fl.c. 20 CE), Allegory of the Law, 3.54

τοῖς λέγουσι καταστηματικὴν εἶναι τὴν ἡδονήν.

...to those who say that pleasure is katastematic.

[U417]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1088C

καὶ πέρας (ταῖς ἡδοναῖς) κοινὸν Ἐπίκουρος τὴν παντὸς τοῦ ἀλγοῦν τος ὑπεξαίρεσιν ἐπιτέθεικεν, ὡς τῆς φύσεως ἄχρι τοῦ λῦσαι τὸ ἀλγεινὸν αὐξούσης τὸ ἡδύ, περαιτέρῳ δὲ προελθεῖν οὐκ ἐώσης κατὰ μέγεθος, ἀλλὰ ποικιλοῦς τινας οὐκ ἀναγκαίους, ὅταν γοῦν ἐν τῷ μὴ πονεῖν γένηται, δεχομένησ’ ἢ δ’ ἐπὶ τοῦτο μετ’ ὀρέξεως πορεία, μέτρον ἡδονῆς οὔσα, κομιδῇ βραχεῖα καὶ σύντομος. ὅθεν αἰσθόμενοι τῆς ἐνταῦθα γλισχρότητος ὥσπερ ἐκ χωρίου λυπροῦ τοῦ σώματος μεταφέρουσι τὸ τέλος εἰς τὴν ψυχὴν, ἰώως ἐκεῖ νομὰς καὶ λειμῶνας ἀμφιλαφεῖς ἡδονῶν ἔχοντες.

Epicurus has imposed a limit on pleasures that applies to all of them alike: the removal of all pain. For he believes that our nature adds to pleasure only up to the point where pain is abolished and does not allow it any further increase in magnitude (although the pleasure, when the state of painlessness is reached, admits of certain unessential variations). But to proceed to this point, accompanied by desire, is our stint of pleasure, and the journey is indeed short and quick. Hence it is that becoming aware of the poverty here they transfer their final good from the body, as from an unproductive piece of land, to the soul, persuaded that there they will find pastures and meadows lush with pleasures.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 4, 1088D (Zeuxippus speaking)

εἴτ’ οὐ καλῶς δοκοῦσί σοι ποιεῖν οἱ ἄνδρες ἀρχόμενοι μὲν ἀπὸ τοῦ σώματος, ἐν ᾧ πρῶτον ἐφάνη γένεσις, ἐπὶ δὲ τὴν ψυχὴν ὡς βεβαιότεραν [μεταίροντες τὴν ἡδονὴν ἔδραν] καὶ τὸ πᾶν ἐν αὐτῇ τελειοῦντες;

Why, do you not hold that that gentlemen do well to begin with the body, where pleasure first appears, and then pass to the soul as having more stability and bringing everything to perfection within itself?

[U418]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1107B

τοιαύτην χώραν ἡδονῶν τοσούτων Ἐπίκουρος ἐκτέμνεται καὶ (ἐπὶ ταῖς ἐκ θεῶν ἐλπίσιν, ὥσπερ εἴρηται, καὶ χάρισιν ἀναιρεθείσαις ἔτι τοῦ θεωρητικοῦ τὸ φιλομαθὲς καὶ τοῦ πρακτικοῦ τὸ φιλότιμον ἀποτυφλώσας εἰς στενὸν τι κομιδῇ καὶ οὐδὲ καθαρὸν τὸ ἐπὶ τῇ σαρκὶ τῆς ψυχῆς χαῖρον συνέστειλε καὶ κατέβαλε τὴν φύσιν ὡς μεῖζον ἀγαθὸν τοῦ τὸ κακὸν φεύγειν οὐδὲν ἔχουσιν.

It is a space like this, with pleasures so ample, pleasures of such magnitude that the surgery of Epicurus cuts out of our lives. Not content with removing all hope of help from Heaven and all bestowal of grace, as we said, he kills the love of learning in our soul and the love of honor in our heart, and thus constructs our nature and casts it down into a narrow space indeed and not a clean one either, where the mind delights in nothing but the flesh, as if human nature had no higher good than escape from evil.

[U419]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.20.47

Idem ait nōn crēscere voluptātem dolōre dētractō, summamque esse voluptātem nihil dolēre... ..nunc autem summam voluptātem esse dolōre carēre.

He likewise says that pleasure does not increase by the removal of pain, and that the highest pleasure is to feel no pain. In the same place: now, however, that the highest pleasure is to be free from pain.

Epicurus also says that pleasure does not increase when pain has been removed, and that the highest pleasure is the absence of pain. He says that the highest pleasure is freedom from pain.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 2.9.28

Ut neget accedere quicquam posse ad voluptātem nihil dolentis.

So that he denies that anything can be added to the pleasure of one who feels no pain.

He asserts that nothing can enhance the pleasure of freedom from pain.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 2.13.41 (Cicero to Torquatus)

Hieronymus, cui summum bonum est idem, quod vōs interdum vel potiūs nimium saepe dīcitis, nihil dolēre.

Hieronymus, whose highest good is the same as what you sometimes—or rather, too often—say: to feel no pain.

Hieronymus' Chief Good is the same as that occasionally, or rather only too frequently, upheld by yourselves: freedom from pain.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1091B

φεῦ τῆς μεγάλης ἡδονῆς τῶν ἀνδρῶν καὶ μακαριότητος, ἣν καρποῦνται χαίροντες ἐπὶ τῷ μὴ κακοπαθεῖν μηδὲ λυπεῖσθαι μηδ’ ἀλγεῖν. ἅρ’ οὖν ἄξιόν ἐστιν ἐπὶ τούτοις μέγα φρονεῖν καὶ λέγειν ἅ λέγουσιν, ἀφθάρτους καὶ ἰσοθέους ἀποκαλοῦντες αὐτοὺς καὶ δι’ ὑπερβολὰς καὶ ἀκρότῃητάας ἀγαθῶν εἰς βρόμους καὶ ὀλολυγμοὺς ἐκβακχεύοντες ὑφ’ ἡδονῆς, ὅτι τῶν ἄλλων περιφρονοῦντες ἐξευρήκασι μόνοι θεῖον ἀγαθὸν καὶ μέγα τὸ μηθὲν ἔχειν κακόν ;

Oh the great pleasure and blessed state this company {the Epicureans} enjoy, as they revel in suffering no hardship or anxiety or pain! Is this not a thing to make them proud and use the language they do, when they style themselves "imperishable" and "equal to the gods" and from excess and preeminence of blessings explode in their pleasure into wild cries of rapture and ecstasy because they alone, scorning all other blessings, have discovered one as great as it is godlike, to wit, not to suffer an ill?

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.8.10

Prīvātiōnem dolōris summum bonum putāre nōn plānē Peripateticōrum ac Stoicōrum, sed clīnicōrum philosophōrum est. quis enim nōn intellegat ab aegrōtīs et in aliquō dolōre positīs esse hoc disputātum? quid tam rīdiculum quam id habēre prō summō bonō, quod medicus possit dare?

To think that the absence of pain is the highest good belongs clearly not to the Peripatetics or Stoics, but to the sickroom philosophers. For who does not see that this has been argued by invalids and those in some pain? What could be more ridiculous than to hold as the highest good something a doctor can provide?

To think that the highest good is the absence of pain is surely not characteristic of the Peripatetics or Stoics but of the bedridden philosophers. For who would not understand that this is the point discussed by the sick and those placed in some state of pain? What is so ridiculous as to consider that which a physician can give, as the highest good?

[U420]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1123A

τὸ δὲ πόνου καὶ ἡδονῆς μηδὲν εἶναι μέσον οὐκ ἀποφαίνεσθε παρὰ τὴν πάντων αἴσθησιν, ἥδεσθαι τὸ μὴ ἀλγεῖν καὶ πονεῖν τὸ μὴ [ἥδεσθαι] λέγοντες;

Do you {Epicureans} not, in defiance of the experience of all mankind, affirm the absence of any mean between pain and pleasure when you say that it is a pleasure to feel no pain, in other words: that not to be acted upon is to be acted upon?

[U421]

Olympiodorus the Younger (fl. 540 CE), Commentary on Plato's "Philebus"

ὅτι ὁ Ἐπίκουρος οὐκ οἶεται μίγνυσθαι λύπην ἡδονῇ, μηδὲ γὰρ ἀγαθῷ τὸ κακόν.

... since Epicurus does not believe that pain is mixed with pleasure, nor indeed the bad with the good.

[U422]

Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology, 17.35 (after fragment 181)

τότε χρεῖαν ἔχομεν τῆς ἡδονῆς, ὅταν ἐκ τοῦ μὴ παρεῖναι αὐτὴν ἀλγῶμεν· ὅταν δὲ τοῦτο μὴ πάσχωμεν ἐν αἰσθήσει καθεστῶτες, τότε οὐδεμία χρεῖα τῆς ἡδονῆς· οὐ γὰρ ἡ τῆς φύσεως ἔνδεια τὴν ἀδικίαν ποιεῖ ἕξωθεν, ἀλλ' ἡ περὶ τὰς κενὰς δόξας ὄρεξις

We need pleasure when we are in pain because of its absence; but when we are not in this condition, and are in a stable state of sense-perception, then there is no need for pleasure. For it is not the needs of nature which produce injustice from without, but the desire based on groundless opinions.

[U423]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1091A

οὐ μόνον τοίνυν ἄπιστον καὶ ἀβέβαιον ἀρχὴν λαμβάνουσι τοῦ ἡδέως ζῆν, ἀλλὰ καὶ παντάπασιν εὐκαταφρόνητον καὶ μικράν, εἴ περ αὐτοῖς κακῶν ἀποφυγὴ τὸ χαρτόν ἐστι καὶ τάγαθόν, ἄλλο δ' οὐδὲν διανοεῖσθαι φασιν, οὐδ' ὅλως τὴν φύσιν ἔχειν ὅποι τεθήσεται τάάγαθόν εἰ μὴ μόνον ὅθεν ἐξελαύνεται τὸ κακὸν αὐτοῖς... ...ὅμοια δὲ καὶ τὰ Ἐπικούρου λέγοντος τὴν τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ φύσιν ἐξ αὐτῆς τῆς φυγῆς τοῦ κακοῦ καὶ τῆς μνήμης καὶ ἐπιλογίσεως καὶ χάριτος, ὅτι τοῦτο συμβέβηκεν αὐτῷ, γεννᾶσθαι. ὁ γὰρ ποιοῦν ἀνυπέρβλητον γῆθος τὸ πάραυτα πεφυγμένον μέγα κακόν· καὶ αὕτη φύσις ἀγαθοῦ, ἂν τις ὀρθῶς ἐπιβάλῃ. ἔπειτα σταθῇ, καὶ μὴ κενῶς περιπατῇ περὶ ἀγαθοῦ θρυλῶν.

Not only is the basis that they assume for the pleasurable life untrustworthy and insecure, it is quite trivial and paltry as well, inasmuch as their "thing delighted" – their good – is an escape from ills, and they say that they can conceive of no other, and indeed that our nature has no place at all in which to put its good except the place left when its evil is expelled. ... Epicurus too makes a similar statement to the effect that the good is a thing that arises out of your very escape from evil and from your memory and reflection and gratitude that this has happened to you. His words are these: "That which produces a jubilation unsurpassed is the nature of good, if you apply your mind rightly and then stand firm and do not stroll about {a jibe at the Peripatetics}, prating meaninglessly about the good."

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1091E

Ἐπίκουρος – καὶ Μητρόδωρος οὐσίαν τάγαθοῦ καὶ ἀκρότητα τὴν τοῦ κακοῦ φυγὴν τιθέμενοι.

Thus Epicurus, and Metrodorus too, suppose {that the middle is the summit and the end} when they take the position that escape from ill is the reality and upper limit of the good.

[U424]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 5, p. 1090A

ἀλλ’ ἀξιοῦμεν αὐτοὺς... ...μὴ λαμβάνειν χαρὰς ἀρχὴν ἀπάσης (τὴν) τῆς σαρκὸς εὐστάθειαν.

My judgment is that ... they ought to refrain from taking the position that the "stable condition of the flesh" is the source of all delight, ...

[U425]

Epictetus, fragment 52, by way of Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology, 4.50

τί ποτ’ οὖν ἡ ψυχὴ ἐπὶ μὲν τοῖς τοῦ σώματος ἀγαθοῖς μικροτέροις οὔσι χαίρει καὶ γαληνῶ, ὥς φησιν Ἐπίκουρος, ἐπὶ δὲ τοῖς αὐτῆς ἀγαθοῖς μεγίστοις οὔσιν οὐχ ἡδεται;

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.6.16

Ut maris igitur tranquillitās intellegitur nullā nē minimā quidem aurā flūctūs commovente, sic animī quiētus et plācātus status cernitur, cum perturbātiō nulla est quā movērī queat.

Just as the calm of the sea is understood when not even the slightest breeze stirs the waves, so too the quiet and peaceful state of the mind is seen when there is no disturbance by which it can be moved.

Thus, just as the sea is understood to be calm when not even the lightest breath of air ruffles its waves, so too a peaceful condition of the soul is discernible when there is no disturbance of strength enough to be able to ruffle it.

[U426]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Stoic Self-Contradictions, 1033C

ἦν Ἐπίκουρος ἡσυχίαν ἐπαινεῖ καὶ Ἱερώνυμος.

...that tranquillity (ἡσυχία) which is commended by Epicurus and Hieronymus.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Table Talk, 3.6.4, 655C

τὴν Ἐπικούρου σχολὴν καὶ ῥαστώνην

{All men, my friend, do not possess} ... Epicurus' leisure and equanimity ...
{which has been provided in everlasting abundance by reason and
philosophy} ... [Cf. Plutarch, Philosophers and Men in Power, 3, p. 778D]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 68.10

Ōtium, inquis, Sēneca, commendas mihi? ad Epicureās vocēs dēlaberis.

“You recommend leisure to me, Seneca? You are slipping into Epicurean language

"Is it retirement, oh Seneca, that you are recommending to me? You will soon be falling back upon the maxims of Epicurus!"

Tertullian (fl. 200 ^{CE}), On Shows, 28

Philosophī quidam hoc nōmen (voluptātis) quiētī et tranquillitātī dedērunt; in eā gaudent, in eā vocantur, in eā etiam glorientur.

Certain philosophers have given this name voluptas (“pleasure”) to calm and tranquility; in it they rejoice, by it they are called, and in it they even take pride.

Some philosophers have given the name of pleasure to quietude and tranquillity; in it they rejoice, take their ease in it – yes, glory in it.

Horace (fl.c. 25 ^{BCE}), Odes, 2.16, 1

Ōtium... nōn gemmis neque purpurā vēnāle neque aurō.

Leisure... is not for sale with gems or purple or gold.

Peace – can purple buy it, Grosphus? Nay,
Nor gold, nor jewel.

Nōn enim gāzae neque cōsulāris submovet lictor miserōs tumultūs mentis et cūrās laqueāta circum tecta volantis.

For neither treasures nor the consul’s lictor drives away the wretched tumults of the mind and the cares that flit beneath paneled ceilings.

No pomp, no lictor clears the way
Amid rabble-routs of troublous feelings,
Nor quells the cares that sport and play
Round gilded ceilings.

[U427]

Baton the Comic,

by way of Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), Deipnosophists, 3, 103C; 7, 279A

ὁ γοῦν Ἐπίκουρός φησιν εἶναι τἀγαθόν

Epicurus, at any rate, says the good is pleasure

τὴν ἡδονὴν δῆπουθεν· οὐκ ἔστιν δ’ ἔχειν

pleasure, indeed. But it is not possible to have it...

ταύτην ἐτέρωθεν, ἐκ δὲ τοῦ ζῆν δὴ καλῶς

this [pleasure] from elsewhere, but indeed from living well...

εὖσως ἅπαντας ἂν τυχεῖν δώσεις ἐμοί.

You will easily grant me that all [people] can attain it

"Epicurus, anyhow, says that pleasure is the highest Good; everybody knows that. You cannot have it any other way. By living well, of course, everyone lives happily."

[U428]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.120

καὶ τὴν ὑγίειαν τισὶ μὲν ἀγαθόν, τισὶ δὲ ἀδιάφορον (εἶναι).

{Among Epicurean Sages, they hold that} health is in some cases regarded as a good – for others: something indifferent.

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 ^{CE}), City of God, 5.20

Nē per immoderātiōnem noxium aliquid valētūdinem turbet et voluptās, quam etiam in corporīs sanitāte Epicūrēī maximam ponunt, graviter offendātur.

Lest through excess something harmful disturb one’s health, and the pleasure — which even in bodily health the Epicureans place as the greatest — be seriously impaired.

{The Epicureans say that Pleasure demands Temperance}... lest some harmful consequence of overindulgence should interfere with health — which Epicureans place largely in the health of the body – and seriously hinder Pleasure.

4. Peace of Mind

[U429]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 1088E

εἰ δ’ ἀκούεις αὐτῶν μαρτυρωμένον καὶ βοώντων, ὥς ἐπ’ οὐδενὶ ψυχὴ τῶν ὄντων πέφυκε χαίρειν καὶ γαληνίζειν πλὴν ἐπὶ σώματος ἡδοναῖς παρούσαις ἢ προσδοκωμέναις, καὶ τοῦτο αὐτῆς τάγαθόν ἐστιν, ἅρ’ οὐ δοκοῦσί σοι διεράματι τοῦ σώματος χρῆσθαι τῇ ψυχῇ (καὶ) καθά περ οἶνον ἐκ πονηροῦ καὶ μὴ στέγοντος ἀγγείου τὴν ἡδονὴν διαχέοντες ἐνταῦθα καὶ παλαιοῦντες οἷεσθαι σεμνότερόν τι ποιεῖν καὶ τιμιώτερον;

But when you hear their loud protest that the soul is so constituted as to find joy and tranquillity in nothing in the world but pleasures of the body either present or anticipated, and that this is its good, do they not appear to you to be using the soul as a decanter of the body, and to imagine that by decanting pleasure, like wine, from a worthless and leaky vessel and leaving it to age in its new container, they are turning it into something more respectable and precious?

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 1096C

κατατείναντες δὲ τὸ θεωρητικὸν εἰς τὸ σῶμα καὶ κατασπάσαντες ὥσπερ μολυβδίσι ταῖς τῆς σαρκὸς ἐπιθυμίαις οὐδὲν ἀπολείπουσιν ἵπποκόμων ἢ ποιμένων χόρτον ἢ καλάμην ἢ τινα πόαν προβαλλόντων, ὥς ταῦτα βόσκεσθαι καὶ τρῶγειν προσῆκον αὐτῶν τοῖς θρέμμασιν. ἡ γὰρ οὐχ οὕτως ἀξιοῦσι τὴν ψυχὴν ταῖς τοῦ σώματος ἡδοναῖς κατασυβωτεῖν, ὅσον ἐλπίζειν τι περὶ σαρκὸς ἢ παθεῖν ἢ μνημονεῦσαι χαίρουσαν, οἰκεῖον δὲ μηδὲν ἡδὺ μηδὲ τερπνὸν ἐξ αὐτῆς λαμβάνειν μηδὲ ζητεῖν ἐῶντες;

They place the contemplative part of the soul right inside body and use the appetites of the flesh as leaden weights to hold it down. In this they are no better than stable hands or shepherds who serve their charges with hay or straw or grass of one kind or the other as the proper food for them to crop and chew. Do they not in similar fashion play swineherd to the soul, feeding it only on this swill of the bodily pleasures, permitting it to delight only in the hope or experience or recollection of some carnal thing, and forbidding it to take or seek from itself any pleasure or gratification of its own?

[U430]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 2.30.98

Est autem ā tē (Epicūrē) dictum nec gaudēre quemquam nisi pro pter corpus nec dolēre... negās animī ullum esse gaudium quod nōn referātur ad corpus.

It has been said by you, Epicurus, that no one rejoices except because of the body, nor feels pain... you deny that there is any joy of the mind which is not referred to the body.

You {Epicurus}, have always maintained that no one feels either pleasure or pain except on account of the body. ... your doctrine is that there is no delight of the mind not ultimately referable to the body..

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 2.33.107

Dīcitis omnīs animī et voluptātēs et dolōrēs ad corporīs voluptātēs ac dolōrēs pertinēre.

You say that all pleasures and pains of the mind pertain to the pleasures and pains of the body.

The dictum of your school: all mental pleasures and pains alike are based on pleasures and pains of the body.

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 2.32.106

Falsum est omnīs animī voluptātēs esse ē corporīs societāte.

It is false that all pleasures of the mind arise from association with the body.

{Cicero disputes that} mental pleasures all arise from the connection of the mind with the body.

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 ^{CE}), Discourses on Epictetus, 3.7.7

ἐπὶ τίνι οὖν ἡσθησόμεθα ταύτην τὴν ψυχικὴν ἡδονήν; εἰ γὰρ ἐπὶ τοῖς ψυχικοῖς, [ἐν τοῖς ψυχικοῖς] εὖρηται ἡ οὐσία τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ. οὐ γὰρ δύναται... ...τοῦ προηγουμένου μὴ ὄντος ἀγαθοῦ τὸ ἐπιγέννημα ἀγαθὸν εἶναι... ...ἀλλ' οὐ μὴ εἶπτε φρένας ἔχοντες· ἀνακόλουθα γὰρ ἐρεῖτε καὶ Ἐπικούρῳ καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις ὑμῶν δόγμασιν. ὑπολείπεται λοιπὸν ἐπὶ τοῖς σωματικοῖς ἡδεσθαι τὴν κατὰ ψυχὴν ἡδονὴν πάλιν, ἵν' ἐκεῖνα γένηται προηγούμενα καὶ οὐσία τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ.

For what, then, are we going to feel this pleasure of the soul? If it is for the good of the soul itself, then the essence of the good has already been discovered. For it is impossible, if one thing be good, to justify taking delight in something else; ... But you Epicureans ought to deny this, if you are in your right mind – otherwise you will be saying something inconsistent with both Epicurus and the rest of your doctrines. The only thing left for you to say is that pleasure of soul is pleasure in the things of the body, and then they become matters of prime importance, and the true nature of the good.

[U431]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 1089D

ὄρα δὴ πρῶτον μὲν οἷα ποιοῦσιν τὴν εἶ τε ἡδονὴν ταύτην εἶ τε ἡδονὴν ἢ εὐστάθειαν ἄνω καὶ κάτω μεταίροντες ἐκ τοῦ σώματος εἰς τὴν ψυχὴν, εἶτα πάλιν ἐκ ταύτης εἰς ἐκεῖνο, τῷ μὴ στέγειν ἀπορρέουσιν καὶ περιολισθάνουσιν ἀναγκαζόμενοι τῇ ἀρχῇ συνάπτειν καὶ τὸ μὲν ἡδόμενον, ὥς φησι, τῆς σαρκὸς τῷ χαίροντι τῆς ψυχῆς ὑπερείδοντες, αὐθις δ' ἐκ τοῦ χαίροντος εἰς τὸ ἡδόμενον τῇ ἐλπίδι τελευτῶντες.

Now first observe their conduct here, how they keep decanting this "pleasure" or "painlessness" or "stable condition" of theirs back and forth, from body to mind and then once more from mind to body, compelled, since pleasure is not retained in the mind but leaks and slips away, to attach it to its source, shoring up "the pleasure of the body with the delight of the soul" as Epicurus puts it, but in the end passing once more by anticipation from the delight to the pleasure.

[U432]

Ζηνοκράτης δὲ ὁ Ἐπικούρειος τὴν ψάλτριαν ἐνηγκαλίζετο τακερὸν καὶ ὑγρὸν προσβλέπων ὑπομεμυκὸσι τοῖς ὄμμασι, λέγων τουτὶ εἶναι τὸ τῆς σαρκὸς ἀόχλητον καὶ τὴν καταπύκνωσιν τοῦ ἡδομένου

Zenocrates the Epicurean took the harp-girls in his arms, gazing upon them from half-closed eyes with a languishing and melting look, and saying that this was "tranquility of the flesh" and "the full intensity of pleasure."

(Cf. Epicurus, Principal Doctrine 9)

[U433]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 1087B

ἀνθρώπων βοώντων... ..."ἀεὶ δ' ἡμῖν δαῖς τε φίλη" καὶ πᾶσα διὰ σαρκὸς ἐπιτερπὴς κίνησις ἐφ' ἡδονὴν τινα καὶ χαρὰν ψυχῆς ἀναπεμπομένη.

those people who shout, "We ever hold the table dear instead"
{Homer, Odyssey, VIII 246, 248} and "every agreeable stirring of the flesh that is transmitted upward to give some pleasure and delight to the mind."

[U434]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 66.45

Apud Epicūrum duo bona sunt, ex quibus summum illud beātumque compōnitur, ut corpus sine dolōre sit, animus sine perturbātiōne.

With Epicurus there are two goods, from which that highest and blessed state is composed — that the body be without pain, and the mind without disturbance.

Haec bona nōn crēscunt sī plēna sunt: quō enim crēscet quod plēnum est?

These goods do not increase if they are full: for how can that which is full grow further?

Dolōre corpus caret: quid ad hanc accēdere indolentiam potest?

The body is free from pain: what can be added to this freedom from pain?

Animus cōnstat sibi et plācidus est: quid accēdere ad hanc tranquillitātem potest?

The mind is self-consistent and calm: what can be added to this tranquility?

Quem ad modum serēnitās caelī nōn recipit maiōrem adhūc clāritātem in sincerissimum nitōrem repurgāta,

Just as the serenity of the sky, once purified into the clearest brightness, admits no greater brightness still,

sic hominis corpus animūmque cūrantis et bonum suum ex utrōque nectentis perfectus est status et summam votī suī invēnit, sī nec aestus animō est nec dolor corporī.

so the state of a man who tends both body and mind and binds his good from both is perfect, and has found the height of his desire — if there is neither turmoil in the mind nor pain in the body.

Sī qua extrā blandīmenta contingunt, nōn augent summum bonum, sed, ut ita dicam, condiunt et oblectant.

If any external charms occur, they do not increase the highest good, but, so to speak, season and delight it.

Absolūtum enim illud hūmānae nātūrae bonum corporis et animī pāce contentum est.

For that complete good of human nature is content with the peace of body and mind.

We find mentioned in the works of Epicurus two goods, of which his Supreme Good, or blessedness, is composed, namely, a body free from pain and a soul free from disturbance. These goods, if they are complete, do not increase; for how can that which is complete increase? The body is, let us suppose, free from pain; what increase can there be to this absence of pain? The soul is composed and calm; what increase can there be to this tranquility? Just as fair weather, purified into the purest brilliancy, does not admit of a still greater degree of clearness, so too, when a man takes care of his body and of his soul, weaving the texture of his good from both, his condition is perfect, and he has found the consummation of his prayers, if there is no commotion in his soul or pain in his body. Whatever delights fall to his lot over and above these two things do not increase his Supreme Good; they merely season it, so to speak, and add spice to it. For the absolute good of man's nature is satisfied with peace in the body and peace in the soul.

Demetrius Laco (fl.c. 90 ^{BCE}), Περὶ Μετεωρισμοῦ, P.Herc. 831, col. 8

...ἀγαθῶν [καί] εἰς φυ[γὰς] ἀναλόγων κακῶν [ἐκπί]πτει τοῦ κατὰ φύσιν [α]ἰωρούμενος ἀδιαλείπτως· ᾧ δ' ἐν τῷ κατὰ φύσιν πέρατι κατακέκλειται τάγαθὸν καὶ τὸ κα[κ]όν, τούτῳ πᾶ[σ]α αἰώρα ψυχῆς [ἐ]κπέφευκται. συνεχίζωμέν τε ἐν τῷ κατὰ φιλοσοφίαν ἐνεργήματι καὶ μάλιστα τοῖς κατὰ φυσιολογίαν θεωρήμασιν...

...ἀγαθῶν καὶ εἰς φυγὰς ἀναλόγων κακῶν ἐκπίπτει τοῦ κατὰ φύσιν αἰωρούμενος ἀδιαλείπτως· ᾧ δ' ἐν τῷ κατὰ φύσιν πέρατι κατακέκλειται τάγαθὸν καὶ τὸ κακόν, τούτῳ πᾶσα αἰώρα ψυχῆς ἐκπέφευκται. συνεχίζωμέν τε ἐν τῷ κατὰ φιλοσοφίαν ἐνεργήματι καὶ μάλιστα τοῖς κατὰ φυσιολογίαν θεωρήμασιν...

He who keeps goods and evils within natural limits, has already made his escape from every trouble of the soul.

[U435]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), On Benefits, 3.4.1

Hoc locō reddendum est Epicūrō testimōnium, quī adsiduē queritur, quod adversus praeterita sīmus ingrātī,

At this point testimony must be rendered to Epicurus, who constantly complains that we are ungrateful toward past things,

quod quaecumque percēpimus bona, nōn redūcamus nec inter voluptātēs numerēmus,

that whatever good things we have experienced, we do not recall nor count among pleasures,

cum certior nūlla sit voluptās quam quae iam eripī nōn potest.

since no pleasure is surer than that which can no longer be taken away.

Here I must do Epicurus the justice to say that he constantly complains of our ingratitude for past benefits, because we cannot bring back again, or count among our present pleasures, those good things which we have received long ago, although no pleasures can be more undeniable than those which cannot be taken from us.

[U436]

ὥσπερ λέγουσι, τὸ μεμνηῖσθαι τῶν προτέρων ἀγαθῶν μέγιστόν ἐστι πρὸς τὸ ἡδέως ζῆν.

{Now suppose that, as they say} the recollection of past blessings is the greatest factor in a pleasant life.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.32.106 (at the beginning)

no text given in usener

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1089C

οὔτε τοὺς μετρίους καὶ σώφρονας εἰκὸς ἐνδιατρίβειν τῇ ἐπινοίᾳ τῶν τοιούτων οὐδὲ ἅ περ ἔσκωπτεν αὐτὸν Καρνεάδης πράττοντα, οἷον ἐξ ἐφημερίδων ἀναλέγεσθαι, ποσάκις Ἡδεῖα καὶ Λεοντίῳ συνῆλθον ἢ ποῦ Θάσιον ἔπιον (ἦ) πόσας εἰκάδας ἐδείπνησα πολυτελέστατα

It is also quite unlikely that persons of moderation and temperance should dwell on such thoughts {the memory of pleasures} and on the sort of thing with which Carneades taunted Epicurus – as if from an official journal of statistics how about "how often I had a meeting with Hedeia or Leontion" or "Where I drank Thasian wine" or "what twentieth of the month I had the most sumptuous dinner."]

[Obbink] Epicurus was in the habit of recording such activities in his writings as though in "official records" (ἐφημερίδες) under such headings

[U437]

St. Jerome (fl.c. 385 CE), Commentary on Isaiah, 11.38, 473E

Unde stulta Epicūrī sententia est, quī asserit recordātiōne praeteritōrum bonōrum mala praesentia mitigārī.

Whence foolish is the opinion of Epicurus, who asserts that present evils are softened by the recollection of past goods.

For this reason, Epicurus' opinion is foolish: he asserts that the ills of the present are mitigated by the memory of blessings of the past.

St. Jerome (fl.c. 385 CE), Commentary on Isaiah, 18.65, 788C

Eō quod in angustiīs constitūtī nequequāquam voluptātibus pristīnīs iuxtā errōrem Epicūrī animō perfruantur.

Because, when placed in difficulties, they by no means enjoy in their mind their former pleasures, contrary to the error of Epicurus.

... for those who find themselves in a state of anxiety cannot in any way rejoice in their souls from past pleasures – regardless of Epicurus' erroneous theory.

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), Sermon, 348.3, 1344A

Nam et hī fortissimōs sē iactant et nihil omnīnō timēre sē dīcunt,

For these men boast themselves to be very brave and say they fear nothing at all,

quia nec quidquam deum rēs hūmānās cūrāre arbitrantur et cōsumptā istā vītā nūllam posteā crēdunt futūram,

because they think that no gods care for human affairs, and that after this life is spent, none will follow,

et sī quid eīs adversitātis in hāc ipsā contingit, eō sē mūnītōs existimant,

and if any adversity befalls them in this life itself, they think themselves fortified thereby,

quia corporis voluptātem, cum eam in ipsō corpore tenēre nōn possunt, possunt tamen animō cōgitāre

because, though they cannot hold bodily pleasure in the body itself, they can nevertheless think of it in the mind,

et eā cōgitātiōne sēsē oblectandō corporālis voluptātis beātitudinem etiam contrā corporālis dolōris impetum custōdīre.

and by delighting themselves with that thought, they preserve the happiness of bodily pleasure even against the assault of bodily pain.

Numquid nōn et apud istōs dīlectiō forās mittit timōrem?

Does not love also cast out fear among these men?

Sed dīlectiō sordidissimae voluptātis, immō dīlectiō turpissimae vanitātis.

But it is the love of the most sordid pleasure, rather the love of the most shameful vanity.

Nam cum ipsam voluptātem dē membrīs corporis irrūēns dolor exclūserit,

For when pain, rushing upon the members of the body, has driven out pleasure itself,

per falsam eius imāginem in animō vanitās remanēbit,

through its false image vanity will remain in the soul,

quae vanitās tantum amātur, ut, cum eam vanus homō totīs vīribus cordis amplectitur, etiam dolōris saevitiā mitigētur.

which vanity is so loved that, when a vain man embraces it with all the strength of his heart, even the rage of pain is softened.

[U438]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 2.32.104

Illud quale tandem est, bona praeterita nōn effluere sapientī, mala meminisse nōn oportēre?

What sort of notion, pray, is this — that past goods do not slip away from the wise man, and that he ought not to remember evils?

And again, what is the sense of the maxim that the Sage will not let past blessings fade from memory, and that it is a duty to forget past misfortunes?

[U439]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.34.95

Tōtumque hoc dē voluptāte sīc ille praecipit,

And this whole doctrine of pleasure he thus lays down,

ut voluptātem ipsam per sē, quia voluptās sit, semper optandam expetendamque putet,

that pleasure itself, for its own sake, because it is pleasure, should always be desired and sought,

eādemque ratiōne dolōrem ob id ipsum, quia dolor sit, semper esse fugiendum,

and by the same reasoning, pain, for the very reason that it is pain, must always be avoided,

itaque hāc ūsum compēnsātiōne sapientem,

and therefore that the wise man will make use of this compensation,

ut et voluptātem fugiat, sī ea maiōrem dolōrem effectūra sit,

so that he will avoid a pleasure if it is going to bring about greater pain,

et dolōrem suscipiat maiōrem efficientem voluptātem,

and will take on pain that produces greater pleasure,

omniaque iucunda, quamquam sensū corporis iūdicentur, ad animum referri tamen;

and that all enjoyments, though judged by bodily sensation, are yet referred to the mind;

quō circā corpus gaudēre tam diū, dum praesentem sentīret voluptātem,

so that the body rejoices as long as it feels the present pleasure,

animum et praesentem percipere pariter cum corpore et prōspicere venientem nec praeteritam praeterfluere sinere:

and the mind both perceives the present pleasure together with the body, foresees the coming one, and does not allow the past to slip away,

ita perpetuās et contextās voluptātēs in sapiente fore semper,

so that continual and connected pleasures will always be in the wise man,

cum expectātiō sperātārum voluptātum cum perceptārum memoriā iungerētur.

since the expectation of pleasures hoped for will be joined with the memory of pleasures already experienced.

The whole teaching of [Epicurus] about pleasure is that pleasure is, he thinks, always to be wished and sought for in and for itself because it is pleasure, and that on the same principle pain is always to be avoided for the simple reason that it is pain, and so the wise man will employ a system of counter-balancing which enables him both to avoid pleasure, should it be likely to ensure greater pain, and submit to pain where it ensures greater pleasure; and all pleasurable things, although judged of by the bodily senses, are notwithstanding transmitted on again to the soul; and for this reason while the body feels delight for the time that it has the sensation of present pleasure, it is the soul which has both the realization of present pleasure conjointly with the body and anticipates coming pleasure, and does not suffer past pleasure to slip away: thus the wise man will always have a perpetual continuation of pleasures, as the expectation of pleasures hoped for is combined with the recollection of pleasures already realized.

Tū Epicūrum existimās ista voluisse aut libidinōsās eius fuisse sententias?

Do you think Epicurus meant those things, or that his opinions were licentious?

Egō vērō minimē.

By no means.

Videō enim ab eō dīcī multa sevērē, multa praeclāre.

For I see that many things are said by him with seriousness and with excellence.

Itaque ut saepe dixī, dē acūmine agitur eius, nōn dē mōribus.

Therefore, as I have often said, the question concerns his sharpness of mind, not his morals.

Quamvīs spernat voluptātēs eās quās modo laudāvit,

Although he may reject those pleasures which he just now praised,

egō tamen meminerō quod videātur eī summum bonum.

yet I shall remember what seems to him to be the highest good.

Someone will say: "So? Do you think that Epicurus meant that sort of thing – that his views were licentious?" I certainly do not. For I see that many of his utterances breathe an austere and many a noble spirit. Consequently, as I have often said, the question at issue is his intelligence, not his morality. However much he may scorn the pleasures he has just approved, yet I shall remember what it was that he thinks the highest good.

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 2.10.30

Hanc in mōtū voluptātem ... interdum ita extenuat, ut M. Curium putēs loquī.

This pleasure "in motion" ... he sometimes so tones down that you would think Marcus Curius himself were speaking.

The 'kinetic' sort of pleasure... at one moment he so disparages it that you would think you were listening to Manius Curius!

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.33.94

Hoc locō multa ab Epicūrēis disputantur,

At this point many arguments are made by the Epicureans,

etaeque voluptātēs singillātīm extenuantur, quārum genera contemnunt, quaerunt tamen copiām.

and those pleasures, the kinds of which they claim to despise, they individually make light of, yet still seek them in abundance.

Nam et obscaenās voluptātēs, dē quibus multa ab illīs habētur ōrātiō,

For the indecent pleasures too, about which they speak much,

facilēs, commūnēs, in mediō sitās esse dīcunt,

they say are easy, common, and lying open to all,

eāsque, sī nātūra requīrat, nōn genere aut locō aut ōrdine, sed formā aetāte figūrā metiendās putant,

and if nature requires them, they think they should be measured not by kind, place, or rank, but by form, age, and appearance,

ab iīsque abstinēre minimē esse difficile,

and that to abstain from them is by no means difficult,

sī aut valētūdō aut officium aut fāma postulēt,

if health or duty or reputation should require it,

omnīnōque genus hoc voluptātum optābile esse, sī nōn obsit, prōdesse numquam.

and that this class of pleasures is in general to be chosen if it does no harm, but never brings any benefit.

On this point, the disciples of Epicurus enter upon a long argument. Those pleasures belonging to the kinds that they despise, they thoroughly belittle. Yet all the same, they look out for a plentiful supply of them. For obscene pleasures (about which they linger at length) are, as they say, easy to satisfy, common, and within reach of all. Should nature call for them, the standard of value (which they think should not be birth, position or rank, but beauty, age, physical constitution) is by no means difficult to abstain from, even when health or duty or reputation are not at stake. In general this kind of pleasure is desirable – but is never of benefit.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Natura Deorum, 1.40.113 (Cotta)

At hās leviorēs dūcis voluptātēs, quibus quasi titillātiō (Epicūrī enim hoc verbum est) adhibētur sensibus.

But you count as “lighter” those pleasures to which a kind of titillation (for this is Epicurus’ own word) is applied to the senses.

Quo usque lūdis?

How long will you play games?

Nam etiam Philo noster ferre nōn poterat, aspernārī Epicūrēōs mollēs et dēlicātās voluptātēs:

For even our Philo could not bear that the Epicureans should reject soft and delicate pleasures;

summā enim memoriā prōnuntiābat plūrimās Epicūrī sententiās hīs ipsīs verbīs, quibus erant scrīptae.

for he used to recite from perfect memory very many sayings of Epicurus in these very words in which they were written.

Perhaps you will say that all these pleasures are merely trifling "titillations of the senses" in Epicurus' words. If so, you must be joking, Our friend Philo would never concede that the Epicureans despised the pleasures of luxury and sensuality. He used to quote from memory many sayings of Epicurus, in the exact words of the written texts.

[U441]

Quod dicit Epicurus etiam de voluptāte, quae minimae sint voluptātēs, eās obscūrārī saepe et obrui.

Epicurus also says this about pleasure — that the smallest pleasures are often dimmed and overwhelmed.

Regarding pleasure, Epicurus himself says that the smallest pleasures are often eclipsed and forgotten.

de libris suis c. 17 t. 19 p. 48 K.

GALENVS scripsit Περί τῆς κατ’Επίκουρον ἀμαυροῦ ἡδονῆς Β

[U442]

Aristocles, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 CE), Preparation for the Gospel, 14.21.3

αὐτοί γέ τοι (οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον) φασὶν οὐ πᾶσαν ἡδονὴν ἀσπάζεσθαι ι καὶ πάντα πόνον ἐκτρέπεσθαι. τοῦτο δὲ συμβέβηκε καὶ μάλα εἰκότως. τὰ μὲν γὰρ κριτήρια καὶ ἑαυτὰ δείκνυσι καὶ τὰ κρινόμενα, τὸ μέντοι πάθος ἑαυτὸ μόνον. ὅτι δ’ οὕτως ἔχει, μαρτυροῦσιν αὐτοί. καὶ περ γὰρ ἀξιοῦντες ἅπασαν ἡδονὴν ἀγαθὸν εἶναι καὶ πᾶσαν ἀλγηδόνα κακόν, ὅμως οὐκ ἀεὶ φασὶ δεῖν τὴν μὲν αἰρεῖσθαι, τὴν δὲ φεύγειν, μετρεῖσθαι γὰρ αὐτὰ τῷ ποσῷ καὶ οὐ τῷ ποιῷ. δῆλον οὖν ὡς τό γε ποσὸν οὐδὲν ἄλλ’ ἢ ὁ λόγος κρίνει· τὸ γὰρ ἄμεινόν ἐστιν ὑπομεῖναι τούσδε τινὰς τοὺς πόνους, ὅπως ἡσθῶμεν ἡδονὰς μείζους. καὶ τὸ συμφέρει τῶνδὲ τινων ἀπέχεσθαι τῶν ἡδονῶν, ἵνα μὴ ἀλγῶμεν ἀλγηδόνας χαλεπωτέρας. καὶ πάντα τὰ τοιαῦτα λόγος ὁ κρίνων ἐστίν.

It is better to endure these particular pains, so that we might experience greater pleasures; and it is advantageous to refrain from these particular pleasures so that we might not suffer from more burdensome pains.

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), On Leisure (to Serenus), 7.3

Ita et haec ipsa voluptāria secta in āctū est.

Thus even this very pleasure-loving school is active in practice.

Quidnī? Cum ipse dicat Epicurus aliquandō sē recessūrum ā voluptāte,

Why not? Since Epicurus himself says that he will sometimes withdraw from pleasure,

dolōrem etiam adpetitūrum,

and even seek out pain,

sī aut voluptātī imminēbit paenitentia aut dolor minor prō graviōre sūmētur.

if either regret will threaten the pleasure, or a lesser pain will be taken in exchange for a greater one.

Thus, even this pleasure-loving sect is itself committed to action – and why not? Since Epicurus himself declares that he will at times withdraw from pleasure, will even seek pain if he foresees that he will either regret that pleasure, or will be able to substitute a lesser pain for one that is greater.

[U443]

Maximus of Tyre (fl.c. 190 BCE), Dissertations, 3.3

φαῦλόν τι χρῆμα ἡδονή; οὐκ ἂν ἦν ξύμφυτον οὐδὲ τῶν σωζόντων ἡμᾶς τὸ πρεσβύτατον. τὰ δὲ ὑπὸ τῶν σοφιστῶν θρυλούμενα ἐς αὐτήν, ἡ Σαρδαναπάλου τρυφή καὶ ἡ Μηδικὴ χλιδὴ καὶ ἡ Ἴωνικὴ ἀβρότης καὶ τράπεζαι Σικελικαὶ καὶ ὀρχήσεις Συβαριτικαὶ καὶ ἐταῖραι Κορίνθιαι, ταῦτα ἀθρόα καὶ ὅσα τούτων ποικιλώτερα οὐχ ἡδονῆς ἔργα ἀλλὰ τέχνης καὶ λόγου, παρανομησάντων τῶν ἀνθρώπων εἰς ἡδονὰς δι’ εὐπορίαν τῶν τεχνῶν ὀψέ τοῦ χρόνου. ὥσπερ δὲ οὐδεὶς λαιδορεῖται τῷ λόγῳ, ὡς οὐκ ἔστι καλὸν τῇ φύσει, κἂν ἀπάγῃ τις αὐτοῦ

τὴν χρεῖαν ἐπὶ τὸ μὴ φύσει καλόν, οὕτως οὐδὲ τῇ ἡδονῇ λοιδορητέον ἀλλὰ τοῖς χρωμένοις ἡδονῇ κακῶς. δύο δὲ ὄντων τούτων ἐν ἀνθρώπου ψυχῇ, ἡδονῆς καὶ λόγου, λόγῳ μὲν ἡδονὴ κραθεῖσα μηδὲν ἀφελοῦσα τοῦ ἀναγκαίου προσέθηκεν αὐτῷ τὸ ἀγωγότερον, λόγος δὲ ἡδοναῖς προσγενόμενος αὐξήσας αὐτῶν δι' εὐπορίας τὸ μέτριον ἀφεῖλε τοῦ φύσει τερπνοῦ τὸ ἀναγκαῖον

"Is Pleasure really worthless? In that case, it would not come naturally, nor be the most venerable of all the forces that promote our survival. As for the well-worn reproaches that sophists bring against it – Sarandapallus' luxury, and the extravagance of the Medes, and Ionian decadence, and Sicilian gourmandizing, and Subaritic dances and Corinthian courtesans – all this, and anything yet more elaborate, is not the work of Pleasure, but of artifice and calculation, as men have used their recently acquired abundance of technical resources to break Pleasure's laws. Just as nobody abuses Reason and says that it does not possess natural beauty, even if someone diverts its application to an end that is not naturally noble, so you should not abuse Pleasure either, rather than those who put it to bad uses. Of these two elements in the human soul, Pleasure and Reason, Pleasure when mixed with Reason removes none of Reason's power to compel, but adds pleasures, increases their tendency to moderation by making them easier to come by, while removing the element of compulsiveness from what is naturally pleasant.

[U444]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.13.28

Epicurō placet opiniōnem malī aegritūdinem esse, eā nātūrā ut quicumque intueātur in aliquod maius malum, sī id sibi accidisse opīnētur, sit continuō in aegritūdine.

Epicurus holds that the opinion of evil is grief, of such a nature that whoever looks upon some greater evil, if he thinks it has happened to himself, is immediately in distress.

Epicurus holds that the distress which the idea of evil produces is a natural effect, in the sense that anyone who contemplates some considerable evil at once feels distress, should he imagine that it has befallen him.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.15.32

Epicurus censet necesse esse omnīs in aegritūdine esse, quī sē in malīs esse arbitrentur, siue illa ante prōuīsa et exspectāta sint siue inueterāuerint.

Epicurus thinks it necessary that all who believe themselves to be in misfortunes are in distress, whether those misfortunes have been foreseen and expected or have become longstanding.

Nam neque uetustāte minui mala nec fierī praemeditāta leuiōra, stultamque etiam esse meditatiōnem futūrī malī aut fortasse nē futūrī quidem.

For evils, he says, are neither lessened by time nor made lighter by forethought, and the meditation of future evil—or perhaps of what may never even happen—is foolish.

Satis esse odiōsum malum omne, cum uenisset.

Every evil, when it has come, is sufficiently hateful.

Quī autem semper cogitāuisset accidere posse aliquid aduersi, eī fierī illud sempiternum malum; sī uerō nē futurum quidem sit, frustra suscipī miseriam uoluntāriam: ita semper angī aut accipiendō aut cogitandō malō.

But whoever has always thought that some misfortune could happen to him makes that an everlasting evil for himself; and if it is not even going to happen, he needlessly takes on voluntary misery — thus always suffering either by undergoing or by thinking of evil.

Leuātiōnem autem aegritūdinis in duābus rēbus pōnit, auocatione a cogitandā molestia et reuocatione ad contemplandās voluptātes: parere enim censet animum ratiōnī posse et quō illa ducat sequī.

He places relief of distress in two things: in distraction from thinking about troubles and in recalling pleasant things; for he believes the mind can obey reason and follow wherever it leads.

Vetat igitur ratiō intuērī molestiās, abstrahit ab acerbis cogitationibus, hebetem facit aciem ad miseriās contemplandās,

Reason therefore forbids looking upon troubles, draws the mind away from bitter thoughts, and dulls its sharp sight for viewing miseries,

a quibus cum cecinit receptuī, impellit rursum et incitat ad conspiciendās totāque mente contrectandās variās voluptātes, quibus ille et praeteritārum memoriā et spē consequentium sapientis uitam refertam putat.

and when it has sounded the retreat from them, it urges and drives the mind again to behold and handle with full attention various pleasures — with which, through the memory of past and the hope of future ones, he thinks the wise man’s life is filled.

Haec nostrō more nōs diximus, Epicuriī dicunt suō; sed quae dicant videāmus: quō modō, neglegāmus.

We have said this in our own manner; the Epicureans say it in theirs. Let us see what they say — as to the manner, let us disregard it.

Epicurus supposes that all men must necessarily feel distress if they think themselves encompassed by evils, whether previously foreseen and anticipated, or long established. For according to him, evils are not lessened by duration nor lightened by previous consideration, and besides, he thinks it folly to dwell upon an evil which has still to come or maybe will not come at all; all evil, he says, is hateful enough when it has come, but the man who is always thinking a mishap may come is making that evil perpetual. But if it is not destined to come at all, he is needlessly the victim of a wretchedness he has brought upon himself; thus he is always tortured either by undergoing or by reflecting on the evil. 33: Alleviation of distress, however, Epicurus finds in two directions, namely in calling the soul away from reflecting upon vexation and in a "recall" to the consideration of pleasures. For he thinks the soul able to obey reason and follow its guidance. Reason therefore (in his view) forbids attention to vexations, withdraws the soul from morose reflections, blunts its keenness in dwelling upon wretchedness and, sounding a retreat from such thoughts, eagerly urges it on again to discover a variety of pleasures and engage in them with all the powers of the mind; and according to this philosopher the wise man's life is packed with the recollection of past and the prospect of future pleasures. This view we have stated in our usual style, the Epicureans state it in theirs. But let us look at their meaning – let us ignore their style.

[U445]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), Letter to Marcella, 29

μηδὲ αἰτιώμεθα τὴν σάρκα ὡς τῶν μεγάλων κακῶν αἰτίαν μηδ’ εἰς τὰ πράγματα τρέπωμεν τὰς δυσφορίας, ἐν δὲ τῇ ψυχῇ τὰς τούτων αἰτίας μᾶλλον ζητῶμεν καὶ ἀπορρήξαντες πᾶσαν ματαιίαν τῶν ἐφημέρων ὄρεξιν καὶ ἐλπίδα ὅλοι γενώμεθα ἑαυτῶν.

"Let us neither censure the flesh as a cause of great evils nor attribute our distress to external circumstances." Rather, let us seek their causes in the soul, and by breaking away from every vain yearning and hope for fleeting fancies, let us become totally in control of ourselves.

[U446]

Ēnit Epicurus homō minimē malus uel potius uir optimus:

Epicurus, then, is a man not at all bad — or rather, an excellent man.

tantum monet quantum intellegit.

He advises only as much as he understands.

Neglege, inquit, dolōrem.

“Disregard pain,” he says.

quis hoc dicit? idem quī dolōrem summum malum.

Who says this? The same man who calls pain the greatest evil.

uix satis constanter.

Hardly with enough consistency.

audiāmus. “Sī summus dolor est,” inquit, “breuem necesse est esse...

Let us hear him: “If pain is the greatest possible, it must necessarily be short...

summum (dīcō) quō nihil sit superius, breue quō nihil breuius.

I mean the greatest than which there is nothing greater, and the shortest than which there is nothing shorter.

contemnō magnitudinem dolōris, ā quā mē breuitās temporis uindicābit ante paene quam uēnerit...

I despise the magnitude of pain, from which the shortness of time will free me almost before it has arrived.

dolor diuturnus habet laetitiae plūs quam molestiae.

Long-lasting pain has more of joy than of distress.

Epicurus steps forward – in no sense an ill-meaning person, but rather a gentleman of the best intentions. He gives advice to the extent of his ability. "Ignore pain" he admonishes. Who says this? The same thinker who pronounces pain the highest evil. This is not quite consistent. Let us listen. "If pain is at its highest" says he, "it must be short." ... "By at the highest I mean that which has nothing higher; by short I mean that which has nothing shorter. I scorn a degree of pain from which a brief space of time will deliver me almost before it has come."

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Duties, 3.33.117

Quamuis enim multis locīs dicat Epicurus, sicutī dicit, satis fortiter dē dolōre, tamen nōn id spectandum est quid dicat, sed quid consentāneum sit eī dicere, quī bona voluptāte termināuerit, mala dolōre.

For although in many passages Epicurus speaks, as he does, quite bravely about pain, yet it is not what he says that must be considered, but what it is consistent for him to say — he who has defined good by pleasure and evil by pain.

However many passages there are in which Epicurus speaks with proper courage in regards to pain, we must nevertheless consider not what he says, but what is consistent for a man to say who has defined the good in terms of pleasure and evil in terms of pain.

Tertullian (fl. 200 CE), Apologetics, 45

Sic et Epicurus omnem cruciātum dolōremque dēpretiat, modicum quidem contemptibilem prōnuntiandō, magnum uerō nōn diuturnum.

So likewise Epicurus devalues all torment and pain — declaring the slight kind contemptible, and the great kind not long-lasting.

So indeed Epicurus renders every pain and torment a little less frightening, declaring that a moderate pain is trifling, while a severe one is not long-lasting.

Zeno the Epicurean (Zeno of Sidon), by way of Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.17.38

Eum esse beātum quī praes entibus voluptātibus fruerētur cōnfideretque sē frūitūrum... dolōre nōn interueniente, aut sī interuenīret, sī summus foret, futūrum breuem, sīn prōductior, plūs habitūrum iūcundī quam malī.

That man is happy who enjoys present pleasures and is confident that he will enjoy them — with no pain intervening; or if it does intervene, if it is greatest, it will be short; but if more prolonged, he will have more of pleasure than of pain.

"Blessed is he who has the enjoyment of present pleasure and the assurance that he would have enjoyment either throughout life or for a great part of life without the intervention of pain, or should pain come, that it would be short-lived if extreme, but if prolonged it would still allow more that was pleasant than evil."

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 78.7

Magnōs cruciātūs habet morbus, sed hōs tolerābilēs interualla faciunt, nam summī dolōris intentiō inuenit finem.

Illness brings great torments, but intervals make these bearable, for the intensity of the greatest pain finds its end.

Nēmō potest ualdē dolēre et diū: sic nōs amantissima nostrī nātūra disposuit, ut dolōrem aut tolerābilem aut breuem faceret.

No one can suffer greatly and for long; so our most loving nature has arranged it, that pain should be either endurable or short.

Illness involves considerable physical torments. These are made bearable by their intermittency. For when pain is at its most sever the very intensity finds means of ending it. Nobody can be in acute pain and feel it for long. Nature in her unlimited kindness to us has so arranged things as to make pain either bearable or brief.

[U447]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), On How to Study Poetry, 36B

ἐπιρρητέον δὲ καὶ τῷ τοῦ Αἰσχύλου "θάρσει· πόνου γὰρ ἄκρον οὐκ ἔχει χρόνον· ὅτι τοῦτ' ἔστι τὸ παρ' Ἐπικούρου θρυλούμενον, ὡς οἱ μεγάλοι πόνοι συντόμως ἐξάγουσιν, οἱ δὲ χρόνιοι μέγεθος οὐκ ἔχουσιν. ὣν τὸ μὲν εἶρηκεν ὁ Αἰσχύλος ἐναργῶς, τὸ δὲ τῷ εἰρημένῳ παρακείμενόν ἐστιν. εἰ γὰρ ὁ μέγας καὶ σύντονος οὐ παραμένει πόνος, οὐκ ἔστι μέγας ὁ παραμένων οὐδὲ δυσκατέρητος.

Upon the words of Aeschylus, "Fear not! Great stress of pain is not for long" we ought to remark that this is the oft-repeated and much admired statement originating with Epicurus, namely, "great pains shortly expend their force, and long-continued pains have no magnitude."

Marcus Aurelius (fl. 161 CE), Meditations, 7.33

περὶ πόνου· τὸ μὲν ἀφόρητον ἐξάγει, τὸ δὲ χρονίζον φορητόν.

Of pain: "When unbearable, it destroys us, when lasting, it is bearable"

Marcus Aurelius (fl. 161 CE), Meditations, 64

ἐπὶ μέντοι τῶν πλείστων πόνων καὶ τὸ τοῦ Ἐπικούρου σοι βοηθείτω, ὅτι οὔτε ἀφόρητον οὔτε αἰώνιον, ἐὰν τῶν ὄρων μνημονεύῃς καὶ μὴ προσδοξάζῃς

With most pains, however, call to your rescue even Epicurus, when he says that a pain is "never unbearable or interminable" so that that you remember its limitations and add nothing to it by imagination.

[U448]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1103D

οὗτος γάρ ἐστιν ὁ Ἐπικούρειος λόγος ἐν νόσοις δειναῖς καὶ πόνοις ὑπερβάλλουσιν· ἐλπίζεις τι χρηστὸν παρὰ θεῶν δι' εὐσέβειαν; τετύφωσαι "τὸ γὰρ μακάριον καὶ ἄφθαρτον οὔτ' ὀργαῖς οὔτε χάρισι συνέχεται" [sent. 1]. βέλτιόν τι τῶν ἐν τῷ βίῳ μετὰ τὸν βίον ἐπινοεῖς; ἐξηπάτησαι, "τὸ γὰρ λυθὲν ἀναισθητεῖ, τὸ δ' ἀναισθητοῦν οὐδὲν πρὸς ἡμᾶς " [sent. 2]. πῶς οὖν ἄνθρωπε φαγεῖν με καὶ χαίρειν κελεύεις; ὅτι νῆ Δία χειμαζομένῳ τὸ ναυάγιον ἐγγὺς ἐστίν· ὁ γὰρ πόνος ὃ ὑπερβάλλων συνάψει θανάτῳ

This is in fact the Epicurean argument for perilous disease and excruciating pain: you hope for some kind of treatment from the gods for all your piety? You are deluded – "what is blessed and imperishable is neither vulnerable to feelings of anger nor indebtedness." {Principal Doctrine 1} You conceive of something after this life better than what you found in it? You are deceived – "for what is dissipated has no sensation, and what has no sensation is nothing to us." {Principal Doctrine 2} So why, poor fellow, do you tell me to eat and rejoice? Why else but because for you, who are laboring in the storm, shipwreck imminent: "excessive pain leads straight to death."

[U449]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 66.47

Dabō apud Epicūrum tibi etiam nunc simillimam huic nostrae dīuisiōnem bonōrum.

I will grant you that even now in Epicurus there is a division of goods very similar to our own.

Alia enim sunt apud illum quae malit contingere sibi, ut corporis quiētem ab omnī incommodō liberam et animī remissiōnem bonōrum suōrum contemplātiōne gaudentis.

For with him there are some things he would prefer to happen to himself — such as freedom of the body from every discomfort and relaxation of the mind rejoicing in the contemplation of its own goods.

Alia sunt quae quamuis nōlit accidere, nihilō minus laudat et cōnprobat, tamquam illam quam paulō ante dīcēbam, malae ualētūdinis et dolōrum grauissimōrum perpressiōnem, in quā Epicūrus fuit illō summō ac fortunātissimō diē suō.

There are others which, although he would prefer not to occur, he nonetheless praises and approves — like that endurance of bad health and of the most severe pains I mentioned a little earlier, which Epicurus himself experienced on that highest and most blessed day of his life.

Ergō et apud Epicūrum sunt haec bona, quae mallēs nōn experīrī, sed quia ita rēs tulit, et amplectanda et laudanda et exaequanda summīs sunt.

Therefore, even with Epicurus there are these goods, which you would rather not experience — yet since circumstances have brought them, they are to be embraced, praised, and ranked equal with the highest.

I can show you at this moment in the writings of Epicurus a graded list of goods just like that of our own school. For there are some things, he declares, which he prefers should fall to his lot, such as bodily rest free from all inconvenience, and relaxation of the soul as it takes delight in the contemplation of its own goods. And there are other things which, though he would prefer that they did not happen, he nevertheless praises and approves – for example, the kind of resignation, in times of ill-health and serious suffering, to which I alluded a moment ago, and which Epicurus displayed on his famous "last and most blessed day" of his life. {cf. U138} ... We therefore find mentioned, even by Epicurus, those goods which one would prefer not to experience; which, however, because circumstances have decided thus, must be welcomed and approved and placed on a level with the highest goods.

6. Against the School of Aristippos

Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 ^{BCE}), Preparation for the Gospel, 14:18.31, 763D

Σωκράτους δ’ ἑταῖρος Ἀρίστιππος ἦν ὁ τὴν καλουμένην Κυρηναϊκὴν συστησάμενος αἵρεσιν, ἀφ’ ἧς τὰς ἀφορμὰς Ἐπίκουρος πρὸς τὴν τοῦ τέλους ἔκθεσιν εἴληφεν.

Now Aristippos was a companion of Socrates, and was the founder of the so-called Cyrenaic sect, from which Epicurus has taken occasion for his exposition of man's proper {ethical} end.

*Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 ^{BCE}), Preparation for the Gospel, 20.13, p. 768C;
Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 4, p. 1089A*

οἱ Κυρηναῖκοι – ἐκ μιᾶς οἰνοχόης Ἐπικούρῳ πεπωκότες.

The Cyrenaics ... who have drunk from the same jug as Epicurus.

[U450]

*Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.136 (see U2);
Diogenes Laertius, 2.87 (Aristippos)*

ἡδονὴν μέντοι (φασὶν οἱ Κυρηναῖκοι) τὴν τοῦ σώματος, ἣν καὶ τέλος εἶναι, καθά φησι καὶ Παναίτιος ἐν τῷ Περὶ τῶν αἰρέσεων, οὐ τὴν καταστηματικὴν ἡδονὴν τὴν ἐπ’ ἀναιρέσει ἀλγηδόνων καὶ οἷον ἀνοχλησίαν, ἣν ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἀποδέχεται, τέλος εἶναί φασιν.

{The Cyrenaics say} that bodily pleasure is the End-Goal, according to Panaetius in his work On the Philosophical Schools, and not the static pleasure following the removal of pains, or the sort of 'freedom from discomfort' which Epicurus accepts and maintains to be the end.

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 2.89 (Aristippos)

ἡ δὲ τοῦ ἀλγοῦντος ὑπεξαίρεσις, ὥς εἴρηται παρ’ Ἐπικούρῳ, δοκεῖ αὐτοῖς μὴ εἶναι ἡδονή οὐδ’ ἡ ἀηδονία ἀλγηδών — ἐν κινήσει γὰρ εἶναι ἀμφότερα --, μὴ οὔσης τῆς ἀπονίας ἢ τῆς ἀηδονίας κινήσεως, ἐπεὶ ἡ ἀπονία οἰονεῖ καθεύδοντός ἐστι κατάστασις.

The removal of pain, however, which is put forward in Epicurus, seems to them {the Cyrenaics} not to be pleasure at all, any more than the absence of pleasure is pain. For both pleasure and pain they hold to consist in motion, whereas absence of pleasure like absence of pain is not motion, since painlessness is the condition of one is, as it were, asleep.

Antiochus of Ascalon (fl.c. 95 BCE), by way of Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies 2.21

τῶν γὰρ ἀπὸ τῆς ἡδονῆς ἀρχομένων τούς τε Κυρηναϊκοὺς εἶναι καὶ τὸν Ἐπίκουρον τούτους γὰρ τέλος εἶναι λέγειν διαρρήδην τὸ ἡδέως ζῆν, τέλειον δὲ ἀγαθὸν μόνον τὴν ἡδονήν. ὁ δὲ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ τὴν τῆς ἀλγηδόνης ὑπεξαίρεσιν ἡδονὴν εἶναι λέγει.

For of those that are ruled by pleasure are the Cyrenaics and Epicurus; for these expressly said that to live pleasantly was the chief end, and that pleasure was the only perfect good. Epicurus also says that the removal of pain is pleasure.

[U451]

*Antiochus of Ascalon (fl.c. 95 BCE),
by way of Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies 2.21 p. 179.36*

οὗτοι οἱ Κυρηναῖκοι τὸν ὄρον τῆς ἡδονῆς Ἐπικούρου, τοῦτ' ἔστι τὴν τοῦ ἀλγοῦντος ὑπεξαίρεσιν ἀθετοῦσι, νεκροῦ κατάστασιν ἀποκαλοῦντες χαίρειν γὰρ ἡμᾶς μὴ μόνον ἐπὶ ἡδοναῖς, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐπὶ ὁμιλίαις καὶ ἐπὶ φιλοτιμίαις. ὁ δὲ Ἐπίκουρος πᾶσαν χαρὰν τῆς ψυχῆς οἶεται ἐπὶ πρωτοπαθούσῃ τῇ σαρκὶ γενέσθαι.

These Cyrenaics reject Epicurus' definition of pleasure, i.e., the removal of pain, calling that the condition of a corpse; because we rejoice not only on account of pleasures, but companionships and distinctions; while Epicurus thinks that all joy of the soul arises from previous sensations of the flesh.

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), Lives of Philosophers, 2.89 (Aristippos)

οὐ πάσας μέντοι τὰς ψυχικὰς ἡδονὰς καὶ ἀλγηδόνας (λέγουσιν οἱ Κυρηναῖκοι) ἐπὶ σωματικαῖς ἡδοναῖς καὶ ἀλγηδόσι γίνεσθαι· καὶ γὰρ ἐπὶ ψιλῇ τῇ τῆς πατρίδος εὐημερίᾳ ἢ περ τῇ ἰδίᾳ χαρὰν ἐγγίνεσθαι.

{The Cyrenaics assert that} not all mental pleasures and pains, however, are derived from bodily counterparts. For instance, we take disinterested delight in the prosperity of our country which is as real as our delight in our own prosperity.

[U452]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.137

ἔτι πρὸς τοὺς Κυρηναϊκοὺς· οἳ μὲν γὰρ χείρους τὰς σωματικὰς ἀλγηδόνας τῶν ψυχικῶν, κολάζεσθαι γοῦν τοὺς ἀμαρτάνοντας σώματι· ὁ δὲ τὰς ψυχικὰς· τὴν γοῦν σάρκα τὸ παρὸν μόνον χειμάζειν, τὴν δὲ ψυχὴν καὶ τὸ παρελθὸν καὶ τὸ παρὸν καὶ τὸ μέλλον· οὕτως οὖν καὶ μείζονας ἡδονὰς εἶναι τῆς ψυχῆς.

{Epicurus} further disagrees with the Cyrenaics in that they hold that pains of body are worse than mental pains; at all events evil-doers are made to suffer bodily punishment; whereas Epicurus holds the pains of the mind to be the worse; at any rate the flesh endures the storms of the present alone, the mind those of the past and future as well as the present. In this way also he holds mental pleasures to be greater than those of the body.

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 2.90 (Aristippos)

πολὺ μέντοι τῶν ψυχικῶν (ἡδονῶν λέγουσιν οἱ Κυρηναῖκοι) τὰς σωματικὰς ἀμείνους εἶναι, καὶ τὰς ὀχλήσεις χείρους τὰς σωματικὰς· ὅθεν καὶ ταύταις κολάζεσθαι μᾶλλον τοὺς ἀμαρτάνοντας.

{The Cyrenaics} insist that bodily pleasures are afar better than mental pleasures, and bodily pains far worse than mental pains, and that this is the reason why offenders are punished with the former.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), Divine Institutes, 3.7.7

Epicurus summum bonum in voluptāte animī esse cēset, Aristippus in voluptāte corporīs.

Epicurus holds that the highest good is in the pleasure of the mind, Aristippus in the pleasure of the body.

Epicurus thinks that the highest good is in the pleasure of the mind. Aristippos holds that it is in the pleasure of the body.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), Divine Institutes, 8.5

Sapiēns ergō nōn fuit quī summum bonum crēdidit animī voluptātem, quoniam illa, sīue sēcūritās sīue gaudium est, commūnis est omnibus.

Therefore, he was not wise who believed the highest good to be the pleasure of the mind, since that — whether it be tranquility or joy — is common to all.

That man was not wise, then, who believed that pleasure of the mind was the highest good, since whether that is security or joy, it is common to all.

[U453]

Diogenes Laertius (fl. c. 220 ^{CE}), Lives of Philosophers, 2.89 (Aristippos)

ἀλλὰ μὴν οὐδὲ κατὰ μνήμην τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἢ προσδοκίαν ἡδονὴν φασιν (οἱ Κυρηναῖκοι) ἀποτελεῖσθαι, ὃ περ ἤρεσκεν Ἐπικούρῳ. ἐκλύεσθαι γὰρ τῷ χρόνῳ τὸ τῆς ψυχῆς κίνημα.

{The Cyrenaics} do not admit that pleasure can be derived from the memory or expectation of good, which was a doctrine of Epicurus. And because of this they assert that movements affecting the mind are exhausted in the course of time.

7. Limits of Desires

[U454]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 2.9.27 & 28

Illud ipsum nōn nimium probō et tantum patiōr, philosophum loquī dē cupiditātibus fīniendīs.

That very thing I do not much approve, though I tolerate it — that a philosopher should talk about ending desires.

An potest cupiditās fīnīrī?

But can desire be ended?

Sed haec quidem liberius ab eō dīcuntur et saepius.

Yet these things, indeed, are said by him more freely and more often.

Quod equidem nōn reprehendō, est enim tantī philosophī tamque nōbilis audacter sua dēcrēta dēfendere.

Which I for my part do not blame, for it befits so great and so renowned a philosopher to defend his doctrines boldly.

For my own part, I cannot cordially approve — I merely tolerate — a philosopher who talks of setting bounds to the desires. Is it possible for desire to be kept with bounds? 28: This classification of the desires is then a subject on which Epicurus is found of enlarging. Not that I find fault with him for that — we expect so great and famous a philosopher to maintain his dogmas boldly.

[U455]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Moral Dialogs, 7, To Gallio, or On the Blessed Life, 13.4

Quī voluptātem sequitur, uidētur... peruēntūrus in turpia, nisi aliquis distīxerit illī voluptātēs, ut sciāt, quae ex eīs intrā nātūrāle dēsiderium dēsistant, quae praecēps ferantur, īfīnītaeque sint et quō magis implentur, eō magis inexplēbiles.

He who pursues pleasure seems likely to fall into shameful things — unless someone distinguishes pleasures for him, so that he may know which of them stop within natural desire, and which rush headlong on, being infinite, and the more they are filled, the more insatiable they become.

He who follows pleasure is seen to be weakly, broken, losing his manliness, and on the sure path to baseness unless someone shall establish for him some distinction between pleasures, so that he may know which of them lie within the bounds of natural desire, and which of them sweep headlong onward and are unbounded, being all the more insatiable the more they are satisfied.

[U456]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.33.93

Vīdēs, crēdō, ut Epicurus cupiditātum genera dīuīserit, nōn nimis fortasse subtiliter, utiliter tamen:

You see, I think, how Epicurus divided the kinds of desires — not perhaps very subtly, yet usefully.

partim esse nātūrālēs et necessāriās, partim nātūrālēs et nōn necessāriās, partim neutrum.

Some, he says, are natural and necessary; some natural but not necessary; and some neither.

Necessāriās satiārī posse paene nihilō, diuitiās enim nātūrae esse parābilēs;

The necessary ones can be satisfied with almost nothing, for the riches of nature are easily obtainable.

secundum autem genus cupiditātum nec ad potiendum difficile esse cēnset nec uerō ad carendum;

The second kind of desires, he thinks, are neither hard to obtain nor hard to do without.

tertiās, quod essent plānē inānēs neque necessitātem modō, sed nē nātūram quidem attingerent, funditus ēiciendās putāuit.

But the third — because they are utterly empty and touch neither necessity nor even nature — he thought must be rooted out entirely.

You are, I take it, aware that Epicurus has distinguished different kinds of desire, not perhaps with too much exactness, but nevertheless in a way that is of service. In part, they are, he says natural and necessary, in part natural and not necessary, in part neither one nor the other; scarcely anything is required to satisfy the necessary pleasures, for the stores of nature are available; and the second kind of desires is, he thinks, neither hard to satisfy nor indeed hard to go without; the third kind he thought should be utterly rejected, because they were completely meaningless and so far from counting as necessary, had no relation to nature either.

Scholion on Aristotle, Nicomachean Ethics, 3.13, 1118B

Ἐπίκουρος καὶ οἱ ἀπ' αὐτοῦ οὕτω διαιροῦσι· τῶν ἐπιθυμιῶν αἱ μὲν τινες ἀναγκαῖαι, αἱ δὲ φυσικαὶ μὲν οὐκ ἀναγκαῖαι δέ, αἱ δὲ οὔτε ἀναγκαῖαι οὔτε φυσικαὶ ἀλλὰ κατὰ κενὴν γινόμεναι δόξαν. ἡ μὲν οὖν τῆς τροφῆς ἐπιθυμία καὶ τῆς ἐσθῆτος ἀναγκαία· ἡ δὲ τῶν ἀφροδισίων φυσικὴ μὲν οὐκ ἀναγκαία δέ· ἡ δὲ τῶν τοιῶνδε σιτίων ἢ τοιᾶσδε ἐσθῆτος ἢ τοιῶνδε ἀφροδισίων οὔτε φυσικὴ οὔτε ἀναγκαία.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Beasts are Rational, 6, 989B

ἡ μὲν οὖν σωφροσύνη βραχύτης τίς ἐστιν ἐπιθυμιῶν καὶ τάξις, ἀναιροῦσα μὲν τὰς ἐπεισάκτους καὶ περιττάς, καιρῷ δὲ καὶ μετριότητι κοσμοῦσα τὰς ἀναγκαίας. ταῖς δ' ἐπιθυμίαις ἐνορᾷς που μυρίαν διαφοράν· [αἱ μὲν γὰρ περὶ τὴν βρῶσιν] καὶ τὴν πόσιν ἅμα τῷ φυσικῷ καὶ τὸ ἀναγκαῖον ἔχουσιν ἄλλαις δέ, αἷς ἀρχὰς ἡ φύσις ἐνδίδωσιν, ἔστι δὲ που καὶ μὴ χρώμενον ἔχειν ἱκανῶς ἀπαλλαγέντα· φυσικαὶ μὲν οὖν, οὐκ ἀναγκαῖαι δ' ἐκλήθησαν. τὸ δὲ τῶν μὴτ' ἀναγκαίων μῆτε φυσικῶν ἀλλ' ἔξωθεν ὑπὸ δόξης κενῆς δι' ἀπειροκαλίαν ἐπικεχυμένων γένος ὑμῶν μὲν ὀλίγου δεῖν τὰς φυσικὰς ἀπέκρυσεν ὑπὸ πλήθους ἀπάσας, ἔχει δὲ καθά περ ξένων ὄχλος ἔπηλυσ ἐν δήμῳ καὶ βιαζόμενος πρὸς τοὺς ἐγγενεῖς πολίτας.

Temperance, then, is a curtailment and an ordering of the desires that eliminate those that are extraneous or superfluous and discipline in modest and timely fashion those that are necessary. You can, of course, observe countless differences in the desires ... and the desire to eat and drink is at once natural and necessary, while the pleasures of love, which, though they find their origin in nature, yet may be forgone and discarded without much inconvenience, have been called natural but unnecessary. But there are desires of another kind, neither necessary nor natural, that are imported in a deluge from without as a result of your inane illusions and because you lack true culture. So great is their multitude that the natural desires are, every one of them, all but overwhelmed, as though an alien rabble were overpowering the native citizenry. But beasts have souls completely inaccessible and closed to these adventitious passions and live their lives as free from empty illusions as though they dwelt far from the sea. They fall short in the matter of delicate and luxurious living, but solidly protect their sobriety and the better regulation of their desires since those that dwell within them are neither numerous nor alien.

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.49

ὁ δὲ λοιπὸν ὅσον εἰς πολυτέλειαν πέπτωκεν, οὐκ ἀναγκαίαν ἔχειν φασὶ τὴν ὀρεξιν οὐδ' ἀπ' ἀλγοῦντός τινος ἀναγκαίως γιγνομένην, ἀλλὰ τὴν μὲν ἀπὸ τοῦ ἡ λυποῦντος ἢ νύττοντος μόνον ἐν τῷ μὴ παρεῖναι, τὴν δὲ ἀπὸ τοῦ χαίροντος, τὴν δὲ ὅλως ἀπὸ τῶν κενῶν καὶ διεψευσμένων δογμάτων, ἡ εἰς οὐδὲν φυσικὸν ἀνάγεται ἔλλειμμα οὐδ' εἰς τὸ διαλυθὼν τὴν σύστασιν ἐκ τοῦ μὴ παρεῖναι. ἱκανὰ γὰρ καὶ τὰ τυχόντα διακρατῆσαι ταῦτα ἦν, ὧν ἀναγκαίως δεῖται ἡ φύσις. ταῦτα δὲ καὶ διὰ τὴν λιτότητα καὶ διὰ τὴν ὀλιγότητά ἐστιν εὐπόριστα.

But otherwise, insofar as one has fallen into extravagance, they say that one has a desire that is not necessary and does not arise by necessity from something that causes pain, but from something which causes distress or discomfort only by being absent, or else from delight, or wholly from empty and misleading beliefs; and such a desire does not refer back to any natural lack or to something which by its absence ruins our constitution. Ordinary foods suffice to provide what nature necessarily requires, and because they are simple and small in quantity, they are easy to get. Hence, {Porphyry's own inference:} a meat-eater needs inanimate foods as well, but someone satisfied with inanimate food needs half as much, and that easy to get and needing small expense to prepare.

[U457]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), Letter to Marcella, 31

ἔρωτι φιλοσοφίας ἀληθινῆς πᾶσα ταραχώδης καὶ ἐπίπονος ἐπιθυμία ἐκλύεται.

The love of true philosophy dissolves every anxious and painful longing.

[U458]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), On Abstinence, 1.54

παρὰ δὲ τὰς τοιαύτας καὶ τὰς ὁμογενεῖς αἰτίας καὶ ἡ τοῦ ζῆν ἄπληστος ὄρεξις γίγνεται καὶ πλούτου καὶ χρημάτων καὶ δόξης τῷ συναυξήσειν τε νομίζειν τὸ πᾶν ἀγαθὸν σὺν αὐτοῖς διὰ τοῦ πλείονος χρόνου καὶ τὸ κατὰ τὸν θάνατον δεινὸν ὡς ἀπέραντον φοβεῖσθαι. ἡδονὴ δὲ ἡ διὰ πολυτελείας οὐδὲ ἐγγὺς τείνει τῆς δι’ αὐταρκειᾶς τῷ πεπειραμένῳ γιγνομένησ’ πολὺ γὰρ τὸ ἡδὺ ἐν τῷ κατανοεῖν ὅσων αὐτὸς χρειᾶν ἔχει. ἀρθείσης γὰρ πολυτελείας, ἀρθείσης τῆς περὶ τὰ ἀφροδίσια πτοίας, * τῆς ἔξω φιλοτιμίας τίς λοιπὸν χρειᾶ πλούτου ἀργοῦ εἰς μηδὲν ἡμῖν χρησιμεύοντος ἀλλὰ μόνον βαρήσοντας;

ἕως τὸ πεπληρῶσθαι γίγνεται καὶ ἡ ἐκ τοῦ τοιούτου κόρου ἡδονὴ ἀκραιφνής. δεῖ δὲ καὶ τὸ σῶμα ἀπεθίζειν ὡς οἷόν τε τῆς τοῦ κόρου ἡδονῆς διὰ τῆς κατὰ τὴν πείναν πλησμονῆς καταγεύεσθαι, ἵνα [εὖ ἔχων] διὰ πάντων διέλθῃ, καὶ ὄρον θεῖναι τὸ ἀναγκαῖον, μὴ τὸ ἀόριστον. οὕτω γὰρ καὶ τοῦτο εἰληφέναι τὸ ἐνδεχόμενον ἀγαθὸν ἐνέσται διὰ τῆς αὐταρκειᾶς καὶ ὁμοιώσεως τῷ θεῷ· οὕτως οὐδ’ αὐτὸ ἐπὶ πλεον ποθήσει οὐδὲ τὸν χρόνον ὡς προσθήσοντα αὐτῷ μεῖζον ἀγαθόν. οὕτως δ’ αὖ ἀληθινῶς πλουτήσῃ τῷ φυσικῷ ὄρῳ τὸν πλοῦτον μετρῶν, οὐ δόξαις κεναῖς· οὕτως οὐκ ἐπ’ ἐλπίδι κρεμήσεται μεγίστης ἡδονῆς πίστιν οὐκ ἐχούσῃ τοῦ γενέσθαι (θορυβωδεστάτῃ γὰρ αὕτῃ), ἀλλ’ ἐν αὐταρκειᾷ τοῦ παρόντος καὶ γεγονότος ἤδη μενεῖ οὐδὲ ἀγωνιάσει μὴ τὸν πλείονα χρόνον παραμένῃ

From causes like these, and from analogous causes, there arises an insatiable desire for longevity, wealth, money and fame, because people think that with these they will, given a longer time, increase their sum of good, and because they fear the terror of death as something without limit. The pleasure experienced from luxury comes nowhere near the pleasure experienced from self-sufficiency; it is very pleasant to think just how little one needs. Take away luxury, take away sexual excitement and the desire for external recognition, and what further need is there for inert wealth, which is useful to us for nothing but only weighs us down? This is the way to be filled full, and the pleasure from this kind of satiety is unmixed. We must also make the body unaccustomed, so far as is possible, to the pleasures of excess, but accustomed to the fulfillment which comes from satisfying hunger; we must eat in order to get through everything, and must take as our limit not the unlimited, but the necessary. Thus it too, by self-sufficiency and assimilation to the divine, can obtain the good that is possible for it. Thus it will be genuinely rich, measuring its wealth by the natural limit, not by empty beliefs. Thus it will not be suspended on hopes of the greatest pleasure, without being sure of getting it; for that pleasure causes maximum disruption. But it will be self-sufficient in what is present and in what has already happened, and will not be tormented by the thought of not remaining for longer.

[U459]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.34.97

Hīs similia ad uictum etiam transferuntur, extenuāturque magnificentia et sumptus epulārum, quod parvō cultū nātūra contenta sit.

Similar principles are also applied to food, and the splendor and expense of banquets are reduced, because nature is content with little refinement.

Ētenim quis hoc nōn uidet, dēsideriīs omnia ista condīrī?

For who does not see that all those things are seasoned by desires?

Quamquam sī quaedam etiam suauiora nātūra dēsideret, quam multa ex terrā arboribusque gignuntur cum copiā facili tum suāuitāte praestantī.

And yet, even if nature desired certain sweeter things, how many are produced from the earth and trees, both easily and with excellent pleasantness!

Adde siccitātem quae consequitur hanc continentiam in uictū, adde integritātem uālētūdinis:

Add the lightness of body that follows this self-restraint in diet, add the soundness of health;

confer sudantīs ructantīs refertōs epulīs tamquam opimōs bouēs:

compare them with those sweating, belching, stuffed with feasts like well-fed oxen;

tum intellegēs, quī voluptātem maximē sequantur, eōs minimē consequī, iūcunditātémque uictūs esse in dēsideriō, nōn in satietāte.

then you will understand that those who most pursue pleasure achieve it least, and that the enjoyment of eating lies in desire, not in satiety.

Diēs dēficiat, sī uelim paupertātis causam dēfendere: aperta enim rēs est et cotīdiē nōs ipsa nātūra admonet, quam parvīs rēbus eget, quam uilibus.

The day would fail me if I wished to defend the cause of poverty; for it is a plain fact, and nature herself reminds us daily how few things she needs and how cheap they are.

Similar reasoning [cf. U439] is applied to food, and the costly splendor of banquets is belittled, because they say nature is contented with little effort. For who does not see that need is the seasoning for all such things? [cf. Horace, Satires, 2.2.70-88] 99: And yet, if nature should feel the need of something yet more savory, what a quantity of things are provided by earth and trees in ready abundance and of excellent savor! Add dryness which follows upon restraint in diet, add unimpaired health; contrast with this, sweating, belching men stuffed with food like fattened oxen – then you will understand that those who are in hottest pursuit of pleasure are furthest from catching it, and that the pleasantness of food lies in appetite, not in repletion. [Cf. Epicurus, Letter to Menoeceus, 132; Cicero, De Finibus, 2.8.22] 35.102: Time would fail me should I wish to maintain the cause of poverty; for the matter is evident and nature herself teaches us daily how few, how small her needs, are, how cheaply satisfied.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.9.26

Laudat tenuem uictum Epicurus: philosophi id quidem, sed sī Socrates aut Antisthenēs dīceret, nōn is quī finem bonōrum voluptātem esse dīxerit.

Epicurus praises a simple diet — philosophical indeed, but fitting if Socrates or Antisthenes had said it, not the man who declared the end of good things to be pleasure.

He praises pain living – that is indeed worthy of a philosopher, but only in the mouth of Socrates or Antisthenes, not of the man who can say that pleasure is the supreme good.

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.20.49

Epicurus tenuem uictum antefert copiōsō.

Epicurus prefers a frugal way of living to an abundant one.

He prefers plain to a rich diet.

Johannes Stobaeus (fl. 450 ^{CE}), Anthology, 17.43

**ἅπαξ δέ ποτε (Μουσώνιος) τῶν ἄλλων ἀφέμενος λόγων οὕς ἐκάστοτε διεξήει
τοιάδε τινὰ εἶπεν, ὡς χρή καθά περ τὴν εὐτελεῆ τῆς πολυτελοῦς τροφῆν
προτιμᾶν καὶ τὴν εὐπόριστον τῆς δυσπορίστου, οὕτω καὶ τὴν σύμφυλον
ἀνθρώπῳ τῆς [μὴ] τοιαύτης.**

[U460]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Moral Dialogs, 7, To Gallio, or On the Blessed Life, 13.1

**In eā quidem ipsā sententiā sum — inuitīs hoc nostrīs populāribus dicam —
sancta Epicūrum et rēcta praecipere et, sī propius accesseris, trīstia:**

I am indeed of that very opinion — though I say this against the will of my countrymen — that Epicurus gives precepts holy and right, and, if you look more closely, severe.

Voluptās enim illa ad paruum et exīle reuocātur,

For that pleasure of his is brought back to something small and meager,

**et quam nōs uīrtūtī legem dīcimus, eam ille dīcit voluptātī: iubet illam pārēre
nātūrae.**

and the rule we assign to virtue, he assigns to pleasure: he bids it obey nature.

Parum est autem luxuriæ quod nātūrae satis est.

But what suffices for nature is too little for luxury.

**Itaque nōn dīcam quod plērique nostrōrum, sectam Epicūrī flagitiōrum ministram
esse, sed illud dīcō: male audit, infāmis est, et immeritō.**

Therefore I will not say, as most of our people do, that the school of Epicurus is the servant of vices — but this I say: it has a bad reputation, is held in disgrace, and undeservedly so.

Personally I hold the opinion – I shall express it though the members of our school may protest – that the teachings of Epicurus are upright and holy and, if you consider them closely, austere; for his famous doctrine of pleasure is reduced to small and narrow proportions, and the rule that we Stoics lay down for virtue, this same rule he lays down for pleasure – he bids that it obey Nature. But it takes a very little luxury to satisfy Nature! What then is the case? ... And so I shall not say, as do most of our school, that the school of Epicurus is an academy of vice, but this is what I say – it has a bad name, is of ill-repute, and yet undeservedly.

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Moral Dialogs, 7, To Gallio, or On the Blessed Life, 12.4

Uitīs dēditi... eō concurrunt ubi audiant laudārī voluptātem;

Those devoted to their vices rush there where they hear pleasure being praised;

nec aestimant, voluptās illa Epicūrī — ita enim meherculēs sentiō — quam sobria ac sicca sit,

and they do not realize how sober and dry that pleasure of Epicurus — for so, by Hercules, I feel — really is,

sed ad nōmen ipsum aduolant quaerentēs libīdinibus suīs patrocinium aliquod ac uelāmentum.

but they flock to the very name itself, seeking for their lusts some kind of defense and disguise.

Those who have plunged into pleasures ... they hide their debauchery in the lap of philosophy and flock to the place where they may hear the praise of pleasure and they do not consider how sober and abstemious the "pleasure" of Epicurus really is — so by Hercules, I think it is — but they fly to a mere name seeking some justification and screen for their lusts.

[U461]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), On Abstinence, 1.50

Σὺν φιλοσοφίᾳ τοίνυν ἀπτέον τούτων, καὶ εὐθύς προσπεσεῖται ὅτι πολλῶ κρεῖττον τὸ ἐν αὐτοῖς μεταδιώκειν ἐλάχιστόν τε καὶ λιτόν καὶ κοῦφον· ἐλάχιστον γὰρ καὶ τὸ ὀχληρὸν ἐκ τοῦ ἐλαχίστου.

Therefore, one must engage in these things along with philosophy, and it will at once occur that it is far better to pursue among them what is smallest, simplest, and lightest — for even what is troublesome is least troublesome when it comes from the least amount.

ὣν δ' ἂν συνεφελκύσῃται ἡ παρασκευὴ ἐμπόδια ἐκ τῆς τοῦ σώματος βαρύτητος ἢ ἐκ τῆς τῶν παρασκευαζομένων πραγματείας ἢ ἐκ τοῦ κωλύειν τὴν περὶ τῶν κυριωτάτων λογισμῶν ἐνέργειαν εἶναι συνεχῇ ἢ ἔκ τινος ἄλλης αἰτίας, εὐθύς ἀλυσιτελὴς γίνεται καὶ οὐκ ἀντάλλακτος πρὸς τὰς συνακολουθούσας ὀχλήσεις.

But whatever provision brings with it hindrances — whether from the heaviness of the body, or from the trouble of preparing the things provided, or from obstructing the continual exercise of reasoning about the most important matters, or from any other cause — immediately becomes unprofitable and not worth exchanging for the annoyances that follow along with it.

So when using philosophy one must consider food too, insofar as that school's attentive concern allows; and when something is removed by that school because it will not authorize complete assurance, it should not be added to the provision of wealth and foods. Philosophy, then, should be used to handle such matters, and it will immediately turn out that pursuing a minimal, simple and light diet is far better; for least disturbance comes from least. Preparing food brings many impediments in its wake, from the weighing down of the body, from the trouble of preparation, from disrupting the sustained activity of reason about the most important principles, or from some other cause. So preparation immediately becomes unprofitable, and cannot compensate for the disturbances it entails.

[U462]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), On Abstinence, 1.51

ἔτι καὶ τὸ ἀλγεινὸν τὸ δι' ἐνδείας πολλῆς ἡπιότητος ἢ τὸ διὰ πληρώσεως μετέχει, ἔαν μὴ τις ταῖς κεναῖς δόξαις ἑαυτὸν ἀπατᾷ.

Pain caused by hunger is much milder than pain caused by eating to excess, unless someone deludes himself with empty beliefs.

[U463]

ἢ τε ποικιλία τῶν τροφῶν οὐχ ὅπως τὰς ταραχὰς τῆς ψυχῆς ἐκλύει, ἀλλ’ οὐδὲ τὴν ἐν τῇ σαρκὶ ἡδονὴν συνεπαύξει: πέρας γὰρ ἔχει καὶ αὕτη ἅμα τῇ τῆς ἀλγηδόνης ὑπεξαιρέσει.

Diversity in one's diet not only fails to relieve the troubles of the soul, it will not even increase pleasure in the flesh. For pleasure has limits, which is the point at which removal of pain is achieved.

[U464]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.51

ὥς τό γε τῆς σαρκοφαγίας οὐτ’ ἔλυσεν τι ὀχληρὸν τῆς φύσεως οὐθ’ ὃ μὴ συντελούμενον ἐπ’ ἀλγηδόνα ἠνύετο, τὴν δὲ χάριν βιαίαν εἶχε καὶ ταχὺ τῷ ἐναντίῳ μιννυμένην. οὐ γὰρ πρὸς ζωῆς συμμονήν, πρὸς δὲ ποικιλίαν ἡδονῶν συνεβάλλετο, εἰκότως ἀφροδισίοις ἢ ξενικῶν οἴνων πόσεσιν, ὧν καὶ χωρὶς διαμένειν δύναται ἡ φύσις. ὧν δὲ χωρὶς οὐκ ἂν ὑπομείνειεν, βραχέα παντάπασιν ἐστὶ καὶ δυνάμενα ῥαδίως καὶ μετὰ δικαιοσύνης καὶ ἐλευθερίας, ἡσυχίας τε καὶ πολλῆς ῥαστώνης πορίζεσθαι. ἔτι δὲ οὐδὲ πρὸς ὑγείαν τὰ κρέα συντελεῖ, ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον τῇ ὑγείᾳ ἐμποδίζει. δι’ ὧν γὰρ ὑγεία ἀνακτᾶται, διὰ τούτων καὶ διαμένει ἀνακτᾶται δὲ διὰ τῆς λιτοτάτης καὶ ἀσάρκου διαίτης, ὥστε καὶ ταύτῃ ἂν συμμείνειεν.

Meat-eating does not remove any trouble from our nature, or any want which, if not satisfied, leads to pain. The gratification it provides is violent, and is quickly mixed with the opposite. For it contributes not to the maintenance of life but to the variation of pleasures: it resembles sex or drinking imported wines, and our nature can survive without these. The things without which nature could not survive are small in every way and can be got easily, with justice and liberal-mindedness, tranquility and the utmost ease. Moreover, meat does not contribute to health either, but rather impedes it. Health is maintained by the same things through which it is acquired; and it is acquired by a very light and fleshless diet, so that must be how it is sustained.

[U465]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.53

καθόλου δὲ ὀρθῶς ὁ Ἐπίκουρος ἔφασκεν εὐλαβητέον εἶναι τροφήν, ἣν ἀπολαῦσαι μὲν ποθοῦμεν. καὶ διώκομεν, συντελεσθεῖσαν δ’ ἐν ἀχαρίστῳ τίθεμεν. τοιαύτη δὲ πᾶσα ἡ δαψιλῆς καὶ παχεῖα. καὶ τοῦτο πάσχουσιν οἱ περὶ ταύτην ἐπτοημένοι, ἢ ἀναλώμασιν ἢ νόσοις ἢ πλησμονῇ ἢ ἀσχολίαις περιπίπτοντες. διὸ καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν λιτῶν φυλακτέον τὸ πλήσμιον, καὶ πανταχοῦ σκεπτέον, τί διὰ τῆς ἀπολαύσεως ἢ κτήσεως γίνεται καὶ πηλίκον ἔχει μέγεθος καὶ τίνος ὀχληροῦ λυτικόν, σαρκὸς ἢ ψυχῆς, μὴ διὰ (κενὴν, χάριν ἢ περὶ ἐκάστου) πράγματος. ἔντασις γίννηται, ὥσπερ ὁ τῶν πολλῶν) βίος κεκύηται. ἀοριστεῖν γὰρ οὐδαμοῦ δεῖ, ἀλλ’ ἔχεσθαι ὅρου: καὶ μέτρου τοῦ ἐν τοῖς τοιούτοις.

Epicurus rightly surmised that we should beware of food which we want to enjoy and which we pursue, but find disagreeable once we get it. All rich, heavy food is like this, and when people are carried away by wanting it, they land in expense, illness, glut, or worry. For this reason we should guard against excess even of simple things, and in all cases we must examine what happens as a result of enjoyment or possession, how big a thing it is, and whether it relieves any trouble of body or soul. Otherwise, in every case, tension, such as life engenders, will arise from gratification. We must not go beyond the bounds, but keep within the boundary and measure that applies to such things.

[U466]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.48

τῶν γὰρ Ἐπικουρείων οἱ πλείους ἀπ’ αὐτοῦ τοῦ κορυφαίου ἀρξάμενοι μάζῃ καὶ τοῖς ἀκροδρύοις ἀρκούμενοι φαίνονται τὰ τε συγγράμματα ἐμπεπλήκασιν τὸ ὀλιγοδεὲς τῆς φύσεως ἀφηγοῦμενοι καὶ τὸ ἐκ τῶν λιτῶν καὶ εὐπορίστων ἱκανῶς αὐτῆς τὸ ἀναγκαῖον ἰώμενον παριστάντες (c. 49) τὸ γὰρ κατ’ ἐνδειαν ἐνοχλοῦν τὴν σάρκα ἐξαιρεῖται καλῶς καὶ αὐτάρκως τὰ εὐπόριστα, ἀπλήν ἔχοντα φύσιν ὑγρῶν τε καὶ ξηρῶν.

For most of the Epicureans, starting with their leader, appear to be satisfied with barley-bread and fruit, and they have filled treatises with arguments that nature needs little and that its requirements are adequately met by simple, available food. Riches in accordance with nature, they say, are limited and easy to get; riches in accordance with empty beliefs are unlimited and hard to get [= Principle Doctrine 15]. Disturbance caused to the body by want is well and sufficiently removed by things which are easy to get, which have the simple nature of fluid and dry.

St. Jerome (fl.c. 385 CE), Against Jovinianus, 2.11

Epicurus voluptātis asser tor omnēs librōs suōs repleuit olēribus et pōmis, et uilibus cibīs dīcit esse uiuendum, quia carnēs et exquisitae epulae ingentī cūrā ac miseriā praeparentur maiōremque poenam habeant in quaerendō quam voluptātem in abutendō.

Epicurus, champion of pleasure, filled all his books with vegetables and fruits, and says that one must live on cheap foods, because meats and rich dishes are prepared with great effort and trouble, and bring more pain in seeking them than pleasure in consuming them.

Corpora autem nostra cibō tantum et potū indigere. ubi aqua et pānis sit et cetera hīs similia, ibi nātūrae satis factum; quidquid suprā fuerit, nōn ad uitae necessitatem spectāre sed ad uitium voluptātis.

Our bodies, however, need only food and drink. Where there is water and bread and other things like these, there nature has been satisfied; whatever goes beyond that does not aim at the necessity of life, but at the excess of pleasure.

Bibere et comedere nōn dēliciārum ardōrem sed sitim famemque restinguere.

To drink and eat should not be to feed the flame of indulgence, but to quench thirst and hunger.

Quī carnibus uescantur, indigere etiam iīs quae nōn sunt carnum; quī autem simplici uictū abutantur, eōs carnēs nōn requīrere.

Those who live on meat need also things other than meat; but those who use a simple diet do not crave meat.

Sapientiae quoque operam dare nōn possumus, sī mēnsae abundantiam cogitēmus, quae labōre nimiō et cūrā indiget: cito explētur nātūrae necessitās, frigus et famēs simplici uestitū et cibō expellī potest.

Nor can we devote ourselves to wisdom if we are thinking about the abundance of the table, which requires excessive toil and care: the needs of nature are quickly satisfied; cold and hunger can be driven off with simple clothing and food.

Epicurus, the defender of pleasure, in all his books speaks of nothing but vegetables and fruits; and he says that we ought to live on cheap food because the preparation of sumptuous banquets of flesh involves great care and suffering, and greater pains attend the search for such delicacies than pleasures the consumption of them. Our bodies need only something to eat and drink. Where there is bread and water, and the like, nature is satisfied. Whatever more there may be does not go to meet the wants of life, but are ministers to vicious pleasure. Eating and drinking does not quench the longing for luxuries, but appeases hunger and thirst. Persons who feed on flesh want also gratifications not found in flesh. But they who adopt a simple diet do not look for flesh. Further, we cannot devote ourselves to wisdom if our thoughts are running on a well-laden table, the supply of which requires an excess of work and anxiety. The wants of nature are soon satisfied: cold and hunger can be banished with simple food and clothing.

[U467]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.5

Quī nimium parcus est, discit ab Epicūrō aquā et polentā uitam posse tolerārī.

He who is too frugal learns from Epicurus that life can be sustained with water and barley meal.

One who is too stingy learns [from Epicurus] that life can be endured on water and barley.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 16, 1097D

ὅτι μὲν οὖν καὶ πρὸς τὰς τοῦ σώματος ἡδονὰς ἡ φύσις δεῖται χορηγίας πολυτελοῦς καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν ἐν μάζῃ καὶ φακῇ τὸ ἡδιστον, ἀλλ’ ὅψα καὶ Θάσια καὶ μύρα... ...ζητοῦσιν αἱ τῶν ἀπολαυστικῶν ὀρέξεις... ...ἀφῶμεν.

Now the point that even for the pleasures of the body our nature requires costly provision, and that the most pleasant enjoyment is not to be found in barley-cake and lentil soup, but that the appetite of the sensualist demands succulent viands and Thasian wine and perfumes ... and not only this, but young and attractive women ... this point let us waive.

[U468]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.28.90

“Age,” inquires, “ista parua sunt. sapientem locupletat ipsa Nātūra, cuius diuitiās Epicūrus parābilēs esse docuit.”

“Come,” you will say, “these things are small. Nature herself enriches the wise man — whose riches Epicurus taught to be easily obtainable.”

"Come" you will say, "these are trivial objections. The Sage is endowed with Nature's own riches, and these as Epicurus has shown, are easy to obtain" {cf. Principal Doctrine 16}

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.28.91

Nātūrālīs diuitiās dīxit parābilēs esse, quod parvō esset nātūra contenta.

He said that natural riches are easy to obtain, because nature is content with little.

He said that natural wealth is easily won, because nature is satisfied with little.

[U469]

Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology, 17.23

χάρις τῇ μακαρίᾳ Φύσει, ὅτι τὰ ἀναγκαῖα ἐποίησεν εὐπόριστα, τὰ δὲ δυσπόριστα οὐκ ἀναγκαῖα.

"Thanks be to blessed Nature because she has made what is necessary easy to supply, and what is not easy unnecessary."

[U470]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.51

δεῖ μέντοι τῷ φιλοσόφῳ καὶ τὴν ἐλπίδα τοῦ μηδὲν ὑπολείψειν παρεῖναι διὰ βίου ταύτην δὲ τὰ μὲν εὐπόριστα ἱκανῶς διασώζει, τὰ δὲ πολυτελεῖ ποιεῖ δυσέλπιστον. οἱ γοῦν πολλοὶ διὰ τοῦτο καὶ περ πολλὰ κεκτημένοι διγ ὑπολειψόντων ἀνήνυτα μοχθοῦσιν. ἀρκεῖσθαι δὲ τοῖς εὐπορίστοις καὶ λιτοτάτοις ποιεῖ τὸ μνημονεύειν ὅτι πρὸς μὲν (10) τῆς ψυχῆς ἀξιόλογον ταραχὴν λύειν οὐθὲν ἰσχύειν πέφυκεν οὐδ’ ὁ πᾶς πλοῦτος συναχθεὶς, τὸ δὲ τῆς σαρκὸς ὀχληρὸν ἐξαιρεῖ καὶ τὰ πάνυ μέτρια καὶ τυχόντα πᾶσάν τε εὐποριστίαν κεκτημένα’ ὑπολείποντα δὲ καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα οὐ τaráττει τὸν ἀποθνήσκειν μελετῶντα.

{c.f. U205}

The hope of lacking nothing must be with the philosopher throughout his life. Things which are easy to get safeguard this hope sufficiently; expensive things make it a vain hope. That is why most people, even though they have many possessions, make endless efforts because they think they will lack enough. We are satisfied with available, simple things if we keep in mind that all the wealth in the world is not strong enough to give the soul a worthy release from disturbance, but the trouble of the flesh is removed by very moderate, ordinary things which are very easy to get. And if even things on this level fall short, that does not disturb the person who rehearses death.

[U471]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), Letter to Marcella, 27

σπάνιόν γε εὐρεῖν ἄνθρωπον {πένηταν πρὸς τὸ τῆς φύσεως τέλος καὶ πλούσιον πρὸς τὰς κενὰς δόξας. οὐδεὶς γὰρ τῶν ἀφρόνων οἷς ἔχει ἀρκεῖται, μᾶλλον δὲ οἷς οὐκ ἔχει ὀδυνᾶται. ὥσπερ οὖν οἱ πυρέττοντες διὰ κακοήθειαν τῆς νόσου ἀεὶ διψῶσι καὶ τῶν ἐναντιωτάτων ἐπιθυμοῦσιν, οὕτω καὶ οἱ τὴν ψυχὴν κακῶς ἔχοντες διακειμένην πένονται πάντων ἀεὶ καὶ εἰς πολυτρόπους ἐπιθυμίας ὑπὸ λαιμαργίας ἐμπίπτουσιν.

It is rare to find a man who is ‹poor› with regard to the goal set by nature and rich with regard to groundless opinions. For no imprudent man is satisfied by what he has, but rather is distressed by what he does not have. So just as people with a fever are always thirsty and desire the most unsuitable things because of the malignancy of their ‹diseases›, so too those whose souls are in a bad condition always feel that they are totally impoverished and enmeshed in all sorts of desire as a result of their gluttony.

Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 ^{CE}), by way of Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.12

τᾶς φύσιος δ’ ὁ πλοῦτος ὄρον τινὰ βαιὸν ἐπίσχει,

αἱ δὲ κεναὶ κρίσεις τὰν ἀπέραντον ὁδόν

Oh men, you labor for pernicious ends;
And out of eager avarice, begin
Quarrels and wars. And yet the wealth of nature
Fixes a narrow limit for desires,
Though empty judgment is insatiable.
This lesson the wise child of Neocles
Had learnt by ear, instructed by the Muses,
Or at the sacred shrine of Delphi's God.

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), On the Desire for Wealth, 524F

**τοῖς γε νοῦν ἔχουσιν ὁ τῆς φύσεως πλοῦτος ὥρισται καὶ τὸ τέρμα πάρεστι τῆς
χρείας καθά περ κέντρῳ καὶ διαστήματι περιγραφόμενον.**

... since for men of sense natural wealth does have a limit and boundary,
which is drawn around it by utility as by a compass.

Byzantine Gnomologion [Wachsmuth, Studien zu den griechischen Florilgien, p. 197, n 189]

**Ὅ κατὰ φύσιν πλοῦτος ἄρτῳ καὶ ὕδατι καὶ τῇ τυχούσῃ τοῦ σώματος σκέπη
συμπεπλήρωται ὁ δὲ περιττὸς κατὰ ψυχὴν ἀπέραντον ἔχει καὶ τὴν τῆς
ἐπιθυμίας βάσανον**

Wealth in accordance with nature reaches fulfillment with bread, water and ordinary shelter for the body; excess wealth, in accordance with the cravings of the soul, brings this also: afflictions of desire without end.

Juvenal (fl.c. 95 ^{CE}), Satires, 14.316

...Mensūra tamen quae

...Yet the measure which

sufficiat cēnsus, sī quis mē cōnsulat, ēdam:

may suffice for wealth, if anyone should ask me, I will say:

In quantum sitis atque famēs et frīgora poscunt,

As much as thirst and hunger and cold demand,

Quantum, Epicure, tibī parvīs suffēcit in hortīs,

As much as, Epicurus, sufficed for you in your small gardens,

Quantum Socraticī cēpērunt ante penātēs.

As much as the Socratic men took before their household gods.

Numquam aliud nātūra, aliud sapientia dīcit.

Never does nature say one thing, and wisdom another.

Yet if any should ask of me what measure of fortune is enough, I will tell him:
as much as thirst, cold and hunger demand; as much as sufficed you,
Epicurus, in your little garden; as much as in earlier days was to be found in
the house of Socrates.

Juvenal (fl.c. 95 ^{CE}), Satires, 13.122

Epicurum... ..exiguī laetum plantāribus hortī

Epicurus... ..happy with the small plantings of his little garden.

Horace (fl.c. 25 ^{BCE}), Epistles, 1.12.3

Tolle querellās:

Remove complaints;

Pauper enim nōn est, cui rērum suppetit ūsus.

For he is not poor, to whom the use of things is sufficient.

Sī ventrī bene, sī laterī est pedibusque tuīs,

If it is well with your stomach, your side, and your feet,

Nihil dīvitiae poterunt rēgālēs addere māius.

Royal riches can add nothing greater.

Cease your complaints: no one ever is poor if his needs are supplied, and
Once all is well with your stomach, your chest, and your feet, there is
nothing More that the treasure of kings could possibly add to your riches.

cf. Manilius IV 6—11

text not given

[U472]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.32.89

Hic uērō ipse quam parvō est contentus.

This man himself — how little he is content with.

Nēmō dē tenuī victū plūra dīxit.

No one has spoken more about a modest way of living.

Ētenim quae rēs pecūniae cupiditātem adferunt, ut amōrī, ut ambitīōnī, ut cotīdiānīs sumptibus cōpiae suppetant,

For those things which bring desire for money — that means may suffice for love, for ambition, for daily expenses —

Cum prōcul ab hīs omnibus rēbus absit,

Since he is far removed from all these things,

Cūr pecūniam magnō opere dēsīderet uel potius cūr cūret omnīnō?

Why should he greatly desire money — or rather, why should he care about it at all?

Nay, with how little is Epicurus himself contented! No one has said more about plain living. For take the things which make men desire money to provide the means for love, for ambition, for their daily expenditure — as he is far removed from all such things, why should he feel much need of money or rather why should he trouble about it at all?

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.31.89

an ad cetera quae mala putantur, non et Epicurus et reliqui philosophi satis parati uidentur? quis non paupertatem extimescit? neque tamen quisquam philosophorum

Do you think that Epicurus and the rest of the philosophers are not adequately prepared to meet all others things that are considered evil? What man is not sorely afraid of poverty? And yet not a single philosopher is so.

Horace (fl.c. 25 ^{BCE}), Epistles, 1.10.32

Fuge magna. Licet sub paupere tectō

Flee great things. It is permitted under a poor roof

Rēgēs et rēgum vītā praecurrere amīcōs.

to surpass kings and the friends of kings in life.

Flee grand things. A life can be lived in a poor man's Cottage surpassing the lives of a king or a king's friends

[U473]

Aelian (Claudius Aelianus, fl. 215 ^{CE}), Various Histories, 4.13

[Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 ^{CE}), Anthology, 17.30]

Ἐπίκουρος ὁ Γαργήτιος ἐκεκράγει λέγων· ὧ ὀλίγον οὐχ ἱκανόν, ἀλλὰ τούτω γε οὐδὲν ἱκανόν.

Epicurus, of the burgh of Gargettus, had shouted, saying: "Nothing satisfies the man who is not satisfied with a little."

Horace (fl.c. 25 ^{BCE}), Epistles, 1.2.46

Quod satis est cui contingit, nihil amplius optet.

To whom enough has fallen, let him wish for nothing more.

He who happens to have enough does not desire anything else.

[U474]

Et ipse Stilpōnis obiurgātor Epicūrus similem illī vocem ēmisit, quam tū bonī consule, etiamsī hunc diem iam expunxī:

And Epicurus himself, rebuker of Stilpo, uttered a similar saying, which you should take in good part, even if I have already struck out this day:

“Sī cui,” inquit, “sua nōn videntur amplissima, licet tōtius mundi dominus sit, tamen miser est.”

He said, “If a man’s own things do not seem to him most ample, even though he be master of the whole world, still he is wretched.”

Vel sī hōc modō tibi melius enuntiārī vidētur (id enim agendum est ut nōn verbīs serviāmus sed sensibus);

Or, if it seems to you better expressed in this way (for our task is not to serve words but meanings);

Miser est quī sē nōn beatissimum iūdicat, licet imperet mundō.

He is wretched who does not judge himself most happy, though he rule the world.

Epicurus himself, the reviler of Stilpo, used similar language. Put it down to my credit, though I have already wiped out my debt for the present day. He says "Whoever does not regard what he has as most ample wealth, is unhappy, even if he was master of the entire world." Or, if the following seems better-worded to you (for we must try to render meaning and not the mere words): "A man may rule the world and still be unhappy, if he does not feel that he is supremely happy."

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Odes, 1.10.44

Laetus sorte tua uiues sapienter.

Content with your lot, you will live wisely.

[U475]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 2.5

Hodiernum hoc est quod apud Epicūrum nanctus sum:

This is today’s saying, which I came upon in Epicurus:

Soleō enim et in aliēna castra trānsīre nōn tamquam trānsfuga sed tamquam explōrātor.

For I am accustomed to cross even into another’s camp, not as a deserter but as a scout.

“Honestā,” inquit, “rēs laeta paupertās.”

He said, “Cheerful poverty is an honorable thing.”

Illa vērō nōn est paupertās, sī laeta est.

But indeed, that is not poverty, if it is cheerful.

Nōn quī parum habet sed quī plūs cupit pauper est.

Not he who has little, but he who desires more, is poor.

My thought for today is something which I found in Epicurus (yes, I actually make a practice of going over to the enemy's camp – by way of reconnaissance, not as a deserter!). "A cheerful poverty" he says, "is an honorable state." But if it is cheerful it is not poverty at all. It is not the man who has too little who is poor, but the one who hankers after more.

[U476]

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies, 6.2

**ἀλλ’ Εὐριπίδου γράφοντος "ἐπεὶ τὰ γ’ ἄρκοῦνθ’ ἱκανὰ τοῖς γε σώφροσιν"
Ἐπίκουρος ἀντικρὺς φησι "Πλουσιώτατον αὐτάρκεια πάντων."**

Further, as Euripides wrote: "For the temperate, enough is sufficient" {Fenicie, 554}, Epicurus expressly says, "Sufficiency is the greatest riches of all." {cf. U202}

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 28

διό φασιν οἱ φιλόσοφοι οὐδὲν οὕτως ἀναγκαῖον ὥς τὸ γινώσκειν καλῶς τὸ μὴ ἀναγκαῖον, πλουσιωτάτην δὲ εἶναι πάντων τὴν αὐτάρκειαν καὶ σεμνὸν τὸ μηδενὸς δεῖσθαι λαμβάνονται.

Self-sufficiency is the greatest of all wealth.

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), On the Utility of Faith, 4, 10

Sī quis Epicūrum, lectō eius in librīs aliquō locō ubi continentiam laudat, in virtūte illum summum bonum posuisse asseveret et ideō nōn esse culpandum...

If anyone, having read in some passage of his books where Epicurus praises self-control, were to assert that he placed the highest good in virtue, and therefore is not to be blamed...

[U477]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 4.10

Sed ut finem epistulae inponam, accipe quod mihi hodierno die placuit.

But to put an end to the letter, take what has pleased me today.

et hoc quoque ex alienīs hortulīs sūmptum est:

Even this too is taken from another’s little garden (i.e., from Epicurus).

Magnae dīvitiae sunt lege nātūrae composita paupertās.

Great wealth is poverty arranged according to nature’s law.

Lēx autem illa nātūrae scīs quōs nōbīs terminōs statuāt?

And do you know what limits that law of nature sets for us?

Nōn ēsūrīre, nōn sitīre, nōn algēre.

Not to be hungry, not to be thirsty, not to be cold.

Parābile est quod nātūra dēsīderat et adpositum.

What nature desires is easy to obtain and close at hand.

Ad superuācua sūdātur.

For superfluous things, we toil and sweat.

but I must end my letter. Let me share with you the saying which pleased me today. It too is culled from another man's Garden: "Poverty, brought into conformity with the law of nature, is great wealth." Do you know what limits that law of nature ordains for us? Merely to avert hunger, thirst, and cold. ... Nature's needs are easily provided and ready at hand. It is the superfluous things for which men sweat...

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 27.9

Sed accipe iam quod debeō et valē:

But now take what I owe you—and farewell:

“Dīvitiae sunt ad lēgem nātūrae composita paupertās.”

“Wealth is poverty arranged according to the law of nature.”

Hoc saepe dicit Epicūrus aliter atque aliter.

Epicurus often says this, in one form or another.

Sed numquam nimis dicitur quod numquam satis discitur.

But what is never fully learned is never too often said.

But let me pay off my debt and say farewell: "Real wealth is poverty adjusted to the law of Nature." Epicurus has this saying in various ways and contexts; but it can never be repeated too often, since it can never be learned too well.

Lucretius (fl.c. 59 BCE), On the Nature of Things, 5.1117

Quod sī quis vērā vītam ratiōne gubernet,

But if anyone should guide his life by true reason,

Dīvitiae grandēs hominī sunt vīvere parcē

Great wealth for a person is to live sparingly

Aequō animō, neque enim est umquam penūria parvī.

With a calm mind—for there is never a lack of little.

But if anyone were to conduct his life by reason, he would find great riches in living a peaceful life and being contented, one is never short of a little.

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Odes, 2.16.13

Vīvitur parvō bene.

One lives well with little.

"On little one lives well."

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Epistles, 1.10.39

Quī pauperiem veritus potiōre metallīs

He who, fearing poverty, prefers wealth to freedom

Lībertāte caret, dominum vehet improbūs atque

Lacks liberty, and as a base man will carry his master

Serviēt aeternum, quia parvō nesciet ūtī.

And be a slave forever, because he will not know how to use little.

Fearful of poverty rather than fearful of riches, he must forfeit
Liberty, and in his greed must forever be serving a master.

Horace (fl.c. 25 ^{BCE}), Satires, 2.2.1

Quae virtūs et quanta, bonī, sit vīvere parvō

What virtue, and how great, my friends, it is to live with little—

nec meus hic sermō est, sed quae praecepit Ofellus

and this is not my own speech, but that which Ofellus taught,

rūsticus, abnormis sapiēns crassāque Minervā—,

a countryman, an irregular sage with coarse wisdom—

discite nōn inter lancēs mensāsque nitentīs,

learn it not among the glittering dishes and tables,

cum stupet īnsānīs aciēs fulgoribus et cum

when the gaze is dazed by mad splendors, and when

adclinis falsīs animus meliora recūsāt,

the mind, leaning toward false things, rejects what is better—

verum hīc inpransī mecum disquīrite. cur hoc?

but here, unfed, inquire with me. Why is this so?

"Values of simple and frugal existence, good friends, is my subject."

[U478]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), Letter to Marcella, 28

**φοβούμενος ὁ πολὺς τὸ λιτὸν τῆς διαίτης διὰ τὸν φόβον ἐπὶ πράξεις πορεύεται
τὰς μάλιστ’ ἂν τοῦτον παρασκευαζούσας.**

Most men fear frugality in their lifestyle and through their fear are led to
actions most likely to produce fear.

[U479]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), Letter to Marcella, 28

**πολλοὶ τοῦ πλούτου τυχόντες οὗ τιν’ ἀπαλλαγὴν τῶν κακῶν εὖρον ἀλλὰ
μεταβολὴν μειζόνων.**

Many men when they have acquired riches have not found the escape from
their problems but have only exchanged them for greater problems.

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 17.11

Tibi valē dīcere nōn licet grātīs. Quid istic?

Ab Epicūrō mūtuum sūmam:

I'll borrow something from Epicurus:

“Multīs parāsse dīvitias nōn finis miseriārum fuit, sed mūtātiō.”

“For many, acquiring riches has not been the end of their miseries, but a change of them.”

I cannot say farewell without paying a price. But what of it? I shall borrow from Epicurus: "The acquisition of riches has been for many, not an end, but a change, of troubles."

[U480]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 29

ἐξ ἐργασίας θηριώδους οὐσίας μὲν πλήθος σωρεύεται, βίος δὲ ταλαίπωρος συνίσταται.

By means of occupations worthy of a beast, abundance of riches is heaped up, but a miserable life results.

[U481]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 28

διὸ καὶ μελετᾶν (οἱ φιλόσοφοι) παρακελεύονται οὐχ ὅπως τι ποριστέον ἀναγκαῖον, ἀλλ’ ὅπως μᾶλλον θαρρήσομεν μὴ πορισθέντος

"Therefore they {the philosophers} exhort us to practice not how we must provide for some necessity, but how we will remain secure when it is not provided."

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.50

δεῖ δέ, φασὶν (οἱ Ἐπικούρειοι), οὐχ ἐτοιμασάμενον τὰ ἀναγκαῖα προσθήκη τῇ φιλοσοφίᾳ χρῆσθαι, ἀλλὰ παρασκευασάμενον τὸ θαρρεῖν τῇ ψυχῇ γνησίως, οὕτως ἀντέχεσθαι τῶν καθ’ ἡμέραν. κακῷ γὰρ φροντιστῇ τὰ καθ’ ἑαυτοὺς ἐπιτρέψομεν, ἄνευ φιλοσοφίας τὸ ἀναγκαῖον συμμετρούμενοι τῆς φύσεως καὶ παρασκευάζοντες

What one must do, the Epicureans say, is not to gather together the necessities of life and add philosophy as an accessory, but to provide for genuine assurance of soul and then deal with daily needs. We entrust our concerns to a bad manager if we assess and provide what nature needs without the help of philosophy.

[U482]

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 3.7.15

ὁ πλοῦτος δ’ ἀγαθόν, καὶ τὸ ποιητικιευτατόν γε τῶν ἡδονῶν. διὰ τί μὴ περιποιήσῃ αὐτόν;

{deriding Epicureanism} Now wealth is a good, and when it comes to pleasures is, so to speak, the thing must productive of them. Why should you not acquire it?

[U483]

Hermias (ob. 450 CE), Commentary on Plato's Phaedrus, p. 76

οἱ μὲν γὰρ ὑπέλαβον ἀπλῶς φαῦλον τὸ ἐρᾶν, ὡς Ἐπίκουρος ὀρισάμενος αὐτόν (τὸν ἔρωτα) σύντονον ὄρεξιν ἀφροδισίων μετὰ οἴστρου καὶ ἀδημονίας.

Some, in fact, consider love to be absolutely bad, defining it as an intense craving for carnal pleasure, united with frenzy and disquietude.

Alexander of Aphrodisia (fl. 200 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's "Topics," p. 75

τὸ ὅτι οὐδεὶς ἔρως ἀστεῖος πρόβλημα καθόλου ὄν ἀποφατικὸν ἀνασκευάσομεν, ὅτι μὴ πᾶς ἔρως φαῦλος, διελόντες τὸν ἔρωτα εἰς τε σύντονον ὄρεξιν ἀφροδισίων, ὡς Ἐπίκουρος λέγει, ὃν οὐχ οἷόν τε ἀστεῖον εἶναι, καὶ εἰς ἐπιβολὴν φιλοποιίας διὰ κάλλος ἐμφαινόμενον, ὡς οἱ ἀπὸ τῆς στοᾶς κτλ.

Scholiast on Dionysius Thrax (fl. 130 BCE), The Art of Grammar, [p. 667, 13 Bekk.]

τὸν ἔρωτα οἱ μὲν Ἐπικούρειοί φασιν εἶναι σύντονον ἀφροδισίων ὄρεξιν

The Epicureans define love as an intense craving for carnal pleasures.

[U484]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 18.14

Sed iam incipiāmus epistulam complicāre.

But now let us begin to fold up the letter.

Prius, inquis, redde quod dēbēs.

“First,” you say, “pay what you owe.”

Dēlegābō tē ad Epicūrum.

I’ll refer you to Epicurus.

Ab illō fiet numerātiō:

The payment shall be made by him:

“Immodica īra gignit insāniam.”

“Excessive anger begets madness.”

Hoc quam vērum sit, necesse est sciās, cum habueris et servum et inimīcum.

How true this is—you must know, when you have had both a slave and an enemy.

It's time I started wrapping up this letter. "Not till you've settled your account" you say. Well, I'll refer you to Epicurus for payment: "Anger carried to excess begets madness." How true this is you're bound to know, since you have had not only slaves, but also enemies.

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Epistles, 1.2.62

Īra furor brevis est: animum rege.

Anger is a brief madness; rule your mind.

Rage is but madness in shorter duration; your temper must either Bend to your will or bend you, so control it with chain or with bridle.

Apollonius, by way of Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology, 20.49

τῆς ὀξύθυμίας τὸ ἄνθος μανία.

8. Rational Living

[U485]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), Letter to Marcella, 29

ἢ γὰρ διὰ φόβον τις κακοδαιμονεῖ ἢ δι' ἀόριστον καὶ κενὴν ἐπιθυμίαν· ἃ τις χαλινῶν δύναται τὸν μακάριον ἑαυτῷ περιποιῆσαι λογισμόν.

Unhappiness comes either through fear or through vain and unbridled desire: but if a man curbs these, he can win for himself the blessedness of wisdom.

[U486]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), Letter to Marcella, 31

οὐκ ἀπορεῖν τούτων πόνος ἐστίν, ἀλλὰ φέρειν μᾶλλον τὸν ἀνόνητον ἐκ τῶν κενῶν δοξῶν πόνον.

"Pain does not consist in lacking the goods of the masses, but rather in enduring the unprofitable suffering that comes from empty false opinions."

[U487]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 12.10

Quid enim hāc voce praeclārius, quam illī trādō ad tē perferendam?

For what saying is more splendid than this, which I hand over to you to be delivered?

Malum est in necessitāte vīvere, sed in necessitāte vīvere necessitās nūlla est.

It is an evil thing to live under necessity, but there is no necessity to live under necessity.

Quidnī nūlla sit? Patent undique ad libertātem viae multae, brevēs, facilēs.

Why should there not be none? Everywhere roads lie open to freedom — many, short, and easy.

Epicurus, inquis, dixit; quid tibi cum aliēnō?

You say, "Epicurus said that; what have you to do with another man's words?"

Quod vērū est, meum est.

What is true is mine.

Perseverābō Epicurum tibi ingerere, ut istī quī in verba iurant nec quid dicātur aestimant sed ā quō, sciant quae optima sunt esse commūnia.

I will keep pressing Epicurus upon you, so that those men who swear by words, valuing not what is said but who says it, may know that the best things are common to all.

What could be more splendid than the following saying which I'm entrusting to this letter of mine for delivery to you: "To live under constraint is a misfortune, but there is no constraint to live under constraint." Of course not, when on every side there are plenty of short and easy roads to freedom there for the taking... You protest: "It was Epicurus who said that! What business have you got with someone else's property?" Whatever is true is my property. And I shall persist in inflicting Epicurus on you, in order to bring it home to people who take an oath of allegiance to someone and never afterward consider what is being said but only who said it, that the things of greatest merit are common property.

Maximus of Tyre (fl.c. 190 BCE), Dissertations, 3.10

τί δὲ ἀνάγκης ἐπιπονώτερον;

What, then, is more painful than necessity?

[U488]

Gnomolgia from the Parisinus codex, 1168, f. 115 (Maxims of Epicurus)

ἡ ταπεινὴ ψυχὴ τοῖς μὲν εὐημερήμασιν ἐχαυνώθη, ταῖς δὲ συμφοραῖς καθηρέθη

The crude soul is puffed up by prosperity and cast down by misfortune.

[U489]

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 30

καὶ τὰ παρὰ τῆς τύχης μικρότερα (ἢ φύσις) διδάσκει γομίζειν, καὶ εὐτυχοῦντας μὲν γινώσκειν ἀτυχεῖν, δυστυχοῦντας δὲ μὴ παρὰ μέγα τίθεσθαι ὄν τὸ εὐτυχεῖν, καὶ δέχεσθαι μὲν ἀθορύβως τὰ παρὰ τῆς τύχης ἀγαθὰ, παρατετάχθαι δὲ πρὸς τὰ παρ’ αὐτῆς δοκοῦντα εἶναι κακά· καὶ ὥς ἐφήμερον μὲν πᾶν τὸ τῶν πολλῶν ἀγαθὸν ἐστι καὶ κακόν, σοφία δὲ οὐδαμῶς τύχῃ κοινωνεῖ.

"Nature also teaches us to regard the outcomes of fortune of little account and to know how to face misfortune when we are favored by fortune, but not to consider the favors of fortune important when we experience misfortune. And Nature teaches us to accept, unperturbed, the good outcomes of fortune, while standing prepared in the face of the seeming evils which come from fate. For all that the masses regard as good is a fleeting fancy, but wisdom and knowledge have nothing in common with fortune."

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.26.73 [U604]

Huic ergō... obliuiscī licebit suī et tum fortūnam contemnere, cum sit omne et bonum eius et malum in potestāte fortūnae.

To such a man it will be permitted to forget himself and then to despise fortune, since both all her good and her evil are in fortune’s power.

Shall we allow this man ... to be forgetful of himself and be disdainful of fortune at the moment when all that he holds good and evil is at fortune's disposal?

[U490]

Plutarch, On Peace of Mind, 474C

οὐ γὰρ μόνον "ὁ τῆς αὖριον ἥκιστα δεόμενος," ὥς φησιν Ἐπίκουρος, "ἥδιστα πρόσσεισι πρὸς τὴν αὖριον," ἀλλὰ καὶ πλοῦτος εὐφραίνει καὶ δόξα καὶ δύναμις καὶ ἀρχὴ καὶ μάλιστα τοὺς ἥκιστα τάναντία ταρβοῦντας.

"He who has least need of tomorrow" as Epicurus says, "most gladly rises to greet tomorrow."

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Epistles, 1.4.13

Omnem crēde diem tibi dīlūxisse suprēmum:

Believe that every day has dawned for you as your last;

grāta superueniēt quae nōn spērābitur hōra.

a welcome hour will come that is not hoped for.

Believe that each day which breaks is your last,
Then you will find your delight in another one's rising unhoped for.

[U491]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 15.10

Ecce īnsigne praeceptum: “stulta uīta ingrāta est et trepida: tōta in futūrum fertur.”

Behold a notable precept: “A foolish life is ungrateful and anxious; it is carried wholly toward the future.”

Quis hoc, inquis, dicit? Īdem quī suprā.

Who says this, you ask? The same man as above.

... a striking maxim that comes from Greece – here it is: "The life of folly is empty of gratitude and full of anxiety – it is focused wholly on the future." "Who said that?" you ask. The same man as before. {Epicurus}

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Epistles, 1.18.110

Neu fluitem dubiae spe pendulus horae.

Let me not drift, hanging on the hope of an uncertain hour.

"I do not dangle in air like a leaf with my hopes all uncertain."

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Odes, 2.16.25

Aetus in praesēns animus quod ultra est

Let the mind, eager for the present, hate to care for what lies beyond,

ōderit cūrāre et amara lentō

and let it despise worrying, and the bitter things, with calmness

temperet rīsū.

moderate with laughter

If the present moment contents you, never
Mind the future, temper unpleasant things with
Quiet smiles...

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Odes, 1.11.8

Tū nē quaesieris, scīre nefās, quem mihī, quem tibi

Do not ask — it is forbidden to know — what end the gods have given to me and to you,

finem dī dederint, Leuconoë, nec Babylonios

Leuconoë, nor test the Babylonian (astrologers') numbers,

temptāris numerōs. ut melius, quidquid erit, patī.

nor consult their calculations — how much better to endure whatever shall be.

seu plūris hiemēs seu tribuit Iuppiter ultimam,

Whether Jupiter grants more winters, or the last,

quae nunc oppositīs dēbilitat pumicibus mare

which now weakens the Tyrrhenian Sea against the opposing cliffs,

Tyrrhēnum, sapiās, vīna liquēs et spatiō brevī

be wise, strain the wine, and since our span is short,

spem longam reseces. dum loquimur, fūgerit invida

cut back long hope. while we are speaking, envious time will have fled—

aetās: carpe diem, quam minimum crēdula posterō.

pluck the day that trusts as little as possible in tomorrow.

Ask not ('tis forbidden knowledge), what our destined term of years,
Mine and yours; nor scan the tables of your Babylonish seers.
Better far to bear the future, my Leuconoe, like the past,
Whether Jove has many winters yet to give, or this our last;
This, that makes the Tyrrhene billows spend their strength against the shore.
Strain your wine and prove your wisdom; life is short; should hope be more?
In the moment of our talking, envious time has ebb'd away.
Seize the present; trust tomorrow e'en as little as you may.

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Odes, 2.16.17

quid breuī fortēs iaculāmur aeū multa?

Why do we, brave men, hurl many things in a brief lifetime?

Why, in life's brief span,
Do we bravely fight for man things?

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Odes, 1.4.15

uītae summa breuīs spem nōs vetat incohāre longam

The short span of life forbids us to begin long hope.

Briefness of lifespan forbids us
To open a long-range hope's investment.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.38

[carpendī Epicūrī causā] “voluptātibus igitur quōquō modō possumus serviāmus. brevi enim tempore nullī erimus omnīnō. ergō nullum diem, nullum dēnique temporis pūctum fluere nōbīs sine voluptāte patiāmur, nē quia ipsī quandōque peritūrī sumus, id ipsum quod vīvimus pereat. hoc ille etiamsī nōn dīcit verbō, rē tamen ipsā docet.”

[for the sake of attacking Epicurus] “let us, then, serve pleasures however we can. for in a short time we shall not exist at all. therefore let us allow no day, no, not even a moment of time, to pass for us without pleasure, lest because we ourselves are someday going to perish, that very thing which we live should perish. this, although he does not say it in word, he teaches in the thing itself.”

[Epicurus says, in effect:] "Let us serve pleasure, then, in whatever way we can, for in a short time we will be nothing whatsoever. Let us suffer no day, therefore, no point of time to flow by for us without pleasure, lest, since we ourselves are at sometime to perish, the very fact that we live may perish." Although he does not say this in so many words, however, he teaches this is fact.

[U492]

...[τῶν ἀνθρώ]πων θνησκόντων οὐδεὶς ἐστίν, ὃς [δ]ύνατα[ι] φυγεῖν θάνατον καὶ μὴν ἐκάστου καθά πέρ φησιν Ἐπίκουρος ἀπὸ τῆς πρώτης γενέσεως πρὸς τινα χρόνον συστάντος ὥστε πλή[ρ]ονα μ[ὲν μὴ δύν]ασθαι βιώσαι ἐλάττον[α δὲ δύν]ασθαι, τὴν <ἀν>άγκην τὴν κατὰ τὸν χρόνον τοῦτον οὐκ ἔ[στιν] ἐποχῇ μεσολαβ[εῖ]ν, [ἀλλ]᾽...

...τῶν ἀνθρώπων θνησκόντων οὐδεὶς ἐστίν, ὃς δύναται φυγεῖν θάνατον καὶ μὴν ἐκάστου καθά πέρ φησιν Ἐπίκουρος ἀπὸ τῆς πρώτης γενέσεως πρὸς τινα χρόνον συστάντος ὥστε πλήρωνα μὲν μὴ δύνασθαι βιώσαι ἐλάττονα δὲ δύνασθαι, τὴν <ἀν>άγκην τὴν κατὰ τὸν χρόνον τοῦτον οὐκ ἔστιν ἐποχῇ μεσολαβεῖν, ἀλλὰ...

Among mortal men, there is no one who can escape death. And indeed, seeing that everyone, as Epicurus says, from the very moment of birth remain for a certain time...

[U493]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 23.9

possum enim tibi vocem Epicurī tuī reddere et hanc epistulam liberāre:

for I can indeed give you back your Epicurus's saying and free this letter.

molestum est semper vītam incohāre.

it is troublesome always to be beginning life.

aut sī hōc modō magis sēnsus potest exprīmī:

or if in this way the meaning can be expressed better:

male vīuunt quī semper vīuere incipiunt.

they live badly who are always beginning to live.

Quārē? inquis, dēsīderat enim explānātiōnem ista vōx.

why? you ask — for this saying needs an explanation.

quia semper illīs imperfecta vīta est.

because for them life is always unfinished.

Now is the time for me to pay my debt. I can give you a saying of your friend Epicurus and thus clear this letter of its obligation: "It is bothersome always to be beginning life." Or another, which will perhaps express the meaning better: "They live unwell — those who are always beginning to live." You are right in asking why — the saying certainly stands in need of commentary. It is because the life of such persons is always incomplete.

[U494]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 13.16

sed iam finem epistulae faciam, sī illī signum suum impresserō, id est aliquam magnificam vocem preferendam ad tē mandāverō.

but now I will make an end of the letter, if I have set his seal upon it — that is, if I shall have entrusted to you some noble saying to be delivered.

"inter cētera mala hoc quoque habet stultitia: semper incipit vīvere."

"among its other evils, folly has this also — it is always beginning to live."

cōnsīdera quid vōx ista significet... et intellegēs quam foeda sit hominum levitās cotīdiē novā vītae fundamenta ponentium, novās spēs etiam in exitu incohantium.

consider what that saying means... and you will understand how shameful is the fickleness of men who every day lay new foundations for life and begin new hopes even at the point of death.

non adicerem auctōrem vocī, nisi esset secrētiōr nec inter volgāta Epicurī dicta, quae mihi et laudāre et adoptāre permīsi.

I would not have added the author of the saying, were it not rather little known and not among Epicurus’s common sayings — which I have allowed myself both to praise and to adopt.

But now, to close my letter, Have only to stamp the usual seal upon it, in other words, to commit thereto some noble message to be delivered to you: "The fool, with all his other faults, has this also: he is always getting ready to live." Consider what this maxim means ... and you will understand how revolting is the fickleness of men who lay down every day new foundations of life, and begin to build up fresh hopes even at the brink of the grave. ... I should not name the author of this motto, except that it is somewhat unknown and is not one of those popular sayings of Epicurus which I have myself to praise and to appropriate.

[U495]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 22.13

occurrit mihi ecce (vōx) nescio utrum vēriōr an ēloquentior.

there occurs to me, look, a saying — I know not whether truer or more eloquent.

cuius? inquis. Epicurī, adhuc enim aliēnīs sarcinās adōrnō:

whose, you ask? of Epicurus — for as yet I am packing up others’ baggage.

nēmō nōn ita exit ē vītā, tamquam modo intrāverit.

everyone leaves life as though he had only just entered it.

quemcumque vīs occupā, adulēscētem senem medium:

take whom you will — a youth, an old man, one of middle age —

inveniēs aequē timidum mortis, aequē inscium vītae.

you will find him equally afraid of death and equally ignorant of life.

nēmō quicquam habet factī, in futūrum enim nostra distulimus.

no one has anything accomplished, for we have put off our own affairs to the future.

nihil mē magis in istā vōce dēlectat quam quod exprobrātur senibus infantia:

nothing in that saying delights me more than that it reproaches old men with childishness:

“nēmō,” inquit, “aliter quam quī modo nātus est, exit ē vītā.”

“no one,” he says, “leaves life in any other way than one just born.”

falsum est, peiōrēs morimur quam nāscimur.

it is false — we die worse than we are born.

... and lo, here is [a maxim] that occurs to my mind; I do not know whether its truth or its nobility of utterance is the greater. "Spoken by whom?" you ask. By Epicurus; for I am still appropriating other men's belongings. The words are: "Everyone goes out of life just as if he had but lately entered it." Take anyone off his guard, young, old, or middle-aged; you will find that all are equally afraid of death, and equally ignorant of life. No one has anything finished, because we have kept putting off into the future all our undertakings. No thought in the quotation given above pleases me more than that it taunts old men with being infants. "No one" he says, "leaves this world in a different manner from one who has just been born." That is not true; for we are worse when we die than when we were born; but this our fault, and not that of Nature.

Pseudo-Plato (fort. 100 BCE), Axiochus, 365D

συνάπτεις γάρ, ὦ Ἀξίοχε... ...ἀνεπιλογίστως τῇ ἀναισθησίᾳ αἰσθῆσιν καὶ σεαυτῷ ὑπεναντία καὶ ποιεῖς καὶ λέγεις, οὐκ ἐπιλογιζόμενος ὅτι ἅμα μὲν ὀδύρει τὴν ἀναισθησίαν, ἅμα δὲ ἀλγεῖς ἐπὶ σήψεσι καὶ στερήσει τῶν ἡδέων ὥσπερ εἰς ἕτερον ζῆν ἀποθανούμενος, ἀλλ' οὐκ εἰς παντελῇ μεταβαλὼν ἀναισθησίαν καὶ τὴν αὐτὴν τῇ πρὸ τῆς γενέσεως. ὥς οὖν ἐπὶ τῆς Δράκοντος ἢ Κλεισθένους πολιτείας οὐδὲν περὶ σὲ κακὸν ἦν (ἀρχὴν γὰρ οὐκ ἦς, περὶ ὃν ἂν ἦν), οὕτως οὐδὲ μετὰ τὴν τελευτὴν γενήσεται" σὺ γὰρ οὐκ ἔσει, περὶ ὃν ἔσται.

Indeed Axiochus, you confound lack of sensation with sensations in an irrational way. You invent and say incoherent things, without thinking that, in the meanwhile, you cause yourself suffering by the lack of sensibility, and you cause yourself sorrow by the despoilment and privation of pleasures, as if you were dying to live another life, and would not change your condition of total insensibility – the same as it was before your birth. How, then, since the time of the rule of Dracon and Cleisthenes have you not suffered a single evil?

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), A Letter to Apollonius, 109E

εἰς τὴν αὐτὴν οὖν τάξιν οἱ τελευτήσαντες καθίστανται τῇ πρὸ τῆς γενέσεως. ὥσπερ οὖν οὐδὲν ἦν ἡμῖν πρὸ τῆς γενέσεως οὔτ' ἀγαθὸν οὔτε κακόν, οὕτως οὐδὲ μετὰ τὴν τελευτὴν. καὶ καθά περ τὰ πρὸ ἡμῶν οὐδὲν ἦν πρὸς ἡμᾶς, οὕτως οὐδὲ τὰ μεθ' ἡμᾶς οὐδὲν ἔσται πρὸς ἡμᾶς... ...ἢ γὰρ αὐτὴ κατάστασις ἐστὶ τῇ πρὸ τῆς γενέσεως ἢ μετὰ τὴν τελευτὴν.

Those who have died return to the same state in which they were before birth; therefore, as nothing was either good or evil for us before birth, even so will it be with us after death. And just as all events before our lifetime were nothing to us, even so will all events subsequent to our lifetime be nothing to us. ... For the condition after the end of life is the same as that before birth.

[U496]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 24.22

obiurgat Epicurus nōn minus eōs quī mortem concupiscunt, quam eōs quī timent,

Epicurus rebukes no less those who long for death than those who fear it,

et ait: rīdiculum est currere ad mortem taediō vītae,

and says: it is ridiculous to run toward death out of weariness with life,

cum genere vītae, ut currendum ad mortem esset, effēceris.

when by your manner of life you have made it necessary that you should run toward death.

Epicurus criticizes those who crave, as much as those who shrink from death: "It is absurd" he says, "to run towards death because you are tired of life, when it is your manner of life that has made you run towards death."

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 24.23

hīs adiiciās et illud eiusdem notae licet:

you may also add this of the same kind,

tantam hominum imprudentiam esse, immō dēmentiam, ut quidam timōre mortis cogantur ad mortem.

"that there is such folly in men — nay, madness — that some are driven to death by fear of death.

To these thoughts {= U496 & U498} you may add a third, of the same stamp: "Men are so thoughtless, nay, so mad, that some, through fear of death, force themselves to die."

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), A Letter to Apollonius, 110A

τῷ γὰρ ὄντι πολλοὶ διὰ τὴν οὐδένειαν καὶ τὴν πρὸς τὸν θάνατον διαβολὴν ἀποθνήσκουσιν, ἵνα μὴ ἀποθάνωσι.

As a matter of fact, many people, because of their utter fatuity and their false opinion regarding death, die in their effort to keep from dying.

[U498]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 24.23

Epicurus... item aliō locō dicit:

Epicurus... likewise in another place he says:

“quid tam rīdiculum quam adpetere mortem, cum vītā inquietam tibi fēceris metū mortis?”

“What is more ridiculous than to seek death, when you have made your life restless through fear of death?”

Epicurus says ... in another passage: "What is so absurd as to seek death, when it is through fear of death that you have robbed your life of peace?"

[U499]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.40.117

...congerantur in ūnum omnia, ut idem oculīs et auribus captus sit, premātur etiam dol ōribus acerrimīs corporis, quī primum per sē ipsī plērumque cōnfic-iunt hominem.

let all things be heaped together into one, so that the same man be deprived of eyes and ears, and be also pressed by the sharpest pains of the body, which, by themselves alone, for the most part destroy a man.

sin forte longinquitate prōductī vehementius tamen torqueant quam ut causa sit cur ferantur, quid est tandem, dī bonī, quod labōrēmus?

but if by chance, being prolonged through length of time, they still torment more violently than can be borne, what, then, good gods, is the reason we should suffer?

portus enim praestō est, aeternum nihil sentiendī receptāculum.

for the harbor is at hand — the eternal refuge of feeling nothing.

Let everything be piled up on one single man so that he loses together sight and hearing, suffers too the most acute bodily pains – these, in the first place, commonly finish off a man just by themselves. But if, maybe, they are indefinitely prolonged and torture him nevertheless, more violently than he sees reason for enduring, what reason have we, gracious heaven, for continuing to suffer? For there is haven close at hand, since death is at the same time an eternal refuge where nothing is felt.

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.41.118

mihi quidem in uītā seruandā uidētur illa lex, quae in Graecōrum conuiuīis optinetur: “aut bibat,” inquit, “aut abeat,” et recte.

to me indeed in living life that rule seems to hold which prevails in the banquets of the Greeks: “let him either drink,” it says, “or depart,” and rightly so.

aut enim fruātur aliquis pariter cum aliīs uoluptāte potandī aut, ne sobrius in uiolentiam uinulentōrum incidat, ante discēdat.

for either let one enjoy along with the others the pleasure of drinking, or, lest being sober he fall into the violence of the drunken, let him leave before.

sic iniuriās fortūnae, quās ferre nequeās, defugiendō relinquās.

thus the injuries of fortune, which you cannot bear, you should leave behind by fleeing.

haec eadem quae Epicurus totidem uerbis dicit Hieronymus.

these same things, which Epicurus says in just as many words, Hieronymus also says.

For my part, I think that in life we should observe the rule which is followed at Greek banquets – "Let him either drink" it says, "or go!" And rightly, for either he should enjoy the pleasure of tippling along with the others or get away early, so that a sober man may not be a victim to the violence of those who are heated with wine. Thus by running away one can escape the assaults of fortune which one cannot face. This is the same advice as Epicurus gives and Hieronymus repeats it in as many words.

[U500]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 1103E

τὸ πάντοτε τοῦτο δόγμα καὶ θεῖον — ὅτι τοῦ κακῶς πράττειν πέρας ἐστὶν αὐτῇ (τῇ ψυχῇ) τὸ ἀπολέσθαι καὶ φθαρῆναι καὶ μηδὲν εἶναι.

...this most sage and divine doctrine: that the end of the soul's troubles is to be destroyed and perish and be nothing.

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 1103C

οἱ δ’ ἄλλως ἔχοντες τῆς μὲν εὐτυχίας τὸ ἡδιστον κολοῦνται, ταῖς δὲ δυστυχίαις ἀποστροφὴν οὐκ ἀπολείπουσιν, ἀλλ’ εἰς μίαν καταφυγὴν καὶ λιμένα πράττοντες κακῶς τὴν διάλυσιν καὶ τὴν ἀναισθησίαν ἀποβλέπουσιν.

Those who do not experience this {good fortune as divine providence} amputate the greatest pleasure of prosperity, while in misfortune they leave themselves no source of help. They can see but one haven of refuge in adversity: dissolution, and the loss of all sensation.

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 1105A

τὸ γὰρ ἀναισθητεῖν τὸ διαλυθὲν καὶ μηδὲν εἶναι πρὸς ἡμᾶς τὸ ἀναισθητοῦν οὐκ ἀναιρεῖ τὸ τοῦ θαλάτου δέσς, ἀλλ’ ὥσπερ ἀπόδειξιν αὐτοῦ προστίθουσιν (αὐτὸ

γὰρ τοῦτό ἐστιν ὃ δέδοικεν ἡ φύσις ἀλλ' ὑμεῖς μὲν πάντες ὕδωρ καὶ γαῖα γένοισθε)

For the doctrine that goes "what is dissipated has no sensation, and what has no sensation is nothing to us" {Principal Doctrine 2} does not remove the terror of death, but rather confirms it by adding what amounts to a proof. For this is the very thing our nature dreads: "May all of you be turned to earth and water" {Homer, Iliad, IX 408-409} i.e., the dissolution of the soul into what has neither thought nor feeling. Epicurus, by making the dissolution a scattering into emptiness and atoms, does still more to root out our hope of preservation.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1106B

οἷς δὲ ὁ βίος εἰς ἀναισθησίαν περαίνει καὶ διάλυσιν.

To those, on the other hand, who hold that life comes in the end to insentience and dissolution...

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1106C

ὁ Ἐπικούρου λόγος τοῖς μὲν ἀθλίως ζῶσιν οὐκ εὐτυχῇ τελευτὴν ἐπαγγέλλεται τὴν ἀναίρεσιν καὶ διάλυσιν τῆς ψυχῆς τῶν δὲ φρονίμων καὶ σοφῶν καὶ βρυόντων ἀγαθοῖς παντάπασι κολοῦει τὸ εὖθυμον, ἐκ τοῦ ζῆν μακαρίως εἰς τὸ μὴ ζῆν μὴδ' εἶναι καταστρέφων.

So the doctrine of Epicurus promises the wretch no very happy relief from adversity, only the extinction and dissolution of his soul. But from the prudent and wise and those who abound in all good things it takes away all cheer by altering their condition from blissful living to not living or being at all.

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 CE), Letter 104 Letter to Nectarius, 3

hoc enim potius in illis litteris legi, quoniam uita ipsa, qua fruimur, brevis est, in qua tu arbitraris et frequentatum in litteris iam mones aeternam esse posse calamitatem:

for I read this rather in those writings — that life itself, which we enjoy, is short — in which you think, and now often warn in your writings, that eternal calamity can exist;

mortem autem malorum omnium esse finem habent quidem uestrae litterae, sed nec ipsae omnēs:

but that death is the end of all evils — your writings indeed hold this, though not all of them do;

Epicureorum est quippe ista sententia et si qui alii mortalem animam putant.

for that opinion is, of course, Epicurus' — and of any others who think the soul is mortal.

What I have read in your literature is more like this: that the life itself which we enjoy is brief, yet you think and you maintain it as a common saying that there can be eternal loss in this life. It is true that some of your authors consider death as the end of all misfortune, but not all of them; it is chiefly the opinion of the Epicureans and those who think the soul is mortal.

[U501]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 30, p. 1106D

οὐ μὴν ἀλλὰ καὶ λέγουσιν αὐτοῖς κακῶν ἀπαύστων καὶ ἀορίστων λυθεῖσαν ὑποψίαν ἀγαθὸν βεβαιότατον καὶ ἥδιστον ἀπολιπεῖν τὴν ἐπίνοιαν τοῦ λελύσθαι. καὶ τοῦτο ποιεῖν τὸν Ἐπικούρου λόγον ιστάντα τοῦ θανάτου τὸ δέος ἐν τῇ διαλύσει τῆς ψυχῆς

Nevertheless, they assert that when the foreboding of incessant evils to which no period is appointed is dispelled, they are left with a benefit that is in the highest degree assured and pleasant – the thought of release – and that is done by Epicurus' doctrine when it terminates the fear of death with the dissolution of the soul.

[U502]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 30, 1107A

εἰ δὲ δὴ καὶ μετ’ ἀλγηδόνης, ὥσπερ Ἐπίκουρος οἴεται, τοῖς πλείστοις ἀπόλλυσθαι συμβαίνει, παντάπασιν ἀπαρηγόρητός ἐστιν ὁ τοῦ θανάτου φόβος εἰς ἀγαθῶν στέρησιν διὰ κακῶν ἄγοντος.

And if, as Epicurus imagines, for most people the process of dying is painful, the fear of death is quite beyond any comfort, since death ushers us through misery to loss of every good.

[U503]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 30.14

dicebat quidem ille (homo mihi cārus) Epicurī praeceptīs obsequēns:

he indeed used to say this (a man dear to me), obedient to the teachings of Epicurus:

prīmum spērāre sē nūllum dolōrem esse in illō extrēmō anhelitū:

first, that he hoped there would be no pain in that final breath;

sī tamen esset, habēre aliquantum in ipsā breuitāte sōlaciī:

if there were, he would still have some comfort in its very shortness;

nūllum enim dolōrem longum esse quī magnus est:

for no pain is long which is great;

cēterum succursūrum sibi etiam in ipsā distrāctiōne animae corporisque, sī cum cruciātū id fieret, post illum dolōrem sē dolēre nōn posse:

further, that even in the very rending apart of soul and body, if it should happen with torment, he would come to his own aid by knowing that after that pain he could feel no pain;

nōn dubitāre autem sē, quīn senilis anima in prīmīs labrīs esset nec magnā vī distraherētur ā corpore:

and that he had no doubt the aged soul was already at the very lips, and would not be torn violently from the body;

ignis quī ualentem māteriām occupāvit, aquā et interdum ruīnā exstinguendus est: ille quī alimentīs dēficitur, suā sponte subsidit:

a fire that seizes strong material must be quenched by water or even by collapse; that which lacks fuel dies down of itself;

illud quidem aiebat tormentum nostrā nōs sentīre operā, quod tunc trepidāmus, cum prope ā nōbīs esse crēdimus mortem:

he said, indeed, that torment we feel is of our own making, because we grow agitated when we believe death is near us;

a quō enim nōn prope est, parāta omnibus locīs omnibusque momentīs?

for from whom is it not near — being ready in all places and at all times?

sed cōsiderēmus, inquit, tunc cum aliqua causa moriendī uidētur accēdere, quantō aliaē propiorēs sint quae nōn timentur:

but let us consider, he said, when some cause of dying seems to come near, how many others are closer and yet not feared;

hostis alicuī mortem minabātur: hanc crūdītās occupāuit.

an enemy might threaten someone with death — indigestion forestalled it.

He {Aufidius Bassus, an elderly friend} often said, in accordance with the counsels of Epicurus: "I hope, first of all, that there is no pain at the moment when a man breaths his last; but if there is, one will find an element of comfort in its very shortness. For no great pain lasts long. And at all events, a man will find relief at the very time when should and body are being torn asunder, even though the process be accompanied by excruciating pain, in the thought that after this pain is over he can feel no more pain. I am sure, however, that an old man's soul is on his very lips, and that only a little force is necessary to disengage it from the body. A fire which has seized upon a substance that sustains it needs water to quench it, or sometimes, the destruction of the building itself; but the fire which lacks sustaining fuel dies away of its own accord."

Bassus kept saying: "It is due to our own fault that we feel this torture, because we shrink from dying only when we believe that our end is near at hand." But who is not near death? It is ready for us in all places and at all times. "Let us consider" he went on to say, "when some agency of death seems imminent, how much nearer are other varieties of dying, which are not feared by us." A man is threatened with death by an enemy, but this form of death is anticipated by an attack of indigestion.

9. Virtues

[U504]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.138

διὰ δὲ τὴν ἡδονὴν καὶ τὰς ἀρετὰς αἰρεῖσθαι, οὐ δι’ αὐτάς, ὥσπερ τὴν ἰατρικὴν διὰ τὴν ὑγίειαν, καθά φησι καὶ Διογένης ἐν τῇ ᾧ τῶν Ἐπιλέκτων

{Epicurus maintains that} we choose the virtues too for the sake of pleasure and not for their own sake, as we take medicine for the sake of health. So too in the twentieth book of his Epilecta says Diogenes, who also calls education 'recreation.'

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Moral Dialogs, 7, To Gallio, or On the Blessed Life, 9.1

“sed tū quoque,” inquit (Epicurus), “uīrtūtem nōn ob aliud colis quam quia aliquam ex illā spērās uoluptātem.”

“but you too,” says Epicurus, “cultivate virtue for no other reason than because you hope for some pleasure from it.”

prīmum nōn, sī uoluptātem praestātūra uīrtūs est, ideō propter hanc petitur:

first, not because virtue will bring pleasure is it therefore sought for this reason;

nōn enim hanc praestat sed et hanc, nec huic laborat, sed labōr eius, quamuis aliud petat, hoc quoque adsequētur.

for it does not give this alone but also this; it does not strive for it, yet its effort, though it aims at something else, attains this as well.

"But even you" «Epicurus» retorted, "cultivate virtue for no other reason than because you hope for some pleasure from it." But, in the first place, even though virtue is sure to bestow pleasure, it is not for this reason that virtue is sought; for it is not this, but something more than this that she bestows, nor does she labor for this, but her labor, while directed toward something else, achieves this also.

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's "Topics," 12

ἔστι δὲ ἐν τούτοις ἔνδοξον πρῶτον μὲν τὸ πᾶσι δοκοῦν τοῖς σοφοῖς... ἢ τὸ τοῖς πλείστοις αὐτῶν, ὥς τὸ τὴν ἀρετὴν δι’ αὐτὴν αἰρετὴν εἶναι, εἰ καὶ μὴ Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ, καὶ τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν ὑπ’ ἀρετῆς γίνεσθαι.

There is then, among philosophical opinions, first, those which are shared by all who are wise ... that the virtues are goods; or by the majority of them, such as that virtue is choiceworthy for its own sake – even if Epicurus disagrees – and that happiness comes into being by virtue.

[U505]

Maximus of Tyre (fl.c. 190 BCE), Dissertations, 3.5

ἐπεὶ δὲ ἀρετῆς πρὸς ἡδονὴν ἡ ἐξέτασις γίνεται, ... τοσοῦτον λέγω, ὥς εἰ τῆς ἀρετῆς ἀφαιρήσει τις τὸ ἡδύ, καὶ τὸ δυνατόν αὐτῆς προσαφῆρηκεν οὐδὲν γὰρ τῶν καλῶν αἰρετὸν ἀπογενομένης ἡδονῆς καὶ γὰρ ὁ κατ' ἀρετὴν πονῶν ἐκὼν φιλία ἡδονῆς πονεῖ παρούσης ἢ προσδοκωμένης ἀμέλει κἂν ταῖς τῶν πόνων ὁμιλίαις οὐδεὶς πονεῖ πόνου ἐρῶν (ἢ γὰρ ἂν εἴη ὁ δυσεραστότατος), ἀλλάττεται δὲ τοὺς παρόντας πόνους, ὥς μὲν εἴποι ἂν τις τῶν ἀγροικοτέρων, τοῦ καλοῦ, ὥς δέ τις τῶν ἀληθεστέρων, ἡδονῆς κἂν γὰρ τὸ καλὸν εἴπῃς, ἡδονὴν λέγεις σχολῇ γὰρ ἂν εἴη τὸ κάλλος κάλλος, εἰ μὴ ἡδιστον εἴη (6) κἂν ταῖς πράξεσιν ἀντίδοσις γίνεται πρὸς ἡδονὰς πόνων ἣν σὺ μὲν ἀρετὴν καλεῖς, ἐγὼ δὲ τὴν μὲν ἀρετὴν δίδωμι, ἐρήσομαι δέ σε, εἰ ἄνευ τῆς πρὸς αὐτὴν φιλίας τὴν ἀρετὴν fj ψυχὴ εἴλετο· ἐὰν γὰρ τὴν φιλίαν δῶς, ἡδονὴν δίδως.

Since our task is to compare Virtue with Pleasure, I will not abuse Virtue, but I will say this much: if you deprive Virtue of what is pleasant in it, you will also deprive it of its practicability. No good thing is made the object of choice in the absence of Pleasure; the man who labors virtuously labors willingly because of his affection for Pleasure, present or anticipated. Just as in financial transactions no one willingly exchanges a talent for a drachma, unless "Zeus has stolen his wits" {Iliad, 6.234}, but such exchanges, however evenly balanced, must benefit the giver in a manner consistent with the interest of the receiver; just so in our dealings with hard work, no one labors for love of labor (what could be less desirable, after all), but instead bargains his present labors against what a more urbane commentator might call "the Good" but a more veracious one would call Pleasure – because even if you say 'the Good,' you mean Pleasure; goodness would hardly be goodness were it not also supremely pleasurable. 6: I believe this whole argument can be turned around: these very considerations suffice to prove that Pleasure is more worthy of choice than all other things, since for its sake men are prepared to accept death and injuries and labors and countless other vexations.

Maximus of Tyre (fl.c. 190 BCE), Dissertations, 3.10

σμικρῶν πόνων μεγάλας ἡδονὰς ἀντεισάγεις.

At the cost of trivial pains, you have paved the way for great pleasures.

[U506]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.138

ὁ δ’ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ ἀχώριστόν φησι τῆς ἡδονῆς τὴν ἀρετὴν μόνην, τὰ δ’ ἄλλα χωρίζεσθαι, οἷον βρωτά.

Epicurus describes virtue as indispensable for pleasure – the one thing without which pleasure cannot exist. Everything else (food, for instance) are separable – not indispensable to pleasure.

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.20.49

negat Epicurus iūcundē posse uīuī nisi cum uīrtūte uīuātur.

Epicurus denies that it is possible to live pleasantly unless one lives with virtue.

Epicurus says a pleasurable life is impossible unless accompanied by virtue.

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Moral Dialogs, 7, To Gallio, or On the Blessed Life, 6.3

negant posse uoluptātem ā uīrtūte dīduci et aiunt nec honeste quemquam uīuere ut nōn iūcundē uīuat, nec iūcundē ut nōn honeste quoque.

they deny that pleasure can be separated from virtue, and say that no one can live honorably without also living pleasantly, nor pleasantly without also living honorably.

And so they say that it is not possible to separate pleasure from virtue, and they profess that no one can live virtuously without also living pleasantly, nor pleasantly without also living virtuously.

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Moral Dialogs, 7, To Gallio, or On the Blessed Life, 9.4

quid mihi uoluptātem nōminās? hominis bonum quaerō, nōn ventris, quī pecudibus ac beluīs laxior est.

why do you name pleasure to me? I seek the good of man, not of the belly, which is looser in beasts and animals.

“dissimulās,” inquit (Epicurus), “quid ā mē dicātur. ego enim negō quemquam posse iūcundē vīvere, nisi simul et honeste vīvat.”

“You misrepresent,” says Epicurus, “what I say. for I deny that anyone can live pleasantly unless he also lives honorably.”

“quod nōn potest mūtīs contingere animālibus nec bonum suum cibō metientibus.”

“which cannot happen to dumb animals nor to those measuring their good by food.”

“clārē,” inquit, “ac palam testor hanc vītam, quam ego iūcundam vocō, nōn sine adiectā virtūte contingere.”

“clearly,” he says, “and openly I declare that this life, which I call pleasant, cannot come about without added virtue.”

Why do you recommend pleasure to me? It is the good of man that I am searching for, not that of his belly, which is more insatiable than the belly of domestic or wild beasts. "You are misrepresenting what I say" you retort, "for I admit that no man can live pleasantly without at the same time living virtuously as well, and this is patently impossible for dumb beasts and for those who measure their good by mere food. Distinctly, I say, and openly I testify that the life that I call pleasant is impossible without the addition of virtue."

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Moral Dialogs, 7, To Gallio, or On the Blessed Life, 12.3

ille effūsus in uoluptātēs ructābundus semper atque ēbrius, quia scit sē cum uoluptāte uīvere, crēdit et cum uīrtūte.

that man, poured out in pleasures, always belching and drunk, because he knows that he lives with pleasure, believes also that he lives with virtue.

audit enim uoluptātem sēparārī ā uīrtūte nōn posse.

for he hears that pleasure cannot be separated from virtue.

deinde uitīis suīs sapientiam īnscibit et abscondenda profitetur.

then he labels his vices as wisdom and openly professes what should be hidden.

Let them cease, therefore, to join irreconcilable things and to link pleasure with virtue – a vicious procedure which flatters the worst class of men. The man who has plunged into pleasures, in the midst of his constant belching and drunkenness, because he knows that he is living with pleasure, believes that he is living with virtue as well; for he hears first that pleasure cannot be separated from virtue, then dubs his vices wisdom, and parades what ought to be concealed.

[U507]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.20.48

ille ā uīrtūte summum bonum sēparāuit. at laudat saepe uīrtūtem.

he separated the highest good from virtue. yet he often praises virtue.

Epicurus has disconnected the highest good from virtue. "Yes, he but often praises virtue."

[U508]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 85.18

Epicurus quoque iūdicat, cum uīrtūtem habeat, beātum esse, sed ipsam uīrtūtem nōn satis esse ad beātam vītam, quia beātam efficiat uoluptās, quae ex uīrtūte est, nōn ipsa uīrtūs.

Epicurus also judges that when a man has virtue, he is happy, but that virtue itself is not enough for a happy life, because it is pleasure — which comes from virtue — that makes one happy, not virtue itself.

idem negat umquam uīrtūtem esse sine uoluptāte.

the same man denies that virtue can ever exist without pleasure.

Epicurus also asserts that one who possesses virtue is happy, but that virtue of itself is not sufficient for a happy life, because the pleasure that results from virtue, and not virtue itself, makes one happy.

[U509]

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 ^{CE}), Miscellanies, 2.21

Ἐπίκουρος μὲν οὖν καὶ οἱ Κυρηναῖκοι τὸ πρῶτον οἰκεῖόν φασιν ἡδονὴν εἶναι· ἕνεκα γὰρ ἡδονῆς παρελθοῦσα, φασίν, ἡ ἀρετὴ ἡδονὴν ἐνεποίησε.

Epicurus indeed, and the Cyrenaics, say that pleasure is the first duty; for it is for the sake of pleasure, they say, that virtue was introduced, and produced pleasure.

[U510]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), On Divination, 1.39.87

Epicurus ... nullam cēnset grātuītam esse uīrtūtem.

Epicurus thinks that no virtue is without reward.

... [Epicurus'] view that there is no such thing as disinterested virtue.

[U511]

Cicero (fl. 66^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.26.73

Epicurō quī ... haec nostra honesta turpia inrīdeat dīcatque nōs in uōcibus occupātōs inānīs sonōs fundere.

to Epicurus, who mocks our talk of honorable and shameful things, and says that we are busy with words and pouring out empty sounds.

Epicurus, who ... makes a mockery of our notions of virtuous and depraved and says we are preoccupied with words and uttering sounds empty of meaning...

Cicero (fl. 66^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.41.119

īī philosophī, quōrum ea sententia est, ut uīrtūs per sē ipsa nihil ualeat, omneque quod honestum nōs et laudābile esse dīcimus, id illī cassum quiddam et inānī uōcis sonō dēcorātum esse dīcant, eī tamen semper beātum cēsent esse sapientem.

Those philosophers whose opinion is that virtue by itself is worth nothing, and that everything we call honorable and praiseworthy is an empty thing, adorned with the hollow sound of a word — yet they still judge the wise man always to be happy.

The [Epicurean] philosophers hold the view that virtue in and by itself is quite ineffective. Everything that we say is honorable and praiseworthy, they say is mere emptiness – tricked out in a sounding phrase that has no meaning. Nevertheless they think that the Sage is always happy.

Cicero (fl. 66^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 2.16.51 (Cicero to Torquatus)

cum dīcerēs clāmāre Epicurum nōn posse iūcundē uīuī nisi honēstē ... [sent. V], tū ipse mihi glōriārī uidēbāre: tanta uīs inerāt in uerbis.

When you said that Epicurus cries out that one cannot live pleasantly unless one lives honorably ... you yourself seemed to me to boast — so great was the force in your words.

ut nōs intuēns quasi testificārēre laudārī honestātem et iūstitiam aliquandō ab Epicurō.

As if, looking at us, you were bearing witness that honor and justice are at times praised by Epicurus.

quam tē decēbat īīs uerbis ūtī.

How fitting it was for you to use such words.

istōrum enim uerbōrum amōre, quae perrārō appellantur ab Epicurō — sapientiae, fortitūdinis, iūstitiae, temperantiae — praestantissimīs ingenīis hominēs sē ad philosophiae studium contulērunt.

For through love of those very words — wisdom, courage, justice, temperance, which are very rarely named by Epicurus — men of the highest talents devoted themselves to the study of philosophy.

When you informed us that Epicurus proclaims "It is impossible to live pleasantly without living wisely and honorably and justly" [= Principal Doctrine 5], your words derived potency from the grandeur of the things that they denoted. You drew yourself up to your full height, and kept stopping and fixing us with your gaze, as if solemnly stating that Epicurus does occasionally commend morality and justice. Were those names never mentioned by philosophers, we should have no use for philosophy; how well they sounded on your lips!

Cicero (fl. 66^{BCE}), Laelius, or An Essay on Friendship, 23.86

a multīs uīrtūs ipsa contemnitur et uenditātiō quaedam atque ostentātiō esse dīcitur.

By many, virtue itself is despised and is said to be a kind of display and showmanship.

Even virtue itself is regarded with contempt by many, and is said to be mere pretense and display.

Porphyrio, Commentary on Horace's "Epistles" 1.17.41

aut uīrtūs nōmen ināne est ... nōmen ināne uānumque esse uīrtūtem Epicurus dīxit ad uoluptātem referēns ūniuersa quae facimus.

Either virtue is an empty name ... Epicurus said that virtue is an empty and vain name, since he refers all the things we do to pleasure.

Either virtue is a mere empty name... Epicurus said that virtue is a vain and empty name, as he linked all actions to how well they consummate pleasure.

Commentary on Lucan, Pharsalia (The Civil War), 9.563

Epicureī sine substantia uīrtūtem esse dīcunt uānumque eī nōmen, et ideō nēminem posse ex praeceptō Stoicōrum sapientem fierī, sed tantum pollicērī.

The Epicureans say that virtue is without substance and that its name is empty, and therefore that no one can become wise by the precepts of the Stoics, but only promise to be so.

The Epicureans say that virtue is devoid of substance and is an empty name and that because of this, no one can become wise conforming to the precepts of the Stoics, but can only make promises.

Lucian, The Double Indictment, 21

[ubī Epicurus ipse Dionysii Metathēmenī causam agit]

[Where Epicurus himself pleads the case of Dionysius the Renegade.]

τὴν παρὰ ταύτης (τῆς Στοᾶς) ἀηδίαν μυσσυχθεῖς καὶ ἦν φησιν ἐπὶ κεφαλαίῳ τῶν πόνων εὐδαιμονίαν παραγίγνεσθαι λῆρον οἰηθεῖς.

"... hating the tedium of life with her {i.e., Stoicism}, and considering as nonsense that happiness which, she says, accompanies pain..."

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130^{CE}), Discourses on Epictetus, 2.20.25

λάβε νῦν, πῶς ἡ δικαιοσύνη οὐδέν ἐστι, πῶς ἡ αἰδὼς μωρία ἐστί, πῶς πατήρ οὐδέν ἐστι, πῶς ὁ υἱὸς οὐδέν ἐστιν.

"Learn now how righteousness is nothing, how reverence is folly, how a father is nothing, how a son is nothing."

Himerius (fl. c. 355 CE), Speeches, by way of Photius 1, library codex 243, 356A, 13

οἷχεται μὲν ἀρετὴ πᾶσα τοῖς Ἐπικούρου λόγοις καὶ δόγμασιν, οἷχεται δὲ δικαστήρια καὶ κρίσεις, καὶ τῶν μὲν ἀγαθῶν αἱ τιμαί, τῶν δὲ ἐναντίων τὸ τίμημα.

All virtue is lost, by the reasoning and the doctrine of Epicurus; stop the courts, due process, the rewarding of good people and the punishment of the bad.

[U512]

Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 12, 547A

κάν τῷ Περὶ τέλους δὲ πάλιν φησί... ...κάν ἄλλοις δέ φησι προσπτύω τῷ καλῷ καὶ τοῖς κενῷς αὐτὸ θαυμάζουσιν, ὅταν μηδεμίαν ἡδονὴν ποιῇ.

And in his work On Fulfillment, he says again: "{=U70}" And in other passages, he says "I spit upon the honorable and those who vainly admire it, whenever it produces no pleasure."

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 30, 1124E

ὅταν... ...σοφοὺς ἡγῶνται τοὺς τῷ καλῷ προσπτύοντας, ἂν ἡδονὴ μὴ προσῇ.

... and when men take for sages those who "spit on excellence, unless pleasure attends it."

[c.f. 1124E see U368]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), An Recte Dictum Sit Latenter Esse Vivendum, 1129B

Λεοντίῳ συγκαταξῆν καὶ τῷ καλῷ προσπτύειν καὶ τάγαθὸν ἐν σαρκὶ καὶ γαργαλισμοῖς τίθεσθαι.

... to live together with Leontion and "spit on noble action" and place the good in the "flesh" and in "titillations."

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1108C

οἱ περὶ γαστέρα τάγαθὸν εἶναι βοῶντες, οὐκ ἂν δὲ τὰς ἀρετὰς ὁμοῦ πάσας τετρημένου χαλκοῦ πριάμενοι, δίχα τῆς ἡδονῆς πάσας πανταχόθεν ἐξελαθείσας.

... those who keep shouting that the good is to be found in the belly and that they would not give a copper coin with a hole in it for all the virtues in bulk apart from pleasure.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1095F

οὐχ ὁμολογοῦσι δὲ τῷ καλῷ πολεμεῖν τὸν ἄσπονδον καὶ ἀκήρυκτον πόλεμον; εἰ δὲ μὴ ἡδονὴ πρόσεστι, τί σεμνὸν καὶ καθάριον ἀσπάζονται καὶ ἀγαπῶσιν;

Do they not confess that they are waging war without truce or negotiation on all that is beautiful, so long as it is not pleasurable as well? What holy and pure thing do they welcome and cherish?

[U513]

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 2.22.21

τοῦτο γάρ μοι συνοίσει, τηρεῖν τὸν πιστόν, τὸν αἰδήμονα, τὸν ἀνεκτικόν, τὸν ἀφεκτικόν καὶ συνεργητικόν, φυλάσσειν τὰς σχέσεις. ἂν δ' ἄλλαχοῦ μὲν ἑμαυτὸν θῶ, ἄλλαχοῦ δὲ τὸ καλόν, οὕτως ἰσχυρὸς γίνεται ὁ Ἐπικούρου λόγος ἀποφαίνων ἢ μηδὲν εἶναι τὸ καλὸν ἢ (εἰ) ἄρα, τὸ ἐνδοξον.

So then, this will be in my interest: to keep my good faith, my self-respect, my forbearance, my abstinence, and my cooperation, and to maintain my relations with other men. But if I put what is mine in one scale, and what is honorable in the other, then the statement of Epicurus assumes strength, in which he declares that "the honorable is either nothing at all, or at best only what people hold in esteem."

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.15.48 [= U69]; ibid., 49

philosophus nōbilis, ā quō nōn solum Graecia et Italia sed etiam omnis barbaria commōta est, honestum quid sit, sī id nōn sit in uoluptāte, negat sē intellegere, nisi forte illud quod multitudinis rūmore laudētur.

A famous philosopher, by whom not only Greece and Italy but even all barbarian lands were stirred, says that he does not understand what “honorable” is, if it is not in pleasure — unless perhaps it is that which is praised by the rumor of the crowd.

Here is a famous philosopher, whose influence has spread not only over Greece and Italy but throughout all barbarian lands as well, protesting that he cannot understand what moral worth is, if it does not consist in pleasure — unless indeed it be that which wins the approval and applause of the multitude.

[U514]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), On Duties, 3.33.117

sī Epicurum audiām dē continentiā et temperantiā, dīcit ille quidem multa multīs locīs, sed aquā haeret, ut aiunt.

If I listen to Epicurus on self-control and moderation, he indeed says many things in many places, but he “sticks in the water,” as they say.

nam quī potest temperantiam laudāre is, quī pōnat summum bonum in uoluptāte?

For how can he praise temperance, who sets the highest good in pleasure?

atque in hīs tamen tribus generibus, quōquō modō possunt, nōn incallidē tergiuersantur.

And yet, in these three kinds, they make excuses with no little cleverness, however they can.

prudentiam introducunt scientiam suppeditantem uoluptātēs, depellentem dolōrēs.

They introduce prudence as the knowledge that supplies pleasures and drives away pains.

fortitudinem quoque aliquō modō expediunt, cum trādunt ratiōnem neglegendæ mortis, perpetiendī dolōris.

They also manage courage in some way, when they teach the reasoning for disregarding death and enduring pain.

etiam temperantiam inducunt, nōn facillimē illī quidem, sed tamen quōquō modō possunt: dīcunt enim uoluptātis magnitudinem dolōris detractio finīrī.

They also introduce temperance — not easily indeed, yet however they can — for they say that the greatness of pleasure is bounded by the removal of pain.

iūstitia uacillat uel iacet potius, omnēsque eae uirtūtēs quae in communitāte cernuntur et in societāte generis humānī.

But justice totters, or rather lies fallen, and likewise all those virtues which are seen in human community and fellowship.

neque enim bonitās nec liberālītās nec comitās esse potest, nōn plūs quam amīcitia, sī haec nōn per sē expetantur, sed ad uoluptātem utilitātemue referantur.

For neither kindness nor generosity nor courtesy can exist — any more than friendship — if these are not sought for their own sake, but are referred to pleasure or advantage.

If I should listen to him, I should find that in many passages he has a great deal to say about temperance and self-control; but "the water ill not run" as they say. For how can he commend self-control and yet posit pleasure as the supreme good? ... And yet when it comes to these three cardinal virtues, these philosophers shift and turn as best they can, and not without cleverness. They admit wisdom into their system as the knowledge that provides pleasures and banishes pain; they clear the way for fortitude also in some way to fit in with their doctrines, when they teach that it is a rational means for looking with indifference upon death and for enduring pain. They even bring temperance in – not every easily, to be sure, but still as best they can; for they hold that the height of pleasure is found in the absence of pain. Justice totters, or rather I should say, lies already prostrate; so also with all those virtues which are discernible in social life and the fellowship of human society. For neither goodness nor generosity nor courtesy can exist, anymore than friendship can, if they are not sought of and for themselves, but are cultivated only for the sake of sensual pleasure or personal advantage.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.12.37

uirtūtibus, quās ratiō rērum omnium dominās, tū uoluptātum satellitēs et ministrās esse uoluitī.

The virtues — which reason made the mistresses of all things — you have wished to be the attendants and handmaids of pleasures.

... the Virtues, which Reason would have in charge of all things, but you considered as the handmaids and subordinates of the pleasures.

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Moral Dialogs, 7, To Gallio, or On the Blessed Life, 13.5

uirtūtem quidem, excelsissimam omnium, uoluptātī trādere ancillam, nihil magnum animō capientis est.

To hand over virtue — the loftiest of all things — as a slave to pleasure is not the act of one who conceives anything great in spirit.

To hand over virtue, the loftiest of mistresses, to be the handmaid of pleasure is the part of a man who has nothing great in his soul.

[U515]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Stoic Self-Contradictions, 26, 1046E

εἰ μὲν οὖν τὴν φρόνησιν ἡγεῖτο (Χρύσιππος) ποιητικὸν εἶναι τῆς εὐδαιμονίας τι ἀγαθόν, ὥσπερ ὁ Ἐπίκουρος

Now if [Chrysippus] held prudence to be a good productive of happiness, as Epicurus did...

Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), De Anima Mantissa, 2.22, 156

(ἡ ἀρετὴ) περὶ τὴν ἐκλογὴν ἐστὶ τῶν ἡδέων κατ' Ἐπίκουρον.

[U516]

οὕτω δὲ καὶ ἄλλη μὲν ἡ Ἐπικούρου ἀνδρεία ὑπομένοντος πόνους διὰ φυγὴν πόνων πλειόνων, ἄλλη δ' ἡ τοῦ ἀπὸ τῆς στοᾶς δι' αὐτὴν αἰρουμένου πάσαν ἀρετὴν κτλ.

And so too the "courage" of Epicurus is one sort of thing, who would undergo some pains in order to escape from a greater number; and a different thing for the philosopher of the Stoa, who would choose all virtue for its own sake.

[U517]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.120

τὴν δὲ ἀνδρείαν φύσει μὴ γίνεσθαι, λογισμῷ δὲ τοῦ συμφέροντος.

{The Epicurean school teaches that} courage is not a natural gift but arises from circumstances.

[U518]

Origen (fl.c. 225 ^{CE}), Against Celsus, 5.47

ἤδη δὲ ἀποδείκνυται ἄλλη μὲν ἡ κατ' Ἐπικούρον δικαιοσύνη... ἄλλη δὲ ἡ κατὰ τοὺς Στωικοὺς ἀρνούμενους τὸ τριμερὲς τῆς ψυχῆς, ἄλλη δὲ κατὰ τοὺς ἀπὸ Πλάτωνος ἰδιοπραγίαν τῶν μερῶν τῆς ψυχῆς φάσκοντας εἶναι τὴν δικαιοσύνην.

...but righteousness is shown to be one thing according to the view of Epicurus, and another according to the Stoics (who deny the threefold division of the soul), and yet a different thing according to the followers of Plato, who hold that righteousness is the proper business of the parts of the soul.

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 ^{CE}), Sermon 348.3

quā propter inrīrendī sunt huius mundī philosophī, nōn solum Epicureī, quī etiam ipsam iūstitiam uenālem habent carnālis pretiō uoluptātis.

For this reason, the philosophers of this world are to be laughed at — not only the Epicureans, who even make justice itself for sale at the price of bodily pleasure.

dīcunt enim proptereā sapientem iūstum esse dēbēre, ut uel adquirat uel teneat ex corpore uoluptātem.

For they say that the wise man ought to be just for this reason — that he may either gain or preserve bodily pleasure.

[U519]

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 ^{CE}), Miscellanies, 6.2

αὐθίς τε Ἀριστοφάνους γράφοντος "βέβαιον ἔξεις τὸν βίον δίκαιος ὢν, χωρὶς τε θορύβου καὶ φόβου ζήσεις καλῶς" ὁ Ἐπίκουρος λέγει· Δικαιοσύνης καρπὸς μέγιστος ἀταραξία.

And again, if Aristophanes writes:

"You will have a secure life, being just
and without anxiety nor fear
you will live well"
{Aristophanes, uncertain fragment 19, in Mein., Greek Comics, 2 p. 1181}

... Epicurus says "The greatest fruit of justice is peace of mind."

[U520]

ποῦ τοίνυν τὸ ἡδὺ τῆς κακίας ἐστίν, εἰ μηδαμοῦ τὸ ἀμέριμνον καὶ τὸ ἄλυπον
μηδ’ αὐτάρκεια μηδ’ ἀταραξία μηδ’ ἡσυχία; ταῖς μὲν γὰρ τῆς σαρκὸς ἡδοναῖς ἡ
τοῦ σώματος εὐκρασία καὶ ὑγίεια χώραν δίδωσι· τῇ δὲ ψυχῇ οὐκ ἔστιν
ἐγγενέσθαι γῆθος οὐδὲ χαρὰν βέβαιον, ἂν μὴ τὸ εὖθυμον καὶ ἄφοβον καὶ
θαρραλέον ὥσπερ ἔδραν ἢ γαλήνην ἄκλυστον ὑποβάληται, ἀλλὰ κἄν
ὑπομειδιάσῃ τις ἐλπίς ἢ τέρψις, αὕτη ταχὺ φροντίδος ἐκραγείσης ὥσπερ ἐν
εὐδίᾳ σπιλάδος συνεχύθῃ καὶ συνεταράχθῃ.

Where, then, is the pleasure in vice, if in no part of it is to be found freedom
from care and grief or contentment or tranquility or calm? For a well-
balanced and healthy condition of the body gives room for engendering the
pleasures of the flesh; but in the soul lasting joy and gladness cannot
possibly be engendered, unless it provided itself first with cheerfulness,
fearlessness, and courageousness as a basis to rest upon, or as a clam
tranquility that no billows disturb; otherwise, even though some hope or
delectation lure us with a smile, anxiety suddenly breaks forth, like a hidden
rock appearing in fair weather and the soul is overwhelmed and
confounded.

[U521]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.120

ἀμαρτήματα ἄνισα εἶναι

The school holds that not all sins are equal.

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Satires, 1.3.96 (criticizing the Stoics)

quis paria esse ferē placuit peccāta, laborant

Those to whom it seemed that sins are almost equal — they struggle

cum uentum ad uērum est. sēnsūs mōrēsque repugnant

when it comes to truth; feelings and moral sense resist,

atque ipsa ūtilitās, iustī prope māter et aequī.

and utility itself — almost the mother of justice and fairness.

People who rate all offences as equally wicked are brought up
Short when they face reality: private and public opinions
Find it abhorrent and hardly useful for the general welfare —
Usefulness, one may say, is the mother of justice and fairness.

[U522]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 28.9

tempus est dēsinere, sed sī prius portōrium soluerō.

It is time to stop — but first I must pay the toll.

initium est salūtis notitia peccātī.

The beginning of salvation is the knowledge of one’s sin.

egregiē mihi hoc dixisse uidētur Epicurus,

Epicurus seems to me to have said this excellently:

nam quī peccāre sē nescit, corrīgī nōn uult: deprehendās tē oportet, antequam
emendēs.

for he who does not know that he sins does not wish to be corrected; you must catch yourself before you can mend yourself.

It is time I left off – not before I have paid the usual duty though! "A consciousness of wrongdoing is the first step to salvation." This remark of Epicurus' is to me a very good one. For a person who is not aware that he is doing anything wrong has no desire to be put right. You have to catch yourself doing it before you can reform.

Horace (fl.c. 25 ^{BCE}), Epistles, 1.1.41

uirtus est uitium fugere et sapientia p̄ma

Virtue is to flee from vice, and the first wisdom

stultitiā caruisse.

is to have been free from folly.

Virtue begins with avoidance of vice,
And the first rule of wisdom
Is to abstain from one's folly.

10. Human Society

[U523]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), Divine Institutes, 3.17.42

dicit Epicurus... ..nullam esse hūmānam societātem: sibi quemque consulere.

Epicurus says... that there is no human fellowship — each person looks out for himself.

[Epicurus maintains that] pleasure is the greatest good; there is no human society – each one takes thought for himself. {Cf. U581}

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Letters to Atticus, 7.2.4

prudētius (Carneades) quam Lucius noster et Patron, qui cum omnia ad se referant, numquam quicquam alterius causa fieri putent et cum ea re bonum uirum oportere esse dicant, ne malum habeat, non quo id natura rectum sit, non intellegant se de callido homine loqui, non de bono uiro.

Carneades spoke more wisely than our Lucius and Patron, who, since they refer everything to themselves, think nothing is ever done for another's sake; and when they say that a man ought to be good so that he may not suffer harm — not because it is right by nature — they do not realize that they speak of a clever man, not of a good man.

...Carneades {spoke} with more wisdom than our philosophers Lucius and Patron, who in sticking to selfish hedonism and denying altruism, and saying that man must be virtuous for fear of the consequences of vice and not because virtue is an end in itself, fail to see that they are describing a manner not of goodness but of craftiness.

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 ^{CE}), Discourses on Epictetus, 2.20.6

οὕτω καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ὅταν ἀναιρεῖν θέλῃ τὴν φυσικὴν κοινωνίαν ἀνθρώποις πρὸς ἀλλήλους, αὐτῷ τῷ ἀναιρουμένῳ συγχρῆται. τί γὰρ λέγει; μὴ ἐξαπατᾷσθε, ἄνθρωποι μὴδὲ παράγεσθε μὴδὲ διαπίπτετε· οὐκ ἔστι φυσικὴ κοινωνία τοῖς λογικοῖς πρὸς ἀλλήλους, πιστεύσατέ μοι· οἱ δὲ τὰ ἕτερα λέγοντες ἐξαπατῶσιν ὑμᾶς καὶ παραλογίζονται.

So too Epicurus, when he wishes to abolish the natural fellowship of men with one another, makes use of the very thing he is destroying. For what does he say? "Don't be deceived, men, or misled or mistaken: there is no natural fellowship of rational beings with each other. Believe me: those who say otherwise are deceiving you and reasoning falsely."

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 2.20.20

οὕτω καὶ Ἐπίκουρος τὰ μὲν ἀνδρὸς πάντ’ ἀπεκόψατο καὶ τὰ οἰκοδεσπότης καὶ πολίτου καὶ φίλου, τὰς δὲ προθυμίας τὰς ἀνθρωπικὰς οὐκ ἀπεκόψατο, οὐ γὰρ ἡδύνατο

So with Epicurus: he cut off everything that characterizes a man, the head of a household, a citizen, and a friend, but he did not succeed in cutting off the desires of human beings; for that he could not do.

[U524]

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Satires, 1.3.98

ipsa utilitās, iustī prope māter et aequī.

Utility itself — almost the mother of justice and fairness.

Usefulness, one may say, is the mother of justice and fairness.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), An Recte Dictum Sit Latenter Esse Vivendum, 1129B

ἐὰν δέ τις ἐν μὲν φυσικοῖς θεὸν ὑμνῇ καὶ δίκην καὶ πρόνοιαν, ἐν δὲ ἠθικοῖς νόμον καὶ κοινωνίαν καὶ πολιτείαν, ἐν δὲ πολιτείᾳ τὸ καλὸν ἀλλὰ μὴ τὴν χρεῖαν, διὰ τί λάθῃ βιώσας;

But consider one who in natural philosophy extols God and justice and providence, in ethics: law and society and participation in public affairs, and in political life the upright and not the utilitarian act, what need has he to live unknown?

[U525]

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 1.23.1

ἐπινοεῖ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος, ὅτι φύσει ἐσμὲν κοινωνικοί· ἀλλ’ ἅπαξ ἐν τῷ κελύφει θεὶς τὸ ἀγαθὸν ἡμῶν οὐκέτι δύναται ἄλλο οὐδὲν εἰπεῖν· πάλιν γὰρ ἐκείνου λίαν κρατεῖ, ὅτι οὐ δεῖ ἀπεσπασμένον οὐδὲν τῆς τοῦ ἀγαθοῦ οὐσίας οὔτε θαυμάζειν οὔτ’ ἀποδέχεσθαι, καὶ καλῶς αὐτοῦ κρατεῖ· πῶς οὖν ὑπονοητικοί ἐσμεν, οἷς μὴ φυσικὴ ἐστὶ πρὸς τὰ ἔκγονα φιλοστοργία; διὰ τί ἀτοσυμβουλεύεις τῷ σοφῷ τεκνοτροφεῖν; τί φοβῇ μὴ διὰ ταῦτα εἰς λύπας ἐμπέσῃ; (5) ἀλλ’ οἶδεν ὅτι ἂν ἅπαξ γένηται παιδίον, οὐκέτι ἐφ’ ἡμῖν ἐστὶ μὴ στέργειν μηδὲ φροντίζειν ἐπ’ αὐτῷ. διὰ τοῦτο φησιν οὐδὲ πολιτεύσεσθαι τὸν νοῦν ἔχοντα.... (7) ἀλλ’ ὁ μὲν εἰδὼς ταῦτα τολμᾷ λέγειν, ὅτι μὴ ἀναιρώμεθα τέκνα.

[done above] Even Epicurus understands that we are by nature social beings, but having once set our good in the husk which we wear, he cannot go on and say anything inconsistent with this. For, he next insists emphatically upon the principle that we ought neither to admire nor to accept anything that is detached from the nature of the good; and he is right in so doing. But how, then can we still be social beings, if affection for our own children is not a natural sentiment? Why do you dissuade the wise man from bring up children? Why are you afraid that sorrow will come to him on their account? ... 5: Nay, he knows, that if once a child is born, it is no longer in our power not to love it or to care for it. For the same reason Epicurus says that a man of sense does not engage in politics either... 7: Yet, despite the fact that he knows this, he still has the audacity to say, "Let us not bring up children."

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 4.11.1

ἀμφισβητοῦσί τινες, εἰ ἐν τῇ φύσει τοῦ ἀνθρώπου περιέχεται τὸ κοινωνικόν.

Some people raise doubts whether the social instinct is a necessary element in the nature of man.

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 3.7.19

τὸν θεόν σοι, ἐπινοεῖς Ἐπικουρείων πόλιν; Ἐγὼ οὐ γαμῶ. Οὐδ’ ἐγώ· οὐ γὰρ γαμητέον. Ἄλλ’ οὐδὲ παιδοποιητέον, ἀλλ’ οὐδὲ πολιτευτέον. Τί οὖν γένηται; πόθεν οἱ πολῖται; τίς αὐτοὺς παιδεύσει...; τί δὲ καὶ παιδεύσει αὐτούς;

In the name God, I ask you, can you imagine an Epicurean State? One man says, "I do not marry." "Neither do I" says another, "for people ought not to marry." No, nor have children; no, nor perform the duties of a citizen. What will happen then? Where are the citizens to come from? Who will educate them? ... Yes, and what will they teach them?

[U526]

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies, 2.23

Δημόκριτος δὲ γάμον καὶ παιδοποιίαν παραιτεῖται διὰ τὰς πολλὰς ἐξ αὐτῶν ἀηδίας τε καὶ ἀφορκὰς ἀπὸ τῶν ἀναγκαιοτέρων. συγκατατάττεται δὲ αὐτῷ καὶ Ἐπίκουρος καὶ ὅσοι ἐν ἡδονῇ καὶ ἀοχλησίᾳ, ἔτι δὲ καὶ ἀλυπία τάγαθὸν τίθενται.

Democritus repudiates marriage and the procreation of children, on account of the many annoyances arising thereby, and the detracton from more necessary things. Epicurus agrees, as do those who place good in pleasure, and in the absence of trouble and pain.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.5

qui odit uxōrem, huic enumerantur (ab Epicurō) caelibātūs bona; habentī malōs liberōs orbitās praedicātur.

He who hates his wife — to him Epicurus lists the blessings of celibacy; to one who has bad children — he praises childlessness.

One who hates women has enumerated for him [by Epicurus] the benefits of celibacy, and childlessness is proclaimed to one who has bad children.

[U527]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), On Affection for Offspring, 495A

εἴτα ταῦτα οἰόμεθα (τὰ) πάθη τούτοις (τοῖς ζώοις) ἐνειργάσθαι τὴν φύσιν ἀλεκτορίδων ἐπιγονῆς καὶ κυνῶν καὶ ἄρκτων προνοοῦσαν, ἀλλ’ οὐχ ἡμᾶς δυσωποῦσαν καὶ τιτρώσκουσιν ἐπιλογιζομένους, ὅτι ταῦτα παραδείγματα τοῖς ἐπομένοις, τοῖς δὲ ἀναλγήτοις ὀνειδὴ περίεστι τῆς ἀπαθείας δι’ ὧν κατηγοροῦσι τῆς ἀνθρωπίνης φύσεως μόνης μὴ προῖκα τὸ στέργειν ἐχούσης μηδ’ ἐπισταμένης φιλεῖν ἄνευ χρείας. θαυμάζεται γὰρ ἐν τοῖς θεάτροις ὁ εἰπιὼν "μισθοῦ γὰρ ἄνθρωπον τίς ἀνθρώπων φιλεῖ;" (εἰ) κατ’ Ἐπίκουρον ὁ πατήρ τὸν υἱόν, (ἢ μήτηρ τὸ τέκνον, οἱ παῖδες τοὺς τεκόντας.

Are we, then, to believe that Nature has implanted these emotions in these creatures because she is solicitous for the offspring of hens and dogs and bears, and not, rather, because she is striving to make us ashamed and to wound us, when we reflect that these instances are examples to those of us who would follow the lead of Nature, but to those who are callous, as rebukes for their insensibility, by citing which they disparage human nature as being the only kind that has no disinterested affection and that does not know how to love without prospect of gain? In our theaters, indeed, people applaud the verse of the poet who said, "What man will love his fellow-man for pay?" {Uncertain comic author, t. V p. 122 Mein.} And yet, according to Epicurus, it is for pay that a father loves his son, a mother her child, and children their parents.

[U528]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 1123A

τὸ δὲ φύσει περιέχεσθαι τὰ τεκόντα τῶν γειναμένων οὐχὶ πᾶσι φαινόμενον ἀναιρεῖτε;

Do you people not dismiss the instinctive love of parents for their offspring – a fact accepted by all?

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Letters to Atticus, 7.2.4

filiola tua tē dēlectārī laetor et probārī tibi φυσικὴν εἶναι τὴν πρὸς τὰ τέκνα.

I am glad that your little daughter delights you, and that you recognize affection toward children to be natural.

etenim sī haec nōn est, nūlla potest hominī esse ad hominem nātūrae adiūnctiō, quā sublātā vītae societās tollitur.

For if this is not so, no natural bond can exist between one human being and another — and, once that is removed, all fellowship of life is destroyed.

“bene eueniat,” inquit Carneades.

“Good luck with that,” said Carneades —

spurge, sed tamen prudentius quam Lūcius noster et Patrōn.

coarsely, yet more wisely than our Lucius and Patron.

I am glad you take delight in your baby daughter, and have satisfied yourself that a desire for children is natural. For if it is not, there can be no natural tie between people; remove that tie and social life is destroyed. "Heaven bless the consequence" says Carneades, but with more with more wisdom than our philosophers Lucius and Patron...

[U529]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), Divine Institutes, 3.17.5

aduersus parentēs impiō nullum esse vinculum nātūrae (ab Epicurō dicitur).

Epicurus says that for an impious man toward his parents, there is no bond of nature.

For one who is irreverent toward his parents there is [from Epicurus] the idea that there is no bond in nature.

[U530]

Johannes Stobaeus (fl. 450 ^{CE}), Anthology, 43.139

οἱ νόμοι χάριν τῶν σοφῶν κεῖνται, οὐχ ὅπως μὴ ἀδικῶσιν ἀλλ’ ὅπως μὴ ἀδικῶνται.

"Laws are made for the sake of the wise, not to prevent them from inflicting wrong but to secure them from suffering it."

Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 ^{CE}), Letter to Marcella, 27

οἱ γὰρ ἔγγραφοι νόμοι χάριν τῶν μετρίων κεῖνται, οὐχ ὅπως μὴ ἀδικῶσιν ἀλλ’ ὅπως μὴ ἀδικῶνται.

"The written laws are laid down for the sake of temperate men, not to keep them from doing wrong but from being wronged."

[U531]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 97.15

illic dissentiamus cum Epicurō, ubi dicit nihil iustum esse nātūrā et crīmina uitanda esse, quia uitārī metus nōn posse:

Let us disagree with Epicurus there — where he says that nothing is just by nature and that crimes are to be avoided only because fear cannot be escaped;

hic consentiamus, mala facinora conscientia flagellari et plurimum illi tormentorum esse eo quod perpetua illam sollicitudo urget ac verberat, quod sponsoribus securitatis suae non potest credere.

but here let us agree: that evil deeds are scourged by conscience, and that the wrongdoer suffers the greatest torments because unending anxiety presses and lashes him, since he cannot trust the guarantors of his own safety.

hoc enim ipsum argumentum est, Epicure, natura nos a scelere abhorrere, quod nulli non etiam inter tuta timor est.

For this very fact, Epicurus, is proof that nature makes us shrink from crime — since everyone feels fear, even when he is safe.

Let us disagree with Epicurus on one point, when he declares that there is no natural justice, and that crime should be avoided because one cannot escape the fear which results therefrom; let us agree with him on the other — that bad deeds are lashed by the whip of conscience, and that conscience is tortured to the greatest degree because unending anxiety drives and whips it on, and it cannot rely upon the guarantors of its own peace of mind. For this, Epicurus, is the very proof that we are by nature reluctant to commit crime, because even in circumstances of safety there is no one who does not feel fear.

Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Satires, 1.3.113

nec nātūra potest iustō secernere inīquom,

nor can nature distinguish the just from the unjust,

dīuidit ut bona dīuersīs, fugienda petendīs.

since she allots goods differently — making what some flee from, others pursue.

Nature, however, can not differentiate just things from unjust, As she distinguishes things to be shunned from the things to be sought for.

[U532]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 6, p. 1090C

ὅτι δὲ ὅλως μοχθηρὰ τὰ πράγματα πρὸς βίον ἄλυπὸν ἐστὶν αὐτοῖς, σκόπει καὶ ἀφ’ ὧν πρὸς ἐτέρους λέγουσι· τοὺς γὰρ ἀδικοῦντας καὶ παρανομοῦντας ἀθλίως φασὶ καὶ περιφόβως ζῆν τὸν πάντα χρόνον, ὅτι κἂν λαθεῖν δύνωνται, πίστιν περὶ τοῦ λαθεῖν λαβεῖν ἀδύνατόν ἐστιν· ὅθεν ὁ [περὶ] τοῦ μέλλοντος ἀεὶ φόβος ἐγκείμενος οὐκ ἔῃ χαίρειν οὐδὲ θαρρεῖν ἐπὶ τοῖς παροῦσι.

That their general prospects are poor even for a life without mental anguish you may also judge in the light of the remarks they address to others. Criminals and transgressors of the laws, says Epicurus, pass their entire lives in misery and apprehension, since even though they may succeed in escaping detection, they can have no assurance of doing so. Consequently, fear of the next moment weighs heavy on them and precludes any delight or confidence in their present situation.

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 97.13

eleganter itaque ab Epicurō dictum putō:

I think it was said elegantly by Epicurus:

potest nocentī contingere ut lateat, latendī fidēs nōn potest,

it may happen that a guilty person hides, but trust in remaining hidden cannot;

aut sī hōc modō melius hunc explicārī posse iūdicās sensum:

or, if you judge the sense better expressed this way:

ideō nōn prōdest latēre peccantibus, quia latendī etiam sī fēlicitātem habent, fīdūciam nōn habent.

therefore it does not benefit wrongdoers to stay hidden, for even if they have the luck of concealment, they lack confidence in it.

ita est, tūta scelera esse possunt, secūra nōn possunt.

So it is: crimes may be safe, but never free from fear.

Hence I hold Epicurus' saying to be most apt: "That the guilty may happen to remain hidden is possible" or, if you think that the meaning can be made more clear in this way: "The reason that there is no advantage for wrongdoers to remain hidden is that (even though they got lucky) they have not the assurance of remaining so."

Atticus, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 ^{BCE}), Preparation for the Gospel, 15.5.5

πίστιν γὰρ λαβεῖν περὶ τοῦ λαθεῖν ἀδικοῦντα οὐκ ἀδύνατον, ἅν γε ἀνθρώπους δέη λανθάνειν· οὐ μὴν ἀναγκαῖον ἐκ παντὸς λαθεῖν ζητεῖν, ὅπου γέ τις τῶν πεφωρακότων κρατεῖν δυνατός ἐστιν. ὥστε ἔτοιμόν τι χρῆμα πρὸς ἀδικίαν ἢ τῆς προνοίας ἀπόγνωσις. ἐκεῖνος μὲν γὰρ καὶ πάνυ χρηστός ἐστιν, ὃς τις τὴν ἡδονὴν ἡμῖν προτείνας ὡς ἀγαθὸν καὶ τὴν ἐκ θεῶν ἄδειαν παρασχόμενος ἔτι μηχανὴν πρὸς τὸ μὴ ἀδικεῖν πορίζειν οἶεται, ὁμοίον τι ποιῶν ἰατρῷ τὴν μὲν ἔτι ζῶντος τοῦ κάμνοντος βοήθειαν παρέντι, μετὰ θάνατον δὲ πλέκειν τινὰς μηχανὰς πειρωμένῳ πρὸς σωτηρίαν τοῦ τεθνεῶτος.

It is not impossible to feel assurance of being undetected in wrong-doing, if indeed it be necessary to avoid detection by men: it is not necessary, however, on every occasion even to seek to avoid detection, where a man has power to overmaster those who have discovered him. So the disbelief in providence is a ready way to wrong-doing. For a very worthy person indeed is he, who after holding out pleasure to us as a good, and granting us security from the gods, still thinks to provide a plan to prevent wrong-doing. He acts like a physician who, having neglected to give help while the sick man was yet alive, attempts after death to devise certain contrivances for curing the dead man.

Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 ^{CE}), Discourses on Epictetus, 3.7.11

A man acts foolishly {according to Epictetus' derisive portrayal of Epicureanism}, if, when he is a Judge and able to take the property of other men, he keeps his hands off it. But, if you please, let us consider this point only, that the theft be done secretly, safely, without anybody's knowledge. For even Epicurus himself does not declare the act of theft evil – only getting caught. Only because it is impossible for one to be certain that he will not be detected, does he say, "Do not steal."

Lucretius (fl.c. 59 ^{BCE}), On the Nature of Things, 5.1152

circum rētit enim uīs atque iniūria quemque

for violence and wrongdoing ensnare each man round about,

atque unde exortast, ad eum plērumque reuērtit,

and whence it arose, to him for the most part it returns,

nec facilest placidam ac pācātam dēgere uītam

nor is it easy to lead a calm and peaceful life

quī uiolat factīs commūnia foedera pācis:

for one who violates by deeds the common bonds of peace;

etsī fallit enim diuum genus hūmānumque,

for even if he deceives both gods and men,

perpetuō tamen id fore clām diffidere dēbet.

yet he must secretly distrust that it will last forever.

Hence, the fear of punishment spoils the {ill-gotten} prizes.

Violence and wrong catch people in their own nets

and those who start such things are most often entangled.

It is not easy to pass a peaceful life

if you act in a way that disturbs the general peace.

Although you elude the gods and the human race

you still must wonder whether your secret will be kept forever.

[U533]

Demetrius Laco, P.Herc. 1012 col. 33 (53)

...ὥστε μηδενὸς ἑτερογενοῦς προσδεῖσθαι, νοο[ῦμ]εν δὲ τέλος ἀγαθῶν καὶ τὸ οὐ παρόντος οὐδενὸς ὁ κεκτημένος

ἑτερογενοῦς προσδ[εῖ]ται, δ[ι]ὸ ἡ[έ]λος τῶν ἀγαθῶν [έστιν ἢ ἡδο]νή, [φαμέν] δὲ τὸ [μέγιστ]ον [τ]ῶν κακῶν ἀλ[γεῖν ειν]αι· τὰς ἐναντίας [διαθέσεις]...

...ὥστε μηδενὸς ἑτερογενοῦς προσδεῖσθαι, νοοῦμεν δὲ τέλος ἀγαθῶν καὶ τὸ οὐ παρόντος οὐδενὸς ὁ κεκτημένος ἑτερογενοῦς προσδεῖται, διὸ τέλος τῶν ἀγαθῶν ἐστὶν ἢ ἡδονή, φαμέν δὲ τὸ μέγιστον τῶν κακῶν ἀλγεῖν εἶναι· τὰς ἐναντίας διαθέσεις...

The chief of all goods, even if there weren't any other, is that by which he who possesses it advances toward virtue.

[U534]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 1104B

οὐ γὰρ Ἐπικούρου ἄλλω τινὶ τῆς ἀδικίας οἴεται δεῖν ἀπείργειν ἢ φόβῳ κολάσεων.

Epicurus supposes that fear of punishment is the only motive to which we can properly appeal in deterring from crime.

[U535]

Origen (fl.c. 225 CE), Against Celsus, 7.63

ἐκκλίνουσι τὸ μοιχεύειν οἱ τὰ τοῦ Κιτιέως Ζήνωνος φιλοσοφοῦντες, ἀλλὰ καὶ οἱ τὰ Ἐπικούρου, τινὲς δὲ καὶ τῶν παντελῶς ἰδιωτῶν. ἀλλ' ὅρα ὅση διαφωνία ἐστὶ περὶ τῆς τοῦ μοιχεύειν ἐκκλίσεως τῶν τοιούτων. Οἱ μὲν διὰ τὸ κοινωνικὸν καὶ (διὰ τὸ) παρὰ φύσιν εἶναι τῷ λογικῷ ζῷῳ νοθεύειν τὴν ὑπὸ τῶν νόμων ἐτέρῳ προκαταληφθεῖσαν γυναῖκα καὶ φθείρειν τὸν ἄλλου ἀνθρώπου οἶκον· οἱ δὲ ἀπὸ Ἐπικούρου οὐ διὰ τοῦτο οὐ μοιχεύουσιν, ὅτε ἀπέχονται τοῦ μοιχεύειν, ἀλλὰ διὰ τὸ νενομικέναι τέλος τὴν ἡδονήν, πολλὰ δ' ἀπαντᾷ κωλυτικὰ τῆς ἡδονῆς τῷ εἶξαντι μᾶ τῇ τοῦ μοιχεύειν ἡδονῇ καὶ ἔσθ' ὅτε φυλακὰς ἢ φυγὰς ἢ θανάτους, πολλάκις δὲ πρὸ τούτων καὶ κινδύνους κατὰ τὸ ἐπιτηρεῖν τὴν τοῦ ἀνδρὸς ἑξοδὸν ἀπὸ τῆς οἰκίας καὶ τῶν τὰ ἐκείνου φρονούντων, ὥς εἰ καθ' ὑπόθεσιν μοιχεύοντα οἷόν τ' ἦν λαθεῖν καὶ τὸν ἄνδρα τῆς γυναικὸς καὶ τοὺς οἰκείους πάντας αὐτοῦ καὶ τοὺς παρ' οἷς τις ἐκ τοῦ μοιχεύειν ἀδοξεῖ, κἂν ἐμοίχευσε διὰ τὴν ἡδονήν ὁ Ἐπικούρειος.

For example, the philosophers who follow Zeno of Citium abstain from committing adultery, the followers of Epicurus do so too, as well as others again who do so on no philosophical principles; but observe what different reasons determine the conduct of these different classes. The first consider the interests of society, and hold it to be forbidden by nature that a man who is a reasonable being should corrupt a woman whom the laws have already given to another, and should thus break up the household of another man. The Epicureans do not reason in this way; but if they abstain from adultery, it is because, regarding pleasure as the chief end of man, they perceive that one who gives himself up to adultery, encounters for the sake of this one pleasure a multitude of obstacles to pleasure, such as imprisonment, exile, and death itself. They often, indeed, run considerable risk at the outset, while watching for the departure from the house of the master and those in his interest. So that, supposing it possible for a man to commit adultery, and escape the knowledge of the husband, of his servants, and of others whose esteem he would forfeit, then the Epicurean would yield to the commission of the crime for the sake of pleasure.

Theophilus of Antioch, To Autolycus, 3.6, 120B

Ἐπίκουρος δὲ καὶ αὐτὸς σὺν τῷ ἀθεότητι διδάσκειν συμβουλεύει καὶ μητράσι καὶ ἀδελφαῖς συμμίγνυσθαι, καὶ πέρα τῶν νόμων τῶν τότε κωλούντων.

And Epicurus himself, too, as well as teaching atheism, teaches along with it incest with mothers and sisters, and this in transgression of the laws which forbid it

Theophilus of Antioch, To Autolycus, 120C

πρὸς τί οὖν Ἐπίκουρος καὶ οἱ Στωικοὶ δογματίζουσιν ἀδελφοκοιτίας καὶ ἀρρενοβασίας ἐπιτελεῖσθαι, ἐξ ὧν διδασκαλιῶν μεστὰς βιβλιοθήηκας πεποιήκασιν; εἰς τὸ ἐκ παίδων μανθάγειν τὴν ἄθεσμον κοινωνίαν.

Why, then, do Epicurus and the Stoics teach incest and sodomy, with which doctrines they have filled libraries, so that from boyhood this lawless intercourse is learned?

St. Justin Martyr, Apology, 2.12, 50E

Διὸς δὲ καὶ τῶν ἄλλων θεῶν μιμηταὶ γενόμενοι ἐν τῷ ἀνδροβατεῖν καὶ γυναιξὶν ἀδεῶς μίγνυσθαι, Ἐπικούρου νόμον καὶ τὰ τῶν ποιητῶν συγγράμματα ἀπολογία φέροντες.

And imitating Jupiter and the other gods in sodomy and shameless intercourse with woman, might we not bring as our apology the writings of Epicurus and the poets?

11. Security Among Men

[U536]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.117

βλάβας ἐξ ἀνθρώπων ἢ διὰ μῖσος ἢ διὰ φθόνον ἢ διὰ καταφρόνησιν γίνεσθαι ὧν τὸν σοφὸν λογισμῷ περιγίνεσθαι.

There are three motives to injurious acts among men – hatred, envy, and contempt. These the wise man overcomes by reason.

[U537]

Gnomologion from the Parisinus codex, 1168, (Maxims of Epicurus)

Οὐκ ἔστιν ἄφοβον εἶναι φοβερὸν φαινόμενον.

It is not possible for he who incites fear upon others to lack fear within himself.

[U538]

odium autem et invidiam facile vitābis: ad eās enim rēs ab Epicūrō praecepta dantur.

but you will easily avoid hatred and envy, for precepts are given by Epicurus for these matters.

Hatred and envy will be easy to avoid – Epicurus gives rules for doing so.

[U539]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 1.20.65 (Torquatus to Cicero)

dē amicitia... ...Epicūrus quidem ita dicit, omnium rerum quas ad beatē vivendum sapientia comparaverit nihil esse maius amicitia, nihil uberius, nihil iucundius. nec verō hoc oratione solum, sed multo magis vitā et factis et moribus comprobavit.

about friendship... Epicurus indeed says this: that of all the things which wisdom has procured for living happily, nothing is greater than friendship, nothing more fruitful, nothing more pleasant. and he proved this not only by speech, but much more by his life, his deeds, and his conduct.

On the subject of friendship... Epicurus' pronouncement about friendship is that of all the means to happiness that wisdom has devised, none is greater, none more fruitful, none more delightful than this. Nor did he only commend this doctrine by his eloquence, but far more by the example of his life and conduct.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.25.80 (Cicero to Torquatus)

praecepta quae didicisti... ...funditus evertunt amicitiam, quamvis eam Epicūrus, ut facit, in caelum efferat laudibus.

the precepts you have learned completely overturn friendship, although Epicurus, as he does, exalts it to the sky with praises..

The system you uphold... undermines the very foundations of friendship, however much Epicurus may, as he does, praise friendship up to the heavens.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.26.84

licet hic rursus ea commemorēs, quae optimis verbis ab Epicūrō dē laude amicitiae dicta sunt.

you may here again recall those things that were said by Epicurus, in the finest words, in praise of friendship..

It does you not good to repeat Epicurus' admirable remarks in praise of friendship – I am not asking what Epicurus actually says, but what he can say consistently while holding the theory he professes.

[U540]

Diogenes Laertius (fl. c. 220 CE), 10.120

καὶ τὴν φιλίαν διὰ τὰς χρείας (γίνεσθαι Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ)· δεῖν μέντοι προκατάρχεσθαι, καὶ γὰρ τὴν γῆν σπείρομεν· συνίστασθαι δὲ αὐτὴν κατὰ κοινωνίαν μεγίσταις ἡδοναῖς ἐκπεπληρωμ[ένην].

{The Epicurean school teaches that} friendship is prompted by our needs. One of the friends, however, must make the first advances (just as one has to cast seed into the earth), but it is maintained by a partnership in the enjoyment of life's pleasures.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.42

dicit Epicūrus... ...neminem esse, qui alterum diligat nisi suā causā.

Epicurus says that no one loves another except for his own sake.

Epicurus says... there is no one who loves another but for his own sake.

[U541]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.26.82 (Cicero to Torquatus)

illa videāmus quae ā tē dē amīcitiā dicta sunt. ē quibus ūnum mihi vidēbar ab ipsō Epicūrō dictum cognōscere, amīcitiam ā voluptāte nōn posse dīvellī ob eamque rem colendam esse, quod sī sine eā tūtō et sine metū vīvi nōn posset, nē iūcundē quidem posset.

let us look at those things you have said about friendship. among them I seemed to recognize one as said by Epicurus himself — that friendship cannot be separated from pleasure, and for that reason it must be cultivated, because if one could not live safely and without fear without it, one could not live pleasantly either.

Let us return to what you said about friendship. In one of your remarks I seemed to recognize a saying of Epicurus himself – that friendship cannot be divorced from pleasure, and that it deserves to be cultivated for the reason that without it we cannot live secure and free from alarm, and therefore cannot live pleasantly.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.26.84 (Cicero to Torquatus)

ūtilitātis causā amīcitia est quaesīta... ...praesidium amīcōrum.

friendship has been sought for the sake of advantage... ...the protection of friends.

"Friendship is originally sought after from motives of utility." {The Epicureans says} "Friends are protection."

[U542]

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 19.10

ab Epicūrō versūra faciendā est, ante inquit circumspiciendum est cum quibus edās et bibās, quam quid edās et bibās. nam sine amīcō viscerātiō leōnis ac lupī vīta est. hoc nōn continget tibi, nisi secesseris: alioquin habēbis convīvās quōs ex turbā salūtantium nōmenclātor digesserit. errat autem quī amīcum in ātriō quaerit, in convīviō probat.

a loan must be taken from Epicurus: he says, “you should look around first at those with whom you will eat and drink, rather than at what you will eat and drink.” for without a friend, feasting is the life of a lion or a wolf. this will not happen for you unless you withdraw; otherwise you will have dinner guests chosen for you by the name-caller from the crowd of greeters. but he is mistaken who looks for a friend in his hall and tests him at a banquet.

Epicurus says "you should be more concerned about inspecting whom you eat and drink with, than what you eat and drink. For feeding without a friend is the life of a lion and a wolf." This privilege will not be yours unless you withdraw from the world. Otherwise, you will have as guests only those whom your slave-secretary sorts out from the throng of callers. It is, however, a mistake to select your friend in the reception-hall or to test him at the dinner-table.

[U543]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.11

τόν τε Ἐπίκουρον (Διοκλῆς φησι) μὴ ἀξιοῦν εἰς τὸ κοινὸν κατατίθεσθαι τὰς οὐσίας, καθὰ περ τὸν Πυθαγόραν κοινὰ τὰ φίλων λέγοντα· ἀπιστούντων γὰρ εἶναι τὸ τοιοῦτον· εἰ δ’ ἀπιστούντων, οὐδὲ φίλων.

{Diocles} further says that Epicurus did not think it right that their {the Epicureans'} property should be held in common, as required by the doctrine of Pythagoras regarding the goods of friends; such a practice in his opinion implied mistrust, and without confidence there is no friendship.

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Letters to Friends, 7.12

indicāvit mihi Pansa meus Epicūreum tē esse factum... ..quod iūs statuēs commūnī dīvidundō, cum commūne nihil possit esse apud eōs, quī omnia voluptāte suā metiuntur?

my Pansa has informed me that you have become an Epicurean... ..but what law will you establish for dividing common property, when nothing can be common among those who measure everything by their own pleasure?

{February, 53 ^{BCE}} My dear friend Pansa {Caius Vibius Pansa} has informed me that you {Caius Trebatius Testa} have become an Epicurean ... What will be your legal ruling on Communi Dividundo {dividing what is held in common}, when nothing can be held in common among those whose one standard of conduct is their own pleasure?

[U544]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Philosophers and Men in Power, 3, 778E

Ἐπίκουρος τάγαθὸν ἐν τῷ βαθυτάτῳ τῆς ἡσυχίας ὥσπερ ἐν ἀκλύστῳ λιμένι καὶ κωφῷ τιθέμενος, τοῦ εὖ πάσχειν τὸ εὖ ποιεῖν οὐ μόνον κάλλιον ἀλλὰ καὶ ἥδιον εἶναί φησι. χαρᾶς γὰρ οὐδὲν οὕτω γόνιμόν ἐστιν ὥς χάρις.

And yet, Epicurus, who places happiness in the deepest tranquility, as in a sheltered and landlocked harbor, says that it is not only nobler, but also pleasanter, to confer than to receive benefits.

[U545]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), On Frank Criticism, P.Herc. 1471, fr. 55

...κα[ι̅ δ̅ι̅δ̅]όναι παρρησίαι τὰ περὶ αὐτοὺς ἐπὶ τῶν κατασκευαζομένων, τίθεσθ[α]ι παρ’ Ἐπικούρῳ καὶ χάριν διορθώσεως. οὐ μὴν ἀλλ’ εἴ τῳ φίλον, λεγέσθω[ι̅]· διὰ τί τῶν μὲν ἐξ ἀφροσύνης ἀμαρτημάτων χάριν διορθώσεως ὁ παντὸς ἀγγεύων [οὐδ’ ἂν] οὐδ’ ἔν ἂν προσενέγκ[αι̅το;]...

...καὶ διδόναι παρρησίαι τὰ περὶ αὐτοὺς ἐπὶ τῶν κατασκευαζομένων, τίθεσθαι παρ’ Ἐπικούρῳ καὶ χάριν διορθώσεως. οὐ μὴν ἀλλ’ εἴ τῳ φίλον, λεγέσθω· διὰ τί τῶν μὲν ἐξ ἀφροσύνης ἀμαρτημάτων χάριν διορθώσεως ὁ παντὸς ἀγγεύων οὐδ’ ἂν οὐδ’ ἔν ἂν προσενέγκαιτο;...

... and they present for frank criticism what concerns themselves in the presence of the students, to be put before Epicurus and for the sake of correction. Nevertheless, if it is pleasing to someone, let it be said: "Why is it that the purifier of everyone {i.e., Epicurus} for the sake of correction of the errors arising from foolishness, would not present even one ..." {sc., perhaps, "...of his errors as an example"}

[U546]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Against Colotes, 8, 1111B

καὶ τῆς ἡδονῆς ἕνεκα τὴν φιλίαν αἰρούμενος (λέγει Ἐπίκουρος) ὑπὲρ τῶν φίλων τὰς μεγίστας ἀλγηδόνας ἀναδέχεσθαι.

Epicurus chooses friends for the pleasure he gets, but says that he assumes the greatest pains on their behalf.

[U547]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 ^{BCE}), On Frank Criticism, P.Herc. 1471, fr. 45

...[μ̅ε̅]τὰ πολλῆς πεπ[ο]ιθήσεως ἄλλους νοουθετήσομεν καὶ νῦν καὶ διαπρέψαντες οἱ καθ[η̅γη̅]τῶν οὕτως ἀπότομοι γενηθέντες. καὶ τὸ συνέχον καὶ κυριώτ[α]τον, Ἐπικούρῳ, καθ’ ὃν ζῆν ἡκουρήμεθα, πειθαρχήσομεν, ὥς καὶ παρ[ρη̅ς]...

...μετὰ πολλῆς πεποιθήσεως ἄλλους νοουθήσομεν καὶ νῦν καὶ διαπρέψαντες οἱ καθηγητῶν οὕτως ἀπότομοι γενηθέντες. καὶ τὸ συνέχον καὶ κυριώτατον, Ἐπικούρωι, καθ’ ὃν ζῆν ἡκιστήμεθα, πειθαρχήσομεν, ὥς καὶ παρρης...

@@ And the overall and most important thing is, we shall obey Epicurus, according to whom we have chose to live, as even....

12. Honor & Glory

[U548]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), *On How to Study Poetry*, 14, 37A

προακηκοότες γὰρ ἐν τοῖς ποιήμασι καὶ προανεγνωκότες... ...ἤττον ταραττονται καὶ δυσκολαίνουσι παρὰ τοῖς φιλοσόφοις ἀκούοντες ὥς... ...[Epic. sent. 2. 15] καὶ τὸ εὐδαιμον καὶ μακάριον οὐ χρημάτων πλῆθος οὐδὲ πραγμάτων ὄγκος οὐδ’ ἀρχαί τινες ἔχουσιν οὐδὲ δυνάμεις, ἀλλ’ ἀλυπία καὶ πραότης παθῶν καὶ διάθεσις ψυχῆς τὸ κατὰ φύσιν ὀρίζουσα.

"It is not great sums of money nor vast possessions nor exalted occupations nor offices of authority which produce happiness and blessedness, but rather freedom from pain and calmness and a disposition of the soul that sets its limitations in accordance with nature."

John Chrysostom (Ἰωάννης ὁ Χρυσόστομος) “John the Golden-Mouthed” (fl. 389 CE), *Homily I on the Epistle to the Romans*, volume 9, page 485 [Bernard de Montfaucon edition]

εὐθυμίαν γὰρ καὶ χαρὰν οὐκ ἀρχῆς μέγεθος, οὐ χρημάτων πλῆθος, οὐ δυναστείας ὄγκος, οὐκ ἰσχὺς σώματος, οὐ πολυτέλεια τραπέζης, οὐχ ἱματίων κόσμος, οὐκ ἄλλο τι τῶν ἀνθρωπίνων ποιεῖν εἴωθεν ἀλλ’ ἢ κατόρθωμα μόνον πνευματικὸν καὶ συνειδὸς ἀγαθὸν καὶ ὁ τοῦτο κεκαθαρμένον ἔχων κἂν ράκια περικείμενος ἦ καὶ λιμῷ παλαίων, τῶν σφόδρα τρυφώντων ἐστὶν εὐθυμότερος.

[U549]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), *Non Posse*, 18, 1100A

καὶ μὴν ἀπὸ δόξης γίνεσθαι τινὰς ἡδονὰς Ἐπίκουρος ὠμολόγει.

Epicurus admitted that some pleasures come from fame.

[U550]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), *Tusculanae Disputationes*, 2.12.28

rogā hoc idem Epicūrum: maius dīcet esse malum mediocre dolōrem quam maximum dedecus: in ipsō enim dedecore malī nihil esse, nisi sequantur dolōrēs. quis igitur Epicūrum sequitur dolōr, cum hoc ipsum dīcit, summum malum esse dolōrem? quō dedecus maius ā philosopho nullum exspectō.

ask this same question of Epicurus: he will say that a moderate pain is a greater evil than the greatest disgrace; for in disgrace itself there is no evil, unless pains follow it. what pain then follows Epicurus, when he himself says that the greatest evil is pain? from a philosopher I expect no disgrace greater than this.

Put the same question to Epicurus: he will say that a moderate degree of pain is worse evil than the deepest disgrace, for no evil is involved in disgrace alone, unless it should be attended by painful circumstances. What pain then does Epicurus feel when he actually affirms that pain is the greatest evil? And yet I cannot look to find any worse disgrace than such a sentiment in the mouth of a philosopher.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), *Against Lucius Calpurnius Piso*, 27.65

dolor enim est malum, ut tū disputās: existimātiō, dēdecus, infāmia, turpitūdō verba atque ineptiae.

pain, in fact, is an evil, as you argue; reputation, disgrace, infamy, shame are words and foolishness.

Pain is an evil, according to your view. Reputation, infamy, disgrace, degradation – these are mere words, mere trifles.

[U551]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), An Recte Dictum Sit Latenter Esse Vivendum, 1128F

Εἰ καλῶς εἴρηται τὸ Λάθε βιώσας

"Live unknown."

Emperor Julian the Apostate (obit. 363), Letter to Themistius the Philosopher, [p. 471 Pet., 330.15 Hertlein]

ἄρ’ οἶει τούτων ἀκούσαντα τῶν λόγων ἐκεῖνον οὐχὶ σοφὸν δημοφανεῖν τὸν τοῦ Νεοκλέους, ὃς κελεύει λαθεῖν βιώσαντα; καὶ σὺ δὲ ἔοικας τοῦτο καταμαθὼν προκαταλαμβάνειν ἡμᾶς ταῖς εἰς τὸν Ἐπίκουρον λοιδορίαις καὶ προεξαιρεῖν τὴν τοιαύτην γνώμην· φῆς γάρ που σχολὴν ἐπαινεῖν ἀπράγμονα καὶ διαλέξεις ἐν περιπάτοις προσήκειν ἐκεῖνω. ἐγὼ δὲ ὅτι μὲν οὐ καλῶς Ἐπικούρῳ ταῦτα ἐδόκει, πάλαι καὶ σφόδρα πείθομαι.

Do you think that such a man, upon hearing these arguments ... would he not ... approve the wisdom of the son of Neocles, who bid us to "live unknown?" Indeed, you apparently perceived this, and by your abuse of Epicurus you tried to forestall me and to eradicate beforehand any such purpose. For you go on to say that it was to be expected that so idle a man as he should commend leisure and conversations during walks. Now for my part I have long been firmly convinced that Epicurus was mistaken in that view of his, {but whether it be proper to urge into public life any and every man, both him who lacks natural abilities and him who is not yet completely equipped, is a point that deserves the most careful consideration.}

Emperor Julian the Apostate (obit. 363), Letter to Themistius the Philosopher, [p. 478 Pet., 335.19 Hertlein]

τὴν Ἐπικούρειον θαυμάζειν ἀπραγμοσύνην καὶ τοὺς κήπους

To admire the Epicureans' lack of engagement in political life, and their gardens...

Themistius, Discourses, 24

ἐξελαύνειν Ἐπίκουρον τὸν Νεοκλέους καὶ ἐκβάλλειν τοῦ καταλόγου, ὅτι Ἐπίκουρος λάθρα βιώσας ἐπηνεῖτο καὶ ἔθετο δόγμα μὴ φύσει εἶναι τὸν ἄνθρωπον κοινωνικόν τε καὶ ἡμερον.

In theory, we expel Epicurus, son of Neocles, and exclude him from our list [of philosophers] because he approved of the injunction "live unnoticed" and was responsible for the doctrine that human beings are not sociable and civilized by nature; but in reality we approve of his opinion...

Philostratus, Life of Apollonius of Tyana, 8.28

πάντα τὸν χρόνον ὃν ἐβίω (Ἀπολλώνιος) λέγεται θαμὰ ἐπιφθέγγεσθαι· "Λάθε βιώσας· εἰ δὲ μὴ δύναιο, λάθε ἀποβιώσας"

All through his life, [Apollonius] is said often to have exclaimed: "Live unobserved, and if that cannot be, slip unobserved from life."

Horace (fl.c. 25 ^{BCE}), Epistles, 1.18.102

quid pūre tranquillēt, honōs an dulce lucellum

what purely brings calm — honor, or sweet little profit?

an secrētum iter et fallentis semita vītae

or a hidden path and the by-way of a life that deceives (i.e., slips past notice)?

Is serenity found amid honors and neat little profits
Or does it wait on the untraveled road and the hidden byway?

Horace (fl.c. 25 ^{BCE}), Epistles, 1.17.10

nec vīxit male quī nātus moriēnsque fefellit

nor did he live badly, the one who — having been born and dying — escaped notice

(i.e., the man who came into life quietly and went out quietly was not unhappy)

Nor is a life badly spent, which from birth until death goes unnoticed.

Ovid (fl. 3 ^{BCE}), Tristia, 3.4.25

crede mihi, bene quī latuit, bene vīxit

believe me: he who has lived hidden has lived well.

Believe me, he who keeps himself well-hidden has lived well.

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Thyestes, 390-406

nihil ullīs opus est equīs

there is no need of any horses

nihil armīs et inertibus

no need of arms and sluggish weapons

tēlīs quae procul ingerit

missiles which the Parthian hurls from afar

Parthus, cum simulāt fugās

the Parthian when he feigns flight

admōtīs nihil est opus

there is no need of engines brought near

urbēs sternere machīnīs

to level cities with machines

longē saxā rotantibus

hurling stones from far

Rex est quī metuet nihil

he is a king who fears nothing

rex est quī cupiet nihil

a king is he who desires nothing

hoc rēgnum sibi quisque dat

each person grants this kingdom to himself

Stet quicumque volet potēns

let whoever wishes stand powerful

aulae culmine lubricō

on the palace's slippery height

mē dulcis saturēt quiēs

may sweet rest fill me

obscurō positus locō

placed in an obscure place

lēnī perfruar ōtiō

I will enjoy gentle leisure

nullīs nōta Quīritibus

known to no Roman citizens

aetās per tacitum fluat

let my lifetime flow silently

Let me be filled with sweet repose

In humble station fixed.

Let me enjoy untroubled ease, and
To my fellow citizens, unknown.
Let my life's stream flow in silence,
So when my days have passed noiselessly away,
Lowly may I die and full of years.
Death lies heavily on he,

Who is too well known by all,
And dies to himself unknown.

[U552]

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Life of Pyrrhus, 20.3

πολιτείαν δὲ φεύγοντες ὥς βλάβην καὶ σύγχυσιν τοῦ μακαρίου.

They {Epicurus and his school} would have nothing to do with civil government on the ground that it was injurious and the ruin of happiness.

Saint Augustine (fl. 394 ^{CE}), Against the Academicians, 3.16.35, 290F

nam sī ex aliēnō probābilī vīvimus, nec tū dēbuistī administrāre rem pūblicam, quia Epicurō vīsum est nōn esse faciendum

for if we live by something plausible from someone else, then you also ought not to have managed the state, because it seemed to Epicurus that it should not be done

{Rhetorically addressing Cicero} If we are to live according to what is plausible to another, then you shouldn't have governed the Roman Republic, since it seemed to Epicurus that one ought not do this.

Plutarch (fl. 80 ^{CE}), Non Posse, 2, 1087B

...ἀνθρώπων βούωντων· "Οὐ γὰρ πυγμάχοι εἰμὲν ἀμύμονες " οὐδὲ ῥήτορες οὐδὲ προστάται δήμων οὐδ' ἄρχοντες, "ἀεὶ δ' ἡμῖν δαίς τε φίλη" καὶ πᾶσα διὰ σαρκὸς ἐπιτερπὴς κίνησις ἐφ' ἡδονὴν τινα καὶ χαρὰν ψυχῆς ἀναπεμπομένη.

...the people who shout "No manly boxers are we" or orators, or champions of the commonwealth, or magistrates; "We ever hold the table dear instead." {Homer, Odyssey, VIII 246-248} and "every agreeable stirring of the flesh that is transmitted upward to give some pleasure and delight to the mind."

Lucretius (fl.c. 59 BCE), On the Nature of Things, 5.1127

ut satius multō iam sit pārēre quietum

that it is by far better now to obey quietly

quam regere imperiō rēs vellē et rēgna tenēre

than to wish to rule things by command and to hold kingdoms

It is indeed much better to obey in peace

Than to desire to hold the world in fee and to rule kingdoms.

The Comic Sotion of Alexandria, by way of Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 8, 336F

ἀρχαὶ δὲ πρεσβεΐαι τε καὶ στρατηγίαι

κόμποι κενοὶ ψοφοῦσιν ἀντ' ὄνειράτων.

"Ethics, embassies, military tactics – fine pretenses that sound hollow, like dreams."

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), Vol. Herc. (2) VII.176

[U553]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.3

Epicūrī disciplīna appositā singulīs quibusque mōribus loquitur, auarum populārī largītiōne liberat, ignāvum prohibet accēdere ad rem pūblicam, pigrum exercērī, timidum militāre.

the discipline of Epicurus, fitted to each individual character, speaks: it frees the greedy man by popular largesse, prevents the lazy man from approaching public affairs, makes the sluggish man train, [and] makes the timid man serve as a soldier

The teaching of Epicurus ... speaks according to the natural bent of each individual. ... He prohibits the cowardly from an advance to public life, the lazy from exercise, the timid from engaging in military service.

[U554]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 31, 1125C

καὶ γράφοντες αὐταῖς λέξεσιν, ὅτι λέγειν δεῖ πῶς ἄριστα τὸ τῆς φύσεως τέλος συντηρήσει, καὶ πῶς τις ἐκὼν εἶναι μὴ πρόσεισιν ἐξ ἀρχῆς ἐπὶ τὰς τῶν πληθῶν ἀρχάς.

...who write in these very words: "We must proceed to tell how a person will best uphold the purpose of his nature and how of his own free will he is not to present himself for public office at all."

[U555]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), On Peace of Mind, 465F

[Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology, 29.79]

οὐδὲ Ἐπίκουρος οἶεται δεῖν ἡσυχάζειν ἀλλὰ τῇ φύσει χρῆσθαι πολιτευομένους καὶ πράσσοντας τὰ κοινὰ τοὺς φιλοτίμους καὶ φιλοδόξους, ὥς μᾶλλον ὑπ' ἀπραγμοσύνης ταράττεσθαι καὶ κακοῦσθαι πεφυκότας, ἢ ὧν ὀρέγονται μὴ τυγχάνωσιν.

For this reason not even Epicurus believes that men who are eager for honor and glory should lead an inactive life, but that they should fulfill their natures by engaging in politics and entering public life, on the ground that, because of their natural dispositions, they are more likely to be disturbed and harmed by inactivity if they do not obtain what they desire.

[U556]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 31, 1125C

ἀλλὰ τίνες εἰσὶν οἱ (πολιτείας καὶ ἀρχὰς καὶ νόμων διάταξιν) συγχέοντες καὶ καταλύοντες καὶ ἄρδην ἀναιροῦντες; οὐχ οἱ πολιτείας ἀφιστάντες αὐτοὺς καὶ τοὺς πλησιάζοντας; οὐχ οἱ τὸν τῆς ἀταραξίας στέφανον ἀσύμβλητον εἶναι ταῖς μεγάλαις ἡγεμονίαις λέγοντες; οὐχ οἱ τὸ βασιλεύειν ἁμαρτίαν καὶ διάπτωσιν ἀποφαίνοντες;

But who are the men that nullify these things, overthrowing the state and utterly abolishing the laws? Is it not those who withdraw themselves and their disciples from participation in the state? Is it not those who say that the crown of an untroubled spirit is a prize beyond all comparison with success in some great command? Is it not those who say that to be king is a fault and a mistake?

[U557]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.6

quī clāritātī ac potentiae studet, huic (ab Epicūrō) praecipitur rēgēs colere: quī molestiam ferre nōn potest, huic rēgiam fugere

whoever strives for fame and power, to him (by Epicurus) it is prescribed to court kings; whoever cannot bear trouble, to him to flee the court.

He who is eager for fame and power is instructed [by Epicurus] to cultivate kings and royal acquaintances; he who cannot bear annoyance to shun the palace.

[U558]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 34, 1127D

τοὺς δὲ πρώτους καὶ σοφωτάτους τῶν νομοθετῶν κακῶς λέγοντες (Επίκουρος καὶ Μητρόδωρος).

Epicurus and Metrodorus ... speak spitefully of the earliest and wisest lawgivers.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 21, 1119C

ταῦτα γὰρ ὁ τυφὼν ἐστίν, ὃν πολὺν ὑμῖν ἐνεποίησεν ὁ καθηγεμῶν, καὶ θεοῖς πολεμῶν καὶ θείοις ἀνδράσι.

For this is what Typhon {a mythological monster} signifies, and your master has implanted plenty of him in you with his war against the gods and godlike men.

The Comic Sotion of Alexandria, by way of Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 8, 336F

ἔξεις δ' ὅσ' ἂν φάγῃς τε καὶ πίῃς μόνα·

σποδὸς δὲ τᾶλλα, Περικλῆς Κόδρος Κίμων

"You will only have what you eat and drink. All the rest is dust – Pericles, Codrus, Cimon"

[U559]

ἀλλὰ τὴν ἀτοπίαν οὐδὲ βουλόμενον ἔστι τοῦ ἀνθρώπου παρελθεῖν, τὰς μὲν Θεμιστοκλέους καὶ Μιλτιάδου πράξεις ὑπὸ πόδας τιθεμένου καὶ κατευτελίζοντος, ὑπὲρ αὐτοῦ δὲ... ...γράφοντος [fr. 183] κτλ.

But even if one wished, one could not pass over the man's absurd inconsistency: He treads underfoot and belittles the actions of Themistocles and Miltiades, and yet writes this to his friends about himself... "{=U183}"

[U560]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Against Colotes, 33, p. 1127A

τοὺς δὲ πολιτικούς ἄνδρας ἐπὶ γέλῳτι καὶ καταλύσει τῆς δόξης ὀνομάζουσι μόνον, τὸν Ἐπαμεινώνδαν ἐσχηκέναι τι λέγοντες ἀγαθὸν καὶ τοῦτο δὲ μικρόν, οὕτωςι τῷ ῥήματι φράζοντες, αὐτὸν δὲ σιδηροῦν σπλάγχχνον ἀποκαλοῦντες καὶ πυνθανόμενοι, τί παθὼν ἐβάδιζε διὰ τῆς Πελοποννήσου μέσης καὶ οὐ πιλίδιον ἔχων οἴκοι καθῆτο, δηλαδὴ περὶ τὴν τῆς γαστρὸς ἐπιμέλειαν ὅλος καθεστώς.

They mention statesmen only to deride them and belittle their fame, for instance Epaminondas, who they say had but one good thing about him, and even that 'mikkon' {Boeotian dialect for 'micron' = 'small'; i.e., the one good thing about him, his abstention from unnecessary pleasures, was an example of the Boeotian insensibility} for this is their expression, and dubbing the man himself 'iron guts' and asking what possessed him to go walking across the Peloponnese and not sit at home with a nice felt cap on his head {his campaign took place in winter}, wholly concerned – we must suppose – with the care and feeding of his belly.

1. Studies of the Wise Man

[U561]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.121

οὐ κινεῖσθαι τε ἕτερον ἐτέρου σοφώτερον

It is not possible for one Sage to be wiser than another.

[U562]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.121

δογματιεῖν τε (τὸν σοφὸν) καὶ οὐκ ἀπορήσειν (Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ)

He will formulate beliefs and not be a pure skeptic.

[U563]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.119

καὶ συγγράμματα καταλείψειν (τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ).

He will leave written words behind him.

[U564]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.121

καὶ σχολὴν κατασκευάσειν (τὸν σοφόν), ἀλλ’ οὐχ ὥστε ὀχλαγωγῆσαι. καὶ ἀναγνώσεσθαι ἐν πλήθει, ἀλλ’ οὐχ ἐκόντα.

He will found a school.

[U565]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.118

οὐδὲ ῥητορεύσειν καλῶς (τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ).

The Sage will not give rhetorical speeches.

[U566]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.119

οὐ πανηγυριεῖν δὲ (τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ).

He will not compose panegyric {a formal public speech delivered in high praise of someone or something}.

[U567]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.121

χρηματίσεσθαι τε (τὸν σοφόν), ἀλλ’ ἀπὸ μόνης σοφίας, ἀπορήσαντα.

He will earn money, if he should be in poverty, but only for his wisdom.

[U568]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.121

ποιήματα δὲ ἐνεργεῖα (τὸν σοφὸν) οὐκ ἂν ποιῆσαι.

{...the Sage will be able to converse correctly about music and poetry} without however actually writing poems himself.

[U569]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.121

μόνον τε τὸν σοφὸν ὀρθῶς ἂν περὶ τε μουσικῆς καὶ ποιητικῆς διαλέξεσθαι.

Only the Sage will be able to converse correctly about music and poetry.

[U570]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.120

φιλαγρήσειν (τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ).

He will be fond of the country.

[U571]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.5

fugientī turbam solitūdō laudātur (ab Epicūrō).

to the one fleeing the crowd solitude is praised.

For one fleeing the crowd, solitude is praised [by Epicurus].

2. Duties

[U572]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.120

καὶ κτήσεως προνοήσεσθαι καὶ τοῦ μέλλοντος (τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ).

{The Sage, according to Epicurus,} will mind his property and plan for the future.

[U573]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.120

εὐδοξίας ἐπὶ τοσοῦτον προνοήσεσθαι (τὸν σοφόν), ἐφ’ ὅσον μὴ καταφρονήσεσθαι.

He will pay only as much regard to his reputation as needed to be not looked down upon.

[U574]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.118

ἐρασθήσεσθαι τὸν σοφὸν οὐ δοκεῖ αὐτοῖς... οὐδὲ θεόπεμπτον εἶναι τὸν ἔρωτα, ὡς Διογένης ἐν τῷ...

The Epicureans believe the Sage should not fall in love; ... according to them, love does not come by divine inspiration – so Diogenes says in his twelfth book.

Chrysippus, by way of Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology, 63.31

εἰπόντος τινός "οὐκ ἐρασθήσεται ὁ σοφός· μαρτυρεῖ γοῦν Μενέδημος Ἐπίκουρος Ἀλεξίνος" "τῇ αὐτῇ" ἔφη "χρήσομαι ἀποδείξει· ἡ γὰρ Ἀλεξίνος ὁ ἀνάγωγος καὶ Ἐπίκουρος ὁ ἀναίσθητος καὶ Μενέδημος [ὁ λῆρος] οὐ φασιν; ἐρασθήσεται ἄρα"

[U575]

εἰκόνας τε ἀναθήσειν (τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπικούρω δοκεῖ).

The Sage will set up votive images.

[U576]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.119

καὶ δικάσεσθαι.

He is willing to take a suit to court.

[U577]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.121

καὶ μόναρχον ἐν καιρῷ θεραπεύσειν.

He will pay court to a king if need be.

[U578]

Demetrius Laco, P.Herc. 1012, col. 18 ⁽⁴⁰⁾ fin. – col. 19 ⁽⁴¹⁾

...[καὶ Ἐπικούρου διαπορίαν νομίσει]εν ἂν τις· 'εἰ σοφὸς ἀνὴρ [τρ]οφῆς φροντιεῖ,' καίτοι [δ'] ο[ὔ]τως ἔχουσιν· 'εἰ σοφὸς ἀνὴρ ταφῆς φροντιεῖ,' τάχα περιπεσὼν ἀν[τιγ]ράφοις ἐν οἷς, ἐκτετρωγμέν[ο]υ τοῦ ἄλφα, τε[λ]έσαι τὸ ῥ[ῆ]μα θέλων, τὸ ῥ[ω] [καὶ τὸ ὁ γ]ραφεὺς ἐνέω[σ]ε[ν]. [δῆ]λον οὕτως καὶ τὸ κε[λε]ύειν Ἐπίκουρον μὴ φιλονικῆσαι, ποῖον ἂν ἐκδοχεῖον [μετὰ τὸν θάνατον τὸ] σῶμα [δέχοιτο]...

...καὶ Ἐπικούρου διαπορίαν νομίσειεν ἂν τις· "εἰ σοφὸς ἀνὴρ τροφῆς φροντιεῖ," καίτοι δ' οὕτως ἔχουσιν· "εἰ σοφὸς ἀνὴρ ταφῆς φροντιεῖ," τάχα περιπεσὼν ἀντιγράφοις ἐν οἷς, ἐκτετρωγμένου τοῦ ἄλφα, τελέσαι τὸ ῥῆμα θέλων, τὸ ῥῶ καὶ τὸ ὁ γραφεὺς ἐνέωσεν. δῆλον οὕτως καὶ τὸ κελεύειν Ἐπίκουρον μὴ φιλονικῆσαι, ποῖον ἂν ἐκδοχεῖον μετὰ τὸν θάνατον τὸ σῶμα δέχοιτο...

3. Attitude of the Sage

[U579]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 4, 1089A

οἱ δὲ τούτῳ μάλιστα τὸν σοφὸν ἡγούμενοι διαφέρειν, τῷ μνημονεύειν ἐναργῶς καὶ συνέχειν ἐν αὐτῷ τὰ περὶ τὰς ἡδονὰς φάσματα καὶ πάθη καὶ κινήσεις, ὅτι μὲν οὐδὲν ἄξιον σοφίας παρεγγυῶσιν ὥσπερ σαρώματ' ἐν οἰκίᾳ τῇ ψυχῇ τοῦ σοφοῦ τὰ τῆς ἡδονῆς ἐκκλύσματα μένειν ἐῶντες, μὴ λέγωμεν· ὅτι δ' οὐκ ἔστιν ἀπὸ τούτων ἡδέως ζῆν, αὐτόθεν πρόδηλον.

Whether the other set {i.e., the Epicureans, in contrast with the Cyrenaics} who hold that the superiority of the Sage lies above all in this: vividly remembering and keeping intact in himself the sights and feelings and movements associated with pleasure – are thus recommending a practice unworthy of the name of wisdom by allowing the slops of pleasure to remain in the soul of the Sage as in the house of a spendthrift, let us not say.

[U580]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), In defense of Publius Sestius, 10.23

(philosophos P. Clodius) eōs laudābat maximē, quī dīcuntur praeter cēterōs esse auctōrēs et laudātōrēs voluptātis...

he used to praise especially those philosophers who are said above the rest to be promoters and praisers of pleasure...

...eōsdemque praeclārē dīcere aiēbat, sapientis omnia suā causā facere, rem pūblicam capessere hominem bene sānum nōn oportēre; nihil esse praestabilius ōtiōsā vītā plēnā et confertā voluptātibus...

...and he used to say that they spoke excellently, that a wise man does everything for his own sake, that a sane man should not take up public life; that nothing is more excellent than a life of leisure full and packed with pleasures...

...eōs autem, quī dīcerent dignitātī esse serviendum, reī pūblīcae cōsulendum, officiī ratiōnem in omnī vītā, nōn commodi esse dūcendam, adeunda prō patriā perīcula, vulnera excipienda, mortem oppetendam, vāticinārī atque īsanīre dīcēbat.

...but he said that those who claimed that dignity must be served, the state must be cared for, the rule of duty rather than advantage must be followed in all life, dangers must be faced for the fatherland, wounds received, death met—were raving like prophets and insane.

He {Publius Clodius} praised those most who are said to be above all others the teachers and eulogists of pleasure {the Epicureans}. ... He added that these same men were quite right in saying that the wise do everything for their own interests; that no sane man should engage in public affairs; that nothing was preferable to a life of tranquility crammed full of pleasures. But those who said that men should aim at an honorable position, should consult the public interest, should think of duty throughout life not of self-interest, should face danger for their country, receive wounds, welcome death – these he called visionaries and madmen.

[U581]

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 3.17.39

cum disputat (Epicurus) omnia sapientem suā causā facere, ad ūtilitātem suam refert omnia quae agit.

when he argues that the wise man does everything for his own sake, he refers everything he does to his own advantage.

For when [Epicurus] holds that a Sage does all things for his own sake, he considers his own advantage in everything he does.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 CE), Divine Institutes, 17.4

inhumānus et suīs commoda serviēns iubētur (ab Epicūrō) nihil cuiquam tribuere, omnia enim suā causā facere sapientem.

inhuman and serving his own interests is ordered (by Epicurus) to give nothing to anyone, for the wise man does everything for his own sake.

[Epicurus] counsels the Sage to bestow nothing on any man, for all things that are his own concerns make him wise.

[U582]

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies, 4.22

καὶ ὁ γε Ἐπίκουρος ἀδικεῖν ἐπὶ κέρδει τινὶ (μὴ) βούλεσθαι φησι τὸν κατ’ αὐτὸν σοφόν· πίστιν γὰρ λαβεῖν περὶ τοῦ λαθεῖν οὐ δύνασθαι. ὥστε εἰ πεισθήσεται λήσειν, ἀδικήσει κατ’ αὐτόν.

Even Epicurus says that a man who he esteemed wise "would not do wrong to anyone for the sake of gain; for he could not persuade himself that he would escape detection." So then, if he knew he would not be detected, he would, accordingly, do evil.

[U583]

γυναικί τε (δοκεῖ Ἐπικούρῳ) οὐ μιγήσεσθαι τὸν σοφὸν ἧ οἱ νόμοι.
ἀπαγορεύουσιν, ὥς φησι Διογένης ἐν τῇ Ἐπιτομῇ τῶν Ἐπικούρου ἠθικῶν
δογμάτων.

In regards to women, he will obey legal restrictions, as Diogenes says in his epitome of Epicurus' ethical doctrines.

[U584]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 ^{CE}), 10.120

τύχη τε (τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ) ἀντιτάξεσθαι φίλην γὰρ οὐδένα κτήσεσθαι.

He will guard himself against chance.

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.20.49

negat (Epicūrus) ullam in sapientem vīm esse fortunae

Epicurus says that fortune has no power at all over the wise man.

Epicurus says ... that fortune has no power over the Sage.

[U585]

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), On the Integrity of the Sage (to Serenus), 16.1

quod sī Epicūrus quoque, quī corporī plūrumum indulsit, adversus iniūriās
exsurgit, quī id apud nōs incrēdibile vidērī potest aut suprā hūmānae nātūrae
mēnsūram? ille ait iniūriās tolerābilēs esse sapientī, nōs iniūriās nōn esse

But if even Epicurus, who indulged the body most of all, rises up against injuries, who can seem incredible among us or beyond the measure of human nature? he says injuries are tolerable for the wise man, we say injuries do not exist.

Even if Epicurus, who most of all indulged the flesh, is up in arms against injury, how can such an attitude on our part seem incredible or to be beyond the bounds of human nature? He says that injuries are tolerable for the Sage; we {Stoics} say that injuries do not exist for him.

[U586]

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.36.103

num igitur ignōbilitās aut humilitās aut etiam populāris offēnsiō sapiētem
beātum esse prohibēbit?

Will therefore low birth or humility or even public disfavor prevent the wise man from being happy?

intellegendum est igitur, nec glōriam populārem ipsam per sēsē expetendam nec
ignōbilitātem extimēscendam.

We must understand therefore that popular glory is not to be sought for its own sake, nor low birth to be feared.

uēnī Athēnās, neque mē quisquam ibi adgnōuit.

I came to Athens, and no one there recognized me.

constantem hominem et gravem, quī gloriētur ā glōriā sē afuisse.

A steady and serious man, who takes pride in having been absent from glory.

an tibicinēs iique quī fidibus utuntur suō, nōn multitudinis arbitrīō cantūs
numerōsque moderantur?

Do flute-players and those who use stringed instruments not regulate their melodies and rhythms by the judgment of the crowd?

vir sapiēns multō arte maiōre praeditus nōn quid vēris-simum sit, sed quid velit vulgus exquīret?

Will the wise man, endowed with far greater skill, seek out not what is most true, but what the crowd wants?

an quicquam stultius quam quōs singulōs sicut operāriōs barbarōsque contemnās, eōs aliquid putāre esse ūiversōs?

Is anything more foolish than to think that those whom you despise individually like laborers and barbarians amount to something when taken all together?

ille vērō nostrās ambiōnēs levitātēsque contemnet honōrēsque populī etiam ultrō dēlātōs repudiābit.

That man will truly despise our ambitions and frivolities and will even reject honours offered by the people of their own accord.

quantīs igitur molestīs vācant quī nihil omnīnō cum populō contrahunt.

How free, then, from troubles are those who have no dealings at all with the crowd.

quid est enim dulcius otiō litterātō?

For what is sweeter than learned leisure?

iīs dīcō litterīs, quibus īfīnitatem rērum atque nātūrae et in hōc ipsō mundō caelum terrās maria cognōscimus.

I mean those studies by which we learn the infinity of things and of nature, and in this very world the sky, the lands, the seas.

contemptō igitur honōre, contemptā etiam pecuniā quid relinquitur quod extimescendum sit?

Therefore, when honor is despised and money despised too, what is left to be feared?

exilium, crēdō, quod in maximīs malīs dūcitur.

Exile, I suppose, which is counted among the greatest evils.

ad omnīs cāsūs facillima ratiō est eōrum, quī ad voluptātem ea referunt quae secuntur in vītā, ut quō cumque haec locō suppeditētur, ibi beāte queant vīvere.

For all situations there is an easiest method for those who refer the events of life to pleasure, namely that wherever these things are supplied, there they can live happily.

patria est ubicumque est bene.

The homeland is wherever it is well.

Will obscurity, insignificance, or unpopularity prevent the Sage from being happy? ... 104: It must be understood that popular acclaim is neither to be coveted for its own sake, nor is obscurity to be sorely feared. "I came to Athens" said Democritus, "and no one knew me." What dignified resoluteness for a man to glorify having no glory! As flute-players and harpists follow their own tastes – not the tastes of the multitude – in regulating the rhythm of music, should not the wise man, gifted as he is with a far higher art, seek out what is truest, rather than the pleasure of the populace? Can anything be more foolish than to suppose that those, whom individually one despises as illiterate mechanics, are worth anything collectively? The wise man will in fact despise our worthless ambitions and reject the distinctions bestowed by the people even if they come unsought. ... 105: What anguish they escape who have no dealings whatever with the people! For what is more delightful than leisure devoted to literature? That literature I mean which gives us the knowledge of the infinite greatness of nature, and I, in this actual world of ours, of the sky, the lands, and the seas. 106: Now when honors are despised, and money also despised, what is there left to be dreaded? Exile, I suppose, which is reckoned among the greatest evils. ... 108: In facing all mishaps, the easiest is the method of those who refer the aims they follow in life to the standard of pleasure, and this means that they can live happily wherever this is provided; Teucer's saying can be fitted to every condition: "One's county is wherever one's happy."

[U587]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.117

(Επικούρω δοκεῖ) οὐκ ἂν ἐμποδίσαι πρὸς τὴν σοφίαν.

{susceptibility to emotion} will be no hindrance to exercising his wisdom {the wisdom of the Sage}.

[U588]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.121

εἰ ἔχοι, ἀδιαφόρῳ ᾗς ἂν σχοίῃ (ὁ σοφὸς κατ’Ἐπίκουρον).

Whether or not he is well off will be a matter of indifference to him.

[U589]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.118

μόνον τε χάριν ἔξειν τὸν σοφόν, καὶ ἐπὶ φίλοις καὶ παροῦσι καὶ ἀποῦσιν ὁμοίως διὰ τε λόγου

He alone will feel gratitude towards friends, present and absent alike, and show it by word and deed.

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 81.11

nēmō ergō scit praeter sapiētem referre grātiam?

So then, does no one know how to show gratitude except the wise person?

ne nōbīs fiat invidia, scitō idem dīcere Epicūrum, Metrōdorus certē ait solum sapiētem referre grātiam scīre.

So that envy not fall upon us, know that Epicurus says the same; Metrodorus certainly says that only the wise person knows how to show gratitude.

"Do you maintain then that only the wise man knows how to return a favor?" ... In order not to bring any odium upon myself, let me tell you that Epicurus says the same thing. At any rate, Metrodorus remarks that only the wise man knows how to return a favor.

[U590]

καὶ ὑπὲρ φίλου ποτὲ τεθνήξεσθαι (τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ).

For the sake of a friend, on such occasion, he is prepared to die.

[U591]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.119

αὶ διατραπήσεσθαι τινὰς (τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ).

Some he will try to dissuade {from marriage}.

[U592]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.121

καὶ ἐπιχαρήσεσθαί τινι ἐπὶ τῷ διορθώματι (τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ).

He will be grateful to anyone when he is corrected.

[U593]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.120

μᾶλλον τε εὐφρανθήσεσθαι (τὸν σοφὸν) τῶν ἄλλων ἐν ταῖς θεωρίαις

He will be more delighted than others at festivals.

[U594]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.118

(τὸν σοφὸν Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ) οὐδὲ κολάσειν τοὺς οἰκέτας, ἐλεήσειν μέντοι καὶ συγγνώμην τινὶ ἔξειν τῶν σπουδαίων.

Nor will he punish his servants; rather, he will pity them and make allowance on occasion for those who are of good character.

[U595]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.121

καὶ καθ’ ὕπνους δὲ ὅμοιον ἔσεσθαι (τὸν σοφόν)

He will be like himself even while asleep.

[U596]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.117

πάθεσι μᾶλλον συσχεθήσεσθαι (τὸν σοφόν).

He will be more susceptible to emotion than other men.

[U597]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.119

καὶ λυπήσεσθαι δὲ τὸν σοφὸν (Ἐπικούρῳ δοκεῖ), ὡς Διογένης ἐν τῇ ἑ τῶν Ἐπιλέκτων

The Sage will also feel grief, according to Diogenes in the fifth book of his Epilecta.

[U598]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.118

ὅτε μέντοι στρεβλοῦται (ὁ σοφός), ἔνθα καὶ μύζει καὶ οἰμώζει.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.38.110 – 117

mōtūs animī, sollicitūdīnēs aegritūdīnēsque obliuīōne leniuntur trāductīs animīs ad voluptātem.

The disturbances of mind, worries, and griefs are softened by forgetfulness when the minds are led over to pleasure.

nōn sine causā igitur Epicūrus ausus est dīcere semper in plūribus bonīs esse sapientem, quia semper sit in voluptātibus: ex quō effici putat ille, ut sapiēns semper beātus sit.

Not without reason therefore did Epicurus dare to say that the wise man is always in many good things, because he is always in pleasures; from which he thinks it follows that the wise man is always happy.

“etiāmne sī sensibus carebit oculōrumCC, sī aurium?”

“Even if he will lack the senses of the eyes and of the ears?”

etiām, nam ista ipsa contemnit.

Yes, for he despises those very things.

prīmum enim horribilis ista caecitās quibus tandem caret voluptātibus?

For first, of which pleasures does that dreadful blindness finally deprive?

cum quidam etiam disputent ceterās voluptātēs in ipsīs habitāre sensibus, quae autem aspectū percipiantur, ea nōn versārī in oculōrum ullā iucunditāte, ut ea quae gustēmus olfaciāmus tractēmus, in eā ipsā ubi sentīmus parte versentur: in oculīs tale nil fit, animus accipit quae vidēmus.

Since some even argue that the other pleasures dwell in the senses themselves, and that the things perceived by sight do not reside in any delight of the eyes, but just like the things we taste, smell, and touch are located in the very part where we feel them: nothing of that sort happens in the eyes, the mind receives what we see.

animō autem multis modīs variīsque delectārī licet, etiam sī nōn adhibeātur aspectus: loquor enim de doctō homine et eruditō, cui vīvere est cogitāre, sapientis autem cogitātiō nōn ferme ad investigandum adhibet oculōs advocātōs.

But it is possible for the mind to take delight in many and various ways, even if sight is not applied; for I speak of a learned and educated man, for whom to live is to think, and the wise man’s thinking hardly ever employs the eyes as summoned helpers for investigation.

etenim sī nox nōn adimit vītam beātam, cur diēs noctī similis adimat?

For if night does not take away a happy life, why should a day resembling night take it away?

Democritus luminibus amissis alba scilicet discernere et atra nōn poterat: at vērō bona mala, aequa iniqua, honesta turpia, ūtilia inūtilia, magna parva poterat, et sine varietāte colōrum licebat vīvere beāte, sine notiōne rērum nōn licebat.

Democritus, having lost his sight, could of course not distinguish white and black; but he could distinguish good and bad, fair and unfair, honorable and shameful, useful and useless, great and small, and it was possible to live happily without the variety of colors, but not possible without the understanding of things.

atque hic vir impedīrī etiam animī aciem aspectū oculōrum arbitrābātur et cum aliī saepe quod ante pedēs esset nōn vidērent, ille in īfinitātem omnem peregrinābātur, ut nulla in extrēmītāte consisteret.

And this man even thought that the sharpness of the mind was hindered by the sight of the eyes; and while others often did not see what was in front of their feet, he wandered through the whole infinity so that he stopped at no boundary.

In surditāte vērō quidnam est malī?

But in deafness, what evil is there?

omnēs nōs in iīs linguīs quās nōn intellegimus, quae sunt innumerābilēs, surdī profectō sumus.

We are all, in the languages we do not understand — which are countless — certainly deaf.

“at vocem citharoedī nōn audiunt.”

“But they do not hear the voice of the lyre-player.”

ne strīdōrem quidem serrāe tum cum acuitur, aut grunditum cum iugulātur suīs nec cum quiēscere volunt, fremitum murmurantis maris.

Nor even the screech of a saw when it is sharpened, nor the grunt when a pig is slaughtered, nor the roaring of the murmuring sea when they want to rest.

et sī cantūs eōs forte delectant, prīmum cogitāre debent, ante quam hī sint inventī, multōs beāte vīxisse.

And if songs perhaps delight them, they must first consider that before these were invented, many lived happily.

sapientis, deinde multō maiōrem percipī posse legendīs hīs quam audiendīs voluptātem.

And that a much greater pleasure can be taken by a wise man from reading these than from hearing them.

tum, ut paulō ante caecōs ad aurium trādūcēbāmus voluptātem, sic licet surdōs ad oculōrum: etenim quī secum loquī poterīt, sermonem alterīus nōn requīret.

Then, just as a moment ago we transferred pleasure for the blind to the ears, so we may transfer it for the deaf to the eyes; for anyone who can speak with himself will not require another’s speech.

Emotions of the soul, anxieties and distresses are alleviated by forgetfulness when the thoughts of the soul are diverted to pleasure. Not without reason therefore, Epicurus ventured to say that the Sage always has more of good than evil because he always has pleasures; and from this he thinks there follows the conclusion we are in search of: that the Sage is always happy.

"Even if he is to go without the sense of sight, or of hearing?" Even then – for he doesn't love such things for themselves. For to begin with, what pleasures, pray tell, does the blindness you dread so much have to go without? Seeing that some even argue that all the other pleasures reside in the actual sensations, while the perceptions of sight do not go along with any delight felt in the eyes, in the same way as the perceptions of taste, smell, touch, hearing are confided to the actual organ of sensation – nothing of the sort takes place with the eyes. It is the soul which receives the objects we see. Now the soul may have delight in many different ways, even without the use of sight; for I am speaking of an educated and instructed man with whom life is thought; and the thought of the wise man scarcely ever calls in the support of the eyes to aid his researches. For if night does not put a stop to happy life, why should a day that resembles night stop it?

Democritus lost his sight – he could not, to be sure, distinguish black from white; but all the same he could distinguish good from bad, just from unjust, honorable from disgraceful, expedient from inexpedient, great from small, and it allowed him to live happily without seeing changes of color; it was not possible to do so without true ideas. And this man believed that the sight of the eyes was an obstacle to the piercing vision of the soul and, while others often failed to see what lay at their feet, he ranged freely into the infinite without finding any boundary that brought him to a halt.

Is there any evil really in deafness? ... all of us ... are assuredly deaf in so many foreign languages which we do not understand. "But the deaf do not hear the voice of a good singer." No, nor the screech of a saw either, when it is being sharpened, nor the grunting of a pig when its throat is being cut, nor the thunder of the roaring sea when they want to sleep. And if, perhaps, music has charms for them, they should first reflect that many wise men lived happily before music was invented, secondly, that far greater pleasure can be derived from reading than hearing verse. Next, as a little while ago we diverted the blind to the pleasure of hearing, so we may divert the deaf to the pleasure of sight; for the man who can converse with himself will not need the conversation of another.

[U600]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 3, 1088B

τὸ δὲ ἡδέως ζῆν... ἅν ἄπτωνται, πλείονα περὶ τούτου λέγειν οὐκ ἔωσιν ἡμᾶς, ὁμολογοῦντες αὐτοὶ μικρὸν εἶναι τὸ τῆς σαρκὸς ἡδύ, μᾶλλον δ' ἀκαρές, εἴ γε δὴ μὴ κενολογοῦσι μηδ' ἀλαζονεύονται... Ἐπίκουρος δὲ καὶ γελᾶν φησι ταῖς ὑπερβολαῖς τοῦ περὶ τὸ σῶμα νοσήματος πολλάκις κάμνοντα τὸν σοφόν.

By attaching the pleasurable life to painlessness they preclude us from dwelling longer on the point, since they admit themselves that pleasure of the flesh is a slight or rather an infinitesimal thing – that is, if this is not mere empty and pretentious talk ... Epicurus asserts that in illness the Sage often actually laughs at the paroxysms of the disease.

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 5, 1090A

ἀλλ' ἀξιοῦμεν αὐτούς, εἰ τοῖς πάθεσι βούλονται τοῖς ἑαυτῶν ὁμολογεῖν καὶ μὴ "κεναῖς φωναῖς" θρασυνόμενοι καὶ δημαγωγοῦντες ἀλαζονεῖαν προσοφλισκάνειν, ἢ μὴ λαμβάνειν χαρᾶς ἀρχὴν ἀπάσης [τὴν] τῆς "σαρκὸς εὐστάθειαν" ἢ μὴ φάναι χαίρειν καὶ βρυάζειν τοὺς ἐν πόνοις ὑπερβάλλουσι καὶ νόσοις [δειναῖς] γινομένους.

My judgment is that if they would take a tone more in keeping with their own bitter experience {of terrible diseases} and not incur in addition the odium of ranting, by courting applause with a bold display of hollow words, they ought either to refrain from taking the position that the "stable condition of the flesh" {cf. U424 & U68} is the source of all delight, or from asserting that persons in the throes of an excruciating disease feel delight and treat the affliction with insolent contempt.

[U601]

Diogenes Laertius (fl.c. 220 CE), 10.118

κᾶν στρεβλωθῇ δ' ὁ σοφός, εἶναι αὐτὸν εὐδαίμονα.

Epicūrus uerō ea dīcit, ut mihi quidem rīsus captāre uideātur.

But Epicurus says such things that he seems to me, at least, to be angling for laughter.

adfirmat enim quōdam locō, sī ūrātur sapiēns, sī cruciētur — exspectās fortasse dum dīcat: patietur, perferet, nōn succumbet: magna me Hercule laus et eō ipsō per quem iūrāuī digna.

For he asserts in a certain passage that if the wise man should be burned, if he should be tortured — perhaps you expect him to say: “he will endure it, he will bear it, he will not give in,” a great praise by Hercules and worthy of the very one by whom I swore.

sed Epicūrō hominī asperō et dūrō nōn est hoc satis.

But for Epicurus, a harsh and tough man, this is not enough.

in Phalaridis taurō sī erit, dīcet: quam suāue est, quam hoc nōn curō.

If he will be in the bull of Phalaris, he will say: “how pleasant this is, how little I care about this.”

At id quidem illī ipsī quī dolorem malum esse negant, nōn solent dīcere, cuiquam suāue esse cruciārī: asperum difficile odiōsum contrā nātūram dīcunt, nec tamen malum.

But even those who deny that pain is an evil are not accustomed to say that it is pleasant for anyone to be tortured: they call it harsh, difficult, hateful, against nature, yet not an evil.

hic quī solum hoc malum dīcit et malōrum omnium extrēmum, sapientem cēnsent id suāue dictūrum.

But this man, who calls pain the only evil and the extreme of all evils, thinks that the wise man will call it pleasant.

ego ā tē nōn postulō, ut dolorem eīsdem verbīs adficiās quibus Epicūrus, homō ut scīs voluptārius.

I do not demand from you that you treat pain with the same words as Epicurus — a pleasure-lover, as you know.

ille dīxerit sane idem in Phalaridis taurō, quod sī esset in lectulō: ego tantam uim nōn tribuō sapientiae contrā dolorem.

He may indeed say the same thing in the bull of Phalaris as if he were on a couch; but I do not assign such great power to wisdom against pain.

As for Epicurus, however, he speaks in a way that makes him seem laughable to my mind. For in one passage he asserts that if the wise man be burnt, if he be tortured – you are waiting perhaps for him to say, "he will submit, will endure, will not yield." High praise, by Hercules! – and worthy of the great god Hercules whose name I invoked. But this is not enough for Epicurus – that hard stern spirit. If the wise man finds himself inside Phalaris' bull {description}, he will say "How sweet; how indifferent I am to this!" ... And yet those philosophers {the Stoics} who deny that pain is an evil do not generally go so far as to say that it is sweet to be tortured; they say that it is unpleasant, difficult, horrible, contrary to nature, and yet that it is not an evil. Epicurus, who says that pain is the only evil and the worst of all evils, thinks that the Sage will call it sweet. For my part I do not require you to describe pain in the same words as Epicurus, that devotee, as you know, of pleasure. Let him, if he likes, say the same inside the bull of Phalaris as he would have said, had he been in his own bed; I do not consider wisdom so wonderfully powerful against pain.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.10.31

Epicūrus exoritur, cui etiam, si dīs placet, uidetur semper sapiēns beātus.

Epicurus comes forward, to whom — if the gods permit — it even seems that the wise man is always happy.

hic dignitāte huius sententiae capitur, sed numquam id diceret, si ipse sē audiret.

He is captivated by the grandeur of this doctrine, but he would never say it if he listened to himself.

quid est enim quod minus conueniat quam ut is, quī uel summum uel solum malum dolōrem esse dicat, idem censeat “quam hoc suāue est” tum cum dolōre cruciētur dictūrum esse sapiētem?

For what is more inconsistent than that someone who says pain is either the greatest evil or the only evil should also think that a wise man, while being tortured by pain, will say, “how pleasant this is”?

Epicurus too, note well, insists that the Sage always happy. He is caught by the grandeur of the thought; but he would never say so if he paid attention to his own words – for what is less consistent than for the man who says that pain is either the highest or the only evil, to suppose also that the Sage, at the moment he is tortured by pain, will say "How sweet this is!"

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.26.75

mē quidem auctōre etiam Peripatēticī ueterēsque Acadēmici balbutire aliquandō desinant apertēque et clārā uōce audeant dicere beātam uitam in Phalaridis taurum dēscēnsūram.

With me as guarantor, let even the Peripatetics and the old Academics at last stop babbling, and dare to say openly and with a clear voice that the happy life will climb down into the brazen bull of Phalaris.

For my part, I should say, let the Peripatetics also and the Old Academy make an end some time or other of their stuttering and have the courage to say openly and loudly that happy life will reach down even into the bull of Phalaris.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 2.27.88 (Cicero to Torquatus)

(dolōrem) nihili facit; ait enim sē, si ūratur, “quam hoc suāue” dictūrum.

He counts (pain) as nothing; for he says that, if he is burned, he will say, “how pleasant this is.”

{Epicurus} thinks nothing of pain; for tells us that if he were being burnt to death, he would claim, "how delightful this is!"

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 5.28.85

sitne tantum in uīrtūte, ut ea praediti uel in Phalaridis taurō beātī sint

whether there is so much in virtue, that those furnished with it are happy even in the bull of Phalaris.

{it is doubtful} whether virtue has such efficacy that the virtuous will be happy even in the bull of Phalaris.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Against Lucius Calpurnius Piso, 18.42

sed dicunt istī ipsī, quī mala dolōre, bona uoluptāte dēfīniunt, sapiéntem etiam sī in Phalaridis taurō inclūsus succēnsīs ignibus torreātur, dictūrum tamen suaue illud esse sēque nē tantulum quidem commovērī; tantam virtūtis vim esse voluērunt, ut nōn posset esse umquam vir bonus nōn beātus

but those very men who define evils by pain and goods by pleasure say that even if the wise man were enclosed in the bull of Phalaris and roasted with fires set alight, he would nevertheless say that it is pleasant and that he is not even moved the slightest bit; they wished virtue to have such force that a good man could never fail to be happy.

Well, these same philosophers who define evil as pain and good as pleasure assert that the wise man, even were he to be shut up in the bull of Phalaris and roasted above a fire, would assert that he was happy and felt perfect calm of mind. What they meant as that the power of virtue is so great that the good man can never be otherwise than happy.

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 66.18

Epicurus quoque ait sapiéntem, sī in Phalaridis taurō perūrātur, exclāmātūrum: dulce est et ad mē nihil pertinet.

Epicurus also says that the wise man, if he is being burned in the bull of Phalaris, will cry out: “it is sweet and it concerns me not.”

quid mīrāris, sī ego paria bona dīcō ūnīus in convīviō iacentis, alterīus inter tormenta fortissimē stantis?

why do you wonder if I say equal goods belong to one lying at a banquet and to another standing very bravely amid torture?

cum quod incrēdibilius est, dicat Epicurus, dulce esse torrērī

since — what is more unbelievable — Epicurus says that it is sweet to be roasted.

{I might say} "Epicurus even maintains that the wise man, though he is being burned in the bull of Phalaris, will cry out 'This is pleasant, and concerns me not at all.'" Why need you wonder, if I maintain that he who reclines at a banquet and the victim who stoutly withstands torture possess equal goods, when Epicurus maintains a thing that is harder to believe, namely, that it is pleasant to be roasted in this way?

Seneca (fl. 35 CE), Letters to Lucilius, 67.15

torqueor, sed fortiter; bene est.

I am being tortured, but bravely; it is well.

occīdor, sed fortiter: bene est.

I am being killed, but bravely; it is well.

audī Epicūrum, dīcet et dulce est.

listen to Epicurus — he will even say “it is sweet.”

ego tam honestae rēi ac sevērae numquam molle nōmen impōnam

I, for something so honorable and severe, will never place a soft name upon it.

"If I am tortured, but bear it bravely, all is well; if I die, but die bravely, it is also well." Listen to Epicurus — he will tell you that it is actually pleasant. I myself shall never pronounce an unmanly word to an act so honorable and austere.

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), Divine Institutes, 3.27.5

Epīcurus multō fortius sapiēns inquit semper beātus est et uel inclūsus in Phalaridis taurō hanc vocem ēmittet “suāue est et nihil cūrō”.

Epicurus says much more boldly that the wise man is always happy, and even shut inside the bull of Phalaris will utter this statement: “it is pleasant, and I do not care.”

quis eum nōn inrīserit, maximē quod homō voluptārius persōnam sibi virī fortis inposuit et quīdem suprā modum?

who would not laugh at him — especially because a pleasure-seeker has placed upon himself the role of a brave man, and beyond all proper measure?

Epicurus was much more strong in saying "The Sage is always happy, and even enclosed in the bull of Phalaris, he will utter this pronouncement 'It is pleasant and I care nothing.'" Who would not mock him, especially because a voluptuary placed upon himself the character of a strong man, and beyond measure at that!

Lactantius (f. c. 290 ^{CE}), Divine Institutes, 3.17.42

mortem nōn esse metuendam fortī virō nec ullum dolōrem:

that death is not to be feared by a brave man, nor any pain.

quī etiam sī torqueātur, sī ūrātur, nihil sē cūrāre dicat

who, even if he is tortured, even if he is burned, says that he does not care at all.

[Epicurus says] death should not be feared by a strong man, nor any pain, because even if he is tortured, if he burns, he may say that he cares not at all about it.

[U602]

Aelian (Claudius Aelianus, fl. 215 ^{CE}), Various Histories, 4.13

[Johannes Stobaeus (fl. 450 ^{CE}), Anthology, 17.30]

ὁ αὐτὸς ἔλεγεν ἐτοίμως ἔχειν καὶ τῷ Διὶ ὑπὲρ εὐδαιμονίας διαγωνίζεσθαι μᾶζαν ἔχων καὶ ὕδωρ.

Epicurus said that he was ready to rival Zeus for happiness, as long as he had a barley cake and some water.

Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 ^{CE}), Miscellanies, 2.21

Ἐπίκουρος δὲ ἐν τῷ μὴ πεινῆν μηδὲ διψῆν μηδὲ ῥιγοῦν τὴν εὐδαιμονίαν τιθέμενος τὴν ἰσόθεον ἐπεφώνησε φωνήν, ἄσεβῶς εἰπὼν ἐν τούτοις καὶ Διὶ

πατρὶ μάχεσθαι, ὥσπερ ὕῶν σκατοφάγων καὶ οὐχὶ τῶν λογικῶν καὶ φιλοσόφων τὴν μακαρίαν νικᾶν δογματίζων.

Epicurus, in placing happiness in not being hungry, or thirsty, or cold, uttered that godlike word, saying impiously that he would thereby vie even with Father Jove; teaching, as it were, that the life of pigs devouring rubbish and not of rational philosophers, was supremely happy.

Emperor Julian the Apostate (obit. 363), Orations, 6, "To the Uneducated Cynics"

ἄρά σοι μικρὰ φαίνεται ἀνὴρ "ἄπολις ἄοικος πατρίδος ἐστερημένος" οὐκ ὀβολόν, οὐ δραχμήν, οὐκ οἰκέτην ἔχων, ἀλλ' οὐδὲ μᾶζαν; ἦς Ἐπίκουρος εὐπορῶν οὐδὲ τῶν θεῶν φησιν εἰς εὐδαιμονίας λόγον ἐλαττοῦσθαι, πρὸς μὲν τοὺς θεοὺς οὐκ ἐρίζων, τοῦ δοκοῦντος δὲ τοῖς ἀνθρώποις εὐδαιμονεστάτου (εὐδαιμονέστερον) ζῶν καὶ ἔλεγε ζῆν εὐδαιμονέστερον.

Then does he {Diogenes of Sinope} not seem to you of no importance, this man who was "cityless, homeless, a man without a country, owning not an obol, not a drachma, not a single slave" nay, not even a loaf of bread – while Epicurus says that if he have bread enough and to spare he is not inferior to the gods on the score of happiness.

Cicero (fl. 66 ^{BCE}), De Finibus, 2.27.88

voluptātem nōn optabiliōrem diūtūrnitās facit?

does length of time not make pleasure more to-be-desired?

quid est igitur cūr ita semper deum appellet Epicurus beātum et aeternum?

what is, then, the reason why Epicurus always calls god blessed and eternal?

demptā enim aeternitāte nihilō beātiōr Iuppiter quam Epicurus, uterque enim summō bonō fruitur id est voluptāte, quā igitur rē ab deō vincitur, sī aeternitāte nōn vincitur?

for with eternity removed Jupiter is by nothing happier than Epicurus, for each enjoys the highest good, that is, pleasure. by what thing, then, is he surpassed by god, if he is not surpassed by eternity?

in quā quid est bonī praeter summam voluptātem et eam sempiternam?

in which (i.e., in eternity) what good is there besides the highest pleasure — and that everlasting?

Isn't pleasure more desirable the longer it lasts? On what ground then does Epicurus speak of a deity (for so he always does) as happy and immortal? Take away his everlasting life, and Jove is no happier than Epicurus. Each of them enjoys the Chief Good, that is to say, pleasure. Wherein then is he inferior to a god, except that a god lives forever?

Seneca (fl. 35 ^{CE}), Letters to Lucilius, 25.4

ad lēgem nātūrae revertāmur: dīvitiae parātae sunt, (aut gratuitum est quō egēmus aut vīle: pānem et aquam nātūra dēsīderat. nēmō ad haec pauper est.)

let us return to the law of nature: riches are prepared, (either what we need is free or cheap: nature desires bread and water. no one is poor with respect to these.)

intra quae quisquis dēsīderium suum clūsit, cum ipsō Iove dē fēlicitāte contendat, ut ait Epicūrus.

whoever has shut his desire within these bounds contends with Jupiter himself for happiness, as Epicurus says.

Let us return to the law of nature; for then riches are laid up for us. The things which we actually need are free for all, or else cheap; nature craves only bread and water. No one is poor according to this standard; when a man has limited his desires within these bounds, he can challenge the happiness of Jove himself, as Epicurus says.

[U603]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), De Finibus, 5.31.93

istī ipsī quī volūptāte et dolōre omnia metiuntur, nonne clāmant sapientī plus semper adesse quod velit quam quod nolit?

those very people who measure everything by pleasure and pain say that the wise man always has more of what he wants than of what he does not want

cum tantum igitur in virtūte ponant īī quī fatentur sē virtūtis causā, nisi ea volūptātem creāret, ne manum quidem versūrōs fuisse, quid facere nōs oportet?

since therefore those who place so much in virtue admit that unless it produced pleasure they would not even have moved a hand for the sake of virtue what should we do?

The very people who measure all things by pleasure and pain – do they not cry aloud that the Sage always has more things that he likes than that he dislikes? Thus when so much importance is assigned to virtue by those who confess that they would not raise a hand for the sake of virtue if it did not produce pleasure, what are we to do?

[U604]

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.26.73

an Epicurō, quī tantum modo induit persōnam philosophi et sibi ipse hoc nōmen inscripsit, dīcere licebit...

will it be allowed to Epicurus, who merely put on the mask of a philosopher and wrote this name on himself...

...nullum sapientī esse tempus, etiam sī ūrātur torqueātur secētur, quīn possit exclāmāre “quam prō nihilō putō”, cum praesertim omne malum dolōre dēfīniat, bonum volūptāte, haec nostra honesta turpia inrideat dīcatque nōs in vocibus occupātōs inānīs sonōs fundere, neque quicquam ad nōs pertinēre nisi quod aut leve aut asperum in corpore sentiātur huic ergō...

...that there is no time for the wise man, even if he is burned, tortured, cut, when he cannot cry out “how I count this as nothing,” since he defines every evil by pain and good by pleasure, mocks our honorable and dishonorable things and says that we are occupied with words pouring out empty sounds, and that nothing concerns us except what is either smooth or rough felt in the body therefore to this man...

...oblīvīscī licebit suī et tum fortūnam contemnere, cum sit omne et bonum eius et malum in potestāte fortūnae, tum dīcere sē beātum in summō cruciātū atque tormentīs, cum cōstituerit nōn modo summum malum esse dolōrem sed etiam solum?

...will it be permitted to forget himself and then despise fortune, when both all her good and all her evil are in fortune’s power, and then to say that he is happy in the highest agony and torments, when he has decided that not only is pain the greatest evil but also the only one?

Is Epicurus, who merely puts on the mask of a philosopher and has bestowed the title on himself, to be allowed to say ... that there is no circumstance in which the Sage, even if burnt, racked, cut into pieces, cannot cry out: "I count it all as nothing" – particularly as Epicurus restricts evil to pain and good to pleasure, makes a mockery of our notions of virtuous and depraved and says we are preoccupied with words and uttering sounds empty of meaning, and that nothing interests us except the bodily sensation of either rough or smooth. Shall we allow this man to be forgetful of himself and be disdainful of fortune at the moment when all that he holds good and evil is at fortune's disposal?

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.26.75

cum is dīcat semper beātum esse sapientem

when he says that the wise man is always happy

...he maintains that the Sage is always happy.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 3.20.49

negat ullum esse tempus, quō sapiēns nōn beātus sit

he says that there is no time at which the wise man is not happy

He says that there is not time when the wise man is not happy.

Cicero (fl. 66 BCE), Tusculanae Disputationes, 5.27.80

dixerit hoc idem Epicurus, semper beātum esse sapientem: quod quidem solet ebullīre nōn numquam: quem quidem, cum summīs dolōribus cōnficiātur, ait dictūrum: quam suāve est, quam nihil cūrō

he would say this same thing, that the wise man is always happy; which indeed sometimes bursts out: and him, when he is being worn down by the greatest pains, he says will say: “how sweet it is, how I care nothing”

[U605]

Plutarch (fl. 80 CE), Non Posse, 16, 1098B

ἀλλ’ ἡδέως τε βεβιωκέναι καὶ βρυάζειν καὶ καθυμνεῖν τὸν αὐτῶν βίον ἐκκραυγάζοντες λέγουσι.

But, it is objected, they shout that "they have had a pleasant life" "revel in it" and "hymn the praises" of their own "way of living." {c.f., U181 & U600}

[U606]

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), P.Herc. 1005, Ad [contubernales] 1, col. 14 ⁽¹⁷⁾

...[τὰ Ἑλλη]νικά, ὧ[ν] ἔχο[μεν]... ...[ἐπι]καλήσας ἡμ[ετέραν], οὐ λέγω τῶν ἀνακεχωρηκότων ἀλλὰ τῶν ἐμ μέσω[ι, τῇ]μ πρὸς ἰδιώ[τας] συνήθ[εις ὕ]πὸ τῶν καθη[γ]εμόνων γεγ[ρα]μμένη[ν] ἐπιστολήν. εἰ δὲ καταψευσδόμεθ' ἡμεῖς αὐτῶν, ἐλεγ[ξ]άτωσαν ἔν τι ποιήσαντες ὧν εἵπομεν, ἀλλὰ· "δυνάμεθα μέν, οὐ βουλόμεθα δέ," καθάπερ ἔλεγέ τις περὶ ἑμοῦ τάχα μωκώμενος· καὶ πως ἐφ' ἡμῶν ὑπαρκτόν ἐστι ὃ φησιν Ἐπίκουρος, ἀδιανόητον εἶναι τὸ τάγαθὰ κατ[ὰ φύσιν δύσκτη]τα βλέ[πεσθαι]...

...τὰ Ἑλληνικά, ὧν ἔχομεν... ...ἐπικαλήσας ἡμετέραν, οὐ λέγω τῶν ἀνακεχωρηκότων ἀλλὰ τῶν ἐμ μέσωι, τῇμ πρὸς ἰδιώτας συνήθεις ὑπὸ τῶν καθηγεμόνων γεγραμμένην ἐπιστολήν. εἰ δὲ καταψευσδόμεθ' ἡμεῖς αὐτῶν, ἐλεγξάτωσαν ἔν τι ποιήσαντες ὧν εἵπομεν, ἀλλὰ· "δυνάμεθα μέν, οὐ βουλόμεθα δέ," καθάπερ ἔλεγέ τις περὶ ἑμοῦ τάχα μωκώμενος· καὶ πως ἐφ' ἡμῶν ὑπαρκτόν ἐστι ὃ φησιν Ἐπίκουρος, ἀδιανόητον εἶναι τὸ τάγαθὰ κατὰ φύσιν δύσκτητα βλέπεσθαι...

**καὶ ἔτι παρὰ Ἐπίκουρον τὸ ἀμῶς γέ πως, σημαῖνον κατὰ τινα τρόπον, ἀπὸ
ὀξύτόνου τοῦ ἀμός.**

Profiles (Epicureans)

Metrodorus

Μητρόδωρος

"Mother's Gift"

- Wrote books about the absurdities in Homer
- Brother of the troublesome Timocrates
- Husband of Leontion, with whom he had a son Little Epicurus I

Leontion

Λεόντιον

"Little Lioness"

- Wife of Metrodorus
- Wrote a book against Theophrastus
- Theorus the painter produced a well-known picture of her called "Leontion In contemplation"

Leonteus

ΛΕΟΝΤΕΥΣ

"The Lion" of Lampsacus

- Tended towards atheism for a while, but was a devoted pupil of Epicurus
- Had Mammárion as a courtesan
- Husband of Themista, with whom he had a son Little Epicurus II

Themista

Θεμίατα

"Order-keeper"

- Wife of Leonteus
- Epicurus wrote her letters and dedicated at dedicated least one book "On Neocles" to her
- She was famous in antiquity for being praised by Epicurus for her character and wisdom
- She and Leonteus together had a son little Epicurus II

Anniceris

Ἀννίκερις

The Suda says (alpha,2466):

"A Cyrenean, a philosopher, who became an Epicurean despite being an acquaintance of Paraebatus, the student of Aristippus. Anniceris also had a brother by the name of Nicoteles, [sc. also] a philosopher, and his student [was the] famous Posidonius. The sect called Annicerean [sc. originates] from him. He lived at the time of Alexander [sc. the Great]."

Diogenes Laertius says this (2.96-7):

"The school of Anniceris in other respects agreed with them [sc. The school of Hegesias], but admitted that friendship and gratitude and respect for parents do exist in real life, and that a good man will sometimes act out of patriotic motives. Hence, if the wise man receive annoyance, he will be none the less happy even if few pleasures accrue to him.

The happiness of a friend is not in itself desirable, for it is not felt by his neighbour. Instruction is not sufficient in itself to inspire us with confidence and to make us rise superior to the opinion of the multitude. Habits must be formed because of the bad disposition which has grown up in us from the first.

A friend should be cherished not merely for his utility – for, if that fails, we should then no longer associate with him – but for the good feeling for the sake of which we shall even endure hardships. Nay, though we make pleasure the end and are annoyed when deprived of it, we shall nevertheless cheerfully endure this because of our love to our friend."

Timeline

Lamian War Military Service
323–322 ^{BCE} – Athens (Attica)
(18–19 years old)

The Lamian War was an unsuccessful attempt by Athens (along with a large coalition of Greek states) to end the hegemony of Macedonia over Greece just after the death of Alexander the Great.

Epicurus was likely completing his obligatory military service in Athens during the Lamian War. While it is not specifically documented that Epicurus fought in any battles, being in Athens at the time means he was involved in some form of military service, possibly in support roles or as part of the city's defense efforts.

The Mytilene Misadventure
311 ^{BCE} – Mytilene, Lesbos
(30 years old)

Mytilene was probably under Cassander's or Lysimachus' overall control during this period, but they were now being challenged by Antigonos I Monophthalmus' territorial expansion.

Epicurus was forced to leave Mytilene due to conflicts with the local authorities.

Founding the Garden
306 ^{BCE}
(35 years old)

Athens was now...

Epicurus....

Death
270 ^{BCE}
(72 years old)

SETTING THE SCENE.

Epicurus.

X
x ^{BCE}
(x years old)

SETTING THE SCENE.

Epicurus.

TESTIMONIA

CERTORUM LIBRORUM VESTIGIA

U1 – U218

INCERTAE SEDIS FRAGMENTA OPINIONUMQUE TESTIMONIA

U219 – U607

PROLEGOMENA PHILOSOPHIAE

U219 – U241

CANONICA

U242– U265

PHYSICA

U266 – U395

ETHICA

U396 – U607

Tetrapharmakos

Τετραφάρμακος

ἄφοβον ὁ Θεός

God is not dreadful

ἀνύποπτον ὁ Θάνατος

Death is without [a reason for] suspicion

καὶ Τάγαθὸν μὲν εὕκτητον

what is Good is well procurable

τὸ δὲ Δεινὸν εὐεκκαρτέρητον

what is Awful is well enduring

ἄφοβος (ος, ον)	not dreadful	ΑΦΟΒΟΣ <i>not fleeing</i> <i>[in fear]</i>
ὁ Θεός οἱ Θεοί	god	ΘΕΟΣ <i>[divine entity]</i>
ὑποπτεύειν	to suspect	ὙΠΟΠΤΕΥΕΙΝ <i>looking</i> <i>[suspiciously] under</i>
ἀνύποπτος (ος, ον)	without [a reason for] suspicion	ΑΝΥΠΟΠΤΟΣ <i>not looking</i> <i>[suspiciously] under</i>
ὁ Θάνατος οἱ Θάνατοι	death	ΘΑΝΑΤΟΣ <i>dying</i>
τὸ ἀγαθόν τὰ ἀγαθὰ	what is good	ΑΓΑΘΟΝ <i>great</i>
κτᾶσθαι	to procure	ΚΤΑΣΘΑΙ <i>acquiring</i>
κεκτημένος (η, ον)	having possessed	ΚΕΚΤΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>acquired</i>
εὕκτητος (ος, ον)	well procurable	ΕΥΚΗΤΟΣ <i>acquiring easily</i>
δεινός (ή, όν)	awful	ΔΕΙΝΟΣ <i>fearing</i>
τὸ δεινόν τὰ δεινά	what is awful	
εὐεκκαρτέρης (ος, ον)	well enduring	ΕΥΕΚΚΑΡΤΕΡΗΤΟΣ <i>fully easily enduring</i>

The Tetrapharmakos is found in P.Herc. 1005.

1. Entrepreneurial Epicureans

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), [Ad Contubernales], P.Herc. 1005, col. 1/2

...πα[ρά]γουσιν [τι] γόν[ιμ]ον [κα]ὶ γινώσκουσιν πλεῖσ[τα] πιθα[νών], τ[ότε δὲ] παρανακάμ[ψομ]εν· ὁμολογῶ τοί[νυ]ν, ὡς καὶ κατ' ἀρχὰς ἔφην, τὸ τῶν χρηματιζόντων τινὰς Ἐπικουρείων πολλὰ μὲν συμφορητὰ καὶ λέγειν καὶ γράφειν, πολλὰ δ' αὐτῶν ἴδια τοῖς κατὰ τὴν πραγματείαν ἀσύμφωνα, τινὰ δ' ἐκέϊθεν ἐσπαραγμένα φλοιωδῶς καὶ ταχέως, χωρίσω [δὲ τῶν] [ὕπ' αὐτῶν]...

...Παράγουσιν **τι γόνιμον** καὶ γινώσκουσιν **πλεῖστα πιθανῶν**, τότε δὲ **Παρανακάμψομεν** – Ὅμολογῶ, τοίνυν, ὡς καὶ κατ' ἀρχὰς ἔφην, τὸ **τῶν χρηματιζόντων τινὰς Ἐπικουρείων πολλὰ μὲν συμφορητὰ καὶ λέγειν καὶ γράφειν**

– **πολλά δ' αὐτῶν ἴδια τοῖς κατὰ τὴν πραγματείαν ἀσύμφωνα, τινὰ δ' ἐκείθεν ἐσπαραγμένα φλοιωδῶς καὶ ταχέως**, Χωρίσω δὲ τῶν ὑπ' αὐτῶν...

...They introduce **something productive** and they know **a lot about what is persuasive**, then indeed We will turn away [from this topic] – I agree, therefore, as I said **from the beginning**, that **some of the business-minded Epicureans say and write many things that are suitable** – but **many of their idiosyncratic [sayings] are inconsistent in the things related to [their business] efforts**, while **some of these [sayings] are superficially and quickly ripped out from [the writings]**, but I will separate those [writings] made by them...

2. Hermarchus with Experience and Followers

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), [Ad Contubernales], P.Herc. 1005, col. 2/3

...ἀλλ' ἔ[στα]ι δυν[ατὸν ἐὰν τοῦτ]ο καὶ ἃ μ[ὲν εἰρ]γάσαν[το πάντες οἱ] μεθ' Ἑ[ρ]μαρχον [ἐ]μβάντες εἰς [τ]ὴν αἵρεσιν, ὁμοιότρο[πι]ά τις παρ[α]στήσῃ καὶ βε[βο]ύλη[μ]ένους καὶ πεπραχότας, ὅπερ οὐ πρότερον οἶομαι τοῦ πάντας ἀνθρώπους μέλανα[ς] εἶναι καὶ μικροὺς καὶ δι[εσ]τραμμένους· εἰ [δὲ] μὴ πρ[ο]σηγόρευσα[ν αὐτοὺς ἀναλό]γως τ[οῖς]...

...ἀλλ' ἔσται δυνατόν ἐὰν τοῦτο καὶ ἃ μὲν εἰργάσαντο πάντες Οἱ μεθ' Ἑρμαρχον ἐμβάντες εἰς τὴν αἵρεσιν ὁμοιότροπά Τις παραστήσῃ: **καὶ βεβουλημένους καὶ πεπραχότας, ὅπερ οὐ πρότερον Οἶομαι – τοῦ πάντας ἀνθρώπους μέλανας εἶναι καὶ μικροὺς καὶ διεστραμμένους – εἰ δὲ μὴ Προσηγόρευσαν αὐτοὺς ἀναλόγως τοῖς...**

...but it will be **possible** if Someone were to present **this in a similar way** and also **those things that all the [Epicureans] accomplished who entered into [our] school along with Hermarchus** : [presenting them] **as those who had intended [to do something] and also as those who had accomplished [that thing], which** I do not think [was the case] **in times before [them] – with all humanity [before then] being obscure [in their expressions], small [minded], and corrupted [in their actions] – but if They did not address them in proportion to the...**

3. Inexperienced "Helmsman Navigating from the Book"

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), [Ad Contubernales], P.Herc. 1005, col. 3/4

...τῶν Ἐπικουρεῖ[ων] προσαγο[ρευ]ομένων· ὁ μὲν γὰρ ἐγνωσμένος ἦ καὶ διστορημένος ὕφ' ἡμῶν, ὃς καὶ φησι εἶν[α]ι ὁ γνήσιος ἀναγνώ[στης] ἐπὶ γραφᾶς [ἐγ]λεκτάς κα[ὶ πλ]ήθῃ συγγρα[μμάτων], κἂν βάλλῃ [γ]ε [φ]αύλως, ἀνείληφε πολ[υ]λάς ἐγλογὰς καὶ τῶν μ[ε]ν ἐπὶ μέρους διανο[η]μάτων ἀπειρότατός ἐστιν. ἃ δὲ προστάττεται ποιεῖν, ἐπὶ κεφάλαι[α βλ]έπει, καθάπερ ὃν λ[έ]γουσιν ἐκ βυβλίου κυβ[ερνήτην] καὶ διὰ παντ[ὸς]...

...τῶν Ἐπικουρείων προσαγορευομένων· Ὁ μὲν γὰρ ἐγνωσμένος ἦ καὶ διστορημένος ὕφ' ἡμῶν, Ὅς καὶ φησι εἶναι "ὁ γνήσιος ἀναγνώστης" ἐπὶ γραφᾶς ἐγλεκτάς καὶ πλήθῃ συγγραμμάτων – κἂν βάλλῃ γε φαύλως, ἀνείληφε πολλὰς ἐγλογὰς – καὶ τῶν μὲν ἐπὶ μέρους διανοημάτων ἀπειρότατός ἐστιν! ἃ δὲ Προστάττεται ποιεῖν, ἐπὶ κεφάλαια Βλέπει – καθάπερ ὃν λέγουσιν "ἐκ βυβλίου κυβερνήτην" καὶ διὰ παντός...

...of those who are called Epicureans. while Someone **known** or **even described in detail by us**, Who also claims **to be "the authentic reader"** on select writings and on a multitude of compositions – even if he performs **poorly**, he has selected **many passages** – but **in individual mental perceptions** he is **the most inexperienced!** in fact, **for what** He must do, He looks only at the **main points** – just like **[someone] whom** they say is **"a helmsman [navigating] from the book"** and **always...**

τὸ διανόημα	[mental]	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΜΑ
τὰ διανοήματα	perception	<i>seeing through</i>

4. "The Fourfold Remedy"

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), [Ad Contubernales], P.Herc. 1005, col. 4/5

...μνη[σθεῖς τῶν λόγων δεδειγν]μέν[ω]ν καὶ τῶν [σοι] εὖ γε[γ]ονότων τόδε π[άλιν] ἐπιλογίζου καὶ κ[ενὰ] περὶ τοῦ μέλλοντο[ς νό]μιζε καὶ... καὶ πανταχῇ παρειρ[ημένο]ν ἡ τετραφάρμακος· 'ἄφοβον ὁ Θεός, ἀν[ύ]ποπτον ὁ Θάνατος καὶ τάγαθὸν μὲν εὕκτητον, τὸ δὲ δεινὸν εὐεκκα[ρ]τέρητον.' οὗς δ' ἐκ τῶν βυβλίων φησὶν ἐκφέρειν ἀν[α]λογισμούς, ταύτας εἴσει τὰς λέξεις ἀναλογισμούς, ταύτας Εἴσει τὰς λέξεις ἀνταποδόσεις δώδεκ' ἢ πεντεκαίδεκα...

...μνησθεῖς **τῶν λόγων δεδειγμένων** καὶ **τῶν σοι εὖ γεγονότων** – **τόδε πάλιν** Ἐπιλογίζου καὶ **κενὰ** περὶ τοῦ **μέλλοντος** Νόμιζε καὶ... καὶ **πανταχῇ** παρειρημένον "ἡ Τετραφάρμακος" "**ἄφοβον** ὁ Θεός, **ἀνύποπτον** ὁ Θάνατος – καὶ Τάγαθὸν μὲν **εὕκτητον**, τὸ δὲ Δεινὸν **εὐεκκαρτέρητον**." **οὗς δ' ἐκ τῶν βυβλίων** Φησὶν ἐκφέρειν **ἀναλογισμούς, ταύτας Εἴσει τὰς λέξεις ἀνταποδόσεις δώδεκ' ἢ πεντεκαίδεκα**...

...remembering the reasonings that have been demonstrated and those good things that have happened to you – You should even reflect upon this again and You should even consider [your concerns] about what is going to happen to be void [*i.e., having no content, and therefore "meaningless"*]... [*about three words missing*] ...and everywhere "The Fourfold Remedy" is mentioned, that "God is not dreadful, Death is not concerning – and while what is Good is well attainable, what is Awful is also well enduring." as for those reflections He claims to extract from the books, You will know these phrases as responses [in] twelve or fifteen [words]...

[*alternative*] You will find those words as responses twelve or fifteen [times]...

5. Clarity vs. Gossip

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), [Ad Contubernales], P.Herc. 1005, col. 5/6

...τῶν βαθυτέρων ὡς ἐν [δέ]χεται φανότατα πέφρασ[θαι] καὶ νοεῖ ταυτό παν[ταχῇ]· ὥδε ποῦ δυνησό[μεθ' ἐκ βυβλί]ων σοφώ[τατ' ἐ]ξενεγκεῖν; ἐ[πι]ιδεῖ[κνυ]ται δὲ τήν κατοχὴν [ὄλων ἐν τοῖς βυβλί]οις, τα[ράττων καὶ] θρυλῶν ὅτι Λε[όντι]ον καὶ ἑτέρα «ἐταῖρα» τις ἐν τῇ πραγματείᾳ μνημονεύεται – καὶ Νικίδιον ἦν Ἰδομενέως ἑρωμένη, Λεοντέως δὲ Μαρμά[ρι]ον, Ἑρμάρχου δὲ Δημη[τρ]ία – καὶ τοῖος ἦν Πυθο[κλ]έους π[αιδ]αγωγὸς Πολύ[αι]νος...

...τῶν **βαθυτέρων**, ὡς Ἐνδέχεται **φανότατα πέφρασθαι** – καὶ **Νοεῖ ταυτό πανταχῇ**: ὥδε, **ποῦ** Δυνησόμεθ' **ἐκ βυβλίων σοφώτατ'** ἐξενεγκεῖν; Ἐπιδείκνυται **δὲ τήν κατοχὴν ὄλων ἐν τοῖς βυβλί**οις, **ταράττων** καὶ **θρυλῶν** ὅτι **Λεόντιον** καὶ **ἑτέρα Ἑταῖρα** τις **ἐν τῇ πραγματείᾳ** μνημονεύεται, καὶ **Νικίδιον ἦν Ἰδομενέως ἑρωμένη, Λεοντέως** δὲ **Μαρμάριον, Ἑρμάρχου** δὲ **Δημητρία** – καὶ **τοῖος ἦν Πυθοκλέους** παιδαγωγὸς **Πολύαινος**...

...regarding deeper matters, how They are able to have been expressed most clearly – He also perceives this everywhere: so, where will We be able to extract the wisest [insights] from books? while He displays [his mental] retention of everything within the books, he [also] stirs up and chatters that Leontion and some other Courtesan are mentioned in the work, and that the lover of Idomeneus was Nikídon, and of Leonteus was Mammáion, and of Hermarchus was Dēmētría – and such a kind also was Pythocles' tutor Polyaeus ...

6. Experience vs. Titles

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), [Ad Contubernales], P.Herc. 1005, col. 6/7

...[εἰ μὲν τοῖς] ὄλον σ[οφῶν ὀνόμ]ασιν προσαγορεύ[ονται] οὐτ' ἐσμὲν ἡμε[ῖς] ὑπ[ὲρ] [ὅ] γε τῶν ἁλίω/ μνήμης [καὶ χάρι]τος οὐ τινι [γ]εγονότ[ων ἀλλ' ἡμῖν ο]ὔτε τῶν φίλων τινὶ συνευχόμεθα γεγέσθαι, τρέπομεν [πρὸς] τὰς λεγομένας αἶγας ἀγρίας τὸ κακόν· εἰ δέ τις – καλεῖ τοῖς ὀνόμασι τούτοις καὶ τοῖς παραπλησίοις [τ]ὸν δεδιδαγμένον μεθοδικῶ[ς] συνιέναι τὰν τοῖ[ς] βυβλίους τῶν καθη[γε]μ[ό]νων ὥστε [κ]αὶ προφέρεσθ[αι]...

...εἰ μὲν **τοῖς "ὄλον σοφῶν" ὀνόμασιν** Προσαγορεύονται – οὐτ' ἐσμὲν Ἡμεῖς **ὑπὸ, γε, τῶν "ἁλίων μνήμης καὶ χάριτος"** οὐ **τινι** γεγονότων **ἀλλ' ἡμῖν** – οὐτε **τῶν φίλων τινὶ** Συνευχόμεθα **γενέσθαι**, Τρέπομεν **πρὸς τὰς λεγομένας "αἶγας ἀγρίας" τὸ κακόν**· εἰ δέ **Τις** καλεῖ **τοῖς ὀνόμασι τούτοις καὶ τοῖς παραπλησίοις τὸν δεδιδαγμένον μεθοδικῶς συνιέναι τὰν τοῖς βυβλίους τῶν καθηγεμόνων**, ὥστε καὶ **προφέρεσθαι**...

...if They are addressed by the names "of the wholly wise" – We are not, in any case, under the influence of those "deserving remembrance and thanks" nor has it happened to anyone but us – nor do We pray together with any of our friends for this to happen, We divert the bad towards the so-called "wild goats." but if Someone addresses by these names and similar [titles], the person who has been methodically taught to understand the things in the books of [our] leaders, so that he may also bring forward...

Φιλωνίδης Λαοδίκειας

"the Son of the Friendly One" from "the City of Public Justice"

Philonides was active in Seleucid court and friendly with King *Seleucus IV Philopator*. During this time, Philonides also interacted with *Heliodorus*, the king's treasurer.

When *Seleucus IV Philopator* was assassinated (175 ^{BCE}), *Demetrius I Soter* (*Seleucus' older son*) was in Rome, so *Antiochus* (*Seleucus' younger son*) was proclaimed king – with *Heliodorus* a regent.

Antiochus IV Epiphanes (*Seleucus' brother*) soon arrived in Syria, displaced *Heliodorus* and made himself a co-ruler with *Antiochus* (who died a few years later).

Philonides continued to be active in the Seleucid court through the 11 year reign of *Antiochus IV Epiphanes* (r. 175 ^{BCE} – ob. 164 ^{BCE}).

The Jewish revolt saw a key success against the Seleucid monarchy with the rededication of the Temple (164 ^{BCE}) – but the Seleucids still held power over Judea and conflict continued.

After the natural death of *Antiochus IV Epiphanes*, his son *Antiochus V Eupator* and regent *Lysias* took control for only a few years.

With the help of the historian Polybius (who was also a Roman political detainee) *Demetrius I Soter* escaped from Rome and made his way to the Seleucid capital in Antioch.

Demetrius, the reigned as king for 12 years (r. 162 – ob. 150 ^{BCE}). Philonides lived in the court of *Demetrius I Soter* and educated the king.

Demetrius was the great⁵-grandson of Epicurus' contemporary DEMETRIUS I POLIORCETES:

DEMETRIUS I POLIORCETES → Stratonice I → Antiochus II Theos → Seleucus II Callinicus → Antiochus III the Great → *Seleucus IV Philopator* → *Demetrius I Soter*}

Philonides lived for around another twenty years after *Demetrius'* death.

1. The Gift of His Teaching, Rising Schools

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 2

...{[Φιλωνί]δης τοίνυν Λαοδι[κεύς]} ὁ αἰτιολογούμε[ος κατα] τῇ[ν] προγεγενημέ[νην πρὸς α]ὐτὸν δόσιν τῆς [ἐκείνου] θεωρίας ἀσμέν[ως συ]νηκολούθει. Καὶ γὰρ[ρ]... ...ταῖς γε[γεννημέναις σχο]λαῖς περ[ὶ]...

Φιλωνίδης, τοίνυν, Λαοδικεύς, ὁ αἰτιολογούμενος κατα τὴν προγεγενημένην πρὸς αὐτὸν δόσιν τῆς ἐκείνου θεωρίας – ἀσμένως συνηκολούθει. καὶ γὰρ... ..ταῖς γεγεννημέναις σχολαῖς περὶ...

...Philonides, then, of Laodicea, who was investigating in accordance with the gift previously granted to [the teacher] of that man’s [i.e., Epicurus] theory – followed [this teacher] eagerly. for indeed... ...for the schools that had arisen concerning...

[Assante] So Philonides of Laodicea, who was investigating causes according to the doctrine previously granted to him as a gift, was a most eager follower. And indeed... at the lessons held concerning...

[Gallo] αἰτιολογέω is characteristic of Epicurean language and may suggest a specific reference to philosophical training.

[Assante] "to him" πρὸς αὐτὸν likely refers to a teacher of Philonides, probably mentioned earlier.

It is plausible to think that this concerned the education of Philonides, in which his zeal and aptitude for research were praised.

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, *P.Herc. 1044*, fr. 3 fin.

Λέγει δὲ καὶ τὸ τῇ συμ[βι]ώ[ι]σει τῇ μετ' ἀλλήλων [εὐ]δοκεῖν τὸν τε πατέρα [φιλεῖν] αὐτὸν οὕτως ὥς καὶ πολλῶν προ[τρει]όν[τ]ων εἰς γάμ[ον]...

Λέγει δὲ καὶ τὸ τῇ συμβιώσει τῇ μετ' ἀλλήλων εὐδοκεῖν – τὸν τε πατέρα φιλεῖν αὐτὸν οὕτως ὥς, καὶ πολλῶν προτρεπόντων εἰς γάμον...

He also says that he favored the cohabitation together – and that he loved his father so much that, even though many urged him toward marriage...

[Assante] He also reports his satisfaction with their mutual life together and his affection for his father in particular, such that, although many urged him to marry...

[Nicolardi] *We may here be informed that Philonides did not marry – nor presumably have children; because, as seen below, his brother younger Brother Dicaearchus was his legal heir – and this might also be the reason why Philonides needed him to consent to any alienation of property.*

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, *P.Herc. 1044*, fr. 50

...[τῆς χο]ρηγίας τῆς... ...[π]ρὸς τὴν ἀνάληψιν τῆς ὑ[γιοῦς] διαθέσ[ε]ως...

...τῆς χορηγίας τῆς... ...πρὸς τὴν ἀνάληψιν τῆς ὑγιούς διαθέσεως...

...of the support provided of... ...for the recovery of a healthy condition...

[Assante] ...for the recovery of sound condition...

3. Freeing his Slaves

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, *P.Herc. 1044*, fr. 59, 5

...{[α]τά, τὰ λ[οιπὰ]/ μ[ετὰ] δὲ ταῦτα τὰ λ[α]} κατ[α]λει[π]ων ὑπ[ὲρ]χοντα κα[ὶ] ἀδελφῶι κοινά. ὁμῶς πρὸς το[ῖς] ἀπηλευθε[ρ]ωμένοις ὑπ' αὐτοῦ σώ[μασ]ιν ἤθελέν τι καὶ {τοῦς [λοιποῦ]ς / τὸ γέ[ν]ος} ἀπελευθερῶσαι. Καὶ [τὸν] ἀδελφὸν ἐρωτήσας {[εἴ τι] δοκεῖ προσγράψαι, [έβο]υλεύσατο· καὶ Χρυ...προαπε[λευθερωθεῖς] καὶ Πεισ/[εἰ εὐ]δοκεῖ προσγράψαι, [διεκ]ελεύσατο· καὶ χρη... ...ἀκόλουθον}...

...μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα, ἄλλα καταλείπων – ὑπάρχοντα καὶ ἀδελφῶ κοινά. ὁμῶς, πρὸς τοῖς ἀπηλευθερωμένοις ὑπ' αὐτοῦ σώμασιν, ἠθελέν τι καὶ – τὸ γένος ἀπελευθερῶσαι

...beyond these things, he was leaving behind the other things – which were also shared with his brother. nevertheless, in addition to the slaves [lit. bodies] freed by him, He wanted something else – to free their kin

[Assante] ...for these things; setting aside the other goods, because they were shared also with his brother. Moreover, beyond the slaves he had already freed, he also wanted in some way to free their children

[Nicolardi] after this, leaving the other goods behind, as they were shared with his brother too, nevertheless, in addition to the slaves previously freed by him [scil. his brother Dicaearchus], he somehow wanted to free also their offspring

καὶ τὸν ἀδελφὸν ἐρωτήσας, εἰ Εὐδοκεῖ προσγράψαι, Διεκελεύσατο· καὶ Χρῆ... ...ἀκόλουθον...

and after asking his brother, if He approves to adding [additions to the manumissions] in writing, He gave instructions; and Chre[us]... ...an attendant...

[Assante] and after consulting his brother about the appropriateness of making additions to the list, he arranged...

[Nicolardi] and after having asked him if he gave his consent, he ordered to add to the list also... ...attendant...

[Assante] It may be that Philonides consulted his brother about the possibility of drawing up an additional list of manumissions.

Or Philonides (after choosing not to involve himself with the slaves jointly owned with his brother so as not to interfere with his relative’s management of property) wished to grant his own previously freed slaves a kind of hereditary right of freedom, so that their descendants (τὸ γένος) too might enjoy a similar privilege.

[Nicolardi] This passage would lead us to presume that the ownership of these slaves was shared between the two of them, just like the ownership of the goods also mentioned.

It seems that, although Philonides did not determine anything specific about the other goods (as they were shared with his brother), he nevertheless wanted to make an addition to the manumissions previously performed by him.

It is probable that the properties that are the object of these lines had been transmitted to the two brothers by inheritance. Collective ownership and collective manumissions of slaves are quite frequently attested.

4. Departure from Family

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 58

...{[καταλ]ιπὼν / [προσε]ιπὼν} καὶ πατέ[ρα καὶ ἀ]δελφόν, ᾧ καὶ [συνακολ]οιῶν οὐδενός... ..μηαι νοήση<ι>. Φι[λωνίδης μέ]ν αὐτός ἀκο[λουθεῖν οὐκ ἔ]λεγεν, οὐδ'...

...καταλιπὼν καὶ πατέρα καὶ ἀδελφόν – ᾧ, καὶ συνακολουθῶν, οὐδενός ἄν Νοήσει. Φιλωνίδης, μὲν, αὐτὸς ἀκολουθεῖν οὐκ ἔλεγεν, οὐδ'...

...having left behind {^{or}, having addressed} both father and brother – and, with whom, when following alongside, He would conceive of nothing. Philonides himself, however, did not say that he followed [them], nor even...

[Assante] ...having called him father and brother, and following him... ..he himself says [that he follows]...

[Assante] There is an allusion to the Philonides' departure from home, compelled to leave his loved ones in view of an important journey. It is known from other sources (see inscriptions below) that Philonides undertook several journeys and embassies in the company of his father (of the same name) and his younger brother Dicaearchus, but in this case, it is possible that he had decided to depart alone, for reasons not specified.

5. Geometry and Astrology

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 49 | 3 sup.

...γεωμετρίαι τε καὶ ἀσ[τρο]λογίαι· καὶ ἰδίως πως τὰς ἐν ταύτῃ περὶ τῶν μετεώρων ἀπεδέδεκτο. Περί δὲ τοῦ κατὰ τὴν φιλοτεκνίαν [ζήλου] λαλῶν· ἔτι παιδίων – φησὶν – ἡμῶν ὄντων, [προσέ[σχομε]ν / προσε[δοῦμε]ν] | μηδὲ[ν] ἀπόκρυφον [πεπο]ῆσθαι π[ρὸς] αὐτούς, [ἀλλὰ π]άντα κ[αί] λόγον καὶ [πρᾶ]γμα ἐν μέ[σ]ω<ι> θεθεικέ[ναι].

...Γεωμετρίαι τε καὶ Ἀστρολογίαι – καὶ ἰδίως πως, τὰς ἐν ταύτῃ περὶ τῶν μετεώρων Ἀπεδέδεκτο. περὶ δὲ τοῦ κατὰ τὴν φιλοτεκνίαν ζήλου λαλῶν:

...Geometries and Astrologies – and in particular, [Philonides] had adopted those [teachings of Epicurus] in this [topic] dealing with meteorological phenomena. and speaking of zeal regarding the fondness of children:

[Assante] ...To geometry and astrology. And in particular, [Philonides] had in some way accepted the opinions [of Epicurus] in this [discipline] relative to celestial phenomena. Speaking then of... in relation to love for children:

[Gallo] αἰτιολογέω is characteristic of Epicurean language and may suggest a specific reference to the philosophical training.

[Assante] "*in particular*" (**ἰδίως**) could serve to introduce a clarification, in relation to a rather generic title mentioned in the previous lines: perhaps it was stated that the Epicurean doctrine of celestial phenomena was taken up within a broader treatment of astronomy.

These lines probably referred generally to the scientific field, perhaps in connection with the topic of a teacher's lessons.

It seems, moreover, that the philosopher adopted Epicurus' theory on the meteōra, discussed in several passages of the *On Nature* and in the *Letter to Pythocles*; all the more reason to think of a **Dionysodorus [of Kaunos the Younger]** as Philonides' teacher, inclined toward the Epicurean creed and particularly devoted to scientific studies.

[Assante] It seems possible to discern a reference to a work of ethical content. We know from the testimony of Demetrius Lacon (*P.Herc. 1012, col. LXVI 5 ff. Puglia*) and other sources that the Epicurus likely considered love for offspring to be natural.

"ἔτι παιδίων" Φησὶν " ἡμῶν ὄντων Προσεδοῦμεν μηδὲν ἀπόκρυφον πεποιῆσθαι πρὸς αὐτούς – ἀλλὰ πάντα – καὶ λόγον καὶ πρᾶγμα – ἐν μέσῳ τεθεικέναι."

"while we were still children" [Philonides] says "We felt the need to do nothing hidden from them – but [to place] everything – both word and deed, out in the open."

[Assante] He says: "When we were still children, it never occurred to us (προσέσχομεν) / we never felt the need (προσεδοῦμεν) to do anything in secret toward them, but rather to reveal every word or action..."

[Assante] We recover an explicit reference to Philonides' clear and upright behavior toward his family from an early age.

6. Teachers of Philonides, Commentaries On Epicurus Works

[Assante] The papyrus merely mentions a "sixth book," without indicating the title of the work or the name of the author; nevertheless, no scholar has questioned that in these opening lines is a reference to Epicurus' principal work. At first, the absence of the title in this passage might suggest that Epicurus' work had already been cited earlier in this biography – but *On Nature* (**Περὶ Φύσεως**) is mentioned explicitly later on in this biography (along with the reference to the 8th book).

Based on the division of *On Nature* (**Περὶ Φύσεως**) into three distinct sections – physics, logic, and ethics – it is likely that the commentary on the sixth book of the famous treatise concerned Epicurean physics. It is also probable that in the sixth book Epicurus dealt with questions of physics somehow connected to geometry and mathematics – whose traditional foundations we know Epicurus rejected.

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, *P.Herc. 1044, fr. 7*

...[Φιλωνί]δης τοίνυν Λαοδιφει διακηκοένα[ι· ἐν μέν]τοι βυβλίους ὑπ[ο]μν[ήμα]τα φέρει {δύ' ἀρχαῖοι / δύ' ἀρχαῖα} τῶν παρ' Εὐδήμῳ καὶ τῶν πρὸς τὸ ἕκτον, καὶ περ[ὶ] τῶν ἐπιστημονικῶν δι[α]ανοήσεων καὶ τῶν παρ' Ἀρτέμωνι ἀπὸ τοῦ πρὸς τὸ πρῶτον μέχρι πρὸς τὸ τρίτ[ον] καὶ <τρι>[α]κοστόν, ἐκλ[ι]πόν[των τινῶν], καὶ σ[χολ]ῶν τῶν [π]αρὰ Διονυ[σοδ]ώρῳ. φέρε[ι δὲ] καὶ σύντ[αγμα] π[ρὸς τὸ]ν Παταρέ[α]...

...Φιλωνίδης, τοίνυν, Λαοδίκεια φησὶ διακηκοένα· ἐν, μέντοι, βυβλίους ὑπομνήματα φέρει: δύ' ἀρχαῖα, τῶν παρ' Εὐδήμῳ καὶ τῶν πρὸς τὸ ἕκτον – καὶ περὶ τῶν ἐπιστημονικῶν διανοήσεων – καὶ τῶν παρ' Ἀρτέμωνι ἀπὸ τοῦ πρὸς τὸ πρῶτον μέχρι πρὸς τὸ τρίτον καὶ τριακοστόν, ἐκλιπόντων τινῶν (καὶ σχολῶν τῶν παρὰ Διονυσόδωρῳ). Φέρει δὲ καὶ σύνταγμα πρὸς τὸν Παταρέα...

...Philonides, then, says he become a disciple in Laodicea. indeed, in his books, he includes commentaries: two ancient [sources], those from Eudemos [of Pergamon] indeed those ^[1] up to the sixth [book of Epicurus on Nature] and concerning epistemological perceptions – and those of Artemon ["of Laodicea"] ^[2] from the first up to the thirty-third [book of Epicurus on Nature], with some missing (as well as lectures from Dionysodorus). He also includes a treatise addressed to the man from Patara...

[Assante] ...he writes that he had listened to. Among the books, he mentions two ancient commentaries: that of Eudemos – both the **one on the sixth book [On Nature by Epicurus]** and the one concerning scientific representations –and that of Artemon, from the first book up to the thirty-third [book], with the exception of some [books]. Among the lectures, he refers to those **of Dionysiodorus**. He also mentions a work against the Patarean...

[Assante] *There appears to be a general reference to certain writings and teachings of mentors who must have been particularly significant for Philonides. This passage also sheds light on the history of the exegesis of Epicurus’ work in antiquity, attested by an active intellectual life even in distant Syria.*

It is reasonable to presume that Philonides’ initiation into Epicureanism had already occurred under the guidance of Artemon "of Laodicea." In my view, it is clear that we are not dealing here with commentaries by Philonides on those already written by others, but rather with the commentaries of his teachers.

From this passage, it seems both Eudemos [of Pergamon] and Artemon "of Laodicea" were Epicureans that wrote commentaries on Epicurus' work On Nature. We also learn below that Philonides was educated by Eudemos and Dionysodorus [of Kaunos the Younger].

ἡ ἐπιστήμη αἱ ἐπιστήμαι	understanding	ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΗ <i>standing upon</i>
ἐπιστημονικός <i>(ή, όν)</i>	epistemological	ΕΠΙΣΤΗΜΟΝΙΚΟΣ <i>characteristic of standing upon</i>
τò διανόημα τά διανοήματα	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing through</i>

7. First Study with Mathematicians: Eudemos and Dionysodorus

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 25 sup.

...όμολογεῖν αὐτοῖς. Ὁ μὲν οὖν τὰ σημειώματα ποιήσας ταῦτ' ἐπισεσήμανται· Φιλωνίδης ἤκουσε μὲν Εὐδήμου πρώτου, μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα Διον[υσό]δωρου τοῦ Διον[υσοδῶρ]ου Καυνίο[υ]...

...όμολογεῖν αὐτοῖς. Ὁ μὲν οὖν τὰ σημειώματα ποιήσας ταῦτα ἐπισεσήμανται: Φιλωνίδης ἤκουσε μὲν Εὐδήμου πρώτου – μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα, Διονυσοδώρου, τοῦ Διονυσοδώρου Καυνίου...

...to agree with them. now He who composed these notes has especially marked: Philonides first studied under Eudemos [of Pergamon] – and after that, under Dionysodorus, the son of Dionysodorus of Kaunos [in Caria]...

[Assante] ...to be in agreement with them. So the one who made the annotations highlighted these facts: Philonides first listened to Eudemos [of Pergamon], then to Dionysiodorus [of Amisus], son of Dionysiodorus of Caunos...

[Assante] *Eudemos of Pergamon* was the dedicatee of Book 2 of Apollonius of Perga's *Conics*.

I believe *Dionysodorus [of Kaunos the Younger]* was a significant figure aligned with Epicurean doctrine and not unfamiliar with scientific interests.

(1) the *Dionysodorus* mentioned here was from Caunos

(2) he had a father of the same name, who is also mentioned – unusual, since patronymics do not appear for other figures in this biography

(3) Philonides probably published his lectures

Rather than claiming that *Eudemos* was definitively Philonides' "first teacher" (though I do not rule out that he attended his lectures), I think the passage simply says that among *Eudemos* and *Dionysodorus*, (likely grouped together because both were mathematicians), Philonides happened to listen first to the one and then the other, without specifying when exactly his "apprenticeship" took place.

[Philippson] The biographer was providing an additional detail about the nature of his source, probably a set of written notes (perhaps by a student of Philonides), already reviewed by others.

The philological work done on the text (i.e., the source from which our biographer draws his information) is attributed to another hand – "Ο μὲν οὖν τὰ σημειώματα ποιήσας" – who, within a larger body of data, performed a selection, highlighting (ἐπισεσήμανται) the most relevant points.

8. Study with Epicureans: Timasagoras – Basileides & Thespis – Old Iolaus

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 11

...{φιλοσόφων... ...καὶ Τιμασαγ[όρ]ου} Β[ασιλείδ]ου κα[ὶ] Θέσπιδος, {[οῖς συγγέγο]νε μὲν ἐνιαυτόν, [ἀπολυπὼν δ]ὲ πάλιν βασιλέ[α συνῆ]ν / [ῶν ἀκουστ]ῆς μὲν ἐνιαυτόν, [ἐσχόλασε δ]ὲ πάλιν βασιλε[ίδη κ]αὶ} Θ[έσ]πιδι μῆνας ἕξ. Σ[υνέτ]υχεν δὲ καὶ Ἰολάωι [μέχρι εἰ]ς ποσὸν [χρό]νον καὶ [πολλοῖς] ἄλλοις [φιλ]οσόφοις...

...φιλοσόφων... ...καὶ Τιμασαγόρου – Βασιλείδου καὶ Θέσπιδος, ῶν Ἀκουστῆς μὲν ἐνιαυτόν – Ἐσχόλασε δὲ πάλιν βασιλείδῃ καὶ Θέσπιδι μῆνας ἕξ.

...of the philosophers... ...and of Timasagoras – of Basileides and of Thespis, [he was] a Student of them for a year – and He studied again with Basileides and Thespis for six months.

[Assante] ...among the philosophers... and Timasagoras, Basileides, and Thespis: having listened to them for a year, he was then again a pupil of Basileides and Thespis for six months.

Συνέτυχεν δὲ καὶ Ἰολάω μέχρι εἰς ποσὸν χρόνον καὶ πολλοῖς ἄλλοις φιλοσόφοις...

He also met with Iolaus [grandfather of the Antiphanes] for some time and with many other philosophers...

[Assante] He later also met Iolaus for a certain period and many other philosophers...

[Assante] Timasagoras is associated with Nikasikrates of Rhodes and is mentioned only by Philodemus – and always in a polemical tone. It is therefore plausible (as Philippson argued) that he was a dissident Epicurean, at odds with the official line represented in Athens by Basileides and Thespis.

The mention of Timasagoras immediately before Basileides and Thespis does not necessarily reflect complete ideological association, but rather a "geographical" and "occasional" one – that is, tied to the period of education Philonides spent in Athens, during which he had the opportunity to encounter and engage with various schools of thought, even those opposed to one another.

Such an observation by the biographer is certainly in keeping with his encomiastic intent: the aim is to emphasize how Philonides ultimately arrived at Epicurean belief.

[Assante] Nothing certain can be said about Iolaus, besides the fact that he was the grandfather of the Antiphanes, also mentioned in this biography. It is not to be ruled out that Iolaus was himself an Epicurean philosopher.

The overall sense of this section would be as follows: just as, after hearing first Eudemos and then Dionysodorus among the 'mathematicians,' Philonides chose to adopt the principles of the latter (presumably in the scientific sphere), openly declaring himself his disciple – so too, in the same way, only after interacting over the span of a year with various philosophers (who, though belonging to different currents of thought, must have circulated in Athens at the same time), did he incline toward the official line of the Epicurean school, deciding to attend the lectures of Basileides and Thespis as a student (no longer merely as a listener) for another six months.

In both the case of the mathematicians and the philosophers, it seems the intention is to allude to a kind of preliminary survey of the different schools by Philonides before making a more deliberate and conscious choice.

9. Joined the School, Multitude of Philologists

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 12

...ἀνέβαιν' ἔτου[ς τοῦ] αὐτοῦ καὶ ἀνέβαιν' ἐς [σχο]λὴν ἔχων μεθ' ἑαυ[του] φιλο[λόγ]ων πλῆθος, ὧ[ν προσ]ώπω<ι> [μό]νον διαλλάσσει. Ὅ δὲ ἐξ[ῆς] τῆς σχολῆς περ[ιέχ]εσ[θαι] ἤδη καὶ. προσ[κοπ]ήν [μεγίστ]ην ποι[εῖσθαι]...

...Ἀνέβαιν' ἔτους τοῦ αὐτοῦ, καὶ Ἀνέβαιν' εἰς σχολὴν, ἔχων μεθ' ἑαυτοῦ φιλολόγων πλῆθος – ὧν προσώπω μόνον Διαλλάσσει.

...[Philonides] advanced in that same year and advanced into the school [of Basileides and Thespis], having with him a multitude of philologists [i.e., lovers of reasoning] – from whom He differed only in appearance.

[Assante] ...[Philonides] ascended in the same year and went up to the residence with a retinue of knowledge-lovers, from whom he differed only in manner.

Ὅ δὲ, ἐξ[ῆς], τῆς σχολῆς περιέχεσθαι ἤδη καὶ προσκοπὴν μεγίστην ποιεῖσθαι...

but He, from that point on, [said that he] was enthralled with the school already and was making it his greatest consideration... [sc. f. with the Epicureans Basileides and Thespis]

[Assante] He then [sought] regularly to stay in contact with the school and to make very great progress...

[Assante] It seems to me that the subject remains Philonides, and that this still concerns Philonides’ period of training in Athens.

I have preferred to translate [αὐλ]ήν (correction for [σχο]λήν) generically as "residence," though I believe it referred to an open space – some kind of courtyard within a building, used as the location of a philosophical school (perhaps specifically the Athenian school of Basileides and Thespis).

Rather than "philosophers" (φιλοσοφοῖ), the reference seems to be to "philologists" (φιλόλογοι), in the positive sense of the term. I believe the reference is to the other students who, like Philonides, regularly came to attend the lectures in large numbers.

The term σχολή here clearly means "philosophical school," while elsewhere it appears in the plural to refer to "lessons."

10. Eighth Book On Nature, Geometry of the Minima

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 13, 14 (29)

...διαστικ[ά]... ...[ποι]κίλα. Καὶ γὰρ πρὸ[ς τοὺς δο]ξάζοντας διεν[έγ]κα[ι ποι]κίλως γεωμετρίας δι[ια]λεκτικ[ήν] ῥήτορας δι[υσφ]η[μέτ]ι... ...[φιλ]ονικοῦν[τι]ες... ...[λ]ελυκέναι ὑπέρ... ...[δὲ τοῦ ὄγ]δόου περὶ φύσεως καὶ ἄλλας παντοδα[π]ὰς εἰς τὰ

δόγματ' α[ύτου] γεωμετρικάς περι ἑλα[χίσ]του πολλὰς. Πεπόηκεν δὲ νέοις ἀργοῖς ὠφελίμους καὶ [τ]ὰς ἐπιτομὰς τ[ῶν] ἐπιστολῶν τῶν Ἐπικούρου[ου,] Μητροδώρου, Πολυαίνου, Ἑρμάρχου καὶ τῶν σ[υνηγ]μέ[νων] κατὰ γένος ἐπ[ι]στο[λῶν]...

...διαστικά... ...ποίκιλα. καὶ γὰρ πρὸς τοὺς δοξάζοντας διενέγκαι ποικίλως: γεωμετρίας, διαλεκτικὴν, ῥήτορας Δυσφημεῖ· ...Φιλόνικούντες... ...Λελυκέναι ὑπὲρ... ...δὲ τοῦ ὀγδόου *Περὶ Φύσεως* καὶ ἄλλας παντοδαπὰς εἰς τὰ δόγματα αὐτοῦ – γεωμετρικὰς, περὶ ἐλαχίστου πολλὰς.

...punctuated... ...diverse things. for indeed, to respond to those who holding opinions in various ways: [Philonides] is disparaging of geometry, dialectic, rhetors... ...Quarrelers... ...He had refuted on behalf of... ...and of [Epicurus'] eighth *On Nature* and many other assorted ones among his doctrines – many of them related to geometry, concerning the minimum.

[Assante] ...various kinds. And in fact, he debated with the rhetoricians who believe that dialectic... in various respects... geometry... then to [Epicurus'] eighth book *On Nature* and many other works of various kinds according to his geometrical principles concerning the minimum.

Πεπόηκεν δὲ νέοις ἀργοῖς ὠφελίμους – καὶ τὰς ἐπιτομὰς τῶν ἐπιστολῶν τῶν Ἐπικούρου, Μητροδώρου, Πολυαίνου, Ἑρμάρχου, καὶ τῶν συνηγμένων κατὰ γένος ἐπιστολῶν...

He produced useful works for idle youths – including abridgments of the letters of Epicurus, Metrodorus, Polyaenus, Hermarchus, and [abridgments] of those letters collected by type...

[Assante] He also composed epitomes – useful for lazy youths – of the letters of Epicurus, Metrodorus, Polyaenus, Hermarchus, and the letters... by type...

[Sedley] Philonides is said by his anonymous biographer in PHerc. 1044 to have solved [difficulties] concerning [Epicurus'] *On Nature* Book VIII, and various others relating to his doctrines, and many geometrical ones concerning the minimal unit.

[Assante] Overall it seems that the biographer repeatedly refers to his activity as a writer. I would connect this textual section to the contribution Philonides made to the exegesis and dissemination of the work of Epicurus and his disciples, always with particular attention to the scientific field.

It seems reasonable to me that the biographer was referring generically to Philonides' writings, which were useful for communicative purposes and varied in content.

[Assante] Reference is made to Philonides' exegetical work on the canonical text of Epicurus and more generally to scientific topics – notably, the doctrine of "minima."

This also confirms an interesting reference to Book 8 of Epicurus' *On Nature*, a book not attested elsewhere – but likely (like Book 6) to have dealt with physics, assuming the work was divided into the usual three sections: physics, logic, and ethics.

This is followed by the biographer alluding to the epitomes composed by Philonides of the letters of the Καθηγεμόνες (Epicurean Scholarchs).

The main issue concerns the meaning of the term ἐπιτομή (epitomē) in this specific context. In the Epicurean school, the epistle was already considered a kind of didactic-compendious form, designed to transmit key doctrines concisely and accessibly. It is therefore strange that Philonides would feel the need to create summaries of texts already so 'condensed,' unless we interpret the ἐπιτομαί as "excerpts from letters" or "selections"

We also have here the use of the adjective ὠφέλιμος (useful) again in reference to the writings of Philonides, whose usefulness for future generations is emphasized above all – once again within a eulogistic framework aimed at highlighting the profound and lasting contribution made by the philosopher to the dissemination of the Epicurean creed.

...{προστίθησ[ιν] ὅτι} ἡχαρίστησεν Ἀρτέμ[ω]νι τῷ καθηγητῇ κα[ί] σ[υνεσ]τήσατο ἐ[ν] τ[ῇ] αὐτῇ[ι] πόλ[ει σ]χολήν [ἐ]πί κ[α]ταλύσει τοῦ κ[αθηγητοῦ]... ...Καὶ Φιλωνί[δης]... ...δ' ὅλου βυ[βλίου]...

...Προστίθουσιν ὅτι Ἐχαρίστησεν Ἀρτέμωνι, τῷ καθηγητῇ, καὶ Συνεστήσατο ἐν τῇ αὐτῇ πόλει σχολήν ἐπὶ καταλύσει τοῦ καθηγητοῦ... ...καὶ Φιλωνίδης... ...δ' ὅλου βιβλίου...

...He adds that He 'expressed gratitude' to [the Epicurean] Artemon, his teacher, and He established in the same city a school for the [forced] retirement of the teacher... ...and Philonides... ...of an entire book...

[Assante] ...he adds that he was ungrateful toward his teacher Artemon and established a school in the same city to the ruin of the teacher...



[Assante] This concerns the relationship between Philonides one of his earliest teachers, the Epicurean Artemon "of Laodicea." From the text, it appears that Philonides may not have shown gratitude to his former teacher – instead founding a rival school in the same city.

12. Seleucus IV Philopator friendly with Philonides

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 6 | 6a

...περιπλεκῆναι δι[α]ν[α]στάντα(*) καὶ τὰς ἀλλήλ[ω]ν προσεκτίθεται φιλοφροσύνας. γέγονε δὴ φανερόν ὅτι καὶ Φιλοπ[ά]τωρ ἦν εὐνούστατος Φιλωνίδ[ης]...

[Gallo] ...π[ι]σ[ς] ἐκτιθέ[ναι]... ...μνη]μο[σύνας]... ..."Ἔστιν δὴ] φανερόν ὅτ[ι] ἐπεὶ ὁ Φιλοπ[ά]τωρ ἦν εὐνούστατος Φιλωνίδ[ης]...



...περιπλεκῆναι διαστάντα, καὶ τὰς ἀλλήλων Προσεκτίθεται φιλοφροσύνας. Γέγονε δὴ φανερόν ὅτι καὶ Φιλοπάτωρ ἦν εὐνούστατος Φιλωνίδει...

...to have become entangled after parting ways, and He extended mutual courtesies. so It has become clearly evident that even [Seleucus IV] Philopator was very well-disposed toward Philonides...

[Nicolardi] ...to read the memorandae [μνημοσύνας] publicly. It is clear that since [Seleucus] Philopator was most friendly towards Philonides...

[Assante] ...reciprocally... to express... ...it is evident that Philonides was also justly affectionate toward his father.

[Nicolardi] Gera's new interpretation was essentially based on the adjective φιλοπάτωρ, which he did not read as a reference to Philonides and his relationship with his father, with Crönert and Gallo, but which he rather capitalized and understood as the epithet of the king Seleucus IV.

13. Letter of Recommendation to Heliodorus of Antioch

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 20 fin., 21

...{κατὰ τὰ τῆς ἡμέρας ἐσχάτης} τὴν ἡμέραν ὅλην ἔμ[εινε]. Καὶ τῶν συμ[βι]ούντων παρα[γε]νόμεν[ος]... ...Ἡλιόδωρε, ὡς ἀπὸ τύχης, γενναῖον καὶ ἄπλαστον τὸ πρὸς τοὺς φίλους ἦθος [καί] ἰλαρότητος τῆς πρὸς πάντας <μετα>ποι<ού>μενον...
...[δελ]φῶν... ...ἀκριβοῦ[ς]... ...[δικαι]άρχου τραχύ[τητα]... ...βασιλέω[ς]... ...βασιλεῖ... ...[χρησιμώ]τατος δ' ἐκεῖνος ἦν, [οὐκ ἐ]πινοητὴν ἔχω[ν τὴν φύσιν]...

"...κατὰ τὰ τῆς ἡμέρας ἐσχάτης τὴν ἡμέραν ὅλην" Ἐμεινε. καὶ τῶν συμβιούντων παραγενόμενος... ...Ἡλιόδωρε, ὡς ἀπὸ τύχης, γενναῖον καὶ ἄπλαστον τὸ πρὸς τοὺς φίλους ἦθος – καὶ ἰλαρότητος τῆς πρὸς πάντας μεταποιούμενο...
...ἀκριβοῦς... ...Δικαιάρχου τραχύτητα... ...βασιλέως... ...βασιλεῖ...
...χρησιμώτατος δ' ἐκεῖνος ἦν – οὐκ ἐπινοητὴν ἔχων τὴν φύσιν..."

"...as concerns the last day, He stayed the whole day. and being present among those living with him.... ...Oh Heliodorus, as if by chance, his Disposition toward his friends was noble and unfeigned – being transformed with cheerfulness toward everyone... ...to make precise... ...the harshness of Dicaearchus... ...of the king... ...to the king... ...and that Man [i.e., Philonides] was most useful – having a nature not contrived [lit. 'overthought']..."

[Assante] "...during the events of the last day... ...the whole day... ...and of those who lived with him... {Heliodorus, as it happened, [had] a generous and sincere character toward his friends...} ...harshness of Dicaearchus... ...of the king... ...to the king... ...this man was extremely useful, not having a speculative nature..."

Heliodorus of Antioch (fl.c. 175 BCE) was a treasurer of Seleucus IV Philopator. Due to his efforts related to the 2nd temple, he is mentioned as a villain in 2 Maccabees and (alluded to) in the Book of Daniel.

[Assante] We are informed by Appian (Syr. VIII 45) of the episode in which Seleucus IV Philopator was assassinated by Heliodorus of Antioch, who had vainly attempted to seize power on behalf of Seleucus IV’s young son, the future Demetrius I Soter – a plan that failed due to the ascension of the king’s brother, Antiochus IV Epiphanes. Heliodorus would later be readmitted to the Syrian court and was eventually reintegrated politically.

Possibly the biographer is quoting a letter addressed to Heliodorus of Antioch, in which the amiable character of Philonides was praised. I would think the author of the letter must have been a political figure of some importance – perhaps even a king.

[Assante] It seems plausible that this section alludes to the practical character of Philonides’ younger brother, Dicaearchus, who was not at all inclined toward speculative activity, but was undoubtedly of great help in other affairs – especially if, as suggested, a comparison between the two brothers follows shortly after, highlighting their contrasting traits: the gentleness of one and the harshness of the other.

14. Heliodorus removed from Seleucid Court

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 28.1,

...αὐτοῦ Ἡλιόδωρον ἀποξε[vo]λογήσαντα καὶ συναπά[ραντα]...

...αὐτοῦ Ἡλιόδωρον, ἀποξενολογήσαντα καὶ συναπάραντα...

...with him Heliodorus [of Antioch], having been denounced as a stranger and carried off along...

[Assante] ...of him... Heliodorus, who completed the enlistment and departed together...

[Assante] The minister of Seleucus IV Philopator already mentioned in the biography. Here, his identification with the political figure known from historical sources seems secure, since the passage alludes to a military action, presumably in some way involving Philonides himself.

[Philippson] Philonides may have dissuaded Seleucus IV Philopator’s military commander (to whom αὐτοῦ above would refer) from recruiting Carian mercenaries near Kaunos.

15. Antiochus IV Epiphanes the Epicurean, 125 Brief Synopses of Philonides

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 30

...τοῦ Ἐπιφανοῦς ἡλλοτριωμένου πρὸς τῇ[v] αἵρεσιν, Φιλωνίδης αὐτὸ[v] αἰρετιστὴν τῶν λόγων ἐπόησεν, συντάγματα ἑκατὸν εἴκοσι πέντε ἐκδεδω[κώς, καὶ ἐνί]ους ὑπομνημ[ατισμ]οὺς [τοῦ]ς γνωρίμο[ις ἀπέλι]πε. Διὰ <δὲ> τὴν ἑαυτο[ῦ χρηστ]ότητα θράσει...

...τοῦ Ἐπιφανοῦς ἡλλοτριωμένου πρὸς τὴν αἵρεσιν – Φιλωνίδης αὐτὸν αἵρετιστὴν τῶν λόγων ἐποίησεν – συντάγματα ἑκατὸν-εἴκοσι-πέντε ἐκδεδωκώς, καὶ ἐνίους ὑπομνηματισμοὺς τοῖς γνωρίμοις ἀπέλιπε. διὰ δὲ τὴν ἑαυτοῦ χρηστότητα θράσει...

...when [Antiochus IV] Epiphanes had turned away from the choice [sect, i.e. the Epicurean School] – Philonides made him [i.e., Epiphanes] one who chooses [i.e., an Epicurean] in thinking – having published 125 treatises, and left behind some commentaries for his acquaintances. and because of his own goodness, with boldness...

[Assante] ...while [Antiochus IV] Epiphanes had been hostile to the school, Philonides persuaded him to embrace the doctrine, having published one hundred twenty-five works, and he also left some note-books for his students out of his benevolence... with courage...

[Assante] These lines mention King Antiochus IV’s early reluctance toward Epicurean doctrine – an attitude he later overcame thanks to the philosopher’s persuasive efforts and the many writings Philonides produced, which had already been referenced several times in earlier columns.

[Assante] As for the philosopher’s 125 works – since this statement is independent within the larger list of the philosopher’s merits, there’s no need to assume the unlikely claim that his entire corpus was completed by the end of Antiochus IV’s reign (164 BCE).

These student notebooks may be connected in some way to Philonides’ epitomes of the letters of the masters – both aimed at a fast, condensed learning style suited to the intellectual demands of the era.

16. Philonides writes about Epiphanes

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 29 | 32

...{[Av]τιφάνη[ς] / Ἐπιφανῇ} βασι|λέα Φιλωνίδου γράψαντος ἐν οἷς παρεθέμεθα πρότερον ὑπὸ... | {...καὶ πέπερι μόνον} Δ[ι]ονυσοδώρου, παῖδα ὄντα τὴν ἡλικίαν, ἐστοιχειῶσθαι, ὃν πιστεύω μὴ ἡλλοτριῶσθαι πρ[ὸς] τὴν αἵρεσιν. Εἰ δὲ τὸν ὑπὸ Φιλωνίδου παρη[τ]ημέ[vo]ν ὅπως μὴ διαφ[θείροι τὴν] Λαοδ[ι]κειαν...

...Ἐπιφανῇ βασιλέα, Φιλωνίδου γράψαντος, ἐν οἷς Παρεθέμεθα πρότερον – ὑπὸ Διονυσοδώρου παῖδα ὄντα τὴν ἡλικίαν ἐστοιχειῶσθαι – ὃν Πιστεύω μὴ ἡλλοτριῶσθαι πρὸς τὴν αἵρεσιν.

...King [Antiochus IV] Epiphanes, as written by Philonides, in the [passages] We previously set forth – was given elementary instruction by [the Epicurean] Dionysodorus while still a child in age – [and] whom I believe was not alienated from the choice [sect, i.e. the Epicurean School].

[Assante] ...the King [Antiochus IV] Epiphanes, as Philonides wrote, in the passages we cited earlier, had learned the basic teachings from Dionysodorus when he was still a boy – whom [i.e., Epiphanes] I am convinced was not hostile to the school...

εἰ δὲ τὸν ὑπὸ Φιλωνίδου παρητημένον, ὅπως μὴ Διαφθείροι τὴν Λαοδίκειαν...

but if the one who was advised by Philonides, so that He [f. Demetrius I Soter] might not fully ruin Laodicea...

[Assante] If he was the one who was implored by Philonides not to destroy Laodicea...

Assante] The information about Antiochus IV is presented by the biographer as a direct testimony of Philonides – confirming that many details in the biography were supported by direct quotes (mostly epistolary excerpts, it seems) or indirect references to his writings.

[Assante] King **Antiochus IV** may not have been so hostile to the Epicurean school after all. As the biographer himself remarks, **Antiochus**, in his youth, had been a student of **Dionysodorus**, as attested by Philonides, thus by the most credible source the author could cite. **Dionysodorus** son of **Dionysodorus**, of Caunus is also mentioned in this biography.

Though the chronology of Philonides remains quite uncertain, it seems plausible that he was significantly younger than **Antiochus IV** – especially if his peak is placed under **Demetrius I**.

Therefore, rather than positing a shared teacher (considering that the king is said to have been "a child in age"), I would suggest that **Antiochus IV** had studied under "**Dionysiodorus the Elder**" (likely the one from Caunus) – while Philonides studied under "**Dionysiodorus the Younger**" (possibly the mathematician mentioned in other sources)

In any case, whether it refers to one **Dionysiodorus** or the other, this passage confirms that his teaching aligned with Epicurean doctrine, which also explains his frequent appearance in the biography.

This passage confirms that **Antiochus IV** was once a pupil of **Dionysiodorus** (likely the elder of Caunus), and this fact is used by the biographer to support the idea that the king was not truly hostile toward the Epicurean school.

[Assante] Another issue arises the "**one who was advised** by Philonides" which refers to a king being implored by Philonides not to destroy Laodicea. According to Gallo, this was almost certainly **Demetrius I**.

17. Demetrius I Soter & The Romans

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 9

...Δημ[ήτριον]... ...Ρωμα[ί]οις, Ἀντιόχου [Σε]λεύκου δ[ὲ] θέλοντος αὐτὴν ἀνάστ[α]τον ποιεῖν, ὑπουργ[ί]ας ἐξαισίου...

...**Δημήτριον**... ...**Ρωμαίους**, **Ἀντιόχου Σελεύκου δὲ θέλοντος αὐτὴν ἀνάστατον ποιεῖν, ὑπουργίας ἐξαισίας**...

..**Demetrius [I Soter]**... ...**the Romans**, while **Antiochus [son] of Seleucus [IV Philopator]** wished to render her [*i.e., Laodicea*] **destroyed**, through extraordinary assistance...

[Assante] ...Romans... Antiochus... Seleucus... wanting to destroy it... ...of an extraordinary service...

When **Seleucus IV Philopator** was assassinated (175 ^{BCE}), **Demetrius I Soter (Seleucus' older son)** was a political prisoner in Rome, so **Antiochus (Seleucus' younger son)** was proclaimed king – with **Heliodorus a regent**.

Antiochus IV Epiphanes (Seleucus' brother) soon arrived in Syria and proclaimed himself a co-ruler with **Antiochus**. Therefore, the "wishes of **Antiochus [son] of Seleucus**" probably refers to the policy of **Heliodorus** or [more likely] **Antiochus IV** which were in effect before the policies of **Demetrius I Soter**.

[Assante] The reference to a destruction of a city may align with events following the assassination of **Gnaeus Octavius** (c. 163 ^{BCE}).

Octavius was sent (with two colleagues, Spurius Lucretius and Lucius Aurelius) as both an ambassador to uphold the Peace of Apamea and as an enforcer of Roman dominance on the weakened Seleucid regime. In this capacity, Octavius had the Syrian war elephants hamstrung and the Seleucid fleet set on fire, actions carried out under the pretext of enforcing the treaty.

Octavius' destruction of the war elephants and fleet – allowed by **Lysias, then regent for the young Antiochus V Eupator** (son of **Antiochus IV Epiphanes**) to keep his peace with Rome – sparked outrage in Syria and prompted Octavius' assassination (by a man named Leptines) in Laodicea.

The attempt by *Lysias* to promptly offer *the king’s apologies* in hope of a painless reconciliation proved futile.

Soon after (162 ^{BCE}), *Demetrius I Soter* ascended the throne; after eliminating both *his cousin Antiochus V and Lysias*, he sought to win Roman favor by handing over Octavius’ murderer and ordering the destruction of Laodicea.

It can be most confidently hypothesized is that Philonides had interceded with the king to prevent the destruction of his native city – a mission undertaken by the philosopher in that emergency situation.

18. Ambassadors of Demetrius I Soter

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 56.1

...ὁμῖν οἱ πρέσβεις οἱ ἀποσταλέντες ὑπὸ τοῦ βασιλέ[ως] {Δημητρίο[υ]}...

...ὁμῖν οἱ Πρέσβεις οἱ ἀποσταλέντες ὑπὸ τοῦ Βασιλέως Δημητρίου...

...the Ambassadors sent off to you all by King Demetrius [I Soter]...

[Assante] ...to you, the ambassadors sent by King Demetrius...

[Assante] *Philonides lived under the reign of five or six different kings of Syria: from Antiochus III the Great (r. 223 – ob. 187 ^{BCE}) to Demetrius I Soter (r. 162 – ob. 150 ^{BCE}), and perhaps even under one of his two successors (Alexander Balas and Demetrius II).*

Philonides seems to have carried out political and diplomatic activity on behalf of Antiochus IV – activity which certainly continued under Demetrius I Soter.

19. Diplomacy. Diogenes of Babylon, Embassy

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 53

...ὁμοδόξ[ο]ις τε καὶ ἀ[π'] ἄλλων ἀ[νδρ]ῶν, ὑφ' ὧν ἀποδοχῆς [ἔ]τυχε πάντω[ν], ὥστε καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν πολιτευόν[των μάλιστα τιμᾶ]σθαι, καθάπερ φασὶ καὶ ὑπὸ Διογένους τοῦ Βα[βυλωνίου]...

...ὁμοδόξοις τε καὶ ἀπ’ ἄλλων ἀνδρῶν, ὑφ’ ὧν ἀποδοχῆς ἔτυχε πάντων: ὥστε καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν πολιτευόντων μάλιστα τιμᾶσθαι – καθάπερ Φασὶ καὶ ὑπὸ Διογένους τοῦ Βαβυλωνίου...

...with those of the same opinion and also from other men, by all of whom He was received with approval: so as to be especially honored even by the magistrates – just as They say [he was also honored] also by [the Stoic Scholarch] Diogenes of Babylon...

[Assante] ...[among the] companions in doctrine and also from other people, from all of whom he is said to have received a warm welcome – so much so that he was exceptionally honored even by political men, as they say, even by Diogenes of Babylon...

We learn here that Philonides enjoyed excellent reputation among fellow Epicureans, political figures, and even intellectuals opposed to Epicureanism. We know from inscriptions that he was also given Athenian citizenship and honors.

[Assante] *There is no doubt that this refers to the Stoic Diogenes of Babylon (fl.c. 190 BCE), mentioned here more for his political activity than his philosophical one. Diogenes of Babylon was scholarch of the Stoic school in Athens and part of the embassy to Rome (155 BCE).*

This biography also mentions Carneades (fl. 174 BCE), the scholarch of the Academy, and another one of three philosophers sent to Rome.

The Peripatetic Critolaus was the final member. The Epicureans were excluded from the embassy. The association of the Epicurean Philonides with thinkers from rival schools is not without significance.

Alongside the clear diplomatic and negotiating talents of Philonides, which must have earned him widespread respect and admiration, it is possible there was some "transformation of the original attitude of hostility and polemic between competing and rival philosophical schools." [Gallo]

20. Mission, Counsel, and Difficulty

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 62, 16

...μη πε[ρ]ὶ ὧν δεησόμε[ν]ος ὑπὸ Λαοδικέων ἐξαπέσταλται, περὶ ὧ[ν] αὐ[τ]ὸς συμβουλευῶν παραγέγονεν· μηδὲν γὰρ αὐτῷ γεγονέναι λυπερότερον τοῦ τοιοῦτον εὐρῆσθαι πρὸς ἔντευξιν πρόσωπ[ον, δ]ιὰ τὸ τιμᾶν μέν, ἀ[λλὰ] μηδὲν ἴσσον πατρ[ὸς\ώως]...

...μή περὶ ὧν δεησόμενος ὑπὸ Λαοδικέων ἔξαπέσταλται – ἀλλὰ περὶ ὧν αὐτὸς συμβουλευῶν παραγέγονεν·

...not concerning those [issues] which He was sent by the Laodiceans after a request – but about those [issues] which He had come intending to give advice.

[Assante] ...not [matters] about which he was sent by the Laodiceans to make requests, [but] about which he himself took the initiative to offer advice.

[Assante] *A contrast between being prompted by the Laodiceans, who – as in past cases – must have "brought forward" Philonides, as if playing their winning card (hence the figurative sense of (ἐξαποστέλλω) vs. being promoted on his own initiative.*

Μηδὲν γὰρ αὐτῷ γεγονέναι λυπερότερον τοῦ τοιοῦτον εὐρῆσθαι πρὸς ἔντευξιν πρόσωπον – διὰ τὸ τιμᾶν μέν – ἀλλὰ μηδὲν ἴσσον πατρῶος...

for Nothing had happened to him more grievous than to have found such a person for an audience – because he respected [him] – yet who nonetheless in a fatherly [way]...

[Assante] In fact, nothing had been more painful for him than finding himself face to face with such a person for the meeting, since he honored him no less than a father...

[Assante] *In continuity with being sent by his fellow citizens on a diplomatic mission, this passage alludes to the active involvement of Philonides in resolving the issue at hand (which remains unknown to us), as well as to a very painful – or at least embarrassing – episode: an unexpected encounter with a rather prominent figure, very dear to Philonides, who happened to be there as a "negotiator" himself.*

21. "They Struggle Against, We Examine Together"

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 63, 52a

...ἀγωνί[ζον]ται. Ἀνάπαλιν μὲν συζητῶ... ...αὐτοῦ τῇ[ς] Λαοδικείας ἐπιστα<τ>ευθεῖς, ἧς κ[αὶ]...

"...Ἀγωνίζονται – ἀνάπαλιν, μὲν Συζητῶ... ...αὐτοῦ τῆς Λαοδικείας ἐπιστατευθεῖς, ἧς καὶ..."

"...They contend – on the contrary, I am examining with... ...having been called into service in Laodicea itself, of which also..."

[Assante] *It is plausible to think that even in this brief surviving fragment, the biographer, fully in line with the encomiastic aim of his work, once again included an autobiographical insert (as suggested by the use of the first-person singular: **Συζητῶ**) to highlight the philosopher’s balance and wisdom in knowing how to assess the best behaviors to adopt in difficult circumstances:*

*While others were likely busy quarreling (**Ἀγωνίζεσθαι**) to impose one opinion or another, without leaving room for constructive dialogue, Philonides himself proposed an alternative path – the only one universally valid – that of seeking together (**συζητεῖν**) the solution, in line with a practice already well-tested in Epicurus’ time.*

His political activity, far from being an expression of impatience with the genuine teachings of the master, should be understood as consistent with the same lifestyle, appearing as a natural continuation of philosophical practice.

In this sense, it is easy to suppose that, alongside the inevitable diachronic evolution of any philosophical thought, Epicurean doctrine reached its fullest development in political realms thanks to the efforts of exceptional adherents like Philonides – undoubtedly a prominent figure, deemed worthy of being the sole protagonist of an encomiastic biography.

22. Full Collection of Epicurus’ Books

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 65, 66

..αὐτὰς **[ἔοι]**κεν ὁ πεποηκῶς παρε**[ι]**λῆφθαι χάριν τοῦ κοσ**[μίου]**... ...σπουδ**[ῆν ἔχων τῆς]** συ**[να]**γωγῆς τῶν Ἐπ**[ι]**κού**[ρο]**υ
βυβλίων, ὑπὲρ ἧς καὶ...

...αὐτὰς ἔοικεν Ὁ πεποιηκῶς παρελῆφθαι χάριν τοῦ κοσμίου... ...ἔχων τῆς συναγωγῆς τῶν Ἐπικούρου βιβλίων – ὑπὲρ ἧς, καὶ...

...He who made **them** seems to have received them **for the sake of decorum**... ...with possession **of the collection** of Epicurus’ books – on account of which, also...

[Assante] ...he persuaded... ...even if... ...the author seems to have undertaken them for... ...having care for the collection of the books of Epicurus, for which also...

[Assante] *The small portion of text preserved in this column contains an interesting reference to the collection of Epicurus’ books – which, according to Diogenes Laertius X 26, must have exceeded 300 scrolls.*

23. Epicurean Community at the Seleucid Palace in Antioch

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 19 fin. part 1

...φιλοσοφίας **[ἔ]**νεκα συ**[νε]**ζητηκότων εὐδοκητῶς. Ἡ διατριβὴ δ' ἦν ἐν **[ταύτῃ]**ι ἀντὶ τῶν βασιλείων οἰκίαν κατὰ...
...**[βα]**σιλέως...

...φιλοσοφίας ἔνεκα συνεζητηκότων εὐδοκητῶς. ἡ δὲ Διατριβὴ ἦν ἐν ταύτῃ, ἀντὶ τῶν βασιλείων – οἰκίαν κατὰ...

...with them having discussed together **for the sake of philosophy with goodwill**. the Time spent [*i.e., their residence*] was **in the same [building]**, across from the palace – **a house** according to...

[Assante] ...of those who, out of love for philosophy, carried out shared investigations in a manner worthy of approval. The discussion took place in... a house located across from the royal residence...

[Assante] The biographer returns to the idea of shared practiced by Philonides and his disciples – among whom, it seems, *King Demetrius I Soter* stood out for his natural inclination toward philosophical practice. The notion of a school, organized with the king’s support right near the royal residence, appears confirmed by what is written later, where the author seems to deliberately return to what must have been one of the central themes of the biography, namely the relationship between philosophy and power.

24. Success with King Demetrius I Soter

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 19 fin. part 2 / 20 sup

...[βα]σιλέως... ...κατὰ φιλο[σοφίαν]... διαρθρώσας... ...δ' εἰς τὴν τ[οῦ] ἡθους χρηστότητα παραινέσας καὶ τὸ | πρόθυμον αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐκτενὲς ἀποδεξ[ά]μενος... ...κατὰ τὰ [τῆς ἡμέ]ρας ἐσχά[της]... ...ὥς ἂν ἐνὸς τῶ[ν] μάλιστα ἐμπαθέστατα [πι]αρεστηκότων πρὸς φιλο[σο]φίαν· καὶ ἑαυτὸν νεανικῶν ἀπελύετο καὶ αὐτὸς ἐπὶ τὴν τοῦ σώματος θεραπείαν οὔτ[ε] συγεδρείας ἥστινος οὔν οὔ[τε συ]μβουλῆς <ένδεής> ἦν, τῆς [δ' αὐτῇ]ς ἡμερότ[ητος], κα[ὶ] ἅ[τιν]α γίνοιτ' α[ὐτ]ῶι συνεργῶ[ι ἐχρήτο]...

...**βασιλέως...** ...**κατὰ φιλοσοφίαν** διαρθρώσας...

...of the king... ...having structured it according to philosophy...

...ἔπειτα δὲ, εἰς τὴν τοῦ ἡθους χρηστότητα παραινέσας – καὶ τὸ πρόθυμον αὐτοῦ καὶ ἐκτενὲς ἀποδεξάμενος... ...ὥς ἂν Ἐνὸς τῶν μάλιστα ἐμπαθέστατα **παρεστηκότων** πρὸς φιλοσοφίαν·

...then, having urged [him] into kindness of disposition – and having welcomed his eagerness and friendly [character]... ...as though [he was] One of those who had stood most passionately near to philosophy.

[Assante] ...to encourage other things toward kindness of character, welcoming both his eagerness and his zeal, as one of those who had turned to philosophy with extreme passion.

καὶ ἑαυτὸν νεανικῶν Ἀπελύετο – καὶ Αὐτὸς ἐπὶ τὴν τοῦ σώματος θεραπείαν· οὔτε συνεδρείας ἥστινος οὔν οὔτε συμβουλῆς ἐνδεής ἦν – τῆς δὲ αὐτῆς ἡμερότητος, καὶ ἅτινα γίνοιτο αὐτῷ συνεργῶ Ἐχρήτο...

He released himself from youthful concerns – and He himself [turned] to the care of the body. He was lacking neither a gathering of any kind nor in advice – but [sharing] in that same gentleness, He also employed whatever could be of use for him...

[Assante] and he freed himself from youthful impulses and, as for bodily care, he himself had no need of any kind of consultation nor ever of advice, but of... gentleness... collaborator...

[Assante] Here, the biographer likely shifts focus to the personality of *Demetrius I Soter*, one of the central figures of the work, who appears repeatedly to testify to the philosopher's success in attracting new adherents to the school, even of considerable importance. It is therefore possible to suppose a change of subject, where the one "freeing himself from youthful impulses (**ἑαυτὸν νεανικῶν Ἀπελύετο**)" would be none other than *Demetrius I Soter*, to whom the "his eagerness (**τὸ πρόθυμον αὐτοῦ**)" and "he himself" (**Αὐτὸς**)" all refer.

25. "Preservation of A Free Man Zealous for Philosophy"

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 23

...[τ]αῖς ἀρεταῖς προστίθη[σιν, ἅλ]λ' ὑποστέλλεται μᾶλλον τότε, εἰ διαμένει...

"...**ταῖς ἀρεταῖς** Προστίθῃσιν – ἄλλ' Ὑποστέλλεται μᾶλλον τότε, εἰ Διαμένει..."

"...He adds to his virtues – but He withdraws more at such times, if He remains..."

...ἐλε[υθ]έρου καὶ φι[λ]οσοφίαν ζηλοῦντος ἐπὶ σωτη[το]...ρίαν τ[οιούτων κακ]ῶν πο[λ]λῶν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων σχεδὸν ἀπάντων ἀποστ[τρ]αφείη[ι], ἀλλ' οὐκ ἂν δέη< > καὶ πάσχειν τι δι' ἑαυτοῦ τὸν... ...συπενος τοῦ μάλιστ' ἀγαπωμένου τῶν ἀναγκαίων ἢ τῶν φίλων παραβάλοι ἂν ἐτοίμως τὸν τράχηλον. Εἰ γὰρ τὸ ὑπὲρ τῆς πατρίδος ἀποθανεῖν ἦν ἐμοὶ καθήκον, πῶς οὐ καὶ τὸ ὑπὲρ ἁ[γ]αν[κ]α[ί]ου μέλλ[ω];... ...[Δι]καίαρχον ἔχω τ[ὸν ἀδελφ]όν· οὐ μάλα γὰρ...

"...ἐλευθέρου καὶ φιλοσοφίαν ζηλοῦντος: ἐπὶ σωτηρίαν, τοιούτων κακῶν πολλῶν καὶ τῶν ἄλλων σχεδὸν ἀπάντων Ἀποστραφείη – ἀλλ' οὐκ ἂν Δέη καὶ πάσχειν τι δι' ἑαυτοῦ... ...τοῦ μάλιστ' ἀγαπωμένου τῶν ἀναγκαίων ἢ τῶν φίλων Παραβάλοι ἂν ἐτοίμως τὸν τράχηλον."

"...of a free man and of one zealous for philosophy: for the sake of preservation, He would turn away from such great evils and nearly from all the others – but It would not be fitting also to suffer something on one's own behalf... [because] ...He would readily risk his neck for that which is most dearly loved among obligations or among friends"

[Assante] "[in a manner worthy] of a free man and one who ardently seeks philosophy for the sake of salvation, from many such evils and from nearly all others he would keep himself away but not (do so) if it were also necessary for him to suffer willingly, since (even) for a single relative or friend to whom he was deeply attached he would readily offer his neck."

"εἰ γὰρ τὸ ὑπὲρ τῆς πατρίδος Ἀποθανεῖν ἦν ἐμοὶ καθήκον – πῶς οὐ καὶ τὸ ὑπὲρ ἀναγκαίου μέλλω; ...Δικαίαρχον ἔχω τὸν ἀδελφόν – οὐ μάλα γὰρ..."

"for if to Die for the fatherland was a duty for me – how should I not also be ready [to die] for the sake of obligation? ...I have Dicaearchus as my brother – for not greatly..."

[Assante] "If indeed it was my duty to die for my country, how could I not (die) for a relative?"

[Assante] I understood the overall meaning of the entire passage as follows: the good philosopher (or anyone aspiring to become one), for the sake of preservation, would avoid nearly every kind of harm – unless it became necessary to sacrifice himself voluntarily for the good of someone very dear to him. Indeed, for such a person, he would be willing even to give his life.

After all, asks Philonides – and here we certainly have another excerpt from a letter – if in other cases he deems it a duty to die for his homeland (a clear reference to his political-diplomatic activity on behalf of Laodicea, repeatedly recalled in earlier columns), how could he then shirk a moral obligation toward a relative?

26. Antiphanes

[unknown biographer], Life of Philonides, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 24 sup.

...{εἰ γὰρ} ὑπ' αὐτοῦ γέγραπ[τα]ί πιστ[εωσ] ἄξια τυγχάνει[ι, ὥς] οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἀντιφάνην [ἐκ]τεθήκασι, πιστότερα νομ[ί]σειεν ἂν τις εἶναι τὰ γε π[λεί]στα τῶν περὶ ὅτου δήποτ' εἰ[ρη]μένων. Καὶ γὰρ Ἀντιφάνην αὐτὸν [εἰ]κὸς ἦν καὶ πάππον ἔχοντα ἴ[ό]λαον κατὰ φιλ[ο]σοφίαν καὶ θαυ[μα]σ[τ]ῶς ἀποδεδεγμένον καὶ...

...εἰ γὰρ ὑπ' αὐτοῦ Γέγραπται, πίστεως ἄξια τυγχάνει – ὥς Οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἀντιφάνην ἐκτεθήκασι: πιστότερα νομίσειεν ἂν Τίς εἶναι τὰ γε πλείστα τῶν περὶ ὅτου δήποτ' εἰρημένων. καὶ γὰρ Ἀντιφάνην αὐτὸν, εἰκὸς ἦν – καὶ πάππον ἔχοντα ἴολαον, κατὰ φιλοσοφίαν καὶ θαυμαστῶς ἀποδεδεγμένον, καὶ...

...for if It is written by him, it is worthy of trust – as Those around Antiphanes have recorded: One would consider most of the things said about whatever [subject] whatsoever more trustworthy. for Antiphanes himself, It was fitting – he, too, having Iolaus as a grandfather, in accordance with philosophy and wonderfully approved and...

[Assante] ...[if indeed] it was written by him that these are trustworthy things, as the followers of Antiphanes have maintained, one might think that most of what is said on any subject is more believable. And in fact, it was natural that Antiphanes himself, also because he had Iolaus as a grandfather, who had been received according to philosophy and with full honors...

[Assante] The first twelve lines of the column are largely preserved, providing a portion of text that is quite significant from an exegetical perspective, especially because the name "*Antiphanes*" appears twice.

Philodemus, in his works, also polemicized against an "*Antiphanes*," along with Timasagoras, and Nikasikrates. these were dissident Epicureans, at odds with the mainstream school.

The official, more open-minded and public direction of the school – initiated in Athens by *Basileides* and *Thespis* (both confirmed to be among Philonides’ teachers in this biography) – was later continued by *Demetrius Lacon* and *Zeno of Sidon*.

[Assante] It is possible that this mentioned an encounter between *Philonides* and *Antiphanes* in positive terms, within the eulogistic tone of the biography – that is, aiming to highlight the philosopher’s qualities of affability and diplomacy, *being capable of maintaining cordial relations and exerting a certain charm* even on unorthodox Epicureans (like *Iolaus*, *Antiphanes*, *Timasagoras*, and *Nikasikrates*) and on philosophers of other schools (like *Diogenes of Babylon* and *Carneades*).

27. Friendly Community

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 25 fin.

...οἰκίας... ...τῷ γυμνασίῳ, εἰ νῆ Δί[α] νῦν πάντες οἰκουμένων φίλοι καὶ κτήματος ἀξίου...

...οἰκίας... ...τῷ γυμνασίῳ – εἰ, νῆ Δία! νῦν Πάντες οἰκουμένων φίλοι καὶ κτήματος ἀξίου...

...of a house... ...at the gymnasium – yes, indeed by Zeus! now All of those inhabiting [there] are friends and [it is] of something worthy of possession...

[Assante] {...of the household... ...at the gymnasium... in which... ...all living together as friends and of a worthy good... ...he adds to the virtues, but yields especially then, if he persists...}

[Assante] A house (*οἰκία*) and a gymnasium (*γυμνάσιον*) are mentioned, followed shortly by a reference to those who dwell there, likely in a communal context. It is plausible to think this concerns the location of *Philonides’* school and its members – topics that appear elsewhere in this biography.

28. Living in the Court of Demetrius I Soter, Carneades

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 27

...ὁ βασιλεὺς Δημήτριος ἐχαρίσατο Φιλωνίδει, ἐφ' ᾧ συνδιατρίψει αὐτοῦ καὶ συ[σ]χολάσει. Ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐν τούτοις καλῶς καὶ φιλοσόφως καὶ ἐνδόξως ἀνεστράφη. Εἰς μὲν γὰρ συμβούλιον καὶ πρεσβείαν καὶ τὰ τοιαῦθ' ἀπλῶς αὐτὸν οὐκ ἔδωκεν...
...πάντων, Καρνεάδου καὶ τῶν ἄλλων, πα[τρ]ίδι χρήσιμος ἐγένετο...

...ὁ Βασιλεὺς Δημήτριος ἐχαρίσατο Φιλωνίδη – ἐφ’ ᾧ συνδιατρίψει αὐτοῦ καὶ σχολάσει. ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐν τούτοις καλῶς καὶ φιλοσόφως καὶ ἐνδόξως ἀνεστράφη. εἰς μὲν γὰρ συμβούλιον καὶ πρεσβείαν καὶ τὰ τοιαῦτα ἀπλῶς αὐτὸν οὐκ ἔδωκεν...

...King Demetrius [*I Soter*] granted [*favor*] to *Philonides* – so that [*Philonides*] would spend much time together with him and study – but under these circumstances as well, [*Philonides*] conducted himself nobly, philosophically, and with distinction. He did not simply give himself over to council, embassy, and such matters...

[Assante] ...[a house that] King Demetrius offered to *Philonides* in his own interest, with the aim of attending his lessons there and becoming his disciple. But even in these circumstances, he conducted himself well – as a philosopher and with honor. In fact, he did not devote himself merely to duties as a counselor and ambassador and such things, but...

...πάντων – Καρνεάδου καὶ τῶν ἄλλων – πατρίδι χρήσιμος Ἐγένετο...

...among all – Carneades and among the others – He proved useful to his fatherland...

[Assante] ...of all, of Carneades and the others, he was useful to the fatherland...

[Assante] This passage sees the biographer return to the positive relationship between Philonides and Demetrius I Soter. It appears the king offered Philonides a venue to conduct his teaching, and the philosopher – far from limiting himself to a diplomatic career – consistently demonstrated deep loyalty to Epicurean doctrine.

The "fatherland" here is probably Laodicea.

[Assante] I do not rule out that the preceding lacuna may have alluded to the good relationship between Carneades and Philonides – in keeping with the biographer’s encomiastic tone, which had already emphasized how Philonides’ natural affability and skill as a negotiator earned him prestige and fame at court.

These traits helped him win the favor of many prominent figures, including philosophers from other schools.

Carneades (fl. 174 BCE) was the *scholarch of the Academy*, and one of three philosophers sent to Rome in 155 BCE.

This biography also mentions *Diogenes of Babylon* (fl.c. 190 BCE), the *scholarch of the Stoic* school (who was another one of three philosophers sent with the same embassy).

29. Zenodorus, Athenian Aspiration

Zenodorus (fl. 160 BCE) was an astronomer, geometer, and engineer. He is known to have written a book *On Isoperimetric Figures*. He and Philonides were about the same age.

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 31

...γ' ἐλπιδεῖν [τῆς ἀπο]δοχῆς εἰς Ἀθη[νᾶς ἐν]εγκεῖν ἀδυσκό[λως, ὥ]ς καὶ τὸν Ζηνόδω[ρο]ν αὐτόν, ἀφικόμενος εἰς ἄστυ. Καὶ ἀπέθανεν δὲ [γ]ενναίως. Δήμ[η]τρος γὰρ ἑορτῆς οὐσ[η]ς... ...ἐπεύχεσθαι ἐ[θέλ]ων μ[ἐ]ν [κ]ατ' οἶκον...

...γ' ἐλπιδεῖν τῆς ἀποδοχῆς εἰς Ἀθῆνας ἐνέγκεῖν ἀδυσκόλως, ὥς καὶ τὸν Ζηνόδωρον αὐτόν, ἀφικόμενος εἰς ἄστυ. καὶ Ἀπέθανεν δὲ γενναίως: Δήμητρος γὰρ ἑορτῆς οὐσῆς... ...ἐπεύχεσθαι ἐθέλων μὲν κατ' οἶκον...

...at least to expect to carry the reception into Athens without difficulty, just as even Zenodorus himself, having arrived in the city [had done] – and He died nobly: for while the festival of Demeter was going on... ...wishing to pray at home...

[Assante] ...to raise hope... of a welcome in Athens... he endured it willingly... even Zenodorus himself, having arrived in the city. And he died nobly. For it was the festival of Demeter...

[Assante] Although the fragmentary context of this list does not allow confirmation, I would hypothesize that this final event was deliberately placed at the end – as if to say that not only did the philosopher distinguish himself in many varied moments of his life (hence the recall of seemingly unrelated events), but that his very death proved equally worthy.

The mention of the festival of Demeter and the reference to a final prayer are unsurprising, as they fit well within the ritual practices typical of the Epicurean school. (cf. Gallo, p. 158 = Studi, p. 197)

30. Zenodoros, Timasagoras, & Philologists

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 34.1

...ἔδωκε καὶ Ζ[ην]όδωρον [αὐ]τῷ παράγειν ἐν... ...τι μνᾶν, καὶ διότι παραγενόμενος εἰς Ἀθήνας αὐτὸν [ὕπ]ετάξε Τιμασαγόρ[αι
κ]αὶ [πα]ρέβαλε... ...[ἐ]νιαυτόν... ...φ[ι]λολόγους ἔχων παραπ[λησίου]...

...Ἔδωκε καὶ **Ζηνόδωρον αὐτῷ** παραγεῖν **ἐν...** ...**τι μνᾶν**, καὶ **διότι**, παραγενόμενος **εἰς Ἀθήνας αὐτὸν ὕπέταξε Τιμασαγόρα** καὶ **Παρέβαλε...** ...**ἐνιαυτόν...**
...φιλολόγους ἔχων παραπλησίους...

...He also instructed **Zenodoros** to come along **with him** in... ...**a certain mina [i.e., for some price]**, and **because**, upon arriving **in Athens**, He placed **himself under Timasagoras** and He brought with him... ...**for a year...**
...**philologists [i.e., lovers of reasoning]** he had **of a similar sort...**

[Assante] ...he also granted to Zenodorus himself to take with him... for one mina, and because, having arrived in Athens, he submitted himself to Timasagoras and turned... for a year... having near him people who loved knowledge...

[Assante] *Zenodorus and Timasagoras, both previously cited but in similarly fragmentary and uncertain contexts. The only point I could establish with some certainty is that both are connected to the city of Athens.*

31. Quotation of Philonides: Return to Laodicea

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 57

...αὐτοῦ [μετὰ τ]ῶν φίλω[ν ἐ]τη πλείονα. Καὶ τὴν ἐκ τῆς [Ἀτθί]δος ἀνακομιδὴν [ἔμειν]ον, ἀκροτε[λ]εῦτιον ἑμαυτῷ τοῦ γήρωσ ὑποστησάμενος π[ρο]ν[ο]υμένός τε ἐν Λ[αοδ]ικείαι διατρίψειν, οὐκ ἐν τῇ...

"αὐτοῦ μετὰ τῶν φίλων ἔτη πλείονα. καὶ τὴν ἐκ τῆς Ἀτθίδος ἀνακομιδὴν ἔμεινον: ἀκροτελεῦτιον ἑμαυτῷ τοῦ γήρωσ ὑποστησάμενος – προνοούμενός τε ἐν Λαοδικείᾳ διατρίψειν – οὐκ ἐν τῇ..."

"...[I was] **there** with friends **for many years**. and I awaited **the return** from **{Attica}**: reserving **for myself the conclusion of old age** – and intending to pass the time **in Laodicea** – not **in the...**"

[Assante] "[I stayed] there with friends for several years. And [I was waiting] for the return from { }... promising myself and planning to spend the final part of my old age in Laodicea, not in the [foreign land]..."

[Assante] *It is clear that these must have contained an autobiographical quotation from Philonides, as shown by the use of the first person. It refers to a long stay of the philosopher with friends in a previously mentioned place, as suggested by "there" (αὐτοῦ), and to his intention to return to Laodicea to spend his final years there.*

32. Voyage Back to Syria, Detour to Home

[unknown biographer], *Life of Philonides*, P.Herc. 1044, fr. 45

...[κατ]ὰ τὸν εἰς Συρίαν Φιλωνίδου πλοῦν, εἰς Καρίαν[ν ἐνοεῖτ]ο περιστὰς οἴκαδ[ε ἀπ]ελθεῖν, ὅπως ἂν μηδὲ [ἔξ]ω τῶν γονέων ἀπέχη[ι, μηδ'] ἐν...

...κατὰ τὸν εἰς Συρίαν Φιλωνίδου πλοῦν – εἰς Καρίαν ἔνοεῖτο περιστὰς οἴκαδε ἀπελθεῖν – ὅπως ἂν μηδὲ ἔξω τῶν γονέων Ἀπέχη, μηδ' ἐν...

...[after leaving Athens] **during Philonides' voyage to Syria** – **having detoured homeward**, He was planning to return **into Caria** – **so that** he might not be away from **his parents**, nor in...

[Assante] ...During Philonides' sea voyage to Syria, having passed through Caria, he was thinking of returning home, so that he would not remain away from his parents...

[Gallo] During the sea voyage bound for Syria, perhaps departing from Athens (where we know he had been several times), or perhaps from Ephesus, on the coast of Lydia (where it is recorded that he met Eudemos), Philonides passed through Caria (περιστάς can have no other meaning; with εἰς it is mostly used figuratively), probably to Caunus (the hometown of Dionysiodorus), which lay on the maritime route leading south from the north into Syria. He then decided to continue on to Syria, that is, to his native city, so as not to remain far from his parents.

Inscription from Athens

Athenian Citizenship and Honors for the Family

Philonides of Laodicea the Elder and his sons, our Philonides and his younger brother Dicaearchus, helped Athens in some way. They were granted Athenian citizenship, crowned with wreaths, given a statue, welcomed to a public meal, and praised for their piety.

Attica, IG II2 1236 = I.Eleusis 221, part 1

...[καὶ τοῖς παραγενομένοις π]αρά τοῦ δήμου πρὸς τοὺς βασιλεῖς πρεσβευταῖς συνήργει μετὰ σπουδῆς] καὶ διὰ ταῦτα καὶ τὰς ἄλλας εὐεργεσίας ἃς πο[ιῶν διατετέλεκε τὸν δῆμον ἐτίμη]σεν ὁ δῆμος πολιτεῖαι αὐτόν τε καὶ τοὺς υἱοὺς αὐ[τοῦ Φιλωνίδην καὶ Δικαίαρχ]ον καὶ ἐστεφάνωσεν θαλλοῦ [στεφάνω] καὶ πάλιν χρυσῶι [στεφάνω] καὶ ἔστησεν ἐν τῷ τεμένει τοῦ Δήμου καὶ τῶν Χαρίτων βουλευθέντο[ς καὶ αὐτοῦ, ἐτι δὲ καὶ ἔ]σωισεν ἐκ τῆς αἰχμαλωσίας τὴν πᾶσαν σπουδὴν ποιησάμενος αὐτοῦ, καὶ ἐλθόντος αὐτοῦ εἰς τὴν πόλιν μετὰ τοῦ πρεσβυτέρου τῶν υἱῶν Φιλωνίδου προσήγαγον αὐτοὺς οἱ πρυτάνεις πρὸς τὸν δῆμον ἐν ἱεροῖς καὶ διαλεγέντος αὐτοῦ περὶ τῆς εὐνοίας ἧς ἔχουσι πρὸς πάντας Ἀθηναίους φιλανθρώπως προσεδέξατο ὁ δῆμος ἀξιῶν καλέσαι αὐτοὺς εἰς τὸ πρυτανεῖον ἐπὶ τὴν κοινὴν ἐστίαν τοῦ δήμου·

...[because Philonides the Elder] eagerly assisted the envoys [sent] by the people to the [Seleucid] Kings. And because of this – and because of the other benefactions which he has continually performed – the people honored him: both Philonides himself and his sons Philonides and Dicaearchus – with citizenship, and crowned them with a wreath of laurel and again with a golden wreath, and set up [a statue of Philonides the Elder] in the precinct of the Dēmos and of the Charites, with his consent. And furthermore, he rescued [his son Philonides] from captivity, making every effort on his behalf. And when he entered the city with his elder son Philonides, the Prytaneis [i.e., the executive committee of the Athenian Boule] brought them before the people in the temples, and when he had spoken about the goodwill they have toward all Athenians, the people received him kindly, deeming it proper to invite them to the Prytaneion [i.e., the official building in Athens where the Prytaneis worked and dined] for the common meal of the people [i.e., a state-sponsored civic meal]

Spondophoroi: the Eumolpidae and the Kerykes

Attica, IG II2 1236 = I.Eleusis 221, part 2

It appears that this inscription was issued by the Eumolpidai and Kerykes, two hereditary priestly families of Athens central to the Eleusinian Mysteries.

ἵνα οὖν καὶ Εὐμολπίδαι καὶ Κήρυκες αὐτόν καὶ τοὺς ἐγγόνους αὐτοῦ... [εὐσεβοῦντας] τὰ περὶ τῷ [ι] θεῷ [ι] {τῷ θεῷ} φαίνωνται τιμῶντ[ες καὶ] φιλοτιμούμενοι περὶ τοὺς ἄνδρας εἰς τοὺς ἐκπεμπομένους ἐξ αὐατῶ[ν ἀγαθούς]. νννν ἀγα[θεῖ τύχει δεδόχθαι τοῖς γένε]σιν ἐξ ᾧν οἱ σπονδοφόροι ἐκπέμποντ[αι, ἐπαι]νέσα[ι Φι]λ[ωνίδην Λαοδικέα καὶ τοὺς υἱοὺς] αὐτοῦ Φιλωνίδην καὶ Δικαίαρχον καὶ στεφανῶσα[ι ἑ]καστον αὐτῶν μυρρίνης στεφά[ν]ωι ᾧ πατριόν ἐστιν στεφανῶσαι τοὺς ἐαυτῶν ε[ὐ]εργέτας· εἶναι δὲ αὐτῶι καὶ τ[ῶ]ς ἐγγόνους αὐτοῦ διατηροῦσιν τὴν αὐτὴν [εὐνο]ιαν πρὸς τὸν δῆμον εὐρέσθ[αι] καὶ ἄλλο ἀγαθὸν ὅτου ἂν δοκῶσιν ἄξιο[ι εἶναι]. [ἀναγράψαι δὲ τόδε τὸ ψήφισμα τὸν ἄρ]χοντα τῶν γενῶν ἐν στήλει λιθίνει [τὸ δὲ] ἀν[άλ]ωμ[α λ]ογίσασθαι [τοῦ]ς γένε[σι]...

Therefore, so that both the Eumolpidae and the Kerykes [i.e., the two hereditary priestly families in charge of the Eleusinian Mysteries] may see that he and his descendants honor the things concerning the goddesses, behaving reverently and showing ambition toward those men sent from among themselves as noble [for dispatch, i.e., as envoys or officials]— it has been decreed, with good fortune, by the gene from which the Spondophoroi [i.e., official truce-bearers for sacred festivals] are sent out, to praise Philonides of Laodicea and his sons Philonides and Dicaearchus, and to crown each of them with a wreath of myrtle, which it is customary to use when crowning their own benefactors. And that he and his descendants, so long as they continue in the same goodwill toward the people, shall obtain whatever other good thing they may seem to deserve. The archon of the gene shall inscribe this decree on a stone stele... and the expense shall be accounted among the gene [i.e., the clans of the Eumolpidae and Kerykes]...

Inscription from Delphi

Praise from Delphians for Philonides' younger Brother Dicaearchus

Delphi, SGDI II 2677 = OGIS 241, part 1

ἀγαθὰ τύχα. ἄρχοντος <Ξέν>ων[ος]· ἐπειδὴ Δαμοκράτης καὶ ΤΙΜΑΣΝΙ κα<ἰ' Ἀ>θαμβο<ς> ὁ ἱε<ρε>ὺς ἐξαποσταλέντες θεωροὶ ποτὶ τὸν <β>ασ<ιλη> Ἀν<τι><ιο>χ<ον> ἐπὶ τὰν ἐκκλησίαν ἀνάγγελτα[v] περὶ Δικαιάρχου τοῦ Φιλωνίδα Λαοδικέος, ὅτι ἀνὴρ ἀγαθὸς <δια>τε[λεῖ ὧν ποτὶ τε] τὸ <ιερ>ὸν καὶ τὰν πόλιν τῶν Δελφῶν καὶ περὶ πλείστου ποιείμενος τὰν ποτὶ τοὺς θεοὺς εὐσέβειαν εὐ<χρ>η<στον> α<ύ>σω<τὸ>ν παρασκευάζει ἐν παντὶ καιρῷ καὶ κοινᾷ τᾷ πόλει καὶ ἰδίαι τοῖς ἐ<ν>τυγχάνοντις, <εἰς ᾗ> κα τις αὐ<τ>ὸν παρακαλῇ, καὶ τοῖς ἀφικνουμένοις Δελφῶν ποτὶ τὸν βασιλῇ {Α} Ἀντίοχος συνεργεῖ μετὰ πάσ<α>ς προθυμίας, <έν οἷς> κα {ι} τυγχάνων<τι> χρεῖ<α>ν ἔχοντες, καὶ λέγει καὶ πράσσει <τὰ συμφέροντα> διὰ παντὸς παρὰ τῷ βασιλεῖ Ἀντιόχῳ περὶ τοῦ ἱεροῦ καὶ τᾶς πόλιος τῶν Δελφῶν, ἀγαθαὶ τύχαι,

Good fortune. In the archonship of [Xen]on:

Since Damocrates, Timasni, and Athambos the priest, having been sent as Theoroi to King Antiochus [IV Epiphanes], reported to the assembly concerning Dicaearchus, son of Philonides of Laodicea [i.e., and younger brother of Philonides the Epicurean], that he is a good man, continually acting for both the Sanctuary [of Delphi] and the city of the Delphians, and regarding piety toward the gods as of the highest importance, he makes himself useful in every circumstance, both for the public good of the city and privately for those he meets, in whatever way anyone may call upon him.

And for those arriving at Delphi to see King Antiochus [IV Epiphanes], he assists with all eagerness, in whatever matters those in need happen to require. He both speaks and acts helpfully at all times before King Antiochus [IV Epiphanes] regarding the sanctuary and the city of the Delphians.

With good fortune.

Privileges for Dicaearchus from Laodicea by-the-sea

Delphi, SGDI II 2677 = OGIS 241, part 2

δεδοχθαι <τ>ᾷ πόλει τῶν Δελφῶν <έν> ἀγορᾷ <τελείῳι σύμ ψ>άφοις ταῖς ἐνόμοις ἐπαινέσαι Δικαίαρχον Φιλ<ω>νίδα Λαοδικέα τῶν ποτὶ θαλάσσαις ἐ<π>ὶ τᾷ εὐσ<εβε>ταί καὶ ἐπ<ι> τᾷ λοιπᾷ προ<α>ιρέσει ἄ<ι> ἔχων τυγχάνει ποτὶ τε τὸ ἱερὸν καὶ τὰν πόλιν τῶν <Δελφῶν καὶ ὑπάρχειν> αὐτῷ <κ>[αἰ ἐγγόνους παρὰ τὰς πόλιος] θεωροδοκίαν τῶν <τ>ε Πυθίων καὶ Σωτηρίων. εἴμεν δὲ αὐτὸν καὶ πρόξενον καὶ εὐεργέταν {ιον} τοῦ ἱεροῦ καὶ τᾶς πόλιος τῶν Δελφῶν, καὶ ὑπάρ<χε>ιν αὐτῷ προμαντείαν, προδικίαν, ἀσυλίαν, ἀτέλειαν πάν<των>, προεδρ<ι>αν ἐμ π<άντο>ις τοῖς ἀγώνοις, <οἷς> ἂ π{ρ}όλις {πόλις} <τιθητι> καὶ τᾶλλα τ<ίμ>ι<α ὁ>σα καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις προξένοις καὶ ε<ύ>ερ<γέτα>ις <ύπ>ά<ρχ>ει παρὰ τᾷς πόλιος.

It has been resolved by the city of Delphi, in the full assembly with lawful vote, to praise Dicaearchus, son of Philonides, a Laodicean of those [dwelling] by the sea, for his piety and his other commitments, which he is seen to possess both toward the Sanctuary [of Delphi] and the City of Delphi.

And that there be granted to him and to his descendants by the city the right of Theorodokia [i.e., hosting sacred envoys] for the Pythian and Soteria festivals.

Let him also be Proxenos [*i.e., host of ambassadors*] and Benefactor of the Sanctuary [*of Delphi*] and the City of Delphi, and let the following privileges belong to him:

- Promanteia [*i.e., right of first consultation of the oracle*]
- Prodikia [*i.e., priority in legal matters*]
- Asyia [*i.e., right of sanctuary*]
- Ateleia [*i.e., exemption from all taxes*]
- Prohedria [*i.e., front-row seating*] in all the contests which the city sponsors
- and all other honors which are granted to other proxenoi and benefactors by the city.

Antiochus IV Epiphanes was *the son of Antiochus III the Great*, and he "was given elementary instruction by [the Epicurean] Dionysodorus while still a child in age" – but at one point "*Epiphanes had turned away from the choice [sect, i.e. the Epicurean School]*" – but ultimately he "*was not alienated from the choice [sect, i.e. the Epicurean School]*" and that "*Philonides made him [i.e., Epiphanes] one who chooses [i.e., an Epicurean] in thinking.*"

("The Life of Philonides," section 15, 16)

He is therefore an example of someone *born into Epicurean instruction* – as well as an example of a *politically powerful Epicurean*.

Epiphanes was the maternal great-great-grandson of Demetrius Poliorcetes, through Stratonice – and thus is an example of the family's continued interest in philosophy.

This section begins with King Antiochus VII Sidetes – but looks back at the history of Antiochus IV Epiphanes.

Diodorus Siculus, Book 34, part 1

Negotiation

ὥς Ἀντίοχος ὁ βασιλεύς, φησίν, ἐπολιόρκει τὰ Ἱεροσόλυμα: οἱ δὲ Ἰουδαῖοι μέχρι μὲν τινος ἀντέσχον – ἐξαναλωθέντων δὲ τῶν ἐπιτηδείων ἀπάντων – ἠναγκάσθησαν περὶ διαλύσεως διαπρεσβεύσασθαι

when King Antiochus [VII Sidetes], he says, was besieging Jerusalem: the Jews held out for some time – but when all their provisions had been used up – they were forced to negotiate for a truce

Advice from Friends

οἱ δὲ Πλείους αὐτῷ τῶν φίλων συνεβούλευον κατὰ κράτος αἰρήσειν τὴν πόλιν – καὶ τὸ γένος ἄρδην ἀνελεῖν τῶν Ἰουδαίων

but more of his friends advised him to take the city by force – and to utterly destroy the race of the Jews

Enemies of All

μόνους γὰρ ἀπάντων ἐθνῶν ἀκοινωνήτους εἶναι τῆς πρὸς ἄλλο ἔθνος ἐπιμιξίας – καὶ πολεμίους ὑπολαμβάνειν πάντας

for they alone of all nations are unsociable toward intermixing with another nation – and regard all people as enemies

From Egypt, From Sickness

ἀπεδείκνυον δὲ καὶ τοὺς προγόνους αὐτῶν ὥς ἀσεβεῖς καὶ μισουμένους ὑπὸ τῶν θεῶν ἐξ ἀπάσης τῆς Αἰγύπτου πεφυγαδευμένους.

and [the friends of Antiochus VII Sidetes] also pointed to their ancestors as impious and hated by the gods, having been driven out from all of Egypt

τοὺς γὰρ ἀλφούς ἢ λέπρας ἔχοντας ἐν τοῖς σώμασι, καθαρμοῦ χάριν, ὥς ἐναγεῖς συναθροισθέντας ὑπερορίους ἐκβεβλήσθαι

for those having white spots or leprosy on their bodies were, for the sake of purification, gathered together to be thrown out beyond the borders as polluted

Cultural Misanthropy

τούς δὲ Ἐξορισθέντας καταλαβέσθαι μὲν τοὺς περὶ τὰ Ἱεροσόλυμα τόπους – συστησαμένους δὲ τὸ τῶν Ἰουδαίων ἔθνος: παραδόσιμον ποιῆσαι τὸ μῖσος τὸ πρὸς τοὺς ἀνθρώπους·

but Those who had been exiled settled the regions around Jerusalem – and having formed the Jewish nation: making hatred toward other people their tradition

Exclusionary Traditions

διὰ τοῦτο δὲ καὶ νόμιμα παντελῶς ἐξηλλαγμένα καταδεῖξαι: τὸ μηδενὶ ἄλλῳ ἔθνει τραπέζης κοινωνεῖν, μηδ' εὐνοεῖν τὸ παράπαν – Ὑπέμνησαν δὲ αὐτὸν καὶ περὶ τοῦ προγενομένου μίσους τοῖς προγόνοις πρὸς τοῦτο τὸ ἔθνος

and for this reason also inventing customs that are completely different: that they shared a table with no other nation, nor were well inclined at all – they also reminded him about the previous hostility from their ancestors toward this nation

Epiphanes in the Holy of Holies

Ἀντίοχος γὰρ ὁ, προσαγορευθεὶς Ἐπιφανής, καταπολεμήσας τοὺς Ἰουδαίους – εἰσῆλθεν εἰς τὸν ἅδυτον τοῦ θεοῦ σηκόν: οἷ νόμιμον εἰσιέναι μόνον τὸν ἱερέα

for Antiochus [IV], called Epiphanes, after warring against the Jews – entered into the innermost sanctuary of the god: into which it is lawful for only the priest to enter

Moses & His Legacy

εὐρών δὲ ἐν αὐτῷ λίθινον ἄγαλμα ἀνδρὸς βαθυπώγωνος, καθήμενον ἐπ' ὄνου, μετὰ χειρὸς ἔχον βιβλίον – τοῦτο μὲν ὑπέλαβε Μωυσέως εἶναι, τοῦ κτίσαντος τὰ Ἱεροσόλυμα καὶ συστησαμένου τὸ ἔθνος – πρὸς δὲ τούτοις, νομοθετήσαντος τὰ μισάνθρωπα καὶ παράνομα ἔθη τοῖς Ἰουδαίοις

and finding within it a stone statue of a long-bearded man, seated on a donkey, holding a document in his hands – he supposed this to be [a statue of] Moses, the one who founded Jerusalem and organized the nation – and in addition to these things, who legislated the misanthropic and lawless customs for the Jews

Resolution of Antiochus IV

Αὐτὸς δὲ – συγῆσας τὴν μισανθρωπίαν πάντων ἐθνῶν – ἐφιλοτιμήθη καταλῦσαι τὰ νόμιμα

but [Antiochus IV Epiphanes] himself – abhorring their misanthropy for all nations – strove to abolish their laws

Normalization Efforts

διὸ τῷ ἀγάλματι τοῦ κτίστου καὶ τῷ ὑπαίθρῳ βωμῷ τοῦ θεοῦ μεγάλην ὕν θύσας, τό τε αἷμα Προσέχεεν αὐτοῖς – καὶ τὰ κρέα σκευάσας: Προσέταξε τῷ μὲν ἀπὸ τούτων ζωμῷ τὰς ἱερὰς αὐτῶν βίβλους (καὶ περιεχούσας τὰ μισόξενα νόμιμα) καταρρᾶναι

therefore, having sacrificed a large swine to the statue of the founder and to the open-air altar of the god, [Antiochus IV Epiphanes] sprinkled its blood on them – and having prepared the meat: he ordered [his agents] to sprinkle their sacred books (which also contain their nation-hating laws) with the broth from that [swine]

τὸν δὲ ἀθάνατον λεγόμενον παρ' αὐτοῖς λύχνον καὶ καιόμενον ἀδιαλείπτως ἐν τῷ ναῷ κατασβέσαι – τῶν τε κρεῶν ἀναγκάσαι προσενέγκασθαι τὸν ἀρχιερέα καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους Ἰουδαίους

[Antiochus IV Epiphanes ordered his agents] to extinguish the lamp called "immortal" among them and burning unceasingly in the temple – and to compel the high priest and the other Jews to offer the meat [from the sacrificed swine]

Indecisiveness of Antiochus VII Sidetes

ταῦτα δὴ διεξιόντες, οἱ Φίλοι τὸν Ἀντίοχον παρεκάλουν μάλιστα μὲν ἄρδην ἀνελεῖν τὸ ἔθνος – εἰ δὲ μή, καταλῦσαι τὰ νόμιμα – καὶ συναναγκάσαι τὰς ἀγωγὰς μεταθέσθαι

recounting these things in detail, his Friends urged Antiochus [VII Sidetes] especially either to utterly destroy the nation – but if not, to abolish their laws – and to compel their way of life to be changed

ὁ δὲ Βασιλεὺς, μεγαλόψυχος ὢν καὶ τὸ ἦθος ἥμερος – (λαβὼν ὁμήρους) ἀπέλυσε τῶν ἐγκλημάτων τοὺς Ἰουδαίους – φόρους τε τοὺς ὀφειλομένουςπραξάμενος, καὶ τὰ τεῖχη περιελὼν τῶν Ἱεροσολύμων

but the King, being magnanimous and mild in character – (after receiving hostages) acquitted the Jews of their offenses – having collected the tribute owed, and dismantled the walls of Jerusalem

Mishneh Torah on ʿEpikōrsīm

The Mishneh Torah is the first full systematic code of Jewish law. It was written by Moses Maimonides (c. 1178^{CE}) while he was living in Fustat (Old Cairo), Egypt.

The Mishneh Torah is treated as a foundational legal authority, studied as sacred text alongside the Talmud, cited in rabbinic decisions across all Jewish traditions, and used to derive practical law – forming the basis for many later legal systems and influencing broader legal thought.

Mitzvah to Kill an ʿEpikōrōs

"The term Epicurus, or Apikoros as it is more often pronounced, is alive and well in contemporary Jewish culture. When used by members of the Orthodox Jewish community, it means simply a heretic... ...an Apikoros is someone who rejects the Jewish religion for ideological or philosophic reasons."

– Gabriel Danzig (Chair of Classics, Bar Ilan University, Israel), 'The Oxford Handbook of Epicurus and Epicureanism,' pg. 549

Moses Maimonides (Rambam), Mishneh Torah – Rotzeah uShmirat Nefesh, 4.10 sup.

הָאֶפִּיקוֹרְסִים: וְהֵם עוֹבְדֵי עֲבוּדָה זָרָה, אוֹ הַעוֹשֶׂה עֲבֵרוֹת לְהַכְעִיס, אֲפִלוּ אֲכַל נֶבֶלָה אוֹ לִבַּשׁ שְׂעִטָּה לְהַכְעִיס – הֲרִי זֶה אֶפִּיקוֹרוֹס – וְשִׁכּוּפְרִין בְּתוֹרָה וּבִנְבוּאָה, הִיתָה מִצְוָה לְהַרְגוֹ

HāʿEpikōrsīm: vāhēm ʿōvdēi ʿavōdā zārā, ʿō hāʿōseh ʿavērōt ləhakhʿīs, ʿāfillū ʾākhal nēvėlā ʿō lāvash shaʿatnēz ləhakhʿīs

– harēi zeh ʿEpikōrōs – ušekōfrīn bā-Tōrāh ūvannēvūʾāh, hāytā mitzvāh ləhargān

The Epicureans [אֶפִּיקוֹרְסִים]: these are idol worshippers, or those who commit transgressions deliberately to provoke [God], even if he ate non-kosher meat or wore a Shatnez [forbidden mixture of wool and linen] to provoke – behold, this is an Epicurean – and those who deny the Torah and prophecy: it is a mitzvah to kill them [לְהַרְגוֹ]

[Rabbi Eliyahu Touger] It is a mitzvah to kill minim and Apikorsim. The term minim refers to Jewish idolaters or those who perform transgressions for the sake of angering God, even if one eats non-kosher meat for the sake of angering God or wears sha'atnez for the sake of angering God. The term apikorsim refers to Jews who deny the Torah and the concept of prophecy.

Epicureans (here broadly defined as those who do not follow Jewish customs) are regarded by Jewish law as deserving death – with their killing considered a mitzvah (a religious commandment).

Moses Maimonides (Rambam), Mishneh Torah – Rotzeah uShmirat Nefesh, 4.10 fin.

אם יש בידו כח להרגן בסיף בפרהסיא, הורג. ואם לאו – הִיָּה בֹא עָלֶיָּהּ בַּעֲלִילוֹת עַד שְׂסִיבֵב הַרִיגָתָן. כִּיצַד? רָאָה אֶחָד מִהֶן שְׁנַפֵּל לַבַּיִר, וְהִסְלֵם בַּבַּיִר – הִיָּה מְסַלְקוֹ וְאוֹמֵר "הִרִינִי טְרוּד לְהוֹרִיד בְּנִי מִן הַגֵּג, וְאֶחְזִירָנּוּ לָךְ" – וְכִיוֹצֵא בְּדַבְּרִים אֵלָיו

’Im yēsh bayādō kōaḥ laḥargein bəsayif bəfarhesyā, hōrēg. və’im lāv – hāyā bā ‘ālēihen ba’ālilōt ‘ad sheyyəsabbēv harīgātān.

kēyṣad? rā’ā ‘eḥād mēhen shenāfal libā’ēr, vəhassullām babā’ēr – hāyā məsalleqō və’ōmēr "harēnī ṭārūd ləhōrīd bənī min

haggāg, və’aḥazīrēnnū ləkhā" – vəkhayyōšē’ bidavārīm ‘ēllū

If one has the power to kill them with the sword [סִיף] publicly, he should kill. And if not – one should approach them with schemes [עֲלִילוֹת] until he brings about their death. In what manner? If one saw one of them fall into a well, and a ladder was in the well – he may remove it and say "I need it to bring my son down from the roof, and I will return it to you" – similar things like this

[Rabbi Eliyahu Touger] If there is the possibility, one should kill them with a sword in public view. If that is not possible, one should develop a plan so that one can cause their deaths. What is implied? If one sees such a person descend to a cistern, and there is a ladder in the cistern, one should take the ladder, and excuse oneself, saying: "I must hurry to take my son down from the roof. I shall return the ladder to you soon." Similarly, one should devise other analogous plans to cause the death of such people.

Here we see that the instruction according to Jewish law is to make killing Epicureans legal.

When changing the law is not yet possible, the commandment is to break the existing law and kill Epicureans through plots / contrivances (עֲלִילוֹת, uh-lī-lōt).



The Oxyrhynchus Consolation

Incorrect Perspective

P.Oxy. 2.215, col. 1, lines 1-11

...γ[υ]σ[θ]αι ὅταν καθ[ή]κ[η] [τ]ῇ τῆς φύσεως, ὡς ἔλεγον, [οἰ]κεῖον, μηδ' ὅταν γε [ν]ῇ Δία οὕτω λέγῃται πά[λιν] ὑπὸ τῶν
τυχόντων ["δ]έδο[υ]κα τοὺς θεοὺς πάν[τας οὖ]ς σέ[βο]μαι [κ]αὶ τοῦ[τοι]ς βο[ύ]λ[ο]μαι πάντα κα[τ]αθύειν καὶ τούτοις
[ἀν]ατιθέναι.

...Γίνεσθαι ὅταν καθήκη τὸ τῆς φύσεως, ὡς ἔλεγον, οἰκεῖον, μηδ' ὅταν γε – νῇ Δία! – οὕτω λέγῃται πάλιν ὑπὸ τῶν τυχόντων "δέδοικα τοὺς θεοὺς πάντας οὖς σέβομαι καὶ τούτοις βούλομαι πάντα καταθύειν καὶ τούτοις ἀνατιθέναι."

[col. 1, lines 1-11] ...to happen whenever what aligns with nature, as I mentioned, is suitable, nor whenever – by Zeus! – it is spoken like this again by the common people "I fear all the gods whom I revere and to them I wish to sacrifice all things and to dedicate to them."

[Chilton] {It is no proof of piety to observe the customary religious obligations – though the offering of sacrifices} on suitable occasions may be, as I have said, in keeping with nature – nor is it, by Zeus, when someone or other goes about repeating, "I fear all the gods, and honour them, and want to spend all my money in making sacrifices and consecrating offerings to them."

[Grenfell] Nor, indeed, even when this further statement is made by the ordinary man, "I fear all the gods and worship them, and to them I wish to make every sacrifice and offering."

Infirm Basis

col. 1, lines 11-16

χαπιέστε[ρο]ς μὲν γὰρ ἴσως ποτὲ [ὁ τι]οιοῦτος ἄλλων ἰδιω[τῶ]ν ἐστίν, ὅμως δὲ οὐ[δὲ] ταύτη πω τὸ βέβαιον [εὐ]σεβείας ὑπάρχει

Χαριέστερος μὲν γὰρ ἴσως ποτὲ ὁ τοιοῦτος ἄλλων ἰδιωτῶν ἐστίν, ὅμως δὲ οὐδὲ ταύτη πω τὸ βέβαιον εὐσεβείας ὑπάρχει.

[col. 1, lines 11-16] Indeed, possibly such a person at times is more refined than other laymen, but still not in this way at all does the firmness of piety exist.

[Chilton] Such a man is perhaps more praiseworthy than other individuals, but still it is not thus that a solid foundation for piety is laid.

[Grenfell] It may perhaps imply more taste on his part than the average, nevertheless by this formula he has not yet reached the trustworthy principle of religion.

Fear of the gods is an infirm basis for piety

Correct Perspective

col. 1, lines 16-26

σὺ [δ', ὦ] ἄνθρωπε, μακαριώ[τα]τον μὲν τι νόμιζε τὸ [διε]ληφέναι καλῶς ὃ τὸ [παν]άριστον ἐν τοῖς οὔσι [δια]γοηθῆναι δυνάμε[θα], κα[ὶ] [τ. θ]αύμαζε ταύτην [τῇ]ν δ[ι]άληψιν καὶ σέβου [ἀδ]ε[ί]α τοῦτο, ἔπε[ι]τα...

Σὺ δ', ὦ ἄνθρωπε, μακαριώτατον μὲν τι νόμιζε τὸ διεληφέναι καλῶς ὃ τὸ πανάριστον ἐν τοῖς οὔσι διανοηθῆναι δυνάμεθα, καὶ θαύμαζε ταύτην τὴν διάληψιν καὶ σέβου ἀδεία τοῦτο, ἔπειτα...

[col. 1, lines 16-26] And you, Sir, should consider it something most blessed to have rightly grasped what is best of all among what exists that we are able to conceive [for ourselves] – and you should marvel at this understanding and you should revere it with freedom from fear.

[Chilton] You, my friend, must know that the most blessed gift is to have a clear perception of things; that is absolutely the best thing that we can conceive of here below. Admire this clear apprehension of the spirit, revere this divine gift.

[Grenfell] But do you, sir, consider that the most blessed state lies in the formation of a just conception concerning the best thing that we can possibly imagine to exist; and reverence and worship this idea.

Reason for our Reverence

col. 1, lines 27-35

...σέ[βεσ]θα[ι] νοῶσιν, ἀλλὰ μόνον μὴ ὀλιγορῶν τηλικού[του] σεμνώματος κατὰ [τῇ]ν θεωρίαν πρὸς τὴν [σε] [αυ]τοῦ εὐ[δαιμ]ον[ί]αν. κ[αὶ] [νῇ] δία πε[ρὶ] ταύ[την] τὴ[ν] [ἐν]τεῦθεν...

...σέβεσθαι νοῶσιν, ἀλλὰ μόνον μὴ ὀλιγορῶν τηλικούτου σεμνώματος κατὰ τὴν θεωρίαν πρὸς τὴν σεαυτοῦ εὐδαιμονίαν. καὶ, νῇ δία, περὶ ταύτην τὴν ἐντεῦθεν...

[col. 1, lines 27-35] ...they intend to worship, but only that you are not neglectful of such great reverence according to the study for your own happiness. And, by god, concerning this which from here...

[Chilton] *[After that you should not honour the gods because you think thus to gain their favour], as people will think when they see you performing acts of piety, but only because, in comparison with your own happiness, you see how the condition of the gods [is infinitely more august, according to our doctrine]*

Honoring Yourself

col. 2, lines 1-8

{[πε]υτικῶν} καὶ κεχα[ρισ]μένον, ἐὰν εὐκαιρῇ, τιμ[ᾱ]ν αὐτὴν τὴν θεωρίαν σεαυτοῦ ταῖς συγγενέσιν κατὰ σάρκα ἡδοναῖς, αἵ ποτ' ἂν καθήκωσιν, ἀλλὰ ποτε καὶ τῇ τῶν νόμων συμπεριφορᾷ χρωμένου σοῦ.

...Καὶ κεχαρισμένον. ἐὰν εὐκαιρῇ: τιμᾶν αὐτὴν τὴν θεωρίαν σεαυτοῦ ταῖς συγγενέσιν κατὰ σάρκα ἡδοναῖς, αἵ ποτ' ἂν καθήκωσιν – ἀλλὰ ποτε καὶ τῇ τῶν νόμων συμπεριφορᾷ χρωμένου σοῦ.

[col. 2, lines 1-8] ...and was grateful. If there is an opportunity: honor this very study of yourself with the innate pleasures of the flesh, whenever they may be appropriate – but also whenever by you acting in accordance with the laws.

[Chilton] *[And certainly, by Zeus, {when you practice} this doctrine – the doctrine most worthy of belief, {as your reason should tell you – it is of course open to you to offer sacrifices to the gods. By doing so you perform}] an act which gives confidence] and is a pleasure to see, if it is done at the proper time, because you honour your own doctrine by enjoying those pleasures of the senses which befit such occasions and besides you conform in some sense to religious traditions.*

Fearlessness

col. 2, lines 8-16

Δέος δὲ μὴ πρόσα[γε] ἐνταῦθα μὴδ' ὑπόληψιν χαριτωνίας θεοῖς, ὅτι ταῦτα πράττεις. τί γάρ, ὦ πρὸς Διός, τὸ δὴ λεγόμενον, δ[έ]δοικας; πότερα ἀδικεῖ[ν] ἐκείνους νομίζων; οὐκοῦν δῆλον ὡς ἐλλατῶν.

Δέος δὲ μὴ πρόσαγε ἐνταῦθα μὴδ' ὑπόληψιν χαριτωνίας θεοῖς ὅτι ταῦτα πράττεις. τί γάρ, "ὦ πρὸς Διός" (τὸ δὴ λεγόμενον) δέδοικας; πότερα ἀδικεῖν ἐκείνους νομίζων; οὐκοῦν δῆλον ὡς ἐλλατῶν!

[col. 2, lines 8-16] Do not introduce fear in this respect nor the assumption of grace from the gods because you do these things. For why "oh by Zeus" (as it is said) were you afraid? Perhaps by thinking they act unjustly? Therefore, it is clear that how you are belittling [them]!

[Chilton] Only be careful that you do not permit any admixture of fear of the gods or of the supposition that in acting as you do you are winning the favour of the gods. For indeed, in the name of Zeus (as men affect to say) what have you to fear in this matter? Do you believe that the gods can do you harm? Is not that, on any showing, to belittle them?

[Grenfell] But let there be no question of fear in this, nor any assumption that your action will buy the favour of the gods. For why, 'by Zeus,' to use the vulgar phrase, do you fear them? Is it because you think that you do them an injury? Is it not plain in that case that you are making them inferior? Are you not then regarding the divine power as something mean, if it is inferior to you?

Fearing the divine denigrates the divine.

Comparison

col. 2, lines 16-24

πῶς οὐ[ν] οὐ ταπεινόν τι τὸ δαμι[ό]νιον δοξάζε[ι]ς, εἴπερ ἐ[λατ]το[ύ]ται πρὸς σέ; ἢ καὶ χ[άριν] ἀδ[ικήματος]ς ὑπείλ[ηφας] ἐά[ν] τοιαῦτα] πράττη[ς πρα]ῦν[εῖν θεόν; ἢ] τὸν τὰ[ῦτα] λογ[ιζόμενον ἐ]γίστ' ἀνή[σειν] βλά[βας πρὸς] ἀνθρώ[πους;]

Πῶς οὖν οὐ **ταπεινόν τι τὸ δαιμόνιον** δοξάζεις, εἴπερ ἐλαττοῦται **πρὸς σέ**; ἢ καὶ **χάριν ἀδ[ικήματος]** ὑπέιληφας ἐὰν **τοιαῦτα** πράττης, πραϋνεῖν **θεόν**; ἢ **τὸν ταῦτα λογιζόμενον** ἐνίστ' **ἀνήσειν βλάβας πρὸς ἀνθρώπους**;

[col. 2, lines 16-24] How then do you not consider **the divine as something lowly**, if indeed it is belittled **in relation to you**? Or have you assumed that, **because of** [your **wrongdoing**], if you do **such things**, you appease **a god**? Or [have you assumed] that **the one considering these things** sometimes **will forgive harms** towards men?

[Chilton] How then will you not regard the Divinity as a miserable creature if it appears inferior in comparison to yourself? Or will you rather be of the opinion that by sacrificing [thousands of oxen] you can appease God if you have committed some evil deed? Can you think that he will take account of the sacrifice and, like a man, remit at some time or another a part of the penalty?

Fear

col. 2, lines 25-27

κ[αί] γὰρ οἵ[ον]ται δεῖν α[ύτῶν] δεδοικέναι [καί] τιμᾶν τ[ινάς] ἵνα κατεχό[μεν]οι τῷ...

Καὶ γὰρ οἶονται δεῖν αὐτῶν δεδοικέναι καὶ τιμᾶν τινάς ἵνα κατεχόμενοι τῷ...

[col. 2, lines 25-27] And indeed, they think it necessary to have been fearful **of them** and to honor **certain ones** in order that they are held...

[Chilton] No doubt men tell each other that they should fear the gods and honour them with sacrifices so that, restrained by the tribute they receive, the gods will not attack them

Fear's gamble

col. 2, lines 28-32

μὴ ἐπιθ[ῶν]ται αὐτοῖ[ς· ὥς] εἴτ' ὀρθῶς τ[οῦ]το οἰομέ[νων] καθόλου μὴ βλαβήσεσθ[αι] [εἴ]τ' ο[ὐ]κ ὀρθ[ῶς] τὸ δυνα[μικὸν θε]ῶν τ[ιμ]ῶντων

...Μὴ ἐπιθῶνται αὐτοῖς· ὥς εἴτ' ὀρθῶς τοῦτο οἰομένων, καθόλου μὴ βλαβήσεσθαι – εἴτ' οὐκ ὀρθῶς, τὸ δυναμικὸν θεῶν τιμώντων...

[col. 2, lines 28-32] ...Do not rely **on them**: whether thinking **this** rightly, not to be harmed **at all** – or not rightly, by honoring **the power of the gods**...

[Chilton] as a result they think that if their surmise is correct they will altogether escape injury and if it is not, all will be well because they pay homage to the power of the gods.

Fearful Expectations

col. 3, lines 2-4

καίηται. τὸ γὰρ κατα[θῦσαι] βλάβην ἔφερεν ἂν [εἴ τις] προσεδόκα τὸ ἐπ[ιτίμιον.]

...καίηται. τὸ γὰρ καταθῦσαι βλάβην ἔφερεν, ἂν εἴ τις προσεδόκα τὸ ἐπιτίμιον.

[col. 3, lines 2-4] ...would be set on fire. For sacrificing would have brought **harm**, if [someone] expected **the penalty**.

[Chilton] [*But if these close relations between gods and men were really to exist it would be a great misfortune, for the effect would make itself felt even beyond the grave after the funeral ceremonies, as soon as a man*] was cremated. For then men would suffer injury even beneath the earth and [everyone] would have to expect punishment.

Expectations of Providence

col. 3, lines 5-10

καὶ χωρὶς τούτω[ν οἱ παραι]τούμενοι, μὴ παρ[ορῶντο, τὰ] σημεῖα τῆς χάριτ[ος καὶ νομί]ζοντες αὐτοὺς ῥα[δίως πρὸς] ἑαυτοὺς καὶ πρὸς [ἄλλους] ἀφικέσθαι καὶ...

καὶ χωρὶς τούτων, οἱ παραιτούμενοι μὴ παρῶντο τὰ σημεῖα τῆς χάριτος, καὶ νομίζοντες αὐτοὺς ῥαδίως πρὸς ἑαυτοὺς καὶ πρὸς ἄλλους ἀφικέσθαι καὶ...

[col. 3, lines 5-10] ...and apart from these, those who pray so that they would not pass by the signs of grace and thinking that they easily arrive for themselves and for others...

[Chilton] Moreover, I need not describe how men would have to beg for signs of favour from the gods in their fear of being neglected by them (for they would think to induce the gods in this way to communicate with them more readily and come down into their temples).

Many people incorrectly expect direct help from the gods.

Acting out of Fear

col. 3, lines 11-16

...ὅσους δήποτε τρόπ[ους πάν]τες πρὸς τὸ τῆς βλάβ[βης ὑπό]πτευμα καὶ τὴν τιμωρίας προφυλακὴν ἐγγ[υῶνται, λογι]στέον, πρὸς

...ὅσους δήποτε τρόπους πάντες πρὸς τὸ τῆς βλάβης ὑπόπτευμα καὶ τὴν τιμωρίας προφυλακὴν ἐγγυῶνται. λογιστέον πρὸς...

[col. 3, lines 11-16] ...at any time as many as all the manners for the suspicion of harm and the prevention of punishment are guaranteed – then they give pledges. It must be calculated towards...

[Chilton] any more than I can tell of the diversity and number of the methods they would employ because of their fear of harm and so as to guard against punishment. For to speak the truth *⟨all this seems a pure illusion of these people when compared with the doctrine of those who think that a life of happiness exists for us in this world and do not admit that the dead live again – a marvel not less unlikely than those which Plato imagined⟩*.

Many people pray to avoid harm and not be punished.

List: Against the Professors, Sextus Empiricus

Against the Professors (Adversus Mathematicos)	
1	Against the Grammarians
2	Against the Rhetoricians
3	Against the Geometers
4	Against the Arithmeticians
5	Against the Astrologers
6	Against the Musicians
Against the Dogmatists (Adversus Dogmaticos)	

1, 2	7, 8	Against the Logicians 1, 2
3,4	9, 10	Against the Physicists 1, 2
5	11	Against the Ethicists

⌘ SANDBOX [REMOVE] ⌘

CONCEPTION LIST

νοεῖν	to conceive	ΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing</i>
ἡ νόησις αἱ νοήσεις	concept	ΝΟΗΣΙΣ <i>seeing</i>
τὸ νόημα τὰ νόηματα	conception	ΝΟΗΜΑ <i>seeing</i>
νοηθεῖς <i>(εἶσα, ἐν)</i> νοηθέντες <i>(εἶσαι, έντα)</i>	conceived	ΝΟΗΘΕΙΣ <i>seeing</i>
ἐπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive	ΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἐπινενοηκῶς <i>(υῖα, ὅς)</i>	having objectively conceived	ΕΠΙΝΕΝΟΗΚΩΣ <i>saw upon</i>
ἐπिनोηθεῖς <i>(α, ἐν)</i>	[objectively] conceived [already]	ΕΠΙΝΟΗΘΕΙΣ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἡ ἐπίνοια αἱ ἐπινόαι	[objective] concept	ΕΠΙΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing upon</i>
τὸ ἐπινόημα τὰ ἐπινόήματα	[objective] conception	ΕΠΙΝΟΗΜΑ <i>result of seeing upon</i>
τὸ ἐπिनोηθὲν τὰ ἐπινοηθέντα	what is objectively conceived	ΕΠΙΝΟΗΘΕΝ <i>seeing upon</i>
ἐπινοοούμενος <i>(η, ον)</i>	objectively conceived	ΕΠΙΝΟΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing upon</i>
προσεπινοεῖν	to objectively conceive additionally	ΠΡΟΣΕΠΙΝΟΕΙΝ
ἐννοεῖν	to internalize	ΕΝΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing within</i>
τὸ ἐννόημα τὰ ἐννοήματα	internal conception	ΕΝΝΟΗΜΑ <i>result of seeing within</i>
ἐννοῶν <i>(έννοοῦσα, έννοοῦν)</i> έννοοῦντες <i>(έννοοῦσαι, έννοοῦντα)</i>	internalizing	ΕΝΝΟΩΝ
διανοεῖσθαι	to perceive [mentally]	ΔΙΑΝΟΕΙΣΘΑΙ <i>seeing through</i>
ἡ διάνοια αἱ διάνοιαι	[mental] perception	ΔΙΑΝΟΙΑ <i>seeing through</i>
ἀδιανόητος <i>(ον)</i>	[mentally] imperceptible	ΑΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΟΣ <i>not capable of seeing through</i>
τὸ ἀδιανόητον τὰ ἀδιανόητα	what is imperceptible [mentally]	ΑΔΙΑΝΟΗΤΟΝ <i>not seeing through</i>
κατανοεῖν	to fully conceive	ΚΑΤΑΝΟΕΙΝ <i>seeing thoroughly</i>

κατανο ούμενος	fully conceived	ΚΑΤΑΝΟ ΟΥΜΕΝΟΣ <i>seeing thoroughly</i>
ή κατανό ησις αί κατανο ήσεις	full conception	ΚΑΤΑΝΟ ΗΣΙΣ <i>thoroughly seeing</i>
προσκατανο εῖν	to additionally conceive fully	ΠΡΟΣΚΑΤΑΝΟ ΕΙΝ <i>addition to seeing thoroughly</i>
ή προσκατανό ησις αί προσκατανο ήσεις	additional full conception	ΠΡΟΣΚΑΤΑΝΟ ΗΣΙΣ <i>addition to thoroughly seeing</i>
ἀπερινό ητος (ον)	not completely conceivable	ΑΠΕΡΙΝΟ ΗΤΟΣ <i>not seeing around</i>
ἐνπερινενο ημένος (η, ον)	completely conceived within	ΕΝΠΕΡΙΝΕΝΟ ΗΜΕΝΟΣ <i>having seen around in</i>

KNOWLEDGE LIST

γινώ σκειν = γιγνώ σκειν	to come to know	ΓΙΓΝΩ ΣΚΕΙΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
γιγνώ σκων (ουσα, ον)	knowing	ΓΙΓΝΩ ΣΚΩΝ <i>having distinguished</i>
γνω στός (ή, όν)	known	ΓΝΩ ΣΤΟΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ή γνώ σις αί γνώ σεις	knowledge	ΓΝΩ ΣΙΣ <i>distinguishing</i>
ἀγνο εῖν	to not know	ΑΓΝΟ ΕΙΝ <i>not distinguishing</i>
γνωρί ζειν	to acknowledge	ΓΝΩΡΙ ΖΕΙΝ <i>distinguishing [transformation]</i>
γνωρί ζων (ουσα, ον)	acknowledging	

Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Frank Criticism, Fr. 45:

...[με]τὰ πολλῆς πεπ[ο]ιθήσεως ἄλλους νουθετήσομεν – καὶ νῦν καὶ διαπρέψαντες οἱ καθηγητῶν ὅτως ἀπότομαι γενηθέντες. Καὶ τὸ συνέχον καὶ κυριώτατον – Ἐπικούρῳι καθ' ὃν ζῆν ἡρήμεθα, πειθαρχήσομεν...

With great confidence we will instruct others – both presently and [later] as distinguished leaders who have thus become strict. And the continuous and most important thing – to Epicurus, according to whom we have chosen to live, we will be obedient."

summa vero Vis infinitatis et magna ac diligenti contemplatione dignissima est – in qua intellegi necesse est eam esse naturam ut omnia omnibus paribus paria respondeant; hanc ἰσονομίαν appellat Epicurus id est aequabilem tributionem. ex hac igitur illud efficitur, si mortaliū tanta multitudo sit, esse immortalium non minorem, et si quae interimant innumerabilia sint, etiam ea quae conseruent infinita esse debere.

the greatest Power of infinity is indeed most worthy of great and diligent contemplation – in which it is necessary to understand that nature is such that all things correspond as equals to all things. This Epicurus calls "isonomía," that is, equal distribution. Therefore, from this it follows that, if the multitude of mortals is so great, the number of immortals must not be smaller, and if those that destroy are innumerable, those that preserve must also be infinite.

x	x	x	x
---	---	---	---

x	x	x
x		x

ϕ	z	ϕ
---	---	---

➤→ 0.6" margins

Cardo Font Test: 𐀀 𐀁

Sandbox

Subject ctrl U

Subject modifier ctrl alt U

Object ctrl shift D

Object modifier ctrl alt D

Oblique ctrl shift /

Oblique modifier ctrl alt /

Prepositional/absolute ctrl shift \

Prepositional modifier ctrl alt \

*** = **

&&& = *

working/extras

DRN 3.245	sēnsíferōs	"[the fourth nature of the soul] which
mōtūs	quae dīdit	first distributes <i>the sense-giving</i>
prīma per ārtūs		<i>motions through the limbs.</i> "

Plato, Timaeus ^[47B/C] God invented and gave us sight to the end that we might behold the courses of intelligence in the heaven, and apply them to the courses of our own intelligence which are akin to them, the unperturbed to the perturbed; and that we, learning them and partaking of the natural truth of reason, might imitate the absolutely unerring courses of God and regulate our own vagaries.

We need practical observation of the consequences of actions. ὁ τοῦ τέλους ἐπιλογισμός explains what the 'hedonistic calculus' is, but in just one word, we also have ἡ Συμμέτρησις ("measuring by comparison")

ὁ τοῦ Τέλους Ἐπιλογισμός	The Inductive Consideration of Fulfilment	<i>the "hedonic calculus"</i>
-------------------------------------	---	-------------------------------

ATTESTATION		CONTESTATION	
[M] ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον attested	Λ and	[W] ἀντιμαρτυρούμενον contested	(O) προσμένον pending <i>(conflicting evidence → more observation needed)</i>

[-M] οὐκ ἐπιμαρτυρούμενον not attested	Λ and	[-W] οὐκ ἀντιμαρτυρούμενον not contested	(O) προσμένον pending (no evidence → unknown)
[-M] non-attestation alone is sufficient to establish the falsity of a judgment as long as there continues to be no attestation	V or	[W] contestation alone is sufficient to establish the falsity of a judgment long as there continues to be no attestation	(¬α) FALSE
[M] attestation alone is sufficient to establish truth of a judgment as long as there continues to be no contestation	V or	[-W] non-contestation alone is sufficient to establish truth of a judgment as long as there continues to be no contestation	(α) TRUE

Demetrius Laco (fl.c. 90 BCE), P.Herc. 831

καὶ μὴν ἐκάστου, καθά φησιν Ἐπίκουρος: "ἀπὸ τῆς πρώτης γενέσεως πρὸς τινα χρόνον συστάντος, πλείω μὲν μὴ δύνασθαι βιώσαι, ἐλάττω δὲ δύνασθαι, τὴν ἀνάγκην τὴν κατὰ τὸν χρόνον τοῦτον οὐκ ἔστιν ἐποχῇ μεσολαβεῖν."

And indeed, for each [thing], as Epicurus says: "from its first generation, once it has come together for a certain time, it cannot live longer, but it can live shorter; it is not possible for any pause to intervene in the necessity according to that time."

x BAD VOWELS

bad vowel	good vowel
ά	ά
ι	ί
ι	
ύ	ύ
ώ	ώ
	ό
ή	ή
έ	έ

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS

Usener #	Description	Page
U1	(see page for context)	401
U2		401
U3	* On Ambiguity Errors come from Self-Deception Epicu	405
U4	4. Antidorus in two books This may be referring to An	407
U5	Do Not Study Entertainment	411
U6	Vanity of Political Awareness	411
U7	1.1 Pleasures of Body & Mind	413
U8	1.2 Avoid Politics	413
U9	(see page for context)	416
U10	(see page for context)	416
U11	(see page for context)	417
U12	2.1 Observe Customs	417
U13	(see page for context)	418
U14	(see page for context)	418
U15	(see page for context)	418
U16	Shared Errors · Shared Forgiveness	419
U17	(see page for context)	419
U18	Following the Laws	420
U19	Marriage{..}, ..	421
U20	Poetry	423
U21	Aging	423
U22	Learn the Rules of Grammar Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against	424
U23	(see page for context)	425
U24	(see page for context)	425
U25	Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Diogenes Laertius (fl.c.	426
U26	Time · No Proof Needed, ..	426
U27	19. The Small Summary The Small Summary is usually con	426
U28	(see page for context)	432
U29	Book 2 Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.15,9	436
U30	(see page for context)	436
U31	(see page for context)	438
U32	(see page for context)	438
U33	(see page for context)	438
U34	(see page for context)	439
U35	(see page for context)	443
U36	(see page for context)	443
U37	(see page for context)	445
U38	Life of Perfection	446
U39	(see page for context)	448
U40	(see page for context)	448

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 2)

Usener #	Description	Page
U41	· [=U88] Uncertain Author, P.Herc. 1111 ·[... ..]... ... [·... ..] ·	449
U42	Acquiring & Guarding Wealth	449
U43	Consolation for Poverty	450
U44	Suitably Treating The Pain Of Poverty	451
U45	Poverty is Bad	451
U46	Art has a definite set of external principles which can be imparted	453
U47	Metrodorus, On Poems Book 1, quoted by Philodemus, On Rhetoric Book	454
U48	(see page for context)	454
U49	(see page for context)	417
U50	(see page for context)	457
U51	Ammianus Marcellinus, Res Gestae, 30.4, 3 Ammianós Markellínos (fl.	458
U52	(see page for context)	458
U53	(see page for context)	459
U54	Clarity Only	461
U55	(see page for context)	461
U56	Color Shifts with Atom Position Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Hero	462
U57	Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 187C	464
U58	Effects of Wine	464
U59	(see page for context)	465
U60	(see page for context)	465
U61	Appropriate Time for Intercourse	466
U62	(see page for context)	468
U63	(see page for context)	469
U64	The Telos · Philodemus (fl.c. 70 BCE), On Rhetoric, VH2 VII 184	470
U65	··][·... ..][· ...] [...]..... · ·[.....]·[·] [·] · ...	470
U66	(see page for context)	473
U67	Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 12.67, 546E	473
U68	(see page for context)	477
U69	(see page for context)	478
U70	"Goodby Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 546F	479
U71	46. Timocrates in three books ·	479
U72	Book 1 Uncertain Author, VH2 10.201, fr. 44 ·[... ..][· ... ·	480
U73	Book 3	480
U74	Book 1 1.1 Atoms & Void	482
U75	Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 1.333 · ..	482
U76	"Nature"	483
U77	1.2 Composites Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Laertius	483
U78	1.3 Symmetric Impacts Epicurus, On Nature, Book 34, P.Herc. 1431, c	483
U79	Book 2 2.1 On Time	484
U80	(see page for context)	509

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 3)

Usener #	Description	Page
U81	Book 11 11.1 Size of the Sun Scholion to Epicurus, Letter to Pythoc	526
U82	Book 12 12.1 Shapes of Worlds Scholion with Epicurus, Letter to Her	547
U83	12.2 Eclipses Epicurus, Letter to Pythocles, Laertius 10.96	547
U84	12.3 Conceptions of Gods	549
U85	(see page for context)	549
U86	12.4 Physical Immortal Gods	550
U87	12.5 Madness of Atheists	550
U88	Book 13 Divine Neutrality	551
U89	Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Laertius 10.40	573
U90	(see page for context)	579
U91	Book 35 Benefits from a God	725
U92	Limits vs. Examples Scholiast on Dionysius Thrax (fl. 130 BCE), The	727
U93	Slavish "Wise Men"	727
U94	Marriage{...}, ...	728
U95	3. "Carry On Well" & "Live Eagerly"	743
U96	2. General Invitation to Feast · Public Generosity	745
U97	3. Differentiating Types of Poverty	746
U98	4. The Idle Man ·[...]· ... ·[...]· ... ·[...]· ... [30	747
U99	5. Security through Mutual Generosity	747
U100	6. Festival on the 20th · Oaths without Euphemisms	748
U101	In 297 BCE, Demetrius Poliorcetes learned the wealthy Athenian Lachá	750
U102	283 BCE Under Euthius 124th Olympiad when Epicurus was about fifty-e	754
U103	(see page for context)	758
U104	Phyrson of Colophon Phyrson means "mixer" as in "one who mingles in	761
U105	3. Aelius Theon's Doubt Aelius Theon wrote "Preliminary Exercises" (	763
U106	To Several Persons 3. To Friends Living in Egypt	764
U107	4. To Friends Living in Asia Live Unnoticed	764
U108	2. Funds for Lampsacenan Friends ·[...]· ... ·[...]· ... ·[	766
U109	3. Discussing Leonteus	767
U110	4. Lampsacenan Youth ".....!" "Do	767
U111	6. To the Philosophers in Mytilene	767
U112	2. Mina within a Day · for the Shared Table	768
U113	3. Nausiphanes: The Broken Connection with Democritus	768
U114	4. Nausiphanes: Student of Pyrrho, Oppressive Rhetorician, Jellyfish	769
U115	Guardianship before Self-Navigation Scriptor Epicureus, P.Herc. 176	771
U116	8. To Anaxarchus "Ruler of Kings" Continuous Pleasure	773
U117	9. To Apelles Good to Avoid the Professions	774
U118	10. To Apollonides "Son of Apollo" Frank Criticism	775
U119	11. To Aristobuolos "Best Counsel" Brother of Epic	776
U120	12. To Dositheus "God's Gift" Sadness is not as Harmfu	776

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 4)

Usener #	Description	Page
U121	13. To Hermarchus "Leader guided by Hermes" 1. Leontio	778
U122	2. Happy, Although in Pain	779
U123	15. To Eurylochus "Far-Reaching Defender" Nausiphanes	781
U124	16. To Herodotus "Given by Hera" Praise for Herodotus	782
U125	2. Going Where Themista Wishes	782
U126	3. Themista's Advice	784
U127	4. Discussing Lycus	784
U128	(see page for context)	784
U129	2. Dangers of Frankness with the Powerful	785
U130	3. First-Fruits for Therapy	785
U131	4. Idomeneus' Noble Youth & Fame "	786
U132	(see page for context)	786
U133	5. Flee the Professions (Stay Alert for Good Opportunities)	787
U134	6. Practical Consequences	789
U135	7. Remove Desire (Advice for Supporting Pythocles)	789
U136	11. Remember Polycrates: Riches Without Refuge The Suda, Pythia and	792
U137	(see page for context)	792
U138	(see page for context)	792
U139	(see page for context)	797
U140	1. Universal Errors of Youth	798
U141	(see page for context)	798
U142	4. Use of Oaths	801
U143	3. Lord Paeon (Healing Apollo)	802
U144	5. Asking Leontion	803
U145	6. a Mina in a Day	804
U146	22. To Metrodorus "Mother's Gift" Professing Wisdom	804
U147	Biological Primacy Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 279F ..	805
U148	2. Praising the Minister of Lysimachus · Harms of Ignoring Gods & Ki	807
U149	3. Practical Diplomacy	807
U150	 "..... to Colote	808
U151	4. Collection Agent & Fixed Rate for the Yearly Contribution	809
U152	To Leonteus "The Radiant Letter" against Atheism	812
U153	(see page for context)	813
U154	(see page for context)	813
U155	(see page for context)	813
U156	1. Polyaenus' Graciousness Towards All Scriptor Epicureus, P.Herc. 1	814
U157	2. Group Celebration of Anthesteria · Remembering the Gods	814
U158	3. Full Assarius	814
U159	4. Differentiating Types of Poverty ·[.]· ·[.....]·... ·[.]·...	815
U160	5. Confession of Faults ·[...]. { /	815

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 5)

Usener #	Description	Page
U161	1. Praiseworthy Example	816
U162	2. Not Another Alcibiades Alciphron (fl. fortasse 160 CE), Letters,	816
U163	3. Sail Clear of Public Education	817
U164	7. The Appeal to Pythocles	818
U165	8. Pleasant Expectations	819
U166	27. To Timocrates "Power of Honor" Spreading the Word	819
U167	(see page for context)	819
U168	2. "Regarding Those Who Are Occupied"	820
U169	3. Festival Participation ..' ..[...].....[.]	821
U170	Presumably Apollonides was being negligent about frankly disclosing	776
U171	1. Aristotle: Squanderer, Soldier, Drug-Seller, & Drifter Athenaeus	822
U172	4. Protagoras: Basket-Carrier, Scribe, School Teacher & Sophist Athe	823
U173	7. Protagoras: A Late Learner Uncertain Author On Hippomachus, in Jo	824
U174	3. Some External Goods are Necessary for Wellbeing	826
U175	4. Reciprocal Responsibilities of Friendship	827
U176	32. To Apia Good Health and Conditions Most scholars accept this as	828
U177	33. Letter From His Last Days Composure and Acceptance	829
U178	1. Wisdom Recognized & Appreciated	831
U179	4. Philosophy at 14	832
U180	5. [Going to Athens] Some letters are missing at a critical point in	832
U181	6. Spitting on Pleasure from Extravagance Johannes Stobaeus (fl. f.	833
U182	8. Little Pot of Cheese	834
U183	9. Gratitude for the Essentials	834
U184	10. Annual Contribution	835
U185	11. Kronios & Arkephon (sharing meat)	837
U186	12. Remembering Neocles "... .., ...	837
U187	13. Pleasing the Public Gnomologion from the Parisinus codex, 1168,	837
U188	15. Ignored by the Powerful	839
U189	16. Sailing to Lampsacus (Dangers during Storm)	839
U190	17. Fellowship Amidst Pain	840
U191	18. Preserving Mental Pleasure (while in Bodily Pain) Marcus Aureliu	840
U192	Regarding Epicurus' Disciples 1. Three Ways to Reach the Truth	841
U193	2. Sources of Security & Gaiety	842
U194	(see page for context)	842
U195	5. Kronios	843
U196	6. Practically Vulgar	844
U197	7. Hopes for Timocrates	845
U198	Regarding the Stoics Zenonians in the Stoa of Peisianax	846
U199	Sayings 1. Serving Philosophy, Gaining Freedom	846
U200	2. Dangerous to Disregard the Body Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Le	847

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 6)

Usener #	Description	Page
U201	5. Cover the Earth in Marble	849
U202	6. Undefined Impulses Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marce	850
U203	7. Undefined Fears Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella	851
U204	8. Procrastinating Cheer Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology	851
U205	11. Meditate on Death	852
U206	13. Magnificent in Rags	853
U207	14. Disturbed on a Gold Couch Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter	853
U208	15. Private Audience	854
U209	16. Withdraw into Yourself	855
U210	17. Live as if a Good Man were Watching	855
U211	18. Happiness of Zeus · SFOTSE	855
U212	19. Those Who Misunderstand Inferences from Sensation	856
U213	(see page for context)	857
U214	22. Giving Favors, Small and Large Maximus the Confessor (fl. 620 CE	858
U215	23. Caution Toward Pleading Enemies Maximus the Confessor (fl. 620 C	859
U216	24. Details of Property Management	860
U217	Will	861
U218	Stone Dedications prepared for Tomb Aelian (Claudius Aelianus, fl. 2	873
U219	1. Philosophy is Active Reasoning (based on Established Reasoning) S	876
U220	2. Authentic Pursuit of Wisdom Vatican Saying 54, attributed in Epic	876
U221	3. Removing the Pain of the Soul Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Lett	877
U222	4. Total Certainty	878
U223	6. Effort of Sticking to Sensation	878
U224	7. Belief (The Sacred Illness) Fragment 195 from the Florilegium Mon	879
U225	8. Only the Wise Know the Wise Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philos	879
U226	9. No Philosophy for Non-Greeks Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE),	880
U227	Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Grammarians, 1-3 ··· ··	880
U228	7. The Rabble around Fairytales	883
U229	9. Harmful Bait: The Myths of the Poets Heraclitus (fl. f. 50 CE), A	884
U230	(see page for context)	886
U231	1. Rejecting Irony	887
U232	(see page for context)	887
U233	3. Independent of any School (rejecting Pamphilus the Platonist)	888
U234	4. Rejecting Determinism, Reviving Atomism	889
U235	6. Rejecting Aristotle and Phaedo	890
U236	7. Mocking Nausiphanes	891
U237	8. Mocking Epithets (from Plutarch)	891
U238	9. Mocking Epithets (from Laertius)	893
U239	(see page for context)	894
U240	Arcesilaus (·········, "assisting the people," fl. 275 BCE), whose	895

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 7)

Usener #	Description	Page
U241	(see page for context)	895
U242	1. Epicurus' Twofold Division (Physical & Ethical)	896
U243	5. Certainty in Physics	898
U244	(see page for context)	900
U245	10. All Judgment is based in Experience	901
U246	(see page for context)	901
U247	2. Appearance and Judgment are Linked (Appearance is Always True) Se	902
U248	27. One Falsification for Sensation vs. Two Falsifications for Appea	925
U249	(see page for context)	925
U250	29. Symmetries, Harmonies, & Multiple-Mixtures	926
U251	(see page for context)	929
U252	x. X	931
U253	x. X Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 2.63 ·	933
U254	x. X	934
U255	x. X Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellenies, 2.4	934
U256	x. X	936
U257	x. X	937
U258	x. X Erotianus, Glossary of Hippocrates, Preface, [p. 34, 10 Klein]	937
U259	(see page for context)	937
U260	x. X	938
U261	x. X Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.9.11	939
U262	x. X Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 2.177 ·	939
U263	x. X	939
U264	x. X	939
U265	x. X	940
U266	1. Fundamentally Unchanging Pseudo-Plutarch, Miscellanies, Fragment	941
U267	x. X Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.3.18	941
U268	x. X Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's P	942
U269	x. X	942
U270	x. X Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.3.16 ·	943
U271	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.20.2	943
U272	Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 2.329	943
U273	Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's Physic	944
U274	Themistius, Paraphrases of Aristotle's Physics, 4.6 [folio 40 verso,	945
U275	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.12.5	946
U276	(see page for context)	946
U277	Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's Physic	947
U278	Themistius, Paraphrases of Aristotle's Physics, 6.1 [folio 52 verso,	948
U279	Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's Physic	949
U280	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.12.5 ·	949

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 8)

Usener #	Description	Page
U281	(see page for context)	949
U282	(see page for context)	954
U283	(see page for context)	954
U284	Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary of Aristotle's De Cae	955
U285	Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Preparation of Simple Medicines, 1.14 ...	955
U286	(see page for context)	955
U287	(see page for context)	956
U288	(see page for context)	957
U289	Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), Questions, 1.13	960
U290	Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), On Mixture, 214.28-215.8	960
U291	Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 2.42	961
U292	Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Elements According to Hippocrates, 1.9 ..	961
U293	Galen (fl. 170 CE), On Natural Faculties, 1.14	961
U294	Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 2.219	965
U295	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.18.3	966
U296	(see page for context)	966
U297	(see page for context)	966
U298	Themistius, Paraphrases of Aristotle's Physics, 3.8	967
U299	(see page for context)	967
U300	Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Pythocles, Diogenes Laertius (fl.c.	968
U301	Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Diagnosis and Cure of Soul's Errors, 7 ..	968
U302	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Doxography, 2.2.5'	970
U303	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.7.3	970
U304	Philo Judaeus of Alexandria (fl.c. 20 CE), On the Indestructibility	970
U305	Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology, physics, 20.1	971
U306	Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's, Physi	971
U307	St. Jerome (fl.c. 385 CE), Commentary on Ecclesiastes, 1 Nec put.mus	971
U308	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.4	972
U309	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 5.26.3	973
U310	Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Logicians, 1.267	973
U311	Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Diogenes Laertius (fl.c.	973
U312	(see page for context)	973
U313	Demetrius Laco (fl.c. 90 BCE), P.Herc. 1012 col. 22 & 29 (46 & 47) ..	974
U314	(see page for context)	974
U315	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.3.11 (...	975
U316	Lucretius transitions from discussing temporary moods to the endurin	975
U317	(see page for context)	976
U318	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.13.1	978
U319	Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's "On	978
U320	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.14.2	979

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 9)

Usener #	Description	Page
U321	(see page for context)	979
U322	Scholiast on Dionysius Thrax (fl. 130 BCE), British Museum codex, i	980
U323	(see page for context)	980
U324	(see page for context)	981
U325	Tertullian (fl. 200 CE), On the Soul, 43 Epic-re- (somnum affirmant)	982
U326	(see page for context)	982
U327	Commentary on Lucan, Pharsalia (The Civil War), 7.8 Epic-rus d-cit a	982
U328	(see page for context)	982
U329	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 5.3.5 (·· ··	983
U330	(see page for context)	983
U331	Censorinus (fl.·c. 238 CE), On the Natal Day, 6.2 St-ic· ·n· t-tum i	984
U332	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, V.16.1, [p. 426 Diels]	984
U333	24. The Origin of Human Beings Censorinus (fl.·c. 238 CE), On the Na	984
U334	Origen (fl.c. 225 CE), Against Celsus, 1.24 ····· ·····	985
U335	Proclus (Proklos Diadokhos, fl. 452 CE), Commentary on Plato's "Crat	985
U336	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 4.7.4 ·····	985
U337	Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 1.72 ··· ··	986
U338	(see page for context)	987
U339	Gnomolgion from the Parisinus codex, 1168, f. 115r- (Maxims of Epicu	987
U340	Saint Hippolytus of Rome (fl.c. 210 CE), "Philosophical Questions" (	987
U341	(see page for context)	987
U342	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 5.20.2 ····	988
U343	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.20, 14 ····	989
U344	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.22.6 ····	990
U345	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.21.5 (···	990
U346	Servius the Grammarian (fl.c. 390 CE), Commentary on Virgil's Georgi	990
U347	Junius Philargirius, Commentary on Virgil's "Georgics" 2.478 [p.248	990
U348	Scholion on Epicurus, Letter to Herodotus, Diogenes Laertius (fl.c.	991
U349	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 3.4.5 (···	991
U350	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 3.15.11 ···· ····· ··	991
U351	(see page for context)	991
U352	(see page for context)	992
U353	Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 1.25 ····	997
U354	Tertullian (fl. 200 CE), Apologetics, 47 (deum) alii incorpor-lem as	997
U355	Scholion on Epicurus, Principal Doctrines 1, Diogenes Laertius (fl.c	998
U356	(see page for context)	998
U357	Sextus Empiricus (fl.c. 200 CE), Against the Physicists, 1.178 ··· ··	999
U358	(see page for context)	999
U359	Saint Hippolytus of Rome (fl.c. 210 CE), "Philosophical Questions" (	1001
U360	(see page for context)	1001

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 10)

Usener #	Description	Page
U361	Atticus, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 BCE), Preparation	1002
U362	Atticus, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 BCE), Preparation	1002
U363	(see page for context)	1002
U364	(see page for context)	1004
U365	(see page for context)	1006
U366	(see page for context)	1006
U367	(see page for context)	1008
U368	Lucian (fl. 165 CE), The Double Indictment, 2	1010
U369	Origen (fl.c. 225 CE), Against Celsus, 1.13	1014
U370	(see page for context)	1014
U371	(see page for context)	1016
U372	(see page for context)	1017
U373	(see page for context)	1019
U374	(see page for context)	1020
U375	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.29.5	1021
U376	(see page for context)	1021
U377	Simplicius of Cilicia (fl. 520 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's Physic	1023
U378	(see page for context)	1023
U379	(see page for context)	1023
U380	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 1.29.6 (...	1024
U381	Galen (fl. 170 CE), On the Use of Parts, 7.14	1024
U382	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 2.3.1 & 2	1025
U383	Emperor Julian the Apostate (obit. 363), Orations, 5, "Hymn to the M	1026
U384	(see page for context)	1027
U385	Atticus, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 BCE), Preparation	1027
U386	(see page for context)	1028
U387	(see page for context)	1029
U388	Maximus the Confessor (fl. c. 630 CE), Gnomologion, 14	1030
U389	Dionysius the Episcopalian, On Nature, by way of Eusebius of Caesare	1030
U390	Origen (fl.c. 225 CE), Against Celsus, 7.66	1030
U391	(see page for context)	1030
U392	(see page for context)	1031
U393	(see page for context)	1031
U394	(see page for context)	1032
U395	(see page for context)	1032
U396	(see page for context)	1034
U397	(see page for context)	1036
U398	(see page for context)	1045
U399	(see page for context)	1048
U400	(see page for context)	1048

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 11)

Usener #	Description	Page
U401	(see page for context)	1049
U402	Lucian (fl. 165 CE), The Double Indictment, 21 (Epicurus portrayed a	1050
U403	Plotinus (fl.c. 245 CE), Dissertations, 30 (Aeneids, 2.9), 15	1050
U404	Alexander of Aphrodisias (fl. 200 CE), Commentary on Aristotle's "To	1050
U405	(see page for context)	1051
U406	Antiochus of Ascalon (fl.c. 95 BCE), by way of Clement of Alexandria	1051
U407	(see page for context)	1051
U408	Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 1.20.17 ·	1051
U409	Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 546F ... · · · ·	1052
U410	(see page for context)	1053
U411	(see page for context)	1053
U412	(see page for context)	1054
U413	Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists, 546E ····· ····	1054
U414	Cleomedes, Lectures on Astronomy, 2.1 ... · · ····· ····	1055
U415	(see page for context)	1055
U416	Olympiodorus the Younger (fl. 540 CE), Commentary on Plato's "Phileb	1056
U417	(see page for context)	1056
U418	(see page for context)	1056
U419	(see page for context)	1057
U420	(see page for context)	1058
U421	Olympiodorus the Younger (fl. 540 CE), Commentary on Plato's "Phileb	1058
U422	Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology, 17.35 (after fragment 1	1058
U423	(see page for context)	1058
U424	(see page for context)	1059
U425	Epictetus, fragment 52, by way of Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE),	1059
U426	(see page for context)	1059
U427	Baton the Comic, by way of Athenaeus (fl.c. 200 CE), Deipnosophists,	1060
U428	(see page for context)	1060
U429	(see page for context)	1061
U430	(see page for context)	1061
U431	(see page for context)	1062
U432	(see page for context)	1062
U433	(see page for context)	1063
U434	(see page for context)	1063
U435	(see page for context)	1064
U436	(see page for context)	1064
U437	St. Jerome (fl.c. 385 CE), Commentary on Isaiah, 11.38, 473E Unde st	1065
U438	(see page for context)	1066
U439	(see page for context)	1066
U440	(see page for context)	1067

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 12)

Usener #	Description	Page
U441	(see page for context)	1069
U442	Aristocles, by way of Eusebius of Caesarea (fl.c. 405 BCE), Preparat	1070
U443	Maximus of Tyre (fl.c. 190 BCE), Dissertations, 3.3	1070
U444	(see page for context)	1071
U445	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 29	1072
U446	(see page for context)	1072
U447	(see page for context)	1074
U448	(see page for context)	1075
U449	(see page for context)	1075
U450	(see page for context)	1076
U451	Antiochus of Ascalon (fl.c. 95 BCE), by way of Clement of Alexandria	1077
U452	(see page for context)	1077
U453	(see page for context)	1078
U454	(see page for context)	1078
U455	(see page for context)	1079
U456	(see page for context)	1079
U457	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 31	1081
U458	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.54	1081
U459	(see page for context)	1081
U460	(see page for context)	1083
U461	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.50	1084
U462	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.51	1084
U463	(see page for context)	1084
U464	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.51	1085
U465	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.53	1085
U466	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.48	1085
U467	(see page for context)	1086
U468	(see page for context)	1087
U469	Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology, 17.23	1087
U470	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), On Abstinence, 1.51	1087
U471	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 27	1088
U472	(see page for context)	1089
U473	Aelian (Claudius Aelianus, fl. 215 CE), Various Histories, 4.13	1090
U474	(see page for context)	1090
U475	(see page for context)	1091
U476	Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies, 6.2	1092
U477	(see page for context)	1092
U478	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 28	1094
U479	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 28	1094
U480	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 29 ..	1095

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 13)

Usener #	Description	Page
U481	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 28	1095
U482	Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 3.7.15 .	1095
U483	Hermias (ob. 450 CE), Commentary on Plato's Phaedrus, p. 76	1095
U484	(see page for context)	1096
U485	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 29	1097
U486	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 31	1097
U487	(see page for context)	1097
U488	Gnomolgion from the Parisinus codex, 1168, f. 115 (Maxims of Epicuru	1098
U489	Porphyry of Tyre (fl. 275 CE), Letter to Marcella, 30	1098
U490	(see page for context)	1098
U491	(see page for context)	1099
U492	(see page for context)	1100
U493	(see page for context)	1101
U494	(see page for context)	1101
U495	(see page for context)	1102
U496	(see page for context)	1103
U497	(see page for context)	1104
U498	(see page for context)	1104
U499	(see page for context)	1104
U500	(see page for context)	1105
U501	(see page for context)	1106
U502	(see page for context)	1107
U503	(see page for context)	1107
U504	(see page for context)	1108
U505	Maximus of Tyre (fl.c. 190 BCE), Dissertations, 3.5	1109
U506	(see page for context)	1109
U507	(see page for context)	1111
U508	(see page for context)	1111
U509	Clement of Alexandria(fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies, 2.21	1111
U510	(see page for context)	1111
U511	(see page for context)	1112
U512	Aëtius (fl. f. 50 CE), Placita Philosophorum, 12, 547A	1114
U513	Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 2.22.21 .	1114
U514	(see page for context)	1115
U515	(see page for context)	1116
U516	(see page for context)	1116
U517	(see page for context)	1117
U518	Origen (fl.c. 225 CE), Against Celsus, 5.47	1117
U519	Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies, 6.2	1117
U520	(see page for context)	1117

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 14)

Usener #	Description	Page
U521	(see page for context)	1118
U522	(see page for context)	1118
U523	(see page for context)	1119
U524	Horace (fl.c. 25 BCE), Satires, 1.3.98 ipsa utilit-s, iust- prope m-	1120
U525	Arrian of Nicomedia (fl. 130 CE), Discourses on Epictetus, 1.23.1 ..	1120
U526	Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies, 2.23	1121
U527	(see page for context)	1121
U528	(see page for context)	1121
U529	(see page for context)	1122
U530	Johannes Stobaeus (fl.f. 450 CE), Anthology, 43.139	1122
U531	(see page for context)	1122
U532	(see page for context)	1123
U533	Demetrius Laco, P.Herc. 1012 col. 33 (53)	1125
U534	(see page for context)	1125
U535	Origen (fl.c. 225 CE), Against Celsus, 7.63	1125
U536	(see page for context)	1126
U537	Gnomologion from the Parisinus codex, 1168, (Maxims of Epicurus) ...	1126
U538	(see page for context)	1126
U539	(see page for context)	1127
U540	(see page for context)	1127
U541	(see page for context)	1128
U542	(see page for context)	1128
U543	(see page for context)	1128
U544	(see page for context)	1129
U545	(see page for context)	1129
U546	(see page for context)	1129
U547	(see page for context)	1129
U548	(see page for context)	1130
U549	(see page for context)	1130
U550	(see page for context)	1130
U551	(see page for context)	1131
U552	(see page for context)	1133
U553	(see page for context)	1134
U554	(see page for context)	1134
U555	(see page for context)	1134
U556	(see page for context)	1135
U557	(see page for context)	1135
U558	(see page for context)	1135
U559	(see page for context)	1135
U560	(see page for context)	1136

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 15)

Usener #	Description	Page
U561	(see page for context)	1137
U562	(see page for context)	1137
U563	(see page for context)	1137
U564	(see page for context)	1137
U565	(see page for context)	1137
U566	(see page for context)	1137
U567	(see page for context)	1137
U568	(see page for context)	1137
U569	(see page for context)	1138
U570	(see page for context)	1138
U571	(see page for context)	1138
U572	(see page for context)	1138
U573	(see page for context)	1138
U574	(see page for context)	1138
U575	(see page for context)	1138
U576	(see page for context)	1139
U577	(see page for context)	1139
U578	Demetrius Laco, P.Herc. 1012, col. 18 (40) fin. · col. 19 (41) ·[...	1139
U579	(see page for context)	1139
U580	(see page for context)	1139
U581	(see page for context)	1140
U582	Clement of Alexandria (fl. 190 CE), Miscellanies, 4.22 ... ·	1140
U583	(see page for context)	1140
U584	(see page for context)	1141
U585	(see page for context)	1141
U586	(see page for context)	1141
U587	(see page for context)	1143
U588	(see page for context)	1143
U589	(see page for context)	1143
U590	(see page for context)	1143
U591	(see page for context)	1144
U592	(see page for context)	1144
U593	(see page for context)	1144
U594	(see page for context)	1144
U595	(see page for context)	1144
U596	(see page for context)	1144
U597	(see page for context)	1144
U598	(see page for context)	1144
U599	(see page for context)	1145
U600	(see page for context)	1147

TABLE OF USENER NUMBERS (continued, page 16)

Usener #	Description	Page
U601	(see page for context)	1147
U602	Aelian (Claudius Aelianus, fl. 215 CE), Various Histories, 4.13 · · ·	1151
U603	(see page for context)	1153
U604	Huic erg· · obliuisc· licebit su· et tum fort·nam contemnere, cum sit	1098
U605	(see page for context)	1154
U606	(see page for context)	1154
U607	Apollonius Dyskolus (fl.c. 130 CE), The Adverb [p. 566.3 Bekk.] · · ·	1155